DOCUMENTS

CLOSED STACKS 5

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

VITA SINE LITERIS MORS EST

BOOK NO. 31

353 Sa52:7

ACCESSION 427288

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

Form 37 10M 1135

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 90119 6825

Vol. 30—New Series No. 1

Monday, January 6, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
376 Pine Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 31—New Series No. 1

Monday, January 6, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

*352
Sa52:7 31
427288

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JANUARY 6, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 6, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President McSheehy presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 30, 1935, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendations of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police were taken up:

#### Gasoline Supply Stations.

(Code No. 11.0821)

Bill No. 727, Ordinance No. 11.08211, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series) and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a gen-*

*eral course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B, or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof con-

struction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more

than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Saw-

dust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited. *All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation

shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

### Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend section 2, paragraph 1, line 14, by striking out the words "boundary of any hospital building;" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "property line of any hospital;".

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

### Final Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the bill *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Ratto changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

### Final Passage.

The following bill was thereupon taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Amendment to Garage Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.0822)

Bill No. 776, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivison (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any school, church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e) ), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of*

*the property line of any school, church, theatre, or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing.

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon, Uhl—7.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

**Motion to Reconsider.**

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Ratto changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following bill *was laid over one week*:

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

**Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Concerning Public Passenger Vehicles and Entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses," etc., etc.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 877, Ordinance No. 3.04157, as follows:

Amending section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), concerning public passenger vehicles and entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, and repealing Resolutions 33951, 34139 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 54. Every person, firm or corporation owning *or directly or indirectly operating* any public passenger vehicle, except railroad cars, shall, *after first obtaining a permit from the Police Department, which permit must be represented by an operative vehicle for which a current City license must be obtained,* pay a license tax therefor, as follows:

For each such vehicle One ($1.00) Dollar per annum for each passenger seating capacity of said vehicle.

*In determining the seating capacity of any such vehicle the driver, thereof shall be included.*

The metallic plate issued as part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by 2½ inches and, when used on motor-drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle License Plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. When used on horse-drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to permit of attachment in a conspicuous place on the right-hand side of each horse-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least ⅝ x ⅜ inches and all numbers 1 x ⅝ inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a vehicle subject to this license tax to *operate any such vehicle upon the public highways or streets of the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license plate to said motor vehicle by attaching it to the front state motor vehicle license plate or fastening it on the outside front of such vehicle, or, when used on horse-drawn vehicles, without first attaching it in a conspicuous place on the right-hand side of said horse-drawn vehicle, or* to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December 31st of each calendar year. No substitute for this license plate shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plates in any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this ordinance.

*On the 31st day of December of each year the Police Department shall notify the Controller and the Tax Collector of the number of public passenger vehicle permits in effect, and the seating capacity of the vehicles for which the permits were granted, and whenever additional permits are granted, or existing permits are transferred or revoked during the year, the Controller and the Tax Collector shall be notified of same immediately. These notifications shall be in writing and signed by the Chief of Police or his duly authorized representative.*

Every person engaged in the business or occupation of driver or motorman of any public passenger vehicle specified in this section shall pay One ($1.00) Dollar for a driver's badge to be issued by the

Tax Collector, which badge shall be of such design and lettering as he shall determine. Upon the presentation of a driver's annual permit, granted by the Police Department, the Tax Collector shall issue annually, to the person named in such permit, a driver's annual identification card upon the payment of One ($1.00) Dollar, provided said person is the owner of a driver's badge and exhibit such badge at the time of making the application. *The obtaining or renewal of this driver's identification card and/or badge shall be subject to the penalty provisions of this ordinance.* All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one year from the date aforesaid.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *p. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

**Budget Appropriation Requested for Reclamation of Tidelands at South Basin.**

December 30, 1935, Supervisor Uhl requested that the matter of an appropriation in the next budget recommended by the Civic League for the filling in of certain blocks of land at South Basin be made a *Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *p. m. this day.*

*So ordered.*

## MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

*December* 30, 1935—*Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote and made a motion to reconsider at this meeting the action whereby the following resolution was adopted, to-wit:*

**Designating Streets of Major Importance to Be Improved with Funds from Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.**

(Code No. 12.081)

Resolution No. 2328, as follows:

Resolved, That the following named streets be and are hereby designated as streets of major importance upon which monies accruing to the credit of the "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" shall be expended:

*Major City Streets in San Francisco.*

Compiled in accordance with Section 195 of State Senate Bill No. 561, Statutes of 1935.

Alemany boulevard, Sickles avenue-Junipero Serra boulevard.
Anza street, Arguello boulevard-Presidio parkway.
Anza street (extension), Arguello boulevard-Presidio avenue.
Arguello boulevard, Fulton-California streets.
Army street, Third-Dolores streets.
Battery street, Embarcadero-Market street.
Bay street, Embarcadero-Fillmore street.
Beale street, Embarcadero-Market street.
Bryant street, Second-Fifth streets.
California street, Market street-Thirty-second avenue.
Cervantes boulevard, Fillmore street-Marina boulevard.
Claremont boulevard, Dewey boulevard-Portola drive.
Columbus avenue, Bay-Montgomery streets.
Dewey boulevard, Claremont-Laguna Honda boulevards.
Dolores street, Market street-San Jose avenue.
Eighth street, Townsend-Market streets.
Eighteenth street, Guerrero-Danvers streets.
Embarcadero, Bay-Townsend streets.

Fell street, Van Ness avenue-Stanyan street.
Fifth street, Market-Townsend streets.
First street, Market-Harrison streets.
Folsom street, Embarcadero-Tenth street.
Franklin street, McAllister-Grove streets.
Fremont street, Market-Harrison streets.
Fulton street, Franklin street-Great Highway.
Geary street, Scott street-Presidio avenue.
Geary boulevard, Presidio-Pt. Lobos avenues.
Geneva avenue, Mission street-Walbridge street.
Golden Gate avenue, Market street-Van Ness avenue.
Great Highway, Sloat boulevard-Pt. Lobos avenue.
Grove street, Franklin-Market streets.
Harrison street, Embarcadero-Fifth street.
Howard street, Embarcadero-Van Ness Avenue South.
Junipero Serra boulevard, Sloat boulevard-19th Avenue Extension.
Kezar Stadium roadway, Stanyan and Fell streets-Third avenue and Lincoln way.
Laguna Honda boulevard, Dewey boulevard-Noriega street.
Lincoln way, Third avenue-Great Highway.
Marina boulevard, Scott-Lyon streets.
Market street, Van Ness avenue-Portola drive.
McAllister street, Van Ness avenue-Franklin street.
Montgomery street, California street-Columbus avenue.
Ocean avenue, San Jose avenue-19th Avenue Extension.
Portola drive, Market street-Sloat boulevard.
Post street, Van Ness avenue-Scott street.
Pt. Lobos avenue, Geary boulevard-Great Highway.
San Jose avenue, Dolores street-Sickles avenue.
Scott street, Post-Geary streets.
Seventh avenue, Noriega street-Lincoln way.
Sixth street, Market-16th streets.
Sixteenth street, Third-Minnesota streets.
Sloat boulevard, Nineteenth avenue-Portola drive.
Spear street, Embarcadero-Howard street.
Stanyan street, Park Panhandle-Fulton street.
Steuart street, Embarcadero-Mission streets.
Sunset Boulevard Extension, Sloat-Skyline boulevards.
Third street, Howard street-Bayshore boulevard.
Thirty-second avenue, Geary boulevard-California street.
Townsend street, Embarcadero-Third street.
Twenty-fifth avenue, Fulton-El Camino del Mar.
Twenty-fifth Avenue Extension, across Golden Gate Park from Fulton street to Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way.
Van Ness avenue, Bay-Lombard streets.
Van Ness avenue, Market-Fell streets.
Van Ness Avenue South, Market-Army streets.
Walbridge street, Geneva avenue-County Line.

Further Resolved, That the streets enumerated in Resolution No. 2296, Code No. 5.31, shall be improved in the order in which they appear in said Resolution No. 2296, which said resolution has heretofore been certified to the State Highway Commission, and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works and to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was *adopted* be reconsidered.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Colonel Skeggs, representing the State Engineer's Department, was heard at length on the pending question.

At 4:10 p. m., Supervisor Brown raised the point of order that 4 p. m. had been agreed upon as the time for hearing the gasoline supply station ordinance and requested that the question should now be taken up.

Chair ruled point of order well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the chair.

The question being put by Supervisor Hayden "Shall the decision of the chair be the decision of the Board," the chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Shannon—3.

**Motion Lost.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Gallagher's motion to reconsider and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

## PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

### Sale of Sewer Bonds.

Sealed bids to the number of thirteen for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, accompanied by certified checks, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., received open and read by the Clerk:

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$391,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1929."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum; comprising 23 bonds of one thousand dollars each, maturing January 1 each year, 1939 to 1955, inclusive. Interest payable January 1 and July 1.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

**Bids.**

Bids, to the number of thirteen, accompanied by certified checks, were presented, opened and read by the Clerk.

**Telegram.**

Thereupon, the City Attorney appeared and presented the following telegram, which was read to the Board, to-wit:

January 6, 1936, 2:23 p. m.

John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Answering letter fourth and wire sixth unwilling to approve validity remaining three hundred ninety-one thousand dollars sewer bonds voted

November sixth nineteen twenty eight without decision Supreme Court California expressly sustaining validity said bonds and purpose to which proceeds now intended to be placed. In view of provisions California Constitution former charter and present laws think Supreme Court might hold bonds cannot be issued and sold for purposes now contemplated without new election.

Thompson, Wood & Hoffman.

### Bids Rejected.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved the rejection of all bids and the return of the certified checks.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $75,151 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County of San Francisco; Month of January, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 870, Ordinance No. 9.051218, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $75,151 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of January, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $75,151 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of January, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said city and county and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Controller approves as to available funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amendment to Blasting Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 827, Ordinance No. 11.0812, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1204, entitled "Regulating the Explosion of Blasts," in effect May 16, 1904, as amended by Ordinance No. 378 (New Series), approved March 10, 1908.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to explode or cause to be exploded any powder or other explosive material for the purpose of blasting; or drill a hole or make a crevice for the purpose of inserting any powder or other explosive material for the purpose of blasting, or insert in any hole or crevice any fuse or any powder or other explosive material for the purpose of blasting without first obtaining from the Director of Public Works a permit so to do, which permit must specify the location of the blasting or blastings for which it is granted; provided, however, that such permit shall not be granted until the applicant therefor shall have executed to the City and County of San Francisco and filed in the office of the Director of Public Works a good and sufficient bond of a lawfully authorized surety company, approved by the Controller, in a sum not less than five thousand ($5,000) dollars, the amount thereof to be fixed and determined in advance of call for bids by the Director of Public Works in accordance with the estimated value of the property in the vicinity of the location of the proposed blast or blasts. Said bond shall be conditioned that the permittee, together with the sureties on the bond, shall be jointly and severally bound to pay any and all judgments which may be awarded against the City and County of San Francisco or against said permittee by reason of any damage to property or person sustained as the result of any blast or blasts made under and by virtue of said permit.

The total aggregate liability on said bond shall be limited to the payment of the amount named in said bond as the penalty thereof. The surety or sureties on any such bond may cancel the said bond and be relieved of further liability thereunder by delivering ten (10) days' written notice of its desire to cancel said bond to the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and mailing copy of such notice to the permittee at the address given by the permittee to the City and County of San Francisco in his application for said permit; provided, however, such cancellation shall not affect any liability incurred or accruing thereunder prior to the termination of said ten day period. Upon the cancellation of said bond the permit in connection with which any bond was given shall be automatically revoked.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Twenty-fifth Avenue Between El Camino Del Mar and Fulton Street (15 to 9 Feet).**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 866, Ordinance No. 12.073152, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-eight (1148).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 11, 1935, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-eight (1148) to read as follows:

Section 1148. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-fifth avenue between El Camino Del Mar and Fulton street shall be 9 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Market Street Between Gough and Castro Streets (From 22 to 12 Feet).**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 867, Ordinance No. 12.073153, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty (1150).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 11, 1935, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty (1150) to read as follows:

Section 1150. The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between The Embarcadero and Valencia street shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the northwesterly side of, between The Embarcadero and Haight street shall be 22 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the southeasterly side of, between Valencia and Seventeenth streets shall be 12 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Market street, the northwesterly side of, between Gough and Castro streets shall be 12 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Seventeenth Street Between Castro and Harrison Streets (15 to 10 Feet).**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 868, Ordinance No. 12.073154, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-nine (1149).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 11, 1935, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-nine (1149), to read as follows:

Section 1149: The width of sidewalks on Seventeenth street between Castro and Harrison streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Fell Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Baker Street (15 to 10 Feet).**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 869, Ordinance No. 12.073155, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred Fifty-one (1151).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works,

filed in this office December 11, 1935, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-one (1151), to read as follows:

Section 1151: The width of sidewalks on Fell street between Van Ness avenue and Baker street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

### Clerk to Advertise Sale of $3,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2329, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0337, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1936, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of three million ($3,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of three million ($3,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of three million ($3,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 13th day of January, 1936, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1936.

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, attorneys-at-law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0337, the fee therefor to be $1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Final Passage.

The following bill was *finally passed*:

**Appropriation of $5,480 from Emergency Reserve Fund for Preparation of Reports and Information Required to Be Filed by Law With the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Commissioner.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 878, Ordinance No. 9.051222, as follows:

Appropriating $5,480 from the emergency reserve fund Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.200.01 for the preparation of reports and information required to be filed by law with the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Commissioner; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,480 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the emergency reserve fund Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.200.01 to pay the necessary expenses in connection with the preparation of reports and information required by law to be filed with the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Commissioner pursuant to the provisions of section 23 of "The Personal Income Tax Act of 1935."

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to enable the Controller to comply with the time limitations as established under "The Personal Income Tax Act of 1935."

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended and approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Lot 16, Block 3579, at $2,456 from Edith I. Lennon; Required for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2330, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Edith I. Lennon Lot 16, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of $2,456, payable from Appropriation No. 570.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land From Peter Bandoni et ux. for San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2331, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Peter Bandoni et ux. the southeasterly 20 feet of Lots 1 and 2, Assessor's Block 7162, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $800, payable from the ¼-cent Gas Tax Fund, Appro-

priation No. 48.914.14-1. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $39,999.48 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the Credit of Department of Elections.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 881, Ordinance No. 9.051223, as follows:

Appropriating $39,999.48 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of Department of Elections, being reimbursement of amount expended for conduct of Special Election held August 13, 1935, as per Resolution No. 2034.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $39,999.48 be and the same is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Department of Elections; being reimbursement of amount expended for the conduct of Special Election held August 13, 1935, as per Resolution No. 2034.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up and *finally passed*:

**Appropriating $10,000 from Emergency Reserve Fund to Public Utilities Commission to Defray Cost of Engineering and Architectural Services for Necessary Plans, etc., for Improvement of San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 882, Ordinance No. 9.051224, as follows:

Appropriating ten thousand ($10,000) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Public Utilities Commission to defray the cost of engineering and architectural services for the necessary plans, designs and specifications in order to improve San Francisco Airport at Mills Field, declaring that an emergency exists and passing this ordinance as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund (Appropriation No. 502.900.00, 1935-1936 Appropriation Ordinance) to the Public Utilities Commission, Appropriation No. ——, to be used by said Public Utilities Commission to defray the cost of engineering and architectural services for the necessary plans, designs and specifications in order to improve San Francisco Airport at Mills Field, so that said airport can be used for the landing of seaplanes as well as land planes, and in particular that such improvements may be made as will furnish the necessary service to the Pan-American Airways, Inc., in accordance with the terms of the proposed contract

between said Pan-American Airways, Inc., and the Public Utilities Commission, and so that the City may avail itself of the grant from the Federal Government to aid in the improvement of said airport.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that an emergency exists which makes the amount thereof necessary immediately so that the City may avail itself of the grant of the Federal Government to be made for the improvement of said airport.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective, immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That unless the Public Utilities Commission can proceed at once with the engineering and architectural work and plans and specifications for the improvement of said San Francisco Airport a grant from the Federal Government to aid in the improvement of the same cannot be obtained and said contract for the use of said airport by Pan-American Airways, Inc., cannot be carried out, and therefore this ordinance is passed in order to comply with the limitation of time.

Appropriation recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Appropriation recommended as to funds available, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved as to form, Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—E. B. De Golia, Member City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2332, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. E. B. De Golia, member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three months, commencing January 7, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2333, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his honor, the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the Fire Department, be and is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of four days, commencing January 12, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, Art Commission.**
(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2334, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing January 6, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Emerson Knight, Member of Art Commission.**
(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2335, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Hon. Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing January 6, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $5915 to the Credit of Emergency Hospital Service and Declaring an Emergency.**
(Code No. 9.051)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. 883, Ordinance No. 9.051225, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $5,915 from the Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Municipal Hospital Service—Maintenance of Fleishhacker Pool Hospital and the Alemany Hospital, Department of Public Health, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,915 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900, to the credit of Municipal Hospital Service—Maintenance of Fleishhacker Pool Hospital and the Alemany Hospital, Department of Public Health.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once. The character of the said emergency being as follows: That the wages of employees of the Emergency Hospital system are overdue, and this ordinance is passed that said earned wages may be paid forthwith.

Approved by the Mayor.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.
Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Relating to the Care and Expenditure of Moneys Received From the State of California Under the Provisions of Article 5, Chapter 1, Division 1 of the Streets and Highways Code, an Emergency Ordinance.**
(Code No. 9.0419)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. 884, Ordinance No. 9.04194, as follows:

Relating to the care and expenditure of moneys received from the

State of California under the provisions of Article 5, Chapter 1, Division 1 of the Streets and Highways Code, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. To comply with the provisions of Article 5, of Chapter 1 of Division 1 of the Streets and Highways Code, with particular reference to the amendments made thereto by Chapter 642, Statutes of 1935, there is hereby created in the City Treasury a special fund to be known as the "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund".

Section 2. All moneys received by the City from the State of California under the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code for the acquisition of real property or interests therein for, or the construction, maintenance or improvement of streets or highways other than State highways shall be paid into said fund.

Section 3. All moneys in said fund shall be expended exclusively for the purposes authorized by, and subject to all of the provisions of Article 5, Chapter 1, Division 1 of the Streets and Highways Code.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once. The character of said emergency being as follows:

That the authorities of the State of California will not pay to the City and County of San Francisco the amount due to said City and County for its "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" until this ordinance is passed, and if said amount due to said "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" is not received at once, the City and County of San Francisco cannot enter into contracts for the improvement of certain streets and receive from the Public Works Administration the allocation of certain funds heretofore granted to said City and County by said Public Works Administration and, therefore, it is necessary that this ordinance should become effective forthwith in order to comply with the limitations of time.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Public Utilities Commission to Acquire a Public Utility for Distribution of Electric Energy and to Issue Revenue Bonds and Borrow Money, Etc., Against the Revenues of said Utility and Outlining Procedure Involved.**

(Code No. 15.032)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. 885, Ordinance No. 15.0323, as follows:

Authorizing the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire by purchase, or by condemnation, or to construct, a public utility for the distribution of electric energy to the citizens, inhabitants or residents of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the citizens, inhabitants or residents of other cities or of other counties, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of acquiring or constructing such utility, to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations payable solely out of the revenue of such utility, and providing for the manner in which said bonds or other obligations shall be issued, and for interest thereon, and how the interest on, and the principal of said bonds or obligations, shall be paid, and for the necessary procedure to be undertaken by said Public Utilities Commission and by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to authorize the issuance of said bonds or other obligations, and for the payment of the interest on, and of the principal of said obligations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, it is provided in Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that the Board of Supervisors of said City and County may, by ordinance, confer on any officer, board or commission such other and additional powers as the Board of Supervisors may deem advisable; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has, pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of supplying any public utility service to the City and County and to its inhabitants, to territory outside the limits of the City and County, and to the inhabitants thereof; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors deems it advisable to confer upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the right to acquire by purchase, condemnation or construction a public utility for the purpose of distributing to the City and County of San Francisco, or to parts thereof, or other cities or counties, or to parts thereof, and to the inhabitants and residents of said City and County of San Francisco, or to the inhabitants and residents of parts thereof, or to the inhabitants or residents of parts of said cities or parts of said counties, electric energy for domestic, commercial, county and municipal purposes, and to accomplish said purposes to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations evidencing said money so borrowed, which said moneys so borrowed, and bonds or other obligations so issued, shall be repayable solely out of the revenue of said public utility.

Section 2. There is hereby conferred upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the following powers, in addition to the powers heretofore or hereafter granted to said Public Utilities Commission by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, or by ordinance of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

1. Said Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco may acquire by purchase, condemnation or construction a public utility for the purpose of supplying to the inhabitants or residents of the City and County of San Francisco, or of any part thereof, or to the inhabitants or residents of any other city and county, or of any part thereof, or to the City and County of San Francisco, or to any other city or county, electric energy for domestic, commercial, county or municipal purposes.

2. That for the purpose of acquiring, condemning or constructing, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of such acquisition, condemnation or construction, said Public Utilities Commission may, as hereinafter in this ordinance set forth, enter into obligations, borrow money and issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, and provide for the payment of such obligations, and for the repayment of money so borrowed, as well as for the payment of said bonds or other obligations, solely out of the revenue of said public utility so established, purchased, acquired, condemned or constructed.

3. For the accomplishment of the purposes hereinbefore set forth, that is, to establish, acquire, condemn or construct said public utility for the distribution of said electric energy, as aforesaid, said Public Utilities Commission shall, through its Manager of Utilities, make a report to the Board of Supervisors of the size, character and kind of electric distribution system which it desires to establish, acquire, condemn or construct, and the city and county, city or county, or particular parts thereof, which it will serve or tend to serve, together with an estimate of the cost thereof, as well as an estimate of the probable period of time which will be consumed in establishing, acquiring, condemning or constructing said utility, as well as the manner in which the same will be established, acquired or constructed, as well as the manner in which the cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing

said utility will be met. Said report shall also show in detail the estimated net annual revenue from said utility after deducting the cost of operation and maintenance, repairs and reconstruction, depreciation, and all other charges including interest and redemption charges or any moneys expended for the establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility.

4. Upon receiving said report, the Board of Supervisors shall examine the same, and for said purpose may employ engineers, accountants and other experts to aid in said examination, and if after said examination and the report of said engineers, accountants or other experts, the said Board of Supervisors is satisfied that it will be for the benefit and best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that said utility should be established, acquired or constructed, as reported by the Manager of Utilities, said Board of Supervisors shall, by resolution, approve said report and recommend that said utility be established, acquired or constructed as set forth in said report.

5. Thereafter the said Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance authorize and empower said Public Utilities Commission to establish, and/or acquire, and/or construct said utility as recommended or set forth in said report, and shall by said ordinance, or by a separate ordinance, or by separate ordinances, authorize said Public Utilities Commission to enter into such obligations, or to borrow such money, or moneys, or to issue such bonds or other obligations as may be necessary to defray the necessary cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility, including the estimated amount necessary to pay the interest on any money so borrowed or bonds or other obligations so issued during the period of acquisition or construction of said utility and for six months thereafter.

6. Said bonds or other obligations so authorized to be issued shall be executed by said Public Utilities Commission, by its President and Secretary, and shall be in such form and of such denomination, shall be payable at such times, and shall contain such conditions as the said Board of Supervisors in said ordinance may provide, provided that said bonds or other obligations shall be so conditioned that they will not bear interest at a rate higher than six per cent per annum payable semi-annually. Any bonds or other obligations authorized as herein provided, as well as the interest thereon, shall be payable wholly and exclusively out of the net revenue arising from the operation of the utility for which they shall have been authorized after the payment of all operating expenses of said utility, including pension and retirement charges, all amounts necessary for the repair and maintenance of the property of said utility and all amounts necessary to provide for a proper fund to meet the depreciation on said utility. No part of the amount of said bonds or of the interest thereon shall be payable from moneys raised from taxes levied against property or from any other source whatsoever, save and except from the revenues of said utility for the establishment, acquisition or construction of which they may have been issued, and then only in the manner hereinbefore set forth, and said bonds or other obligations shall so state upon their face or by endorsement thereon.

7. The Public Utilities Commission shall have full power and authority to determine and provide for the times at which, and the manner in which, said bonds or other obligations shall be sold and disposed of, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues.

8. The proceeds of all bonds or other obligations sold by said Public Utilities Commission shall be forthwith placed in the Treasury of the City and County and shall only be used for the purposes for which they may have been sold and authorized.

Section 3. Any utility established, acquired or constructed by said Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to or under authority of this ordinance, as well as the income therefrom, shall be subject to all of

the provisions of the Charter governing the operation of existing utilities.

Section 4. At the time the Board of Supervisors authorize the incurring of any obligations, or the borrowing of any money, or the issuing of any bonds or other obligations, said Board may, in the ordinance or ordinances authorizing the incurring of said obligation, the borrowing of said money or the issuing of said bonds or obligations, add such additional provisions as it may deem proper relative to the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the issuance of any bonds or obligations, or as to the conduct, operation, establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility, save and except that no such provision shall be contrary to any Charter provision on the subject, nor shall any provision be made for the payment of any money, bond or obligation except from the revenue of the utility authorized to be established.

*Referred to Special Committee on Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power and copies ordered sent to members.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Public Health Committee was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Regulating Sale of Salvaged Goods and Merchandise.**
(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 871, Ordinance No. 17.193, as follows:

Regulating the business of dealing in salvaged goods and merchandise, defining certain terms used in this ordinance; providing for the issuance of permits to engage in said business or to deal in salvaged goods and merchandise, fixing the fee to be paid for said permit, and providing for the inspection of places where salvaged goods and merchandise are sold, kept or stored, and providing an inspection fee in connection with the business of dealing in salvaged goods and merchandise for the purpose of defraying the cost of inspection and regulation, giving to the Director of Public Health the right to make regulations to carry out the purposes of this ordinance and providing a penalty for its violation or for the violation of said regulations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purposes of this ordinance the term "salvaged goods and merchandise" is hereby defined as follows:

"Any article of food or any article which may be used for food by human beings or by animals, or any chemical or other substance which may be added to food or to foodstuffs, alcoholic beverages, or any drug or compounded drugs, medicines, toilet articles, cosmetics, lotions, liniments or similar articles, or any commodity, powder, liquid or solid compound or mixture used or to be used in and about any home, household, hotel, apartment house or dwelling for cleaning, disinfecting or deodorizing purposes, including insecticides and similar articles, or tobacco or tobacco products, when the packages, cans, cartons or other containers in which the individual containers of said articles are packed for shipment or sale are damaged, torn, broken, swollen, wet, burned or rusted, or where the individual containers of said articles are damaged, torn, broken, swollen, wet, burned or rusted, or where the labels on the individual containers of any such article are defaced so that the name of the manufacturer or packer originally appearing on said label cannot be ascertained."

Section 2. The term "person" as used in this ordinance shall mean any individual, association of individuals, copartnership or corporation.

Section 3. A "dealer" in salvaged goods and merchandise is hereby defined to be a person who, either exclusively or in connection with any

other business, buys, sells, distributes or deals in salvaged goods and merchandise, and who is the holder of a permit from the Director of Public Health so to do; provided, however, that persons selling salvaged goods or merchandise to salvage "dealers" as well as those who purchase the same from salvage "dealers" and sell same directly to the retail trade, shall not be considered "dealers" in salvaged goods and merchandise.

Section 4. No person shall engage in the business of selling or distributing salvaged goods or merchandise, as defined in this ordinance, in the City and County of San Francisco, nor shall any person sell or distribute, or offer for sale or distribution, any salvaged goods or merchandise in said City and County without first obtaining a permit to so do from the Director of Public Health. Applications for such permits shall be upon blanks provided by the Department of Public Health and shall state the name of the person applying for same, the general character of salvaged goods or merchandise which will be dealt in, sold or distributed, and the place where said business is to be carried on, and if said applicant is not regularly engaged in the business of dealing in salvaged goods and merchandise, then the place where the sale or distribution of said salvaged goods and merchandise shall take place, as well as the place where the said salvaged goods and merchandise are stored and the general character thereof. A fee of ten ($10.00) dollars shall accompany each application for any permit to engage in the business of selling or distributing salvaged goods or merchandise, said fee to be retained by the City irrespective as to whether said permit is granted or not; provided, however, that nothing contained in this ordinance shall prevent a person who is not a salvage "dealer" as defined in section 3 of this ordinance and who is the owner or custodian of any salvaged goods or merchandise from selling or distributing the same if said salvaged goods or merchandise are inspected by the Department of Public Health and a permit for the sale and distribution thereof is issued by said Department; the cost of said inspection to be paid by the person requesting said permit before the same is issued at the rate of $2.00 per hour.

The Director of Public Health, before issuing any permit to any person to engage in the business of selling or distributing salvaged goods or merchandise, shall make an investigation of the character of the applicant, his methods of storing, handling and receiving said salvaged goods and merchandise and shall exercise his sound discretion in granting or refusing to grant said permit, and if said permit is requested by a person not regularly engaged in the business of dealing in salvaged goods or merchandise, the said Director of Public Health shall investigate the condition of said salvaged goods or merchandise to be sold or distributed by said person and if he finds that said salvaged goods or merchandise are in such condition that the same may be used for the purposes for which they were manufactured or packed, he may issue a permit for the sale and distribution of the same.

Section 5. Any person not regularly engaged in the business of selling or disposing of salvaged goods or merchandise and who is the owner of, or has under his control any such goods or merchandise, may sell or dispose of the same to any person who is a "dealer" as defined in this ordinance, and any person who shall receive salvaged goods or merchandise from any "dealer," or from any person having a permit to sell the same, need not obtain any additional permit for the purpose of selling or distributing the same to the general public, provided that the said salvaged goods or merchandise have been inspected by the Department of Public Health.

Section 6. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health, through his duly authorized representatives, to inspect from time to time all places where salvaged goods and merchandise are sold, kept or distributed, and whenever it shall be found that said salvaged goods and merchandise, or any part thereof, are unfit for the purposes for which they were manufactured or packed, or for which they are being

offered for sale, to cause the same to be destroyed as constituting a public nuisance, and the cost of such destruction shall be a charge against the person in whose possession said unfit salvaged goods or merchandise may be found and the amount of said cost shall be payable to the Director of Public Health for the City and County upon demand.

Section 7. All inspections made by the Director of Public Health pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall consist in such examination of any salvaged goods and merchandise as will determine their fitness for any of the purposes for which they are sold, offered for sale, or to be sold, and when in the opinion of the Director of Public Health it is necessary to analyze any sample of any salvaged goods or merchandise, said Director of Public Health or his agents, may take such article or such portions thereof as may be necessary to determine said fitness, and said determination may be made by laboratory or such other tests as the Director of Public Health shall deem proper. Pending the determination of said tests, the Director of Public Health may prohibit the sale or distribution or removal of any part of said salvaged goods or merchandise which are subject to said examination. The cost of all inspections and examinations shall be paid by the owner or custodian of said salvaged goods or merchandise, and the failure to pay such sum upon demand shall be sufficient ground to revoke said owner's or custodian's permit to deal in such goods and merchandise, and if said owner or custodian be not a salvage "dealer", the Director of Public Health shall not issue a permit for the sale or distribution of said goods or merchandise until the fee covering such inspection, examination or analysis has been paid.

Salvaged goods and merchandise which have been inspected pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or purchased from a salvage "dealer" and which are resold by the purchaser thereof directly to the public shall not be subject to reinspection, nor shall the dealer in such articles be required to obtain a permit to resell the same except in so far as said goods may be subject to inspection or license to sell the same by any other law or ordinance.

Where economic poisons form a part of any salvaged goods or merchandise such economic poisons shall be disposed of only in accordance with the provisions of sections 1065 and 1066 of the Agricultural Code of the State of California.

Section 8. For the purpose of meeting the cost of regulation and inspection, dealers in salvaged goods and merchandise who are holders of valid permits issued as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance, shall pay to the Tax Collector a fee of twenty-five ($25.00) dollars per quarter commencing March 1, 1936. (Upon the payment of said fee the Tax Collector shall issue to said person paying the same a receipt showing said payment, which said receipt shall be conspicuously displayed in the place of business of said dealer. Failure to pay said quarterly fee within the time provided by law shall ipso facto revoke any permit issued as provided in Section 4 hereof.

Dealers in salvaged goods and merchandise paying the quarterly fee provided for shall, in so far as their activities are confined to salvaged goods and merchandise as hereinabove defined, be exempt from the provisions of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) and also from the provisions of Section 29 of the Merchandise Brokers Ordinance and Section 3 of the Second Hand Dealers Ordinance, as well as from the provisions of Ordinance 3.0411 and 3.04121.

Section 9. The Director of Public Health shall make such rules and regulations regarding the sale, distribution, storing, handling and possession of any salvaged goods or merchandise as he shall deem proper to carry into effect and to accomplish the purposes of this ordinance and to prevent the contamination of said goods and merchandise, or to prevent the same being sold or distributed in such a manner as might be dangerous or injurious to the health or safety of any person, and when said rules are so made they shall be as effective as if the same were a part of this ordinance.

Section 10. Any permit granted pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be suspended by the Director of Public Health, and after notice to the holders thereof may be revoked by said Director, for any violation of this ordinance or for the violation of any rules or regulations of said Director made under authority of this ordinance, or for the violation of any law, rule or regulation of the State of California or of the City and County of San Francisco, relative to the sale, keeping or distribution of any article coming within the definition of salvaged goods or merchandise.

Section 11. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500.00) dollars or to imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding six months or to both said fine and imprisonment.

Section 12. If any section, subsection, sentence, phrase or part of this ordinance shall be declared unconstitutional, or if the same shall be declared contrary to the provisions of the charter of the City and County of San Francisco, or be declared illegal or beyond the powers of the Board of Supervisors to enact the same, said Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that it would have passed the remainder of said ordinance irrespective of said fact, and the said declaration shall have no effect upon the remainder of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### In Memoriam, F. W. Dohrmann, Jr.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that Board, when it adjourns, does so out of respect to the memory of the late F. W. Dohrmann, that a Committee be appointed to attend the funeral, and that the Clerk be directed to prepare suitable resolution of condolence.

Motion *carried unanimously* by rising vote.

### Protest Against Widening Fulton Street from 11th Avenue to the Beach.

Supervisor Brown presented letter of protest from Mrs. Ada M. Martin against the widening of Fulton street, from 11th avenue to the beach. He also inquired as to the widening of Brannan street.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Supervisor Brown moved that the Streets Committee, as it will be constituted, meet January 15, 1936, at 2:30 p. m., in room 228, for the purpose of considering above protest.

*So ordered.*

### Theatre Heating.

Supervisor Brown called attention of complaint made to him regarding lack of heating in theatre buildings and requested that Clerk make inquiry of the Board of Health as to what can be done about it.

*So ordered.*

### Funston Avenue Approach, Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the incoming Streets Committee be requested to interest itself in the matter of construction of the Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate bridge, and to report to the Board on that matter at the earliest possible date.

*So ordered.*

### Request for Transcript, Reconsideration of Resolution 2328, Designating Streets to be Improved Under Special Gas Fund.

Supervisor Gallagher requested copy of debate on the matter of reconsideration of Resolution No. 2328, Designating Streets of Major

Importance to be Improved with Funds from Special Gas Street Improvement Fund.

*So ordered.*

**Supervisor Shannon's Report on "Tournament of Roses" Trip.**

Supervisor Shannon reported on trip to Tournament of Roses, and announced that he intended to present resolution, sometime in the future, to request either the President of the Board, or the Mayor, to appoint an "Events Committee", so San Francisco can look forward to holding events of importance.

**Fifth Plan for Power Distribution Requested.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. E. G. Cahill be requested to submit to the Board a fifth plan for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, entirely independent of any transaction with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

*Referred to Special Hetch Hetchy Committee.*

**Announcement.**

Supervisor McSheehy announced meeting of Special Hetch Hetchy Committee for Thursday, January 9, 1936, at 4 p. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, at the hour of 6:00 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 13, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 2

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Wednesday, January 8, 1936
Monday, January 13, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 8, 1936, 12 M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, January 8, 1936, 12 M.

Pursuant to section 10 of the Charter, the newly elected and continuing members of the Board of Supervisors met for the purpose of inducting the newly elected members in office and to receive the annual message of his Honor the Mayor, and to transact such other business as might come before it.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supervisor McSheehy acted as temporary chairman.

### Bonds of Supervisors Filed.

The following was read by the Clerk:

January 2, 1936.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please be advised that bonds for Supervisors elected at the General Municipal Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 5th day of November, 1935, have been filed in the office of the Controller as follows:

Brown, Arthur M., Jr., Massachusetts Bonding Co., Bond No. 38006, bond $5,000.

Colman, Jesse C., Massachusetts Bonding Co., Bond No. 38011, bond $5,000.

Mead, Dewey, Massachusetts Bonding Co., Bond No. 38007, bond $5,000.

Meyer, Fred W., Massachusetts Bonding Co., Bond No. 38005, bond $5,000.

Roncovieri, Alfred, Massachusetts Bonding Co., Bond No. 38008, bond $5,000.

Shannon, Warren, Massachusetts Bonding Co., Bond No. 38024, bond $5,000.

In accordance with the provisions of Sections 26 and 67 of the Charter, said bonds have been approved as to form by the City Attorney and by the Controller as to sufficiency and solvency of the sureties thereon and, under date of December 26, 1935, as provided by Section 55 of Bill 724, Ordinance 4.042, transmitted to the Recorder for proper recordation.

You are also advised that copies of oaths of office, as originally filed with the County Clerk, for all of the above officials, are on file in this office.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

### Election of President.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to nominate Supervisor Franck R. Havenner for the presidency of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Colman seconded the nomination.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the nominations now close.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Roncovieri's motion to nominate Supervisor Havenner for presidency of the Board *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Excused—Supervisor Havenner—1.

## MAYOR'S ANNUAL MESSAGE.

PRESIDENT HAVENNER: Members of our Board, Ladies and Gentlemen: It is my honor and very high privilege to present to you Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, who will deliver to you his annual message.

Thereupon, His Honor the Mayor proceeded and read the following:

### Letter of Mayor to Board of Supervisors.

January 6, 1936.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Conforming with Section 25 of the Charter, I transmit, herewith, my annual report of the municipal affairs of the City and County of San Francisco. It is fitting to comment in general terms upon the condition of our body corporate and to make such recommendations as seem advisable.

The year 1935, although fraught with problems and anxieties for all our fellow citizens, as well as public officials, ended with a better outlook for prosperity than we have seen for five years past. We are enheartened by the fact that nearly all employable citizens have been taken from relief rolls and placed on pay rolls.

San Francisco was among the first of American cities to answer the President's call for projects in line with his program of constructive rehabilitation, and I am proud that those submitted were approved, practically as presented.

These projects, the cost of which totals $37,000,000, have been put into operation. I must admit our obligation to the Federal officials with whom we have had contact, financially and otherwise, in getting our program into effect. The government has extended to us every consideration.

San Francisco is making rapid strides on the return road from adversity to prosperity. It operates on a cash basis and has for the fourth successive year a balanced budget. It also has the lowest tax rate and percentage of tax delinquency of any major city in the United States. San Francisco's bonds continue selling at the lowest rate of interest in our city's history. Our tax anticipation notes were marketed at an interest rate as low as 1/6 of 1 per cent.

San Francisco's financial program has been accomplished without impairment of governmental efficiency and we have been able to reduce the tax rate from $4.04 in 1931 to $3.68 as at present, a reduction of 36 cents, with due recognition of the unusual problems of unemployment relief and other humanitarian activities. We have striven at all times while conserving the taxpayer's money to be humane—not one of our fellow citizens has had to go without life's necessaries. The total expenditure by San Francisco for relief of unemployment for the fiscal years 1931-32 to 1934-35, both inclusive, was $15,549,581. Cost of other humanitarian activities has increased from $3,927,875 for

1931-32 to $4,976,636 in 1935-36, a total cost for the period 1931-32 to 1934-35 of $18,122,610.

The total allocations, grants and expenditures affecting the City and County of San Francisco from various Federal sources, including CWA, PWA, SERA, and WPA to the end of 1935 were $42,975,768.

The total assessed valuation of real and tangible personal property subject to the local rate for the fiscal year 1930-31 was $801,770,075. For the present fiscal year the real and tangible personal property subject to the rate as assessed by the City Assessor was $725,884,001. To this was added the real and tangible personal property as assessed by the State Board of Equalization in the amount of $87,245,800, making the total assessed value of real and tangible personal property subject to the rate for the current year $813,129,801.

This is an increase of $11,359,726 in the rate base which is equal to approximately 6 cents in the tax rate. We therefore feel, with the tremendous burden of unemployment relief and other humanitarian necessities, that in giving a 36-cent net reduction in the tax rate between the years under comparison we have rendered a definite service to the taxpayer, and all of this despite loss of revenue from various other sources.

In addition as has been previously reported over a period of three years next succeeding my induction as Mayor, the net budget for current operations was reduced approximately $8,000,000.

### Controller.

The Controller has continued his highly efficient and commendable activities. His plans for this year are fully discussed in his report in the appended message. In tax and legislative matters the Controller's work has continued to prove of valuable service to all citizens and taxpayers. Despite the fact that taxes were delinquent 3.3 per cent and other revenues 15.6 per cent the 1934-35 budget was balanced on a cash basis. The accrual in the reserve fund initiated by the Controller now totals $2,570,103.

### Departmental Surveys.

These surveys were agreed upon in 1933 so that the Mayor might have a more intimate knowledge of the several departments; that he might have authoritative information as to budgetary requirements and necessities; that his recommendations might more readily evidence the "executive budget" as required by the Charter.

There was and is now no suggestion that the departments or officials or employments therein were to be disparaged or that their powers and duties were to be displaced by or arrogated to any other branch of the service. The main objective was to relieve the taxpayer, as far as possible, by reduction of expenditures through increased efficiency, the non-filling of positions deemed unnecessary and the adoption of new procedures as an antidote to antiquated and conflicting performances.

### Hetch Hetchy Power Disposal.

Early in the year, Secretary of the Interior Harold L. Ickes advised me that, in his opinion, the method in which the City was disposing of its hydro-electric power of the Hetch Hetchy project was in violation of the provisions of Section 6 of the Raker Act. Upon receipt of this information, I immediately communicated with the Secretary by wire, asking if the representatives of San Francisco might have the privilege of conferring with him on the subject. The Secretary fixed Monday, May 6th, at his office in the City of Washington, as the time and place for the conference.

San Francisco was represented by Lewis F. Byington, President of the Public Utilities Commission; E. G. Cahill, Manager thereof; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller; John J. O'Toole, City Attorney; Supervisors James B. McSheehy, Jesse C. Colman, Franck R. Havenner; and Paul J. Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer of the Public Utilities Commission.

San Francisco, through its representatives, presented its case to the Secretary and on August 24th the Secretary announced his decision in the matter, holding that, in his opinion, the method which the City had adopted for the distribution of its hydro-electric power was a violation of Section 6 of the Raker Act.

Shortly after, the opinion of the Secretary was given consideration by your Board, and your Board adopted a resolution authorizing the appointment of a Committee to deal with the subject-matter. The Committee was composed of Supervisors James B. McSheehy, Jesse C. Colman, Andrew J. Gallagher, Franck R. Havenner and Warren Shannon.

Secretary Ickes, on August 24th, forwarded from Washington his decision, confirming his opinion referred to above.

On September 3, 1935, by resolution of your Board, the Public Utilities Commission was requested to submit methods of procedure for disposal of Hetch Hetchy power.

On December 20, 1935, a letter was addressed to your Honorable Board by Manager of Utilities Cahill, submitting four plans for distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power. Your Board, on December 28th, requested M. Randall Ellis, Valuation Engineer for the City Attorney's office, to submit to your Board a report on the four plans of procedure outlined by the Public Utilities Commission. Chairman James B. McSheehy, on December 28th, asked that I confer with the members of your Special Committee, in order that I might express my opinion with regard to the four plans submitted.

On December 30th, I sent a recommendation to your Board, stating that in my opinion Plan Four is for the best interests of our citizens.

The problem of disposing of Hetch Hetchy power is a complex one. I trust the present studies will lead to a final and satisfactory solution, one which will meet the requirements of the Federal Government and also offer a plan of distribution which will be profitable to our citizens and taxpayers.

### Municipal Railway.

Revenues of the Municipal Railway have continued to increase during 1935. Notwithstanding that additional service has been given on a number of the lines to meet an increasing number of passengers, the railroad met its bond interest and redemption and is in a healthy financial condition.

### San Francisco Airport.

Our Airport witnessed, in 1935, the most important developments in its history. The Pan-American Airways Company agreed to make its Pacific Coast headquarters on our municipal field. The agreement is contingent on the completion, within 1936, of a construction program, begun by the Federal Works Progress Administration, to cost $1,675,000. We must bend every energy to the end that a great marine air base may be constructed within the time limit.

### Water Department.

The Department distributed about fifty-two millions of gallons of water daily, in 1935, as compared with fifty and one-half millions, daily, in 1934. Water consumers saved $682,000 in 1935, through the 10 per cent reduction put into effect December 1, 1934. Net revenue of the Department for the year, about $2,328,000.

### Street Lighting.

Persistent efforts of the Public Utilities Commission have resulted in the granting of a reduction in street lighting rates, of over $100,000 per year. This permits reillumination of more than 2000 street lights. Our per capita cost of street lighting is now less than $1, the lowest of any American city. Three years ago, it was $1.33.

### Rapid Transit.

The Public Utilities Commission has under way a study of rapid transit for San Francisco. The plans are under review by a Rapid Transit Advisory Committee, and shortly, its report is expected, embodying recommendation as to construction and financing. This is a highly important project, calling for diligent prosecution, to the end that our citizens may obtain adequate, speedy transportation to every section of San Francisco.

### Traffic Fatalities.

According to a report issued January 1, 1936, by the Commerce Department at Washington, San Francisco led all major cities in 1935, as we did also in 1934, in the lowness of the number of traffic fatalities per 100,000 of population. Our record, 9.5 in 1935, was lower, by far, than that of any of the major cities listed. While this is a good record, we must vigorously continue our campaign of education to reduce this toll of precious lives, many of which are lost through reckless driving, or carelessness upon the part of pedestrians.

### Recreation.

This year's Budget included the sum of $166,000 with which to start the establishment of playgrounds in various districts. As necessity requires, I shall continue to recommend funds for adequate recreational facilities.

### Garbage Disposal.

After the lapse of approximately twenty years, the garbage disposal problem has, in 1935, been settled with some likelihood of permanence by the grant of a franchise to the Sanitary Fill Company for disposal, by fill and cover method on Bay Tidelands.

The franchise contains a recapture clause, so that in the event any improved method is developed, or incineration is so perfected as to satisfactorily care for San Francisco's garbage, the City and County may take advantage of such development.

### Harbor.

The fate of San Francisco is inseparably linked with its harbor. Our future greatness and prosperity depend upon the development and operation of our greatest asset, our waterfront. San Francisco is one of only two large cities in America not enjoying the benefit of local control of its harbor.

As I observed in a former message, it is unthinkable that we should not "possess the key to our front door." Our Charter provides in Sections 47 and 48, the manner in which machinery for local control of our harbor can be set up: The appointment by the Mayor of three members of a Harbor Commission, and their appointment of a Manager. Surely we can give as good an account of harbor management, under municipal ownership, as we do of other public utilities.

My administration warmly supported the fight for home rule for San Francisco's waterfront. Our efforts were defeated. We are hopeful that the next Legislature can be persuaded to do justice to our City.

### Local Industry.

I have carried out to the limit of legal restrictions of the Charter, my policy to favor local industries, manufacturers and merchants. In every possible case, preferment has been given to our own taxpayers, who maintain payrolls in the City and County of San Francisco.

The same policy has been adopted toward sub-contractors, even to the rejection of bids.

### New Industries.

Statistics show that there were 217 new industries established in San Francisco in 1935, and 321 industrial expansions took place. This indicates that industrial development, during the past year, has made

the best progress among established industries. Practically all manufacturers have experienced an increase in payrolls.

The advent of Hetch Hetchy water, the ten per cent reduction in water rates and a contemplated further rate reduction are important factors for securing new industries for San Francisco and making those already established here more content with industrial conditions in San Francisco.

The building of the two naval destroyers at the Bethlehem plant is reported to necessitate the expenditure of a large sum, to modernize this plant. The assurance of this investment has already been made. I trust this may prove the forerunner of a revival of shipbuilding in our port.

### Labor.

I am pleased to report that prevailing rates of pay on all Federal work in San Francisco are maintained. I have sponsored projects, on which thousands of men and women are employed directly, with hundreds more engaged in the fabrication of materials.

When difficulties arose between employer and employee, during the past year, I was instrumental, in a number of instances, in bringing the two together. I am pleased to report that their difficulties were amicably settled. Whenever I can be of service, in such cases, I shall be pleased to cooperate in any manner, tending to maintain industrial peace in San Francisco.

All proposals coming to my attention, which mean work for fair wages, have received my hearty endorsement and my most earnest consideration.

### Consolidation.

At the request of a delegation of prominent residents of the peninsula who visited me on November 21, 1935, with Mr. Arthur C. James of Palo Alto as chairman, I appointed a committee consisting of Colbert Coldwell, chairman; City Attorney John J. O'Toole, Controller Leonard S. Leavy and William H. Nanry, to investigate the subject of consolidation of San Francisco and San Mateo counties. Before I would recommend such a program it must clearly be shown that consolidation would effect reduced cost of government generally, without reduction in efficiency and that it would eliminate overlapping functions, authority and expense, and in no way add to San Francisco's budget.

### Other Departments.

Analyses of the activities of all departments not referred to herein will be found in the body of my message together with further comments on such departments as have been alluded to in this letter.

In closing, I deeply regret that we must relinquish the splendid abilities and cooperation of those members of your Board whose official terms of service have terminated. I know that their long experience in municipal affairs and needs will be reinforced by the desire to continue their unselfish devotion to all activities for the public good.

Permit me to extend to the members of your Board who take their places for the first time today, my sincere and heartfelt good wishes. I also offer my hearty thanks for the consideration and loyal support of my friends in the city government who have so ably and unselfishly exerted their energies for the betterment of our municipality. I trust that no act of mine, during the forthcoming term, will shake the confidence so unmistakably expressed for my administration by the citizens of San Francisco last November. Thus, I shall best express my appreciation and gratitude. If we, as public officials, continue to recognize and fulfill our duties, San Francisco is bound to achieve her destiny in the front rank of world cities.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Office of the Mayor, San Francisco.

January 8, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am sending to your Honorable Board a statement of the affairs of the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 25 of the Charter.

San Francisco has reached an enviable position amongst major American cities. In 1935 we continued to make creditable progress. I know that your Honorable Board and all officials of the municipality will work with the Executive Department, to the end that our beloved City shall maintain the eminent position she has reached.

## Radio Broadcasts.

For nearly three years past I have, from my office in the City Hall broadcasted weekly messages concerning the actual functioning of the various departments of the municipality over Station KGO by courtesy of the National Broadcasting Company.

I have received hundreds of letters, testifying to the interest of my fellow-citizens in this series, and am pleased at the continued interest shown by the public in these broadcasts. The information that is thus brought by me and my fellow-officials to radio listeners, has given them an intimate acquaintance with the manner in which their City is administered. Ours was the first major city to take advantage of radio, in disseminating such data. I wish to acknowledge our high obligation to Mr. Don Gilman, vice-president of N. B. C., for his courteous cooperation, which has been rendered entirely without cost to us.

## Relief.

From the beginning of the calendar year until the middle of May the County Relief Committee, appointed by me, handled the entire problem of relief in San Francisco, incurring expenditure of Federal, State and local funds. At that time, to quote from a letter received from Mr. Ralph E. Jenny, Chairman of the State Relief Commission: "The State Commission felt that a dual responsibility, covering relief of unemployables and relief of distress due to unemployment, was incompatible with public policy."

This left to the Committee the difficult task of caring for the unemployables. This Committee, comprising Mr. Florence McAuliffe, chairman; Mr. Guido J. Musto, Mr. J. P. Rettenmayer, Miss Ruth A. Turner, Mr. Edward D. Vandeleur, with Mrs. Eugene Prince heading the Family Relief Committee, appointed Miss Lucille Henry the Director of Relief.

The definition of unemployability presented two problems to the local Committee; first, that our local taxpayers be not compelled to bear more than their just share of the cost of relief and second, and more important, the social problem of not branding a large group of people as permanently unemployable, who, through medical care and mental hygiene, might be rehabilitated and reestablished in normal community life, when economic conditions improve. That the Committee has met this task well is borne out by the figures. When first this classification of unemployables was created by the Federal Government, the number of cases, as of May 1, 1935, was 3099. By strict standards of eligibility and great care exercised in the social investigation, this load was reduced to 2372 cases, as of December, 1935.

The Committee has recognized at all times two major principles; first, that only those deserving and eligible for relief under our state and county laws should receive it, and second, that relief should be adequate and humane for those of our citizenry who, through no fault of their own, are dependent upon public assistance. With this second

principle in view, the County Committee instituted a budget for these families which includes shelter, food, utilities, clothing and sundries.

Wherever shelter has to be provided from City funds, the checks are made payable jointly to the landlord and recipient, so that our small property owners are not penalized by having relief clients as their tenants.

By the adoption of a policy, fair to all, which provides one of the most adequate relief standards in the country, the expenditure for county relief dropped from $84,930 in May, 1935, to $70,386 in November, 1935. This astonishingly good record has been achieved through careful social case work, by which every effort has been made to discover all available resources and to seek a solution of the client's problems, outside of public charity.

With the creation of the WPA program and the effort being made throughout the nation to liquidate the SERA, the problems of the future loom large for all local communities. There is, however, one fact which is certain and that is that the administration of relief can no longer be considered an emergency function of government, but must be set up under some form of permanent organization. It is my intention, in the immediate future, to submit, with the concurrence of the Chief Administrator, to your Honorable Board, an ordinance which will give the present Emergency Relief Committee the status of a Public Welfare Commission. This will enable the Committee to plan for the future, and to negotiate more satisfactorily with the State Relief Administration as to San Francisco's share in the State and Federal relief funds.

I would be remiss in my duty as Mayor of our City if I did not publicly acknowledge the wonderful assistance rendered us by our fellow-townsman, George Creel, who, because of his intimate knowledge of Federal affairs at Washington, worked unceasingly to bring to a successful outcome the splendid program we have achieved. To the ever-present courtesy and cooperation of Frank Y. McLaughlin, State Administrator, WPA, and his able corps of assistants, I beg to extend my heartfelt thanks.

Early in the year, the Congress of the United States appropriated $4,800,000,000 for the purpose of taking the citizens of the nation off relief and putting them on a works program. The President was given extraordinary powers to put this program into effect. Each city and community was requested to prepare a works program, having for its basis the employment of all citizens who were on relief and eligible for employment. In accordance with the President's executive order, I, by authority of your Honorable Board, sponsored a list of projects, under the able supervision of Assistant City Engineer Clyde Healy, and forwarded it to the Works Progress Administration at Washington, the total sum being approximately $37,000,000.

The program was approved, virtually, as presented. It consists of the rehabilitation of most of our public buildings, the reconstruction and repair of many of our most important streets, the building and rebuilding of boulevards, the construction of recreational centers on lands previously purchased under my administration, the improvement of our public parks and squares and the setting up of sundry technical and professional projects, looking to the employment of what we commonly term "white collar" men and women.

San Francisco's program also includes grants from the Federal Government to assist in the building of the San Francisco Bay Bridge Exposition, on the shoals north of Yerba Buena Island. The total amount of these grants, including the pledge of the Exposition Corporation, is $9,647,000. With these Federal grants, San Francisco and the Bay region will be assured of an Exposition, in dignity and keeping with similar enterprises of the past, here and in other world centers.

I am happy to announce that nearly all citizens of San Francisco, eligible under the Works Progress Administration, are now engaged in gainful occupation of a character commensurate with their abilities

and previous business and professional training. San Francisco, at this time, is the only one of the Pacific Coast municipalities which has been able to comply fully with the Federal program. The morale of those affected has been admirably maintained and the added payrolls are giving great stimulus to all local business interests and enterprises.

**Bay Bridges.**

*San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge—*

San Francisco is built on the end of a peninsula, extending along the Coast and is thus, by nature, isolated from the mainland, save for one connection at the south, adjoining San Mateo County. Thus, it has been necessary to enter San Francisco by boat at two of its three gateways. How much this situation has held back San Francisco's growth is difficult to estimate.

Now, however, two great bridges are about to end San Francisco's isolation. After these bridges are opened and allow the public in and out of San Francisco on the north and on the east without interruption, the next great barrier which we must attack is the elimination of tolls. These tolls are tariffs against San Francisco's growth; and, for that matter, the growth of California, because free circulation of travel is necessary for the growth of a commonwealth.

The $77,600,000 San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, on which ground was broken July 9, 1933, has now been under construction two years and a half, and before nine months more have elapsed, or a total construction time of three years and three months, the California Toll Bridge Authority expects to open the highway portion of this bridge.

At the beginning of the year 1935, the entire substructure or underwater portion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge had been completed, and in the West Bay, three of the four steel towers had been erected and the fourth was just being started. The huge center anchorage in the West Bay was up to half its present height. In the West Bay, the 14 deck truss spans that bring the bridge down gradually to ground level in the Oakland tide lands had been built and the 500-foot through truss spans were soon to be started.

Since then, five 500-foot double deck through truss spans have been built. Both the East and West anchor arms of the world's third longest cantilever span have been erected and now the derricks are beginning the delicate task of erecting unsupported steel out over this quarter-mile expanse of water.

The scientific world is interested in the cantilevering out of this huge double-deck span whose ends, according to schedule, will meet in the middle within a few months, if engineering expectations are realized.

A tunnel of such bore that a four-story building could be passed through it, upright, is completed through Yerba Buena Island and lined with concrete.

Approaches which will give San Francisco its first elevated highways are now taking form between Harrison and Bryant Streets and on Rincon Hill. These approaches were financed out of State Highway funds and Federal aid, allotted by act of the State Legislature. To date, there has been expended on this bridge and its approaches, approximately $40,000,000.

All San Franciscans will join in my commendation of the splendid service rendered by The California Toll Bridge Authority, Earl Lee Kelly, Director of Public Works of the State of California, Chief Engineer Charles E. Purcell and all who played a part in this great project. Their efforts will be long remembered by all loyal Californians.

*Golden Gate Bridge—*

Progress on the Golden Gate Bridge has also been rapid. With spinning operations proceeding at top speed, the wire strands that will make up the two thirty-six and one-half inch supporting cables

will be finished by June 1, it is reported. This bridge, which will cost $27,165,000 and will open to traffic by May 1, 1937, is now 60 per cent completed.

It was begun in December, 1932. Its Chief Engineer, Joseph B. Strauss, states it will be the most monumental structure in the world of its kind, not only from the standpoint of height and length, but also as to the beauty of its architectural design.

It will be the longest single span bridge in the world, twenty-four hundred feet from center to center of the piers and seven hundred feet longer than the second longest, the George Washington Bridge.

Our thanks to the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, Chief Engineer Strauss, his excellent staff and all others engaged in this great undertaking.

### Federal Projects.

San Francisco's new Federal Building, in the Civic Center, will be in use by April first. Its cost will exceed $2,750,000.

The world's most modern Mint, at Duboce Avenue and Buchanan, will be completed by December first, at a cost of over $1,000,000. The completion of this splendid structure will give impetus to the removal of an unsightly mass of rocks, and the construction of creditable buildings, in their place.

### Controller.

During the past year the office of the Controller, under the provisions of our Charter, continued its highly constructive performance. The Controller has discussed with me his plans for a continuation of its studies and creative recommendations.

The personnel survey, recommended by the Controller June 6, 1933, is well under way. Certain of the procedures established, such as those in connection with traffic tags, tuberculosis subsidy, and commitments to the Sonoma State Home, have not alone contributed to a greater operating efficiency, but also have increased revenues.

The Controller has pointed out to me that if full benefits are to be derived from certain recommended procedures, enforcing legislation will have to be enacted.

On the recommendation of our Controller, your Board, by Resolution No. 1338, established a Tax and Legislative Committee, to protect the interests of and to provide proper representation for San Francisco, in connection with tax revisions that were to take place as of January 1, 1935, under various constitutional provisions; to analyze the effect on San Francisco of the various measures of the Fifty-first Session of the California Legislature, and to cooperate generally with San Francisco's representatives in the State Legislature.

As a member of this Committee, I must say that its work has proved a valuable contribution of service to our citizens and taxpayers. This Committee, as continued for the interim between legislative sessions, is essential in view of the possibility of an emergency session of the California Legislature.

The Controller, in his annual report, acknowledged the cooperation of our representatives in the State Legislature; the representatives of our many sister cities and counties; the members of your Honorable Board, and the various department heads, who gave so much of their time and service to the Committee.

Pursuant to the Controller's request, your Board, by Resolution 2306, has authorized me, and I have appointed a Committee consisting of Francis V. Keesling, William H. Nanry, Controller Leonard S. Leavy, City Attorney John J. O'Toole, the President of your Board, and the chairmen of the Supervisors' Finance and Judiciary Committees to undertake a study of the Charter fiscal mandates and the conflicts in Charter provisions and the Constitution of the State of California, to the end that proper authority for the control of certain items included in the mandates of these instruments be placed under the jurisdiction

of those charged with the responsibility of legislation and administration, and that existing conflicts in law be eliminated.

It is hoped that, as a result of the work of this Committee, measures will be initiated and approved which will enable us to make a further reduction in the cost of government.

The budget for the fiscal year 1934-35 was balanced on a cash basis, despite the fact that taxes were delinquent 3.3 per cent and other revenues 15.6 per cent. The resources of the cash reserve fund, which was created under amendments to Sections Nos. 80 and 81 of the Charter, initiated by the Controller, now total $2,570,103.40. Cash loans from this fund made possible contractual performance under 1935 PWA grants. The merit of our financial condition is unquestionably reflected in the rates of interest quoted to us on tax anticipation notes and in connection with the sale of our bonds, as well as in the excellent publicity San Francisco has been receiving in the financial newspapers throughout the country.

My thanks to Controller Leonard S. Leavy and his valued corps of assistants for the splendid record they continue to maintain.

### City Attorney.

The report of the City Attorney for the calendar year shows that nine hundred and seven actions were commenced against the City: Superior Court, City and County of San Francisco—794; Municipal Court—102; United States Court—3; other jurisdiction—8.

In addition to these cases, there are pending fifty-two, involving the Hetch Hetchy water project. Only one of these cases has been brought to trial, to-wit, *Meridian, Limited* v. *City and County of San Francisco.* In this case the court has announced its decision sustaining plaintiff's riparian right. The formal judgment is now in the course of preparation. In due time an appeal will be taken. The remaining cases affecting the City's water rights on the Hetch Hetchy will undoubtedly be brought to trial during the present year.

One rate case is pending, dealing with rates for natural gas, before the United States District Court.

Recent reduction of rates for electricity made by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has, for the time being, ended any necessity for rate litigation on this subject. Our rate engineers are studying the effect of these rates and when sufficient time elapses to show their effect, a report will be made as to whether it will be possible to obtain further reduction.

Litigation involving the right of the Market Street Railway Company to operate the so-called "one-man cars" in San Francisco was tried before a special master appointed by Judge Louderback. The master announced his decision to the effect that our ordinance requiring that a street car should be in charge of a motorman and a conductor was unconstitutional. The master has not submitted his findings to the court. When he does we will argue the matter before the court and if the decision is against us, the City Attorney will advise an appeal.

The increased number of cases commenced by the City during the past year is due to the many cases instituted to recover taxes paid under protest, upon the ground that in our 1934-35 expenditures we exceeded the five per cent limitation provided by Section 20 of Article XIII of the Constitution. One of these cases is now in the course of trial in the Superior Court. It will undoubtedly be carried to the Supreme Court and its final determination by that court will practically determine the other pending cases.

A prolific source of litigation against the city is the claims arising from injuries sustained by pedestrians falling on broken sidewalks. In this regard I would recommend the utmost diligence on the part of the Director of Public Works in compelling property owners to repair damaged walks in front of their property.

Claims based on damages sustained by reason of the operation of municipally-owned automobiles are also the cause of much litigation.

Where the damage is serious some of these claims run into large amounts and are a serious liability to the City. The City Attorney recommends that a proper amount be included in the budget estimate for the coming fiscal year, so that funds would, to some extent, be available to compromise claims where there is no question as to the liability of the City and when a reasonable settlement can be made.

The number of claims filed during the past year, exclusive of those filed against the Municipal Railway, was one hundred and thirty. Ninety-two of these were based on sidewalk accidents, the remaining thirty-eight being divided among all of the other municipal departments, most of them arising from automobile accidents.

Claims against the Municipal Railway are investigated by its Claims Department in a most efficient manner, but when it comes to investigation of claims arising in other departments, the office of the City Attorney has had great difficulty in ascertaining the facts relative to many of these claims, for the reason that it has not, nor have any of the other departments, a skilled investigator who can be sent to gather the necessary facts to enable the City to make the proper defense to the claims. The City Attorney also recommends that a Claims Investigation Bureau be formed, which would take over the personnel and duties of the present claims bureau of the Municipal Railway and then add to that personnel the necessary employees to permit the investigation of claims arising in other departments. The added personnel would be small, but he believes that such a bureau would greatly reduce the amounts finally paid on these claims, either in compromise or on judgment.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation for the splendid services rendered by this Department, under the able leadership of John J. O'Toole. His office, considering the vast amount of litigation and the manner in which it is handled, is conducted at an exceedingly low cost, for which we are deeply indebted to him and his excellent staff.

### Municipal Court.

In twelve Municipal Courts, 12,082 civil actions were brought and 22,753 small claims actions—a total of 34,835, in comparison with 16,885 reported in the 1935 message. In the criminal department, 84,249 proceedings were filed, as against 76,794 in 1934. This report is for the period, January 1 to December 1, 1935.

Receipts totaled $174,580.81, with expenditures $192,744.03, the excess of expenditures over receipts having been $18,163.22. The added deficiency this year is accounted for by the great excess of cases handled, and the large number of official and pauper actions filed, for which no fees are collected.

The duties of those attached to the Municipal Court have been discharged most satisfactorily.

### Office of the Public Defender.

The office of Public Defender is conducted by Gerald J. Kenny, Public Defender, assisted by two deputies and one clerk-stenographer, the total cost of the office for the past year having been $16,632.46. Persons who received legal advice numbered 2990. The total number of cases handled in all courts was 1097, with a total of 2173 appearances. In the Superior Court, 488 cases were handled. In the Municipal Court, 562 cases were handled by the Public Defender. The Public Defender handled also 36 cases in the Juvenile Court, attended two coroner's inquests and 11 insanity hearings.

The Public Defender and his staff deserve commendation for the satisfactory manner in which their duties have been carried out.

### Police Department.

During the past year perfect cooperation has been accorded to each of the various departments of our city government, with a view of

rendering the most efficient service to all of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco.

Our Police Department is outstanding in the matter of modern improvements, designed for the suppression of crime and the arrest of criminals. During the past year, through cooperation of my office and your Board, funds have been made available to enable our police to keep abreast of the most modern criminal defensive equipment.

The number of robberies and crimes of violence still remains at a low minimum, considering the fact that San Francisco is one of the largest seaport cities in the world, and that its population is outstandingly cosmopolitan.

Bank holdups, though very common throughout the United States since the advent of the depression, are of rare occurrence here, and such cases as have occurred have been quickly and efficiently cleared off the record by arrest and conviction of the criminals, as evidenced this year by the effective capture of the Stevens gang. Organized crime has never found a foothold.

The traffic problem demands keen attention. Our night traffic service is one of the main factors in keeping traffic accidents and deaths at a low minimum. This is effected through persistent drives in various parts of the city—especially at dangerous crossings and during the night hours. Through this continuous vigilance, reckless driving during the night hours has been greatly curbed.

At my request, Chief Quinn sent me a report of hazardous intersections and locations wherein bulkheads, proper signals, safety lights and other improvements to lessen automobile accidents can be furnished. Every safeguard tending to curtail the dreadful toll of death and injury in traffic must be provided with the least possible delay.

The Police School of Instruction has functioned during the entire fiscal year. Cooperating with the School Department, in checking up on truants, a voluntary organization within the police department called the "Big Brother Movement" has handled with splendid results very many cases of wayward school children. The members of the Big Brother Movement attend to such cases on their time off regular duty.

The following figures are interesting: sick and injured persons taken to hospitals, 5404; insane persons handled, 208; lost children restored to parents, 255; missing persons located, 2234.

The photograph gallery, criminal investigation laboratory and Bureau of Identification show a volume of work probably outstanding in the entire country. From fingerprints and photographs our Bureau of Identification made 7002 identifications. At the present time in the Bureau of Identification, there are 326,412 photographs and 199,137 fingerprints on file, thus making our collection one of the most complete in the world.

Property valued at $162,430.23 was recovered during the past fiscal year. Persons fined for traffic violations numbered 41,401.

Out of 3690 automobiles reported stolen, 3639 were recovered, a fine record.

The morale of the Department was upheld. I feel that the present cooperation between this Department and other units of our city service will continue and thus enable the San Francisco Police Department to continue to be classed as equal to the best in the United States.

To the Police Commission, Chief of Police Wm. J. Quinn and the Department in general, I wish to express my gratitude for service notably performed.

### San Francisco Fire Department.

The San Francisco Fire Department has again, during the year 1935, made us all proud of its achievements; and, maintaining its usual fine record of promptness and efficiency, continues to merit our full confidence.

Indicating a return to more normal conditions in our trades and industries, there has been a slight increase in the number of fire alarms responded to, which reached the figure of 7605 by the end of November 1935, as against 7354 during the same period of the previous year, an increase of 251. But notwithstanding this increase in alarms, the actual fire losses have in every instance been held to the normal.

With reduction of the current appropriations for the conduct of this important branch of the city government to the minimum, compatible with safety, there has nevertheless been accomplished a very material improvement in the fire fighting equipment, through the extension of high pressure mains, installed from the Bond Issue of 1933. The bulk of these extensions are now completed, and the added protection afforded by the high pressure system is now available in the Potrero District, the Marina District and in parts of the Park-Presidio and Sunset Districts.

The prestige of the San Francisco Fire Department is such as to need no extended comment by me. I cannot allow this opportunity to pass, however, without reminding our property owners of general reductions in fire insurance rates on certain classes of buildings. The Board of Fire Underwriters' Actuaries informs me of a study now being made, and which should be completed this year, leading to a general and substantial reduction on every frame mercantile structure in the city. It is obvious that such reductions cannot continue in effect, unless we keep our fire fighting apparatus up-to-date, by means of an annual program of replacement, in so far as our finances will permit.

I review with pride the record of the San Francisco Fire Commissioners, Chief Engineer Charles J. Brennan and the officers and men of this most efficient Department.

### Civil Service.

During the past year, the Civil Service Commission has scheduled and completed 47 examinations, for which 15,940 applications were filed. Of these applicants, 11,826 appeared for examination and 2811 successfully passed, and 9015 failed to qualify. Sixteen other examinations are now in process of completion and twenty-two new examinations are about to be called.

There are at the present time a total of 290 active lists of eligibles in effect. During the current year 473 permanent certifications and 1945 temporary certifications were made by the Civil Service Commission from these lists of eligibles upon requisitions of the various departments. Because of the civil service temporary appointments made from near lists, and the prompt holding of examinations when near lists are not available, emergency non-civil service appointments have been reduced to a minimum.

Pursuant to a recommendation of the Controller, the Mayor requested the Civil Service Commission to make a survey of all the departments of the City and County government, the tentative scope of which survey may be summarized as follows: overlapping or duplicated functions, both inter and intra-departmental; obsolete or unnecessary processes, functions, reports, etc; tentative recommendations for simplifications or consolidation of processes or functions or the transfer or coordination of related or basic functions; determination if any present services are non-essential and if so, elimination of same; possible elimination of positions to be effected through resignations, deaths and retirements over a five-year period.

These surveys are now in progress and reports will be transmitted as they are completed.

The Commissioners and efficient staff have my hearty thanks.

### Board of Education.

Establishment of the San Francisco Junior College to provide further training for young men and women who were graduated from the local high schools had been advocated for many years, but opportunity for

realization did not come until February, 1935, when Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, recommended the inauguration of the college as part of the local high school district.

The Board of Education, upon the nomination of Dr. Lee, named Mr. A. J. Cloud, Chief Deputy Superintendent of Schools, to the presidency of the new junior college and a faculty was selected on the basis of educational experience in the junior college field and training and experience in recognized higher institutions of learning.

The motivating influence of tax-paying parents for the establishment of a junior college in San Francisco was two-fold; the long distances traveled daily by students residing in San Francisco to junior colleges and the transportation and expense involved. A conservative estimate placed the annual expenditure of nine hundred and seventy-five San Francisco students attending junior college in other counties at one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars. In addition to this amount, the San Francisco Board of Education paid out to other counties during the fiscal year 1934-35, the sum of $90,000 for tuition as required by law. Therefore, the total annual amount spent in other counties by students and the taxpayers has been more than a quarter of a million dollars. After careful study, the Board of Education instructed Dr. Lee to make recommendations looking to immediate establishment of the college. We did not rush into this new proposition. As far as San Francisco was concerned, there was considerable criticism at the seeming delay. It was pointed out that other communities in the State, not nearly so large as ours, had created junior colleges and that San Francisco was paying large sums annually for the education of those residents of San Francisco who were compelled to attend junior college in other localities.

Through an arrangement perfected with the Regents of the University of California, use of the University Extension Building at 540 Powell street was granted for a period of two years for use as a junior college. Enrollment of 1450 students during the first semester of the new institution is a definite indication that the demand on the part of our citizens for such an institution is justified by the spontaneous response evidenced by the registration.

Afternoon junior college classes have been held at Galileo High and Mission High School buildings. There is ample indication that the new institution will have a registration of 3500 students before January, 1937. Anticipating this situation, Superintendent Lee and the Board of Education are giving immediate consideration to the selection of a permanent site for the college. Attendance at the college is not mandatory under the laws of the State, but the remarkable holding power of the new institution is demonstrated by its consistent attendance records.

Mrs. Mary Prag, beloved educator, passed away on March 17, 1935, after more than 71 years of service to the cause of youth. Mrs. Prag had served as a teacher in Girls High School, later as vice-principal, and in the last 14 years of her life served with credit and distinction as a member of the Board of Education.

Hon. Ira W. Coburn, who rendered valuable service as a member of the Board of Education for many years, resigned on February 26 because of ill health. Mr. Coburn had been identified with the realization of the school building program since 1923 and his technical knowledge in this field was invaluable to the Board of Education.

The first new elementary school (Visitacion Valley) to be completed out of the proceeds from the 1934 issue of schoolhouse bonds was occupied this week. Construction is proceeding on four other new elementary schools (Lawton, Francis Scott Key, Glen Park and Patrick Henry) and these buildings will be occupied during this year. All these structures replace wooden buildings erected many years ago. Ground was broken recently for the new Sunshine School. When completed, this building will house the children of the Sunshine School for Crippled Children and those of the Buena Vista Health School.

Both schools will be operated as separate institutions, the Sunshine School occupying the first floor and the health school occupying the second. The children of each school will use separate entrances from different streets. The consolidated school will offer each group of children added educational and health advantages. Bids were opened recently for the erection of a building to house San Francisco's first vocational school which will be located at Twenty-second and Bartlett streets.

Overcrowding in San Francisco's senior high schools will be relieved with the completion this year of the George Washington High School in the Park-Presidio District. This new secondary school will provide that section of the City with long-needed high school facilities.

Residents of the Marina District will be afforded junior high school accommodations with the completion of the Marina Junior High School during the coming year. Funds were recently made available through Federal aid for the erection of a building to house the shops, adjoining the academic unit, and construction of this unit will start within three months.

Lowell High School, the last of eighteen school buildings to be repaired under the mandatory terms of the Field Act passed by the 1933 Legislature, has been completed and will be reoccupied within a few days. Completion of this rebuilding program has been delayed because of two major fires—(Girls and Lowell High School buildings)—while buildings were being repaired. Despite these delays San Francisco is the first city in California to complete its rebuilding program to bring all school structures within the terms of this law which is retroactive in its provisions.

The past year in the public schools has been marked by the continued growth of a harmonious spirit among the administrative and certificated staff, under the leadership of Superintendent Lee and the Board of Education. This condition has aided greatly in dealing with the many problems with which the Department is called upon to deal.

We continue to maintain our public schools normally, for which, in goodly measure, thanks are due the Board of Education and all under their authority.

### Employees' Retirement System.

The Retirement System included 11,230 employees at June 30, 1935. During the fiscal year ending on that date, 167 members were retired because of age or disability, and 114 members died. Many of the positions thus vacated were not filled. On June 30, 1935, there were 1596 persons receiving allowances under the Retirement System, this number including aged and disabled members retired from miscellaneous departments and also retired firemen and policemen, and their widows, where death resulted from performance of duty.

The administration of the State Compensation Insurance Law, as it affects all City employees, is centralized under the Retirement Board in accordance with the new Charter, instead of being handled by the various departments in which injured persons are employed. Reports of all injuries among approximately 11,750 employees are made to the Retirement Office, and claims are adjusted and benefits paid in accordance with the State law and Charter, the City and County now acting as self-insurer in connection with all employees. During the fiscal year 1934-35, 868 compensation cases were handled, under which either weekly benefits or medical expenses were paid. Approximately 1468 additional cases were handled which were not of sufficient severity to qualify for compensation benefits of any kind. The investments of the Retirement Fund at June 30, 1935, totaled $15,927,000, as against $14,311,000 as of June 30, 1934.

Mr. Ralph Nelson, Secretary-Actuary of the Board, deserves a full measure of praise for the efficient handling of this most important department. To the members of the Board, who have unselfishly served many hundreds of hours during the past year without remuneration, I offer my sincere thanks.

### Assessor's Office.

Assessor Russell L. Wolden indicates the 1935 Assessment Roll to be: total non-operative, $1,004,362,529; a decrease of $23,963,774 from the 1934 total. Property assessed by the State Board of Equalization was, $137,453,360, making a total for 1935-36 of $1,141,815,889. This is a reduction from the peak year 1930-31 of $161,209,176.

The 1935 Assessment Roll consists of two separate sections. In the one is listed all of the property assessed by the Assessor. In the other is listed the property of public utilities now subject to local taxation under the provisions of the Riley-Stewart Act, which property was valued by the State Board of Equalization.

The 1935 assessment roll as compiled by the Assessor was subjected to exhaustive investigation by the State Board of Equalization, and following that investigation and several hearings in Sacramento, was approved by the State Board of Equalization, as equitable and proportionate. The assessment rolls of scores of counties in this state were revised by the State Board of Equalization and it is very much to the credit of this office that the San Francisco assessment roll was approved by the State Board of Equalization without revision or correction of any kind.

The operating costs of the Assessor's office continue to decrease. During the past seven years the total operating costs have been reduced from their high point by 30.4 per cent, a saving of $106,603.00.

It will be well to recognize now that the 1936 assessment roll will have certain determinable losses. Securities no longer subject to tax levy, valued in 1935 at $170,000,000, will be absent from the 1936 assessment roll, as will $11,000,000 in assessed valuation of motor vehicles, the latter being exempt from personal property taxation beginning with 1936. The Assessor informs me that, to offset these losses, and to minimize the increased burden to real property which these personal property decreases will entail, the Assessor's office will strive for a more equitable distribution of the tax burden between real property and personal property during the coming year. I wish to comment on the able manner in which this Department is administered.

### Sheriff.

Sheriff Wm. J. Fitzgerald's report, indicating business done in his office for the calendar year 1935 (December estimated), shows process and papers filed and issued, 25,434, a slight decrease from the year 1934. Evictions 420; about 100 less than last year. The decrease in evictions is held due to improvement in organized relief extended to those without work. Patients, prisoners and wards transferred to State institutions, 1160, about the same as the previous year.

Number of auctions of real and personal property 195, as against 247 in 1934. Prisoner days served, 230,796, an increase of about 40,000 over 1934.

The per diem cost per prisoner for food and other overhead expenses reported at 18½c, a most commendable reduction from 21c in the previous year, is partly due to the raising of vegetables at the new institution.

### Public Utilities Commission.

Citizens of San Francisco should indeed be gratified by the administration of their $160,000,000 municipal utilities enterprises during the past year.

Water sales have increased under a lowered rate schedule. A new rate cut will soon be ordered. Profitable operation of the Municipal Railway has continued with a five-cent fare and increased revenue. All street lights are now illuminated. New lights have been installed and costs have been reduced. San Francisco Airport has made extraordinary strides with air traffic increasing, the field enlarged and new developments under way which assures that it will shortly become the

United States terminal of Pan-American Airways and the headquarters of the Coast Guard flying service.

More than one-half of a $15,855,000 utility construction program under PWA bond issues has been completed. Over 16 billion gallons of pure Hetch Hetchy water have been delivered to our local reservoirs. Substantial progress has been made in formulation of plans for a rapid transit system and for municipal distribution of the electric power generated by the Hetch Hetchy project.

During 1935 the Public Utilities Commission awarded contracts for construction costing $5,340,302.43. Work costing $4,725,192.87 was completed during the year.

The Public Utilities Commission and Mr. Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, deserve the highest commendation for their able administration of these important municipal departments.

I refer briefly in the following paragraphs to the operations of the individual utilities during 1935:

*Municipal Railway—*

Revenues of the Municipal Railway have continued to increase. The operating revenue for the year 1935 amounted to $3,030,000, an increase of $79,000 or 2.69 per cent over the preceding year. This healthy increase in street car riding for the second successive year indicates that, with a continuation of present efficient management of the Municipal Railway, we may be assured that it will meet all its obligations in the future and continue to operate profitably with a 5-cent fare.

Net income for the year amounted to $99,000 as against a net income of $163,000 for the year 1934. This reduction in net income reflected a wage restoration to employees of $69,000, as well as the operation of additional service required to accommodate an increased number of passengers. The sum of $360,000 was expended for maintenance and reconstruction in order to maintain the high standard of equipment and trackage of the Railway properties.

On July 28, 1935, the Municipal Railway inaugurated service on a new bus line which is providing urgently needed transportation to the Eureka Valley and Diamond street districts. This new line connects with the "K" and "L" lines at the Eureka Station of Twin Peaks Tunnel. To improve the service on the Tenth Avenue cross town line, three modern buses were purchased and placed in operation. Two additional buses have been ordered to replace old equipment.

On December 1, there was retired from earnings the sum of $100,000 in bonds of the Railway so that there now remains an indebtedness of only $1,700,000 against the Municipal Railway properties, which are conservatively valued at $10,000,000.

Over eighty million passengers were carried during the year. Street cars and buses traveled a distance of approximately nine million miles.

*Water Department—*

The San Francisco Water Department has completed its fifth calendar year of operation as a municipal utility and continues to show substantial financial profits, both to water consumers and taxpayers.

Reflecting business recovery, as well as increased use of water because of lower rates, the Department during the past year distributed approximately fifty-two million gallons of water daily, as compared with fifty and one-half million gallons daily for the year 1934.

The increased consumption is not entirely reflected in increased revenues due to the fact that a 10 per cent reduction in water rates was put into effect on December 1, 1934. As a result of this reduction 108,000 water consumers of the system have saved approximately $682,000 in their water bills during 1935. Net revenue of the Department for the year is approximately $2,328,000 as compared with $2,961,285 for the preceding calendar year. The decrease of $633,285 in net revenue, it will be noted, is considerably less than the cash saving to consumers through the water rate reduction.

On October 28, 1934, water from the Hetch Hetchy project was first delivered into Crystal Springs Reservoir on the San Francisco peninsula, making it available for the use of the people of San Francisco. Since that time, approximately sixteen billion gallons of water from Hetch Hetchy have been delivered into our local system.

Work has progressed steadily under the $12,095,000 PWA bond issue of November, 1933. With the Federal Government paying 30 per cent of the cost of labor and material involved, more than one-half of the construction contemplated is now completed and the entire program will be finished within the coming year. Major items already completed include the Bay Crossing Pipe Line No. 2, which will provide for delivery of more than sixty million gallons daily from the Hetch Hetchy and Alameda sources. Excavation of University Mound Reservoir has been completed and the reservoir is ready for concrete lining. Some fifteen other contracts, including approximately one hundred miles of distribution mains, have been completed and are in service, improving fire protection and domestic supply.

In addition to this bond issue program, advantage has been taken of the offer of the Federal Government under the Act of 1935 to start other Water Department improvements costing $374,892, 45 per cent of the cost of which will be paid by the Federal Government.

The financial results of the Department's operations during the period of municipal ownership must be most gratifying to our people. During this period, the gross revenue from all sources has amounted to $38,029,032, with operating expenses totaling $23,455,367. The net income during that period amounting to $14,573,665 has been applied as follows: to General Fund for reduction of taxes, $4,069,388; to redemption of bonds, $5,976,011; to additions and betterments to the water system, $3,979,142; to surplus, $528,252; to other appropriations, $20,872. Total, $14,573,665.

*Hetch Hetchy Construction—*

More than 400 workmen have been continuously employed for the past six months on the enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam. Completion of this construction within the coming year will increase the capacity of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir from 67 billion gallons to 117 billion gallons, which is six times as much water as San Francisco uses in a year. Enlargement of this monumental structure to a height of 430 feet is a difficult engineering task and those responsible for preparation of the plans and supervising construction are to be highly commended for their excellent work.

During the year, a new 5½-foot pipe line has been constructed across San Francisco Bay at Dumbarton Strait, paralleling the original Hetch Hetchy Bay Crossing Pipe Line which was laid in 1923 and 1924. This work will increase the transbay pipe line capacity to 110 million gallons daily, thus eliminating the last "bottle neck" in our water system.

Construction is in progress on Moccasin diversion works, a dam and conduit which will divert the flow of Moccasin Creek away from Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct and maintain the purity of the water coming from the mountain reservoirs. The old flume, which supplied water to the Early Intake Power House, was replaced with a new tunnel which commenced to deliver water on November 23, 1935. This will increase the reliability of the Early Intake plant and will avoid water leakage and reduce maintenance costs.

*Hetch Hetchy Power—*

Water conditions have been favorable for continuous operation of Hetch Hetchy power plants during 1934 with the result that the City has received a maximum return from the sale of its electricity. Sufficient water is in storage to assure full operation of the power system until some time in February, before which time there should be sufficient run-off to permit continued operation throughout the winter.

The favorable season has made it possible to avoid any difficulties

over release of water for irrigation in the San Joaquin Valley. It is hoped that negotiations now under way with the irrigation districts may result in a satisfactory disposition of this matter for all time without further court proceedings.

Since 1925 and up to December 31, 1935, San Francisco received a gross revenue of $23,000,000 for sale of Hetch Hetchy power. After paying all operating costs and interest on the bonds allocated to the power system there remained a surplus or net profit of $9,000,000, which has been transferred to the general fund to meet interest and redemption charges on Hetch Hetchy water bonds.

The gross revenue for 1935 was $2,371,000, while a net profit of $1,130,000 was realized after paying operating expense and bond interest.

*Street and Public Building Lighting—*

The past year has seen a material improvement in the lighting of the streets of the City and a marked decrease in the cost. On January 1, 1935, 2050 of the 20,183 street lights in the City were unlighted because of the curtailed street-lighting appropriations. Persistent efforts of the Public Utilities Commission resulted shortly thereafter in the granting of a reduction in street-lighting rates aggregating over $100,000 per year. This has permitted operation of all of the installed lights from March 1, 1935.

During the year, the number of lights in service has been increased from 18,133 to 20,429, a net increase of 2296. Of these 2050 were lamps restored to service and 236 are new lights. The majority of new lights are owned by the City. Many of them have been installed on streets which will serve as approaches to the Transbay Bridge.

In spite of the increase in the number of lights, the appropriation for the current year is $66,400 less than for the preceding year. The per capita cost of street lights in San Francisco is less than $1.00, which is lower than that of any other American city of equal size. Three years ago, the cost was $1.33 per capita.

Contracts have been awarded for installation of new city-owned lights around the Federal Building in the Civic Center and on Fifth street from Market street to the Bridge terminal.

An appreciable reduction has been made in expenditures for gas and electric service for City departments. It is expected that the saving this year will amount to nearly $70,000 without in any way curtailing the activities of the Department. This reduction has been effected by close scrutiny of the monthly bills and by rearrangement of the gas and electric services.

Work has gone forward in making a complete survey and record of all street lights and in providing a system of control for the more than 1000 gas and electric accounts. In conformity with City policy, new tracts open for residential development must include the lighting of streets in their plans. New tracts known as Francisco Heights and Pine Lake Park are being equipped with modern street lights which will be deeded to the City before they are placed in service.

*Rapid Transit—*

As noted in my report of last year, the Public Utilities Commission was directed to prepare a study of the best means to provide for rapid transit within the City, to relieve traffic congestion and at the same time make satisfactory connection with the westerly terminus of the Transbay Bridge. The Commission prepared this study and secured the services of Mr. Robert Ridgway and Mr. Alfred Brady of New York, experts on this subject, to come to San Francisco and look over the plans. On July 9, 1935, these two consulting engineers issued a report approving the Commission's plans with but minor changes.

The proposal calls for the construction of subway lines on Market street from the Bay Bridge Terminal to Church street; on Mission street from Market, through the Bernal Cut; and on Montgomery street and Geary street at a total cost of $52,700,000.

A committee of citizens, headed by Mr. Marshall Hale, is giving this subject further consideration so that when a plan is decided upon it will receive the endorsement of the major civic bodies.

In the meantime, the Public Utilities Commission is requesting WPA funds with which to make preliminary surveys to expedite the preparation of plans and awarding of contracts; immediately upon receipt of funds with which to carry out the project.

The matter of rapid transit is one of the most important now facing the City, as at the present time it takes longer to reach some of the outlying residential districts than it will to cross the bay via the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to some of the East Bay communities. If this condition is not remedied, a considerable percentage of San Francisco residents may be tempted to move from the City.

The Public Utilities Commission has also cooperated during the year with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Authorities in laying out street-car lines to the bridge terminal, assisting with their lighting problems and in furnishing information useful to the Authority on other matters connected with the location of the terminal.

*San Francisco Airport—*

The year 1935 witnessed the most important developments in the history of San Francisco Airport.

Little more than one month ago Pan-American Airways, the world's largest air transport company, signed an agreement to establish its Pacific Coast headquarters on our municipal field.

This agreement is contingent upon the completion, within the coming year, of a $1,675,000 construction program which was started about December 1 by the Federal Works Progress Administration. This program includes the establishment of a seaplane base with channel to deep water, turning basin, ramps and other facilities, reclamation of additional tide flats to enlarge the landing area and pavement of runways. I cannot too strongly urge that this project be prosecuted most diligently and efficiently in order that we may receive the important benefits to be derived from the establishment of this base at our Municipal Airport.

San Francisco Airport during the past year has been designated as one of the few "super airports" of the nation and as such has been selected by the Federal Government for extensive development.

The Airport continues to be the terminal of the only air transport line operating in Northern California. Complete service to all points of the United States and nearby countries is available over this air line and its connections.

Another major air line—Transcontinental and Western Air—has indicated that it will utilize San Francisco Airport as the western terminus of a new transport route operating from San Francisco to eastern and middle-western cities via Winslow, Arizona, and Albuquerque, New Mexico. An application for this route is now pending before the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Comparison of traffic figures for the calendar years 1934 and 1935 shows an increase of 29 per cent in passenger arrivals and departures, the figures being approximately 38,000 in 1934 and 49,000 in 1935. The Airport also handled an increase of 73 per cent in air mail pouches and 30 per cent in air express, there having been a total of 52,000 air pouches and 69,000 pieces of air express dispatched through the field during the past year. Transport planes in and out of the field totaled 16,300 in 1935, an increase of 27 per cent.

Enlargement of the Airport landing area under the $260,000 WPA bond issue voted in November, 1933, was successfully completed, qualifying the field for the highest class transport rating by the Federal Department of Air Commerce. Other work was accomplished with SERA funds, including drainage, sewerage, paving and electrical facilities.

Six airplane sales agencies, five flying schools and a fully equipped airplane and engine repair shop are operating at the field.

## Office of the Treasurer.

The Treasurer's office must be conducted under the provisions of the State law and the Charter. The volume of business for the fiscal year 1934-35 was in excess of the previous fiscal year, without additional office costs.

The Treasurer is the custodian of the securities of the Retirement System which amount to $15,124,312.50.

The Treasurer of the City and County is, by virtue of his office, the treasurer of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, which is located wholly within the City and County.

The National City Bank of New York is the designated fiscal agency of the City and County, and pays matured bond interest and bond redemption which amounts to approximately $7,000,000.

The cost of handling one dollar in the Treasurer's office is .00321, which, from available data, is the lowest in the nation for volume of business handled.

Actual cash received and cash disbursed, $157,030,787.20; interfund and departmental accounts, $88,906,203.48; interest earned on deposit of public funds in banks, $398,600.15; coupons paid, approximately 343,000; amount of coupons paid, $7,726,985.75; amount of bonds redeemed, $6,266,100; number of demands handled, 404,565; number of emergency demands handled, 367,179; tax anticipation notes issued and redeemed, $8,500,000; inheritance tax collected for the State of California, $1,431,632.50; tax anticipation notes examined, approximately 1600; total cost of office, including stationery, employees, etc., $48,861.01; commissions earned and fees received and deposited, $11,692.92; which should be credited to the office expense, leaving the actual cost to the taxpayers of the Treasurer's office for the fiscal year, $37,168.09.

A truly splendid exhibit of efficiency and economy. I wish to commend the admirable manner in which the Treasurer's office has been conducted under the supervision of Captain Duncan Matheson and his most capable staff.

## Parks.

The park system has been the recipient during the past year of many lasting betterments provided by the utilization of the unemployed labor furnished by the Federal and State relief projects.

Early in the year it was very evident that, if San Francisco was to have a zoological garden commensurate with the other cosmopolitan and cultural institutions that have made our beloved City a happy and proper dwelling place, the acquisition of more land was imperative. To this end, approximately 69 acres were acquired from the Spring Valley Company immediately east of the present Zoo site and adjacent thereto. At this time, over fifteen hundred of the unemployed citizens of San Francisco are clearing, grading and preparing this tract of land for a Zoo; which, when completed, will take its place among similar outstanding institutions of the world.

For many years the unimproved section of Lafayette Square, held in private ownership, has been a subject of rightful protest by the citizens of the vicinity. I am now happy to state that this land has been acquired by the City and within the year will be properly landscaped and play areas developed and thrown open to the public for their enjoyment forevermore.

The restoration of the Palace of Fine Arts is now in its final stages and it, too, should be completed within the coming year.

So, also, should 1936 see the construction of roads, walks and retaining walls at Aquatic Park, where work is now progressing rapidly, through the aid of Federal agencies.

The Kezar Stadium, mecca of sport lovers and the scene of many historic athletic contests, has been provided with all modern facilities necessary for proper conduct of major athletic events, through the use of Federal and State funds.

The Federal government, through its general works program, has expended over $150,000 in the beautification of the park on Telegraph Hill.

An interesting and educational addition to the many attractive features of Golden Gate Park will soon start to take shape in the form of an arboretum, for which plans have already been drawn and which will be created from moneys generously bequeathed to the City by the late Mrs. Helen Strybing. All who know of the skill and enthusiasm with which Superintendent of Parks John McLaren approaches any project which calls for the use of growing things, can be sure that this arboretum, when completed, will house one of the most comprehensive collections of plants, trees and shrubs in the world.

Altogether it would seem that our park system yearly offers more to our citizens in the way of health, recreation and educational diversion.

To the Commission, its staff, and our beloved John McLaren, I extend my hearty thanks.

### Recreation Department.

For 29 years the Recreation Department has been providing the citizens of San Francisco with ever-increasing opportunities for more abundant living.

The Recreation Department has supervision over 80 recreation units representing 453 acres. There are 34 playgrounds and centers, 22 schoolyards, 8 gymnasiums, 2 open-air swimming pools, 1 mountain camp and 13 playground sites.

The attendance for last fiscal year, on these various recreation areas, was 5,276,237.

Money was provided in this year's budget to start establishing playgrounds in the following districts where there are insufficient recreation areas: Bay View, $15,000; Eureka Valley, $25,000; Upper Noe Valley, $25,000; Ninth and Ortega, $6,000; Longfellow, $20,000; Haight-Ashbury, $25,000; Sunset, $25,000; and Corona Heights, $25,000.

The Department cooperated with the SERA and WPA authorities in expanding its program of supervision and development.

One hundred and twenty individuals attended the six weeks' institute for recreation workers which afforded additional training for those employed in the field.

The Commission is constantly enlarging its work to meet the insistent demands for a more varied program and additional recreation areas. Extensive improvements were made at Camp Mather during 1935. The work included remodeling 110 cabins. The total patronage for this season was 2100, being 250 additional guests over the previous year. The Commission and their staff have rendered valuable service to our citizens of tomorrow.

### Board of Permit Appeals.

The court of last resort, in so far as permits are concerned, is the Board of Permit Appeals, consisting of five members, which to date has heard two hundred and twenty-nine appeals. While appeals are not numerous, they are often complicated, because of controversies encountered among property owners and merchants in the neighborhood in which the applicant desires to locate.

The Board has given most thoughtful consideration to such appeals, with the endeavor to satisfy, as far as possible, parties concerned, and at the same time observe the law. The Board also makes it a rule to inspect all premises involving permits. Matters that come before the Board are appeals from the decision of the Chief of Police, the Chief of the Fire Department, and Director of Public Health, the Director of Public Works, the Art Commission and all licenses granted by the Tax Collector.

Decisions of the Board of Permit Appeals have been upheld on two occasions by our local Superior Court and on one occasion by the State Supreme Court.

The Board is to be commended for the thoughtful and efficient consideration they are giving their work.

### City Planning Commission.

Numerous applications for changes in the Building Zone Ordinance were considered by the Commission during the past year. Many public hearings were held, and in each case the property under consideration was investigated by the Commission, in the field. The department is at the present time conducting a survey of Industrial Districts for the purpose of ascertaining just what changes are necessary, properly to plan our ultimate industrial needs. Additional industrial sites must be made available. New industries, providing employment and payrolls, must be gained.

The volume of routine work in the office has greatly increased during the past year, and a noticeable increase in building activity makes it apparent that the Commission will be confronted with a greater number of planning problems from now on.

The Commission has recently sponsored, as a Works Progress Administration Project, a program for a comprehensive Use of Property Survey of the entire City and County, the purpose of which might be termed an inventory of building activity during the past fifteen years under our present Building Zone Ordinance.

It is proposed to show by the results of this study a comparison with the conditions that prevailed in 1920, and to provide the necessary information for a complete revision of our Master Plan. It will show conclusively that sections zoned in a particular classification have not developed as originally planned and the apparent need of making many changes that will be best suited to the ultimate development. The information obtained will be of tremendous value to not only the City Planning Commission, but to various other departments in the municipal government and the citizens of San Francisco. The Commission has made an exhaustive study of the needs of San Francisco concerning approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge.

The members of the staff of this Commission have shown intelligent and unselfish concern for the important duties entrusted to them.

### War Memorial Trustees.

Unique in the activities of city governments, anywhere, is our War Memorial Department. It includes the operation of the Opera House and the Veterans' Building, the Museum of Art, and the Court of Memory, and is under the direction of a Board of eleven Trustees and a Managing Director. The department continued during 1935 to earn an enviable record of achievement.

Our magnificent Opera House, built by the people, has made possible another superb season of grand opera, the feature of which was a presentation of the notable "Ring Operas" of Richard Wagner, produced on a scale not exceeded anywhere in the world. This was made possible solely from the extraordinarily complete facilities and equipment in the Opera House. During the season, which took place in November, 1935, four "Ring" operas and nine operas from the standard repertoire all brought overflowing crowds to enjoy the fine music and further establish San Francisco as an outstanding musical center.

The Opera House, because of its capacity, affords the citizens of San Francisco an opportunity of annually enjoying a three weeks' season of popular-priced operas, which is in no way slighted in point of production and excellence of cast, in comparison with the main season. Thousands of citizens, for as little as 50 cents and no more than $1 per performance, are able to thoroughly enjoy finely produced standard operas.

Our Opera House is the home of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, which this year has scheduled at least 24 fine symphony programs. The outstanding musical stars are heard here throughout the

season. The Opera House is the only municipally owned structure of its kind in the United States.

Last year brought great improvements to the Auditorium in the Veterans' Building. This little theater, graced with the eight Frank Brangwyn first prize murals, is now equipped with a most modern electrically operated orchestra pit elevator. The hall is so built that with a level floor it becomes one of the finest maple floored auditoriums in the West, and by the touch of a button, and the installation of upholstered seats, it is immediately transformed into a fine concert hall.

Activities in the meeting halls and veteran affairs headquartered in the Veterans' Building are ever on the increase. Often, twenty lodge-rooms are occupied with important meetings, both afternoons and nights. From 2000 to 5000 people enjoy the use of the facilities of this building every day of the year.

The first year of the San Francisco Museum of Art, occupying the fourth floor of the Veterans' Building, has been marked with important achievement. For the first time the great Carnegie exhibit of paintings was brought to this City because the facilities of the new museum permitted adequate exhibition of this world-famous collection. Over a dozen fine exhibits featured the first year of the museum. During 1936 a continuous round of fine exhibits are booked.

These buildings are a constant tribute to the American Veterans of all wars, a classic addition to the City's world-famed Civic Center, and a great credit to the fine public spirit of all its citizens.

My thanks to our War Memorial Trustees, and Mr. Selby Oppenheimer, the Managing Director.

## Art Commission.

This group of artists, musicians, architects, literateurs and laymen of distinction has freely given time, study and consideration to the aesthetic and cultural welfare of the municipality.

The Committee of Architecture, John Bakewell, Jr., Chairman, has continued to play a most important part in the construction of the approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. Designs for viaducts, spans and arches and the development of the Fifth Street Plaza have received studied consideration. It is highly gratifying to know that the recommendations and suggestions of the Committee have been successfully carried out, thereby assuring that this project will have the dignified and magnificent approach worthy of the City of San Francisco.

This Committee has also been active on the project for the building of public schools financed by the recent school bond issue. The majority of the designs for these schools were approved as submitted and others have been dealt with in a manner which promises best results.

Among the many accomplishments of the Art Commission, one of the most noteworthy events during 1935 was the overwhelming victory of its campaign, last May, for Charter Amendment No. 3, when eighty-five thousand citizens voted to add a half cent to the tax rate for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra. This achievement established San Francisco as the first city in America to subsidize, by the people's mandate, a symphony orchestra. The people of San Francisco are thus assured the best in music, at prices within reach of all. San Francisco, in fulfilling this obligation to its citizens and taxpayers, is giving under the direction of the Music Committee, the Honorable J. Emmet Hayden, Chairman, a series of ten Municipal Symphony Concerts with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, and the greatest personalities in the world of music as guest artists, at admission prices of from twenty to eighty cents.

Rigid supervision of all works of art placed in parks, squares and buildings which belong to San Francisco, continues under the direction of Commissioner Charles Stafford Duncan.

Under the activities of an ERA art work project, supported by the Federal Government, many artists and sculptors have been given employment. The Commission re-affirms its policy of assistance rather than obstruction, in matters submitted for its consideration and recognizes with appreciation the cordial cooperation of the heads of other Municipal Departments, of the engineers and consulting architects of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, of the Federal Government, particularly the officials of the CWA and SERA, the Musical Association of San Francisco, the Musicians' Union, and the artists and architects preparing the designs of matter submitted.

It must be recalled that the San Francisco Art Commission, at its inception, was considered experimental, because it was the first Art Commission in the world to supervise and control the expenditure of all appropriations for music and the advancement of art and music. That it has worked with acknowledged success for four years is a source of deep satisfaction to its members and our fellow-citizens, generally. It is looked upon as a model to be copied and is constantly consulted by groups of citizens of other cities of the United States contemplating the formation of such a commission. I appreciate the work so unselfishly done by this Commission.

## San Francisco Museums.

The year 1935 marked a further stride forward in the development of the two municipal museums of San Francisco. Nearly 900,000 people visited the California Palace of the Legion of Honor and the M. H. de Young Museum.

The most important event was the great exhibition of American Painting held jointly in both museums during June and July, paintings of the 18th and 19th centuries being shown at the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum, and contemporary American paintings displayed at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor. Altogether, 459 pictures were gathered from various museums and private collections in the country. The fact that the great Eastern institutions, such as the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York, the Museum of Fine Arts in Boston, the Pennsylvania Museum of Art and the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts in Philadelphia, the Art Institute of Chicago, Detroit, Cleveland, Cincinnati and many others, most generously responded to our request for loans is the best proof of the growing reputation of our municipal museums as serious institutions for the study of art and of San Francisco as an important Western art center. The great success of the exhibition, which in little more than one month was visited by over 220,000 people, was the best reward for the efforts in assembling it. To create a lasting record of this event a magnificent catalogue was published, which sold in thousands of copies to the local visitors, and also was sent, as cultural propaganda, to hundreds of museums, libraries, educational institutes, and art magazines in America as well as in Europe.

Another show which created considerable interest was the exhibition of Soviet Paintings and Prints held in the California Palace of the Legion of Honor in August. Outstanding in the field of decorative arts was the large exhibition of Textile Art Through the Ages held in August and September in the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum, in which hundreds of magnificent examples of the weaver's craft were shown.

The continued work of the SERA and later of the WPA has greatly improved facilities of the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum. Remodeling and modernizing the east wing, provided the museum with excellent galleries for temporary exhibitions, a library room, print and textile study rooms, various workshops, etc. This work is still in progress. The standard of the collection has been considerably raised by the addition of numerous works of art, mostly acquired from funds available through the generosity of the late Mr. M. H. de Young.

The California Palace of the Legion of Honor was fortunate enough to acquire from a fund generously provided by Mr. Archer M. Huntington, a characteristic man's portrait by the French 18th Century painter, Jean Baptiste Greuze, and an exceptionally fine landscape by the French painter Jean Baptiste Camille Corot.

With the improvement of educational facilities, the work carried out has progressed steadily. Weekly lectures and radio talks by members of the museum staffs have found lively response and cooperation between the museums and schools has been further intensified.

In brief, no efforts have been spared to make the two museums into educational institutes worthy of our city as an important cultural center of the country. I am pleased by the progress made in this vitally important department of cultural activity.

### San Francisco Public Library.

The Library Department has experienced an active and constructive year. The circulation of books for home reading amounted to approximately 4,000,000 volumes. It is estimated that nearly 9,500,000 people used the various departments of the main library and branches, in 1935.

During the depression, thousands turned to the library not only for recreation reading, but also for cultural and educational advancement. Unfortunately, the library has been struggling during these years with an inadequate book fund. If it is to maintain its high standard of service additional funds must be set aside for the purchase of books.

I recommended that $40,000 be appropriated for the opening of four new branch libraries to be situated in the Visitacion Valley, Parkside, West Portal and Bernal Heights districts. The Visitacion Valley branch was the first to be opened with a collection of books amounting to 5000 volumes. On account of insufficient funds this branch was at first operated by volunteer help from the neighborhood. However, since July it has been in the hands of trained librarians. Next a branch was opened in the Parkside district. It also has a model collection numbering 5000 volumes. Negotiations are being made for suitable quarters in the West Portal and Bernal Heights districts. These branches will be opened very soon. Similar collections of books have been purchased for each branch and are being prepared for installation. It is indeed a satisfaction to know that these districts are receiving library service after being without for so many years. Judging by the great use made of the branches already opened, their need was evident.

The Business Branch in the Russ Building has proven of great value to the business and financial district. Our Music Department, which is probably one of the most complete west of Chicago, has rendered aid to our professional and amateur musicians. The Children's Department has been especially active, working in fine harmony with parent-teacher associations and schools throughout the city. Many scientific, literary, musical and educational lectures were held in the main library assembly room.

An audit of the library system was taken under the direction of the Controller's office and the library was found to be in excellent condition.

Many valuable old documents and files of early newspapers were repaired by a number of SERA workers. The Max Kuhl collection of rare books and the Phelan Memorial collection of California authors were enlarged by many valuable gifts from Mr. Albert M. Bender of the Library Commission.

In the endeavor to place the resources of the library before more of the people of San Francisco, an intensive drive was made for new members. The library received excellent publicity for this purpose through the cooperation of the municipal railway, radios, newspapers, schools and clubs.

The enormous volume of work could not have been accomplished with the limited staff, were it not for the untiring energy and enthus-

iastic cooperation of all members, to whom hearty commendation is due.

Deserved thanks to Librarian Robert Rea, the Trustees and his courteous and efficient staff.

### Chief Administrative Officer.

The administrative departments of our municipal government, under the direction of Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, have continued during the past year to establish practical business methods in handling the public business entrusted to them, in accordance with the letter and the spirit of the new Charter. New employments have not been created except when absolutely necessary, and replacements have been made to fill vacancies only when fully justified.

The Department of Works is directing the remaining PWA construction projects, which have provided the building industry with new life and have greatly relieved unemployment in the building trades and among laborers. This department has likewise proposed many new projects in accordance with the national program of the PWA and WPA, notably the proposed San Francisco 1938 Exposition. In this connection, Mr. Clyde Healy, Assistant City Engineer, traveled to Washington, spent several weeks in conference with Federal officials in charge of the huge public works and relief programs, and through his skill and experience, was of material assistance in securing for San Francisco not only vital help in the development of the Exposition site and buildings, but also additional projects as well. These projects total the enormous sum of approximately $37,000,000, and their progress to completion will reflect a positive increase in employment in San Francisco, as well as a considerable share of new prosperity for all of our citizens.

The County Welfare Department has met with a vast increase in the volume of old-age pension cases consequent upon the reduction of the age limit from seventy (70) years to sixty-five (65). This increase, while taxing the facilities of the Department to the utmost, has been met with commendable effort to expedite the claims filed and to handle the claimants with the additional tact and courtesy which they deserve.

The Street Traffic Advisory Board has worked with the press and with public-spirited civic agencies in a general effort to reduce the mounting toll of traffic accidents. It has considered many plans and suggestions at its meetings and has put into effect recommended changes at the points in the City where danger or congestion has required them.

Through the enactment of appropriate legislation, the garbage disposal problem has been satisfactorily adjusted, and by authority of the Board of Supervisors, a contract entered into for a long term, thus guaranteeing to our citizens a modern sanitary method of handling the collected refuse. In addition, provision has been made for creating additional acreage for San Francisco, when certain public lands suitable to the purpose of fill and cover are made available.

Mutual discussions, participated in by the administrative department heads, have provided many valuable new ideas and suggestions. These meetings will continue to provide a medium for exchanging timely ideas, and will provide necessary contact between departments having joint duties and jurisdiction.

### Department of Finance and Records.

This Department includes the functions and personnel of the offices of the Registrar of Voters, County Clerk, Recorder, Public Administrator and Tax Collector. Its Director, Mr. Arthur Curtis, continues to carry on the duties of his office in a most exemplary manner. I admit my high appreciation of his services.

The total expense for this office for the calendar year 1935 was $5,830.61. All requisitions and purchase orders connected with the five

departments under this division clear through the office and a complete account is kept of expense and operation of these departments.

### Tax Collector's Office.

For the past three years this office has included the Department of Delinquent Revenues, which collected up to December 6, 1935, the sum of $537,166.19, a most commendable achievement for which Ignatius Richardson may take great credit. For the calendar year, up to December 6th, the total collections of the office were $29,052,523.19. The total collections, exclusive of the License Bureau, showed an increase of $3,617,170.09, over the same period in 1934, of which sum $2,530,655.99 was caused by the public utilities being returned to the tax roll. My thanks to Tax Collector Bryant and his experienced staff.

### Registrar of Voters.

In this Department, three elections were held in this calendar year, viz.: Special Municipal Election, May 2d; Special State Election, August 13th; and General Municipal Election, November 5, 1935. With regard to the Special Election on August 13th, the Board of Supervisors went on record as agreeing to find funds to reimburse the Department of Elections for this expense. The figures are about complete and it will cost approximately $40,000.

In the General Municipal Election of November 5, the largest number of votes ever cast at a Municipal Election were recorded—186,992. The full complement of 1055 voting precincts were used. No complaints were made and no trouble was experienced. Full returns from all voting precincts were received, tabulated and given to the press and the public within four hours of the closing of the polls, a most enviable record, and one probably without a parallel, considering the large number of votes.

A decision by the Supreme Court of California in November necessitates a new registration, beginning January 1, 1936. This was an entirely unexpected expense at the time our budget was filed. A new Index to the Register and 300,000 Affidavits of Registration must be printed and a large force of extra clerks will have to be employed. These will necessitate a request for an additional appropriation for this Department as soon as the expense has been estimated; this, of course, being additional to the $40,000 mentioned above. Sincere thanks to Registrar Charles J. Collins and his experienced corps of assistants.

### County Clerk.

Upon the establishment of the Municipal Court, the personnel of the County Clerk's office was reduced by transferring twenty deputies to the said Municipal Court. In the meantime, deputies have been transferred to other departments of the municipality. The personnel now consists of the County Clerk, Chief Clerk and fifty-four deputies.

Despite the reduced personnel, the County Clerk has been able to keep the business of the office up to date, and to continue to show a splendid profit in revenue from the General, Probate and License departments of his office.

A loss in the revenue of the Civil Department is caused by the filing in the Municipal Court of all civil actions involving two thousand dollars or less, at a loss in fees to the County Clerk's office, amounting to the sum of about thirty thousand dollars per annum.

A loss of revenue in the Criminal Department is caused by the transfer of jurisdiction of and the revenue from the former Police Courts and Traffic Court to the Municipal Court, which amounted to an average of about one hundred and forty-five thousand dollars per annum.

County Clerk Mulcrevy and his competent and courteous corps of deputies merit high approval.

### Public Administrator.

The report of the Public Administrator shows that the office has been conducted with a substantial profit. As you are aware, some of the fees applicable to the attorney for the Public Administrator were withheld in the estates pending a court decision as to whether or not these fees belonged to the attorney for the Public Administrator. However, in the past few days all these withheld fees, amounting to approximately $18,000 have been deposited in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, and so appear in the report as filed by the Public Administrator. In a survey of this office by the Civil Service Commission, released recently, other matters were mentioned, which are now in process of adjudication.

Operations of the office, from January 2 to November 30, 1935, included: number of estates, wherein the Public Administrator took possession, 215; number in which final accounts have been settled and allowed, 198; attorney's fees collected and paid into County Treasury, $31,155.10; administrator's fees collected and paid into County Treasury, $26,837.18; total, $57,992.18; total cost of operation of office, $35,669.71; profit, $22,322.48. Administrator Phillip C. Katz and his assistants continue to render valuable service.

### Recorder.

For the calendar year 1935, a total of $107,795.20 was received as recording fees. Total salaries paid during the year, $85,816.79; surplus over salaries paid, $21,978.41. There were 61,657 documents filed and recorded.

This office, most courteously presided over by Edmond Godchaux, popular dean of all county officials, is now undermanned. The last Legislature enacted a new law requiring chattel mortgages to be rerecorded every four years. Under this new law, the Recorder received in the course of two days approximately 24,320 folios. It was necessary to secure an additional appropriation of $4,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to meet the necessary expense, caused by employing additional help. Copied, free of charge, for City, State and Federal use, 1988 documents.

### Department of Electricity.

The outstanding accomplishments of the Department of Electricity during the calendar year 1935 are as follows: Manufactured, installed and maintained fire alarm boxes, police boxes and traffic control devices. Radio receiving sets were installed in 15 automobiles of the Fire and Police Departments.

There were installed 43 fire alarm boxes, making a total of 1492 boxes in service. Monthly tests of fire alarm boxes totaled 17,626. Total number of signals transmitted, 48,355.

Applications received in the Inspection Bureau numbered 14,687; inspections made, 44,324; installations approved, 13,421. Inspection fees and other revenue received, $41,132.39. There were manufactured 39 traffic signals and 24 fire alarm boxes.

Total expenditures amount to $192,232.61. Under the direction of Chief Ralph W. Wiley, this department continues to function commendably.

### Real Estate Department.

As an extension to the Municipal Airport for handling seaplanes, the City is acquiring 440 acres of privately-owned tideland in San Francisco Bay, adjoining the 1112.5-acre tract of land being purchased from Mills Estate. The City now holds title to 60 per cent of the latter tract.

Easements are being bought for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 from Millbrae to University Mound Reservoir. Ten hundred and eighty-five acres of land on the Calaveras Reservoir watershed and

many lots for Sunset Reservoir have been purchased. A quitclaim deed to a large lot on Seventh avenue has been obtained.

A school site in Miraloma Park has been conveyed to the City in exchange for a parcel of land on Nineteenth avenue. Additional lands have been purchased for Patrick Henry School, Sunshine School, Mission High School and Visitacion Valley School.

Final payment has been made for the Angelo J. Rossi Playground site and appraisals are being made of lands required for other playgrounds.

Negotiations are being carried on for the acquisition of lands required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, McLaren Park roadway, widening of San Jose avenue, Potrero Hill Playground roadway and other projects. The purchase of lands for widening Army street from Potrero avenue to Bryant street has been completed. Acquisition of property for the realignment of Sloat boulevard west of Thirty-ninth avenue is nearly finished. Practically all of the right of way parcels for the Richmond Sewer Tunnel have been obtained.

On April 1 the City entered into a lease agreement for the use and purchase of an additional 69 acres of land for Fleishhacker Playground and Zoo. Ten parcels of land at a total cost of $200,000 are being purchased for Lafayette Park at the rate of one parcel per year.

Miscellaneous leases of City lands have been awarded, including a lease to Hearst Radio, Inc., for a receiving station on the Crystal Springs property in San Mateo County, a machine shop at the Airport and other locations.

Through relief agencies and the use of surplus rental funds, the Auditorium has been rehabilitated. A greater variety of attractions than ever before are being booked in the Auditorium. We find it impossible, occasionally, to accommodate prospective tenants.

The conduct of this Department, under Joseph J. Phillips, has been such as to amply justify the makers of the Charter, in setting it up.

### Department of Public Works.

The Department of Public Works, consisting of ten bureaus, has finished a year in which the completion and advancement of many projects of great importance and benefit to our City are noted.

The entire program for the extension of our high pressure system is in process of completion, the cost during the calendar year just ended having been $954,255. All extensions requested by the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department and others interested in this problem have been complied with. The completion of this system brings our ability to protect property to a standard not excelled by any American city. The result should be a sizable further reduction in fire insurance rates.

During 1935 there was expended for new construction and general repairs to existing school buildings, the sum of $1,689,133. Buildings to be constructed under the school bond issue passed by the people in 1933 and included in the above total are: Visitacion Valley School, $162,096; Glen Park School, $203,978; Marina Junior High School, $565,565; Patrick Henry School, $94,397.50; Starr King School (yard work), $4,147; Francis Scott Key School, $174,600; Starr King School (library), $4,258; Sunshine-Buena Vista School, $265,423; Agassiz School, $194,649; Lawton School, $169,788.

Upon construction of the George Washington High School, $730,059 was expended. This much-needed school is now completed.

With the practical completion of the school program, housing conditions for our youth have reached a point guaranteeing no further crowding, with ideal conditions for play. Any further program, due to increased population, should be handled on a "pay as you go" basis.

On extensions to our main sewer system, there was expended the sum of $841,781. This included the Fifteenth street sewer; the Sixth

street, Howard-Brannan; the Brannan street sewer; which replaced obsolete sewers.

New extensions will take care of storm and sanitary conditions in the industrial area for some time to come.

There was expended the sum of $102,117 on contracts for boulevard construction. The cost for general improvement of streets was $101,345. It is anticipated that in the streets and boulevards program for 1936 all major downtown streets leading to or being a part of the approaches of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, will be reconditioned and realigned.

Completed major projects during the calendar year 1935 amounted to $3,451,470; itemized as follows: "new" school buildings, $1,070,348; High Pressure System, $954,225.80; Sewers—reinforced concrete, $841,781.45; Boulevards, $15,820; Reconstruction and alterations of schools, $411,665.02; alterations and remodeling of public buildings, $36,694; Reconstruction of streets, $101,345.06; Improvement of unaccepted streets, $19,591.52.

The Psychopathic Cancer building, adjoining the San Francisco Hospital, is now well under way and should be turned over to the Department of Public Health by the end of this fiscal year. The cost is approximately $750,000.

*Contemplated Major Projects—*

The cost of completion, during 1936, of the major projects referred to below, is estimated at $2,549,681.

School Buildings: Marina Junior High School, shop building, $188,000; George Washington High School, shop building, $138,000; Aptos Junior High School, 10 additional classrooms, $84,000.

One of the most important of these projects is the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Disposal Plant. Plans are under way for the beginning of the first unit, to cost $120,000 about February 1st. The entire project will cost $962,000. It will eliminate the disposition of sewage in the waters of San Francisco Bay and the Pacific, a matter of serious concern for so many years.

Plans and specifications are being prepared and contracts will be awarded on the following items, under the High Pressure Bond Issue of 1933: Bay Bridge Approach District, $32,000; Mission District, $70,000; Clay Street Hill District, $18,000; Cisterns, $143,000; Hydrant Reducing Valves, $10,000; Operating Valve Machine, $10,000.

The following work on major streets, for which funds are available, will be prosecuted during the calendar year: Fifth street, Mission-Townsend streets, $57,340; Fremont street, Market-Harrison streets, $43,000; First street, Market-Harrison streets, $45,000; Harrison street, Embarcadero-Fifth street, $85,400; Anza street, cut thru to St. Rose's avenue, $41,181; Folsom street, Embarcadero-Tenth street, $105,300; Steuart street, Embarcadero-Mission, $10,030; Beale street, Embarcadero-Market street, $43,920; Spear street, Embarcadero-Howard street, $14,770; Battery street, Embarcadero-Market, $75,000; Bryant street, Second and Fifth streets, $42,700; Sixth street, Townsend-Mission streets, $48,800; Eighth street, Townsend-Market streets, $56,120; Eighteenth street, Guerrero-Danvers, $34,460; Seventh avenue, Lawton-Lincoln way, $16,900; California street, Presidio avenue-First avenue, $34,760; First street, Embarcadero-Brannan, $5,000.

Of the total, $759,681, the Public Works Administration will pay 45 per cent and the City and County of San Francisco the balance out of the one-quarter cent gasoline tax revenues.

Lombard street, leading from Van Ness avenue and connecting with the Golden Gate Bridge via Lyon street, is scheduled for improvement as a one-quarter cent gasoline tax project on State highways within San Francisco; therefore, it will be constructed as funds are available therefor. This thoroughfare will be 100 feet wide, and will cost approximately $1,300,000.

Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard is also a project destined for accomplishment from the one-quarter cent gasoline tax for State highways in San Francisco. Initial purchases of property on this important improvement have already been made. This thoroughfare will be 100 feet wide and will cost approximately $2,200,000.

A comprehensive report has been made of a proposed Divisional Highway extending from Lombard street to Alemany boulevard, a distance of 4.9 miles, and involving a cost of $8,340,000. On account of the magnitude of this project, it will have to be approached gradually as funds become available. A new thoroughfare, a feeder of and tributary to the Divisional Highway, is being cut through between Castro and Divisadero streets, at a cost of $185,000. Of this amount, $60,000 is for property. Property purchase is virtually complete, and it is hoped that construction funds will be available in the near future.

Laguna Honda boulevard between Dewey boulevard and Seventh avenue, which has heretofore been improved by a temporary pavement awaiting the settlement of large fills, is now scheduled for improvement under WPA operations, and will represent an investment of $71,000.

Another important thoroughfare scheduled to be accomplished under WPA operations is Geneva avenue from Prague street to the County line. This will complete a cross-town thoroughfare leading from Mission street to Bay Shore boulevard, and will prove of considerable importance as a traffic artery.

There are now pending before the Park Commission preliminary plans submitted by the City Engineer, approved by the District Engineer of the California Highway Commission, for a road crossing Golden Gate Park from Nineteenth avenue to Park-Presidio boulevard. This will be an important link in connection with the Golden Gate Bridge traffic, and it is hoped that an early agreement may be reached between all concerned in so far as Golden Gate Park is affected, so that work can start on this project.

## Department of Public Health.

It is of interest to note that this Department is now in its fifth year, under the efficient direction of Doctor J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

It may be remembered that 1934 saw the lowest general death rate (11.6) and the lowest infant mortality rate (33) ever recorded here. This year, although general health conditions as determined through the interpretation of vital statistics are excellent, these two rates for general mortality and for infant mortality are slightly higher than they were for 1934 (12.3 and 34). In interpreting the slight elevation of the general death rate, it must be borne in mind that the increase is not due to an increase in the incidence of communicable diseases. Also, it is of considerable interest to know that the rate for 1935, for San Francisco, will again be the lowest for any major city, a position of no little significance, particularly when it is realized that this rate is considered the most sensitive single index of efficiency of public health work in the field of public health administration. Of interest, also, is the fact that the birth rate, slightly higher in 1934 than during the years immediately prior thereto, although low in San Francisco for many years, has remained at the same level for 1935.

The reported incidence of communicable diseases has been noticeably low during 1935. With the exception of measles, chickenpox and whooping cough, in which instances there were slight increases, the reported incidence was within the normal expectancy. The specific death rates for communicable diseases, including tuberculosis, remain low, as evidence of a changed order, over what conditions presented several decades ago. During the Century of Commerce, celebrated last October, a brief study was made of the vital statistics of early San Francisco compared with those of today. It was found, for example, that the high general death rate of 27.6, in 1868-1869, was due in no

small extent to such factors as more than 700 deaths due to smallpox; several hundred due to diphtheria; nearly 100 due to typhoid fever. Contrast these data with those of 1935, with a general death rate of less than 12, with no deaths due to smallpox, three due to diphtheria, and four due to typhoid fever. While it is true, I am told, that diseases rise and fall in their incidence, there can be little doubt that public health measures have played important parts in the achievement of lowered incidence of the reportable diseases, year in and year out, as seen in San Francisco. It is important to remember, however, and I am sure that those of us who remember our own experience of the early years of this century, will appreciate the fact also, that we must not relinquish our efforts for the control of communicable diseases. We must strengthen our position and concentrate our efforts to attain greater effectiveness in a continual campaign to achieve the goals of preventive medicine in "preventing disease, prolonging life and promoting physical and mental efficiency through organized community effort."

Child health activities during 1935 were quite extensive. Nearly 60,000 physical examinations were carried out by school and health center physicians and more than 7200 immunizations were completed against smallpox, diphtheria, together. More than 30,000 children, unable to be provided with private dental care, were given care in the Dental Hygiene Division. Home visits, made by the 74 public health staff nurses, in addition to health center and school nursing duties, totalled more than 90,000.

In the technical services, also, large numbers of inspections were made; these comprised inspections of housing, plumbing and gas appliances, dairy ranches, pasteurizing plants, food stores, et cetera, on routine inspections as well as on the basis of complaints.

During 1935 the Department initiated legislation, which is now effective, providing for fees for milk inspection services, thereby bringing nearer to full realization the provisions of the New Freeholders' Charter, requiring that the various inspection services be self-sustaining through fees payable for the service. It is pointed out, however, in this same relation, that legislation has not yet been passed providing for inspection fees covering the cost of meat and market inspection as now carried out by the Department of Public Health.

Even though, for nearly two years, the Director of Public Health has limited admissions to the San Francisco Hospital to true medical and surgical emergencies, the average daily patient census in that institution has exceeded the limit of 950, necessarily imposed on the basis of the budget for the current fiscal year and the costs for operation of the hospital to approximate 1050 at the present time. The Laguna Honda Home has continued to care for but slightly less than 2000 patients per day, and the Hassler Health Home for approximately 85 patients per day. The Emergency Hospital Service provided emergency care for 65,000 during the year.

I am confident that you, as well as I, recognize the excellent quality of the work done by the Department of Public Health, but I want to emphasize, also, that I have had no little pleasure and personal satisfaction, which I believe you will share, in the knowledge that San Francisco's Department of Public Health has received national recognition for good work and efficiency. The American College of Surgeons, holding their first clinical congress in the West in 1935, chose San Francisco because of its excellent hospital facilities.

Not the least of these was our own San Francisco Hospital. The comment that has come as a result of this meeting has been most commendatory, for the parts played by the Department of Public Health and the San Francisco Hospital, particularly.

All San Franciscans may well be proud of the high prestige established by San Francisco's Department of Public Health.

### Juvenile Probation Department.

The report of the Chief Probation Officer R. R. Miller, covering the fiscal year 1934-35, indicates an increase both in volume and expenditures, compared with the previous fiscal year. During 1934-35, a total of 1597 cases passed through the court, involving the care of 3366 children, as of June 30, 1935. The Detention Home during the fiscal year cared for 1742 children as against 1848 of the previous year.

The budget allotment for 1934-35 was $575,000, of which $553,514 was actually expended. Reimbursements from the State, parents, etc., amounted to $169,509. This showed a substantial decrease over the expenditures of the previous year, which totaled $561,341. The cost of State School commitments was $16,650 against the $20,000 allotted this office. The duties of this office have been performed competently and economically.

### County Welfare Department.

The County Welfare Department has the administration of State and County Aid to the Needy Blind and the Needy Aged and Half-orphan Aid for children living in their own homes with their widowed mothers.

This department, in November, 1935, had under its care 269 blind persons, the cost of whose care totaled $8,937.50 for that month. Of this amount, 50 per cent will be returned to the county by the State.

There were 469 families served through the Half-orphan Aid section of this department, involving 1076 children. The amount paid for the care of these children during November was $19,983.79, and of this amount approximately 55 per cent is to be refunded by the State.

The list of the aged has greatly increased since passage of the amended State law covering Old Age Security, which became effective in September. The November figures show 2133 aged receiving aid totaling $61,739.54, as compared with $34,658.85 in October, 1934. The State will refund 50 per cent of this amount to the county.

The staff of the Department, under the able direction of Miss Eugenie Schenk, has been doubled, principally to meet the additional work involved through the increase in Old Age Security applications. With the influx of hundreds of new applications, the task has been difficult. The work is now well organized, however, and investigations of all qualifying applications under way. From September 15th, when the new law became effective, until the end of November, 466 old-age cases had been recommended for aid.

I wish to commend the formation of clubs in the three divisions, blind, aged and widows, the purpose being to promote a better understanding between themselves and the Department staff. The three groups are self-governing and are interesting themselves in bettering conditions, improved legislation and increased understanding in general, and particularly in the classes they represent. They have speakers and social gatherings, and make an altogether fine contribution to the life of the community. This department is breasting its increasing problems most satisfactorily.

### Coroner.

The Department of the Coroner, Dr. T. B. W. Leland, had an unusually active year, there having been 2578 cases, or 264 more than in 1934. Suicides numbered 202, being 14 less than in the previous year; autopsies performed, 1867, or 166 more than in the last report; inquests, 2504, an increase of 423.

Coroner Leland reports 111 motor vehicle fatalities as against 106 in the year 1934, and four deaths of children under 15 years of age, as compared with eight, the previous year.

The Coroner's office is one of the most economical and efficiently conducted departments in the municipality.

### Purchasing Department.

The Purchasing Department occupies an important and strategic position in our government. A large proportion of the cost of govern-

ment is represented by the cost of materials, supplies, equipment and contractual service. Our charter recognizes purchasing as one of the major functions of municipal management and provides for the full development and advantages through centralized control. The care and skill exercised in buying is reflected in the operating costs of all departments. During the past twelve months our purchases were divided among eighty-five definite classifications. The purchases were the result of the receipt of 56,700 requisitions from our city and county departments and are exclusive of requisitions received from the State Emergency Relief Administration, San Francisco Emergency Relief Organization and the Public Works Administration. The budget for the Buying Division of the Purchasing Department is approximately $28,500 for the past year. Considering the fact that the Department spent approximately $5,500,000 in the twelve months period, the actual cost, per dollar expended, is less than six-tenths of one per cent, to cover the cost of negotiating the purchase, a trifling expense, compared to the direct savings achieved.

The purchasing unit of the City and County is departmental in its makeup and in addition to the buying division it consists of repair shops for the maintenance of the municipality's automotive equipment, garages, warehouses, storerooms and a gas and oil service station.

The Department, by virtue of Charter provisions, is charged with the responsibility to exchange used materials, supplies and equipment to the advantage of the City and County and to sell personal property belonging to the municipality on the recommendation of any department head that such articles are no longer required or are unfit for use. During the past year, these sales have reached the very considerable figure of $129,000.

The fact that so large a share of the responsibility for maintaining low departmental costs rests upon the Purchasing Department imposes upon its personnel an unusual obligation to see that its service shall be dependable, adequate and economical. That obligation has been splendidly sustained, by Thomas A. Brooks and his assistants.

### Agricultural Commissioner.

This Department inspects all incoming shipments of plants, bulbs, and seeds. During the year, there were inspected 1426 shipments, making a total of 12,352 packages. Twenty-two shipments were rejected.

Over 4000 stores were inspected, involving rejection of 109 packages of fruits and vegetables and reconditioning of 510 packages. Egg inspections resulted in 96 crates of eggs being remarked. Wholesale market inspections, which occur twice daily, resulted in the rejection, reconditioning or remarking of 24,460 packages. All produce for city institutions is inspected on receipt. In this work, there were 1501 inspections, with 23 rejections, mostly eggs and butter.

Fees collected, approximately $8,600. Certificates of inspection issued, 7278, for intra-state, interstate and foreign shipments. Commissioner Carroll and his deputies have given a good account of their responsibilities.

### Disaster Preparedness.

Section 25 of the Charter provides that in the event of a public emergency threatening the lives, property, or welfare of the citizens, it shall be the duty of the mayor to summon, organize, and direct the forces of any City department, or employ other persons, or do whatever else he may deem necessary for the purpose of meeting the emergency.

Realizing the importance of this Charter provision and the magnitude of the work that would be necessary in the event of a conflagration, or other calamity, I proceeded immediately after the Charter became effective to study this provision. Many conferences were held in my office with representatives from Federal, State and civic organizations, as well as heads of City departments. Finally, on November 7, 1932, a joint conference was called and as a result of this conference, I

appointed a Committee on Disaster Preparedness, with Chief Administrative Officer as Chairman.

This Committee held weekly meetings over a period of several months, and assembled a great mass of data relating to this subject. Foremost in the minds of this Committee was a plan of preparedness, providing for the organization of the necessary man power and the assembling of the necessary equipment and supplies, so that in the event of an emergency, this organization, properly equipped, could function immediately.

To avoid confusion and dual control, this organization must have one responsible head, which in accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, shall be the Mayor. The existing emergency departments of the City government, Police, Fire and Health, augmented and assisted by other City departments and outside forces, will function during any emergency as they do under normal conditions. A plan including these basic principles has been submitted to me for consideration. In the near future I shall make such recommendations as deemed advisable on this subject.

Millions of dollars expended on additions and improvements to the reservoirs and pipe lines of our domestic water supply, extensions to the High Pressure for Fire Protection, improvement to the Auxiliary Fire Alarm System, Sewer System and Boulevard System, are all part of a great Preparedness Plan.

### San Francisco-San Mateo Livestock Exposition Buildings.

In 1931 Agricultural District No. 1 A, comprising San Francisco and San Mateo counties, was organized by prominent citizens of the two counties. The Legislature appropriated $250,000, contingent upon a like appropriation being made by San Francisco and the purchase of a site for the exposition buildings. I recommended the appropriation after 55 acres of land had been purchased by private citizens and deeded to the State of California as a site for the exposition. This agricultural district has in hand approximately half a million dollars in cash, and has procured an appropriation from the PWA of $199,000, being 30 per cent of the estimated cost of the labor and materials going into the first unit of construction. In addition to this, it has procured $289,000 from WPA for doing the grading on the site, which work is in progress now. It has been officially decided that the livestock horse show and racing events of the forthcoming Bay Exposition will be conducted at this livestock pavilion. Plans are not fully perfected, but at this writing it is believed that this work will have progressed to such a point that a great international livestock and horse show will be held in San Francisco in the latter part of the year 1936. San Francisco is the hub of the livestock industry of the west, which is the second in importance in California. Every civic and improvement club and organization together with the Down Town Association, Chamber of Commerce, labor organizations, etc., endorsed this project and its successful financing and its success is assured by united sentiment in its favor.

The appropriation and the endorsement of this project had the unanimous vote of your Honorable Board, and my entire approval.

### 1938 International Exposition.

During the past year we have witnessed the fruition of plans for our great exposition which will mark the completion of the Golden Gate and San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridges. Over adverse paths, the project has been carried to the point where within a few weeks we shall see with our own eyes, the beginning of its physical creation.

The City administration has cooperated at every turn with the public-spirited citizens who make up the directorate of the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a non-profit corporation, organized in July, 1934, to carry out the World's Fair idea.

As recently as December 27 the Public Utilities Commission awarded the contract for the construction of an administration building which

will house all exposition activities. This building will be erected on City property at Bush and Stockton streets and its use by the exposition has been made possible by the Board of Education which leased the property for five years to the Public Utilities Commission for this specific use. This splendid three-story reinforced concrete structure will be a permanent asset to the City. Meanwhile, a total of more than six million dollars in Federal grants under WPA and PWA have been made available for the exposition. The signing of the necessary contract for the reclamation of the Yerba Buena Shoals, where the exposition will be built, is expected daily and the early part of 1936 will see the construction of the exposition in full swing.

I am glad that the opportunity to assist in this great undertaking came to me and I assure my fellow citizens that I shall leave no stone unturned to aid in the prosecution of this magnificent undertaking. In building her exposition San Francisco will again prove, I fully believe, that she is the "City That Knows How" and that she can again give the world a fair which will eclipse in beauty, importance and educational and entertainment value, anything of the kind ever created.

To my fellow citizens, I extend appreciation for their part in making possible the exposition and to the officers and directors of the San Francisco Bay Exposition, I offer our sincere thanks for their unselfish devotion to their task.

A thoughtful perusal of the facts and figures contained in this message cannot fail to convince you of the solvent condition of our beloved City. Together we shall use our best gifts and our most sincere efforts to keep San Francisco in the vanguard of the world's cities, as to her ability to keep out of debt and still give her citizens every protection and cultural advantage. I count confidently on your cooperation with the Executive Department, during the coming year, upon which we enter with such optimistic spirit.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's annual message be made part of the record and be printed in the Journal.

*So ordered.*

**Membership of Special Committee on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

Supervisor Havenner announced the appointment of Supervisor Fred Meyer to membership on the Special Committee on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power and that he also reappointed all other members of said Committee.

**Standing Committee.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Committee on Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development be created as a standing committee of the Board with the duties of the existing Industrial Development Committee and the following additional duties:

To consider and where necessary report back to the Board of Supervisors upon all matters of legislation affecting the 1938 Exposition.

The duties of the Industrial Development Committee to continue as heretofore defined.

The standing committees being as follows:

(1) Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development.
(2) Education, Parks and Recreation.
(3) Finance, Revenue and Taxation.
(4) Fire, Safety and Police.
(5) Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service.
(6) Public Health.

(7) Public Utilities.
(8) Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning.
(9) Streets and Traffic.
(10) Public Welfare.
(11) Rules (of which President of the Board is to be ex-officio Chairman).

Duties of standing committees to be continued as heretofore defined until further order.

The Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors adopted January, 1934, as amended, be continued until further order.

### Remarks of Retiring Members.

Former Supervisor Gallagher spoke in part as follows: "On days like this the ins wear plug hats and the outs glum faces. Even though your heart is broken you are expected to clown it through. After being rapped over the knuckles by the electorate for the third time it's not so hard. Saying the things I felt like saying apparently got me into trouble.

"How I will contain myself outside the rail watching you make mistakes I don't know. I have no real antagonism with anyone here, although there is hardly anyone with whom I haven't clashed. I have experienced in my political life the top and bottom rungs. I found there was one less rung than I thought was there. The main thing is to know when to duck.

"The duties and powers of this Board are slipping away from it," he said. "There are some administrative departments that have a feeling that the Board doesn't amount to much." Later Dr. Adolph Schmidt remarked: "I agree with Mr. Gallagher that the powers of the Board are slipping away and must be safeguarded."

Mayor Rossi objected to the statement of the preceding speakers, he said: "I don't want to go unchallenged the statement that my administrative bodies have encroached on the power of the Board of Supervisors. I have never interfered with the legislative body. That applies also to the heads of all administrative departments as well as all executive departments. If any interference has arisen it has been the legislative body that did the interfering."

Former Supervisor Hayden in his farewell address said he was not retiring from public life but was remaining as a member of the Art Commission. I am interested in music, which is under commission supervision. I want to bring music to the masses at popular prices.

### Remarks of Newly-Elected and Reelected Supervisors.

PRESIDENT HAVENNER: I think this inaugural ceremony will now begin in its proper sense by hearing from the newly-elected and re-elected members of the Board, and in order to have no possible favoritism or discrimination, I am going to adhere to the alphabetical rule in calling on them. I think that we would be very happy to hear from the new members of the Board.

The young citizens of San Francisco displayed an interest in the affairs of government and official life which I know every citizen will most heartily approve of. With the support of a very large percentage of the rest of the community they elected one of their representatives to the Board of Supervisors, and I think that it is a very significant and appropriate thing that the youth of this community should, through their combined and concerted action, have a representative on this official body, and I have no doubt that that particular movement, so-called "Youth Movement" is going to continue and grow in importance, and I am sure that all of us who perhaps regrettably to ourselves have passed beyond the bounds of eligibility nevertheless welcome participation of the young citizens of San Francisco. At this time I take great pleasure in presenting Supervisor Dewey Mead.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Mr. Chairman, his Honor the Mayor, and

Ladies and Gentlemen. It is indeed an honor and a pleasure to have been elected by the citizens of San Francisco to this Honorable Board. It is an honor to be cherished for the remainder of my days. I do at this time want to take an opportunity to thank the New Order of Cincinnatus, the order that sponsored me, and I want especially to thank organized labor for their loyal support, and the various other organizations who saw fit to endorse me in my candidacy. It was indeed a pleasure to know that one has so many friends. However, it is to be thoroughly understood that I am here representing San Francisco as a whole, and not any particular group.

I want at this time to wish every success to Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher and Mr. J. Emmet Hayden, and I thank you all kindly.

THE CHAIRMAN: Our new Supervisor, who incidentally has been one of my very close personal friends for a great many years—he has had considerable experience in public affairs. Most all of us will remember that he served with distinction as a member of the City Planning Commission and later as a member of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and subsequently by appointment of Governor Rolph as State Purchasing Agent at Sacramento. Therefore, we have high hopes and expectations which I hope will be realized from Supervisor Fred W. Meyer, who I now introduce to you.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Mr. President, his Honor the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, My Colleagues, and Ladies and Gentlemen: It is indeed a pleasure to be here today, and it is an honor to be elected as a member of the Board of Supervisors. I have been honored before—not many times, but a few times, but never as much as I have been today. I can promise to try and be a good Supervisor. If my past record means anything, I will try to follow it as I have done in the past. I will give close attention to my duties, and try to get along with the administration that I believe is the best in the United States. I want to thank my many friends for all these beautiful flowers—I have hardly enough nerve to tell you that, it reaches the heart, and my first official act will be to ask Mrs. Meyer, after this session, to send these beautiful flowers to the Children's Hospital.

THE CHAIRMAN: I have been requested at this time by a group of Mr. Meyer's numerous friends to ask for the privilege of the floor for a few minutes for Mr. Clarence Morris, representing that group of Mr. Meyer's friends.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I so move.

MR. MORRIS: Mr. President, his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Chief Administrator, City and County Officials, Members of the Board of Supervisors and My Fellow Citizens: It is my proud privilege today to present to Fred W. Meyer, on behalf of some of his dear and loving friends in this community, a five-pointed star indicating his position as Supervisor-elect of this City, each point of the star indicating something, some attribute of which they think he is fully possessed. The first one being Honesty; the second, Integrity; the third, Service; the fourth, Loyalty; and the fifth, and last, but by no means least, Friendship.

It has well been said that life is the nearer of goodness, and reflects just what you are and what you do; that if you give to this life the best that you have, the best will come back to you, and that, Supervisor Meyer, indicates the love and regard that your friends and your fellow citizens must have for you by electing you to the honored position as a member of this Board, and bespeaking for you the confidence of the people of this great City; and I know as the days roll by that your actions and your work on this Board will demonstrate to your friends and to the people of San Francisco that their confidence has not been misplaced, but to the contrary, that they have elected a man to serve them who will never be found wanting in the balance.

MR. MORRIS: If I may take the liberty of pinning this on your breast, with the hope that you will wear it with pleasure to yourself, with honor to your wife, your daughter and your son, and the people of San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Mr. President.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Meyer.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I just want to say one more word. I want to thank you, Clarence Morris, and my many friends who have made it possible, this demonstration.

THE CHAIRMAN: Adhering to my announced rule of calling upon our re-elected colleagues in alphabetical order, it gives me a great deal of pleasure at this time to ask Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., if he will not address us.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman, his Honor the Mayor, City Officials, My Colleagues, and New and Old Honorable Board of Supervisors, and Ladies and Gentlemen: When I first was elected to the Board of Supervisors about four years ago, I was a complete and thorough neophyte in political life. I may say that four years of work on the Board gives a rather complete education. I have not learned all there is to know about the job, but I have learned a great many things I didn't know when I first came.

During the course of the last election there were some unfortunate circumstances that took place. I was discussing those with Supervisor Shannon, and he told me a little story which I will take the liberty of telling you. He said there was a man who wrote to a question and answer column in a San Francisco paper, and asked the editor as follows: He said, "Mr. Question and Answer Editor, I would like to find out about my genealogy, my family tree. I am very much interested in it, and I am wondering if you can advise me where and how I can get some information on the subject." And the question and answer editor wrote back and said, "I advise you to run for Supervisor."

None the less, the last four years has had both its pleasures and its pain, and I am looking forward to another four years of serving the City and County of San Francisco to the best of my ability, and as Supervisor-elect Mead says, I like to feel I am doing my best to serve the entire City and County of San Francisco, the people of the entire City and County of San Francisco without being committed to any group or organization therein; and I pledge you that during the next four years my votes will be so cast to the best of my ability.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now, I have the pleasure of calling upon a Supervisor who has been repeatedly elected to this Board, recently re-elected by a very flattering vote, a gentleman who has an outstanding reputation in this community, and which the people have demonstrated by their repeated expressions of approval of his candidacy. Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, Fellow San Franciscans: I am happy and grateful at being allowed tc serve you again. This is the beginning of my fifteenth consecutive year. We are going to miss Andrew Gallagher and Emmet Hayden. Certainly as was said, they are two very colorful personalities. I say to Andrew we will miss his gentle voice——

(Laughter.)

But I think both of these gentlemen, as I tried to say last Monday, carry away the assurance of having performed their duties well. After all, that is all you can take out of a job.

Now, Emmet, I will say—when he said that he hoped his wife, and family, and himself could be proud of his service—well, they can, there isn't any question about it. I think Emmet has done an outstanding service to San Francisco as the father of Municipal music, and it is just amazing the hold music has taken on our citizens, and

the real good it has done. In conclusion, again let me wish you both every success in whatever field your endeavors may take you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Next on the alphabetical list of our re-elected colleagues, the gentleman who was honored this time by, I think, one of the largest, if not perhaps the largest vote ever cast for Supervisor in the political history of San Francisco: Mr. Alfred Roncovieri, who has served this City for many, many years with high distinction.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. President, Mr. Mayor, Mr. Chief Administrative Officer, My Colleagues, and Ladies and Gentlemen: To be placed in a position of trust by the people is one of the highest honors that can be conferred upon any citizen. I therefore deem it a proud privilege to take my seat for a fourth term as a member of the Board of Supervisors of my native City on an occasion such as this.

I deem it my first duty, in a spirit of sincere humility, to tender to all of my friends and fellow citizens my most grateful thanks for the wonderful expression of their faith in giving to me at the election last November a most gratifying support. After all the trials and disappointments of life count as little so long as one retains the honor and love of his immediate friends and family, and the confidence of outstanding numbers of our citizens. This is my philosophy of life, and I am brought to realize it ever more and more strongly as each successive election brings me that most beautiful tribute of high regard, "well done, thou good and faithful servant."

Be assured, my fellow citizens, that I shall ever hold your confidence as a sacred trust, and as an inspiration to fulfill your highest expectations in the advancement of best interests of San Francisco.

To the incoming members of the Board, Supervisors Mead and Meyer, I extend the warmest greetings and the sincere hand of welcome. I wish for them a most successful administration.

To the retiring members of the Board, Supervisors Gallagher and Hayden, who have served the City of their birth for more than a generation, I wish godspeed, and success in all their future undertakings.

The complexity of modern municipal problems, and those of our State and National Government increase continually year by year, and only by continued and tremendous effort based on sound humanitarian principles of justice can we satisfactorily solve the questions affecting our social and economic life. I know that extremely difficult problems that would tax the God-given intelligence of the most ingenious of men are before us for solution. Nevertheless, I have a firm conviction that by intelligent unity of action we shall conquer all obstacles, and give renewed life to the ideals of the people. With sane judgment, wise discussion and forbearance for each other's views, we can render to the people of San Francisco the high public service they have a right to expect of us. I believe that the first duty of government is to humanity; that human life must be considered first in the drafting of our laws. Our objective should be to improve the common lot of mankind, and to establish better living conditions for the average man, woman and child. I, therefore, rededicate my services, to-wit, constructively, conscientiously and whole-heartedly for the proper solution of every problem affecting the welfare of the people to the end that San Francisco may grow and prosper as a City loved around the world. I thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Last on the alphabetical list of our recently re-elected Supervisors, but by no means least in the estimation of the people, as was evidenced by the very large vote which he received at the recent election, is Supervisor Warren Shannon.

(Applause.)

(The band plays "Where the River Shannon Flows.")

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Mayor, Fellow Officials, and Ladies and Gentlemen: If this was a different kind

of setting, I might ask the orchestra to play that over again, and I might sing the song!—Emmet Hayden is trying to coach me to go on—he forgets he is not on the Board any more.

It has been my privilege to have been elected many successive times to this Board by the citizens of San Francisco, and I desire to take this opportunity to thank those of my fellow citizens who have returned me to office.

I am one of those who believe that the best thoughts and the most constructive thoughts that are considered here come from the hearts of our citizens. I want to pay a tribute to the Improvement Clubs of San Francisco, and the members of those Improvement Clubs who attend our meetings, in many instances regularly, and who bring to us the ideas that are brought to a conclusion in these chambers. As you know, the members of this Board are elected at large. We can't be informed on all the wants of the several districts of San Francisco; but the civic-minded people belonging to these Improvement Clubs bring us these ideas, they are developed here, and much of the improvement that is constantly being carried on in San Francisco is due to those civic-minded people, and I desire to pay them a tribute.

To the new members of the Board, Messrs. Mead and Meyer, I extend to them my hearty cooperation in every respect. My advice would be to hold your seats for a year, or a year and a half until you get your feet on the ground.

(Laughter.)

There is much to learn, and the desire to participate in debate may come at many times, but I think if you will debate the matters that come before you, before the committees to which you will be assigned by our President, Supervisor Havenner, and let the other matters be taken care of by others, it will be possible that you may be able to serve a longer life here!

To our retiring members, Messrs. Hayden and Gallagher, I know that the members of the Board regret your departure; but, of course, this is part of the political life. We have the new faces with us every couple of years. Emmet Hayden, as you have heard, has served since 1909, and I think it is to his credit, which will never be effaced, the fact that he has been the father of municipal music. He has done many other things while a member of the Board, but that is the outstanding thing we shall remember him for. That will always remain as his own property. A musician of no mean ability himself, he naturally took up this work, and brought it through to a very successful conclusion.

To say that we are going to miss Andrew Gallagher is unnecessary. A thoughtful, resourceful man, a capable man in debate, and a thinker, a man acting for the best interests of San Francisco, he is not only going to be missed by the members of the Board, but he will be missed by the citizens of San Francisco generally.

(Applause.)

There is no man on this Board, no matter how he may differ from Mr. Gallagher in debate, but what realizes that he was giving the best that was in him, and while many of us would try, if we were on the opposing side, to spoil his continuity of thought, you could never throw him off, he could carry three or four ideas in his mind, and develop them as they went along, and with the Irish that is in him he would always have a little comedy that would relieve the debate. I recall so well one incident. We were considering the leasing of 333 Kearny street, and Supervisor McSheehy was vehement in his opposition to the leasing of the property for many and divers reasons, and the property had been occupied on the top floor by the Women's Western Club, I think they had their dining room and their kitchen, and going through from the dining room to the kitchen the waitresses, in going through, carrying trays, would kick the corner of the door, and there was a round piece cut out of the corner of the door. It looked as though rats might have eaten that hole. So on one Mon-

day, and this matter had been continued for several months—on one Monday Supervisor McSheehy brought in a report from one of the inspectors of the Board of Health that there were rats in the building, and Andy looked up quickly—I neglected to say that this building was the property of Ralph McLaren, a former Supervisor—and Andy looked up quickly and says "Rats in a Scotchman's building? Never!"

(Applause and laughter.)

After all we are but the servants of the people, Supervisors of this Board—we may devise and improvise, but in the final analysis it is the people that develop these ideas, and I trust that this same spirit of cooperation will continue between the members of the Board and the Improvement Clubs of San Francisco; and we know that a City that grew in a few years after the fire, with that pioneer spirit that still maintains, and will continue to grow and prosper and pull us out of the depression that we hope now is seeing a slump, and will carry us on to greater and better things. I thank you very much.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### In Re: Appropriation for Democratic Convention.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that in the event that San Francisco is selected for the Democratic National Convention and the Mayor places $100,000 in the Publicity and Advertising Fund, to defray cost of said convention that the members of this Board will permit it to remain in the budget.

Mayor Rossi at this point indicated that he would not recommend $100,000 at this time.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon offered to withdraw the motion, saying that the suggestion was given him by Chief Administrator Alfred J. Cleary and that he was under the impression that it was in keeping with Mayor Rossi's idea in the matter.

Supervisor McSheehy, as seconder of the motion, refused to withdraw.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board of Supervisors agree not to take out of the budget any sum that the Mayor might see fit to allow for that item, but did not wish to mention a specific sum.

Supervisor Brown suggested that this Board pledge itself not to delete any sum in excess of $100,000.

Mayor Rossi declared that he would favor $50,000 as a proper figure.

Whereupon, there being no objection, Supervisor Roncovieri's motion *carried.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that an item be inserted in the Journal expressing to Supervisor McSheehy the appreciation of the members of the Board of Supervisors for his efficient and effective service during the last biennial.

*So ordered.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 2:50 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY, JANUARY 13, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 13, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 6, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

The following bills were taken up:

January 6, 1936—Supervisor Ratto changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration of the vote whereby the following bills (Nos. 727 and 776) were *finally passed*:

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**

**(Code No. 11.0821)**

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 727, Ordinance No. 11.08211, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Saw-

dust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited. *All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by

both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

**Amendment to Garage Ordinance.**
(Code No. 11.0822)

Also, Bill No. 776, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any school, church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre, or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing.

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

### Motion.

Supervisor Ratto moved reconsideration of the action whereby the foregoing Bills Nos. 727 and 776 were on January 6, 1936, *finally passed.*

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Shannon—5.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Brown, the foregoing bills were *laid over for further consideration one week from today and heard then as a Special Order of Business at* 2:30 *p. m.*

## PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

### Sale $3,000,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0337, in the amount of Three Million Dollars ($3,000,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. this day and opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of Three Million Dollars ($3,000,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) each, to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1936, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0337 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1935-1936, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1935-1936 in which said money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1935-1936, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said Notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said Notes.

The said Notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1936. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said Notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said Notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars ($10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the Notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

**Bids.**

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to Finance Committee*:

1. The First Boston Corporation. In accordance with your published notice of sale dated January 9th, we will pay $3,000,000 plus a premium of $11.50 for all but not for any part of $3,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, maturing May 15, 1936, to bear interest at the rate of thirteen one hundredths of one per cent (.13 of 1%) per annum.

2. Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, The Anglo California National Bank; by Bankamerica Company, by M. S. Prosser. For the $3,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we hereby bid you par, and in addition thereto a premium of $29.

**Adopted.**

Subsequently the Finance Committee recommended the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

**Sale of $3,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 2346, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0337 that sealed proposals for the purchase of three million dollars ($3,000,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, January 13, 1936; and

Whereas, two bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore

Resolved, That the bid of The First Boston Corporation by R. L. Harter, assistant manager, San Francisco, January 13, 1936, is hereby accepted, as follows:

"In accordance with your published notice of sale dated January 9th, we will pay $3,000,000, plus a premium of $11.50 for all but not for any part of $3,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and

County of San Francisco, maturing May 15, 1936, to bear interest at the rate of thirteen one hundredths of one per cent (.13 of 1%) per annum.

"We enclose our certified check in the amount of $10,000, which is evidence of good faith.

"Very truly yours,

"The First Boston Corporation,
"By L. R. Harter, Assistant Manager."

That all other bids for said Tax Anticipation Notes be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Concerning Public Passenger Vehicles and Entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses," etc., etc.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 877, Ordinance No. 3.04157, as follows:

Amending section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), concerning public passenger vehicles and entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, and repealing Resolutions 33951, 34139 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 54. Every person, firm or corporation owning *or directly or indirectly operating* any public passenger vehicle, except railroad cars, shall, *after first obtaining a permit from the Police Department, which permit must be represented by an operative vehicle for which a current City license must be obtained,* pay a license tax therefor, as follows:

For each such vehicle One ($1.00) Dollar per annum for each passenger seating capacity of said vehicle.

*In determining the seating capacity of any such vehicle the driver thereof shall be included.*

The metallic plate issued as part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by 2½ inches and, when used on motor-drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle License Plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. When used on horse-drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to permit of attachment in a conspicuous place on the right-hand side of each horse-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least ⅝ x ⅝ inches and all numbers 1 x ⅝ inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a vehicle subject to this license tax to *operate any such vehicle upon the public highways or streets of the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license plate to said motor vehicle by attaching it to the front state motor vehicle license plate or fastening it on the outside front of such vehicle, or, when used on horse-drawn vehicles, without first attaching it in a conspicuous place on the right-hand side of said*

*horse-drawn vehicle, or* to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December 31st of each calendar year. No substitute for this license plate shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plates in any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this ordinance.

*On the 31st day of December of each year the Police Department shall notify the Controller and the Tax Collector of the number of public passenger vehicle permits in effect, and the seating capacity of the vehicles for which the permits were granted, and whenever additional permits are granted, or existing permits are transferred or revoked during the year, the Controller and the Tax Collector shall be notified of same immediately. These notifications shall be in writing and signed by the Chief of Police or his duly authorized representative.*

Every person engaged in the business or occupation of driver or motorman of any public passenger vehicle specified in this section shall pay One ($1.00) Dollar for a driver's badge to be issued by the Tax Collector, which badge shall be of such design and lettering as he shall determine. Upon the presentation of a driver's annual permit, granted by the Police Department, the Tax Collector shall issue annually, to the person named in such permit, a driver's annual identification card upon the payment of One ($1.00) Dollar, provided said person is the owner of a driver's badge and exhibit such badge at the time of making the application. *The obtaining or renewal of this driver's identification card and/or badge shall be subject to the penalty provisions of this ordinance.* All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one year from the date aforesaid.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Ignatius Richardson of Delinquent Revenue Department was heard at length on the pending question.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

**Budget Appropriation Requested for Reclamation of Tidelands at South Basin.**

December 30, 1935, Supervisor Uhl requested that the matter of an appropriation in the next budget recommended by the Civic League for the filling in of certain blocks of land at South Basin be made a *Special Order of Business for 3:30 p. m. this day.*

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl stated in connection with the foregoing that he was in favor of reclaiming some 1000 acres of tide lands, but that since budget making is a long way off, he had no objection to laying the matter over another week.

Geo. Gearhardt, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, was heard in favor of the proposed reclamation project. The City, he said, owns four blocks of land which, together with the adjoining streets, should be reclaimed.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the matter be referred to the Mayor for inclusion in the Budget and if the Mayor doesn't include it the Board of Supervisors can then give it consideration.

There being no objection it was *so ordered.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Indefinitely Postponed.

The following bill was taken up and *indefinitely postponed*:

**Providing for the Establishment of a Store or Stores in the County Jails, and the Manner of Conducting Same, and Disposition of the Proceeds of Sales in Said Stores.**

(Code No. 7.06)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 872, Ordinance No. 7.061, as follows:

Providing for the establishment by the Sheriff of a store, or stores, in the County Jail or jails of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the manner of conducting the same, and for the disposition of the proceeds of the sales in said stores.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the authority of subdivision three of Section 4041.21 of the Political Code of California, the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to maintain and operate a store in each of the County Jails maintained by the City and County of San Francisco, and for said purpose to purchase food, confectionery, tobacco and tobacco users' supplies, postage, writing materials and toilet articles and supplies, and to sell such goods, articles and supplies to the prisoners confined in said jails.

Section 2. All of such sales shall be for cash, provided, however, that for the convenient handling of cash belonging to said prisoners, the said Sheriff is hereby authorized to issue scrip representing cash in such denominations as he shall determine and to sell the same to said prisoners, and said scrip shall be redeemable in merchandise at said stores for the face value thereof. It shall be unlawful for any prisoner to whom said scrip is issued, sold or delivered to give or transfer or assign the same to any other prisoner, or to permit the same to be used by any other prisoner, and the giving or transferring of any such scrip by any prisoner to another shall be sufficient reason for the cancellation and forfeiture of the same.

Section 3. All articles, goods and merchandise maintained and kept in said stores shall be sold to said prisoners at cost, plus a reasonable handling charge to be determined by the Sheriff, and the proceeds of all sales made in said stores shall be deposited in the Treasury of the City and County as provided by Charter.

Section 4. The purchase of all goods, wares and merchandise for said stores shall be made through the Purchaser of Supplies and in accordance with the purchasing procedure provided by Charter and by the Purchasing Procedure Ordinance.

Section 5. The Sheriff shall keep full and true books of account showing all transactions in said stores and between the first and tenth of each month shall render to the Controller a statement showing said transactions for the preceding month and the amount of unsold merchandise on hand at the end of the said month. The Controller shall examine said statements when the same are rendered and compare same with the books of the Sheriff. Said statements shall be in such form as the Controller shall provide.

Section 6. The Sheriff shall make and enforce all reasonable rules for the conduct and maintenance of said stores.

### Final Passage.

The following substitute bills were *finally passed* in lieu of the foregoing:

**Providing for the Establishment of Sheriff's Store or Stores in Jail, the Manner of Conducting Same, and Disposition of Proceeds and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.**
(Code No. 7.06)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 872, Ordinance No. 7.061, as follows:

Providing for the establishment by the Sheriff of a store or stores in the County Jail or Jails of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the manner of conducting the same, and for the disposition of the proceeds of the sales in said store or stores; establishing a Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of supplies of said store or stores; making an appropriation for said fund and declaring that this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the authority of Section 4041.21 of the Political Code of California, the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to establish, maintain and operate a store in each of the County Jails maintained by the City and County of San Francisco, and for said purpose to purchase food, confectionery, tobacco and tobacco users' supplies, postage, writing materials and toilet articles and supplies out of such funds as may be set aside for such purposes; and to sell such goods, articles and supplies to the prisoners confined in the said jails.

Section 2. The purchase of all goods, wares and merchandise for said stores shall be made through the Purchaser of Supplies and in accordance with the purchasing procedure provided by Charter and by the Purchasing Procedure Ordinance.

Section 3. All articles, goods and merchandise maintained in said stores shall be sold to said prisoners at cost plus a reasonable handling charge and the proceeds of all sales made in said stores shall be deposited in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. The Controller shall monthly adjust the money so deposited in the following manner:

a. That portion of the sales price which represents the cost of the commodity shall be credited to the Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund.

b. That portion of the sales price which represents the handling charge shall be credited to the general fund.

Section 4. All of such sales shall be for cash, provided, however, that for the convenient handling of cash belonging to said prisoners, the said Sheriff is hereby authorized to issue scrip representing cash in such denominations as he shall determine and to sell the same to said prisoners at the face value thereof, and said scrip shall be redeemable in merchandise at said stores for the face value thereof. It shall be unlawful for any prisoner to whom said scrip is issued, sold or delivered to give or transfer or assign the same to any other prisoner, or to permit the same to be used by any other prisoner, and the giving or transferring of any such scrip by any prisoner to another shall be sufficient reason for the cancellation and forfeiture of the same; and provided further that all scrip in the legal possession of a prisoner upon his discharge shall be immediately presented for refund, otherwise said prisoner shall forfeit all rights of refund and recovery.

Section 5. The Controller shall prescribe the manner in which the Sheriff shall keep all accounts showing the transactions of said store or stores, as well as the procedure for, and the manner in which reports and accounts regarding said store or stores shall be rendered to said Controller.

Section 6. The Sheriff shall make and enforce reasonable rules for the conduct and maintenance of said stores.

Section 7. There is hereby established a revolving fund to be known as the Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund; said fund shall be maintained

in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. All amounts due for supplies for said Sheriff's store or stores shall be paid from said fund, in such manner as the Controller shall direct.

Section 8. The sum of Two Thousand ($2,000) Dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund to said Sheriff's Stores Revolving Fund to be used for the purposes hereinbefore set forth.

Section 9. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That for the orderly conduct of the jails under the jurisdiction of the Sheriff and for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco the establishment of said Sheriff's stores is immediately necessary, and that no funds other than from the Emergency Reserve Fund are available to establish said stores.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Appropriation and establishment of revolving fund recommended: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Establishing Revolving Fund to Be Known as Sheriff's Transportation Fund, and Declaring Existence of an Emergency.**

(Code No. 7.06)

Also, Bill No. 890, Ordinance No. 7.062, as follows:

Establishing a Revolving Fund to be known as "Sheriff's Transportation Fund" for the purpose of providing funds to defray the cost of the transportation by the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco of persons committed to State Institutions, and providing the method in which said fund shall be replenished, and making an appropriation from the Emergency Reserve Fund to said Sheriff's Transportation Fund, and declaring that this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a revolving fund to be known as the "Sheriff's Transportation Fund" for the purpose of providing funds to defray the cost of the transportation by the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco of persons committed to State Institutions.

Section 2. The sum of Four Thousand ($4,000) Dollars is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to said Sheriff's Transportation Fund to be used for the purpose for which said fund is created.

Section 3. Such fund shall be maintained either in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco or in such bank or banks as may be designated by the Sheriff, or may be maintained partly in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco and partly in such bank or banks as may be designated by the Sheriff, and the Sheriff is authorized at all times to maintain in his office a sufficient amount of cash from said fund to meet his immediate needs for defraying the cost of transportation of persons committed to State Institutions.

Section 4. Such portions of said funds as are maintained in the treasury shall be drawn therefrom in the manner provided by law, and such portions of said funds as are deposited by the Sheriff in any bank or banks shall be withdrawn from said bank or banks upon checks signed by the Sheriff or by such deputies or assistants as he shall designate.

Section 5. The Sheriff shall at least once each month prepare a claim against the State of California for the cost of transporting persons committed to State Institutions. Such claim or claims shall be subject to audit and approval by the Controller prior to being submitted to the State of California.

Section 6. All moneys received by the Sheriff in payment of the claims referred to in Section 5 hereof shall be deposited to the credit of the Sheriff's Transportation Fund.

Section 7. Whenever it shall be the duty of the Sheriff to transport persons committed to State institutions and the fees or costs for transporting said persons are a charge against the City and County of San Francisco, the cost of said transportation of said persons shall be paid out of the Sheriff's Transportation Fund and said fund shall be reimbursed in the manner provided by the Controller from the proper City and County Fund for all such amounts paid from said Sheriff's Transportation Fund.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe procedure governing the auditing, accounting and reporting hereunder in accordance with the provisions of Sections 64 and 75 of the Charter.

Section 9. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an emergency exists, which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith. The nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

That there is no fund provided to enable the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco to defray the cost of transporting persons committed to State institutions, and it being the duty of the Sheriff to transport said persons, it is necessary that this ordinance should become effective at once in order to make provision for the interrupted operation of the office of the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, and there are no funds available from any other source save and except from the Emergency Reserve Fund from which said appropriation can be made.

Approved as to form: City Attorney.

Appropriation and establishment of Revolving Fund recommended: Mayor.

Funds available: Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Final Passage.

The following bills heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Providing for the Sale of Certain City-Owned Land.

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 873, Ordinance No. 12.17293, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Department:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way, as said right of way, 80 feet wide, is described in McEnerney Decree dated March 8, 1912, and recorded March 8, 1912, in Book 598 of Deeds at page 329, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 65.162 feet southwesterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, as said avenue existed prior to the acquisition by said City and County of a 16-foot strip of land by deed dated December 6, 1926, recorded December 11, 1926, in Book 1390, Official Records, at page 205, in the same above mentioned Recorder's Office, and running southwesterly along said southeasterly right of way line 46.564 feet; thence deflecting 95 degrees 03 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running southeasterly 28.599 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the said 16-foot strip, as said strip is described in the aforesaid deed; thence deflecting 107 degrees 43 minutes to the left and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line, parallel with and distant 16 feet, at right angles northwesterly from the above described northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 47.767 feet to a point 10 feet, at right angles southeasterly from the above described southeasterly line of railroad right of way; thence deflecting 67 degrees 13 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running northwesterly 10 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1 of Belle Roche City Block 1.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriating $125 for Burial of Ralph Booth, Honorably Discharged Soldier.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 874, Ordinance No. 9.051219, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $125 from the Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation 550.811.01, for the burial expense of Ralph Booth, an honorably discharged soldier.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $125 be and is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 550.811.01, for the burial expense of Ralph Booth, an honorably discharged soldier.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Conveyance of Certain Property to Fernando Nelson & Sons in Exchange for Other Lands, Plus $4,000 to Be Received by the City.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 875, Ordinance No. 12.17224, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of certain land to Fernando Nelson & Sons in exchange for other land required by Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter described as Parcel "A" to Fernando Nelson & Sons, in exchange for Parcel "B" hereinafter described, plus the sum of $4,000 to be received by the City.

Section 2. Said Parcel "A" is that certain land described in Ordinance No. 12.17223, Bill No. 838, approved December 10, 1935, which land was proposed to be sold under the provisions of said ordinance.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of the value of said parcels, and estimates the present value of Parcel "A" to be $12,000, and the present value of Parcel "B" to be $8,000.

Section 4. Said Parcels "A" and "B" are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel "A". Beginning at a point on the west line of Thirtieth avenue, distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the south line of Irving street, running thence southerly along the west line of Thirtieth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the east line of Thirty-first avenue; thence northerly along the east line of Thirty-first avenue 150 feet to a point distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the south line of Irving street; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the west line of Thirtieth avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel "B". Lots 31 and 32, Block 2919, West Portal Park, as per map thereof recorded August 7, 1917, in Map Book H, page 79, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to Fernando Nelson & Sons. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to deliver said deed to Fernando Nelson & Sons upon receipt of a deed to Parcel "B" and the sum of $4,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $16,500 from Appropriation 563.231.00 for Construction and Reconstruction of Lighting Systems of Certain S. F.-Oakland Bay Bridge Approach Streets, and Other Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 876, Ordinance No. 9.051220, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $16,500, out of the accrued surplus existing in the reserve in Appropriation No. 563.231.00, for the construction and reconstruction of lighting systems on certain San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach streets, and other streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside the sum of $16,500, out of the accrued surplus existing in the reserve in Appropriation No. 563.231.00 to the credit of the following appropriations, in the amounts indicated, for the construction and reconstruction of lighting systems on the streets recited:

Appropriation—

| | |
|---|---|
| 563.509-04—Fifth street, Market to Townsend | $7,150 |
| 563.509-05—Eighth street, Market to Division | 4,400 |
| 563.509-06—South side Turk street, Parker to Willard, West side Arguello boulevard, Geary to Edward | 3,500 |
| 563.509-07—Engineering | 1,450 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $26,924 Out of Public Utility Improvement Surplus for Construction of Hangars and Plans and Specifications for Administration Building at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 879, Ordinance No. 9.051221, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of $26,924 out of accrued

surplus existing in the Public Utility Improvement Fund for the construction of hangars and the preparation of plans and specifications for an administration building at the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the Public Utility Improvement Fund the sum of $26,924 to the credit of appropriation 560.000.00 for the construction of hangars and the preparation of plans and specifications for an administration building at the San Francisco Airport.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing and Directing the Controller to File With the Bank and Corporation Tax Commissioner Information and Reports as Required by the "Personal Income Tax Act of 1935," etc., etc.**

(Code No. 9.0419)

Also, Bill No. 880, Ordinance No. 9.04193, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Controller to file with the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Commissioner information and reports as required by "The Personal Income Tax Act of 1935," and authorizing and directing the Controller to prescribe the procedure for the departmental accounting and reporting required thereunder.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of section 23 of "The Personal Income Tax Act of 1935," the Controller is hereby directed, and it shall be his duty, to file with the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Commissioner such returns regarding "Information at the Source," under such regulation and in such manner and form and to such extent as may be prescribed by the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Commissioner.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure for the accounting and reporting required hereunder, such procedure when established by the Controller shall constitute a part hereof.

Section 3. All offices, boards and commissions of the City and County of San Francisco shall keep such records and render to the Controller such reports that said Controller may require to comply with the provisions of section number 1 of this ordinance. The failure of any officer to keep such records and to furnish said reports to the Controller upon the demand of the latter shall be deemed dereliction of duty and said failure shall be reported by the Controller to the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Parcel 2 of Lafayette Park.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2236, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Coast Bay Company, the east half of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 625, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Lafayette Park, for the sum of $20,000, payable from

Appropriation No. 512.600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Lands for Roadway Through Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2338, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties the hereinafter described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for a roadway through the proposed McLaren Park, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to accept deeds to said property free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, and upon the acceptance of said deeds the sums set forth opposite the names of said parties are hereby authorized to be paid for said lands from Appropriation No. 512.600.03:

| | |
|---|---|
| E. M. Sink, Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6265 | $ 330.67 |
| N. E. Schwartz, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 6266 | 1,806.00 |
| G. E. Hardenburgh, Lot 6, Assessor's Block 6265 | 320.00 |
| Patrick Barron, Lot 14, Assessor's Block 6265 | 389.33 |
| Annie E. Elliott, Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6266 | 2,083.00 |
| Susan V. Gilligan, Lots 2 and 3, Assessor's Block 6266 | 4,166.00 |
| Richard F. Mogan et al., Lot 11, Assessor's Block 6265 | 320.00 |
| John Kleiner, Lot 7, Assessor's Block 6265 | 320.00 |

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Parcel 1 Under New Fleishhacker Playfield Agreement.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 2237, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Spring Valley Company, Ltd., the westerly 124.5 feet of Lot 41, Assessor's Block 7201, situated in the City and County of San Francisco required for Fleishhacker Playfield for the sum of $18,770.80, payable from Appropriation No. 512.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refunds of Duplicate Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2239, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936; being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate taxes, to-wit:

| | |
|---|---|
| (1) To Mrs. J. C. Herd, as per Vol. 11, Bill No. 2697, Lot 15, Block 1602, Fiscal Year 1934 | $ 40.18 |
| (2) To F. Cotugno, as per Vol. 3, Bill No. 761, Lot 5, Block 436C, Fiscal Year 1934 | 57.45 |

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 2340, as follows:

Be it resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—

| *No.* | *To* | *For* |
|---|---|---|
| 650 | Director of Public Works | $ 534.44 |
| 651 | The Call-Bulletin | 78.59 |
| 652 | American Trust Company | 1,598.40 |
| 653 | Geo. H. Lux | 114.35 |
| 654 | Wm. McKay-Robina J. McKay | 576.34 |
| 655 | Bay Shore Construction Company | 226.80 |
| 656 | Henry Windt | 878.94 |
| 657 | D. Matheson | 6.50 |
| 658 | John Hoffner & Co | 180.60 |
| 659 | Union Paving Company | 1,009.65 |
| 660 | American Trust Company | 10.28 |
| 661 | R. Delucchi & Co | 384.00 |
| 662 | R. Delucchi & Co | 370.80 |
| 663 | R. Delucchi & Co | 530.40 |

payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $300,000 from Surplus Existing in Water Revenue Fund to Credit of Water Department Stores Revolving Fund, Which is Hereby Created, for Purposes of Providing for Purchases, etc., Required for Use of San Francisco Water Department, and Providing Procedure Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 886, Ordinance No. 9.051226 as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $300,000 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purpose of providing for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department and providing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund the sum of $300,000 to the credit of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department in connection with its performance.

Section 2. In determining the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund there shall first be deducted from the amount herein appropriated the value at cost of the stores on hand to the credit of the Water Revenue Fund, Water Depre-

ciation Fund, and Water Extension Reserve Fund as of the effective date of this ordinance. The value at cost of the said stores as of the effective date of this ordinance, together with the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund, as herein recited, shall constitute the resources of this fund as herein created.

Section 3. All purchases of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department shall be purchased out of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund. All withdrawals from stores shall be paid for out of the funds provided for current or specific purposes by each Annual Appropriation Ordinance or each supplemental Appropriation Ordinance. The funds provided by each such payment shall be deposited to the credit of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund.

Section 4. Should the unencumbered balance of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund be insufficient at any time to purchase materials or supplies which are required for the immediate use of the San Francisco Water Department, and funds have been appropriated for that purpose, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the Department Head and the approval of the Manager of Utilities, transfer the required amount from the funds so appropriated and make them available in the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of the required materials and supplies.

Section 5. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 88 of the Charter, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to take charge of the storerooms and warehouses operated hereunder.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure governing the purchase and issuance of stores and the accounting therefor in accordance with the provisions of Section 64 of the Charter.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: N. A. Eckart, Department Head.

Approved: Jno. J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $200,000 from Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Credit of Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund, Which is Hereby Created, for Purchase, etc., for Municipal Railway, and Providing Procedure Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 887, Ordinance No. 9.051227, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $200,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purpose of providing for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Municipal Railway and providing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund the sum of $200,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Municipal Railway in connection with its performance.

Section 2. In determining the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund there shall first be deducted from the amount herein appropriated the value at cost of the stores on hand to the credit of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund and Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund as of the effective date of this ordinance. The value at cost of the said stores as of the effective date of this ordinance, together with the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund, as herein recited, shall constitute the resources of this fund as herein created.

Section 3. All purchases of materials and supplies required for the use of the Municipal Railway shall be purchased out of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund. All withdrawals from stores shall be paid for out of the funds provided for current or specific purposes by each Annual Appropriation Ordinance or each supplemental Appropriation Ordinance. The funds provided by each such payment shall be deposited to the credit of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund.

Section 4. Should the unencumbered balance of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund be insufficient at any time to purchase materials or supplies which are required for the immediate use of the Municipal Railway, and funds have been appropriated for that purpose, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the Department Head and the approval of the Manager of Utilities, transfer the required amount from the funds so appropriated and make them available in the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of the required materials and supplies.

Section 5. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 88 of the Charter, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to take charge of the storerooms and warehouses operated hereunder.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure governing the purchase and issuance of stores and the accounting therefor in accordance with the provisions of Section 64 of the Charter.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: F. Boeken, Department Head.

Approved: Jno. J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $35,000 Out of Surplus Existing in Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the Credit of Hetch Hetchy Stores Revolving Fund, Which is Hereby Created, for Purpose of Providing for Purchases, etc., Required for Use of Hetch Hetchy Power Division, and Providing Procedure Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 888, Ordinance No. 9.051228, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $35,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purpose of providing for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division and providing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund the sum of

$35,000 to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division in connection with its performance.

Section 2. In determining the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund there shall first be deducted from the amount herein appropriated the value at cost of the stores on hand to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and Hetch Hetchy Power Depreciation Fund as of the effective date of this ordinance. The value at cost of the said stores as of the effective date of this ordinance, together with the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, as herein recited, shall constitute the resources of this fund as herein created.

Section 3. All purchases of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division shall be purchased out of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund. All withdrawals from stores shall be paid for out of the funds provided for current or specific purposes by each Annual Appropriation Ordinance or each supplemental Appropriation Ordinance. The funds provided by each such payment shall be deposited to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund.

Section 4. Should the unencumbered balance of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund be insufficient at any time to purchase materials or supplies which are required for the immediate use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division, and funds have been appropriated for that purpose, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the Department Head and the approval of the Manager of Utilities, transfer the required amount from the funds so appropriated and make them available in the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of the required materials and supplies.

Section 5. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 88 of the Charter, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to take charge of the storerooms and warehouses operated hereunder.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure governing the purchase and issuance of stores and the accounting therefor in accordance with the provisions of Section 64 of the Charter.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: Paul J. Ost, Department Head.

Approved: Jno. J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Accepting Offer of U. S. A. to City and County of San Francisco to Aid by Way of Grant in Financing the Construction of Ferry Slips and Buildings and Paving of Areas in Conjunction Therewith Upon Certain Reclaimed Lands and the Construction of Buildings on Other Lands Within the City and County of San Francisco Necessary in Connection with Such Construction.**

(Code No. 15.05)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2344, as follows:

A resolution accepting the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in

financing the construction of ferry slips and buildings and paving of areas in conjunction therewith upon certain reclaimed lands and the construction of buildings on other lands within the City and County of San Francisco necessary in connection with such construction.

Be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of ferry slips and buildings and paving of areas in conjunction therewith upon certain reclaimed lands and the construction of buildings on other lands within the City and County of San Francisco necessary in connection with such construction, a copy of which said offer reads as follows, to-wit:

"Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works

Washington, D. C.
December 28, 1935.
State File Calif. No. 1427.

City and County of San Francisco,
San Francisco, California.

1. Subject to the Rules and Regulations (PWA Form No. 179, July 22, 1935, as amended to date) which are made a part hereof, the United States of America hereby offers to aid in financing the construction of ferry slips and buildings and paving of areas in conjunction therewith upon certain reclaimed lands and the construction of buildings on other lands within the City and County of San Francisco necessary in connection with such construction (herein called the 'Project') by making a grant to City and County of San Francisco in the amount of 45 percent of the cost of the Project upon completion, as determined by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works, but not to exceed, in any event, the sum of $1,894,324.

2. This offer is conditioned upon the City and County of San Francisco submitting prior to the advance of any funds by the Government, plans of the project, prepared by a competent technical advisor, in sufficient detail to establish a reasonable cost estimate, and demonstrate the technical soundness of the project.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works.
By (Sgd) Horatio B. Hackett,
Assistant Administrator";

be and the same is hereby in all respects accepted.

Section 2. That said City and County of San Francisco agrees to abide by all the rules and regulations relating to such a grant, a copy of which said rules and regulations was annexed to the government's offer and made a part thereof.

Section 3. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed forthwith to send to the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works three certified copies of this resolution and three certified copies of the proceedings of this Board of Supervisors in connection with the adoption of this resolution, and such further documents and/or proofs in connection with the acceptance of said offer as may be required by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works.

The foregoing resolution has been considered and approved by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and said Finance Committee recommends the adoption of said resolution.

Alfred Roncovieri, Chairman, Finance Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed from Estate of Mary F. S. Lemos, and Payment of $5,000 for Property Located at Livermore and Required for Hetch Hetchy Division.**

(Code No. 12.17151)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2342, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following named party to certain property in Alameda County, required in connection with the operation and maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy power system, and that the sum set forth opposite his name be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 567.500.24:

John S. Lemos, Administrator of the Estate of Mary F. S. Lemos, deceased, $5,000.

Beginning at a point on the western line of the southwest one-quarter of the southeast one-quarter of Section 9, Township 3 South, Range 2 East, M. D. B. & M., distant thereon 13 chains south from the point of intersection thereof with the northerly line of said southwest one-quarter of the southeast one-quarter of Section 9; running thence south along said western line 7.05 chains, more or less, to the northerly line of County Road No. 1515; thence easterly along said last named line 1.45 chains; thence at right angles northerly 7.05 chains, more or less, to an intersection thereof with a line drawn easterly from the point of beginning at right angles to said western line of said southwest one-quarter of the southeast one-quarter of Section 9; and thence at right angles westerly along said line so drawn 1/45 chains to the point of beginning.

Containing 1.02 acres, more or less.

Being a portion of the said southwest one-quarter of the southeast one-quarter of Section 9, Township 3 South, Range 2 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Extending Time of Furnishing Water to Martha A. Arnold Property.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 2243, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors accepted a certain deed dated May 11, 1926, from Martha A. Arnold, et al., to certain property including a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the Grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the Grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the Grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1935, by Resolution No. 1771 of this Board, adopted February 4, 1935; and

Whereas, said Martha A. Arnold, et al., have requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to October 1, 1936, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and

County of San Francisco, a written agreement with Martha A. Arnold, et al., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1936, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 889, Ordinance No. 12.172526, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City-owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Commission:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street distant southerly 275 feet along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street; said point of commencement being also the northwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux dated April 20, 1928 and recorded April 21, 1928 in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; running thence from said point of commencement along said easterly line of Diamond street northerly 10.09 feet; thence at an angle of 90 degrees to the right and along a line parallel to and distant 10.09 feet measured at right angles northerly from the northerly line of said portion of land described as an exception in said deed easterly 51.79 feet to an intersection with a line distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly line (or the northeasterly extension thereof) of said portion of land described as an exception; thence along said line southwesterly 15.29 feet to the northerly line of said portion of land; thence along said northerly line westerly 40.31 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Authorizing Acquisition of Land for Reopening Grand View Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2341, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept the quitclaim deed dated December 31, 1935, from James J. Roddy to cer-

tain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the reopening of Grand View avenue.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Report of Special Committee on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power. Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved to take up report of the Special Committee on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Whereupon, the following report was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,

January 9, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Special Committee to Study and Report on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power, consisting of Supervisors McSheehy (Chairman), Colman, Havenner, Meyer, Shannon, at its meeting Thursday, January 9, 1936, took the following action with regard to the four plans of procedure as to the disposal of Hetch Hetchy power submitted by the Public Utilities Commission December 20, 1935:

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved that this Committee recommend that the Board of Supervisors approve Plan 4, and submit it to the Secretary of the Interior Ickes. The other three plans can be taken back by the Committee that will go to Washington, and if the Secretary disapproves of Plan 4 we can ask him if he approves of any of the other three plans.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Shannon —5. (Unanimous.)

Respectfully submitted,

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO STUDY AND REPORT ON DISPOSAL OF HETCH HETCHY POWER,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman.

JESSE C. COLMAN,
Member.

FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Member.

FRED W. MEYER,
Member.

WARREN SHANNON,
Member.

After discussion, the following resolution, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, was *adopted* by the following vote:

**Submission of Plan 4 of the Public Utilities Commission for the Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power to the Secretary of the Interior.**

(Code No. 15.032)

Resolution No. 2345, as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the Board does hereby approve Plan No. 4 entitled "Scheme Four, subject: Distributing the Full Capacity of the Hetch Hetchy plants," as indicated in the report filed December 20, 1935, by the Public Utilities Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That this matter be forwarded to the Secretary of the Interior of the United States in due time, and that other plans heretofore reported by the Public Utilities Commission accompany the same for the information and action of the Secretary, in the event he disapproves Plan No. 4.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Explanations of Vote.**

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that, on the advice of the City Attorney, the adoption of the foregoing had no legal effect on any future action of this Board of Supervisors and that it did not bind members in any way, that he would vote in favor of it.

Supervisor Uhl read the following statement into the record, to-wit:

*From Secretary Ickes' Letter, August* 24, 1935.

"The question before me for decision is whether the action of the City and County of San Francisco in contracting with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the distribution of electric power generated by it in connection with the Hetch Hetchy Project constitutes a violation of the provisions of the Raker Act (38 Stat. 242). By that act the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to construct and operate the Hetch Hetchy Project within the Yosemite National Park, the Stanislaus National Forest and the public domain of the United States.

"In the autumn of 1934 I instructed the Solicitor of the Department of the Interior to advise me whether, in his opinion, the Raker Act was being violated. Shortly thereafter I directed the Director of the Division of Investigations to investigate and report to me on the facts in connection with the arrangement between the City and County of San Francisco and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, whereby the latter named corporation was distributing this electric power. This report on the facts was submitted to me on February 4, last, with an accompanying memorandum by the Solicitor on the law involved. Thereafter, on May 6, last, I held an open hearing at the Interior Department in the City of Washington at which San Francisco and other interested parties were represented. At and since the time of that hearing several briefs pertinent to the inquiry have been filed with me and a considerable amount of argumentative correspondence has been received.

"After careful consideration of all the facts presented and of the arguments made on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and other interested parties, I have come to the conclusion that the provisions of the Raker Act are being and for some time past have been violated.

"As the act expressly prohibits the sale of power for the purpose of resale, despite which San Francisco is actually selling Hetch Hetchy power for the purpose of resale. In such circumstances there is, in my opinion, no possible conclusion other than that San Francisco is not complying, either absolutely or 'reasonably', as specified in section 9 (u) of the act, with the requirements prescribed by Congress. The Raker Act is clearly being violated."

Now regarding Plan No. 4, despite Mr. Ickes' opinion above set forth, Mr. Cahill in Plan No. 4 states: "A more satisfactory arrangement from every standpoint is for the City to continue the present contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by which it will deliver at Newark, the entire output of Hetch Hetchy at a 75 per cent load factor." It is inconceivable how Mr. Cahill can suggest such an arrangement in the face of Mr. Ickes' opinion.

This plan is certainly most favorable to San Francisco, and I hope that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company will approve same. I will vote in favor of asking the Pacific Gas and Electric Company if they will accept Plan No. 4 with the distinct understanding, however, that it is the plan of Mr. Cahill, manager of the Public Utilities Commission and not the plan of the Board of Supervisors.

I cannot conceive of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company putting San Francisco into the power business as their competitor, and having San Francisco take from the Pacific Gas and Electric 37 per cent of its power business, neither can I conceive of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company giving San Francisco the benefit of its 75 per cent load factor in face of San Francisco taking from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company 37 per cent of its business.

Therefore, my judgment is that we should ascertain from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company whether they will accept Plan No. 4 before we decide to send a delegation to Washington to wait on Mr. Ickes.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that Mr. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, be authorized to make appointment with Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, for hearing delegation from San Francisco to present report.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Shannon moved that the President be authorized to appoint the Mayor, the Manager of Utilities Cahill and himself and one other as members of the committee to go to Washington to present the Board's recommendation to the Secretary of the Interior.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—George Filmer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2347, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable George Filmer, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days commencing January 16, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Promote Sixth Annual Charity Football Game Under Auspices of Knights of Columbus, Kezar Stadium, January 19, 1936.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2348, as follows:

Whereas, the Sixth Annual Charity Football Game held under the auspices of the San Francisco Chapter of the Knights of Columbus will be played at Kezar Stadium, January 19, 1936; and

Whereas, the proceeds of this game are used to maintain the Knights of Columbus Free Non-Sectarian Employment Bureau and to assist other charities of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the promotion of the Sixth Annual Knights of Columbus Charity Football Game.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appointment of Standing Committees.**

The following was presented by President Havenner, read and made part of the record:

January 9, 1936.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I hereby announce the appointment of the following standing committees of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for the current biennium:

Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development—Shannon, Meyer, Roncovieri.

Education, Parks and Recreation—Colman, Uhl, McSheehy.

Finance, Revenue and Taxation—Roncovieri, Brown, Shannon.

Fire, Safety and Police—Mead, Ratto, Uhl.

Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service—McSheehy, Mead, Colman.

Public Health—Schmidt, Roncovieri, Meyer.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning—Brown, Schmidt, Ratto.

Public Utilities—Meyer, Colman, McSheehy.

Public Welfare—Uhl, Brown, Schmidt.

Streets and Traffic—Ratto, Shannon, Mead.

Very truly yours,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
President.

**Improvement of Streets in Excelsior Homestead District and Bay View District.**

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Geo. Logsdon, Excelsior Improvement Club and Bay View Civic Club regarding improvement of streets in Excelsior Homestead and Bay View Districts.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Sale of Poultry and Rabbits.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Jas. A. Hughes, Sealer of Weights and Measures, recommending that Section 2, of Ordinance 2698, be amended so as to provide that poultry and rabbits shall be sold by weight and not by the piece.

*Referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

**Hearing on Alleged Discriminations in Administration of Old-Age Pensions.**

Communication from Jas. F. Brennan requesting a hearing on alleged discriminations in administration of old age pension law.

*Hearing fixed for Monday, January* 20, 1936, *at* 4 *p. m.*

### Alta California Conference at Sacramento.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from Edward Morris, Managing Director, Alta California, Inc., inviting attendance at meeting to be held in County Court House at Sacramento, January 18, 1936, at 10 a. m.

*Clerk directed to send copies to each member and the original to the Controller as chairman of Special Committee on Taxation and Legislation.*

### National Defense Week.

Supervisor Brown referring to his resolution for observance of National Defense Week stated that the Mayor had declared February 12 to February 22, 1936, "National Defense Week," and had appointed a Citizens Committee to make necessary arrangements, which will meet in the Chambers of the Board on Friday, January 17, 1936, at 2 p. m. He invited the members of the Board of Supervisors to be present.

### Announcements.

Supervisor McSheehy, as chairman of the Judiciary Committee, announced a meeting of said committee for Thursday, January 16, 1936, at 2 p. m., at which time matter of Judges tenure of office will be considered.

Supervisor Meyer as chairman of the Public Utilities Committee announced a meeting of said committee for Wednesday, January 15, 1936, at 3 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the Clerk instruct Miss Commerford to hereafter notify members of committee meetings on the morning of the meeting in addition to the usual written notice.

*So ordered.*

### Free Distribution of Symphony Tickets.

Supervisor Uhl declared that he was in receipt of two packages of Municipal Symphony Concert tickets and calculated that each package represented a value of $8.00. He did not believe that free tickets should be given away to a special lot of favored individuals and would like to see the practice stopped. He requested a list of the persons receiving free tickets and asked for the City Attorney's opinion as to the right of anyone under the charter to give away free tickets.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 20, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 3

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, January 20, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JANUARY 20, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 20, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present at 2:22 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 8 and 13, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matters were taken up:

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**
(Code No. 11.0821)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 727, Ordinance No. 11.08211, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series) and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B, or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San

Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsover into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply

station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited. *All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

**Amendment to Garage Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.0822)

Bill No. 776, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivison (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any school, church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e) ), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre, or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing.

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved to consider the question for one-half hour.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved six persons be allowed to address the Board, three who favor the amendment submitted by Supervisor Ratto and three who oppose it, and that five minutes' time be given each speaker.

Motion *carried.*

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Whereupon, Mrs. Harry Thomas, Mrs. Thomas Best, and Robert Troy were heard in favor of Supervisor Ratto's amendment.

Mr. Lyon, representing Bay District Council Ornamental Iron Workers; Charles Brennan, Chief of Fire Department, and Andrew J. Gallagher representing Southern Promotion Association were heard in opposition to the amendment.

**Proposed Amendments.**

Supervisor Ratto moved to amend as follows:

Strike out the last four lines of Section 2 and insert instead thereof, the following: "or other premises, if any exterior boundary of said lot, wharf, pier or other premises shall come within sixty (60) feet of any exterior boundary of any real property on which any church or any theatre is constructed, or within two hundred (200) feet of any exterior boundary of any real property on which any school or any hospital is constructed, all of which said measurements are to be taken in a straight line."

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—6.

*Explanation of Vote.*

Supervisor Meyer explained his vote by saying that before the last session of the Board he was opposed to the rigid 200-foot limitations and that his opinion has not been changed in any way by the argument, that is why he votes *no.*

Supervisor Brown moved to amend by inserting the word "any" in the fourteenth line of Section 2 before the word "boundary."

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

The Clerk explained that such an amendment would necessitate republication of the ordinance.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown requested to withdraw the amendment and Supervisor Colman moved to rescind the vote on it.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

**Final Passage.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bills in words and figures following were finally passed by the following vote:

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**

**(Code No. 11.0821)**

Bill No. 727, Ordinance No. 11.08211, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

**Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:**

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which

has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services

as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall oe determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept under-

ground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion

while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited. *All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and

shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

**Amendment to Garage Ordinance.**
(Code No. 11.0822)

Also, Bill No. 776, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any school, church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the boundaries of which shall come within sixty (60) feet of the property line of any school, church, theatre, or within 200 feet of the exterior boundary of any hospital building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing.

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

## SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

### Old-Age Pension Law.

Hearing of complaint of Jas. F. Brennan re discrimination in administration of Old-Age Pension Law.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Assemblyman Wm. B. Hornblower and Assemblyman Jas. F. Brennan were heard at length respecting the duty and responsibility of the Board of Supervisors with respect to the administration of the Old-Age Pension Law as set forth in Assembly Bill No. 767.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that Assembly Bill No. 767, together with accompanying brief, be referred to the Public Welfare Committee for consideration.

Motion *carried* and meeting set for Thursday January 23, 1936, Room 228. Clerk to notify City Administrative Officer Cleary and Mrs. Schenck of the County Welfare Department and State officials.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following bills, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $39,999.48 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the Credit of Department of Elections.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 881, Ordinance No. 9.051223, as follows:

Appropriating $39,999.48 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of Department of Elections,

being reimbursement of amount expended for conduct of Special Election held August 13, 1935, as per Resolution No. 2034.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $39,999.48 be and the same is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Department of Elections; being reimbursement of amount expended for the conduct of Special Election held August 13, 1935, as per Resolution No. 2034.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Regulating Sale of Salvaged Goods and Merchandise.**
(Code No. 17.19)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 871, Ordinance No. 17.193, as follows:

Regulating the business of dealing in salvaged goods and merchandise, defining certain terms used in this ordinance; providing for the issuance of permits to engage in said business or to deal in salvaged goods and merchandise, fixing the fee to be paid for said permit, and providing for the inspection of places where salvaged goods and merchandise are sold, kept or stored, and providing an inspection fee in connection with the business of dealing in salvaged goods and merchandise for the purpose of defraying the cost of inspection and regulation, giving to the Director of Public Health the right to make regulations to carry out the purposes of this ordinance and providing a penalty for its violation or for the violation of said regulations.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purposes of this ordinance the term "salvaged goods and merchandise" is hereby defined as follows:

"Any article of food or any article which may be used for food by human beings or by animals, or any chemical or other substance which may be added to food or to foodstuffs, alcoholic beverages, or any drug or compounded drugs, medicines, toilet articles, cosmetics, lotions, liniments or similar articles, or any commodity, powder, liquid or solid compound or mixture used or to be used in and about any home, household, hotel, apartment house or dwelling for cleaning, disinfecting or deodorizing purposes, including insecticides and similar articles, or tobacco or tobacco products, when the packages, cans, cartons or other containers in which the individual containers of said articles are packed for shipment or sale are damaged, torn, broken, swollen, wet, burned or rusted, or where the individual containers of said articles are damaged, torn, broken, swollen, wet, burned or rusted, or where the labels on the individual containers of any such article are defaced so that the name of the manufacturer or packer originally appearing on said label cannot be ascertained."

Section 2. The term "person" as used in this ordinance shall mean any individual, association of individuals, copartnership or corporation.

Section 3. A "dealer" in salvaged goods and merchandise is hereby defined to be a person who, either exclusively or in connection with any other business, buys, sells, distributes or deals in salvaged goods and merchandise, and who is the holder of a permit from the Director of Public Health so to do; provided, however, that persons selling salvaged goods or merchandise to salvage "dealers" as well as those who purchase the same from salvage "dealers" and sell same directly to the retail trade, shall not be considered "dealers" in salvaged goods and merchandise.

Section 4. No person shall engage in the business of selling or distributing salvaged goods or merchandise, as defined in this ordinance,

in the City and County of San Francisco, nor shall any person sell or distribute, or offer for sale or distribution, any salvaged goods or merchandise in said City and County without first obtaining a permit to so do from the Director of Public Health. Applications for such permits shall be upon blanks provided by the Department of Public Health and shall state the name of the person applying for same, the general character of salvaged goods or merchandise which will be dealt in, sold or distributed, and the place where said business is to be carried on, and if said applicant is not regularly engaged in the business of dealing in salvaged goods and merchandise, then the place where the sale or distribution of said salvaged goods and merchandise shall take place, as well as the place where the said salvaged goods and merchandise are stored and the general character thereof. A fee of ten ($10.00) dollars shall accompany each application for any permit to engage in the business of selling or distributing salvaged goods or merchandise, said fee to be retained by the City irrespective as to whether said permit is granted or not; provided, however, that nothing contained in this ordinance shall prevent a person who is not a salvage "dealer" as defined in section 3 of this ordinance and who is the owner or custodian of any salvaged goods or merchandise from selling or distributing the same if said salvaged goods or merchandise are inspected by the Department of Public Health and a permit for the sale and distribution thereof is issued by said Department; the cost of said inspection to be paid by the person requesting said permit before the same is issued at the rate of $2.00 per hour.

The Director of Public Health, before issuing any permit to any person to engage in the business of selling or distributing salvaged goods or merchandise, shall make an investigation of the character of the applicant, his methods of storing, handling and receiving said salvaged goods and merchandise and shall exercise his sound discretion in granting or refusing to grant said permit, and if said permit is requested by a person not regularly engaged in the business of dealing in salvaged goods or merchandise, the said Director of Public Health shall investigate the condition of said salvaged goods or merchandise to be sold or distributed by said person and if he finds that said salvaged goods or merchandise are in such condition that the same may be used for the purposes for which they were manufactured or packed, he may issue a permit for the sale and distribution of the same.

Section 5. Any person not regularly engaged in the business of selling or disposing of salvaged goods or merchandise and who is the owner of, or has under his control any such goods or merchandise, may sell or dispose of the same to any person who is a "dealer" as defined in this ordinance, and any person who shall receive salvaged goods or merchandise from any "dealer," or from any person having a permit to sell the same, need not obtain any additional permit for the purpose of selling or distributing the same to the general public, provided that the said salvaged goods or merchandise have been inspected by the Department of Public Health.

Section 6. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health, through his duly authorized representatives, to inspect from time to time all places where salvaged goods and merchandise are sold, kept or distributed, and whenever it shall be found that said salvaged goods and merchandise, or any part thereof, are unfit for the purposes for which they were manufactured or packed, or for which they are being offered for sale, to cause the same to be destroyed as constituting a public nuisance, and the cost of such destruction shall be a charge against the person in whose possession said unfit salvaged goods or merchandise may be found and the amount of said cost shall be payable to the Director of Public Health for the City and County upon demand.

Section 7. All inspections made by the Director of Public Health pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall consist in such examination of any salvaged goods and merchandise as will determine their fitness for any of the purposes for which they are sold,

offered for sale, or to be sold, and when in the opinion of the Director of Public Health it is necessary to analyze any sample of any salvaged goods or merchandise, said Director of Public Health or his agents, may take such article or such portions thereof as may be necessary to determine said fitness, and said determination may be made by laboratory or such other tests as the Director of Public Health shall deem proper. Pending the determination of said tests, the Director of Public Health may prohibit the sale or distribution or removal of any part of said salvaged goods or merchandise which are subject to said examination. The cost of all inspections and examinations shall be paid by the owner or custodian of said salvaged goods or merchandise, and the failure to pay such sum upon demand shall be sufficient ground to revoke said owner's or custodian's permit to deal in such goods and merchandise, and if said owner or custodian be not a salvage "dealer", the Director of Public Health shall not issue a permit for the sale or distribution of said goods or merchandise until the fee covering such inspection, examination or analysis has been paid.

Salvaged goods and merchandise which have been inspected pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or purchased from a salvage "dealer" and which are resold by the purchaser thereof directly to the public shall not be subject to reinspection, nor shall the dealer in such articles be required to obtain a permit to resell the same except in so far as said goods may be subject to inspection or license to sell the same by any other law or ordinance.

Where economic poisons form a part of any salvaged goods or merchandise such economic poisons shall be disposed of only in accordance with the provisions of sections 1065 and 1066 of the Agricultural Code of the State of California.

Section 8. For the purpose of meeting the cost of regulation and inspection, dealers in salvaged goods and merchandise who are holders of valid permits issued as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance, shall pay to the Tax Collector a fee of twenty-five ($25.00) dollars per quarter commencing March 1, 1936. Upon the payment of said fee the Tax Collector shall issue to said person paying the same a receipt showing said payment, which said receipt shall be conspicuously displayed in the place of business of said dealer. Failure to pay said quarterly fee within the time provided by law shall ipso facto revoke any permit issued as provided in Section 4 hereof.

Dealers in salvaged goods and merchandise paying the quarterly fee provided for shall, in so far as their activities are confined to salvaged goods and merchandise as hereinabove defined, be exempt from the provisions of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) and also from the provisions of Section 29 of the Merchandise Brokers Ordinance and Section 3 of the Second Hand Dealers Ordinance, as well as from the provisions of Ordinance 3.0411 and 3.04121.

Section 9. The Director of Public Health shall make such rules and regulations regarding the sale, distribution, storing, handling and possession of any salvaged goods or merchandise as he shall deem proper to carry into effect and to accomplish the purposes of this ordinance and to prevent the contamination of said goods and merchandise, or to prevent the same being sold or distributed in such a manner as might be dangerous or injurious to the health or safety of any person, and when said rules are so made they shall be as effective as if the same were a part of this ordinance.

Section 10. Any permit granted pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be suspended by the Director of Public Health, and after notice to the holders thereof may be revoked by said Director, for any violation of this ordinance or for the violation of any rules or regulations of said Director made under authority of this ordinance, or for the violation of any law, rule or regulation of the State of California or of the City and County of San Francisco, relative to the sale, keeping or distribution of any article coming within the definition of salvaged goods or merchandise.

Section 11. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500.00) dollars or to imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding six months or to both said fine and imprisonment.

Section 12. If any section, subsection, sentence, phrase or part of this ordinance shall be declared unconstitutional, or if the same shall be declared contrary to the provisions of the charter of the City and County of San Francisco, or be declared illegal or beyond the powers of the Board of Supervisors to enact the same, said Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that it would have passed the remainder of said ordinance irrespective of said fact, and the said declaration shall have no effect upon the remainder of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $75,778 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County, Month of February, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 899, Ordinance No. 9.051229, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $75,778 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $75,778 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Cvil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2355, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain real property situated in the City and

County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17:

M. Lorenzen ..... $5,000.00
Lot 42, Assessor's Block 1260.
Emma Fehlen ..... 539.85
Portion of Lot 27, Assessor's Block 1260.
Selma Carlson ..... 6,500.00
Lot 21, Assessor's Block 1260.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer from Emma Fehlen, on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of the land to be acquired from her.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2358, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Curtis C. Cloer and Harriet M. Cloer, a portion of Lot 26, Assessor's Block 1260, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, for the sum of $67.75, payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Lease of Building from American Trust Company for Branch Library.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 2356, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Library Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized to enter into a written lease with American Trust Company, as lessor, for a branch library, of the premises at Nos. 7 and 9 San Juan avenue and No. 8 Ocean avenue, San Francisco, for a term of two years, beginning November 1, 1935, at a rental of $45 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 514.800.00.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Availability of funds for year 1935-36 approved by Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2357, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936 to the hereinafter named; being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

1—Julia L. Richards, as per Vol. 12, Bill No. 1688, Lot 18, Block 1655, fiscal year 1934 ..... $135.22

2—Citizens' Federal Savings and Loan Association, as per Vol. 36, Bill No. 817, Lot 33, Block 6020, year 1935 ($29.12), Vol. 37, Bill No. 834, Lot 13, Block 6194, year 1935 ($5.89), Vol. 41, Bill No. 2142, Lot. 1/1A, Block 6773, year 1935 ($9.20) 44.91

3—John H. McKeown, Vol. 19, Bill No. 673, Lot 17, Block 2656, 1934 ..... 1.00

4—Omar A. Philpott, per Vol. 16, page 68, line 22, 1935 unsecured personal property taxes ..... 2.12

5—Eda C. Hoffman, per Vols. 35 and 44, Bills Nos. 1568 and 177, Lots 5 and 1, Blocks 5816 and 5801, year 1934 (77c and $3.86) ..... 4.63

6—Louis Del Monte, per Vol. 1, Bill No. 2263, Lot 6, Block 128, 1935 ..... 55.04

7—Capital Company, per Vol. 1, Bill No. 2444, Lot 26, Block 133, 1935 ..... 83.21

8—The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, per Vol. 2, Bill No. 2767, Lot 3, Block 298, 1935 ..... 351.07

9—Mrs. B. Liebman, per Vol. 3, Bill No. 777, Lot 27, Block 436D, 1935 ..... 31.12

10—Bank of America National Trust & Savings Association, per Vol. 8, Bill No. 2780, Lot 2, Block 1292, 1935 ..... 56.11

11—Frank R. Sterling, per Vol. 12, Bill No. 1117, Lot 41, Block 1641 ..... 49.52

12—The Hibernia Savings & Loan Society, per Vol. 26, Bill No. 629, Lot 26, Block 3758, 1935 ..... 568.86

13—Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, per Vol. 34, Bill No. 964, Lot 3, Block 5674, 1935 ..... 25.96

14—Adolf Meyerson, per Vol. 35, Bill No. 1795, Lot 21, Block 5925, 1935 ..... 16.57

15—American Trust Company, per Vol. 42, Bill No. 48, Lot 7, Block 6798, 1935 ..... 56.70

16—Mary Jigour, per Vol. 27, Bill No. 1468, Lot 2-I, Blocks 4107-4124, 1935 ..... 16.57

17——Trevor & Co., per Vol. 26, Bill No. 421, Lot 14A, Block 3754, 1935 ..... 103.28

18—Capital Company, per Vol. 7, Bill No. 1606, Lot 3, Block 1149, 1935 ..... 28.90

19—The San Francisco Bank, per Vol. 35, Bills Nos. 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, Lots 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, Block 5875 (6 @ $2.21 each), Vol. 22, Bill No. 2616, Lot 6, Block 3272 ($62.78); Vol. 16, Bill No. 984, Lot 1-D, Block 2139 ($37), Vol. 20, Bill No. 1483, Lot 6, Block 2888-A ($48.05); Vol. 25, Bill No. 1655, Lot 10, Block 3721 ($713), Vol. 12, Bill No. 1357, Lot 32, Block 1647 ($47.86), all of fiscal year 1935 ..... 921.95

20—Carlos Solorzano, per Vol. 25, Bill No. 2412, Lot 60, Block 3730, year 1934 ..... 331.50

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 36 of Salary Ordinance (Public Administrator) by Retitling Employments and Fixing Salaries of Items 2 and 3.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 898, Ordinance No. 9.05376, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 by retitling the employments enumerated under items 2 and 3 thereof to conform to the civil service classification of duties, authorizing and designating the said employments as part-time employments and fixing compensations therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 36. Department of Finance and Records—Public Administrator.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 375 |
| 2 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 300 |
| 3 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 250 |
| 4 | 1 | B173 | Public Administrator | 666.66 |
| 5 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 6 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 666.66 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

## Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation from Surplus Existing in Appropriation 518.200.01 (M. H. deYoung Museum) for Purpose of Furnishing and Equipping Galleries Constructed by Works Progress Administration. An emergency ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 900, Ordinance No. 9.051230, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $4,000 to the credit of 518.804.00 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 518.200.01 for the purpose of providing funds for furnishing and equipping galleries now being constructed by the Works Progress Administration.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 518.200.01 to the credit of 518.804.00 for the purpose of providing funds for furnishing and equipping galleries now being constructed by the Works Progress Administration.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as funds must be provided for the uninterrupted continuation of work being performed

in agreement with the government Works Progress Administration project.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Recommended: H. Fleishhacker, Board of Trustees of the M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum.
Funds Available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Appropriating $6,000 from Surplus in Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, for Defraying Expenses of Delegation to Washington, D. C., with Reference to Distribution of Power Under the Raker Act.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 901, Ordinance No. 9.051231, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $6,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 567.201.00 for the purpose of defraying expenses in connection with a hearing before the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C., on January 28, 1936, in reference to the distribution of power under the provisions of the Raker Act: an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 567.201.00 for the purpose of defraying expenses in connection with a hearing before the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C., on January 28, 1936, in reference to the distribution of power under the provisions of the Raker Act.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as funds must be immediately provided for the uninterrupted operation of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Division which may result unless authorized officials are able to attend the aforementioned hearing on the date set by the Secretary of the Interior.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved: John J. Sharon, Assistant Secretary.
Recommended: Paul J. Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer.
Funds Available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Declaring That the Public Interest Requires the Submission to the Electors of the City and County of San Francisco of the Proposal to Adopt the Provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California Relative to the Method of Selecting Judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, and Providing for the Submission of Said Proposal to the Electors.**

(Code No. 7.01)

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Resolution No. 2359, as follows:

Whereas, the electors of the State of California at a general election held on November 6, 1934, adopted an amendment to Article VI of the

Constitution of the State of California providing for method of selection of justices of the Supreme Court and of the District Courts of Appeal and judges of the Superior Court, which amendment is designated as Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California; and

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California adopted an act entitled "An act to provide means for making applicable to judges of the Superior Courts the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of this State relating to the method of selecting judges," which said act was approved by the Governor on July 15, 1935, and constitutes Chapter 574 of Statutes of 1935 and which said act is in full force and effect.

Whereas, it is provided by said constitutional section that its provisions shall not apply to the judge or judges of the Superior Court of any county until a majority of the electors of such county voting on the question of the adoption of such provisions, in a manner to be provided for by the Legislature, shall vote in favor thereof; and

Whereas, the said act hereinabove entitled provides for the manner of submission of such proposal and for the certification of the action of the electors thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that the public interest requires the submission to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco of the proposal to adopt the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California as applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco;

That the said proposal that the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California be made applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco be and the same is hereby submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Presidential primary election to be held on Tuesday, the 5th day of May, 1936;

That the Registrar of Voters is hereby directed to take all necessary steps to submit the said proposal to the electors at the said election and to include the same on the official ballot for said election in the manner provided by said Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution and by said act of the Legislature hereinabove entitled;

That if the said proposal is approved at said election by a majority of the votes cast thereon the Board of Supervisors shall cause a certificate signed by the President of said Board as chairman thereof and duly dated to be filed with the Secretary of State reciting that the said proposal to adopt the provisions of said Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution as applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco was approved by a majority of the votes cast thereon at said election.

(Supervisor Mead dissents.)

**Submitting to the Electors of the City and County of San Francisco the Proposal That Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California Relative to the Method of Selecting Judges of the Superior Court Be Made Applicable to the Judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 7.01)

Resolution No. 2360, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has this day adopted a resolution pursuant to Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California and Chapter 574 of the Statutes of 1935, wherein and whereby the said Board of Supervisors did declare that the public interest requires the submission to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco of the

proposal to adopt the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California as applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the proposal that the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California be made applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco be and the same is hereby submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Presidential primary election to be held on Tuesday, the 5th day of May, 1936;

That the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to take all necessary steps to submit the said proposal to the electors at the said election and to include the same on the official ballot for said election in the manner provided by said Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California and by said Chapter 574 of the Statutes of 1935 in such manner that the electors may vote for or against the said proposal by voting "YES" or "NO" thereon.

(Supervisor Mead dissents.)

**Committee of the Whole.**

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of giving consideration to the foregoing recommendations of the Judiciary Committee.

All members heretofore noted being present. President Havenner in the chair.

**Protest.**

Communication from San Francisco Labor Council protesting proposed resolutions was presented and read by the Clerk.

Milton Marks and Harry Young, attorneys, were heard in favor of the resolutions as recommended.

George Gearhardt, representing the Civic League, stated that his organization took no stand for or against the proposition, but that if the matter was submitted to the people that it be submitted at the November election when a more complete expression of the people may be had.

John O'Connell, secretary of Labor Council; Edw. Vandeleur, president of Labor Council; and M. Schultz, representing United German Societies, were heard in opposition to the measure, but urging that if it be submitted that it be put on the November ballot instead of the May ballot.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved as an amendment that the resolution provide for submission of the question at the November election instead of the May election.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto—5.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto—5.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the resolution be amended in next to last paragraph by striking out the words "fifth day of May" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "third day of November"; also strike out the word "primary".

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto—5.

**Resolution Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto—5.

**Committee of the Whole Arises.**

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported the following resolution for *adoption*:

**Declaring That the Public Interest Requires the Submission to the Electors of the City and County of San Francisco of the Proposal to Adopt the Provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California Relative to the Method of Selecting Judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, and Providing for the Submission of Said Proposal to the Electors.**

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 2359, as follows:

Whereas, the electors of the State of California at a general election held on November 6, 1934, adopted an amendment to Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California providing for method of selection of justices of the Supreme Court and of the District Courts of Appeal and judges of the Superior Court, which amendment is designated as Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California; and

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California adopted an act entitled "An act to provide means for making applicable to judges of the Superior Courts the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of this State relating to the method of selecting judges," which said act was approved by the Governor on July 15, 1935, and constitutes Chapter 574 of Statutes of 1935 and which said act is in full force and effect.

Whereas, it is provided by said constitutional section that its provisions shall not apply to the judge or judges of the Superior Court of any county until a majority of the electors of such county voting on the question of the adoption of such provisions, in a manner to be provided for by the Legislature, shall vote in favor thereof; and

Whereas, the said act hereinabove entitled provides for the manner of submission of such proposal and for the certification of the action of the electors thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declares that the public interest requires the submission to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco of the proposal to adopt the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California as applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco;

That the said proposal that the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI

of the Constitution of the State of California be made applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco be and the same is hereby submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Presidential election to be held on Tuesday, the 3rd day of November, 1936.

That the Registrar of Voters is hereby directed to take all necessary steps to submit the said proposal to the electors at the said election and to include the same on the official ballot for said election in the manner provided by said Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution and by said act of the Legislature hereinabove entitled;

That if the said proposal is approved at said election by a majority of the votes cast thereon the Board of Supervisors shall cause a certificate signed by the President of said Board as chairman thereof and duly dated to be filed with the Secretary of State reciting that the said proposal to adopt the provisions of said Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution as applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco was approved by a majority of the votes cast thereon at said election.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Submitting to the Electors of the City and County of San Francisco the Proposal That Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California Relative to the Method of Selecting Judges of the Superior Court Be Made Applicable to the Judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 2360, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has this day adopted a resolution pursuant to Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California and Chapter 574 of the Statutes of 1935, wherein and whereby the said Board of Supervisors did declare that the public interest requires the submission to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco of the proposal to adopt the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California as applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the proposal that the provisions of Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California be made applicable to the judges of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco be and the same is hereby submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the Presidential election to be held on Tuesday, the third day of November, 1936.

That the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to take all necessary steps to submit the said proposal to the electors at the said election and to include the same on the official ballot for said election in the manner provided by said Section 26 of Article VI of the Constitution of the State of California and by said Chapter 574 of the Statutes of 1935 in such manner that the electors may vote for or against the said proposal by voting "YES" or "NO" thereon.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

*Explanation of Vote.*

Supervisor Havenner explained his vote:

"I was opposed to this originally and I voted against it and I am still opposed to and intend to vote against it.

"When the people, however, vote that the County shall exercise its legal right, that right of legal petition should be regarded by the responsible officials of the County. I am going to vote against it in May and in November.

"I am impressed by the argument that the largest number of voters should be allowed to express themselves. It is obvious that the larger numbers will not be able to express themselves in May and will in November.

"I will support the amendment from May to November."

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), Relating to Sale of Poultry.**

(Code No. 11.17)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Bill No. 891, Ordinance No. 11.171, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Sale of Bread, Meat, Coal, Milk, Cream, Butter, Ice, Hay, Straw, Grain, Mill Feed and Other Commodities and Merchandise, Requiring Inspection by the Sealer of Weights and Measures and Providing a Penalty for the Violation Thereof," *relating to the sale of poultry.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, at any place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, to advertise, offer for sale or sell, or to cause or knowingly permit the advertising, offering for sale or selling of, any smoked, fresh or pickled meats, poultry, rabbits or fish, except shanks, offal, heads and plucks, other than by weight, determined on a scale by weight or a beam, properly sealed by the Department of Weights and Measures.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution, heretofore this day adopted, was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon again taken up, the action rescinded and the resolution *laid over for one week*:

**Permit to Market Street Railway to Operate Buses in Crocker Amazon District.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 2349, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Geneva avenue and Mission street; thence on Mission street to Amazon street; thence on Amazon street to Moscow street; thence on Moscow street to Geneva avenue and South Hill boulevard; thence on South Hill boulevard to Baltimore way; thence on Baltimore way to Cordova street; thence on Cordova street to Naples street and Geneva avenue; and thence on Geneva avenue to Mission street and the point of commencement.

That the permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms

and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and for the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceedings instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all State and municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of five (5) cents for each passenger shall be charged, which such fare, when paid on a bus, shall entitle the passenger to a transfer to the street railway lines of the Market Street Railway Company on Mission street, and the Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street line transfers which will be accepted in in lieu of fare on said buses traveling over the route herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit, subject to all the conditions herein contained.

(Supervisor McSheehy dissents.)

**Re-referred.**

The following resolution was *re-referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

**Endorsing Application of Transcontinental & Western Air, Inc., to Establish Air Passenger and Express Service Between San Francisco and Albuquerque, New Mexico.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 2350, as follows:

Whereas, Transcontinental & Western Air, Inc., has applied to the Interstate Commerce Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to establish an air passenger and express service between San Francisco and Albuquerque, New Mexico, via Las Vegas, Nevada, and Winslow, Arizona; and

Whereas, the granting of this certificate will afford better service to San Francisco by the saving of time and the covering of territory not heretofore served by any air route; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the application of Transcontinental & Western Air, Inc., for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to establish air passenger and express service between San Francisco and Albuquerque, New Mexico; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

**Re-referred.**

The following resolution was *re-referred to Public Utilities Committee*:

**Re Bus Passenger Transportation Over Golden Gate Bridge.**
(Code No. 15.092)

Resolution No. 2352, as follows:

Whereas, the Street Traffic Advisory Board recommends to the Board of Supervisors that motor passenger buses crossing the Golden Gate Bridge be permitted to operate in San Francisco, with the special proviso that no local traffic within the City limits be handled thereon; said buses to be routed over streets agreeable to the properly constituted authorities and subject to all existing traffic rules and regulations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors approves the action of the Street Traffic Advisory Board; and be it

Further Resolved, That the operators of the proposed passenger motor vehicle buses be required to file an application with the Board of Supervisors designating the streets over which the permit is requested to operate the passenger service and also designating the location of terminals. The Board of Supervisors, in the public interest, reserves the right to place legal conditions in the permit, requiring that no local traffic within the City be handled and also designating streets over which service shall be rendered and fixing the location of terminals.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Closing and Abandoning Delta Street Between Leland Avenue and Visitacion Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2353, as follows:

Whereas, on the 25th day of November, 1935, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval, and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 26th day of November, 1935, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close and Abandon Delta Street Between Leland Avenue and Visitacion Avenue.*
(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2264, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Delta street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Delta street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Between the northerly line of Visitacion avenue and the southerly line of Leland avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Delta street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Delta street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, November 25, 1935.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, November 26, 1935.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portions of Delta street described in Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Delta street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said street described in Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said street described in Resolution No. 2264, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bush Street Between Fillmore Street and Presidio Avenue (15 to 10 Feet).**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 892, Ordinance No. 12.073156, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and forty-four (1144).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 23, 1935, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and forty-four (1144), to read as follows:

Section 1144: The width of sidewalks on Bush street between Fillmore street and Presidio avenue shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

**Full Acceptance of Certain Streets—Crocker Amazon Tract.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 893, Ordinance No. 12.081121, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Athens street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Athens street and Cordova street; Edinburgh street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue; London street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue; Paris street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue; Seville street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Seville street and Cordova street; Vienna street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Athens street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Athens street and Cordova street;

Edinburgh street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue;

London street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue;

Paris street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue;

Seville street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Seville street and Cordova street;

Vienna street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Full Acceptance of Certain Streets in Alemany Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 894, Ordinance No. 12.081121, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Theresa street, including the crossing of Cayuga avenue and Cotter street; Cotter street between Alemany boulevard and the southerly line of the De Martini Tract, said southerly line of the De Martini Tract being 167 feet more or less north of the north line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Theresa street, including the crossing of Cayuga avenue and Cotter street;

Cotter street between Alemany boulevard and the southerly line of the De Martini Tract, said southerly line of the De Martini Tract being 167 feet more or less north of the north line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Intention to Close Certain Streets Within the Boundaries of the Proposed Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2354, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues and Pacheco street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues and Pacheco street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Twenty-fifth avenue from the south line of Ortega street to the north line of Quintara street;

Twenty-sixth avenue from the south line of Ortega street to the north line of Quintara street;

Twenty-seventh avenue from the south line of Ortega street to the north line of Quintara street;

Pacheco street from the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue to the east line of Twenty-eighth avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, and Twenty-seventh avenues and Pacheco street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, and Twenty-seventh avenues, and Pacheco street, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Ordering the Improvement of Francisco Street Between Kearny Street and Montgomery Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 895, Ordinance No. 12.061131, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 7, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Francisco street between Kearny street and Montgomery street, where not already improved, including the crossing of Francisco street and Kearny street and excluding that portion of the crossing required by law to be paved by the owner or owners of the railroad track thereon. The improvement is to include the construction of the following items:

| Item No. | Item. |
|---|---|
| 1. | Grading (excavation). |
| 2. | 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place. |
| 3. | 12 x 8-inch Y branches, in place. |
| 4. | 8-inch V. C. P. side sewers. |
| 5. | Brick manhole, complete. |
| 6. | Brick catchbasins, complete. |
| 7. | 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place. |
| 8. | Armored concrete curb. |
| 9. | Asphalt-concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface. |
| 10. | One-course concrete sidewalk. |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 2 and 2A of Block 37; Lot 1A of Block 38; Lots 1, 14 and 15 of Block 55, and Lots 1, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 56, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adding a New Section to Traffic Ordinance to Provide That Jackson and Washington Streets, Between Powell and Larkin Streets, Shall Be 24-Hour "One-Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 896, Ordinance No. 11.0212, as follows:

Adding a new section to Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," to be numbered Section 26-A ("One-Way Streets—All Times").

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be designated Section 26-A, which shall read as follows:

Section 26-A. It shall be unlawful, at any time, for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Westerly in Jackson street between Powell and Larkin streets;

Easterly in Washington street between Larkin and Powell streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Include Sacramento and Clay Streets, Between Mason and Larkin Streets, in List of "One-Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 897, Ordinance No. 11.0213, as follows:

Amending Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. *One-Way Streets.*—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;

Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;

Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;

Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;

*Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;

Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue;

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street;

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue;

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street;

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;
Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;
Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;
Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;
Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;
Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street;
Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;
*Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*
Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California streets;
Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;
Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;
Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets;
Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets;
Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street;

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence for City Officials to Attend Conference in Washington on Hetch Hetchy.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2351, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, the following officials and employees of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 21, 1936, with permission to leave the State:

Franck R. Havenner, President, Board of Supervisors;
Warren Shannon, member, Board of Supervisors;
John J. O'Toole, City Attorney;
E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities;
Paul J. Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer for the Public Utilities Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Death of George V of England**

Supervisor Jesse C. Colman announced the sorrowful news of the death of King George V.

By unanimous rising vote the Board of Supervisors expressed deep sorrow at the passing of his Majesty, and, on behalf of the people of San Francisco, extending profound sympathy for the great loss which has occurred to the British people.

The officials and citizens of San Francisco join in mourning the death of this noble ruler whose wisdom, probity and life exerted a lasting

influence for good upon his own people and the people of the world of all faith and races.

Further, the Board of Supervisors adjourned out of respect to the memory of beloved George V.

### Supervisor Shannon Appointed to Membership in Joint Highway District No. 9.

Supervisor Francis, San Mateo County, called the attention of the Board to the need for appointment of member of Joint Highway District No. 9 in place of former Supervisor Gallagher.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that Supervisor Shannon be so appointed.

Motion *carried.*

### Meeting Announcements.

Supervisor Colman announced meeting of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation for Thursday, 4 p. m., to consider the bequest of the late J. M. Huddart.

Supervisor Meyer announced meeting of the Public Utilities Committee for Wednesday, January 22, at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Mead announced meeting of the Fire, Safety and Police Committee for January 28, 3 p. m.

### Requests.

Supervisor Uhl renewed his request that the Board request from the Art Commission the names of persons to whom tickets for concerts have been given, together with the number of tickets given to each.

Also, from Chief Administrative Officer, list of free telephones supplied to City officials and employees.

### Appointment of Investigators of Applicants for Old Age and Needy Pensions.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Whereas, it has been called to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that Assembly Bill No. 767, Chapter 633, passed at the last session of the State Legislature, vests in the powers of the Board of Supervisors the right to make investigations on applications for old age pensions; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of this City and County are required by law directly or through some authorized investigator, upon receipt of applications for aid, promptly, and without any unnecessary delay, and with all due diligence, to make the necessary investigation; and

Whereas, the report of all investigations must be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and then forwarded by him to the State Social Welfare Bureau at Sacramento; and

Whereas, in the past the Supervisors have permitted the Social Service Department of the City and County of San Francisco to do its work without any supervision or investigation on the part of the Board of Supervisors as to the rights of these applicants or as to discriminations that are being made against them; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby employ such investigators as are necessary to carry out the provisions of the Old Age Security Act as provided for by law of the State of California, and that such investigators shall represent the Board of Supervisors and shall report to them at their meetings on all cases that have been investigated by them or that have been brought to their attention for

the purpose of permitting this Board to ratify the reports of said investigators or rejecting same as provided by law.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Civic League urging that the cooperation of the War Department be sought for the development of the Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Increased Traffic Police, San Mateo County.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Manuel Francis, San Mateo County Supervisor, on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, urging San Francisco to join with San Mateo in presenting to Honorable Ray Ingels, Director, Department of Motor Vehicles, and to E. Raymond Cato, Chief, California Highway Patrol, at meeting to be held in Sacramento, requests for additional highway patrol officers and solution of other traffic problems involved.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee on motion of Supervisor Shannon. Committee to give consideration to matter of appointing representatives from San Francisco to meeting in Sacramento.*

**Relative to Possible Development of Adequate Water Supply, etc., on Land Deeded to City for Recreation Purposes in San Mateo County, Near Woodside.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Supervisor Franck R. Havenner enclosing correspondence received from Albert L. Baker, executor of the estate of J. M. Huddart, deceased, relative to preparation of the report on the possibilities of developing an adequate water supply and making other improvements on lands received in gift from the estate of J. M. Huddart, deceased, in San Mateo County near Woodside, for recreation purposes.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Survey of Erosive Currents Destructive of Southern California Beaches.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from California Beaches Association requesting cooperation in presenting to Congress measures calculated to preserve the beaches of California from destructive ocean currents and erosion.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

**Invitation to Installation of Officers, Central Mission Improvement Association.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Central Mission Improvement Association inviting members of the Board to attend installation of officers of said organization to be held at the club rooms of said organization on Tuesday, January 21, 1936.

Read and ordered *filed.*

**Report of the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from I. A. Richardson, Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection, submitting a report in detail of the accounts and

collections made by said bureau from July 1, 1935, to December 31, 1935.

Ordered *filed.*

**Supervisor Shannon Appointed on Committee to Confer With Secretary of Interior on Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

January 15, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In accordance with motion made by Supervisor Shannon at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, January 13, I have appointed Supervisor Warren Shannon as a member of the Committee authorized to confer with the Secretary of the Interior on the subject of the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

Very truly yours,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
President, Board of Supervisors.

**Supervisor Mead Appointed to Streets Traffic Advisory Board, Vice Supervisor Hayden, Term Expired.**

January 20, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Under the authority vested in me by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco I have appointed Supervisor Dewey Mead a member of the Streets Traffic Advisory Board, vice Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden, whose term has expired.

Sincerely,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
President, Board of Supervisors.

Read by Clerk.

**Membership of Tax Committee.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 16, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have reappointed the Tax Committee of the Board of Supervisors to consist of the following members, to-wit:

Franck R. Havenner, President of the Board of Supervisors, Chairman of the Tax Committee; Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco; Alfred Roncovieri, Chairman, Finance Committee; John M. Ratto, Supervisor; James B. McSheehy, Supervisor; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, Vice-Chairman; John J. O'Toole, City Attorney; Russell L. Wolden, Assessor; Fred D. Bullock, C. P. A. (Advisory).

Respectfully yours,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
President, Board of Supervisors.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 27, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 31—New Series No. 4

Monday, January 27, 1936

Tuesday, January 28, 1936.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JANUARY 27, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 27, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Supervisors Havenner and Shannon in Washington, D. C., on leave.

Supervisor McSheehy excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 20, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Concerning Public Passenger Vehicles and Entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses," etc., etc.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 877, Ordinance No. 3.04157, as follows:

Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, and cancelling Resolution 33951 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 54. Every person, firm or corporation owning *or directly or indirectly operating* any public passenger vehicle, except railroad cars, shall, *after first obtaining a permit from the Police Department, which permit must be represented by an operative vehicle for which a current City license must be obtained,* pay a license tax therefor, as follows:

For each such vehicle One ($1.00) Dollar per annum for each passenger seating capacity of said vehicle.

*In determining the seating capacity of any such vehicle the driver thereof shall be included.*

The metallic plate issued as part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by 2½ inches and, when used on motor-drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle License Plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. When used on horse-drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to permit of attachment in a conspicuous place on the right-hand side of each horse-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least ⅝ x ⅜ inches and all numbers 8/8 x ⅝ inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a vehicle subject to this license tax to *operate any such vehicle upon the public highways or streets of the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license plate to said motor vehicle by attaching it to the front state motor vehicle license plate or fastening it on the outside front of such vehicle, or, when used on horse-drawn vehicles, without first attaching it in a conspicuous place on the right-hand side of said horse-drawn vehicle, or* to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December 31st of each calendar year. No substitute for this license plate shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plates in any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this ordinance.

*On the 30th day of December of each year the Police Department shall notify the Controller and the Tax Collector of the number of public passenger vehicle permits in effect, and the seating capacity of the vehicles for which the permits were granted, and whenever additional permits are granted, or existing permits are transferred or revoked during the year, the Controller and the Tax Collector shall be notified of same immediately. These notifications shall be in writing and signed by the Chief of Police or his duly authorized representative.*

Every person engaged in the business or occupation of driver or motorman of any public passenger vehicle specified in this section shall pay One ($1.00) Dollar for a driver's badge to be issued by the Tax Collector, which badge shall be of such design and lettering as he shall determine. Upon the presentation of a driver's annual permit, granted by the Police Department, the Tax Collector shall issue annually, to the person named in such permit, a driver's annual identification card upon the payment of One ($1.00) Dollar, provided said person is the owner of a driver's badge and exhibit such badge at the time of making the application. *The obtaining or renewal of this driver's identification card and/or badge shall be subject to the penalty provisions of this ordinance.* All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one year from the date aforesaid.

Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to amend Section 1, paragraph 6, by substituting the following language:

"It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a vehicle subject to this license tax to operate any such vehicle upon the public highways or streets in the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license plate to said motor vehicle by attaching it to the front State motor vehicle license plate or fastening it on the outside front of such vehicle or to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December 31st of each calendar year, provided, however, that in the case of any vehicle which is not required to have a distinctive color scheme or design pursuant to the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, said license plate

may be affixed to the side of the seat of the driver of such vehicle or in a conspicuous place in the compartment reserved for said driver."

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the foregoing bill as amended, and in words and figures following, was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) Concerning Public Passenger Vehicles and Entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses," etc., etc.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 877, Ordinance No. 3.04157, as follows:

Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Business, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, and canceling Resolution No. 33951 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 54. Every person, firm or corporation owning or directly or indirectly operating any public passenger vehicle, except railroad cars, shall, after first obtaining a permit from the Police Department, which permit must be represented by an operative vehicle for which a current City license must be obtained, pay a license tax therefor; as follows:

For each such vehicle one ($1.00) dollar per annum for each passenger seating capacity of said vehicle.

In determining the seating capacity of any such vehicle, the driver thereof shall be included.

The metallic plate issued as part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by 2½ inches, and when used on motor drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle License Plate or fastened at the front of each motor drawn vehicle. When used on horse drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to permit of attachment in a conspicuous place on the right hand side of each horse-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least ⅝ x ⅜ inches and all numbers 8/8 x ⅝ inch in size.

It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a vehicle subject to this license tax to operate any such vehicle upon the public highways or streets in the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license plate to said motor vehicle by attaching it to the front State motor vehicle license plate or fastening it on the outside front of such vehicle or to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December 31st of each calendar year, provided, however, that in the case of any vehicle which is not required to have a distinctive color scheme or design pursuant to the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, said license plate may be affixed to the side of the seat of the driver of such vehicle or in a conspicuous place in the compartment reserved for said driver.

On the 30th day of December of each year the Police Department shall notify the Controller and the Tax Collector of the number of public passenger vehicle permits in effect, and the seating capacity of the vehicles for which the permits were granted, and whenever

additional permits are granted, or existing permits are transferred or revoked during the year, the Controller and the Tax Collector shall be notified of same immediately. These notifications shall be in writing and signed by the Chief of Police or his duly authorized representative.

Every person engaged in the business or occupation of driver or motorman of any public passenger vehicle specified in this section shall pay one ($1.00) dollar for a driver's badge to be issued by the Tax Collector, which badge shall be of such design and lettering as he shall determine. Upon the presentation of a driver's annual permit, granted by the Police Department, the Tax Collector shall issue annually to the person named in such permit, a driver's annual identification card upon the payment of one ($1.00) dollar, provided said person is the owner of a driver's badge and exhibits such badge at the time of making the application. The obtaining or renewal of this driver's identification card and/or badge shall be subject to the penalty provisions of this ordinance. All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall date from the first day of each year and shall be issued for one year from the date aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

## Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $300,000 from Surplus Existing in Water Revenue Fund to Credit of Water Department Stores Revolving Fund, Which is Hereby Created, for Purposes of Providing for Purchases, etc., Required for Use of San Francisco Water Department, and Providing Procedure Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 886, Ordinance No. 9.051226 as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $300,000 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund to the credit of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purpose of providing for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department and providing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund the sum of $300,000 to the credit of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department in connection with its performance.

Section 2. In determining the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund there shall first be deducted from the amount herein appropriated the value at cost of the stores on hand to the credit of the Water Revenue Fund, Water Depreciation Fund, and Water Extension Reserve Fund as of the effective date of this ordinance. The value at cost of the said stores as of the effective date of this ordinance, together with the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund, as herein recited, shall constitute the resources of this fund as herein created.

Section 3. All purchases of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department shall be purchased out of

the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund. All withdrawals from stores shall be paid for out of the funds provided for current or specific purposes by each Annual Appropriation Ordinance or each supplemental Appropriation Ordinance. The funds provided by each such payment shall be deposited to the credit of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund.

Section 4. Should the unencumbered balance of the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund be insufficient at any time to purchase materials or supplies which are required for the immediate use of the San Francisco Water Department, and funds have been appropriated for that purpose, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the Department Head and the approval of the Manager of Utilities, transfer the required amount from the funds so appropriated and make them available in the Water Department Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of the required materials and supplies.

Section 5. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 88 of the Charter, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to take charge of the storerooms and warehouses operated hereunder.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure governing the purchase and issuance of stores and the accounting therefor in accordance with the provisions of Section 64 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $200,000 from Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Credit of Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund, Which is Hereby Created, for Purchase, etc., for Municipal Railway, and Providing Procedure Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 887, Ordinance No. 9.051227, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $200,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to the credit of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purpose of providing for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Municipal Railway and providing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund the sum of $200,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Municipal Railway in connection with its performance.

Section 2. In determining the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund there shall first be deducted from the amount herein appropriated the value at cost of the stores on hand to the credit of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund and Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund as of the effective date of this ordinance. The value at cost of the said stores as of the effective date of this ordinance, together with the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Operative Fund, as herein recited, shall constitute the resources of this fund as herein created.

Section 3. All purchases of materials and supplies required for the use of the Municipal Railway shall be purchased out of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund. All withdrawals from stores shall be paid for out of the funds provided for current or specific purposes by each Annual Appropriation Ordinance or each supplemental Appropriation Ordinance. The funds provided by each such payment shall be

deposited to the credit of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund.

Section 4. Should the unencumbered balance of the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund be insufficient at any time to purchase materials or supplies which are required for the immediate use of the Municipal Railway, and funds have been appropriated for that purpose, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the Department Head and the approval of the Manager of Utilities, transfer the required amount from the funds so appropriated and make them available in the Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of the required materials and supplies.

Section 5. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 88 of the Charter, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to take charge of the storerooms and warehouses operated hereunder.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure governing the purchase and issuance of stores and the accounting therefor in accordance with the provisions of Section 64 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $35,000 Out of Surplus Existing in Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the Credit of Hetch Hetchy Stores Revolving Fund, Which is Hereby Created, for Purpose of Providing for Purchases, etc., Required for Use of Hetch Hetchy Power Division, and Providing Procedure Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 888, Ordinance No. 9.051228, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $35,000 out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purpose of providing for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division and providing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund the sum of $35,000 to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund which is hereby created for the purchase, storage, and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division in connection with its performance.

Section 2. In determining the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund there shall first be deducted from the amount herein appropriated the value at cost of the stores on hand to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and Hetch Hetchy Power Depreciation Fund as of the effective date of this ordinance. The value at cost of the said stores as of the effective date of this ordinance, together with the amount of cash to be contributed from the surplus existing in the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, as herein recited, shall constitute the resources of this fund as herein created.

Section 3. All purchases of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division shall be purchased out of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund. All withdrawals from stores shall be paid for out of the funds provided for current or specific purposes by each Annual Appropriation Ordinance or each supplemental Appropriation Ordinance. The funds provided by each such payment shall be deposited to the credit of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund.

Section 4. Should the unencumbered balance of the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund be insufficient at any time to purchase materials or supplies which are required for the immediate use of the Hetch Hetchy Power Division, and funds have been appropriated for that purpose, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the Department Head and the approval of the Manager of Utilities, transfer the required amount from the funds so appropriated and make them available in the Hetch Hetchy Power Stores Revolving Fund for the purchase of the required materials and supplies.

Section 5. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 88 of the Charter, the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to take charge of the storerooms and warehouses operated hereunder.

Section 6. The Controller is hereby directed and it shall be his duty to prescribe the procedure governing the purchase and issuance of stores and the accounting therefor in accordance with the provisions of Section 64 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 889, Ordinance No. 12.172526, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City-owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Commission:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street distant southerly 275 feet along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street; said point of commencement being also the northwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux., dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657, Official Records, at page 79; running thence from said point of commencement along said easterly line of Diamond street northerly 10.09 feet; thence at an angle of 90 degrees to the right and along a line parallel to and distant 10.09 feet measured at right angles northerly from the northerly line of said portion of land described as an exception in said deed easterly 51.79 feet to an intersection with a line distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly line (or the northeasterly extension thereof) of said portion of land described as an exception; thence along said line southwesterly 15.29 feet to the northerly line of said portion of land; thence along said northerly line westerly 40.31 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2362, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Carl Gellert, as per Vol. 15, Bill Nos. 467 and 957, Lots 24, 18/19, Blocks 1915 and 1931, Fiscal Year 1934 | $ 28.98 |
| 2. | Carl Gellert, per Vol. 15, Bill 1002, Lot 25A, Block 1932, Year 1935 | 9.20 |
| 3. | San Jose Pacific Building & Loan Assn., per Vol. 4, Bill 180, Lot 11A, Block 549, Year 1932 ($1.98); Vol. 4, Bill 164, Lot 11B, Block 549, Year 1934 ($6.18) | 8.16 |
| 4. | John H. Ahnden, care of Henry A. Plattner, Vol. 5, Bill 329, Lot 6, Block 713, Year 1935 | 94.63 |
| 5. | Walter C. Schenk, per Vol. 13, Bill 772, Lot 16, Block 1720, Year 1935 | 4.23 |
| 6. | Walter Gordon, per Vol. 24, Bill No. 576, Lot 87, Block 3584, Year 1935 | 58.54 |
| 7. | American Trust Company, per Vols. 11 and 26, Bills 2504 and 1100, Lots 42 and 21/22, Blocks 1597 and 3776 ($44.55 and $215.76), Year 1935 | 260.31 |
| 8. | G. Brucia, per Vol. 3, page 150, Lot 21, Block 498, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls | 12.52 |
| 9. | W. B. Wentz, per Vol. 21, Page 144, Lot 11, Block 3072, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls | 4.97 |
| 10. | Clara E. Reeves, per Vol. 5, Page 105, Line 2, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 1.74 |
| 11. | Rita Greenberg, per Vol. 11, Page 162, Line 7, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 13.33 |
| 12. | Sara S. Gordon, per Vol. 11, Page 15, Line 4, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 11.59 |
| 13. | Patrick O'Connell, per Vol. 24, Page 133, Lot 26, Block 3697, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls | 14.73 |
| 14. | Joseph Vacca, per Vol. 17, Page 92, Line 1, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 1.93 |
| 15. | Volunteers of America, per Vol. 9, Lots 36/37, Block 1368, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls | 11.05 |
| 16. | Fred C. Kieser, per Vol. 5, Page 21, Line 1, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 10.62 |
| 17. | Lorenz Zucca, per Vol. 37, Lot 17, Block 6252, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls | 1.66 |
| 18. | Otto C. A. Kleist, per Vol. 43, Lot 9A, Block 7204, 1934-35 Real Estate Rolls | 7.73 |
| 19. | Louis Raisin, per Vol. 16, Page 91, Line 24, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | .77 |
| 20. | Rebecca C. Quinlan, per Vol. 4, Page 3, Lot 5/6, Block 543, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls | 2.95 |
| 21. | Eugenio Quartaroli, per Vol. 3, Lot 6F, Block 480, 1934-1935 Real Estate Rolls | 11.59 |
| 22. | Universal Dairy Supply Co., per Vol. 3, Page 179, Line 24, 1934 Unsecured Supplemental Roll | 8.04 |
| 23. | George and Anita Nelson, per Vol. 16, Page 6, Line 14, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 4.83 |

24. John Matson Stowell, per Vol. 37, Bill No. 225, Lot 24, Block 6123, Fiscal Year 1934 ..... 5.80
25. James W. McCabe, per Vol. 44, Bill No. 2283, Lot 8, Block 7212, Fiscal Year 1935..... 11.78
26. Henry May, Jr., Vol. 43, Bill No. 1774, Lot 9, Block 7058, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 2.79
27. Vincenzo Guglielmo, per Vol. 35, Bill 1099, Lot 11, Block 5883, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 4.60
28. Bank of America N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 13, Bill 103, Lot 19, Block 1704, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 148.01
29. Pacific States Savings & Loan Society, per Vol. 9, Bill 2818, Lot 18, Block 1427, Fiscal Year 1935..... 411.64

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $399,199.70 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Resources of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the Reconstruction, Resurfacing and Widening of Certain Streets; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 902, Ordinance No. 9.051232, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $399,199.70 out of the surplus existing in the resources of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the reconstruction, resurfacing and widening of certain streets; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the resources of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in accordance with the Controller's report of January 21, 1936, in the sum of $399,199.70 to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated and for the purposes recited:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 577.902.00 | Steuart-Embarcadero-Mission, Reconst. & Widen.. | $ 20,033.50 |
| 577.904.00 | Spear-Embarcadero-Howard, Reconst. & Widen.. | 23,316.50 |
| 577.906.00 | 18th-Guerrero-Danvers, Reconst. & Widen..... | 21,897.00 |
| 577.907.00 | Anza street, Construction ..... | 22,350.45 |
| 577.913.00 | California-Presidio to First, Reconst. & Widen.. | 15,642.00 |
| 577.914.00 | 7th ave.-Lawton-Lincoln way, Reconstruct..... | 7,605.00 |
| 577.916.00 | 5th-Mission to Townsend, Reconst. & Widen.... | 27,063.00 |
| 577.917.00 | Fremont-Market to Harrison, Reconst. & Widen.. | 13,950.00 |
| 577.918.00 | First-Market to Harrison, Reconst. & Widen.... | 14,550.00 |
| 577.919.00 | Harrison-Embarcadero to 5th, Reconst. & Widen | 32,580.00 |
| 577.920.00 | Beale-Embarcadero to Market, Reconst. & Widen | 34,794.00 |
| 577.921.00 | Battery-Embarcadero to Market, Reconst. & Widen | 17,700.00 |
| 577.922.00 | Bryant-2nd to 5th, Reconst. & Widen..... | 25,900.00 |
| 577.923.00 | 6th-Townsend to Mission, Reconst. & Widen.... | 23,260.00 |
| 577.924.00 | 8th-Townsend to Market, Reconst. & Widen.... | 38,884.00 |
| 577.925.00 | Folsom-Embarcadero to 10th, Reconst. & Widen.. | 35,410.00 |
| 577.926.00 | 5th-Mission to Townsend, Lighting..... | 6,390.00 |
| 577.927.00 | 8th-Townsend to Market, Lighting ..... | 4,016.25 |
| 577.928.00 | Legal and Administrative ..... | 6,000.00 |
| 577.929.00 | Engineering, General ..... | 2,500.00 |
| 577.930.00 | Retirement ..... | 2,500.00 |
| 577.931.00 | Equipment and Supplies ..... | 1,000.00 |
| 577.932.00 | Unallocated ..... | 1,858.00 |
| | | $399,199.70 |

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA Regulations.

Approved as to form by City Attorney.
Recommended by Department of Public Works.
Approved by Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by the Mayor.
Approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain City-Owned Land.**
(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 903, Ordinance No. 12.17225, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City-owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Board of Education:

Beginning at a point on the east line of Funston avenue, distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the east line of Funston avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the west line of Twelfth avenue; thence southerly along last named line, 150 feet to a point distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; thence westerly 240 feet to the east line of Funston avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Sale of Buildings.**
(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Resolution No. 2363, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Director of Property is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned by or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, in Assessor's Block 4162-4197, on land required for the Potrero Hill Playground Roadway.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**San Francisco Cooperating With the California Beaches Association in the Seeking of Congressional Appropriation for Survey of Erosive Currents Destructive of California Coast-Line Beaches.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Also, Resolution No. 2364, as follows:

Whereas, the California Beaches Association, an organization consisting of counties of the State of California, is engaged in an effort to preserve and conserve California coast-line beaches, and as a means therefor is seeking a Congressional appropriation for a United States War Department survey of erosive currents along the California coast; and

Whereas, with the knowledge thus obtained from such survey, it will be possible to convert such destructive currents into constructive currents for the building up of rather than the destruction of beaches; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco does hereby cooperate with said California Beaches Association in seeking Congressional appropriation for such survey of erosive currents along the coast-line of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That Congress be and is memorialized to appropriate an amount sufficient to permit the U. S. War Department to make a survey of the erosive currents destructive of California coast-line beaches.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Set-Back Lines Portion of Pinelake Park, Bounded by El Mirasol Place, Crestlake Drive and Sloat Boulevard.**

(Code No. 13.03)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2365, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1352, passed January 2, 1936, reading as follows:

Resolved, That the building set-back lines as indicated on the map entitled "Map Showing Proposed Building Set-Back Lines in a Portion of Pinelake Park, Bounded by El Mirasol Place, Crestlake Drive and Sloat Boulevard," prepared by the City Planning Commission, and now on file in the office of that commission, be, and the same are hereby approved, and the set-back lines are hereby declared established as shown on said map, is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Permit to Market Street Railway to Operate Buses in Crocker Amazon District.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2349, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Geneva avenue and Mission street; thence on Mission street to Amazon street; thence on Amazon street to Moscow street; thence on Moscow street to Geneva avenue and South

Hill boulevard; thence on South Hill boulevard to Baltimore way; thence on Baltimore way to Cordova street; thence on Cordova street to Naples street and Geneva avenue; and thence on Geneva avenue to Mission street and the point of commencement.

That the permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and for the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceedings instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all State and municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of five (5) cents for each passenger shall be charged, which such fare, when paid on a bus, shall entitle the passenger to a transfer to the street railway lines of the Market Street Railway Company on Mission street, and the Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street line transfers which will be accepted in lieu of fare on said buses traveling over the route herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit, subject to all the conditions herein contained.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

**Permit to Market Street Railway Company to Operate Buses in Excelsior District.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 2366, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Brazil avenue and Mission street; thence on Mission street to Excelsior avenue; thence on Excelsior avenue to Vienna street; thence on Vienna street to Brazil avenue; thence on Brazil avenue to Prague street; thence on Prague street to Russia avenue; thence on Russia avenue to Moscow street; thence on Moscow street to Italy avenue; thence on Italy avenue to Naples street; thence on Naples street to Brazil avenue; thence on Brazil avenue to Mission street and the point of commencement.

That the permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and for the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same, all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceedings instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all State and municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of five (5) cents for each passenger shall be charged, which such fare, when paid on a bus, shall entitle the passenger to a transfer to the street railway lines of the Market Street Railway Company on Mission street, and the Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street line transfers which will be accepted in lieu of fare on said buses traveling over the route herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit, subject to all the conditions herein contained.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2367, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from James J. Woods, et ux., a right of way easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 over Lot 11, Brisbane Acres, San Mateo County, California, for the sum of $225, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2368, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from William Stautz an easement over Lot 4, Block A, Peck's Subdivision No. 1, San Mateo County, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $250, payable from Appropriation No. 593.-

903.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2369, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Ralph Lopez Lot 30, Block 47, as per map recorded October 14, 1908, in Book 6 of Maps, page 45, San Mateo County Records, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $150, the sum of $42 to be paid from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57, and the balance of $108 to be paid from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Action No. 24593. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Approving Appointment of Dr. Elisabeth Moses, Instructor and Expert, De Young Museum.**

Communications from Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; H. Fleishhacker, President M. H. De Young Memorial Museum, and Jas. Maher, secretary of the Civil Service Commission, were read approving appointment of Dr. Elisabeth Moses as Instructor and expert for the De Young Museum.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Approving Appointment of Dr. Elisabeth Moses, Instructor and Expert, De Young Museum.**

(Code No. 4.01)

Resolution No. 2370, as follows:

Whereas, the Trustees of the M. H. De Young Memorial Museum desire and recommend the appointment of Dr. Elisabeth Moses as Museum Instructor and Special Expert of said De Young Memorial Museum at an annual salary of $1,800 per year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of Section 7 of the Charter, Dr. Elisabeth Moses is hereby appointed Museum Instructor and Special Expert of the De Young Memorial Museum as recommended by His Honor the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. J. W. Mailliard, Jr., Member Park Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 2371, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor,

Hon. J. W. Mailliard, Jr., member of the Board of Park Commissioners, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days from and after January 27, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

### Complimentary Municipal Symphony Concert Tickets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Joseph H. Dyer, Jr., secretary, Art Commission, advising that Supervisor Uhl's request for a list of persons to whom complimentary tickets for the Municipal Symphony Series are sent has been referred to the City Attorney for his opinion as to whether or not said request was in violation of Section 22 of the Charter.

*Ordered filed.*

### Heavy-Duty Military Road, San Mateo County.

Supervisor Francis, San Mateo County, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, urging construction of a heavy-duty military road along the coast from San Francisco to Monterey.

Supervisor Colman stated that he was sure the Board would approve the instructing of our representatives in Washington to assist representatives of San Mateo County and do what they could to obtain federal aid in the construction of such highway, and so moved.

Seconded by Supervisor Brown.

Supervisor Uhl moved, as an amendment to motion by Supervisor Colman, that the matter be referred to our congressional representatives in Washington.

Amendment *accepted* by Supervisor Colman.

*Motion carried.*

### Sale of Gasoline to Drunken Drivers.

Supervisor Brown requested opinion from City Attorney whether sale of gasoline to drunken drivers can be prohibited by ordinance, and requested that the matter be referred to Joint Committee of Streets and Police for action, pending receipt of requested opinion.

### Curb Space for Gasoline Supply Stations.

Communication from the Chief Administrative Officer, relative to amount of curb space used for gasoline supply stations was read by the Clerk and *referred to the Streets Committee.*

### Invitation to Tax Conference at Sacramento.

Pursuant to telegram, addressed to the Controller, and read by the Clerk, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Clerk be instructed to write the Governor, asking that he invite representatives from San Francisco to attend a Tax Conference in Sacramento.

### Underground District, Twenty-fifth Avenue.

Supervisor Ratto presented petition by property owners, Twenty-fifth avenue, requesting that poles and wires on Twenty-fifth avenue, between Fulton street and Camino Del Mar, be placed underground.

*Referred to Board, recessed meeting, January* 28, 1936.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board recessed, to reconvene at 10 a. m., January 28, to consider subject of Underground District, Twenty-fifth avenue, between Fulton and Camino Del Mar.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## TUESDAY, JANUARY 28, 1936, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Tuesday, January 28, 1936, at 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the petition of property owners for the establishment of an underground district on Twenty-fifth avenue between Fulton street and Camino Del Mar.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—5.

Supervisors Brown and Colman reported as out of town.

Supervisors Havenner and Shannon in Washington, D. C., on leave.

Supervisor McSheehy reported ill.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside in the absence of President Havenner.

### Privilege of the Floor.

W. H. Worden, Director of the Department of Public Works.
Eustace Cullinan, representing Pacific Gas & Electric Company.
Mr. Stockford, representing the Pacific Title & Trust Co.
O. K. Lewis, Michael Dwyer and Mrs. L. W. Schiller, Geo. Devine representing property owners, and Mr. Hutchins, representing Assistant City Engineer Clyde Healy, were heard at length.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and *passed for second reading*:

**Creating Underground District, Twenty-fifth Avenue, Camino Del Mar to Fulton Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 904, Ordinance No. 11.1210, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 HHH.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 HHH. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after August 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 74, Twenty-fifth avenue between Camino Del Mar and Fulton street.

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—5.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 11:30 a. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 3, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 5

Monday, February 3, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 3, 1936. 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Supervisors Havenner and Shannon in Washington, D. C., on leave.

Supervisor Uhl excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor Mead was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 27 and 28, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### Hearing 2 P. M., Street Improvements.

Hearing of protests of all persons interested in work done assessment diagram or warrant for payment of cost and expenses for the improvement of La Grande Avenue between Mansfield and Felton Street; Burrows Street between Mansfield and Knox Streets; Mansfield Street between Burrows and La Grande Avenue; and Felton Street between La Grande Avenue and Knox Street. The work of sewer construction in said streets was done by Eaton & Smith under Order No. 1,917.

The Clerk announced the hearing and asked if there were any persons who wanted to be heard. No response. Whereupon the Clerk was directed to *notify the Department of Public Works.*

### Action Deferred.

The following matter was read by the Clerk and action thereon *deferred until February* 24, 1936.

### MAYOR'S VETO.

Office of the Mayor,
San Francisco.

January 29, 1936.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The gasoline supply station ordinance No. 11.08211 and amendment to garage ordinance No. 11.08222, are before me for either approval or disapproval. I regret to inform you that I must disapprove these ordinances and veto the same, which I hereby do and return them to you.

While all legislative matters that require my attention receive my most careful consideration, the extreme interest manifested by the proponents and the opponents to these amendments and the very close vote by your Board of 6 to 5, establishing their passage, prompted me to hold a public hearing on Monday, January 27, 1936. The meeting was held in the Assembly Room of the Utilities Commission and all persons concerned were given an opportunity to be heard. Representatives of the Parent-Teachers Association, civic and improvement clubs, San Francisco Hospital Conference and many other civic-minded citizens were in attendance, and free discussion of the issues before us ensued. To all participating, I am profoundly grateful.

While it is true that many issues are subjected to protests, I have never seen a more sincere and unselfish group of protestants as those appearing at this time.

In my letter of transmittal which accompanied my annual message, and which letter was dated January 6, 1936, under the title of "Traffic Fatalities", I stated the following:

"According to a report issued January 1, 1936, by the Commerce Department at Washington, San Francisco led all major cities in 1935, as we did also in 1934, in the lowness of the number of traffic fatalities per 100,000 of population. Our record, 9.5 in 1935, was lower, by far, than that of any of the major cities listed. While this is a good record, we must vigorously continue our campaign of education to reduce this toll of precious lives, many of which are lost through reckless driving, or carelessness upon the part of pedestrians."

Traffic regulations and the splendid enforcement of them by our efficient San Francisco Police Department, aided by the young men of the San Francisco School Traffic Patrol, made the fine record above referred to, possible.

I am in complete harmony with the National campaigners to reduce traffic fatalities. I am fearful, however, that the provisions contained in these ordinances will not permit such a condition to obtain.

The question of fire hazard was presented by the opponents to these amendments. Fire Chief Charles J. Brennan met this issue, and who is better qualified to discuss fire hazards than he? His opinion on such items I highly regard.

May I, at this point, comment upon the vigorous objection made to these measures, by persons representing the Parent-Teachers Association. It is commendable that these good ladies have devoted so much time and effort in making known their objections, and all in an unselfish effort merely to safeguard the lives of our children.

While it is true that we have established quiet zones about hospitals, there was a difference of opinion expressed by the persons appearing before me as to the interpretation to be placed on Section 2 of the supply station ordinance, which is similar in terminology to subdivision (e) of Section 1 of the garage ordinance. The language employed was construed by some to have intended to mean that no garage or service station would be erected at a point any place two hundred feet from the exterior boundary of any hospital, and by exterior boundary they felt was meant the walls enclosing the lot upon which the hospital was located.

Others expressed the view this language meant from the exterior boundary of the building proper, which would appear to me to be what was actually expressed.

It was also contended that in so far as schools, churches and theatres are concerned that the language used in the ordinances that none of the designated structures "shall come within 60 feet of the property line" was likewise ambiguous and that other language should be used.

I am in accord with the thoughts thus expressed and for the sake of clarity, any ordinance hereinafter adopted by your Honorable Board should specify therein that the distances are to be measured from the closest point of the property line to all institutions referred to to the

nearest point on the property line to any garage or gas supply station, and these measurements to be made on a straight line.

In conclusion, it appears to me that the limitation of 60 feet for garages or gasoline stations to be erected near schools, churches or theatres is an unsafe distance and that a 200-foot limitation for such structures to be erected from the exterior boundary of the hospital buildings does not insure the persons confined to hospitals a sufficient safeguard for quiet.

Yours respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

*January* 30, 1936—*Filed with Board of Supervisors at* 10:30 *a. m.*

Question: Shall the Ordinances stand notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

A vote *aye* sustains the Ordinance. A vote *no* sustains the *veto*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 36 of Salary Ordinance (Public Administrator) by Retitling Employments and Fixing Salaries of Items 2 and 3.**
(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 898, Ordinance No. 9.05376, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 by retitling the employments enumerated under items 2 and 3 thereof to conform to the civil service classification of duties, authorizing and designating the said employments as part-time employments and fixing compensations therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 36 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 36. Department of Finance and Records—Public Administrator.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 375 |
| 2 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 300 |
| 3 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 250 |
| 4 | 1 | B173 | Public Administrator | 666.66 |
| 5 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 6 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 666.66 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Appropriating $76,009 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County, Month of February, 1936.**
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 899, Ordinance No. 9.051229, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $76,009 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $76,009 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of February, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), Relating to Sale of Poultry.**

(Code No. 11.17)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Bill No. 891, Ordinance No. 11.171, as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Sale of Bread, Meat, Coal, Milk, Cream, Butter, Ice, Hay, Straw, Grain, Mill Feed and Other Commodities and Merchandise, Requiring Inspection by the Sealer of Weights and Measures and Providing a Penalty for the Violation Thereof," *relating to the sale of poultry.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 2698 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, at any place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, to advertise, offer for sale or sell, or to cause or knowingly permit the advertising, offering for sale or selling of, any smoked, fresh or pickled meats, poultry, rabbits or fish, except shanks, offal, heads and plucks, other than by weight, determined on a scale by weight or a beam, properly sealed by the Department of Weights and Measures.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bush Street Between Fillmore Street and Presidio Avenue (15 to 10 Feet).**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 892, Ordinance No. 12.073156, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and forty-four (1144).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 23, 1935, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and forty-four (1144), to read as follows:

Section 1144: The width of sidewalks on Bush street between Fillmore street and Presidio avenue shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

### Full Acceptance of Certain Streets—Crocker Amazon Tract. (Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 893, Ordinance No. 12.081121, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Athens street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Athens street and Cordova street; Edinburgh street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue; London street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue; Paris street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue; Seville street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Seville street and Cordova street; Vienna street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Athens street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Athens street and Cordova street;

Edinburgh street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue;

London street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue;

Paris street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue;

Seville street between Naples street and Rolph street, including the crossing of Seville street and Cordova street;

Vienna street between Amazon avenue and Geneva avenue, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

### Full Acceptance of Certain Streets in Alemany Terrace. (Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 894, Ordinance No. 12.081121, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Theresa street, including the crossing of Cayuga avenue and Cotter street; Cotter street between Alemany boulevard and the southerly line of the De Martini Tract, said southerly line of the De Martini Tract being 167 feet more or less north of the north line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Cayuga avenue between Santa Rosa avenue and Theresa street, including the crossing of Cayuga avenue and Cotter street;

Cotter street between Alemany boulevard and the southerly line of the De Martini Tract, said southerly line of the De Martini Tract being 167 feet more or less north of the north line of Cayuga avenue, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Ordering the Improvement of Francisco Street Between Kearny Street and Montgomery Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 895, Ordinance No. 12.061161, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 7, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Francisco street between Kearny street and Montgomery street, where not already improved, including the crossing of Francisco street and Kearny street and excluding that portion of the crossing required by law to be paved by the owner or owners of the railroad track thereon. The improvement is to include the construction of the following items:

| Item No. | Item. |
|---|---|
| 1. | Grading (excavation). |
| 2. | 12-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place. |
| 3. | 12 x 8-inch Y branches, in place. |
| 4. | 8-inch V. C. P. side sewers. |
| 5. | Brick manhole, complete. |
| 6. | Brick catchbasins, complete. |
| 7. | 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place. |
| 8. | Armored concrete curb. |
| 9. | Asphalt-concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface. |
| 10. | One-course concrete sidewalk. |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 2 and 2A of Block 37; Lot 1A of Block 38; Lots 1, 14 and 15 of Block 55, and Lots 1, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 56, all being designated

on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Include Sacramento and Clay Streets, Between Mason and Larkin Streets, in List of "One-Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 897, Ordinance No. 11.0213, as follows:

Amending Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. *One-Way Streets.*—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;

Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;

Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;

Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;

*Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;

Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue;

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street;

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue;

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street;

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;

Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;

Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;

Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;

Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street;

Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Mason street;

*Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California streets;

Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;

Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets; Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets; Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2372, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936; being refunds of amounts of duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

1—Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association, per Vol. 22, Bill No. 109, Lot 44, Block 3118, fiscal year 1935..... $35.35
2—Citizens' Federal Savings & Loan Association, per Vol. 7, Bills 863 and 862, Lots 20 and 19, Block 1076, fiscal year 1935 ..... 95.72
3—Mr. Benjamin Rubin, per Vol. 12, Bill 2496, Lot 6A, Block 1674, fiscal year 1935..... 97.57
4—Martin Hanson, per Vol. 34, Bill 522, Lot 29, Block 5659, fiscal year 1935 ..... 4.42
5—American Trust Company, per Vols. 10 and 22, Bills 2871 and 2154, Lots 35 and 33, Blocks 1525 and 3211, fiscal year 1935 ..... 69.95
6—Fidelity Mutual Life Ins. Co., per Vol. 2, page 207, Lot 12, Block 296, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls..... 36.45
7—Michael Riordan, per Vol. 20, page 19, Lot 14, Block 2802, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls..... 6.44
8—A. Mainas, per Vol. 8, page 59, line 12, 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 4.35
9—J. C. Carson, per Vol. 17, page 152, Lot 28, Block 2356, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls..... 6.44
10—W. S. Coutts, per Vol. 17, page 23, line 9, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, fiscal year 1935..... 56.99

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

### Authorizing Purchase of Land for Roadway Through Proposed McLaren Park.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2373, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Anthony J. Bell, et al., Lot 13, Assessor's Block 6098, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for a roadway through the proposed McLaren Park, for the

sum of $525, payable from Appropriation No. 512.600.03. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Roadway Through Proposed McLaren Park.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2374, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from A. C. Doughty the following lots situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for a roadway through the proposed McLaren Park, payable from Appropriation No. 512.600.03:

Lot 4, Assessor's Block 6288.....$226.00
Lot 3, Assessor's Block 6265..... 320.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for 19th Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2375, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth Avenue, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from the ¼ cent Gas Tax Fund, Appropriation No. 48.914.14-1, and the City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property:

Edwin P. Finigan, et ux., portion of Lot 19, Assessor's Block 2407 .....$387.00
William G. Hoffman, et ux., portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2406 ..... 268.80

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 16 of Salary Ordinance—Recreation Dept., by Addition of Item 5½—Bookkeeper @ $150 per Month; and Amending Sec. 22 by Addition of Item 5½—Museum Instructor, etc. @ $150 per Month.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 905, Ordinance No. 9.05377, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance 9.05367, commonly

called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 5½ thereto, and amending Section 22 of the same ordinance by adding Item 5½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 16. **RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day | |
| 2 | 1 | A158 | Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day | |
| 3 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 4 | 1 | A392 | Plasterer at $12 per day | |
| 5 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 185 |
| 5½ | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B51 | Publicity Agent (as needed) | 150 |
| 7 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B351 | Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 10 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 11 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 125 |
| 12 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 210 |
| 13 | 1 | F304 | Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance (as needed) | 350 |
| 14 | 11 | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 15 | 1 | J12 | Labor Foreman | 175 |
| 16 | 25 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 150 |
| 17 | 29 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 145 |
| 18 | 1 | J72 | Playground Caretaker (part time) | 75 |
| 19 | 2 | O10 | Truck Driver, Light Truck | 150 |
| 20 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 21 | | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 20) | 150 |
| 22 | 1 | O54 | Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 23 | 4 | O58 | Gardener | 135 |
| 24 | 1 | O62 | Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept. | 175 |
| 25 | 1 | R2 | Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities | 250 |
| 26 | 1 | R3 | Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 250 |
| 27 | 1 | R4 | Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 400 |
| 28 | 14 | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 75 |
| 29 | | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 65 |
| 30 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director | 175 |
| 31 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director | 160 |
| 32 | 24 | R56 | Playground Director | 150 |
| 33 | 4 | R56 | Playground Director | 140 |
| 34 | 2 | R56 | Playground Director | 135 |
| 35 | 4 | R56 | Playground Director | 130 |
| 36 | 13 | R56 | Playground Director | 125 |
| 37 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 38 | | R56 | Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 37) | 150 |
| 39 | | R56 | Playground Director, 65c per hour | |
| 40 | 3 | R56 | Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sunday only) | |

Section 2. Section 22 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 22. **M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director | $ 491.66 |
| 2 | 1 | | Supervisor of Exhibits | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Recorder | 125 |
| 4 | 1 | | Secretary to Director | 150 |
| 5 | 2 | | Museum Instructor | 125 |
| 5½ | 1 | | Museum Instructor & Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | | Assistant Museum Instructor | 100 |
| 7 | 1 | | Stenographer | 100 |
| 8 | 1 | | Head Galleryman | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | | Labeller | 140 |
| 10 | 1 | | Clerk | 100 |
| 11 | 1 | | Mechanic | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | | Assistant Mechanic | 135 |
| 13 | 1 | | Janitor | 130 |
| 14 | 1 | | Assistant Janitor | 125 |
| 15 | 1 | | Head Caretaker | 95 |
| 16 | 6 | | Caretaker | 85 |
| 17 | 1 | | Secretary Board of Trustees | 240 |
| 18 | 1 | | Lecturer, $10 per Sunday | |
| 19 | 10 | | Gallerymen | 125 |
| 20 | 1 | | Carpenter, $5.50 per day | |
| 21 | 1 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Curator of Prints | 125 |
| 23 | 1 | | Assistant Head Galleryman | 125 |
| 24 | 1 | | Expert Repairman | 125 |
| | | | TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED | |
| 25 | | | Seasonal Clerical Service | 150 |
| 26 | | | Plasterer Foreman, $11 day | |
| 27 | | | Construction Superintendent | 200 |
| 28 | | | Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in the resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City. | |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $750 for the Purpose of Creating Position of One Museum Director and Special Expert in M. H. DeYoung Memorial Museum—Item 5½—Section 22 of Salary Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 906, Ordinance No. 9.051233, as follows:

An ordinance making a supplemental appropriation of $750 to the credit of Appropriation No. 518.101.00 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the year 1935-36 (Ordinance No. 9.051179) for the purpose of creating one position of Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) at $150 per month in the M. H. DeYoung Memorial Museum.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby re-appropriated the sum of $750 to Appropriation No. 518.101.00 as set forth in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance No. 9.051179 from the surplus existing therein in accordance with the provisions of Section 80 of the charter.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the M. H. DeYoung Memorial Museum one position of Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) at $150 per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $750 to Pay Salary of One Additional Bookkeeper in Recreation Dept.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 907, Ordinance No. 9.051234, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 513.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 513.101.00 for the purpose of creating one position of bookkeeper at $150 per month in the Recreation Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 513.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 513.101.00.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Recreation Department one position of bookkeeper at $150 per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Joseph D. Randall, Department head.

Approved by Veda Y. Conning, Recreation Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Amending Section B of Ordinance 5754 (NS), by Increasing the Standard Reel to 2,000 Feet.**

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 908, Ordinance No. 11.055, as follows:

Amending Section B of Ordinance 5754 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Manufacture, Printing, Developing, Keeping, Storing or Use of Motion Picture Films," *by increasing the standard reel to* 2,000 *feet.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 2 of Section B—Definitions—of Ordinance No. 5754 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Section B—Definitions.*

1. Wherever in this ordinance used, the terms "motion picture film" and "motion picture films" shall be understood to mean films composed of nitro-cellulose or pyroxylin-plastic material or of other inflammable composition.

2. The term "standard reel" wherever in this ordinance used shall be understood to mean approximately two thousand (2000) feet of motion picture film, approximately one and eleven thirty-seconds (1-11/32) inches in width and .0055" in thickness, weighing approximately ten (10) pounds.

3. The term "Exchange" means an establishment or place in a building used for handling, packing, shipping, storing, inspecting, rewinding or repairing film in connection with the distribution of film.

4. The term "Laboratory" means an establishment or place in a building used for the perforation, printing, development, washing, fixing, drying, assembling, polishing, finishing, or other operations connected with the production of either motion picture negatives or positives.

5. The term "Film Vault" means a separate room, compartment, vault or enclosure constructed and equipped in accordance with the requirements of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Construction of Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 Beneath Southern Pacific Company Tracks.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2376, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized to enter into a written agreement with Southern Pacific Railroad Company and Southern Pacific Company providing for the construction of Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, beneath the Companies' tracks in the County of San Mateo, State of California.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement With Market Street Railway Company for Permission to Construct Water Pipe Line to San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2377, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized to enter into an agreement with Market Street Railway Company giving the City the right to construct a 12 inch water pipe line beneath the Company's tracks at the easterly end of San Filipe Avenue, Lomita Park, San Mateo County, California, for service to the San Francisco Airport.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Authorizing Condemnation Proceedings for Acquisition of Right of Way for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, Near Randolph Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 2378, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of a right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase

and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along, in and over the following described land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Those portions of Lots 1, 2 and 3 of Block A, as shown on "Map of Peck's Subdivision No. 1, South San Francisco, San Mateo County, California", recorded June 24, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 11, San Mateo County Records, lying within the boundaries of the following described strip of land:

A strip of land 10 feet wide being 10 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from and adjoining the common boundary line between the State Highway known as Bayshore Highway (Division IV, San Mateo County, Route 68 Section A) and Lots 1, 2 and 3, hereinabove mentioned, and extending northeasterly 94.71 feet more or less from the southerly boundary line of said Lot 3 to the common boundary line between said City of South San Francisco and the lands of the Crocker Estate Company.

The taking of a right of way easement to said parcel is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a pipe line and appurtenances thereto for the purpose of conveying water to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants for municipal and domestic purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Authorizing Condemnation Proceedings for Acquisition of Right of Way for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 Over Certain Land in San Francisco.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 2379, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of a right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along, in and over the following described parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1:

A strip of land 40 feet wide, being 20 feet measured at right angles easterly and 20 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line, and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the common boundary line between that certain 17.05 acre tract of land conveyed to Richard Tobin and that certain 17.05 acre tract of land conveyed to John Sullivan, by Deed of Partition of the property jointly held by Richard Tobin, Edward Martin, Hugh Dimond, John Sullivan, et al., dated August 25, 1869, and recorded September 12, 1870, in the Office of the County Recorder of San Francisco County, State of California, in Liber 573 of Deeds at page 378; which point of commencement is south 70 degrees 39 minutes 40 seconds east 541.67 feet along the hereinabove mentioned common boundary line, from its intersection with the east-

erly line of Schwerin Street; running thence north 19 degrees 20 minutes 20 seconds east 397.32 feet to a point on the southerly line of Sunnydale Avenue, which point is south 70 degrees 39 minutes 40 seconds east 541.67 feet along said southerly line of Sunnydale Avenue from its intersection with the easterly line of Schwerin Street.

Parcel 2:

A strip of land 40 feet wide, being 10 feet measured at right angles easterly and 30 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof:

Beginning at the point of commencement of Parcel 1 hereinbefore described, running thence south 15° 22' 05" east 236.44 feet to the common boundary line between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo.

The taking of right of way easements to said Parcels 1 and 2 is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a pipe line and appurtenances thereto for the purpose of conveying water to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants for municipal and domestic purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Endorsing Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2380, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is informed that negotiations between the military authorities of the Presidio Reservation and the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District have reached a practical agreement for rights of way for the Funston Avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, with the exception of a gap of approximately 1000 feet; and

Whereas, there appears to be an insistence on the part of Army officials in granting the right of way for this 1000 feet that a low level road be built thereon which would necessitate a tunnel 2300 feet in length at an excess cost of nearly $1,500,000 over and above the cost of the road which was proposed by the Golden Gate Bridge Directorate, based on surveys and studies made by the engineers of the State Highway Commission of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco endorses and approves the efforts of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to persuade the Hon. George H. Dern, Secretary of War, U. S. A., and other Army officials at Washington, D. C., and at the Presidio in San Francisco, to secure the granting of a complete right of way upon which the Funston Avenue approach may be constructed at costs which are not prohibitive and on grades and alignment most adaptable to serve the public in its use of the Golden Gate Bridge, and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Intention to Change Grade on Kansas Street Between 18th and Mariposa Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2381, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3,409 of the Director of Public Works dated January 15, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 20, 1936, to-wit:

Recommendation for the changing and the establishing of grades as follows:

| *Kansas Street*: | *feet* |
|---|---|
| Westerly line of, at Mariposa Street southerly line (for Mariposa Street) | 73.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 21 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mariposa Street southerly line (for Kansas Street) | 73.00 |
| 30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mariposa Street southerly line | 73.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Westerly line of, at Eighteenth Street northerly line (for Eighteenth Street) | 144.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 21 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Eighteenth Street northerly line (for Kansas Street) | 144.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Eighteenth Street northerly line | 144.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |

Grades on the westerly line of, and points 10 feet easterly therefrom between Mariposa and Eighteenth Streets be abolished.

On Kansas Street between the westerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet easterly therefrom, extending from the southerly line of Mariposa Street to the northerly line of Eighteenth Street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Intention to Change Grades on Harrison Street Between Main and Fremont Street.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2382, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named Streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3,408 of the Director of Public Works dated January 15, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 20, 1936, to-wit:

Recommendation for the changing and the establishing of grades as follows:

| *Harrison Street*: | *feet* |
|---|---|
| Main Street | 20.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Beale Street northeasterly line (for Harrison Street) | 37.39 |
| Beale Street southwesterly line (for Harrison Street) | 42.61 |
| Beale Street intersection (for Beale Street) | 5.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Fremont Street | 60.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |

On Harrison Street between Main and Fremont Streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Repealing Ordinance Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Fulton Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 909, Ordinance No. 12.073157, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073148, Code No. 12.0731, Bill No. 853, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, Entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' Approved December 18, 1903, by Amending Section Seventy-Two (72) Thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.073148, Code No. 12.0731, Bill No. 853, the title of which is recited above is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Creating Underground District No. 75, Fifth Street, Between Folsom and Townsend Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 910, Ordinance No. 11.1211, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section III.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section III.

Section III. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead

wires, except trolley poles and wires, after March 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 75, Fifth street, between Folsom and Townsend streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Creating Underground District No. 76, Eighth Street, Between Folsom and Townsend Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 911, Ordinance No. 11.1211, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section JJJ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section JJJ.

Section JJJ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after March 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 76, Eighth street, between Folsom and Townsend streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Changing Width of Sidewalks on Brannan Street Between The Embarcadero and Division Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 854, Ordinance No. 12.073149, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 29, 1935, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof to read as follows:

Section 220. The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between The Embarcadero and Fifth Street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the northwesterly side of, between Fifth and Sixth Streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the southeasterly side of, between Fifth and Sixth Streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Sixth and Seventh Streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the northwesterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth Streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the southeasterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth Streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Eighth Street and a line 275 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Ninth Street and a line 275 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Ninth and Division Streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Public Proceedings for Improvement of Sidewalks on Chestnut Street and at Various Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 912, Ordinance No. 12.061162, as follows:

Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

Improvement of

Chestnut street (N ½) between Stockton street and 37 feet 6 inches east.

Stockton street (E ½) between Chestnut street and 80 feet north.

Pacific avenue (N ½) between 68 feet 9 inches and 137 feet 6 inches east of Sansome street.

Octavia street (W ½) between 80 feet 1 inch and 137 feet 6 inches north of Union street.

Green street (S ½) between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street.

Van Ness avenue (W ½) between 72 feet and 122 feet south of Washington street.

Bush street (N ½) between 81 feet 3 inches and 106 feet 3 inches east of Steiner street.

Steiner street (E ½) between 77 feet 6 inches and 127 feet 6 inches north of Bush street.

Post street (N ½) between Pierce street and 137 feet 6 inches east.

Webster street (E ½) between 82 feet 6 inches and 110 feet north of Geary street.

Webster street (W ½) between 47 feet 6 inches and 70 feet south of Post street.

Webster street (W ½) between Byington street and 25 feet north.

Byington street (N ½) between Webster street and 71 feet west.

Pierce street (W ½) between 100 feet and 150 feet north of Ellis street.

Buchanan street (E ½) between Eddy and Willow streets.

Eddy street (N ½) between Buchanan street and 77 feet 6 inches east.

Turk street (N ½) between 100 feet and 125 feet west of Laguna street.

Turk street (N ½) between 62 feet 6 inches and 87 feet 6 inches east of Buchanan street.

Buchanan street (W ½) between McAllister street and 56 feet 9 inches north.

McAllister street (N ½) between Buchanan street and 72 feet west.

Buchanan street (W ½) between Golden Gate avenue and 76 feet 6 inches south.

Golden Gate avenue (S ½) between Buchanan street and 57 feet 6 inches west.

Golden Gate avenue (S ½) between Pierce street and 27 feet 6 inches west.

Octavia street (E ½) between 68 feet 9 inches and 137 feet 6 inches north of Grove street.

Fulton street (S ½) between 110 feet and 165 feet east of Fillmore street.

Grove street (S ½) between 181 feet 3 inches and 231 feet 3 inches west of Laguna street.

Laguna street (W ½) between Hayes and Ivy streets.

Ivy street (S ½) between Laguna street and 81 feet 3 inches west.

Fell street (N ½) between Gough street and 165 feet east.

Octavia street (W ½) between Hickory street and 20 feet south.

Hickory street (S ½) between Octavia street and 68 feet 9 inches west.

Fell street (S ½) between 192 feet 6 inches and 220 feet west of Gough street.

Steiner street (W ½) between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches south of Haight street.

Scott street (E ½) between 97 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches north of Waller street.

Broderick street (E ½) between Vallejo street and 137 feet 6 inches south.

Clay street (N ½) between 197 feet and 252 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street.

Walnut street (E ½) between California street and 54 feet north.

California street (N ½) between Walnut street and 72 feet 6 inches east.

Geary boulevard (N ½) between Cook street and 53 feet 4 inches west.

Cook street (W ½) between Geary boulevard and 100 feet north.

Post street (S ½) between 162 feet 6 inches and 225 feet east of Divisadero street.

Broderick street (W ½) between 100 feet and 175 feet south of Post street.

Geary street (N ½) between Presidio avenue and 138 feet 5 inches east.

Golden Gate avenue (S ½) between 156 feet 3 inches and 181 feet 3 inches east of Masonic avenue.

Cole street (W ½) between Grove street and 37 feet 6 inches south.

Grove street (S ½) between Cole street and 102 feet 6 inches west.

Broderick street (W ½) between 125 feet and 150 feet south of Hayes street.

Waller street (S ½) between Ashbury and Downey streets.

Ashbury street (W ½) between Waller street and 50 feet south.

Ashbury street (W ½) between 872 feet and 910 feet 2 inches south of Frederick street.

California street (N ½) between Sixth avenue and 32 feet 8 inches east.

California street (N ½) betweeen 82 feet 6 inches and 132 feet 6 inches west of 23rd avenue.

Third avenue (W ½) between 100 feet and 125 feet south of Geary boulevard.

Thirty-fifth avenue (W ½) between Anza street and 100 feet south.

Forty-fifth avenue (W ½) between 225 feet and 300 feet north of Cabrillo street.

Fulton street (N ½) between 40 feet and 95 feet east of Forty-fourth avenue.

Noe street (W ½) between 34 feet 7 inches and 76 feet north of 15th street.

by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundaries of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 54, Lot 16B; Block 165, Lot 6; Block 530, Lot 6; Block 558, Lots 31 and 32; Block 618, Lot 1B; Block 659, Lots 15 and 19; Block 682, Lot 3; Block 700, Lot 17; Block 701, Lot 3; Block 725, Lot 4; Block 728, Lot 5A; Block 734, Lot 19; Block 747, Lots 13 and 21; Block 772, Lots 1 and 3B; Block 776, Lot 1; Block 793, Lot 20; Block 797, Lots 25 and 26; Block 806, Lots 2, 30 and 31; Block 816, Lots 7 and 8; Block 831, Lot 2; Block 832, Lot 22; Block 861, Lot 2; Block 862, Lot 17A; Block 959, Lot 15; Block 1001, Lots 11 and 12; Block 1021, Lot 10; Block 1066, Lot 21; Block 1078, Lots 20 and 21; Block 1080, Lots 5, 6 and 7; Block 1082, Lot 12; Block 1160, Lot 29; Block 1193, Lots 1 and 1A; Block 1205, Lot 6; Block 1254, Lot 1; Block 1269, Lot 32; Block 1365, Lot 20; Block 1383, Lots 20 and 21; Block 1541, Lot 2; Block 1578, Lot 1; Block 1599, Lot 7; Block 1686, Lots 23 and 24; Block 3540, Lots 13 and 14.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Adding a New Section to Traffic Ordinance to Provide That Jackson and Washington Streets, Between Powell and Larkin Streets, Shall Be 24-Hour "One-Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 896, Ordinance No. 11.0212, as follows:

Adding a new section to Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," to be numbered Section 26-A ("One-Way Streets—All Times").

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be designated Section 26-A, which shall read as follows:

Section 26-A. It shall be unlawful, at any time, for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Westerly in Jackson street between Powell and Larkin streets;

Easterly in Washington street between Larkin and Powell streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Dr. J. C. Geiger, Health Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2383, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. C. Geiger, Director of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence from February 1 to 6, 1936, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Leave of Absence, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2384, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence of twenty (20) days, commencing February 6, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2385, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days, commencing March 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Commending Major Edward Bowes.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. 2386, as follows:

Whereas, Major Edward Bowes, through his inexorable efforts, unerring vision, devotion to his profession and love for his fellow man, has established himself as the leading radio maestro of the world, and

Whereas, The "Amateur Hour" conceived and conducted by Major Bowes, is a welcome adjunct to the welfare of this country in that

1. It reaches into obscurity to emerge with those possessed of talent; provides an otherwise impossible opportunity for them to demonstrate it to the nation; and sends them happily up the ladder of success;

2. It thrills the hearts of its listeners, providing entertainment and diversion for the largest radio audience in the world;

3. Through its revelation of the latent talent in America, it constitutes, in its more serious aspects, one of the greatest single-handed contributions ever made to the cause of Art;

4. It has resulted in supplying a sorely needed stimulus to the theatrical and allied industries, thereby creating employment for a large number of grateful workers;

5. It has inspired our talented youth with renewed confidence and implanted in their heretofore dubious minds, the firm conviction that if one possess real ability, the opportunity to utilize it shall be made available,

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby commend and congratulate Major Edward Bowes and expresses its approbation of the great work in which he is so successfully engaged, and be it

Further Resolved, That inasmuch as Major Bowes was born and raised in the City and County of San Francisco, and accordingly belongs to San Francisco, therefore the City and County of San Francisco should belong to Major Bowes, and his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to forthwith send to Major Edward Bowes, temporarily sojourning in New York City, the "Key to the City and County of San Francisco", as a symbol of his right to possession thereof, and to bid him return home in time for the San Francisco Bay Exposition to be celebrated in 1938, upon completion of the two greatest suspension bridges in the world, and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded, together with the "Key to the City and County of San Francisco", to Major Edward Bowes.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bills were *passed for second reading*:

### Appropriation $40,000, for New Regisration of Voters.

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri:

Bill No. 913, Ordinance No. 9.051235, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $40,000.00 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of Assessor's supplemental collections in connection with escaped property, to the credit of Appropriation Number 529.102.00, for the purpose of complying with the registration provisions of Chapter 715 of the Laws of 1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $40,000.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of Assessor's supplemental collections in connection with escaped property, to the credit of Appropriation Number 529.102.00, for the purpose of complying with the registration provisions of Chapter 715 of the Laws of 1935.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney. By Dion R. Holm.

Recommended: C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters.

Approved: Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Appropriation $825, for Purchase of Automobile by Sealer of Weights and Measures.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 914, Ordinance No. 9.051236, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $825.00 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 559.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 559.400.00 for the purpose of replacing an automobile in the Department of Weights and Measures:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

The sum of $825.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 559.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 559.400.00 for the purpose of replacing an automobile in the Department of Weights and Measures.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Proposed Underground Legislation.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that the Clerk furnish him a list of streets to be widened, by narrowing sidewalk widths, in order that legislation can be started for the creation of Underground Districts.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 2:55 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 10, 1936.

**Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.**

**J. S. DUNNIGAN,**
**Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,**
**City and County of San Francisco.**

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 31—New Series No. 6

Monday, February 10, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 10, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 3, 1936 was considered read and approved.

### HEARING, 2 P. M., STREET IMPROVEMENT.

Hearing of protests of any and all persons interested in the assessment, cost and expenses for the improvement of Newhall street between Carroll avenue and the southerly line of Williams avenue produced easterly where not already improved, including the intersection of Armstrong avenue, by the construction of a pavement, etc., by Eaton & Smith as per Order No. 2033, approved May 15, 1935.

The Clerk announced the hearing and asked if there were any persons who wanted to be heard. No response. Whereupon the Clerk was directed to *notify the Department of Public Works.*

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was heretofore read by the Clerk and action thereon *deferred until February* 24, 1936.

### MAYOR'S VETO.

Office of the Mayor,
San Francisco.

January 29, 1936.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The gasoline supply station ordinance No. 11.08211 and amendment to garage ordinance No. 11.08222, are before me for either approval or disapproval. I regret to inform you that I must disapprove these ordinances and veto the same, which I hereby do and return them to you.

While all legislative matters that require my attention receive my most careful consideration, the extreme interest manifested by the proponents and the opponents to these amendments and the very close vote by your Board of 6 to 5, establishing their passage, prompted me to hold a public hearing on Monday, January 27, 1936. The meeting was held in the Assembly Room of the Utilities Commission and all

persons concerned were given an opportunity to be heard. Representatives of the Parent-Teachers Association, civic and improvement clubs, San Francisco Hospital Conference and many other civic-minded citizens were in attendance, and free discussion of the issues before us ensued. To all participating, I am profoundly grateful.

While it is true that many issues are subjected to protests, I have never seen a more sincere and unselfish group of protestants as those appearing at this time.

In my letter of transmittal which accompanied my annual message, and which letter was dated January 6, 1936, under the title of "Traffic Fatalities", I stated the following:

"According to a report issued January 1, 1936, by the Commerce Department at Washington, San Francisco led all major cities in 1935, as we did also in 1934, in the lowness of the number of traffic fatalities per 100,000 of population. Our record, 9.5 in 1935, was lower, by far, than that of any of the major cities listed. While this is a good record, we must vigorously continue our campaign of education to reduce this toll of precious lives, many of which are lost through reckless driving, or carelessness upon the part of pedestrians."

Traffic regulations and the splendid enforcement of them by our efficient San Francisco Police Department, aided by the young men of the San Francisco School Traffic Patrol, made the fine record above referred to, possible.

I am in complete harmony with the National campaigners to reduce traffic fatalities. I am fearful, however, that the provisions contained in these ordinances will not permit such a condition to obtain.

The question of fire hazard was presented by the opponents to these amendments. Fire Chief Charles J. Brennan met this issue, and who is better qualified to discuss fire hazards than he? His opinion on such items I highly regard.

May I, at this point, comment upon the vigorous objection made to these measures, by persons representing the Parent-Teachers Association. It is commendable that these good ladies have devoted so much time and effort in making known their objections, and all in an unselfish effort merely to safeguard the lives of our children.

While it is true that we have established quiet zones about hospitals, there was a difference of opinion expressed by the persons appearing before me as to the interpretation to be placed on Section 2 of the supply station ordinance, which is similar in terminology to subdivision (e) of Section 1 of the garage ordinance. The language employed was construed by some to have intended to mean that no garage or service station would be erected at a point any place two hundred feet from the exterior boundary of any hospital, and by exterior boundary they felt was meant the walls enclosing the lot upon which the hospital was located.

Others expressed the view this language meant from the exterior boundary of the building proper, which would appear to me to be what was actually expressed.

It was also contended that in so far as schools, churches and theatres are concerned that the language used in the ordinances that none of the designated structures "shall come within 60 feet of the property line" was likewise ambiguous and that other language should be used.

I am in accord with the thoughts thus expressed and for the sake of clarity, any ordinance hereinafter adopted by your Honorable Board should specify therein that the distances are to be measured from the closest point of the property line to all institutions referred to to the nearest point on the property line to any garage or gas supply station, and these measurements to be made on a straight line.

In conclusion, it appears to me that the limitation of 60 feet for garages or gasoline stations to be erected near schools, churches or theatres is an unsafe distance and that a 200-foot limitation for such

structures to be erected from the exterior boundary of the hospital buildings does not insure the persons confined to hospitals a sufficient safeguard for quiet.

Yours respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

*January* 30, 1936—*Filed with Board of Supervisors at* 10:30 *a. m.*

Question: Shall the Ordinance stand notwithstanding the objections of His Honor the Mayor?

A vote *aye* sustains the Ordinance. A vote *no* sustains the *veto*.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) Concerning Public Passenger Vehicles and Entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses," etc., etc.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 877, Ordinance No. 3.04157, as follows:

Amending Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, and canceling Resolution No. 33951 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 54 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 54. Every person, firm or corporation owning or directly or indirectly operating any public passenger vehicle, except railroad cars, shall, after first obtaining a permit from the Police Department, which permit must be represented by an operative vehicle for which a current City license must be obtained, pay a license tax therefor, as follows:

For each such vehicle one ($1.00) dollar per annum for each passenger seating capacity of said vehicle.

In determining the seating capacity of any such vehicle, the driver thereof shall be included.

The metallic plate issued as part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by 2½ inches, and when used on motor drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle License Plate or fastened at the front of each motor drawn vehicle. When used on horse drawn vehicles it shall be perforated so as to permit of attachment in a conspicuous place on the right hand side of each horse drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least ⅝ x ⅜ inch and all numbers 8/8 x ⅝ inch in size.

It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a vehicle subject to this license tax to operate any such vehicle upon the public highways or streets in the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license plate to said motor vehicle by attaching it to the front State motor vehicle license plate or fastening it on the out-

side front of such vehicle or to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December 31st of each calendar year, provided, however, that in the case of any vehicle which is not required to have a distinctive color scheme or design pursuant to the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, said license plate may be affixed to the side of the seat of the driver of such vehicle or in a conspicuous place in the compartment reserved for said driver.

On the 30th day of December of each year the Police Department shall notify the Controller and the Tax Collector of the number of public passenger vehicle permits in effect, and the seating capacity of the vehicles for which the permits were granted, and whenever additional permits are granted, or existing permits are transferred or revoked during the year, the Controller and the Tax Collector shall be notified of same immediately. These notifications shall be in writing and signed by the Chief of Police or his duly authorized representative.

Every person engaged in the business or occupation of driver or motorman of any public passenger vehicle specified in this section shall pay one ($1.00) dollar for a driver's badge to be issued by the Tax Collector, which badge shall be of such design and lettering as he shall determine. Upon the presentation of a driver's annual permit, granted by the Police Department, the Tax Collector shall issue annually to the person named in such permit, a driver's annual identification card upon the payment of one ($1.00) dollar, provided said person is the owner of a driver's badge and exhibits such badge at the time of making the application. The obtaining or renewal of this driver's identification card and/or badge shall be subject to the penalty provisions of this ordinance. All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall date from the first day of each year and shall be issued for one year from the date aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $399,199.70 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Resources of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the Reconstruction, Resurfacing and Widening of Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 902, Ordinance No. 9.051232, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $399,199.70 out of the surplus existing in the resources of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the reconstruction, resurfacing and widening of certain streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the resources of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in accordance with the Controller's report of January 21, 1936, in the sum of $399,199.70 to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated and for the purposes recited:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 577.902.00 | Steuart-Embarcadero-Mission, Reconst. & Widen.. | $ 20,033.50 |
| 577.904.00 | Spear-Embarcadero-Howard, Reconst. & Widen.. | 23,316.50 |
| 577.906.00 | 18th-Guerrero-Danvers, Reconst. & Widen..... | 21,897.00 |
| 577.907.00 | Anza street, Construction ..... | 22,350.45 |
| 577.913.00 | California-Presidio to First, Reconst. & Widen.. | 15,642.00 |
| 577.914.00 | 7th ave.-Lawton-Lincoln way, Reconstruct..... | 7,605.00 |
| 577.916.00 | 5th-Mission to Townsend, Reconst. & Widen.... | 27,063.00 |
| 577.917.00 | Fremont-Market to Harrison, Reconst. & Widen.. | 13,950.00 |
| 577.918.00 | First-Market to Harrison, Reconst. & Widen.... | 14,550.00 |
| 577.919.00 | Harrison-Embarcadero to 5th, Reconst. & Widen | 32,580.00 |
| 577.920.00 | Beale-Embarcadero to Market, Reconst. & Widen | 34,794.00 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 577.921.00 | Battery-Embarcadero to Market, Reconst. & Widen | 17,700.00 |
| 577.922.00 | Bryant-2nd to 5th, Reconst. & Widen | 25,900.00 |
| 577.923.00 | 6th-Townsend to Mission, Reconst. & Widen | 23,260.00 |
| 577.924.00 | 8th-Townsend to Market, Reconst. & Widen | 38,884.00 |
| 577.925.00 | Folsom-Embarcadero to 10th, Reconst. & Widen | 35,410.00 |
| 577.926.00 | 5th-Mission to Townsend, Lighting | 6,390.00 |
| 577.927.00 | 8th-Townsend to Market, Lighting | 4,016.25 |
| 577.928.00 | Legal and Administrative | 6,000.00 |
| 577.929.00 | Engineering, General | 2,500.00 |
| 577.930.00 | Retirement | 2,500.00 |
| 577.931.00 | Equipment and Supplies | 1,000.00 |
| 577.932.00 | Unallocated | 1,858.00 |
| | | $399,199.70 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain City-Owned Land.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 903, Ordinance No. 12.17225, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City-owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Board of Education:

Beginning at a point on the east line of Funston avenue, distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the east line of Funston avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the west line of Twelfth avenue; thence southerly along last named line, 150 feet to a point distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; thence westerly 240 feet to the east line of Funston avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Creating Underground District, Twenty-fifth Avenue, Camino Del Mar to Fulton Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 904, Ordinance No. 11.1210, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 HHH.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 HHH. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after August 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 74, Twenty-fifth avenue between Camino Del Mar and Fulton street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2389, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-36, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. New England Mutual Life Insurance Company, per Vol. 2, Bill No. 2122, Lot 16, Block 258, Fiscal Year 1935.....$384.02
2. M. L. Cuthbert, per Vol. 33, Bill 1905, Lot 24d, Block 5615, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 9.57
3. Catherine Bond, per Vol. 14, Bill 369, Lot 43, Block 1798, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 36.82
4. The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, per Vol. 2, Bill 2797, Lot 6, Block 299, Fiscal Year 1935..... 525.78
5. Mrs. M. Purt, per Vol. 17, page 121, Lot 16, Block 2344A, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 6.08

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

### Carmel Fallon Fund Bequest From Estate of Annie Malone.

(Code No. 12.19)

Also, Resolution No. 2391, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the San Francisco War Memorial by Resolution No. 927 consents to the acceptance of the Carmel Fallon Fund Bequest from the estate of Annie Malone; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the acceptance of said Carmel Fallon Fund as designated by Resolution No. 927 of the Board of Trustees of the San Francisco War Memorial, upon the conditions set forth in said Resolution No. 927.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

### Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 2390, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District: No. 664 to Director of Public Works for $424.47; No. 665 to J. B. West for $44.35; No. 666 to J. B. West for $125; No. 667 to J. B. West for $125; No. 668 to J. B. West for $125; No. 669 to R.

Delucchi & Co. for $184.95; No. 670 to Lillian K. Treacy for $217.08; No. 671 to Bay Shore Cons. Co. for $1,623; No. 672 to American Trust Company for $96.15; No. 673 to R. Delucchi & Co. for $70; No. 674 to R. Delucchi & Co. for $22.50—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Establishing Procedure for Refunding a Percentage of Fees Collected by Any Department of the City and County.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 914, Ordinance No. 3.04158, as follows:

Establishing procedure for refunding a percentage of the fee collected by any Department of the City and County of San Francisco for a certificate of sanitation and/or inspection, permit or license when the application for same is withdrawn or denied, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever an application is made to any Department of the City and County of San Francisco for a certificate of sanitation and/or inspection permit or license, and a fee is paid for same as provided by ordinance, and said application is withdrawn, or after inspection and/or investigation by the department or departments required by ordinance to inspect and/or investigate same, the application is denied, and a request is made for a refund, the following procedure shall govern.

Section 2. The request for a refund must be made and signed by the original applicant within sixty (60) days after such withdrawal or denial and presented to the department to which the fee was paid. This department shall then transmit to the Controller the request accompanied by a statement setting forth the date the fee was collected, the amount, the receipt number, the ordinance number authorizing the collection, the reasons for such denial or withdrawal and a report of the time spent and expenses incurred by the department or departments making this investigation and/or inspection.

Section 3. The Controller shall audit such request for a refund and accompanying statement and determine the cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the investigation and/or inspection, and if he finds the same to be correct and proper in all particulars he shall approve the same and refund to the applicant that portion of the fee paid in excess of such cost.

Section 4. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Permit to Market Street Railway Company to Operate Buses in Excelsior District.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2366, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Brazil avenue and Mission street; thence on Mission street to Excelsior avenue; thence on Excelsior avenue to Vienna street; thence on Vienna street to Brazil avenue; thence on Brazil avenue to Prague street; thence on Prague street to Russia avenue; thence on Russia avenue to Moscow street; thence on Moscow street to Italy avenue; thence on Italy avenue to Naples street; thence on Naples street to Brazil avenue; thence on Brazil avenue to Mission street and the point of commencement.

That the permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and for the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same, all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceedings instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all State and municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of five (5) cents for each passenger shall be charged, which such fare, when paid on a bus, shall entitle the passenger to a transfer to the street railway lines of the Market Street Railway Company on Mission street, and the Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street line transfers which will be accepted in lieu of fare on said buses traveling over the route herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit, subject to all the conditions herein contained.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2387, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from

Crocker Estate Company a right of way easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, over certain land situated in San Mateo County, California, on the west side of Bayshore highway, immediately north of Peck's Subdivision No. 1, for the sum of $140, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir. Widening of Certain Streets.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2388, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from M. O. Ella Freeman Lot 13, Assessor's Block 2107, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $250, payable from Appropriation No. 593.905.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were presented, read and *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2396, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of February 15 to March 2, 1936, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable C. Harold Caulfield, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2392, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable C. Harold Caulfield, member of the Board of Education, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period February 15 to March 2, 1936, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Francis J. Foran, Member of Police Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2393, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Francis J. Foran, member of the Police Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week commencing February 12, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Memorializing Congress to Provide for the Continuance of Federal Aid Highway Funds.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2394, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has been apportioned annually the sum of approximately $5,000,000 by the U. S. Government under the terms of the Hayden-Cartwright Act for Federal Aid highways; and

Whereas, this particular allotment of highway funds has not been included in the present Federal Government budget to continue this essential requirement of motorists throughout the entire United States; and

Whereas, the State of California is now contributing to the U. S. Government from the one cent Federal Gas Tax funds the sum of approximately $11,000,000 annually, and this State has been receiving, in turn, about one-half of this sum from Federal Aid highway allotments, with the possibility of no further allocations for the ensuing biennium; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has not as yet received any Federal Aid highway allotments for approach roads to the Golden Gate Bridge, which will be completed within 15 months, and for which the City and County of San Francisco has assumed a responsibility of 85 per cent of any deficits accruing from the lack of revenue, to which adequate highways are a contributing factor; and

Whereas, these Federal Aid highway allotments have been a vital factor in relieving unemployment in the construction, automotive, petroleum, cement, and kindred industries; and

Whereas, the terms of the Hayden-Cartwright Act have previously provided a most beneficial protection against gas tax diversion by the various States and political subdivisions on account of its mandatory provision of reducing by one-third the Federal highway allotments of States participating in the diversion of gas tax funds, and any discontinuance of these Federal Aid highway appropriations would react most unfavorably against all future highway construction programs dependent on gas tax contributions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That all members of Congress and the United States Senate from the State of California and all other Western States be, and they are hereby requested to exert every effort to insure the continuation of Federal Aid highway funds to provide some medium of return for the generous contributions of the motoring public to the U. S. Government.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Re-location, Widening and Beautification of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Approaches.**

(Code No. 13.04)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 2395, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has pledged the City and County of San Francisco to contribute $400,000 toward the cost of re-locating, widening and beautifying the vehicular approach to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, as recommended by the Art Commission; and

Whereas, the State of California has matched this sum, in the interests of a more sightly approach; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, mindful of the necessity of keeping the gateway of our city as sightly and as safe as possible; and

Whereas, billboards, signs or other advertising devices on the roofs of buildings facing the approach would not only destroy the beauty envisioned by the Art Commission and the Board of Supervisors, but would materially increase traffic hazards by tending to divert the attention of motorists from the road and the vehicles about them; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the fire, safety and police committee of the Board of Supervisors be instructed to interview officials of the Art Commission, the City Planning Commission, the Street Traffic Advisory Board, the Bureau of Building Inspection, the City Attorney and others interested, to the end that construction of billboards, advertising signs or other devices on buildings in the vicinity of the Bay Bridge approach be prohibited; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Fire, Safety and Police Committee develop such legislation as may be necessary to accomplish this end and submit it to the Board of Supervisors as quickly as possible.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

**Directing the City Attorney to Represent Mary Scally in Action Pending in Local Superior Court.**

(Code No. ——)

Resolution No. 2397, as follows:

Whereas, it has been represented by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, that Mary Scally in her capacity of Psychologist of the Department of Public Health was called upon by a member of the Lunacy Commission to make a mental test of Ann Hewitt, and as a result of having made said test, Mary Scally has been named defendant in a civil action filed by Ann Hewitt; and

Whereas, it has been represented by the Director of Public Health that Mary Scally acted strictly in her official capacity and in the ordinary course of transacting her duties as Psychologist of the Department of Public Health; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby directed to appear as attorney of record for and in behalf of Mary Scally in that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled: Ann Cooper Hewitt v. Maryon Cooper Hewitt, Tilton E. Tillman, Samuel G. Boyd, Mary S. Scally et al. No. 263219, and that he defend the said action in behalf of Mary Scally until the same has been fully determined.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Directing County Welfare Department to Become Member of the American Public Welfare Association.**

(Code No. ——)

Resolution No. 2398, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That for the purpose of having the members of the County Welfare Department informed as to problems and developments in the administration of social welfare throughout the United States, E. Schenk is hereby authorized and directed to cause the County Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco to become a member of the American Public Welfare Association, which concern has its main office in the City of New York.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Return of Father Damien from Molokai to Belgium. Board of Supervisors Pays Tribute.**

Supervisor Schmidt called the Board's attention to the return of the remains of Father Damien to Belgium and moved that when the Board adjourns today that it does so in respect to his sainted memory. Motion *adopted* unanimously by a rising vote.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a committee of three be appointed to prepare proper engrossed resolution to the memory of Father Damien. Supervisors Schmidt, McSheehy and Shannon were appointed to said committee.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted* unanimously by rising vote:

**Father Joseph Damien de Veuster, Martyr.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 2399, as follows:

Whereas, the blessed remains of Father Joseph Damien de Veuster rest in our City today, and all San Francisco, grateful for the opportunity, kneels at his bier in prayerful reverence and pious respect for the man whose voluntary exile among the afflicted and rejected has perpetuated his memory; and

Whereas, although the death of this martyr priest occurred in 1889, the fifteen years he labored in the service of God ministering to the souls and bodies of lepers at Molokai constitute an ever-living example of sacrifice and love for his fellow man not equalled during the period in which he lived; and

Whereas, the people of Louvain, through their King, Albert of Belgium, have arranged for the removal of his remains to a chapel shrine in the homeland of this saintly missionary, and it is on this stately journey of his body to the land of his birth that San Francisco, the only continental American city touched by the cortege, pauses to pay homage to Father Damien; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby officially express its profound reverence and deep respect for Father Joseph Damien de Veuster, who lived and died a hero among the lepers at Molokai, and of whom it was said "he shut with his own hand the door of his sepulchre."

**Adequate Pacific Coast Defense.**

Supervisor Brown referred to the fact that February 12 to 22 had been designated National Defense Week; that Mayor Rossi had appointed a citizens committee of which he, Supervisor Brown, was chairman, to arrange a celebration, and in that connection presented a letter from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, relative to the necessity of adequate Pacific Coast defense. Clerk was directed to prepare suitable memorial to Congress along the lines of the letter.

### Report Requested on Underground Districts.

Supervisor McSheehy referred to a number of streets to be widened by sidewalk reduction and moved that the Clerk request from the Public Utilities Commission a report as to the status of contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Co. with respect to the number of miles of conduit service that have been placed underground during the past two years; also, as to mileage, if any, due the city.

Motion *carried*.

### Report of Special Committee as to Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, and members of the Board: As stated by the president of the Board, I desire to make a report of the committee that went to Washington with reference to the Hetch Hetchy power. All the members of the committee that were appointed by this Board, with the exception of the Mayor, attended the meeting in Washington with Mr. Ickes. We had three conferences with him, and Mr. O'Toole presented the legal matters, particularly stressing Section 9 which calls for a reasonable compliance with the Raker Act. Mr. Cahill went into great detail regarding the technical and electrical end of the matter. Mr. Ickes, a little different than most attorneys, was very quiet. Usually attorneys are quite garrulous, but we found Mr. Ickes very quiet, and he listened at all times. I can say he was most courteous to the entire committee; his attitude, I would interpret as being rather friendly. He complimented the officials of San Francisco in their evident desire to endeavor to comply with the law. Mr. Merrigold, Mr. Ickes' solicitor, a rather young man, an attorney, a graduate of Yale, raised some objections to the proposal that San Francisco presented, especially from the legal standpoint. Mr. Merrigold was for a strict adherence to the letter of the law as laid down in the Raker Act.

Mr. Hiram Johnson was particularly interested in the matter and gave the committee some splendid advice. Mr. Richard Welch attended the public hearing that was had with Mr. Ickes. At the final meeting it was determined that Mr. O'Toole would send some written memorandum to Mr. Merrigold, Mr. Ickes' solicitor, with reference to his interpretation of the reasonable compliance, and Mr. Ickes in the meantime has taken the matter under advisement.

* * * * * * *

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then at this time I am going to move a meeting of the special committee next Thursday at four o'clock. I am calling this meeting, which I have the authority to do, and I am doing so, Supervisors, especially addressing myself perhaps to Supervisors Shannon and Havenner who went east—I am doing so with just one thought in mind, and that is to keep this entire matter before the members of this Board and before the City of San Francisco as a whole.

Now, some of us have gone through this for a great many years; personally I have gone through it for over ten years, and I feel that I know the Raker Act as well as the average layman knows the Raker Act, and I know what has happened during the past ten years, and I know the prices that are charged to the average householder for electric consumption in their various homes. Now, something ought to be done. The very moment that this was agitated to a degree that it looked as if the City meant business, and the City did mean business, a reduction of ten per cent took place to all householders. Now, we are told by Mr. Cahill that another reduction of ten per cent would take place.

Now, people don't realize, but something like eleven millions of dollars is collected annually from the average householders here in San Francisco. It is a huge sum of money, and a ten per cent reduction means a great deal. They will quote to you every type of reduc-

tion under the country and they will tell you, "Yes, we are selling electricity at an average of two and a half to three cents a kilowatt", but when they make that analysis they do by placing in the Market Street Railway and our own Municipal Railways, and other large companies that use a large amount of electricity at a very low rate; but the average householder, you will find, pays around four and a half to five cents.

Now, Mr. Ickes—We understand conditions as they exist today, and some of us understand Mr. Ickes, and we understand his position. Now, I think this matter should be kept, and I know that I individually, as a member of this Board, and as chairman of this committee, am going to do everything I can to keep this matter before the public so that the public and the people of our city will receive a reduction in their electric rates. Now, if they can continue to stall they can continue to get this rate they are getting here today, which is a huge sum of money, huge profits they are continually making. We can meet again next Thursday and we can have this matter under advisement and we can listen to all who went there at the committee meeting in Washington and we can try and arrange, if we can, some mode of procedure so that we can some day deliver to the householders of San Francisco their own electricity from Mocassin Power House. Some day—it is not going to be done in a week. We have accomplished a great deal in the last few months, when we got that ten per cent reduction, and we will accomplish a great deal more by keeping together and not attempting to allow the matter to automatically die to some degree.

Remember, it is ten years ago that we entered into that contract in selling the output of the Moccasin Creek Power House for a little less than one-half cent a kilowatt, and they in turn selling it to us on an average of around four and a half cents a kilowatt in San Francisco. I know there are people sitting in this audience, I know there are people sitting in the press box that do not agree with me, and they never have agreed with me and I don't expect they ever will agree with me, but nevertheless I am going to state the facts as I find them. So, I hope, members of this committee, that you will adhere to the meeting that I am calling next Thursday at four o'clock—since Mr. Dunnigan said something about what room was being taken.—

THE CLERK: In here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Why meet in here?

THE CLERK: Because the finance committee, or the street and police committee will be in room 228.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then I have no objection, of course, to meeting here at that time, so, therefore, I would like to call that meeting at four o'clock, at that particular time, to go all over this, and have you, Mr. Clerk, notify all of the city officials that attended the conference in Washington, and those that are directly interested in this matter, and any other citizens that are directly interested.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: May I say a word, Mr. McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: We all appreciate your interest in this matter of the disposition of the power from Hetch Hetchy; all members of this Board are equally interested. Mr. Cahill will not be in San Francisco next Thursday, and asked particularly that all matters to be considered by this Board be withheld until his return, which will be, I think, about two weeks, and therefore I would suggest that in accordance with his special request to the members that returned to San Francisco before his return that we delay any meeting until his return, unless there is some special matter to be considered.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I make this statement to Mr. McSheehy, and Mr. Shannon, in particular: I think that it might be advisable, Mr. Shannon, to hold a meeting for the particular discussion of the proposed test suit before the Supreme Court concerning our authority

to issue revenue bonds. I think that need not wait until Mr. Cahill's return because that does not bear on the proposition which he has submitted to this Board and which the Board has submitted to the Secretary of the Interior; but I do think it might be advisable to hold this committee meeting and to endeavor to agree upon some course of procedure with respect to this proposed test before the Supreme Court, if that is agreeable with you. I agree with Mr. Shannon that I hardly think there is anything the committee, or board, could do immediately concerning the matter now pending before the Secretary, but I think it would be very desirable to try to decide upon a program with respect to the revenue bond test matter.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then, as I take it, Mr. President, that you favor holding this meeting with reference to the test revenue bond matter. I have been told—one of the clerks was telling me that Mr. O'Toole was sick.

THE CLERK: He will be back in his office today.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Is he back today?

THE CLERK: He is coming in for a little while, and he will be back on the job tomorrow.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If you, Supervisor Shannon, have any desire to put the whole matter off a week, I am not set or I am not arbitrary; the only thing I am arbitrary about is to keep the matter before this board because I know what the outcome will be if we consistently keep it out. We have accomplished something and we will accomplish a great deal more. If Supervisor Shannon wishes to hold this matter, as suggested by Supervisor Havenner on next Thursday, I am perfectly willing. If the Supervisor doesn't, I am willing to put the matter over another week.

THE CHAIRMAN: I personally would be glad to have the committee meet for the purpose of discussion of the procedure I have outlined; I think it would be desirable to arrive at an agreement at the earliest possible moment.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then if there is no objection, I will call the meeting.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I have no objection, as long as there is something specific to come before the committee.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then the clerk will please have notices prepared, and notices will be sent out to everybody as to this meeting at four o'clock.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:50 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 17, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 7

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, February 17, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 17, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Meyer appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Uhl excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 10, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 16 of Salary Ordinance—Recreation Dept., by Addition of Item 5½—Bookkeeper at $150 per Month; and Amending Sec. 22 by Addition of Item 5½—Museum Instruction, etc., at $150 per Month.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 905, Ordinance No. 9.05377, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 16 of Ordinance 9.05367, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 5½ thereto, and amending Section 22 of the same ordinance by adding Item 5½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 16 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 16. **RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day..... | |
| 2 | 1 | A158 | Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day. | |
| 3 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day..... | |

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 1 | A392 | Plasterer at $12 per day | |
| 5 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 185 |
| 5½ | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B51 | Publicity Agent (as needed) | 150 |
| 7 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B351 | Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 10 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 11 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 125 |
| 12 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 210 |
| 13 | 1 | F304 | Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance (as needed) | 350 |
| 14 | 11 | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 15 | 1 | J12 | Labor Foreman | 175 |
| 16 | 25 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 150 |
| 17 | 29 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 145 |
| 18 | 1 | J72 | Playground Caretaker (part time) | 75 |
| 19 | 2 | O10 | Truck Driver, Light Truck | 150 |
| 20 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 21 | | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 20) | 150 |
| 22 | 1 | O54 | Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 23 | 4 | O58 | Gardener | 135 |
| 24 | 1 | O62 | Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept. | 175 |
| 25 | 1 | R2 | Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities | 250 |
| 26 | 1 | R3 | Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 250 |
| 27 | 1 | R4 | Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 400 |
| 28 | 14 | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 75 |
| 29 | | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 65 |
| 30 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director | 175 |
| 31 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director | 160 |
| 32 | 24 | R56 | Playground Director | 150 |
| 33 | 4 | R56 | Playground Director | 140 |
| 34 | 2 | R56 | Playground Director | 135 |
| 35 | 4 | R56 | Playground Director | 130 |
| 36 | 13 | R56 | Playground Director | 125 |
| 37 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 38 | | R56 | Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 37) | 150 |
| 39 | | R56 | Playground Director, 65c per hour | |
| 40 | 3 | R56 | Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sundays only) | |

Section 2. Section 22 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 22. M. H. de YOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director | $ 491.66 |
| 2 | 1 | | Supervisor of Exhibits | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Recorder | 125 |
| 4 | 1 | | Secretary to Director | 150 |
| 5 | 2 | | Museum Instructor | 125 |
| 5½ | 1 | | Museum Instructor & Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) | 150 |

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 1 | | Assistant Museum Instructor | 100 |
| 7 | 1 | | Stenographer | 100 |
| 8 | 1 | | Head Galleryman | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | | Labeller | 140 |
| 10 | 1 | | Clerk | 100 |
| 11 | 1 | | Mechanic | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | | Assistant Mechanic | 135 |
| 13 | 1 | | Janitor | 130 |
| 14 | 1 | | Assistant Janitor | 125 |
| 15 | 1 | | Head Caretaker | 95 |
| 16 | 6 | | Caretaker | 85 |
| 17 | 1 | | Secretary Board of Trustees | 240 |
| 18 | 1 | | Lecturer, $10 per Sunday | |
| 19 | 10 | | Gallerymen | 125 |
| 20 | 1 | | Carpenter, $5.50 per day | |
| 21 | 1 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Curator of Prints | 125 |
| 23 | 1 | | Assistant Head Galleryman | 125 |
| 24 | 1 | | Expert Repairman | 125 |
| | | | TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED | |
| 25 | | | Seasonal Clerical Service | 150 |
| 26 | | | Plasterer Foreman, $11 day | |
| 27 | | | Construction Superintendent | 200 |
| 28 | | | Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in the resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City. | |

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $750 for the Purpose of Creating Position of One Museum Director and Special Expert in M. H. DeYoung Memorial Museum—Item 5½—Section 22 of Salary Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 906, Ordinance No. 9.051233, as follows:

An ordinance making a supplemental appropriation of $750 to the credit of Appropriation No. 518.101.00 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the year 1935-36 (Ordinance No. 9.051179) for the purpose of creating one position of Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) at $150 per month in the M. H. DeYoung Memorial Museum.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby re-appropriated the sum of $750 to Appropriation No. 518.101.00 as set forth in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance No. 9.051179 from the surplus existing therein in accordance with the provisions of Section 80 of the charter.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the M. H. DeYoung Memorial Museum one position of Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) at $150 per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $750 to Pay Salary of One Additional Bookkeeper in Recreation Dept.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 907, Ordinance No. 9.051234, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $750 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 513.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 513.101.00 for the purpose of creating one position of bookkeeper at $150 per month in the Recreation Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $750 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 513.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 513.101.00.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Recreation Department one position of bookkeeper at $150 per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Appropriation $40,000, for New Registration of Voters.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 913, Ordinance No. 9.051235, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $40,000.00 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of Assessor's supplemental collections in connection with escaped property, to the credit of Appropriation Number 529.102.00, for the purpose of complying with the registration provisions of Chapter 715 of the Laws of 1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $40,000.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of Assessor's supplemental collections in connection with escaped property, to the credit of Appropriation Number 529.102.00, for the purpose of complying with the registration provisions of Chapter 715 of the Laws of 1935.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Appropriation $825, for Purchase of Automobile by Sealer of Weights and Measures.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 914, Ordinance No. 9.051236, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $825.00 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 559.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 559.400.00 for the purpose of replacing an automobile in the Department of Weights and Measures.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $825.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 559.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 559.400.00 for the purpose of replacing an automobile in the Department of Weights and Measures.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—4.

**Amending Section B of Ordinance 5754 (NS), by Increasing the Standard Reel on Moving Picture Films to 2,000 Feet.**

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 908, Ordinance No. 11.055, as follows:

Amending Section B of Ordinance 5754 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Manufacture, Printing, Developing, Keeping, Storing or Use of Motion Picture Films," *by increasing the standard reel to 2,000 feet.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 2 of Section B—Definitions—of Ordinance No. 5754 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

*Section B—Definitions.*

1. Wherever in this ordinance used, the terms "motion picture film" and "motion picture films" shall be understood to mean films composed of nitro-cellulose or pyroxylin-plastic material or of other inflammable composition.

2. The term "standard reel" wherever in this ordinance used shall be understood to mean approximately two thousand (2000) feet of motion picture film, approximately one and eleven thirty-seconds (1-11/32) inches in width and .0055" in thickness, weighing approximately ten (10) pounds.

3. The term "Exchange" means an establishment or place in a building used for handling, packing, shipping, storing, inspecting, rewinding or repairing film in connection with the distribution of film.

4. The term "Laboratory" means an establishment or place in a building used for the perforation, printing, development, washing, fixing, drying, assembling, polishing, finishing, or other operations connected with the production of either motion picture negatives or positives.

5. The term "Film Vault" means a separate room, compartment, vault or enclosure constructed and equipped in accordance with the requirements of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Uhl—3.

**Repealing Ordinance Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Fulton Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 909, Ordinance No. 12.073157, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073148, Code No. 12.0731, Bill No. 853, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, Entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' Approved December 18, 1903, by Amending Section Seventy-Two (72) Thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.073148, Code No. 12.0731, Bill No. 853, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Creating Underground District No. 75, Fifth Street, Between Folsom and Townsend Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 910, Ordinance No. 11.1211, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for

Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section III.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section III.

Section III. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after March 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 75, Fifth street, between Folsom and Townsend streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Creating Underground District No. 76, Eighth Street, Between Folsom and Townsend Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 911, Ordinance No. 11.1212, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section JJJ.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section JJJ.

Section JJJ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after March 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 76, Eighth street, between Folsom and Townsend streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Public Proceedings for Improvement of Sidewalks on Chestnut Street and at Various Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 912, Ordinance No. 12.061162, as follows:

Ordering the Performance of Certain Street Work to Be Done in the City and County of San Francisco, Approving and Adopting Specifications Therefor, Describing and Approving the Assessment District, and Authorizing the Director of Public Works to Enter Into Contract for Doing the Same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of

Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

Improvement of:

Chestnut street (N ½) between Stockton street and 37 feet 6 inches east.

Stockton street (E ½) between Chestnut street and 80 feet north.

Pacific avenue (N ½) between 68 feet 9 inches and 137 feet 6 inches east of Sansome street.

Octavia street (W ½) between 80 feet 1 inch and 137 feet 6 inches north of Union street.

Green street (S ½) between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street.

Van Ness avenue (W ½) between 72 feet and 122 feet south of Washington street.

Bush street (N ½) between 81 feet 3 inches and 106 feet 3 inches east of Steiner street.

Steiner street (E ½) between 77 feet 6 inches and 127 feet 6 inches north of Bush street.

Post street (N ½) between Pierce street and 137 feet 6 inches east.

Webster street (E ½) between 82 feet 6 inches and 110 feet north of Geary street.

Webster street (W ½) between 47 feet 6 inches and 70 feet south of Post street.

Webster street (W ½) between Byington street and 25 feet north.

Byington street (N ½) between Webster street and 71 feet west.

Pierce street (W ½) between 100 feet and 150 feet north of Ellis street.

Buchanan street (E ½) between Eddy and Willow streets.

Eddy street (N ½) between Buchanan street and 77 feet 6 inches east.

Turk street (N ½) between 100 feet and 125 feet west of Laguna street.

Turk street (N ½) between 62 feet 6 inches and 87 feet 6 inches east of Buchanan street.

Buchanan street (W ½) between McAllister street and 56 feet 9 inches north.

McAllister street (N ½) between Buchanan street and 72 feet west.

Buchanan street (W ½) between Golden Gate avenue and 76 feet 6 inches south.

Golden Gate avenue (S ½) between Buchanan street and 57 feet 6 inches west.

Golden Gate avenue (S ½) between Pierce street and 27 feet 6 inches west.

Octavia street (E ½) between 68 feet 9 inches and 137 feet 6 inches north of Grove street.

Fulton street (S ½) between 110 feet and 165 feet east of Fillmore street.

Grove street (S ½) between 181 feet 3 inches and 231 feet 3 inches west of Laguna street.

Laguna street (W ½) between Hayes and Ivy streets.

Ivy street (S ½) between Laguna street and 81 feet 3 inches west.

Fell street (N ½) between Gough street and 165 feet east.

Octavia street (W ½) between Hickory street and 20 feet south.

Hickory street (S ½) between Octavia street and 68 feet 9 inches west.

Fell street (S ½) between 192 feet 6 inches and 220 feet west of Gough street.

Steiner street (W ½) between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches south of Haight street.

Scott street (E ½) between 97 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches north of Waller street.

Broderick street (E ½) between Vallejo street and 137 feet 6 inches south.

Clay street (N ½) between 197 feet and 252 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street.

Walnut street (E ½) between California street and 54 feet north.

California street (N ½) between Walnut street and 72 feet 6 inches east.

Geary boulevard (N ½) between Cook street and 53 feet 4 inches west.

Cook street (W ½) between Geary boulevard and 100 feet north.

Post street (S ½) between 162 feet 6 inches and 225 feet east of Divisadero street.

Broderick street (W ½) between 100 feet and 175 feet south of Post street.

Geary street (N ½) between Presidio avenue and 138 feet 5 inches east.

Golden Gate avenue (S ½) between 156 feet 3 inches and 181 feet 3 inches east of Masonic avenue.

Cole street (W ½) between Grove street and 37 feet 6 inches south.

Grove street (S ½) between Cole street and 102 feet 6 inches west.

Broderick street (W ½) between 125 feet and 150 feet south of Hayes street.

Waller street (S ½) between Ashbury and Downey streets.

Ashbury street (W ½) between Waller street and 50 feet south.

Ashbury street (W ½) between 872 feet and 910 feet 2 inches south of Frederick street.

California street (N ½) between Sixth avenue and 32 feet 8 inches east.

California street (N ½) betweeen 82 feet 6 inches and 132 feet 6 inches west of 23rd avenue.

Third avenue (W ½) between 100 feet and 125 feet south of Geary boulevard.

Thirty-fifth avenue (W ½) between Anza street and 100 feet south.

Forty-fifth avenue (W ½) between 225 feet and 300 feet north of Cabrillo street.

Fulton street (N ½) between 40 feet and 95 feet east of Forty-fourth avenue.

Noe street (W ½) between 34 feet 7 inches and 76 feet north of 15th street.

by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundaries of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 54, Lot 16B; Block 165, Lot 6; Block 530, Lot 6; Block 558, Lots 31 and 32; Block 618, Lot 1B; Block 659, Lots 15 and 19; Block 682, Lot 3; Block 700, Lot 17; Block 701, Lot 3; Block 725, Lot 4; Block 728, Lot 5A; Block 734, Lot 19; Block 747, Lots 13 and 21; Block 772, Lots 1 and 3B; Block 776, Lot 1; Block 793, Lot 20; Block 797, Lots 25 and 26; Block 806, Lots 2, 30 and 31; Block 816, Lots 7 and 8; Block 831, Lot 2; Block 832, Lot 22; Block 861, Lot 2; Block 862, Lot 17A; Block 959, Lot 15; Block 1001, Lots 11 and 12; Block 1021, Lot 10; Block 1066, Lot 21; Block 1078, Lots 20 and 21; Block 1080, Lots 5, 6 and 7; Block 1082, Lot 12; Block 1160, Lot 29; Block 1193, Lots 1 and 1A; Block 1205, Lot 6; Block 1254, Lot 1; Block 1269, Lot 32; Block 1365, Lot 20; Block 1383, Lots 20

and 21; Block 1541, Lot 2; Block 1578, Lot 1; Block 1599, Lot 7; Block 1686, Lots 23 and 24; Block 3540, Lots 13 and 14.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Adding a New Section to Traffic Ordinance to Provide That Jackson and Washington Streets, Between Powell and Larkin Streets, Shall Be 24-Hour "One-Way" Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 896, Ordinance No. 11.0212, as follows:

Adding a new section to Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," to be numbered Section 26-A ("One-Way Streets—All Times").

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be designated Section 26-A, which shall read as follows:

Section 26-A. It shall be unlawful, at any time, for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Westerly in Jackson street between Powell and Larkin streets;

Easterly in Washington street between Larkin and Powell streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Changing Width of Sidewalks on Brannan Street Between The Embarcadero and Division Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 854, Ordinance No. 12.073149, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 29, 1935, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof to read as follows:

Section 220. The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between The Embarcadero and Fifth Street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the northwesterly side of, between Fifth and Sixth Streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the southeasterly side of, between Fifth and Sixth Streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Sixth and Seventh Streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the northwesterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth Streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street, the southeasterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth Streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Eighth Street and a line 275 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Ninth Street and a line 275 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan Street between Ninth and Division Streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $3,037.50 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for Additional Employments, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 925, Ordinance No. 9.051235, as follows:

Appropriating $3,037.50 from the Emergency Reserve appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the salaries of additional employments in the Department of Public Health during the period of four and one-half months ending June 30, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,037.50 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the payment of salaries of additional employments in the Department of Public Health for a period of four and one-half months ending June 30, 1936, as follows, to-wit:

2 N52—Restaurant and food inspectors at $175 per month each.
1 N64—Dairy inspector at $200 per month.
1 Supervisor of Bacteriological, Chemical and Tuberculin Records at $125 per month.

Approved by Director of Public Health.
Approved by Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Controller as to availability of funds.
Recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement with Southern Pacific Company for Permission to Construct Water Pipe Line to San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2405, as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized to enter into a written agreement dated February 5, 1936, with Southern Pacific Company, giving the City the right to construct a 12-inch water pipe line beneath the company's tracks near Lomita Park, San Mateo County, California, for service to the San Francisco Airport.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Portable Buildings at Lawton School.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 2406, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction certain portable buildings located at the Lawton School.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Directing the Clerk to Advertise Sale of $2,700,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.**

(Code No. 15.021)

Also, Resolution No. 2407, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper a notice of sale that, on the 24th day of February, 1936, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of:

Two million seven hundred thousand dollars ($2,700,000) "Water Distribution Bonds," 1933.

Said bonds to bear interest payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1, at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 150 bonds of $1,000 denomination, each maturing each year December 1, 1936 to 1953, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Franklin Street Between Market and Grove and Also Between McAllister and Bay Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 916, Ordinance No. 12.073158, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-two (1152).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 4th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-two (1152), to read as follows:

Section 1152. The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between Market and Grove streets shall be nine (9) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between McAllister and Bay streets shall be nine (9) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Franklin Street Between Grove and McAllister Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 918, Ordinance No. 12.073160, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-eight (1048) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 4th, 1936, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-eight (1048) thereof to read as follows:

Section 1048: The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between Grove and McAllister streets shall be nine (9) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Referred.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the following bills were *referred to the Streets and Public Utilities Committee*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on First Street Between Howard Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 917, Ordinance No. 12.073159, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-nine (239) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1st, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-nine (239) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 239: The width of sidewalks on First street between Market and Howard streets shall be fifteen feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street between Howard and Brannan streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street (the westerly side of) between Brannan street and The Embarcadero shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street (the easterly side of) between Brannan street and The Embarcadero shall be abolished.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Stanyan Street Between McAllister and Turk.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 919, Ordinance No. 12.073161, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-seven (1147).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1, 1936, by adding thereto a new section

to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-seven (1147) to read as follows:

Section 1147: The width of sidewalks on Stanyan street between Turk and McAllister streets shall be 9 feet.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on West Side of Front Street Between Vallejo and Union Streets, and Abolishing Sidewalks on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 920, Ordinance No. 12.073162, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Sixty-nine (69) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10, 1936, by amending Section Sixty-nine (69) thereoef, to read as follows:

Section 69. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Vallejo and Union streets, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″). The width of sidewalks on Front street, the easterly side of, between Vallejo and Union streets, shall be abolished.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on West Side of Front Street Between Broadway and Vallejo Street and Abolishing Sidewalks on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 921, Ordinance No. 12.073163, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-one (71) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10, 1936, by amending Section Seventy-one (71) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 71. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Broadway and Vallejo street, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″).

The width of sidewalk on Front street, the easterly side of, between Broadway and Vallejo street, shall be abolished.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Front Street Between Union Street and The Embarcadero, and Abolishing Sidewalk Widths on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 922, Ordinance No. 12.073164, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-three (1153).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-three (1153), to read as follows:

Section 1153. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the west-

erly side of, between Union street and The Embarcadero, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11' 5½").

The width of sidewalks on Front street, the easterly side of, between Union street and The Embarcadero, shall be abolished.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Fremont Street Between Howard Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 923, Ordinance No. 12.073165, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section Two Hundred and Thirty-eight (238) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1st, 1936, by amending section Two Hundred and Thirty-eight (238) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 238: The width of sidewalks on Fremont street between Market and Howard streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fremont street between Howard Street and The Embarcadero shall be 10 feet.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Intention to Close a Portion of Holladay Avenue Between Bay Shore Boulevard and Army Street.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2401, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Holladay avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Holladay avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Army street and the easterly line of Holladay avenue, said point of beginning being distant along said line of Army street 159.651 feet westerly from the westerly line of Adam street, and running thence westerly along said line of Army street produced westerly 9.278 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 00 minutes 40 seconds to the left and running southerly 90.253 feet to the northeasterly line of Bay Shore boulevard produced northwesterly; thence southeasterly along said line of Bay Shore boulevard on a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 46 degrees 10 minutes 50 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 486.84 feet, central angle 1 degree 38 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 13.915 feet to the intersection of the easterly line of Holladay avenue and the northeasterly line of Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting 118 degrees 47 minutes 24 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northeasterly along the easterly line of Holladay avenue 56.541 feet; thence deflecting 30 degrees 49 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northwesterly along said line of Holladay avenue 46.72 feet to the southerly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandoning shall not adversely affect any of the right, title, or interest that the Western Pacific Railroad Company has acquired as successor to the Ocean Shore Railroad Company, as per

deed recorded December 30, 1921, in Book 418, page 181, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said closing and abandoning of said portion of Holladay avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandoning be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandoning of said portion of Holladay avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Intention to Close a Portion of Stanyan Street (Formerly Henderson Avenue).**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 2402, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Stanyan street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Anza street (formerly St. Rosa's avenue), distant thereon 112.500 feet westerly from the westerly line of Beaumont avenue (formerly Johnston avenue); thence deflecting 38 degrees 13 minutes 37 seconds to the right from said line of Anza street and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) 143.209 feet to the easterly line of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue); thence southerly on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 128 degrees 13 minutes 37 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 120 feet, central angle 30 degrees 01 minute 01 second, 62.867 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 57.197 feet, central angle 59 degrees 58 minutes 59 seconds, 5.879 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Anza street produced westerly; thence easterly along said westerly production, 46.921 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Stanyan street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Stanyan street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Make Mary Street from Howard to Mission Street "One-Way" in a Northerly Direction.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 924, Ordinance No. 11.0213, as follows:

Amending Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing all Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. *One Way Streets*—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;

Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;

Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;

Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;

*Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;

Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue;

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street;

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue;

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street;

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;

*Northerly in Mary street from Howard street to Mission street;*

Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;

Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;

Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;

Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street;

Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Mason street;

*Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California streets;

Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;

Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets;

Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets;
Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Intention to Change Grade on Palo Alto Avenue Between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a Point 1197.65 Feet Westerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2403, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3487 of the Director of Public Works, dated January 29, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board February 1, 1936, to-wit:

| *Palo Alto Avenue*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Northerly line of, at Twin Peaks boulevard westerly line (The same being the present official grade) | 700.00 |
| Southerly line of, at Twin Peaks boulevard westerly line (The same being the present official grade) | 697.00 |
| 267.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 776.20 |
| 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 277.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 776.00 |
| 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 277.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 776.00 |
| 342.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 784.76 |
| 367.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 787.24 |
| 392.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | 787.94 |
| 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 829.50 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 784.56 |
| 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 829.50 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 784.56 |
| 42.55 feet westerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) | 781.30 |
| Northerly curb line of, 34.09 feet (measured along the curb), easterly from the westerly end of the arc which is 980.56 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 783.24 |
| Southerly curb line of, 1017.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 781.22 |
| Southerly curb line of, 997.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 782.78 |
| Southerly curb line of, 977.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | 783.40 |
| Northerly curb line of, 1017.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 781.22 |
| Northerly curb line of, 997.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 782.73 |
| Northerly curb line of, 20 feet easterly from the last described point (measured along the curb), (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | 783.24 |
| 1097.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 773.12 |
| Northerly curb line of, 1197.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard | 761.50 |

Southerly curb line of, 1197.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks boulevard ..... 763.00

On Palo Alto avenue between the westerly line of Twin Peaks boulevard and 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Changing Name of Hinckley Street to Fresno Street.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 2404, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of Hinckley street be and is hereby changed to Fresno street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Directing the City Attorney to Represent Mary Scally in Action Pending in the Local Superior Court.**

(Code No. 6.0219)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Resolution No. 2397, as follows:

Whereas, it has been represented by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, that Mary Scally, in her capacity as psychologist of the Department of Public Health, was called upon by a member of the Lunacy Commission to make a mental test of Ann Hewitt, and as a result of having made such test, Mary Scally has been made defendant in a civil action filed by Ann Hewitt; and

Whereas, it has been represented by the Director of Public Health that Mary Scally acted strictly in her official capacity and in the ordinary course of transacting her duties as a psychologist of the Department of Public Health; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby directed to appear as an attorney of record for and in behalf of Mary Scally in that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled: *Ann Cooper Hewitt* v. *Maryon Cooper Hewitt, Tilton E. Tillman, Samuel G. Boyd, Mary S. Scally, et al.*, No. 263219, and that he defend the said action in behalf of Mary Scally until the same has been fully determined.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northerly Line of Chestnut Street 88 Feet 9 Inches Westerly from Westerly Line of Scott Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2400, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, granting an application to rezone from

Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northerly line of Chestnut street 88 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Scott street, is hereby set for Monday, February 24, 1936, at 2 o'clock P. M.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, and Appointment of Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.**

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: I do hereby apply to your Honorable Board for a 30-day leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, beginning Friday, February 21st, 1936.

In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I designate Honorable Franck R. Havenner, President of your Board, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Very truly yours,
ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2401, as follows:

Resolved, That a thirty days' leave of absence is hereby granted to his Honor the Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi, with permission to leave the State of California, for the period beginning February 21, 1936.

(In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi has designated Hon. Franck R. Havenner, President of the Board of Supervisors, to act as Mayor during his absence.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**In Memoriam—William B. Hamilton.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Colman for insertion in the Journal and the Clerk was instructed to send a letter of condolence to the family of the deceased expressing the sentiments of the Board, to-wit:

The Board of Supervisors learns with regret of the death of William B. Hamilton, former Collector of Customs, San Francisco. For half a century Mr. Hamilton served the people in the Federal service. He was always courteous, genial, kindly and efficient. He identified himself with San Francisco affairs to the extent of always aiding the movement for good government and higher attainments in comfort for the people of his city. He took an active part and did a goodly share in promoting the interests of San Francisco. In respect to his memory the Board of Supervisors this day adjourns, and extends heartfelt sympathy to his widow and son.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were presented and *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2409, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 22, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, Member Art Commission**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2410, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing February 21, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Emerson Knight, Member Art Commission**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2411, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing February 17, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. P. Murphy, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2412, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable J. P. Murphy, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing February 21, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Granting Healy-Tibbitts Extension of Time to Construct False Work on Clementina Street.**

(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Ratto presented the following recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 2413, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works as conveyed in his Order No. 3406, filed with the Clerk of this Board on January 20, 1936, permission is hereby granted to Healy-Tibbitts Construction Company to erect false work required in the construction of the approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge on the following street area and within the dates specified:

Clementina street from a line 325 feet easterly from Second street to the easterly line of Ecker street produced, from November 1, 1935, to March 30, 1936.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens Committee for Observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1936.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2414, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a suitable Citizens Committee for the observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1936.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**In Memoriam—Monsignor O'Mahony.**

Supervisor Shannon called attention to the passing of Monsignor O'Mahony for quarter of a century of St. Anne's Roman Catholic Church and requested that the Clerk be instructed to draft an In Memoriam Resolution, Father O'Mahony, same to be engrossed and expressing the sorrow of our people and loss suffered by the City in the death of this good and noble priest. The Clerk announced that the Mayor's office asked that Board be polled to ascertain how many members could attend funeral. Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri and Shannon indicated their intention to attend the funeral.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was unanimously *adopted* by rising vote:

**In Memoriam, Right Reverend Monsignor William G. O'Mahony.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 2415, as follows:

Whereas, the long and pious career of the Right Reverend Monsignor William G. O'Mahony, pastor of St. Anne's Church, has been ended by death; and

Whereas, Monsignor O'Mahony labored diligently in the service of God and his many contributions to the spiritual life of San Francisco were made by dint of prodigious effort which finally cost his life years before he need have gone, and while thousands mourn his death, that sorrow is alleviated by the fact that he was allowed to see the fruitions and realizations of the ambitions he cherished, foremost among them being the building of the magnificent Basilica of St. Anne; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its profound sorrow at the death of the Right Reverend Monsignor O'Mahony, whose wage was the satisfaction of heart that he had met every duty to his God and his fellow man and performed it well, and as a further mark of respect, the Board this day adjourns out of respect to his memory.

**In re Agreements Between California Toll Bridge Authority and Interurban Carriers**

San Francisco, February 14, 1936.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: The final drafts between the Toll Bridge Authority and Interurban and Key System for the handling of interurban passengers between San Francisco and Oakland over the Bay Bridge have been received and examined by me.

The first important matter, concerning the contracts, to be determined by your Board was whether automobile or rail transportation should be employed to transport interurban passengers. On March 4, 1935, the Board went on record as favoring rail transportation, which method has been provided for in the contracts and which we urged before the Railroad Commission be incorporated in the documents.

Your action taken August 26, 1935, approved so-called Plan X, with the proviso that the property on the south side of Mission street between First and Fremont streets should be purchased by the Toll Bridge Authority and a suitable terminal building erected thereon. The contracts set forth that the streets designated shall constitute the terminal, and drawings of the proposed building to be erected thereon have been submitted to the Mayor and your Board. The Toll Bridge Authority intends to erect a suitable building similar to that depicted in the drawings submitted.

Another point that the City Attorneys representing cities interested in these contracts urged inclusion within their terms was that the interurban railroads would never attach any value arising out of the contracts to be included in their rate base for the fixing of fares for the future. It was also urged that present fares be maintained for a period of eighteen months and both these provisions have been incorporated.

The value of the right to operate over the bridge is also excluded in the event eminent domain proceedings are instituted to acquire the properties of the operating companies and particularly no severance damage is to be claimed in the event any public agency would condemn and purchase the contemplated interurban lines.

The contracts grant to the railroads an exclusive right to operate interurban service up to January 1, 1942, and thereafter the contracts may be terminated by giving notice at any time within sixty days after January 1, 1942, that the contracts will be terminated within the succeeding two years.

In the event the terms of the contracts are extended for any 5-year period after 1942 the right to terminate exists by giving similar notice.

An important feature of the contracts is that the operating companies have agreed to abandon the use of ferry boats.

Much of the contracts is devoted to the conditions under which the Toll Bridge Authority will change the equipment of the operating companies so as to make it feasible to use the same over the bridge, liability in operating the system, the right of the Authority from time to time to permit any railway companies to use the bridge in common with the interurban railroads for purposes other than the interurban traffic, the Authority's right to operate the railway in the event the interurban railroads should default in the provisions of the contracts, and many provisions relative to the safety devices and the observation of all rules of safety.

Technically speaking, the City has no legal right to dictate the terms to be incorporated in these agreements, but the Authority has willingly entertained suggestions made by me as well as suggestions made by other city attorneys and the contracts express virtually all of the matters that you have instructed me to have incorporated in them.

Unless otherwise advised by you, I am prepared to and will approve these contracts and make known the approval to the Railroad Commission.

Yours very truly,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Earl Carroll, representing Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association; Florence McAuliffe, attorney for California State Toll Bridge Authority; John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, and Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, and Geo. Gearhardt, secretary Civic League of Improvement Clubs, were heard at length on the foregoing matter.

### Motions.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Board notify the City Attorney that it offers no objection to his approval of the contract.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Schmidt—4.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and moved for reconsideration, and Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

The roll being called on suspension of the rules, the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

### Reconsideration Denied.

Thereupon, the roll was called on reconsideration of the vote whereby Supervisor Shannon's motion carried and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Whereupon, the chair announced that the motion to reconsider the vote on Supervisor Shannon's motion was *denied* and declared said motion *carried*.

### Underground District.

Supervisor McSheehy presented resolution authorizing consideration of creation of underground districts in connection with sidewalk narrowing.

*Referred to Joint Streets and Public Utilities Committee. Meeting of Joint Committee, February* 18, 1936, 4 *p. m.*

### Enforcement of Liquor Laws.

Supervisor McSheehy presented letter from County Supervisors' Association, announcing meeting at Hotel Biltmore, Los Angeles, February 19-30, to consider proposal of placing enforcement of liquor laws in hands of local authorities, and requesting representation from Board of Supervisors at meeting. Also, communications from State legislators Melvyn Cronin and Ralph E. Swing, announcing meeting in State Building, Los Angeles, dealing with same matter, were read by Clerk.

Chair appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri and Shannon to attend.

**Report of Special Committee to Study and Report on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

February 13, 1936.

The following was read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On motion of Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, at meeting of the Special Committee to Study and Report on Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power today, the following action was taken by the Committee:

Recommended that the City Attorney and the President of the Board be authorized to confer with Mr. S. B. Robinson, attorney for the Water and Power Bureau of the City of Los Angeles, and ascertain whether it will be possible for him to assist the City of San Francisco in an advisory legal capacity in the preparation of revenue bond legislation.

Respectfully yours,

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO STUDY AND REPORT ON DISPOSAL OF HETCH HETCHY POWER,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY, Chairman.
FRANCK R. HAVENNER, Member.
FRED W. MEYER, Member.
WARREN SHANNON, Member.

THE PRESIDENT: The chair would entertain a motion for the approval.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I so move.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Let me hear that again. What did it provide?

(Report again read by the Clerk.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Colman, may I explain that: We had a meeting, you were absent, and it was explained to us by the City Attorney, that this attorney, Mr. Robinson, in Los Angeles, is the man that has really promoted, and entirely worked out, the $22,000,000 revenue bond issue down there, and Mr. O'Toole states he is almost a wizard in the matter of revenue bonds, and all the ramifications of them, and he felt he would be of great assistance to him, if he were employed, to work out a revenue bond issue for this matter, and we three men felt that way, and signed the aforesaid resolution, and Supervisor Shannon did likewise.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Do I understand the City Attorney has requested the services of an outside attorney to draft—What about revenue bonds—what is it you want to find out?

THE PRESIDENT: I made the motion, Supervisor Colman, because of the fact that on our way east, Mr. O'Toole and myself had a conference with the attorney for the Los Angeles Water and Power Bureau, Mr. Robinson is the attorney, he represented the City of Los Angeles in its recent sale of revenue bonds, some twenty-two million dollars of revenue bonds to a Wall street syndicate; and he impressed us—Mr. O'Toole so expressed himself, and I know he impressed me, as having a greater familiarity with the subject of revenue bonds, and legislation for public utility purposes than anyone with whom it had been our privilege to confer; and Mr. O'Toole expressed a willingness, and I thought it would be desirable, in order that whatever procedure we adopt should be carefully prepared, for Mr. O'Toole to have his assistance. This does not commit the City at this moment to his employment, even if he should agree to be employed, but merely authorizes the City Attorney and the President of the Board to confer with him, and see whether it would be possible to get his services in an advisory capacity.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, has the City Attorney asked—I understand from what Supervisor McSheehy said that he has requested the aid of another attorney. It is new to me.

THE PRESIDENT: He said that he thought it would be very, very helpful.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think the City Attorney is very capable of carrying out whatever program is agreed upon.

THE PRESIDENT: He is, but he is extremely busy, and he recommended this gentleman, who had had a greater experience in this legislation than anybody else in California at this time, and he expressed his entire willingness to have the assistance of this gentleman if it should be available.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, my experience in these matters has not been exactly—the result, as I look back over the years, the results of doing this sort of this sort of thing have not been satisfactory—have not accrued to the advantage of the City. I have found, when we have gone and done these things, the results have been confusing, and have been of such a character that I do not think it is necessary, frankly; and the expense is a consideration. If Mr. O'Toole comes in and makes a statement that it is—states that it is absolutely necessary, I might reconsider, but under the conditions I don't think there has been any necessity demonstrated to me for the engaging of outside counsel to do this particular job, I really don't, and accordingly I am going to vote "No". I am willing to change that any time if the City Attorney—and he can do that readily enough—will take the trouble to tell us, at least take the time to convince me that it is necessary to go to this expense of engaging another attorney in addition to the attorneys we already have. Under those circumstances, the request of any head of a department, and the reasons for it appearing, will receive my consideration, but hearing this offhand I don't see the necessity of going to that expense for this at this time.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Is there any expense attached to this? I thought it was simply a request.

THE PRESIDENT: We don't know whether he will be able to assist us, we are going to ask this gentleman whether he could assist us in the preparation of that legislation. I think his services would be of great value to the City, and I know Mr. O'Toole agrees with me.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Before his services are asked for, naturally we will know whether there is an expense attached to it or not?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You may be literally correct, but if you approve asking if a man will come here, that is a kind of obligation that you are going to ask him to come, or that is the view I take of it. In other words, I don't want to be led part of the way, and then come back. I would rather make my attitude clear in the first place. I don't approve of the matter, in the way it comes before the Board, I don't know whether it is according to the Charter or not, I would like advice as to that.

THE PRESIDENT: What is that?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I don't think it is properly presented, I think the matter should come before us at the request of the City Attorney, with the statement that he desires the Board to engage the services of an attorney for certain purposes.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, he did advise the committee that he would be very happy, indeed, to have the assistance of this gentleman, and all we are asking is authority to find out whether his services would be available. I have no doubt that the City Attorney will recommend it.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, I will wait until he does so, and when he does so I will consider it at that time.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 31—New Series No. 8

Monday, February 24, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 24, 1936. 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Supervisors Roncovieri and Shannon appeared and were noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 17, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Hearing of Appeal Rezoning Chestnut Street.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from decision of City Planning Commission granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District property located at the northerly line of Chestnut street 88 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Scott street, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

### Privilege of the Floor.

John B. Sweeney and Mr. Livingston, attorneys for appellants; Mrs. Geo. H. Devencenzi, 2261 Francisco street; Mrs. M. Ducody, 2265 Francisco street; Mrs. Mary Lauterwasser, 3329 Scott street; Mrs. Reina, 2360 Filbert street; Jos. B. Keenan, 2646 Union street, were heard in opposition to the proposed change. H. L. Dietjen, applicant for zone change; Harold Faulkner, attorney for applicant.

R. L. Lincoln, 2155 Clay street, and Mr. Haughey, representing Mrs. Stone, northeast corner of Scott and Chestnut, were heard in favor of the proposed zone change.

Whereupon, the matter was taken in the hands of the Board on motion of Supervisor Shannon, President Meyer of the City Planning Commission heard, and the following resolution presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning of Chestnut Street, Northerly Side of, 88 Feet 9 Inches Westerly from Westerly Line of Scott Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2420, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its

Resolution No. 1355, dated January 6, 1936, in granting application to rezone property located on the northerly line of Chestnut street, 88 feet 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Scott street from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## Sale of Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, February 24, 1936, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$2,700,000 "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933." Said bonds bear interest, payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1, at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 150 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing each year December 1, 1936, to 1953, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted February 17, 1936.

## Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

No. 1.—R. H. Moulton & Company. Bankers Trust Company. Dean Witter & Co. The First Boston Corporation. Security-First National Bank of Los Angeles. By D. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager..... $3,000,972.00

No. 2.—Boothe, Gillette & Co. for account of Gertler & Co. Boothe, Gillette & Co. Stroud & Co. Wilmerding & Co. Redfield, Royce & Co. Revel Miller & Co. Harold E. Wood & Co. Stephenson, Leydecker & Co. and Associates 3,028,050.00

No. 3.—The Anglo California National Bank. Heller, Bruce & Co. Kelley, Richardson & Co. Mercantile Commerce Bank & Trust Co. Schaumberg, Rebhann & Lynch. The Boatmen's National Bank. Tyler, Buttrick & Co. Wells-Dickey Co. By The Anglo California National Bank per Alger J. Jacobs, Representative..... 2,298,359.00

No. 4.—Brown, Harriman & Co., Incorporated (Mgrs.) Weeden & Co. Wm. R. Staats Co. Eldredge & Co., Inc. Kean, Taylor & Co. The Illinois Company of Chicago. By: Brown, Harriman & Co., Incorporated; Irvan W. Wing.. 3,002,027.00

No. 5.—Graham Parsons and Associates as follows: F. S.

| | |
|---|---|
| Mosely & Co. Bacon, Stevenson & Co. Manufacturers & Traders Trust Co. of Buffalo. Lawrence Stern & Co. Adams, McEntee & Co., Inc. Morse Bros. & Co., Inc.... | 2,972,619.00 |
| No. 6.—Bankamerica Company. Blyth & Co., Inc. American Trust Company. R. W. Pressprich & Co. By Bancamerica Company, Syndicate Manager. By A. K. Browne | 3,002,008.50 |
| No. 7.—Schwabacher & Co. for Lazard Freres & Co., Inc. Halsey, Stuart & Co. and Associates. By J. P. Sargent.. | 2,978,883.00 |
| No. 8.—Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc. Lehman Brothers. Bancamerica-Blair Corporation. Stone & Webster & Blodget, Inc. Phelps, Fenn & Co., Inc. E. H. Rollins & Sons, Inc. Banks, Huntley & Co. By Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Manager of Account..... | 2,998,971.00 |
| No. 9.—Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago. Chase National Bank, New York. Northern Trust Company, Chicago. Edward B. Smith and Company, New York. By Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago. By X. M. Bell | 3,009,777.00 |

**Adopted.**

Subsequently, during the proceedings the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

**Sale of $2,700,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.**
(Code No. 15.021)

Resolution No. 2418, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Water Distribution Bonds, 1933, to the amount of $2,700,000. Said bonds bear interest, payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1, at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 150 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year December 1, 1936, to 1953, inclusive, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 24th day of February, 1936, and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered, therefore

Resolved, That the bid of Boothe, Gillette & Co., by Robert J. Gillette, vice-president for the account of Gertler & Co. Boothe, Gillette & Co. Stroud & Co. Wilmerding & Co. Redfield, Royce & Co. Revel Miller & Co. Harold E. Wood & Co. Stephenson, Leydecker & Co. and Associates, for all of said bonds the sum of $3,028,050, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Boothe, Gillette & Co., by Robert J. Gillette, vice-president for the account of Gertler & Co. Boothe, Gillette & Co. Stroud & Co. Wilmerding & Co. Redfield, Royce & Co. Revel Miller & Co. Harold E. Wood & Co. Stephenson, Leydecker & Co. and Associates for the price bid therefor, to-wit: $3,028,050 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## MAYOR'S VETO.

The following matter was heretofore read on February 3, 1936, by the Clerk, and action *deferred until February* 24, 1936.

Office of the Mayor,
San Francisco.

January 29, 1936.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The gasoline supply station ordinance No. 11.08211 and

amendment to garage ordinance No. 11.08222, are before me for either approval or disapproval. I regret to inform you that I must disapprove these ordinances and veto the same, which I hereby do and return them to you.

While all legislative matters that require my attention receive my most careful consideration, the extreme interest manifested by the proponents and the opponents to these amendments and the very close vote by your Board of 6 to 5, establishing their passage, prompted me to hold a public hearing on Monday, January 27, 1936. The meeting was held in the Assembly Room of the Utilities Commission and all persons concerned were given an opportunity to be heard. Representatives of the Parent-Teachers Association, civic and improvement clubs, San Francisco Hospital Conference and many other civic-minded citizens were in attendance, and free discussion of the issues before us ensued. To all participating, I am profoundly grateful.

While it is true that many issues are subjected to protests, I have never seen a more sincere and unselfish group of protestants as those appearing at this time.

In my letter of transmittal which accompanied my annual message, and which letter was dated January 6, 1936, under the title of "Traffic Fatalities", I stated the following:

"According to a report issued January 1, 1936, by the Commerce Department at Washington, San Francisco led all major cities in 1935, as we did also in 1934, in the lowness of the number of traffic fatalities per 100,000 of population. Our record, 9.5 in 1935, was lower, by far, than that of any of the major cities listed. While this is a good record, we must vigorously continue our campaign of education to reduce this toll of precious lives, many of which are lost through reckless driving, or carelessness upon the part of pedestrians."

Traffic regulations and the splendid enforcement of them by our efficient San Francisco Police Department, aided by the young men of the San Francisco School Traffic Patrol, made the fine record above referred to, possible.

I am in complete harmony with the National campaigners to reduce traffic fatalities. I am fearful, however, that the provisions contained in these ordinances will not permit such a condition to obtain.

The question of fire hazard was presented by the opponents to these amendments. Fire Chief Charles J. Brennan met this issue, and who is better qualified to discuss fire hazards than he? His opinion on such items I highly regard.

May I, at this point, comment upon the vigorous objection made to these measures, by persons representing the Parent-Teachers Association. It is commendable that these good ladies have devoted so much time and effort in making known their objections, and all in an unselfish effort merely to safeguard the lives of our children.

While it is true that we have established quiet zones about hospitals, there was a difference of opinion expressed by the persons appearing before me as to the interpretation to be placed on Section 2 of the supply station ordinance, which is similar in terminology to subdivision (e) of Section 1 of the garage ordinance. The language employed was construed by some to have intended to mean that no garage or service station would be erected at a point any place two hundred feet from the exterior boundary of any hospital, and by exterior boundary they felt was meant the walls enclosing the lot upon which the hospital was located.

Others expressed the view this language meant from the exterior boundary of the building proper, which would appear to me to be what was actually expressed.

It was also contended that in so far as schools, churches and theatres are concerned that the language used in the ordinances that none of the designated structures "shall come within 60 feet of the property line" was likewise ambiguous and that other language should be used.

I am in accord with the thoughts thus expressed and for the sake of clarity, any ordinance hereinafter adopted by your Honorable Board should specify therein that the distances are to be measured from the closest point of the property line to all institutions referred to to the nearest point on the property line to any garage or gas supply station, and these measurements to be made on a straight line.

In conclusion, it appears to me that the limitation of 60 feet for garages or gasoline stations to be erected near schools, churches or theatres is an unsafe distance and that a 200-foot limitation for such structures to be erected from the exterior boundary of the hospital buildings does not insure the persons confined to hospitals a sufficient safeguard for quiet.

Yours respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

*January* 30, 1936—*Filed with Board of Supervisors at* 10:30 *a. m.*

Question: Shall the Ordinance stand notwithstanding the objections of His Honor the Mayor?

A vote *aye* sustains the Ordinance. A vote *no* sustains the *veto*.

**Veto Sustained.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Mayor's Veto be sustained.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—5.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Establishing Procedure for Refunding a Percentage of Fees Collected by Any Department of the City and County.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 914, Ordinance No. 3.04158, as follows:

Establishing procedure for refunding a percentage of the fee collected by any Department of the City and County of San Francisco for a certificate of sanitation and/or inspection, permit or license when the application for same is withdrawn or denied, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever an application is made to any Department of the City and County of San Francisco for a certificate of sanitation and/or inspection permit or license, and a fee is paid for same as provided by ordinance, and said application is withdrawn, or after inspection and/or investigation by the department or departments required by ordinance to inspect and/or investigate same, the application is denied, and a request is made for a refund, the following procedure shall govern.

Section 2. The request for a refund must be made and signed by the original applicant within sixty (60) days after such withdrawal or denial and presented to the department to which the fee was paid. This department shall then transmit to the Controller the request accompanied by a statement setting forth the date the fee was collected, the amount, the receipt number, the ordinance number authorizing the collection, the reasons for such denial or withdrawal

and a report of the time spent and expenses incurred by the department or departments making this investigation and/or inspection.

Section 3. The Controller shall audit such request for a refund and accompanying statement and determine the cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the investigation and/or inspection, and if he finds the same to be correct and proper in all particulars he shall approve the same and refund to the applicant that portion of the fee paid in excess of such cost.

Section 4. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

### Authorizing Acceptance of Deed to Certain Land Required for San Francisco Airport.

(Code No. 12.17154)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee:

Resolution No. 2421, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Water Front Tide Land Company to the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required for the San Francisco Airport:

The east ½ of the northwest ¼ of Section 35; the west ½ of the southwest ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 35; the north ½ of the northwest ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 35; the southeast ¼ of the northwest ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 35; the east ½ of the northeast ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 35; the east ½ of the northwest ¼ of Section 36; the southwest ¼ of the northeast ¼ of Section 36; all in Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

Containing 270 acres, more or less.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Minority Report—Underground Districts.

Supervisor McSheehy at this point presented and read a minority report of the Joint Committee on Streets and Public Utilities on the subject of providing for underground system for electric service wires and telephone wires on various streets, the sidewalks of which are being reduced through fund provided by the Federal Government in its P. W. A. Projects, as follows:

San Francisco, California, February 24, 1936.

Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On Monday, February 17, 1936, at a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California, a number of ordinances regulating the changing of the width of the sidewalks or streets throughout the city were on the calendar and recommended by the Streets Committee for passage for second reading. I moved that the following resolution be referred to the Public Utilities Committee:

"Whereas, there is now in progress or immediate contemplation a program of sidewalk narrowing in the City and County of San

Francisco which will provide for the reduction of between twenty-five and thirty miles of sidewalks, and

"Whereas, such work is being or will be accomplished with funds from the Works Progressive Administration of the Public Works, as part of the National Recovery Program, the appropriation for which will be lost to San Francisco unless this work is immediately prosecuted, and

"Whereas, in the opinion of the engineers, the sidewalk narrowing proposed in this program will not unduly inconvenience pedestrian traffic and, on the other hand, are of vital necessity to the City and County of San Francisco as a whole in the improvement of the vehicular traveled surfaces, particularly in the view of the immediate contemplation of the two great bridges, and

"Whereas, in many instances where sidewalk narrowing is under way or proposed, an extended hardship results or will be resulted to property owners and residents through the setting back of power poles to a proximity so close to the adjoining property as to constitute a fire hazard and a safety menace.

"Now, therefore, be it resolved:

"That this Board of Supervisors do hereby authorize and request the Public Utilities Committee to take cognizance of this problem to the end that a satisfactory solution thereof may be worked out,

"And be it further resolved, that because time is the essence in this problem, said committee be requested to commence at 2 p. m., February 21, 1936, and to report their findings and solution, if any, to this Board soon thereafter.

"Be it further resolved, that the following persons, and organizations, be requested to attend or be represented at said hearing: The Streets and Traffic Advisory Board, The City Attorney, the Controller, the City Engineer, the Manager of Public Utilities, the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, Mr. Clyde Healy, the Market Street Railway Company, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the Pacific Telegraph & Telephone Company, the California Automobile Association, the Central Council of Improvement Clubs, the Chamber of Commerce, the Council of Civil League, or improvements clubs, the Downtown Association, and the Union Labor."

This resolution was amended by Supervisor Shannon that it be referred to a joint committee of the Street and Public Utilities, and that a meeting be held on Tuesday at 4 o'clock. I agreed to this amendment, and it was carried unanimously by the Board.

On Tuesday, February 21, 1936, the joint committee of the Streets and Public Utilities met. Those present were Supervisors Ratto, Mead, Meyer, Colman, and McSheehy, Assistant City Attorney Dion Holm, Mr. William C. Worden, Mr. John J. Casey, Mr. Clyde Healy, Captain Charles Goff, Charles Dolan and others representing the Public Utilities Commission; Mr. Eustace Cullinan and others representing the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Mr. Ralph R. Wiley, chief of the Department of Electricity; Mr. George Stalley, Mr. John T. O'Connor, representing the Labor Council; Doctor Griffin, representing the Central Council, and representatives of the Chamber of Commerce and a large number of citizens.

My resolution was read, and then some little discussion ensued as to the proper manner in which to proceed. The Supervisors interrogated the engineers with respect to certain proposed sidewalk narrowing, as well as some already under construction and developed information as to whether or not the public utilities corporations services were overhead or underground on those particular streets. To bring the matter before the committee, I moved that the committee recommend to the Board next Monday, February 24th, that the public service corporations using these streets be required to place their wires underground. I then called upon Mr. Clyde E. Healy for an explanation of the work being done under the Works Progressive Administration, and for an estimate of how much work was proposed to be done. Mr. Healy responded in effect as follows:

"A program of streets, upon which it is proposed to narrow the walk widths has been set up and embraces some twenty-four to thirty miles of work, and in this program it is estimated a minimum of twenty miles will be done."

I then called upon Mr. Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, to explain the legal aspect of the matter and with particular reference as to whether or not the Board could legally order the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as well as other utilities, to embark upon such an expensive program of underground work at one time. Mr. Holm stated it as his opinion, subject to further deliberation of the matter, that if this work was not done as a result of any whim, fancy, or desire to unduly burden the utility corporations, but because it was felt that it was a real necessity for the protection and safety of the public, it could then be considered as a valid exercising of a police power and could be sustained, citing a number of cases.

I have here, and I wish to read to the committee the following excerpt from the Pacific Coast edition of the Wall Street Journal——

Now, members of the Board, I will read this from the Journal just as I read it to the committee, so all present can understand the financial standing of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company today. This is the Pacific Coast edition of the Wall Street Journal:

"Friday, February 14, 1936."——

here is a lost list of corporations, and I will just take the one we are directly interested in, the Pacific Gas and Electric. Their per share earnings is $2—for the year 1933 was $2.10, and for the year 1934 was $1.52, a gain of 58 cents. The balance of the common stock in the company in 1935 was 12,880,000 and in 1934 was 9,555,476, a net—the income for 1935 was $21,000,000 and for 1934 was $17,692,223, a positive gained profit in one year of $3,307,777.

And in Sacramento two weeks ago Mr. James B. Black, president of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, made the statement that the company contemplated making sixteen millions of dollars of extensions in this state this year. Mr. Eustace Cullinan, attorney for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, made the statement that it would cost between two millions and three millions of dollars to make these improvements that I am calling for in my resolution, and that the company had lived up to its requirements under ordinance number 214 in the past ten years and they had placed some thirty odd miles of wire underground.

Now, gentlemen of the Board, I want you to particularly listen to the statement—and I am more than pleased that the gentleman is present, Mr. Worden, Director of Public Works, who made at the meeting this statement:

"It would seem impracticable, particularly because of the time element, to adopt the suggestion of Supervisor McSheehy ordering all of this work underground at this time but, on the other hand, unless some provision is made to increase the mileage which the utility corporations are required to install underground each year, it will take forty years to put their one hundred miles of overhead wires underground and we have between six hundred and seven hundred miles of streets in San Francisco. In addition to this factor, we, in the Department of Public Works, are granting pole permits at the rate of twenty-five per week, which would seem to indicate that the overhead mileage is increasing rather than diminishing. I would suggest that the present underground ordinance be amended to provide that the utilities corporations be required to put their services underground at the rate of say ten miles per year, rather than two and a half miles as at present; and in that manner we will make considerable inroad on the work."

Supervisor Colman then stated that he thought Mr. Worden's suggestion a good one, that because of the time element we could not possibly hope to accomplish the work in conjunction with the work of the WPA, and, accordingly, moved as an amendment to my motion

that it be taken under advisement for further study and investigation. To this I objected. The roll was called and Supervisor Colman's motion was adopted by the votes of Supervisors Ratto, Mead, Meyer and Colman—Supervisor Shannon being absent—Supervisor Colman then moved that this ordinance referred to the committee in conjunction with my resolution be sent back to the Board with the recommendation that they be passed for second reading on next Monday. The roll was called and the motion was adopted by the votes of Supervisors Ratto, Mead, Meyer and Colman—Supervisor Shannon being absent—I voted "No". Supervisor Colman then moved an adjournment, to meet at the call of the chair, which I objected to. It was carried by the same vote and with the two previous motions. I gave notice that I would file a minority report on all three of these motions.

A commitment has been made by four members of this Board with reference to my resolution, and a statement has been made by the attorney of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that two or three millions of dollars will have to be spent in doing this work and great fear was expressed that delay in preparing plans and having material brought out from the east might cause this work to be stopped and the men now employed under the WPA laid off. This statement was all hearsay and was not borne out by any facts that were presented to the committee. But there was one fact that every city official and Supervisors agreed on, and that was that the wires should be placed underground. Therefore, I will offer the following minority report:

1. That this entire subject be postponed one week and that we meet as a committee of the whole one week from today at 3 p. m., March 2, 1936, to investigate whether we cannot devise ways and means of placing wires underground instead of having some six hundred miles of poles scattered all over our city.

2. And that the Department of Electricity forward to this Board an itemized statement of costs for placing wires underground on each and every street. I am making this particular request because Mr. Wiley, Chief of the Department of Electricity, made a statement that it would not—that there would not be a saving of over twenty per cent in the cost of doing the work now and doing it after it had all been finished and completed.

3. And that the following persons and organizations be requested to attend or be represented at that meeting: The Street Traffic or Advisory Board, the City Attorney, The Controller, the City Engineer, the Manager of Public Utilities, the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, Mr. Clyde Healy, the Market Street Railway Company, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, the California Automobile Association, the Central Council of Improvement Clubs, the Chamber of Commerce, the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, the Downtown Association, and Mr. Frank V. McLaughlin of the WPA, and the clerk of this Board be directed to forward a list of the streets as compiled by the Engineer's office, headed "Sidewalk reduction progress of February 1, 1936," to all daily and weekly newspapers for publication, if they so desire, which will be for the purpose of informing the property owners of the contemplated narrowing of their sidewalks on streets affected and the removal of the wires, underground.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY, Supervisor.

After a lengthy discussion the roll was called on the minority report and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the following bills and the same were *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on First Street Between Howard Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 917, Ordinance No. 12.073159, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-nine (239) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1st, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-nine (239) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 239: The width of sidewalks on First street between Market and Howard streets shall be fifteen feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street between Howard and Brannan streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street (the westerly side of) between Brannan street and The Embarcadero shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street (the easterly side of) between Brannan street and The Embarcadero shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Stanyan Street Between McAllister and Turk.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 919, Ordinance No. 12.073161, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-seven (1147).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-seven (1147) to read as follows:

Section 1147: The width of sidewalks on Stanyan street between Turk and McAllister streets shall be 9 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on West Side of Front Street Between Vallejo and Union Streets, and Abolishing Sidewalks on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 920, Ordinance No. 12.073162, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Sixty-nine (69) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10, 1936, by amending Section Sixty-nine (69) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 69. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Vallejo and Union streets, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″). The width of sidewalks on Front street, the easterly side of, between Vallejo and Union streets, shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on West Side of Front Street Between Broadway and Vallejo Street and Abolishing Sidewalks on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 921, Ordinance No. 12.073163, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-one (71) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10, 1936, by amending Section Seventy-one (71) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 71. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Broadway and Vallejo street, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″).

The width of sidewalk on Front street, the easterly side of, between Broadway and Vallejo street, shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Front Street Between Union Street and The Embarcadero, and Abolishing Sidewalk Widths on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 922, Ordinance No. 12.073164, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-three (1153).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-three (1153), to read as follows:

Section 1153. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Union street and The Embarcadero, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″).

The width of sidewalks on Front street, the easterly side of, between Union street and The Embarcadero, shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Fremont Street Between Howard Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 923, Ordinance No. 12.073165, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-eight (238) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1st, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-eight (238) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 238. The width of sidewalks on Fremont street between Market and Howard streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fremont street between Howard street and The Embarcadero shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2416, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing February 25, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leaves of Absence—Hon. Lewis F. Byington, Hon. George Filmer, Sr., Hon. John H. McCallum, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, Members of Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2417, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lewis F. Byington, Hon. George Filmer, Sr., Hon. John H. McCallum, Hon. John P. McLaughlin, members of the Public Utilities Commission, are hereby granted leaves of absence for a period of ten days, commencing Tuesday, February 25, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Transfer of Tubercular Patient to Los Angeles.

Communication from Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, re adoption of resolution approving return of Edward Peter Bannon, now being cared for in Tuberculosis Division of San Francisco Hospital, to the County of Los Angeles, where he has his legal residence.

*Referred to Public Health Committee.*

### Appropriation for Ramp in Presidio for Golden Gate Bridge.

Communication from Central Council of Civic Clubs stating that its board of directors concurs unanimously in the recommendation of the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors with respect to placing of $200,000 in budget as capital expenditure to defray cost of an on-and-off ramp in the Presidio for the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Referred to Streets and Finance Committee.*

Supervisor Uhl requests from Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, cost of original plan of bridge approaches, and cost of present change, necessitating appropriation $200,000 in budget.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board request the Chief Administrative officer, under whom is the City Engineer, to furnish this Board with a blueprint, showing the original plan on which the bridge was to be built, and also to indicate the change now suggested, and whether the bridge people are justified in asking us, or Supervisor Brown is justified in asking this Board to approve a $200,000 expenditure.

Supervisor Colman moved that the entire matter be referred to the Finance and Streets Committee.

*So ordered.*

### San Francisco's State Highway Projects for Inclusion in 1937-1939 Biennium Budget.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association requesting list of State Highway projects, which San Francisco wishes transmitted to California Highway Commission for inclusion in their 1937-1939 Biennium Budget in order to facilitate preparation of "Master Schedule of Recommendations."

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Exhibit at Los Angeles County Fair.

Also, Communication from E. C. Middleton, secretary-manager Los Angeles County Fair, re an exhibit by San Francisco at the Los Angeles County Fair.

*Referred to Chief Administrative Officer.*

### Conference on Shoreline Highway Program.

Also, Communication from California Highway Commission, stating that San Francisco delegation has been allotted the hour of 10:30 a. m. on Friday, March 6, 1936, at Sacramento, for presentation of the official Shoreline Highway program.

On motion of Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, the following committee was appointed by the president to attend, to-wit, Supervisors Ratto, Mead, Shannon and Meyer.

### Rezoning Van Ness between Washington and Pacific.

From City Planning Commission, transmitting copy of its Resolution No. 1377, rezoning westerly side Van Ness Avenue between Washington street and Pacific avenue.

*Hearing in Board, March 9, 1936, 2 p. m.*

### Army Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint committee for the proper observance of Army Day, April 4th, in honor of the memory of the late General Liggett.

Supervisor Uhl presented ordinances on gasoline supply stations and garages.

*Referred to Joint Welfare and Police Committee. Meeting Thursday, at 4:15 p. m.*

### Pension Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl announced meeting of Welfare Committee, to consider pension matters, March 3, at 10:15 a. m.

### Relief Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl requested that representatives of the City and County Relief Committee appear before the Finance Committee, Friday, February 28, at 2:30 p. m., to answer questions regarding relief, and that the matter be placed No. 1 on the Committee's Calendar.

*So ordered.*

### County Supervisors' Convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau re obtaining annual convention of County Supervisors Association of the State of California for San Francisco in 1938.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee with authority to act.*

### Pacific Coast Defense.

Communication from Senator Hiram Johnson concerning appropriations for adequate defense for Pacific Coast.

Communication from Florence P. Kahn, advising of passage by House of Army Appropriation Bill No. 11035, carrying an appropriation of $3,915,591, of which not less than $3,150,973 shall be available exclusively toward improving harbor defenses of Pacific Coast.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor Acting Mayor Havenner was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Leave of Absence—Honorable Jesse C. Colman.
(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2419, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of Honorable Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing February 25, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Gasoline Supply Station Ordinance.

Supervisor Uhl presented draft of proposed Gasoline Supply Station Ordinance which was *referred to Joint Committee on Welfare and Police meeting, Tuesday, March 3, 1936, at 4:15 p. m.*

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $75,028 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County; Month of March, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 926, Ordinance No. 9.051236, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $75,028 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of March, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief, an emergency ordinance.

Section 1. The sum of $75,028 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of March, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Controller approves as to availability of funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Report of Committee on Liquor Control.**

The following was read by Supervisor McSheehy and copies *ordered sent to members*:

San Francisco, California,
February 24, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

On February 14, 1936, I received a letter from Mr. Stanley Abel, Secretary of the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California, calling my attention, as a Supervisor, to there would be a meeting of the Legislative, Executive and Special Tax Committee in the Hotel Biltmore, Los Angeles, California, on February 19 and 20, 1936, to consider the proposition of placing the enforcement of the liquor laws in the hands of the local authorities. The Clerk of the Board, Mr. Dunnigan, also received letters from Senator Swing, Chairman of the Joint Committee on Legislation and Intoxicating Liquor; and Assemblyman Cronin sent a similar letter to Mr. Dunnigan. All three of these letters were read by the Clerk on Monday, February 17, 1936, said committee consisting of Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon and myself, being appointed by our President to represent San Francisco at these conferences.

The first conference was held in the Biltmore Hotel, and there were present twenty Supervisors, representing a cross section of the State, present, as well as were Messrs. Hollis Thompson, President of the League of California Municipalities, and City Attorney Archie Bowden. This conference was held on Wednesday, the 19th, and lasted from 10 o'clock in the morning until 9 o'clock in the evening (taking time out for lunch and dinner).

The following tentative constitutional amendment on liquor control was unanimously agreed upon:

1. *Appointment*—State liquor authority of ——— members to be appointed by Governor with approval of Senate.

2. *Terms*—Members of Board to have long staggered terms.

3. *Issuance of Licenses by State Liquor Authority*—State liquor authority to issue both state licenses for manufacturers, brewers, rectifiers, etc., and local licenses for package houses on-sale of beer and wine, and on-sale of hard liquor.

4. *Issuance of Licenses—Provision For*—No licenses to be issued until a reasonable time after chief of police and city clerk in cities, and sheriff and county clerk in counties, have been given reasonable notice of application. Premises to be posted to give an opportunity for neighborhood protests. Any license issued without compliance with these provisions as to notices to be void.

5. *Revocation*—Local authorities to be given ample opportunity to ask for revocation by state authority and sufficient notice to present evidence if deemed advisable.

6. *Suspension*—Local authorities to have right to summarily suspend licenses pending hearing by State Board.

7. *Revenue*—State to retain revenue from manufacturers, brewers, distillers and rectifiers' licenses; cities and counties to receive revenue from local licenses, less limited amount for administration.

8. *Zoning*—Local authorities to have the right to include on-sales of hard liquor from commercial areas located in residence districts.

9. *Exclusion of Saloons*—People of a given area by initiative elections to be held not oftener than five years apart to prohibit hard liquor saloons in their respective communities.

On February 20 this report was presented to the Joint Committee of Legislation and Intoxicating Liquor, Mr. Ralph E. Swing, Chairman, in the State Building, Los Angeles. Read and debated for two days, at the outcome of which it was agreed that the hearing would be continued until 10 a. m., March 12, 1936, in the State Building, San Francisco. This committee was holding hearings in every part of the state and gathering information from everyone interested in the liquor problem, with the thought in mind, that they, in conjunction with all Boards of Supervisors and city officials throughout the entire state, would agree on a Constitutional Amendment and present same to the people of the state, asking for its ratification on November 3, 1936. To do this some 186,000 bona fide registered voters would have to sign a petition calling for this amendment, and the same must be certified to by the Secretary of State about August 1, 1936.

Conditions are such in reference to the present law governing the sale of intoxicating liquor throughout the state, that a change must be made or we will find that petitions will be circulated asking for the adoption of a drastic prohibition constitutional amendment. The committee would suggest that this report be taken under advisement and that the commitee as it is now constituted be continued, and that the members thereof attend the meeting called for 10 a. m., March 12, 1936, in the State Building, San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON LIQUOR CONTROL.
By JAS. McSHEEHY,
Chairman.
WARREN SHANNON,
Member.
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Member.

**I certify above is correct relative to meetings of February 20th and 21st.**

**WARREN SHANNON.**

**Proposed by Ex-Senator Grant**

LOCAL LIQUOR CONTROL

*Revised Tentative Draft by Legislative Counsel at Sacramento—*
*Proposed Constitutional Amendment*

*Section* 22 of *Article* XX of the Constitution is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 22. Except as herein otherwise provided, the *exclusive right and power to license and impose fees* upon the sale of intoxicating liquor is hereby vested in the several counties, cities and counties, and cities in this State.

The Legislature shall provide for the licensing of the manufacture and transportation of intoxicating liquor.

Nothing in this section shall be construed as restricting or limiting the power of the State to regulate and control the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession and transportation of intoxicating liquor in this State, except insofar as such power is hereby exclusively vested in the several counties, cities and counties, and cities in this State, but the duty of enforcing laws enacted for such purposes shall be imposed upon the peace officers of the counties, cities and counties, and cities, and all fines and penalties thereunder shall be deposited in the treasury and inure to the benefit of the county, city and county, or city where such fine is payable.

Nothing in this section shall be construed as restricting or limiting the power of the State to impose and collect taxes solely for revenue purposes upon the manufacture, sale, transportation, storage, use, or consumption of intoxicating liquor.

The legislative body, subject to referendum, or the electors acting under the initiative power, of any incorporated city or town, city and county, or county outside the corporate limits of any municipality, may by ordinance further restrict, limit, or prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquor for beverage purposes in such incorporated city or town, city and county, or county outside the corporate limits of any municipality.

**League of California Municipalities**

Bulletin No. 6.

URGENT!!

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT PROPOSED LIQUOR LEGISLATION

The Board of Directors of the League of California Municipalities met in San Francisco, February 15 and 16, and in connection with the new developments in the field of liquor regulation and control took the following action:

1. Instructed a committee of the Board to meet with a committee representing the State Supervisors Association in Los Angeles, February 19, the day before the joint legislative interim committee hearing. An effort will be made to develop a program for liquor regulation and control on which both groups can agree.

2. The League committee was instructed to appear before the joint legislative committee on behalf of the League of California Municipalities and to present the following fundamental points which the Board of Directors has tentatively agreed to, and has instructed this office to submit to the city councils for consideration:

(1) The present system of liquor control is definitely unsatisfactory and any proposed changes *must provide for a larger measure of local control.*

(2) We must return to the principle that revenue from retail liquor licenses should be used for local regulation and law enforcement and should not be considered as a source of state revenue.

(3) In any new system of liquor control the following tentative points are believed to be sound:

*a.* Liquor control should be taken away from the State Board of

Equalization and placed in the hands of a state liquor control commission appointed for long staggered terms.

*b.* To avoid making liquor control a local political issue, the state liquor commission should issue all liquor licenses.

*c.* No retail license shall be issued until notice and adequate time for protest have been given local authorities and property owners, with provision for local hearing on protests.

*d.* Local authorities must have power summarily to suspend a retail license pending a local hearing by the state liquor commission on the question of revocation.

*e.* Local authorities to be given ample opportunity to apply for revocation of retail licenses by the state commission and sufficient notice to present evidence at the local revocation hearings.

*f.* Cities and counties to receive all revenue from local retail licenses less a limited amount for administration. Return to the principle of licensing for purposes of regulation and law enforcement. State to retain revenue from licensing of manufacturers, brewers, distillers, and rectifiers.

IMPORTANT

The League bulletin of February 8 pointed out that the Joint Senate and Assembly Interim Committees on Liquor Control were meeting in Los Angeles, February 20, and that city councils and boards of supervisors had been asked to send representatives. As above stated, the League will be represented there, and it is therefore not absolutely necessary for your city to send representatives, particularly if you are in accord with the above tentative plans. It is respectfully suggested in the case of those cities who are planning to send representatives that the *mayor instruct the delegate or delegates to meet with the representatives of the League at the Biltmore Hotel in Los Angeles at 8:00 p. m. Wednesday, February 19.* Contact can be established through the League secretary.

It should be emphasized that the above plan is only tentative and does not finally bind the League. It is advanced for the consideration of city councils, boards of supervisors and other interested groups. The Board of Directors is anxious to have the cities united on some program at the joint legislative committee hearing, instead of having every city and every county come in with a different plan.

This whole matter will be discussed at the next meeting of your regional division, and it is hoped that your city will be represented there by officials competent to present the views of your city concerning not only this particular plan, but also the attitude of your city toward the whole problem of liquor regulation and control.

Sincerely yours,

RICHARD GRAVES,
Executive Secretary.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 6:55 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 2, 1936.

**Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.**

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
**Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,**
**City and County of San Francisco.**

Vol. 31—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 9

Monday, March 2, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MARCH 2, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 2, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:50 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 24, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $3,037.50 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for Additional Employments, Department of Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 925, Ordinance No. 9.051235, as follows:

Appropriating $3,037.50 from the Emergency Reserve appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the salaries of additional employments in the Department of Public Health during the period of four and one-half months ending June 30, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,037.50 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the payment of salaries of additional employments in the Department of Public Health for a period of four and one-half months ending June 30, 1936, as follows, to-wit:

2 N52—Restaurant and food inspectors at $175 per month each.

1 N64—Dairy inspector at $200 per month.

1 Supervisor of Bacteriological, Chemical and Tuberculin Records at $125 per month.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Franklin Street Between Market and Grove and Also Between McAllister and Bay Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 916, Ordinance No. 12.073158, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-two (1152).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 4th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-two (1152), to read as follows:

Section 1152. The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between Market and Grove streets shall be nine (9) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between McAllister and Bay streets shall be nine (9) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Franklin Street Between Grove and McAllister Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 918, Ordinance No. 12.073160, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-eight (1048) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 4th, 1936, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-eight (1048) thereof to read as follows:

Section 1048: The width of sidewalks on Franklin street between Grove and McAllister streets shall be nine (9) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Make Mary Street from Howard to Mission Street "One-Way" in a Northerly Direction.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 924, Ordinance No. 11.0213, as follows:

Amending Section 26, Article IV, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing all Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26, Article IV of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. *One Way Streets*—Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;
Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;
Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;
Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;
Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;
Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;

*Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;
Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;
Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue;
Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street;
Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue;
Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street;
Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;
Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue, and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;

*Northerly in Mary street from Howard street to Mission street;*

Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;
Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;
Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;
Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;
Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street;
Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;
Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Mason street;

*Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Larkin street;*

Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California streets;
Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;
Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;
Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets;
Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets;
Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments of Taxes.
(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2426, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the

following named, being refunds of amounts paid as duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. To Patrick Costello, per Vol. 12, Page 183, Line 5 of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... $ .77
2. W. J. Leonard, Jr., per Vol. 2, Page 56, Line 12, of 1934 Supplemental Roll ..... 4.21
3. Al. E. Levin, per Vol. 16, Page 67, Line 23, of 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 21.05
4. S. Russo, per Vol. 12, Page 196, Line 13, of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 4.83
5. Keith Deemer, per Vol. 11, Page 34, Line 9, of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 11.59
6. B. A. Brilliant, per Vol. 11, Page 178, Line 15, of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 1.16
7. Jack Callaghan, per Vol. 8, Page 93, Lot 36, Block 1254, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls ..... 7.48
8. S. A. Herzberg, per Vol. 5, Page 168, Lot 24, Block 822, 1935-36 Real Estate Rolls ..... 7.36
9. J. Purpora & Co., per Vol. 8, Page 127, Line 4 of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 14.49
10. Anglo Calif. Securities Co., per Vol. 37, Bill No. 238, Lot 3, Block 6158, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 2.95
11. A. P. Giannini Co., per Vol. 29, Bill No. 784, Lot 2, Block 4733, Fiscal Year 1934 ..... 16.62
12. Mrs. B. Liebman, per Vol. 3, Bill No. 1070, Lot 6, Block 445A, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 30.38
13. Marion H. Shell, per Vol. 22, Bill No. 1113, Lot 1, Block 3155, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 29.46
14. Thomas P. Barnacle, per Vol. 35, Bill No. 1652, Lot 10, Block 5919, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 24.86
15. Mary K. Miller, per Vol. 39, Bill No. 429, Lot 10, Block 6504, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 54.66
16. Ben Petersen, per Vol. 41, Bill No. 389, Lot 15, Block 6710, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 5.89
17. John D. Campbell, per Vol. 15, Bill 2570, Lot 7, Block 2056, Fiscal Year 1934 ..... 16.42
18. John J. O'Connor, per Vol. 16, Page 27, Line 15, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 1.25
19. American Trust Company, per Vol. 24, Bill 1809, Lot 11, Fiscal Year 1931 ..... 51.11
20. A. Hirashima, per Vol. 2, Page 232, Line 18, of 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 4.00
21. Tony Pappas, per Vol. 8, page 124, Lines 11 and 12 of the 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 9.47
22. Jno. T. O'Grady, Jr., per Vol. 12, Page 314, Line 2, of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 6.37
23. Haddon S. Harrell, per 1935 Supplemental Roll of Unsecured Personal Property ..... 7.73
24. Wm. J. Fairbanks, per 1935 Supplemental Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, Rcpt. No. 87271 ..... 1.47
25. P. N. Walters, per Vol. 18, Page 104, Line 12, of 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 6.02

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### Authorizing Sale of $1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Also, Resolution No. 2429, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0337, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain tax anticipation notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in

order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1936, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the president of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid tax anticipation notes, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars; and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said tax anticipation notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand ($1,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 16th day of March, 1936, and that said tax anticipation notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1936.

Further resolved, That Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of tax anticipation notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0337, the fee therefor to be $500.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2428, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary L. Flemming, Lot 4, Assessor's Block 2144, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $250, payable from Appropriation No. 593-905-05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Accepting Deed from Joseph Palonsky and Meyer Bandar to Lot 44, Block 2109, San Francisco, and Authorizing Payment of $700 for Same; Required for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2429, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Joseph Polonsky and Meyer Bandar Lot 44, Assessor's Block 2109, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $700, payable from

Appropriation No. 593-905-05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Lease of Land on Hayes Street for School Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Also, Resolution No. 2430, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized to execute a written lease with Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company et al., as lessor, of Lot 2-E, Assessor's Block 1195, San Francisco, California, for a term of two years beginning August 1, 1935, at a rental of $50 per month, payable from Appropriation No. ———. The City shall have the right to renew the said lease for an additional period of one year at the same rental.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2431, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Masonic Homes of California, Lot 7, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Grattan School Playground, for the sum of $1,500, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.07. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Bayview Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2432, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Albina Foppiano, formerly Albina Quirk, Lot 9, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Bayview Playground, for the sum of $1,875, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2433, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from

the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.02:

Clarence B. Eaton, Lot 21, Assessor's Block 6638.....$2,000
Henry Anglasay et al., Lot 23, Assessor's Block 6638.... 4,500

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2434, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties, certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said lands from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

William Bowen Marks, et ux.....$19.20
Portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2406.
Mary E. Herman.....$2,175
Portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 2331.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2435, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Christine M. Zimmerman a portion of Lots 24 and 25, Assessor's Block 2407, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sum of $900, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file with the Director of Property from the above party for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for San Jose Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2436, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Clifton A. Ball, et ux., the southeasterly 20 feet of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 7163, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum

of $1,383, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Directing the Tax Collector to Cancel Assessment Levied Against Property of Veterans' Welfare Board and of Sale to the State.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 2437, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco has, in writing, advised the Board of Supervisors that on the 1933-34 Real Estate Tax Roll a tax of $10.44 was levied against Lot No. 7, Block No. 7014, for said year; and

Whereas, at the date of the levy of said tax said lot was assessed to the Veterans Welfare Board of the State of California and was, therefore, exempt from taxation; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has concurred in the recommendation that said tax be canceled upon the ground that the same was improperly levied.

Now, Therefore, be it Resolved, That the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the tax levied against the above mentioned lot, as well as the sale under which said property was sold to the State of California, as provided by law; said cancellation of said tax and said sale being made pursuant to the provisions of Section 3804a of the Political Code.

Approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing the City Attorney to Settle the Claim of Ruth Thomas Spencer for Sum of $277.59 for Account Personal Injuries and Damage to Property.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 2438, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Ruth Thomas Spencer in the sum of $277.50 for personal injuries and property damage sustained by her on January 11, 1936, at about 7:25 p. m., while an occupant of an automobile driven into an open, unlighted and unguarded trench on the south side of Post street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

The amount of said claim ordered paid, as aforesaid, shall be payable out of Appropriation No. 548.918.00.

Approved by order of Director of Public Works.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Property for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2439, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from J. F. Barrett and H. H. Hilp, Lot 26 of Brisbane Acres, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $225, payable from

Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2440, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Milo Andriasovich a right of way easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, over certain land situated in San Mateo County, California, being a portion of Lot 35 in the 70-acre tract of land known as Brisbane Acres, for the sum of $200, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 8 of the Salary Ordinance by Adding Item 10½.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 929, Ordinance No. 9.05378, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05367 by adding Item 10½ to Section 8 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 8. **DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | District Attorney | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 4 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 4 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 190 |
| 4 | 1 | B156 | Senior Criminal Law Clerk | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B166 | Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 7 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | 50 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 10 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 10½ | | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed) not more than $12.50 per day plus transcriptions | |
| 11 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 12 | 1 | B516 | Senior Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 13 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 375 |
| 14 | 3 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 190 |
| 16 | 3 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 375 |

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal..... | 300 |
| 18 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal..... | 250 |
| 19 | 2 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal..... | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal..... | 150 |
| 21 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal..... | 375 |
| 22 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal..... | 275 |
| 23 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal..... | 250 |

EXPLANATORY:

*Item 10½ is added to establish a classification to be used as needed. Funds for this service have been transferred from appropriation for Detention and Prosecution of Criminals.*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing City Attorney to Compromise Claim of Mrs. B. J. Sullivan in the Sum of $30.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 930, Ordinance No. 6.022217, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Mrs. B. J. Sullivan in the sum of thirty ($30) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Mrs. B. J. Sullivan against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of defective condition of sidewalk by the payment of the sum of thirty ($30) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. B. J. Sullivan, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of thirty ($30) dollars.

Compromise recommended by City Attorney.

Approved as to form by Deputy City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Beth M. Tremont for $500.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 931, Ordinance No. 6.022218, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Beth M. Tremont in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Beth M. Tremont against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of defective condition of sidewalk by the payment of the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) in full settlement of all claims of said Beth M. Tremont, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Approved by City Attorney.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Lease of School Land, Tehama Street, 207 Feet Southwest from First Street.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Also, Bill No. 932, Ordinance No. 12.17324, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain school land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Tehama street, distant thereon 207 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of First street; running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Tehama street 118 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 118 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 75 feet to the southeasterly line of Tehama street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. Said lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $3,600 for Construction of Sewer in Anza Street Extension.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 933, Ordinance No. 9.051237, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $3,600 to the credit of Appropriation No. 540.214.00, $1,200 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 538.213.01 and $2,400 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 538.213.00, for the construction of a sewer in the Anza street Extension easterly from First avenue to Parker avenue: an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,600 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 540.214.00, $1,200 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 538.213.01, and $2,400 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 538.213.00, for the construction of a sewer in the Anza street Extension easterly from First avenue to Parker avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to safeguard the health of the residents of this district, as residences are now under construction in this vicinity and sewerage facilities are not at present provided.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended: W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved: Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.
Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Funds available: For Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**

(Code No. 11.0821)

The following recommendations of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police were taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. 11.08211, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any school or hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced

so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and,

where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or

equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited.

*All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

**Amendment to Garage Ordinance.**
**(Code No. 11.0822)**

Also, Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the

Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any school, church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any school or hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing.

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

### Minority Report.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California,
March 2, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

The undersigned, constituting a minority of your Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police, begs leave to submit the following as a substitute for the recommendation of the Majority Committee set forth on today's calendar, to-wit:

Amend section 2 of gasoline supply station ordinance by inserting in said section the following language: "Provided, however, that the Chief of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises the boundaries of which shall come within 60 feet of the property line of any hospital, church or theater; or within 200 feet of any school building; said measurements to be taken in a straight line from all exterior boundaries of the lot or parcel of land upon which said hospital, church, theater or school is situated."

(Schools, 200 feet; hospitals, theaters, churches, 60 feet.)

It is also recommended that the garage ordinance be amended in like manner as regards the distances between institutions mentioned.

Respectfully submitted,

ADOLPH UHL.

### Privilege of the Floor.

William Varley, representing Southern Civic Club; Mrs. Thomas R. Best, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, and Robert Troy, representing Third Street Improvement Club, were heard in opposition to the minority report.

### Segregation of the Question.

On motion the question was segregated and the matter of distances from schools, hospitals, churches and theatres within which gasoline stations may be permitted was voted on separately.

### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisors Ratto and Schmidt moved that the ordinance provide a distance of 200 feet for schools.

Supervisor Mead moved as an amendment that the distance be fixed at 100 feet.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—5.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.
Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the ordinance provide a distance of 200 feet for schools.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—5.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the ordinance provide a distance of 200 feet for hospitals.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—8.
Noes—Supervisors Meyer, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

A distance of 60 feet from theatres was *approved without objection.*

A distance of 60 feet from churches was *approved without objection.*

### Bills Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called on passage for second reading of the foregoing bills, and the same were *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—5.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Further Consideration of Gasoline Supply Station Ordinance.

Supervisor Colman moved that matter of gasoline supply stations be rereferred to the Public Welfare and Fire, Safety and Police Committee, and that said Committee bring in a new ordinance.

Motion *carried.*

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted:*

### Intention to Change Grades on Noriega Street Between Eleventh and Twelfth Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2422, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Noriega street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues as shown on map entitled "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Director of Works Order No. 3556, February 7, 1936, and filed in this office February 14, 1936.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### Closing and Abandoning Certain Streets Within the Boundaries of the Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 2423, as follows:

Whereas, on the 20th day of January, 1936, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolu-

tion No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 24th day of January, 1936, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2354, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth and Twenty-Seventh avenues and Pacheco street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth and Twenty-Seventh avenues and Pacheco street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Twenty-Fifth Avenue from the south line of Ortega street to the north line of Quintara street.

Twenty-Sixth avenue from the south line of Ortega street to the north line of Quintara street.

Twenty-Seventh avenue from the south line of Ortega street to the north line of Quintara street.

Pacheco street from the west line of Twenty-Fourth avenue to the east line of Twenty-Eighth avenue.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth and Twenty-Seventh avenues and Pacheco street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth and Twenty-Seventh avenues and Pacheco street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Jan. 20, 1936.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent: Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved San Francisco, Jan. 24, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portions of Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth and Twenty-Seventh av-

enues and Pacheco street described in Resolution No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621 be closed and abandoned; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth and Twenty-Seventh avenues and Pacheco street as specifically described and proposed in said resolution No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned, and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621, and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 2354, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Intention to Change Grades on Galvez and Hudson Avenues Between Selby Street and Toland Street.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2424, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3553 of the Director of Public Works dated February 7, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board February 13, 1936, to-wit:

| *Galvez Avenue*: | *feet* |
|---|---|
| Selby street | 1.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Selby street | 3.00 |
| Toland street | 1.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |

*Hudson Avenue*:

| | |
|---|---|
| Northeasterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line | 1.90 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Southwesterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line | 2.10 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Selby street | 3.50 |
| Northeasterly line of, at Toland street southeasterly line | 1.90 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Southwesterly line of, at Toland street southeasterly line | 2.10 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |

On Galvez and Hudson avenues between Selby and Toland streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change

or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Intention to Change Grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee Streets Between Army and Tulare Street.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2425, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3554 of the Director of Public Works dated February 7, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board, February 13, 1936, to-wit:

| | *feet* |
|---|---|
| *Indiana Street*: | |
| Army street | 2.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Tulare street | Base |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| *Minnesota Street*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Army street | 3.60 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Westerly line of, at Army street | 3.10 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Tulare street | Base |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| *Tennessee street*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Army street | 5.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Westerly line of, at Army street | 4.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Tulare street | Base |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |

On Indiana, Minnesota, and Tennessee streets between Army and Tulare streets be changed and established to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*;

**Renumbering Certain Entrances on Twenty-Fifth Avenue and Twenty-Fifth Avenue North.**

(Code No. 11.081)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 927, Ordinance No. 11.0813, as follows:

Renumbering certain entrances from the street to the buildings on certain lots located on Twenty-Fifth avenue and Twenty-Fifth avenue

north, in Blocks No. 1301 and No. 1302, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That public convenience and necessity requiring the renumbering of the entrances from the street to buildings on the hereinafter mentioned lots, facing Twenty-Fifth avenue and Twenty-Fifth avenue north, and situate in Block No. 1301 and No. 1302, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the Director of Public Works is hereby authorized to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot 4 in said Block No. 1301, from number 74 to number 18, Twenty-Fifth avenue north, and renumber said entrance No. 18, Twenty-Fifth avenue north; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 1, in said Block No. 3102 from number 10 to number 22 Twenty-Fifth avenue, and renumber said entrance number 22 Twenty-Fifth avenue; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 1-A in said Block No. 3102, from number 14 to number 24 Twenty-Fifth avenue, and renumber said entrance number 24 Twenty-Fifth avenue; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 2 in said Block No. 3102, from number 20 Twenty-Fifth avenue to number 26 Twenty-Fifth avenue and renumber said entrance No. 26 Twenty-Fifth avenue; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 3, in said Block No. 3102, from number 24 Twenty-Fifth avenue to number 28 Twenty-Fifth avenue and renumber said entrance No. 28 Twenty-Fifth avenue.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, whether owner or occupant of the building or any apartment therein, to place, maintain or allow to remain thereon any number other than the one required by this ordinance.

Section 3. Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys, omits, neglects or refuses to comply with, or that resists or opposes the execution of any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred (500) dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Establishing Grades on McKinnon, Newcomb Avenues and Selby and Toland Streets.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 928, Ordinance No. 12.0718, as follows:

Establishing grades on McKinnon and Newcomb avenues between Rankin street and Barneveld avenue; also on Selby and Toland streets between Jerrold and Oakdale avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on McKinnon and Newcomb avenues between Rankin street and Barneveld avenue; also on Selby and Toland streets between Jerrold and Oakdale avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office February 14, 1936.

| *McKinnon Avenue*: | *feet* |
|---|---|
| Rankin street | 4.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street | 6.00 |
| Selby street | 4.50 |

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street.....6.00
Toland street .....4.50
250 feet northwesterly from Toland street.....5.75
Upton street .....4.50
Conform to official grade at Barneveld avenue easterly line

*Newcomb Avenue*:
Rankin street .....5.00
(The same being the present official grade)
300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street.....6.50
Selby street .....5.00
300 feet northwesterly from Selby street.....6.50
Toland street .....5.00
400 feet northwesterly from Toland street.....7.00
Southwesterly line of, at Barneveld avenue easterly line.....5.53
(The same being the present official grade)
Northeasterly line of, at Barneveld avenue easterly line.....5.69
(The same being the present official grade)

*Selby Street*:
Jerrold avenue .....3.00
(The same being the present official grade)
McKinnon avenue .....4.50
Newcomb avenue .....5.00
Oakdale avenue .....5.00
(The same being the present official grade)

*Toland Street*:
Jerrold avenue .....3.00
(The same being the present official grade)
McKinnon avenue .....4.50
Newcomb avenue .....5.00
Oakdale avenue .....5.00
(The same being the present official grade)

On McKinnon and Newcomb avenues between Rankin street and Barneveld avenue and on Selby and Toland streets between Jerrold and Oakdale avenues be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A CIMMITTEE.

### Amending Underground Ordinance by Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.12)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. 934, Ordinance No. 11.1213, as follows:

Amending Section 2b of Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco."

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2b of Order No. 214 (second series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2b. It shall be the duty of every electric light, electric power, telephone, telegraph or other electric companies, or any corporation, partnership or individual, who maintain, use, operate or employ any pole or overhead wire, overhead cable or device over or upon the streets in the City and County of San Francisco, by, through, over or by means of which electricity is, has been or may be in any manner transmitted,

conducted or conveyed, for the purpose of electric light, heat, power, telephone, telegraph or other electric service, to remove such poles, overhead wires, overhead cables or devices from such streets as may be designated by the Board of Supervisors, provided, however, that all such streets so designated shall be contiguous to the underground districts then existing, and provided further that said electric light, electric power, telephone or telegraph, or other electric companies, or any corporation, partnership or individual, maintaining, continuing, using, operating or employing such poles, overhead wires, overhead cables, or devices, shall be required to remove such poles, overhead wires, overhead cables or devices from ten (10) lineal miles of streets each year, commencing ————.

*Referred to Joint Streets and Public Utilities Committee.*

Meeting Joint Streets and Utilities Committee, March 18, 4 p. m.

**Urging Federal Appropriation for Survey and Construction of Shoreline Highway.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2441, as follows:

Whereas, representative Clarence F. Lea is proposing the survey and construction of the Shoreline Highway with Federal appropriations, and

Whereas, the survey and construction of the Shoreline Highway, as a military road, is necessary for adequate coast and national defense, and

Whereas, the Shoreline Highway is a necessary adjunct to the Golden Gate Bridge, and

Whereas, the early commencement of this project would accord with the policy of providing work for the unemployed, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to Senator Hiram W. Johnson, Representative Florence P. Kahn and Representative Richard J. Welch to urge their support for Representative Lea in his endeavor to procure Federal appropriations for the survey and construction of the Shoreline Highway.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Sidewalk Entrance to Supply Stations.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Bill No. 935, Ordinance No. 11.0214, as follows:

Regulating the use of sidewalks in front of or surrounding gasoline supply stations, and providing the maximum amount of said sidewalk space which may be used for entrances to and exits from said gasoline supply stations, and providing that automobiles may park in front of said gasoline supply stations, and further providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No person, firm, corporation, co-partnership or association owning, operating or maintaining a gasoline supply station in the City and County of San Francisco shall use, or permit to be used, more than forty feet of the sidewalk space in front of, or surrounding said gasoline supply station for entrances to and exits from said gasoline supply station. Said forty feet of space may be used for entrance or exit purposes as a whole, or the same may be divided into such smaller

spaces as the owner of said supply station shall desire, provided that the total amount of sidewalk space used for entrances and exits shall not exceed forty feet.

Section 2. It shall be the duty of every owner of a gasoline supply station to designate by a suitable mark or sign inside the property line of said supply station the place of each entrance to or exit from said supply station.

Section 3. Automobile parking shall be permitted in front of gasoline supply stations under such regulations as the Police Department may provide.

Section 4. Any person violating this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall pay a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or shall be imprisoned in the County Jail for not more than six months.

Section 5. The violation of this ordinance shall be deemed sufficient grounds for the revocation of any permit issued for the conduct of a gasoline supply station.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Amendment Ordinance. Adding Power and Duties to Certain Departments, etc.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Bill No. 936, Ordinance No. 3.04159, as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series) entitled, "An ordinance adding to the powers and duties of certain departments in the matter of issuing certain permits or licenses and regulating or inspecting matters covered by such permits or licenses," by changing subdivision 17 thereof, to authorize the Traffic Bureau of the Police Department and the City Planning Commission to approve permits for automobile supply stations.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9143 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by changing subdivision 17 thereof to read as follows:

Section 1. Permits shall be issued for the location and conduct of the businesses, enterprises or activities, enumerated hereinafter, by the department or office authorized by this ordinance to issue each such class of permit, and subject to the approval of other departments and offices of the city and county, where specifically designated in any such case; provided that permit or license fees, as required by ordinance, shall be collected by the tax collector as provided in section 3 hereof:

(1) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of amusement parks—by the Police Department;

(2) For the holding of masquerade balls—by the Police Department;

(3) For the holding of circuses or Wild West shows—by the Police Department;

(4) For balloon advertising by the Police Department;

(5) For the use of street cars for displaying advertising signs—by the Police Department;

(6) For the discharge of firearms—by the Police Department;

(7) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of the business of second-hand dealer—by the Police Department; provided that if such business involves the storage and sale of used automobiles, each such permit shall also require the approval of the Fire Department;

(8) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of shooting galleries—by the Police Department;

(9) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of miniature and practice golf courses—by the Police Department; provided, that if the establishment of any such business requires the remodeling of

any structure, this shall also require a building permit as required by ordinance.

(10) For the operation of vehicles for hire—by the Police Department;

(11) For the maintenance of authorized stands for vehicles for hire —by the Police Department;

(12) For the establishment and maintenance of loading zones—by the Police Department;

(13) For the establishment and maintenance of passenger zones—by the Police Department;

(14) For the establishment of warning signs—by the Police Department;

(15) For the discharge of fireworks—by the Fire Department, subject also to the approval of the Police Department in so far as matters of traffic control are involved;

(16) For maintaining or burning bonfires—by the Fire Department;

(17) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto supply stations—by the Fire Department, subject to the approval of the Traffic Bureau of the Police Department and the City Planning Commission.

(18) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto parking stations—by the Fire Department;

(19) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto repair shops—by the Fire Department;

(20) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of commercial and public garages—by the Fire Department;

(21) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of auto sales departments—by the Fire Department;

(22) For the installation of oil burning apparatus and oil used in connection therewith—by the Fire Department;

(23) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dyeing and cleaning works—by the Fire Department, subject to the approval of the Health Department;

(24) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of dog hospitals and kennels or hospitals for sick animals—by the Health Department;

(25) For the establishment, maintenance and operation of laundries—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(26) For the construction and maintenance of stables—by the Health Department, subject to the approval of the Fire Department;

(27) For the establishment and maintenance of medical colleges—by the Health Department;

(28) For the use of city and county property for decoration purposes—by the Real Estate Department, subject to the approval of any department having charge, management and control of the specific property involved;

(29) For the erection and maintenance of billboards on city property—by the Real Estate Department;

(30) For the establishment and operation of rock crushing machines —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works;

(31) For the construction and maintenance of temporary buildings —by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection, Fire Department and Health Department;

(32) For conducting blasting operations—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Department of Public Works and the Fire Department;

(33) For the installation and operation of boilers, engines and cupola furnaces—by the Central Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(34) For the construction and operation of gas works—by the Cen-

tral Permit Bureau, subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection and Fire Department;

(35) For the establishment and maintenance of employment offices —by the Police Department;

(36) For peddling—by Police Department;

(37) For the maintenance and operation of pawnbroker establishments—by the Police Department;

Section 2. The head of any department or office authorized to issue permits or licenses shall secure the approval of the City Planning Commission for any application for a permit or license, in any case where it is not clearly prescribed by the zoning ordinances and regulations of the city and county that the business enterprise or activity for which application for a permit is made, can legally be established on or at the location covered in the application for permit.

Section 3. All permits issued by departments or offices as outlined in Section 1 that require the payment of a permit or license fee shall be issued by the departments designated, as a permit for the conduct of the specified business, enterprise or activity at the specified location, and such permit shall be delivered to the applicant by the tax collector on the payment to the tax collector of the fee required by law or ordinance.

Section 4. All ordinances or provisions of existing ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect at 12 o'clock noon on January 8, 1933.

*Referred to Streets, Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the own-

ers or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing

area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**

(Code No. 17.19)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery cor-

poration, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

### Death of Assistant City Attorney Leo C. Lennon.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved the adoption of the following resolution and also that the Clerk be instructed to have it engrossed and sent to the family of the late Leo C. Lennon, to-wit:

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 2442, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors learns with profound sorrow of the death of Leo C. Lennon, Assistant City Attorney; and

Whereas, the passing of Mr. Lennon has meant a distinct loss that is not to be met easily nor casually, and the place he had come to occupy in the hearts of his associates and friends will be void for years to come; and

Whereas, seldom is it privileged to those in public service to associate with a man endowed with the qualities possessed by Mr. Lennon,—a courteous, genial, affable gentleman who stamped the recollection of his lovable personality upon all those with whom he came in contact; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors deeply regrets the passing of Leo C. Lennon, Assistant City Attorney, and joins his host of friends in mourning his untimely death; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board adjourns, when it does adjourn, out of the respect to the memory of the late Leo C. Lennon.

Motion *carried unanimously by rising vote.*

### Chief Administrative Officer to Make Survey of Sidewalk Conditions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to have a survey made of the condition of sidewalks that need repairing, and that the proper notices be given to owners, that proper repairs be made, so that no damages will be incurred by the City. Also, that the Chief Administrative Officer submit to Board list of such sidewalks.

Motion *carried.*

### Condition of Market Street Railway Tracks.

Supervisor Havenner reported on discussion regarding condition of pavement between Market Street Railway tracks and on each side thereof. "I, as President of the Board," he said, "asked the City Attorney to give me a report as to the obligation of the Market Street Railway under its franchise to keep up the paving of the roadway between its tracks and on each side thereof; also as to the authority of the City to enforce this obligation."

### Acceptance of Permits to Operate Busses.

The Clerk announced that there had been filed in his office on February 19, 1936, the Market Street Railway's acceptance of Resolution No. 2366, permitting operation of busses in the Excelsior District, and of Resolution No. 2349, permitting operation of busses in the Crocker-Amazon District.

### Citizens' Committee "Old Fort Point" Museum.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the conversion of the Old Fort Point into a war museum.

Motion *carried.*

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 9, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

...NCISCO
...UBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 10

Monday, March 9, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MARCH 9, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 9, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Supervisor McSheehy excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presided.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 2, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Rezoning Van Ness Avenue Between Washington Street and Pacific Avenue, 2 p. m.

Consideration of Resolution No. 1377 passed by the City Planning Commission on February 6, 1936, changing westerly side of Van Ness avenue between Washington street and Pacific avenue from Light Industrial to Second Residential District.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Theodore M. Leavy, attorney, representing George A. Pope, owner of property at the southwest corner of Pacific avenue and Van Ness avenue, and Henry Trevor, Jr., realtor, were heard in opposition to the proposed change.

F. H. Dorne, owner of apartment house on northwest corner of Pacific avenue and Van Ness avenue was heard in favor of the City Planning Commission action.

### Communications.

A protest against the proposed change signed by Albert A. Hawks and 19 other property owners in the vicinity was read by the Clerk.

Also, protest from Trevor & Co. on behalf of the Pope Estate.

Also, protest of E. W. Jackson on behalf of Olive H. Palmer, owner of northeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Washington street.

Also, protest of George A. Pope, Jr., owner of property on southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Pacific avenue.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the subject matter was *postponed until March* 23, 1936, *Special Order at* 2 *p. m.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on First Street Between Howard Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 917, Ordinance No. 12.073159, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-nine (239) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1st, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-nine (239) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 239: The width of sidewalks on First street between Market and Howard streets shall be fifteen feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street between Howard and Brannan streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street (the westerly side of) between Brannan street and The Embarcadero shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on First street (the easterly side of) between Brannan street and The Embarcadero shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Stanyan Street Between McAllister and Turk.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 919, Ordinance No. 12.073161, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-seven (1147).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Forty-seven (1147) to read as follows:

Section 1147: The width of sidewalks on Stanyan street between Turk and McAllister streets shall be 9 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on West Side of Front Street Between Vallejo and Union Streets, and Abolishing Sidewalks on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 920, Ordinance No. 12.073162, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Sixty-nine (69) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10, 1936, by amending Section Sixty-nine (69) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 69. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Vallejo and Union streets, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″). The width of sidewalks on Front street, the easterly side of, between Vallejo and Union streets, shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on West Side of Front Street Between Broadway and Vallejo Street and Abolishing Sidewalks on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 921, Ordinance No. 12.073163, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-one (71) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10, 1936, by amending Section Seventy-one (71) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 71. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Broadway and Vallejo street, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″).

The width of sidewalk on Front street, the easterly side of, between Broadway and Vallejo street, shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Front Street Between Union Street and The Embarcadero, and Abolishing Sidewalk Widths on East Side.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 922, Ordinance No. 12.073164, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-three (1153).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 10th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-three (1153), to read as follows:

Section 1153. The width of sidewalks on Front street, the westerly side of, between Union street and The Embarcadero, shall be 11 feet 5½ inches (11′ 5½″).

The width of sidewalks on Front street, the easterly side of, between Union street and The Embarcadero, shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Fremont Street Between Howard Street and The Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 923, Ordinance No. 12.073165, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-eight (238) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office February 1st, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Thirty-eight (238) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 238. The width of sidewalks on Fremont street between Market and Howard streets shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fremont street between Howard street and The Embarcadero shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Confirming Sale of Portion of Lot 3, Block 6777, to C. R. Haley.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

**Resolution No. 2444, as follows:**

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17293, Bill No. 873, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on February 18, 1936, for the sale of certain city owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way, as said right of way, 80 feet wide, is described in McEnerney decree, dated March 8, 1912, and recorded March 8, 1912, in Book 598 of Deeds at page 329, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 65.162 feet southwesterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, as said avenue existed prior to the acquisition by said City and County of a 16-foot strip of land by deted dated December 6, 1926, recorded December 11, 1926, in Book 1390, Official Records, at page 205, in the same above mentioned Recorder's Office, and running southwesterly along said southeasterly right of way line 46.564 feet; thence deflecting 95 degrees 03 minutes 15 seconds to the left and running southeasterly 28.599 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the said 16-foot strip, as said strip is described in the aforesaid deed; thence deflecting 107 degrees 43 minutes to the left and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line, parallel with and distant 16 feet, at right angles northwesterly from the above

described northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 47.767 feet to a point 10 feet, at right angles southeasterly from the above described southeasterly line of railroad right of way; thence deflecting 67 degrees 13 minutes 45 seconds to the left and running northwesterly 10 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of lot 1 of Belle Roche city block 1.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from C. R. Haley to purchase said land for the sum of $500.00, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, C. R. Haley has deposited the sum of $50.00 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $500.00 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $500.00; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said parcel for the said sum of $500.00; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to C. R. Haley. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Tax Collector to Cancel Erroneous Sale of Property to State for Delinquent Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 2445, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the 1932-1933 real estate rolls list lot 27, block 6971B, as assessed to Henry and Hazel Stoneson; that this was in error as the property was transferred to the State of California Veterans' Welfare Board on February 25, 1932, and deed recorded; that this property was sold to the state on August 29, 1933, for delinquent taxes; that this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore

Resolved, that the Tax Collector be authorized to cancel this sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code.

Approved by the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

**Confirming Sale of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2341, to August Hallgren et ux.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Resolution No. 2446, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17225, Bill No. 903, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on March 2, 1936, for the sale of certain city owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of Funston avenue, distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the east line of Funston avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the west line of Twelfth avenue; thence southerly along last named line, 150 feet to a point distant northerly thereon, 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; thence westerly 240 feet to the east line of Funston avenue and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from August Hallgren and May Hallgren, his wife, to purchase said land for the sum of $12,000.00 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said parties have deposited the sum of $1,200.00 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $12,000.00 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $12,000.00; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said parcel of land for the said sum of $12,000.00. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying said land to August Hallgren and May Hallgren, his wife. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Refund of Duplicate Payment of Taxes—Harry Hurley—$1.16.**

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 2447, as follows:

Resolved, that the sum of $1.16 be and is hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-36, to Harry Hurley; being refund of duplicate payment of tax, as per vol. 12, page 118, line 23 of the 1935 unsecured personal property rolls.

Approved by the Assessor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Transfer of West Portal Park Lots to Library Department.**

(Code No. 12.175)

Also, Resolution No. 2448, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, the Library Department has requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring lots 31 and 32, block 2919, West Portal Park, San Francisco, from the Board of Education to the Library Department, for use as a public library site; and

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the present value of the property is $8,000.00, that there are no improvements on the lots, and that in his opinion the land can be advantageously used by the Library Department for public library purposes; and

Whereas, on February 11, 1936, the Board of Education passed a resolution consenting to the proposed transfer, subject to the condi-

tion that control of the property shall revert to the Board of Education in the event it is ever abandoned for library use; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer be made. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the control of said lots be and is hereby transferred from the Board of Education to the Library Department for use as a public library site, subject to the foregoing reversionary condition.

Approved by Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Authorizing City Attorney to Compromise Claim of Carrie F. Blake for the Sum of $850.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 2449, as follows:

Whereas, Carrie F. Blake has presented to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco her claim for One Thousand Twenty-one and 40/100 Dollars ($1,021.40) for damages sustained by her building by reason of the breaking of the Pierce street sewer; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the payment of Eight Hundred Fifty ($850.00) Dollars in full payment and satisfaction of said claim, and the Director of Public Works and the Controller have concurred in said recommendation. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the City Attorney be, and he is, hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of Carrie F. Blake by the payment of Eight Hundred Fifty ($850.00) Dollars in full satisfaction of all damages sustained by her by reason of the breaking of said Pierce street sewer.

Approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Payment approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Payment approved by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 2450, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

| *No.* | *To* | *For* |
|---|---|---|
| 675 | Director of Works | $ 334.05 |
| 676 | R. Delucchi & Co. | 160.00 |
| 677 | R. Delucchi & Co. | 154.50 |
| 678 | R. Delucchi & Co. | 221.00 |
| 679 | Anglo California National Bank | 875.34 |
| 680 | American Trust Co. | 183.87 |
| 681 | Ellen S. M. Grosjean, Eileen G. Callaghan | 2,664.38 |
| 682 | M. H. Levy | 8.00 |
| 683 | The Islais Co., Ltd. | 144.18 |
| 684 | The Islais Co., Ltd. | 1,255.10 |
| 685 | Mary Kingston, Margaret Kingston, Paul J. Kingston | 163.72 |
| 686 | Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co. | 234.31 |
| 687 | Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edward J. Taaffe, Page Taaffe | 109.35 |

payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby

authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $2,639,268 from 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund for Purpose of Making Additions and Extensions to San Francisco Water Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 940, Ordinance No. 9.051239, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $2,639,268 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund for the purpose of making certain additions and extensions to the distribution system of the San Francisco Water Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,639,268 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of the following appropriations and in the amounts indicated for the purposes recited:

*Appropriation No.*

593.903.00—Crystal Spgs.-Univ. Mound Pipe Line.....$657,439
593.904.00—University Mound Reservoir Addition..... 597,570
593.905.00—Sunset Reservoir and Pipes..... 824,975
593.906.00—Extensions to City Pipe System..... 559,284

Approved as to form by City Attorney.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Manager, Water Department.

Approved by Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission—Resolution No. 1245—John J. Sharon, assistant secretary.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Settlement of Claim of Mary E. Mooney for Sum of $350.**

(Code No. 6.05)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2451, as follows:

Whereas, Mary E. Mooney, by claim duly filed with the Controller, sets forth that at 10 o'clock p. m. on May 2, 1935, in crossing at the intersection of Fifteenth and Guerrero streets, while about to cross at a pedestrian lane, stepped into a hole at said intersection, causing her to fall and break a bone in her right foot and sustaining other injuries; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works and the City Attorney have recommended that this claim be settled for the sum of $350. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the sum of $350 be paid to Mary E. Mooney for damages suffered by reason of an accident occurring on May 2, 1935, at 10 o'clock p. m., wherein the said Mary E. Mooney fell into a hole at the pedestrian crossing at Fifteenth and Guerrero streets, sustaining a broken right foot and other injuries, and that upon the payment of the $350 the City Attorney obtain from the said Mary E. Mooney a full release of all claims of every nature arising out of said accident.

Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Approved by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

### Naval Construction on the Pacific Coast.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendations of Exposition Affairs and Commercial and Industrial Development Committee and Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2443, as follows:

Whereas, there is pending before the Congress of the United States a bill which would restore shipbuilding in Pacific Coast yards by providing an adequate differential in favor of local contractors so that this important industry may be rehabilitated and made available in time of need, meanwhile providing employment for thousands of our mechanics now idle; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends its commendation to Congressmen Welch and McGrath, and Congresswoman Kahn, and the other congressmen of the Pacific Coast who have initiated and supported this measure in the House of Representatives; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors respectfully urges upon Senator Hiram W. Johnson and Senator William Gibbs McAdoo that they use every effort before the Senate to procure the passage of the proposed legislation, and that they advise this Board by telegram, if necessary, if there is any service that may be performed by this Board of Supervisors in the pursuance of the legislation pending.

The Clerk is directed to forward this resolution immediately to the Senators from California.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bill was *passed for second reading*:

### Supplemental Appropriation of $154,503 for erection of Shoal Administration Building at Stockton and Bush Streets.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendations of Exposition Affairs and Commercial and Industrial Development Committee and Finance Committee.

Bill No. 939, Ordinance No. 9.051238, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $154,503 to the credit of Appropriation No. 954.000.00 out of the accrued surplus existing in P. W. A. Docket No. 1427, Shoals Fund, for the erection of a Shoals Administration Building at Stockton and Bush streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $154,503 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 954.000.00 out of the accrued surplus

existing in P. W. A. Docket No. 1427, Shoals Fund, for the erection of a Shoals Administration Building at Stockton and Bush streets.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Public Utilities Commission: Lewis F. Byington, Geo. Filmer, Jno. H. McCallum, John P. McLaughlin.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Directing the County Welfare Department to Become Member of the American Public Welfare Association.**

(Code No. 19.02)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 2398, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That for the purpose of having the members of the County Welfare Department informed as to the developments in the administration of social welfare throughout the United States, E. Schenk is hereby authorized and directed to cause the County Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco to become a member of the American Public Welfare Association, which concern has its main office in the City of New York.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Special Events Committee.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2454, as follows:

Whereas, the natural advantages that may be offered by San Francisco to induce tourists and visitors to our city should receive the particular attention of a civic group of citzens organized to promote special events; and

Whereas, the climatic, scenic and other natural beauties, coupled with the recreational and transportation facilities offered by San Francisco make it the logical city for the conduct of celebrations that permit of participation by other cities and states; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Special Events Committee composed of citizens interested in the welfare of the City and the promotion of events attractive to the tourists.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Proposed Subway from Bridge Approach at Fifth and Harrison to Union Square.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 2453, as follows:

Whereas, latest reports indicate that the San Francisco-Oakland Bay

Bridge will be open for motor vehicular traffic in November of this year, and

Whereas, it is of vital necessity that plans be made in the immediate future looking to quick and easy distribution of the traffic from the bridge approach, and

Whereas, special attention should be given to the problem of providing access, for vehicular traffic, to the downtown business shopping, theater and hotel district, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby requested to furnish the latest information and the results of any cost studies available on this subject, together with his own and the Engineer's recommendations in connection with the problem, and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby requested to furnish this Board of Supervisors with a report and recommendation as to the practicability, advisability and estimate of cost for the construction of a subway from Fifth street near Market street, to Union Square, and be it further

Resolved, That because time is of the essence in connection with this problem, the Chief Administrative Officer is respectfully urged to expedite as much as possible the studies necessary to supply the information herein requested.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**State Department of Social Welfare to Consider Case of Edward Peter Bannon.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2452, as follows:

Whereas, there is a dispute between the County of Los Angeles and the City and County of San Francisco as to the residence (within the meaning and intent of Chapter 761 of the General Laws of the State of California, Statutes of 1933, and Section 10 thereof) of Edward Peter Bannon, now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in conformity with the provisions of paragraph "f" of Section 10 of the above-mentioned Chapter 761, Statutes of 1933, State of California, hereby submits said dispute to the State Department of Social Welfare for decision.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

**Chief Administrative Officer to Report on San Francisco Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer furnish a report on Golden Gate Bridge, Marina Approach, and that the matter be made a special order of business for March 16, at 2:30 p. m.

Motion *carried.*

**Agreement to Dredge at Yerba Buena Shoals.**

Supervisor Uhl called attention to some apparent discrepancies between the terms of Resolution No. 2318 of the Board of Supervisors and the specifications prepared by the army engineers for dredging at Yerba Buena Shoals and stated that he intended to have it noted in the record that San Francisco will be under no obligation or responsibility if the terms of the resolution are not followed.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 3:20 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 16, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 11

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, March 16, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MARCH 16, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 16, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presided.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 9, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Sale of $1,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0337, in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) each, of 3 o'clock P. M. on Monday, March 16, 1936, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars ($1,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars $10,000) each, to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1936, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0337 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1935-1936, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1935-1936 in which said money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1935-1936, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1936. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same

lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars ($10,000), which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

**Communication.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 16, 1936.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

*Re City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes—Year* 1935-1936.

Dear Sir: We have advised Mr. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, that in view of the decision of the Supreme Court of California in the case of *Berkeley High School District* v. *Coit*, S. F. No. 15560, we will be unable to render our usual approving opinion upon the Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco to be offered for public sale today. We have cooperated with the City Attorney in an endeavor to secure a modification of the opinion of the State Supreme Court.

Pending a decision upon the petition for rehearing which has been filed in the above case, we suggest that all bids received upon today's sale be rejected by the Board of Supervisors and that the Board postpone the sale of the Tax Anticipation Notes until the Supreme Court has passed upon the petition for rehearing.

Very truly yours,
ORRICK, PALMER & DAHLQUIST.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing matter was *dropped from the calendar*.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Golden Gate Bridge Approach.**

*March* 9, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer furnish a report on the San Francisco approach of the Golden Gate Bridge.

**Discussion.**

Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary was heard at length as to his efforts to get a proper and adequate approach to the Golden Gate Bridge and of his negotiations with WPA officials looking to an appropriation of 80 per cent of the cost.

Mr. Cleary reviewed the history of the Lyon-Lombard diagonal and said he believed that portion of the approach within the Presidio wall was "as much a part of the bridge structure as the pavement on the bridge," hence a financial responsibility of the district.

Joseph B. Strauss, chief engineer, and James Reed, general man-

ager of the district, declared that the Lyon-Lombard Street approach was not included or provided for in the $35,000,000 bond issue for the Golden Gate Bridge. Communication from Department of Works in this connection was read into the record by the clerk.

Jefferson Peyser, attorney and representative of the Twenty-seventh Assembly District and Marina merchants, called attention to inevitable traffic congestion, and urged the necessity of a diagonal approach from Lombard Street.

Mr. Conlon, home owner on the Marina, was also heard on the question.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Havenner moved that the chief administrative officer be authorized to hold suggested conferences with the officials of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and to continue his negotiations with officials of the WPA and to report back to the Board of Supervisors at the earliest possible moment the results of those conferences.

Motion *carried.*

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinances were taken up in lieu of others of the same purport, passed for second reading at last meeting, which emergency ordinances were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $2,639,268 from 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund for Purpose of Making Additions and Extensions to S. F. Water Dept.—An Emergency Measure.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 948, Ordinance No. 9.051241, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $2,639,268 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund for the purpose of making certain additions and extensions to the distribution system of the San Francisco Water Department, an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,639,268 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of the following appropriations and in the amounts indicated for the purposes recited:

| *Appropriation No.* | | |
|---|---|---|
| 593.903.00 | Crystal Spgs.-Univ. Mound Pipe Line | $657,439 |
| 593.904.00 | University Mound Reservoir Addition | 597,570 |
| 593.905.00 | Sunset Reservoir and Pipes | 824,975 |
| 593.906.00 | Extensions to City Pipe System | 559,284 |

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, to comply with time limitations as established by law and for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $154,503 for erection of Shoal Administration Building at Stockton and Bush Streets—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 949, Ordinance No. 9.051242, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $154,503 to the credit of

Appropriation No. 954.000.00 out of the accrued surplus existing in P. W. A. Docket No. 1427, Shoals Fund, for the erection of a Shoals administration building at Stockton and Bush streets; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $154,503 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 954.000.00 out of the accrued surplus existing in P. W. A. Docket No. 1427, Shoals Fund, for the erection of a Shoals Administration Building at Stockton and Bush streets.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations established by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 8 of the Salary Ordinance by Adding Item 10½.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 929, Ordinance No. 9.05378, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05367 by adding Item 10½ to Section 8 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 8. **DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | District Attorney | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 4 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 4 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 190 |
| 4 | 1 | B156 | Senior Criminal Law Clerk | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B166 | Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 7 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | 50 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 10 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 10½ | | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed) not more than $12.50 per day plus transcriptions | |
| 11 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 12 | 1 | B516 | Senior Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 13 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 375 |
| 14 | 3 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 190 |
| 16 | 3 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 375 |
| 17 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 300 |
| 18 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 19 | 2 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 150 |

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal..... | 375 |
| 22 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal..... | 275 |
| 23 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal..... | 250 |

EXPLANATORY:

*Item 10½ is added to establish a classification to be used as needed. Funds for this service have been transferred from appropriations for Detention and Prosecution of Criminals.*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing City Attorney to Compromise Claim of Mrs. B. J. Sullivan in the Sum of $30.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 930, Ordinance No. 6.022217, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Mrs. B. J. Sullivan in the sum of thirty ($30) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Mrs. B. J. Sullivan against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of defective condition of sidewalk by the payment of the sum of thirty ($30) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Mrs. B. J. Sullivan, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of thirty ($30) dollars.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Beth M. Tremont for $500.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 931, Ordinance No. 6.022218, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Beth M. Tremont in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Beth M. Tremont against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by reason of defective condition of sidewalk by the payment of the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) in full settlement of all claims of said Beth M. Tremont, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of five hundred ($500) dollars.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Lease of School Land, Tehama Street, 207 Feet Southwest from First Street.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Also, Bill No. 932, Ordinance No. 12.17324, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain school land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Tehama street, distant thereon 207 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of First street; running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Tehama street 118 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 118 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 75 feet to the southeasterly line of Tehama street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. Said lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Renumbering Certain Entrances on Twenty-Fifth Avenue and Twenty-Fifth Avenue North.**

(Code No. 11.081)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 927, Ordinance No. 11.0813, as follows:

Renumbering certain entrances from the street to the buildings on certain lots located on Twenty-Fifth avenue and Twenty-Fifth avenue north, in Blocks No. 1301 and No. 1302, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That public convenience and necessity requiring the renumbering of the entrances from the street to buildings on the hereinafter mentioned lots, facing Twenty-Fifth avenue and Twenty-Fifth avenue north, and situate in Block No. 1301 and No. 1302, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the Director of Public Works is hereby authorized to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot 4 in said Block No. 1301, from number 74 to number 18, Twenty-Fifth avenue north, and renumber said entrance No. 18, Twenty-Fifth avenue north; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 1, in said Block No. 1302 from number 10 to number 22 Twenty-Fifth Avenue, and renumber said entrance number 22 Twenty-Fifth avenue; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 1-A in said Block No. 1302, from number 14 to number 24 Twenty-Fifth avenue, and renumber said entrance number 24 Twenty-Fifth avenue; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 2 in said Block No. 1302, from number 20 Twenty-Fifth avenue to number 26 Twenty-Fifth avenue and renumber said entrance No. 26 Twenty-Fifth avenue; to change the entrance number from the street to the building on Lot No. 3, in said Block No. 1302, from number 24 Twenty-Fifth avenue to number 28 Twenty-Fifth avenue and renumber said entrance No. 28 Twenty-Fifth avenue.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, whether owner or occupant of the building or any apartment therein, to place, maintain or allow to remain thereon any number other than the one required by this ordinance.

Section 3. Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys, omits, neglects or refuses to comply with, or that resists or opposes the execution of any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500) dollars, or by

imprisonment for not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Establishing Grades on McKinnon, Newcomb Avenues and Selby and Toland Streets.**
(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 928, Ordinance No. 12.0718, as follows:

Establishing grades on McKinnon and Newcomb avenues between Rankin street and Barneveld avenue; also on Selby and Toland streets between Jerrold and Oakdale avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on McKinnon and Newcomb avenues between Rankin street and Barneveld avenue; also on Selby and Toland streets between Jerrold and Oakdale avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office February 14, 1936.

| | *feet* |
|---|---|
| *McKinnon Avenue*: | |
| Rankin street | 4.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street | 6.00 |
| Selby street | 4.50 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Selby street | 6.00 |
| Toland street | 4.50 |
| 250 feet northwesterly from Toland street | 5.75 |
| Upton street | 4.50 |
| Conform to official grade at Barneveld avenue easterly line | |
| *Newcomb Avenue*: | |
| Rankin street | 5.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street | 6.50 |
| Selby street | 5.00 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Selby street | 6.50 |
| Toland street | 5.00 |
| 400 feet northwesterly from Toland street | 7.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at Barneveld avenue easterly line | 5.53 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Northeasterly line of, at Barneveld avenue easterly line | 5.69 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| *Selby Street*: | |
| Jerrold avenue | 3.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| McKinnon avenue | 4.50 |
| Newcomb avenue | 5.00 |
| Oakdale avenue | 5.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| *Toland Street*: | |
| Jerrold avenue | 3.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| McKinnon avenue | 4.50 |
| Newcomb avenue | 5.00 |
| Oakdale avenue | 5.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |

On McKinnon and Newcomb avenues between Rankin street and Barneveld avenue and on Selby and Toland streets between Jerrold

and Oakdale avenues be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted* by the following vote:

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed From James H. Morrissey to Certain Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue in Exchange for Portion of Regent Street Closed by Resolution No. 1736.**

(Code No. 12.1741)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2466, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from James H. Morrissey to certain real property hereinafter described as Parcels 1 and 2, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, in exchange for certain land hereinafter described as Parcel "A". Said parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the southwesterly line of Lot 6 of Pforr's Addition to West End Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F" at page 36, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said line of San Jose avenue, 25 feet to the northeasterly line of said Lot 6; thence at right angles northwesterly along the northeasterly line of said Lot 6, 20 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly along a line parallel with and distant 20 feet at right angles northwesterly from said line of San Jose avenue, 25 feet to the southwesterly line of said Lot 6 thence at right angles southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said Lot 6, 20 feet to the said line of San Jose avenue and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot 6, of Pforr's Addition to West End Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F" at page 36, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the northeasterly line of Lot 8 of Pforr's Addition to West End Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", at page 36, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said line of San Jose avenue, 7 feet 6 inches; thence deflecting 41 degrees 12 minutes 31 seconds to the right and running westerly along the southerly line of said Lots 8 and 9, 30.358 feet to a point distant 20 feet at right angles northwesterly from said line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 138 degrees 47 minutes 29 seconds to the right and running northeasterly parallel with and distant 20 feet at right angles northwesterly from said line of San Jose avenue, 30.339 feet to the northeasterly line of Lot 8; thence at right angles southeasterly along said line of Lot 8, 20 feet to the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 8 and 9 of Pforr's Addition to West End Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", at page 36, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Regent street, distant thereon 23.123 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly

line produced northwesterly 180.600 feet to the southerly line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way; thence westerly along said right of way line on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 52 degrees 52 minutes 06 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 2899.84 feet, central angle 1 degree 30 minutes 07 seconds, 76.016 feet to the southwesterly line of Regent street produced northwesterly; thence deflecting 128 degrees 38 minutes 01 second to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line so produced, 174.187 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 46 degrees 51 minutes 39 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 905.37 feet, central angle 1 degree 57 minutes 41 seconds, 30.993 feet; thence easterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 47.594 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 16.504 feet, central angle 5 degrees 20 minutes 50 seconds, 1.540 feet to the northeasterly line of Regent street and the point of beginning.

Be it further resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "A" to James H. Morrissey. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the Grantee upon receipt of a deed to Parcels 1 and 2 and shall record the latter deed.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Authorizing Purchase of Land for 19th Avenue Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2465, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Maurice Salomon, et al., the southerly 75 feet of Lot 39, portions of Lots 14 to 32, both inclusive, and a portion of Lot 38, all in Assessor's Block 2478, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $7,004, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Payments of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2464, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

1 California Pacific Title & Trust Company, per Vol. 11, Bill 2646, Lot 1, Block 1601, Fiscal Year 1935.....$93.70

2 Marie Alberts, Vol. 22, Bill 13, Lot 16, Block 3116, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 3.87

3 A. V. Higgins, per Vol. 31, Bill 1548, Lot 23, Block 5291, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 22.46

4 A. E. Coulter, per Vol. 37, Bill 897, Lot 2, Block 6195, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 3.50
5 Adair L. Howard, per Supplemental Roll of 1935 Unsecured Personal Property; Receipt No. 92749..... 5.22
6 Lee McCready, per Supplemental Rolls of Unsecured Property for 1935 ..... 3.34

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Lease of Building on Cortland Avenue for Library.**
(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 2463, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Library Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter a written lease with Julia Domenichelli, as Lessor, of the premises at 324 Cortland avenue, San Francisco, California, to be used as a branch library, for a term of one year, beginning March 1, 1936, at a rental of $20 per month, payable from Appropriation No. 514.800.02.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for the Widening of Parker Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2462, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Parker avenue, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17.

George I. Dickson, Portion of Lot 38, Assessor's Block 1065.....$1,885.00

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the lot, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the city.

William E. Payne and Myrtle M. Payne, Portion of Lot 34-A, Assessor's Block 1065.....$245.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for Parker Avenue Widening.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2461, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, purchase from

the following owners, certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Parker Avenue, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17:

James J. Dickson and Alice Dickson, the westerly 14 feet of Lot 39, Assessor's Block 1065.....$1,500.00

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the lot, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the city.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Purchasing of Land for the Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2460, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charlotte E. Horrigan, et al., Lot 20, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Gratton School Playground, for the sum of $2,500, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.07. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for the Widening of Parker Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2459, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Parker avenue, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17.

Marie L. Bianchi, portion of Lot 37, Assessor's Block 1065 .....$1,335.00
Mary A. Dollard, portion of Lot 40, Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 1,725.00
Joseph M. McKeever and Alma McKeever, portion of Lot 27, Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 1,735.00
Antonio L. Piombo and Pasquina Piombo, portion of Lot 45, Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 1,825.00
Eugenia Massagli, et al., portion of Lot 44, Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 795.00
John Biglieri and Maria Biglieri, portions of Lots 30, 31, 32 and 33, Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 2,665.00
Christine Rasmussen and Emil Rasmussen, portion of Lot 41, Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 1,345.00

Elizabeth Campbell, portion of Lot 42,
Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 1,325.00
Albert Cassou and Marie Cassou, portion of Lot 43,
Assessor's Block 1065 ..... 1,555.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the lots, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty (30) days after recording the deeds to the city.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for the Widening of Parker Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2458, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Parker avenue, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17.

G. B. Garibaldi and Palmira Garibaldi, portions
of Lots 28 and 29, Assessor's Block 1065.....$490.00
Samuel Couris and Adamantia Couris, portion of
Lots 34-B, Assessor's Block 1065..... 245.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for 19th Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2457, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Frank W. Smith et ux., a portion of Lots 15 and 16, Assessor's Block 2407, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $1,055.40, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Fixing the Wage Scale As Per Highest General Prevailing Rate Paid in Private Employment to Various Crafts and Employments in San Francisco.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 2456, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are predicated on a 6-hour day unless otherwise noted.

*Metal Trades.*

| *Field Rates* | *Rate per Hour* |
|---|---|
| Blacksmiths | $ 1.10 |
| Boilermakers | 1.10 |
| Boilermakers' helpers | .90 |
| Machinists | 1.10 |
| Machinists' helpers | .75 |
| Machinist (maintenance) | 1.12½ |

*Miscellaneous Trades.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Well drillers | 1.10 |
| Well drillers (hand tool foremen) | 1.10 |
| Diamond drillers | 1.10 |
| Powderman | 1.10 |
| Washers, polishers and greasers (garagemen) | .75 |

*Street Work.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Timberman (tunnel) | 1.10 |
| Mucker | .75 |
| Asphalt rakers | 1.10 |
| Asphalt shovelers | .75 |
| Cribbers | 1.10 |
| Laborers, street work | .75 |
| Asphalt plant engineers | 1.25 |
| Roller engineers, trench machine, dragline and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers | 1.43 |
| Firemen (asphalt plant) | 1.10 |

On maintenance of any equipment operated by engineers on rollers, trench machines, dragline, clamshell, asphalt burners, paving mixers and mechanical finishers, $1.43 per hour shall apply for the first hour after the 6-hour day, on any public work.

*Culinary Workers.*

(Not based on 6-hour day)

| | *Per Week* |
|---|---|
| Head cook (6-day week) | $41.00 |
| Other cooks | 36.00 |
| Cooks' helpers | 27.00 |
| Waiters | 18.00 |
| Waitresses | 16.50 |
| Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift) | 19.00 |
| Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift) | 21.60 |

*Dredge Boats.*

(Not based on 6-hour day)

| | *Per Month* |
|---|---|
| Dredge captain | $215 |
| Head leverman | 215 |
| Leverman | 190 |
| Fireman | 150 |
| Deckhand | 150 |

*Building Trades.*

| | *Rate per Hour* |
|---|---|
| Asbestos workers | $1.10 |
| Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins | 1.50 |
| Bricklayers' hodcarrier | 1.00 |
| Carpenters and cabinetmakers | 1.12½ |
| Cement finishers | 1.12½ |
| Compressor operators (on steel erection) | 1.25 |
| Engineers (derricks) | 1.25 |
| Engineers (building material hoists) | 1.12½ |
| Electric workers | 1.25 |
| Elevator constructors | 1.25 |
| Elevator constructor helpers | .87½ |
| Fixture hangers | 1.10 |
| Glass workers | 1.10 |
| Housesmith (reinforced concrete) | 1.12½ |
| Ironworkers (bridge, constructural and rigger) | 1.37½ |
| Ironworkers (derrick engineers) | 1.37½ |
| Linoleum and carpet workers | 1.10 |
| Laborers (building) | .75 |
| Painters (structural iron works) | 1.20 |
| Painters | 1.10 |
| Marble setters | 1.12½ |
| Marble setters' helpers | .75 |
| Ornamental ironworkers | 1.12½ |
| Pile drivers' engineers | 1.50 |
| Plumbers | 1.12½ |
| Roofers | 1.10 |
| Sheet metal workers | 1.10 |
| Steamfitters | 1.12½ |
| Sprinkler fitters | 1.12½ |
| Stonecutters | 1.10 |
| Stonesetter (including granite curbs) | 1.50 |
| Stone derrickmen | 1.12½ |
| Tilesetters | 1.25 |
| Tilesetters' helpers | .75 |

For Building Trades overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all ironworkers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Wherever calking processes are involved the rate paid for such work shall be as herein fixed or hereafter fixed by amendment of this resolution for the craft performing such work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks)*

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, $6 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, $6.50 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, $7 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, $7 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, $7.50 per day of 7 hours.
Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, $7.50 per day of 7 hours.
Laborers, 75 cents per hour.

Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.

Operators of power shovels and/or other excavating equipment with power shovel control when used on excavating operations, $10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, $7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Truck Crane engineer, $10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., $9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Maintenance on caterpillars over 50 H. P. shall carry a rate of $1.50 per hour for the first hour after the 6-hour day.

*Plastering Industry.*

Plasterers, $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (metal), $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (wood), $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Plasterers' hodcarrier, $1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Modelers, $2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model maker, $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model casters, $1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

*Concrete Industry.*

Concrete laborer, $5.50 per day of 7 hours.

Mixer operator, $6 per day of 7 hours.

Concrete finisher, $8 per day of 7 hours.

Machinist, $8 per day of 6 hours.

Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

*Gunite Industry.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Nozzlemen | $1.25 |
| Rodmen | 1.25 |
| Finishers | 1.25 |
| Ground wiremen | 1.25 |
| Rebound men | .75 |
| Gunmen | .90 |
| Mixermen | .87½ |

*Others.*

Hardwood floormen, $1.10 per hour.

Not less than $1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by Federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

Recommended by Civil Service Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing the City Attorney to Compromise the Claim of Lillian Kingston, for the Sum of $375.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 945, Ordinance No. 6.022219, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Lillian Kingston for injuries sustained by defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, entitled "*Lillian Kingston v. City and County of San Francisco, etc., et al.*" be settled and compromised by the payment of three hundred seventy-five ($375) dollars to the plaintiff in said action, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which he has by reason thereof; and said City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of said Lillian Kingston by the payment of three hundred seventy-five ($375) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

Payment recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $5,000 for Foodstuffs—County Jails.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 946, Ordinance No. 9.051240, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 507.334.00, Foodstuffs, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in the revenue to be received from the Federal Government for the board of United States prisoners in the County jail.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 507.334.00, Foodstuffs, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in the revenue to be received from the Federal Government for the board of United States prisoners in the County Jail.

Approved as to form by City Attorney.
Recommended by Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.
Approved by Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.
Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Amending Section 4 of Salary Ordinance—Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 947, Ordinance No. 9.05378, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 4 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (No. 9.05367) by adding Item 6½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 11 | | Supervisors | $ 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B88 | Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors | 350 |
| 3 | 1 | B90 | Clerk of the Board of Supervisors | 500 |
| 4 | 1 | B174 | Bond and Ordinance Clerk | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk (one month) | 350 |

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6½ | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 7 | 4 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographers ..... | 200 |
| 8 | 1 | B416 | Finance Committee Stenographer..... | 325 |
| 9 | 1 | D4 | Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors | 200 |
| 10 | 2 | O4 | Special Chauffeur ..... | 250 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Confirming Sale of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 2341, to August Hallgren et ux.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2446, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17225, Bill No. 903, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on March 2, 1936, for the sale of certain city-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the east line of Funston avenue, distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the east line of Funston avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the west line of Twelfth avenue; thence southerly along last named line, 150 feet to a point distant northerly thereon, 225 feet from the north line of Taraval street; thence westerly 240 feet to the east line of Funston avenue and the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from August Hallgren and May Hallgren, his wife, to purchase said land for the sum of $12,000 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said parties have deposited the sum of $1,200 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $1,200 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $12,000; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said parcel of land for the said sum of $12,000. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, conveying said land to August Hallgren and May Hallgren, his wife. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Shoreline Highway.**

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2455, as follows:

Whereas, the public interest requires the survey and construction

of the Shoreline Highway from intersection with Route No. 1, Redwood Highway in Marin County, more or less along the coast of Marin, Sonoma, Mendocino and Humboldt counties, to Fernbridge in Humboldt County, and

Whereas, improvement and construction of the said Shoreline Highway will benefit the public in the following particulars, among others:

(a) will provide less expensive and safer transportation of produce, merchandise and supplies between San Francisco and agricultural and industrial areas served by the Shoreline Highway;

(b) will provide safer access to recreational areas served by the Shoreline Highway;

(c) will create additional traffic across, and therefore new revenue for, the Golden Gate Bridge, by virtue of additional loop trips in the Northbay Redwood Empire counties;

(d) will make available an adequate highway for movement of mobile artillery, necessary to adequate coast and national defense;

(e) will open to traffic the last remaining unimproved link in the all-coast highway between Canada and Mexico; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorses, approves and agrees to actively support the recommendations formulated by our official Shoreline Directorate (our San Francisco director being Supervisor John Ratto, Chairman of the Streets and Highways Committee) and approved by our Redwood Empire Association, as follows:

1. Request the Director of Public Works and California Highway Commission to immediately undertake reconnaissance surveys, followed by permanent location surveys; also request inclusion of appropriations in the 1937-39 State Highway Biennium Budget, for construction of the Marin County sector of the Shoreline Highway near the Golden Gate Bridge and other highway sectors of the Shoreline Highway in Sonoma and Mendocino counties.

2. Request the Director of Public Works and California Highway Commission to reconstruct the State Highway sector at the north end of the Shoreline Highway, between Fernando and Fernbridge in Humboldt County.

Be It Further Resolved, That certified copies of this resolution be forwarded to the State Director of Public Works, chairman and members of the California Highway Commission; State Highway Engineer; District Engineer John H. Skeggs; U. S. Senator Hiram W. Johnson; U. S. Senator Wm. G. McAdoo; Representative Florence P. Kahn; Representative Richard J. Welch; Representative Clarence F. Lea; the Nine-Counties Highways Committee and Supervisors Unit of our Redwood Empire Association and the Shoreline Directorate..

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Proposed Subway from Bridge Approach at Fifth and Harrison to Union Square.**

(Code No. 12.112)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. 2453, as follows:

Whereas, latest reports indicate that the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be open for motor vehicular traffic in November of this year, and

Whereas, it is of vital necessity that plans be made in the immediate future looking to quick and easy distribution of the traffic from the bridge approach, and

Whereas, special attention should be given to the problem of providing access, for vehicular traffic, to the downtown business shopping, theater and hotel district, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby requested to furnish the latest information and the results of any cost studies available on this subject, together with his own and the Engineer's recommendations in connection with the problem, and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby requested to furnish this Board of Supervisors with a report and recommendation as to the practicability, advisabily and probable estimate of cost for the construction of a subway from the vicinity of the bridge terminal by the most convenient route to Union Square, giving due consideration to the proposed Market Street subway, and be it further

Resolved, That because time is of the essence in connection with this problem, the Chief Administrative Officer is respectfully urged to expedite as much as possible the studies necessary to supply the information herein requested.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between The Embarcadero and Kearny Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 941, Ordinance No. 12.073166, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section seven hundred and fifteen (715) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4, 1936, by amending Section seven hundred and fifteen (715) thereof to read as follows:

Section 715. The width of sidewalks on Bay street, the northerly side of, between The Embacadero and Kearny street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Bay street, the southerly side of, between The Embarcadero and Kearny street shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Kearny and Hyde Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 942, Ordinance No. 12.073167, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-four (1154).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-four (1154) to read as follows:

Section 1154. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Kearny street and Hyde street shall be 8 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Larkin Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 943, Ordinance No. 12.073168, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-five (1155).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-five (1155) to read as follows:

Section 1155. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue shall be 8 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Fillmore Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 944, Ordinance No. 12.073169, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-six (1156).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-six (1156) to read as follows:

Section 1156. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Fillmore street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Referred.**

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was *re-referred to the Welfare Committee*:

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Special Events Committee.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 2454, as follows:

Whereas, the natural advantages that may be offered by San Francisco to induce tourists and visitors to our City should receive the particular attention of a civic group of citizens organized to promote special events; and

Whereas, the climatic, scenic and other natural beauties, coupled with the recreational and transportation facilities offered by San

Francisco make it the logical city for the conduct of celebrations that permit of participation by other cities and states; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Special Events Committee composed of citizens interested in the welfare of the City and the promotion of events attractive to the tourist.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were adopted:

### Mayor to Appoint Citizens Committee to Celebrate Opening of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2470, as follows:

Whereas, it is authoritatively estimated that the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be opened for automobile traffic within the next few months; and

Whereas, the completion of this great highway across San Francisco Bay will be an event of outstanding importance in the history of this City and County and will undoubtedly mark the beginning of a new era in the development and growth of San Francisco and the bay area; and

Whereas, it is important that adequate arrangements be made for a fitting celebration of this great event by the people of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby requested and authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange a proper public celebration of the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor is hereby authorized to extend such invitations as he may deem appropriate to citizens of transbay and other counties in California to serve on this committee.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Declaring The Chronicle to Be the Official Advertising Medium of City and County of San Francisco for the Year 1936-1937.

(Code No. 3.03)

Resolution No. 2467, as follows:

Whereas, the Purchaser of Supplies has advertised for bids for the official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for the period of one year from April 1, 1936, to April 1, 1937; and

Whereas, the Chronicle Publishing Company, publisher of *The Chronicle*, was the lowest and best bidder for the doing of said advertising, and the bid of the said Chronicle Publishing Company was accepted, and the contract for doing said official advertising was awarded to said Chronicle Publishing Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That *The Chronicle*, a daily newspaper of general circulation, published in the City and County of San Francisco, and having a bona fide daily circulation of at least eight thousand copies, is hereby

selected as and declared to be the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1936.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were adopted:

**Leave of Absence—W. M. Coffman, Recreation Commissioner.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2468, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. W. M. Coffman, Recreation Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing March 14, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Leave of Absence, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2469, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not exceeding ten days from March 18, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**In Memoriam, Michael J. Ryan.**

Supervisor Havenner presented the following:

Resolution No. 2472, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors learns with regret of the death of Michael J. Ryan, for 37 years a loyal, faithful and efficient employee of the City of San Francisco. During the years that Mr. Ryan performed his duties about the City Hall he earned and retained the friendship and respect of all with whom he came in contact. He was a good man and performed his duties willingly.

The Board this day adjourns as a mark of respect to the memory of the late Michael J. Ryan.

*Adopted by unanimous rising vote.*

**In Memoriam, Leo A. Murasky.**

Supervisor Colman presented the following:

Resolution No. 2473, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors has heard with profound sorrow of the death of Honorable Leo A. Murasky, Judge of the Municipal Court of this City and County. Judge Murasky enjoyed the respect and confidence of both bench and bar, and his devotion to duty was recognized and rewarded by the citizens of San Francisco. In his death San Francisco has met with an irreparable loss, and the judiciary of this city has lost a valuable and faithful jurist.

The Board of Supervisors this day adjourns as a mark of respect to the memory of the late Judge Leo A. Murasky.

*Adopted by unanimous rising vote.*

**Requesting Market Street Railway to Remove Its Tracks on Taylor Street From Market to Post.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Whereas, traffic on Taylor street from Market street north makes it desirable that all obstructions to its continuous flow be removed; and

Whereas, the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will result in increased travel on this thoroughfare because of its central location in the down-town area; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors be directed to hold hearings on the subject of the abandonment of street railway on Taylor street and the removal of the tracks and report to this Board its findings and recommendations thereon.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Regulating Parking in Alleys, etc.**

Supervisor Uhl called out of the Streets Committee his proposed ordinance regulating parking of automobiles in streets, ways, and alleys 25 feet in width.

*So ordered.*

**Study of Streets and Traffic Problems.**

Communication from Chamber of Commerce, transmitting progress report of its Bridge and Highway District on study of streets and traffic problems of San Francisco in district east of Van Ness avenue and north of Division street extending to the waterfront, and referring to general traffic survey of the city requesting that an up-to-date traffic count of motor vehicles and pedestrians using streets of San Francisco be expedited, and suggesting that it be a joint PWA and Department of Public Works project.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Beach Erosion Conference.**

Communication from California Beaches Association inviting attendance of Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission of California coastal counties at conference on subject of beach erosion to be held on Saturday, March 28, 1936, at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography at La Jolla.

*Referred to City Planning Commission.*

**County Supervisors' Convention.**

Communication from County Supervisors' Association of State of California advising that the Twenty-sixth Annual Convention will be held in Eureka, May 20, 21, and 22, 1936, and inviting attendance of representation from San Francisco.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that a committee be appointed to attend.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Havenner moved that the committee be authorized to seek the next County Supervisors' Convention for San Francisco.

*So ordered.*

### Investigation of Monthly Charges for Hand Set Telephones.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Railroad Commission be requested to make an investigation of the monthly charges for hand set telephones in San Francisco—that the city attorney apply to the Railroad Commission for such an investigation.

Motion *carried.*

### Announcements of Committee Meetings.

Judiciary Committee, March 19, 1936, 3 p. m.
Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning, March 19, 10 a. m.
Education, Parks and Recreation, March 24, 3 p. m.

### Opening of Oregon Street.

Supervisor Ratto asked that the city attorney investigate and find out how owner received privilege of putting building on portion of Oregon Street.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 23, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT

Vol. 31—New Series No. 12

Monday, March 23, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MARCH 23, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 23, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Supervisor McSheehy excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presided.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 16, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Rezoning Van Ness Avenue Between Washington Street and Pacific Avenue, 2 p. m.**

Consideration of Resolution No. 1377 passed by the City Planning Commission on February 6, 1936, changing westerly side of Van Ness avenue between Washington street and Pacific avenue from Light Industrial to Second Residential District.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

*Mark Jorgensen*, Secretary City Planning Commission, was heard at length in explanation of the proposed rezoning.

*F. H. Dorne*, owner of apartment house on northwest corner Pacific avenue and Van Ness avenue, presented petition of the tenants in his building requesting that the rezoning proposed by the City Planning Commission be approved. If gas station, he said, is permitted on opposite corner 50 per cent of his tenants will move out or their rent must be reduced materially. A gas station there, he said, would kill the property. He read a resolution adopted by the Board of Directors of the Owners' and Lessees' Apartment House Assn., Inc., in favor of the action of the City Planning Commission.

*E. H. Brownstone*, property owner in vicinity, also addressed the Board favoring the action of the City Planning Commission.

*Henry Trevor*, realtor, representing the Pope Estate, was heard in opposition to the rezoning.

### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Uhl, in explanation of his vote, said:

"There are fourteen gasoline stations where you can buy gasoline within three blocks. I think that a good reason to vote to sustain the Commission. Apartments have been built on Pacific avenue west of Van Ness within the last five years—a number of them—the Spreckels building was built there. I vote to sustain the Commission."

### City Planning Commission Overruled.

Whereupon the roll was called and the City Planning Commission overruled by the following vote:

### Rezoning Westerly Side of Van Ness Avenue Between Washington Street and Pacific Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2486, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1377, in rezoning the westerly side of Van Ness avenue between Washington street and Pacific avenue, from Light Industrial to Second Residential District, is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—6.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### Referred.

The following matter was *re-referred to the committee.*

### Regulating Parking of Automobiles and Other Motor-Driven Vehicles in Streets, Ways and Alleys 25 Feet, or Over, in Width.

*March* 16, 1936—Supervisor Uhl called out of Streets Committee his proposed ordinance regulating parking of automobiles in streets, ways, and alleys twenty-five feet in width, to-wit:

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 958, Ordinance No. 11.0215, as follows:

Regulating parking of automobiles and other motor-driven vehicles in streets, ways and alleys twenty-five feet, or over, in width.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Automobiles and other motor-driven vehicles may be parked in any street, way or alley in the City and County of San Francisco, the roadway of which said street, way or alley, from property line to property line, is not less than twenty-five (25) feet.

Section 2. In the interest of safety and to facilitate the regulation and passage of traffic through any such street, way or alley mentioned in Section 1 hereof, the Chief of Police of the San Francisco Police Department shall have full power and authority to limit the length of time during which any automobile or other motor-driven vehicle may be parked in such street, way or alley, and also when public safety or the regulation of traffic requires it, said Chief of Police may provide that parking of said automobiles or other motor-driven vehicles shall be allowed only on one side of such streets; the said Chief of Police to designate and determine the particular side of such streets, ways or alleys on which said automobiles or other motor-driven vehicle may be parked.

Section 3. In any case where parking of automobiles and other motor-driven vehicles on the street, way or alley mentioned in Section 1 of this Ordinance is limited in time or permitted only on one side of such street, way or alley as herein provided, the Chief of

Police shall have appropriate signs, conspicuously posted, setting forth the time limit for parking and the particular side of the street, way or alley on which the same is prohibited.

Section 4. All ordinances which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed insofar as such conflict exists.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None.

## NEW BUSINESS

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading:*

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $450 out of the Surplus Existing in the General Fund by Virtue of Unused Funds in Appropriation No. 533.229.01 (Board of Supervisors—Ordinances) to the Credit of Appropriation No. 501.101.00 for the Purpose of Employing a General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per Month in the Office of the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 950, Ordinance No. 9.051243, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $450 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of unused funds in Appropriation No. 533.229.01 (Board of Supervisors—Ordinances) to the credit of Appropriation No. 501.101.00 for the purpose of employing a General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $450 is hereby authorized and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of unused funds in Appropriation No. 533.229.01 (Board of Supervisors—Ordinances) to the credit of Appropriation No. 501.101.00 for the purpose of employing a General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the office of the Board of Supervisors one position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Recommended by J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.
No: Supervisor Uhl—1.
Absent: Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Appropriating $73,518 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County—Month of April, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 951, Ordinance No. 9.051244, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $73,518 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1. The sum of $73,518 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1936.**

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $25,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the County Road Fund, as Follows: $20,000 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 548.975.00 for Geneva Avenue Land Acquisition, and $5,000 to the Credit of Appropriation No. 548.976.00 for Engineering Services in Connection with WPA Street Projects, an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 952, Ordinance No. 9.051245, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $25,000 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund, as follows: $20,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.975.00 for Geneva avenue land acquisition, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.976.00 for engineering services in connection with WPA street projects, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $25,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund, as follows: $20,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.975.00 for Geneva avenue land acquisition, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.976.00 for engineering services in connection with WPA street projects.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to protect the welfare of our citizens, inasmuch as these appropriations will enable people to be immediately put to work under the WPA program.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading:*

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $13,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the County Road Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 548.974.00 for Dearborn Street Land Acquisition and Street Construction.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 953, Ordinance No. 9.051246, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $13,000 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.974.00 for Dearborn street land acquisition and street construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $13,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.974.00 for Dearborn street land acquisition and street construction.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $3,000, Salaries, Additional Janitorial Service, Auditorium.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 954, Ordinance No. 9.051247, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $3,000 out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium, to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.102.00, Temporary Salaries, for additional janitorial service required to take care of increased business.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium, to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.102.00, Temporary Salaries, for additional janitorial service required to take care of increased business.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Payment of Claim of Transbay Construction Company for Damage to "Freethy."**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Bill No. 955, Ordinance No. 6.052, as follows:

Payment of claim of Transbay Construction Company for damage to "Freethy."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The fireboat Dennis T. Sullivan, on April 5, 1935, in breasting alongside the barge "Freethy" at Pier 24 in the City and County of San Francisco, caught under the prow of the barge and tore off the side and prow fender strips and did other damage, causing an expenditure in the amount of $125 to be paid for the repair of the "Freethy." The Board of Fre Commissioners, on April 22, 1935, after investigation, admitted liability for the damage, and the City

Attorney has concurred in the amount above stated for settlement of this claim.

Section 2. It is hereby authorized that the sum of $125 be paid to the Transbay Construction Company, owner of the barge "Freethy," for damages done to said barge by the fire boat Dennis T. Sullivan, and that the City Attorney obtain from said Transbay Construction Company releases in full for all liability arising out of said accident.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### Authorizing Sale of Certain City Owned Land.

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 956, Ordinance No. 12.172527, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain city owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Commission:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond Street, distant southerly 300.16 feet measured along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth Street; said point of commencement being also the southwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht, et ux., dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657, Official Records, at page 79, running thence from said point of commencement along the southerly boundary line of said portion of land excepted easterly 11.68 feet to a point on said boundary line which is also distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from a southwesterly extension of the southeasterly line of the hereinabove mentioned land described as an exception; thence parallel to and distant 13.77 feet measured northwesterly at right angles from said extension southwesterly 15.56 feet to the easterly line of Diamond Street; thence along said easterly line northerly 10.27 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

### San Francisco Chronicle Designated Official Newspaper.

(Code No. 3.03)

Also, Bill No. 957, Ordinance No. 3.031, as follows:

Designating the official newspaper for the publication of official notices provided by law to be published by the several officers, departments, commissions and bureaus of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the manner in which said notices shall be published and the character of type to be used in the publication of said notices, and declaring that this ordinance is an emergency ordinance and the same shall become effective forthwith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The San Francisco Chronicle is hereby selected and declared to be the official newspaper for the publication of all notices required by law or ordinance to be published by any officer, commission, department or bureau of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. All said official notices shall be published in one particular edition of said San Francisco Chronicle, which said edition shall have an actual bona fide circulation in the City and County of San Francisco of at least eight thousand copies.

Section 3. Each notice, ordinance, rule, law, regulation or publication required by law, ordinance or regulation to be published in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, shall be set and published in agate type solid and in twelve pica em column lines, in accordance with the copy furnished for said publication, and each said notice, ordinance, rule, regulation or publication shall be preceded with the words in blackface type not smaller than agate type describing or expressing in general terms the purport or character of the notice intended to be given by said publication.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, hereby declare and find that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature and character of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

That the contract for the printing of all official notices required by law to be published by the City and County of San Francisco will expire on the first day of April, 1936, and that a new contract for said printing has been entered into, and that it is necessary that a newspaper of general circulation be selected before the first day of April, 1936, for the publication of said official notices, and that before said date, the manner and character in which said notices should be published should be selected and determined, and therefore it is necessary that this ordinance be passed as an emergency measure in order to comply with time limitations as established by law.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2474, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Frank H. Pidcock, a right of way easement over the easterly 10 feet of Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block A, Peck's Subdivision No. 1, South San Francisco, San Mateo County, California, recorded June 24, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps, page 11, San Mateo County records, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $300, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Clerk Directed to Request Golden Gate Bridge to Furnish Complete Financial Report.**

(Code No. 12.111)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Finance and Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 2475, as follows:

Resolved, That the clerk is hereby directed to write and request the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to furnish this Board of Supervisors with a complete financial statement of its affairs, this statement to include the detailed amounts allocated to the various divisions of the work and the revenue funding for each such allocation.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Abatement Proceedings—278 Spreckels Street.**

(Code No. 17.09)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 2476, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Health Department, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as 278 Spreckels street in the City and County of San Francisco be, and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance, and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Set-Back Lines Dewey Blvd., Claremont Blvd., Portola Drive, and Woodside Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2477, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1198, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That the building set-back lines as indicated on the map entitled 'Map Showing Building Set-back Lines in the district bounded by; Dewey boulevard, Claremont boulevard, Portola drive and Woodside avenue,' prepared by the City Planning Commission, and now on file in the office of that Commission be, and they are hereby approved, and the set-back lines are hereby declared established as shown on said map," is hereby approved.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Set-Back Lines Forest Hill and Forest Hill Court.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2478, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1267, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That the building set-back lines as indicated on the map entitled 'Map Showing Building Set-back Lines in Forest Hill and

Forest Hill Court,' prepared by the City Planning Commission and now on file in the office of that Commission, be and the same are hereby approved, and the set-back lines are hereby declared established as shown on said map," is hereby approved.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Set-Back Lines, Northerly Side of Washington Street Between Octavia Street and Laguna Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2479, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1261, passed June 6, 1935, reading as follows:
"Resolved, That the following set-back line be and the same is hereby established:
"Along the northerly side of Washington street between Octavia street and Laguna street, said set-back line to be 30 feet," is hereby approved.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Set-Back Lines, Southerly Side of Lombard Street, Between Van Ness Avenue and Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2480, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1281, passed July 18, 1935, reading as follows:
"Resolved, That the following set-back line be, and the same is hereby established:
"Along the southerly side of Lombard street, between Van Ness Avenue and Lyon street, said set-back line to be 31 feet 3 inches," is hereby approved.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Set-Back Lines, Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2481, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1168, passed December 28, 1934, reading as follows:
"Resolved, That the following set-back lines are hereby established:
"Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, said set-back line to begin at a point on the northerly line of Taraval street, 30 feet easterly thereon from the present easterly line of Nineteenth avenue, running thence northerly along a line parallel with and distant 30 feet easterly at right angles from said present easterly line of Nineteenth avenue to a point 33.62 feet northerly from the northerly line of Kirkham street, thence deflecting 1 degree 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left, and running northerly 914.72 feet to a point on the present easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; and

"Along the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, said set-back line to begin at a point on the southerly line of Irving street, 30 feet westerly from the present westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, running thence southerly along a line parallel with and distant 30 feet westerly at right angles from the present westerly line of Nineteenth avenue 333.79 feet, thence deflecting 1 degree 52 minutes 45 seconds to the left

and running southerly 914.72 feet to a point on the present westerly line of Nineteenth avenue, and be it

"Resolved further, That no portion of any building shall be allowed to be erected between the above described set-back line and the present property lines of Nineteenth avenue," is hereby approved.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Set-Back Lines, Nineteenth Avenue Between Sloat Blvd. and Taraval Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2482, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1273, passed June 20, 1935, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That the following set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"The easterly side of 19th avenue between Sloat boulevard and Taraval street, said set-back line to begin at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 15 feet easterly thereon from the present easterly line of 19th avenue, and extending northerly to a point on the southerly line of Wawona street, if extended easterly, which point is 21 feet easterly from the present easterly line of 19th avenue; from the last described point, said set-back line shall extend along a line 21 feet easterly from and parallel with the present easterly line of 19th avenue to the northerly line of Ulloa street, and from the last described point, said set-back line shall extend northerly to a point on the southerly line of Taraval street, which point is 30 feet easterly from the present easterly line of 19th avenue; and also

"Along the westerly side of 19th avenue between Sloat boulevard and Wawona street, said set-back line shall begin at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 15 feet westerly from the present westerly line of 19th avenue and extend northerly to a point on the southerly line of Wawona street which point is 9 feet westerly from the present westerly line of 19th avenue; and along the westerly side of 19th avenue, between Ulloa street and Taraval street, said set-back line shall begin at a point on the northerly side of Ulloa street, 9 feet westerly thereon, from the present westerly line of 19th avenue and extend northerly to the southwesterly corner of 19th avenue and Taraval street; and be it

"Resolved further, That no portion of any building to be allowed to project beyond this set-back, the set-back line to be the property line when 19th avenue is widened," is hereby approved.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northerly Side of Pine Street 76 Feet 6 Inches Westerly From Stockton Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2483, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, granting an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, for a period of ninety (90) days, property located at the northerly side of Pine street, 76 feet 6 inches westerly from Stockton street and running thence westerly 41 feet 8 inches, is hereby set for *Monday, March* 30, 1936, *at* 2 *o'clock P. M.*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent: Supervisor McSheehy—1.

*(On motion of Supervisor Shannon the foregoing matter was made a Special Order of Business for 2 P. M.)*

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Alemany Boulevard and Ocean Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2487, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Alemany boulevard and Ocean avenue, is hereby set for *Monday, March* 30, 1936, *at* 3 *o'clock P. M.*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent: Supervisor McSheehy—1.

*(On motion of Supervisor Shannon the foregoing matter was made a Special Order of Businees for* 3 *P. M.)*

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were adopted:

**Mayor Requested to Appoint Special Events Committee.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 2454, as follows:

Whereas, the natural advantages that may be offered by San Francisco to induce tourists and visitors to our city should receive the particular attention of a civic group of citizens organized to promote special events; and

Whereas, the climatic, scenic and other natural beauties, coupled with the recreational and transportation facilities offered by San Francisco make it the logical city for the conduct of celebrations that permit of participation by other cities and states; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Special Events Committee composed of citizens interested in the welfare of the city and the promotion of events attractive to the tourist.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Leave of Absence—Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2485, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing March 30, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Lloyd Dinkelspiel, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2484, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Lloyd Dinkelspiel, member of the Board of Education,

is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing March 21, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *presented* by Supervisor Shannon and *adopted* unanimously by *rising vote:*

### In Memoriam—Harry I. Mulcrevy.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 2488, as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors learns with profound sorrow of the death of Harry I. Mulcrevy, County Clerk of this city and county; and

Whereas, As indubitable evidence of the confidence, respect and esteem in which he was held by the citizens of San Francisco, they returned him to office without a suggestion of opposition at each succeeding election, indicating an appreciation of a faithful, trusted and true public servant; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, together with the countless thousands of friends and admirers of Harry I. Mulcrevy, mourns his passing and extends its sympathy to the bereaved family of the late County Clerk, and the Board adjourns this day out of respect to the memory of Harry I. Mulcrevy.

### In Memoriam—Captain Horace McGowan.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 2489, as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Supervisors has heard of the sudden passing of Captain Horace McGowan, of the San Francisco Police Department; and

Whereas, His superiors, whom he so well served, rewarded his earnest, faithful and conscientious service with an assignment of trust, as chief clerk of the Police Department; and

Whereas, The friendship of Captain McGowan was cherished by all his associates, particularly the young police officer whom he befriended and counseled at every opportunity; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its sincere sorrow at the death of Captain Horace McGowan, and adjourns as a mark of respect to his memory.

### Letter of Appreciation for Proposed Survey Furthering the Completion of Suitable Approaches to the Agricultural Live Stock Exposition.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Bay Shore Voters League expressing sincere appreciation for prompt acknowledgment through Supervisor Ratto, of recent request that a survey be made with a view to furthering the completion of suitable approaches to the Agricultural Live Stock Exposition on Geneva avenue.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Care of Handicapped Child.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from Daniel E. Koshland, Chairman General Executive Committee, Community Chest, transmitting approved set of recommendations dealing with the care of the handicapped child and requesting that same be approved and that Board of Supervisors lend its support to subsequent efforts which will be made to have these recommendations carried into effect.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

### State Highway Commission Bi-ennium Budget.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from T. A. Reardon, member of the California State Highway Commission, stating that he would appreciate it if the Board of Supervisors filed copies of any projects contemplated in the 1937-38 bi-ennium budget for the State Highway Commission.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Withdrawal of Protest, Van Ness Avenue Rezoning.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Edward M. Jackson, Agent for Olive H. Palmer, owner of property on northwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Washington street, who heretofore filed a protest against the changing of the zone from Light Industrial to Second Residential District, and now requests that said protest be withdrawn.

Ordered *filed.*

### Stream Line Buses on Van Ness Avenue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Apartment House Owners and Managers Association, Inc., advising of resolution passed by said association requesting that the Board of Supervisors take the necessary steps to remove the trolley tracks on Van Ness avenue and install stream line buses such as are used in many eastern cities.

### Rezoning of Van Ness Avenue Approved.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Owners and Lessees Apartment House Association, Inc., expressing itself as in favor of sustaining the action of the City Planning Commission in rezoning the west side of Van Ness avenue from Washington street to Pacific avenue from Light Industrial to Second Residential District.

### Beach Erosion Conference.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from California Beaches Association again calling attention to state wide conference on beach erosion which is to be held at Scripps' Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla, California, March 28, 1936, and urging attendance.

Also, communication from Parkside District Improvement Club transmitting copy of its resolution expressing hearty accord with the movement inaugurated by the California Beaches Association for the prevention of beach erosion.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Commission.*

### Traffic to Retail District from San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Head.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Asso-

ciations regarding opening of a new street between Fifth and Sixth streets from the bridge head at Fifth and Harrison streets, across Market into the retail district, and making certain suggestions in regard thereto.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Relative to Certified Check Required on Bids for City Supplies.

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Fred F. Spron, 131 Sixth avenue, representing certain large national manufacturing companies that carry large stocks in local warehouses and have permits to retail throughout the state, declaring that city proposals require certified check of $100 with every bid which operates to the disadvantage of himself and other prospective bidders; and suggesting what he alleges is a superior method of guarantee.

*Referred to Chief Administrative Officer with request for report.*

### Protest Against Overhead Wiring System on Fell Street.

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Hayes Valley Improvement Club, Inc., protesting in view of the proposed widening of Fell street against the maintenance any longer of the overhead system of wiring and requesting that said street be made part of the underground district which procedure would not be costly at this time and would be of great value in handling ladders during fire fighting operation.

*Referred to Joint Committee of Streets and Public Utilities.*

### Dedication of Golden Gate Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association relative to a proper dedication of the Golden Gate Bridge and its approaches in May, 1937, and assuring city it will gladly co-operate in the formation of plans, etc., for giving this matter due publicity by the revival of the old Portola Festival or some similar event to celebrate the completion of the bridge.

*Referred to Joint Committee on Streets and Public Utilities.*

### Approval of Special Events Committee.

The following was presented by Supervisor Uhl:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association and Californians, Inc., expressing hearty approval of the resolution presented by Supervisor Shannon providing for the appointment by His Honor the Mayor of a Citizens Committee on Special Events calculated to attract tourist travel to San Francisco and California.

Ordered *filed.*

### Authority of Public Utilities Committee to Recommend Routing of Buses.

Supervisor Havenner requested that the Clerk ask the City Attorney for ruling on the question whether the Public Utilities Committee of the Board has a right to make a recommendation to the Public Utilities Commission concerning the establishment of bus services in the city.

*So ordered.*

### Citizens Committee on Memorial Day Observance.

Supervisor McSheehy moved, on Supervisor Colman's suggestion, that the Mayor appoint a citizens committee for the proper observance of Memorial Day.

*Motion carried.*

**Progress Report Requested on $25,000 Appropriation for Study of Subway to Bay Bridge Terminal.**

Supervisor Uhl requested from the Public Utilities Commission, progress report on the matter of $25,000 for study of subway, and to ask the Commission what steps have been taken toward re-routing surface cars to the Bay Bridge terminal.

*So ordered.*

**City Attorney Opinions Requested.**

Supervisor Brown asked that the Clerk renew previous requests for opinions from the City Attorney on:

1. Legality of prohibiting the sale of gasoline to intoxicated drivers.
2. Propriety of spending city funds on work on approach routes to the Golden Gate Bridge within the Presidio.
3. Liability of city boards and commissions, i. e., the personal liability of members, in providing protection by means of insurance on property in their custody.

*So ordered.*

**Protest Reduction of Pacific Coast Defense Budget.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the Clerk be instructed to send suitable telegram to the two members of the Senate from California, protesting against action of Senate Committee in reducing Pacific Coast defense budget.

*Motion carried.*

**Differential for Local Industries.**

Supervisor Colman moved that the City Attorney be requested to expedite his opinion so that the matter of 10 per cent preference for local industry can be referred to the proper committee and the proper action can be taken by the Board.

*Motion carried.*

**City Administrative Officer to Furnish Map Showing City Streets in Federal or State Highways.**

Supervisor Uhl requested the Chief Administrative Officer to furnish map showing streets made part of the federal highway and part of the state highways, and a progress report on what has been done thereon.

*So ordered.*

**Relief Complaints.**

Supervisor Uhl called the attention of the Board to specific relief cases which require attention. Whereupon Supervisor Brown moved that the whole subject of relief be referred to the attention of the Public Welfare Committee, with view of reporting back in time for budget hearings.

*Motion carried.*

**New Street from Bridgehead Between Fifth and Sixth Streets Across Market.**

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Communication from Civic League of Improvement Clubs, suggesting that new street from Harrison to Market, opposite Mason street, be opened, to take care of traffic from bay bridge, the cost of such street to be financed by the sale of additional revenue bonds by the California Toll Bridge Authority; suggestion also that negotiations be entered into for the acquisition of site of Mint, Fifth and Mission streets, for universal stage and interurban railway terminal.

*Referred to Joint Streets and Public Utilities Committee.*

In connection with suggestions by Civic League of Improvement Clubs, Supervisor Colman requested that the City Attorney be asked

to inform the Board as to its authority in dealing with such matters, whether such action should be initiated here or how far can we go. What is our authority with regard to expenditures?

**Divisional Highway.**

Supervisor Ratto stated that at a previous meeting he had presented a resolution for the inclusion of the Divisional Highway in the secondary system, and wanted to know what had become of it. Clerk declared it had been referred to the Streets Committee.

Supervisor Ratto declared that the Streets Committee would meet next Wednesday and wants all streets declared in the secondary system. He also wanted Colonel Skeggs before the committee, and also City Engineer Casey with list of streets to be recommended to the State Highway Commission.

*So ordered.*

**Meeting Announcements.**

Education, Parks and Recreation, March 24, 3 P. M.
Fire, Safety and Police, March 27, 10 A. M.
Streets, March 25, 2:30 P. M.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 4:10 P. M., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, March 30, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
DOCUMENTS DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 13

Monday, March 30, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MARCH 30, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 30, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 23, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

**Rezoning of Northerly Side of Pine Street 76 Feet 6 Inches Westerly from Stockton Street.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1380, dated February 5, 1936, granting application to re-zone property located at the northerly side of Pine street, commencing at a point 76 feet 6 inches westerly from Stockton street and running thence westerly 41 feet 8 inches from Second Residential District to Commercial District, for a period of ninety (90) days time, after which time the premises shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Robt. E. Burns, 333 Kearny street, representing protestants and appellants, was heard in opposition to the ruling of the City Planning Commission.

Matthew Dooley, Hobart Bldg., representing Louis M. Norton, owner of property zoned, was heard in favor of the rezoning.

Chas. Hook, 737 Pine street, largest property owner in vicinity, was in favor of the rezoning.

Louis M. Norton, owner of property to be rezoned, agreed that property would not be leased to a chain store.

Milton Meyer, President of City Planning Commission, was heard in explanation of reasons for rezoning property.

**City Planning Commission Sustained.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

**Rezoning of Northerly Side of Pine Street 76 Feet 6 Inches Westerly From Stockton Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2498, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1380, dated February 5, 1936, in granting application to rezone property located on the northerly line of Pine street, commencing at a point 76 feet 6 inches westerly from Stockton street and running thence westerly 41 feet 8 inches, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, for a period of ninety (90) days, after which time the premises shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

## HEARING OF APPEAL—3 P. M.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2 *P. M.*:

**Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Alemany Boulevard and Ocean Avenue.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1382, dated February 10, 1936, denying application to re-zone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Alemany boulevard and Ocean avenue.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following bills heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing the City Attorney to Compromise the Claim of Lillian Kingston, for the Sum of $375.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 945, Ordinance No. 6.022219, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Lillian Kingston for injuries sustained by defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, entitled "*Lillian Kingston v. City and County of San Francisco, etc., et al.*" be settled and compromised by the payment of three hundred seventy-five ($375) dollars to the plaintiff in said action, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which he has by reason thereof; and said City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of said Lillian Kingston by the payment of three hundred seventy-five ($375) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $5,000 for Foodstuffs—County Jails.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 946, Ordinance No. 9.051240, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 to the credit

of Appropriation No. 507.334.00, Foodstuffs, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in the revenue to be received from the Federal Government for the board of United States prisoners in the County Jail.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 507.334.00, Foodstuffs, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in the revenue to be received from the Federal Government for the board of United States prisoners in the County Jail.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Amending Section 4 of Salary Ordinance—Supervisors.
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 947, Ordinance No. 9.05378, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 4 of the Annual Salary Ordinance (No. 9.05367) by adding Item 6½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 11 | | Supervisors .....$ | 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B88 | Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors ..... | 350 |
| 3 | 1 | B90 | Clerk of the Board of Supervisors..... | 500 |
| 4 | 1 | B174 | Bond and Ordinance Clerk..... | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk (one month)..... | 350 |
| 6½ | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 7 | 4 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographers ..... | 200 |
| 8 | 1 | B416 | Finance Committee Stenographer..... | 325 |
| 9 | 1 | D4 | Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors | 200 |
| 10 | 2 | O4 | Special Chauffeur ..... | 250 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between The Embarcadero and Kearny Street.
(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 941, Ordinance No. 12.073166, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section seven hundred and fifteen (715) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4, 1936, by amending Section seven hundred and fifteen (715) thereof to read as follows:

Section 715. The width of sidewalks on Bay street, the northerly side of, between The Embarcadero and Kearny street shall be 8 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Bay street, the southerly side of, between The Embarcadero and Kearny street shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Kearny and Hyde Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 942, Ordinance No. 12.073167, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-four (1154).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-four (1154) to read as follows:

Section 1154. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Kearny street and Hyde street shall be 8 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Larkin Street and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 943, Ordinance No. 12.073168, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-five (1155).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-five (1155) to read as follows:

Section 1155. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue shall be 8 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Fillmore Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 944, Ordinance No. 12.073169, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-six (1156).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 4th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and fifty-six (1156) to read as follows:

Section 1156. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Van Ness avenue and Fillmore street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

### Refund Taxes, Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2490, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following named, being refunds of amounts paid as duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. Morris Plan Co., per 1936 Supplemental Roll of Unsecured Personal Property, Receipt No. 0935.....$37.96
2. John J. McCarte, per Vol. 3, Block 436F, Lot 30, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls, duplicate payment..... 11.78

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Referred.

The following bills were *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

### Supplemental Appropriation $500 for Salary Stationery Buyer.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 959, Ordinance No. 9.051248, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of five hundred dollars ($500) out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 533.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.101.00 for the purpose of creating one position of Stationery Buyer at two hundred dollars ($200) per month in the Purchasing Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of five hundred dollars ($500) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 533.101.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.101.00.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Purchasing Department one position of Stationery Buyer at a salary of two hundred dollars ($200) per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. One position of Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies at a salary of two hundred dollars ($200) per month, heretofore established in the Purchasing Department, is hereby abolished.

### Creating Position of Stationery Buyer.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 961, Ordinance No. 9.05379, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 37 of Ordinance 9.05367 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by creating one position of Stationery Buyer at $200 per month under Item 19 thereof in lieu of one position of Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies at the same salary, which position is eliminated.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B18 | Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept.....$ | 466.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 150 |
| 3 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 250 |
| 5 | 3 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator..... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator..... | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | B352 | Storekeeper ..... | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper ..... | 100 |
| 9 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 220 |
| 10 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 175 |
| 12 | 1 | B357 | Chief Storekeeper ..... | 325 |
| 13 | 1 | B358 | Assistant Stationery Buyer..... | 225 |
| 14 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper..... | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper..... | 170 |
| 16 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 300 |
| 17 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 225 |
| 19 | 1 | B360 | Stationery Buyer ..... | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | B370 | R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.... | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | B371 | Purchasing Agent—Water Service..... | 325 |
| 22 | 1 | B372 | Purchasing Agent—Other Services..... | 325 |
| 23 | 1 | B374 | Purchaser of Supplies..... | 833.33 |
| 24 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 25 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 26 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 27 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 100 |
| 29 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 175 |
| 30 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 190 |
| 31 | 2 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day ..... | |
| 32 | 1 | J12 | Foreman Laborer ..... | 195 |
| 33 | 1 | J66 | Garageman ..... | 150 |
| 34 | 2 | N302 | Inspector of General Supplies..... | 200 |

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation $5,000 for Auditorium Chairs.
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 960, Ordinance No. 9.051249, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.400.00, equipment, for the purchase of Auditorium chairs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.400.00, equipment, for the purchase of Auditorium chairs.

Approved as to form by City Attorney.
Recommended by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.
Approved by Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Controller.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southeasterly Corner of Kirkham Street and Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2493, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeasterly corner of Kirkham street and Nineteenth avenue, is hereby set for *Monday, April 6, 1936, at 3 o'clock P. M.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

(*On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing matter was made a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M.*)

**Authorizing Acceptance of Permit to Construct Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 in Streets of Burlingame.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2495, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain permit to construct and maintain Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 in, under and along portions of Barriolhet avenue and Pepper avenue in the City of Burlingame, as per resolution adopted by the City Council of Burlingame on February 3, 1936, subject to the terms and conditions contained in said permit.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Permit to Construct Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 in Streets of South San Francisco.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2494, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain permit to construct and maintain Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 in, under and along portions of certain streets and property in the City of South San Francisco, as per resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of South San Francisco on November 25, 1935, subject to the terms and conditions contained in said permit.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Stanyan Street (Formerly Henderson Avenue).**

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2491, as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of February, 1936, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor,

the Mayor, for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 19th day of February, 1936, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close a Portion of Stanyan Street (Formerly Henderson Avenue).*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2402, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Stanyan street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Anza street (formerly St. Rosas avenue), distant thereon 112.500 feet westerly from the westerly line of Beaumont avenue (formerly Johnston avenue); thence deflecting 38 degrees 13 minutes 37 seconds to the right from said line of Anza street and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) 143.209 feet to the easterly line of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue); thence southerly on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 128 degrees 13 minutes 37 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 120 feet, central angle 30 degrees 01 minute 01 second, 62.867 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 57.197 feet, central angle 59 degrees 58 minutes 59 seconds, 59.879 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Anza street produced westerly; thence easterly along said westerly production, 46.921 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Stanyan street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Stanyan street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 17, 1936.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.

Absent: Supervisor Uhl—1.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

*Approved*, San Francisco, February 19, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621 be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Holladay Avenue Between Bayshore Boulevard and Army Street.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Also, Resolution No. 2492, as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of February, 1936, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 19th day of February, 1936, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

*Intention to Close a Portion of Holladay Avenue Between Bay Shore Boulevard and Army Street*

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2401, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Holladay avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Holladay avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Army street and the easterly line of Holladay avenue, said point of beginning being distant along said line of Army street 159.651 feet westerly from the westerly line of Adam street, and running thence westerly along said line of Army street produced westerly 9.278 feet; thence deflecting 91 degrees 00 minutes 40 seconds to the left and running southerly 90.253 feet to the northeasterly line of Bay Shore boulevard produced northwesterly; thence southeasterly along said line of Bay Shore boulevard on a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 46 degrees 10 minutes 50 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 486.84 feet, central angle 1 degree 38 minutes 16 seconds, a distance of 13.915 feet to the in-

tersection of the easterly line of Holladay avenue and the northeasterly line of Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting 118 degrees 47 minutes 24 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northeasterly along the easterly line of Holladay avenue 56.541 feet; thence deflecting 30 degrees 49 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northwesterly along said line of Holladay avenue 46.72 feet to the southerly line of Army street and the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandoning shall not adversely affect any of the right, title, or interest that the Western Pacific Railroad Company has acquired as successor to the Ocean Shore Railroad Company, as per deed recorded December 30, 1921, in Book 418, page 181, official records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said closing and abandoning of said portion of Holladay avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and abandoning be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandoning of said portion of Holladay avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 17, 1936.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon.

Absent: Supervisor Uhl.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 19, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Holladay avenue described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621 be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Holladay avenue specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 2402, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

### Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Harrison Street Between Main and Fremont Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 962, Ordinance No. 12.072218, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Harrison Street between Main and Fremont streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the third day of February, 1936, by Resolution No. 2382 declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Harrison street between Main and Fremont streets;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Intention to change grades on Harrison street between Main and Fremont Streets.*

CHANGE OF GRADES.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 2382, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3408 of the Director of Public Works dated January 15, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 20, 1936, to-wit:

*Recommendation for the changing and the establishing of grades as follows:*

| *Harrison Street:* | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Main street (the same being the present official grade) | 20.00 |
| Beale street northeasterly line (for Harrison Street) | 37.39 |
| Beale street southwesterly line (for Harrison street) | 42.61 |
| Beale street intersection (for Beale street) (the same being the present official grade) | 5.00 |
| Fremont street (the same being the present official grade) | 60.00 |

On Harrison street between Main and Fremont streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 3, 1936.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl—4.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 4, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Kansas Street Between Eighteenth and Mariposa Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 963, Ordinance No. 12.072219, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Kansas street between 18th and Mariposa streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 3rd day of February, 1936, by Resolution No. 2381, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Kansas street between 18th and Mariposa streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Intention to Change Grade on Kansas Street Between 18th and Mariposa Streets*

CHANGE OF GRADES

(Code No. 12.0721)

Resolution No. 2381, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 3409 of the Director of Public Works dated January 15, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board January 20, 1936, to-wit:

*Recommendation for the changing and the establishing of grades as follows:*

| *Kansas Street:* | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Westerly line of, at Mariposa Street southerly line (for Mariposa street) (The same being the present official grade.) | 73.00 |
| 21 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mariposa street southerly line (for Kansas street) | 73.00 |
| 30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mariposa street southerly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 73.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Eighteenth street northerly line (for Eighteenth street) (The same being the present official grade.) | 144.00 |
| 21 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Eighteenth street northerly line (for Kansas street) (The same being the present official grade.) | 144.00 |
| 30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Eighteenth street northerly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 144.00 |
| Grades on the westerly line of, and points 10 feet easterly therefrom between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets be abolished. | |
| On Kansas street between the westerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet easterly therefrom, extending from the southerly line of Mariposa street to the northerly line of Eighteenth street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor. | |

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 3, 1936.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt.—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Shannon, Uhl.—4.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, February 4, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

### Requesting State Highway Commission to Provide Funds for San Francisco Street Projects.

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 2496, as follows:

Resolved, That the Highway Commission of the State of California be and is hereby respectfully requested to include in its budget for the 1937-1939 biennium, or from any Federal funds which may be available, the disbursement or apportionment of which is under the direction of the State of California or any of its agencies, the following projects:

*Route 2—Primary:*

Project No. 1—Lombard street widening, Van Ness avenue to Broderick street.

*Route 56—Secondary:*

Project No. 2—Funston avenue—approach in the Presidio.
Project No. 3—Presidio parkway (Lake street to Fulton street).
Project No. 4—Nineteenth avenue widening—Irving street to Taraval street.
Joint Highway Districts Numbers Nine (9) and Ten (10).

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Inclusion of Divisional Highway in Secondary State Highway System.

(Code No. 5.31)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2497, as follows:

Whereas, that certain north and south central route known as the "Divisional Highway," within the City and County of San Francisco (per attached description), is carrying a constantly increasing volume of traffic originating not only within San Francisco, but from outside points as well; and

Whereas, the divisional highway will carry an even greater volume of traffic commencing when the Golden Gate bridge is completed in May, 1937, particularly traffic originating from other parts of the Pacific Coast and the nation, by virtue of the fact that the divisional highway will be a direct connection between the Golden Gate Bridge and peninsular highways; and

Whereas, the divisional highway will therefore become an important sector in the Pacific Coast system of highways between Canada and Mexico; and

Whereas, this increment of traffic from out of city and out of state points qualifies the divisional highway for inclusion in the State highway system; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco do hereby respectfully request the State Director of Public Works and the California Highway Commission to recommend to the 1937 Legislature that the divisional highway be inducted into the secondary State highway system; and be it

Further Resolved, That legislative representatives from the City and County of San Francisco be requested to introduce and sponsor necessary legislation calling for said induction; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Streets Committee of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors be and the same is hereby authorized and requested to appear before the California Highway Commission and Director of Public Works in support of the above recommendation; and be it

Further Resolved, That certified copies of this resolution be transmitted to the following: the State Director of Public Works and Engineers; the Chairman and members of the Highway Commission; State Senators and State Assemblymen representing San Francisco in the Legislature; to all civic organizations in San Francisco; to others whom the Streets Committee may desire to send copies.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Narrowing Sidewalks in Alleys in Excess of Twenty-four Feet.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2499, as follows:

Whereas, additional parking space is a crying necessity; and

Whereas, additional parking space can be made available by narrowing many of the alley sidewalks to three feet in alleys having in excess of 24 feet between the property lines of said alleys; and

Whereas, funds might be made available from WPA for such a project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said alley sidewalks above set forth be narrowed to three feet provided WPA funds can be secured to pay for said work; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer be respectfully requested to take the necessary steps to secure WPA funds for the foregoing project.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**U. S. Conference of Mayors in San Francisco.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, stating that his invitation to the United States Conference of Mayors to hold its western regional meeting in San Francisco on April 21 and 22, 1936, has been accepted; that the Honorable F. H. La Guardia, Mayor of New York and President of the Conference, will preside; in addition to the members of the Board of Trustees who will be present, invitations will go to the mayors and city managers of municipalities of eleven western states having a population of 2500, and suggestion that the proper place to hold the conference will be the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, which he desires to be made available.

The Board confirmed the action of the President in allowing the use of the chambers of the Board by the Conference of Mayors during their session, April 21 and 22.

**Proposed Thoroughfares to Connect Fifth Street Ramp of the Transbay Bridge With Union Square.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting report from City Engineer John J. Casey as to the cost of certain proposed thoroughfares connecting the ramp of the transbay bridge at Fifth and Harrison streets with Union Square; and stating that the information is conjectural and that the City Engineer has made no definite recommendations on any of the projects enumerated.

**Bridge Approach Connection With Union Square—Special Order.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the report of the City Engineer on costs of thoroughfares to connect bridge approach with Union Square be made a Special Order of Business, April 6, 1936, at 3:30 P. M., in Committee of the Whole, and that the attendance of all interested parties, particularly the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer, be requested.

Motion *carried.*

**Underpasses on Market Street.**

Supervisor Uhl requested from City Engineer estimate of cost of building underpasses for street railways in Market street at Third, Fourth and Fifth street intersections.

**Telegram From Senator Johnson.**

Telegram from Senator Hiram W. Johnson advising that he will do all he can in behalf of appropriations for adequate Pacific Coast de-

fenses, and that he thinks the matter will be taken care of in conference.

Ordered *filed.*

### Leave of Absence Revoked, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, advising that his anticipated trip to Washington will not be made at this time, that the resolution granting leave be revoked, and a new request will be made at a later date.

Ordered *filed.*

### Investigation of Police Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Matthew Brady, District Attorney, in reply to communications addressed to him by the Finance Committee, in which he states it will not be possible to know when the investigation of the Police Department will be terminated, that it would be inadvisable, morever, to close at this time the progress of the investigation, and that he is unable to say whether the entire fund of $25,000 will be required.

Ordered *filed.*

### Default in Payment of Sunset Tunnel Assessment.

Communication from E. D. Lederman, Sterling Realty Company, transmitting copy of letter from Tax Collector advising him that parcels of his property have been sold for default in payment of the Sunset Tunnel assessment, and will be forfeited, he said, if not redeemed by April 9, and respectfully requesting that this time be extended until October 9, 1936.

*Referred to City Engineer for Report.*

### Request Estimate of Cost of Central Overheaed Traffic Signals.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Chief Administrative Officer inform the Board on cost of central overhead intersection traffic signals, such as are in use in San Mateo.

*So ordered.*

### Citizen Committee to Conduct Suitable Demonstration of Disaster Relief.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to make arrangements for and conduct suitable demonstration of disaster relief measures, April 18, 1936.

Motion *carried.*

### Differential in Favor of Local Industry.

Supervisor Colman renewed his request of March 23 that the City Attorney be requested to expedite his opinion so that the matter of 10 per cent preference for local industry can be referred to the proper committee and that proper action can be taken by the Board.

### Mayor to Appoint a Citizens Committee on Observance of Mothers' Day.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens Committee to conduct the annual observance of Mothers' Day in Memorial Grove, Golden Gate Park.

Motion *carried.*

### Appraisal of Property for Extension of Tehama Street to Sixth Street.

Supervisor Ratto requested that appraisal be made of property necessary to open Tehama street to Sixth street.

### Mayor to Appoint a Citizens Committee to Celebrate Opening of Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a suitable Citizens Committee to provide for the proper observance of the opening of the Golden Gate Bridge.

Motion *carried.*

### Supervisors' Car for Yorke Memorial.

Supervisor Shannon announced that he had received a request for the use of the Supervisors' cars for Father Yorke's Memorial Sunday, April 5, the two cars to be at St. Peter's Church at 11:30 a. m.

*So ordered.*

### Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl renewed his request for a report on defective sidewalks.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the report on the unified operation of street railroads, as previously requested by Supervisor Havenner, be pushed along.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk contact Mr. Cahill regarding progressive report on the rapid transit situation.

*So ordered.*

### McLaren Park Purchases.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Director of Property be requested to report to the Board of Supervisors the status of the McLaren Park purchases—what lands are to be acquired and how much money is necessary to pay present owners for land to be used for McLaren Park.

*So ordered.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 4:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 6, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 14

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, April 6, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, APRIL 6, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 6, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 30, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

**Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Alemany Boulevard and Ocean Avenue.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1382, dated February 10, 1936, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Alemany boulevard and Ocean avenue.

**Withdrawn.**

At the request of Melville Cronin, attorney for appellant, and on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing matter was permitted to be *withdrawn.*

### HEARING OF APPEAL—3 P. M.

**Rezoning of Southeasterly Corner of Kirkham Street and Nineteenth Avenue.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1392, dated February 20, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeasterly corner of Kirkham street and Nineteenth avenue.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Elliot M. Epstein, representing the appellants, was heard in opposition to the City Planning Commission's recommendation stating that it was the intention of his client to put an oil station on the property if the Commission was overruled.

The City Planning Commission was also heard in support of the action.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2 P. M.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Consideration of communication from Chief Administrative Officer Cleary and City Engineer Casey relative to proposed subways to connect Fifth street ramp approach of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge with Union Square, made *Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *P. M. this day* on motion of Supervisor Shannon.

### Communications.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting report of City Engineer as to cost of certain proposed thoroughfares to connect the Fifth Street Ramp Approach of the Trans-Bay Bridge with Union Square, affording access to the Triangle District.

Also, Supervisor Colman presented communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, transmitting approved report of the Board of Directors of its bridge and highway committee relating to the Plan "X" Terminal and the retail district, stating that they are of the opinion that unless further means are developed for the handling of automotive, street car and pedestrian traffic there will result upon the opening of the bridge serious congestion effecting great loss to the merchants and property owners in the retail district; and requesting that the Mayor appoint a citizens' transit commission empowered to employ competent assistance and sufficient funds to make a thorough survey of conditions.

Communication from Down Town Association transmitting copy of its resolution urging the appointment of a committee or commission for study of problems which will arise from the completion of the two bridges.

Communication from the City Planning Commission stating that as a result of its study and investigation of the anticipated traffic upon the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, both vehicular and foot, that it is the opinion of the commission that more careful attention and thought should be given to the matter of handling the foot passenger, and his rights.

### Privilege of the Floor.

His Honor Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, Don V. Nicholson, Secretary of the Triangle District; Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association; M. Harrison, representing the South of Market District; James McCabe, representing Northern Hotel Association, of which he is president, and all other hotels north of Market; Marshall Hale, representing Hale Brothers; William Worden, Director of Public Works; City Engineer John J. Casey, and Paul Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer, were heard at length on the foregoing matters.

Whereupon Supervisor Shannon presented the following resolution, which was read by the Clerk:

### Streets, Public Utilities and Finance Committees Instrucuted to Devise Ways and Means to Meet Traffic Emergency Problem With the Opening of the Bay Bridge.

(Code No. 11.02)

Resolution 2504, as follows:

Whereas, the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge with-

in a period of seven months will overnight concentrate vehicular traffic from the East Bay into the district South of Market street, particularly at the Fifth and Harrison street terminal; and

Whereas, the major portion of this great stream of traffic now flows into the district North of Market street via The Embarcadero underpass, across Market street from the Southern Pacific automobile ferries at the foot of Mission street and from the terminal of the Golden Gate automobile ferry at the foot of Hyde street; and

Whereas, it is conservatively estimated that the bridge will increase the present number of vehicles crossing San Francisco Bay by twenty-five per cent although records of increased traffic produced as the result of construction of comparable structures have never fallen below 33 1/3 per cent; and

Whereas, completion of the Bay Shore high-speed highway within a few weeks into San Jose will further increase serious existing traffic congestion on north and south streets, particularly streets dead ending at Market street; and

Whereas, no adequate provision has been made to meet this emergency situation by the construction of a new street or the widening and extension of an existing street across Market street from the Fifth and Harrison street bridge terminal; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Streets, Public Utilities and Finance Committees be instructed to jointly and immediately take cognizance of the existing traffic emergency, vitally affecting the business life of the City, and devise ways and means to meet the problem with the opening of the Bay bridge.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Joint Committee on Streets, Public Utilities, and Finance; meeting Wednesday, April* 8, 1936, *at* 2:30 *P. M., and ordered placed on the calendar as a special order of business for Monday, April* 13, 1936, *at* 3:30 *P. M.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $450 out of the Surplus Existing in the General Fund by Virtue of Unused Funds in Appropriation No. 533.229.01 (Board of Supervisors—Ordinances) to the Credit of Appropriation No. 501.101.00 for the Purpose of Employing a General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per Month in the Office of the Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 950, Ordinance No. 9.051243, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $450 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of unused funds in Appropriation No. 533.229.01 (Board of Supervisors—Ordinances) to the credit of Appropriation No. 501.101.00 for the purpose of employing a General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of $450 is hereby authorized and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of unused funds in Appropriation No. 533.229.01 (Board of Supervisors—Ordinances) to the credit of Appropriation No. 501.101.00 for

the purpose of employing a General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the office of the Board of Supervisors one position of General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 per month.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—10.
No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

**Appropriating $73,518 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County—Month of April, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 951, Ordinance No. 9.051244, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $73,518 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $73,518 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of April, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $13,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the County Road Fund to the Credit of Appropriation No. 548.974.00 for Dearborn Street Land Acquisition and Street Construction.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 953, Ordinance No. 9.051246, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $13,000 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.974.00 for Dearborn street land acquisition and street construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $13,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.974.00 for Dearborn street land acquisition and street construction.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $3,000, Salaries, Additional Janitorial Service, Auditorium.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 954, Ordinance No. 9.051247, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $3,000 out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium, to the credit of Appro-

priation No. 535.102.00, Temporary Salaries, for the additional janitorial service required to take care of increased business.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium, to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.102.00, Temporary Salaries, for additional janitorial service required to take care of increased business.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Payment of Claim of Transbay Construction Company for Damage to "Freethy."**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Bill No. 955, Ordinance No. 6.052, as follows:

Payment of claim of Transbay Construction Company for damage to "Freethy."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The fireboat Dennis T. Sullivan, on April 5, 1935, in breasting alongside the barge "Freethy" at Pier 24 in the City and County of San Francisco, caught under the prow of the barge and tore off the side and prow fender strips and did other damage, causing an expenditure in the amount of $125 to be paid for the repair of the "Freethy." The Board of Fire Commissioners, on April 22, 1935, after investigation, admitted liability for the damage, and the City Attorney has concurred in the amount above stated for settlement of this claim.

Section 2. It is hereby authorized that the sum of $125 be paid to the Transbay Construction Company, owner of the barge "Freethy," for damages done to said barge by the fire boat Dennis T. Sullivan, and that the City Attorney obtain from said Transbay Construction Company releases in full for all liability arising out of said accident.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain City Owned Land.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 956, Ordinance No. 12.172527, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain city owned land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Commission:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond Street, distant southerly 300.16 feet measured along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth Street; said point of commencement being also the southwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht, et ux., dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657, Official Records, at page 79, running thence from said point of commencement along the southerly boundary line of said portion of

land excepted easterly 11.68 feet to a point on said boundary line which is also distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from a southwesterly extension of the southeasterly line of the hereinabove mentioned land described as an exception; thence parallel to and distant 13.77 feet measured northwesterly at right angles from said extension southwesterly 15.56 feet to the easterly line of Diamond Street; thence along said easterly line northerly 10.27 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

### Supplemental Appropriation $500 for Salary Stationery Buyer.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 959, Ordinance No. 9.051248, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of five hundred dollars ($500) out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 533.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.101.00 for the purpose of creating one position of Stationery Buyer at two hundred dollars ($200) per month in the Purchasing Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of five hundred dollars ($500) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 533.101.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.101.00.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Purchasing Department one position of Stationery Buyer at a salary of two hundred dollars ($200) per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. One position of Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies at a salary of two hundred dollars ($200) per month, heretofore established in the Purchasing Department, is hereby abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

### Creating Position of Stationery Buyer.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 961, Ordinance No. 9.05379, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 37 of Ordinance 9.05367 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by creating one position of Stationery Buyer at $200 per month under Item 19 thereof in lieu of one position of Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies at the same salary, which position is eliminated.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B18 | Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept.....$ | 466.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 150 |
| 3 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 250 |
| 5 | 3 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator..... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator..... | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | B352 | Storekeeper ..... | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper ..... | 100 |
| 9 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 220 |
| 10 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 175 |
| 12 | 1 | B357 | Chief Storekeeper ..... | 325 |
| 13 | 1 | B358 | Assistant Stationery Buyer..... | 225 |
| 14 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper..... | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper..... | 170 |
| 16 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 300 |
| 17 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 225 |
| 19 | 1 | B360 | Stationery Buyer ..... | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | B370 | R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.... | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | B371 | Purchasing Agent—Water Service..... | 325 |
| 22 | 1 | B372 | Purchasing Agent—Other Services..... | 325 |
| 23 | 1 | B374 | Purchaser of Supplies..... | 833.33 |
| 24 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 25 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 26 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 27 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 100 |
| 29 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 175 |
| 30 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 190 |
| 31 | 2 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day..... | |
| 32 | 1 | J12 | Foreman Laborer ..... | 195 |
| 33 | 1 | J66 | Garageman ..... | 150 |
| 34 | 2 | N302 | Inspector of General Supplies..... | 200 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Requesting Tax Collector to Postpone Deeding of Property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District.**

(Code No. 12.0332)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2500, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Tax Collector to postpone the deeding of property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District scheduled and noticed for the 9th day of April, 1936, to and until the 6th day of October, 1936, and thereupon to deed the several parcels of property upon which the said tunnel tax has not been paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $89,600 for Bridge, Harrison Street at Beale.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 941, Ordinance No. 9.051250, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $89,600 out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, as set forth in the Controller's communication of April 1, 1936, to the credit of Appropriation Number 577.015.00 for the purpose of constructing a bridge in Harrison street over Beale street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $89,600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, as set forth in the Controller's communication of April 1, 1936, to the credit of Appropriation Number 577.015.00 for the purpose of constructing a bridge in Harrison street over Beale street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Sale of Strip of Land in Sloat Boulevard West of Thirty-ninth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 964, Ordinance No. 12.17214, as follows:

Authorizing sale of strip of land in Sloat boulevard west of Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard with the easterly line of Thirty-ninth avenue; thence easterly and along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 26.194 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50.0 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning; which said point of beginning is also the point of curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 1482.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence westerly and northwesterly along said curve a distance of 982.376 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northwesterly and tangent to last mentioned curve a distance of 150 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southwesterly 35 feet to the southwesterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southeasterly 150 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 1517.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence southeasterly and easterly along said curve a distance of 1005.569 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northerly at right angles to the north-

erly line of Sloat boulevard 35 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and point of beginning. Excepting the portions thereof owned by Gough Street Railroad Company.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m. next Monday*:

**Requesting State Highway Commission to Provide Funds for San Francisco Street Projects.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 2496, as follows:

Resolved, That the Highway Commission of the State of California be and is hereby respectfully requested to include in its budget for the 1937-1939 biennium, or from any federal funds which may be available, the disbursement or apportionment of which is under the direction of the State of California or any of its agencies, the following projects:

*Route* 2—*Primary*:

Project No. 1—Lombard street widening, Van Ness avenue to Broderick street.

*Route* 56—*Secondary*:

Project No. 2—Funston avenue—approach in the Presidio.

Project No. 3—Presidio parkway (Lake street to Fulton street).

Project No. 4—Nineteenth avenue widening—Irving street to Taraval street.

Joint Highway Districts Numbers Nine (9) and Ten (10).

(*Clerk was directed to notify Col. Skeggs and City Engineer Casey to attend.*)

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Congress to Issue Silver Coins Commemorating Completion of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2501, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be completed and opened for traffic during the present year; and

Whereas, its completion marks the consummation of one of the greatest engineering feats ever undertaken, and is of nation-wide importance and should be commemorated by appropriate action by the Congress of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled, does hereby petition the Congress of the United States to adopt the necessary legislation authorizing the proper department of the government of the United States to coin and issue silver coins bearing an imprint and inscription which will appropriately commemorate the completion of said San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That Honorable Hiram W. Johnson, United States Senator from California, be and he is hereby respectfully requested to prepare and introduce the legislation necessary to accomplish the purposes of this resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Recess—Good Friday.

(Code No. 4.054)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2502, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to declare a recess on Good Friday, April 10, 1936, between the hours of 12 noon and 3 o'clock p. m., to permit employees of the City who desire to participate in religious exercises that day to do so between the hours mentioned.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Committee to Represent San Francisco at Salinas Rodeo.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2503, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors to make necessary arrangements for San Francisco's participation in and to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Califoria Rodeo, to be held at Salinas, ————————.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Care of Tubercular Children at Hassler Health Farm.

Supervisor Havenner presented communication from Ray W. Smith, Community Chest of San Francisco, advising that the General Executive Committee of the Community Chest unanimously approve recommendations with regard to the use of a ward at the Hassler Health Farm for the convalescent care of tubercular children.

*Referred to Public Health Committee.*

### Status of Rapid Transit Study.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, in reply to request of Supervisor Uhl for report on status of rapid transit study, stating that the document is not yet complete, and will not be for some little time on account of the diversion of his efforts to studies of various types of power distribution plans.

Ordered *filed.*

### Maps Showing Federal and State Roads in San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from William H. Worden, Director of Public Works, advising that request of Supervisor Uhl for a map showing streets or roads which are so-called Federal Roads and State Roads, stating that request for same has been made of City Engineer.

Ordered *filed.*

### Report on Unified Operation of Street Railways.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, advising, in reply to query of Supervisor Uhl, that nothing has been done on report on unified operation of street railways for the reason that it will take a great deal of time and money to make such report, and estimating $25,000 as a minimum.

Ordered *filed.*

### Recommending Acceptance of Offer of Lease of Building, 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Real Estate Department recommending acceptance of offer of A. P. Jacobs to lease building at 333 Kearny Street for the use of the District Attorney and the Adult Probation Department.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee, and copies ordered sent to Supervisors Colman and Shannon.*

### Appeal of One-Man Car Decision.

Supervisor McSheehy declared his intention to introduce resolution requesting this Board to set aside sufficient funds to allow the City Attorney to appeal the one-man car decision that has been decided against the city after a three-to-one vote by the people.

### Money for Traffic Studies.

Supervisor Roncovieri said he would ask the Controller to inform the Board if and where he can find $10,000 (for studies of traffic problems incident to opening of Bay Bridge).

### Meetings Announced.

Public Buildings, Thursday, April 9, 10:30 A. M.

Finance Committee, to consider junk dealers, Thursday, 3 P. M.

Joint Streets, Public Utilities and Finance, Wednesday, 2:30 P. M.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 P. M. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 13, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 15

Monday, April 13, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, APRIL 13, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 13, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor Colman excused on account of illness.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 6, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Rezoning of Southeasterly Corner of Kirkham Street and Nineteenth Avenue.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1392, dated February 20, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeasterly corner of Kirkham street and Nineteenth avenue.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Elliot M. Epstein, attorney for appellant M. Piombo, was heard urging that the appeal be sustained.

Mrs. A. W. Stokes, member of the City Planning Commission, was heard on behalf of the commission's decision.

**City Planning Commission Overruled.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Rezoning of Southeasterly Corner of Kirkham Street and Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2510, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1392 dated February 20, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the southeasterly corner of Kirkham

street and Nineteenth avenue, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

### Requesting State Highway Commission to Provide Funds for San Francisco Street Projects.

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 2496, as follows:

Resolved, That the Highway Commission of the State of California be and is hereby respectfully requested to include in its budget for the 1937-1939 biennium, or from any federal funds which may be available, the disbursement or apportionment of which is under the direction of the State of California or any of its agencies, the following projects:

*Route 2—Primary*:

Project No. 1—Lombard street widening, Van Ness avenue to Broderick street.

*Route 56—Secondary*:

Project No. 2—Funston avenue—approach in the Presidio.
Project No. 3—Presidio parkway (Lake street to Fulton street).
Project No. 4—Nineteenth avenue widening—Irving street to Taraval street.

Joint Highway Districts Numbers Nine (9) and Ten (10).

### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved Project No. 1 be amended to read: Lombard street widening, Van Ness avenue to Lyon street.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor Brown ruled that Project No. 1 be amended to read as follows:

Project No. 1: Lombard street widening, Van Ness avenue to Broderick street, and to a point 100 feet west of Broderick street, included in the plans for State Highway Route No. 2.

Amendment *carried* without objection.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

### Relief for Expected Concentration of Traffic at Fifth and Harrison Streets Terminal

(Code No. 11.02)

(Introduced by Supervisor Warren Shannon.)

Resolution No. 2504, as follows:

Whereas, the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge within a period of seven months will overnight concentrate vehicular

traffic from the East Bay into the district south of Market street, particularly at the Fifth and Harrison streets terminal; and

Whereas, the major portion of this great stream of traffic now flows into the district north of Market street via The Embarcadero underpass, across Market street from the Southern Pacific automobile ferries at the foot of Mission street and from the terminal of the Golden Gate automobile ferry at the foot of Hyde street; and

Whereas, it is conservatively estimated that the bridge will increase the present number of vehicles crossing San Francisco Bay by 25 per cent, although records of increased traffic produced as the result of construction of comparable structures have never fallen below 33 1/3 per cent; and

Whereas, completion of the Bay Shore high-speed highway within a few weeks into San Jose will further increase serious existing traffic congestion on north and south streets, particularly streets dead-ending at Market street; and

Whereas, no adequate provision has been made to meet this emergency situation by the construction of a new street or the widening and extension of an existing street across Market street from the Fifth and Harrison streets bridge terminal; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Streets, Public Utilities and Finance Committees be instructed to jointly and immediately take cognizance of the existing traffic emergency, vitally affecting the business life of the City, and devise ways and means to meet the problem with the opening of the bay bridge.

**Report of Joint Committee.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

The Joint Committee on Streets, Finance and Public Utilities met in Room 228, City Hall, Wednesday, April 8, 1936, to consider Supervisor Shannon's resolution relative to traffic problem in connection with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Present—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon, Mead, Meyer, McSheehy, Roncovieri.

At the close of the discussion, Supervisor Mead moved that the committee recommend an appropriation of $10,000 for a traffic survey and study in the district from Third to Sixth streets. Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the committee report to the Board that it is the committee's recommendation that when funds are available, the sum of $10,000 will be set aside and appropriated to the credit of the Director of Public Works for the purpose of conducting a traffic survey and study to show the effect which the completion of the San Francisco Bay Bridge will have, particularly upon the so-called triangle district and that the information gained from such survey and study shall be furnished to the Board in three progressive reports, as follows:

1. Upon completion of a survey of the district from Third to Sixth street, south of Market street.

2. Upon completion of a survey of the district from Third street to the Embarcadero, south of Market street.

3. A complete report, from the Embarcadero to Eleventh street.

Supervisor Mead accepted the foregoing amendment and the motion was unanimously adopted.

JOHN M. RATTO,
Chairman, Joint Committee.

**Referred.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the report be received as progressive and that the resolution be referred to the Finance Committee for conference with the Controller looking to an appropriation for making a study of the problem.

*So ordered.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following bills heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Supplemental Appropriation $5,000 for Auditorium Chairs. (Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 960, Ordinance No. 9.051249, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.400.00, equipment, for the purchase of Auditorium chairs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the revenue of the Auditorium to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.400.00, equipment, for the purchase of Auditorium chairs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

### Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Harrison Street Between Main and Fremont Streets. (Code No. 12.0722)

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Bill No. 962, Ordinance No. 12.072218, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Harrison Street between Main and Fremont streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the third day of February, 1936, by Resolution No. 2382 declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Harrison street between Main and Fremont streets;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

| *Harrison Street:* | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Main street (the same being the present official grade) | 20.00 |
| Beale street northeasterly line (for Harrison Street) | 37.39 |
| Beale street southwesterly line (for Harrison street) | 42.61 |
| Beale street intersection (for Beale street) (the same being the present official grade) | 5.00 |
| Fremont street (the same being the present official grade) | 60.00 |

On Harrison street between Main and Fremont streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to lay over two weeks and have blueprints submitted showing effect of proposed change.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Col. Jno. H. Skeggs, Chief Engineer, State Department of Public Works, was heard at length. He said in part "that it is absolutely imperative to go to contract on this work as soon as possible. Plans," he said, "have already been checked in my office and approved and only await approval of this Board of Supervisors."

City Engineer Jno. J. Casey and Director of Public Works Wm. H. Worden were also heard opposing any delay.

### Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion to postpone and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—5.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.
Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Motion for Reconsideration.

Supervisor Uhl, before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, changed his vote from No to Aye and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor Brown moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Noes—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Whereupon, the question was put, "Shall the vote be reconsidered whereby the foregoing ordinance was finally passed, and the same was *refused* by following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Final Passage.

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Kansas Street Between Eighteenth and Mariposa Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 963, Ordinance No. 12.072219, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Kansas street between 18th and Mariposa streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 3rd day of February, 1936, by Resolution No. 2381, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Kansas street between 18th and Mariposa streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

| *Kansas Street:* | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Westerly line of, at Mariposa Street southerly line (for Mariposa street) (The same being the present official grade.) | 73.00 |
| 21 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mariposa street southerly line (for Kansas street) | 73.00 |
| 30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mariposa street southerly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 73.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Eighteenth street northerly line (for Eighteenth street) (The same being the present official grade.) | 144.00 |
| 21 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Eighteenth street northerly line (for Kansas street) (The same being the present official grade.) | 144.00 |
| 30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Eighteenth street northerly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 144.00 |

Grades on the westerly line of, and points 10 feet easterly therefrom between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets be abolished.

On Kansas street between the westerly line of and a line parallel with and 30 feet easterly therefrom, extending from the southerly line of Mariposa street to the northerly line of Eighteenth street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Refunds of Taxes—Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.**
(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2507, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be, and the same are, hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. Jeanne McNear Pope, per Vol. 3, Page 47, Line 11 of the 1935 Supplemental Roll of Unsecured Personal Property..$ 10.01
2. Pacific Truck & Auto Repair Company, per Vol. 1, Page 107, Line 21, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.... 19.32
3. Pacific Gas & Electric Co., per Vol. 1, Page 275, Line 15, 1935 Supplemental Unsecured Personal Property Roll.... 205.54

4. Howard A. Nauman, per 1936 Supplemental Roll Unsecured Personal Property, Receipt No. 6012..... 8.45
5. Enrico Mascero, per Vol. 3, Page 178, Line 3, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 1.25
6. Henry Lehmberg, per Vol. 18, Page 160, Lot 27, Block 2393, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls..... 2.76
7. A. Elmquist, per 1936 Supplemental Roll, Receipt 95715.. 5.01
8. Juilio Caselli, per Vol. 1, Page 55, Line 7, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 6.76
9. American Trust Company, per Vol. 4, Bill 1775, Lot 8, Block 633, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 250.99
10. Margaret S. Reith, per Vol. 11, Bill 1992, Lot 10, Block 1582, Second Installment, 1933 Real Estate Roll..... 40.02
11. Fred Hanson, per Vol. 16, Bill 52, Lot 35, Block 2087, First Installment, 1934 Real Estate Rolls..... 27.24
12. Anglo Calif. Securities Co., per Vol. 16, Bill 2793, Lot 21/22, Block 2081, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 7.36
13. Albert M. Getz, per Vol. 16, Bills Nos. 22 and 23, Lots 5 and 6, Block 2087, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls.. 9.20
14. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 22, Bill No. 2885, Lot 33, Block 3281, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls ..... 37.18
15. Bank of America N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 21, Bill 2892, Block 3106, Lot 9, First Installment, $65.54; per Vol. 2, Bill 122, Lot 22, Block 164, First Installment, $39.58; per Vol. 9, Bill 2042, Lot 33/34, Block 1408, First Installment, $72.72; per Vol. 13, Bill 1337, Lot 27, Block 1733, First Installment, $36.82; per Vol. 13, Bill 1744, Lot 27, Block 1743, First Installment, $61.86; all of 1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 276.52

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Appropriation $1,680, Expenses of Four Central Cooling Station and Skimming Plant Inspectors for Four Months.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 966, Ordinance No. 9.051251, as follows:

Appropriating $1,680 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the expenses of four Central Cooling Station and Skimming Plant Inspectors in the Department of Public Health, during the period of four months ending June 30, 1936, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,680 be, and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the payment of expenses of four Central Cooling Station and Skimming Plant Inspectors at $120 per month each in the Department of Public Health, for a period of four months ending June 30, 1936; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned, and said sum of $1,680 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from monies deposited in the General Fund by the owners and/or operators of cooling stations and skimming plants paying for the expense under the provisions of the milk ordinance.

Section 2. The ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which neces-

sitates this ordinance becoming effective at once to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved: J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.
Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Appropriation $1,750, Salaries of Four Additional Restaurant and Food Inspectors, Department of Health, for Two and One-half Months.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 967, Ordinance No. 9.051252, as follows:

Appropriating $1,750 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the salaries of four additional Restaurant and Food Inspectors in the Department of Public Health during the period of two and one-half months ending June 30, 1936, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,750 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the payment of salaries of four additional Restaurant and Food Inspectors at $175 per month each in the Department of Public Health for a period of two and one-half months ending June 30, 1936; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and said sum of $1,750 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from the monies deposited in the General Fund by the owners and/or operators of the cooling stations and skimming plants paying for the inspection service under the provisions of the milk ordinance.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved: J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.
Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $89,600 for Bridge, Harrison Street at Beale.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 941, Ordinance No. 9.051250, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $89,600 out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, as set forth in the Controller's communication of April 1, 1936, to the credit of Appropriation No. 577.015.00 for the purpose of constructing a bridge in Harrison street over Beale street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $89,600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, as set forth in the Controller's com-

munication of April 1, 1936, to the credit of Appropriation No. 577.015.00 for the purpose of constructing a bridge in Harrison street over Beale street.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to postpone action for two weeks.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying: "I am not opposing the erection of the bridge, but feel that an investigation should be made of the financial condition of Oakland and San Francisco.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southwesterly and Southeasterly Corners of Goleta Avenue and Crestlake Drive.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2506, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from First Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly and southeasterly corners of Goleta avenue and Crestlake drive, is hereby set for *Monday, April* 20, 1936, *at* 2 *P. M.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Creating Underground District No. 77, Bay Street Between Columbus Avenue and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 957, Ordinance No. 11.1213, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of

San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section KKK.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as section JJJ.

Section JJJ. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after August 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 77, Bay Street between Columbus Avenue and Van Ness Avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Acceptance of Easement For Harrison Street Bridge Piers.**

(Code No. 12.112)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2509, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated March 19, 1936, from Southern Pacific Company, covering easements for piers of the Harrison Street Bridge in San Francisco, California.

Approved by the Director of property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Designating New Diagonal Street in the Marina "Richardson Avenue."**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 2505, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain new diagonal street connecting Lombard Street at Broderick Street, to Lyon Street at Bay Street, be and is hereby named "Richardson Avenue."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Changing Grade on Palo Alto Avenue Between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a Point 1197.65 Feet Westerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 969, Ordinance No. 12.072220, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Palo Alto Avenue between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a point 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of February, 1936, by Resolution No. 2403, declare its intention to change and re-establish

the grades on Palo Alto Avenue between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a point 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

| PALO ALTO AVENUE: | Feet |
|---|---|
| Northerly line of, at Twin Peaks boulevard westerly line | 700.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Southerly line of, at Twin Peaks Boulevard westerly line | 697.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 267.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 776.20 |
| 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 277.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 776.00 |
| 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 277.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 776.00 |
| 342.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 784.76 |
| 367.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 787.24 |
| 392.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 787.94 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | |
| 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 829.50 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 784.56 |
| 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 829.50 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 784.56 |
| 42.55 feet westerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) | 781.30 |
| Northerly curb line of 34.09 feet (measured along the curb) easterly from the westerly end of the arc which is 980.56 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 783.24 |
| Southerly curb line of, 1017.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 781.22 |
| Southerly curb line of, 997.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 782.78 |
| Southerly curb line of, 977.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 783.40 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | |
| Northerly curb line of, 1017.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 781.22 |
| Northerly curb line of, 997.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 782.73 |
| Northerly curb line of, 20 feet easterly from the last described point (measured along the curb) | 783.24 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | |
| 1097.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 773.12 |
| Northerly curb line of, 1197.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 761.50 |
| Southerly curb line of, 1197.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 763.00 |

On Palo Alto Avenue, between the westerly line of Twin Peaks Boulevard and 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2507, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing April 18, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**San Francisco to Apply for Franchise for Bus Operation Over Golden Gate Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2513, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco voters approved an 85 per cent financial obligation for the Golden Gate Bridge and voted the necessary bonds for construction of said bridge; and

Whereas the financial success of said Golden Gate Bridge is dependent upon the toll paid by passengers and vehicular traffic; and

Whereas, the number of passengers crossing on said bridge is dependent upon the service furnished by busses; and

Whereas, not alone will there be competition by said busses, but there will be competition between the bridge and the Southern Pacific, automobile traffic; and

Whereas, in event that a franchise for bus service over the Golden Gate Bridge should eventually be under the control, directly or indirectly, of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, it is reasonable to presume bus service over said bridge will be on the basis of minimum service; and

Whereas, it is for the best interest of San Francisco, with its 85 per cent financial obligation in said bridge, that a maximum bus service be established; and

Whereas, a franchise will be granted for bus operation over the Golden Gate Bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the franchise for bus operation over the Golden Gate Bridge should be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor, City Attorney and the Public Utilities Commission take the necessary steps to secure said bus franchise.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Secretary of the Navy Requested to Detail U. S. Fleet to San Francisco Bay During Celebration Attendant Upon Opening of Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2511, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be completed in November, 1936, which is an event of national importance and

world-wide interest. The opening of the Bay Bridge is to be fittingly celebrated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby respectfully requests the Secretary of the Navy to detail the American Fleet to San Francisco Bay to participate in the celebration attendant upon the opening of the Bay Bridge in November of 1936.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(*Clerk was requested to forward copies of the foregoing to U. S. Senator and congressional representatives from San Francisco.*)

**Memorializing Congress to Appropriate Federal Aid Funds.**
(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2512, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has been apportioned annually an allotment of federal funds under the provisions of the Hayden-Cartwright Act for the construction of highways in the Federal Aid System; and

Whereas, the House Roads Committee, under the provisions of H. R. Bill 11687 (Cartwright) and the Senate Post Office and Post Roads Committee under the terms of Senate Bill No. 4213 (Hayden), have already approved a total allocation of $200,000,000 for the Federal Aid Highway System and $36,500,000 for national parks and forest roads, etc.; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has not as yet received any Federal Aid allotments for approach roads to the Golden Gate Bridge, some of which roads are now part of the Federal Aid Highway System; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has been informed that the Golden Gate Bridge will be open for traffic on March 1, 1937, for which bridge the City and County of San Francisco has assumed a responsibility for any deficits up to 85 per cent; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco must provide immediately, adequate approaches and feeder roads to both the Golden Gate and the San Francisco-Oakland bridges to provide for the proper distribution of an anticipated increase in traffic; and

Whereas, Federal Aid Highway allotments have been a vital factor in relieving unemployment in the automotive, cement, construction, petroleum and kindred industries, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the members of the United States Senate and House of Representatives from the State of California and all western states be and they are hereby urged to exert every effort to insure the continuation of Federal Aid Highway funds to provide some medium of return for the generous contributions of the motoring public; and be it

Further Resolved, That Senators Johnson and McAdoo, together with Representatives Kahn, Welch and Lea, be informed immediately by telegram of the urgency of the aforementioned legislation which will be considered by the House of Representatives on Wednesday, April 15, 1936.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**City Attorney to Appeal Decision of Federal District Court on "One Man Cars."**

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board instruct the City Attorney to proceed with an appeal from the decision of the Federal Dis-

trict Court in the matter of the injunction against the operation of the ordinance requiring two men on every street car in San Francisco.

Motion *carried.*

### City Attorney to Investigate Right of City to Legislate Against So-Called "Dead Man Control" on "One-Man Cars."

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be requested to investigate the right of the City and County, if it saw fit to do so, to legislate against the use of the so-called Dead-Man Control in this city, and to make a report of his investigation to the Board.

Motion *carried.*

### Board of Supervisors Representatives to County Supervisors Convention.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the President of the Board be authorized to appoint all members of the Board on committee to attend the County Supervisors' Association Convention in Eureka, May 20, 21 and 22, and that each member of the Board notify the President, in writing, whether he will be present or not.

Motion *carried.*

### Citizens Committee on Commodore Barry's Day.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the proper observance of Commodore Barry Day.

Motion *carried.*

### Public Utilities Commission to Report on Use of Rails, Wires and Trolley Poles on Gough Street for Taraval Extension, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Public Utilities Commission be requested for report regarding rails, poles and wires on Gough street, and whether it would be feasible to use said poles, wires and tracks on a Taraval Extension of the Municipal Railway to Fleishhacker Pool.

Motion *carried.*

### Removal of Gough Street Car Tracks With PWA Funds.

Supervisor Uhl also requested that Clyde Healy be asked as to the possibility of including the expense of removal of tracks in Gough street in a PWA project.

Motion *carried.*

### Assessor to List Assessments Removed From Roll by San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Construction.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Assessor be requested to furnish the Board of Supervisors with the amount of real estate and improvements removed from the assessment rolls due to the construction of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Motion *carried.*

### Clerk to Issue Interdepartmental Order for Photographs of Overhead Wires.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be instructed to issue an Interdepartmental Work Order to the Department of Public Works, requesting that photographs be made of overhead wires for use and information of the Board of Supervisors, at an estimated cost of $7.

Motion *carried.*

**Committee to Attend Redwood Empire Association Meeting.**

Supervisor Ratto requested the President of the Board to appoint a committee to attend meeting of the Redwood Empire Association on April 24th.

**Announcement.**

Supervisor Brown announced meeting of Building Committee, April 17, 1936, 10:30 a. m., to discuss question of quarters for the District Attorney.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 6:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 16

Monday, April 20, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

SAN FRA (22)

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, APRIL 20, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 20, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 3:20 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 13, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the following matter was *continued one week*:

**Rezoning of Southwesterly and Southeasterly Corners of Goleta Avenue and Crestlake Drive.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1408, dated March 19, 1936, denying application to rezone from first residential district to commercial district, property located at the southwesterly and southeasterly corners of Goleta Avenue and Crestlake Drive.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation $500 for Salary Stationery Buyer. (Code No. 9.051)**

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 959, Ordinance No. 9.051248, as follows:

**Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of five hundred dollars ($500) out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 533.101.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.101.00 for the purpose of creating one position of Stationery Buyer at two hundred dollars ($200) per month in the Purchasing Department.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of five hundred dollars ($500) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 533.101.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.101.00.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Purchasing Department one position of Stationery Buyer at a salary of two hundred dollars ($200) per month, the compensation of which is provided by funds appropriated in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. One position of Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies at a salary of two hundred dollars ($200) per month, heretofore established in the Purchasing Department, is hereby abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

## Creating Position of Stationery Buyer.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 961, Ordinance No. 9.05379, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 37 of Ordinance 9.05367 (Annual Salary Ordinance) by creating one position of Stationery Buyer at $200 per month under Item 19 thereof in lieu of one position of Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies at the same salary, which position is eliminated.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 of Ordinance 9.05367 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B18 | Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept.....$ | 466.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 150 |
| 3 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 250 |
| 5 | 3 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator..... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator..... | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | B352 | Storekeeper ..... | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper ..... | 100 |
| 9 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 220 |
| 10 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 175 |
| 12 | 1 | B357 | Chief Storekeeper ..... | 325 |
| 13 | 1 | B358 | Assistant Stationery Buyer..... | 225 |
| 14 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper..... | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper..... | 170 |
| 16 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 300 |
| 17 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 225 |
| 19 | 1 | B360 | Stationery Buyer ..... | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | B370 | R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.... | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | B371 | Purchasing Agent—Water Service..... | 325 |
| 22 | 1 | B372 | Purchasing Agent—Other Services..... | 325 |
| 23 | 1 | B374 | Purchaser of Supplies..... | 833.33 |
| 24 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 25 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 26 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 27 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 100 |
| 29 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 175 |
| 30 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 190 |
| 31 | 2 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day..... | |

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 32 | 1 | J12 | Foreman Laborer ..... | 195 |
| 33 | 1 | J66 | Garageman ..... | 150 |
| 34 | 2 | N302 | Inspector of General Supplies..... | 200 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Strip of Land in Sloat Boulevard West of Thirty-ninth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 964, Ordinance No. 12.17214, as follows:

Authorizing sale of strip of land in Sloat boulevard west of Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard with the easterly line of Thirty-ninth avenue; thence easterly and along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 26.194 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50.0 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning; which said point of beginning is also the point of a curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 1482.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence westerly and northwesterly along said curve a distance of 982.376 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northwesterly and tangent to last mentioned curve a distance of 150 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southwesterly 35 feet to the southwesterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southeasterly 150 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 1517.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence southeasterly and easterly along said curve a distance of 1005.569 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northerly at right angles to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 35 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and point of beginning. Excepting the portions thereof owned by Gough Street Railroad Company.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

## NEW BUSINESS

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2514, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from

Nettie Beckwith and Lena C. Tichenor a portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 2521, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth Avenue, for the sum of $1,930.40, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Parker Avenue Widening.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2515, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following owners, certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Parker Avenue, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17:

James J. McEvoy, et ux., the westerly 14 feet of Lot 26-B, Assessor's Block 1065 .....$7,571.00

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the City.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2516, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Elise Campe portion of Lot 28, Assessor's Block 1260, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, for the sum of $1,200.00, payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above party for a particular description of said land.

As a further consideration the City and County of San Francisco is granted an easement for the construction of a retaining wall on the remaining and adjoining property of the above named owner. It is understood that this wall will be constructed along the easterly boundary line of the said remaining property.

It is understood and agreed that the above amount includes damages in full for the relocation of the fence on the above described parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Refund Taxes, Erroneous and Over-Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2517, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following named; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and over-payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. Jennie McShane, per Vol. 22, Bill 957, Lot 2A, Block 3150, 1st Installment, 1935, Erroneous payment.....$29.08
2. American Trust Company, per Vol. 27, Bill 2505, Lot 35, Block 4206, 1st Installment, 1935, Erroneous payment... 29.09
3. The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, per Vol. 34, Bill 2667, Lot 11, Block 5803, 1st Installment, 1935, Erroneous payment ..... 50.07
4. Union Tank Car Company, per Vol. 45, Assessment No. 62, Page 5 (Public Utilities), 1935, Over-payment..... 13.08

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**S. F. Hospital Fees.**

The following matter was taken up:

(Code No. 17.12)

Resolution No. 2518, as follows:

Establishing, determining and fixing the basic rates to be charged at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof and the Hassler Health Home, of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did heretofore provide for and establish the method and procedure for determining and fixing the basic rates to be charged for institutional care and treatment at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, and the billing of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions; and

Whereas, it is provided by said ordinance that the Director of Public Health shall compute the per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, and shall report his findings thereon to the Chief Administrative Officer; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Health has so computed the said per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons at said institutions and has reported his findings thereon to the Chief Administrative Officer, who has examined and duly approved said findings and has transmitted the same with his approval to the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That after due consideration of said findings and report and in conformity therewith, this Board of Supervisors does, with the approval of the Controller, hereby establish, fix and determine the following amounts to be the correct, proper and reasonable basic rates to be charged for institutional care and treatment at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions, to-wit:

(a) For general care in the General Departments of said Hospital .....$4.00
(b) For care in the Tubercular Division ..... 2.60
(c) For care in the Isolation Division..... 5.60

Be It Further Resolved, That the basic rates to be charged for the care and treatment of said persons in said institutions, as hereinabove established, determined and fixed, shall remain in full force and effect until new basic rates are established, determined and fixed as provided in said Ordinance No. .....

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Mr. Middlebrook, representing the Controller's office, explained how he arrived at the basic rates arrived at in the resolution.

Ignatius Richardson, representing Delinquent Tax Bureau, also explained his procedure in collecting fees due City for hospital service and the necessity for the resolution.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing was *laid over one week, made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m., and to be given consideration in Committee of the Whole.*

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy copies of the hospital ordinance and resolution were ordered sent to each member of the Board and members of the Hospital Conference to be notified to attend next meeting.

**Final Passage.**

The following Emergency Ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $3,000 for Special Inspection.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 970, Ordinance No. 9.051253, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $3,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 540.900.00, out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of increased Special Inspection revenues, for the purpose of continuing Special Inspection for the balance of the fiscal year, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 540.900.00, out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of increased Special Inspection revenues, for the purpose of continuing Special Inspection for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to maintain the uninterrupted operation of the Special Inspection Division of the Department of Public Works.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved by Wm. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $50,000 Out of Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 971, Ordinance No. 9.051254, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $50,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway operative fund to the credit of the Municipal Railway stores revolving fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway operative fund the sum of $50,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway stores revolving fund, which last-mentioned fund was created by Ordinance No. 9.051227. The said supplemental appropriation hereby made is to be deposited in said fund and to be used for the uses and purposes and under the conditions set forth in said Ordinance No. 9.051227.

Approved—Public Utilities Commission—Resolution No. 1283.

FORREST B. GIBBON, Acting Secretary.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Supplemental appropriation recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available—Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Appropriation of $69,907 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, May, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 972, Ordinance No. 9.051255, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $69,907 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of May, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $69,907 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of May, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," Relating to License Fee Imposed on Used Car Dealers.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 973, Ordinance No. 3.04160, as follows:

Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, *relating to license fee imposed on used car dealers.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 18 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 18. *Used Car Dealers.* Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of selling or offering to sell, exchanging or offering to exchange, buying or offering to buy second-hand or used automobiles or other motor vehicles, shall pay a license fee of twenty dollars ($20) per quarter for each such place where such automobiles or motor vehicles are offered for sale, bought, exchanged or sold.

No such person, firm or corporation shall be permitted to transfer such license to any other person, firm or corporation for any part of the time for which such license is issued.

Provided, however, that no such person, firm or corporation shall be issued a license under this section without first obtaining a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

Provided, further, that no license shall be issued to any person, firm or corporation not having a fixed place of business.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for the Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2522, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Bertolomeo Crosetti and Luisa Crosetti, his wife, Lot 32A, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of $2,500, payable from Appropriation No. 570.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 2523, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charles F. Brignardello Lot 15, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of $2,800, payable from Appropriation No. 570.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2524, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Edith I. Lennon the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of $4,000, payable from Appropriation No. 570.600.01:

Lot 19, Assessor's Block 3579; undivided 1/3 interest in Lot 18, Assessor's Block 3579; and undivided 4/15 interest in Lot 17, Assessor's Block 3579.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 2525, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are predicated on a 6-hour day unless otherwise noted.

*Metal Trades.*

| *Field Rates* | *Rate per Hour* |
|---|---|
| Blacksmiths | $ 1.10 |
| Boilermakers | 1.37½ |
| Boilermakers' helpers | 1.10 |
| Machinists | 1.10 |
| Machinists' helpers | .75 |
| Machinist (maintenance) | 1.12½ |

*Miscellaneous Trades.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Well drillers | 1.10 |
| Well drillers (hand tool foremen) | 1.10 |
| Diamond drillers | 1.10 |
| Powderman | 1.10 |
| Washers, polishers and greasers (garagemen) | .75 |

*Street Work.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Timberman (tunnel) | 1.10 |
| Mucker | .75 |
| Asphalt rakers | 1.10 |
| Asphalt shovelers | .75 |
| Cribbers | 1.10 |
| Laborers, street work | .75 |
| Asphalt plant engineers | 1.25 |
| Roller engineers, trench machine, dragline and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers | 1.43 |
| Firemen (asphalt plant) | 1.10 |

On maintenance of any equipment operated by engineers on rollers, trench machines, dragline, clamshell, asphalt burners, paving mixers and mechanical finishers, $1.43 per hour shall apply for the first hour after the 6-hour day, on any public work.

*Culinary Workers.*
(Not based on 6-hour day)

| | *Per Week* |
|---|---|
| Head cook (6-day week) | $41.00 |
| Other cooks | 36.00 |
| Cooks' helpers | 27.00 |
| Waiters | 18.00 |
| Waitresses | 16.50 |
| Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift) | 19.00 |
| Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift) | 21.60 |

*Dredge Boats.*
(Not based on 6-hour day)

| | *Per Month* |
|---|---|
| Dredge captain | $215 |
| Head leverman | 215 |
| Leverman | 190 |
| Fireman | 150 |
| Deckhand | 150 |

*Building Trades.*

| | *Rate per Hour* |
|---|---|
| Asbestos workers | $1.10 |
| Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins | 1.50 |
| Bricklayers' hodcarrier | 1.00 |
| Carpenters, cabinet makers and hardwood floormen | 1.12½ |
| Cement finishers | 1.12½ |
| Compressor operators (on steel erection) | 1.25 |
| Engineers (derricks) | 1.25 |
| Engineers (building material hoists) | 1.12½ |
| Electric workers | 1.25 |
| Elevator constructors | 1.30 |
| Elevator constructor helpers | .91 |
| Fixture hangers | 1.10 |
| Glass workers | 1.10 |
| Housesmith (reinforced concrete) | 1.12½ |
| Ironworkers (bridge, constructural and rigger) | 1.37½ |
| Ironworkers (derrick engineers) | 1.37½ |
| Linoleum and carpet workers | 1.10 |
| Laborers (building) | .75 |
| Painters (structural iron works) | 1.20 |
| Painters | 1.10 |
| Marble setters | 1.12½ |
| Marble setters' helpers | .75 |
| Ornamental ironworkers, including erection of steel and iron fences | 1.12½ |
| Pile drivers | 1.33⅓ |
| Pile drivers' engineers | 1.50 |
| Plumbers | 1.12½ |
| Roofers | 1.10 |
| Sheet metal workers | 1.10 |
| Steamfitters | 1.12½ |
| Sprinkler fitters | 1.12½ |
| Stonecutters | 1.10 |
| Stonesetter (including granite curbs) | 1.50 |
| Stone derrickmen | 1.12½ |
| Tilesetters | 1.25 |
| Tilesetters' helpers | .75 |

*Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks)*

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, $6 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, $6.50 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, $7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, $7 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, $7.50 per day of 7 hours.
Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, $7.50 per day of 7 hours.
Laborers, 75 cents per hour.
Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.
Operators of power shovels and/or other excavating equipment with power shovel control when used on excavating operations, $10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.
Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, $7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.
Truck Crane engineer, $10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.
Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., $9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.
Maintenance on caterpillars over 50 H. P. shall carry a rate of $1.50 per hour for the first hour after the 6-hour day.

*Plastering Industry.*

Plasterers, $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Lathers (metal), $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Lathers (wood), $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Plasterers' hodcarrier, $1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Modelers, $2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Model maker, $1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Model casters, $1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.
Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

*Concrete Industry.*

Concrete laborer, $5.50 per day of 7 hours.
Mixer operator, $6 per day of 7 hours.
Concrete finisher, $8 per day of 7 hours.
Machinist, $8 per day of 6 hours.
Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

*Gunite Industry.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Nozzlemen | $1.25 |
| Rodmen | 1.25 |
| Finishers | 1.25 |
| Ground wiremen | 1.25 |
| Rebound men | .75 |
| Gunmen | .90 |
| Mixermen | .87½ |

Not less than $1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

*Metal Trades.*

| *Shop Rates* | *Per Hour* |
|---|---|
| Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day) | $ 1.16 |
| Molders and coremakers | 1.10 |
| Blacksmiths | 1.10 |
| Blacksmiths' helpers | .75 |
| Boilermakers | 1.10 |
| Boilermakers' helpers | .75 |
| Machinists | 1.10 |
| Machinists' helpers | .75 |
| Structural and ornamental ironworkers (shop) | .90 |
| Toolmaker | 1.10 |

*Building Trades.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Cabinet workers, millmen, machine and bench hands (shop) | 1.10 |
| Varnishers and polishers (shop) | 1.10 |

*Furniture Trades.*
(Based on an 8-hour day.)

| | Per Day |
|---|---|
| Carpet layers, cutters and measurers | $ 8.00 |
| Carpet seamstresses (large machines) | 5.50 |
| Carpet seamstresses (small machines) | 5.00 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—2 months out | 4.00 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—1st six months out | 4.50 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—2nd six months out | 5.00 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—3rd six months out | 5.50 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—4th six months out | 6.00 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—5th six months out | 6.50 |
| Carpet layers' apprentices—6th six months out | 7.00 |
| Shade and drapery makers and hangers (including Venetian blinds) | 7.00 |
| Upholsterers | 7.00 |
| Furniture handlers, packers and strippers | 5.50 |
| Drapery seamstresses | 4.25 |

For Building Trades overtime payments, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all ironworkers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Wherever calking processes are involved the rate paid for such work shall be as herein fixed or hereafter fixed by amendment of this resolution for the craft performing such work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Unemployment Relief Non-Civil Service Employments.**
(Code No. 19.071)

Also, Resolution No. 2519, as follows:

Resolved, That under the provisions of Section 149 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual unemployment emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco and such unemployment emergency shall be deemed to continue until June 30, 1937.

That in accordance with such special rules as the Civil Service Commission may adopt pursuant to Section 149 of the Charter, the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee be and it is hereby authorized to act as the appointing power for the purpose of making such appointments as may be necessary to continue the work of said relief agencies in administering said unemployment relief, all of said employments to be created by special appropriation for unemployment relief; provided, however, that only bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco shall be appointed to such positions.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Re-referred.**

The following recommendations of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police were, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *re-referred to the Committee*:

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**
(Code No. 11.0821)

Bill No. 974, Ordinance No. 11.08212, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(f) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(g) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(h) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any hospital,*

*or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen

(18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated

by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be*

*filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited.

*All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Parts of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

### Amendment to Garage Ordinance.
(Code No. 11.0822)

Also, Bill No. 975, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department*

*shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing.

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Execution of an Agreement Between the City and County of San Francisco and R. L. Price, et Ux.**

(Code No. 15.034)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2521, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 25034 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors accepted a certain deed dated January 26, 1926, from R. L. Price, et ux., to a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish the City shall furnish the grantor a continuous

supply of water sufficient for the domestic irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to April 1, 1936, by Resolution No. 1984 of this Board; and

Whereas, said R. L. Price, et ux., have requested a further extension of said time limit to April 1, 1937; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended an extension of said time limit to April 1, 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement between R. L. Price, et ux., and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to April 1, 1937, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Permit to Construct Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 Along Allan Street, Town of Bayshore.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2520, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain permit to construct and maintain Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, in, under and along a portion of Allan Street, in the Town of Bayshore, as per Resolution No. 40, adopted by the City Council of Bayshore on February 4, 1936, subject to the terms and conditions contained in said permit.

Approved by the director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

**Leave of Absence, Major Charles J. Kendrick, Member of Board of War Memorial Trustees.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2526, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Major Charles H. Kendrick, member of the Board of War Memorial Trustees, is hereby granted a leave of absence of three weeks, commencing April 18, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Highway Program for 1937-39 Biennial Budget.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from California State Chamber of Commerce, stating that it is important that San Francisco County have its recommendation for the California Highway Commission 1937-39 biennial budget in readiness for discussion of said committee at William Taylor Hotel

at 10 a. m., Friday, April 24, 1936, and that San Francisco be well represented at said meeting.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Approval of Works Progress Administration Projects.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk:

"WORKS PROGRESS ADMINISTRATION, CALIFORNIA,
450 Mission Street, San Francisco, Calif.

Frank Y. McLaughlin, Administrator.

April 18, 1936.

Supervisor Frank Havenner, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Supervisor Havenner: This office is in receipt of a letter from Frank Y. McLaughlin, Administrator, Works Progress Administration, 49 Fourth street, San Francisco, asking for a report from the Mayor and Chairman of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Francisco, on the following questions:

1. Is work the proper method of meeting the unemployment problem as compared to the dole and idleness?

2. Are we doing useful and needed work under the WPA program?

3. Is there useful work yet to be done under a continued WPA program?

In order that this office may comply with the above it will be appreciated if you will furnish the information requested, at your earliest convenience.

Yours very truly,
WILLIAM R. LAWSON, Director."

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the matter be taken up in Committee of the Whole and moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for that purpose.

*So ordered.*

**Committee of the Whole.**

Thereupon the Board of Supervisors proceeded to sit in the Committee of the Whole for the further consideration of the communication.

All members heretofore noted present and Supervisor Havenner in the chair.

**Approved.**

Whereupon the following questions were taken up seriatim and acted upon as follows:

Supervisor Uhl moved that Question No. 1, "Is work the proper method of meeting the unemployment problem as compared to the dole and idleness?" be answered in the affirmative.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supervisor Colman moved that Question No. 2, "Are we doing useful and needed work under the WPA program?" be answered in the affirmative.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Question No. 3, "Is there useful work yet to be done under a continued WPA program?" be answered in the affirmative.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the President of this Board be authorized to answer in collaboration with the Assistant City Engineer, Clyde Healy, the communication of William R. Lawson, Director of Works Progress Administration, in accordance with the foregoing action of the Board.

Motion *carried.*

### Committee as a Whole Arises.

Whereupon the Committee as a Whole arose and reported its action to the Board.

Whereupon the report of the Committee of the Whole was *approved unanimously.*

### Protest "One-Man Car" Operation.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Protest of one hundred seventy-one citizens protesting continued operation of "one-man car" on Polk street.

*Referred to City Attorney.*

### Pre-Exposition Years Plan of Civic Development.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Press Club of San Francisco transmitting copy of resolution adopted by said organization looking to the development of a new civic spirit and three-year plan for pre-exposition years looking forward to exploitation of San Francisco's natural advantages.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

### Completion of McLaren Park.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, in compliance with request as to status of McLaren Park purchases, and estimating the value of lands and improvements to be acquired within the revised boundary lines as at approximately $500,000.

*Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.*

### Cooperation with San Mateo County on Various Projects of Mutual Interest.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. B. Hinman, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, advising that said county sits as a Board of Equalization between July 1st and July 14th of this year. Also, suggesting the appointment of the committee to confer with San Mateo Supervisors regarding future cooperation on several projects of mutual interest, especially the acquisition of beach properties to serve recreational needs of both counties.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

### Pledging an Appropriation Out of County Road Fund for the Improvement of Lyon Street.

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2527, as follows:

Whereas, application will shortly be made to the Works Progress Administration for the improvement (including widening) of Lyon street from the Palace of Fine Arts to Lombard street and the Lyon street approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, within the Presidio; and

Whereas, this project is a necessary adjunct to the Golden Gate Bridge; and

Whereas, the estimated cost of this job is approximately $393,072, of which the City and County of San Francisco will be required to furnish $40,000 to cover the cost of materials and supplies; and,

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has been severely criticized in the past for its alleged failure to provide adequate facilities for the traffic which will flow over the Golden Gate Bridge and should take every precaution to prevent such criticism becoming warranted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to include an appropriation of $40,000 out of County Road Funds in the Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937, to serve as the City's contribution toward this vital improvement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri—2.

### Data on Good Roads Fund.

Supervisor McSheehy requested that the Clerk of the Board send copies of all resolutions and ordinances pertaining to allocations out of the Good Roads Fund to each member of the Board.

*So ordered.*

### State-wide Committee on Solution of County Government Problems.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from California State Chamber of Commerce (dated April 14, 1936) relative to appointment of a State-wide committee for the solution of county government problems.

*Referred to Special Tax Committee and to be called to the particular attention of Controller Leavy.*

### Hetch Hetchy Copper Cable Inquiry.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk obtain information from Mr. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, as follows: What price was paid for the copper cable that was intended for the transmission line from Newark to San Francisco, that was stored at Sixth and Hubbell streets, and if copper cable has been sold, what price was received for it?

### Meeting Announcements.

Building Committee, Friday, April 24, 11 a. m.

Public Utilities Committee, Wednesday, April 22, 1936, 3:30 p. m.

Joint Committee, Public Welfare and Fire, Safety and Police, to consider gasoline supply stations, April 28, 10 a. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 27, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 17

Monday, April 27, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, APRIL 27, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 27, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors answered present: Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Supervisor McSheehy appeared at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Brown excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 20, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

On motion, the Board resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for consideration of the following resolution:

**S. F. Hospital Fees.**
(Code No. 17.12)

Resolution No. 2518, as follows:

Establishing, determining and fixing the basic rates to be charged at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof and the Hassler Health Home, of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did heretofore provide for and establish the method and procedure for determining and fixing the basic rates to be charged for institutional care and treatment at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, and the billing of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions; and

Whereas, it is provided by said ordinance that the Director of Pubic Health shall compute the per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, and shall report his findings thereon to the Chief Administrative Officer; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Health has so computed the said per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons at said institutions and has reported his findings thereon to the Chief Administrative Officer, who has examined and duly approved said findings and has transmitted the same with his approval to the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That after due consideration of said findings and report and in conformity therewith, this Board of Supervisors does, with the approval of the Controller, hereby establish, fix and determine the following amounts to be the correct, proper and reasonable basic rates to be charged for institutional care and treatment at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions, to-wit:

(a) For general care in the General Departments of said Hospital ..... $4.00
(b) For care in the Tubercular Division ..... 2.60
(c) For care in the Isolation Division ..... 5.60

Be It Further Resolved, That the basic rates to be charged for the care and treatment of said persons in said institutions, as hereinabove established, determined and fixed, shall remain in full force and effect until new basic rates are established, determined and fixed as provided in said Ordinance No. .....

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Dr. Geiger and Leonard S. Leavy were heard at length as to the basis for the rates recommended for service at the San Francisco Hospital.

**Motions.**

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that resolution be taken up seriatim.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Shannon moved Paragraph No. 1 be amended in the second line thereof by exclusion of the words "tubercular ward" and eliminating the words "Hassler Health Farm."

Whereupon, the roll was called upon Paragraph No. 1 and the same was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon, Paragraphs Nos. 2, 3 and 4 were *approved without objection.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved to strike out the following language in the fifth paragraph:

"or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions, to-wit:" was ordered stricken from Paragraph No. 5 without objection.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon, Paragraph No. 5 was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that (a) for general care in the general departments of said hospital $4 a day be amended to read $3 a day.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer—2.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Item (b) for care in the Tubercular Division $2.60 a day be eliminated entirely.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto—2.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Item (c) for care in the Isolation Division, $5.60 a day, be amended to read $3 a day.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Ratto—2.

**Committee of the Whole Arise.**

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended and in words and figures following, was *adopted* by the following vote:

**San Francisco Hospital Fees.**
(Code No. 17.12)

Resolution No. 2518, as follows:

Establishing, determining and fixing the basic rates to be charged at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof and the Hassler Health Home, of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions.

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did heretofore provide for and establish the method and procedure for determining and fixing the basic rates to be charged for institutional care and treatment at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof, and the Hassler Health Home, and the billing of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions; and

Whereas, it is provided by said ordinance that the Director of Public Health shall compute the per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof and the Hassler Health Home, and shall report his findings thereon to the Chief Administrative Officer; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Health has so computed the said per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons at said institutions and has reported his findings thereon to the Chief Administrative Officer, who has examined and duly approved said findings and has transmitted the same with his approval to the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That after due consideration of said findings and report and in conformity therewith, this Board of Supervisors does, with the approval of the Controller, hereby establish, fix and determine the following amounts to be the correct, proper and reasonable basic rates to be charged for institutional care and treatment at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division thereof and the Hassler Health Home, of persons financially able themselves or through relatives legally obligated to pay for the same at such institutions, to-wit:

(a) For general care in the General Departments of said Hospital.....$4.00 a day
(b) For care in the Tubercular Division..... 2.60 a day
(c) For care in the Isolation Division ..... 5.60 a day

Be it further resolved, That the basic rates to be charged for the care and treatment of said persons in said institutions, as hereinabove established, determined and fixed, shall remain in full force and effect until new basic rates are fixed by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying: "I am simply voting *no* because this resolution is going to collect from the great middle class of our city—the plain people—a hospital fee that is prohibitive. For that reason I am voting *no*, and because it penalizes the poor and indigent.

## HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Withdrawn.

On motion duly made and carried the following matter was *ordered withdrawn from the calendar*:

**Rezoning of Southwesterly and Southeasterly Corners of Goleta Avenue and Crestlake Drive.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1408, dated March 19, 1936, denying application to rezone from first residential district to commercial district, property located at the southwesterly and southeasterly corners of Goleta Avenue and Crestlake Drive.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following Bills heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following votes:

**Appropriation $89,600 for Bridge on Harrison over Beale Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 965, Ordinance No. 9.051250, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $89,600 out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, as set forth in the Controller's communication of April 1, 1936, to the credit of Appropriation No. 577.015.00 for the purpose of constructing a bridge in Harrison street over Beale street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $89,600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, as set forth in the Controller's communication of April 1, 1936, to the credit of Appropriation No. 577.015.00 for the purpose of constructing a bridge in Harrison street over Beale street.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Creating Underground District No. 77, Bay Street Between Columbus Avenue and Van Ness Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 968, Ordinance No. 11.1214, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section KKK.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section KKK.

Section KKK. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolly poles and wires, after August 1, 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 77, Bay street between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Changing Grade on Palo Alto Avenue Between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a Point 1197.65 Feet Westerly Therefrom.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 969, Ordinance No. 12.072220, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Palo Alto Avenue between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a point 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of February, 1936, by Resolution No. 2403, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Palo Alto Avenue between Twin Peaks Boulevard and a point 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

| PALO ALTO AVENUE: | Feet |
|---|---|
| Northerly line of, at Twin Peaks Boulevard westerly line | 700.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| Southerly line of, at Twin Peaks Boulevard westerly line | 697.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade) | |
| 267.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 776.20 |
| 15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 277.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 776.00 |
| 15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 277.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 776.00 |
| 342.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 784.76 |
| 367.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 787.24 |
| 392.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard | 787.94 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points) | |

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 829.50 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard.....784.56
15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 829.50 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard.....784.56
42.55 feet westerly from the last described point (measured along the curb) .....781.30
Northerly curb line of, 34.09 feet (measured along the curb) easterly from the westerly end of the arc which is 980.56 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard.....783.24
Southerly curb line of, 1017.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....781.22
Southerly curb line of, 997.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....782.78
Southerly curb line of, 977.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....783.40
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points)
Northerly curb line of, 1017.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....781.22
Northerly curb line of, 997.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....782.73
Northerly curb line of, 20 feet easterly from the last described point (measured along the curb).....783.24
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points)
1097.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard.....773.12
Northerly curb line of, 1197.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....761.50
Southerly curb line of, 1197.65 feet westerly from Twin Peaks Boulevard .....763.00

On Palo Alto Avenue, between the westerly line of Twin Peaks Boulevard and 1197.65 feet westerly therefrom, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**$2400 from Surplus General Fund, Additional Employees Recorder.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 976, Ordinance No. 9.051256, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $2,400 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of increased revenue in the office of the Recorder, to the credit of Appropriation No. 530.102.00 for the purpose of employing temporary general clerk-typists for the months of May and June in order to care for increased work, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,400 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of increased revenue in the office of the Recorder to the credit of Appropriation No. 530.102.00 for the purpose of employing temporary general clerk typists for the months of May and June in order to care for increased work.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and

the Board of Supervisors does hereby, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Edmond Godchaux, Recorder.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Arthur E. Curtis, Director, Dept. Finance and Records.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land from Josef Radulovich, et ux., for San Jose Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2528, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Josef Radulovich, et ux., portion of Lot 15, Assessor's Block 7163, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $895.70, payable from the ¼ Cent Gas Tax Fund, Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Acquisition of Land for the Widening of 19th Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2529, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from City Title Insurance Company, portions of Lots 2 to 25, inc., in Assessor's Block 2488, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $2,629, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file from the above named company for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2530, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from David A. McKay, et ux., the northwesterly 20 feet of Lot 45, Assessor's Block 7161, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of San Jose Avenue, for the sum of $1,157, payable from Appropriation

No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements on said lot.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 2531, as follows:

Be it resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 688 to Director of Public Works for $334.05; No. 689 to S. F. Call Bulletin for $8.72; No. 690 to E. W. Newell for $1.47; No. 691 to H. Windt and J. Kullman for $353.54; No. 692 to H. Windt and J. Kullman for $255.62; No. 693 to H. Windt and J. Kullman for $163.16— payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Refunds of Taxes—Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2532, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. California Pacific Title & Trust Company, per Vol. 44, Bill 2207, Lot 7, Block 7207, 1st Installment, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... $ 36.45
2. Mrs. Dora Senger, per Vol. 44, Bill 374, Lot 7a, Block 7105, 1st Installment, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 30.01
3. Fred Rebb, per Vol. 42, Bill 1240, Lot 4, Block 6941, 1st Installment, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 12.89
4. Edward Sors, per Vol. 39, Bill 630, Lot 29, Block 6509, 1st Installment, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 6.26
5. Virginia Schultze, per Vol. 8, Bill 2097, Lot 19, Block 1271, 1st Installment, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 40.59

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2533, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Alys M. Atkinson, Lot 34, Assessor's Block 6638, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sum of $4,100, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.02; the City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing the Purchase of Certain Land for the Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2534, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Louise Wissing, Lot 6, Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground, for the sum of $1,500, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.07. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Longfellow School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2535, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Henry Newburgh and Miriam C. Newburgh, his wife, Lots 9 and 10, Assessor's Block 6474, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Longfellow School Playground, for the sum of $12,460, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.06; and the City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriation $10,000, to City Engineer, for Traffic Study in Connection with Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 980, Ordinance No. 9.051257, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $10,000 to the credit of Appropriation Number 548.977.14, out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund, for the necessary expenses to make a study of certain streets and a report of required changes to accommodate traffic requirements, particularly with reference to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge feeders, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation Number 548.977.14, out of the accrued surplus existing in the County Road Fund, for the necessary expenses to make a study of certain streets and a report of required changes to accommodate traffic requirements, particularly with reference to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge feeders.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, as an immediate study would best serve public interest, so that such changes in feeder

streets as are necessary may be completed simultaneously with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted:*

**Appointment Non-Resident Veterinarians.**

(Code No. 4.01)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2539, as follows:

Whereas, It is reported by the Director of Public Health that in order to meet the requirements of the State Department of Agriculture it is necessary that veterinarian meat inspectors be in constant supervision in each abattoir in the City and County of San Francisco. It is further stated it is impossible to obtain veterinarians in San Francisco, the Civil Service List having been exhausted; Therefore

Resolved, That the appointment of non-resident veterinarians is hereby approved and said appointees are exempted from the residential requirements as provided in Section 7 of the Charter.

Approved by Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and Civil Service Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following Bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance by Adding a New Section to Prohibit Parking on Certain Streets on Saturdays, Sundays & Holidays.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 977, Ordinance No. 11.0216, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith", by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 37c, "No Parking Day or Night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7691, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 37c, "No Parking Day or Night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays only".

Section 37c. No parking day or night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays only.

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials during any hour of the day or night on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays, on the following streets:

East side of Hyde street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted:*

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Construction of Sewer Along Embarcadero.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2536, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco enter into a written agreement with the Board of State Harbor Commissioners of the State of California, dated April 2, 1936, giving the City the right to construct and maintain a sewer along certain portions of the Embarcadero and other property in San Francisco, California, under the jurisdiction of said Board of State Harbor Commissioners, subject to the terms and conditions of said agreement. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following Bills were *passed for second reading:*

**Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lincoln Way and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 978, Ordinance No. 12.061163, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1936 having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 82'6" and 157'6" west of 46th avenue.

Forty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between 150′ and 175′ north of Irving street.

Irving street (N. ½) between 44th avenue and 32′6″ west.

Fortieth avenue (W. ½) between 250′ and 275′ south of Lincoln way.

Forty-first avenue (E. ½) between 250′ and 275′ south of Lincoln way.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W. ½) between 75′ and 100′ north of Irving street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W. ½) between 150′ and 350′ south of Irving street.

Thirty-eighth avenue (E. ½) between 250′ and 275′ north of Irving street.

Thirty-fifth avenue (E. ½) between 100′ and 125′ south of Lincoln way.

Thirty-first avenue (W. ½) between Lincoln way and 30′ south.

Irving street (N. ½) between 82′6″ and 107′6″ west of 26th avenue.

Twenty-fifth avenue (E. ½) between 300′ and 325′ south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 125′ and 150′ south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-second avenue (W. ½) between 257′6″ and 285′ south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-first avenue (E. ½) between 150′ and 175′ south of Lincoln way.

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 20th avenue and 100′ west.

Eighteenth avenue (E. ½) between Irving street and 37′6″ north.

Irving street (N. ½) between 18th avenue and 100′ east.

Eighteenth avenue (E. ½) between Lincoln way and 100′ south.

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 57′6″ and 82′6″ east of 17th avenue.

Funston avenue (E. ½) between 225′ and 250′ south of Lincoln way.

Tenth avenue (W. ½) between 150′ and 175′ south of Lincoln way.

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 57′6″ and 157′6″ east of 9th avenue.

Second avenue (E. ½) between 135′ and 160′ north of Irving street.

Second avenue (W. ½) between Irving street and 35′ south.

Sixth avenue (W. ½) between 50′ and 75′ south of Irving street.

Eighth avenue (E. ½) between 125′ and 150′ north of Judah street.

Tenth avenue (E. ½) between 160′ and 210′ south of Irving street.

Tenth avenue (W. ½) between 275′ and 325′ south of Irving street.

Sixteenth avenue (W. ½) between 156′3″ and 187′6″ south of Irving street.

Sixteenth avenue (W. ½) between 250′ and 275′ north of Judah street.

Judah street (N. ½) between Nineteenth avenue and 120′ west.

Judah street (N. ½) between Twenty-fourth avenue and 32′6″ east.

Twenty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between Judah street and 100′ north.

Twenty-third avenue (W. ½) between 100′ and 125′ south of Irving street.

Twenty-third avenue (W. ½) between 125′ and 150′ north of Judah street.

Twenty-fifth avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100′ north.

Judah street (N. ½) between Twenty-sixth avenue and 57′6″ west.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100′ north.

Thirtieth avenue (W. ½) between 100′ and 175′ south of Irving street.

Judah street (N. ½) between Thirtieth avenue and 82′6″ west.

Judah street (N. ½) between Thirty-second avenue and 57′6″ east.

Thirty-second avenue (E. ½) between Judah street and 100′ north.

Forty-first avenue (E. ½) between 325′ and 350′ south of Irving street.

Forty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 75′ and 100′ south of Irving street.

Forty-fifth avenue (E. ½) between 235′ and 285′ south of Irving street.

Irving street (S. ½) between Forty-fifth avenue and 32′6″ west.

Forty-eighth avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100′ north.

Judah street (N. ½) between Forty-eighth avenue and 30′ west.

Judah street (N. ½) between 107′6″ and 132′6″ west of Forty-eighth avenue.

Judah street (S. ½) between 82'6" and 107'6" west of Forty-seventh avenue.

Forty-eighth avenue (E. ½) between 260' and 300' south of Judah street.

Forty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between 300' and 325' south of Judah street.

Forty-fourth avenue (W. ½) between 50' and 75' north of Kirkham street.

Forty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 250' and 275' north of Kirkham street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (E. ½) between 225' and 300' south of Judah street.

Twenty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between 100' and 125' south of Judah street.

Kirkham street (N. ½) between Twenty-third avenue and 107'6" east.

Sixteenth avenue (E. ½) between 37' and 70' north of Kirkham street.

Fourteenth avenue (E. ½) between 300' and 375' north of Kirkham street.

Eleventh avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100' south.

Tenth avenue (W. ½) between 60' and 114'7" south of Judah street.

Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 200' and 225' south of Judah street.

Lawton street (N. ½) between Ninth avenue and 120' east.

Twentieth avenue (E. ½) between 50' and 125' north of Lawton street.

Forty-sixth avenue (E. ½) between 25' and 50' north of Lawton street.

Kirkham street (S. ½) between Forty-eighth avenue and 82'6" east.

Great Highway (E. ½) between 112'7⅝" and 162'8⅜" north of Moraga street.

Forty-eighth avenue (E. ½) between 237'6" and 262'6" north of Moraga street.

Twenty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 50' and 75' north of Moraga street.

Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 125' and 175' north of Moraga street.

Ninth avenue (E. ½) between Moraga street and 40' north.

Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 247.18' and 279.68' south of Moraga street.

Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 43.72' and 68.72' north of Noriega street.

Ortega street (N. ½) between Eighth avenue and 32'6" west.

Eighth avenue (W. ½) between Ortega street and 100' north.

Ninth avenue (W. ½) between Ortega street and 25' north.

Ortega street (N. ½) between Ninth avenue and 107'6" west.

Tenth avenue (E. ½) between Ortega street and 100' north.

Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 225' and 250' south of Ortega street.

Sixteenth avenue (W. ½) between 46'7" and 91'7" north of Quintara street.

Twenty-first avenue (W. ½) between Quintara street and 100' north.

Eighteenth avenue (W. ½) between 280' and 306' north of Santiago street.

Twelfth avenue (W. ½) between 193'9" and 225' north of Taraval street.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 100' north.

Taraval street (N. ½) between Twenty-eighth avenue and 32'6" west.

Thirty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between Santiago street and 100' south.

Thirty-fourth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 125' north.

Twenty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 100' south.

Seventeenth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 100' south.

Ulloa street (N. ½) between Eighteenth avenue and 32'6" east.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between Taraval street and 100' south.

Funston avenue (W. ½) between Ulloa street and 100' north.

Fifteenth avenue (W. ½) between Ulloa street and 30' south.

Fifteenth avenue (W. ½) between 300' and 325' south of Ulloa street.

Ulloa street (S. ½) between Seventeenth avenue and 32'6" west.

Ulloa street (S. ½) between 35' and 85' west of Eighteenth avenue.

Thirtieth avenue (W. ½) between 200′ and 225′ south of Ulloa street.

Madrone avenue (W. ½) between Ulloa street and 40′ south.

Elizabeth St. (S. ½) between Noe street and 68′9 2-3″ east.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Thirty-first avenue (W. ½) between 150′ and 175′ south of Irving street.

Thirtieth avenue (E. ½) between 225′ and 325′ south of Kirkham street.

Twenty-seventh avenue (E. ½) between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between 240′2″ and 294′2″ south of Ortega street.

Forty-sixth avenue (E. ½) between Santiago street and 125′ north.

Santiago street (S. ½) between 94′ and 120′ east of 35th avenue.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between 250′ and 275′ south of Vicente street.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between Vicente street and 100′ south.

Fifteenth avenue (E. ½) between 200′ and 250′ north of Wawona street.

Laguna Honda boulevard (W. ½) between Vasquez and Balceta avenues.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Seneca avenue and 103.1887′ southwest.

Ocean avenue (N. ½) between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

| Block No. | Lot No. | Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1704 | 15, 45, 46 | 1765 | 9 |
| 1706 | 18 | 1771 | 3B, 3P |
| 1710 | 8, 37 | 1774 | 21 |
| 1711 | 5, 5A, 6, 7, 8 | 1778 | 2, 15, 24 |
| | 9, 10, 11, 18 | 1780 | 18 |
| 1713 | 34 | 1781 | 18, 19 |
| 1716 | 43 | 1783 | 13 |
| 1719 | 1 | 1785 | 2, 4, 12 |
| 1724 | 18C | 1786 | 16 |
| 1726 | 33 | 1795 | 23 |
| 1727 | 41 | 1798 | 47 |
| 1728 | 8 | 1799 | 30 |
| 1730 | 1, 38 | 1800 | 1 |
| 1733 | 22, 40 | 1803 | 14, 17 |
| 1734 | 39 | 1806 | 24, 35 |
| 1738 | 37 | 1807 | 6 |
| 1740 | 4 | 1809 | 12 |
| 1742 | 37, 38, 40 | 1810 | 31 |
| 1750 | 18 | 1815 | 9 |
| 1757 | 1 | 1827 | 2 |
| 1761 | 3 | 1831 | 23 |
| 1762 | 28 | 1838 | 18 |
| 1764 | 35, 36 | 1840 | 29, 31 |

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1842 | 1 |
| 1843 | 1A |
| 1844 | 6 |
| 1854 | 16 |
| 1865 | 13, 15 |
| 1891 | 24 |
| 1893 | 42A |
| 1895 | 26 |
| 1896 | 32 |
| 1920 | 29 |
| 1934 | 13, 14 |
| 1935 | 23 |
| 2040 | 8A, 14 |
| 2045 | 17 |
| 2046 | 18, 22 |
| 2125 | 3A |
| 2136 | 3D |
| 2141 | 7 |
| 2331 | 3H |
| 2341 | 7 |
| 2357 | 9 |
| 2362 | 38 |
| 2363 | 13, 14 |
| 2399 | 1 |
| 2408 | 1, 26 |
| 2409 | 36 |
| 2412 | 15 |
| 2416 | 1, 2 |
| 2418 | 1 |
| 2419 | 11 |
| 2431 | 7 |
| 2929 | 1 |
| 3654 | 23 |

---

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1786 | 3 |
| 1875 | 28, 29, 30, 31 |
| 1917 | 17, 17A, 17B, 17C, 20A, 21A, 21B, 22B, 25 |
| 2118 | 14, 15 |
| 2304 | 4, 4A, 5, 6, 6A |
| 2363 | 38 |
| 2480 | 3D, 17 |
| 2482 | 10 |
| 2918A | 1 |
| 6969 | 1 |
| 7205 | 6B, 7 |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Hyde and Larkin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 979, Ordinance No. 12.073170, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-Seven (1157).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office April 13, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-seven (1157), to read as follows:

Section 1157. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Hyde and Larkin streets shall be as shown on the map entitled "Map of Bay Street Between Hyde and Larkin Streets," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks, dated April, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2538, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing May 2d, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Joseph M. Golden, Municipal Judge.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2537, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Joseph M. Golden, Municipal Judge, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing May 9th, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Application for Federal Grant of $52,700,000 for Rapid Transit.**

(Code No. ....)

The following was presented by Supervisors Meyer and Colman:

Resolution No. ...., as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is desirous of improving its Municipal Railway system by installing a rapid transit system which will afford rapid transportation for the people of San Francisco from the business district of said city to outlying districts both within and without the City and County of San Francisco, the plans for which system contemplate the construction of subways to remove the present congested condition of surface traffic and to allow more rapid transit to the outlying districts of the city; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has requested the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to authorize the proper officials of said city and county to apply to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of $52,700,000, which is the estimated cost of said rapid transit system, including subways; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller are hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of $52,700,000 for the purpose of aiding in the financing of the construction of said rapid transit system.

Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the words, "or

elevated structures", be inserted in each paragraph after the word "subways".

No objection, *so ordered.*

### Power Distribution.

Supervisor Havenner thereupon raised the question of power distribution and said in view of Secretary Ickes' communication rejecting the City's plans No. 4 and No. 4a, that power distribution is of paramount importance and that unless an application for a PWA grant was made for that purpose also, he would not be inclined to go along with the resolution for rapid transit, which he considered less urgent.

### Adopted.

Thereupon, after discussion, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted:*

### Federal Grant, 45 Per Cent of $52,700,000, Rapid Transit System.

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 2540, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has requested the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to authorize the proper officials of said City and County to apply to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of $52,700,000, which is the estimated cost of a rapid transit system, including subways and/or elevated structures; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller are hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of the $52,700,000 for the purpose of aiding in the financing of the construction of a rapid transit system.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Application, Federal Grant, 45 Per Cent of Cost of Electric Distribution System.

(Code No. 15.032)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2541, as follows:

Resolved, that the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller are hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of the cost of such new construction as may be necessary for the purpose of aiding in the financing of an electric distribution system, the amount of the cost of said new construction to be determined by the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Memorializing Congress to Utilize PWA Facilities and Provide Allocations to $700,000,000.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2542, as follows:

Whereas, experience has proven that activities of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works (PWA) have been a

highly beneficial factor in accomplishing projects of great value to various political subdivisions; and

Whereas, under the competitive contract method, it has been possible to provide a distribution of labor, both direct and indirect, in excess of 80 per cent in the construction of various non-federal projects which were financed on a basis of 55 per cent contribution by the grantee, or political subdivision, and 45 per cent contribution by the grantor, or government (PWA), which grants of 45 per cent contributed by the government (PWA) represented a donation of approximately 82 cents for every $1 (55 per cent) contributed by the political subdivision (grantee), making possible the accomplishment of a complete project in a total amount of approximately $1.82 for each and every $1 contributed by the grantee; and

Whereas, it is vitally necessary that major undertakings requiring an abundance of skilled labor (buildings, highways, subways, and other engineering projects) be accomplished for the City and County of San Francisco under a fixed price, with a guarantee of completion; and

Whereas, it has become vitally essential that additional funds be provided to construct adequate cross-town routes and other feeders for the proper distribution of automobile and pedestrian traffic to and from the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, both of which will be ready for operation in the immediate future; and

Whereas, the completion of both bridges makes necessary a rapid transit system within the confines of the City and County of San Francisco in order to protect present property values by insuring against a possible exodus of population to other localities where rapid transit facilities have already been acquired; and

Whereas, the Secretary of the Interior, Harold L. Ickes, has recently presented ultimatum to the City and County of San Francisco requiring the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and no municipal funds are now available for this purpose; and

Whereas, previous bond issues were approved by the people on the basis of Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works (PWA) supervision, and additional bond issues would no doubt be given preference and supported by the voters under the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works (PWA) methods of procedure; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Congress of these United States be, and it is hereby urged to provide funds for the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works (PWA) in an amount of Seven Hundred Million ($700,000,000) Dollars to represent 45 per cent grants to various non-Federal projects, with the remaining 55 per cent being contributed directly by the various political subdivisions, making possible a total combined expenditure of approximately one and one-half billion ($1,500,000,000) dollars, all of which would be expended under guaranteed costs with remunerative prevailing wages and hours of employment for all artisans and labor thus employed.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

## Boating on Lake Merced.

(Code No. 15.01)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2543, as follows:

Whereas, one of San Francisco's most beautiful settings is Lake Merced; and

Whereas, Lake Merced could be made most attractive for aquatic sports, swimming, and picnic grounds along the shore; and

Whereas, evidence of the fact that Lake Merced lends itself admirably for aquatic sports was evidenced in the regatta which was held on the lake last Saturday by the University of California and

the University of California of Los Angeles, witnessed by two thousand persons; and

Whereas, the then City Engineer, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, stated Lake Merced could be released for aquatic sport when Hetch Hetchy water is available to San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be requested to report to the Board of Supervisors at the earliest possible date what objections if any the Public Utilities Commission might have in permitting boating of all kinds on Lake Merced, building the necessary racks for canoes, a club house, a bath house, and facilities for picnic parties along the shore of the lake.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**In re the Proposed Plans Submitted by San Francisco for the Distribution of Electric Energy Generated at the Hetch Hetchy Project.**

Supervisor Havenner presented the following, copies of which were ordered sent to each member of the Board:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary, Washington

April 22, 1936.

On January 28 I conducted a hearing to consider certain plans submitted by the City and County of San Francisco for the distribution of electric energy generated at the Hetch Hetchy project. These plans were submitted in view of my opinion of August 24, 1935, holding that the existing arrangement between San Francisco and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company constituted a sale of electric energy for the purpose of resale in violation of the prohibition contained in section 6 of the Raker Act.

At the hearing five alternative plans were presented, but reliance was placed only on those which are designated as "No. 4" and "No. 4a". As I understand the situation my opinion is not required as to the other three. On the day following the formal hearing the representatives of San Francisco informally presented to me still another plan with the request that it be considered only in the event that plans No. 4 and No. 4a be determined to be not acceptable. Subsequently a memorandum brief in support of plans No. 4 and No. 4a was submitted by City Attorney O'Toole acting on behalf of San Francisco and a considerable amount of argumentative correspondence was received.

After careful consideration of all of the materials and arguments I have reluctantly come to the conclusion that plans No. 4 and No. 4a do not satisfy the requirements of the Raker Act. I have, however, concluded that the plan submitted subsequent to the formal hearing is acceptable.

Plans No. 4 and 4a are substantially similar. Under both plans San Francisco itself would distribute all of the energy required by a section of the city whose peak demand would necessitate the use of the entire presently available capacity of the Hetch Hetchy generating units. Likewise under both plans the city would acquire the distribution facilities necessary to serve the designated area, but would utilize the facilities of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for furnishing standby service and also for furnishing transmission of the city's energy to San Francisco from the present terminus of the Hetch Hetchy transmission line at Newark.

The plans differ only slightly. Under plan No. 4 the city proposes to sell the entire output of the Hetch Hetchy generating units to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company at Newark and to repurchase in San Francisco the energy needed by the city, leaving to the com-

pany for disposition by it all energy not required by the city's distribution system during periods of off-peak demand. Under plan No. 4a the city proposes, rather than a sale and repurchase, an arrangement whereby the company will transmit the city's energy and furnish the necessary standby service in exchange for a conveyance by the city to the company of that Hetch Hetchy energy not needed by the city during off-peak periods. Under the latter as well as under the former plan the energy not actually used by the city during off-peak periods would be available to the company for sale to its own customer-consumers.

The question for my consideration in connection with each plan is, of course, whether the adoption of the plan would create a violation of that prohibition contained in section 6 of the Raker Act which reads:

"That the grantee is prohibited from ever selling or letting to any corporation or individual, except a municipality or a municipal water district or irrigation district, the right to sell or sublet the water or the electric energy sold or given to it or him by the said grantee."

This prohibition is, in terms, applicable to all or to any part of the energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy project. Consequently, in analyzing plans No. 4 and 4a, it is both necessary and helpful to consider separately the two methods of disposal of energy which are contained in each plan: (1) disposal by the city of part of the energy directly to consumers, and (2) disposal by the city of the rest of the energy to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for distribution by it to consumers.

Considering first only the disposal of that energy which the city itself would distribute to consumers, I am of the opinion that neither plan embodies a transaction forbidden by the Raker Act. As to plan No. 4a there is really no question inasmuch as the city merely proposes to hire the use of the company's facilities for the transmission and transformation of the energy to be distributed by the city, and no sale or disposal of that energy to the company is involved. But under plan No. 4 a sale to the company and a resale by the company to the city is contemplated. In form that proposed arrangement is in violation of the terms of section 6 of the Raker Act, which, as held in my opinion of August 24, 1935, operates to prohibit a sale of water or electric energy for the purpose of resale. The rigor of that statutory prohibition, however, is somewhat modified by a proviso contained in subsection (u) of section 9, which reads as follows:

"*Provided, however*, That the grantee shall at all times comply with and observe on its part all the conditions specified in this Act, and in the event that the same are not reasonably complied with and carried out by the grantee, upon written request of the Secretary of the Interior, it is made the duty of the Attorney-General in the name of the United States to commence all necessary suits or proceedings in the proper court having jurisdiction thereof, for the purpose of enforcing and carrying out the provisions of this Act."

Although the ordinary function of a proviso is to limit or modify only that which immediately precedes it, it may have broader applicability if its language so indicates. From a reading of the above-quoted proviso of section 9 it is clear that it has applicability, not only to that which immediately precedes it, but also to all other conditions expressed in the statute. That the prohibition contained in section 6 is one of those conditions cannot seriously be questioned when the entire statute and its obvious intent are considered.

As to the substance of the proviso, however, greater clarity might be desired. The grantee, San Francisco, is to comply with the provisions of the statute, but enforcement of those provisions is to be sought by appropriate legal proceedings only in the event that there is not *reasonable* compliance. The right of the United States to have compliance by its grantee must, of course, be measured by the remedy which is available to enforce that right. Any other view would em-

body a sacrifice of reality to impractical theory. Consequently, all things considered, it is my opinion that reasonable rather than absolute, literal compliance with the provisions of section 6 is all that is required by the Raker Act. Expressed differently, substantial compliance is sufficient.

Accepting this view of the statute, it seems clear that no violence would be done to the provisions of section 6 by arranging for a sale of electric energy to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company at Newark and a repurchase of a similar amount of energy by the city within the territorial limits of San Francisco for distribution to consumers. In practical effect there would be only an arrangement for the transmission of that energy which is actually distributed to consumers by the city itself in accordance with the intent of the statute. Substantial and reasonable compliance with the requirements of the Raker Act would result. Nor does it matter that the city might not receive in San Francisco the identical energy which it had delivered to the company in Newark. Electric energy cannot be identified or segregated when it becomes mixed with other electric energy, as that energy produced by the city at Hetch Hetchy must become mixed with that produced elsewhere by the company and carried through its Newark transmission lines. The city can no more expect to receive identified energy than can the purchaser of a warehouse receipt for grain expect to receive identified grain. Yet where a fungible commodity such as grain is involved, the situation is in contemplation of law as though the identified thing had been delivered. Certainly no distinction can reasonably be taken because the commodity is electric energy rather than grain. Both are fungible.

Very different considerations are raised, however, concerning the disposition to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company of that portion of the electric energy which would not be required to satisfy the load of the city's distribution system during off-peak periods, a portion which would be approximately 45 per cent of the entire amount of Hetch Hetchy energy available at Newark. That energy would not be redelivered to the city but would be sold by the company to its own customer-consumers. Moreover, the delivery of the energy to the company at Newark would be for the express purpose of allowing that sale by the company to its customer-consumers. It is obvious that if the energy were sold outright to the company at Newark, as is proposed in plan No. 4, there would be a violation of the prohibition contained in section 6 of the act which, as heretofore shown, is applicable to all or any of the energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy project. My opinion of August 24, 1935, is directly applicable to such a situation.

If, on the other hand, the excess energy were to be delivered to the company in exchange for services to be performed by the company, as is proposed in plan No. 4a, the violation of the statutory prohibition is not so obvious. It is nevertheless my opinion that a violation would exist. The prohibition in section 6 expressly operates as to any water or electric energy "sold or given" to the company. From a reading of the statute, the conclusion cannot be avoided that the phrase "sold or given" was intended to and does cover every type of situation in which water or electric energy is or can be delivered to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. If, then, plan No. 4a contemplates a "selling or letting" of the right to sell the excess energy, it proposes a violation of the prohibition contained in section 6.

In my opinion the phrase "selling or letting" was intended to be and is inclusive of all types of means by which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is allowed to exercise a right of sale. Even if the word "selling" is given such a narrow construction as to include only a transfer in exchange for a money consideration and to exclude a transfer in exchange for services, the word "letting" remains sufficiently broad to embrace any means by which a sale of the energy

to its customer-consumers by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is allowed.

It is true that the word "let" has a technical meaning under which its use is coextensive with that of the word "rent", but it also has a broader meaning under which its use is substantially coextensive with that of the word "allow". That the latter meaning of the word "let" and its derivatives is the one intended in section 6 is evident from the use of the word "sublet" in the definition of the thing the selling or letting of which is prohibited. That which is prohibited is the selling or letting of the "right to sell or sublet the water or the electric energy sold or given to it or him by the said grantee." Obviously, the phrase "sell or sublet" is intended to be as inclusive of means of disposal as is the phrase "sold or given". And, as has been heretofore pointed out, the phrase "sold or given" is descriptive of all means by which water or electric energy can be delivered to the company.

In those circumstances the conclusion is inescapable that any delivery of water or electric energy to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company which permits of the sale of that water or electric energy by the company to its customer-consumers is prohibited by section 6. It follows, of necessity, that the proposed method of disposition under plan No. 4a of electric energy not needed by the city to satisfy the load of its distribution system during off-peak periods is in derogation of the provisions of section 6.

It has been strenuously urged that, when plans No. 4 and 4a are viewed as entities, they embody arrangements which are in reasonable and substantial compliance with the mandates of section 6 of the act. In support of that contention it is pointed out that San Francisco will itself be distributing all of the energy generated at the Hetch Hetchy project during periods of peak demand and that the excess energy generated during periods of off-peak demand, or the water power which might be used to generate that excess energy, must be wasted if it cannot be sold or delivered to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. It has also been pointed out that the disposition to the company of that energy which would otherwise be wasted will be of great financial benefit to the city and will make more feasible the acquisition and operation of the proposed municipal distribution system.

These considerations are weighty ones even though, by a proper adaptation of the generating plant to the water supply, the element of economic waste might be eliminated. I desire to do all within my power to secure to the people of San Francisco the greatest possible benefit from the Hetch Hetchy project. But my powers are limited by the provisions of the Raker Act. I cannot conscientiously hold that that act would be complied with reasonably if a plan should be agreed upon whereby approximately 45 per cent of the entire amount of Hetch Hetchy energy delivered at Newark would be conveyed to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for sale by it to its customer-consumers in direct violation of the provisions of section 6.

I regret the necessity for arriving at this conclusion, but I cannot do otherwise. Surely, however, the people of San Francisco, with their fine and efficient civic leadership, can evolve a plan under which their obligations under the Raker Act will be fully performed, and performed at great advantage to San Francisco itself. Apparently such a plan already has been devised.

As I understand the plan informally submitted by representatives of San Francisco on the day following the formal hearing, it is proposed that the city acquire all facilities necessary to transmit Hetch Hetchy energy from Newark to San Francisco, to transform that energy and to distribute it to consumers. This plan does not contemplate the delivery of any Hetch Hetchy energy to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company or to anyone else for the purpose of redelivery

to consumers. It is clear that such a plan does not come into conflict with any of the prohibitions contained in the Raker Act.

(Sgd) HAROLD L. ICKES,
Secretary of the Interior.

### Circus License Fee.

Supervisor Uhl stated that the Al G. Barnes Circus had been granted permit for Wild Animal Show instead of for a Circus, at a correspondingly lower license fee, and moved that the City Attorney give the Board a written opinion, for next Monday's meeting, whether or not the Al G. Barnes Circus paid the proper amount under the ordinance.

Motion *carried.*

### Sidewalk Condition—Golden Gate Avenue and Octavia.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the attention of the Department of Public Works be called to the condition of sidewalk at the southwest corner of Golden Gate avenue and Octavia street.

Motion *carried.*

### Home Foreclosures.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk secure a list of homes where foreclosures have taken place, and to ask the Citizens' Relief Committee what rent they have been paying and where they are paying rent now.

Motion *carried.*

Also, Supervisor Uhl asked, "If they (HOLC) sell land, is equity secured by mortgage returned to owner or does the government take the whole amount of the sale?" He moved, also, that the matter be turned over to the City Attorney to see what he can do.

### Protest "One-Man Car" on Polk Street.

Supervisor Shannon presented communication from Polk and Larkin Street Merchants' Association protesting operation of "one-man car" on Polk street.

*Referred* to Public Utilities Committee.

*Clerk was directed to send letter to Polk Street Merchants' Association, saying matter is in the hands of the City Attorney. Sign Supervisor Shannon's name.*

### Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was presented by the Finance Committee and *finally passed*:

### Appropriating $3,200 From Emergency Reserve, Salaries Meat Inspectors.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 981, Ordinance No. 9.051258, as follows:

Appropriating $3,200 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 for the salary and expenses of meat inspectors in the Department of Public Health, during the period of two months ending June 30, 1936, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,200 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the Department of Public Health for the payment of one veterinarian at $200 a month salary and seven market inspectors at $175 a month salary each and transportation allowance for said seven market in-

spectors at $25 a month each, for a period of two months ending June 30, 1936. The amount of said appropriation to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the general fund from fees collected under the existing ordinances, as well as under any additional ordinances regulating the inspection of meat and meat products hereafter enacted.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once, the nature of said emergency being that the State Department of Agriculture has ordered that the above mentioned additional inspectors be provided prior to May 1st, 1936, on penalty of withdrawal of approval of meat inspection now conducted by the Department of Public Health, if said additional inspectors are not provided. Therefore, said appropriation is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said Public Health Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1

### Meetings Announced.

Public Utilities Committee, Wednesday, April 29, 10:30 a. m.

Special Hetch Hetchy Committee, Thursday, April 30, 1936, 3 p. m.

Joint Committee Public Welfare and Fire, Safety and Police, Tuesday, April 28, 10 a. m.

Building Committee, Thursday, April 30, 10 a. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 4, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 18

Monday, May 4, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

21. SAN FRAN

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MAY 4, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 4, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 27, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### CONSIDERATION OF BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1936-1937.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

# MAYOR'S MESSAGE

MAYOR'S OFFICE,
San Francisco, April 30, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In consonance with the provisions of Section 72 of the Charter, I am herewith transmitting to your honorable Board the consolidated budget estimate and the proposed budget for all departments and offices of the City and County for the fiscal year 1936-1937, including a detailed estimate of all revenues of each department and an estimate of the amount required to meet bond interest, redemption, and other fixed charges of the City and County, and the revenues applicable thereto.

There is also transmitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 72 of the Charter, a draft of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the ensuing fiscal year, which has been prepared by the Controller. It is based on the proposed budget and forms the basis for fiscal and accounting control of each revenue and expenditure item included therein.

In the consideration of the revenue schedule I direct your attention to an excerpt from a letter of March 14, 1936, to me from the Controller:

> "The statement of anticipated revenues and receipts is necessarily subject to revision prior to the transmittal of your consolidated budget to the Board of Supervisors and unquestionably will again have to be revised prior to the final passage of the budget and also prior to the fixing of the tax rate in September.
>
> "The following are some of the reasons contributing to this circumstance:
>
> "It is impossible at this time to estimate, with any degree of accuracy, the several fund surpluses as at June 30, 1936.
>
> "The revenue from sources derived under Section 24 of the Charter is tentative and based upon existing ordinances, a number of which are now under revision."

In the consideration and compilation of the budget estimate, a major objective was to provide adequately for relief, welfare, health activities, and other humanitarian necessities. The amounts as suggested may not be found to be fully adequate to meet the requirements for the ensuing year, and I have, therefore, increased the recommendation for the Emergency Reserve Fund to partially compensate for any delinquency in this respect. I will discuss the items more intimately in this transmittal.

Departments of the City Government were invited to confer with the Mayor and the Controller during the preparation of the budget, and conferences were held with the heads of all municipal departments. Special meetings were held with groups and individuals interested in civic affairs, the reflexes from which aided me materially in reaching my conclusions.

## UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF

Included in the estimate under jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer is a total of $1,300,000 for unemployment relief and continuation of sponsor's contribution to WPA projects. The whole subject matter of relief is one that confronts not only our City and County, but state and federal governments as well. The United States Conference of Mayors requested of the President and the Congress that sufficient moneys be provided by the federal government to continue the work program that now obtains. We hope that the $1,500,000,000 required for the WPA projects will continue effective July 1, next, and that San Francisco will receive its due proportion so that the "employable unemployed" may be kept at work.

Of the $1,300,000 recommended, $900,000 is for the county's contribution for the relief of the "unemployable unemployed."

This is practically an amount equivalent to the [illegible] Works Progress Administration approved items. This amount may not 000 is for sponsor's contribution for continuation of the Works Progress Administration approved items. This amount may not be sufficient to carry on a complete program of street improvement as heretofore approved by your Board, and it is expected that out of the unallocated balances in either the Motor Vehicle Gas Tax Fund or the Quarter Cent Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund moneys may be found to add to the requirement that will be made by the federal government for sponsor's contribution.

WELFARE

There is an increase of $762,980 in the County Welfare Department for widows' pensions, maintenance of the aged, and blind pensions, under existing statutes, and this amount will unquestionably have to be modified should the state and the federal government conclude an agreement under the Federal Social Security Act. Preliminary estimates by the Controller and the Welfare Department indicate that the grant under the Federal Social Security Act would approximately offset the increased cost of the several types of aid. The effect of the Federal Social Security Act on the amount required for the maintenance of minors under the Juvenile Court is rather a complex problem and is now being reviewed by the Controller.

RETIREMENT

The current requirements of the Retirement System have increased $121,353.

STREET LIGHTING

I have recommended $65,000 for the installation of a new underground lighting system on Van Ness Avenue from Market Street to North Point Street, to coordinate with the widening of Van Ness Avenue, and $89,000 for placing service underground on certain bridge approach streets.

BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION

Bond interest and redemption reflects an increase of $189,236.

EMERGENCY RESERVE FUND

I have recommended an increase in the Emergency Reserve Fund of $200,000 primarily to care for such contingencies as may arise, in unemployment relief and welfare, and hospitalization.

The Health Department requested funds for the opening of new wards at the San Francisco Hospital and the Laguna Honda Home, which were not recommended.

My thought in this connection is that when and if conditions require the opening of new wards at the San Francisco Hospital and at the Laguna Honda Home, I will recommend to your Honorable Board that sufficient funds be appropriated to establish this service.

The Health Officer, Director of Public Works, Chief of the Department of Electricity and Agricultural Commissioner requested added employments for various inspection services, which were not recommended pending the time when your Honorable Board will have passed ordinances in conformity with Section 24 of the Charter.

The County Welfare Department requested an increase in its permanent organization which was not recommended. At the present time the County Welfare Department is engaged in picking up an abnormal case load created by the 1935 amendments to the state statutes. When the business of this department returns to normal, a survey will be made to determine its permanent requirements, and an appropriation will be recommended.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor

# ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 1936-1937

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 982, Ordinance No. 9.051259, as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further; that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of actual receipts or collections.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be

available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Sections 79 and 80 of the Charter, or by transfer as provided by Sections 77 and 90 of the Charter.

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation and shall investigate and report upon the necessity of the position to the Mayor, and the Mayor shall approve.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary position shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | | | | | | | | | |
| General—Note A | 17,090,379 | 2,186,444 | 19,276,823 | 2,186,444 | ..... | 121,054 | 4,131,417 | 12,837,908 | 19,276,823 |
| Emergency Reserve | 300,000 | ..... | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Library—Note B | 454,360 | ..... | 454,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,000 | 438,360 | 454,360 |
| Recreation—Note C | 606,564 | ..... | 606,564 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,050 | 569,514 | 606,564 |
| Park—Note D | 1,241,088 | ..... | 1,241,088 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350,000 | 891,088 | 1,241,088 |
| de Young Memorial Museum | 71,100 | 11,980 | 83,080 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | 250 | 70,850 | 83,080 |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | 74,966 | ..... | 74,966 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 74,466 | 74,966 |
| War Memorial | 120,278 | ..... | 120,278 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,285 | 85,993 | 120,278 |
| Employees' Retirement | 1,679,757 | 639,156 | 2,318,913 | 639,156 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,679,757 | 2,318,913 |
| Workmen's Compensation | 40,000 | ..... | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Tax Judgments | 104,616 | ..... | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 | 104,616 |
| Publicity and Advertising | 200,000 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor | 900,000 | ..... | 900,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| WPA PROJECTS FUND | 400,000 | ..... | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | 23,283,108 | 2,837,580 | 26,120,688 | 2,837,580 | ..... | 121,054 | 4,569,502 | 18,592,552 | 26,120,688 |
| OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| County Roads | 1,119,847 | ..... | 1,119,847 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,119,747 | 100 | 1,119,847 |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | 7,466,482 | 7,051,127 | 14,517,609 | 7,051,127 | 355,962 | ..... | ..... | 7,110,520 | 14,517,609 |
| Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes | 7,000 | ..... | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan | 101,727 | ..... | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 | 101,727 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan Interest | 31,224 | ..... | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 | 31,224 |
| Installation Fund—Department of Electricity | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 |
| Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | 9,229,280 | 7,051,127 | 16,280,407 | 7,051,127 | 355,962 | ..... | 1,622,747 | 7,250,571 | 16,280,407 |

(Consolidated Budget, continued on next page)

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Revenue | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | 2,438,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 | ..... | 175,000 | ..... | 2,263,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 |
| Municipal Railway Operating | 3,154,543 | ..... | 3,154,543 | ..... | Cr 31,054 | ..... | 3,185,597 | ..... | 3,154,543 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | 221,003 | 221,003 | 221,003 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 221,003 |
| Public Utilities Commission | ..... | 93,145 | 93,145 | 93,145 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 93,145 |
| Airport | 186,388 | ..... | 186,388 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 | 151,388 | 186,388 |
| TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES | 12,118,911 | 314,148 | 12,433,059 | 314,148 | 143,946 | ..... | 11,823,577 | 151,388 | 12,433,059 |
| CAPITAL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 |
| 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund—Grant | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bond Fund—Grant | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1933 Sewer Bond Fund—Grant | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure Bond Fund—Grant | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House Bond Fund—Grant | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,824,748 | ..... | 1,824,748 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,824,748 | ..... | 1,824,748 |
| TOTAL GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY | 46,456,047 | 10,202,855 | 56,658,902 | 10,202,855 | 499,908 | 121,054 | 19,840,574 | 25,994,511 | 56,658,902 |
| SCHOOL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Common School Fund | 9,347,725 | ..... | 9,347,725 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | 4,713,463 | 4,434,262 | 9,347,725 |
| Special School Tax | 977,000 | ..... | 977,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 977,000 | 977,000 |
| TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS | 10,324,725 | ..... | 10,324,725 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | 4,713,463 | 5,411,262 | 10,324,725 |
| TOTAL BUDGET | 56,780,772 | 10,202,855 | 66,983,627 | 10,202,855 | 699,908 | 121,054 | 24,554,037 | 31,405,773 | 66,983,627 |

Note A—That portion of the appropriation for symphony concerts which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½ cent on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note B—That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $31,755.

Note C—That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note D—That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 10¼ cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $68,416.

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Licenses | | | | | | | |
| Business | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| Business (Badges and Tags) | 9,000 | | | | | | |
| Vehicle (Other than State) | 28,000 | | | | | | |
| Marriage | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Ferry | 4,800 | | | | | | |
| Dog | 14,500 | | | | | | |
| Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Contractors | 17,000 | | | | | | |
| Penalties | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 373,300 | | | | | | 373,300 |
| Permits | | | | | | | |
| Dept. of Public Works | | | | | | | |
| Building | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Bill Board | 400 | | | | | | |
| House Numbers | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Street Space | 5,700 | | | | | | |
| Boiler | 2,500 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | | | | | | | |
| Public Passenger Carriers<br>Hand Bill Distributors<br>Second Hand Dealers | 5,500 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department | | | | | | | |
| Automobile Storage<br>Automobile Repair Shops<br>Automobile Supply Stations<br>Automobile Parking Stations<br>Oil Burners | 2,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 84,300 | | | | | | 84,300 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Fines | | | | | | | |
| Municipal Courts | 78,000 | | | | | | |
| Superior Courts | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Traffic Courts | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 147,000 | | | | | | 147,000 |
| Interest Revenue | 40,000 | | | | | | 40,000 |
| Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes | 70,000 | | | | | | 70,000 |
| Franchises | | | | | | | |
| Telephone | 262,000 | | | | | | |
| California Street Railway | 3,700 | | | | | | |
| Market Street Railway | 48,000 | | | | | | |
| *Amer. District Telegraph | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 317,700 | | | | | | 317,700 |
| State of California | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance of Minors | 140,000 | | | | | | |
| Widows' Pensions | 137,500 | | | | | | |
| Blind Pensions | 57,500 | | | | | | |
| Needy Aged | 775,000 | | | | | | |
| Tubercular Patients | 80,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Boats | 82,000 | | | | | | |
| County Roads | | | 1,119,747 | | | | |
| Special Gas Tax | | | 500,000 | | | | |
| Vehicle (in Lieu) | 425,000 | | | | | | |
| Beverage | 600,000 | | | | | | |
| Common Schools | | | 4,579,713 | | | | |
| Total | 2,297,000 | | 6,199,460 | | | | 8,496,460 |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | | | Receipts by Transfer | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | General Fund | Other Current Funds | Total |
| Federal Government | | | | | | | |
| Aid to High Schools | | | 10,000 | | | | |
| Board of U. S. Prisoners | | 20,000 | | | | | |
| Grant Docket No. 1534 | | | | 61,202 | | | |
| " " No. 1531 | | | | 106,538 | | | |
| " " No. 7942 | | | | 344,251 | | | |
| " " No. 1510 | | | | 807,262 | | | |
| " " No. 1429 | | | | 255,495 | | | |
| Total | | 20,000 | 10,000 | 1,574,748 | | | 1,604,748 |
| Departmental Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue Other Than Taxes | 36,000 | | | | | | |
| Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees | 3,000 | | | | | | |
| —Excavation Fees | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| —Special Inspection | | 13,000 | | | | | |
| —Tearing Up Streets | | 35,000 | | | | | |
| —Advertising Fees | | 600 | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Central Office | | | | | | | |
| Removal Permits | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| Death Certificates | 3,500 | | | | | | |
| Birth Certificates | 1,500 | | | | | | |
| V. Clinic | 200 | | | | | | |
| *Meat Inspection | 61,606 | | | | | | |
| Milk Cooling Plants | 16,800 | | | | | | |
| Milk Inspection, Dairy | 22,000 | | | | | | |
| Pasteurization Inspection | 8,200 | | | | | | |
| Restaurant Inspection | 51,000 | | | | | | |
| Food Wagon Permits | 200 | | | | | | |
| Massage Parlor Permits | 250 | | | | | | |
| Sanitation Permits | 2,500 | | | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending.

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues—(Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Central Office (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Master Plumbers' Licenses | 3,600 | | | | | | |
| Plumbing Fixture Permits | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| Laundries, Stables, etc. | 300 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Dealer, Registration | 25 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Permits | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| Fumigations | 50 | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 60 | | | | | | |
| San Francisco Hospital | | | | | | | |
| Care of Patients | 2,800 | | | | | | |
| Other | 800 | | | | | | |
| Laguna Honda Home | | | | | | | |
| Care of Inmates | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Sheriff Fees | 33,000 | | | | | | |
| Municipal Court Fees | 60,000 | | | | | | |
| County Clerk Fees | 93,000 | | | | | | |
| Coroner | 800 | | | | | | |
| Treasurer | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| Registrar of Voters | 2,126 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | 2,200 | | | | | | |
| Recorder | 125,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous | 3,400 | | | | | | |
| Juvenile Court | | | | | | | |
| Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| City Collections | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Public Administrator | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Art Commission—Symphony Concerts | | 10,000 | | | | | |
| Pound | 3,100 | | | | | | |
| Purchasing Department | 1,500 | | | | | | |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| City Planning Commission Fees | 600 | | | | | | |
| Agricultural Commission | | | | | | | |
| Export Inspection Fees | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| City Institutions | 800 | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| *Registration Fees | 18,000 | | | | | | |
| Electrical Inspection Fees | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Electric Sign Inspection Fees | 5,000 | | | | | | |
| Installation Fund | | | 3,000 | | | | |
| Real Estate Department | | | | | | | |
| Rents, General | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Rents, School | | | 103,750 | | | | |
| Rents, Auditorium | | 40,654 | | | | | |
| Commissions | | 1,800 | | | | | |
| War Memorial | | | | | | | |
| Rent, Opera House | | | 29,000 | | | | |
| Concessions, Opera House | | | 3,750 | | | | |
| Rent, Veterans' Auditorium | | | 1,500 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 35 | | | | |
| Board of Education | | | | | | | |
| Tuition—From Other Counties | | | 20,000 | | | | |
| Park | | | 350,000 | | | | |
| Recreation | | | | | | | |
| Camp | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Swimming | | | 1,600 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 450 | | | | |
| Library—Fines, etc. | | | 16,000 | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| de Young Museum | ..... | ..... | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Total | 802,117 | 101,054 | 564,835 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,468,006 |
| Public Utilities | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | 2,263,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | 3,185,597 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Water Department | ..... | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Airport | ..... | ..... | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | 11,823,577 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,823,577 |
| Receipts from Surplus | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | 175,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | Cr. 31,054 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | ..... | ..... | 355,962 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | 699,908 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 699,908 |
| Capital Funds | | | | | | | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |
| Receipts by Transfer | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| City Attorney | | | | | | | |
| From Public Utilities Commission Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,400 | ..... | 21,400 |
| Purchasing Department | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (203) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | ..... | ..... |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (203) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | ..... | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund (204) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 715 | ..... | ..... |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund: Allocated to Fund | General Fund: Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | General Fund | Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| General Fund—Continued | | | | | | | |
| Purchasing Department (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| From Library Fund (204) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial Fund (204) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 383 | ..... | ..... |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (217) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 | ..... | ..... |
| From Employees' Retirement System Fund (225) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 42 | ..... | ..... |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (233) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60 | ..... | ..... |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (233) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 30 | ..... | ..... |
| From Airport Fund (400) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,500 | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,860 | ..... | 7,860 |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| From County Road Fund (647.903.01) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 |
| Controller | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund (248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Department Fund (101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,950 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Department Fund (248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,400 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Fund (101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Fund (248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,150 | ..... | ..... |
| From Unemployment Relief Fund (101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund (248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 850 | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,700 | ..... | 12,700 |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings | | | | | | | |
| From Park Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 46,470 | ..... | ..... |
| From Recreation Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Library | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—Art Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | ..... |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts by Transfer—Continued | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings—Continued | | | | | | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Airport | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 169,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—Street Repair | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,100 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—Bridges | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 750,470 | ..... | 750,470 |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Water Revenue | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,389,014 | ..... | 1,389,014 |
| de Young Memorial Museum Fund | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,980 | 11,980 |
| Employees' Retirement Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Library Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,500 | ..... |
| From Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 423,000 | ..... |
| From Special School Tax Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 800 | ..... |
| From Park Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,500 | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100,000 | ..... |
| From Airport Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,356 | ..... |
| From County Road Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... |
| From Water Department Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 43,000 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 639,156 | 639,156 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund: Allocated to Fund | General Fund: Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer: General Fund | Receipts by Transfer: Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | | | | | | | |
| From 1933 Sewer Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | * 61,202 | ..... |
| From 1933 High Pressure Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *106,538 | ..... |
| From 1934 School House Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *344,251 | ..... |
| From 1933 Water Distribution Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *807,262 | ..... |
| From 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *255,495 | ..... |
| From County Road Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,116,854 | ..... |
| From Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,977,025 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 182,500 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,051,127 | 7,051,127 |
| Public Utilities Commission | | | | | | | |
| From Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,827 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway (Claims) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,220 | ..... |
| From Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 49,772 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,326 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 93,145 | 93,145 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 114,442 | ..... |
| From Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,561 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 221,003 | 221,003 |
| Taxes | 18,609,964 | ..... | 12,795,809 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,405,773 |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 22,741,381 | 121,054 | 32,093,589 | 1,824,748 | 2,186,444 | 8,016,411 | 66,983,627 |

* Capital Funds

## FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION FISCAL YEAR 1936-1937

| | ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS | | | ESTIMATED REVENUES | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Estimated Surplus Forward | | |
| | Interest | Redemption | Total | Interest | Redemption | Grant |
| **GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY:** | | | | | | |
| 1927 Boulevards | 327,128 | 469,000 | 796,128 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Sewers | 89,960 | 88,000 | 177,960 | 51,928 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure | 55,500 | 100,000 | 155,500 | 48,961 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House | 72,000 | 300,000 | 372,000 | 27,748 | ..... | 344,251 |
| Other | 1,881,388 | 2,742,100 | 4,623,488 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 2,425,976 | 3,699,100 | 6,125,076 | 128,637 | ..... | 511,991 |
| **PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES:** | | | | | | |
| Water Department | 1,530,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Water Distribution | 398,280 | 584,000 | 982,280 | 175,018 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1910 Water | 1,260,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,260,000 | 158 | 47 | ..... |
| 1925 Water | 406,250 | 250,000 | 656,250 | ..... | 14 | ..... |
| 1928 Hetch Hetchy | 1,080,000 | ..... | 1,080,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1932 Hetchy Hetchy | 346,803 | ..... | 346,803 | 6,999 | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam | 122,500 | 175,000 | 297,500 | 42,005 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1913 Municipal Railway | 82,500 | 100,000 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Airport | 5,200 | 52,000 | 57,200 | 3,084 | ..... | ..... |
| | 5,231,533 | 3,161,000 | 8,392,533 | 227,264 | 61 | 1,062,757 |
| | 7,657,509 | 6,860,100 | 14,517,609 | 355,901 | 61 | 1,574,748 |

| ESTIMATED REVENUES | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County Road Fund | From Proceeds of Bond Fund Indicated | Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue | Water Revenue | Railway Revenue | Taxes | Total | |
| | | | | | | | GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY |
| 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 796,128 | 1927 Boulevards |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 177,960 | 1933 Sewers |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,284,448 | 155,500 | 1933 High Pressure |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 372,000 | 1934 School House |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,623,488 | Other |
| 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,284,448 | 6,125,076 | |
| | | | | | | | PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | 2,530,000 | Water Department |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 982,280 | 1933 Water Distribution |
| ..... | ..... | 959,548 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1910 Water |
| ..... | ..... | 1,157,306 | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1925 Water |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,771,956 | 4,343,053 | 1928 Hetch Hetchy |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | 47,025 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1932 Hetch Hetchy |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 297,500 | 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 182,500 | ..... | 182,500 | 1913 Municipal Railway |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,116 | 57,200 | 1933 Airport |
| ..... | ..... | 2,116,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 1,826,072 | 8,392,533 | |
| 200,000 | ..... | 2,116,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 7,110,520 | 14,517,609 | |

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 601 | BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | | | | | |
| 601.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 64,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 64,860 |
| 601.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 4,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,900 |
| 601.200.00 | Contractual Services | 43,700 | 39,700 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 601.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 950 | 950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 601.814.00 | Membership Dues | 625 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 625 |
| 601.248.00 | Audit of Controller's Books | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| | | 120,035 | 40,650 | ..... | ..... | 79,385 |
| 602 | MAYOR | | | | | |
| 602.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 40,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,360 |
| 602.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,570 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,570 |
| 602.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,650 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 47,580 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | 45,930 |
| 603 | ASSESSOR | | | | | |
| 603.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 127,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 127,100 |
| 603.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 87,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 87,000 |
| 603.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,420 | 9,220 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 603.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 13,250 | 13,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 603.400.00 | Equipment | 250 | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 603.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 82 | 82 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 237,102 | 22,802 | ..... | ..... | 214,300 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 604 | CITY ATTORNEY | | | | | |
| 604.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 68,500 | ..... | ..... | 19,600 | 88,100 |
| 604.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 604.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,400 | 400 | ..... | 1,800 | 10,800 |
| 604.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 604.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 79,500 | 1,400 | ..... | 21,400 | 99,500 |
| 605 | DISTRICT ATTORNEY | | | | | |
| 605.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 104,780 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,780 |
| 605.200.00 | Contractual Services | 465 | 465 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 605.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 850 | 850 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 605.900.00 | Dentention and Prosecution of Criminals | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 605.901.00 | District Attorney's Secret Fund | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| | | 110,095 | 1,315 | ..... | ..... | 108,780 |
| 606 | TREASURER | | | | | |
| 606.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 45,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,800 |
| 606.200.00 | Contractual Services | 375 | 375 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 606.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,100 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 606.800.00 | Premium on Official Bonds | 8,275 | 8,275 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 55,550 | 9,750 | ..... | ..... | 45,800 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 607 | SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation $20,000) | | | | | |
| 607.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 288,704 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 288,704 |
| 607.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 5,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,320 |
| 607.200.00 | Contractual Services—Administrative | 3,700 | 1,400 | ..... | ..... | 2,300 |
| 607.200.01 | Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1 | 794 | 584 | ..... | ..... | 210 |
| 607.200.02 | Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2 | 14,190 | 13,830 | ..... | ..... | 360 |
| 607.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—Administrative | 3,865 | 3,865 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1 | 4,000 | 1,830 | ..... | ..... | 2,170 |
| 607.300.02 | Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2 | 13,000 | 8,275 | ..... | ..... | 4,725 |
| 607.334.00 | Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1 | 13,000 | 850 | ..... | ..... | 12,150 |
| 607.334.01 | Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2 | 23,000 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | 21,350 |
| 607.400.00 | Equipment | 4,500 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.809.00 | Rents, Garage | 240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 |
| 607.812.00 | Taxes, Sneath Ranch | 175 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 175 |
| | | 374,488 | 36,784 | ..... | ..... | 337,704 |
| 608 | PUBLIC DEFENDER | | | | | |
| 608.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 18,380 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,380 |
| 608.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 75 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75 |
| 608.200.00 | Contractual Services | 90 | 90 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 608.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 18,645 | 190 | ..... | ..... | 18,455 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 609 | POLICE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 609.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,380,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,380,240 |
| 609.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,762 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,762 |
| 609.200.00 | Contractual Services | 51,512 | 51,357 | ..... | ..... | 155 |
| 609.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—City Prison | 1,400 | 1,128 | ..... | ..... | 272 |
| 609.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus | 49,710 | 40,770 | ..... | ..... | 8,940 |
| 609.334.00 | Foodstuffs—City Prison | 5,000 | 177 | ..... | ..... | 4,823 |
| 609.400.00 | Equipment | 27,500 | 27,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 2,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,280 |
| 609.901.00 | Contingent Fund | 9,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,000 |
| | | 3,528,404 | 120,932 | ..... | ..... | 3,407,472 |
| 610 | FIRE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 610.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 2,899,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,899,200 |
| 610.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Fireboats | 144,971 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 144,971 |
| 610.103.00 | Wages | 6,477 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,477 |
| 610.200.00 | Contractual Services | 162,609 | 154,409 | ..... | ..... | 8,200 |
| 610.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 39,300 | 21,500 | ..... | ..... | 17,800 |
| 610.400.00 | Equipment | 95,000 | 95,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 610.809.00 | Rent—Engine Co. No. 2 | 1,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,200 |
| | | 3,348,757 | 270,909 | ..... | ..... | 3,077,848 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 611 | BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS | | | | | |
| 611.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 611.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 611.200.00 | Contractual Services | 20 | 20 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,020 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 616 | ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation $10,000) | | | | | |
| 616.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,900 |
| 616.200.00 | Contractual Services | 155 | 55 | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 616.200.01 | Municipal Band | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 616.200.02 | Municipal Symphony Concerts | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,000 |
| 616.200.03 | Christmas Eve Celebration | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 616.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 69,655 | 155 | ..... | ..... | 69,500 |
| 619.200.00 | STEINHART AQUARIUM | 40,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,500 |
| 620 | MUNICIPAL COURT | | | | | |
| 620.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 190,908 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 190,908 |
| 620.105.00 | Jury and Witness Fees | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 620.106.00 | Court Reporters | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 620.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,870 | 2,820 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 620.249.00 | Reporters' Transcriptions | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 620.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,450 | 3,450 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 220,128 | 6,270 | ..... | ..... | 213,858 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 621 | SUPERIOR COURT | | | | | |
| 621.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 136,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 136,680 |
| 621.105.00 | Jury and Witness Fees | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 621.105.01 | Grand Jury | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 |
| 621.106.01 | Court Reporters | 11,675 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,675 |
| 621.106.02 | Examination of Insane | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 621.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.249.00 | Reporters' Transcriptions | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 621.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,500 | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.900.00 | Court Orders | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| | | 225,855 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | 217,355 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 621.200.02 | Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| | | 242,855 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | 234,355 |
| 622 | LAW LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 622.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 11,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,100 |
| 622.200.00 | Contractual Services | 40 | 40 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 622.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 350 | 350 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 11,490 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 11,100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 623 | JUVENILE COURT | | | | | |
| 623.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 67,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 67,680 |
| 623.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 623.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,440 | 700 | ..... | ..... | 1,740 |
| 623.206.00 | Maintenance of Minors | 550,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 550,000 |
| 623.207.00 | State Schools | 17,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,500 |
| 623.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,675 | 1,675 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 623.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 623.809.00 | Garage Rent | 180 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 180 |
| | | 640,775 | 3,375 | ..... | ..... | 637,400 |
| 624 | JUVENILE DETENTION HOME | | | | | |
| 624.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 22,686 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,686 |
| 624.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 624.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,015 | 2,215 | ..... | ..... | 800 |
| 624.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,000 | 803 | ..... | ..... | 1,197 |
| 624.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 4,500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 624.400.00 | Equipment | 250 | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 624.809.00 | Room Allowance | 192 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 192 |
| 624.900.00 | Services of Department of Public Health | 3,600 | 3,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 36,843 | 7,368 | ..... | ..... | 29,475 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 625 | ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 625.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 26,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,100 |
| 625.200.00 | Contractual Services | 375 | 75 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 625.328.00 | Books, Printing, Stationery | 490 | 490 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 625.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 27,165 | 765 | ..... | ..... | 26,400 |
| 626 | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER | | | | | |
| 626.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 18,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,900 |
| 626.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,450 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 626.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 800 | 800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 626.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 22,350 | 2,550 | ..... | ..... | 19,800 |
| 627 | DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS | | | | | |
| 627.101 | Permanent Salaries | 7,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,860 |
| 627.300 | Materials and Supplies | 150 | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,010 | 150 | ..... | ..... | 7,860 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 628 | TAX COLLECTOR | | | | | |
| 628.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 88,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 88,940 |
| 628.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue | 7,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,500 |
| 628.101.03 | Permanent Salaries—Tax Redemption Department | 4,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,620 |
| 628.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 31,775 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,775 |
| 628.102.02 | Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 628.102.03 | Temporary Salaries—Tax Redemption Department | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 628.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,170 | 710 | ..... | ..... | 6,460 |
| 628.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 7,250 | 7,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 628.400.00 | Equipment | 768 | 768 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 159,823 | 8,728 | ..... | ..... | 151,095 |
| 629 | REGISTRAR OF VOTERS | | | | | |
| 629.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 56,040 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 56,040 |
| 629.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 50,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,100 |
| 629.106.00 | Election Workers | 45,525 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,525 |
| 629.200.00 | Contractual Services | 47,487 | 1,292 | ..... | ..... | 46,195 |
| 629.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,125 | 900 | ..... | ..... | 225 |
| 629.400.00 | Equipment | 150 | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 629.410.00 | Voting Machines Payment | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,000 |
| 629.809.00 | Warehouse Rental | 4,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,800 |
| 629.809.01 | Rent of Polling Places | 14,550 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,550 |
| | | 269,777 | 2,342 | ..... | ..... | 267,435 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 630 | RECORDER | | | | | |
| 630.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 85,235 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 85,235 |
| 630.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 7,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,500 |
| 630.200.00 | Contractual Services | 390 | 330 | ..... | ..... | 60 |
| 630.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,800 | 3,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 630.400.00 | Equipment | 320 | 320 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 97,245 | 4,450 | ..... | ..... | 92,795 |
| 631 | COUNTY CLERK | | | | | |
| 631.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 140,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 140,160 |
| 631.200.00 | Contractual Services | 352 | 302 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 631.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 4,000 | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 631.400.00 | Equipment | 8,500 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 153,012 | 12,802 | ..... | ..... | 140,210 |
| 632 | PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR | | | | | |
| 632.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 38,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 38,200 |
| 632.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,280 | 990 | ..... | ..... | 290 |
| 632.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 600 | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 632.809.00 | Rentals | 295 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 295 |
| | | 40,375 | 1,590 | ..... | ..... | 38,785 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 633 | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 633.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 125,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 125,620 |
| 633.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 633.103.00 | Wages | 5,329 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,329 |
| | Contractual Services | | | | | |
| | Auto Hire ($29,582) | | | | | |
| 633.203.03 | Assessor | ..... | ..... | 5,400 | ..... | 5,400 |
| 633.203.07 | Sheriff | ..... | ..... | 1,320 | ..... | 1,320 |
| 633.203.09 | Police Department | ..... | ..... | 360 | ..... | 360 |
| 633.203.17 | California Palace of Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | 240 |
| 633.203.18 | De Young Memorial Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | 240 |
| 633.203.28 | Tax Collector | ..... | ..... | 360 | ..... | 360 |
| 633.203.29 | Registrar of Voters | ..... | ..... | 700 | ..... | 700 |
| 633.203.33 | Purchaser | 2,142 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,142 |
| 633.203.34 | Real Estate Department | ..... | ..... | 960 | ..... | 960 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.203.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | ..... | ..... | 1,440 | ..... | 1,440 |
| 633.203.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | ..... | ..... | 2,520 | ..... | 2,520 |
| 633.203.40 | Bureau of Engineering | ..... | ..... | 3,360 | ..... | 3,360 |
| 633.203.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | ..... | ..... | 1,080 | ..... | 1,080 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.203.50 | Central Office | ..... | ..... | 5,700 | ..... | 5,700 |
| 633.203.54 | Emergency Hospital | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.56 | County Welfare Department | ..... | ..... | 1,200 | ..... | 1,200 |
| 633.203.58 | Agricultural Commission | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | 1,800 |
| 633.203.61 | City Planning Commission | ..... | ..... | 460 | ..... | 460 |
| | Scavenger Service ($ 6,685) | | | | | |
| 633.204.07 | Sheriff | ..... | ..... | 224 | ..... | 224 |
| 633.204.09 | Police Department | ..... | ..... | 344 | ..... | 344 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Scavenger Service (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.204.10 | Fire Department | ..... | ..... | 1,878 | ..... | 1,878 |
| 633.204.13 | Recreation | ..... | ..... | ..... | 715 | 715 |
| 633.204.14 | Library | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 | 450 |
| 633.204.15 | War Memorial | ..... | ..... | ..... | 383 | 383 |
| 633.204.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | ..... | ..... | 65 | ..... | 65 |
| 633.204.29 | Registrar of Voters | ..... | ..... | 12 | ..... | 12 |
| 633.204.35 | Auditorium | ..... | ..... | 314 | ..... | 314 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.204.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | ..... | ..... | 941 | ..... | 941 |
| 633.204.49 | Department of Electricity | ..... | ..... | 36 | ..... | 36 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.204.50 | Central Office | ..... | ..... | 65 | ..... | 65 |
| 633.204.53 | San Francisco Hospital | ..... | ..... | 1,008 | ..... | 1,008 |
| 633.204.54 | Emergency Hospital | ..... | ..... | 250 | ..... | 250 |
| | Shop Expense ($180,390) | ..... | ..... | | | |
| 633.209.03 | Assessor | ..... | ..... | 100 | ..... | 100 |
| 633.209.07 | Sheriff | ..... | ..... | 550 | ..... | 550 |
| 633.209.09 | Police Department | ..... | ..... | 25,200 | ..... | 25,200 |
| 633.209.10 | Fire Department | ..... | ..... | 128,000 | ..... | 128,000 |
| 633.209.23 | Juvenile Court | ..... | ..... | 400 | ..... | 400 |
| 633.209.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | ..... | ..... | 50 | ..... | 50 |
| 633.209.28 | Tax Collector | ..... | ..... | 100 | ..... | 100 |
| 633.209.29 | Registrar of Voters | ..... | ..... | 125 | ..... | 125 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.209.36 | General Office | ..... | ..... | 75 | ..... | 75 |
| 633.209.37 | Bureau of Accounts | ..... | ..... | 500 | ..... | 500 |
| 633.209.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | ..... | ..... | 1,000 | ..... | 1,000 |
| 633.209.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | ..... | ..... | 100 | ..... | 100 |
| 633.209.40 | Bureau of Engineering | ..... | ..... | 400 | ..... | 400 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Shop Expense (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.209.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.209.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.209.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.209.50 | Central Office | | | 3,960 | | 3,960 |
| 633.209.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.209.54 | Emergency Hospital | | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 633.209.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.209.57 | Coroner | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.209.60 | Controller | | | 80 | | 80 |
| | Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment ($5,100) | | | | | |
| 633.210.03 | Assessor | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.06 | Treasurer | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.210.07 | Sheriff | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.09 | Police Department | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.210.10 | Fire Department | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.210.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.28 | Tax Collector | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.30 | Recorder | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.210.31 | County Clerk | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.210.32 | Public Administrator | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.210.33 | Purchaser | 150 | | | | 150 |
| 633.210.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 50 | | 50 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.210.36 | General Office | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.210.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.210.50 | Central Office | | | 395 | | 395 |
| 633.210.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 10 | | 10 |
| 633.210.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.210.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.57 | Coroner | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.210.60 | Controller | | | 1,930 | | 1,930 |
| | Towel Service ($ 4,680) | | | | | |
| 633.217.09 | Police Department | | | 858 | | 858 |
| 633.217.10 | Fire Department | | | 31 | | 31 |
| 633.217.18 | de Young Museum | | | | 200 | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.217.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 3,375 | | 3,375 |
| 633.217.31-1 | Purchaser—Shop No. 1 | 21 | | | | 21 |
| 633.217.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 185 | | 185 |
| 633.217.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 10 | | 10 |
| | Telephone and Telegraph ($19,929) | | | | | |
| 633.225.01 | Supervisor | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 663.225.03 | Assessor | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.225.04 | City Attorney | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.225.05 | District Attorney | | | 465 | | 465 |
| 633.225.06 | Treasurer | | | 125 | | 125 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Telephone and Telegraph (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.225.07 | Sheriff | | | 620 | | 620 |
| 633.225.08 | Public Defender | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.225.09 | Police Department | | | 3,695 | | 3,695 |
| 633.225.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,300 | | 1,300 |
| 633.225.11 | Board of Permit Appeals | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.225.16 | Art Commission | | | 55 | | 55 |
| 633.225.20 | Municipal Court | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.225.21 | Superior Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.225.22 | Law Library | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.225.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.225.25 | Adult Probation Department | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.225.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.225.28 | Tax Collector | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.225.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.225.30 | Recorder | | | 80 | | 80 |
| 633.225.31 | County Clerk | | | 102 | | 102 |
| 633.225.32 | Public Administrator | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.225.33 | Purchaser | 220 | | | | 220 |
| 633.225.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 330 | | 330 |
| 633.225.36 | Department of Public Works—General Office | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.225.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 400 | | 400 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.225.50 | Central Office | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.225.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 780 | | 780 |
| 633.225.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 2,160 | | 2,160 |
| 633.225.54 | Emergency Hospital | | | 420 | | 420 |
| 633.225.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 720 | | 720 |
| 633.225.57 | Coroner | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.225.58 | Agricultural Commission | | | 250 | | 250 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Telephone and Telegraph (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.225.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.225.60 | Controller | | | 550 | | 550 |
| 633.225.61 | City Planning Commission | | | 35 | | 35 |
| 633.225.71 | Civil Service Commission | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.225.72 | Employees' Retirement System | | | | 42 | 42 |
| | Other Contractual Services ($91,439) | | | | | |
| | Supervisors | | | | | |
| 633.230.01 | Printing Journals and Calendars | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| 633.229.01 | Publication of Ordinances, Resolutions, Etc. | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| | Assessor | | | | | |
| 633.208.03 | Rental—Meter and Tabulator | | | 3,120 | | 3,120 |
| | Treasurer | | | | | |
| 633.201.06 | Carfare | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Police Department | | | | | |
| 633.212.09 | Repairs to Police Launch | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.223.09 | Horseshoeing | | | 2,300 | | 2,300 |
| 633.224.09 | Washing and Greasing Autos | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| | Fire Department | | | | | |
| 633.212.10 | Drydocking Fireboats | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| 633.277.10 | Advertising | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | California Palace of Legion of Honor | | | | | |
| 633.233.17 | Maintenance of Burglar Alarm | | | | 60 | 60 |
| | De Young Memorial Museum | | | | | |
| 633.233.18 | Maintenance of Burglar Alarm | | | | 30 | 30 |
| | Municipal Court | | | | | |
| 633.230.20 | Printing Court Calendars | | | 2,570 | | 2,570 |
| | Superior Court | | | | | |
| 633.230.21 | Printing Court Calendars | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Other Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Juvenile Detention Home | | | | | |
| 633.216.24 | Elevator Inspection and Repairs | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Registrar of Voters | | | | | |
| 633.233.29 | Miscellaneous | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Public Administrator | | | | | |
| 633.230.32 | Annual Report | | | 800 | | 800 |
| | Purchasing Department | | | | | |
| 633.226.33 | License Tags and Plates | 5,500 | | | | 5,500 |
| 633.233.33-1 | McGill Commodity Service | 60 | | | | 60 |
| 633.230.33 | Printing Public Documents | 2,500 | | | | 2,500 |
| 633.277.33 | Official Advertising | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 633.208.33-1 | Rental of Tabulating Machines | 4,554 | | | | 4,554 |
| 633.233.33-2 | Removal of Dead Animals | 6,000 | | | | 6,000 |
| 633.208.33-2 | Rental of Postage Meter | 120 | | | | 120 |
| 633.201.33 | Carfare | 60 | | | | 60 |
| 633.202.33 | Freight on Tabulating Cards | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 633.231.33-1 | Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1 | 2,850 | 2,850 | | | |
| 633.231.33-2 | Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2 | 500 | 500 | | | |
| 633.231.33-3 | Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse | 550 | 550 | | | |
| | Real Estate Department | | | | | |
| 633.233.34 | Directory Service | | | 90 | | 90 |
| | Department of Public Health—Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.225.50 | Postage | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | Controller | | | | | |
| 633.208.60 | Rental Tabulating Machines | | | 525 | | 525 |
| | City Planning Commission | | | | | |
| 633.277.61 | Official Advertising | | | 250 | | 250 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies: | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies—Miscellaneous....($ 2,300)..... | | | | | |
| | Police Department (Stations and Bureaus) | | | | | |
| 633.310.09-1 | Supplies—Automobile . | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.310.09-2 | Supplies—Police Launch | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.315.09-1 | Batteries—Automobile . | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.315.09-2 | Batteries—Radio . | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.315.09-3 | Heavy Duty Generators—Replacements | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.315.09-4 | Batteries—Motorcycles . | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Drygoods and Sewing Materials.....($25,163)..... | | | | | |
| 633.314.07-1 | Sheriff—Jail No. 1 | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.314.07-2 | Sheriff—Jail No. 2 | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| 633.314.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 548 | | 548 |
| 633.314.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 515 | | 515 |
| 633.314.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.314.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.314.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.314.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| | Gasoline and Oil.....($61,354)..... | | | | | |
| 633.318.03 | Assessor . | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.318.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration . | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.318.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 825 | | 825 |
| 633.318.09 | Police Department | | | 21,900 | | 21,900 |
| 633.318.11 | Fire Department | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.318.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 450 | | 450 |
| 633.318.28 | Tax Collector | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.318.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 100 | | 100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Gasoline and Oil (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.318.33-1 | Purchasing Department | 30 | | | | 30 |
| 633.318.33-2 | Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 | 300 | | | | 300 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.318.36 | General Office | | | 144 | | 144 |
| 633.318.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.318.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.318.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.318.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.318.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 9,200 | | 9,200 |
| 633.318.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.318.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,825 | | 1,825 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.318.50 | Central Office | | | 2,605 | | 2,605 |
| 633.318.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 1,350 | | 1,350 |
| 633.318.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.318.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,600 | | 1,600 |
| 633.318.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 725 | | 725 |
| 633.318.57 | Coroner | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.318.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.60 | Controller | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc.....($111,170) | | | | | |
| 633.328.01 | Supervisors | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.328.02 | Mayor | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.328.03 | Assessor | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.328.04 | City Attorney | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.328.05 | District Attorney | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.328.06 | Treasurer | | | 1,100 | | 1,100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.328.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 2,865 | | 2,865 |
| 633.328.08 | Public Defender | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.09 | Police Department | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 633.328.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.328.16 | Art Commission | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.20 | Municipal Court | | | 3,450 | | 3,450 |
| 633.328.21-1 | Superior Court—Law Books | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.21-2 | Superior Court—Secretary | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.21-3 | Superior Court—Grand Jury | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.328.22 | Law Library | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.328.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 1,150 | | 1,150 |
| 633.328.25 | Adult Probation | | | 490 | | 490 |
| 633.328.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.328.27 | Director of Finance and Records | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.328.28 | Tax Collector | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 633.328.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.328.30 | Recorder | | | 3,800 | | 3,800 |
| 633.328.31 | County Clerk | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| 633.328.32 | Public Administrator | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.33 | Purchasing Department | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 633.328.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.34-1 | Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328 | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.328.36 | General Office | | | 5,300 | | 5,300 |
| 633.328.40 | Engineering | | | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| 633.328.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.328.50 | Central Office | | | 6,950 | | 6,950 |
| 633.328.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 5,800 | | 5,800 |
| 633.328.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.328.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| 633.328.57 | Coroner | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.58 | Agricultural Commission | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.328.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.328.60 | Controller | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.328.61 | City Planning Commission | | | 275 | | 275 |
| 633.328.71 | Civil Service Commission | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| | Clothing and Wearing Apparel ($21,825) | | | | | |
| 633.329.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.329.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| 633.329.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 633.329.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.329.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 225 | | 225 |
| 633.329.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 1,200 | | 1,200 |
| | Tires and Tubes ($11,155) | | | | | |
| 633.337.01 | Supervisors | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.02 | Mayor | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.05 | District Attorney | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.09 | Police Department | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Tires and Tubes (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.337.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.337.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.28 | Tax Collector | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.33 | Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 | 175 | | | | 175 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.337.36 | General Office | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.337.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.337.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repairs | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.337.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 600 | | 600 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.337.50 | Central Office | | | 695 | | 695 |
| 633.337.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.337.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.337.57 | Coroner | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.60 | Controller | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Cleaning, Polishing, Laboratory Supplies....($15,080) | | | | | |
| 633.338.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 730 | | 730 |
| 633.338.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 1,250 | | 1,250 |
| 633.338.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 35 | | 35 |
| 633.338.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Cleaning, Polishing, Laboratory Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.338.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| 633.338.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 140 | | 140 |
| 633.338.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 425 | | 425 |
| | Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc. ($2,855) | | | | | |
| 633.339.07 | Sheriff | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.339.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.339.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.339.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 55 | | 55 |
| 633.339.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies ($21,833) | | | | | |
| 633.340.07 | Sheriff | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.340.09-1 | Police Department—Stations and Bureaus | | | 370 | | 370 |
| 633.340.09-2 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 580 | | 580 |
| 633,340.10 | Fire Department | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 633.340.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 288 | | 288 |
| 633.340.33 | Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 633.340.38 | Department of Public Works—Building Repair | | | 5,700 | | 5,700 |
| 633.340.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 70 | | 70 |
| 633.340.51 | Lagunda Honda Home | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.340.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.340.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.340.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Canned Goods ($48,277) | | | | | |
| 633.351.07 | Sheriff | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.351.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 177 | | 177 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Canned Goods (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.351.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.351.51 | Lagunda Honda Home | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| 633.351.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 28,800 | | 28,800 |
| 633.351.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.351.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 2,175 | | 2,175 |
| | Equipment ($262,290) | | | | | |
| 633.400.03 | Assessor | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.400.04 | City Attorney | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.07 | Sheriff | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.400.09 | Police Department | | | 27,500 | | 27,500 |
| 633.400.10 | Fire Department | | | 95,000 | | 95,000 |
| 633.400.21 | Superior Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.400.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.400.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.400.25 | Adult Probation | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.400.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.400.28 | Tax Collector | | | 768 | | 768 |
| 633.400.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.400.30 | Recorder | | | 320 | | 320 |
| 633.400.31 | County Clerk | | | 8,500 | | 8,500 |
| 633.400.33 | Purchaser | 20,000 | | | | 20,000 |
| 633.400.33-2 | Purchaser—Shop No. 2 | 3,500 | | | | 3,500 |
| 633.400.33-3 | Purchaser—Warehouse | 2,000 | | | | 2,000 |
| 633.400.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.400.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.400.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 1,900 | | 1,900 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Equipment (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works (continued) | | | | | |
| 633.400.41 | Central Permit Bureau | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.400.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 22,550 | | 22,550 |
| 633.400.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.400.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.400.50 | Central Office | | | 7,110 | | 7,110 |
| 633.400.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| 633.400.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 35,000 | | 35,000 |
| 633.400.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| 633.400.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.57 | Coroner | | | 2,392 | | 2,392 |
| 633.400.58 | Agricultural Commission | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.400.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.400.60 | Controller | | | 2,200 | | 2,200 |
| 633.400.64 | Airport | | | | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 633.400.71 | Civil Service | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | Fixed Charges | | | | | |
| 633.817.03 | Assessor—Auto Insurance | | | 82 | | 82 |
| 633.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 600 | | | | 600 |
| | Premium on Official Bonds | | | | | |
| 633.816.06 | Treasurer | | | 8,275 | | 8,275 |
| 633.816.33 | Purchasing Department | 10,575 | | | | 10,575 |
| | | 210,356 | 3,900 | 858,172 | 7,860 | 1,072,488 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 634 | REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| | (Receipts allocated to appropriation $1,800) | | | | | |
| 634.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 12,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,600 |
| 634.200.00 | Contractual Services | 620 | 470 | ..... | ..... | 150 |
| 634.203.00 | Auto Hire | 960 | 960 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 15,780 | 2,030 | ..... | ..... | 13,750 |
| 634.809.00 | Rental, 333 Kearny Street | 10,752 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,752 |
| | | 26,532 | 2,030 | ..... | ..... | 24,502 |
| 635 | REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—AUDITORIUM | | | | | |
| | (Receipts allocated to appropriation $40,654) | | | | | |
| 635.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 28,785 | ..... | 1,425 | ..... | 30,210 |
| 635.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,300 |
| 635.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,964 | 7,314 | ..... | ..... | 2,650 |
| 635.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 635.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 605 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 605 |
| | | 49,654 | 7,314 | 1,425 | ..... | 43,765 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| 636 | General | | | | | |
| 636.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Director | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 636.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—General Office | 26,815 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,815 |
| 636.101.03 | Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange | 10,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,980 |
| 636.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 636.200.00 | Contractual Services | 875 | 875 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 636.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,504 | 5,504 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 52,624 | 6,379 | ..... | ..... | 46,245 |
| 637 | Bureau of Accounts | | | | | |
| 637.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 25,920 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,920 |
| 637.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,175 | 1,175 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 637.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 27,595 | 1,675 | ..... | ..... | 25,920 |
| 638 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | | | |
| 638.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Superintendence | 18,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,600 |
| 638.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Maintenance | 206,760 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 206,760 |
| 638.102.00 | Temporary Salaries—Maintenance | 823 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 823 |
| 638.103.00 | Wages | 84,541 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 84,541 |
| 638.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,916 | 7,056 | ..... | ..... | 860 |
| 638.203.00 | Truck Hire | 2,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,940 |
| 638.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 638.319.00 | Engine Room Supplies | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 638.340.00 | Janitorial Supplies | 5,700 | 5,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.400.00 | Equipment | 900 | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 638.213.00 | Repairs to Public Buildings | 62,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 62,000 |
| | | 417,930 | 14,306 | ..... | ..... | 403,624 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 639 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | | | |
| 639.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 37,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,200 |
| 639.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,620 | 2,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 639.233.00 | Earthquake Survey | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 639.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 44,920 | 2,720 | ..... | ..... | 42,200 |
| 640 | Bureau of Engineering | | | | | |
| 640.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 194,580 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 194,580 |
| 640.200.00 | Contractual Services | 6,865 | 565 | ..... | ..... | 6,300 |
| 640.203.00 | Auto Hire | 3,360 | 3,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.214.00 | Extension and Reconstruction of New Sewers | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 640.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,550 | 2,550 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 640.400.00 | Equipment | 1,900 | 1,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.900.00 | Special Inspection (Receipts allocated to appropriation $13,000) | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 |
| | | 233,255 | 8,375 | ..... | ..... | 224,880 |
| 641 | Central Permit Bureau | | | | | |
| 641.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 16,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,200 |
| 641.200.00 | Contractual Services | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 641.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 16,500 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 16,300 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 642 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | | | |
| 642.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 23,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,940 |
| 642.103.00 | Wages. . | 447,671 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 447,671 |
| 642.200.00 | Contractual Services | 11,510 | 11,210 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 642.203.00 | Truck and Team Hire | 8,325 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,325 |
| 642.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 12,300 | 10,700 | ..... | ..... | 1,600 |
| 642.400.00 | Equipment. . | 24,145 | 22,550 | ..... | ..... | 1,595 |
| 642.809.00 | Rental—Jones and Jefferson | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 642.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 530,691 | 44,460 | ..... | ..... | 486,231 |
| 643 | Bureau of Sewer Repairs | | | | | |
| 643.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 12,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,900 |
| 643.103.00 | Wages. . | 149,226 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 149,226 |
| 643.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,500 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 643.203.00 | Team and Truck Hire | 23,868 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,868 |
| 643.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 18,200 | 3,200 | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 643.400.00 | Equipment. . | 1,500 | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 643.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 7,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,100 |
| | | 217,294 | 9,200 | ..... | ..... | 208,094 |
| 643.901.00 | Tearing up Streets (Receipts allocated to Appropriation $35,000) | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| | | 252,294 | 9,200 | ..... | ..... | 243,094 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 644 | Sewage Pumping Station | | | | | |
| 644.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 2,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,160 |
| 644.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 72 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 72 |
| 644.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,150 | 4,700 | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 644.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| | | 7,482 | 4,700 | ..... | ..... | 2,782 |
| 649 | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY | | | | | |
| 649.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 158,190 | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | 163,190 |
| 649.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 750 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 750 |
| 649.103.00 | Wages | 15,937 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,937 |
| 649.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,941 | 3,321 | ..... | ..... | 1,620 |
| 649.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,350 | 3,530 | ..... | ..... | 6,820 |
| 649.400.00 | Equipment | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 193,668 | 10,351 | ..... | 5,000 | 188,317 |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office | | | | | |
| | Administration | | | | | |
| 650.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 31,468 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,468 |
| 650.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 65 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 65 |
| 650.200.01 | Contractual Services | 9,135 | 8,060 | ..... | ..... | 1,075 |
| 650.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 2,225 | 1,125 | ..... | ..... | 1,100 |
| 650.400.01 | Equipment | 1,185 | 1,185 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.900.01 | Services of Other Departments | 1,825 | 1,425 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| | | 45,903 | 11,795 | ..... | ..... | 34,108 |
| | Other Apropriations | | | | | |
| 650.252.01 | Feeble Minded | 157,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 157,500 |
| 650.811.01 | Burial of Indigent Dead | 12,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,600 |
| | | 216,003 | 11,795 | ..... | ..... | 204,208 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Accounting | | | | | |
| 650.101.02 | Permanent Salaries | 17,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,460 |
| 650.200.02 | Contractual Services | 210 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 160 |
| 650.300.02 | Materials and Supplies | 130 | 100 | ..... | ..... | 30 |
| 650.400.02 | Equipment | 75 | 75 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 17,875 | 225 | ..... | ..... | 17,650 |
| | Statistics | | | | | |
| 650.101.03 | Permanent Salaries | 13,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,620 |
| 650.200.03 | Contractual Services | 1,270 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 1,250 |
| 650.300.03 | Materials and Supplies | 480 | 470 | ..... | ..... | 10 |
| 650.400.03 | Equipment | 850 | 850 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 16,220 | 1,340 | ..... | ..... | 14,880 |
| | Meat Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.04 | Permanent Salaries | 60,336 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60,336 |
| 650.200.04 | Contractual Services | 990 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 650.300.04 | Materials and Supplies | 280 | 230 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| | | 61,606 | 620 | ..... | ..... | 60,986 |
| | Communicable Diseases | | | | | |
| 650.101.05 | Permanent Salaries | 24,120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 24,120 |
| 650.200.05 | Contractual Services | 1,620 | 1,570 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 650.300.05 | Materials and Supplies | 1,620 | 650 | ..... | ..... | 970 |
| | | 27,360 | 2,220 | ..... | ..... | 25,140 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Clinics | | | | | |
| 650.101.06 | Permanent Salaries | 22,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,800 |
| 650.200.06 | Contractual Services | 95 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 75 |
| 650.300.06 | Materials and Supplies | 375 | 125 | ..... | ..... | 250 |
| 650.400.06 | Equipment | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 23,370 | 245 | ..... | ..... | 23,125 |
| | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | | | |
| 650.101.07 | Permanent Salaries | 18,534 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,534 |
| 650.200.07 | Contractual Services | 40 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 20 |
| 650.300.07 | Materials and Supplies | 2,295 | 295 | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 650.400.07 | Equipment | 865 | 865 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 21,734 | 1,180 | ..... | ..... | 20,554 |
| | School Inspection—Medical | | | | | |
| 650.101.08 | Permanent Salaries | 23,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,500 |
| 650.200.08 | Contractual Services | 120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 120 |
| 650.300.08 | Materials and Supplies | 1,695 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 1,645 |
| 650.400.08 | Equipment | 235 | 235 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 25,550 | 285 | ..... | ..... | 25,265 |
| | Dental | | | | | |
| 650.101.09 | Permanent Salaries | 22,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,800 |
| 650.200.09 | Contractual Services | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 650.300.09 | Materials and Supplies | 1,075 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 1,025 |
| | | 23,975 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 23,925 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Child Welfare—Medical | | | | | |
| 650.101.10 | Permanent Salaries | 13,120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,120 |
| 650.200.10 | Contractual Services | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.10 | Materials and Supplies | 10 | 10 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 13,430 | 310 | ..... | ..... | 13,120 |
| | Egg and Milk Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.11 | Permanent Salaries | 64,380 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 64,380 |
| 650.200.11 | Contractual Services | 4,180 | 2,680 | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 650.300.11 | Materials and Supplies | 1,665 | 1,265 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 650.400.11 | Equipment | 650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 70,875 | 4,595 | ..... | ..... | 66,280 |
| | Chemical Laboratory | | | | | |
| 650.101.12 | Permanent Salaries | 7,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,200 |
| 650.300.12 | Materials and Supplies | 600 | 100 | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 650.400.12 | Equipment | 900 | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,700 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | 7,700 |
| | Plumbing Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.13 | Permanent Salaries | 29,340 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 29,340 |
| 650.200.13 | Contractual Services | 1,480 | 1,445 | ..... | ..... | 35 |
| 650.300.13 | Materials and Supplies | 1,360 | 1,330 | ..... | ..... | 30 |
| 650.400.13 | Equipment | 1,950 | 1,950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 34,130 | 4,725 | ..... | ..... | 29,405 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Housing Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.14 | Permanent Salaries | 26,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,400 |
| 650.200.14 | Contractual Services | 510 | 110 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 650.300.14 | Materials and Supplies | 475 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 25 |
| | | 27,385 | 560 | ..... | ..... | 26,825 |
| | Industrial Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.15 | Permanent Salaries | 10,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,320 |
| 650.200.15 | Contractual Services | 2,510 | 660 | ..... | ..... | 1,850 |
| 650.300.15 | Materials and Supplies | 235 | 210 | ..... | ..... | 25 |
| 650.400.15 | Equipment | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 13,365 | 1,170 | ..... | ..... | 12,195 |
| | City Physicians | | | | | |
| 650.101.16 | Permanent Salaries | 18,000 | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | 21,600 |
| 650.200.16 | Contractual Services | 600 | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.16 | Materials and Supplies | 120 | 120 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 18,720 | 720 | 3,600 | ..... | 21,600 |
| | Field Nursing—Administration | | | | | |
| 650.101.17 | Permanent Salaries | 31,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,740 |
| 650.200.17 | Contractual Services | 85 | 85 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.17 | Materials and Supplies | 305 | 305 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 32,130 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 31,740 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Field Nursing—Schools | | | | | |
| 650.101.18 | Permanent Salaries | 65,340 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 65,340 |
| 650.200.18 | Contractual Services | 1,275 | 275 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 650.300.18 | Materials and Supplies | 1,930 | 930 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 68,545 | 1,205 | ..... | ..... | 67,340 |
| | Field Nursing—Other | | | | | |
| 650.101.19 | Permanent Salaries | 39,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 39,600 |
| 650.200.19 | Contractual Services | 2,500 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | 1,400 |
| 650.300.19 | Materials and Supplies | 3,280 | 1,295 | ..... | ..... | 1,985 |
| 650.800.19 | Rentals | 1,590 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,590 |
| | | 46,970 | 2,395 | ..... | ..... | 44,575 |
| | Social Services | | | | | |
| 650.101.20 | Permanent Salaries | 8,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,700 |
| 650.200.20 | Contractual Services | 960 | 185 | ..... | ..... | 775 |
| 650.300.20 | Materials and Supplies | 840 | 840 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,500 | 1,025 | ..... | ..... | 9,475 |
| | Tuberculosis Bureau | | | | | |
| 650.101.21 | Permanent Salaries | 13,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,860 |
| 650.200.21 | Contractual Services | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 650.300.21 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 650.350.21 | Foodstuffs | 5,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,200 |
| | | 20,010 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 19,810 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Outpatient Maternity Services | | | | | |
| 650.101.22 | Permanent Salaries | 10,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,080 |
| 650.200.22 | Contractual Services | 245 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 195 |
| 650.300.22 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,425 | 150 | ..... | ..... | 10,275 |
| 651 | Laguna Honda Home | | | | | |
| 651.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 131,092 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 131,092 |
| 651.101.01 | Inmate Help | 27,605 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 27,605 |
| 651.101.02 | Institutional Help | 54,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,600 |
| 651.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 2,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,400 |
| 651.200.00 | Contractual Services | 28,250 | 25,890 | ..... | ..... | 2,360 |
| 651.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 68,350 | 32,050 | ..... | ..... | 36,300 |
| 651.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 230,000 | 14,000 | ..... | ..... | 216,000 |
| 651.400.00 | Equipment | 8,200 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | 3,200 |
| 651.809.00 | Employees' Room Allowance | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 651.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 556,497 | 76,940 | ..... | ..... | 479,557 |
| 652 | Isolation Hospital | | | | | |
| 652.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 25,002 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,002 |
| 652.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help | 11,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,740 |
| | | 36,742 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 36,742 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 653 | San Francisco Hospital | | | | | |
| 653.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 274,162 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 274,162 |
| 653.101.01 | Internes and Student Nurses | 18,040 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,040 |
| 653.101.02 | Nursing | 235,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 235,800 |
| 653.101.03 | Institutional Help | 242,047 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 242,047 |
| 653.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 8,113 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,113 |
| 653.200.00 | Contractual Services | 40,118 | 33,618 | ..... | ..... | 6,500 |
| 653.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 180,000 | 35,150 | ..... | ..... | 144,850 |
| 653.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 250,000 | 28,800 | ..... | ..... | 221,200 |
| 653.400.00 | Equipment | 35,000 | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 653.809.00 | Room Allowance for Employees | 60,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60,000 |
| 653.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | | 1,353,280 | 132,568 | ..... | ..... | 1,220,712 |
| 654 | Emergency Hospital | | | | | |
| 654.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 145,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 145,500 |
| 654.101.01 | Nursing | 35,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,460 |
| 654.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 11,960 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,960 |
| 654.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,770 | 5,770 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 654.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 12,915 | 4,645 | ..... | ..... | 8,270 |
| 654.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 3,500 | 125 | ..... | ..... | 3,375 |
| 654.400.00 | Equipment | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 654.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,200 |
| | | 225,305 | 15,540 | ..... | ..... | 209,765 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 655 | Hassler Health Home | | | | | |
| 655.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 32,010 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 32,010 |
| 655.101.01 | Institutional Help | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 655.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 935 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 935 |
| 655.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,000 | 5,220 | ..... | ..... | 1,780 |
| 655.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 11,500 | 3,975 | ..... | ..... | 7,525 |
| 655.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 27,000 | 2,175 | ..... | ..... | 24,825 |
| 655.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 655.812.00 | Taxes | 425 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425 |
| 655.809.00 | Employees' Room Allowance | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| | | 93,370 | 11,870 | ..... | ..... | 81,500 |
| 656 | County Welfare Department | | | | | |
| 656.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 44,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 44,940 |
| 656.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 656.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,900 | 1,300 | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 656.200.01 | Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 656.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 656.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 656.804.01 | Widows' Pensions | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |
| 656.804.02 | Maintenance of Aged | 1,550,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,550,000 |
| 656.804.03 | Blind Pensions | 115,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 115,000 |
| | | 1,975,940 | 5,300 | ..... | ..... | 1,970,640 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 657 | Coroner | | | | | |
| 657.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 51,140 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 51,140 |
| 657.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,277 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,277 |
| 657.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,085 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 635 |
| 657.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,030 | 950 | ..... | ..... | 1,080 |
| 657.400.00 | Equipment | 2,392 | 2,392 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 657.811.00 | Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc. | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| | | 58,424 | 3,792 | ..... | ..... | 54,632 |
| 658 | AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION: | | | | | |
| 658.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 15,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,900 |
| 658.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,250 | 2,050 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 658.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 658.400.00 | Equipment | 50 | 50 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 658.809.00 | Rents, Office | 960 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 960 |
| | | 19,560 | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | 17,060 |
| 659 | DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES: | | | | | |
| 659.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 19,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 19,800 |
| 659.200.00 | Contractual Services | 350 | 300 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 659.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 615 | 615 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 659.400.00 | Equipment | 650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 659.800.00 | Garage Rental | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| | | 21,715 | 1,565 | ..... | ..... | 20,150 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 660 | CONTROLLER: | | | | | |
| 660.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 180,394 | ..... | ..... | 8,400 | 188,794 |
| 660.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 9,785 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,785 |
| 660.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,143 | 3,085 | ..... | ..... | 1,058 |
| 660.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 13,600 | 13,400 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 660.400.00 | Equipment | 2,200 | 2,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 660.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 480 |
| 660.248.00 | Audit of Utilities | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| | | 210,602 | 18,685 | ..... | 12,700 | 204,617 |
| | Other Appropriations: | | | | | |
| 660.233.00 | Pre-Legislative and Legislative Expense | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 660.705.01 | Judgments and Claims | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,000 |
| 660.705.02 | Auto Liability Claims | 9,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,000 |
| | | 281,602 | 18,685 | ..... | 12,700 | 275,617 |
| 661 | CITY PLANNING COMMISSION: | | | | | |
| 661.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 9,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,500 |
| 661.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 661.106.00 | Commissioners' Salaries | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 661.200.00 | Contractual Services | 285 | 285 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 661.203.00 | Auto Hire | 460 | 460 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 661.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 275 | 275 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 15,820 | 1,020 | ..... | ..... | 14,800 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 663 | LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS: | | | | | |
| 663.214.63 | Maintenance and Repair of Structures | 1,500 | | | | 1,500 |
| 663.231.00 | Lighting of Public Buildings | 710,000 | | | | 710,000 |
| 663.231.00 | Electricity and Gas: | | | | | |
| 663.231.07 | Sheriff | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 663.231.09 | Police Department | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 663.231.10 | Fire Department | | | 18,500 | | 18,500 |
| 663.231.12 | Park | | | | 46,470 | 46,470 |
| 663.231.13 | Recreation | | | | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 663.231.14 | Library | | | | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| 663.231.15 | War Memorial | | | | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| 663.231.15-1 | War Memorial—Art Museum | | | | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 663.231.17 | California Palace of Legion of Honor | | | | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| 663.231.18 | de Young Museum | | | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 663.231.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 1,750 | | 1,750 |
| 663.231.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 190 | | 190 |
| 663.231.33 | Purchasing Department | | | 3,900 | | 3,900 |
| 663.231.35 | Real Estate Department—(Auditorium) | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| | Department of Public Works: | | | | | |
| 663.231.36 | General | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 663.231.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 663.231.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 663.231.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 130 | | 130 |
| 663.231.44 | Sewage Pumping | | | 4,700 | | 4,700 |
| 663.231.45 | Asphalt Plant | | | | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 663.231.46 | Drawbridges | | | | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| 663.231.47 | Traffic Signals | | | 6,000 | | 6,000 |
| 663.231.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,700 | | 1,700 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health: | | | | | |
| 663.231.50 | Central Office | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 663.231.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 663.231.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| 663.231.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| 663.231.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| 663.231.63 | Public Building Lighting | 27,200 | | | | 27,200 |
| 663.231.64 | Airport | | | | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 663.231.65 | Municipal Railway | | | | 425,000 | 425,000 |
| 663.231.66 | Water Department | | | | 169,500 | 169,500 |
| 663.231.69 | Schools | | | | 52,500 | 52,500 |
| 663.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | | | | 100 |
| 663.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | | | | 500 |
| 663.509.01 | Buildings, Structures, Improvements | 154,000 | | | | 154,000 |
| 663.509.02 | Alterations to Secure Lower Rates | 2,500 | | | | 2,500 |
| 663.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 21,700 | | | | 21,700 |
| | | 917,500 | | 130,135 | 750,470 | 1,798,105 |
| 671 | CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION: | | | | | |
| 671.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 52,220 | | | | 52,220 |
| 671.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,480 | | | | 6,480 |
| 671.200.00 | Contractual Services | 880 | 200 | | | 680 |
| 671.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | | | |
| 671.400.00 | Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | |
| | | 65,080 | 5,700 | | | 59,380 |
| 673.200.00 | PUBLIC POUND | 18,000 | | | | 18,000 |
| | Total | 18,479,393 | 993,332 | 993,332 | 797,430 | 19,276,823 |
| | Transfer from Water Department | Cr.1,389,014 | | | 1,389,014 | |
| | TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 17,090,379 | 993,332 | 993,332 | 2,186,444 | 19,276,823 |

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES, 1936-1937

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 602 | MAYOR | | | | | |
| 602.900.00 | Emergency Reserve Fund | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 |
| 612 | PARK DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 612.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 116,890 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 116,890 |
| 612.103.00 | Wages | 660,739 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 660,739 |
| 612.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| 612.200.00 | Contractual Services | 15,655 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,655 |
| 612.218.00 | Concerts | 21,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,000 |
| 612.231.00 | Heat, Light, Power, etc. | 46,470 | 46,470 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 612.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—Park | 63,085 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 63,085 |
| 612.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Commissary | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 612.324.00 | Forage | 28,838 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,838 |
| 612.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 75,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75,000 |
| 612.400.00 | Equipment | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 612.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 15,495 | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | 13,495 |
| 612.806.00 | Retirement Deductions | 35,500 | 35,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 612.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,500 |
| 612.500.00 | Rehabilitation—Palace of Fine Arts | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 612.500.01 | Soil—Fertilizer—Trees (Miscellaneous Improvements) | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 612.600.01 | Fleishhacker Playfield—Land | 38,256 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 38,256 |
| 612.600.02 | Lafayette Park | 30,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 30,160 |
| | Total Park Fund | 1,241,088 | 83,970 | ..... | ..... | 1,157,118 |

(Detail of Other Current Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 613 | RECREATION DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 613.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 293,218 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 293,218 |
| 613.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 3,395 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,395 |
| 613.103.00 | Wages. . | 34,698 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,698 |
| 613.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 613.200.00 | Contractual Services | 46,273 | 6,715 | ..... | ..... | 39,558 |
| 613.203.01 | Truck Hire | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 613.203.02 | Auto Hire | 4,248 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,248 |
| 613.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 36,092 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 36,092 |
| 613.400.00 | Equipment. | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 613.600.01 | Bayview District—Land | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 613.600.02 | Upper Noe District—Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.04 | Eureka Valley Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.06 | Longfellow Site Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.08 | Sunset District Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.10 | Sigmund Stern Land | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 613.600.11 | Grattan Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 2,334 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,334 |
| 613.802.00 | Insurance Premiums | 666 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 666 |
| 613.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 11,000 | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 613.809.00 | Rents. | 3,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,740 |
| 613.101.01 | Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries | 5,826 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,826 |
| 613.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 6,856 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,856 |
| 613.103.01 | Wages. . | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 613.200.01 | Contractual Services | 3,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,727 |
| 613.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 3,287 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,287 |
| 613.350.01 | Foodstuffs. . | 14,854 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,854 |
| | Total Recreation Fund | 606,564 | 17,715 | ..... | ..... | 588,849 |

(Detail of Other Current Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 614 | LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 614.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 192,240 | | | | 192,240 |
| 614.103.00 | Wages. | 59,135 | | | | 59,135 |
| 614.200.00 | Contractual Services | 47,485 | 13,450 | | | 34,035 |
| 614.200.01 | Binding. | 25,000 | | | | 25,000 |
| 614.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 |
| 614.328.00 | Books and Bindery Materials | 80,000 | | | | 80,000 |
| 614.400.00 | Equipment. | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 614.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 6,500 | 6,500 | | | |
| 614.809.00 | Rents. | 6,000 | | | | 6,000 |
| 614.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 20,000 | | | | 20,000 |
| | Total Library Fund | 454,360 | 19,950 | | | 434,410 |
| 615 | WAR MEMORIAL | | | | | |
| | General Departments | | | | | |
| 615.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 71,460 | | | | 71,460 |
| 615.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,530 | | | | 6,530 |
| 615.103.00 | Wages. | 1,500 | | | | 1,500 |
| 615.200.00 | Contractual Services | 17,383 | 14,383 | | | 3,000 |
| 615.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,000 | | | | 5,000 |
| 615.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 4,000 | | | | 4,000 |
| | Art Museum | | | | | |
| 615.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 5,220 | | | | 5,220 |
| 615.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 435 | | | | 435 |
| 615.231.01 | Contractual Services | 8,000 | 8,000 | | | |
| 615.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 750 | | | | 750 |
| | Total War Memorial Fund | 120,278 | 22,383 | | | 97,895 |

(Detail of Other Current Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 617 | CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR | | | | | |
| 617.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 37,216 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,216 |
| 617.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 700 |
| 617.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 617.200.00 | Contractual Services | 8,000 | 3,660 | ..... | ..... | 4,340 |
| 617.200.01 | Exhibition of Old Masters; Exhibition of Modern Masters | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 617.203.00 | Auto Hire | 720 | 240 | ..... | ..... | 480 |
| 617.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 617.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 617.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 2,150 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,150 |
| 617.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 11,980 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total California Palace of Legion of Honor Fund | 74,966 | 15,880 | ..... | ..... | 59,086 |
| 618 | DE YOUNG MUSEUM | | | | | |
| 618.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 55,000 | ..... | ..... | 11,980 | 66,980 |
| 618.103.00 | Wages | 1,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,680 |
| 618.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 520 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 520 |
| 618.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,500 | 1,730 | ..... | ..... | 1,770 |
| 618.203.00 | Auto Hire | 325 | 240 | ..... | ..... | 85 |
| 618.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,900 |
| 618.400.00 | Equipment | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 618.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 875 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 875 |
| 618.200.01 | Exhibition of Modern Decorative Arts; Exhibition of Oriental Decorative Arts | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| | Total de Young Museum Fund | 71,100 | 1,970 | ..... | 11,980 | 81,110 |

(Detail of Other Current Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 626 | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER | | | | | |
| 626.277.00 | Publicity and Advertising Fund | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 |
| 626.703.00 | Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 |
| 626.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | 7,466,482 | ..... | ..... | 7,051,127 | 14,517,609 |
| 626.803.01 | Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 626.803.02 | Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 |
| 626.804.00 | Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund | 900,000 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | 895,500 |
| 626.578.00 | WPA Projects Fund | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 |
| | | 9,106,433 | 4,500 | ..... | 7,051,127 | 16,153,060 |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| | County Road Fund | | | | | |
| 645 | Street Repair | | | | | |
| 645.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 9,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,900 |
| 645.103.00 | Wages | 210,723 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 210,723 |
| 645.200.00 | Contractual Services | 11,420 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | 10,320 |
| 645.203.01 | Truck Hire | 26,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,000 |
| 645.203.02 | Auto Hire | 720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 720 |
| 645.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 76,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 76,000 |
| 645.400.00 | Equipment | 4,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,300 |
| 645.801.00 | Compensation | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 645.806.00 | Retirement Charges | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 645.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 10,650 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,650 |
| | | 363,213 | 14,100 | ..... | ..... | 349,113 |

(Detail of Other Current Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | County Road Fund (Continued) | | | | | |
| 646 | Bridges | | | | | |
| 646.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 50,880 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,880 |
| 646.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 2,190 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,190 |
| 646.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,848 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | 1,048 |
| 646.214.00 | Maintenance and Repair to Bridges | 53,106 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 53,106 |
| 646.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 720 |
| 646.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 3,090 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,090 |
| | | 112,834 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | 111,034 |
| 647 | General | | | | | |
| 647.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 200,000 | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.903.01 | Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.903.02 | Traffic (Services of Police Department) | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 647.902.00 | Services of Real Estate Department | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 647.907.00 | Street Signs—New and Repairs | 6,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,500 |
| 648.958.00 | Sunset Boulevard Maintenance | 18,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,000 |
| 648.959.00 | Boulevard Tree Maintenance | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 |
| | | 260,500 | 205,000 | ..... | ..... | 55,500 |
| 648 | Street Construction | | | | | |
| | Project | | | | | |
| | No. 1 Bay Bridge Approach—Payment to State of California | 97,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 97,500 |
| | No. 2 Engineering Studies of Special Improvements | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| | No. 3 Castro St.—Divisional Highway | 54,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,600 |
| | No. 4 Taylor St.—Market to Post Sts | 28,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,000 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES, 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | County Road Fund (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Street Construction (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Project | | | | | |
| | No. 5 Pennsylvania Ave.—Eighteenth to Nineteenth Sts. | 4,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,800 |
| | No. 6 Williams Ave.—Third and Newhall Sts. | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | No. 7 Silver Ave.—Amherst to Princeton | 8,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,800 |
| | No. 8 Parker Ave.—Geary to Fulton | 75,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75,000 |
| | No. 9 Mendall St.—Anner to Jerrold Aves. | 7,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,200 |
| | No. 10 Diamond St.—Sussex and Surrey Sts. | 5,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,400 |
| | No. 11 Harriet—Harrison and Bryant Sts. | 7,560 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,560 |
| | No. 12 Chesley—Harrison and Bryant Sts. | 7,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,800 |
| | No. 13 Noriega—Ninth to Eleventh Aves. | 1,440 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,440 |
| | No. 14 Ninth Ave.—Kirkham and Pacheco | 4,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,200 |
| | No. 15 Taraval St.—Dewey Blvd. to Twelfth Ave. | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 |
| | No. 16 Golden Gate Bridge Approach | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| | | 383,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 383,300 |
| | Total County Road Fund | 1,119,847 | 220,900 | ..... | ..... | 898,947 |
| 677 | Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | | | | | |
| 677.001.00 | Unallocated | 336,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 336,000 |
| | Army Street Widening | 41,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 41,000 |
| | Seventh Avenue—Lincoln to Noriega | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| | Ocean Avenue—San Jose to Nineteenth Avenues | 111,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 111,000 |
| | Total Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500,000 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 649 | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY | | | | | |
| 649.900.00 | Installation Fund | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 660 | CONTROLLER | | | | | |
| 660.705.00 | Tax Judgments Fund | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 |
| | SCHOOL DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 669 | Common School Fund (Tentative) | 9,347,725 | 475,500 | ..... | ..... | 8,872,225 |
| 670 | Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) | 977,000 | 800 | ..... | ..... | 976,200 |
| | | 10,324,725 | 476,300 | ..... | ..... | 9,848,425 |
| 672 | EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM | | | | | |
| 672.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 28,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,740 |
| 672.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,825 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,825 |
| 672.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 1,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,300 |
| 672.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,392 | 42 | ..... | ..... | 1,350 |
| 672.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 672.400.00 | Equipment | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 672.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 1,642,400 | ..... | ..... | 639,156 | 2,281,556 |
| 672.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 |
| | | 1,679,757 | 42 | ..... | 639,156 | 2,318,871 |
| 672.802.00 | Compensation Insurance | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| | Total Employees' Retirement Fund | 1,719,757 | 42 | ..... | 639,156 | 2,358,871 |

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 662 | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 662.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | | | | 53,040 | 53,040 |
| 662.106.00 | Legal Expenses | | 21,400 | | 21,400 | |
| 662.200.00 | Contractual Services | | | | 15,494 | 15,494 |
| 662.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | | | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 662.400.00 | Equipment | | | | 250 | 250 |
| 662.802.00 | Insurance Premiums | | | | 200 | 200 |
| 662.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | | | | 1,261 | 1,261 |
| | Total Public Utilities Commission Fund | | 21,400 | | 93,145 | 71,745 |
| 664 | SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT | | | | | |
| 664.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 17,400 | | | | 17,400 |
| 664.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 21,000 | | | | 21,000 |
| 664.103.00 | Wages | 1,737 | | | | 1,737 |
| 664.200.00 | Contractual Services | 20,000 | 8,000 | | | 12,000 |
| 664.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | | | | 3,500 |
| 664.400.00 | Equipment | 5,500 | 5,500 | | | |
| 664.600.00 | Land Purchases | 113,550 | | | | 113,550 |
| 664.802.00 | Insurance Premiums | 257 | | | | 257 |
| 664.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 1,356 | 1,356 | | | |
| 664.812.00 | Taxes | 2,088 | | | | 2,088 |
| | Total Airport Fund | 186,388 | 14,856 | | | 171,532 |

(Details of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 665 | MUNICIPAL RAILWAY | | | | | |
| 665.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 235,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 235,320 |
| 665.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,566 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,566 |
| 665.103.00 | Wages | 1,766,235 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,766,235 |
| 665.200.00 | Contractual Services | 520,000 | 425,000 | ..... | ..... | 95,000 |
| 665.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 120,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 120,000 |
| 665.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 182,500 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.802.00 | Accident Compensation | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 665.804.00 | Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 665.808.00 | Passenger and Damage Claims | 70,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 70,000 |
| 665.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 100,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100,000 |
| 665.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 100,000 | 100,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Controller Audits | 2,125 | 2,125 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.02 | Dept. of Public Works | 8,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,250 |
| 665.900.03 | Public Utilities Commission | 11,827 | 11,827 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.04 | Pub. Util. Com.—Claims Bureau | 11,220 | 11,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.05 | Pub. Util. Com.—Engineering | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| | Retirement System: | | | | | |
| 665.900.06 | Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital) | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 665.900.07 | Employee Claims | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund | 3,154,543 | 732,672 | ..... | ..... | 2,421,871 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 666 | WATER DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 666.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 543,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 543,000 |
| 666.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 25,388 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,388 |
| 666.103.00 | Wages | 43,287 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 43,287 |
| 666.200.00 | Contractual Service | 105,195 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 105,195 |
| 666.212.01 | Maintenance—Source of Supply | 152,913 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 152,913 |
| 666.212.02 | Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution | 220,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 220,000 |
| 666.212.03 | Maintenance—General | 25,415 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,415 |
| 666.231.00 | Heat—Light—Power | 169,500 | 169,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 666.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 4,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,280 |
| 666.400.00 | Equipment | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 666.500.00 | Additions and Betterments | 135,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 135,000 |
| 666.800.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption—Spring Valley | 2,530,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.800.02 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Hetch Hetchy | 400,000 | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.800.03 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy | 47,025 | 47,025 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.705.00 | Injuries and Damages | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 666.815.00 | Compensation Insurance | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 666.818.00 | Other Insurance | 6,895 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,895 |
| 666.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 43,000 | 43,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.812.00 | Taxes | 205,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 205,000 |
| 666.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 74,385 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 74,385 |
| 666.900.01 | Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | 106,561 | 106,561 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.02 | Services of Bureau of Engineering | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 |
| 666.900.03 | Services of Public Utilities Commission | 49,772 | 49,772 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.04 | Services of Controller—Audits | 3,950 | 3,950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.05 | Appropriation to General Fund | 1,389,014 | 1,389,014 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total Water Revenue Fund | 6,339,080 | 4,738,822 | ..... | ..... | 1,600,258 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 667 | HETCH HETCHY POWER: | | | | | |
| 667.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 83,790 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 83,790 |
| 667.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 3,176 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,176 |
| 667.200.00 | Contractual Services | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 667.200.01 | Plant Repairs | 895 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 895 |
| 667.200.03 | Transmission Maintenance | 11,125 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,125 |
| 667.200.04 | Miscellaneous Maintenance | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 667.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 667.334.00 | Foodstuffs | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 667.400.00 | Equipment | 4,850 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,850 |
| 667.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 1,157,306 | 1,157,306 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.800.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Supply) | 959,548 | 959,548 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.801.00 | Compensation Insurance Premiums | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 667.802.01 | Surety Bond Premiums | 58 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 58 |
| 667.802.02 | Automobile Insurance Premiums | 859 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 859 |
| 667.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.809.00 | Land and Building Leases | 350 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350 |
| 667.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| 667.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm | 15,326 | 15,326 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.900.02 | P. U. C.—Bureau of Engineering | 16,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,400 |
| 667.900.03 | Controller Audits | 1,775 | 1,775 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.900.04 | Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | 114,442 | 114,442 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | 2,438,900 | 2,253,397 | ..... | ..... | 185,503 |

(Details of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 668 | HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY | | | | | |
| 668.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | ..... | ..... | ..... | 63,300 | 63,300 |
| 668.200.00 | Contractual Services | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 668.212.01 | Plant Maintenance | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,950 | 6,950 |
| 668.212.02 | Routine Maintenance | ..... | ..... | ..... | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| 668.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 668.400.00 | Equipment | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,735 | 3,735 |
| 668.500.00 | Buildings, Structures and Improvements | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,949 | 21,949 |
| 668.801.00 | Accident Compensation | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,461 | 1,461 |
| 668.802.00 | Automobile Insurance Premium | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 500 |
| 668.805.00 | Fee to U. S. Government (Raker Act) | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 668.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,948 | 1,948 |
| 668.809.00 | Land and Building Leases | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90 | 90 |
| 668.812.00 | Taxes | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 668.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,620 | 9,620 |
| 668.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| 668.900.02 | P. U. C.—Bureau of Engineering | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 668.900.03 | Controller | ..... | 950 | ..... | 950 | ..... |
| | Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | ..... | 5,950 | ..... | 221,003 | 215,053 |
| | TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES | 12,118,911 | 7,767,097 | ..... | 314,148 | 4,665,962 |

DETAIL OF CAPITAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES, 1936-1937

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION: | | | | | |
| | 1932 HETCH HETCHY BONDS | | | | | |
| 692.900.00 | Roads and Trails in Yosemite National Park | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |
| | 1933 WATER DISTRIBUTION GRANT | | | | | |
| 693.900.04 | Bond Redemption | 807,262 | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 1933 HETCH HETCHY DAM GRANT | | | | | |
| 694.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 255,495 | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS: | | | | | |
| | 1933 SEWER BONDS GRANT | | | | | |
| 695.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 61,202 | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 1933 HIGH PRESSURE GRANT | | | | | |
| 696.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 106,538 | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 1934 SCHOOL HOUSE GRANT | | | | | |
| 698.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 344,251 | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,824,748 | 1,574,748 | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Monday, May 11, 1936, at 3 p. m. be fixed as the time for hearing the public on the budget.

*So ordered.*

### Communication from Controller.

OFFICE OF CONTROLLER.

May 2, 1936.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Attention: Finance Committee

Subject: Budget, 1936-37.

Gentlemen: As you know, I am leaving tonight for Washington, D. C., to attend a meeting of the Tax Revision Council. However, I want to assure you that in my absence the members of my staff will render you the same assistance and cooperation in your consideration of the budget as would obtain were I personally present.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. LEAVY,
Controller.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests of all persons interested in the assessment, diagram or warrant for payment of cost of improvement of Blanche street between Elizabeth street and Twenty-third street, by paving and other work as described in Order of Intention No. 2500 of the Board of Public Works, fixed for the hour of 2 P. M. this day.

The Clerk announced the hearing and asked if there were any persons who wanted to be heard. No response.

Whereupon, the Clerk was directed to notify the Department of Public Works.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Supplemental Appropriation of $50,000 Out of Municipal Railway Stores Revolving Fund.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 971, Ordinance No. 9.051254, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $50,000 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway operative fund to the credit of the Municipal Railway stores revolving fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway operative fund the sum of $50,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway stores revolving fund, which last-mentioned fund was created by Ordinance No. 9.051227. The said supplemental appropriation hereby made is to be deposited in said fund and to be used for the uses and purposes and under the conditions set forth in said Ordinance No. 9.051227.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of $69,907 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, May, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 972, Ordinance No. 9.051255, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $69,907 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of May, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $69,907 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of May, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," Relating to License Fee Imposed on Used Car Dealers.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 973, Ordinance No. 3.04160, as follows:

Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, *relating to license fee imposed on used car dealers.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 18 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 18. *Used Car Dealers.* Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of selling or offering to sell, exchanging or offering to exchange, buying or offering to buy second-hand or used automobiles or other motor vehicles, shall pay a license fee of twenty dollars ($20) per quarter for each such place where such automobiles or motor vehicles are offered for sale, bought, exchanged or sold.

No such person, firm or corporation shall be permitted to transfer such license to any other person, firm or corporation for any part of the time for which such license is issued.

Provided, however, that no such person, firm or corporation shall be issued a license under this section without first obtaining a permit from the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

Provided, further, that no license shall be issued to any person, firm or corporation not having a fixed place of business.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $125 for Burial of Mary Watson, Widow of Civil War Veteran.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 983, Ordinance No. 9.051260, as follows:

Appropriating $125 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.-900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of a Civil War Veteran.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $125 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of a Civil War Veteran.

Approved by Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Transfer of Certain Land for Realigning Bay Street.**
(Code No. 12.175)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2544, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, the Department of Public Works has requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring certain San Francisco Water Department land to the Department of Public Works, for the realignment of Bay street, which land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Hyde street with the southerly line of Bay street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Bay street 412.5 feet to the easterly line of Larkin street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Larkin street 7.5 feet; thence northeasterly in a straight line to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the land can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works for street purposes; and

Whereas, on March 30, 1936, the Public Utilities Commission adopted Resolution No. 1275 consenting to the use of said land for street purposes; and

Whereas, Bay street is to be improved without any expense to the Public Utilities Commission, and such improvement will benefit and increase the value of the remaining property of the San Francisco Water Department, which benefit will equal or exceed the value of the above described land; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer be made; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the control of said land be and is hereby transferred from the Public Utilities Commission to the Department of Public Works.

Approved by Director of Property.

Approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2545, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.07:

Etta S. Perkins, Lot 24, Block 1283, Assessor's Block Books ..... $3,000
Frank J. Weiman, et ux., Lot 17, Block 1283, Assessor's Block Books ..... 3,250
William H. Shea, et ux., Lot 18, Block 1283, Assessor's Block Books ..... 3,500

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.
Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by the Controller as to funds.
Form approved by Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney.
Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2546, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase certain land from the following parties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Corona Heights Playground, for the sum set forth, payable from Appropriation No. 513.-600.09:

H. V. Tilden, et ux., Lots 1 to 8, inc., Assessor's Block 2621 ..... $1,500

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.
Form approved by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.
Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2547, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from appropriation No. 513.600.02:

R. Jaap, et ux., Lot 37, Assessor's Block 6638 ..... $2,875
Helen Holohan, Lot 36, Assessor's Block 6638 ..... 3,200

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.
Funds available, April 21, 1936, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.
Form approved by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.
Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Memorializing Congress to Utilize PWA Facilities and Provide Allocations to $700,000,000.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Also, Resolution No. 2542, as follows:

Whereas, experience has proven that activities of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works have been a highly beneficial factor in accomplishing projects of great value to the City and County of San Francisco and other municipalities; and

Whereas, under the competitive contract method, it has been possible to provide a distribution of labor, in excess of 80 per cent in the construction of various non-federal projects which were financed on a basis of 55 per cent contribution by the grantee, and 45 per cent contribution by the Federal Government; and

Whereas, it is vitally necessary that major undertakings requiring an abundance of skilled labor in buildings, highways, subways, and other useful projects, be accomplished for the City and County of San Francisco under a fixed price, with a guarantee of completion; and

Whereas, it has become vitally essential that additional funds be provided to construct adequate cross-town routes and other feeders for the proper distribution of traffic to and from the Golden Gate Bridge and the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Congress of these United States be, and it is hereby urged to provide funds for the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works (PWA) in an amount of Seven Hundred Million ($700,000,000) Dollars to represent 45 per cent grants to various non-Federal projects, with the remaining 55 per cent being contributed directly by the various political subdivisions, making possible a total combined expenditure of approximately one and one-half billion ($1,500,000,000) dollars, all of which would be expended under guaranteed costs with remunerative prevailing wages and hours of employment for all artisans and labor thus employed.

Clerk to send copies to Senators Johnson and McAdoo and Representatives Kahn and Welch.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

(*In connection with the foregoing resolution, the Clerk was directed on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Brown, to send copy of resolution and correspondence with PWA with respect to discussion on this program.*)

**Fixing Rate for Publishing and Distribution of Delinquent Tax List.**

(Code No. 3.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2548, as follows:

Resolved, That the official rate for printing, publishing and distributing the Delinquent Tax List of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1936, is hereby set at $0.03 per twelve-em line of six point size type, per issue, for the delinquent tax list and $0.025 per line for the sales list. These rates to include the entire charge for three publications, together with all charges appertaining to the publication of the above-mentioned delinquent tax list, including all specially bound books and all other conditions that are necessary for the legal publication of this list as specified and outlined by the Tax Collector.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $1,050, Improvement Peralta Avenue.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 984, Ordinance No. 9.051261, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $1,050 to the credit of Appropriation No. 448.916.00, City aid in the improvement of Peralta avenue from the south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement at Florida street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,050 is hereby appropriated from General Fund, 1935-1936, to the credit of Appropriation No. 448.816.00, Work in Front of City Property and Miscellaneous Charges, for the improvement of Peralta avenue from the south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement at Florida street, to-wit:

| | |
|---|---|
| City aid in above improvement | $ 800 |
| Assessment against City Property on street | 250 |
| | $1,050 |

Recommended by Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed*:

**Appropriating $1,380 for Salaries and Expense of Three Temporary Building Inspectors and One Stenographer, Building Inspection Department.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 985, Ordinance No. 9.051262, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of $1,245 to the credit of Appropriation No. 539.102.00 and $135 to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.203.39, out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to provide salaries and expenses for three temporary Building Inspectors and salary for one temporary General Clerk Stenographer in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the period of one and one-half months ending June 30, 1936, and declaring an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,380 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated and for the purposes recited:

539.102.00, Temporary Salaries:
Three Building Inspectors at $225 per month for one and one-half months; one General Clerk Stenographer at $155 per month for one and one-half months, $1,245.

533.203.39, Auto Hire:
Three automobiles for three Building Inspectors for one and one-half months at $30 per month, $135.

Section 2. The amount of $1,380 appropriated herein is in anticipation of the collection of additional fees under the provisions of the Building Inspection Permit Ordinance, due to increased building activities, and said sum of $1,380 is to be returned to the said Emergency Reserve Fund from the additional revenues thus received.

Section 3. The ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates

this ordinance becoming effective at once to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department due to increased building activity.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Canvass of Election Held May 5, 1936.**
(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 2549, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Thursday May 7th, 1936, at the hour of 1 P. M. to canvass the returns of the Presidential Primary Election of Tuesday, May 5th, 1936, by opening the same and estimating the vote of 1055 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and to be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as Clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by said Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California:

W. E. Monahan, Lester Stern, Jos. A. Dawson, Agnes K. Arnold, Ellen Balk, G. G. Baumgartner, Myrtle R. Block, Florence L. Carrasco, Henry J. Charlton, Blanche Carter, Margaret I. Dana, Frances E. Dealtry, John B. Deasy, Arthur M. Dodge, Elise M. Dwyer, Gladys G. Edmondson, S. A. Gazzano, Olive Gardner, Alice L. Garnett, Elsie M. Goff, Ethel M. Gray, Roy W. Grumbine, Mervilyn Knoth, Edna H. Leigh, Henryetta J. Levy, J. E. Livingston, E. R. Faucompré, Alex McCarthy, Earl Sheble, Clinton L. Mayhood, George F. O'Hare, Nan O'Connell, Sally Osborn, Alice B. Remley, Mose Selig, F. K. Serkland, Gretchen Siemens, S. J. Stasiowski, Carlotta S. Steele, Lillian Van Der Wal, Abe Windreich.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Conveyance of Certain Land to L. F. Armknecht in Exchange for Other Land Required by San Francisco Water Department.**
(Code No. 12.17452)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 986, Ordinance No. 12.174521, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of certain land to L. F. Armknecht in exchange for other land required by San Francisco Water Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance

with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City owned land hereinafter described as Parcels "A" and "B" to L. F. Armknecht in exchange for Parcel "C" hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said Parcels "A" and "B" are those certain tracts of land which were proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.172526, Bill No. 889, and Ordinance No. 12.172527, Bill No. 956, respectively.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of the value of said parcels, and estimates the present total value of Parcels "A" and "B" to be $525, and the present value of Parcel "C" to be $525.

Section 4. Said Parcels "A", "B" and "C" are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel "A":

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street, distant southerly 275 feet along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street; said point of commencement being also the northwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux dated April 20, 1928 and recorded April 21, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; running thence from said point of commencement along said easterly line of Diamond street northerly 10.09 feet; thence at an angle of 90 degrees to the right and along a line parallel to and distant 10.09 feet measured at right angles northerly from the northerly line of said portion of land described as an exception in said deed easterly 51.79 feet to an intersection with a line distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly line (or the northeasterly extension thereof) of said portion of land described as an exception; thence along said line southwesterly 15.29 feet to the northerly line of said portion of land; thence along said northerly line westerly 40.31 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel "B":

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street, distant southerly 300.16 feet measured along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street; said point of commencement being also the southwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux dated April 20, 1928 and recorded April 21, 1928 in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; running thence from said point of commencement along the southerly boundary line of said portion of land excepted easterly 11.68 feet to a point on said boundary line which is also distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from a southwesterly extension of the southeasterly line of the hereinabove mentioned land described as an exception; thence parallel to and distant 13.77 feet measured northwesterly at right angles from said extension southwesterly 15.56 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street; thence along said easterly line northerly 10.27 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel "C":

Commencing at the northwesterly corner of the portion of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux by deed dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the Office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; said point of commencement being also the northeasterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in said deed and being distant along the northerly line of said portion easterly 61.17 feet from the northwesterly corner thereof; (said northwesterly corner being on the easterly line of Diamond street and distant southerly 275 feet measured along said

easterly line of Diamond street from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street); running thence from said point of commencement along the northeasterly boundary line of the portion of land conveyed by the hereinabove mentioned deed southwesterly 38.11 feet to the most westerly corner of said portion of land; thence along the southerly boundary line of the portion of land described as an exception in said deed westerly 20.86 feet; thence along a line parallel to and distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly boundary line of the portion of land described as an exception in said deed northeasterly 38.11 feet to the northerly line of said portion of land; thence along said northerly line easterly 20.86 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of Parcels "A" and "B" to L. F. Armknecht. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to L. F. Armknecht upon receipt of a deed to Parcel "C", and shall record the latter deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Authorizing Acquisition of Right of Way by Condemnation Proceedings for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, East of Crystal Springs Reservoir.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2550, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of all that certain real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

I. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 1.—A strip of land 15 feet wide being 7.5 feet measured at right angles northwesterly and 7.5 feet measured at right angles southeasterly from the following described line and the southwesterly and northeasterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of the County Road known as Crystal Springs Road, distant south 58 degrees 09 minutes 30 seconds west 55 feet more or less from a point on the common boundary line between the lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd. and the lands conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated March 3, 1930 and recorded March 3, 1930 in the Office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Volume 491 of Official Records at page 1; said point on said common boundary line being distant south 81 degrees 24 minutes 00 seconds west 144.20 feet, south 87 degrees 42 minutes 00 seconds west 183.00 feet, south 67 degrees 25 minutes 00 seconds west 167.40 feet, and south 74 degrees 16 minutes 00 seconds west 130.15 feet measured along said common boundary line from a point described in hereinabove mentioned deed as a monument marked "P-35" in the description of San Mateo County Lands Parcel 31, Crystal Springs Watershed Lands; running thence from said point of commencement north 58 degrees 09 minutes 30 seconds east 44 feet more or less to a point distant south 58 degrees 09 minutes 30 seconds west 10.94 feet from the hereinabove mentioned point on the common boundary line; thence north 75 degrees 41 minutes 00 seconds east 137.59 feet;

thence north 67 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east 181.28 feet; thence north 84 degrees 17 minutes 00 seconds east 262.44 feet; thence north 64 degrees 09 minutes 15 seconds east 100.97 feet; thence north 44 degrees 22 minutes 00 seconds east 111.21 feet; thence north 52 degrees 23 minutes 45 seconds east 109.79 feet; thence north 48 degrees 21 minutes 00 seconds east 106.19 feet; thence north 36 degrees 59 minutes 00 seconds east 216.53 feet; thence north 58 degrees 11 minutes 30 seconds east 345.05 feet; thence north 74 degrees 24 minutes 00 seconds east 171.23 feet; thence north 68 degrees 34 minutes 45 seconds east 144.02 feet; thence north 75 degrees 59 minutes 30 seconds east 122.06 feet; thence north 64 degrees 14 minutes 00 seconds east 67.49 feet; thence north 49 degrees 27 minutes 45 seconds east 63.68 feet; thence north 33 degrees 16 minutes 30 seconds east 47.85 feet; thence north 20 degrees 23 minutes 30 seconds east 351.29 feet; thence north 14 degrees 30 minutes 37 seconds east 31.89 feet more or less to a point on the common boundary line between said lands of the Spring Valley Company Ltd. and the lands conveyed to P. M. Lansdale by Palo Alto Improvement Company by deed dated January 9, 1930 and recorded January 10, 1930 in said San Mateo County Recorder's office in Volume 455 of Official Records at page 194; said point being distant north 46 degrees 54 minutes 45 seconds west 10.86 feet measured along said last mentioned common boundary line from the corner in the Crystal Springs Road common to the hereinabove mentioned lands of the Spring Valley Company Ltd., the City and County of San Francisco and P. M. Lansdale.

The southerly boundary line of said strip of land being the hereinabove mentioned southerly line of the County Road known as Crystal Springs Road and the northerly boundary line of said strip of land being the hereinabove mentioned common boundary line between the lands of the Spring Valley Company Ltd. and the lands of P. M. Lansdale.

II. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcels of land; also the right now or hereafter to extend the cut slopes of excavations and to deposit excavated material on the adjacent lands outside the boundaries of said parcels of land, to the end that a portion of the width, or the entire width of said parcels of land may now or hereafter be excavated to form a bench approximately level in a direction transverse to the center line of said pipe or pipe lines:

Parcel 2.—A strip of land 40 feet wide being 30 feet measured at right angles westerly and northerly and 10 feet measured at right angles easterly and southerly from the following described line and the southwesterly and easterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at the terminal point of the survey or reference line hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 1; running thence from said point of commencement north 27 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds east 47.96 feet; thence north 28 degrees 08 minutes 00 seconds east 148.95 feet; thence north 5 degrees 21 minutes 15 seconds east 59.64 feet; thence north 35 degrees 21 minutes 15 seconds east 165.01 feet; thence north 43 degrees 42 minutes 45 seconds east 121.39 feet; thence north 20 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds east 119.10 feet; thence north 11 degrees 50 minutes 15 seconds east 65.85 feet; thence north 14 degrees 53 minutes 00 seconds west 100.48 feet; thence north 33 degrees 43 minutes 00 seconds west 53.56 feet; thence north 45 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west 149.64 feet; thence north 52 degrees 03 minutes 45 seconds west 94.63 feet; thence north 67 degrees 44 minutes 00 seconds west 63.76 feet; thence north 60 degrees 40 minutes 45 seconds west 42.65 feet; thence north 11 degrees 19 minutes 30 seconds west 47.51 feet; thence north 8 degrees 11 minutes 00 seconds east 447.28 feet; thence north 3 degrees 59 minutes 00 seconds east 359.83 feet; thence north 5 degrees 24 minutes 15 seconds west 168.14 feet; thence north 4 degrees 31 minutes 30 seconds west 197.65 feet; thence north 5 degrees 27 minutes 30 seconds east 111.76 feet; thence north 0 degrees 52 minutes 30 seconds

west 185.39 feet; thence north 14 degrees 31 minutes 30 seconds east 101.30 feet; thence north 22 degrees 39 minutes 45 seconds east 137.53 feet; thence north 29 degrees 13 minutes 00 seconds east 258.21 feet; thence north 45 degrees 52 minutes 45 seconds east 212.68 feet; thence south 83 degrees 14 minutes 15 seconds east 89.05 feet to a point in the center line of San Mateo Creek; said center line being also the common boundary line between the hereinabove mentioned lands of P. M. Lansdale and the lands now or formerly owned by the Baywood Park Company conveyed to W. F. Dunn by Parrott Investment Company by deed dated April 11, 1927 and recorded April 12, 1927 in said San Mateo County Recorder's Office in Volume 300 Official Records at page 39, and said point being distant north 28 degrees 19 minutes 47 seconds east 760.51 feet from Station "P-1" in the center line of San Mateo Creek described in the hereinbefore mentioned deed to City and County of San Francisco from Spring Valley Water Company.

The southwesterly boundary line of said strip of land being the common boundary line between the hereinabove mentioned lands of the Spring Valley Company Ltd., and the lands of P. M. Lansdale, and the easterly boundary line of said strip of land being the common boundary line between said lands of P. M. Lansdale and the hereinabove mentioned lands of the Baywood Park Company.

Parcel 3.—A strip of land 40 feet wide being 10 feet measured at right angles southerly and 30 feet measured at right angles northerly from the following described line between the terminal point of the survey or reference line, hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 2, designated as Point "A" for the purpose of this description and the point designated as Point "B" for the purpose of this description, and the easterly and westerly extensions thereof; and being 10 feet measured at right angles westerly and 30 feet measured at right angles easterly from the line described between said point designated as Point "B" and the point designated as Point "C" for the purpose of this description, and the southwesterly and northerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at the terminal point of the survey or reference line hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 2 and designated as Point "A"; running thence from said point of commencement south 83 degrees 14 minutes 15 seconds east 40.80 feet to a point designated as Point "B"; thence north 46 degrees 37 minutes 45 seconds east 78.82 feet; thence north 69 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds east 217.44 feet; thence north 42 degrees 22 minutes 30 seconds east 149.27 feet; thence north 16 degrees 42 minutes 30 seconds east 232.74 feet; thence north 46 degrees 12 minutes 15 seconds east 200.92 feet; thence north 14 degrees 10 minutes 45 seconds east 225.64 feet; thence north 27 degrees 38 minutes 00 seconds east 173.75 feet; thence north 6 degrees 39 minutes 00 seconds east 100.28 feet; thence north 22 degrees 17 minutes 30 seconds west 151.27 feet; thence north 38 degrees 09 minutes 00 seconds west 119.01 feet; thence north 51 degrees 56 minutes 00 seconds west 247.16 feet; thence north 20 degrees 05 minutes 00 seconds west 89.84 feet; thence north 0 degrees 12 minutes 45 seconds east 80.67 feet; thence north 25 degrees 42 minutes 15 seconds east 211.66 feet; thence north 37 degrees 57 minutes 00 seconds east 155.52 feet; thence north 57 degrees 24 minutes 15 seconds east 55.05 feet; thence north 78 degrees 32 minutes 15 seconds east 149.86 feet; thence north 89 degrees 44 minutes 00 seconds east 69.16 feet; thence north 72 degrees 40 minutes 00 seconds east 171.16 feet; thence north 67 degrees 46 minutes 00 seconds east 291.38 feet; thence north 57 degrees 29 minutes 00 seconds east 155.96 feet; thence north 67 degrees 44 minutes 00 seconds east 119.26 feet; thence north 55 degrees 40 minutes 45 seconds east 136.64 feet; thence north 29 degrees 36 minutes 30 seconds east 93.37 feet; thence north 52 degrees 31 minutes 15 seconds east 374.82 feet; thence north 49 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds east 315.63 feet; thence north 39 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds east 156.46 feet; thence north 59 degrees 08 minutes 00 seconds east 262.40 feet; thence north 33 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds east 185.36 feet; thence north 20 degrees 35 minutes 45 seconds east 174.79 feet; thence north 2 degrees 29 minutes 45 seconds west 170.53 feet to a point designated as

Point "C", said point being on the common boundary line between the lands of the Baywood Park Company and the 0.453 acre tract of land owned by the Parrott Investment Company and known as the "Pump House Lot"; said point being distant along said common boundary line south 14 degrees 25 minutes 00 seconds east 31.50 feet and south 83 degrees 38 minutes 00 seconds east 75.08 feet from the most westerly corner of said Pump House Lot.

The westerly boundary line of said strip of land being the common boundary line between said lands of P. M. Lansdale and said lands of of the Baywood Park Company and the northerly boundary line of said strip of land being the southerly boundary line of said lands of the Parrott Investment Company.

III. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcels of land:

Parcel 4.—A strip of land 40 feet wide being 30 feet measured at right angles easterly and 10 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at the terminal point of the survey or reference line hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 3; running thence from said point of commencement north 2 degrees 29 minutes 45 seconds west 127.77 feet to a point on the northwesterly boundary line of said Pump House Lot (being also the southeasterly line of the County Road known as Crystal Springs Road); which point is north 40 degrees 54 minutes 00 seconds east 117.50 feet measured along said northwesterly boundary line from said most westerly corner of Pump House Lot.

The southerly boundary line of said strip of land being the common boundary line between said lands of Baywood Park Company and said lands of the Parrott Investment Company and the northerly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly boundary line of said lands of the Parrott Investment Company.

Parcel 5.—A strip of land 10 feet wide being 5 feet measured at right angles easterly and 5 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at the terminal point of the survey or reference line hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 4; running thence north 2 degrees 29 minutes 45 seconds west 46.94 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the County Road known as Crystal Springs Road, being also the southeasterly line of the 118.35 acre tract of land known as "Uplands" described in deed to Charles Templeton Crocker by Jennie Adeline Crocker dated February 6, 1911 and recorded February 7, 1911, in the Office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Volume 195 of Deeds at page 342; said point being distant south 40 degrees 54 minutes 00 seconds west 1366.53 feet from the most southerly corner of tract known as the Reservoir Lot.

The southerly boundary line of said strip of land being the southeasterly line of said Crystal Springs Road and the northerly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly line of said road.

Parcel 6.—A strip of land 40 feet wide being 10 feet measured at right angles easterly and 30 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and the southerly and northwesterly extensions thereof:

Commencing at the terminal point of the survey or reference line hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 5; running thence from said point of commencement north 2 degrees 29 minutes 45 seconds west 61.52 feet; thence north 30 degrees 39 minutes 00 seconds east 255.87 feet; thence north 8 degrees 28 minutes 00 seconds east 611.34 feet; thence north 25 degrees 20 minutes 45 seconds west 278.03 feet; thence north 64 degrees 13 minutes 00 seconds west 259.40 feet; thence north

89 degrees 42 minutes 45 seconds west 152.89 feet; thence north 63 degrees 15 minutes 45 seconds west 322.70 feet; thence north 46 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds west 140.87 feet; thence north 6 degrees 55 minutes 15 seconds west 200.15 feet; thence north 23 degrees 05 minutes 15 seconds west 85.55 feet; thence north 51 degrees 57 minutes 15 seconds west 127.79 feet; thence north 38 degrees 21 minutes 15 seconds west 7.47 feet to a point on the westerly boundary line of the land of Edward K. Howe et al.; said point being distant north 7 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds west 277.35 feet, north 9 degrees 31 minutes 15 seconds west 40.28 feet; and south 38 degrees 21 minutes 15 seconds east 41.47 feet from a railroad spike at the southwest corner of the land of Edward K. Howe et al.

The southeasterly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly line of Crystal Springs Road hereinbefore mentioned and the westerly boundary line of said strip of land being the westerly boundary line of said land of Edward K. Howe et al. and the easterly line of El Cerrito avenue of the Town of Hillsborough.

IV. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace and/or remove a pipe line, conduit and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 7.—A strip of land 10 feet wide being 5 feet measured at right angles westerly and 5 feet measured at right angles easterly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof:

Commencing at the terminal point of the survey or reference line hereinbefore located in the description of Parcel 6; running thence north 38 degrees 21 minutes 15 seconds west 256.17 feet; thence north 50 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds west 50.86 feet; thence north 65 degrees 25 minutes 30 seconds west 342.55 feet; thence north 56 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds west 39.42 feet; thence north 30 degrees 37 minutes 45 seconds west 206.83 feet; thence north 50 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds west 191.13 feet; thence north 39 degrees 58 minutes 00 seconds west 321.97 feet; thence north 48 degrees 02 minutes 00 seconds west 56.34 feet; thence north 63 degrees 14 minutes 30 seconds west 104.78 feet; thence north 56 degrees 21 minutes 00 seconds west 143.80 feet; thence north 61 degrees 15 minutes 00 seconds west 185.49 feet; thence north 86 degrees 30 minutes 00 seconds west 117.30 feet; thence north 17 degrees 05 minutes 30 seconds west 227.50 feet; thence north 15 degrees 47 minutes 30 seconds east 82.34 feet; thence north 29 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds west 5.51 feet more or less to the northerly line of Chelmsford Road in the Town of Hillsborough.

The southeasterly boundary line of said strip of land being the easterly line of El Cerrito avenue and the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land being the northerly line of Chelmsford road in said Town of Hillsborough.

V. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 8.—A strip of land adjoining the northeasterly boundary line of Lot No. 6 and being 20 feet in width measured at right angles southwesterly from said northeasterly boundary line of Lot No. 6 as said lot is shown on "Map No. 2 of Hillsborough Terrace, Hillsborough, California," filed May 9, 1929, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Liber 17 of Maps at pages 48 and 49; said 20 foot strip of land extending from the northwesterly line of Chelmsford road northwesterly 143.35 feet more or less to the southeasterly line of Ravenscourt road.

VI. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, and/or remove a pipe

line, conduit and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 9.—A strip of land 10 feet wide being 5 feet measured at right angles westerly and 5 feet measured at right angles easterly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Ravenscourt road in the Town of Hillsborough, said point being distant southwesterly 10 feet measured along the northwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 6, hereinabove mentioned in description of Parcel 8, from the most northerly corner of said lot; running thence from said point of commencement north 29 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds west 5.00 feet more or less; thence north 60 degrees 06 minutes 30 seconds east 59.17 feet; thence north 29 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds west 25.00 feet more or less to the northwesterly line of Ravenscourt road.

The southerly boundary line of said strip of land being the southeasterly line of Ravenscourt road and the northerly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly line of Ravenscourt road.

VII. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 10.—A strip of land 20 feet wide being 10 feet measured at right angles easterly and 10 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly boundary line of Lot No. 2, as said lot is shown on "Map No. 2 of Hillsborough Terrace, Hillsborough, California," filed May 9, 1929, in the Office of the County Recorder of San Mateo county, State of California, in Liber 17 of Maps at pages 48 and 49; said point of commencement being distant 10 feet measured southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary line from the most easterly corner of said Lot No. 2; running thence from said point of commencement along a line parallel to and distant 10 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot No. 2, north 29 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds west 150 feet more or less to the northwesterly boundary line of said Lot No. 2; thence north 5 degrees 14 minutes 45 seconds east 36.67 feet to a point on the common boundary line between Lot No. 313 and Lot No. 275, as shown on "Map of Subdivision No. 3 San Mateo Park, San Mateo County, California," filed in said San Mateo County Recorder's office November 20, 1905, in Book "A" of Original Maps at page 68, said point being distant 50 feet measured northeasterly along said common boundary line from the most southerly corner of the hereinabove mentioned Lot No. 275.

The southeasterly boundary line of said strip of land being the southeasterly boundary line of said Lot No. 2 and the northwesterly boundary line of said strip of land being said common boundary line between Lot No. 313 and Lot No. 275.

VIII.—A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace and/or remove a pipe line, conduit and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 11.—A strip of land 10 feet wide being 5 feet measured at right angles easterly and 5 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and the southerly and northerly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Poplar avenue in the City of San Mateo; said point of commencement being distant 50 feet measured at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly

boundary line of Lot No. 275 hereinabove mentioned in the description of Parcel 9; running thence from said point of commencement north 29 degrees 40 minutes 15 seconds west 150 feet more or less; thence north 14 degrees 57 minutes 15 seconds west 81.36 feet; thence north 4 degrees 27 minutes 00 seconds west 141.45 feet; thence north 12 degrees, 16 minutes 45 seconds west 113.72 feet; thence north 19 degrees 02 minutes 45 seconds west 103.13 feet; thence north 25 degrees 39 minutes 45 seconds west 103.05 feet; thence north 31 degrees 04 minutes 45 seconds west 162.04 feet; thence north 22 degrees 52 minutes 00 seconds west 223.80 feet; thence north 4 degrees 24 minutes 00 seconds west 136.70 feet; thence north 16 degrees 23 minutes 45 seconds west 113.39 feet; thence north 27 degrees 06 minutes 15 seconds west 98.58 feet; thence north 16 degrees 45 minutes 45 seconds west 45.49 feet; thence north 6 degrees, 46 minutes 15 seconds west 178.06 feet; thence north 3 degrees 51 minutes 30 seconds east 45.11 feet; thence north 16 degrees 58 minutes 30 seconds west 172.99 feet; thence north 10 degrees 15 minutes 30 seconds west 68.46 feet; thence north 1 degree 25 minutes 45 seconds west 44.65 feet; thence north 6 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds east 55.82 feet; thence north 19 degrees 32 minutes 15 seconds east 54.16 feet; thence north 24 degrees 19 minutes 00 seconds east 79.79 feet; thence north 17 degrees 13 minutes 00 seconds east 67.28 feet; thence north 9 degrees 19 minutes 15 seconds west 213.32 feet; thence north 8 degrees 52 minutes 30 seconds east 67.33 feet; thence north 18 degrees 26 minutes 45 seconds east 113.27 feet; thence north 8 degrees 19 minutes 30 seconds east 63.87 feet; thence north 27 degrees 45 minutes 00 seconds west 60.74 feet more or less to a point on the common boundary line between the City of San Mateo and the City of Burlingame; thence continuing north 27 degrees 45 minutes 00 seconds west 26.26 feet more or less to a point on the northwesterly line of Barriolhet avenue in the City of Burlingame; said point being distant along said northwesterly line north 61 degrees 39 minutes 30 seconds east 10.15 feet from the most southerly corner of Lot 35, Block 9, as shown on "Map of Subdivision No. 2 of Burlingame Park, California" filed October 16, 1905, in the Office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Liber "B" of Maps at page 17.

The southerly boundary line of said strip of land being the southeasterly line of Poplar avenue in the City of San Mateo and the northerly boundary line of said strip of land being the northwesterly line of Barriolhet avenue in the City of Burlingame.

IX. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of, pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcels of land:

Parcel 12.—Commencing at the most southerly corner of Lot No. 35, Block 9, as shown on "Map of Subdivision No. 2 of Burlingame Park, California" filed October 16, 1905, in the Office of the County Recorder of San Mateo county, State of California, in Liber "B" of Maps at page 17; running thence from said point of commencement along the northwesterly line of Barriolhet avenue north 61 degrees 39 minutes 30 seconds east 20.33 feet; thence north 39 degrees 15 minutes 00 seconds west 50.47 feet to the westerly boundary line of said Lot 35; thence along said westerly boundary line south 16 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds east 50.67 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 13.—Commencing at the most easterly corner of Lot No. 36, Block 9, as shown on "Map of Subdivision No. 2 of Burlingame Park, California" hereinbefore mentioned; running thence from said point of commencement along the easterly line of said Lot No. 36 north 16 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds west 101.33 feet to the corner common to Lots 33, 34, 35 and 36 of said Block 9; thence along the

common boundary line between Lots 33 and 36 south 57 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds west 128.92 feet to the easterly line of Pepper avenue; thence along said easterly line south 34 degrees 03 minutes 30 seconds east 20 feet; thence along a line parallel to and distant 20 feet measured at right angles southeasterly from the common boundary line between Lots 33 and 36 north 57 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds east 90.51 feet; thence south 39 degrees 15 minutes 00 seconds east 77.46 feet to the point of commencement.

X. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace and/or remove a pipe line, conduit and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances, for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, along and across the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 14.—A strip of land 10 feet wide being 5 feet measured at right angles northwesterly and easterly and 5 feet measured at right angles southeasterly and westerly from the following described line and the easterly and northwesterly extensions thereof.

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Pepper avenue; said point being distant along said easterly line south 34 degrees 03 minutes 30 seconds east 10 feet from the corner common to Lots 33 and 36 of Block 9 hereinbefore mentioned in description of Parcel 13; running thence from said point of commencement south 57 degrees 07 minutes 15 seconds west 25.39 feet; thence north 34 degrees 01 minutes 45 seconds west 460.01 feet; thence north 49 degrees 48 minutes 30 seconds west 440.73 feet; thence north 38 degrees 20 minutes 00 seconds west 98.05 feet more or less to a point on the common boundary line between the City of Burlingame and the Town of Hillsborough; thence continuing north 38 degrees 20 minutes 00 seconds west 123.79 feet; thence north 31 degrees 24 minutes 00 seconds west 82.26 feet; thence north 23 degrees 13 minutes 00 seconds west 197.77 feet; thence north 18 degrees 50 minutes 00 seconds west 321.23 feet; thence north 4 degrees 56 minutes 15 seconds east 53.07 feet; thence north 45 degrees 06 minutes 15 seconds east 154.33 feet; thence north 36 degrees 21 minutes 15 seconds east 87.86 feet; thence north 25 degrees 54 minutes 45 seconds east 92.33 feet; thence north 16 degrees 16 minutes 45 seconds east 401.88 feet; thence north 10 degrees 34 minutes 30 seconds east 94 feet more or less; thence north 60 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds west 18 feet more or less to a point on the northwesterly line of Bellevue avenue; said point being distant southerly 77 feet more or less measured along said northwesterly line from its intersection with the southwesterly edge of pavement of El Camino Real.

The easterly boundary line of said strip of land being the easterly line of Pepper avenue and the northwesterly line of said strip of land being the northwesterly line of Bellevue avenue.

XI. A fee simple title to the following described parcel of land:

Parcel 15.—A strip of land adjoining the southwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 275 as shown on "Map of Subdivision No. 3 San Mateo Park, San Mateo County, California" filed November 20, 1905, in the Office of the County Recorder of San Mateo county, State of California, in Book "A" of original maps at page 68; said strip of land being 60 feet in width measured at right angles northeasterly from said southwesterly boundary line of Lot No. 275 and extending from the southeasterly boundary line of said Lot No. 275 northwesterly to the southeasterly line of Poplar avenue.

The taking of a right of way easement to said property and of a fee title to said Parcel 15 is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a pipe line or lines and appurtenances thereto for the purpose of conveying water to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants for municipal and domestic purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence

proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**District Engineer of United States Engineers to Consider Dredging and Maintenance of Seaplane Channel at San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 15.05)

Also, Resolution No. 2551, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to resolutions of the Committee on Commerce of the Senate, and the Committee on Rivers and Harbors of the House of Representatives, adopted March 24 and 26, 1936, respectively, the San Francisco District Engineer of the United States Engineer's Office of the War Department has been directed to review certain reports pertaining to dredging and other construction programs of the War Department in San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, the aforesaid review of these reports by the District Engineer is for the purpose of determining whether said dredging and construction program should be modified to include the dredging and maintenance of a seaplane channel and harbor at San Francisco Airport; and

Whereas, a public hearing will be held on the above matter at the Office of the District Engineer of the United States Army Engineer's Office in the Custom House, San Francisco, on Tuesday, May 5th, at 2 p. m., at which time all interested parties are invited to be present; and

Whereas, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are vitally interested in the development of air commerce on the Pacific Coast, and to aid in said development have authorized the purchase of land and the expenditure of large sums of money by the City and County of San Francisco for the development of a modern combined land and seaplane base thereon, and further are now constructing facilities on said combined land and seaplane base that are of vital necessity to the operation of commercial seaplane service to the Orient from San Francisco Bay and to the armed forces of the United States government in time of national emergency; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That inasmuch as the City and County of San Francisco has already obligated itself to all expenditures justified by possible reasonable return through commerce to the City of San Francisco directly, which expenditures will redound to the great benefit of the Pacific Coast and the United States as a whole by providing modern facilities through which international air commerce may be enjoyed between the United States and the Orient; the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby respectfully petition that the District Engineer of the United States Engineers take into consideration the above facts and recommend that the dredging and maintenance of a seaplane channel at San Francisco Airport is a proper function of the War Department in its development and maintenance of harbor facilities for the convenience and safety of commerce; and, be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to file a copy of this resolution with the District Engineer of the United States Army Engineers, Custom House, San Francisco; the Committee on Commerce of the Senate, Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C.; and the Committee on Rivers and Harbors of the House of Representatives, House Office Building, Washington, D. C.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Federal Grant, 45 Per Cent of the Cost of Rapid Transit System from Plan "X" to Third and Townsend Streets.**

(Code No. 15.032)

Also, Resolution No. 2554, as follows:

Resolved, that the Mayor, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and Controller are hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of the cost of labor and materials to be used in connection with a rapid transit system from Plan "X" terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to the Southern Pacific terminal at Third and Townsend streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Federal Grant, 45 Per Cent of Cost of Construction Necessary to Sell Light and Power to San Mateo.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Also, Resolution No. 2555, as follows:

Resolved, that the Mayor, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller are hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works of the United States for a grant equal to 45 per cent of the cost of labor and materials for the construction of all necessary facilities which will permit the sale of light and power by the City and County of San Francisco to the County of San Mateo.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Granting Permission to Eaton and Smith to Fence in a Portion of the Sidewalk on Carroll Avenue Near Ingalls Street.**

(Code No. 1.06101)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2552, as follows:

Resolved, that upon recommendation of the Department of Public Works, permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Eaton and Smith to fence that portion of the sidewalk area in front of the property situate at the southwest corner of Carroll avenue and Ingalls street adjacent to the property line, for a width of six (6) feet lying on the southwesterly line of Carroll avenue from Ingalls avenue for a distance of one hundred (100) feet northeasterly, for the purpose of protecting railroad cars, spotted at this location, from being pilfered.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Ordering Improvement of Peralta Avenue From Mullen Avenue to Florida Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 987, Ordinance No. 12.061164, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 23, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Peralta avenue from the south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement at Florida street. The improvement is to include the construction of the following items:

Item No. Item.

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Eight-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
3. Twelve-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
4. Ten-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
5. Brick manhole, complete.
6. Brick catchbasins, complete.
7. Armored concrete curb.
8. One-course concrete sidewalk.
9. Six-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
10. Nine-unit warning reflector, complete.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Block 5515; Lots 1, 2, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39 of Block 5527; Lots 6, 7, 8, 10A, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5528, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Accepting Deeds to Land Required for Extension of Golden Gate Av.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2556, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept from the Masonic Cemetery Association of the City and County of San Francisco a conveyance of the real property hereinafter described as Parcel No. 1; and that the City and County of San Francisco also accept from the University of San Francisco, a conveyance of the real

property described hereinafter as Parcel No. 2, upon the following terms and conditions:

1. That the said respective parcels of property be used for the extension of Golden Gate avenue from Masonic avenue to Parker avenue and that the property conveyed by the aforesaid deeds be dedicated and used as an open public street.

2. The City and County of San Francisco will perform the following work in connection with the dedication and use of said Golden Gate avenue as an open public street, to-wit: Grade and pave said street from Masonic avenue to Parker avenue; construct the necessary curbs and sidewalks therein, and main sewer therein with the necessary catch basins and drains, the necessary water mains, and standards for street lighting; all of which work shall be done in accordance with the plans and specifications heretofore approved for doing similar work in the City and County of San Francisco.

3. The property herein referred to to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco free and clear from all incumbrances and pending the completion of the aforesaid work, deeds conveying the respective parcels of property to the City shall be held in escrow by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, with instructions to record said deeds for and on behalf of the City upon completion of aforesaid work and if said work is not completed within one year from the date hereof to return said deeds to the grantors therein named on demand.

The following are the parcels of real property herein referred to:

Parcel No. 1—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Masonic avenue, distant thereon 270 feet southerly from the point of intersection of said westerly line of Masonic avenue with the southerly line of Turk street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Masonic avenue 73 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly and parallel with the southerly line of Turk street 1755 feet 6¾ inches to the easterly line of Parker avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said easterly line of Parker avenue 73 feet 9 inches to a point distant thereon 270 feet southerly from the southerly line of Turk street; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with said southerly line of Turk street 1755 feet 6¾ inches to the point of commencement.

Parcel No. 2—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Masonic avenue, distant thereon 343 feet 9 inches southerly from the point of intersection of said westerly line of Masonic avenue with the southerly line of Turk street, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Masonic avenue 5 feet; thence at a right angle westerly and parallel with aforesaid southerly line of Turk street 1755 feet 6¾ inches to the easterly line of Parker avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said easterly line of Parker avenue 5 feet to a point distant thereon 343 feet 9 inches southerly from the southerly line of Turk street; thence at a right angle easterly and parallel with said southerly line of Turk street 1755 feet 6¾ inches to the point of commencement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Public Utilities Commission Requested to Prepare Plans for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power in Consonance With Suggestions of Secretary of Interior in His Recent Decision.**

(Code No. 15.031)

On recommendation of Special Hetch Hetchy Committee.

Resolution No. 2553, as follows:

Resolved, that the Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby requested to prepare plans for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power by the City and County of San Francisco which would conform to the suggestion of the Secretary of the Interior, Honorable Harold L. Ickes, in his recent decision; and be it further

Resolved, That such plans carry with them a permissive clause,

that, should any other plan be devised during their makeup which would better serve the interests of the City and County of San Francisco, that it take precedence over those now in contemplation.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**

(Code No. 11.0821)

The following recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police was presented and read by the Clerk:

Bill No. 974, Ordinance No. 11.08212, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(f) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(g) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(h) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire*

*Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust

ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two

buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited.

*All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Parts of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

*Provided that all provisions contained in said Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series) and said Ordinance No.* 11.051 *as to the distances from any school within which an automobile supply station may be erected or maintained, as well as the manner in which said distance shall be measured, shall remain in full force and effect.*

Minority Report.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

San Francisco, California, May 2, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: The undersigned, a minority of your Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police, begs leave to offer the following bills regulating establishment and maintenance of gasoline supply stations and garages as substitutes for those bills recommended by the Joint Committee and on today's calendar.

Respectfully yours,

JOHN M. RATTO,
Member of Joint Committee.

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**

(Code No. 11.0821)

Bill No. 974, Ordinance No. 11.08212, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Frnacisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(f) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(g) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(h) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and "or within 200 feet of any front entrance of any school, said measurements to be taken in a straight line between the nearest property lines of the hospital, church or theatre and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station and between the nearest*

*front entrance to any school and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station, provided, however, that in no event shall the nearest property line of any gasoline supply station be within 60 feet of the nearest line of any school building."*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply stations for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necsesary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen

(18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved watertight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated

by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be*

*filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited.

*All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Parts of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

*Provided that all provisions contained in said Ordinance No.* 2659 *(New Series) and said Ordinance No.* 11.051 *as to the distances from any school within which an automobile supply station may be erected or maintained, as well as the manner in which said distance shall be measured, shall remain in full force and effect.*

## Amendment to Garage Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.0822)

Also, Bill No. 975, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Fran-*

*cisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

Explanation.

Amendment to the Garage Ordinance should be amended by the omission of the last clause of Section 1, Subdivision (e), reading as follows:

"No additional permit shall be necessary to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage for which a permit has been heretofore issued in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing."

for the reason that this paragraph is in direct conflict with the previous paragraph and meaningless and is not included in the gasoline supply station ordinance.

**Re-referred.**

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, the foregoing bill was ordered re-referred to the Joint Committee with instructions to send copies of the majority and minority recommendations to all interested.

Supervisor Colman also requested that his 100-foot limitation be given consideration.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Correction of Budget—Playground Directors Inadvertently Omitted.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor, the Mayor, transmitting letter from Josephine Randall, Director of Recreation, respecting three positions of Playground Directors inadvertently eliminated from the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year, 1936-37, and approving her request for reinstallation of these items.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Amendment to Traffic Ordinance, Parking Meters.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, requesting modification of traffic ordinance to permit experimental installation of 50 parking meters in the downtown triangle district.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Protest—Roofing Ordinance.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Real Estate Association of San Francisco, advising that it has adopted the resolution opposing the provision of the proposed Roofing Code requiring a new roof on a building every ten years; also, that it is opposed to the specification of 165 pounds required for roofing since they are advised that 85 pounds is sufficient, and asserting that it amounts to confiscation of property without due process of law.

*Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.*

**Protest—Boiler Inspection Ordinance.**

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Real Estate Association of San Francisco, protesting as unjust and an unnecessary additional burden to property owners the proposed "Boiler Inspection Ordinance" and protesting its adoption at this time.

*Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.*

**Exploitation of Climatic Advantages of San Francisco.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from James H. McCabe, President of the California Northern Hotel Association, complaining of the failure of local authorities to analyze and merchandise the advantages of San Francisco's climate, and transmitting a resolution of the San Francisco section of the California Northern Hotel Association, urging all civic bodies in San Francisco, including the Chamber of Commerce, Californians, Inc., and the press generally, to recognize that the hotels of San Francisco, rep-

resenting investments of more than $150,000,000, and that the welfare of these institutions directly depend upon proper climatic information be given out with respect to San Francisco in that respect.

*Referred to the Finance Committee for budget consideration.*

### Underground Districts—Lombard Street, Van Ness Avenue to Lyon Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, advising that the Commission makes up its lighting budget in January of each year, effective for the following year, and that there is included sufficient to take care of two and one-half miles of underground. If Lombard street is to be constructed during the next fiscal year, it will be all right to declare it an underground district; also, suggesting that the Board of Supervisors furnish a complete statement of total underground mileage expected each year so that increased request for funds may be made in budget.

*Referred to Finance Committee for budget consideration.*

### Reimbursement of Counties—Old-Age Security Act.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by the State Board of Social Welfare on April 30, 1936, at Sacramento, providing for the reimbursement of counties for one-half of the money advanced as State and county aid under the Old-Age Security Act on all cases where legal requirements have been met, and providing that if, for any reason, it does not appear that the applicant is eligible, a future time be set for the discontinuance of such aid, giving the county time to correct the situation.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Home Foreclosures.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from F. M. McAuliffe, Chairman of the Emergency Relief Administration of San Francisco, in reply to inquiry of Supervisor Uhl, that no recent foreclosures of homes occupied by persons receiving aid from the San Francisco ERA, have occurred.

Also, communication from Platt Kent, Division Counsel, Northern California Division, Home Owners Loan Corporation, referring to inquiry of Supervisor Uhl relative to number of home foreclosures under HOLC, that his instructions preclude giving information of the character desired, and that request should be forwarded to the main office in Washington.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Clerk was directed to address a communication renewing the inquiry to the Washington headquarters of the HOLC.

### Application for Federal Grants.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, transmitting resolutions authorizing applications for change in loan and grant agreement with the Federal Government for construction of proposed improvements of water works system and for change in agreement for replacements and extensions of sanitary sewer systems and sewage treatment plan; also, enclosing schedule of bond issue, loan and grant under Docket 1510, also, schedule of bond issue, loan and grant under Docket 1534.

*Ordered filed.*

### Bids for Printing Delinquent Tax Lists.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Purchaser of Supplies, transmitting list of bids received for printing and distributing the delinquent tax lists and printing the sales list for the fiscal year, 1935-36, and requesting that the Board of Supervisors by resolution fix the rates to be paid by the public for such publication.

*Ordered filed.*

### Report on Wages of Institutional Help.

(Code No. ....)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2561, as follows:

Whereas, it has been reliably reported to the Board of Supervisors that wages paid to the so-called institutional help are intolerably inadequate for any common standard of decent living and are not in keeping with wages paid for comparable services in private institutions; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors is about to begin its annual consideration of the 1936-1937 budget; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors petition his Honor the Mayor to report to this Board the results of a recent survey by the Civil Service Commission on the question of how these wages compare with those prevailing in other similar institutions.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Electrification of Southern Pacific Lines.

(Code No. ....)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2563, as follows:

Whereas, during the past decade the trend of San Franciscans seeking suburban homes has been down the peninsula; and

Whereas, it is conceded improved transportation facilities to the East Bay will, upon completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, tend to induce San Franciscans seeking suburban homes, to move to the East Bay; and

Whereas, it is for the best interests of San Francisco and her citizens that San Franciscans should not move to the East Bay, where they will practically be alienated from San Francisco; and

Whereas, to overcome San Franciscans moving to the East Bay because of superior interurban service, it is necessary that the Southern Pacific Company improve its service, not alone down the peninsula as far down as Palo Alto, but also to Marin County; and

Whereas, a decided advantage will be enjoyed by the interurban trains to East Oakland due to the fact that the interurban depot will be within walking distance of suburbanites, and with a view of meeting this situation;

Be it Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors address a letter to the Southern Pacific Company, asking the Southern Pacific Company: "Will the Southern Pacific Company, at this time, consider favorably electrifying their lines to Palo Alto and extending said lines to Howard and Second streets in San Francisco?"

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Application for Change in Loan and Grant Agreement with Federal Government for Construction of Proposed Improvements to Its Present Waterworks System.**

(Code No. 15.02)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon.

Resolution No. 2557, as follows:

Whereas, a Loan and Grant Agreement for construction of proposed improvements to its present Waterworks System was entered into under date of May 19, 1934, by and between the City and County of San Francisco and the Federal Government through the Federal Administrator of Public Works, wherein the Government agreed to purchase bonds of the City of San Francisco in an amount not to exceed $8,526,000 and to make a further grant of not to exceed 30 per centum of a total cost of $12,095,000 and not to exceed the sum of $2,245,000; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is selling its bonds in the public market and has no further requirements for a loan; and

Whereas, it would be to the best advantage of the City and County of San Francisco to change the said Loan and Grant Agreement to a Grant Agreement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to make application to the Government of the United States, through its proper agency, to cancel the aforesaid Loan and Grant Agreement and in lieu thereof that the City and County of San Francisco enter into a Grant Agreement with the United States of America, whereby the United States of America will make a grant to the City and County of San Francisco of a sum not to exceed $2,245,000, to enable said City and County to construct proposed improvements to its present Waterworks System; and be it

Further Resolved, that said Angelo J. Rossi, as such Mayor, and J. S. Dunnigan, as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby authorized to sign, execute and deliver for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the necessary agreements when said agreements are approved by this Board of Supervisors to carry this resolution into effect.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Application for Change in Loan and Grant Agreement with Federal Government for Replacements and Extensions to Its Sanitary Sewer System and the Construction of a Sewage Treatment Plant.**

(Code No. 12.101)

Also, Resolution No. 2558, as follows:

Whereas, a Loan and Grant Agreement for replacements and extensions to its Sanitary Sewer System and the construction of a Sewage Treatment Plant was entered into under date of May 19, 1934, by and between the City and County of San Francisco and the Federal Government through the Federal Administration of Public Works, wherein the Government agreed to purchase bonds of the City of San Francisco in an amount not to exceed $2,171,000 and to make a further grant of not to exceed 30 per centum of a total cost of $2,625,000 and not to exceed the sum of $454,000; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is selling its bonds in the public market and has no further requirements for a loan; and

Whereas, it would be to the best advantage of the City and County of San Francisco to change the said Loan and Grant Agreement to a Grant Agreement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to make application to the Government of the United States, through its proper agency, to cancel the aforesaid Loan and Grant Agreement and in lieu thereof that the City and County of San Francisco enter into a Grant Agreement with the United States of America, whereby the United States of America will make a grant to the City and County of San Francisco of a sum not to exceed $454,000, to enable said City and County to make said replacements and extensions to its Sanitary Sewer System and construction of said Sewage Treatment Plant; and be it

Further Resolved, that said Angelo J. Rossi, as such Mayor, and J. S. Dunnigan, as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby authorized to sign, execute and deliver for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the necessary agreements when said agreements are approved by this Board of Supervisors to carry this resolution into effect.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed*:

**Authorizing a Supplementing Appropriation of $2,500 Out of the Surplus Existing in Appropriation 548.964.00 (County Road Fund) to the Credit of Appropriation 548.978.00 (County Road Fund) for Construction of Sidewalks in Fulton Street Between Hyde and Leavenworth Streets, and in Leavenworth Street Between Fulton and McAllister Streets, Fronting the New Federal Administration Building—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 988, Ordinance No. 9.051263, as follows:

Authorizing a supplementing appropriation of $2,500 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 548.964.00 (County Road Fund) to the credit of Appropriation 548.978.00 (County Road Fund) for construction of sidewalks in Fulton street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets, and in Leavenworth street between Fulton and McAllister streets, fronting the new Federal Administration Building. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation 548.964.00 (County Road Fund) to the credit of Appropriation 548.978.00 (County Road Fund) for the construction of sidewalks in Fulton street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets, and Leavenworth street between Fulton and McAllister streets, fronting the new Federal Administration Building.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate steps must be taken to construct the sidewalks fronting the Federal Administration Building before said building can be opened for occupancy.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following was presented by Supervisor Brown and made a *Special Order of Business for Monday, May* 11, 1936, *at* 3 *p. m.*:

### Lease of 333 Kearney Street for District Attorney, et al.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 2562, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, enter into a written lease with A. P. Jacobs, as lessor, for office space required for the District Attorney, Public Defender and Probation Officer, of certain space situated at 333 Kearney street, San Francisco, California, for a term of five (5) years, at a monthly rental of $639. Said lease shall begin the first day of July, 1936.

The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

### In Memoriam—Herbert Choynski.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 2559, as follows:

Whereas, the Grim Reaper, Death, has called from the scene of our activities the prominent attorney, brilliant soldier and well known clubman, Herbert Choynski who, for over a quarter of a century has been well and favorably known to many of the members of this Board; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors goes on record as expressing its sorrow at the demise of this popular and well known San Franciscan, and the Clerk is hereby directed to prepare and send to the family of the deceased a letter of condolence expressing the loss that we, his friends, feel, and the City and County of San Francisco has suffered.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

### In Memoriam—Felton Taylor.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 2560, as follows:

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors learns with regret of the passing of Felton Taylor, Secretary of the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco. Mr. Taylor has been a faithful and conscientious official of this city as well as a citizen of repute and prominence in civic affairs of every character. His passing is a distinct loss to this municipality.

Wherefore, when this Board adjourns today it does so out of respect to his memory.

The Clerk is hereby directed to send a letter of condolence to the family of the deceased expressing commiseration of the death of one who was revered and respected by every official with whom he has been associated.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

### Uniform Building Code.

Supervisor Roncovieri requested that the Clerk obtain information as follows: What has been done with our $7,000 appropriated for preparation of uniform building code? What is San Francisco going to get for the money it has expended or appropriated?

### Report on Old Age Pensions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board requests the Chief Administrative Officer to submit at next Monday's meeting the applications on

file approved by the County Welfare Department on which the State has not yet made returns, in order that we can follow out the law, and, before the end of May, approve these applications approved by the County Welfare Department.

*Motion carried.*

### Weekly Report on Plumbing Inspection.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer furnish the Board with a weekly report covering the plumbing inspections made on buildings having 10 or more rooms, and the time consumed by inspectors on said buildings.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the above was *referred to the Finance Committee.*

### Committee Meetings Announcements.

Public Welfare Committee, May 7, 10 a. m.
Fire, Safety and Police, May 12, 1936, 10 a. m.
Education, Parks and Recreation, May 13, 1936, 3 p. m.
Health Committee, May 6, 10:30 a. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 11, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 19

Monday, May 11, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MAY 11, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 11, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 4, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Lease of 333 Kearny Street for District Attorney, et al.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 2562, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal Corporation, as lessee, enter into a written lease with A. P. Jacobs, as lessor, for office space required for the District Attorney, Public Defender and Probation Officer, of certain space situated at 333 Kearny street, San Francisco, California, for a term of five (5) years, at a monthly rental of $639. Said lease shall begin the first day of July, 1936.

The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

The Clerk announced that District Attorney Brady had made a request that this matter be deferred until another meeting as he is busy now with the Grand Jury.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that we proceed to consideration of the resolution at once.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that we postpone action for two weeks.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon Supervisor Ratto moved that the foregoing resolution be made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m., May 25, 1936.

*So ordered.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance by Adding a New Section to Prohibit Parking on Certain Streets on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 977, Ordinance No. 11.0216, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith", by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 37c, "No Parking Day or Night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays".

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7691, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 37c, "No Parking Day or Night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays only".

Section 37c. No parking day or night on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays only.

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials during any hour of the day or night on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays, on the following streets:

East side of Hyde street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Ordering Construction of Sidewalks on Lincoln Way and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 978, Ordinance No. 12.061163, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1936 having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street

Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 82'6" and 157'6" west of 46th avenue.

Forty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between 150' and 175' north of Irving street.

Irving street (N. ½) between 44th avenue and 32'6" west.

Fortieth avenue (W. ½) between 250' and 275' south of Lincoln way.

Forty-first avenue (E. ½) between 250' and 275' south of Lincoln way.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W. ½) between 75' and 100' north of Irving street.

Thirty-ninth avenue (W. ½) between 150' and 350' south of Irving street.

Thirty-eighth avenue (E. ½) between 250' and 275' north of Irving street.

Thirty-fifth avenue (E. ½) between 100' and 125' south of Lincoln way.

Thirty-first avenue (W. ½) between Lincoln way and 30' south.

Irving street (N. ½) between 82'6" and 107'6" west of 26th avenue.

Twenty-fifth avenue (E. ½) between 300' and 325' south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 125' and 150' south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-second avenue (W. ½) between 257'6" and 285' south of Lincoln way.

Twenty-first avenue (E. ½) between 150' and 175' south of Lincoln way.

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 20th avenue and 100' west.

Eighteenth avenue (E. ½) between Irving street and 37'6" north.

Irving street (N. ½) between 18th avenue and 100' east.

Eighteenth avenue (E. ½) between Lincoln way and 100' south.

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 57'6" and 82'6" east of 17th avenue.

Funston avenue (E. ½) between 225' and 250' south of Lincoln way.

Tenth avenue (W. ½) between 150' and 175' south of Lincoln way.

Lincoln way (S. ½) between 57'6" and 157'6" east of 9th avenue.

Second avenue (E. ½) between 135' and 160' north of Irving street.

Second avenue (W. ½) between Irving street and 35' south.

Sixth avenue (W. ½) between 50' and 75' south of Irving street.

Eighth avenue (E. ½) between 125' and 150' north of Judah street.

Tenth avenue (E. ½) between 160' and 210' south of Irving street.

Tenth avenue (W. ½) between 275' and 325' south of Irving street.

Sixteenth avenue (W. ½) between 156'3" and 187'6" south of Irving street.

Sixteenth avenue (W. ½) between 250' and 275' north of Judah street.

Judah street (N. ½) between Nineteenth avenue and 120' west.

Judah street (N. ½) between Twenty-fourth avenue and 32'6" east.

Twenty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between Judah street and 100' north.

Twenty-third avenue (W. ½) between 100' and 125' south of Irving street.

Twenty-third avenue (W. ½) between 125' and 150' north of Judah street.

Twenty-fifth avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100' north.

Judah street (N. ½) between Twenty-sixth avenue and 57'6" west.

Twenty-eighth avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100' north.

Thirtieth avenue (W. ½) between 100' and 175' south of Irving street.

Judah street (N. ½) between Thirtieth avenue and 82'6" west.

Judah street (N. ½) between Thirty-second avenue and 57'6" east.

Thirty-second avenue (E. ½) between Judah street and 100' north.

Forty-first avenue (E. ½) between 325′ and 350′ south of Irving street.
Forty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 75′ and 100′ south of Irving street.
Forty-fifth avenue (E. ½) between 235′ and 285′ south of Irving street.
Irving street (S. ½) between Forty-fifth avenue and 32′6″ west.
Forty-eighth avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100′ north.
Judah street (N. ½) between Forty-eighth avenue and 30′ west.
Judah street (N. ½) between 107′6″ and 132′6″ west of Forty-eighth avenue.
Judah street (S. ½) between 82′6″ and 107′6″ west of Forty-seventh avenue.
Forty-eighth avenue (E. ½) between 260′ and 300′ south of Judah street.
Forty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between 300′ and 325′ south of Judah street.
Forty-fourth avenue (W. ½) between 50′ and 75′ north of Kirkham street.
Forty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 250′ and 275′ north of Kirkham street.
Thirty-ninth avenue (E. ½) between 225′ and 300′ south of Judah street.
Twenty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between 100′ and 125′ south of Judah street.
Kirkham street (N. ½) between Twenty-third avenue and 107′6″ east.
Sixteenth avenue (E. ½) between 37′ and 70′ north of Kirkham street.
Fourteenth avenue (E. ½) between 300′ and 375′ north of Kirkham street.
Eleventh avenue (W. ½) between Judah street and 100′ south.
Tenth avenue (W. ½) between 60′ and 114′7″ south of Judah street.
Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 200′ and 225′ south of Judah street.
Lawton street (N. ½) between Ninth avenue and 120′ east.
Twentieth avenue (E. ½) between 50′ and 125′ north of Lawton street.
Forty-sixth avenue (E. ½) between 25′ and 50′ north of Lawton street.
Kirkham street (S. ½) between Forty-eighth avenue and 82′6″ east.
Great Highway (E. ½) between 112′7⅝″ and 162′8⅜″ north of Moraga street.
Forty-eighth avenue (E. ½) between 237′6″ and 262′6″ north of Moraga street.
Twenty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 50′ and 75′ north of Moraga street.
Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 125′ and 175′ north of Moraga street.
Ninth avenue (E. ½) between Moraga street and 40′ north.
Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 247.18′ and 279.68′ south of Moraga street.
Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 43.72′ and 68.72′ north of Noriega street.
Ortega street (N. ½) between Eighth avenue and 32′6″ west.
Eighth avenue (W. ½) between Ortega street and 100′ north.
Ninth avenue (W. ½) between Ortega street and 25′ north.
Ortega street (N. ½) between Ninth avenue and 107′6″ west.
Tenth avenue (E. ½) between Ortega street and 100′ north.
Ninth avenue (W. ½) between 225′ and 250′ south of Ortega street.
Sixteenth avenue (W. ½) between 46′7″ and 91′7″ north of Quintara street.
Twenty-first avenue (W. ½) between Quintara street and 100′ north.
Eighteenth avenue (W. ½) between 280′ and 306′ north of Santiago street.
Twelfth avenue (W. ½) between 193′9″ and 225′ north of Taraval street.
Twenty-eighth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 100′ north.
Taraval street (N. ½) between Twenty-eighth avenue and 32′6″ west.
Thirty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between Santiago street and 100′ south.
Thirty-fourth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 125′ north.

Twenty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 100' south.
Seventeenth avenue (W. ½) between Taraval street and 100' south.
Ulloa street (N. ½) between Eighteenth avenue and 32'6" east.
Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between Taraval street and 100' south.
Funston avenue (W. ½) between Ulloa street and 100' north.
Fifteenth avenue (W. ½) between Ulloa street and 30' south.
Fifteenth avenue (W. ½) between 300' and 325' south of Ulloa street.
Ulloa street (S. ½) between Seventeenth avenue and 32'6" west.
Ulloa street (S. ½) between 35' and 85' west of Eighteenth avenue.
Thirtieth avenue (W. ½) between 200' and 225' south of Ulloa street.
Madrone avenue (W. ½) between Ulloa street and 40' south.
Elizabeth St. (S. ½) between Noe street and 68'9 2-3" east.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Thirty-first avenue (W. ½) between 150' and 175' south of Irving street.

Thirtieth avenue (E. ½) between 225' and 325' south of Kirkham street.

Twenty-seventh avenue (E. ½) between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between 240'2" and 294'2" south of Ortega street.

Forty-sixth avenue (E. ½) between Santiago street and 125' north.

Santiago street (S. ½) between 94' and 120' east of 35th avenue.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between 250' and 275' south of Vicente street.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between Vicente street and 100' south.

Fifteenth avenue (E. ½) between 200' and 250' north of Wawona street.

Laguna Honda boulevard (W. ½) between Vasquez and Balceta avenues.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Seneca avenue and 103.1887' southwest.

Ocean avenue (N. ½) between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

| Block No. | Lot No. | Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1704 | 15, 45, 46 | 1757 | 1 |
| 1706 | 18 | 1761 | 3 |
| 1710 | 8, 37 | 1762 | 28 |
| 1711 | 5, 5A, 6, 7, 8 | 1764 | 35, 36 |
| | 9, 10, 11, 18 | 1765 | 9 |
| 1713 | 34 | 1771 | 3B, 3P |
| 1716 | 43 | 1774 | 21 |
| 1719 | 1 | 1778 | 2, 15, 24 |
| 1724 | 18C | 1780 | 18 |
| 1726 | 33 | 1781 | 18, 19 |
| 1727 | 41 | 1783 | 13 |
| 1728 | 8 | 1785 | 2, 4, 12 |
| 1730 | 1, 38 | 1786 | 16 |
| 1733 | 22, 40 | 1795 | 23 |
| 1734 | 39 | 1798 | 47 |
| 1738 | 37 | 1799 | 30 |
| 1740 | 4 | 1800 | 1 |
| 1742 | 37, 38, 40 | 1803 | 14, 17 |
| 1750 | 18 | 1806 | 24, 35 |

| Block No. | Lot No. | Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1807 | 6 | 2045 | 17 |
| 1809 | 12 | 2046 | 18, 22 |
| 1810 | 31 | 2125 | 3A |
| 1815 | 9 | 2136 | 3D |
| 1827 | 2 | 2141 | 7 |
| 1831 | 23 | 2331 | 3H |
| 1838 | 18 | 2341 | 7 |
| 1840 | 29, 31 | 2357 | 9 |
| 1842 | 1 | 2362 | 38 |
| 1843 | 1A | 2363 | 13, 14 |
| 1844 | 6 | 2399 | 1 |
| 1854 | 16 | 2408 | 1, 26 |
| 1865 | 13, 15 | 2409 | 36 |
| 1891 | 24 | 2412 | 15 |
| 1893 | 42A | 2416 | 1, 2 |
| 1895 | 26 | 2418 | 1 |
| 1896 | 32 | 2419 | 11 |
| 1920 | 29 | 2431 | 7 |
| 1934 | 13, 14 | 2929 | 1 |
| 1935 | 23 | 3654 | 23 |
| 2040 | 8A, 14 | | |

---

| Block No. | Lot No. | Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1786 | 3 | 2363 | 38 |
| 1875 | 28, 29, 30, 31 | 2480 | 3D, 17 |
| 1917 | 17, 17A, 17B, 17C, 20A, 21A, 21B, 22B, 25 | 2482 | 10 |
| | | 2918A | 1 |
| | | 6969 | 1 |
| 2118 | 14, 15 | 7205 | 6B, 7 |
| 2304 | 4, 4A, 5, 6, 6A | | |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Bay Street Between Hyde and Larkin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 979, Ordinance No. 12.073170, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-Seven (1157).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office April 13, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-seven (1157), to read as follows:

Section 1157. The width of sidewalks on Bay street between Hyde and Larkin streets shall be as shown on the map entitled "Map of Bay Street Between Hyde and Larkin Streets," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks, dated April, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2563, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Florence G. Perine, Lot 16, Assessor's Block 5614, Lot 8, Assessor's Block 5620, Lot 12, Assessor's Block 5627 and Lot 7, Assessor's Block 5629, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bernal Heights boulevard, for the sum of $425, payable from Appropriation No. 588.902.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2564, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Eda M. McGovern, Lot 20, Assessor's Block 1260, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sum of $9,750 payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2565, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from E. W. Bennett, et ux., a portion of Lot 29, Assessor's Block 1260, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; also the right to construct and maintain a concrete retaining wall along the easterly 3 feet of the remaining portion of said Lot 29, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, for the sum of $1,598.65, payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17.

As a further consideration, the City shall close the portion of Lloyd Street lying between the east line of Divisadero street and the west line of the proposed Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, and deed said property to E. W. Bennett and H. M. Bennett, his wife. In behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for conveying said property to E. W. Bennett and H. M. Bennett, his wife.

At its own expense, the City shall remove the pavement, curbs and sidewalk from the above described portion of Lloyd street, as directed by E. W. Bennett. At its own expense, the City shall relocate the sewer on Lot 29, and shall construct a new sidewalk and curb along the

easterly side of Divisadero street at the westerly end of Lloyd street. Provision shall be made over said sidewalk and curb for a driveway entrance into garages.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2566, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Albert J. Phillips and Emily C. Phillips a portion of Lot 12, Assessor's Block 2406, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $106.20, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing the Purchase of Certain Land for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2567, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Graziano Novi and Ida Novi, his wife, portion of Lot 48, Assessor's Block 7161, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $2,419, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing the Purchase of Certain Land for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2568, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from William M. McGregor and Anne McGregor, portion of Lot 46, Assessor's Block 7161, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $7,602.40, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Auction Sale of City Property.**
(Code No. 12.1724)

Also, Resolution No. 2569, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings situated on land in Assessor's Blocks 1283, 2694, 5310, 6474 and 6638, now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco and not required for playground purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Refunds of Erroneous and Over Payments of Taxes.**
(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2570, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and over payments of taxes, to-wit:

J. D. Feldman, as per Vol. 3, Page 124, Line 11 of the Supplemental Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... $14.40
Geo. Gelber, as per Vol. 3, Page 126, Line 2 of the 1935 Supplemental Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 5.84
A. Finegold, as per Vol. 2, Page 113, Line 5 of the 1933 Arbitrary Assessment Roll ..... 7.10
Patrick Herlihy, as per Vol. 16, Page 204, Line 7 of the 1932 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 6.84
Lillie M. Hayden, as per Vol. 9, Lot 24, Block 1433 of the 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 1.10
P. R. and Marie F. Bacigalupi, as per Vol. 39, Lot 13, Block 6482 of the 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls ..... 3.09
California Fruit Juice Co., as per Vol. 1, Page 104, Line 15 of the 1935 Supplemental Roll of Unsecured Personal Property ..... 31.87
Toyojira Fujino, as per Vol. 8, Page 151, Line 14 of the 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 8.69
P. Goss, as per Vol. 4 of the Supplemental Roll of Unsecured Personal Property for 1936, Receipt 17841 ..... 20.61
J. L. Holland, as per Vol. 12, Page 118, Line 20 of the 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 5.69
Walter Thulin, as per Vol. 1, Page 69, Line 9 of the 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 5.80
Charlotte and Harry Glenn, per 1935 Supplemental Roll, Vol. 4, Receipt 93533 ..... 12.94
Harry R. Oliver, per Vol. 2, Page 154, Line 13 of the 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls ..... 2.51
Arthur H. Larkins, Jr., as per Supp. Roll, Vol. 1, Page 51, Line 4, year 1935 ..... 6.37
Mrs. A. Ravetto, as per Vol. 1, Page 113, Line 14 of the 1934 Arbitrary Assessment Roll ..... 11.98

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Re-Issuing of San Francisco Bonds, Spring Valley Issue, Nos. 21701 and 21703.**
(Code No. 9.07)

Also, Resolution No. 2571, as follows:

Whereas, proof having been made to the Board of Supervisors that The Home Insurance Company, an insurance corporation, was on or about the first day of March, 1933, the owner of, and ever since that date has been the owner of two (2) one thousand dollar bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, Spring Valley Issue, numbered 21701 and 21703; and

Whereas, on the 9th day of February, 1933, said bonds were stolen in the Post Office robbery at Sacramento, California, from the Bank of American National Trust & Savings Association, and said The Home Insurance Company became the owner thereof as aforesaid by reason of having been the Insurer of the said bank and having paid the said bank for said loss; and

Whereas, since said day said bonds or either of them have never been heard of or presented for payment, and said bonds are hereby declared to have been lost within the meaning of the act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled: "An act to provide for the issuance of duplicates of bonds, warrants and other evidences of indebtedness of counties and municipal and other corporations." Approved June 10, 1933, and said The Home Insurance Company having made application to this Board of Supervisors that new and duplicate bonds be issued similar to said bonds lost as aforesaid to replace the same; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, reissue said two (2) one thousand dollar bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, Spring Valley Issue, numbered 21701 and 21703, dated July 1, 1910, each for $1,000, said bonds to be numbered 21701 and 21703, and to be in the words and figures of said original bonds as set forth in Ordinance No. 995 (New Series), enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of December, 1909; be it

Further Resolved, That the signatures of James Rolph Jr., as Mayor, and John H. Thieler, as Treasurer, and Thomas F. Boyle, as Auditor, shall be typewritten upon said bonds, and said bonds be countersigned by J. S. Dunnigan, as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; be it

Further Resolved, That there be endorsed on each of said bonds the fact that said bond is issued as a duplicate of the original thereof and that only the said duplicate or the said original shall be paid. And be it

Further Resolved, That before said bonds are delivered to said The Home Insurance Company, that said The Home Insurance Company cause to be executed and delivered a surety bond in the sum of Three Thousand Dollars ($3,000) indemnifying the City and County of San Francisco against any loss or damage by reason of the issuance of said duplicate bonds or the payment thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $6241 from Emergency Reserve Fund for Payment of Salaries in County Welfare Department, During the Months of May and June, 1936.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 989, Ordinance No. 9.051264, as follows:

Appropriating $6,241 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No.

502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 for the payment of salaries in the County Welfare Department during the months of May and June, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,241 is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 for the payment of salaries in the County Welfare Department during the months of May and June, 1936.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer; and as to funds by the Controller. Recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Additional Appropriation of $2,000 for Continued Investigation of Members of Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1002, Ordinance No. 9.051265, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $2,000 (additional to $25,000 heretofore appropriated), from the Emergency Reserve Fund in response to the request of the District Attorney to enable said District Attorney to continue to investigate charges which have been made that certain members of the Police Department unlawfully collect moneys from illegal activities, and making this ordinance effective forthwith as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Special Fund of the District Attorney, from Appropriation No. 502.900, 1935-1936 Appropriation Ordinance (Emergency Reserve Fund), the sum of $2,000 (additional to the sum of $25,000) for the purpose of defraying the cost of continuing an investigation as to the charges which have been made that certain members of the Police Department unlawfully collect moneys from illegal activities in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The amount hereby appropriated shall be expended and accounted for as provided by Section 29 of the Charter and Section 4308 of the Political Code.

Section 3. This appropriation is made from said Emergency Reserve Fund in order to permit the uninterrupted operation of an office of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: the office of District Attorney.

Section 4. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure to become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare and find by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That said appropriation is necessary immediately for the uninterrupted operation of an office of the City and County, to-wit: the office of District Attorney, in order to enable said District Attorney to continue the investigation referred to in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Creating Underground District, Lombard Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 990, Ordinance No. 11.1215, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section NNN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section NNN.

Section NNN. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening Lombard street, between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street to 100 feet, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 80, Lombard Street between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

**Creating Underground District, Sixth Street Between Folsom and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 991, Ordinance No. 11.1216, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section MMM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section MMM.

Section MMM. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after Sixth street between Folsom street and Bryant street is improved by widening, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 79, Sixth street from the north line of Folsom street to the north line of Bryant street.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Creating Underground District, Folsom Street Between First and Tenth Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 992, Ordinance No. 11.1217, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of

San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section LLL.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section LLL.

Section LLL. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after Folsom street between First and Tenth street is improved by widening, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 78, Folsom street between First street and Tenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on McCoppin Street and Duboce Avenue Between Market and Otis Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 993, Ordinance No. 12.073171, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-four (1174) and Eleven Hundred and Seventy-five (1175).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1936, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-four and Eleven Hundred and Seventy-five to read as follows:

Section 1174. The width of sidewalks on McCoppin street between Market street and Otis street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 1175. The width of sidewalks on Duboce avenue between Market street and Otis street shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Otis Street for its Entire Length.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 994, Ordinance No. 12.073172, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Seventy-three (173) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1936, by amending Section One Hundred and Seventy-three (173) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 173. The width of sidewalks on Otis street for its entire length shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Various Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 995, Ordinance No. 12.073173, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-eight (1158) to Eleven Hundred and Seventy-three (1173) inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1936, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-eight (1158) to Eleven Hundred and Seventy-three (1173) inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1158. The width of sidewalks on Rodgers street between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

Section 1159:

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street between Folsom and Harrison streets shall be 7 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street, the easterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be abolished;

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street, the westerly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 6 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street between Brannan and Townsend streets shall be abolished.

Section 1160. The width of sidewalks on Grote place between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be abolished.

Section 1161. The width of sidewalks on Zeno place between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be abolished.

Section 1162. The width of sidewalks on Baldwin court between Folsom street and its northwesterly termination shall be abolished.

Section 1163. The width of sidewalks on Ecker street between Folsom street and its termination northwesterly from Clementina street shall be abolished.

Section 1164. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 6 feet.

Section 1165. The width of sidewalks on Moss street between Folsom street and a line parallel with and 275 feet northwesterly therefrom shall be 7 feet.

Section 1166. The width of sidewalks on Rausch street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 8 feet.

Section 1167. The width of sidewalks on Gilbert street between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

Section 1168. The width of sidewalks on Stanford street between Brannan and Townsend streets shall be abolished.

Section 1169. The width of sidewalks on Japan street between Brannan and Townsend streets shall be abolished.

Section 1170. The width of sidewalks on Center place between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 4 feet.

Section 1171. The width of sidewalks on Zoe street between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 4 feet.

Section 1172. The width of sidewalks on Boardman place between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 7 feet.

Section 1173. The width of sidewalks on Dore street between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 7 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Grades on Noriega Street Between Eleventh and Twelfth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 996, Ordinance No. 12.072221, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Noriega street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of March, 1936, by Resolution No. 2422, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Noriega street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues;

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first Publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

"Amended Grade Map of a portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Director of Works, Order No. 3556, February 7, 1936, and filed in this office February 14, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee Streets Between Army and Tulare Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 997, Ordinance No. 12.072222, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee streets between Army and Tulare streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of March, 1936, by Resolution No. 2425, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee streets between Army and Tulare streets.

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first Publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Indiana Street.*

Army street, 2.00 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Tulare street, base (the same being the present official grade).

*Minnesota Street.*

Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.60 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.10 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Tulare street, base (the same being the present official grade).

*Tennessee Street.*

Easterly line of, at Army street, 5.00 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Westerly line of, at Army street, 4.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Tulare street, base (the same being the present official grade).

On Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee streets, between Army and Tulare streets, be changed and established to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therfor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Changing Grades on Galvez and Hudson Avenues Between Selby and Toland Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 998, Ordinance No. 12.072223, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Galvez and Hudson avenues between Selby and Toland streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of March, 1936, by Resolution No. 2424, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Galvez and Hudson avenues between Selby street and Toland street.

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first Publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Galvez Avenue.*

Selby street, 1.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).
300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 3.00 feet.
Toland street, 1.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

*Hudson Avenue.*

Northeasterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 1.90 feet (the same being the present official grade).
Southwesterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 2.10 feet (the same being the present official grade).
300 feet northwesterly from Selby street 3.50 feet.
Northeasterly line of, at Toland street southeasterly line, 1.90 feet (the same being the present official grade).
Southwesterly line of, at Toland street southeasterly line, 2.10 feet (the same being the present official grade).
On Galvez and Hudson avenues, between Selby and Toland streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Action Deferred.**

Action on the following bill was *laid over temporarily*:

**Full Acceptance of Stanyan Street (Formerly Henderson Avenue) Between Geary Boulevard and Saint Rose's Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 999, Ordinance No. 12.081122, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) between Geary boulevard and Saint Rose's avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) between Geary boulevard and Saint Rose's avenue.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Van Ness Avenue Between Market Street and Its Northerly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1001, Ordinance No. 12.073174, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-four (1134).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 8, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-four (1134) to read as follows:

Section 1134. The width of sidewalks on Van Ness avenue between Market street and its northerly termination shall be sixteen (16) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Regulating Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions and Establishing Procedure for Fixing and Collecting Fees.**

(Code No. 18.01)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 1000, Ordinance No. 18.012, as follows:

Providing for and establishing the procedure for the admission of patients to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home; and establishing the method for determining, fixing, and collecting reasonable charges for the care and treatment of certain persons.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There shall be admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, the following:

a. An indigent sick person of the City and County of San Francisco

who possesses the required residence qualifications, upon application and after investigation and approval by the Director of Health;

b. A psychopath, narcotic addict or habitual inebriate temporarily in custody;

c. A physically defective and physically handicapped person under the age of eighteen years when the parents or guardian of such person are not financially able to secure proper care or treatment and when such person's admission and treatment has been duly authorized in the manner provided by law;

d. A prisoner confined to the City or County Jail who requires medical or surgical treatment necessitating hospitalization where such treatment cannot be furnished or supplied at such jail when any Court of the City and County shall have ordered the removal of such prisoner to the City and County hospital (and said prisoner elects not to furnish such treatment at his own expense);

e. A dependent, or partially dependent, poor sick person, who possesses the required residential qualifications;

f. A person in need of immediate hospitalization on account of accident or sudden sickness or injury or by reason of sickness or injury caused by or arising in a sudden public emergency or calamity or disaster;

g. A person in the active stages of tuberculosis, in wards established for the treatment of such persons;

h. A person to be quarantined or isolated in the City and County hospital with a contagious, communicable or infectious disease;

i. An expectant mother who is unable to pay for her care and the cost of her maintenance; (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the County of her residence);

j. An indigent sick or dependent poor person from another county which lacks the proper facilities for the caring of such patients; (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of which said person is a resident);

k. A City and County employee injured in the course of his employment by the City and County when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

l. A person sent by the Immigration authorities of the United States Government (under such conditions as may be contracted for between the Director of Health and the United States Government);

m. Provided, nothing in this section shall be construed as restraining the Director of Health from obeying or carrying out or giving effect to any law that may exist or be hereafter passed, relating to the hospitalization of patients in County hospitals which may affect the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home.

Section 2. All persons admitted or committed to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Iolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, under the provisions of subdivisions (c), (e), (f), (g), and (h) of Section 1 hereof, shall be investigated by the Director of Health, who shall determine the financial ability of such persons to pay, in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part for the institutional service rendered.

Section 3. The Director of Public Health shall bill every person legally obligated for institutional service rendered in the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, on the basis of the rates to be established as hereinafter provided, and to the extent of their ability to pay, in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part, as determined under Section 2 hereof.

Section 4. The care of all persons admitted to the several institutions enumerated herein under the provisions of subdivisions (i) and (j) of Section 1 hereof, shall be billed to the county of their residence for such services by the Director of Health on the basis of the rates to be established as hereinafter provided.

Section. 5. The care of all persons admitted to the several institutions enumerated herein under the provisions of subdivision (k) of Section 1 hereof, shall be billed to the Workmen's Compensation Division of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System.

Section 6. The Director of Health on or before the 15th day of July in each year shall compute the per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home.

The said computation of per diem costs with respect to each class of persons care for in each institution shall be based on the following formula: "Total Expenes Divided by the Total Number of Patient Days." Total expenses shall include all elements of cost and expenses exclusive of taxes which are enumerated in the uniform classification of accounts contained in the "Hospital Accounting and Statistics," published by the American Hospital Association in May, 1935.

Section 7. The Director of Health shall report his said findings to the Chief Administrative Officer who shall examine the same and transmit the same with his approval, together with such suggestions and amendments as he may see fit, to the Board of Supervisors not later than the 20th day of July.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors shall, not later than the last Monday in August, with the recommendation of the Controller, determine and fix by resolution the proper and reasonable amounts to be charged to said persons when said persons by themselves or through relatives are legally obligated and able to pay in whole or in part for said institutional care.

Rates and charges so fixed by resolution shall remain effective until new rates and charges are fixed as in this ordinance provided.

The resolution determining and fixing said rates or charges shall contute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said rates or charges.

Section 9. Pursuant to Section 64 of the Charter, the Controller shall prescribe the forms, methods and procedure to be followed in billing said persons or their relatives under this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently and designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his financial condition or means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly or through any agency whatsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon, respecting the financial condition or means or ability to pay, of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting, for the purpose of gaining admission to and receiving care and treatment in the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Said person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be billed by the institution rendering said services for the full amount of the cost of such institutional care and treatment, thus fraudulently obtained, in accordance with the basic rates, legally established and determined therefor.

Section 11. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Bill No. 703, Ordinance 18.011 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police were, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, *laid over two weeks* (*May* 25):

**Gasoline Supply Stations.**

**(Code No. 11.0821)**

Bill No. 974, Ordinance No. 11.08212, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained, and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San*

*Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within one hundred and fifty* (150) *feet of any point of the property line of any school, or within* 200 *feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within* 60 *feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe ex-

tending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the prem-

ises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited.

*All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Parts of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

### Amendment to Garage Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.0822)

Also, Bill No. 975, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New

Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within one hundred and fifty* (150) *feet of any point of the property line of any school, or within* 200 *feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within* 60 *feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2572, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing May 7, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Approving Amendatory Loan Agreement Between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America and Authorizing Execution of the Same. (Water Works System.)**

(Code No. 15.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2573, as follows:

Whereas, a certain loan agreement was heretofore, to-wit, on the 19th day of May, 1934, entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, in and by which said agreement the said United States of America agreed to aid the said City and County of San Francisco by loan and grant in financing the construction of a certain project described in said agreement, to-wit: Certain improvements to the water works system owned and operated by the City and County of San Francisco, which said project is known and designated as Public Works Administration Docket No. 1510; and

Whereas, the said City and County of San Francisco has sold to the United States of America only a portion of its bonds which were issued for the purpose of financing the construction of the above mentioned project and said City and County is not desirous of selling any more of its bonds to the said United States of America pursuant to the terms of said agreement but is desirous of amending said agreement so that said agreement will provide for the making of a grant to said City and County of San Francisco instead of both loan and grant as provided in said original agreement; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration of the United States of America a certain amendatory loan agreement by and between the said City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, which said agreement modifies, and changes, and amends said original agreement of May 19, 1934, and deals with the making of a grant to the City and County of San Francisco the proceeds thereof to be used in aiding in the construction of improvements to the water system of said City and County and the manner in which, and the conditions under which, said grant will be made as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of said proposed work by the said City and County of San Francisco, and which said agreement is endorsed "Amendatory Loan Agreement Between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America", and covers the project or projects mentioned in the application of the said City and County, which said application is designated Public Works Administration Docket No. 1510; and

Whereas, a copy of aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office

of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that said amendatory loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinabove designated and described, and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco and that Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of said City and County, and John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, be and they are hereby authorized to execute in triplicate the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and when said agreement is so executed and said corporate seal affixed thereto the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approving Amendatory Loan Agreement Between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America and Authorizing Execution of the Same. (Sewage Treatment Plant.)**

(Code No. 12.101)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 2574, as follows:

Whereas, a certain loan agreement was heretofore, to-wit, on the 19th day of May, 1934, entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, in and by which said agreement the said United States of America agreed to aid the said City and County of San Francisco by loan and grant in financing the construction of a certain project described in said agreement, to-wit: Certain replacements and extensions of the sanitary sewer system owned and operated by the City and County of San Francisco and the construction of a sewage treatment plant, which said project is known and designated as Public Works Administration Docket No. 1534; and

Whereas, the said City and County of San Francisco has sold to the United States of America only a portion of its bonds which were issued for the purpose of financing the construction of the above-mentioned project and said City and County is not desirous of selling any more of its bonds to the said United States of America pursuant to the terms of said agreement but is desirous of amending said agreement so that said agreement will provide for the making of a grant to said City and County of San Francisco instead of both loan and grant as provided in said original agreement; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration of the United States of America a certain amendatory loan agreement by and between the said City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, which said agreement modifies, and changes, and amends said original agreement of May 19, 1934, and deals with the making of a grant to the City and County of San Francisco the proceeds thereof to be used in aiding in the construction of replacements and extensions to the sanitary sewer system of the City and County of San Francisco and the construction of a sewage treatment plant for the said City and County and the manner in which, and the conditions under which, said grant will be made as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of said proposed work by the said City and County of San Francisco, and which said agreement is endorsed "Amendatory Loan Agreement Between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America,"

and covers the project or projects mentioned in the application of the said City and County, which said application is designated Public Works Administration Docket No. 1534; and

Whereas, a copy of aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, That said amendatory loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinabove designated and described, and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco and that Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of said City and County, and John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, be, and they are hereby authorized to execute in triplicate the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and when said agreement is so executed and said corporate seal affixed thereto the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Judiciary Committee to Cooperate in "Code" Hearings.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Judiciary Committee be authorized to consult and cooperate with the several committees during the hearings on the Code Ordinance, in which the Judiciary Committee is especially concerned.

Motion *Carried.*

### Amendment to Circus License Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1003, Ordinance No. 3.04161, as follows:

Amending Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled, "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, *by amending license fee to be charged the owner of a circus, company or troupe giving open air exhibitions.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is quoted above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 34. For the purpose of meeting the cost of the regulation and inspection herein provided, every owner or lessee of a circus shall pay a license as follows, to-wit:

If said circus has a seating capacity for not more than 2000 persons the sum of $100 a day for the first day upon which a performance of said circus is held, and $70 for each subsequent day on which a performance of said circus is held.

If said circus has a seating capacity for not less than 2000 persons nor more than 2700 persons, $300 for the first day upon which a performance of said circus is held, and $150 for each subsequent day on which a performance of said circus is held.

If said circus has a seating capacity for more than 2700 persons, $500 for the first day on which a performance of said circus is held,

and $300 for each subsequent day on which a performance of said circus is held.

The term "circus" as herein used shall be held to include any public exhibition or show for which an admission fee is charged, held in any tent, space, area, tent or building when feats of horsemanship, and/or acrobatic sports, are held or exhibited, and the fact that any person, firm or corporation shall advertise by poster, sign card or newspaper publication any performance as a circus, shall be prima facie evidence that said performance comes within the definition of the term "circus" as used in this ordinance. Pictorial representation and theatrical performances shall not be included in the term "circus."

Every owner, lessee, proprietor or manager of any troupe, company or aggregation of performers giving any open air exhibitions of wild animals, feats of hosemanship or similar feats by other animals, which performance does not come within the term of "circus" as herein defined, shall pay a license upon the same rates as are herein provided for a "circus."

It shall be the duty of the Police Department to provide a sufficient number of police to regulate traffic to and from and about any performance in this ordinance referred to, and to guard against the violation of any state or municipal rule, law or regulation.

It shall also be the duty of the Director of Public Health to make the necessary inspections to ascertain that all the rules, regulations and orders of the Department of Public Health are complied with.

It shall also be the duty of the Director of Public Works to ascertain that all seats, structures and paraphernalia used in and about any performance herein referred to are of proper construction so that the same may be used for the purposes permitted without danger to the persons using the same.

It shall also be the duty of the Fire Prevention Bureau and of the Fire Marshal to ascertain that all rules and regulations relative to fire prevention are complied with.

The licenses and fees herein provided for shall be payable to the Tax Collector, who shall issue the necessary licenses herein provided for. No license shall be issued, however, unless a permit is issued by the Police Department to conduct said circus or other performance.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

### Mayor to Arrange for Inspection of WPA Projects.

Supervisor Havenner announced that he had been asked by Mr. McClelland of the WPA, to extend an invitation to the Mayor and to the Board of Supervisors to arrange for an inspection of the WPA projects in San Francisco.

### Citizens' Committee on Fleet Week Observance.

Supervisor Colman moved (for Supervisor Brown) that his honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of Fleet Week, August 13-19, and Harbor Day, August 15th.

*Consideration of motion postponed one week.*

### Market Street Track Repairs.

Supervisor Havenner presented copy of letter from the Director of Public Works, addressed to the City Attorney, on the subject of track area repairs by the Market Street Railway Company.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Protest Selling Reprints and Art Goods in Public Art Museums.

Communication from Supervisor Uhl, letter from the Retail Merchants' Association, protesting against the practice of selling reprints

and other art goods in competition with local retail merchants at the publicly supported art museums in San Francisco.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the letter be referred to the City Attorney and that he ascertain whether such sales can, under the charter, be carried on.

Motion *carried.*

**Comparative Cost Figures, Underground Systems.**

Supervisor Uhl requested exact facts and figures covering costs of Underground Systems if installed by the City or if installed by private utilities companies.

**Committee Hearing Supervisor McSheehy's Resolution on Underground Districts.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that Streets Committee set day for consideration of his resolution providing for ten miles of underground each year.

Supervisor Ratto announced meeting for Wednesday, May 27, 1936, at 3 p. m.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Emerson Knight, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2575, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Commissioner Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing May 18, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, Member Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2576, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 28, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Observance of Flag Day —June 14, 1936.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2577, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully authorized and requested to appoint a suitable Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of "Flag Day," Sunday, June 14, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Re-classification of Positions and Salary Increases of Board of Supervisors.

(Code No. 4.03)

Resolution No. 2578, as follows:

Whereas, there have been no increases in salary, changes of classification, nor promotions in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for many years past; and

Whereas, by reason of deaths and retirements the present personnel of the Clerk's office has been required to take over and perform the duties of retired and deceased employees, which duties require initiative, long experience and a widespread knowledge of municipal laws and affairs; and

Whereas, there is not now any Civil Service classification which denotes the peculiar and diversified type of work performed by the hereinafter mentioned employees; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby directed to communicate with his Honor the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission and to recommend a supplement to the Budget of this Board to provide that the positions of three Senior Clerk Stenographers (Ralph L. Spoor, Samuel H. Holton and John R. McGrath), and one Bond and Ordinance Clerk (Fred J. Moran) be classified as Assistant Clerks, Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month, and that an appropriation for these positions be set up in the Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937, pending promotional examinations.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Peninsula Rapid Transit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. B. Inman, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, transmitting copy of resolution of said Board, expressing his opinion that a connection from Third and Townsend Streets Depot of the Southern Pacific Railroad must be provided as an integral part of the first unit of any rapid transit system which is constructed in San Francisco, to provide adequate rapid transit for peninsula passengers and calling upon the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco and other officials to include provision for such connection in any proposal for a rapid transit system in San Francisco. Also requesting that San Francisco provide an opportunity for presentation of data supporting position taken in said resolution.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Glen Park Community Club Entertainment.

Supervisor Havenner presented and *read by the Clerk*:

Communication from the Glen Park Community Club inviting attendance at a Gala Evening with movies and Spaghetti Feed at its meeting at 2786 Diamond street on Tuesday, May 12, 1936, 8:30 p. m.

### Market Street Railway Track Area Repairs.

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and read by the Clerk:

Communication from W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works, calling attention to the general condition of the Market Street Railway Company's track area throughout the City which he says is intolerable, due to lack of repair and proper maintenance which reflect discredit to this Administration and urging that the company be forced to respect its obligations under its franchise.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Committee Meetings Announcement.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, May 12, 1936, 9 a. m.
Health Committee, May 12, 1936, 10 a. m.
Joint Streets and Public Utilities Committee, June 4, 3 p. m.
Welfare Committee, May 19, 1936, 10:15 a. m.
(Chief Administrator and Miss Schenck to be notified.)

## SPECIAL ORDER, 3 P. M.

### Public Hearing on Budget.

At the hour of 3 p. m. the Board of Supervisors proceeded to hear the public on items of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

John Mahoney, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, urged that the Board of Supervisors retain in the budget the item of $215,000 set up for playgrounds; also that the item of $54,000 in the Department of Public Works set up out of Good Roads Fund for the Divisional Highway.

George H. Allen, representing the Visitacion Valley Improvement Association, requested that an item be included as a capital expenditure for the purchase of lands adjoining the McLaren Park which formerly were included in the original set-up of said park. The Salvation Army, he said, secured an option on this land for Japanese Orphan Home, but yielded to the protests of the neighbors and withdrew their application to build same.

W. P. Varley, representing the Southern Council of Civic Clubs, also urged the inclusion of an item as a capital expenditure for additional land for McLaren Park. He also urged adequate appropriation for the widening of Geneva avenue. Director Worden of the Department of Public Works declared that Geneva avenue was listed as one of those streets eligible under the second ¼-cent tax and is included in that set-up in this year's budget. Harry Ross, representing the Controller, confirmed this statement, and said that the item was in as a PWA project.

Mrs. Harry Thomas, representing Central District Congress of Parents and Teachers, urged adequate appropriation for recreation ground and asked especially for additional play area for the Redding School.

Mrs. George Simpers, representing Redding School Association, also spoke at length for additional land for playground for the Redding School.

Helen Anderson, representing the Haight Ashbury Improvement Association, urged adequate appropriation to provide playground area for the Grattan School.

M. Walker, representing the Longfellow Mt. Vernon Association, requested that the needs of the Redding School for playground facilities be given serious consideration.

Mrs. J. S. Griffiths, representing John Kelly, who is sick and unable to attend, asked increase for three trackmen of Municipal Railway recommended by Civil Service Commission in 1933.

Henry Clausen representing the Metropolitan Rapid Transit Association, requested appropriation be placed in budget sufficient for a study of rapid transit in San Francisco, particularly the elevated method of rapid transit. He read the following resolution to the Board:

*Resolution of Metropolitan Rapid Transit Association for Elevated Study.*

Whereas, the Metropolitan Rapid Transit Association was organized by public-spirited citizens of San Francisco and its environs to assist in solving the present and future rapid transit problems, and

Whereas, since the year 1913, San Francisco has appropriated and expended various and large sums of money for studies and reports from technical men, touching upon these problems, and

Whereas, all of said studies and reports have been almost exclusively confined to considerations of subways, or a combination of subways and the old type of elevated facilities, and

Whereas, certain members of this organization were instrumental in recently causing an appropriation of $25,000 to be made by San Francisco for a rapid transit study, and pursuant to which, two subway experts furnished another study and report considering subway facilities, and recommending the construction of seven and three-quarters (7¾) miles of subways in the downtown area of San Francisco and at a proposed cost of over fifty-three millions (53,000,000) of dollars, and

Whereas, this organization was under the impression when the mentioned sum of $25,000 was so obtained, that at least a portion of that sum would be expended for a study and report by impartial and qualified technical men of the possibility of using new and recently developed elevated structures or above-the-surface facilities, and

Whereas, this Association has been informed that said $25,000 sum is now exhausted, and no study or report by impartial and qualified technical men, considering such new or recently developed elevated or above-the-surface structures or facilities has been obtained or furnished, and it is the opinion of this organization that such a report and study is necessary and should be obtained for a proper consideration of the problem; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That Henry C. Clausen be and he hereby is authorized as attorney for this organization, to take such steps as may be expedient in the premises, and to furnish the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors with a copy of this resolution, to the end that an adequate appropriation, not to exceed $25,000 be made and expended by San Francisco in such manner that three impartial, skilled and qualified technical men, to consist of an engineer to be chosen by this association and two additional engineers to be selected, be employed to make a complete and comprehensive study and consideration of the use of elevated facilities, and particularly of any new and recently developed or proposed elevated structures and above-the-surface facilities, for the purpose of meeting the transportation needs of San Francisco and its environs.

Dated March 26, 1936.

Mr. William D. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was also heard at length regarding set-up of the County Road Fund.

### Communications.

Communications were read from the following:

Communication from Northern Federation of Civic Clubs regarding appropriation for payment of $30 a month old age pension.

Also, appropriation for public library.

Communication from Van Ness Avenue Lighting Association, favoring $65,000 appropriation for street lighting purposes.

Communication from Building Trades Council regarding hospitals and relief.

Whereupon, there being no others who wished to be heard on the proposed budget, the hearing was declared closed.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 5:05 p. m., on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 10 a. m., Thursday, May 14, 1936, for consideration of the budget for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, May 18, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 20

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY PERIODICAL DEPT.

Thursday, May 14, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

ALLIED PRINTING TRADES UNION LABEL COUNCIL SAN FRANCISCO

SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1936, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment of Monday, May 11, 1936, for the purpose of considering the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman was noted present at 10:35 a. m.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 11:20 a. m.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Full Acceptance of Stanyan Street (formerly Henderson Avenue) Between Geary Boulevard and Saint Rose's Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Bill No. 999, Ordinance No. 12.081122, as follows:

Providing for Acceptance of the Roadway of Stanyan Street (formerly Henderson Avenue) between Geary Boulevard and Saint Rose's Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) between Geary boulevard and Saint Rose's avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

Shamrock Club Fire.

Supervisor Ratto requested that the Chief of the Fire Prevention Bureau, Chief Brennan, and the City Attorney be sent for with reference to investigating conditions prevailing in night clubs, especially the Shamrock Club, where lives were lost and many injured during the week.

Supervisor Ratto stated that he wanted all these places checked up from a police and fire-prevention standpoint.

Point of order raised by Supervisor Shannon, that this matter was not properly before the Board at this time, it might be heard when the department was under consideration.

### Consideration of Budget.

Supervisor Shannon proceeded and read the report of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

### Report of Finance Committee.

Supervisor Shannon read the following:

May 14, 1936.

Your Finance Committee has reviewed and made a very careful analysis of the Budget estimate for 1936-37 submitted by His Honor the Mayor, and is prepared to give an explanation, to the best of its ability, of the several items contained therein.

The Personal Service explanations are premised upon information furnished by the Controller, the Civil Service Commission and the several departments concerned. The Controller and the Civil Service Commission are represented here and are prepared to give such additional information as the Board may require.

Your Finance Committee will make certain recommendations as the review of the several departmental requests progresses, which, if adopted, will decrease the amount required from taxes and reflect an estimated savings in the corresponding tax rate of not less than two cents, based upon the 1935-36 assessment roll.

The Finance Committee suggests that departments be taken up in numerical order as they appear in the official budget estimate.

Whereupon, the Board proceeded to consider the Budget by departments seriatim.

### Board of Supervisors (Index No. 1).

Supervisor Shannon moved that this department be passed temporarily. *So ordered.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that there be no salary increases passed by the Board beyond $250 per month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Brown explained his vote by saying: "I believe the Finance Committee went very carefully into the subject of the Budget, likewise into the salary increases recommended, and I think some of them are justified."

### Board of Supervisors (Index No. 1).

Mayor's recommendations:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net increase | | $1,510 |
| Personal Service (Permanent Salaries) | Increase | $1,510 |
| Contractual Service (Gross) | Increase | 3,000 |
| Contractual Service (Transferred to Purchaser) | Decrease | 3,000 |

(Supervisor Shannon explained that sheet 2, line 8, General Clerk-Stenographer, was a new position transferred from the Tax Collector's office, March 30, 1936.)

### Mayor (Index No. 2).

The following department was taken up:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net increase | | $199,950 |
| Personal Service (Permanent) | Increase | $ 180 |
| Contractual Services | Decrease | 180 |
| Contractual Services (Transferred to Purchaser) | Decrease | 50 |
| Emergency Reserve | Increase | 200,000 |

Supervisor Shannon explained that the only increases in this department in personal service was an item of $10 a month for the messenger, salary increase, and an item of $50 a month salary increase for the telephone operator.

There being no objection, both items were *approved.*

### Emergency Reserve Fund.

Supervisor Shannon explained that the Emergency Reserve Fund item in the amount of $300,000 was an increase of $200,000 over the previous year, and called attention to an excerpt from the Mayor's letter to the Board of Supervisors as follows: "I have recommended an increase in the Emergency Reserve Fund of $200,000 primarily to take care of such contingencies as may arise in unemployment relief and welfare and hospitalization."

Whereupon the item and department were *passed temporarily.*

(See subsequent proceedings, page —, for final action of the Board.)

### Assessor (Index No. 3).

The following was taken up:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net Decrease | | $6,225 |
| Personal Service (Permanent Salaries) | Increase | $1,560 |
| Personal Service (Temporary Salaries) | Decrease | 9,725 |
| Contractual Service | Increase | 2,670 |
| Material and Supplies | Increase | 50 |
| Equipment (Miscellaneous) | Decrease | 1,750 |
| Less Transfers to Purchaser: | | |
| Contractual Services | Increase | 2,670 |
| Material and Supplies | Increase | 50 |
| Equipment | Decrease | 1,750 |

Supervisor Shannon explained that the increase in contractual service, sheet 1, line 4, was due entirely to an item for the rental of tabulating equipment, and a postal meter, made necessary by the installation of the new mechanical system in the Assessor's office, which will materially reduce the temporary salary requirement of this office, but that included in this item is one of $360 covering the hire of a horse and buggy for one of the Senior Clerks, which the Finance Committee recommends be eliminated.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the item of $360 for horse and buggy hire be eliminated.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto—4.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

(See subsequent proceedings, page —, for reversal of action upon this item.)

Supervisor Shannon explained increase in personal service, perma-

nent, on sheet 2, of salary of Chief Teller from $250 to $300 per month, and moved its approval.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Shannon explained sheet 2, line 11, Index 3, Senior Clerk, an increase from $190 to $250, and moved approval.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

### Assessor's Department Approved.

Whereupon, the Assessor's Department, Index 3, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

### City Attorney (Index No. 4).

The following department, as recommended by the Mayor, was taken up:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net increase ..... | | $2,400 |
| Personal Services (Permanent Salaries) ..... | Increase | $2,400 |
| Contractual Service ..... | Decrease | 200 |
| Equipment ..... | Increase | 500 |
| Less Transfer to Purchaser: | | |
| Contractual Service ..... | Decrease | 200 |
| Equipment ..... | Increase | 500 |

Supervisor Shannon explained that the only increase in the Non-Personal Service Item was in Equipment in the amount of $500 for the purchase of filing equipment, bookcases and shades.

Supervisor Shannon explained Index 4, sheet 2, line 11, Principal Attorney—Civil, was an increase from $600 to $800 per month, which is not reflected in the tax rate as it is paid out of public utilities.

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the item be not allowed.

### Amendment.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved as an amendment that an increase of $100 per month be allowed.

(Subsequently, the City Attorney and Manager of Utilities Cahill, appeared and explained the reason for the proposed increase.)

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion that the recommendation of the Finance Committee fixing the salary at $800 a month be allowed and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### District Attorney (Index No. 5).

The following department, as recommended by the Mayor, was taken up:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net increase ..... | | $1,355 |
| Personal Salaries (Permanent) ..... | Increase | $2,700 |
| Materials and Supplies ..... | Decrease | 250 |
| Detention and Prosecution of Criminals..... | Decrease | 495 |
| District Attorney's Secret Fund..... | Decrease | 350 |
| Less Transfer to Purchaser: | | |
| Materials and Supplies ..... | Decrease | 250 |

Supervisor Shannon explained Non-Personal Service in this department was less in each instance than last year.

Supervisor Shannon explained Index 5, sheet 2, line 3, Criminal Law Clerk, an increase of from $200 to $250 a month.

Sheet 2, line 6, Criminal Law Clerk, increase from $190 to $250 a month.

Sheet 2, line 14, General Clerk-Stenographer, increase from $150 to $175 per month.

Sheet 2, line 24, Senior Attorney, Criminal, increase from $200 to $250 per month.

Sheet 2, line 26, Senior Attorney, Criminal, increase from $150 to $190 per month.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing item as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, be allowed by the Board.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the District Attorney's Department, Index No. 5, was *approved without objection.*

### Treasurer (Index No. 6).

The following department, as recommended by the Mayor, was taken up:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net Increase ..... | | $1,440 |
| Personal Services (Permanent) ..... | Increase | $1,440 |
| Contractual Services ..... | Increase | 50 |
| Material and Supplies ..... | Decrease | 100 |
| Premium on Official Bonds ..... | Increase | 850 |
| Less Transfer to Purchaser: | | |
| Contractual Service ..... | Increase | 50 |
| Material and Supplies ..... | Decrease | 100 |
| Premium on Official Bonds ..... | Increase | 850 |

Supervisor Shannon explained Sheet 1, Line 6, Premium on Official Bonds, increase in this item by reason of the fact that there is a forgery bond, the premium upon which falls due this year and is renewed every three years. The amount of the bond is $100,000; the premium is $1,275, which represents the total increase. The balance of non-personal service items in this department was less than last year.

Supervisor Shannon explained increases in Personal Service as follows:

Sheet 2, Line 3, Accountant, increased from $200 to $240 a month by reason of change of classification and the assignment of more responsible duties.

Sheet 2, Line 7, Teller, increase from $180 to $210 per month. This position is increased in order that salary may be put on a parity with other Tellers in the Treasurer's Office, and because of increased duties of the incumbent as Coupon Clerk, due to retirement of former Coupon Clerk, who received $275 a month.

Sheet 2, Line 11, Assistant Cashier, increase from $325 to $375 a month. Position is to be reclassified as the incumbent actually performs the work of the former Chief Deputy.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing items as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, be allowed.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Treasurer's Department, Index No. 6, was *approved without objection.*

Sheriff (Index No. 7).

The following department, as recommended by the Mayor, was taken up:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net Increase ..... | | $11,516 |
| Personal Service (Permanent Salaries) ..... | Increase | $11,273 |
| Personal Service (Temporary Salaries) ..... | Decrease | 4,680 |
| Administrative ..... | Decrease | 145 |
| County Jail No. 1 ..... | Increase | 10 |
| County Jail No. 2 ..... | Decrease | 120 |
| Materials and Supplies: | | |
| Administrative ..... | Decrease | 20 |
| Foodstuffs: | | |
| County Jail No. 1 ..... | Increase | 4,000 |
| County Jail No. 2 ..... | Increase | 5,000 |
| Equip.—Bldgs., Structures, Improvements, Fixed | | |
| Charges: | | |
| Fixed Charges ..... | Increase | 215 |
| Less Transfer to Purchaser: | | |
| Contractual Service ..... | Decrease | 160 |
| Equipment ..... | Increase | 4,500 |
| Material and Supplies ..... | Increase | 3,800 |
| Foodstuffs ..... | Increase | 377 |

Supervisor Shannon explained Sheet 1, Line 17, increase in item of Foodstuffs, was entirely offset by moneys received from board and lodgings of United States prisoners.

Sheet 1, Line 13, new equipment requested, to-wit: New van for transporting prisoners, two new Buick cars, one tractor for the farm.

Sheet 1, Line 22, less this year than last.

Sheet 1, Line 20, fixed charges, increase in this item of $250 for garage rent at Daly City.

Whereupon, the foregoing items were *approved without objection.*

Supervisor Shannon explained increases in Personal Service as follows:

Sheet 2, line 14, Head Keeper, increase from $185 to $200 a month.

Sheet 2, line 17, 3 Women Bailiffs, increase from $160 to $170 a month.

Sheet 2, line 21, Head Matron, increase from $197 to $200 a month.

Sheet 2, line 28, Superintendent of Jail, increase from $235 to $250 a month.

Sheet 2, line 23, 4 Jailers, increase from $170 to $190 a month.

Sheet 2, line 20, Jail Matron, at $170 a month. New position recommended by Civil Service Survey.

Sheet 2, line 24, 4 Jailers, new position at $170 a month, as recommended by Civil Service Survey.

Sheet 3, line 4, Stationary Steam Engineer at $220 per month. New position transferred from temporary.

Sheet 3, line 6, Chauffeur, at $170 a month. New position recommended by Civil Service Survey. Position formerly existing as Chauffeur now required for transportation of prisoners.

Supervisor Shannon moved the approval of the foregoing items.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Sheriff's Department, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

**Public Defender (Index No. 8).**

No increase or decrease.

Contractual Service ..... Decrease $10
Less Transfer to Purchaser:
Contractual Service ..... Decrease 10

Supervisor Shannon explained that there was no change; same set-up as last year.

Whereupon, the department was *approved without objection.*

**Board of Permit Appeals (Index No. 11).**

The following department, as recommended by Mayor, was taken up:
Personal Service (Permanent) ..... $3,000
Personal Service (Fees) ..... 5,000
Contractual Service ..... 20
Less Transfer to Purchaser:
Contractual Services ..... 20

No change.

There being no objection, the foregoing department was *approved.*

**Recreation Commission (Index No. 13).**

Supervisor Shannon proceeded and explained that the Mayor had recommended for the Recreation Department the amount of 7 cents, the charter minimum, plus its own revenues. Also, a communication from the Mayor had been directed to the Finance Committee calling attention to the fact that there was inadvertently omitted on sheet 3, line 5, an item of three playground directors at $145 per month. The Finance Committee, he said, recommends the inclusion of these three positions.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the budget be corrected on sheet 3, line 5, by the inclusion of the three playground directors at $145 per month inadvertently omitted.

Motion *carried without objection.*

Supervisor Uhl moved the insertion of an item of $5,000 for the Corona Heights Playground.

Supervisor Roncovieri requested that the Director of Property furnish the Board a statement of the total cost of each of these playgrounds.

Supervisor Uhl requested information of the Director of Property regarding playground space for the Redding School, and stated that the Lurline Baths could be purchased for $80,000 and was assured that it could be obtained for much less if negotiations were opened and probably might be exchanged for other City property.

Whereupon, the foregoing department was *laid over temporarily.*

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:10 p. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 p. m.

## THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1936, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continuing consideration of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *adopted* by the following vote:

**Clerk to Advertise Sale of Sewer Bonds, 1929.**

(Code No. 12.121)

Resolution No. 2579, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be directed to advertise that on the 25th day of May, 1936, up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

$391,000 Sewer Bonds, 4½ per cent, issue of January 1, 1929, comprising: 23 bonds of one thousand dollars each, maturing January 1 each year 1939 to 1955, inclusive. Interest payable January 1 and July 1.

*Adopted*, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 14, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt—2.

**Board of Supervisors (Index No. 1).**

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and read by the Clerk:

May 13, 1936.

Subject—*Board of Supervisors not controlled by section 22 of the Charter in own Department.*

Dear Sirs: This office is in receipt of your request for an opinion as follows:

"A resolution has been presented to the Board of Supervisors providing that the Clerk of the Board shall communicate with the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission and recommend a supplement to the budget of the Board to provide that the positions of three senior clerk-stenographers and one bond and ordinance clerk be classified as Assistant Clerks of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month and that an appropriation for these positions be set up in the Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937 pending promotional examinations.

"The question has been raised with regard to whether section 22 of the Charter in any wise prevents the passage of this resolution upon the theory that the Board of Supervisors would be dictating, suggesting and interfering with appointments, promotions, compensation, etc.

"Do the provisions of section 22 of the Charter preclude the passage of this resolution?"

*Opinion.*

Section 22 of the Charter was designed by the Charter framers to prevent the Board of Supervisors from interfering with the various departments of the municipal government. The Charter framers recog-

nized that under the 1898 Charter many abuses existed as a result of unwarranted interference by the Board of Supervisors and individual members thereof in department affairs. The result of this was the insertion of section 22 into the new Charter.

However, section 22 could not possibly have been designed to restrict the Board of Supervisors in its own department. Section 12 of the new Charter provides that the Board of Supervisors shall appoint a clerk who shall be designated as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. The clerk so appointed is the appointing officer for the personnel of his department. It is his duty to administer the clerical affairs of the Board of Supervisors. He is required to keep a record of proceedings and to do other things necessary for the efficient functioning of the Board.

In view of the fact that the Charter recognizes that the Board of Supervisors controls its own clerical department, it would be ridiculous to assume that the Board is violating the provisions of section 22 of the Charter in the adoption of a resolution concerning its own employees.

I am therefore of the opinion that section 22 of the Charter was never designed to hinder the Board of Supervisors in its own departmental affairs and for this reason I believe that section 22 does not govern in this instance.

Respectfully submitted,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution, heretofore presented and referred to the Finance Committee, was *adopted* on motion of Supervisor Shannon:

(Code No. 4.03)

Resolution No. 2578, as follows:

Whereas, there have been no increases in salary, changes of classification, nor promotions in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for many years past; and

Whereas, by reason of deaths and retirements the present personnel of the Clerk's office has been required to take over and perform the duties of retired and deceased employees, which duties require initiative, long experience and a widespread knowledge of municipal laws and affairs; and

Whereas, there is not now any Civil Service classification which denotes the peculiar and diversified type of work performed by the hereinafter mentioned employees; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and is hereby directed to communicate with His Honor the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission and to recommend a supplement to the Budget of this Board to provide that the positions of three Senior Clerk-Stenographers (Ralph L. Spoor, Samuel H. Holton and John R. McGrath) and one Bond and Ordinance Clerk (Fred J. Moran) be classified as Assistant Clerks, Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month, and that an appropriation for these positions be set up in the Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937, pending promotional examinations.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt—2.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the resolution be sent to the Mayor's office at once.

*So ordered.*

### Assessor's Department.

Supervisor Colman moved that action heretofore taken striking out the Assessor's budget to the amount of $360 for horse and buggy be *rescinded.*

Deputy Assessor Harold J. Boyd was granted the privilege of the floor and pointed out the unique distinction as an advertising feature that San Francisco had in this means of conveyance. He thought that San Francisco's citizens would as soon think of removing Lotta's Fountain as they would the Assessor's horse and buggy.

### Action Rescinded.

Whereupon, the action whereby the appropriation of $360 for the Assessor's horse and buggy was refused passage was rescinded and the item was thereupon *approved without objection.*

### Park Commission (Index No. 12).

*Mayor's Recommendation.*

Net increase ..... $30,260

Supervisor Shannon explained that the Mayor recommends Park Receipts plus 10 per cent plus ¼ cent for the maintenance of the Zoo, plus $68,416 for payment on account of the purchase price of the Fleishhacker Playfield land and the Lafayette Park land.

The Finance Committee recommends that this department repeat its present set-up, allows 10¼ cents for maintenance plus $35,000 for rehabilitation of the Palace of Fine Arts, $68,516 for Fleishhacker Playfield and Lafayette Park lands plus revenues.

He pointed out the following increases in personal service recommended by His Honor the Mayor which the Finance Committee approved.

Sheet 2, line 2, index 12, Accountant, increased from $175 to $200 per month.

Sheet 2, line 10, index 12, Cashier Chief, increased from $185 per month to $200 per month.

Sheet 2, line 12, index 12, Clerk-Stenographer General, (2), from $100 a month to $115 a month.

Sheet 3, line 6, index 12, Assistant Superintendent, increased from $250 a month to $275 a month.

Roll call being asked on the foregoing item, the same was had with the following results:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt—3.

Sheet 3, line 11, index 12, Superintendent of Parks, Supplies and Equipment, increased from $200 per month to $225 per month.

Sheet 3, line 12, index 12, Timekeeper, increased from $135 per month to $150 per month.

Sheet 3, line 18, index 12, Golf Starter, increased from $150 a month to $175 per month.

Whereupon, the foregoing items of increases recommended by His Honor the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee were *passed without objection.*

The following per diem employees recommended by the Mayor to be placed on a monthly basis are recommended by the Finance Committee to be changed back to per diem employments, to-wit:

Sheet 3, line 20, index 12, Inspector of Personnel.

Sheet 3, line 21, index 12, Superintendent Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses.

Sheet 3, line 22, index 12, Superintendent Assistant Entomologist Arboretum.

The roll was called on the Finance Committee's recommendation that the three preceding items be returned to per diem basis and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Meyer, Uhl—3.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt—3.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to include an item of $40,000 for a breakwater at the Yacht Harbor for which the Park Commission had requested $100,000 but which the Mayor had deleted and in which action the Finance Committee concurred.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.
Noes— Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Whereupon the department was *passed without objection.*

## Recreation Department (Index No. 13).

### *Corona Heights Playground.*

Miss Josephine D. Randall was granted the privilege of the floor and stated that "We have $25,000 for additional land to complete all we intend to buy. In regard to tennis courts, we have no money for it, but hope to get it from PWA."

Mr. Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property, was also heard relative to the purchase of land for Corona Heights Playground.

Supervisor Shannon stated that Finance Committee recommends an additional $5,000 for Corona Heights, and moved that the subject matter be continued until tomorrow afternoon.

Supervisor Brown moved as a substitute that the recommendation of the Finance Committee be adopted.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon's motion to postpone until tomorrow afternoon was *adopted without objection.*

## Fire Department (Index No. 10).

Captain Trivett, Fire Prevention Bureau, was sent for and interrogated by Supervisor Brown regarding the disastrous fire at the Shamrock Club at Geary street during the first part of the week.

Supervisor Brown said in part: "Had the proper ordinance been in existence and an exchange of information between various departments of the government, lives and suffering would have been avoided."

Captain Trivett pointed out lack of proper ordinances covering night clubs.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon explained increases in the Fire Department as follows:

"In Materials and Supplies, sheet 1, line 9, increase of $4,000. This increase, he said, is primarily for fuel oil for the pumping stations, for lubricating oil and for stationery, printing and janitorial supplies, and is based on the actual expenditures for the current year. Equipment, sheet 1, line 10, represents an increase of $34,800 over last year. No other change in non-personal service."

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the Fire Department budget as recommended by the Mayor and Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

### Police Department (Index No. 9).

Supervisor Shannon explained the increases in this department as follows:

"Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 14, an increase of $13,496 covering gas and electricity. For the first time, he said, the cost of electricity is amounting to $11,000. Reflects itself in the Police Department budget. The Mayor's recommendation contains an increased allowance for the repairs to solo motorcycles in the amount of $1,200 or a total allowance of $5,000. Also an increase of $675 for additional telephone and telegraph lines, and $600 for washing and greasing automobiles, making a total for this latter item of $4,200. The balance of this increase is made up of sundry small items."

Supervisor Uhl moved that stations be consolidated and that twenty solo motorcycles be replaced and eight additional added, the idea being to increase the so-called motor group.

Motion declared out of order.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Police Department as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Library (Index No. 14).

Supervisor Shannon explained the increase in this department, which includes five cents allowed in the tax rate, its own revenues of approximate $16,000 plus $31,755, which totaled is estimated to equal $454,360 as compared with $424,092 which the Library received last year.

The increases in personal service as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, he said, are as follows:

Book Repairer, sheet 2, line 17, increase from $90 to $110.
Sheet 2, lines 24 and 25, 2 Librarians, increase from $110 to $120.
Sheet 2, lines 23 and 25, 5 Librarians, increase from $100 to $120.
Sheet 2, lines 25 and 26, 15 Librarians, increase from $120 to $130.
Sheet 2, lines 25-27, 1 Librarian, increase from $120 to $140.
Sheet 2, lines 26-27, 9 Librarians, increase from $130 to $140.
Sheet 2, lines 27-28, 2 Librarians, increase from $140 to $150.
Sheet 2, line 29, 2 Librarians, increase from $150 to $160.

There are new positions set up as follows, he said:

Sheet 2, line 4, 3 General Clerks at $85.
Sheet 2, line 8, 1 Elevator Operator (part-time) at $65.
Sheet 2, line 23, 3 Librarians at $100.

Robert Rea, Librarian, was heard in explanation of the proposed increases and additional positions in his department.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the San Francisco Public Library, index 14, was *approved without objection.*

### San Francisco Retirement System (Index No. 72).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following changes in the set-up for the Retirement System:

Increase of $1,800 covering one General Clerk-Stenographer at $150 a month who has been changed from an interdepartmental to a permanent basis.

Secretary Actuary, sheet 2, line 2, changed from permanent to part-time basis, with a reduction of $600 in his annual salary. Ralph R. Nelson, Secretary-Actuary, was granted the privilege of the floor and explained this latter item.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the retirement system department as recommended by the Mayor and Finance Committee, was *approved without objection.*

### War Memorial (Index No. 15).

Supervisor Shannon explained changes in this set-up as follows:

"The Finance Committee recommends that the amounts for temporary salaries, sheet 2, line 33, be reduced from $6,530 to $5,000, a reduction of $1,530. This recommendation is premised upon the fact that the Finance Committee recommended on sheet 2, line 7, that one less janitor be allowed. This would reduce the requirement for temporary janitorial service. If, however, the Board of Supervisors allowed the increase of 5 janitors requested on line 7, index 2, in place of 1 as recommended by the Finance Committee, temporary salaries should be reduced in a much larger amount. The Finance Committee also recommends in sheet 1, line 6, Contractual Service, a reduction of $750, making it read $16,633.

This department requested $300 for laundry service; Finance Committee recommends $50. There is also an Addition to Services of Other Departments to take care of new landscaping work being done.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the War Memorial Department, as amended, was *approved without objection.*

### Art Commission (Index No. 16).

Supervisor Shannon explained that this department has one-half cent allowed in the tax rate plus its receipts. Nonpersonal service items are less this year than last year. No increase in personal service.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that Secretary sheet 2, line 3, $3,000 per annum be dropped out.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri—3.

### Board of Trustees, California Palace of the Legion of Honor (Index No. 17).

Supervisor Shannon explained the changes in this department as follows:

"The amount of money provided for exhibitions, sheet 1, lines 6, 14 and 15, show an increase of $4,000; fixed charges sheet 1, line 10, show an increase of $475 for insuring works of art in Palace of Legion of Honor Museum; services of other departments sheet 1, line 11, Mr. Ross will explain. Items of Non Personal Service are the same or less than last year."

The changes in personal service are 1 Librarian, sheet 2, line 12, an increase from $85 to $110 per month, and 3 Caretakers, sheet 2, line 14, an increase from $85 to $90 per month.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to eliminate sheet 2, line 4, Organist, part time, $3,600 per annum.

J. Emmet Hayden, President of the Art Commission, was heard in opposition to the foregoing motion. He said it would be a great mistake for San Francisco to lose the services of this outstanding organist Uda Waldrop, who has been so long identified with cultural events in this City.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri—7.

Absent—Supervisor Ratto—1.

### De Young Museum (Index No. 18).

Supervisor Shannon explained an increase in sheet 1, lines 7, 15,

and 16, of $3,000 in these combined items for exhibitions. The balance of non-personal service is slightly under last year.

Supervisor Shannon explained increases in personal service as follows:

Director, sheet 2, line 2, increased from $491.66 per month to $591.66 per month, $100 increase a month. He said the predecessor of Dr. Walter Heil, the present Director, received $656.66 a month, payments being divided equally between Museum and California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Recorder, sheet 2, line 4, increased from $125 to $150 per month.

Secretary to Director, sheet 2, line 5, an increase of $150 to $175 per month.

Museum Instructor (2), increase from $125 to $150 per month.

Assistant Museum Instructor, sheet 2, line 9, increase of from $100 to $110 per month.

Stenographer, sheet 2, line 10, an increase from $100 to $125 per month.

Clerk, sheet 2, line 13, an increase from $100 to $110 per month.

Mechanic, sheet 2, line 14, an increase from $180 to $190 per month.

Head Caretaker, sheet 2, line 18, an increase from $95 to $100 per month.

Caretakers (4), sheet 2, line 19, increase from $83 per month to $90 per month.

Assistant Head Galleryman, sheet 2, line 26, increase from $125 to $150 per month.

Expert Repairman, sheet 2, line 27, increase from $125 to $150 per month.

There are two new positions—two watchmen—to replace two policemen, sheet 2, line 24, at $125 per month.

Carpenter, sheet 3, line 16, $5.50 a day.

Labeler, sheet 2, line 12, $140 per month, $1,680.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved the approval of the Department, as recommended by His Honor the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

### Academy of Sciences (Steinhart Aquarium). (Index No. 19.)

Supervisor Shannon explained that this Department has the same set-up as in the past two years.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing Department, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Municipal Courts (Index No. 20).

Supervisor Shannon explained that the non-personal service in the aggregate is slightly under last year. As to the personal service the Mayor recommends Senior Civil Law Clerk, sheet 2, line 9, be increased from $200 to $240 a month; Chief Assistant Clerk, sheet 2, line 10, be increased from $240 to $275 a month; Civil Law Clerk, sheet 2, line 17, be increased from $175 to $200 a month.

Supervisor Uhl requested to be recorded as voting against the increase of W. H. Hogan, Chief Assistant Clerk, from $240 to $275 a month.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the said item *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon, the Municipal Court, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Superior Courts (Index No. 21).

Supervisor Shannon explained that in this set-up 2 Court Interpreters, sheet 2, line 9, are increased from $155 to $175 per month, that there are two new positions, a telephone operator, sheet 2, line 12, at $125 per month; and a court reporter, part time, sheet 2, line 17, at $12.50 per day, plus transcription; also in sheet 1, line 5, there is a $350 increase in the requirements for Court Reporter, and in sheet 1, line 10, there is a request for $1,000 for equipment—carpets, typewriters, etc.

He also explained that sheet 2, line 2, indicated increases in salaries of Superior Judges amounting to $16,000, provided for in statutory law.

Whereupon, the Superior Courts, Index 21, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Law Library (Index No. 22).

Supervisor Shannon explained that the personal service is the same or less than last year, that there is an increase in salary for the Law Librarian, sheet 1, line 18, from $425 to $450 per month.

Whereupon, on request for roll call on item of increase for Law Librarian from $425 to $450 by Supervisor Uhl a vote was had and said item *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Thereupon, the Law Library, Index 22, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Juvenile Court (Index 23).

### (Probation Department.)

Supervisor Shannon explained in the matter of maintenance of minors, sheet 1, line 6, that under the provisions of State Law there are two acts that govern support to minors, one known as "Widows' Pension" (half orphans), under the jurisdiction of the County Welfare Department; and one as Juvenile Court Act, over which the Board has no jurisdiction. In these cases Widows' Pension (half orphans), Mrs. Schenk grants aid to those entitled to same; under the Juvenile Court Act the referee passes on the cases and the aid is dispensed by the Probation Office. A certain number of cases heretofore carried by the court under the Maintenance of Minors Fund should be carried under Widows' Pension (half orphans). During the year about 18,890 of said cases were transferred, consequently there should be a corresponding reduction in request for next year in the Maintenance of Minors Fund.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that sheet 2, line 6, Index 23, Maintenance of Minors, be reduced from $550,000 to $525,000.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

This aid is dispensed through agencies; the agencies supplant some of the aid given by the county out of collections it receives from private sources. The Finance Committee recommends that this $550,000 be reduced to $525,000. Should the amount prove insufficient an appropriation can always be made out of the Emergency Reserve Fund.

Thereupon, the Juvenile Court, Index 23, Probation Department, was *approved without objection.*

### Juvenile Detention Home (Index 24).

Supervisor Shannon explained that the non-personal items in this Department in the aggregate represent a decrease under the present fiscal year. Salary increases are indicated as follows:

Kitchen Helper, sheet 2, line 3, increased from $68 to $78 a month;

Woman Attendant, sheet 2, line 10, increased from $88 to $100 a month;

Assistant Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home, sheet 2, line 11, increased from $167.50 to $175 per month, less board, room and lodging, $32.50.

Whereupon, Juvenile Detention Home, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Adult Probation Department (Index 25).

Supervisor Shannon explained an increase in equipment on sheet 1, line 5, for the purchase of 10 new files amounting to $200, and salary increases as follows:

Probation Officer, sheet 2, line 4, from $180 to $200 per month;

Probation Office Stenographer, sheet 2, line 5, from $190 to $200 per month;

Probation Officer, sheet 2, line 7, from $180 to $190 per month;

Chief Probation Officer, sheet 2, line 6, from $250 to $325 per month;

Whereupon, the Adult Probation Department, Index 25, as recommended by the Mayor, and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Chief Administrative Officer (Index 26).

Supervisor Shannon explained increases in this Department as follows:

"Materials and Supplies, sheet 1, line 5, the additional amount requested herein is for books, stationery and printing; that in the item for equipment, sheet 1, line 6, there is $100 requested for new files, and publicity and advertising, sheet 1, line 19, an increase from $175,000 last year to $200,000 this year. In this appropriation the Finance Committee recommends that the amount be reduced to $150,000."

A. J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, was granted the privilege of the floor and explained at length the necessity for the increased appropriation in publicity and advertising.

Supervisor Uhl moved to make it $175,000, the same as last year, which motion was subsequently withdrawn.

Department *laid over temporarily.*

### Pioneer Home (Humphreys).

Supervisor Schmidt presented a communication from Dr. Walter Heil suggesting an appropriation of $10,000, balance to be raised by public subscription for the acquisition of the Humphreys Home at the corner of Chestnut and Hyde streets and its maintenance as a public museum and relic of the pioneer homes, said building having been erected in 1851, being in good state of preservation and a good example of its kind.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the communication be referred to the City Attorney for his opinion as to the legislative procedure necessary for the acquisition of the so-called pioneer home at Chestnut and Hyde streets.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be instructed to request Mr. Phillips, Director of Property, to estimate the full value of the property, determine the size of the lot, and its value, and the value of the building.

*So ordered.*

Thereupon, the foregoing matter was *deferred temporarily.*

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 6:15 p. m., took a recess until 8:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MAY 14, 1936, 8:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continuing its consideration of the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called, and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

### Chief Administrative Officer (Index 26).

Supervisor Shannon read a breakdown of line 19, sheet 1, publicity and advertising prepared by Harry Ross, Assistant Controller, which was laid over until tomorrow's session for the consideration of the members and for explanation by Chief Administrative Officer A. J. Cleary.

Supervisor Shannon then explained mandatory items in the Chief Administrative Officer's set-up as follows:

Sheet 1, line 22, installment payment on State, Unemployment, Relief Loan Fund, $101,727.

Sheet 1, line 24, Bond Interest and Redemption Fund, $14,517,608; interest on tax anticipation note fund, $7,000.

He also explained increase in sheet 1, line 29, of $100,000 for indigent sick and dependent poor and an increase for WPA Projects Fund, sheet 1, line 31, of $300,000.

In personal service he indicated in sheet 2, line 3, an increase in salary for the Executive Secretary of the Chief Administrative Office from $250 to $325 per month.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the amount be left at $250, the same salary as last year.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the item read $275 per month.

Whereupon, the Chief Administrative Officer's Department was *laid over until tomorrow's session.*

### Finance and Records (Index 27).

Supervisor Shannon declared that the non-personal service items in this department were the same as for the present fiscal year; that in personal service, sheet 1, line 3, there is set up one general clerk stenographer at $155 per month, which employment was previously listed in the Recorder's budget and is now transferred to the office of the Director of Finance and Records.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the item be deleted.

A. E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records, was granted the privilege of the floor and explained the necessity for the employment mentioned.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Department of Finance and Records, Index 27, as recommended by His Honor the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

### Tax Collector (Index 28).

Supervisor Shannon explained an increase in contractual service, sheet 1, line 8, of $1,255, $1,200 of which is due to an additional amount requested for the publication of the Delinquent Tax List. The amount heretofore allowed was $4,200; $5,400 is requested. He also declared there was an increase of $768 for equipment, sheet 1, line 10, required for filing cabinets, tools, and calculators.

In personal service he called attention to the following proposed increase:

Senior Teller, sheet 2, line 6, from $215 to $240.

General Clerks (3), sheet 2, line 10, from $165 to $175 per month.

Director, Bureau of Licenses, sheet 2, line 14, from $200 to $225 per month.

Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue, sheet 2, line 28, from $350 to $375 per month.

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 2, line 15, from $155 to $175 per month.

General Clerks (7), sheet 3, line 12, from $150 to $155 per month.

License Adjusters (2), sheet 3, line 13, from $190 to $200 per month.

There are also, he said, new positions as follows:

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 3, line 11, one at $155 per month, formerly temporary; made permanent by Civil Service Commission resolution.

Also, Tax Redemption Clerk, sheet 3, line 4, one at $200 a month, and a General Clerk, sheet 3, line 5, one at $185 per month, both positions transferred from the Controller's office by Act of Legislature, and previously set up there for years as permanent employees.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Tax Collector's Department, Index 28, was *approved without objection.*

### Registrar of Voters (Index 29).

Supervisor Shannon explained increases in this Department as follows:

Materials and Supplies, sheet 1, line 6, an increase of $650 for stationery and printing. The balance of the non-personal service items in this Department in the aggregate represents a decrease.

### Request for Increase, Election Workers.

Supervisor Shannon presented a petition from Election Workers, sheet 1, line 4, who are now receiving $5 per day, and moved that it be referred to Mayor Rossi for his consideration.

Motion *carried.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Registrar of Voters, Index 29, was *passed without objection.*

### Recorder (Index 30).

Supervisor Shannon explained that if line 3, sheet 2, personal service, salary temporary, is allowed for the purchase of photostatic equipment in the County Clerk's budget, the temporary salaries allowance ($7,500) will be eliminated by reason of transfer of employments from the County Clerk's office. The non-personal service items, he said, in the aggregate are less than the present.

In personal service, he said, there is an increase proposed for the Chief Clerk of the Recorder, sheet 2, line 2, from $265 a month to $280 a month, which the Mayor has recommended and the Finance Committee approved.

Whereupon, the roll being called at the request of Supervisor Uhl, on the increase of salary for the Recorder's Chief Clerk, the same was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown, McSheehy—2.

Thereupon, the Recorder's Department, Index No. 30, was *approved without objection.*

County Clerk (Index No. 31).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following increases and changes in this Department:

Materials and Supplies, sheet 1, line 4, an increase of $200 for books, stationery, and printing.

Photostatic Equipment and Filing Units, sheet 1, line 5, the installation of photostatic equipment is recommended by the Civil Service Commission as follows:

"Substitution of photostatic process for the present system of reproducing documents will make possible the elimination of five employments and ultimate savings of $12,000 per year in this office. If applied also in the Municipal Court additional saving of not less than $4,800 will be the result."

When vacancies occur during the year in other offices these employees will be transferred as needed. If this item is allowed for photostatic equipment the $7,500 for temporary salaries for the Recorder, Index 30, sheet 1, line 5, should be eliminated.

In personal service, he said, there is one new position, one general clerk-typist, sheet 2, line 13, at $155 per month. If item for purchase of equipment in County Clerk's budget is granted this employment will be eliminated.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Index 30, Recorder, sheet 1, line 3, temporary salaries, $7,500 be deleted.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Thereupon, sheet 2, line 15, General Clerk-Typist, was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *deleted.*

Whereupon, the County Clerk's Department, Index No. 31, as amended, was *passed without objection.*

Public Administrator (Index No. 32).

Non-personal service items less than during the present year; no change in personal service.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Public Administrator, Index 32, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

Purchasing Department (Index No. 33).

Over temporarily.

Real Estate Department (Index No. 34).

Supervisor Shannon explained the set-up in this Department as follows:

Services of other Departments, sheet 1, line 3, he referred to letter of Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, dated April 16, approved by the Mayor for right of way service.

Mr. Phillips stated to the Finance Committee: "There is a portion of time devoted by Mr. Reardon to acquisition of city property that is not charged against a special fund, also other work in connection with street development, and no money has been appropriated in connection therewith."

Auto Hire, sheet 1, line 7, the Finance Committee recommends that this item of $960 be reduced to $480. The auto hire of one of the right of way men is a proper charge against the Bond Fund, etc., rather than against the tax rate.

Rental of 333 Kearny street, sheet 1, line 21, the Finance Committee is unable to recommend the proper amount to include for this item, the Board of Supervisors not yet having approved the lease for the

ensuing period. So that we have put in the old rate that has been paid for the past five years (approximately $3,000).

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item stand as it is.

Division Right of Way Agent, sheet 2, line 16, $300 per month.

Supervisor Shannon moved to change columns 21 and 16 from $300 to $375 per month.

Commissions, sheet 1, line 22, $1,800.

Supervisor Uhl moved to change the word "Commissions" and insert in lieu thereof the words "Collection of Rents".

Motion *carried without objection.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Real Estate Department, as amended, was *passed without objection.*

**Auditorium (Index No. 35).**

Supervisor Shannon explained increase of $400 in Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 4, required for the installation of structural improvements, the balance of the non-personal service items in the aggregate is less than the present fiscal year.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Auditorium, Index 35, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *approved without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, General (Index No. 36).**

Supervisor Shannon stated that the non-personal service items in this index in the aggregate are less than the present fiscal year, and declared that sheet 2, line 14, General Clerk-Typist, at $155 per month, is a new position in the permanent set-up, and was formerly temporary, made permanent by Civil Service resolution.

Whereupon, the Department of Public Works, General Index 36, was *approved without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, Bureau of Accounts (Index No. 37).**

Supervisor Shannon declared that Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 3, in this Department, while it represents an increase of $600, it is merely an accounting feature, placing the charge for gas and electricity used by this Bureau in its budget so that its recapitulation will represent its total cost. The balance of the non-personal service items are the same as the present fiscal year.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Accounts, Index 37, was *approved without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Repair (Index No. 38).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the set-up in this Bureau as follows:

Truck Hire, sheet 1, line 9, is an item of $1,250. New rates under Railway Commission regulation is expected to increase truck hire approximately 50 per cent to 75 per cent.

Repairs to Public Buildings, sheet 1, line 17, the Finance Committee recommends that this item be decreased to read $49,000. Reference is made to newspaper page 19, Index 38, thereon, sheet 6, line 12. This item is recommended for reduction to $35,000; the item on line 14, for architectural surveys to be reduced to $2,000; rehabilitation of Assessor's office, sheet 6, line 15, Mr. H. J. Boyd stated to the Finance Committee that he has a letter from the Director of Public Works to the effect that the first floor survey will not affect the location or rehabilitation of the Assessor's office. The balance of the non-personal service items is in the aggregate less than last year.

Action on the foregoing was thereupon deferred until 2 p. m. to-

morrow, when the Board will hear from Assistant Engineer C. E. Healy as to the possibility of this work being done from PWA funds.

**Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Inspection (Index No. 39).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the personal service items are the same as for the present fiscal year. The item for earthquake survey, sheet 1, line 6, $5,000, is the same as that appropriated for the last three years. Mr. Earl Cope, of the Building Inspection Department, says the work may continue for ten or fifteen years longer, that the survey has covered, roughly, both sides of Market street from the Ferry to Van Ness avenue, and from about Sansome or Battery to Sacramento street, and out Sacramento to Taylor, and down Taylor to Market; the survey includes the examination of parapet walls, tanks on roofs, signs, etc., that might fall off in earthquakes. The inspectors make reports and designs showing all things that are hazards, then a form letter is sent to the owner calling attention to the condition, advising him that in case of accident he will be liable. If there is no response within a reasonable time another letter is sent. However, there is usually a response and we have been very successful in having tanks fixed for the reason that the insurance rates are increased. Requests are received as to conditions that should be remedied, and we advise methods for correcting same. The progress report will be shortly submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

Whereupon, the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, Index 39, was *passed without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering (Index No. 40).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that the item of Special Inspection, sheet 1, line 9, $13,000, is entirely offset by revenue and has no effect on the tax rate.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Bureau of Engineering, Department of Public Works, Index 40, was *passed without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, Central Permit Bureau (Index No. 41).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that this entire set up is the same as last year.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the department was *passed without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Cleaning (Index No. 42).**

Action on this item was *deferred until 11:15 a. m. tomorrow in order that Director of Works W. H. Worden may be present to explain.*

**Department of Public Works, Bureau of Sewer Repair (Index No. 43).**

Supervisor Shannon explained changes in this department as follows:

Materials and Supplies, sheet 1, line 6, increase of $1,700 is based upon experience of present fiscal year, according to Mr. Worden. Equipment, sheet 1, line 7, is an item for two automobiles, one to replace 1929 Nash driven over 90,000, the other to replace a 1931 Ford driven over 60,000 miles.

Tearing Up Streets item (Side sewers), sheet 1, line 23, is entirely predicated upon deposit by the public for the construction and repair of side sewers and has no reference to the tax rate.

Supervisor Uhl inquired regarding laying off of one bricklayer, sheet 2, line 10, and was advised by Mr. Henderson, of the Civil Service Commission, that the job had become vacant.

Matter of retaining Brick Layer Inspector was referred to Mayor Rossi.

Whereupon, the Bureau of Sewer Repair, Department of Public Works, Index 43, was *approved without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, Sewage Pumping Station (Index No. 44).**

Supervisor Shannon explained increase in Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 4, in the amount of $3,650 for electricity for the operation of new sewage pumping plant.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the department was *passed without objection.*

**Department of Public Works, County Road Fund (Index No. 45).**

Supervisor Shannon declared that the Finance Committee recommends that Truck Hire, sheet 2, line 5, $26,000, be reduced to $17,000. The Director of Public Works in this connection has been requested to report on the advisability of the City purchasing its own trucks. The increase in this item is due to rates for trucks increased by the Railroad Commission. There is an increase of $360, he said, for auto hire, sheet 2, line 6, to provide each of the two foremen of the Bureau of Street Repair with an allowance for automobiles to supervise the work under their jurisdiction. The Department of Public Works explains the item for Equipment, $4,300, sheet 2, line 8, that this is needed to replace worn out units.

Whereupon, further consideration of the foregoing department was *continued for a later session.*

**Department of Public Works, County Road Fund, Bureau of Bridges (Index No. 46).**

Supervisor Shannon, in explanation of the item of Maintenance and Repair of Bridges, sheet 1, line 5, declared that this included the following detail:

| | |
|---|---|
| No. 1—Third and Channel | $ 1,545 |
| No. 2—Fourth and Channel | 2,760 |
| No. 3—Sixth and Channel | 6,520 |
| No. 4—Third and Islais Creek | 40,195 |
| No. 5—Lobos Creek West | 631 |
| No. 6—San Jose-Mount Vernon | 445 |
| No. 7—Evans Avenue and Army Street | 50 |
| No. 8—Mission Street Viaduct | 960 |

Finance Committee recommends that items 1, 3 and 4, totaling $48,260, be deleted from this index and added in under Index 48, which is the detail under the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, the reason being that these streets form part of the major thoroughfares which are properly chargeable against that fund. The balance of the non-personal service is the same or less in detail than last year.

Whereupon, the foregoing department as recommended, was *approved without objection.*

**County Road Fund—General and Street Construction (Index No. 47).**

Supervisor Shannon declared that the Finance Committee recommended that sheet 2, line 2, $20,000 for Engineering Studies, be decreased to $10,000; that Parker Avenue, sheet 2, line 8, Geary to Fulton street, $75,000, be reduced to $40,000; that sheet 2, line 11, Reconstruction, Harriet, Folsom and Bryant streets, $7,560, and sheet 2, line 12, Reconstruction of Chesley, Harrison and Bryant streets, $7,800, respectively, be reduced $360, to read jointly $15,000.

Finance Committee recommended under Index 46, deletion from this fund of $48,260 and the transfer of this Bridge Maintenance to Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund. The amounts that the Finance Committee recommended to be decreased on lines 2, 8, 11 and 12, total $45,360. The Finance Committee recommended a decrease of $9,000 in Truck Hire on sheet 2, Index 45, making a combined total of $102,620. The Finance Committee further recommends that on sheet 1 of this index there be inserted an item for boulevard lighting in the amount of

$40,000, also an item for stop, and stop and go signals, in the amount of $20,000, and an item unallocated to be appropriated of $42,620. The item for $40,000 has been for several years included in the County Road Fund budget but was omitted last year for the first time. This reinstatement of the $40,000 will give benefit in like amount to the taxpayer as it will decrease the amount of money to be raised from taxation for street lighting.

The Finance Committee, he said, had no objection to the inclusion of Oregon street in the foregoing set-up, and it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl suggested the inclusion of Market street from Gough to The Embarcadero.

Whereupon, the foregoing index was *passed temporarily* in order that City Engineer Casey and Director of Public Works Worden might be consulted.

Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund (Index No. 48).

Supervisor Shannon said: "Your Finance Committee recommends that the item, Army Street Widening $41,000, sheet 1, line 4, be placed in the unallocated balance appearing on line 3, as the required land has not been purchased nor have funds been provided for its purchase; its price is estimated at $25,000. Finance Committee further recommends that provision be made from the unallocated balance for the maintenance and repairs of bridges on major thoroughfares, which it recommended be deleted from Index 46 in the amount of $48,260, and included herein."

Harry Ross, Assistant Controller, explained the PWA set-up in this fund.

William D. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, suggested that opinion of the City Attorney be obtained as to the right to use money of this fund for the purchase of land.

Whereupon, the foregoing Department was *passed temporarily* until City Attorney O'Toole could be heard from, time set for 11:15 a. m. tomorrow. City Engineer Casey, City Attorney O'Toole and Director of Public Works Worden to be notified to attend.

Department of Electricity (Index No. 49).

Supervisor Shannon explained Equipment, sheet 1, line 7. Mayor recommends $3,500 to replace one coupe, one truck, and one light delivery. There is a slight increase in Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 5, due to light and power, based on actual experience. Balance of non-personal service in the aggregate same or less than last year.

Whereupon, the foregoing Department was *passed without objection.*

Coroner (Index No. 57).

Over temporarily.

Agricultural Commission (Index No. 48).

Supervisor Shannon explained increase in Equipment in sheet 1, line 6, desk chair and two egg candling machines required by the Department; balance of non-personal service in the aggregate was less than last year.

Whereupon, the Department was *passed without objection.*

Sealer of Weights and Measures (Index No. 59).

Supervisor Shannon explained increase in Equipment, sheet 1, line 6, one automobile to replace old machine now in service.

Whereupon, the Department, as recommended, was *passed without objection.*

Controller's Office (Index No. 60).

Supervisor Shannon explained increases in this Department in Equipment, sheet 1, line 6, $2,000 for files, and one additional bookkeeping

machine for accumulating information required for income tax purposes. Finance Committee recommends that Judgments and Claims, sheet 1, line 25, $50,000 be reduced to $45,000. It also recommends that Auto Liability Claims, sheet 1, line 26, be reduced from $9,000 to $5,000.

In Personal Service, eight bookkeepers, sheet 2, line 4, are recommended for an increase from $175 to $185.

This last item was *approved without objection.*

The following items of Personal Service, recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, were *passed without objection.*

Sheet 2, line 4, 8 Bookkeepers from $175 to $185 per month.
Sheet 2, line 5, Bookkeeper from $175 to $180 per month.
Sheet 2, line 7, 3 Senior Bookkeepers from $190 to $200 per month.
Sheet 2, line 9, Senior Bookkeeper from $190 to $250 per month.
Sheet 2, line 14, Senior Accountant from $275 to $325 per month.
Sheet 2, line 15, 2 Senior Accountants from $275 to $285 per month.
Sheet 2, line 18, Office Assistant from $75 to $79.50 per month.
Sheet 2, line 23, General Clerk from $160 to $175 per month.
Sheet 2, line 24, General Clerk from $150 to $155 per month.
Sheet 2, line 27, Senior Clerk from $175 to $185 per month.
Sheet 2, line 30, Head Clerk from $200 to $210 per month.
Sheet 2, line 31, Head Clerk from $200 to $210 per month.
Sheet 2, line 6, Bookkeeper at $175 per month, new position made permanent by Civil Service Commission.
Sheet 3, line 3, Tabulating Machine Operator from $150 per month to $155 per month.
Sheet 3, line 6, 3 General Clerk-Stenographers from $150 to $155 per month.
Sheet 3, line 7, Confidential Secretary to Controller from $200 per month to $225 per month.
Sheet 3, line 8, Secretary-Telephone Operator, $150 per month to $155 per month.
Sheet 3, line 11, 2 General Clerks-Typists from $150 to $155 per month.
Sheet 3, line 12, Senior Attorney, civil (full time), from $250 to $400 per month.
Sheet 3, line 3, 1 Tabulating Machine Operator at $155 per month. (New position filled temporarily since enactment of new traffic tag procedure.)
Sheet 3, line 4, 2 Bookkeeping Machine Operators at $155 per month. (Position made permanent by Civil Service.)

The following recommended increases were *approved* by the following vote:

Sheet 2, line 15, 2 Senior Accountants from $275 to $285 a month.

Increase *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.
No—Supervisor Uhl—1.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt—3.

Sheet 2, line 16, Chief Assistant Controller, from $500 to $575 a month.

Increase *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.
No—Supervisor Uhl—1.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 11 p. m., the Board of Supervisors took a recess until Friday, May 15, 1936, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 8, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 20-A

Friday, May 15, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

Vol. 21—New Series No. 244

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
534 Sansome St., S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, MAY 15, 1936, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continuing consideration of the budget of Municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisors Brown and Colman were noted present at 10:10 a. m.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 10:35 a. m.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted* on motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Reissuing of San Francisco Bonds Spring Valley Issue Nos. 21701 and 21703.

(Code No. 9.07)

Resolution No. 2571, as follows:

Whereas, proof having been made to the Board of Supervisors that The Home Insurance Company, an insurance corporation, was on or about the first day of March, 1933, the owner of, and ever since that date has been the owner of two (2) one thousand dollar bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, Spring Valley Issue, numbered 21701 and 21703; and

Whereas, on the 9th day of February, 1933, said bonds were stolen in the Post Office robbery at Sacramento, California, from the Bank of America National Trust & Savings Association, and said The Home Insurance Company became the owner thereof as aforesaid by reason of having been the Insurer of the said bank and having paid the said bank for said loss; and

Whereas, since said day said bonds or either of them have never been heard of or presented for payment, and said bonds are hereby declared to have been lost within the meaning of the act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled: "An act to provide for the issuance of duplicates of bonds, warrants and other evidences of indebtedness of counties and municipal and other corporations," approved June 10, 1933, and said The Home Insurance Company having made application to this Board of Supervisors that new and duplicate bonds be issued similar to said bonds lost as aforesaid to replace the same; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco reissue said two (2) one thousand dollar bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, Spring Valley Issue, numbered 21701 and 21703, dated July 1, 1928, each for $1,000, said bonds to be numbered 21701 and 21703, and to be in the words and figures of said original bonds as set forth in Ordi-

nance No. 8086 (New Series), enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 20th day of June, 1928; be it

Further Resolved, That the signatures of James Rolph Jr., as Mayor, and John H. Thieler, as Treasurer, and Thomas F. Boyle, as Auditor, shall be typewritten upon said bonds, and said bonds be countersigned by J. S. Dunnigan, as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; be it

Further Resolved, That there be endorsed on each of said bonds the fact that said bond is issued as a duplicate of the original thereof and that only the said duplicate or the said original shall be paid; and be it

Further Resolved, That before said bonds are delivered to said The Home Insurance Company, that said The Home Insurance Company cause to be executed and delivered a surety bond in the sum of Three Thousand Dollars ($3,000) indemnifying the City and County of San Francisco against any loss or damage by reason of the issuance of said duplicate bonds or the payment thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

### Health Department (Index No. 50).

Harry Ross, Chief Assistant to the Controller, explained increase of $900 for burial of indigent dead, sheet 1, line 23, by saying that the appropriation is based on the actual contract for this service. He explained the increase of $1,250 for the care of the Feeble-Minded, sheet 1, line 24, as being based on the actual expenses.

Supervisor Shannon explained new positions: A bookkeeper at $175 a month, sheet 1, line 18, assistant to the accountant Percy Hennessy in the general office, and another bookkeeper at $150 a month and a bookkeeper, sheet 1, line 17, who handles TB records at the San Francisco Hospital. This latter employment was made permanent by Civil Service Resolution dated April 30, 1936, and at the Controller's request. Increases in Personal Service were indicated by Supervisor Shannon, as follows:

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 2, line 4, increased from $100 to $125 per month;

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 2, line 3, from $150 to $160 per month;

Assistant Director of Public Health, sheet 2, line 13, increased from $400 to $450 per month; a vote being called for on this latter item, the roll was called and the item *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Supervisor Shannon continued and explained the following increases in Index No. 50-2:

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 1, line 21, increased from $150 to $160 per month.

Bookkeeper, at sheet 2, line 13, new position, interdepartmental.

Hospital Statistician, Index No. 50-3, sheet 1, line 22, increased from $180 to $190 a month.

Market Inspector, Index No. 50-4, sheet 1, line 16, increased from $185 to $200 a month.

Revenues set up to offset cost of this department (50-04) are now pending before the Board. The budget of the department must be curtailed to meet receipts.

Supervisor Shannon explained bacteriological milk inspector (Index No. 50-07), sheet 1, line 24, an increase from $200 to $250 per month.

Bacteriologist, Index No. 50-10, sheet 1, line 22, at $175 per month

is a new position made permanent by resolution eliminating Director of Laboratory.

One Consultant Bacteriologist, part time, sheet 1, line 25, at $75 a month, also a new position required in this department.

One Pediatrician, Index No. 50-10, sheet 1, line 19, at $5 per day, is a new position.

Ten Food Inspectors, Index No. 50-11, sheet 1, line 24, is an increase in salary of each of them from $175 to $185 per month.

Food Chemist Assistant, sheet 1, line 14, is an increase from $100 to $125 per month.

Industrial Inspector, Index 50-15, sheet 1, line 18, is an increase from $175 to $185 per month.

Chief Industrial Inspector, sheet 1, line 19, is an increase from $250 to $275 per month.

The vote being demanded on the foregoing item, the roll was called and the item *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Ratto—1.

Hire of Automobiles, Index No. 50-16, sheet 1, line 19, represents an allowance of $25 per month increase to employees for the use of their automobile in the service of the city.

Line 4, sheet 1, delete $100 for gas and oil. Heretofore City furnished gas and oil to employees using their own cars and these two appropriations provide for a change whereby employees purchase their own gas and oil.

General Clerk-Stenographer, Index No. 50-17, sheet 1, line 16, increase from $100 to $125 per month.

One Physician, Index No. 50-22, sheet 1, line 20, at $100 per month, is a new position covering an employment now existing and assigned to Out-Patient Maternity cases.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing items as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, were *passed without objection.*

Laguna Honda Home, Index No. 51:

Supervisor Shannon explained that the Non-Personal Service in this department is less than last year. As to the Personal Service he explained the following proposed increases:

Telephone Operator, sheet 2, line 3, an increase from $100 to $125 per month.

General Clerk, sheet 2, line 1, is an increase from $75 to $100 per month.

Butcher, sheet 2, line 9, is an increase from $100 to $125 per month.

Head Seamstress, sheet 2, line 27, is an increase from $115 to $125 per month.

Gardener, sheet 3, line 9, is an increase from $102.50 to $135 per month.

Supervisor Uhl inquired regarding Institutional Help, sheet 3, line 14, $51,000 last year, and $54,600 this year, and was advised by Superintendent Wollenberg that this increase represented necessary additional institutional help.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing department as recommended by the Mayor, and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

**Isolation Hospital (Index No. 52).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that there was no change in the set-up of this department.

Whereupon, the Isolation Hospital, Index No. 52, was *approved without objection.*

**San Francisco Hospital (Index No. 53).**

Supervisor Shannon explained the following increases recommended in this department:

Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 9, increase of $4,498. (See sheet 6, lines 2 to 18, for detail of this item not in the newspaper copy of the budget.)

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing department as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee was *passed without objection.*

**Emergency Hospital (Index No. 54).**

Supervisor Shannon explained recommended increases as follows:

Foodstuffs, sheet 1, line 5, an increase of $560, estimate based on the experience of the department in the purchase of foodstuffs.

Equipment, sheet 1, line 13, detail of increase shown on sheets 4 and 5. Balance of Non-Personal Service, he said, in the aggregate, does not exceed the amount provided for the present fiscal year.

In Personal Service there are new positions as follows:

One Emergency Hospital Steward, sheet 2, line 9, at $165 per month, for the new ambulance at the Mission Emergency Hospital.

Three Registered Nurses, sheet 2, line 13, at $135 a month each, to be assigned to the Mission Emergency Hospital.

One Ambulance Driver, sheet 2, line 8, at $175 a month for the new ambulance at the Mission Emergency Hospital.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Emergency Hospitals, Index No. 54, as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

**Hassler Health Home (Index No. 55).**

Supervisor Shannon declared that the item of Non-Personal Service in this department is less than last year. He explained the increases in Personal Service as follows:

Resident Physician, sheet 2, line 12, increased from $260 to $310 per month, less board, room and lodging, $35.

There is a new position of Assistant Superintendent of Nurses, sheet 2, line 19, at $160 per month, takes the place of Vice-Superintendent eliminated from the budget at $285 a month.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I move that the Health Department be passed.

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me ask just one question, through the Chair, of Doctor Geiger: Was there anything that you wanted that is not in there?

DOCTOR GEIGER: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Anything of importance?

DOCTOR GEIGER: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I think the Doctor should be heard from.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I have no objection.

DOCTOR GEIGER: If you want me to tell you the things, certain recommendations I have already made to the Mayor?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes. And that were refused.

DOCTOR GEIGER: No, I didn't say that. Recommendations to the Mayor—there are certain recommendations before the Mayor that I have been advised—I have been led to believe that the Mayor will

approve. One of those is the question of nurses in the schools, two of which I need for the Parochial Schools, which is one of the most important pieces of preventative work we are doing; two for the public schools, one of which is working now in the Junior Colleges; two doctors which are now working, which were left out of the budget by omission, I am sure; one doctor doing work in eye testing in the schools, left out I am sure by omission—error; one doctor that I want for service which contributes to the highest death rate in this City, that is Chinatown, for the infant welfare service we have there, partly equipped and partly staffed.

Another is an audiometer technician, which is for the relief of deafness, I have been asking for that, which we have the machines and all necessary equipment and have not been able to do the testing of the children.

Then we have asked for a bacteriologist in the laboratory, and a food chemist in the laboratory, to take care of extra work which is being paid for the restaurant fee over and above everything we need.

We also asked for an extra ward in the San Francisco Hospital, which the Mayor says we can have out of the—he would be willing to grant it to us if this emergency fund is increased, if we need it, after July.

We have asked for an extra ward at Laguna Honda, an extra ward as far as the Hassler Health Farm is concerned, which will come out of the emergency fund if we need it.

I would prefer that we ask for more nurses in the San Francisco Hospital. That was cut down. We have wards out there that are understaffed, we know it now after America's hospital expert number one, Doctor ———— so advised is, that we haven't enough nurses.

That is practically what the Health Department has desired, except we would like to have many other things—we have gradually built up the department as we go along. We have transferred from the school division to the epidemic department an extra physician, that is on account of the measles and scarlet fever, so we have had to do the work at the San Francisco Hospital. The hospital is one of the finest institutions of its kind, it is not a county hospital as we know it, it is a hospital of emergencies, where operations are done as emergencies, and the turnover is very rapid, especially in the operating rooms. We have broken down our nurses, we have broken down our internes and the house doctors. In the Laguna Honda Home the whole attitude of that institution has changed, whereas formerly it was an ambulatory home for the aged, it is now a hospital for the aged, and we have to recognize that, and we have to take care of in the hospital all these old people, and that is being done extraordinarily well. In the Hassler Health Home we have the situation, as Supervisor McSheehy has shown you, in which we have 350 acres of property there that we are not taking advantage of. We know that these tubercular patients should be removed from the San Francisco Hospital, it is an extraordinary situation, that we have 450 people with tuberculosis in San Francisco, and in the general hospital, and if we could get a WPA project in there, which we haven't been able to do, we could transfer down there these chronic T. B.'s, which we can't eliminate, and we could take care of them at a much more reasonable fee, I think at half the fee.

We also ought to have in the lower area of the Hassler Health Home a camp, if you wanted to put it there, for under-nourished children, which are increasing in the schools because of our cash relief, which goes for beer, and the children should have milk—I am not against beer, but I am for milk for the children.

Those are the projects we have in mind—And there is also the question of a convalescent home, the hospital facilities of San Francisco are not suited for that, and that place down there, which is remarkably suited for it, is undeveloped.

Those are the problems we have—I could tell you we need another

assistant health officer to balance our department properly; I could say that we ought to concentrate our tubercular clinic and put a paid man in charge of it—there are lots of problems I could explain to you, I think the department has not gone forward in the last two years as rapidly as I wanted it—I am thoroughly disappointed in that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I ask you a question, Doctor? Did you say—What did you say is the population, or tubercular patients in San Francisco?

DOCTOR GEIGER: 450, with a waiting list of at least forty.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: 450 we have here in the hospital?

DOCTOR GEIGER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Of course, you have outlined quite an extensive program, and it cannot all of it be done at one time, but in the next few years a good deal of what you say can be accomplished.

DOCTOR GEIGER: I hope so, I hope it goes forward.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Your item of hospitalizing our San Francisco population down at the Hassler Home merits some thought. If for no other reason, it is healthier from the standpoint of climate and so forth, and also much more reasonable to the taxpayer.

DOCTOR GEIGER: Yes, but you appreciate the fact what I am trying to do is to be accomplished over a period of years, because what I do—if the Health Department doesn't accomplish it it doesn't reflect on me, but reflects on the people of San Francisco. I want to make something out of the department. We cannot stand still. If the department is going to stand still I don't want to have anything to do with it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, you have practically outlined your program, haven't you?

DOCTOR GEIGER: Yes, for years.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What would be the difference in the cost in taking care of the tubercular patients down in the Hassler Home, and here?

DOCTOR GEIGER: I think it would split it in half.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then it would be a wonderful thing to get the WPA to build the buildings, and house them down there.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would ask that a transcript be made of the remarks of Doctor Geiger up to the discussion with Doctor Schmidt. I would like to have that for the Board's education.

SUPERVISOR UHL: A good idea.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask that a copy be sent to each member of the Board.

Thereupon, the Health Department budget as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee was *passed without objection.*

**War Memorial (Index No. 15).**

Ellison Schofield, representing War Memorial Trustees, addressed the Board in reference to the elimination of four janitors, sheet 2, line 7, Index 15, said: We never had sufficient personnel to keep the War Memorial Buildings clean and in condition to protect the large amount of money invested in it. The Owners and Lessees' Apartment House Owners Association made a survey and say, judging from their own experience they would need much more personnel to keep the building in proper condition and maintain it in the condition that it should be maintained. He referred to the cheap job of work done on the roof which necessitated constant repairs.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to rescind previous action. (See page ——, eliminating four janitors, sheet 2, line 7, Index No. 15.)

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the War Memorial, Index No. 15, as recommended by the Mayor providing for janitors be passed.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Shannon thereupon moved a reduction of $1,530, temporary salaries, sheet 1, line 4.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Selby Oppenheimer, Managing Director of the War Memorial Building, explained the necessity for the amounts recommended by his Honor the Mayor for maintenance of buildings, pleading repairs and laundry service.

Whereupon sheet 3, line 16, Maintenance and Repairs to Building, $1,000, and line 18, Cleaning and Renovating (Towel and Laundry Service), $300, as recommended by the Mayor, were *approved without objection.*

James Rickets, representing the Building Trades Council, said that the cost of maintenance of this building is necessarily large on account of the poor character of the work done on the roof by cheap contractors who employed men at reduced wages.

Whereupon, the motion of Supervisor McSheehy to approve line 16, Maintenance and Repair of Buildings, $1,000, was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Mr. Ellison Schofield was heard again regarding the item of temporary salaries reduced in amount of $1,530 previously in this session.

Harold J. Boyd, representing the Federation of Municipal Employees and speaking for Edward Vandeleur and the Janitors' Union, requested that proper funds be allowed so that per diem ———— may be permitted.

Supervisor McSheehy moved, seconded by Supervisor Mead, to rescind action on sheet 2, line 33, $5,000.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion that action be rescinded on sheet 2, line 33, reducing temporary salaries to $5,000, and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, War Memorial, Index No. 15, was *approved.*

## RECESS.

At the hour of 12:20 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m. this day.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m. for the purpose of continuing consideration of proposed budget of Municipal Expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Ratto, Schmidt—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 3:45 p. m.

Supervisor Ratto was noted present at 3:45 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

### City Planning Commission (Index No. 61).

Supervisor Shannon explained the following increases in contractual service:

Sheet 1, line 5, an increase of $170 for advertising.

Auto Hire, office, Finance Committee recommends this item of $360 be reduced by $240 to read $120.

Commission auto hire, sheet 1, line 7, $100, is a new item.

In Personal Service it is proposed to increase the salary of the City Planning Engineer and Secretary, sheet 2, line 4, from $275 a month to $350 per month. The Finance Committee recommends that this salary be fixed at $275 per month, the present salary.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Auto hire for the same man, $30, the Finance Committee recommends that it be fixed at $10 per day.

Supervisor Shannon explained the item of Civil Engineer Draftsman, sheet 2, line 6, at $250 per month, is a change from temporary to permanent basis.

Whereupon, the contested items of auto hire were *laid over temporarily.*

Supervisor Colman moved to restore the items of auto hire as recommended by the Mayor, to-wit: Commission auto hire $100, office auto hire $360, total $460.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the City Planning Commission, Index 61, as amended, was *passed without objection.*

### Department of Public Works (Indexes Nos. 36-48).

### Building Repair (Index No. 38).

For Repairs to Public Buildings, sheet 1, line 17, $62,000, Supervisor Uhl inquired why the rehabilitation in the Assessor's office, $10,000, could not be made from PWA funds, also why sheet 6, lines 13, 14 and 15, could not also be made out of PWA funds.

Subject matter *passed temporarily* until Board can hear from C. E. Healy, Assistant City Engineer.

### Department of Public Works, County Road Fund (Index No. 47).

Supervisor Shannon declared that the Finance Committee recommends the following items to be substituted for $102,000 deleted from the set-up in proposed budget:

Engineering Studies decreased from $20,000 to $10,000, said amount to be specifically set aside for Engineering Studies of the district east

of Van Ness avenue and north of California to take care of traffic conditions arising from the use of Lombard street as an outlet to the Golden Gate Bridge.

Finance Committee also recommends that the item for Parker avenue from Geary street to Fulton street be reduced from $75,000 to $40,000, that widening streets and boulevards $40,000, stop and go signals $10,000, Oregon street to be opened to The Embarcadero $18,000, Highway District No. 9, $11,550, Highway District No. 10 $22,600.

Supervisor Shannon said there is set up in County Roads, General, $25,000 for the Traffic Bureau of the Police Department. He moved that the item be amended to read: $10,000 for stop and go signals, and an item of $15,000 for Traffic, Police Department.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that Index 47, sheet 2, line 2, be continued at $20,000 but that $10,000 be specifically set aside for north side of Lombard street to take care of traffic going east.

Amendment *carried.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Index 47, County Road Fund, was *passed without objection.*

Assistant City Engineer C. E. Healy appeared in response to invitation to discuss Index 38, Repairs to Public Buildings, and the possibility of having some of these items taken care of out of PWA funds. Mr. Healy said that this money is for materials, trucks and maintenance and not in conformity with the work we are doing. It would not come under the purview of PWA work.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Index 38, Repairs to Public Buildings, was *passed without objection.*

**Special Gas Street Improvement Fund (Index No. 48).**

Action *deferred temporarily.*

**Street Cleaning Bureau, Department of Public Works (Index No. 42).**

Supervisor Shannon explained increases, etc., in this department as follows:

Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 5, an increase of $200 is requested for re-coring machine broom.

Sheet 1, lines 8 and 12, coordinate, if one-man flushers are allowed the decrease reported on line 8 in the amount required for trucks and teams will stay. If the item of flushers is eliminated, this amount will have to be increased. (Detail of equipment shown on sheet 3, Index 42.) Mr. Worden explained that the department desires new equipment in this bureau in order to satisfy complaints. With additional men and equipment it will be possible to do more flushing and cover more area; teams and trucks will be eliminated.

Materials and Supplies, sheet 1, line 10, this increase of $1,200 is for gas and oil for new equipment to operate new flushers; if equipment is granted the following Personal Service items must also be granted:

District Director at $225 a month. Sheet 2, line 5.

Drivers, SPEC. Equipment, sheet 2, line 18, 5 at $7.50 per day.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved temporary passage of the Department of Public Works, excluding Index 48.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Recreation Commission (Index No. 13).**

Supervisor Shannon explained this departmental set-up as follows:

The Mayor has recommended for this department the amount of 7 cents, the charter minimum, plus its own revenue. There are some land purchases, however, recommended on sheet 1, line 13, Bay View District, $15,000; Upper Noe Valley District, $20,000; Eureka Valley land,

$20,000; Longfellow School, $20,000; Sunset District, $20,000; Sigmund Stern land, $10,000; Grattan School $20,000; total, $125,000.

Miss Josephine Randall, Superintendent of the Playground Commission, explained that there was no appropriation for Corona Heights in the present set-up, but if money was allowed the commission would make it go as far as possible in providing recreation facilities for the district.

Supervisor Uhl moved to include $5,000 above the 7-cent charter minimum for land for Corona Heights.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that $5,000 be allowed in the budget as a capital investment for the purchase of land for Corona Heights, $3,000 of it to be expended for study and investigation.

Mr. Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, explained that the $10,000 for Sigmund Stern land was for the purpose of cleaning up the property.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Recreation Department was *passed subject to amendment later on.*

**County Welfare Department (Index No. 56).**

Assistant Controller Harry Ross explained increased salary items as follows:

Social Service Investigator (4), sheet 2, line 9, in an increase from $150 per month to $180 per month.

Junior Social Service Investigator (3) is an increase from $150 per month to $165 per month.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the County Welfare Department as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee, was *passed without objection.*

**Public Utilities Commission (Index No. 62).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that the total expenditures provided for in the Public Utilities Commission budget are prorated between the various revenue producing utilities in conformity with charter provisions.

**Communication from Mayor.**

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR.

San Francisco, May 14, 1936.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I hereby recommend in supplement to the Municipal Budget for the fiscal year 1936-1937 heretofore submitted to you, an appropriation of $480,000 as a capital expenditure for the following purposes:

1. Purchase of approximately 41 electric trolley buses.
2. Construction along the present "H" line of the Municipal Railway of the necessary overhead trolley system to permit operation of trolley buses.
3. Construction of an extension of this trolley bus line through the Marina District to a point near the Palace of Fine Arts and of an extension of the line at the south end of the present "H" line of the Municipal Railway to a point approximately at the intersection of Oakdale avenue and Third street.
4. Necessary remodeling and construction for storage at the car barn of the Municipal Railway to provide accommodations for the described trolley buses.

I transmit herewith a copy of a letter received by me under date of May 14, 1936, signed by Mr. Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, in behalf of the Public Utilities Commission, in which this appropriation

is recommended. You will note in the attached letter that the reasons which impel the Public Utilities Commission to recommend the replacement of the present "H" car service of the Municipal Railway with trolley bus service and the extension of the services now given by this line to give transportation to the thousands of citizens residing in the Marina and Bay View Districts. In this recommendation I heartily concur.

May I add that, in my opinion, it would be economically unwise to undertake at this time the widening of Van Ness avenue and the construction of a new and ornamental lighting system on this thoroughfare unless we at the same time complete the job by removing the present inadequate and hazardous street car operation on the "H" line. When this widening and beautification is completed there will be four lines of automobile traffic on each side of the existing street car lines. It will be extremely difficult and unsafe for thousands of street car passengers to thread their way through this fast-moving traffic to board and alight from "H" line cars. Operation of a trolley bus system will permit these vehicles to draw up to the curb without traffic interference so that their passengers will be able to utilize these buses in safety and convenience.

It has been proven that the trolley bus under proper load conditions is the most modern, economical, fast and safe form of mass transportation. San Francisco should not fall behind in utilizing a transportation medium which has proven highly successful under similar conditions in almost all of the cities of the United States.

Extension of the Municipal Railway service into the Marina District not only will afford rapid and convenient transportation to thousands of our citizens residing in a thickly populated district, but will also give service to two of San Francisco's finest institutions, the Palace of Fine Arts and Yacht Harbor. Extension of the service to the Bayview District will be of incalculable benefit to other thousands of our citizens who are without transportation or are dependent upon small and circuitous lines.

I am confident that inauguration of this service will so increase the revenue of this cross-town line as to completely pay for the expenses entailed within a short period. It will be possible to eliminate more than $50,000 annual losses now sustained by the Municipal Railway through operation of the "H" line and the Marina bus line. Of equal importance, I believe, is the fact that the elimination of street car operation over this route and the substitution of trolley bus service will serve with the widening of Van Ness avenue and the construction of a new lighting system thereon to convert this historic San Francisco boulevard into a thoroughfare second only to Market street in importance, property values and beauty.

I urgently recommend that your Honorable Board include this appropriation in the budget for the fiscal year 1936-37.

Respectfully submitted,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION.

City and County of San Francisco.

May 14, 1936.

*Subject—Budget Appropriation for Trolley Bus Route.*

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sir: The Public Utilities Commission recommends that there be included in the budget for the fiscal year 1936-1937 the sum of $480,000 to cover the cost of the following described capital expenditures:

(1) Purchase of approximately 41 electric trolley buses.

(2) Construction along the present "H" line of the Municipal Rail-

way of the necessary overhead trolley system to permit operation of trolley buses.

(3) Construction of an extension of this trolley bus line through the Marina District to a point near the Palace of Fine Arts and of an extension of the line at the south end of the present "H" line of the Municipal Railway to a point approximately at the intersection of Oakdale avenue and Third street.

(4) Necessary remodeling and construction for storage at the car barn of the Municipal Railway to provide accommodations for the described trolley buses.

The total cost of changing over the Municipal Railway "H" line from street car operation to trolley bus operation with the extension proposed will be approximately $746,000. This figure includes $533,000 for the purchase of buses and $213,000 for construction.

Arrangements may be made to acquire the buses required for adequate service for a period of two years by purchasing outright from this year's appropriation a portion of the buses to be used and renting the balance of buses required with the agreement that the city has an option to purchase them during the fiscal year 1937-1938 at an agreed price.

No agreement would be required at this time to purchase the buses in 1937-1938, as the city would merely possess an option to purchase if it so desires. If the city should exercise its option to purchase these buses in 1937-1938 this would entail an additional appropriation of $266,500 in the budget for that fiscal year.

The City Attorney has advised the Public Utilities Commission that, in his opinion, such an agreement would not violate any prohibitions against incurring expenses in one year which are payable out of future funds.

The determination of the Public Utilities Commission to recommend the inauguration of this trolley bus route is based primarily upon the inclusion in the budget for the coming fiscal year of funds required for widening of Van Ness avenue. The commission feels, after a study of street car and trolley bus operation, both in San Francisco and in many other cities, that it would be unwise to undertake a widening and reconstruction of this main thoroughfare of San Francisco unless advantage is taken at the same time of the opportunity to include the more modern, efficient, safe, and economical mode of mass transportation here suggested. The commission feels confident that this change and extension of service will serve to eliminate the present highly unsatisfactory service afforded by the "H" line of the Municipal Railway, which during the current year will lose approximately $45,400.

The plan proposed will also make it possible to eliminate the present unsatisfactory and losing Marina bus line, substituting therefor a trolley bus service bisecting the thickly populated Marina District, with attendant improvement in service and increased revenue. The proposed extension to Oakdale avenue and Third street will give the Municipal Railway a complete cross-town transportation system which will bring modern, efficient transportation to a large district of San Francisco in which present street car service is grossly inadequate.

The commission feels, also, that with the widening of Van Ness avenue, continued operation of street cars on the "H" line would seriously increase the already serious hazards attendant upon boarding or leaving street cars which are operated in the midst of heavy, fast-moving automobile traffic.

In conclusion, may I point out that it has been the experience in almost every city in which trolley buses have been placed in operation that public approval and use of this mode of transportation has resulted in an increase in patronage, while operating costs have been reduced. This has been shown in San Francisco, where operation of one trolley bus route during the past six months has resulted in an increase of 50 per cent in patronage.

The Public Utilities Commission further urges that you revise this

budget to include this $480,000 capital expenditure in the interest of public convenience, public safety, increased efficiency and economy of Municipal Railway operation and for the increased utility and beautification which will result for one of San Francisco's finest boulevards.

Respectfully submitted,

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

John J. Ryan, representing the Bay View District, was heard in favor of the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Mayor requesting an appropriation of $480,000 for the substitution of the overhead trolley electric buses for the Van Ness avenue street railway and the extension of the line into the Bay View District.

Mr. Wm. Varley, representing the Southern Civic Clubs, was also heard in favor of the proposed overhead trolley bus system extensions into the Bay View and Marina Districts.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved that discussion of this matter be deferred until after we have concluded all other items in the budget.

Whereupon, consideration of the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, and Public Utilities Commission for an appropriation of $480,000 for the overhead trolley bus system and extension was *made a Special Order of Business for Monday, May 18, 1936, at 10 a. m.*

Clerk of the Board was directed to send copies of the Mayor's letter and the Public Utilities Commission letter on this subject to each member of the Board.

Clerk was directed to notify Col. Skeggs, State Highway Commission, to be here Monday morning at 10 a. m.

Supervisor Shannon explained the following increases in Personal Service items:

Director of Public Relations, sheet 1, line 15, increase from $350 to $400 per month. Brown book salary range for this position was $275 minimum to $325 maximum, the salary already being $25 over the maximum. Salary range, however, was amended by Civil Service Commission, May 13, 1936, to a minimum of $300 and a maximum of $400.

A vote being called for on this item, the roll was called and the item *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 1, line 22, increased from $150 to $175 per month.

Claims Adjuster, sheet 1, line 16, increased from $325 to $350 per month. This employment was transferred from the Municipal Railway and it is eliminated on Index 65, sheet 2, line 29.

A vote being called for on this item, the roll was called and the increase *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, sheet 1, line 14, at $410 per month, is a new position made necessary to consolidated accounting functions of the commission and coordinate same with Controller's office.

Inspector, Municipal Railways, sheet 1, line 17, at $210 per month, is a position transferred from the Municipal Railway to the Public Utilities Commission.

Inspector, Municipal Railway, sheet 1, line 18, at $200 a month, is a position transferred from the Municipal Railway to the Public Utilities Commission.

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 1, line 20, at $150 per month, is a new position necessary because of business requirements of the commission and has been filled by transfer from the Water Department, leaving the Water Department to employ temporary help.

(Letter from Mayor, re: F. B. Gibbon, requesting that position of Senior Clerk-Stenographer be shown in the budget as heretofore also in salary ordinance at $200 per month.)

Request *approved without objection.*

Supervisor Shannon explained increase in auto mileage appropriation, sheet 1, line 32, is an increase from $600 to $960; the $360 is for rent of auto to be transferred from the Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Shannon also explained the following new positions necessitated by PWA projects upon which the commission is engaged:

Building Inspector, sheet 3, line 7, at $225 per month.

Office Assistant, sheet 3, line 9, at $85 per month.

2 Civil Engineering Inspectors, sheet 3, line 14, at $225 per month.

1 Senior Civil Engineering Inspector, sheet 3, line 15, at $250 per month.

1 Construction Engineer, sheet 3, line 16, at $300 per month.

Supervisor Shannon moved the approval of the foregoing items, and there being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Assistant Electrical Engineer, sheet 3, line 25, is a proposed increase from $275 to $300 per month; the vote being asked for on this item, the roll was called with the following results:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto—4.

Electrical Engineer, sheet 3, line 26, is a proposed increase from $300 to $350 per month.

The vote being asked for on this item, the roll was called with the following results:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Supervisor Shannon indicated new positions as follows:

Structural Engineering Inspector, sheet 4, line 7, at $250 a month.

Survey Field Assistant, sheet 4, line 8, at $175 a month.

Chief Electrical Engineer (temporary and interdepartmental, not on printed budget), reclassified as General Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau, at $833.33 a month.

Whereupon, a vote being asked for on this latter item, the roll was called with the following results:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto—3.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon said the Finance Committee recommends the removal of the item, sheet 3, line 27, Index 62, at $650 per month.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Public Utilities Commission, Index 62, as amended, was *passed without objection.*

### Chief Administrative Officer (Index No. 26).

Supervisor Shannon indicated the following changes and increases in this department:

Materials and supplies, sheet 1, line 5, the additional amount herein requested is for books, printing and stationery.

Equipment, sheet 1, line 6, $100 is requested herein for additional equipment, for new files.

Publicity and Advertising, sheet 1, line 19, Finance Committee recommends that this item of $200,000 be reduced to $150,000.

Mandatory items in this set-up are as follows:

Installment payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund, $101,727.

Bond Interest and Redemption Fund, $14,517,608.

Interest on Tax Anticipation Note Fund, $7,000.

Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund, $31,224.

Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund, $900,000.

There is an increase of $100,000 in sheet 1, line 29, for Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, and an increase of $200,000 for WPA Projects in sheet 1, line 31.

Supervisor Shannon subsequently withdrew on behalf of the Finance Committee the recommended reduction for Publicity and Advertising from $200,000 to $150,000.

John Cuddy, Californians Inc., was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard at length as to the necessity of a larger appropriation for Publicity and Advertising.

Salary increase for the Executive Secretary of the Chief Administrative Officer from $250 to $325 a month was indicated by Supervisor Shannon, and the roll being called thereon, the same was *approved* on motion of Supervisor Shannon by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Chief Administrative Officer, Index 26, was *passed without objection.*

### Public Utilities Commission, Lighting Streets and Public Buildings (Index No. 63).

Supervisor Shannon indicated the following recommendations of the Finance Committee:

Construction of new lighting system, Van Ness avenue, Market street to North Point street, reduced $10,000.

Subsequently withdrawn by Supervisor Shannon in favor of the set-up by the Mayor.

### Lighting of Public Streets (Sheet 1, Line 2).

The Clerk read letter from the Mayor transmitting communication from Manager of Utilities Cahill requesting additional $18,000 to the amount set up in the 1936-37 budget for lighting streets and to carry charges for wiring the streets and removing the overhead construction. Proposal recommended by the Mayor.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that sheet 1, line 2, Index 63, be amended by increasing the item for lighting public streets from $710,000 to $728,000.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to insert an

item of $35,500 for underground district in Franklin street from Golden Gate avenue to North Point street.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to increase the total for Detail of Buildings, Structures and Improvements, lines 7 to 35, sheet 5, Index 63, from $154,000 to $202,000, for the reason of savings that will result from the distribution of power.

*No second.*

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that sheet 5, lines 7 to 35, inclusive, be increased from a total of $154,000 to $356,000. This will start the nucleus of a power distribution system.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Index 63, Lighting Public Streets and Buildings, was *approved without objection.*

## RECESS.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon, at the hour of 6:15 p. m., moved for a recess until 8:15 p. m. this evening.

*So ordered.*

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8:15 p. m. for the purpose of continuing consideration of proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1936-37.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

**Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco Airport (Index No. 64).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that it will take nine votes to pass the budget of this department as to Contractual Service, sheet 1, line 5, there is an increase of $6,143, $2,000 due primarily to increased costs of lighting the field, $2,880 is requested for providing transportation for 16 employees who use their own automobiles at the rate of $15 per month each.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item be passed in accordance with the recommendation of the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

As to Equipment, sheet 1, line 7, this is an item of $5,500, $300 for Maintenance of Equipment, and $5,200 for radio and other instruments, which is recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item be passed as recommended by the Mayor and approved by the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Land Purchases, sheet 1, line 9, represents the purchase of the seven parcels of land comprising Mills Airfield at $105,050, and two additional parcels required for the Pan-American Seaplane Base at $8,500.

Supervisor Shannon moved that this item, as recommended by the Mayor, and approved by the Finance Committee, be passed.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Fixed Charges, sheet 2, line 10, $3,701, covers insurance premiums, pensions and retirement allowances and taxes.

Supervisor Shannon moved the approval of the item as recommended by the Mayor and passed by the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Proposed salary increases and new positions under Personal Service were explained by Supervisor Shannon as follows:

Assistant Superintendent, sheet 1, line 24, is an increase from $200 a month to $250 per month. This employment received an increase in 1935-36 from $175 to $200 per month, and this year it is recommended for the maximum of $250.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item as recommended by his Honor the Mayor be approved.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Superintendent of Airport, sheet 1, line 25, this is an increase from $350 to $500 per month, the maximum.

Supervisor Shannon moved the approval of the foregoing item.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote by saying he would vote for the item because there were not a sufficient number of members present to pass it otherwise.

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 1, line 20, $150 per month, is a new position made necessary because of activities which do not permit night airport attendant to complete necessary work.

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the item.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Airport Attendant, at $150 per month, sheet 1, line 28, is a position heretofore filled by an employee who also attended to office work, deposit of funds and other clerical work.

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the item.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved passage of Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco Airport, Index 64.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco Water Department (Index No. 66).

Supervisor Shannon explained the changes in Non-Personal Service by saying temporary salaries, sheet 1, line 2, is reduced $9,180 to correct mathematical error, and the item for Maintenance, Transmission and Distribution, reduced $11,520, to correct mathematical error.

Under the item of Personal Service, Engineer, Supervisor Shannon explained that Chief Water Purification, sheet 2, line 29, is recommended for an increase from $200 to $350 per month.

Mr. Cahill stated that to make the salary of Mr. Arnold, who holds this position, commensurate with the salaries paid to like employments in cities of like or larger size in the United States, this increase should be allowed. The work and responsibility in this employment are highly important to the well-being of the citizens and merits higher compensation than is now being paid.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Chief Water Purification Engineer, sheet 2, line 29, be increased from $200 to $250 a month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—5.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the item be increased from $200 to $300 per month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Thereupon, Supervisor Meyer moved that the salary of Chief Water Purification Engineer be increased from $200 to $350 per month.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—5.
Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Supervisor Shannon explained that two Senior Bookkeepers at $190 per month, sheet 2, line 13, are new positions made necessary to stabilize the county functions. They were eliminated from temporary employments.

Two Water Purification Engineers at $175 a month each, sheet 2, line 30, are also new positions transferred from temporary employments.

Same statement applies to two General Clerk-Typists at $150 per month, sheet 2, line 32.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item be approved.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Senior Clerk at $180 per month, sheet 2, line 15, replaces a Senior Clerk, deceased.
Sheet 32, line 5, and offsetting line 16 below, which is transferred to the Collection Division.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item be approved.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Assistant Manager, Water Sales Department, sheet 4, line 4, is an increase from $360 to $375 which is the maximum salary in that class and is recommended on account of increased responsibilities and highly satisfactory performance of duties.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Supervisor of Consumers Accounts, sheet 5, line 11, is an increase from $340 to $350 per month.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Mead—2.

Vacations, sheet 5, line 30, is an item of $2,400 set up to provide for vacation relief for the employees covered by items 11 to 29, inclusive.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the item of $2,400 for vacations be stricken out.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon, the item of $2,400 for vacations was *approved without objection.*

General Clerk-Stenographer, line 23, sheet 5, is a new position.

Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the item.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Chief Adjuster, at $225 per month, sheet 5, line 18, is an offset for one less Senior Clerk at $225 per month indicated on line 17.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the item was *approved without objection.*

Supervisor, Closing Bill Accounts, new position at $225 per month, recommended on the ground that it is necessary to properly handle collection and follow up of closing bills; occupant of this position was taken over from Spring Valley Water Company and classified by the Civil Service Commission as a Senior Clerk at a salary of $225. Position now vacated through retirement and new classification requested.

Supervisor Shannon said the Finance Committee recommends that the position be denied for the present.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Vacation, $3,500, sheet 6, line 17, Finance Committee recommends that this item be eliminated. It covers vacation relief for employees comprised in the list from line 11 to line 15 who, although classified as general clerks, are really collectors for the Water Sales Division.

After explanation by Mr. Sharon, Secretary of the Water Department, Supervisor Shannon moved approval of the item as recommended by the Mayor.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Vacation Relief, sheet 8, line 34, $450, cut by the Finance Committee to $330, the same as last year.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Pump Operator, sheet 8, line 14, Supervisor Shannon explained that this was a new position to replace position on line 12, to be held for Irvington Pumps, rate $155 per month.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Index 66, Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco Water Department, was *passed without objection.*

**Public Utilities Commission, Hetch Hetchy Power (Index No. 67).**

Supervisor Shannon explained that there were no changes in this department in Personal Service.

Whereupon, sheet 2 to sheet 4, inclusive, Index 67, were *approved without objection.*

Buick Automobile, sheet 8, line 23, $1,850, for Chief Electrical Engineer who has heretofore been paid mileage for use of private car.

Supervisor of Equipment, sheet 3, line 2, at $200 per month, is a new position. Mr. Brooks states that, at the present time, there is no adequate control over equipment and often when requests are made for typewriters and other equipment in the several departments, he was satisfied that such departments were in possession of surplus equipment which, if under proper control, could be transferred and much money saved. He recommended the employment.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the item was *approved without objection.*

General Clerk-Typist (6 months temporary), sheet 3, line 4, at $150 a month. Mr. Brooks stated that his proposals for bids came during the vacation period and the department is always short-handed for clerical typing service, and therefore recommended this temporary appointment.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the item was *approved without objection.*

Garagemen (3), at $6.50 per day, sheet 3, line 20. This is to be set up as inter-departmental for servicing a fleet of 99 police cars formerly handled under contract.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the item was *approved without objection.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Purchasing Department, Index No. 33, was *approved without objection.*

**Civil Service Commission (Index No. 71).**

Supervisor Shannon explained an item of $2,000 office equipment, sheet 1, line 7, and moved its approval.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

He explained salary increases and personal service as follows:

Four General Clerks, sheet 2, line 3, increased from $155 per month to $165 per month.

Head Clerk, sheet 2, line 4, increased from $200 to $215 per month.

General Clerk-Stenographer, sheet 2, line 6, increased from $150 to $155 a month.

Civil Service Examiner, sheet 2, line 10, increased from $225 to $250 per month.

Civil Service Examiner, sheet 2, line 11, increased from $200 to $225 per month.

There being no objection to the foregoing recommendations of the Mayor and the Finance Committee same were *approved.*

Personnel Expert, sheet 2, line 13, Supervisor Shannon explained is a recommended increase from $400 to $450 per month.

Item *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Secretary and Chief Examiner is an increase from $416 2/3 per month to $466 2/3.

Item *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Assistant Personnel Expert, sheet 2, line 15, an increase from $225 to $250 per month.

There being no objection to the foregoing item, the same was *approved.*

General Clerk-Typist, sheet 2, line 16. This is a temporary position made permanent.

There being no objection, the item was *approved.*

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Civil Service Department, Index No. 71, was *passed without objection.*

**Public Pound (Index No. 73).**

Supervisor Shannon explained an increase of $1,000 in non-personal service over last year, but said the same amount was paid to the Pound prior to the period during which salaries were being increased.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, Public Pound, Index No. 73, was *passed without objection.*

**Mayor's Office (Index No. 2).**

Emergency Appropriation, sheet 1, line 15, $300,000.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing item was *approved without objection.*

Thereupon, the Mayor's Office, Index No. 2, as recommended was *passed without objection.*

**Park Department (Index No. 12).**

Supervisor Shannon moved to rescind action on sheet 3, line 21, Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Golf Course), and line 22, Superintendent (Assistant Botanist), in which these positions were placed upon per diem basis at a wage of $8 per day, and moved that the items pass as recommended by the Mayor on a monthly basis of $225 per month.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Communication from Mayor re: Coroner.**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Mayor's Office, 200 City Hall

May 14, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall,
San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am herewith handing to you copy of letter this day received from the Coroner, Dr. T. B. W. Leland, recommending the appointment of an assistant autopsy surgeon at $100 per month.

Inasmuch as this request has been approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, I recommend that the same be allowed by your Board.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation for the appointment of an assistant autopsy surgeon at $100 per month be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to strike out sheet 2, line 18, Food Chemist Assistant, $140 per month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Shannon explained Morgue Attendant, sheet 2, line 19, at $95 per month, to be new position necessary for the department, and moved its approval.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Communication from Mayor re: Fire Department.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Mayor's Office, 200 City Hall

May 15, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall,
San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter, copy of which is herewith attached, from the Board of Fire Commissioners, wherein is requested the following changes:

That thirteen Engineers of Fire Engines be reduced to twelve and the Firemen increased from eight hundred and ninety-three to eight hundred and ninety-four.

The above request meets with my approval and I respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon moved that Fire Department, Index No. 10, sheet 2, line 12, Engineers (13) be reduced to twelve and Firemen be increased from eight hundred and ninety-three to eight hundred and ninety-four, accordingly.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Communication from Mayor re: Assessor and Tax Collector.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.
Mayor's Office,
200 City Hall.

May 15, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a request from the Civil Service Commission, concerning the status of twelve General Clerks in the Assessor's Office and six General Clerks in the Tax Office (copy of which you have already received).

In accordance with this request, I hereby recommend to your Hon-

orable Board that the City Attorney's opinion of April 3, 1936, stating that because the General Clerks mentioned had permanent status on January 1, 1931, and still continue to have such status, Section 71 of the Charter prohibited such reduction of their salaries, be followed and that the monthly salaries of the General Clerks mentioned in the Civil Service Commission's letter, be set up in the Salary Ordinance and Budget for the fiscal year 1936-37, at the former rate of $160 per month.

Respectfully,
ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Index 3, Assessor's Office, Sheet 1, Line 34, Personal Service temporary, by adding to column 26 the figure 12, and in column 27, the figure $160 per month.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Also, Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Tax Collector's Office, Index 28, Sheet 3, Line 12, by inserting 1 General Clerk at $155 a month and 6 General Clerks at $160 a month.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Communication from Mayor re: Recreation Department.**

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 8, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco.

Attention: Finance Committee.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter, copy of which is herewith attached, from Miss Josephine Randall, Superintendent of Recreation, wherein is requested that the following:

Maurice Sweeney, $160—R56—Sheet 3, Index 13, Line 2.
Clifford Nelson, $160—R56—Sheet 3, Index 13, Line 2.
James Lang, $150—R56—Sheet 3, Index 13, Line 3.
Ernest Meyer, $145—R56—Sheet 3, Index 13, Line 7.

Listed as Playground Directors, but actually working out of the administrative office in an executive and supervisory capacity, salaries be increased to $165 per month.

I respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board the allowance of the same.

Respectfully,
(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Mayor's recommendation, as set forth in the foregoing communication, was *approved without objection.*

Henderson and Ross to make adjustments.

**Communication from Mayor re: Coroner.**

May 8, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall,
San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter from Dr. Thomas B. W. Leland, Coroner, which reads as follows:

"I should appreciate your kindness if you would cause to be made

in my budget request for the fiscal year 1936-37, the following change:

"Line 6, Sheet 2,—now reading '1 Senior Clerk-Typist $180'—change to read:

" 'One General Clerk-Typist $175' ".

This request comes to me with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer, and I respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board the allowance of the same.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing recommendation of His Honor the Mayor be approved.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Communication from Mayor, Bureau of Building Repair (Index No. 38).

A communication from His Honor the Mayor, dated May 16, 1936, relative to the Bureau of Building Repair, and recommending that Sheet 3, Line 7, 45 Janitors at $155 per month, be changed to 44 Janitors at $155 a month, and that Line 12, Sheet 3, be changed from 1 Foreman Janitor at $175 a month to 2 Foreman Janitors at $175 per month.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing recommendations of His Honor the Mayor be approved.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 11:15 p. m., the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 10 a. m. Monday, May 18, 1936, with the understanding that only Index 1, and Index 48, and the Mayor's recommendations, shall be given consideration at that session.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors.....

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

.....

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT

Vol. 31—New Series No. 21

Monday, May 18, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 18, 1936, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled for the purpose of continuing consideration of the Budget for the fiscal year 1936-37.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that $480,000 be inserted in the Budget as a capital expenditure for the proposed trackless trolley bus line on Van Ness avenue.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the Board resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for consideration of the subject matter.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the procedure be reading of Mayor's letter, Mr. Cahill's letter, then opponents and proponents be heard and thereafter that the matter be taken in the hands of the Board.

Consideration of Trackless Trolley Bus Service for Van Ness Avenue.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor:

Edward J. Rand, vice-president, Car Men's Union, was granted the privilege of the floor to address the Board. He said in part as follows: "We had a meeting last night and discussed this change of the 'H' line to the trackless trolley bus system. We have always opposed replacing two-man cars with one-man cars, or one-man trackless trolley buses. I am a resident of the Bay Shore District and we are not opposed to the bus system in that district or the extension of the 'H' line into that district, but we can't see the trackless trolley bus operated by one man. This is merely the introduction of the one-man car into the Municipal Railway System. I have been a motorman, I have been a bus driver, and at the present time I am a conductor. I am not a-scared of this work (operation of trackless trolley bus), but there are not many men can properly handle it. A lot of men will be thrown out of work, it is said more men will be put to work, but you do not realize how many will be thrown out of employment by this change. The operators of the trolley bus may get five cents more an hour for doing two men's work, but this does not help the situation. The stand we took last night is the one supported by the people by a 3 to 1 vote, that two men shall be required on each car. We ask that this appropriation be stricken from the Budget."

James P. Sweeney, President of the Property Owners Association

of Marina District, said, "We are not opposed to the proposed trackless trolley bus, but we want to know where the line will penetrate the Marina District." He was advised by Manager of Utilities Cahill that the line would probably run along Bay or Francisco streets to the Palace of Fine Arts.

Edward B. Barron, representing the Marina Merchants Association, also inquired as to the proposed routing of trackless trolley bus and was advised by Manager of Utilities Cahill that it would be operated upon any street that the people of the Marina District wanted, but that in no event was it practical for Chestnut street. On being informed that there was no intention on the part of the City to remove the car line from Chestnut street, Mr. Barron recommended the appropriation for the trolley bus system extended into the Marina District.

R. L. Lincoln, representing the Marina Boosters Association, resident of the district for ten or eleven years, expressed himself as being in favor of any improvement in street car service into that district. He said, "We are in favor of any service that coincides with the majority view of the people of the Marina District. Whatever they desire in the way of street car service, I am in favor of it."

H. P. Toodstedt also expressed himself in favor of the proposed trolley bus system.

R. C. Eastman, president of the Marina Merchants Association, said he was in favor of anything progressive in this direction. "But there must be no other entrance than Chestnut street to the Marina District. Nothing that would upset the present investments in that street."

Earl England, business agent for the Car Men's Union, Local 1004, wished to go on record as opposed to any kind of transportation in San Francisco operated by less than two men.

O. H. Harker, representing Apartment House Owners in the Marina District, residing at Broderick and Beach streets, said he took the matter up by telephone with his neighbors in other apartment houses and that they are in favor of any kind of improvement that will get them better transportation.

Sylvester McAtee, representing the Down Town Association, said that on Friday night, at a special meeting of its board of directors, he was instructed to say that the Down Town Association recommended a budget appropriation for the bus line proposed by his Honor the Mayor and the Public Utilities Commission.

Mr. J. Jones, representing the Bay View Merchants Association, also favored the proposed appropriation for bus line on Van Ness avenue and its extension into the Bay View District.

Miss Lucella Ward, representing Apartment House Owners and Managers Association, declared that her organization was the first one to suggest taking the tracks off Van Ness avenue and installing a trolley bus system. Naturally she was in favor and urged the appropriation of $480,000 in the Budget for the trackless trolley bus system on Van Ness avenue and its extension into the Marina and Bay View Districts.

George H. Allen, representing the Southern Civic Clubs, favored the proposed bus line on Van Ness avenue and urged the Board of Supervisors to make the appropriation requested for its consummation.

George Gerhardt, secretary of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, was also heard at length endorsing the proposed trackless trolley bus on Van Ness avenue and its extension into the Marina and Bay View Districts. He requested that the Board of Supervisors make the necessary money available.

John J. Ryan, representing the Bay View Civic Club, stated that his organization had gone unanimously in favor of the proposed transportation into the Bay View District.

Robert Coombs, representing the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, and chairman of its Municipal Affairs Committee, declared himself in favor of the project from the standpoint of general progress, safety and convenience. He asked the Board of Supervisors to give it favorable consideration from that point of view. He believed it to be an improvement especially important by reason of the increased traffic that will come over the Golden Gate Bridge when it is completed.

### Discussion of Second ¼ Cent Gas Tax.

Col. John Skeggs, engineer, State Highway Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor. "I happened to be in Sacramento," he said, "when this matter was being considered by your Board, and at that time I looked up to see how much money would be available for this fiscal year. I find on this second ¼ cent gas tax there is $469,000, not $500,000, as erroneously reported in the newspapers. You ought to set up at this time the projects you wish to include in that amount. We simply make a cooperative agreement with you regarding the expenditure of this money. There is no use doing anything here that might prove an idle act later on. I have here a list of projects that I have gone over with your Director of Public Works and City Engineer which are O. K. with me. My prime motive in coming here today was to tell you the amount ($469,000) and, too, enter into a cooperative agreement that the City might function properly."

William H. Worden, Director of Public Works, thereupon presented the following list of projects agreed upon:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Estimated second ¼ cent in July 1, 1936, to June 30, 1937, inclusive | | $480,460 |
| Less Harrison Street Bridge over Beal street | | 11,460 |
| Available | | $469,000 |
| 1. Islais Creek | $40,195 | |
| Sixth street | 6,520 | |
| Third street | 48,705 | |
| San Jose-Mt. Vernon | 445 | 48,705 |
| 2. Geneva avenue—Mission to Walbridge | | 87,500 |
| 3. Army street—Bryant-Harrison ($50,000 land purchase available 1935-36 Budget) | | 41,000 |
| 4. Golden Gate avenue—Market-Van Ness | | 55,000 |
| 5. Laguna Honda boulevard—Dewey-Moraga | | 71,000 |
| 6. Seventh avenue—Lincoln-Noriega | | 12,000 |
| 7. Third street—Channel-Bayshore (required $177,500) balance | | 153,795 |
| | | $469,000 |

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further discussion was continued until the afternoon session.

### RECESS.

At the hour of 12:45 p. m. Supervisor Brown moved that the Committee of the Whole recess until 2 p. m., and that the proposed bus line on Van Ness avenue be made a special order of business for 3:30 p. m. this afternoon.

*Motion carried.*

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY, MAY 18, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 18, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll call was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown appeared at 2:20 p. m.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 11, 1936, was considered read and approved.

#### Canvass of Presidential Primary Election, May 5, 1936.

The Board of Supervisors met Thursday, May 7, 1936, at 1 o'clock p. m., at the office of Registrar of Voters, City Hall. President Frank R. Havenner, presiding.

The official returns of votes cast at the Presidential Primary Election held Tuesday, May 5, 1936, were produced by the Registrar of Voters and official canvass of same proceeded with, commencing with returns from the first precinct, 20th Assembly District and proceeded in orderly fashion taking each precinct in numerical order. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, May 8, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Warren Shannon, presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Saturday, May 9, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor James B. McSheehy, presiding. Recess was taken at 12 o'clock noon.

Monday, May 11, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Warren Shannon, presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, May 12, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor James B. McSheehy, presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Wednesday, May 13, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Fred W. Meyer, presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, May 14, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Fred W. Meyer, presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, May 15, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor James B. McSheehy, presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Saturday, May 16, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Warren Shannon, presiding. Recess was taken at 12 o'clock noon.

Monday, May 18, 1936—Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. and completed. Supervisor Frank R. Havenner, presiding.

Department of Finance and Records,
Office of Registrar of Voters.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sir: This is to certify that the official canvass of votes cast at the Presidential Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 5th day of May, 1936, has been completed and votes cast for all candidates for all offices compiled, and the total vote cast, and the total vote cast for each successful candidate is set forth in Statement of Votes for said election, marked "A-R" as follows:

Total vote cast 192579.

Very truly yours,

C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Vote Cast, Presidential Primary Election, May 5, 1936; Registrar of Voters to Transmit to Secretary of State Statement of Votes; Approving Statement of Votes, Record Book.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 2583, as follows:

Resolved, that at the Presidential Primary Election, held May 5, 1936, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the whole number of votes cast in the 1055 precincts was 192,579.

Resolved, that the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State a certified copy of the statement of votes of Presidential Primary Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, May 5, 1936;

Resolved, that the record book marked "A R" statement of votes polled at general election, held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, May 5, 1936, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the Presidential Primary Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, May 5, 1936, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the persons voted for, the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco in each voting precinct to each of such persons.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, action on the following was *laid over one week*:

Consideration of Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved (for Supervisor Brown) that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of Fleet Week, August 13-19, and Harbor Day, August 15, 1936.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Appropriating $125 for Burial of Mary Watson, Widow of Civil War Veteran.**

(Code No. 9.051)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 983, Ordinance No. 9.051260, as follows:

Appropriating $125 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.-900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of a Civil War Veteran.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $125 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of a Civil War Veteran.

### Communication.

Communication from relatives of Mary Watson disclaiming any desire for an appropriation for her burial at the City's expense.

### Indefinite Postponement.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, further action on the foregoing bill was *indefinitely postponed without objection.*

### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $1,050, Improvement Peralta Avenue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 984, Ordinance No. 9.051261, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $1,050 to the credit of Appropriation No. 448.916.00, City aid in the improvement of Peralta avenue from the south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement at Florida street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,050 is hereby appropriated from General Fund, 1935-1936, to the credit of Appropriation No. 448.816.00, Work in Front of City Property and Miscellaneous Charges, for the improvement of Peralta avenue from the south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement at Florida street, to-wit:

| | |
|---|---|
| City aid in above improvement | $ 800 |
| Assessment against City Property on street | 250 |
| | $1,050 |

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Conveyance of Certain Land to L. F. Armknecht in Exchange for Other Land Required by San Francisco Water Department.**

(Code No. 12.17452)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 986, Ordinance No. 12.174521, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of certain land to L. F. Armknecht in exchange for other land required by San Francisco Water Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City owned land hereinafter described as Parcels "A" and "B" to L. F. Armknecht in exchange for Parcel "C" hereinafter described.

Section 2. Said Parcels "A" and "B" are those certain tracts of land which were proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.172526, Bill No. 889, and Ordinance No. 12.172527, Bill No. 956, respectively.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of the value of said parcels, and estimates the present total value of Parcels "A" and "B" to be $525, and the present value of Parcel "C" to be $525.

Section 4. Said Parcels "A", "B" and "C" are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel "A":

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street, distant southerly 275 feet along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street; said point of commencement being also the northwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux. dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; running thence from said point of commencement along said easterly line of Diamond street northerly 10.09 feet; thence at an angle of 90 degrees to the right and along a line parallel to and distant 10.09 feet measured at right angles northerly from the northerly line of said portion of land described as an exception in said deed easterly 51.79 feet to an intersection with a line distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly line (or the northeasterly extension thereof) of said portion of land described as an exception; thence along said line southwesterly 15.29 feet to the northerly line of said portion of land; thence along said northerly line westerly 40.31 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel "B":

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street, distant southerly 300.16 feet measured along said easterly line from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street; said point of commencement being also the southwesterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in the deed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux. dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; running thence from said point of commencement along the southerly boundary line of said portion of land excepted easterly 11.68 feet to a point on said boundary line which is also distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from a southwesterly extension of the southeasterly line of the hereinabove mentioned land described as an exception; thence parallel to and distant 13.77 feet measured northwesterly at right angles from said extension southwesterly 15.56 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street; thence along said easterly line northerly 10.27 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel "C":

Commencing at the northwesterly corner of the portion of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by L. F. Armknecht et ux. by deed dated April 20, 1928, and recorded April 21, 1928, in the Office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book 1657 Official Records at page 79; said point of commencement being also the northeasterly corner of the portion of land described as an exception in said deed and being distant along the northerly line of said portion easterly 61.17 feet from the northwesterly corner thereof; (said northwesterly corner being on the easterly line

of Diamond street and distant southerly 275 feet measured along said easterly line of Diamond street from its intersection with the southerly line of Bosworth street); running thence from said point of commencement along the northeasterly boundary line of the portion of land conveyed by the hereinabove mentioned deed southwesterly 38.11 feet to the most westerly corner of said portion of land; thence along the southerly boundary line of the portion of land described as an exception in said deed westerly 20.86 feet; thence along a line parallel to and distant 13.77 feet measured at right angles northwesterly from the southeasterly boundary line of the portion of land described as an exception in said deed northeasterly 38.11 feet to the northerly line of said portion of land; thence along said northerly line easterly 20.86 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of Parcels "A" and "B" to L. F. Armknecht. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to L. F. Armknecht upon receipt of a deed to Parcel "C", and shall record the latter deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Ordering Improvement of Peralta Avenue From Mullen Avenue to Florida Street.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 987, Ordinance No. 12.061164, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 23, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Peralta avenue from the south line of Mullen avenue to the existing pavement at Florida street. The improvement is to include the construction of the following items:

Item No. Item.

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Eight-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.

3. Twelve-inch V. C. P. sewer, in place.
4. Ten-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
5. Brick manhole, complete.
6. Brick catchbasins, complete.
7. Armored concrete curb.
8. One-course concrete sidewalk.
9. Six-inch Class "E" concrete pavement.
10. Nine-unit warning reflector, complete.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of Block 5515; Lots 1, 2, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 and 39 of Block 5527; Lots 6, 7, 8, 10A, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5528, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for the Widening of Parker Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2580, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following owner certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Parker avenue, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17:

Laurel Hill Cemetery Association, $1,362; the westerly 14 feet of Lots 35 and 36, Assessor's Block 1065.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the City.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above owner for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for the Widening of Parker Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2581, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Verne Shannon, administrator of the estate of Ada Shannon alias, deceased, the westerly 14 feet of Lot 34, Assessor's Block 1065, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, re-

quired for the widening of Parker avenue, for the sum of $245, payable from Appropriation No. 548.956.17.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $67,031 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco for the Month of June, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1005, Ordinance No. 9.051266, as follows:

Appropriating $67,031 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $67,031 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Creating Underground District, Sixth Street Between Folsom and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 991, Ordinance No. 11.1216, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section MMM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section MMM.

Section MMM. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after Sixth street between Folsom street and Bryant street is improved by widening, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 79, Sixth street from the north line of Folsom street to the north line of Bryant street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Re-referred.**

The following bill was *re-referred to Streets Committee for hearing*:

**Making a Portion of Jessie Street "Two Way" Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1007, Ordinance No. 11.0217, as follows:

Amending Section 26 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled as follows: "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent therewith." Approved September 29, 1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 26 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled as above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. ONE WAY STREETS. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of the following streets, except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Adler street between Grant avenue and Columbus avenue;

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street;

Southerly in Belden street between Pine street and Bush street;

Westerly in Brosnan street between Valencia street and Guerrero street;

Easterly in Campton place between Stockton street and Grant avenue;

Northerly in Capp street between Mission street and Twenty-sixth street;

Easterly in Clay street between Mason street and The Embarcadero;

Southerly in Claude lane between Bush street and Sutter street;

Easterly in Clementina street between First street and Ninth street;

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue;

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street;

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue;

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street, *excepting that portion of Jessie street from the west line of Fourth street to a point two hundred and fifty feet west thereof, and said street shall not be open for westerly traffic beyond said point.*

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street;

Westerly in Maiden lane from Kearny street to Grant avenue and easterly from Stockton street to Grant avenue;

Westerly in Merchant street between Front and Kearny streets;

Westerly in Minna street between First and Ninth streets;

Easterly in Mint street between Jessie and Fifth streets;

Easterly in Natoma street between First and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street;

Southerly in Quincy street between California and Pine streets;

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Mason street;

Northerly in Spring street between Sacramento and California streets;

Southerly in St. Anne place between California and Pine streets;

Easterly in Stevenson street between First and Second streets, and between New Montgomery and Ninth streets;

Westerly in Tehama street between First and Ninth streets;

Southerly in Trinity place between Bush and Sutter streets;

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets, indicating the provisions of this section.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Lease of Certain Water Department Land.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1004, Ordinance No. 12.173523, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain Water Department land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing that certain 10-acre tract of San Francisco Water Department land adjoining the westerly limits of the Town of Newark, Alameda County, California, more particularly described in deed recorded March 29, 1924, in Book 649, at page 339, Official Records of Alameda County.

Section 2. Said lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Adolph E. Schmidt.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2582, as follows:

Resolved, that in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Adolph E. Schmidt, Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one hundred and twenty days, commencing May 29, 1936, with permission to leave the state, for the purpose of professional visit to European hospitals.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2584, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the week end of May 23, May 24, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2586, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor

the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing May 18, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Clerk to Prepare Invitations to County Supervisors to Attend Celebration for Opening of San Francisco Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 2585, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is authorized and directed to prepare an official invitation from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to the Boards of Supervisors of the several counties of the State of California, requesting their attendance at the celebration of the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge in November of this year, the expenses in connection with said invitation to be paid out of Supervisors' Contractual item.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Underground District, Franklin Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1006, Ordinance No. 11.1218, as follows:

Creating Underground District No. 78, Franklin street from the south side of Golden Gate avenue to Beach street.

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled, "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section LLL.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section LLL.

Section LLL. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after ....., 1936, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 78, Franklin street from south side of Golden Gate avenue to Beach street.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Requiring Market Street Railway Company to Fulfill Its Paving Obligations.**

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Board of Supervisors request and instruct the City Attorney to bring action against the Market Street Railway Company that will require that company to fulfil its obligations with respect to the paving of streets between its car-tracks.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee. (Meeting of Public Utilities Committee called for 10 a. m., May 27, 1936.)*

**Federal Government to Issue Stamp Commemorating Opening of Golden Gate Bridge.**

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the

Clerk be directed to write to the various congressional representatives and senators urging they do everything in their power to assist the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway directors to have a stamp issued by the federal government commemorating the opening of the bridge during the next year.

Motion *carried.*

**Request for Report of Fire Prevention Bureau on 52 Fern Street.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that Fire Prevention be asked for a report as to conditions at premises, No. 52 Fern street (north side), between Larkin and Polk streets.

*So ordered.*

**List of Requests for Underground Districts.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested list of various requests to date for underground districts.

*So ordered.*

**Appropriation of $480,000 to Establish Trackless Trolley Line on Van Ness Avenue.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor, the Mayor, and the Public Utilities Commission for appropriation of $480,000, to establish trackless trolley bus line on Van Ness avenue, etc., *was referred to Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committees.*

## CONSIDERATION OF THE BUDGET.

The following matters, deferred from last meeting, were taken up:

**Board of Supervisors (Index No. 1).**

*Communication From Mayor.*

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Pursuant to Resolution No. 2578 of the Board of Supervisors and the approval of the Board, I hereby recommend that the three Senior Clerk-Stenographers of the Board of Supervisors and one Bond and Ordinance Clerk be classified as Assistant Clerks, Board of Supervisors, at a salary of $225 per month.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in and that the Item 9, Sheet 2, be amended to correspond.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Communication From the Mayor.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have before me the request of your Honorable Board for an increase of salary for Mr. David A. Barry, Chief Assistant Clerk, and an increase from $350 to $375 is hereby recommended.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in, and that Sheet 2, Item 3 be amended to correspond.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, Index 1, as amended, was *approved* without objection.

### Sheriffs Department (Index No. 7).

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: It is hereby recommended that the following compensations be reinstated to read as indicated, in accordance with the Sheriff's request:

*Sheriff*

2 General Clerk Typists—B-512, Line 12, Sheet 2, should be $175 per month.
4 Jailers, D 60, Line 23, Sheet 2 should be $190 per month.
3 Jail Matrons, D 52, Line 19, Sheet 2, should be $190 per month.
1 Jail Superintendent, D 66, Line 27, Sheet 2, should be $275 per month.
Temporary Salaries, 102, Line 9, Sheet 3, should be $7360.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in and the above items of the Budget be amended to correspond.

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Sheriff's Office, Index No. 7, as amended, was *approved* without objection.

### Police Department (Index No. 9).

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In the Police Department's Budget Estimate for the year 1936-37 it is my recommendation that the compensation for the Jail Matrons, Line 29, be fixed.

3 @ $175 in place of 2 @ $175 and 1 @ $170.

in accordance with the recommendations of the Police Commission.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in and the above items of the Budget be amended to correspond.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Police Department, Index No. 9, as amended, was *approved* without objection.

### Tax Collector (Index No. 28).

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: It is my recommendation that in the budget estimate of the Tax Collector, Page 3, Line 13, 2 Class G152 License Adjusters' salary be fixed at $215, in accordance with the recommendation of the Tax Collector and the Chief Administrative Officer.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in and the above item of the Budget be amended to correspond.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Tax Collector's Department, Index No. 28, as amended was *approved* without objection.

### Chief Administrative Officer (Index No. 29).

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I enclose herewith copy of letter from the Chief Administrative Officer, recommending the following changes in the Registrar's Budget:

Index 29, Sheet 2, Line 9:

2 General Clerks—increase from $155 to $175 per month.

Index 29, Sheet 2, Line 3:

Voting Machine Custodian—increase from $225 to $250 per month.

These changes I hereby recommend to your Honorable Board.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in and the above items of the Budget be amended to correspond.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Chief Administrative Officer's Department, Index No. 29, as amended, was *approved* without objection.

### Department of Public Works (Index No. 36).

Supervisor Shannon called attention to statement of Director of Works Worden that an error had been made in the case of Office Assistant E. L. Tyrrel, Sheet 2, Line 5, Index No. 36, at $190 per month for seven months. It was thought that Mr. Tyrrel would retire at the end of seven months, but that is an error. It will be over a year before he retires. The allowance should be made for the full period.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Line 5, Sheet 2, Index No. 36, be increased in Column 25 from $1,085 to $2,280.

There being no objection it was *so ordered.*

Thereupon, the Public Works Department as amended was *approved* without objection.

**Public Health Department (Index Nos. 50-15, 50-17, and 53).**

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I enclose herewith letter from the Chief Administrative Officer, approving the following changes in the Department of Public Health's Budget:

Index 50-15, Sheet 1, Line 18, Industrial Inspection—increase to $200 per month.

Index 50-17, Sheet 1, Line 16, Field Nursing Administration, General Clerk Stenographer—increase from $135 to $155 per month.

Index 53, Sheet 2, Line 1, General Clerk, San Francisco Hospital—increase from $150 to $160 per month.

And so recommend these changes to your Honorable Board.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that Sheet 1, Line 18, Index No. 50, Industrial Inspector, be increased from $185 to $200 per month.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Sheet 1, Line 15, Index 50-17, Field Nursing Administration, General Clerk Stenographer, be increased from $135 to $155 per month.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Shannon moved that Sheet 2, Line 1, Index 53, General Clerk, San Francisco Hospital, be increased from $150 to $160 per month.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Health Department, as amended, was *approved* without objection.

**Park Department (Index No. 12).**

**Pioneer Home (Humphreys).**

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to reopen the Park Department Budget, for the purpose of giving consideration to the proposition of Dr. Heil to purchase property at the corner of Chestnut and Hyde streets for use as a public museum and example of pioneer home.

Supervisor Schmidt read a communication signed by Herbert Fleishhacker of the Park Commission, stating that said department will be glad to take over jurisdiction of the property at Hyde and Chestnut streets, and preserve it as an outstanding landmark of San Francisco, if provision is made for its acquisition.

Supervisor Schmidt thereupon moved that the Board insert in the Budget as a capital expenditure the sum of $10,000 looking to the acquisition of this home, which sum, he said, if property is not acquired by an additional public subscription, will revert to the General Fund.

City Attorney O'Toole, being asked, said that this Board of Supervisors had the right to put in a capital expenditure for this purpose if it desires. Then unless others put up the additional amount necessary during the fiscal year 1936-37, the appropriation would revert to the

General Fund. You cannot appropriate the $10,000 and order it paid down for this purpose with the understanding that somebody else would raise the balance of the money. However, you can make an appropriation for acquiring the property when sufficient funds are provided for the balance of the price by public subscription. But you cannot put the money down to bind the bargain.

### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that

"There is hereby appropriated the sum of $10,000 to be expended in the purchase of property at the northeast corner of Chestnut and Hyde streets, commonly designated as the Humphreys property, which said sum shall be expended only upon the balance of the purchase price being provided and donated to the City and County of San Francisco from private subscriptions; and all of said sums to be expended only when said property is conveyed to the City by good and sufficient deed and free and clear of encumbrances; said property to be hereafter dedicated for park purposes."

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Motor Buses, Van Ness Avenue.

Communication from His Honor the Mayor and Manager of Utilities Cahill recommending an appropriation in the 1936-37 Budget of $480,000 for the purpose of changing H Line of Van Ness avenue into a trackless trolley system.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole with President Havenner in the Chair and all members heretofore noted present.

### Privilege of the Floor.

E. P. E. Troy, representing himself, said in part: "Gentlemen, I have had no notice of this proposal to abandon the tracks on Van Ness avenue and on Potrero avenue. The Public Utilities Committee had no hearing on it. The Mayor has had no public hearing and gave no notice to the public. I don't believe you can legally do what you are trying to do. You must give every citizen an opportunity to be heard on the Budget. It cost the City over $100,000 a mile to construct the Municipal Railway. Now you are asked to abandon it and in addition you are asked to abandon it and in addition you are asked to provide $750,000 for buses, and new highways for the so-called trackless trolley bus. It will cost upwards of a million seven hundred fifty thousand dollars."

Edward J. Grant, representing the Carmen's Union, spoke against the proposal.

"We favor the extension of the H line into the Bayview district," Grant said, "but are against a one-man trackless trolley. We fear many men would be thrown out of work."

Earl England, business agent for Local 1004, Carmen's Union, described the union's position as one of opposition to "any kind of transportation operated by less than two men."

Supervisor Brown referred to Section 74 of the Charter, which he thought would militate against an appropriation of $480,000 at this time requested by the Public Utilities Commission.

City Attorney O'Toole: "I understand this is a request to change the character of the service from rail to bus service, and is not affected by the provisions referred to." He read from his opinion

given to the Public Utilities Commission. "If the Board sees fit to allow any amount for the purpose of substituting buses instead of cars it might be a proper expenditure providing it receives eight votes, but it must add no expense to the existing lines. Extensions to the Bay View District and the Palace of Fine Arts, however cannot be done in this way. If there is no surplus it must be done by bond issue."

Being asked, City Attorney O'Toole ruled that the proposed extensions into the Marina and Bayview could not be paid for from the Budget appropriation.

He declared a charter provision (Section 74) prohibits extension of existing lines except through earnings or a bond issue voted by the people, but that the proposal to use tax funds to substitute buses for street cars was a proper one. It was his opinion, also, that the one-man street car ordinance did not apply to trackless trolley buses.

Edward Vandeleur, representing the San Francisco Labor Council and the Carmen's Union, agreed with Supervisor Brown that there was no reason for a continuance of the hearing, as it is his opinion that the Board had no power to appropriate money for this purpose.

E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, stated that the Public Utilities Commission is now in session and that he is going over there and will come back shortly with a request for the appropriation based upon the suggestion of City Attorney O'Toole.

Supervisor Havenner said: "It is only fair to tell the Public Utilities Commission and the Mayor as to what is in the minds of some of us as to the construction of the language at the end of Section 74 of the Charter," "wherein defines what is to be raised by bonds."

Supervisor Havenner said, "It is inevitable before this fiscal year is over the government of San Francisco is going to have to deal with street car transportation throughout the City.

The people are in revolt against the service of the Market Street Railway Company, against the one-man car, against the Market Street Railway Company for its neglect of repairs of pavement between its tracks. It is my intention today to bring before the Board a resolution instructing the City Attorney to bring action to compel that company to repair its pavement as required by law. It will be asked to do the tremendous amount of work which it has failed to do over a number of years. City Engineer O'Shaughnessy in 1928, years ago, estimated at that time that there was over $6,000,000 worth of work due the City in the way of repavements at that time, and nothing has been done in the meantime. Before this fiscal year is over we will have to deal with the problem as a whole."

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Committee of the Whole arise and report to the Board that it has continued the subject matter until 5 o'clock.

### Postponement.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Committee of the Whole postponed further action until 5 o'clock.

### Department of Public Works, Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund (Index No. 48).

Supervisor Shannon inquired of City Attorney O'Toole, "Does an unappropriated surplus remain in control of the Board of Supervisors or does some other department have power over it?"

City Attorney O'Toole answered, "It would come under Section 80 . . . of the Charter. It is subject to appropriation by this Board of Supervisors and also subject to recommendation of the Mayor."

### Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. D. Coughlin, representing Associated General Contractors of North America, quoting from Chapter 29 of the Statutes of 1936, re-

garding the second one-quarter-cent gas tax, pointed out that in his opinion the City and County of San Francisco has no power to use the gas tax money except with the concurrence of the State Highway Commission. The Board of Supervisors, he said, can select the streets with the approval of the State Highway Commission.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon presented the following street program, including unappropriated surplus, which was approved without objection.

*Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.*

| | |
|---|---|
| Seventh avenue—Lincoln to Noriega | $ 12,000 |
| Ocean avenue—San Jose to Nineteenth avenues | 111,000 |
| Third and Channel Bridge | 1,545 |
| Sixth and Channel Bridge | 6,520 |
| Third and Islais Bridge | 40,195 |
| Unappropriated Surplus | 328,740 |

## Committee of the Whole.

Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of continuing discussion regarding requested appropriation of $480,000 for proposed trackless trolley bus system on Van Ness avenue and Potrero avenue.

## Communication From the Mayor and Public Utilities Commission.

The following was read:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I transmit herewith letter received by me today, signed by Mr. Edward G. Cahill in behalf of the Public Utilities Commission, recommending an appropriation in the Municipal Budget for 1936-37 of the sum of $480,000 for the purchase of trolley buses, necessary construction and remodeling of Seventeenth street carbarn, to permit the replacement of the present H car line of the Municipal Railway with trolley bus service.

I hereby recommend this appropriation to the Board of Supervisors as a supplement to the Budget heretofore transmitted to your Honorable Board.

May I call the particular attention of the Board of Supervisors to the fact that the Public Utilities Commission proposes, out of the surplus funds of the Municipal Railway during the coming two years, to extend the Municipal Railway service afforded by this trolley bus line to the Marina and Bay View districts.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

## Public Utilities Commission.

May 18, 1936.

*Subject: Trolley Bus Service.*

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Supplementing my letter to you of May 14, 1936, in behalf of the Public Utilities Commission's recommendation that there be included in the Budget for the fiscal year 1936-37 the sum of $480,000 to cover the cost of convertnig the "H" line of the Municipal Railway for trolley bus operation and extending that line into the Marina and Bayview districts, may I make the following recommendation.

This afternoon before the Board of Supervisors, then considering this recommendation, the City Attorney stated that in his opinion the purchase of necessary equipment and construction for trolley bus operation of the "H" line is a proper expenditure from the General

Fund of the City and County of San Francisco under Charter provisions, but that it would not be legal or proper to purchase the necessary equipment or to undertake the necessary construction to extend the Municipal Railway service with tax funds into the Marina and Bayview districts.

In accordance with this ruling the Public Utilities Commission recommends that your Budget recommendation to the Board of Supervisors for this appropriation be amended as follows:

That the sum of $480,000 be appropriated from the general fund of the City and County of San Francisco for the following purposes:

1. Purchase of as many trolley buses as possible of the 32 buses required for the operation of trolley bus service over the route of the "H" line of the Municpial Railway.

2. Construction of the necessary overhead trolley system along the route of the "H" line to permit operation of these buses.

3. The necessary remodeling of the Seventeenth street car barn of the Municipal Railway to accommodate trolley buses.

The total cost of replacing the present street car service of the "H" line with trolley bus service is $579,000, of which $416,000 will be required for purchase of 32 trolley buses, and $165,000 will be necessary for construction. It will be possible to obtain an agreement for the purchase of as many trolley buses as may be purchased from the $480,000 appropriation of this fiscal year, with the rental of additional buses and an option to purchase during the succeeding fiscal year. Under this plan an appropriation of $99,000 would be required during the fiscal year 1937-38 if the City decides to exercise its option.

As the Public Utilities Commission definitely feels that the successful operation of the trolley bus service proposed will require extension of this service into the populous Marina and Bayview districts, the Commission now proposes that the sum of $50,000 be taken from surplus funds of the Municipal Railway during the current year and the sum of $117,000 be utilized from the surplus funds of the Railway during the coming fiscal year for the purpose of purchasing nine additional trolley buses at a cost of $117,000, and the construction of overhead trolley lines at a cost of $50,000 to permit operation of these extensions.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL, Manager of Utilities.

Edward Vandeleur, representing San Francisco Labor Council and Car Men's Union, said his organization did not endorse these propositions.

When San Francisco Labor Council recommended this proposition it did so subject to the approval of the Car Men's Union. The Car Men's Union met on Sunday night and unanimously rejected it.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be taken into the hands of the Board.

Supervisor Shannon: "I wish to withdraw my motion of this morning for an appropriation $480,000 for trackless trolley bus on Van Ness Avenue."

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the subject matter be referred to the Joint Finance and Public Utilities Committee with a view to including some part of the program in next year's budget.

There being no objection, it was so ordered.

**The Committee of the Whole Arose.**

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole reconvened as a Board of Supervisors, all members heretofore noted being present and President Havenner in the Chair.

### City Planning Commission (Index No. 61).

Supervisor Shannon moved that action on Sheet 2, Line 4, Index 61, City Planning Engineer-Secretary, heretofore (See Page ——), reduced from $350 to $275, be rescinded.

Motion carried without objection.

### Health Department, Institutional Help (Index No. 50).

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter from Dr. Geiger which reads as follows:

"The sum of $12,840.00 will be required to increase the compensation of the Institutional Help in the various institutions of the Department of Public Health from $77.50 to $79.50 with a deduction of $27.50 for room, board and laundry.

Laguna Honda Home, 91 Institutional Help, at $2.00 per month...$2,184.
Isolation Hospital, 20 Institutional Help, at $2.00 per month..... 480
San Francisco Hospital, 404 Institutional Help, at $2.00 per month. 9,696
Hassler Health Home, 20 Institutional Help, at $2.00 per month.... 480

Inasmuch as this request has the approval of Mr. Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, and Dr. Geiger, I hereby recommend that your Honorable Board recommend the same.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved the adoption of the foregoing recommendations of His Honor, the Mayor, and that the Clerk be directed to make the proper entry in the books.

There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend Sheet 1, Line 20, Index 50-08, 7 Pediatricians be increased to 11 Pediatricians at $150 per month.

There being no objection, it was so ordered.

*Communication From Mayor.*

The following was read by the Clerk:

May 18, 1936.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall,
San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I am in receipt of a letter from Dr. J. C. Geiger, requesting the following changes in the budget of the Department of Public Health, which were apparently omitted from the budget by error or inadvertently.

Index 50-08, line 20. We asked for 11 Pediatricians at $150 per month. We were allowed 7 as we have at present. In explanation 2 of the physicians requested are now working in the Junior College and one in tuberculin testing in our high schools.

Index 50-18, Line 16. Thirty-three Nurses are working in the schools. We asked for 38 Field Nurses in the Schools, at $165 per month each. In explanation 2 additional were requested for work in the parochial schools. Likewise 1 nurse is now working in the Junior College.

Index 50-08, Line 17. Audiometer Technician, $125 per month. In ex-

planation this is a technician testing the hearing of students in all our schools in order that preventive service may be rendered and the hearing preserved.

Index 50-12, Line 15. One Food Chemist at $175 per month. In explanation the restaurant ordinance is bringing in sufficient funds to meet this and also the increased personnel already added to the Restaurant Division by this ordinance has increased this type of work.

I hereby recommend that your Honorable Board allow the changes as stated above.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor's recommendation be concurred in and that the above items of the budget be amended to correspond.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the Health Department, as amended, was approved without objection.

**Adoption of the Budget.**

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the budget be adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Explanation of Vote.**

Supervisor Schmidt explained his vote by saying: "I vote YES on the adoption of the budget, but I want it understood I am not in favor of the salary increases in the higher brackets."

Supervisor Uhl explained his vote by saying: "Supervisor Schmidt expressed his ideas in the matter."

Whereupon, the budget was declared adopted.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, the appropriation ordinance in words and figures following, was passed for second reading by the following vote:

# ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 1936-1937

BILL NO. 982, ORDINANCE NO. 9.051259 (Code No. 9.051), as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of actual receipts or collections.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Sections 79 and 80 of the Charter, or by transfer as provided by Sections 77 and 90 of the Charter.

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation and shall investigate and report upon the necessity of the position to the Mayor, and the Mayor shall approve.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | | | | | | | | | |
| General—Note A | 17,047,634 | 2,248,914 | 19,296,548 | 2,248,914 | ..... | 121,054 | 4,131,417 | 12,795,163 | 19,296,548 |
| Emergency Reserve | 300,000 | ..... | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Library—Note B | 454,360 | ..... | 454,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,000 | 438,360 | 454,360 |
| Recreation—Note C | 611,564 | ..... | 611,564 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,050 | 574,514 | 611,564 |
| Park—Note D | 1,276,088 | ..... | 1,276,088 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350,000 | 926,088 | 1,276,088 |
| de Young Memorial Museum | 71,100 | 11,980 | 83,080 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | 250 | 70,850 | 83,080 |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | 74,966 | ..... | 74,966 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 74,466 | 74,966 |
| War Memorial | 118,248 | ..... | 118,248 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,285 | 83,963 | 118,248 |
| Employees' Retirement | 1,677,809 | 641,104 | 2,318,913 | 641,104 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,677,809 | 2,318,913 |
| Workmen's Compensation | 40,000 | ..... | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Tax Judgments | 104,616 | ..... | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 | 104,616 |
| Publicity and Advertising | 200,000 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor | 900,000 | ..... | 900,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| WPA Projects Fund | 400,000 | ..... | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | 23,276,385 | 2,901,998 | 26,178,383 | 2,901,998 | ..... | 121,054 | 4,569,502 | 18,585,829 | 26,178,383 |
| OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| County Roads | 1,119,377 | ..... | 1,119,377 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,119,277 | 100 | 1,119,377 |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | 7,466,482 | 7,051,127 | 14,517,609 | 7,051,127 | 355,962 | ..... | ..... | 7,110,520 | 14,517,609 |
| Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes | 7,000 | ..... | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan | 101,727 | ..... | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 | 101,727 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan Interest | 31,224 | ..... | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 | 31,224 |
| Installation Fund—Department of Electricity | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 |
| Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | 9,228,810 | 7,051,127 | 16,279,937 | 7,051,127 | 355,962 | ..... | 1,622,277 | 7,250,571 | 16,279,937 |

(Consolidated Budget, continued on next page)

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Revenue | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | 2,438,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 | ..... | 175,000 | ..... | 2,263,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 |
| Municipal Railway Operating | 3,154,543 | ..... | 3,154,543 | ..... | Cr 31,054 | ..... | 3,185,597 | ..... | 3,154,543 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | 221,003 | 221,003 | 221,003 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 221,003 |
| Public Utilities Commission | ..... | 90,745 | 90,745 | 90,745 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90,745 |
| Airport | 186,388 | ..... | 186,388 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 | 151,388 | 186,388 |
| TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURR. | 12,118,911 | 311,748 | 12,430,659 | 311,748 | 143,946 | ..... | 11,823,577 | 151,388 | 12,430,659 |
| CAPITAL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 |
| 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund—Grant | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bond Fund—Grant | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1933 Sewer Bond Fund—Grant | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund—Grant | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House Bond Fund—Grant | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,824,748 | ..... | 1,824,748 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,824,748 | ..... | 1,824,748 |
| TOTAL GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY | 46,448,854 | 10,264,873 | 56,713,727 | 10,264,873 | 499,908 | 121,054 | 19,840,104 | 25,987,788 | 56,713,727 |
| SCHOOL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Common School Fund (Tentative) | 9,347,725 | ..... | 9,347,725 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | 4,713,463 | 4,434,262 | 9,347,725 |
| Special School Tax (Tentative) | 977,000 | ..... | 977,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 977,000 | 977,000 |
| TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS (Tentative) | 10,324,725 | ..... | 10,324,725 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | 4,713,463 | 5,411,262 | 10,324,725 |
| TOTAL BUDGET | 56,773,579 | 10,264,873 | 67,038,452 | 10,264,873 | 699,908 | 121,054 | 24,553,567 | 31,399,050 | 67,038,452 |

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) appropriation # 616.200.02 for symphony concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½ cent on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note B—That portion of the Library appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $31,755.

Note C—That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note D—That portion of the Park appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 10¼ cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $103,416.

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Licenses | | | | | | | |
| Business | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| Business (Badges and Tags) | 9,000 | | | | | | |
| Vehicle (Other than State) | 28,000 | | | | | | |
| Marriage | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Ferry | 4,800 | | | | | | |
| Dog | 14,500 | | | | | | |
| Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Contractors | 17,000 | | | | | | |
| Penalties | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 373,300 | | | | | | 373,300 |
| Permits | | | | | | | |
| Dept. of Public Works | | | | | | | |
| Building | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Bill Board | 400 | | | | | | |
| House Numbers | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Street Space | 5,700 | | | | | | |
| Boiler | 2,500 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | | | | | | | |
| Public Passenger Carriers<br>Hand Bill Distributors<br>Second Hand Dealers | 5,500 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department | | | | | | | |
| Automobile Storage<br>Automobile Repair Shops<br>Automobile Supply Stations<br>Automobile Parking Stations<br>Oil Burners | 2,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 84,300 | | | | | | 84,300 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Fines | | | | | | | |
| Municipal Courts | 78,000 | | | | | | |
| Superior Courts | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Traffic Courts | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 147,000 | | | | | | 147,000 |
| Interest Revenue | 40,000 | | | | | | 40,000 |
| Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes | 70,000 | | | | | | 70,000 |
| Franchises | | | | | | | |
| Telephone | 262,000 | | | | | | |
| California Street Railway | 3,700 | | | | | | |
| Market Street Railway | 48,000 | | | | | | |
| *American District Telegraph | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 317,700 | | | | | | 317,700 |
| State of California | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance of Minors | 140,000 | | | | | | |
| Widows' Pensions | 137,500 | | | | | | |
| Blind Pensions | 57,500 | | | | | | |
| Needy Aged | 775,000 | | | | | | |
| Tubercular Patients | 80,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Boats | 82,000 | | | | | | |
| County Roads | | | 1,119,277 | | | | |
| Special Gas Tax | | | 500,000 | | | | |
| Vehicle (in Lieu) | 425,000 | | | | | | |
| Beverage | 600,000 | | | | | | |
| Common Schools | | | 4,579,713 | | | | |
| Total | 2,297,000 | | 6,198,990 | | | | 8,495,990 |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Federal Government | | | | | | | |
| Aid to High Schools | | | 10,000 | | | | |
| Board of U. S. Prisoners | | 20,000 | | | | | |
| Grant Docket No. 1534 | | | | 61,202 | | | |
| " " No. 1531 | | | | 106,538 | | | |
| " " No. 7942 | | | | 344,251 | | | |
| " " No. 1510 | | | | 807,262 | | | |
| " " No. 1429 | | | | 255,495 | | | |
| Total | | 20,000 | 10,000 | 1,574,748 | | | 1,604,748 |
| Departmental Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue Other Than Taxes | 36,000 | | | | | | |
| Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees | 3,000 | | | | | | |
| —Excavation Fees | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| —Special Inspection | | 13,000 | | | | | |
| —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers | | 35,000 | | | | | |
| —Advertising Fees | | 600 | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Central Office | | | | | | | |
| Removal Permits | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| Death Certificates | 3,500 | | | | | | |
| Birth Certificates | 1,500 | | | | | | |
| V. Clinic | 200 | | | | | | |
| *Meat Inspection | 61,606 | | | | | | |
| Milk Cooling Plants | 16,800 | | | | | | |
| Milk Inspection, Dairy | 22,000 | | | | | | |
| Pasteurization Inspection | 8,200 | | | | | | |
| Restaurant Inspection | 51,000 | | | | | | |
| Food Wagon Permits | 200 | | | | | | |
| Massage Parlor Permits | 250 | | | | | | |
| Sanitation Permits | 2,500 | | | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending.

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues—(Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Central Office (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Master Plumbers' Licenses | 3,600 | | | | | | |
| Plumbing Fixture Permits | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| Laundries, Stables, etc. | 300 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Dealer Registrations | 25 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Permits | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| Fumigations | 50 | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 60 | | | | | | |
| San Francisco Hospital | | | | | | | |
| Care of Patients | 2,800 | | | | | | |
| Other | 800 | | | | | | |
| Laguna Honda Home | | | | | | | |
| Care of Inmates | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Sheriff Fees | 33,000 | | | | | | |
| Municipal Court Fees | 60,000 | | | | | | |
| County Clerk Fees | 93,000 | | | | | | |
| Coroner | 800 | | | | | | |
| Treasurer | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| Registrar of Voters | 2,126 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | 2,200 | | | | | | |
| Recorder | 125,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous | 3,400 | | | | | | |
| Juvenile Court | | | | | | | |
| Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| City Collections | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Public Administrator | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Art Commission—Symphony Concerts | | 10,000 | | | | | |
| Pound | 3,100 | | | | | | |
| Purchasing Department | 1,500 | | | | | | |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| City Planning Commission Fees | 600 | | | | | | |
| Agricultural Commission | | | | | | | |
| Export Inspection Fees | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| City Institutions | 800 | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| *Registration Fees | 18,000 | | | | | | |
| Electrical Inspection Fees | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Electric Sign Inspection Fees | 5,000 | | | | | | |
| Installation Fund | | | 3,000 | | | | |
| Real Estate Department | | | | | | | |
| Rents, General | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Rents, School | | | 103,750 | | | | |
| Rents, Auditorium | | 40,654 | | | | | |
| Commissions | | 1,800 | | | | | |
| War Memorial | | | | | | | |
| Rent, Opera House | | | 29,000 | | | | |
| Concessions, Opera House | | | 3,750 | | | | |
| Rent, Veterans' Auditorium | | | 1,500 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 35 | | | | |
| Board of Education | | | | | | | |
| Tuition—From Other Counties | | | 20,000 | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | | | Receipts by Transfer | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | General Fund | Other Current Funds | Total |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Park | | | | | | | |
| Children's Quarters | | | 60,000 | | | | |
| Beach Chalet | | | 18,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Cafe | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Mother House | | | 2,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Playfield Booth | | | 44,000 | | | | |
| Harding Park Cafe | | | 20,000 | | | | |
| Lincoln Park Cafe | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Sharp Park Cafe | | | 11,000 | | | | |
| Kezar Stadium | | | 30,000 | | | | |
| Tennis Courts, P. F. A. | | | 14,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Bathhouse | | | 7,000 | | | | |
| Harding Park Golf | | | 40,000 | | | | |
| Lincoln Park Golf | | | 34,000 | | | | |
| Sharp Park Golf | | | 17,000 | | | | |
| Yacht Harbor | | | 14,000 | | | | |
| Coit Tower | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Recreation | | | | | | | |
| Camp | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Swimming | | | 1,600 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 450 | | | | |
| Library—Fines, etc. | | | 16,000 | | | | |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | | | 500 | | | | |
| de Young Museum | | | 250 | | | | |
| Total | 802,117 | 101,054 | 564,835 | | | | 1,468,006 |
| Public Utilities | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | 2,263,900 | | | | |
| Municipal Railway | | | 3,185,597 | | | | |
| Water Department | | | 6,339,080 | | | | |
| Airport | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Total | | | 11,823,577 | | | | 11,823,577 |

| Classification | General Fund: Allocated to Fund | General Fund: Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer: General Fund | Receipts by Transfer: Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Receipts from Surplus | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | 175,000 | | | | |
| Municipal Railway | | | Cr. 31,054 | | | | |
| Common School Fund | | | 200,000 | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | | | 355,962 | | | | |
| Total | | | 699,908 | | | | 699,908 |
| Capital Funds | | | | | | | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | | | | 250,000 | | | 250,000 |
| Receipts by Transfer | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| City Attorney | | | | | | | |
| From Public Utilities Commission Fund | | | | | 21,400 | | 21,400 |
| Purchasing Department | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (203) | | | | | 240 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (203) | | | | | 240 | | |
| From Recreation Fund (204) | | | | | 715 | | |
| From Library Fund (204) | | | | | 450 | | |
| From War Memorial Fund (204) | | | | | 383 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (217) | | | | | 200 | | |
| From Employees' Retirement Fund (225) | | | | | 42 | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (233) | | | | | 60 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (233) | | | | | 30 | | |
| From Airport Fund (400) | | | | | 5,500 | | |
| Total | | | | | 7,860 | | 7,860 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| **Receipts by Transfer (Continued)** | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| From County Road Fund.....(647.903.01) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 |
| Controller | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund.....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,950 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,400 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,150 | ..... | ..... |
| From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund.(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 850 | ..... | ..... |
| From Employees' Retirement Fund.....(900) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 | ..... | ..... |
| Total . . . | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,200 | ..... | 16,200 |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings | | | | | | | |
| From Park Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 46,470 | ..... | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Library . . . | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—General | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—Art Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor.... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | ..... |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum | | | | | 1,500 | | |
| From Airport | | | | | 8,000 | | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund | | | | | 425,000 | | |
| From Water Revenue Fund | | | | | 169,500 | | |
| From Common School Fund | | | | | 52,500 | | |
| From County Road Fund—Street Repair | | | | | 1,100 | | |
| From County Road Fund—Bridges | | | | | 1,800 | | |
| From County Road Fund—General | | | | | 40,000 | | |
| Total | | | | | 790,470 | | 790,470 |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | 1,407,984 | | 1,407,984 |
| de Young Memorial Museum Fund | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor | | | | | | 11,980 | 11,980 |
| Employees' Retirement Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Library Fund | | | | | | 6,500 | |
| From Common School Fund | | | | | | 423,000 | |
| From Special School Tax Fund | | | | | | 800 | |
| From Park Fund | | | | | | 35,500 | |
| From Recreation Fund | | | | | | 11,000 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | | | | | | 5,000 | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund | | | | | | 100,000 | |
| From Airport Fund | | | | | | 1,356 | |
| From County Road Fund | | | | | | 13,000 | |
| From Water Revenue Fund | | | | | | 43,000 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | | | | | | 1,948 | |
| Total | | | | | | 641,104 | 641,104 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | | | | | | | |
| From 1933 Sewer Grant | | | | | | * 61,202 | |
| From 1933 High Pressure System Grant | | | | | | *106,538 | |
| From 1934 School House Grant | | | | | | *344,251 | |
| From 1933 Water Distribution Grant | | | | | | *807,262 | |
| From 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Grant | | | | | | *255,495 | |
| From County Road Fund | | | | | | 200,000 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | | | | 2,116,854 | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | | 2,977,025 | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating | | | | | | 182,500 | |
| Total | | | | | | 7,051,127 | 7,051,127 |
| Public Utilities Commission Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating | | | | | | 11,827 | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims) | | | | | | 11,220 | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | | 47,372 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | | | | 15,326 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | | | | | | 5,000 | |
| Total | | | | | | 90,745 | 90,745 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | | | | 114,442 | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | | 106,561 | |
| Total | | | | | | 221,003 | 221,003 |
| Taxes | 12,795,163 | | 18,603,887 | | | | 31,399,050 |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 16,926,580 | 121,054 | 37,901,197 | 1,824,748 | 2,248,914 | 8,015,959 | 67,038,452 |

*From Capital Funds

FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1936-1937

| | ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS | | | ESTIMATED REVENUES | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Estimated Surplus Forward | | |
| | Interest | Redemption | Total | Interest | Redemption | Grant |
| GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY: | | | | | | |
| 1927 Boulevards | 327,128 | 469,000 | 796,128 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Sewers | 89,960 | 88,000 | 177,960 | 51,928 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure System | 55,500 | 100,000 | 155,500 | 48,961 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House | 72,000 | 300,000 | 372,000 | 27,748 | ..... | 344,251 |
| Other | 1,881,388 | 2,742,100 | 4,623,488 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 2,425,976 | 3,699,100 | 6,125,076 | 128,637 | ..... | 511,991 |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES: | | | | | | |
| 1928 Spring Valley | 1,530,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Water Distribution | 398,280 | 584,000 | 982,280 | 175,018 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1910 Water | 1,260,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,260,000 | 158 | 47 | ..... |
| 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 406,250 | 250,000 | 656,250 | ..... | 14 | ..... |
| 1928 Hetch Hetchy | 1,080,000 | ..... | 1,080,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1932 Hetchy Hetchy | 346,803 | ..... | 346,803 | 6,999 | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam | 122,500 | 175,000 | 297,500 | 42,005 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1913 Municipal Railway | 82,500 | 100,000 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Airport | 5,200 | 52,000 | 57,200 | 3,084 | ..... | ..... |
| | 5,231,533 | 3,161,000 | 8,392,533 | 227,264 | 61 | 1,062,757 |
| | 7,657,509 | 6,860,100 | 14,517,609 | 355,901 | 61 | 1,574,748 |

## FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1936-1937

| ESTIMATED REVENUES | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County Road Fund | From Proceeds of Bond Fund Indicated | Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue | Water Revenue | Railway Revenue | Taxes | Total | | |
| | | | | | | | | GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY |
| 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 796,128 | ..... | 1927 Boulevards |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 177,960 | ..... | 1933 Sewers |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,284,448 | 155,500 | ..... | 1933 High Pressure System |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 372,000 | ..... | 1934 School House |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,623,488 | ..... | Other |
| 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,284,448 | 6,125,076 | | |
| | | | | | | | | PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | 2,530,000 | ..... | 1928 Spring Valley |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 982,280 | ..... | 1933 Water Distribution |
| ..... | ..... | 959,548 | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1910 Water |
| | | 1,157,306 | | | | | | |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | 47,025 | ..... | 1,771,956 | 4,343,053 | ..... | 1928 Hetch Hetchy |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1932 Hetch Hetchy |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 297,500 | ..... | 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 182,500 | ..... | 182,500 | ..... | 1913 Municipal Railway |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,116 | 57,200 | ..... | 1933 Airport |
| ..... | ..... | 2,116,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 1,826,072 | 8,392,533 | | |
| 200,000 | ..... | 2,116,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 7,110,520 | 14,517,609 | | |

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 601 | BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | | | | | |
| 601.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 66,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 66,360 |
| 601.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 4,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,900 |
| 601.200.00 | Contractual Services | 43,700 | 39,700 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 601.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 950 | 950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 601.814.00 | Membership Dues | 625 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 625 |
| 601.248.00 | Audit of Controller's Books | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| | | 121,535 | 40,650 | ..... | ..... | 80,885 |
| 602 | MAYOR | | | | | |
| 602.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 40,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,360 |
| 602.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,570 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,570 |
| 602.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,650 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 47,580 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | 45,930 |
| 603 | ASSESSOR | | | | | |
| 603.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 127,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 127,100 |
| 603.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 87,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 87,000 |
| 603.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,420 | 9,220 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 603.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 13,250 | 13,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 603.400.00 | Equipment | 250 | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 603.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 82 | 82 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 237,102 | 22,802 | ..... | ..... | 214,300 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 604 | CITY ATTORNEY | | | | | |
| 604.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 68,500 | ..... | ..... | 19,600 | 88,100 |
| 604.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 604.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,400 | 400 | ..... | 1,800 | 10,800 |
| 604.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 604.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 79,500 | 1,400 | ..... | 21,400 | 99,500 |
| 605 | DISTRICT ATTORNEY | | | | | |
| 605.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 104,780 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,780 |
| 605.200.00 | Contractual Services | 465 | 465 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 605.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 850 | 850 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 605.900.00 | Detention and Prosecution of Criminals | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 605.901.00 | District Attorney's Secret Fund | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| | | 110,095 | 1,315 | ..... | ..... | 108,780 |
| 606 | TREASURER | | | | | |
| 606.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 45,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,800 |
| 606.200.00 | Contractual Services | 375 | 375 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 606.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,100 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 606.816.00 | Premium on Official Bonds | 8,275 | 8,275 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 55,550 | 9,750 | ..... | ..... | 45,800 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 607 | SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation $20,000) | | | | | |
| 607.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 291,164 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 291,164 |
| 607.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 7,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,360 |
| 607.200.01 | Contractual Services—Administrative | 3,700 | 1,400 | ..... | ..... | 2,300 |
| 607.200.02 | Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1 | 514 | 304 | ..... | ..... | 210 |
| 607.231.02 | Heat, Light, and Power—County Jail No. 1 | 280 | 280 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.200.03 | Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2 | 1,470 | 1,110 | ..... | ..... | 360 |
| 607.231.03 | Heat, Light, and Power—County Jail No. 2 | 12,720 | 12,720 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Administrative | 3,865 | 3,865 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.300.02 | Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1 | 4,000 | 1,830 | ..... | ..... | 2,170 |
| 607.300.03 | Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2 | 13,000 | 8,275 | ..... | ..... | 4,725 |
| 607.350.02 | Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1 | 13,000 | 850 | ..... | ..... | 12,150 |
| 607.350.03 | Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2 | 23,000 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | 21,350 |
| 607.400.00 | Equipment | 4,500 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.809.00 | Rents, Garage | 240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 |
| 607.812.00 | Taxes, Sneath Ranch | 175 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 175 |
| | | 378,988 | 36,784 | ..... | ..... | 342,204 |
| 608 | PUBLIC DEFENDER | | | | | |
| 608.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 18,380 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,380 |
| 608.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 75 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75 |
| 608.200.00 | Contractual Services | 90 | 90 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 608.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 18,645 | 190 | ..... | ..... | 18,455 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 609 | POLICE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 609.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,380,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,380,300 |
| 609.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,762 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,762 |
| 609.200.00 | Contractual Services | 38,512 | 38,357 | ..... | ..... | 155 |
| 609.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—City Prison | 1,400 | 1,128 | ..... | ..... | 272 |
| 609.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus | 49,710 | 40,770 | ..... | ..... | 8,940 |
| 609.350.00 | Foodstuffs—City Prison | 5,000 | 177 | ..... | ..... | 4,823 |
| 609.400.00 | Equipment | 27,500 | 27,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 2,280 | 2,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.901.00 | Contingent Fund | 9,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,000 |
| | | 3,528,464 | 123,212 | ..... | ..... | 3,405,252 |
| 610 | FIRE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 610.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 2,898,720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,898,720 |
| 610.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Fireboats | 144,971 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 144,971 |
| 610.103.00 | Wages | 6,477 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,477 |
| 610.200.00 | Contractual Services | 144,109 | 135,909 | ..... | ..... | 8,200 |
| 610.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 18,500 | 18,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 610.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 39,300 | 21,500 | ..... | ..... | 17,800 |
| 610.400.00 | Equipment | 95,000 | 95,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 610.809.00 | Rent—Engine Co. No. 2 | 1,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,200 |
| | | 3,348,277 | 270,909 | ..... | ..... | 3,077,368 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 611 | BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS | | | | | |
| 611.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 611.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 611.200.00 | Contractual Services | 20 | 20 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,020 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 616 | ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation $10,000) | | | | | |
| 616.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,900 |
| 616.200.00 | Contractual Services | 155 | 55 | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 616.200.01 | Municipal Band | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 616.200.02 | * Municipal Symphony Concerts | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,000 |
| 616.200.03 | Christmas Eve Celebration | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 616.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 69,655 | 155 | ..... | ..... | 69,500 |
| 619.200.00 | STEINHART AQUARIUM | 40,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,500 |
| 620 | MUNICIPAL COURT | | | | | |
| 620.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 190,908 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 190,908 |
| 620.105.00 | Jury and Witness Fees | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 620.106.00 | Court Reporters' Fees | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 620.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,870 | 2,820 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 620.249.00 | Reporters' Transcriptions | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 620.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,450 | 3,450 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 220,128 | 6,270 | ..... | ..... | 213,858 |

*That portion of Art Commission Appropriation Number 616.200.02, Municipal Symphony Concerts, which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½c on each $100 of the 1936-1937 Assessment Roll.

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 621 | SUPERIOR COURT | | | | | |
| 621.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 136,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 136,680 |
| 621.105.00 | Jury and Witness Fees | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 621.105.01 | Grand Jury | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 |
| 621.106.01 | Court Reporters' Fees | 11,675 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,675 |
| 621.106.02 | Examination of Insane | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 621.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.249.00 | Reporters' Transcriptions | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 621.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,500 | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.900.00 | Court Orders | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| | | 225,855 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | 217,355 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 621.200.02 | Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| | | 242,855 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | 234,355 |
| 622 | LAW LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 622.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 11,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,100 |
| 622.200.00 | Contractual Services | 40 | 40 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 622.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 350 | 350 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 11,490 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 11,100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 623 | JUVENILE COURT | | | | | |
| 623.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 67,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 67,680 |
| 623.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 623.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,440 | 700 | ..... | ..... | 1,740 |
| 623.206.00 | Maintenance of Minors | 525,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 525,000 |
| 623.207.00 | Maintenance of Minors in State Schools | 17,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,500 |
| 623.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,675 | 1,675 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 623.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 623.809.00 | Garage Rent | 180 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 180 |
| | | 615,775 | 3,375 | ..... | ..... | 612,400 |
| 624 | JUVENILE DETENTION HOME | | | | | |
| 624.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 22,686 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,686 |
| 624.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 624.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,265 | 465 | ..... | ..... | 800 |
| 624.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,750 | 1,750 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 624.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,000 | 803 | ..... | ..... | 1,197 |
| 624.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 4,500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 624.400.00 | Equipment | 250 | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 624.108.00 | Room Allowance for Employees | 192 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 192 |
| 624.900.00 | Services of Department of Public Health | 3,600 | 3,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 36,843 | 7,368 | ..... | ..... | 29,475 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 625 | ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 625.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 26,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,100 |
| 625.200.00 | Contractual Services | 375 | 75 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 625.328.00 | Books, Printing, Stationery | 490 | 490 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 625.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 27,165 | 765 | ..... | ..... | 26,400 |
| 626 | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER | | | | | |
| 626.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 18,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,900 |
| 626.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,450 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 626.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 800 | 800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 626.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 626.900.00 | *Property, Chestnut and Hyde Streets | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | *". . . The sum of $10,000 to be expended as a contribution toward the purchase of property at the northeast corner of Chestnut and Hyde streets, commonly designated as the Humphreys property, which said sum shall be expended only when the balance of the purchase price has been provided by private subscriptions; and said property shall be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by good and sufficient deed and free and clear of all encumbrances; said property to be used for public purposes." | | | | | |
| | | 32,350 | 2,550 | ..... | ..... | 29,800 |
| 627 | DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS | | | | | |
| 627.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 7,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,860 |
| 627.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 150 | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,010 | 150 | ..... | ..... | 7,860 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 628 | TAX COLLECTOR | | | | | |
| 628.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 88,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 88,940 |
| 628.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue | 7,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,500 |
| 628.101.03 | Permanent Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau | 4,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,620 |
| 628.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 31,775 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,775 |
| 628.102.02 | Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 628.102.03 | Temporary Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 628.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,170 | 710 | ..... | ..... | 6,460 |
| 628.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 7,250 | 7,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 628.400.00 | Equipment | 768 | 768 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 159,823 | 8,728 | ..... | ..... | 151,095 |
| 629 | REGISTRAR OF VOTERS | | | | | |
| 629.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 56,820 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 56,820 |
| 629.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 50,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,100 |
| 629.106.00 | Election Workers | 45,525 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,525 |
| 629.200.00 | Contractual Services | 47,297 | 1,102 | ..... | ..... | 46,195 |
| 629.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 190 | 190 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 629.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,125 | 900 | ..... | ..... | 225 |
| 629.400.00 | Equipment | 150 | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 629.410.00 | Voting Machines Payment | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | **50,000** |
| 629.809.00 | Warehouse Rental | 4,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,800 |
| 629.809.01 | Rent of Polling Places | 14,550 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,550 |
| | | 270,557 | 2,342 | ..... | ..... | 268,215 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 630 | RECORDER | | | | | |
| 630.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 85,235 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 85,235 |
| 630.200.00 | Contractual Services | 390 | 330 | ..... | ..... | 60 |
| 630.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,800 | 3,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 630.400.00 | Equipment | 320 | 320 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 89,745 | 4,450 | ..... | ..... | 85,295 |
| 631 | COUNTY CLERK | | | | | |
| 631.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 138,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 138,300 |
| 631.200.00 | Contractual Services | 352 | 302 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 631.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 4,000 | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 631.400.00 | Equipment | 8,500 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 151,152 | 12,802 | ..... | ..... | 138,350 |
| 632 | PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR | | | | | |
| 632.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 38,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 38,200 |
| 632.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,280 | 990 | ..... | ..... | 290 |
| 632.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 600 | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 632.809.00 | Rentals | 295 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 295 |
| | | 40,375 | 1,590 | ..... | ..... | 38,785 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 633 | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 633.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 125,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 125,620 |
| 633.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 633.103.00 | Wages | 5,329 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,329 |
| | Contractual Services | | | | | |
| | Auto Hire ($30,602) | | | | | |
| 633.203.03 | Assessor | ..... | ..... | 5,400 | ..... | 5,400 |
| 633.203.07 | Sheriff | ..... | ..... | 1,320 | ..... | 1,320 |
| 633.203.09 | Police Department | ..... | ..... | 360 | ..... | 360 |
| 633.203.17 | California Palace of Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | 240 |
| 633.203.18 | de Young Memorial Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | 240 |
| 633.203.28 | Tax Collector | ..... | ..... | 360 | ..... | 360 |
| 633.203.29 | Registrar of Voters | ..... | ..... | 700 | ..... | 700 |
| 633.203.33 | Purchaser | 2,142 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,142 |
| 633.203.34 | Real Estate Department | ..... | ..... | 480 | ..... | 480 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.203.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | ..... | ..... | 1,440 | ..... | 1,440 |
| 633.203.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | ..... | ..... | 2,520 | ..... | 2,520 |
| 633.203.40 | Bureau of Engineering | ..... | ..... | 3,360 | ..... | 3,360 |
| 633.203.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | ..... | ..... | 1,080 | ..... | 1,080 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.203.50- 1 | Administration | ..... | ..... | 600 | ..... | 600 |
| 633.203.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.50- 5 | Communicable Diseases | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | ..... | 1,500 |
| 633.203.50-10 | Child Welfare—Medical | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | 1,800 |
| 633.203.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | ..... | ..... | 600 | ..... | 600 |
| 633.203.50-16 | City Physicians | ..... | ..... | 2,100 | ..... | 2,100 |
| 633.203.54 | Emergency Hospitals | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.56 | County Welfare Department | ..... | ..... | 1,200 | ..... | 1,200 |
| 633.203.58 | Agricultural Commission | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | 1,800 |
| 633.203.61 | City Planning Commission | ..... | ..... | 460 | ..... | 460 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Scavenger Service ($ 6,685) | | | | | |
| 633.204.07 | Sheriff | | | 224 | | 224 |
| 633.204.09 | Police Department | | | 344 | | 344 |
| 633.204.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,878 | | 1,878 |
| 633.204.13 | Recreation | | | | 715 | 715 |
| 633.204.14 | Library | | | | 450 | 450 |
| 633.204.15 | War Memorial | | | | 383 | 383 |
| 633.204.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 633.204.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 12 | | 12 |
| 633.204.35 | Auditorium | | | 314 | | 314 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.204.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 941 | | 941 |
| 633.204.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 36 | | 36 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.204.50-1 | Central Office—Administration | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 633.204.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 1,008 | | 1,008 |
| 633.204.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 250 | | 250 |
| | Shop Expense ($180,390) | | | | | |
| 633.209.03 | Assessor | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.07 | Sheriff | | | 550 | | 550 |
| 633.209.09 | Police Department | | | 25,200 | | 25,200 |
| 633.209.10 | Fire Department | | | 128,000 | | 128,000 |
| 633.209.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.209.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.209.28 | Tax Collector | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 125 | | 125 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Shop Expense (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.209.36 | General Office | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.209.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.209.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.209.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.209.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.209.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.209.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.209.50- 1 | Administration | | | 275 | | 275 |
| 633.209.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.209.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 850 | | 850 |
| 633.209.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 1,425 | | 1,425 |
| 633.209.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.209.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.209.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.209.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 235 | | 235 |
| 633.209.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 675 | | 675 |
| 633.209.50-20 | Social Services | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.209.50-22 | Outpatient Maternity Service | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.209.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.209.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 633.209.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.209.57 | Coroner | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.209.60 | Controller | | | 80 | | 80 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment ($5,100) | | | | | |
| 633.210.03 | Assessor | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.06 | Treasurer | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.210.07 | Sheriff | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.09 | Police Department | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.210.10 | Fire Department | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.210.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.28 | Tax Collector | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.30 | Recorder | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.210.31 | County Clerk | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.210.32 | Public Administrator | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.210.33 | Purchaser | 150 | | | | 150 |
| 633.210.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.210.36 | General Office | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.210.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 100 | | 100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.210.50- 1 | Administration | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50- 2 | Accounting | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.210.50- 3 | Statistics | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50- 5 | Communicable Diseases | | | 70 | | 70 |
| 633.210.50- 6 | Clinics | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50- 7 | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 30 | | 30 |
| 633.210.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 25 | | 25 |
| 633.210.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.210.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 25 | | 25 |
| 633.210.50-20 | Social Services | | | 35 | | 35 |
| 633.210.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 10 | | 10 |
| 633.210.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.210.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.57 | Coroner | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.210.60 | Controller | | | 1,930 | | 1,930 |
| | Towel Service ($ 4,680) | | | | | |
| 633.217.09 | Police Department | | | 858 | | 858 |
| 633.217.10 | Fire Department | | | 31 | | 31 |
| 633.217.18 | de Young Museum | | | | 200 | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.217.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 3,375 | | 3,375 |
| 633.217.33-1 | Purchaser—Shop No. 1 | 21 | | | | 21 |
| 633.217.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 185 | | 185 |
| 633.217.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 10 | | 10 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Telephone and Telegraph.....($19,929)..... | | | | | |
| 633.225.01 | Board of Supervisors | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 663.225.03 | Assessor | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.225.04 | City Attorney | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.225.05 | District Attorney | | | 465 | | 465 |
| 633.225.06 | Treasurer | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.225.07 | Sheriff | | | 620 | | 620 |
| 633.225.08 | Public Defender | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.225.09 | Police Department | | | 3,695 | | 3,695 |
| 633.225.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,300 | | 1,300 |
| 633.225.11 | Board of Permit Appeals | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.225.16 | Art Commission | | | 55 | | 55 |
| 633.225.20 | Municipal Court | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.225.21 | Superior Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.225.22 | Law Library | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.225.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.225.25 | Adult Probation Department | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.225.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.225.28 | Tax Collector | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.225.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.225.30 | Recorder | | | 80 | | 80 |
| 633.225.31 | County Clerk | | | 102 | | 102 |
| 633.225.32 | Public Administrator | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.225.33 | Purchaser | 220 | | | | 220 |
| 633.225.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 330 | | 330 |
| 633.225.36 | Department of Public Works—General Office | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.225.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 400 | | 400 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Telephone and Telegraph (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.225.50-1 | Central Office—Administration | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.225.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 780 | | 780 |
| 633.225.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 2,160 | | 2,160 |
| 633.225.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 420 | | 420 |
| 633.225.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 720 | | 720 |
| 633.225.57 | Coroner | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.225.58 | Agricultural Commission | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.225.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.225.60 | Controller | | | 550 | | 550 |
| 633.225.61 | City Planning Commission | | | 35 | | 35 |
| 633.225.71 | Civil Service Commission | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.225.72 | Employees' Retirement System | | | | 42 | 42 |
| | Other Contractual Services ($91,439) | | | | | |
| | Board of Supervisors | | | | | |
| 633.229.01 | Publication of Ordinances and Resolutions | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 633.230.01 | Printing Journals, Calendars, Resolutions, Etc. | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| | Assessor | | | | | |
| 633.208.03 | Rental—Meter and Tabulator | | | 3,120 | | 3,120 |
| | Treasurer | | | | | |
| 633.201.06 | Carfare | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Police Department | | | | | |
| 633.212.09 | Repairs to Police Launch | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.223.09 | Horseshoeing | | | 2,300 | | 2,300 |
| 633.224.09 | Washing and Greasing Autos | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Other Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Fire Department | | | | | |
| 633.212.10 | Drydocking Fireboats | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| 633.229.10 | Advertising | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | California Palace of Legion of Honor | | | | | |
| 633.212.17 | Maintenance of Burglar Alarm | | | | 60 | 60 |
| | de Young Memorial Museum | | | | | |
| 633.212.18 | Maintenance of Burglar Alarm | | | | 30 | 30 |
| | Municipal Court | | | | | |
| 633.230.20 | Printing Court Calendars | | | 2,570 | | 2,570 |
| | Superior Court | | | | | |
| 633.230.21 | Printing Court Calendars | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| | Juvenile Detention Home | | | | | |
| 633.216.24 | Elevator Inspection and Repairs | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Registrar of Voters | | | | | |
| 633.233.29 | Miscellaneous | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Public Administrator | | | | | |
| 633.230.32 | Annual Report | | | 800 | | 800 |
| | Purchasing Department | | | | | |
| 633.201.33 | Carfare | 60 | | | | 60 |
| 633.202.33 | Freight on Tabulating Cards | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 633.208.33-1 | Rental of Tabulating Machines | 4,554 | | | | 4,554 |
| 633.208.33-2 | Rental of Postage Meter | 120 | | | | 120 |
| 633.226.33 | License Tags and Plates | 5,500 | | | | 5,500 |
| 633.229.33 | Official Advertising | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 633.230.33 | Printing Public Documents | 2,500 | | | | 2,500 |
| 633.231.33-1 | Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1 | 2,850 | 2,850 | | | |
| 633.231.33-2 | Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2 | 500 | 500 | | | |
| 633.231.33-3 | Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse | 550 | 550 | | | |
| 633.233.33-1 | McGill Commodity Service | 60 | | | | 60 |
| 633.233.33-2 | Removal of Dead Animals | 6,000 | | | | 6,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Other Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Real Estate Department | | | | | |
| 633.233.34 | Directory Service | | | 90 | | 90 |
| | Department of Public Health—Central Office—Administration | | | | | |
| 633.225.50-1 | Postage | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | Controller | | | | | |
| 633.208.60 | Rental Tabulating Machines | | | 525 | | 525 |
| | City Planning Commission | | | | | |
| 633.229.61 | Official Advertising | | | 250 | | 250 |
| | Materials and Supplies: | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies—Miscellaneous....($ 2,300) | | | | | |
| | Police Department (Stations and Bureaus) | | | | | |
| 633.310.09-1 | Supplies—Automobile | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.310.09-2 | Supplies—Police Launch | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.315.09-1 | Batteries—Automobile | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.315.09-2 | Batteries—Radio | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.315.09-3 | Heavy Duty Generators—Replacements | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.315.09-4 | Batteries—Motorcycles | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Drygoods and Sewing Materials.....($25,163) | | | | | |
| 633.314.07-2 | Sheriff—Jail No. 1 | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.314.07-3 | Sheriff—Jail No. 2 | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| 633.314.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 548 | | 548 |
| 633.314.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 515 | | 515 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.314.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.314.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.314.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.314.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Gasoline and Oil.....($61,234)..... | | | | | |
| 633.318.03 | Assessor ..... | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.318.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration ..... | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.318.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2..... | | | 825 | | 825 |
| 633.318.09 | Police Department ..... | | | 21,900 | | 21,900 |
| 633.318.11 | Fire Department ..... | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.318.23 | Juvenile Court ..... | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.26 | Chief Administrative Officer..... | | | 450 | | 450 |
| 633.318.28 | Tax Collector ..... | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.318.29 | Registrar of Voters..... | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.318.33-1 | Purchasing Department—General Office ..... | 30 | | | | 30 |
| 633.318.33-2 | Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2..... | 300 | | | | 300 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.318.36 | General Office ..... | | | 144 | | 144 |
| 633.318.37 | Bureau of Accounts..... | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.318.38 | Bureau of Building Repair..... | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.318.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection..... | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.318.40 | Bureau of Engineering..... | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.318.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning..... | | | 9,200 | | 9,200 |
| 633.318.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair..... | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.318.49 | Department of Electricity..... | | | 1,825 | | 1,825 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Gasoline and Oil (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.318.50- 1 | Administration | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 110 | | 110 |
| 633.318.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 505 | | 505 |
| 633.318.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.318.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 140 | | 140 |
| 633.318.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 110 | | 110 |
| 633.318.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 85 | | 85 |
| 633.318.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.318.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.318.50-20 | Social Services | | | 115 | | 115 |
| 633.318.50-22 | Outpatient Maternity Service | | | 80 | | 80 |
| 633.318.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 1,350 | | 1,350 |
| 633.318.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.318.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,600 | | 1,600 |
| 633.318.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 725 | | 725 |
| 633.318.57 | Coroner | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.318.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.60 | Controller | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc.....($111,170) | | | | | |
| 633.328.01 | Board of Supervisors | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.328.02 | Mayor | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.328.03 | Assessor | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.328.04 | City Attorney | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.328.05 | District Attorney | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.328.06 | Treasurer | | | 1,100 | | 1,100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.328.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 2,865 | | 2,865 |
| 633.328.08 | Public Defender | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.09 | Police Department | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 633.328.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.328.16 | Art Commission | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.20 | Municipal Court | | | 3,450 | | 3,450 |
| 633.328.21-1 | Superior Court—Law Books | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.21-2 | Superior Court—Secretary | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.21-3 | Superior Court—Grand Jury | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.328.22 | Law Library | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.328.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 1,150 | | 1,150 |
| 633.328.25 | Adult Probation | | | 490 | | 490 |
| 633.328.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.328.27 | Director of Finance and Records | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.328.28 | Tax Collector | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 633.328.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.328.30 | Recorder | | | 3,800 | | 3,800 |
| 633.328.31 | County Clerk | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| 633.328.32 | Public Administrator | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.33 | Purchasing Department—General Office | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 633.328.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.34-1 | Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.328.36 | General Office | | | 5,300 | | 5,300 |
| 633.328.40 | Engineering | | | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| 633.328.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.328.50- 1 | Administration | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.328.50- 2 | Accounting | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.50- 3 | Statistics | | | 470 | | 470 |
| 633.328.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.50- 5 | Communicable Diseases | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.328.50- 6 | Clinics | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.328.50- 7 | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | 295 | | 295 |
| 633.328.50- 8 | School Inspection—Medical | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.328.50- 9 | Dental | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.328.50-10 | Child Welfare—Medical | | | 10 | | 10 |
| 633.328.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 660 | | 660 |
| 633.328.50-12 | Chemical Laboratory | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 480 | | 480 |
| 633.328.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 270 | | 270 |
| 633.328.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.328.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.328.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 1,030 | | 1,030 |
| 633.328.50-20 | Social Services | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.328.50-21 | Tuberculosis Bureau | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 5,800 | | 5,800 |
| 633.328.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.328.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| 633.328.57 | Coroner | | | 600 | | 600 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.328.58 | Agricultural Commission | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.328.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.328.60 | Controller | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.328.61 | City Planning Commission | | | 275 | | 275 |
| 633.328.71 | Civil Service Commission | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| | Clothing and Wearing Apparel ($21,825) | | | | | |
| 633.329.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.329.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.329.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 633.329.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.329.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 225 | | 225 |
| 633.329.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 1,200 | | 1,200 |
| | Tires and Tubes ($11,155) | | | | | |
| 633.337.01 | Board of Supervisors | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.02 | Mayor | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.05 | District Attorney | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.09 | Police Department | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| 633.337.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.337.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.28 | Tax Collector | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.33-2 | Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 | 175 | | | | 175 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Tires and Tubes (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.337.36 | General Office | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.337.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.337.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repairs | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.337.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 600 | | 600 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.337.50- 1 | Administration | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.337.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.337.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.337.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.337.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.337.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 633.337.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.337.50-20 | Social Services | | | 25 | | 25 |
| 633.337.50-22 | Outpatient Maternity Service | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.337.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.337.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.337.57 | Coroner | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.60 | Controller | | | 100 | | 100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Cleaning, Polishing, Lavatory Supplies....($15,080)..... | | | | | |
| 633.338.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 730 | | 730 |
| 633.338.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 1,250 | | 1,250 |
| 633.338.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 35 | | 35 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.338.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.338.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| 633.338.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 140 | | 140 |
| 633.338.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 425 | | 425 |
| | Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc. .....($2,855)..... | | | | | |
| 633.339.07 | Sheriff | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.339.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.339.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.339.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 55 | | 55 |
| 633.339.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies .....($21,833)..... | | | | | |
| 633.340.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.340.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.340.09-1 | Police Department—Stations and Bureaus | | | 370 | | 370 |
| 633.340.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 580 | | 580 |
| 633.340.10 | Fire Department | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 633.340.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 288 | | 288 |
| 633.340.33-3 | Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 633.340.38 | Department of Public Works—Building Repair | | | 5,700 | | 5,700 |
| 633.340.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 70 | | 70 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.340.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.340.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.340.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.340.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Canned Goods ($48,277) | | | | | |
| 633.351.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 850 | | 850 |
| 633.351.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 1,650 | | 1,650 |
| 633.351.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 177 | | 177 |
| 633.351.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.351.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| 633.351.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 28,800 | | 28,800 |
| 633.351.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.351.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 2,175 | | 2,175 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Equipment ..... ($262,290) ..... | | | | | |
| 633.400.03 | Assessor. . ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 250 | ..... | 250 |
| 633.400.04 | City Attorney ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 500 | ..... | 500 |
| 633.400.07 | Sheriff. . ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 4,500 | ..... | 4,500 |
| 633.400.09 | Police Department ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 27,500 | ..... | 27,500 |
| 633.400.10 | Fire Department ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 95,000 | ..... | 95,000 |
| 633.400.21 | Superior Court ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 1,000 | ..... | 1,000 |
| 633.400.23 | Juvenile Court ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 1,000 | ..... | 1,000 |
| 633.400.24 | Juvenile Detention Home..... | . . ..... | ..... | 250 | ..... | 250 |
| 633.400.25 | Adult Probation ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 200 | ..... | 200 |
| 633.400.26 | Chief Administrative Officer..... | . . ..... | ..... | 200 | ..... | 200 |
| 633.400.28 | Tax Collector ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 768 | ..... | 768 |
| 633.400.29 | Registrar of Voters..... | . . ..... | ..... | 150 | ..... | 150 |
| 633.400.30 | Recorder. . ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 320 | ..... | 320 |
| 633.400.31 | County Clerk ..... | . . ..... | ..... | 8,500 | ..... | 8,500 |
| 633.400.33 | Purchaser. . ..... | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 633.400.33-2 | Purchaser—Shop No. 2..... | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 |
| 633.400.33-3 | Purchaser—Central Warehouse ..... | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 633.400.34 | Real Estate Department..... | . . ..... | ..... | 200 | ..... | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.400.38 | Bureau of Building Repair..... | . . ..... | ..... | 900 | ..... | 900 |
| 633.400.40 | Bureau of Engineering..... | . . ..... | ..... | 1,900 | ..... | 1,900 |
| 633.400.41 | Central Permit Bureau..... | . . ..... | ..... | 200 | ..... | 200 |
| 633.400.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning..... | . . ..... | ..... | 22,550 | ..... | 22,550 |
| 633.400.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair..... | . . ..... | ..... | 1,500 | ..... | 1,500 |
| 633.400.49 | Department of Electricity..... | . . ..... | ..... | 3,500 | ..... | 3,500 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Equipment (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.400.50-1 | Administration | | | 1,185 | | 1,185 |
| 633.400.50-2 | Accounting | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.400.50-3 | Statistics | | | 850 | | 850 |
| 633.400.50-6 | Clinics | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.400.50-7 | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | 865 | | 865 |
| 633.400.50-8 | School Inspection—Medical | | | 235 | | 235 |
| 633.400.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.400.50-12 | Chemical Laboratory | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.400.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 1,950 | | 1,950 |
| 633.400.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.400.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| 633.400.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 35,000 | | 35,000 |
| 633.400.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| 633.400.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.57 | Coroner | | | 2,392 | | 2,392 |
| 633.400.58 | Agricultural Commission | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.400.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.400.60 | Controller | | | 2,200 | | 2,200 |
| 633.400.64 | Airport | | | | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 633.400.71 | Civil Service | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| 633.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 600 | | | | 600 |
| | Insurance, and Premium on Official Bonds | | | | | |
| 633.816.06 | Premium on Official Bonds—Treasurer | | | 8,275 | | 8,275 |
| 633.816.33 | Premium on Official Bonds—Purchasing Department | 10,575 | | | | 10,575 |
| 633.817.03 | Auto Insurance-Assessor | | | 82 | | 82 |
| | | 210,356 | 3,900 | 859,072 | 7,860 | 1,073,388 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 634 | REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| | *(Receipts allocated to appropriation $1,800) | | | | | |
| 634.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 12,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,600 |
| 634.200.00 | Contractual Services | 620 | 470 | ..... | ..... | 150 |
| 634.200.01 | *Collection of Rents | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 634.203.00 | Auto Hire | 480 | 480 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 17,100 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 15,550 |
| 634.809.00 | Rental, 333 Kearny Street | 10,752 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,752 |
| | | 27,852 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 26,302 |
| 635 | REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—AUDITORIUM | | | | | |
| | (Receipts allocated to appropriation $40,654) | | | | | |
| 635.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 28,785 | ..... | 1,425 | ..... | 30,210 |
| 635.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,300 |
| 635.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,964 | 314 | ..... | ..... | 2,650 |
| 635.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 7,000 | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 635.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 635.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 605 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 605 |
| | | 49,654 | 7,314 | 1,425 | ..... | 43,765 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| 636 | General | | | | | |
| 636.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Director | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 636.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—General Office | 27,060 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 27,060 |
| 636.101.03 | Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange | 10,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,980 |
| 636.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 636.200.00 | Contractual Services | 875 | 875 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 636.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,504 | 5,504 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 52,869 | 6,379 | ..... | ..... | 46,490 |
| 637 | Bureau of Accounts | | | | | |
| 637.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 25,920 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,920 |
| 637.200.00 | Contractual Services | 575 | 575 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 637.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 600 | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 637.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 27,595 | 1,675 | ..... | ..... | 25,920 |
| 638 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | | | |
| 638.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Superintendence | 18,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,600 |
| 638.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Maintenance | 207,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 207,000 |
| 638.102.00 | Temporary Salaries—Maintenance | 823 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 823 |
| 638.103.00 | Wages | 84,541 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 84,541 |
| 638.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,616 | 6,756 | ..... | ..... | 860 |
| 638.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.203.00 | Truck Hire | 2,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,940 |
| 638.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 638.319.00 | Engine Room Supplies | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 638.340.00 | Janitorial Supplies | 5,700 | 5,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.400.00 | Equipment | 900 | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 638.213.00 | Repairs to Public Buildings | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 638.213.01 | Architectural Survey, First Floor, City Hall | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 638.213.02 | Rehabilitation, Assessor's Office | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 638.213.03 | Extension to Inter-Communicating System | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| | | 405,170 | 14,306 | ..... | ..... | 390,864 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 639 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | | | |
| 639.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 37,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,200 |
| 639.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,620 | 2,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 639.233.00 | Earthquake Survey | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 639.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 44,920 | 2,720 | ..... | ..... | 42,200 |
| 640 | Bureau of Engineering | | | | | |
| 640.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 194,580 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 194,580 |
| 640.200.00 | Contractual Services | 6,800 | 500 | ..... | ..... | 6,300 |
| 640.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 65 | 65 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.203.00 | Auto Hire | 3,360 | 3,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.214.00 | Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 640.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,550 | 2,550 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 640.400.00 | Equipment | 1,900 | 1,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.900.00 | Special Inspection (Receipts allocated to appropriation $13,000) | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 |
| | | 233,255 | 8,375 | ..... | ..... | 224,880 |
| 641 | Central Permit Bureau | | | | | |
| 641.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 16,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,200 |
| 641.200.00 | Contractual Services | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 641.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 16,500 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 16,300 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 642 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | | | |
| 642.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 23,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,940 |
| 642.103.00 | Wages. . | 447,671 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 447,671 |
| 642.200.00 | Contractual Services | 11,380 | 11,080 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 642.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 130 | 130 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 642.203.00 | Truck and Team Hire | 8,325 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,325 |
| 642.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 12,300 | 10,700 | ..... | ..... | 1,600 |
| 642.400.00 | Equipment. . | 24,145 | 22,550 | ..... | ..... | 1,595 |
| 642.809.00 | Rental—Jones and Jefferson | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 642.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 530,691 | 44,460 | ..... | ..... | 486,231 |
| 643 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | | | |
| 643.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 12,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,900 |
| 643.103.00 | Wages. . | 149,226 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 149,226 |
| 643.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,500 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 643.203.00 | Team and Truck Hire | 23,868 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,868 |
| 643.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 18,200 | 3,200 | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 643.400.00 | Equipment. . | 1,500 | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 643.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 7,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,100 |
| | | 217,294 | 9,200 | ..... | ..... | 208,094 |
| 643.901.00 | Tearing up Streets—Side Sewers (Receipts allocated to Appropriation $35,000) | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| | | 252,294 | 9,200 | ..... | ..... | 243,094 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 644 | Sewage Pumping Station | | | | | |
| 644.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 2,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,160 |
| 644.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 72 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 72 |
| 644.200.00 | Contractual Services | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 644.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 4,700 | 4,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 644.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| | | 7,482 | 4,700 | ..... | ..... | 2,782 |
| 649 | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY | | | | | |
| 649.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 158,190 | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | 163,190 |
| 649.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 750 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 750 |
| 649.103.00 | Wages | 15,937 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,937 |
| 649.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,241 | 1,621 | ..... | ..... | 1,620 |
| 649.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,700 | 1,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 649.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,350 | 3,530 | ..... | ..... | 6,820 |
| 649.400.00 | Equipment | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 193,668 | 10,351 | ..... | 5,000 | 188,317 |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office | | | | | |
| | Administration | | | | | |
| 650.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 31,468 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,468 |
| 650.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 65 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 65 |
| 650.200.01 | Contractual Services | 5,035 | 3,960 | ..... | ..... | 1,075 |
| 650.231.01 | Heat, Light, and Power | 4,100 | 4,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 2,225 | 1,125 | ..... | ..... | 1,100 |
| 650.400.01 | Equipment | 1,185 | 1,185 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.900.01 | Services of Other Departments | 1,825 | 1,425 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| | | 45,903 | 11,795 | ..... | ..... | 34,108 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 650.252.01 | Feeble Minded | 157,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 157,500 |
| 650.811.01 | Burial of Indigent Dead | 12,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,600 |
| | | 216,003 | 11,795 | ..... | ..... | 204,208 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Accounting | | | | | |
| 650.101.02 | Permanent Salaries | 17,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,460 |
| 650.200.02 | Contractual Services | 210 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 160 |
| 650.300.02 | Materials and Supplies | 130 | 100 | ..... | ..... | 30 |
| 650.400.02 | Equipment | 75 | 75 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 17,875 | 225 | ..... | ..... | 17,650 |
| | Statistics | | | | | |
| 650.101.03 | Permanent Salaries | 13,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,620 |
| 650.200.03 | Contractual Services | 1,270 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 1,250 |
| 650.300.03 | Materials and Supplies | 480 | 470 | ..... | ..... | 10 |
| 650.400.03 | Equipment | 850 | 850 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 16,220 | 1,340 | ..... | ..... | 14,880 |
| | Meat Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.04 | Permanent Salaries | 60,336 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60,336 |
| 650.200.04 | Contractual Services | 990 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 650.300.04 | Materials and Supplies | 280 | 230 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| | | 61,606 | 620 | ..... | ..... | 60,986 |
| | Communicable Diseases | | | | | |
| 650.101.05 | Permanent Salaries | 24,120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 24,120 |
| 650.200.05 | Contractual Services | 1,620 | 1,570 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 650.300.05 | Materials and Supplies | 1,620 | 650 | ..... | ..... | 970 |
| | | 27,360 | 2,220 | ..... | ..... | 25,140 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Clinics | | | | | |
| 650.101.06 | Permanent Salaries | 22,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,800 |
| 650.200.06 | Contractual Services | 95 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 75 |
| 650.300.06 | Materials and Supplies | 375 | 125 | ..... | ..... | 250 |
| 650.400.06 | Equipment | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 23,370 | 245 | ..... | ..... | 23,125 |
| | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | | | |
| 650.101.07 | Permanent Salaries | 18,534 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,534 |
| 650.200.07 | Contractual Services | 40 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 20 |
| 650.300.07 | Materials and Supplies | 2,295 | 295 | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 650.400.07 | Equipment | 865 | 865 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 21,734 | 1,180 | ..... | ..... | 20,554 |
| | School Inspection—Medical | | | | | |
| 650.101.08 | Permanent Salaries | 32,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 32,200 |
| 650.200.08 | Contractual Services | 120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 120 |
| 650.300.08 | Materials and Supplies | 1,695 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 1,645 |
| 650.400.08 | Equipment | 235 | 235 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 34,250 | 285 | ..... | ..... | 33,965 |
| | Dental Bureau | | | | | |
| 650.101.09 | Permanent Salaries | 22,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,800 |
| 650.200.09 | Contractual Services | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 650.300.09 | Materials and Supplies | 1,075 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 1,025 |
| | | 23,975 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 23,925 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Child Welfare—Medical | | | | | |
| 650.101.10 | Permanent Salaries | 13,120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,120 |
| 650.200.10 | Contractual Services | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.10 | Materials and Supplies | 10 | 10 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 13,430 | 310 | ..... | ..... | 13,120 |
| | Food and Milk Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.11 | Permanent Salaries | 64,380 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 64,380 |
| 650.200.11 | Contractual Services | 4,180 | 2,680 | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 650.300.11 | Materials and Supplies | 1,665 | 1,265 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 650.400.11 | Equipment | 650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 70,875 | 4,595 | ..... | ..... | 66,280 |
| | Chemical Laboratory | | | | | |
| 650.101.12 | Permanent Salaries | 9,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,300 |
| 650.300.12 | Materials and Supplies | 600 | 100 | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 650.400.12 | Equipment | 900 | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,800 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | 9,800 |
| | Plumbing Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.13 | Permanent Salaries | 29,340 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 29,340 |
| 650.200.13 | Contractual Services | 1,480 | 1,445 | ..... | ..... | 35 |
| 650.300.13 | Materials and Supplies | 1,360 | 1,330 | ..... | ..... | 30 |
| 650.400.13 | Equipment | 1,950 | 1,950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 34,130 | 4,725 | ..... | ..... | 29,405 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Housing Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.14 | Permanent Salaries | 26,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,400 |
| 650.200.14 | Contractual Services | 510 | 110 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 650.300.14 | Materials and Supplies | 475 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 25 |
| | | 27,385 | 560 | ..... | ..... | 26,825 |
| | Industrial Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.15 | Permanent Salaries | 10,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,320 |
| 650.200.15 | Contractual Services | 2,510 | 660 | ..... | ..... | 1,850 |
| 650.300.15 | Materials and Supplies | 235 | 210 | ..... | ..... | 25 |
| 650.400.15 | Equipment | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 13,365 | 1,170 | ..... | ..... | 12,195 |
| | City Physicians | | | | | |
| 650.101.16 | Permanent Salaries | 18,000 | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | 21,600 |
| 650.200.16 | Contractual Services | 2,100 | 2,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 20,100 | 2,100 | 3,600 | ..... | 21,600 |
| | Field Nursing—Administration | | | | | |
| 650.101.17 | Permanent Salaries | 31,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,980 |
| 650.200.17 | Contractual Services | 85 | 85 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.17 | Materials and Supplies | 305 | 305 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 32,370 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 31,980 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Field Nursing—Schools | | | | | |
| 650.101.18 | Permanent Salaries | 75,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75,240 |
| 650.200.18 | Contractual Services | 1,275 | 275 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 650.300.18 | Materials and Supplies | 1,930 | 930 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 78,445 | 1,205 | ..... | ..... | 77,240 |
| | Field Nursing—Other | | | | | |
| 650.101.19 | Permanent Salaries | 39,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 39,600 |
| 650.200.19 | Contractual Services | 2,100 | 700 | ..... | ..... | 1,400 |
| 650.231.19 | Heat, Light, and Power | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.19 | Materials and Supplies | 3,280 | 1,295 | ..... | ..... | 1,985 |
| 650.800.19 | Rentals | 1,590 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,590 |
| | | 46,970 | 2,395 | ..... | ..... | 44,575 |
| | Social Services | | | | | |
| 650.101.20 | Permanent Salaries | 8,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,700 |
| 650.200.20 | Contractual Services | 960 | 185 | ..... | ..... | 775 |
| 650.300.20 | Materials and Supplies | 840 | 840 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,500 | 1,025 | ..... | ..... | 9,475 |
| | Tuberculosis Bureau | | | | | |
| 650.101.21 | Permanent Salaries | 13,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,860 |
| 650.200.21 | Contractual Services | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 650.300.21 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 650.350.21 | Foodstuffs | 5,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,200 |
| | | 20,010 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 19,810 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Outpatient Maternity Services | | | | | |
| 650.101.22 | Permanent Salaries | 10,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,080 |
| 650.200.22 | Contractual Services | 245 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 195 |
| 650.300.22 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,425 | 150 | ..... | ..... | 10,275 |
| 651 | Laguna Honda Home | | | | | |
| 651.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 131,092 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 131,092 |
| 651.101.01 | Inmate Help | 27,605 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 27,605 |
| 651.101.02 | Institutional Help | 56,784 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 56,784 |
| 651.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 2,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,400 |
| 651.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,250 | 890 | ..... | ..... | 2,360 |
| 651.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 25,000 | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 651.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 68,350 | 32,050 | ..... | ..... | 36,300 |
| 651.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 230,000 | 14,000 | ..... | ..... | 216,000 |
| 651.400.00 | Equipment | 8,200 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | 3,200 |
| 651.108.00 | Employees' Room Allowance | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 651.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 558,681 | 76,940 | ..... | ..... | 481,741 |
| 652 | Isolation Hospital | | | | | |
| 652.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 25,002 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,002 |
| 652.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help | 12,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,220 |
| | | 37,222 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,222 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 653 | San Francisco Hospital | | | | | |
| 653.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 274,282 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 274,282 |
| 653.101.01 | Internes and Student Nurses | 18,040 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,040 |
| 653.101.02 | Nursing | 235,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 235,800 |
| 653.101.03 | Institutional Help | 251,743 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 251,743 |
| 653.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 8,113 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,113 |
| 653.200.00 | Contractual Services | 10,118 | 3,618 | ..... | ..... | 6,500 |
| 653.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 30,000 | 30,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 653.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 180,000 | 35,150 | ..... | ..... | 144,850 |
| 653.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 250,000 | 28,800 | ..... | ..... | 221,200 |
| 653.400.00 | Equipment | 35,000 | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 653.108.00 | Room Allowance for Employees | 60,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60,000 |
| 653.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | | 1,363,096 | 132,568 | ..... | ..... | 1,230,528 |
| 654 | Emergency Hospitals | | | | | |
| 654.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 145,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 145,500 |
| 654.101.01 | Nursing | 35,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,460 |
| 654.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 11,960 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,960 |
| 654.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,970 | 3,970 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 654.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,800 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 654.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 12,915 | 4,645 | ..... | ..... | 8,270 |
| 654.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 3,500 | 125 | ..... | ..... | 3,375 |
| 654.400.00 | Equipment | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 654.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,200 |
| | | 225,305 | 15,540 | ..... | ..... | 209,765 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 655 | Hassler Health Home | | | | | |
| 655.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 32,010 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 32,010 |
| 655.101.01 | Institutional Help | 12,480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,480 |
| 655.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 935 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 935 |
| 655.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,000 | 1,220 | ..... | ..... | 1,780 |
| 655.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 4,000 | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 655.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 11,500 | 3,975 | ..... | ..... | 7,525 |
| 655.350.00 | Foodstuffs. | 27,000 | 2,175 | ..... | ..... | 24,825 |
| 655.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 655.812.00 | Taxes | 425 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425 |
| 655.108.00 | Employees' Room Allowance | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| | | 93,850 | 11,870 | ..... | ..... | 81,980 |
| 656 | COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 656.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 44,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 44,940 |
| 656.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 656.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,900 | 1,300 | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 656.200.01 | Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 656.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 656.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 656.804.01 | Widows' Pensions | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |
| 656.804.02 | Maintenance of Aged | 1,550,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,550,000 |
| 656.804.03 | Blind Pensions | 115,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 115,000 |
| | | 1,975,940 | 5,300 | ..... | ..... | 1,970,640 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 657 | CORONER | | | | | |
| 657.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 52,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,280 |
| 657.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,277 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,277 |
| 657.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,085 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 635 |
| 657.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,030 | 950 | ..... | ..... | 1,080 |
| 657.400.00 | Equipment | 2,392 | 2,392 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 657.811.00 | Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc. | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| | | 59,564 | 3,792 | ..... | ..... | 55,772 |
| 658 | AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 658.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 15,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,900 |
| 658.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,250 | 2,050 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 658.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 658.400.00 | Equipment | 50 | 50 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 658.809.00 | Rents, Office | 960 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 960 |
| | | 19,560 | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | 17,060 |
| 659 | DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES | | | | | |
| 659.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 19,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 19,800 |
| 659.200.00 | Contractual Services | 350 | 300 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 659.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 615 | 615 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 659.400.00 | Equipment | 650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 659.809.00 | Garage Rental | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| | | 21,715 | 1,565 | ..... | ..... | 20,150 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 660 | CONTROLLER | | | | | |
| 660.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 180,394 | ..... | ..... | 8,400 | 188,794 |
| 660.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 9,785 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,785 |
| 660.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,143 | 3,085 | ..... | ..... | 1,058 |
| 660.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 13,600 | 13,400 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 660.400.00 | Equipment | 2,200 | 2,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 660.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 480 |
| 660.248.09 | Police Department Accounting | ..... | ..... | 2,280 | ..... | 2,280 |
| 660.248.65 | Audit of Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| 660.248.66 | Audit of Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| 660.248.67 | Audit of Hetch Hetchy Power | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 | 900 |
| 660.248.68 | Audit of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | ..... | ..... | 850 | 850 |
| 660.248.72 | Audit of Employees' Retirement System | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| | | 210,602 | 18,685 | 2,280 | 16,200 | 210,397 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 660.233.00 | Pre-Legislative and Legislative Expense | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 660.705.01 | Judgments and Claims | 45,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,000 |
| 660.705.02 | Auto Liability Claims | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| | | 272,602 | 18,685 | 2,280 | 16,200 | 272,397 |
| 661 | CITY PLANNING COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 661.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 9,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,500 |
| 661.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 661.106.00 | Commissioners' Fees | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 661.200.00 | Contractual Services | 285 | 285 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 661.203.00 | Auto Hire | 460 | 460 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 661.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 275 | 275 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 15,820 | 1,020 | ..... | ..... | 14,800 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 663 | LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS | | | | | |
| 663.214.63 | Maintenance and Repair of Structures | 1,500 | | | | 1,500 |
| 663.231.00 | Lighting of Public Streets | 688,000 | | | 40,000 | 728,000 |
| | Electricity and Gas | | | | | |
| 663.231.07 | Sheriff | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 663.231.09 | Police Department | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 663.231.10 | Fire Department | | | 18,500 | | 18,500 |
| 663.231.12 | Park | | | | 46,470 | 46,470 |
| 663.231.13 | Recreation | | | | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 663.231.14 | Library | | | | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| 663.231.15 | War Memorial—General | | | | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| 663.231.15-1 | War Memorial—Art Museum | | | | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 663.231.17 | California Palace of Legion of Honor | | | | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| 663.231.18 | de Young Museum | | | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 663.231.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 1,750 | | 1,750 |
| 663.231.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 190 | | 190 |
| 663.231.33 | Purchasing Department | | | 3,900 | | 3,900 |
| 663.231.35 | Real Estate Department—(Auditorium) | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 663.231.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 663.231.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 663.231.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 663.231.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 130 | | 130 |
| 663.231.44 | Sewage Pumping | | | 4,700 | | 4,700 |
| 663.231.45 | Asphalt Plant (Street Repair) | | | | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 663.231.46 | Drawbridges (Bridges) | | | | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| 663.231.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,700 | | 1,700 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 663.231.50-1 | Administration | | | 4,100 | | 4,100 |
| 663.231.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 663.231.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 663.231.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| 663.231.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| 663.231.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Electricity and Gas (Continued) | | | | | |
| 663.231.63 | Public Building Lighting | 27,200 | | | | 27,200 |
| 663.231.64 | Airport | | | | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 663.231.65 | Municipal Railway | | | | 425,000 | 425,000 |
| 663.231.66 | Water Department | | | | 169,500 | 169,500 |
| 663.231.69 | Schools | | | | 52,500 | 52,500 |
| 663.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | | | | 100 |
| 663.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | | | | 500 |
| 663.509.02 | Van Ness Ave. Underground, Market to North Point Sts. | 65,000 | | | | 65,000 |
| 663.509.03 | Underground Across Golden Gate Park, 19th Ave. to 14th Ave. | 7,600 | | | | 7,600 |
| 663.509.04 | Harrison St. Underground, Embarcadero to 5th St. | 18,000 | | | | 18,000 |
| 663.509.05 | Bryant St. Underground, 2d to 5th Sts. | 9,400 | | | | 9,400 |
| 663.509.06 | Folsom St. Underground, Embarcadero to 10th St. | 40,000 | | | | 40,000 |
| 663.509.07 | Sixth St. Underground, Mission to Townsend Sts. | 14,000 | | | | 14,000 |
| 663.509.01 | Alterations to Secure Lower Rates | 2,500 | | | | 2,500 |
| 663.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 21,700 | | | | 21,700 |
| | | 895,500 | | 130,135 | 790,470 | 1,816,105 |
| 671 | CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 671.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 52,220 | | | | 52,220 |
| 671.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,480 | | | | 6,480 |
| 671.200.00 | Contractual Services | 880 | 200 | | | 680 |
| 671.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | | | |
| 671.400.00 | Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | |
| | | 65,080 | 5,700 | | | 59,380 |
| 673.200.00 | PUBLIC POUND | 18,000 | | | | 18,000 |
| | Total | 18,455,618 | 996,512 | 996,512 | 840,930 | 19,296,548 |
| | Transfer from Water Department | —1,407,984 | | | 1,407,984 | |
| | TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 17,047,634 | 996,512 | 996,512 | 2,248,914 | 19,296,548 |

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 602 | MAYOR | | | | | |
| 602.900.00 | Emergency Reserve Fund | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 |
| 612 | PARK DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 612.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 116,890 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 116,890 |
| 612.103.00 | Wages | 695,739 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 695,739 |
| 612.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| 612.200.00 | Contractual Services | 15,655 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,655 |
| 612.218.00 | Concerts | 21,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,000 |
| 612.231.00 | Heat, Light, Power, etc | 46,470 | 46,470 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 612.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—Park | 63,085 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 63,085 |
| 612.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Commissary | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 612.324.00 | Forage | 28,838 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,838 |
| 612.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 75,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75,000 |
| 612.400.00 | Equipment | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 612.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 15,495 | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | 13,495 |
| 612.806.00 | Retirement Allowances | 35,500 | 35,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 612.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,500 |
| 612.500.00 | Rehabilitation—Palace of Fine Arts | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 612.500.01 | Soil—Fertilizer—Trees (Miscellaneous Improvements) | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 612.600.01 | Fleishhacker Playfield—Land | 38,256 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 38,256 |
| 612.600.02 | Lafayette Park—Land | 30,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 30,160 |
| | Total Park Fund | 1,276,088 | 83,970 | ..... | ..... | 1,192,118 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

That portion of the Park appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount provided by a levy of 10¼c on each $100 of the 1936-37 Assessment Roll, plus $103,416.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 613 | RECREATION DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 613.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 298,978 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 298,978 |
| 613.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 3,395 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,395 |
| 613.103.00 | Wages. . | 34,698 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,698 |
| 613.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 613.200.00 | Contractual Services | 39,273 | 715 | ..... | ..... | 38,558 |
| 613.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 6,000 | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 613.203.01 | Truck Hire | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 613.203.02 | Auto Hire | 2,748 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,748 |
| 613.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 34,592 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,592 |
| 613.400.00 | Equipment. . | 3,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,240 |
| 613.600.01 | Bayview District—Land | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 613.600.02 | Upper Noe District—Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.04 | Eureka Valley Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.06 | Longfellow Site Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.08 | Sunset District Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.10 | Sigmund Stern Land | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 613.600.11 | Grattan Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.12 | Corona Heights Land | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 613.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 2,334 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,334 |
| 613.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | 666 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 666 |
| 613.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 11,000 | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 613.809.00 | Rents. . | 3,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,740 |
| 613.101.01 | Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries | 5,826 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,826 |
| 613.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 6,856 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,856 |
| 613.103.01 | Wages. . | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 613.200.01 | Contractual Services | 3,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,727 |
| 613.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 3,287 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,287 |
| 613.350.01 | Foodstuffs. . | 14,854 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,854 |
| | Total Recreation Fund | 611,564 | 17,715 | ..... | ..... | 593,849 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7c on each $100 of the 1936-

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 614 | *LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 614.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 192,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 192,240 |
| 614.103.00 | Wages. . | 59,135 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 59,135 |
| 614.200.00 | Contractual Services | 34,485 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 34,035 |
| 614.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 614.200.01 | Binding. . | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 614.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 614.328.00 | Books and Bindery Materials | 80,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 80,000 |
| 614.400.00 | Equipment. . | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 614.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 6,500 | 6,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 614.809.00 | Rents. . | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 614.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| | Total Library Fund | 454,360 | 19,950 | ..... | ..... | 434,410 |
| 615 | WAR MEMORIAL | | | | | |
| | General Departments | | | | | |
| 615.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 71,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 71,460 |
| 615.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 615.103.00 | Wages. . | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 615.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,883 | 383 | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| 615.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 14,000 | 14,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 615.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 615.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| | Art Museum | | | | | |
| 615.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 5,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,220 |
| 615.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 435 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 435 |
| 615.231.01 | Heat, Light, and Power | 8,000 | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 615.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 750 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 750 |
| | Total War Memorial Fund | 118,248 | 22,383 | ..... | ..... | 95,865 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

*That portion of the Library appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5c on each $100 of the 1936-1937 Assessment Roll, plus $31,755.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 617 | CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR | | | | | |
| 617.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 37,216 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,216 |
| 617.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 700 |
| 617.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 617.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,400 | 60 | ..... | ..... | 4,340 |
| 617.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 3,600 | 3,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 617.200.01 | Exhibitions | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 617.203.00 | Auto Hire | 720 | 240 | ..... | ..... | 480 |
| 617.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 617.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 617.800.00 | Sundry Fixed Charges | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 150 |
| 617.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 617.900.00 | Services of Other Departments (de Young Museum) | 11,980 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total California Palace of Legion of Honor Fund | 74,966 | 15,880 | ..... | ..... | 59,086 |
| 618 | DE YOUNG MUSEUM | | | | | |
| 618.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 55,000 | ..... | ..... | 11,980 | 66,980 |
| 618.103.00 | Wages | 1,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,680 |
| 618.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 520 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 520 |
| 618.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,000 | 230 | ..... | ..... | 1,770 |
| 618.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,500 | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 618.203.00 | Auto Hire | 325 | 240 | ..... | ..... | 85 |
| 618.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,900 |
| 618.400.00 | Equipment | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 618.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 875 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 875 |
| 618.200.01 | Exhibitions | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| | Total de Young Museum Fund | 71,100 | 1,970 | ..... | 11,980 | 81,110 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 626 | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER | | | | | |
| 626.277.00 | Publicity and Advertising Fund | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 |
| 626.703.02 | Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 |
| 626.800.01 | General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | 5,413,085 | ..... | ..... | 711,991 | 6,125,076 |
| 626.800.02 | P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | 2,053,397 | ..... | ..... | 6,339,136 | 8,392,533 |
| 626.803.01 | Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 626.803.02 | Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 |
| 626.804.00 | Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund | 900,000 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | 895,500 |
| 678.000.00 | WPA Projects Fund | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 |
| | | 9,106,433 | 4,500 | ..... | 7,051,127 | 16,153,060 |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| | COUNTY ROAD FUND | | | | | |
| 645 | Street Repair | | | | | |
| 645.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 9,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,900 |
| 645.103.00 | Wages | 210,723 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 210,723 |
| 645.200.00 | Contractual Services | 10,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,320 |
| 645.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power (Asphalt Plant) | 1,100 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 645.203.01 | Truck Hire | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 645.203.02 | Auto Hire | 720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 720 |
| 645.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 76,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 76,000 |
| 645.400.00 | Equipment | 4,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,300 |
| 645.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 645.806.00 | Retirement Charges | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 645.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 10,650 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,650 |
| | | 354,213 | 14,100 | ..... | ..... | 340,113 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | COUNTY ROAD FUND (Continued) | | | | | |
| 646 | Bridges | | | | | |
| 646.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 50,880 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,880 |
| 646.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 2,190 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,190 |
| 646.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,048 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,048 |
| 646.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,800 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 646.214.00 | Maintenance and Repair to Bridges | 4,846 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,846 |
| 646.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 720 |
| 646.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 3,090 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,090 |
| | | 64,574 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | 62,774 |
| 647 | General | | | | | |
| 647.231.00 | Boulevard Lighting | 40,000 | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption (1927 Boulevards) | 200,000 | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.902.00 | Services of Real Estate Department | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 647.903.01 | Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.903.02 | Traffic (Services of Police Department) | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 647.903.03 | Stop, Stop and Go, Signals | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 647.907.00 | Streets Signs—New, and Repairs | 6,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,500 |
| 647.908.00 | Sunset Boulevard Maintenance | 18,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,000 |
| 647.909.00 | Boulevard Tree Maintenance | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 |
| | | 300,500 | 245,000 | ..... | ..... | 55,500 |
| 648 | Street Construction | | | | | |
| 648.901.00 | Bay Bridge Approach—Payment to State of California | 97,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 97,500 |
| 648.905.00 | Castro Street to Divisadero—Divisional Highway | 54,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,600 |
| 648.938.14 | Engineering Studies of Special Improvements | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 648.939.14 | Engineering Studies, E. of Van Ness Ave., N. of California St. | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 648.940.00 | Taylor Street—Market to Post Streets | 28,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,000 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | COUNTY ROAD FUND (Continued) | | | | | |
| 648 | Street Construction (Continued) | | | | | |
| 648.941.00 | Pennsylvania Ave.—Eighteenth to Nineteenth Sts. | 4,800 | | | | 4,800 |
| 648.942.00 | Williams Ave.—Third and Newhall Sts. | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 |
| 648.943.00 | Silver Ave.—Amherst to Princeton | 8,800 | | | | 8,800 |
| 648.944.00 | Parker Ave.—Geary to Fulton | 40,000 | | | | 40,000 |
| 648.945.00 | Mendell St.—Innes to Jerrold Aves. | 7,200 | | | | 7,200 |
| 648.946.00 | Diamond St.—Sussex and Surrey Sts. | 5,400 | | | | 5,400 |
| 648.947.00 | Harriet—Harrison and Bryant Sts. | 15,000 | | | | 15,000 |
| 648.948.00 | Chesley—Harrison and Bryant Sts. | | | | | |
| 648.949.00 | Noriega—Ninth to Eleventh Aves. | 1,440 | | | | 1,440 |
| 648.980.00 | Ninth Ave.—Kirkham and Pacheco | 4,200 | | | | 4,200 |
| 648.981.00 | Taraval St.—Dewey Blvd. to Twelfth Ave. | 11,000 | | | | 11,000 |
| 648.982.00 | Golden Gate Bridge Approach | 40,000 | | | | 40,000 |
| 648.983.00 | Oregon St., Open into Embarcadero | 18,000 | | | | 18,000 |
| 648.984.00 | Joint Highway District No. 9 | 11,550 | | | | 11,550 |
| 648.985.00 | Joint Highway District No. 10 | 22,600 | | | | 22,600 |
| | | 400,090 | | | | 400,090 |
| | Total County Road Fund | 1,119,377 | 260,900 | | | 858,477 |
| 677 | SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND | | | | | |
| 677.902.00 | Seventh Avenue—Lincoln to Noriega | 12,000 | | | | 12,000 |
| 677.903.00 | Ocean Avenue—San Jose to Nineteenth Avenues | 111,000 | | | | 111,000 |
| 677.904.00 | Third and Channel Bridge | 1,545 | | | | 1,545 |
| 677.905.00 | Sixth and Channel Bridge | 6,520 | | | | 6,520 |
| 677.906.00 | Third and Islais Bridge | 40,195 | | | | 40,195 |
| | Unappropriated surplus | 328,740 | | | | 328,740 |
| | Total Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | | | | 500,000 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 649 | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY | | | | | |
| 649.900.00 | Installation Fund | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 660 | CONTROLLER | | | | | |
| 660.705.00 | Tax Judgments Fund | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 |
| | SCHOOL DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 669 | Common School Fund (Tentative) | 9,347,725 | 475,500 | ..... | ..... | 8,872,225 |
| 670 | Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) | 977,000 | 800 | ..... | ..... | 976,200 |
| | | 10,324,725 | 476,300 | ..... | ..... | 9,848,425 |
| 672 | EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM | | | | | |
| 672.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 28,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,740 |
| 672.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,825 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,825 |
| 672.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 1,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,300 |
| 672.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,392 | 42 | ..... | ..... | 1,350 |
| 672.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 672.400.00 | Equipment | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 672.800.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 1,640,452 | ..... | ..... | 641,104 | 2,281,556 |
| 672.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 1,677,809 | 3,542 | ..... | 641,104 | 2,315,371 |
| 672.815.00 | Compensation Insurance | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| | Total Employees' Retirement Fund | 1,717,809 | 3,542 | ..... | 641,104 | 2,355,371 |

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 662 | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 662.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | | | | 50,640 | 50,640 |
| 662.106.00 | Legal Expenses—City Attorney's Office | | 21,400 | | 21,400 | |
| 662.200.00 | Contractual Services | | | | 15,494 | 15,494 |
| 662.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | | | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 662.400.00 | Equipment | | | | 250 | 250 |
| 662.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | | | | 200 | 200 |
| 662.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | | | | 1,261 | 1,261 |
| | Total Public Utilities Commission Fund | | 21,400 | | 90,745 | 69,345 |
| 664 | SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT | | | | | |
| 664.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 17,400 | | | | 17,400 |
| 664.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 21,000 | | | | 21,000 |
| 664.103.00 | Wages | 1,737 | | | | 1,737 |
| 664.200.00 | Contractual Services | 12,000 | | | | 12,000 |
| 664.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 8,000 | 8,000 | | | |
| 664.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | | | | 3,500 |
| 664.400.00 | Equipment | 5,500 | 5,500 | | | |
| 664.600.00 | Land Purchases | 113,550 | | | | 113,550 |
| 664.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | 257 | | | | 257 |
| 664.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 1,356 | 1,356 | | | |
| 664.812.00 | Taxes | 2,088 | | | | 2,088 |
| | Total Airport Fund | 186,388 | 14,856 | | | 171,532 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 665 | MUNICIPAL RAILWAY OPERATING FUND | | | | | |
| 665.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 235,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 235,320 |
| 665.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,566 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,566 |
| 665.103.00 | Wages | 1,766,235 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,766,235 |
| 665.200.00 | Contractual Services | 95,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 95,000 |
| 665.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 425,000 | 425,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 120,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 120,000 |
| 665.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 182,500 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 665.804.00 | Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 665.808.00 | Passenger and Damage Claims | 70,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 70,000 |
| 665.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 100,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100,000 |
| 665.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 100,000 | 100,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Controller | 2,125 | 2,125 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.02 | Dept. of Public Works | 8,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,250 |
| 665.900.03 | Public Utilities Commission | 11,827 | 11,827 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.04 | Pub. Util. Com.—Claims Bureau | 11,220 | 11,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.05 | Pub. Util. Com.—Engineering | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| | Retirement System: | | | | | |
| 665.900.06 | Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital) | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 665.900.07 | Employee Claims | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund | 3,154,543 | 732,672 | ..... | ..... | 2,421,871 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 666 | WATER REVENUE | | | | | |
| 666.101.00 | *Permanent Salaries | 538,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 538,320 |
| 666.102.00 | *Temporary Salaries | 25,018 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,018 |
| 666.103.00 | *Wages | 43,287 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 43,287 |
| 666.200.00 | Contractual Services | 105,195 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 105,195 |
| 666.212.01 | Maintenance—Source of Supply | 152,913 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 152,913 |
| 666.212.02 | Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution | 208,480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 208,480 |
| 666.212.03 | Maintenance—General | 25,415 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,415 |
| 666.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 169,500 | 169,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 666.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 4,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,280 |
| 666.400.00 | Equipment | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 666.500.00 | Additions and Betterments | 135,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 135,000 |
| 666.800.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1928 Spring Valley | 2,530,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.800.02 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Water | 400,000 | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.800.03 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 47,025 | 47,025 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.705.00 | Injuries and Damages | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 666.815.00 | Compensation Insurance | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 666.818.00 | Other Insurance | 6,895 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,895 |
| 666.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 43,000 | 43,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.812.00 | Taxes | 205,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 205,000 |
| 666.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 74,385 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 74,385 |
| 666.900.01 | Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | 106,561 | 106,561 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.02 | Services of Public Utilities Commission—Bur. Engineering | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 |
| 666.900.03 | Services of Public Utilities Commission | 47,372 | 47,372 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.04 | Services of Controller | 3,950 | 3,950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.05 | Appropriation to General Fund | 1,407,984 | 1,407,984 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total Water Revenue Fund | 6,339,080 | 4,755,392 | ..... | ..... | 1,583,688 |

*To be detailed by operating bureaus in the Appropriation Ledger.

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 667 | HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE | | | | | |
| 667.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 83,790 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 83,790 |
| 667.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 3,176 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,176 |
| 667.200.00 | Contractual Services | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 667.200.01 | Plant Repairs | 895 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 895 |
| 667.200.03 | Transmission Maintenance | 11,125 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,125 |
| 667.200.04 | Miscellaneous Maintenance | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 667.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 667.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 667.400.00 | Equipment | 4,850 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,850 |
| 667.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 1,157,306 | 1,157,306 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.800.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Issues) | 959,548 | 959,548 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.815.00 | Compensation Insurance Premiums | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 667.816.00 | Surety Bond Premiums | 58 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 58 |
| 667.817.00 | Automobile Insurance Premiums | 859 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 859 |
| 667.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.809.00 | Land and Building Leases | 350 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350 |
| 667.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| 667.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm | 15,326 | 15,326 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.900.02 | —Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering | 16,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,400 |
| 667.900.03 | —Controller | 1,775 | 1,775 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.900.04 | —Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | 114,442 | 114,442 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | 2,438,900 | 2,253,397 | ..... | ..... | 185,503 |

(Details of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 668 | HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY | | | | | |
| 668.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | ..... | ..... | ..... | 63,300 | 63,300 |
| 668.200.00 | Contractual Services | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 668.212.01 | Plant Maintenance | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,950 | 6,950 |
| 668.212.02 | Routine Maintenance | ..... | ..... | ..... | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| 668.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 668.400.00 | Equipment | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,735 | 3,735 |
| 668.500.00 | Buildings, Structures and Improvements | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,949 | 21,949 |
| 668.801.00 | Accident Compensation | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,461 | 1,461 |
| 668.817.00 | Automobile Insurance Premiums | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 500 |
| 668.805.00 | Fee to U. S. Government (Raker Act) | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 668.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | ..... | 1,948 | ..... | 1,948 | ..... |
| 668.809.00 | Land and Building Leases | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90 | 90 |
| 668.812.00 | Taxes | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 668.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,620 | 9,620 |
| 668.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| 668.900.02 | —Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 668.900.03 | —Controller | ..... | 950 | ..... | 950 | ..... |
| | Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | ..... | 7,898 | ..... | 221,003 | 213,105 |
| | TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT | 12,118,911 | 7,785,615 | ..... | 311,748 | 4,645,044 |

DETAIL OF CAPITAL FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES, 1936-1937

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | | | | | |
| | 1932 HETCH HETCHY BONDS | | | | | |
| 692.900.00 | Roads and Trails in Yosemite National Park | 250,000 | | | | 250,000 |
| | 1933 WATER DISTRIBUTION GRANT | | | | | |
| 693.900.04 | Bond Redemption | 807,262 | 807,262 | | | |
| | 1933 HETCH HETCHY DAM GRANT | | | | | |
| 694.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 255,495 | 255,495 | | | |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| | 1933 SEWER BONDS GRANT | | | | | |
| 695.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 61,202 | 61,202 | | | |
| | 1933 HIGH PRESSURE SYSTEM GRANT | | | | | |
| 696.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 106,538 | 106,538 | | | |
| | 1934 SCHOOL HOUSE GRANT | | | | | |
| 698.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 344,251 | 344,251 | | | |
| | TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,824,748 | 1,574,748 | | | 250,000 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 6:35 p. m., on motion of Supervisor Shannon, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, June 1, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT

Vol. 31—New Series No. 22

Monday, May 25, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

ALLIED PRINTING TRADES UNION LABEL COUNCIL SAN FRANCISCO

SAN FRANCISCO

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, MAY 25, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 25, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 14, 15 and 18, 1936, were laid over for approval until next meeting.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Lease of 333 Kearny Street for District Attorney, et al.**
(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 2562, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal Corporation, as lessee, enter into a written lease with A. P. Jacobs, as lessor, for office space required for the District Attorney, Public Defender and Probation Officer, of certain space situated at 333 Kearny street, San Francisco, California, for a term of five (5) years, at a monthly rental of $639. Said lease shall begin the first day of July, 1936.

The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Matt Brady, District Attorney, and Gerald Kenny, Public Defender, were heard at length opposing the proposed lease of 333 Kearny street; both officials favored the lease of the L. Lurie building at Sacramento and Montgomery streets.

Erwin Easton, attorney, representing Mr. Jacobs, owner of property at 333 Kearny street, was heard in explanation of what his client proposed to do to improve conditions for municipal departments at 333 Kearny street.

M. Ramiszotti, representing L. Lurie, was heard in explanation of the merits of the lease offered by L. Lurie at Sacramento and Montgomery streets.

Herbert Erskine, representing the Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, was heard in explanation of the lease he had to offer and its several advantages.

**Amendment.**

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Colman,

moved to amend the resolution by striking out the words "A. P. Jacobs" on the second line and inserting in lieu thereof the words "Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association," strike out "333 Kearny Street" in the fourth line and insert in lieu thereof "550 Montgomery St.", and strike out the figures "$639" in the sixth line and insert in lieu thereof "$880."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto—2.

### Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Havenner explained his vote by saying he voted "No" simply on account of the economy involved in the lesser bid.

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended and in words and figures following was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Lease of 550 Montgomery Street for District Attorney et al.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 2562, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, enter into a written lease with Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as lessor, for office space required for the District Attorney, Public Defender and Probation Officer, of certain space situated at 550 Montgomery street, San Francisco, California, for a term of five (5) years, at a monthly rental of $880. Said lease shall begin the first day of July, 1936.

The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## CONSIDERATION OF MOTION.

Supervisor Colman moved (for Supervisor Brown) that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of Fleet Week, August 13-19, and Harbor Day, August 15, 1936.

### Citizens' Fleet Week Committee.

Supervisor Brown moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the celebration of Fleet Week, August 13-19, 1936.

*So ordered.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Notice of Sale of Sewer Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, May 25, 1936, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$391,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1929."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum; comprising 23 bonds of one thousand dollars each, maturing January 1st each year, 1939 to 1955, inclusive. Interest payable January 1 and July 1.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

### Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read by the Clerk, and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co.; by B. G. Lyon, $391,000, with premium of $59,444.
2. Goldman, Sachs & Co., First of Michigan Corporation, Brush, Slocumb & Co.; by Brush, Slocumb & Co., $391,000, with premium of $57,160.29.
3. Lazard, Freres & Co., Inc.—Dick & Merle—Smity—Donnellan & Co.; by Donnellan & Co., $391,000, with premium of $60,257.01.
4. Brown Harriman & Co., Incorporated, Weeden & Co.; by Brown Harriman & Co., Incorporated, $391,000, with premium of $60,528.
5. Wm. Cavalier & Co., Syndicate Managers for Boettcher & Co., Graham, Parsons & Co., $391,000, with premium of $5,609.09.
6. Schwabacher & Co., Wm. R. Staats Company, Shaw, Glover & Co.; by Schwabacher & Co., $391,000, with premium of $58,458.41.
7. The First Boston Corporation, Griffith, Wegenseller & Durst; by The First Boston Corporation, plus accrued interest, $450,062.50.
8. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Union Bank & Trust Co. of Los Angeles; by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., $391,000, with premium of $56,734.10.
9. The Anglo California National Bank, Heller, Bruce & Co.; by The Anglo California National Bank, $391,000, with premium of $60,081.
10. Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago, Northern Trust Company, Chicago; by Harris Trust & Savings Bank, $391,000, with premium of $55,432.
11. Blyth & Co., Inc., American Trust Co.; by Blyth & Co., Inc., $391,000, with premium of $60,043.
12. Bankamerica Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co.; by Bankamerica Company Syndicate Manager, $391,000, with premium of $62,679.

### Adopted.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Sale $391,000 Sewer Bonds, 1929.

(Code No. 12.122)

Resolution No. 2593, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Sewer Bonds, 1929, to the amount of $391,000; said bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum; comprising 23 bonds of one thousand dollars each, maturing January 1 each year, 1939 to 1955, inclusive; interest payable January 1 and July 1, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 25th day of May, 1936; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company Syndicate Manager, by A. K. Browne, for the sum of $391,000 par value Sewer Bonds, 1929, of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

"San Francisco, Calif., May 25, 1936.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: For the $391,000 par value Sewer Bonds, 1929, of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery, and in addition thereto a premium of $62,679.00.

The bonds above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

$391,000 par value Sewer Bonds, 1929, of the City and County of San Francisco, California; dated January 1, 1929; of the denomination of $1,000 each; bearing interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually and maturing:

$23,000 par value on January 1st in each of the years 1939 to 1955, both years inclusive.

The above bid is made with the understanding that if successful we shall be furnished, without expense to ourselves, with the satisfactory legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood and Hoffman of New York City, New York, approving the legality of all of said $391,000 principal amount of bonds in all respects, as set forth in your regularly printed notice of sale.

Enclosed please find certified check for $10,000 drawn upon the Bank of America N. T. & S. A., which is to be returned to us in the event this bid is not accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
R. W. PRESSPRICH & CO.,
By BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
Syndicate Manager,
By A. K. BROWNE."

be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Bankamerica Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by A. K. Browne.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $6,241 from Emergency Reserve Fund for Payment of Salaries in County Welfare Department, During the Months of May and June, 1936.**

**(Code No. 9.051)**

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 989, Ordinance No. 9.051264, as follows:

Appropriating $6,241 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 for the payment of salaries in the County Welfare Department during the months of May and June, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,241 is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 for the payment of salaries in the County Welfare Department during the months of May and June, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Creating Underground District, Lombard Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Lyon Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 990, Ordinance No. 11.1215, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section NNN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section NNN.

Section NNN. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after the permanent improvement by physical widening of Lombard street, between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street to 100 feet, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 80, Lombard street between Van Ness avenue and Lyon street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Creating Underground District, Folsom Street Between First and Tenth Street.**

(Code No. 11.12)

Also, Bill No. 992, Ordinance No. 11.1217, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section LLL.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section LLL.

Section LLL. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after Folsom street between First and Tenth street is improved by widening, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 78, Folsom street between First street and Tenth street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on McCoppin Street and Duboce Avenue Between Market and Otis Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 993, Ordinance No. 12.073171, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sec-

tions to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-four (1174) and Eleven Hundred and Seventy-five (1175).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks" approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1936, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-four and Eleven Hundred and Seventy-five to read as follows:

Section 1174. The width of sidewalks on McCoppin street between Market street and Otis street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 1175. The width of sidewalks on Duboce avenue between Market street and Otis street shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Otis Street for its Entire Length.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 994, Ordinance No. 12.073172, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Seventy-three (173) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1936, by amending Section One Hundred and Seventy-three (173) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 173. The width of sidewalks on Otis street for its entire length shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Sidewalk Widths on Various Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 995, Ordinance No. 12.073173, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-eight (1158) to Eleven Hundred and Seventy-three (1173) inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 4, 1936, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Fifty-eight (1158) to Eleven Hundred and Seventy-three (1173) inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1158. The width of sidewalks on Rodgers street between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

Section 1159. The width of sidewalks on Ritch street between Folsom and Harrison streets shall be 7 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street, the easterly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be abolished;

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street, the westerly side of, between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 6 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Ritch street between Brannan and Townsend streets shall be abolished.

Section 1160. The width of sidewalks on Grote place between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be abolished.

Section 1161. The width of sidewalks on Zeno place between Folsom street and its southeasterly termination shall be abolished.

Section 1162. The width of sidewalks on Baldwin court between Folsom street and its northwesterly termination shall be abolished.

Section 1163. The width of sidewalks on Ecker street between Folsom street and its termination northwesterly from Clementina street shall be abolished.

Section 1164. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 6 feet.

Section 1165. The width of sidewalks on Moss street between Folsom street and a line parallel with and 275 feet northwesterly therefrom shall be 7 feet.

Section 1166. The width of sidewalks on Rausch street between Howard and Folsom streets shall be 8 feet.

Section 1167. The width of sidewalks on Gilbert street between Brannan street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

Section 1168. The width of sidewalks on Stanford street between Brannan and Townsend streets shall be abolished.

Section 1169. The width of sidewalks on Japan street between Brannan and Townsend streets shall be abolished.

Section 1170. The width of sidewalks on Center place between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 4 feet.

Section 1171. The width of sidewalks on Zoe street between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 4 feet.

Section 1172. The width of sidewalks on Boardman place between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 7 feet.

Section 1173. The width of sidewalks on Dore street between Bryant and Brannan streets shall be 7 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Grades on Noriega Street Between Eleventh and Twelfth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 996, Ordinance No. 12.072221, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Noriega street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of March, 1936, by Resolution No. 2422, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Noriega street between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues; and

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first Publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows: As shown on map entitled "Amended Grade Map of a portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved by Director of Works, Order No. 3556, February 7, 1936, and filed in this office February 14, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee Streets Between Army and Tulare Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 997, Ordinance No. 12.072222, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee streets between Army and Tulare streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of March, 1936, by Resolution No. 2425, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee streets between Army and Tulare streets; and

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first Publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Indiana Street.*

Army street, 2.00 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Tulare street, base (the same being the present official grade).

*Minnesota Street.*

Easterly line of, at Army street, 3.60 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Westerly line of, at Army street, 3.10 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Tulare street, base (the same being the present official grade).

*Tennessee Street.*

Easterly line of, at Army street, 5.00 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Westerly line of, at Army street, 4.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Tulare street, base (the same being the present official grade).

On Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee streets, between Army and Tulare streets, be changed and established to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Changing Grades on Galvez and Hudson Avenues Between Selby and Toland Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 998, Ordinance No. 12.072223, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Galvez and Hudson avenues between Selby and Toland streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 2nd day of March, 1936, by Resolution No. 2424, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Galvez and Hudson avenues between Selby street and Toland street; and

Whereas, said Resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first Publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said

Resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the Resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

*Galvez Avenue.*

Selby street, 1.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 3.00 feet.

Toland street, 1.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

*Hudson Avenue.*

Northeasterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 1.90 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southwesterly line of, at Selby street northwesterly line, 2.10 feet (the same being the present official grade).

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street 3.50 feet.

Northeasterly line of, at Toland street southeasterly line, 1.90 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Southwesterly line of, at Toland street southeasterly line, 2.10 feet (the same being the present official grade).

On Galvez and Hudson avenues, between Selby and Toland streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Full Acceptance of Stanyan Street (Formerly Henderson Avenue) Between Geary Boulevard and Saint Rose's Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 999, Ordinance No. 12.081122, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) between Geary boulevard and Saint Rose's avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Stanyan street (formerly Henderson avenue) between Geary boulevard and Saint Rose's avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Van Ness Avenue Between Market Street and Its Northerly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1001, Ordinance No. 12.073174, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-four (1134).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 8, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-four (1134) to read as follows:

Section 1134. The width of sidewalks on Van Ness avenue between Market street and its northerly termination shall be sixteen (16) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was *laid over one week*:

**Regulating Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions and Establishing Procedure for Fixing and Collecting Fees.**

(Code No. 18.01)

Bill No. 1000, Ordinance No. 18.012, as follows:

Providing for and establishing the procedure for the admission of patients to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home; and establishing the method for determining, fixing, and collecting reasonable charges for the care and treatment of certain persons.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There shall be admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, the following:

a. An indigent sick person of the City and County of San Francisco who possesses the required residence qualifications, upon application and after investigation and approval by the Director of Health;

b. A psychopath, narcotic addict or habitual inebriate temporarily in custody;

c. A physically defective and physically handicapped person under the age of eighteen years when the parents or guardian of such person are not financially able to secure proper care or treatment and when such person's admission and treatment has been duly authorized in the manner provided by law;

d. A prisoner confined to the City or County Jail who requires medical or surgical treatment necessitating hospitalization where such treatment cannot be furnished or supplied at such jail when any Court of the City and County shall have ordered the removal of such prisoner to the City and County hospital (and said prisoner elects not to furnish such treatment at his own expense);

e. A dependent, or partially dependent, poor sick person, who possesses the required residential qualifications;

f. A person in need of immediate hospitalization on account of accident or sudden sickness or injury or by reason of sickness or injury caused by or arising in a sudden public emergency or calamity or disaster;

g. A person in the active stages of tuberculosis, in wards established for the treatment of such persons;

h. A person to be quarantined or isolated in the City and County hospital with a contagious, communicable or infectious disease;

i. An expectant mother who is unable to pay for her care and the cost of her maintenance; (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the County of her residence);

j. An indigent sick or dependent poor person from another county which lacks the proper facilities for the caring of such patients; (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of which said person is a resident);

k. A City and County employee injured in the course of his employ-

ment by the City and County when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

l. A person sent by the Immigration authorities of the United States Government (under such conditions as may be contracted for between the Director of Health and the United States Government);

m. Provided, nothing in this section shall be construed as restraining the Director of Health from obeying or carrying out or giving effect to any law that may exist or be hereafter passed, relating to the hospitalization of patients in County hospitals which may affect the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home.

Section 2. All persons admitted or committed to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, under the provisions of subdivisions (c), (e), (f), (g), and (h) of Section 1 hereof, shall be investigated by the Director of Health, who shall determine the financial ability of such persons to pay, in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part for the institutional service rendered.

Section 3. The Director of Public Health shall bill every person legally obligated for institutional service rendered in the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, on the basis of the rates to be established as hereinafter provided, and to the extent of their ability to pay, in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part, as determined under Section 2 hereof.

Section 4. The care of all persons admitted to the several institutions enumerated herein under the provisions of subdivisions (i) and (j) of Section 1 hereof, shall be billed to the county of their residence for such services by the Director of Health on the basis of the rates to be established as hereinafter provided.

Section. 5. The care of all persons admitted to the several institutions enumerated herein under the provisions of subdivision (k) of Section 1 hereof, shall be billed to the Workmen's Compensation Division of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System.

Section 6. The Director of Health on or before the 15th day of July in each year shall compute the per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home.

The said computation of per diem costs with respect to each class of persons cared for in each institution shall be based on the following formula: "Total Expenses Divided by the Total Number of Patient Days." Total expenses shall include all elements of cost and expenses exclusive of taxes which are enumerated in the uniform classification of accounts contained in the "Hospital Accounting and Statistics," published by the American Hospital Association in May, 1935.

Section 7. The Director of Health shall report his said findings to the Chief Administrative Officer who shall examine the same and transmit the same with his approval, together with such suggestions and amendments as he may see fit, to the Board of Supervisors not later than the 20th day of July.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors shall, not later than the last Monday in August, with the recommendation of the Controller, determine and fix by resolution the proper and reasonable amounts to be charged to said persons when said persons by themselves or through relatives are legally obligated and able to pay in whole or in part for said institutional care.

Rates and charges so fixed by resolution shall remain effective until new rates and charges are fixed as in this ordinance provided.

The resolution determining and fixing said rates or charges shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said rates or charges.

Section 9. Pursuant to Section 64 of the Charter, the Controller shall prescribe the forms, methods and procedure to be followed in billing said persons or their relatives under this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently and designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his financial condition or means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly or through any agency whatsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon, respecting the financial condition or means or ability to pay, of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting, for the purpose of gaining admission to and receiving care and treatment in the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Said person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be billed by the institution rendering said services for the full amount of the cost of such institutional care and treatment, thus fraudulently obtained, in accordance with the basic rates, legally established and determined therefor.

Section 11. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Bill No. 703, Ordinance 18.011 is hereby repealed.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $4,500 Out of General Fund Surplus—Revenue From Federal Government for Board of United States Prisoners at County Jail.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1008, Ordinance No. 9.051267, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $4,500 to the credit of Appropriation 507.334.00, Foodstuffs, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in the revenue to be received from the Federal Government for the board of United States prisoners in the County Jail, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation 507.334.00, Foodstuffs, out of the surplus existing in the General Fund by virtue of the increase in the revenue to be received from the Federal Government for the board of United States prisoners in the County Jail.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to form: John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended: Daniel C. Murphy, Sheriff.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Sale of City Property on Portola Drive Near Twenty-fourth Street, San Francisco.**
(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Bill No. 1009, Ordinance No. 12.17295, as follows:

Authorizing sale of city property on Portola drive near Twenty-fourth street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works:

Beginning at a point distant 170 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street and 196.346 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Burnham street; thence westerly, parallel with said line of Twenty-fourth street, 6.804 feet to the proposed easterly line of Portola drive; thence southerly along said proposed line, on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 113 degrees 44 minutes 35 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 500 feet, central angle 13 degrees 48 minutes 06 seconds, 120.442 feet to a point distant 285 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street and 168.338 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Burnham street; thence deflecting 80 degrees 03 minutes 31 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running easterly, parallel with said line of Twenty-fourth street, 2.692 feet; thence deflecting 94 degrees to the left and running northerly 42.081 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 135 feet, central angle 33 degrees 30 minutes 78.933 feet; thence northwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 0.323 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 3, Block 14 of Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 136, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described real property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2587, as follows:

Resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Arthur P. Greiner, a portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 2406, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $90, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2588, as follows:

Resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Alexander G. Tsaharie and Valentine M. Tsaharie, Lot 31, Assessor's Block 1260, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway, for the sum of $3,750, payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Property for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**
(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2589, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, purchase from the following named parties, certain real property situated in San Mateo County, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said real property from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57:

Carlton N. Davis et ux., easement over Lot 6, Hillsborough Terrace . . . . . $1,200
Richard C. Barsch et ux., the southwesterly 60 feet of Lot 275, Subdivision No. 3, San Mateo Park . . . . . 2,650

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Easements for Richmond Sewer Tunnel.**
(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 2590, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase easements from the following named parties, required for the Richmond Sewer Tunnel beneath the surface of the hereinafter mentioned lots situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 595.916.17:

Frank F. Hills et ux., Lot 16-B, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . $29.20
William Akers et al., Lot 16-C, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 29.20
Ernest E. Kretzschmar et ux., Lot 1, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 13.60
Henriette Goldman, Lot 17-D, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 8.50
Sam T. Bush et ux., Lot 17-A, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 29.20
Frederick D. Bose et ux., Lot 11, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 29.20
Lavon Walters et vir, Lot 16-A, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 29.20
Joseph B. Newman et ux., Lot 16, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 20.20
Katherine Caronte, Lot 17-C, Assessor's Block 1677 . . . . . 29.00

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said easements.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Refunds of Taxes, Erroneous and Duplicate Payments.
(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2591, as follows:

Resolved, that the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | E. Oppenheimer, erroneous payment, per receipt No. 24161. | $ 20.00 |
| 2. | Robert H. Seeman, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 24, Lot 59, Block 3587, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls | 11.05 |
| 3. | Sven & Elsie Hasselberg, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 15, Lot 1C, Block 1912, 1933-1934 Real Estate Rolls, $2.78; 1934-1935 Real Estate Rolls $3.09 | 5.87 |
| 4. | Louis C. Mullgardt, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 15, Page 174, Line 13, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 89.20 |
| 5. | Mathilda A. E. Harris, erroneous assessment, per Vol 7, Lot 17, Block 1177, 1935-1936 Real Estate Rolls | 5.52 |
| 6. | E. R. Zion, erroneous payments, per Vol. 35, Lot 28, Block 5698, Bill 110, 1932, $1.98; Bill 96, 1933, $1.74; Bill 96, 1934, $1.94; Vol. 34, Bill 1406, Lot 28, Block 5698, 1935, $1.84 | 7.50 |
| 6a. | Sophie Attell, per Vol. 7, Bill 3014, Lot 2C, Block 1202, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, $240.86; per Vol. 6, Bill 1124, Lot 220, Block 940, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, $124.07, erroneous payment | 364.93 |
| 7. | Lillian G. Robertson, per Vol. 38, Bill 295, Lot 1, Block 6309, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, erroneous payment | 44.00 |
| 8. | Ernestine Kuschel, erroneous payment, per Vol. 18, Bill 1865, Lot 10, Block 2541, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls | 44.55 |
| 9. | John J. Lagorio, erroneous payment, per Vol. 36, Bill 1884, Lot 12B, Block 6086, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls | 35.71 |
| 10. | J. H. Schrader, Administrator, Estate of Elizabeth M. Vieielle, deceased, per Vol. 42, Bill 1595, Lot 25, Block 6953, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, duplicate payment | 26.69 |
| 11. | Walter H. Lord, erroneous payment, per Vol. 12, Bill 2580, Lot 3B, Block 1676, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls | 53.02 |
| 12. | California Pacific Title & Trust Co., per Vol. 18, Bill 2442, Lot 31, Block 2399, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, erroneous payment | 23.01 |
| 13. | Howard Addleston, erroneous payment, per Vol. 44, Bill 2323, Lot 6, Block 7215, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls | 14.73 |
| 14. | W. C. Uhte, erroneous payment, per Vol. 12, Bill 3000, Lot 9C, Block 1685, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls | 19.88 |
| 15. | Elmer C. Trenting, duplicate payment, per Vol. 14, Bill 3383, Lot 11, Block 1880, First Installment, 1933 Real Estate Rolls | 8.50 |
| 16. | Elizabeth Klotz, erroneous payment, per Vol. 39, Lot 28, Block 6611, Real Estate Rolls, 1931, Bill 3130; 1932, Bill 3124; 1933, Bill 3137, 1934, Bill 3135 | 36.84 |
| 17. | Guaranty Building and Loan Assn., erroneous payment, per Vol. 35, Lot 23, Block 5908, Real Estate Rolls, 1933, Bill 2945, First Installment, $24.88; 1933, Bill 2945, Second Installment, $24.88; 1934, Bill 2969, First Installment $27.62 | 77.38 |
| 18. | Louis Champagne, erroneous payment, per Vol. 22, Bill 1847, Lot 11, Block 3202, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls | 21.91 |
| 19. | Charles L. Weyro, erroneous payment, per Vol. 37, Bill 661, Lot 12/14, Block 6166, 1934 Real Estate Rolls | 22.11 |

20. Frank Lasselle, duplicate payment, per Vol. 8, Bill 2344, Lot 2, Block 1278, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls.. 59.25
21. Alexander Nobel, erroneous payment, per Vol. 12, Bill 2157, Lot 2, Block 1666, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls . . . . . 48.79
22. Carl Gellert, erroneous payment, per Vol. 14, Bill 3170, Lot 15, Block 1873, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls.. 17.49
23. John V. Campbell, per Vol. 20, Bill 1977, Lot 13, Block 2916, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, $9.94; per Vol. 20, Bill 1978, Lot 14, Block 2916, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, $9.76..... 19.70
24. Pacific States Savings and Loan Company, per Vol. 24, Bill 669, Lot 8A, Block 3587, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, erroneous payment ..... 97.57
25. Chester R. Macphee, per Vol. 25, Bill 774, Lot 28, Block 3646, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls, erroneous payment . . . . . 38.66
26. Lorenzo Riba, duplicate payment, per Vol. 27, Bill 3011, Lot 14, Block 4225/4251, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls . . . . . 10.13
27. Paul Cesare, erroneous payment, per Vol. 27, Bill 1503, Lots 3J and 3K, Blocks 4108/4123, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls . . . . . 73.82
28. Ouida Kinross, erroneous payment, per Vol. 20, Bills 2723 and 2724, Lots 13 and 13A, Block 2948A, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls ..... 15.83
29. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., erroneous payment, per Vol. 29, Bill 30, Lot 7, Block 4653, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls ..... .92
30. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., erroneous payment, per Vol. 29, Bill 40, Lot 14, Block 4653, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls ..... 1.47
31. Emmy M. Jurgens, per Vol. 32, Bill 709, Lot 19/21, Block 5327, First Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 29.46
32. Heyman Bros., duplicate payment, per Vol. 36, Bill 112, Lot 8D, Block 5982, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls . . . . . 5.52
33. Alex. S. Keenan, Jr., erroneous payment, per Vol. 41, Bill 991, Lot 8, Block 6730, Second Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls . . . . . $ 14.73
34. Bank of America N. T. & S. A., erroneous payment, per Vol. 12, Bill 1341, Lot 16, Block 1647, Third Installment, 1935 Real Estate Rolls ..... 51.18
35. The San Francisco Bank, erroneous payment, per Vol. 6, Bill 1893, Lot 13, Block 977, 1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 430.79
36. The San Francisco Bank, erroneous payment, per Vol. 44, Bill 1721, Lot 8, Block 7161, $15.31; per Vol. 22, Bill 2509, Lot 6, Block 3265, Second Installment, $82.29; per Vol. 22, Bill 2512, Lot 9, Block 3265, Second Installment, $82.29; per Vol. 42, Bill 1920, Lot 45, Block 6968, Second Installment, $28.35; per Vol. 19, Bill 1056, Lots 37/38, Block 2691, Second Installment, $49.52; per Vol. 36, Bill 175, Lot 33, Block 5987, Second Installment, $2.39; per Vol. 22, Bill 2504, Lot 1, Block 3265, $25.77; per Vol. 22, Bill 2505, Lot 2, Block 3265, $18.78; per Vol. 22, Bill 2506, Lot 3, Block 3265, $18.78; per Vol. 11, Bill 2219½, Lot 10, Block 1586, $23.19; per Vol. 17, Bill 1609, Lot 33, Block 2343, $9.76; per Vol. 35, Bill 352, Lot 20, Block 5837, $45.10. All 1935 Real Estate Rolls ..... 401.53

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Referred.

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was referred to Finance and Welfare Committee, joint, Mrs. E. Schenk, Controller Leavy and City to be notified of meeting Friday at 2:30 p. m.

### Increase in Blind Pension, William W. Courter.

(Code No. 19.022)

Resolution No. 2592, as follows:

Resolved, That the allowance of William W. Courter, blind pensioner, now receiving $40 a month from the State, be increased to the maximum amount permissible, $50 per month.

### Gasoline Supply Stations.

(Code No. 11.0821)

The recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

Bill No. 974, Ordinance No. 11.08212, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, construction, maintenance and operation of premises, buildings and structures to be used as gasoline supply stations; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection therewith; repealing Ordinances Nos. 2659 (New Series), and 11.051 *and providing a penalty for violations of this ordinance.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Definitions: The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this ordinance:

(a) A gasoline supply station shall be held to mean any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, including all buildings and structures thereon, devoted to the purpose of selling or dispensing gasoline or volatile liquids as fuel to automobiles, motor vehicles, motorboats, launches or other motor propelled vessels.

(b) Gasoline or volatile liquids shall mean any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit. The Fire Marshal shall determine the flash point.

(c) Fire Marshal shall mean the Fire Marshal of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Approved shall mean approved by the Fire Marshal.

(e) *School: for the purposes of this ordinance, shall mean any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning, attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained, and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

(f) Hospital: shall mean any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.

(g) *Church: for the purposes of this ordinance, is defined to be any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

(h) *Theatre: for the purposes of this ordinance, is any building in*

*which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

(i) Districts or Zones: shall mean districts or zones as established or re-zoned by the City Planning Commission.

*Section 2. Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within one hundred and fifty* (150) *feet of any point of the property line of any school, or within* 200 *feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within* 60 *feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such gasoline supply station, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any gasoline supply station, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the gasoline supply station for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a gasoline supply station, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

Section 3. Construction: All buildings or structures erected, maintained or operated upon the premises of a gasoline supply station not exceeding one story in height, shall be of Class A, B or C construction as defined by the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, or, if not more than eighteen (18) feet in height, they may be constructed of metal, supported upon steel frame. If more than one story in height, they shall be of Class A or B construction.

The roofs of all buildings or structures erected or maintained upon the premises of a gasoline supply station shall be of fireproof construction, as defined in the building laws of the City and County of San Francisco, and all floors shall be constructed of incombustible materials.

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall

have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited. *All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Parts of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Thomas Best, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs reiterated the position of said organization that oil stations be prohibited within 200 feet of school property.

Robert Troy objected to the use of the words "property line" as having no legal significance. He requested that the words be changed to read "real property."

### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Ratto moved to amend in the thirteenth line of Section 1, after the word "within," strike off the words "one hundred and fifty (150) feet of any point of the property line of any school" and insert in lieu thereof the words "two hundred (200) feet of any front property line of any school or sixty (60) feet from the rear property line of any school.

No second.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend in the tenth line after the words "Fire Department," insert the words "subject to the approval of the Street Traffic Advisory Board."

Subsequently *withdrawn.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved to amend the first paragraph of Section 2, as follows:

Section 2—Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point on the property line of any hospital or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre *or within* 100 *feet of any front entrance of any school, said measurements to be taken in a straight line between the nearest property line of the hospital, church or theatre and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station and between the nearest front entrance to any school and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station, provided, however, that in no event shall the nearest property line of any gasoline supply station be within* 60 *feet of the nearest line of any school building.*

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Meyer—4.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

### Ordinance Refused Passage.

Whereupon, Supervisor Mead moved that the ordinance be passed for second reading as recommended by the Joint Committee, and the roll was called and the ordinance *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

### *Explanation of Vote.*

Supervisor Roncovieri explained his vote by saying that he considered the ordinance a reasonable one. I would be ready to vote *aye* were it not that I feel that the Street Traffic Advisory Board provided for in the Charter should, in company with the Chief of the Fire Department,

grant these permits. The Fire Chief says there is no fire hazard, so the only matter for consideration is the traffic menace.

**Amendment Carried.**

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved to amend Section 2, Paragraph 1, as follows:

Section 2—Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point on the property line of any hospital or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre *or within* 150 *feet of any entrance of any school, said measurements to be taken in a straight line between the nearest property line of the hospital, church or theatre and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station and between the nearest entrance to any school and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station, provided, however, that in no event shall the nearest property line of any gasoline supply station be within* 100 *feet of the nearest property line of any school.*

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, Bill No. 974, as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

**Amendment to Garage Ordinance.**
**(Code No. 11.0822)**

Also, Bill No. 975, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e) *Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage within the limits of the City and County of San Fran-*

*cisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a public or commercial garage upon any lot, wharf, pier, or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within one hundred and fifty (150) feet of any point of the property line of any school, or within 200 feet of any point of the property line of any hospital, or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre and the measurements of the limitations herein expressed are to be made by taking the distance between the nearest points on said property lines in a straight line measurement.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

Amendment Carried.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved to amend Subdivision (e), Paragraph 2 of Section 1, as follows:

(e)—Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point on the property line of any hospital or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre *or within* 150 *feet of any entrance of any school, said measurements to be taken in a straight line between the nearest property line of the hospital, church or theatre and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station and between the nearest entrance to any school and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station, provided, however, that in no event shall the nearest property line of any gasoline supply station be within* 100 *feet of the nearest property line of any school.*

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing Bill No. 975, as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2594, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 29, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—John H. McCallum, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2595, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John H. McCallum, member of the Public Utilities Com-

mission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing May 28, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Redwood Empire Luncheon Conference.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2596, as follows:

Whereas, New Highway Commissioner Paul G. Jasper (representing Redwood Empire Counties), has accepted invitation for a luncheon conference in San Francisco on June 15th, 1936, which invitation was jointly extended by Supervisor John M. Ratto and our Redwood Empire Association; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors request his Honor, the Mayor, also our City Administrator, our Public Works Director, and our Engineers to join with this Board of Supervisors and our Redwood Empire Association in greeting and presenting San Francisco State Highway projects to Commissioner Jasper and Associate State Highway officials at the above luncheon conference; and be it further

Resolved, That this Board join the Redwood Empire Association in cordially inviting to said conference the following: His Excellency, Governor Frank F. Merriam; Director of Public Works Earl Lee Kelly and his deputies and assistants; Highway Commission Chairman Harry A. Hopkins, Highway Commissioners Ray Judah and Philip A. Stanton, and the Commission's staff; State Highway Engineer C. H. Purcell and his staff, including District Engineer John H. Skeggs; Commission Advisor Timothy A. Reardon; such other State officials as these officers may designate; and be it further

Resolved, That our Redwood Empire Association be asked to join this Board of Supervisors in requesting our State Senator and Assemblymen, also the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, Junior Chamber of Commerce, Down Town Association, Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, Californians, Inc., Convention Tourist Bureau, Advertising Clubs, Service Clubs, Improvement Clubs, Motor Car Dealers' Association, and other organizations in San Francisco, together with the press, to participate in said conference.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### In Memoriam: "Annie Laurie" (Winifred Black Bonfils).

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2597, as follows:

Sorrow weighs heavily upon all San Francisco because of the death of Winifred Black Bonfils, "Annie Laurie." For nearly half a century she gave all her superb talent to her beloved city by the Golden Gate.

In her life work here "Annie Laurie" won and kept the love and gratitude of men, women and children of all religions—and no religion. She ennobled her newspaper work with womanly dignity, sympathy and tolerance for the unfortunate.

She hated vice, deceit and sham in social and public places, and scourged evildoers with unsparing lash.

"Annie Laurie" was the heart of San Francisco; full of courage, fortitude, generosity and charity. No one in all the history of San Francisco lived a more gloriously self sacrificing life, or left as enduring a love and affection in the memories of our people.

It is fitting that the City should pause in thanks and veneration that

"Annie Laurie" made her home here and gave so unsparingly of her heart and soul that this shall continue to be the San Francisco she loved so dearly.

The Board of Supervisors in hushed and humbled spirit adjourns this day in honor of a great and noble woman, "Annie Laurie."

On motion of President Franck R. Havenner, adopted by rising vote, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, May 25, 1936.

### Supervisor Brown Renews Requests for City Attorney's Opinions.

Supervisor Brown renewed a previous request for opinions from the City Attorney, as follows:

1. Question of ownership of rights of way, Golden Gate Bridge approach roads in the Presidio;

2. Whether the sale of gasoline to drunken drivers can be prohibited by ordinance;

3. Liability of boards, officials or employees of the City and County for property damaged or destroyed by insured automobiles.

### Supervisor Colman to Represent San Francisco Officially Before Interstate Commerce Commission.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Supervisor Jesse Colman is hereby authorized to represent officially the City and County of San Francisco before the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D. C., in the matter of the adoption of Resolution No. 2350, endorsing the application of the Transcontinental and Western Air, Incorporated, to establish air passage and express service between San Francisco and Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Duplication of Publicity, Redwood Empire Association and State Chamber of Commerce.

The President of the Board, on motion by Supervisor Roncovieri, referred to the Finance Committee for investigation the question of duplication of functions, publicity, etc., by the State Chamber of Commerce, heretofore performed by the Redwood Empire Association.

### Old Age Pensions.

Supervisor Uhl requested that Miss Schenk, County Welfare Department, be present at the next meeting of the Board, at 2:30 p. m., to answer questions regarding delay in payment of old age pensions. Supervisor Uhl is to prepare memorandum of what he wants.

### Fire Prevention Legislation.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare legislation for presentation to the Board at its next meeting, without fail, for the prevention of fire hazards.

Motion *carried*.

### Committee Meetings Announced.

Fire, Safety and Police, May 29, 10 a. m.
Education, Parks and Recreation, May 29, 3 p. m.
Public Utilities, May 27, 10 a. m.
Public Welfare (cemetery removal) May 26, 4 p. m.

### Presentation of Salary Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Civil Service Commission, advising that it expects to present Annual Salary Ordinance for 1936-1937 in time for next Monday's meeting. It is not possible to present it before this time because there are many items of classification involved which must be acted upon before ordinance is ready for the Board of Supervisors.

*Ordered filed.*

### Amendment to Building Law Re Chimneys, Flues and Vents.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication from Gas Appliance Society of California, submitting proposed amendments to Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), having particular reference to chimneys, flues and vents.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

### Set-Back Line, Nineteenth Avenue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Planning Commission, transmitting for approval of Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 1439 of City Planning Commission, establishing on its own motion a building set-back line of 30 feet along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving.

*Referred to Public Building Committee.*

### Paying Obligations of Market Street Railway.

Supervisor Havenner referred to motion made by himself at last meeting and referred to the Public Utilities Committee that the City Attorney bring action against the Market Street Railway Company to bring about the fulfilment of its paving obligations.

He presented communication from the City Engineer estimating the amount of work that Market Street Railway has done and is still obligated to do under its franchises, which was *referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Shamrock Fire.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to criticism of the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco newspaper editorials for the disastrous fire at the Shamrock Night Club and disclaimed any responsibility as far as the Board of Supervisors was concerned and moved that the City Attorney be requested to draw an ordinance which will take care of similar situations, said ordinance to be here for the next meeting of the Board.

*So ordered.*

### Redwood Empire Association Meeting at Willits.

Supervisor Ratto declared that the Supervisors' Unit of the Redwood Empire Association is going to meet at Willits Wednesday morning and asked that a committee representing San Francisco be appointed by the Chair.

*Supervisor Ratto appointed.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 6:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, June 1, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 23

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, June 1, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JUNE 1, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 1, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 18 and 25, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Authorizing Lease of Certain Water Department Land.

(Code No. 12.17352)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1004, Ordinance No. 12.173523, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain Water Department land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing that certain 10-acre tract of San Francisco Water Department land adjoining the westerly limits of the Town of Newark, Alameda County, California, more particularly described in deed recorded March 29, 1924, in Book 649, at page 339, Official Records of Alameda County.

Section 2. Said lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Regulating Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions and Establishing Procedure for Fixing and Collecting Fees.**

(Code No. 18.01)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 1000, Ordinance No. 18.012, as follows:

Providing for and establishing the procedure for the admission of patients to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home; and establishing the method for determining, fixing, and collecting reasonable charges for the care and treatment of certain persons.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There shall be admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, the following:

a. An indigent sick person of the City and County of San Francisco who possesses the required residence qualifications, upon application and after investigation and approval by the Director of Health;

b. A psychopath, narcotic addict or habitual inebriate temporarily in custody;

c. Physically defective and physically handicapped person under the age of eighteen years when the parents or guardian of such person are not financially able to secure proper care or treatment and when such person's admission and treatment has been duly authorized in the manner provided by law;

d. A prisoner confined to the City or County Jail who requires medical or surgical treatment necessitating hospitalization where such treatment cannot be furnished or supplied at such jail when any Court of the City and County shall have ordered the removal of such prisoner to the City and County hospital (and said prisoner elects not to furnish such treatment at his own expense);

e. A dependent, or partially dependent, poor sick person, who possesses the required residential qualifications;

f. A person in need of immediate hospitalization on account of accident or sudden sickness or injury or by reason of sickness or injury caused by or arising in a sudden public emergency or calamity or disaster;

g. A person in the active stages of tuberculosis, in wards established for the treatment of such persons;

h. A person to be quarantined or isolated in the City and County hospital with a contagious, communicable or infectious disease;

i. An expectant mother who is unable to pay for her care and the cost of her maintenance; (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the County of her residence);

j. An indigent sick or dependent poor person from another county which lacks the proper facilities for the caring of such patients; (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of which said person is a resident);

k. A City and County employee injured in the course of his employment by the City and County when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

l. A person sent by the Immigration authorities of the United States Government (under such conditions as may be contracted for between the Director of Health and the United States Government);

m. Provided, nothing in this section shall be construed as restraining the Director of Health from obeying or carrying out or giving effect to any law that may exist or be hereafter passed, relating to the hospitalization of patients in County hospitals which may affect the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home.

Section 2. All persons admitted or committed to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, under the provisions of subdivisions (c), (e), (f), (g), and (h) of Section 1 hereof, shall be investigated by the Director of Health, who shall determine the financial ability of such persons to pay, in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part for the institutional service rendered.

Section 3. The Director of Public Health shall bill every person legally obligated for institutional service rendered in the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, on the basis of the rates to be established as hereinafter provided, and to the extent of their ability to pay, in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part, as determined under Section 2 hereof.

Section 4. The care of all persons admitted to the several institutions enumerated herein under the provisions of subdivisions (i) and (j) of Section 1 hereof, shall be billed to the county of their residence for such services by the Director of Health on the basis of the rates to be established as hereinafter provided.

Section 5. The care of all persons admitted to the several institutions enumerated herein under the provisions of subdivision (k) of Section 1 hereof, shall be billed to the Workmen's Compensation Division of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System.

Section 6. The Director of Health on or before the 15th day of July in each year shall compute the per diem cost of maintaining and caring for each class of persons at the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home.

The said computation of per diem costs with respect to each class of persons cared for in each institution shall be based on the following formula: "Total Expenses Divided by the Total Number of Patient Days." Total expenses shall include all elements of cost and expenses exclusive of taxes which are enumerated in the uniform classification of accounts contained in the "Hospital Accounting and Statistics," published by the American Hospital Association in May, 1935.

Section 7. The Director of Health shall report his said findings to the Chief Administrative Officer who shall examine the same and transmit the same with his approval, together with such suggestions and amendments as he may see fit, to the Board of Supervisors not later than the 20th day of July.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors shall, not later than the last Monday in August, with the recommendation of the Controller, determine and fix by resolution the proper and reasonable amounts to be charged to said persons when said persons by themselves or through relatives are legally obligated and able to pay in whole or in part for said institutional care.

Rates and charges so fixed by resolution shall remain effective until new rates and charges are fixed as in this ordinance provided.

The resolution determining and fixing said rates or charges shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said rates or charges.

Section 9. Pursuant to Section 64 of the Charter, the Controller shall prescribe the forms, methods and procedure to be followed in billing said persons or their relatives under this ordinance.

Section 10. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently and designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his financial condition or means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly or through any agency whatsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon, respecting the financial condition or means or ability to pay, of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting, for the purpose of gaining admission to and receiving care and treatment in the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Said person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be billed by the institution rendering said services for the full amount of the cost of such institutional care and treatment, thus fraudulently obtained, in accordance with the basic rates, legally established and determined therefor.

Section 11. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Bill No 703, Ordinance 18.011 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Creating Underground District, Sixth Street Between Folsom and Bryant Streets.**

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 991, Ordinance No. 11.1216, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for Placing Wires and Conduits Underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section to be known as Section MMM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Order No. 214 (Second Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section MMM.

Section MMM. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires except trolley poles and wires, after Sixth street between Folsom street and Bryant street is improved by widening, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 79, Sixth street from the north line of Folsom street to the north line of Bryant street.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Appropriating $67,031 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco for the Month of June, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1005, Ordinance No. 9.051266, as follows:

Appropriating $67,031 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $67,031 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of June, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Final Passage.**

The following appropriation bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

BILL NO. 982, ORDINANCE NO. 9.051259 (Code No. 9.051), as follows:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

Section 2. The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations of the Charter and as provided herein.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by Section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer; provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service; provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in Section 129 of the Charter.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of actual receipts or collections.

Section 4. The several items of expenditures herein appropriated, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of such appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the Chief Administrative Officer, board or commission, and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Sections 79 and 80 of the Charter, or by transfer as provided by Sections 77 and 90 of the Charter.

Section 5. Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Section 6. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in a position established in the Annual Salary Ordinance and appointing officers shall not make appointments to such vacancies until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation and shall investigate and report upon the necessity of the position to the Mayor, and the Mayor shall approve.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointments to seasonable or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

Section 7. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall set up on the books of his office a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the said Controller as long as any portion of said moneys remains unexpended.

The expenditures necessary from said fund or said account created as herein provided, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys have been received or for which said account is being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said fund is maintained.

Section 8. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due.

Section 9. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Section 10. The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in Section 20 of the Charter.

Section 11. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | | | | | | | | | |
| General—Note A | 17,047,634 | 2,248,914 | 19,296,548 | 2,248,914 | ..... | 121,054 | 4,131,417 | 12,795,163 | 19,296,548 |
| Emergency Reserve | 300,000 | ..... | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Library—Note B | 454,360 | ..... | 454,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,000 | 438,360 | 454,360 |
| Recreation—Note C | 611,564 | ..... | 611,564 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,050 | 574,514 | 611,564 |
| Park—Note D | 1,276,088 | ..... | 1,276,088 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350,000 | 926,088 | 1,276,088 |
| de Young Memorial Museum | 71,100 | 11,980 | 83,080 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | 250 | 70,850 | 83,080 |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | 74,966 | ..... | 74,966 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 74,466 | 74,966 |
| War Memorial | 118,248 | ..... | 118,248 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,285 | 83,963 | 118,248 |
| Employees' Retirement | 1,677,809 | 641,104 | 2,318,913 | 641,104 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,677,809 | 2,318,913 |
| Workmen's Compensation | 40,000 | ..... | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Tax Judgments | 104,616 | ..... | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 | 104,616 |
| Publicity and Advertising | 200,000 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor | 900,000 | ..... | 900,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| WPA Projects Fund | 400,000 | ..... | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | 23,276,385 | 2,901,998 | 26,178,383 | 2,901,998 | ..... | 121,054 | 4,569,502 | 18,585,829 | 26,178,383 |
| OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| County Roads | 1,119,377 | ..... | 1,119,377 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,119,277 | 100 | 1,119,377 |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | 7,466,482 | 7,051,127 | 14,517,609 | 7,051,127 | 355,962 | ..... | ..... | 7,110,520 | 14,517,609 |
| Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes | 7,000 | ..... | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan | 101,727 | ..... | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 | 101,727 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan Interest | 31,224 | ..... | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 | 31,224 |
| Installation Fund—Department of Electricity | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 |
| Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | 9,228,810 | 7,051,127 | 16,279,937 | 7,051,127 | 355,962 | ..... | 1,622,277 | 7,250,571 | 16,279,937 |

(Consolidated Budget, continued on next page)

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Revenue | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | 2,438,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 | ..... | 175,000 | ..... | 2,263,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 |
| Municipal Railway Operating | 3,154,543 | ..... | 3,154,543 | ..... | Cr 31,054 | ..... | 3,185,597 | ..... | 3,154,543 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | 221,003 | 221,003 | 221,003 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 221,003 |
| Public Utilities Commission | ..... | 90,745 | 90,745 | 90,745 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90,745 |
| Airport | 186,388 | ..... | 186,388 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 | 151,388 | 186,388 |
| TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURR. | 12,118,911 | 311,748 | 12,430,659 | 311,748 | 143,946 | ..... | 11,823,577 | 151,388 | 12,430,659 |
| CAPITAL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 |
| 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund—Grant | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bond Fund—Grant | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1933 Sewer Bond Fund—Grant | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund—Grant | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House Bond Fund—Grant | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,824,748 | ..... | 1,824,748 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,824,748 | ..... | 1,824,748 |
| TOTAL GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY | 46,448,854 | 10,264,873 | 56,713,727 | 10,264,873 | 499,908 | 121,054 | 19,840,104 | 25,987,788 | 56,713,727 |
| SCHOOL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Common School Fund (Tentative) | 9,347,725 | ..... | 9,347,725 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | 4,713,463 | 4,434,262 | 9,347,725 |
| Special School Tax (Tentative) | 977,000 | ..... | 977,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 977,000 | 977,000 |
| TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS (Tentative) | 10,324,725 | ..... | 10,324,725 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | 4,713,463 | 5,411,262 | 10,324,725 |
| TOTAL BUDGET | 56,773,579 | 10,264,873 | 67,038,452 | 10,264,873 | 699,908 | 121,054 | 24,553,567 | 31,399,050 | 67,038,452 |

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) appropriation # 616.200.02 for symphony concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½ cent on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note B—That portion of the Library appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $31,755.

Note C—That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note D—That portion of the Park appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 10¼ cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $103,416.

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Licenses | | | | | | | |
| Business | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| Business (Badges and Tags) | 9,000 | | | | | | |
| Vehicle (Other than State) | 28,000 | | | | | | |
| Marriage | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Ferry | 4,800 | | | | | | |
| Dog | 14,500 | | | | | | |
| Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Contractors | 17,000 | | | | | | |
| Penalties | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 373,300 | | | | | | 373,300 |
| Permits | | | | | | | |
| Dept. of Public Works | | | | | | | |
| Building | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Bill Board | 400 | | | | | | |
| House Numbers | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Street Space | 5,700 | | | | | | |
| Boiler | 2,500 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | | | | | | | |
| Public Passenger Carriers, Hand Bill Distributors, Second Hand Dealers | 5,500 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department | | | | | | | |
| Automobile Storage, Automobile Repair Shops, Automobile Supply Stations, Automobile Parking Stations, Oil Burners | 2,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 84,300 | | | | | | 84,300 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Fines | | | | | | | |
| Municipal Courts | 78,000 | | | | | | |
| Superior Courts | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Traffic Courts | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 147,000 | | | | | | 147,000 |
| Interest Revenue | 40,000 | | | | | | 40,000 |
| Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes | 70,000 | | | | | | 70,000 |
| Franchises | | | | | | | |
| Telephone | 262,000 | | | | | | |
| California Street Railway | 3,700 | | | | | | |
| Market Street Railway | 48,000 | | | | | | |
| *American District Telegraph | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 317,700 | | | | | | 317,700 |
| State of California | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance of Minors | 140,000 | | | | | | |
| Widows' Pensions | 137,500 | | | | | | |
| Blind Pensions | 57,500 | | | | | | |
| Needy Aged | 775,000 | | | | | | |
| Tubercular Patients | 80,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Boats | 82,000 | | | | | | |
| County Roads | | | 1,119,277 | | | | |
| Special Gas Tax | | | 500,000 | | | | |
| Vehicle (in Lieu) | 425,000 | | | | | | |
| Beverage | 600,000 | | | | | | |
| Common Schools | | | 4,579,713 | | | | |
| Total | 2,297,000 | | 6,198,990 | | | | 8,495,990 |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Federal Government | | | | | | | |
| Aid to High Schools | | | 10,000 | | | | |
| Board of U. S. Prisoners | | 20,000 | | | | | |
| Grant Docket No. 1534 | | | | 61,202 | | | |
| " " No. 1531 | | | | 106,538 | | | |
| " " No. 7942 | | | | 344,251 | | | |
| " " No. 1510 | | | | 807,262 | | | |
| " " No. 1429 | | | | 255,495 | | | |
| Total | | 20,000 | 10,000 | 1,574,748 | | | 1,604,748 |
| Departmental Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue Other Than Taxes | 36,000 | | | | | | |
| Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees | 3,000 | | | | | | |
| —Excavation Fees | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| —Special Inspection | | 13,000 | | | | | |
| —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers | | 35,000 | | | | | |
| —Advertising Fees | | 600 | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Central Office | | | | | | | |
| Removal Permits | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| Death Certificates | 3,500 | | | | | | |
| Birth Certificates | 1,500 | | | | | | |
| V. Clinic | 200 | | | | | | |
| *Meat Inspection | 61,606 | | | | | | |
| Milk Cooling Plants | 16,800 | | | | | | |
| Milk Inspection, Dairy | 22,000 | | | | | | |
| Pasteurization Inspection | 8,200 | | | | | | |
| Restaurant Inspection | 51,000 | | | | | | |
| Food Wagon Permits | 200 | | | | | | |
| Massage Parlor Permits | 250 | | | | | | |
| Sanitation Permits | 2,500 | | | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending.

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues—(Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Central Office (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Master Plumbers' Licenses | 3,600 | | | | | | |
| Plumbing Fixture Permits | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| Laundries, Stables, etc. | 300 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Dealer Registrations | 25 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Permits | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| Fumigations | 50 | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 60 | | | | | | |
| San Francisco Hospital | | | | | | | |
| Care of Patients | 2,800 | | | | | | |
| Other | 800 | | | | | | |
| Laguna Honda Home | | | | | | | |
| Care of Inmates | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Sheriff Fees | 33,000 | | | | | | |
| Municipal Court Fees | 60,000 | | | | | | |
| County Clerk Fees | 93,000 | | | | | | |
| Coroner | 800 | | | | | | |
| Treasurer | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| Registrar of Voters | 2,126 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | 2,200 | | | | | | |
| Recorder | 125,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous | 3,400 | | | | | | |
| Juvenile Court | | | | | | | |
| Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| City Collections | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Public Administrator | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Art Commission—Symphony Concerts | | 10,000 | | | | | |
| Pound | 3,100 | | | | | | |
| Purchasing Department | 1,500 | | | | | | |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| City Planning Commission Fees | 600 | | | | | | |
| Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection | | | | | | | |
| Export Inspection Fees | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| City Institutions | 800 | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| *Registration Fees | 18,000 | | | | | | |
| Electrical Inspection Fees | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Electric Sign Inspection Fees | 5,000 | | | | | | |
| Installation Fund | | | 3,000 | | | | |
| Real Estate Department | | | | | | | |
| Rents, General | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Rents, School | | | 103,750 | | | | |
| Rents, Auditorium | | 40,654 | | | | | |
| Commissions | | 1,800 | | | | | |
| War Memorial | | | | | | | |
| Rent, Opera House | | | 29,000 | | | | |
| Concessions, Opera House | | | 3,750 | | | | |
| Rent, Veterans' Auditorium | | | 1,500 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 35 | | | | |
| Board of Education | | | | | | | |
| Tuition—From Other Counties | | | 20,000 | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Park | | | | | | | |
| Children's Quarters | | | 60,000 | | | | |
| Beach Chalet | | | 18,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Cafe | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Mother House | | | 2,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Playfield Booth | | | 44,000 | | | | |
| Harding Park Cafe | | | 20,000 | | | | |
| Lincoln Park Cafe | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Sharp Park Cafe | | | 11,000 | | | | |
| Kezar Stadium | | | 30,000 | | | | |
| Tennis Courts, P. F. A. | | | 14,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Bathhouse | | | 7,000 | | | | |
| Harding Park Golf | | | 40,000 | | | | |
| Lincoln Park Golf | | | 34,000 | | | | |
| Sharp Park Golf | | | 17,000 | | | | |
| Yacht Harbor | | | 14,000 | | | | |
| Coit Tower | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Recreation | | | | | | | |
| Camp | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Swimming | | | 1,600 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 450 | | | | |
| Library—Fines, etc. | | | 16,000 | | | | |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | | | 500 | | | | |
| de Young Museum | | | 250 | | | | |
| Total | 802,117 | 101,054 | 564,835 | | | | 1,468,006 |
| Public Utilities | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | 2,263,900 | | | | |
| Municipal Railway | | | 3,185,597 | | | | |
| Water Department | | | 6,339,080 | | | | |
| Airport | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Total | | | 11,823,577 | | | | 11,823,577 |

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts from Surplus | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | 175,000 | | | | |
| Municipal Railway | | | Cr. 31,054 | | | | |
| Common School Fund | | | 200,000 | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | | | 355,962 | | | | |
| Total | | | 699,908 | | | | 699,908 |
| Capital Funds | | | | | | | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | | | | 250,000 | | | 250,000 |
| Receipts by Transfer | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| City Attorney | | | | | | | |
| From Public Utilities Commission Fund | | | | | 21,400 | | 21,400 |
| Purchasing Department | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (203) | | | | | 240 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (203) | | | | | 240 | | |
| From Recreation Fund (204) | | | | | 715 | | |
| From Library Fund (204) | | | | | 450 | | |
| From War Memorial Fund (204) | | | | | 383 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (217) | | | | | 200 | | |
| From Employees' Retirement Fund (225) | | | | | 42 | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (233) | | | | | 60 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (233) | | | | | 30 | | |
| From Airport Fund (400) | | | | | 5,500 | | |
| Total | | | | | 7,860 | | 7,860 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| **Receipts by Transfer (Continued)** | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| From County Road Fund.....(647.903.01) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 |
| Controller | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund.....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,950 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,400 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,150 | ..... | ..... |
| From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund.(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 850 | ..... | ..... |
| From Employees' Retirement Fund.....(900) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 | ..... | ..... |
| Total ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,200 | ..... | 16,200 |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings | | | | | | | |
| From Park Fund..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 46,470 | ..... | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Library ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—General..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—Art Museum ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor.... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | ..... |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund: Allocated to Fund | General Fund: Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer: General Fund | Receipts by Transfer: Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Airport | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 169,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—Street Repair | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,100 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—Bridges | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—General | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 790,470 | ..... | 790,470 |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Water Revenue | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,407,984 | ..... | 1,407,984 |
| de Young Memorial Museum Fund | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,980 | 11,980 |
| Employees' Retirement Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Library Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,500 | ..... |
| From Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 423,000 | ..... |
| From Special School Tax Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 800 | ..... |
| From Park Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,500 | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100,000 | ..... |
| From Airport Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,356 | ..... |
| From County Road Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 43,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,948 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 641,104 | 641,104 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | | | | | | | |
| From 1933 Sewer Grant | | | | | | * 61,202 | |
| From 1933 High Pressure System Grant | | | | | | *106,538 | |
| From 1934 School House Grant | | | | | | *344,251 | |
| From 1933 Water Distribution Grant | | | | | | *807,262 | |
| From 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Grant | | | | | | *255,495 | |
| From County Road Fund | | | | | | 200,000 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | | | | 2,116,854 | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | | 2,977,025 | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating | | | | | | 182,500 | |
| Total | | | | | | 7,051,127 | 7,051,127 |
| Public Utilities Commission Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating | | | | | | 11,827 | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims) | | | | | | 11,220 | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | | 47,372 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | | | | 15,326 | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | | | | | | 5,000 | |
| Total | | | | | | 90,745 | 90,745 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | | | | 114,442 | |
| From Water Revenue | | | | | | 106,561 | |
| Total | | | | | | 221,003 | 221,003 |
| Taxes | 12,795,163 | | 18,603,887 | | | | 31,399,050 |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 16,926,580 | 121,054 | 37,901,197 | 1,824,748 | 2,248,914 | 8,015,959 | 67,038,452 |

*From Capital Funds

FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1936-1937

| | ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS | | | ESTIMATED REVENUES | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Estimated Surplus Forward | | |
| | Interest | Redemption | Total | Interest | Redemption | Grant |
| GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY: | | | | | | |
| 1927 Boulevards | 327,128 | 469,000 | 796,128 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Sewers | 89,960 | 88,000 | 177,960 | 51,928 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure System | 55,500 | 100,000 | 155,500 | 48,961 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House | 72,000 | 300,000 | 372,000 | 27,748 | ..... | 344,251 |
| Other | 1,881,388 | 2,742,100 | 4,623,488 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 2,425,976 | 3,699,100 | 6,125,076 | 128,637 | ..... | 511,991 |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES: | | | | | | |
| 1928 Spring Valley | 1,530,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Water Distribution | 398,280 | 584,000 | 982,280 | 175,018 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1910 Water | 1,260,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,260,000 | 158 | 47 | ..... |
| 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 406,250 | 250,000 | 656,250 | ..... | 14 | ..... |
| 1928 Hetch Hetchy | 1,080,000 | ..... | 1,080,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1932 Hetchy Hetchy | 346,803 | ..... | 346,803 | 6,999 | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam | 122,500 | 175,000 | 297,500 | 42,005 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1913 Municipal Railway | 82,500 | 100,000 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Airport | 5,200 | 52,000 | 57,200 | 3,084 | ..... | ..... |
| | 5,231,533 | 3,161,000 | 8,392,533 | 227,264 | 61 | 1,062,757 |
| | 7,657,509 | 6,860,100 | 14,517,609 | 355,901 | 61 | 1,574,748 |

| ESTIMATED REVENUES | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County Road Fund | From Proceeds of Bond Fund Indicated | Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue | Water Revenue | Railway Revenue | Taxes | Total | | |
| | | | | | | | GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY | |
| 200,000 | | | | | | 796,128 | 1927 | Boulevards |
| | | | | | | 177,960 | 1933 | Sewers |
| | | | | | 5,284,448 | 155,500 | 1933 | High Pressure System |
| | | | | | | 372,000 | 1934 | School House |
| | | | | | | 4,623,488 | | Other |
| 200,000 | | | | | 5,284,448 | 6,125,076 | | |
| | | | | | | | PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES | |
| | | | 2,530,000 | | | 2,530,000 | 1928 | Spring Valley |
| | | | | | | 982,280 | 1933 | Water Distribution |
| | | 959,548 | 400,000 | | | | 1910 | Water |
| | | 1,157,306 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1925 | Hetch Hetchy Water |
| | | | 47,025 | | 1,771,956 | 4,343,053 | 1928 | Hetch Hetchy |
| | | | | | | | 1932 | Hetch Hetchy |
| | | | | | | 297,500 | 1933 | Hetch Hetchy Dam |
| | | | | 182,500 | | 182,500 | 1913 | Municipal Railway |
| | | | | | 54,116 | 57,200 | 1933 | Airport |
| | | 2,116,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 1,826,072 | 8,392,533 | | |
| 200,000 | | 2,116,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 7,110,520 | 14,517,609 | | |

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 601 | BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | | | | | |
| 601.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 66,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 66,360 |
| 601.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 4,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,900 |
| 601.200.00 | Contractual Services | 43,700 | 39,700 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 601.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 950 | 950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 601.814.00 | Membership Dues | 625 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 625 |
| 601.248.00 | Audit of Controller's Books | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| | | 121,535 | 40,650 | ..... | ..... | 80,885 |
| 602 | MAYOR | | | | | |
| 602.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 40,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,360 |
| 602.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,570 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,570 |
| 602.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,650 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 47,580 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | 45,930 |
| 603 | ASSESSOR | | | | | |
| 603.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 127,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 127,100 |
| 603.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 87,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 87,000 |
| 603.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,420 | 9,220 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 603.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 13,250 | 13,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 603.400.00 | Equipment | 250 | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 603.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 82 | 82 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 237,102 | 22,802 | ..... | ..... | 214,300 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 604 | CITY ATTORNEY | | | | | |
| 604.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 68,500 | ..... | ..... | 19,600 | 88,100 |
| 604.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 604.200.00 | Contractual Services | 9,400 | 400 | ..... | 1,800 | 10,800 |
| 604.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 604.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 79,500 | 1,400 | ..... | 21,400 | 99,500 |
| 605 | DISTRICT ATTORNEY | | | | | |
| 605.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 104,780 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,780 |
| 605.200.00 | Contractual Services | 465 | 465 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 605.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 850 | 850 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 605.900.00 | Detention and Prosecution of Criminals | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 605.901.00 | District Attorney's Secret Fund | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| | | 110,095 | 1,315 | ..... | ..... | 108,780 |
| 606 | TREASURER | | | | | |
| 606.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 45,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,800 |
| 606.200.00 | Contractual Services | 375 | 375 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 606.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,100 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 606.816.00 | Premium on Official Bonds | 8,275 | 8,275 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 55,550 | 9,750 | ..... | ..... | 45,800 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 607 | SHERIFF (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation $20,000) | | | | | |
| 607.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 291,164 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 291,164 |
| 607.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 7,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,360 |
| 607.200.01 | Contractual Services—Administrative | 3,700 | 1,400 | ..... | ..... | 2,300 |
| 607.200.02 | Contractual Services—County Jail No. 1 | 514 | 304 | ..... | ..... | 210 |
| 607.231.02 | Heat, Light, and Power—County Jail No. 1 | 280 | 280 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.200.03 | Contractual Services—County Jail No. 2 | 1,470 | 1,110 | ..... | ..... | 360 |
| 607.231.03 | Heat, Light, and Power—County Jail No. 2 | 12,720 | 12,720 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Administrative | 3,865 | 3,865 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.300.02 | Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 1 | 4,000 | 1,830 | ..... | ..... | 2,170 |
| 607.300.03 | Materials and Supplies—County Jail No. 2 | 13,000 | 8,275 | ..... | ..... | 4,725 |
| 607.350.02 | Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 1 | 13,000 | 850 | ..... | ..... | 12,150 |
| 607.350.03 | Foodstuffs—County Jail No. 2 | 23,000 | 1,650 | ..... | ..... | 21,350 |
| 607.400.00 | Equipment | 4,500 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 607.809.00 | Rents, Garage | 240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 |
| 607.812.00 | Taxes, Sneath Ranch | 175 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 175 |
| | | 378,988 | 36,784 | ..... | ..... | 342,204 |
| 608 | PUBLIC DEFENDER | | | | | |
| 608.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 18,380 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,380 |
| 608.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 75 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75 |
| 608.200.00 | Contractual Services | 90 | 90 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 608.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 18,645 | 190 | ..... | ..... | 18,455 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 609 | POLICE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 609.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,380,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,380,300 |
| 609.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,762 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,762 |
| 609.200.00 | Contractual Services | 38,512 | 38,357 | ..... | ..... | 155 |
| 609.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—City Prison | 1,400 | 1,128 | ..... | ..... | 272 |
| 609.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Stations and Bureaus | 49,710 | 40,770 | ..... | ..... | 8,940 |
| 609.350.00 | Foodstuffs—City Prison | 5,000 | 177 | ..... | ..... | 4,823 |
| 609.400.00 | Equipment | 27,500 | 27,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 2,280 | 2,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 609.901.00 | Contingent Fund | 9,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,000 |
| | | 3,528,464 | 123,212 | ..... | ..... | 3,405,252 |
| 610 | FIRE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 610.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 2,898,720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,898,720 |
| 610.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Fireboats | 144,971 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 144,971 |
| 610.103.00 | Wages | 6,477 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,477 |
| 610.200.00 | Contractual Services | 144,109 | 135,909 | ..... | ..... | 8,200 |
| 610.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 18,500 | 18,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 610.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 39,300 | 21,500 | ..... | ..... | 17,800 |
| 610.400.00 | Equipment | 95,000 | 95,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 610.809.00 | Rent—Engine Co. No. 2 | 1,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,200 |
| | | 3,348,277 | 270,909 | ..... | ..... | 3,077,368 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 611 | BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS | | | | | |
| 611.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 611.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 611.200.00 | Contractual Services | 20 | 20 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,020 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 616 | ART COMMISSION (Receipts Allocated to Appropriation $10,000) | | | | | |
| 616.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 3,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,900 |
| 616.200.00 | Contractual Services | 155 | 55 | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 616.200.01 | Municipal Band | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 616.200.02 | * Municipal Symphony Concerts | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,000 |
| 616.200.03 | Christmas Eve Celebration | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 616.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 69,655 | 155 | ..... | ..... | 69,500 |
| 619.200.00 | STEINHART AQUARIUM | 40,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,500 |
| 620 | MUNICIPAL COURT | | | | | |
| 620.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 190,908 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 190,908 |
| 620.105.00 | Jury and Witness Fees | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 620.106.00 | Court Reporters' Fees | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 620.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,870 | 2,820 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 620.249.00 | Reporters' Transcriptions | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 620.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,450 | 3,450 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 220,128 | 6,270 | ..... | ..... | 213,858 |

*That portion of Art Commission Appropriation Number 616.200.02, Municipal Symphony Concerts, which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½c on each $100 of the 1936-1937 Assessment Roll.

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 621 | SUPERIOR COURT | | | | | |
| 621.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 136,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 136,680 |
| 621.105.00 | Jury and Witness Fees | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 621.105.01 | Grand Jury | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 |
| 621.106.01 | Court Reporters' Fees | 11,675 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,675 |
| 621.106.02 | Examination of Insane | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 621.200.00 | Contractual Services | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.249.00 | Reporters' Transcriptions | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 621.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,500 | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 621.900.00 | Court Orders | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| | | 225,855 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | 217,355 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 621.200.02 | Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| | | 242,855 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | 234,355 |
| 622 | LAW LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 622.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 11,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,100 |
| 622.200.00 | Contractual Services | 40 | 40 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 622.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 350 | 350 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 11,490 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 11,100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 623 | JUVENILE COURT | | | | | |
| 623.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 67,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 67,680 |
| 623.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 623.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,440 | 700 | ..... | ..... | 1,740 |
| 623.206.00 | Maintenance of Minors | 525,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 525,000 |
| 623.207.00 | Maintenance of Minors in State Schools | 17,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,500 |
| 623.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,675 | 1,675 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 623.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 623.809.00 | Garage Rent | 180 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 180 |
| | | 615,775 | 3,375 | ..... | ..... | 612,400 |
| 624 | JUVENILE DETENTION HOME | | | | | |
| 624.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 22,686 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,686 |
| 624.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 624.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,265 | 465 | ..... | ..... | 800 |
| 624.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,750 | 1,750 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 624.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,000 | 803 | ..... | ..... | 1,197 |
| 624.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 4,500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 624.400.00 | Equipment | 250 | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 624.108.00 | Room Allowance for Employees | 192 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 192 |
| 624.900.00 | Services of Department of Public Health | 3,600 | 3,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 36,843 | 7,368 | ..... | ..... | 29,475 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 625 | ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 625.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 26,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,100 |
| 625.200.00 | Contractual Services | 375 | 75 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 625.328.00 | Books, Printing, Stationery | 490 | 490 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 625.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 27,165 | 765 | ..... | ..... | 26,400 |
| 626 | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER | | | | | |
| 626.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 18,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,900 |
| 626.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,450 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 626.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 800 | 800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 626.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 626.900.00 | *Property, Chestnut and Hyde Streets | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | *"... The sum of $10,000 to be expended as a contribution toward the purchase of property at the northeast corner of Chestnut and Hyde streets, commonly designated as the Humphreys property, which said sum shall be expended only when the balance of the purchase price has been provided by private subscriptions; and said property shall be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by good and sufficient deed and free and clear of all encumbrances; said property to be used for public purposes." | | | | | |
| | | 32,350 | 2,550 | ..... | ..... | 29,800 |
| 627 | DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND RECORDS | | | | | |
| 627.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 7,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,860 |
| 627.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 150 | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 8,010 | 150 | ..... | ..... | 7,860 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 628 | TAX COLLECTOR | | | | | |
| 628.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 88,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 88,940 |
| 628.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue | 7,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,500 |
| 628.101.03 | Permanent Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau | 4,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,620 |
| 628.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 31,775 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,775 |
| 628.102.02 | Temporary Salaries—Bureau Delinquent Revenue | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 628.102.03 | Temporary Salaries—Tax Redemption Bureau | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 628.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,170 | 710 | ..... | ..... | 6,460 |
| 628.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 7,250 | 7,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 628.400.00 | Equipment | 768 | 768 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 159,823 | 8,728 | ..... | ..... | 151,095 |
| 629 | REGISTRAR OF VOTERS | | | | | |
| 629.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 56,820 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 56,820 |
| 629.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 50,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,100 |
| 629.106.00 | Election Workers | 45,525 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,525 |
| 629.200.00 | Contractual Services | 47,297 | 1,102 | ..... | ..... | 46,195 |
| 629.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 190 | 190 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 629.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,125 | 900 | ..... | ..... | 225 |
| 629.400.00 | Equipment | 150 | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 629.410.00 | Voting Machines Payment | 50,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | **50,000** |
| 629.809.00 | Warehouse Rental | 4,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,800 |
| 629.809.01 | Rent of Polling Places | 14,550 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,550 |
| | | 270,557 | 2,342 | ..... | ..... | 268,215 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 630 | RECORDER | | | | | |
| 630.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 85,235 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 85,235 |
| 630.200.00 | Contractual Services | 390 | 330 | ..... | ..... | 60 |
| 630.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,800 | 3,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 630.400.00 | Equipment | 320 | 320 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 89,745 | 4,450 | ..... | ..... | 85,295 |
| 631 | COUNTY CLERK | | | | | |
| 631.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 138,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 138,300 |
| 631.200.00 | Contractual Services | 352 | 302 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 631.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 4,000 | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 631.400.00 | Equipment | 8,500 | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 151,152 | 12,802 | ..... | ..... | 138,350 |
| 632 | PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR | | | | | |
| 632.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 38,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 38,200 |
| 632.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,280 | 990 | ..... | ..... | 290 |
| 632.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 600 | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 632.809.00 | Rentals | 295 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 295 |
| | | 40,375 | 1,590 | ..... | ..... | 38,785 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 633 | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 633.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 125,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 125,620 |
| 633.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 633.103.00 | Wages | 5,329 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,329 |
| | Contractual Services | | | | | |
| | Auto Hire ($30,602) | | | | | |
| 633.203.03 | Assessor | ..... | ..... | 5,400 | ..... | 5,400 |
| 633.203.07 | Sheriff | ..... | ..... | 1,320 | ..... | 1,320 |
| 633.203.09 | Police Department | ..... | ..... | 360 | ..... | 360 |
| 633.203.17 | California Palace of Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | 240 |
| 633.203.18 | de Young Memorial Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | 240 | 240 |
| 633.203.28 | Tax Collector | ..... | ..... | 360 | ..... | 360 |
| 633.203.29 | Registrar of Voters | ..... | ..... | 700 | ..... | 700 |
| 633.203.33 | Purchaser | 2,142 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,142 |
| 633.203.34 | Real Estate Department | ..... | ..... | 480 | ..... | 480 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.203.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | ..... | ..... | 1,440 | ..... | 1,440 |
| 633.203.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | ..... | ..... | 2,520 | ..... | 2,520 |
| 633.203.40 | Bureau of Engineering | ..... | ..... | 3,360 | ..... | 3,360 |
| 633.203.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | ..... | ..... | 1,080 | ..... | 1,080 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.203.50- 1 | Administration | ..... | ..... | 600 | ..... | 600 |
| 633.203.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.50- 5 | Communicable Diseases | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | ..... | 1,500 |
| 633.203.50-10 | Child Welfare—Medical | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | 1,800 |
| 633.203.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | ..... | ..... | 600 | ..... | 600 |
| 633.203.50-16 | City Physicians | ..... | ..... | 2,100 | ..... | 2,100 |
| 633.203.54 | Emergency Hospitals | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 633.203.56 | County Welfare Department | ..... | ..... | 1,200 | ..... | 1,200 |
| 633.203.58 | Agricultural Inspection | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | 1,800 |
| 633.203.61 | City Planning Commission | ..... | ..... | 460 | ..... | 460 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Scavenger Service ($ 6,685) | | | | | |
| 633.204.07 | Sheriff | | | 224 | | 224 |
| 633.204.09 | Police Department | | | 344 | | 344 |
| 633.204.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,878 | | 1,878 |
| 633.204.13 | Recreation | | | | 715 | 715 |
| 633.204.14 | Library | | | | 450 | 450 |
| 633.204.15 | War Memorial | | | | 383 | 383 |
| 633.204.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 633.204.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 12 | | 12 |
| 633.204.35 | Auditorium | | | 314 | | 314 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.204.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 941 | | 941 |
| 633.204.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 36 | | 36 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.204.50-1 | Central Office—Administration | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 633.204.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 1,008 | | 1,008 |
| 633.204.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 250 | | 250 |
| | Shop Expense ($180,390) | | | | | |
| 633.209.03 | Assessor | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.07 | Sheriff | | | 550 | | 550 |
| 633.209.09 | Police Department | | | 25,200 | | 25,200 |
| 633.209.10 | Fire Department | | | 128,000 | | 128,000 |
| 633.209.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.209.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.209.28 | Tax Collector | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 125 | | 125 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Shop Expense (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.209.36 | General Office | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.209.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.209.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.209.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.209.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.209.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.209.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.209.50- 1 | Administration | | | 275 | | 275 |
| 633.209.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.209.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 850 | | 850 |
| 633.209.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 1,425 | | 1,425 |
| 633.209.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.209.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.209.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.209.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 235 | | 235 |
| 633.209.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 675 | | 675 |
| 633.209.50-20 | Social Services | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.209.50-22 | Outpatient Maternity Service | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.209.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.209.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 633.209.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.209.57 | Coroner | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.209.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.209.60 | Controller | | | 80 | | 80 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment ($5,100) | | | | | |
| 633.210.03 | Assessor | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.06 | Treasurer | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.210.07 | Sheriff | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.09 | Police Department | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.210.10 | Fire Department | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.210.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.28 | Tax Collector | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.30 | Recorder | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.210.31 | County Clerk | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.210.32 | Public Administrator | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.210.33 | Purchaser | | 150 | | | 150 |
| 633.210.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.210.36 | General Office | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.210.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 100 | | 100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.210.50- 1 | Administration | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50- 2 | Accounting | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.210.50- 3 | Statistics | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50- 5 | Communicable Diseases | | | 70 | | 70 |
| 633.210.50- 6 | Clinics | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50- 7 | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 30 | | 30 |
| 633.210.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.210.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 25 | | 25 |
| 633.210.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.210.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 25 | | 25 |
| 633.210.50-20 | Social Services | | | 35 | | 35 |
| 633.210.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 10 | | 10 |
| 633.210.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.210.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.210.57 | Coroner | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.210.60 | Controller | | | 1,930 | | 1,930 |
| | Towel Service ($ 4,680) | | | | | |
| 633.217.09 | Police Department | | | 858 | | 858 |
| 633.217.10 | Fire Department | | | 31 | | 31 |
| 633.217.18 | de Young Museum | | | | 200 | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.217.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 3,375 | | 3,375 |
| 633.217.33-1 | Purchaser—Shop No. 1 | 21 | | | | 21 |
| 633.217.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 185 | | 185 |
| 633.217.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 10 | | 10 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Telephone and Telegraph.....($19,929)..... | | | | | |
| 633.225.01 | Board of Supervisors | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 663.225.03 | Assessor | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.225.04 | City Attorney | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.225.05 | District Attorney | | | 465 | | 465 |
| 633.225.06 | Treasurer | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.225.07 | Sheriff | | | 620 | | 620 |
| 633.225.08 | Public Defender | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.225.09 | Police Department | | | 3,695 | | 3,695 |
| 633.225.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,300 | | 1,300 |
| 633.225.11 | Board of Permit Appeals | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.225.16 | Art Commission | | | 55 | | 55 |
| 633.225.20 | Municipal Court | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.225.21 | Superior Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.225.22 | Law Library | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.225.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.225.25 | Adult Probation Department | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.225.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.225.28 | Tax Collector | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.225.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.225.30 | Recorder | | | 80 | | 80 |
| 633.225.31 | County Clerk | | | 102 | | 102 |
| 633.225.32 | Public Administrator | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.225.33 | Purchaser | 220 | | | | 220 |
| 633.225.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 330 | | 330 |
| 633.225.36 | Department of Public Works—General Office | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.225.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 400 | | 400 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Telephone and Telegraph (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.225.50-1 | Central Office—Administration | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.225.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 780 | | 780 |
| 633.225.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 2,160 | | 2,160 |
| 633.225.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 420 | | 420 |
| 633.225.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 720 | | 720 |
| 633.225.57 | Coroner | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.225.58 | Agricultural Inspection | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.225.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.225.60 | Controller | | | 550 | | 550 |
| 633.225.61 | City Planning Commission | | | 35 | | 35 |
| 633.225.71 | Civil Service Commission | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.225.72 | Employees' Retirement System | | | | 42 | 42 |
| | Other Contractual Services ($91,439) | | | | | |
| | Board of Supervisors | | | | | |
| 633.229.01 | Publication of Ordinances and Resolutions | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 633.230.01 | Printing Journals, Calendars, Resolutions, Etc. | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| | Assessor | | | | | |
| 633.208.03 | Rental—Meter and Tabulator | | | 3,120 | | 3,120 |
| | Treasurer | | | | | |
| 633.201.06 | Carfare | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Police Department | | | | | |
| 633.212.09 | Repairs to Police Launch | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.223.09 | Horseshoeing | | | 2,300 | | 2,300 |
| 633.224.09 | Washing and Greasing Autos | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Other Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Fire Department | | | | | |
| 633.212.10 | Drydocking Fireboats | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| 633.229.10 | Advertising | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | California Palace of Legion of Honor | | | | | |
| 633.212.17 | Maintenance of Burglar Alarm | | | | 60 | 60 |
| | de Young Memorial Museum | | | | | |
| 633.212.18 | Maintenance of Burglar Alarm | | | | 30 | 30 |
| | Municipal Court | | | | | |
| 633.230.20 | Printing Court Calendars | | | 2,570 | | 2,570 |
| | Superior Court | | | | | |
| 633.230.21 | Printing Court Calendars | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| | Juvenile Detention Home | | | | | |
| 633.216.24 | Elevator Inspection and Repairs | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Registrar of Voters | | | | | |
| 633.233.29 | Miscellaneous | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Public Administrator | | | | | |
| 633.230.32 | Annual Report | | | 800 | | 800 |
| | Purchasing Department | | | | | |
| 633.201.33 | Carfare | 60 | | | | 60 |
| 633.202.33 | Freight on Tabulating Cards | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 633.208.33-1 | Rental of Tabulating Machines | 4,554 | | | | 4,554 |
| 633.208.33-2 | Rental of Postage Meter | 120 | | | | 120 |
| 633.226.33 | License Tags and Plates | 5,500 | | | | 5,500 |
| 633.229.33 | Official Advertising | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 633.230.33 | Printing Public Documents | 2,500 | | | | 2,500 |
| 633.231.33-1 | Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 1 | 2,850 | 2,850 | | | |
| 633.231.33-2 | Gas and Electricity—Shop No. 2 | 500 | 500 | | | |
| 633.231.33-3 | Gas and Electricity—Central Warehouse | 550 | 550 | | | |
| 633.233.33-1 | McGill Commodity Service | 60 | | | | 60 |
| 633.233.33-2 | Removal of Dead Animals | 6,000 | | | | 6,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Other Contractual Services (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Real Estate Department | | | | | |
| 633.233.34 | Directory Service | | | 90 | | 90 |
| | Department of Public Health—Central Office—Administration | | | | | |
| 633.225.50-1 | Postage | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | Controller | | | | | |
| 633.208.60 | Rental Tabulating Machines | | | 525 | | 525 |
| | City Planning Commission | | | | | |
| 633.229.61 | Official Advertising | | | 250 | | 250 |
| | Materials and Supplies: | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies—Miscellaneous....($ 2,300) | | | | | |
| | Police Department (Stations and Bureaus) | | | | | |
| 633.310.09-1 | Supplies—Automobile | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.310.09-2 | Supplies—Police Launch | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.315.09-1 | Batteries—Automobile | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.315.09-2 | Batteries—Radio | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.315.09-3 | Heavy Duty Generators—Replacements | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.315.09-4 | Batteries—Motorcycles | | | 50 | | 50 |
| | Drygoods and Sewing Materials.....($25,163) | | | | | |
| 633.314.07-2 | Sheriff—Jail No. 1 | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.314.07-3 | Sheriff—Jail No. 2 | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| 633.314.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 548 | | 548 |
| 633.314.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 515 | | 515 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.314.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.314.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 633.314.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.314.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Gasoline and Oil.....($61,234)..... | | | | | |
| 633.318.03 | Assessor | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.318.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.318.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 825 | | 825 |
| 633.318.09 | Police Department | | | 21,900 | | 21,900 |
| 633.318.11 | Fire Department | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.318.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 450 | | 450 |
| 633.318.28 | Tax Collector | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.318.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.318.33-1 | Purchasing Department—General Office | 30 | | | | 30 |
| 633.318.33-2 | Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 | 300 | | | | 300 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.318.36 | General Office | | | 144 | | 144 |
| 633.318.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.318.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.318.39 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.318.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.318.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 9,200 | | 9,200 |
| 633.318.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.318.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,825 | | 1,825 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Gasoline and Oil (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.318.50- 1 | Administration | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 110 | | 110 |
| 633.318.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 505 | | 505 |
| 633.318.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.318.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 140 | | 140 |
| 633.318.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 110 | | 110 |
| 633.318.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 85 | | 85 |
| 633.318.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.318.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.318.50-20 | Social Services | | | 115 | | 115 |
| 633.318.50-22 | Outpatient Maternity Service | | | 80 | | 80 |
| 633.318.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 1,350 | | 1,350 |
| 633.318.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.318.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,600 | | 1,600 |
| 633.318.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 725 | | 725 |
| 633.318.57 | Coroner | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.318.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.318.60 | Controller | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc.....($111,170) | | | | | |
| 633.328.01 | Board of Supervisors | | | 800 | | 800 |
| 633.328.02 | Mayor | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.328.03 | Assessor | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.328.04 | City Attorney | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.328.05 | District Attorney | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.328.06 | Treasurer | | | 1,100 | | 1,100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.328.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 2,865 | | 2,865 |
| 633.328.08 | Public Defender | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.09 | Police Department | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 633.328.10 | Fire Department | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.328.16 | Art Commission | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.20 | Municipal Court | | | 3,450 | | 3,450 |
| 633.328.21-1 | Superior Court—Law Books | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.21-2 | Superior Court—Secretary | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.21-3 | Superior Court—Grand Jury | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.328.22 | Law Library | | | 350 | | 350 |
| 633.328.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 1,150 | | 1,150 |
| 633.328.25 | Adult Probation | | | 490 | | 490 |
| 633.328.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.328.27 | Director of Finance and Records | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.328.28 | Tax Collector | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 633.328.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.328.30 | Recorder | | | 3,800 | | 3,800 |
| 633.328.31 | County Clerk | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| 633.328.32 | Public Administrator | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.33 | Purchasing Department—General Office | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| 633.328.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.34-1 | Real Estate Department—Blueprints, etc. | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.328.36 | General Office | | | 5,300 | | 5,300 |
| 633.328.40 | Engineering | | | 1,800 | | 1,800 |
| 633.328.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.328.50- 1 | Administration | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.328.50- 2 | Accounting | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.50- 3 | Statistics | | | 470 | | 470 |
| 633.328.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.50- 5 | Communicable Diseases | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.328.50- 6 | Clinics | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.328.50- 7 | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | 295 | | 295 |
| 633.328.50- 8 | School Inspection—Medical | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.328.50- 9 | Dental | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.328.50-10 | Child Welfare—Medical | | | 10 | | 10 |
| 633.328.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 660 | | 660 |
| 633.328.50-12 | Chemical Laboratory | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.328.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 480 | | 480 |
| 633.328.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 270 | | 270 |
| 633.328.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.328.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.328.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 1,030 | | 1,030 |
| 633.328.50-20 | Social Services | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.328.50-21 | Tuberculosis Bureau | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.328.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 600 | | 600 |
| 633.328.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 5,800 | | 5,800 |
| 633.328.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.328.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.328.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| 633.328.57 | Coroner | | | 600 | | 600 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for E xpenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Books, Office Supplies, Stationery, Etc. (Continued) | | | | | |
| 633.328.58 | Agricultural Inspection | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.328.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 165 | | 165 |
| 633.328.60 | Controller | | | 13,000 | | 13,000 |
| 633.328.61 | City Planning Commission | | | 275 | | 275 |
| 633.328.71 | Civil Service Commission | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| | Clothing and Wearing Apparel ($21,825) | | | | | |
| 633.329.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 400 | | 400 |
| 633.329.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.329.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| 633.329.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.329.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 225 | | 225 |
| 633.329.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 1,200 | | 1,200 |
| | Tires and Tubes ($11,155) | | | | | |
| 633.337.01 | Board of Supervisors | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.02 | Mayor | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.05 | District Attorney | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.07-1 | Sheriff—Administration | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.09 | Police Department | | | 4,200 | | 4,200 |
| 633.337.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.337.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.28 | Tax Collector | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.33-2 | Purchasing Department—Shop No. 2 | 175 | | | | 175 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Tires and Tubes (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.337.36 | General Office | | | 60 | | 60 |
| 633.337.37 | Bureau of Accounts | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.337.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.337.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repairs | | | 700 | | 700 |
| 633.337.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 600 | | 600 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.337.50- 1 | Administration | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.337.50- 4 | Meat Inspection | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.337.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.50-14 | Housing Inspection | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.337.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 40 | | 40 |
| 633.337.50-17 | Field Nursing—Administration | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.337.50-18 | Field Nursing—Schools | | | 65 | | 65 |
| 633.337.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | | | 90 | | 90 |
| 633.337.50-20 | Social Services | | | 25 | | 25 |
| 633.337.50-22 | Outpatient Maternity Service | | | 20 | | 20 |
| 633.337.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.337.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 750 | | 750 |
| 633.337.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.337.57 | Coroner | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.337.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.337.60 | Controller | | | 100 | | 100 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Cleaning, Polishing, Lavatory Supplies....($15,080)..... | | | | | |
| 633.338.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 ..... | | | 730 | | 730 |
| 633.338.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 ..... | | | 1,250 | | 1,250 |
| 633.338.49 | Department of Electricity ..... | | | 35 | | 35 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.338.51 | Laguna Honda Home ..... | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.338.53 | San Francisco Hospital ..... | | | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| 633.338.54 | Emergency Hospitals ..... | | | 140 | | 140 |
| 633.338.55 | Hassler Health Home ..... | | | 425 | | 425 |
| | Brooms, Brushes, Mops, etc. .....($2,855)..... | | | | | |
| 633.339.07 | Sheriff ..... | | | 300 | | 300 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.339.51 | Laguna Honda Home ..... | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.339.53 | San Francisco Hospital ..... | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.339.54 | Emergency Hospitals ..... | | | 55 | | 55 |
| 633.339.55 | Hassler Health Home ..... | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies .....($21,833)..... | | | | | |
| 633.340.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1..... | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.340.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2..... | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.340.09-1 | Police Department—Stations and Bureaus..... | | | 370 | | 370 |
| 633.340.09 | Police Department—City Prison ..... | | | 580 | | 580 |
| 633.340.10 | Fire Department ..... | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 633.340.24 | Juvenile Detention Home..... | | | 288 | | 288 |
| 633.340.33-3 | Purchasing Department—Central Warehouse..... | 50 | | | | 50 |
| 633.340.38 | Department of Public Works—Building Repair..... | | | 5,700 | | 5,700 |
| 633.340.49 | Department of Electricity..... | | | 70 | | 70 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Materials and Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Miscellaneous Janitorial Supplies (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.340.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 2,500 | | 2,500 |
| 633.340.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.340.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 175 | | 175 |
| 633.340.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 100 | | 100 |
| | Canned Goods ($48,277) | | | | | |
| 633.351.07-2 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 1 | | | 850 | | 850 |
| 633.351.07-3 | Sheriff—County Jail No. 2 | | | 1,650 | | 1,650 |
| 633.351.09 | Police Department—City Prison | | | 177 | | 177 |
| 633.351.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| 633.351.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| 633.351.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 28,800 | | 28,800 |
| 633.351.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 125 | | 125 |
| 633.351.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 2,175 | | 2,175 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Equipment ($262,290) | | | | | |
| 633.400.03 | Assessor | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.400.04 | City Attorney | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.07 | Sheriff | | | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| 633.400.09 | Police Department | | | 27,500 | | 27,500 |
| 633.400.10 | Fire Department | | | 95,000 | | 95,000 |
| 633.400.21 | Superior Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.400.23 | Juvenile Court | | | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| 633.400.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | | | 250 | | 250 |
| 633.400.25 | Adult Probation | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.400.26 | Chief Administrative Officer | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.400.28 | Tax Collector | | | 768 | | 768 |
| 633.400.29 | Registrar of Voters | | | 150 | | 150 |
| 633.400.30 | Recorder | | | 320 | | 320 |
| 633.400.31 | County Clerk | | | 8,500 | | 8,500 |
| 633.400.33 | Purchaser | 20,000 | | | | 20,000 |
| 633.400.33-2 | Purchaser—Shop No. 2 | 3,500 | | | | 3,500 |
| 633.400.33-3 | Purchaser—Central Warehouse | 2,000 | | | | 2,000 |
| 633.400.34 | Real Estate Department | | | 200 | | 200 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 633.400.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.400.40 | Bureau of Engineering | | | 1,900 | | 1,900 |
| 633.400.41 | Central Permit Bureau | | | 200 | | 200 |
| 633.400.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | 22,550 | | 22,550 |
| 633.400.43 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 633.400.49 | Department of Electricity | | | 3,500 | | 3,500 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intrs-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Equipment (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 633.400.50-1 | Administration | | | 1,185 | | 1,185 |
| 633.400.50-2 | Accounting | | | 75 | | 75 |
| 633.400.50-3 | Statistics | | | 850 | | 850 |
| 633.400.50-6 | Clinics | | | 100 | | 100 |
| 633.400.50-7 | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | 865 | | 865 |
| 633.400.50-8 | School Inspection—Medical | | | 235 | | 235 |
| 633.400.50-11 | Food and Milk Inspection | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.400.50-12 | Chemical Laboratory | | | 900 | | 900 |
| 633.400.50-13 | Plumbing Inspection | | | 1,950 | | 1,950 |
| 633.400.50-15 | Industrial Inspection | | | 300 | | 300 |
| 633.400.51 | Laguna Honda Home | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| 633.400.53 | San Francisco Hospital | | | 35,000 | | 35,000 |
| 633.400.54 | Emergency Hospitals | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| 633.400.55 | Hassler Health Home | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.56 | County Welfare Department | | | 500 | | 500 |
| 633.400.57 | Coroner | | | 2,392 | | 2,392 |
| 633.400.58 | Agricultural Inspection | | | 50 | | 50 |
| 633.400.59 | Department of Weights and Measures | | | 650 | | 650 |
| 633.400.60 | Controller | | | 2,200 | | 2,200 |
| 633.400.64 | Airport | | | | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 633.400.71 | Civil Service | | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| 633.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 600 | | | | 600 |
| | Insurance, and Premium on Official Bonds | | | | | |
| 633.816.06 | Premium on Official Bonds—Treasurer | | | 8,275 | | 8,275 |
| 633.816.33 | Premium on Official Bonds—Purchasing Department | 10,575 | | | | 10,575 |
| 633.817.03 | Auto Insurance-Assessor | | | 82 | | 82 |
| | | 210,356 | 3,900 | 859,072 | 7,860 | 1,073,388 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 634 | REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| | *(Receipts allocated to appropriation $1,800) | | | | | |
| 634.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 12,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,600 |
| 634.200.00 | Contractual Services | 620 | 470 | ..... | ..... | 150 |
| 634.200.01 | *Collection of Rents | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 634.203.00 | Auto Hire | 480 | 480 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 634.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 17,100 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 15,550 |
| 634.809.00 | Rental, 333 Kearny Street | 10,752 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,752 |
| | | 27,852 | 1,550 | ..... | ..... | 26,302 |
| 635 | REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—AUDITORIUM | | | | | |
| | (Receipts allocated to appropriation $40,654) | | | | | |
| 635.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 28,785 | ..... | 1,425 | ..... | 30,210 |
| 635.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,300 |
| 635.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,964 | 314 | ..... | ..... | 2,650 |
| 635.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 7,000 | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 635.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 635.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 605 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 605 |
| | | 49,654 | 7,314 | 1,425 | ..... | 43,765 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| 636 | General | | | | | |
| 636.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Director | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 636.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—General Office | 27,060 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 27,060 |
| 636.101.03 | Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange | 10,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,980 |
| 636.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 636.200.00 | Contractual Services | 875 | 875 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 636.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,504 | 5,504 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 52,869 | 6,379 | ..... | ..... | 46,490 |
| 637 | Bureau of Accounts | | | | | |
| 637.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 25,920 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,920 |
| 637.200.00 | Contractual Services | 575 | 575 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 637.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 600 | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 637.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 27,595 | 1,675 | ..... | ..... | 25,920 |
| 638 | Bureau of Building Repair | | | | | |
| 638.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Superintendence | 18,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,600 |
| 638.101.02 | Permanent Salaries—Maintenance | 207,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 207,000 |
| 638.102.00 | Temporary Salaries—Maintenance | 823 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 823 |
| 638.103.00 | Wages | 84,541 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 84,541 |
| 638.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,616 | 6,756 | ..... | ..... | 860 |
| 638.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.203.00 | Truck Hire | 2,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,940 |
| 638.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 638.319.00 | Engine Room Supplies | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 638.340.00 | Janitorial Supplies | 5,700 | 5,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.400.00 | Equipment | 900 | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 638.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 638.213.00 | Repairs to Public Buildings | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 638.213.01 | Architectural Survey, First Floor, City Hall | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 638.213.02 | Rehabilitation, Assessor's Office | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 638.213.03 | Extension to Inter-Communicating System | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| | | 405,170 | 14,306 | ..... | ..... | 390,864 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 639 | Bureau of Building Inspection | | | | | |
| 639.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 37,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,200 |
| 639.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,620 | 2,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 639.233.00 | Earthquake Survey | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 639.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 44,920 | 2,720 | ..... | ..... | 42,200 |
| 640 | Bureau of Engineering | | | | | |
| 640.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 194,580 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 194,580 |
| 640.200.00 | Contractual Services | 6,800 | 500 | ..... | ..... | 6,300 |
| 640.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 65 | 65 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.203.00 | Auto Hire | 3,360 | 3,360 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.214.00 | Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 640.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,550 | 2,550 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 640.400.00 | Equipment | 1,900 | 1,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 640.900.00 | Special Inspection (Receipts allocated to appropriation $13,000) | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 |
| | | 233,255 | 8,375 | ..... | ..... | 224,880 |
| 641 | Central Permit Bureau | | | | | |
| 641.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 16,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,200 |
| 641.200.00 | Contractual Services | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 641.400.00 | Equipment | 200 | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 16,500 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 16,300 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 642 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | | | | | |
| 642.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 23,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,940 |
| 642.103.00 | Wages. | 447,671 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 447,671 |
| 642.200.00 | Contractual Services | 11,380 | 11,080 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 642.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 130 | 130 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 642.203.00 | Truck and Team Hire | 8,325 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,325 |
| 642.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 12,300 | 10,700 | ..... | ..... | 1,600 |
| 642.400.00 | Equipment. | 24,145 | 22,550 | ..... | ..... | 1,595 |
| 642.809.00 | Rental—Jones and Jefferson | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 |
| 642.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 530,691 | 44,460 | ..... | ..... | 486,231 |
| 643 | Bureau of Sewer Repair | | | | | |
| 643.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 12,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,900 |
| 643.103.00 | Wages. | 149,226 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 149,226 |
| 643.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,500 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 643.203.00 | Team and Truck Hire | 23,868 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 23,868 |
| 643.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 18,200 | 3,200 | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 643.400.00 | Equipment. | 1,500 | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 643.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 7,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,100 |
| | | 217,294 | 9,200 | ..... | ..... | 208,094 |
| 643.901.00 | Tearing up Streets—Side Sewers (Receipts allocated to Appropriation $35,000) | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| | | 252,294 | 9,200 | ..... | ..... | 243,094 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| 644 | Sewage Pumping Station | | | | | |
| 644.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 2,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,160 |
| 644.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 72 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 72 |
| 644.200.00 | Contractual Services | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 644.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 4,700 | 4,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 644.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| | | 7,482 | 4,700 | ..... | ..... | 2,782 |
| 649 | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY | | | | | |
| 649.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 158,190 | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | 163,190 |
| 649.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 750 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 750 |
| 649.103.00 | Wages | 15,937 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,937 |
| 649.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,241 | 1,621 | ..... | ..... | 1,620 |
| 649.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,700 | 1,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 649.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,350 | 3,530 | ..... | ..... | 6,820 |
| 649.400.00 | Equipment | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 193,668 | 10,351 | ..... | 5,000 | 188,317 |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office | | | | | |
| | Administration | | | | | |
| 650.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 31,468 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,468 |
| 650.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 65 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 65 |
| 650.200.01 | Contractual Services | 5,035 | 3,960 | ..... | ..... | 1,075 |
| 650.231.01 | Heat, Light, and Power | 4,100 | 4,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 2,225 | 1,125 | ..... | ..... | 1,100 |
| 650.400.01 | Equipment | 1,185 | 1,185 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.900.01 | Services of Other Departments | 1,825 | 1,425 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| | | 45,903 | 11,795 | ..... | ..... | 34,108 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 650.252.01 | Feeble Minded | 157,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 157,500 |
| 650.811.01 | Burial of Indigent Dead | 12,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,600 |
| | | 216,003 | 11,795 | ..... | ..... | 204,208 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Accounting | | | | | |
| 650.101.02 | Permanent Salaries | 17,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,460 |
| 650.200.02 | Contractual Services | 210 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 160 |
| 650.300.02 | Materials and Supplies | 130 | 100 | ..... | ..... | 30 |
| 650.400.02 | Equipment | 75 | 75 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 17,875 | 225 | ..... | ..... | 17,650 |
| | Statistics | | | | | |
| 650.101.03 | Permanent Salaries | 13,620 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,620 |
| 650.200.03 | Contractual Services | 1,270 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 1,250 |
| 650.300.03 | Materials and Supplies | 480 | 470 | ..... | ..... | 10 |
| 650.400.03 | Equipment | 850 | 850 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 16,220 | 1,340 | ..... | ..... | 14,880 |
| | Meat Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.04 | Permanent Salaries | 60,336 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60,336 |
| 650.200.04 | Contractual Services | 990 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 650.300.04 | Materials and Supplies | 280 | 230 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| | | 61,606 | 620 | ..... | ..... | 60,986 |
| | Communicable Diseases | | | | | |
| 650.101.05 | Permanent Salaries | 24,120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 24,120 |
| 650.200.05 | Contractual Services | 1,620 | 1,570 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 650.300.05 | Materials and Supplies | 1,620 | 650 | ..... | ..... | 970 |
| | | 27,360 | 2,220 | ..... | ..... | 25,140 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Clinics | | | | | |
| 650.101.06 | Permanent Salaries | 22,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,800 |
| 650.200.06 | Contractual Services | 95 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 75 |
| 650.300.06 | Materials and Supplies | 375 | 125 | ..... | ..... | 250 |
| 650.400.06 | Equipment | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 23,370 | 245 | ..... | ..... | 23,125 |
| | Bacteriological Laboratory | | | | | |
| 650.101.07 | Permanent Salaries | 18,534 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,534 |
| 650.200.07 | Contractual Services | 40 | 20 | ..... | ..... | 20 |
| 650.300.07 | Materials and Supplies | 2,295 | 295 | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 650.400.07 | Equipment | 865 | 865 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 21,734 | 1,180 | ..... | ..... | 20,554 |
| | School Inspection—Medical | | | | | |
| 650.101.08 | Permanent Salaries | 32,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 32,200 |
| 650.200.08 | Contractual Services | 120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 120 |
| 650.300.08 | Materials and Supplies | 1,695 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 1,645 |
| 650.400.08 | Equipment | 235 | 235 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 34,250 | 285 | ..... | ..... | 33,965 |
| | Dental Bureau | | | | | |
| 650.101.09 | Permanent Salaries | 22,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 22,800 |
| 650.200.09 | Contractual Services | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 650.300.09 | Materials and Supplies | 1,075 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 1,025 |
| | | 23,975 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 23,925 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Child Welfare—Medical | | | | | |
| 650.101.10 | Permanent Salaries | 13,120 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,120 |
| 650.200.10 | Contractual Services | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.10 | Materials and Supplies | 10 | 10 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 13,430 | 310 | ..... | ..... | 13,120 |
| | Food and Milk Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.11 | Permanent Salaries | 64,380 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 64,380 |
| 650.200.11 | Contractual Services | 4,180 | 2,680 | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 650.300.11 | Materials and Supplies | 1,665 | 1,265 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 650.400.11 | Equipment | 650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 70,875 | 4,595 | ..... | ..... | 66,280 |
| | Chemical Laboratory | | | | | |
| 650.101.12 | Permanent Salaries | 9,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,300 |
| 650.300.12 | Materials and Supplies | 600 | 100 | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 650.400.12 | Equipment | 900 | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,800 | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | 9,800 |
| | Plumbing Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.13 | Permanent Salaries | 29,340 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 29,340 |
| 650.200.13 | Contractual Services | 1,480 | 1,445 | ..... | ..... | 35 |
| 650.300.13 | Materials and Supplies | 1,360 | 1,330 | ..... | ..... | 30 |
| 650.400.13 | Equipment | 1,950 | 1,950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 34,130 | 4,725 | ..... | ..... | 29,405 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Housing Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.14 | Permanent Salaries | 26,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 26,400 |
| 650.200.14 | Contractual Services | 510 | 110 | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 650.300.14 | Materials and Supplies | 475 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 25 |
| | | 27,385 | 560 | ..... | ..... | 26,825 |
| | Industrial Inspection | | | | | |
| 650.101.15 | Permanent Salaries | 10,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,320 |
| 650.200.15 | Contractual Services | 2,510 | 660 | ..... | ..... | 1,850 |
| 650.300.15 | Materials and Supplies | 235 | 210 | ..... | ..... | 25 |
| 650.400.15 | Equipment | 300 | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 13,365 | 1,170 | ..... | ..... | 12,195 |
| | City Physicians | | | | | |
| 650.101.16 | Permanent Salaries | 18,000 | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | 21,600 |
| 650.200.16 | Contractual Services | 2,100 | 2,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 20,100 | 2,100 | 3,600 | ..... | 21,600 |
| | Field Nursing—Administration | | | | | |
| 650.101.17 | Permanent Salaries | 31,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,980 |
| 650.200.17 | Contractual Services | 85 | 85 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.17 | Materials and Supplies | 305 | 305 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 32,370 | 390 | ..... | ..... | 31,980 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Field Nursing—Schools | | | | | |
| 650.101.18 | Permanent Salaries | 75,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75,240 |
| 650.200.18 | Contractual Services | 1,275 | 275 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 650.300.18 | Materials and Supplies | 1,930 | 930 | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 78,445 | 1,205 | ..... | ..... | 77,240 |
| | Field Nursing—Other | | | | | |
| 650.101.19 | Permanent Salaries | 39,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 39,600 |
| 650.200.19 | Contractual Services | 2,100 | 700 | ..... | ..... | 1,400 |
| 650.231.19 | Heat, Light, and Power | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 650.300.19 | Materials and Supplies | 3,280 | 1,295 | ..... | ..... | 1,985 |
| 650.800.19 | Rentals | 1,590 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,590 |
| | | 46,970 | 2,395 | ..... | ..... | 44,575 |
| | Social Services | | | | | |
| 650.101.20 | Permanent Salaries | 8,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,700 |
| 650.200.20 | Contractual Services | 960 | 185 | ..... | ..... | 775 |
| 650.300.20 | Materials and Supplies | 840 | 840 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,500 | 1,025 | ..... | ..... | 9,475 |
| | Tuberculosis Bureau | | | | | |
| 650.101.21 | Permanent Salaries | 13,860 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,860 |
| 650.200.21 | Contractual Services | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 650.300.21 | Materials and Supplies | 500 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 650.350.21 | Foodstuffs | 5,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,200 |
| | | 20,010 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 19,810 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 650 | Central Office (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Outpatient Maternity Services | | | | | |
| 650.101.22 | Permanent Salaries | 10,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,080 |
| 650.200.22 | Contractual Services | 245 | 50 | ..... | ..... | 195 |
| 650.300.22 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 10,425 | 150 | ..... | ..... | 10,275 |
| 651 | Laguna Honda Home | | | | | |
| 651.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 131,092 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 131,092 |
| 651.101.01 | Inmate Help | 27,605 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 27,605 |
| 651.101.02 | Institutional Help | 56,784 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 56,784 |
| 651.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 2,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,400 |
| 651.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,250 | 890 | ..... | ..... | 2,360 |
| 651.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 25,000 | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 651.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 68,350 | 32,050 | ..... | ..... | 36,300 |
| 651.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 230,000 | 14,000 | ..... | ..... | 216,000 |
| 651.400.00 | Equipment | 8,200 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | 3,200 |
| 651.108.00 | Employees' Room Allowance | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 651.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| | | 558,681 | 76,940 | ..... | ..... | 481,741 |
| 652 | Isolation Hospital | | | | | |
| 652.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 25,002 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,002 |
| 652.101.01 | Permanent Salaries—Institutional Help | 12,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,220 |
| | | 37,222 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,222 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 653 | San Francisco Hospital | | | | | |
| 653.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 274,282 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 274,282 |
| 653.101.01 | Internes and Student Nurses | 18,040 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,040 |
| 653.101.02 | Nursing | 235,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 235,800 |
| 653.101.03 | Institutional Help | 251,743 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 251,743 |
| 653.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 8,113 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,113 |
| 653.200.00 | Contractual Services | 10,118 | 3,618 | ..... | ..... | 6,500 |
| 653.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 30,000 | 30,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 653.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 180,000 | 35,150 | ..... | ..... | 144,850 |
| 653.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 250,000 | 28,800 | ..... | ..... | 221,200 |
| 653.400.00 | Equipment | 35,000 | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 653.108.00 | Room Allowance for Employees | 60,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 60,000 |
| 653.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | | 1,363,096 | 132,568 | ..... | ..... | 1,230,528 |
| 654 | Emergency Hospitals | | | | | |
| 654.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 145,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 145,500 |
| 654.101.01 | Nursing | 35,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,460 |
| 654.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 11,960 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,960 |
| 654.200.00 | Contractual Services | 7,970 | 3,970 | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| 654.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,800 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 654.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 12,915 | 4,645 | ..... | ..... | 8,270 |
| 654.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 3,500 | 125 | ..... | ..... | 3,375 |
| 654.400.00 | Equipment | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 654.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 1,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,200 |
| | | 225,305 | 15,540 | ..... | ..... | 209,765 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (Continued) | | | | | |
| 655 | Hassler Health Home | | | | | |
| 655.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 32,010 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 32,010 |
| 655.101.01 | Institutional Help | 12,480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,480 |
| 655.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 935 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 935 |
| 655.200.00 | Contractual Services | 3,000 | 1,220 | ..... | ..... | 1,780 |
| 655.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 4,000 | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 655.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 11,500 | 3,975 | ..... | ..... | 7,525 |
| 655.350.00 | Foodstuffs. | 27,000 | 2,175 | ..... | ..... | 24,825 |
| 655.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 655.812.00 | Taxes | 425 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425 |
| 655.108.00 | Employees' Room Allowance | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| | | 93,850 | 11,870 | ..... | ..... | 81,980 |
| 656 | COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 656.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 44,940 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 44,940 |
| 656.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 656.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,900 | 1,300 | ..... | ..... | 600 |
| 656.200.01 | Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 656.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 656.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 656.804.01 | Widows' Pensions | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |
| 656.804.02 | Maintenance of Aged | 1,550,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,550,000 |
| 656.804.03 | Blind Pensions | 115,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 115,000 |
| | | 1,975,940 | 5,300 | ..... | ..... | 1,970,640 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 657 | CORONER | | | | | |
| 657.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 52,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,280 |
| 657.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,277 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,277 |
| 657.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,085 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 635 |
| 657.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 2,030 | 950 | ..... | ..... | 1,080 |
| 657.400.00 | Equipment | 2,392 | 2,392 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 657.811.00 | Burial of Honorably Discharged Soldiers, etc. | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| | | 59,564 | 3,792 | ..... | ..... | 55,772 |
| 658 | AGRICULTURAL (HORTICULTURAL) INSPECTION DEPT. | | | | | |
| 658.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 15,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,900 |
| 658.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,250 | 2,050 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 658.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 400 | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 658.400.00 | Equipment | 50 | 50 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 658.809.00 | Rents, Office | 960 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 960 |
| | | 19,560 | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | 17,060 |
| 659 | DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES | | | | | |
| 659.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 19,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 19,800 |
| 659.200.00 | Contractual Services | 350 | 300 | ..... | ..... | 50 |
| 659.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 615 | 615 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 659.400.00 | Equipment | 650 | 650 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 659.809.00 | Garage Rental | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| | | 21,715 | 1,565 | ..... | ..... | 20,150 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 660 | CONTROLLER | | | | | |
| 660.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 180,394 | ..... | ..... | 8,400 | 188,794 |
| 660.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 9,785 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,785 |
| 660.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,143 | 3,085 | ..... | ..... | 1,058 |
| 660.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 13,600 | 13,400 | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 660.400.00 | Equipment | 2,200 | 2,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 660.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 480 |
| 660.248.09 | Police Department Accounting | ..... | ..... | 2,280 | ..... | 2,280 |
| 660.248.65 | Audit of Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| 660.248.66 | Audit of Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| 660.248.67 | Audit of Hetch Hetchy Power | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 | 900 |
| 660.248.68 | Audit of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | ..... | ..... | 850 | 850 |
| 660.248.72 | Audit of Employees' Retirement System | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| | | 210,602 | 18,685 | 2,280 | 16,200 | 210,397 |
| | Other Appropriations | | | | | |
| 660.233.00 | Pre-Legislative and Legislative Expense | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 660.705.01 | Judgments and Claims | 45,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 45,000 |
| 660.705.02 | Auto Liability Claims | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| | | 272,602 | 18,685 | 2,280 | 16,200 | 272,397 |
| 661 | CITY PLANNING COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 661.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 9,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,500 |
| 661.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 661.106.00 | Commissioners' Fees | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 661.200.00 | Contractual Services | 285 | 285 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 661.203.00 | Auto Hire | 460 | 460 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 661.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 275 | 275 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 15,820 | 1,020 | ..... | ..... | 14,800 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 663 | LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS | | | | | |
| 663.214.63 | Maintenance and Repair of Structures | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 663.231.00 | Lighting of Public Streets | 688,000 | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | 728,000 |
| | Electricity and Gas | | | | | |
| 663.231.07 | Sheriff | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | 13,000 |
| 663.231.09 | Police Department | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | 13,000 |
| 663.231.10 | Fire Department | ..... | ..... | 18,500 | ..... | 18,500 |
| 663.231.12 | Park | ..... | ..... | ..... | 46,470 | 46,470 |
| 663.231.13 | Recreation | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 663.231.14 | Library | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| 663.231.15 | War Memorial—General | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| 663.231.15-1 | War Memorial—Art Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 663.231.17 | California Palace of Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| 663.231.18 | de Young Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 663.231.24 | Juvenile Detention Home | ..... | ..... | 1,750 | ..... | 1,750 |
| 663.231.29 | Registrar of Voters | ..... | ..... | 190 | ..... | 190 |
| 663.231.33 | Purchasing Department | ..... | ..... | 3,900 | ..... | 3,900 |
| 663.231.35 | Real Estate Department—(Auditorium) | ..... | ..... | 7,000 | ..... | 7,000 |
| | Department of Public Works | | | | | |
| 663.231.37 | Bureau of Accounts | ..... | ..... | 600 | ..... | 600 |
| 663.231.38 | Bureau of Building Repair | ..... | ..... | 300 | ..... | 300 |
| 663.231.40 | Bureau of Engineering | ..... | ..... | 65 | ..... | 65 |
| 663.231.42 | Bureau of Street Cleaning | ..... | ..... | 130 | ..... | 130 |
| 663.231.44 | Sewage Pumping | ..... | ..... | 4,700 | ..... | 4,700 |
| 663.231.45 | Asphalt Plant (Street Repair) | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 663.231.46 | Drawbridges (Bridges) | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| 663.231.49 | Department of Electricity | ..... | ..... | 1,700 | ..... | 1,700 |
| | Department of Public Health | | | | | |
| | Central Office | | | | | |
| 663.231.50-1 | Administration | ..... | ..... | 4,100 | ..... | 4,100 |
| 663.231.50-19 | Field Nursing—Other | ..... | ..... | 400 | ..... | 400 |
| 663.231.51 | Laguna Honda Home | ..... | ..... | 25,000 | ..... | 25,000 |
| 663.231.53 | San Francisco Hospital | ..... | ..... | 30,000 | ..... | 30,000 |
| 663.231.54 | Emergency Hospitals | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | 1,800 |
| 663.231.55 | Hassler Health Home | ..... | ..... | 4,000 | ..... | 4,000 |

(Detail of General Fund Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | Electricity and Gas (Continued) | | | | | |
| 663.231.63 | Public Building Lighting | 27,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 27,200 |
| 663.231.64 | Airport | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 663.231.65 | Municipal Railway | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425,000 | 425,000 |
| 663.231.66 | Water Department | ..... | ..... | ..... | 169,500 | 169,500 |
| 663.231.69 | Schools | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,500 | 52,500 |
| 663.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 663.400.00 | Equipment | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 663.509.02 | Van Ness Ave. Underground, Market to North Point Sts. | 65,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 65,000 |
| 663.509.03 | Underground Across Golden Gate Park, 19th Ave. to 14th Ave. | 7,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,600 |
| 663.509.04 | Harrison St. Underground, Embarcadero to 5th St. | 18,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,000 |
| 663.509.05 | Bryant St. Underground, 2d to 5th Sts. | 9,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,400 |
| 663.509.06 | Folsom St. Underground, Embarcadero to 10th St. | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| 663.509.07 | Sixth St. Underground, Mission to Townsend Sts. | 14,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,000 |
| 663.509.01 | Alterations to Secure Lower Rates | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| 663.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 21,700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,700 |
| | | 895,500 | ..... | 130,135 | 790,470 | 1,816,105 |
| 671 | CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 671.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 52,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,220 |
| 671.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,480 |
| 671.200.00 | Contractual Services | 880 | 200 | ..... | ..... | 680 |
| 671.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 671.400.00 | Equipment | 2,000 | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 65,080 | 5,700 | ..... | ..... | 59,380 |
| 673.200.00 | PUBLIC POUND | 18,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,000 |
| | Total | 18,455,618 | 996,512 | 996,512 | 840,930 | 19,296,548 |
| | Transfer from Water Department | —1,407,984 | ..... | ..... | 1,407,984 | ..... |
| | TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 17,047,634 | 996,512 | 996,512 | 2,248,914 | 19,296,548 |

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 602 | MAYOR | | | | | |
| 602.900.00 | Emergency Reserve Fund | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 |
| 612 | PARK DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 612.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 116,890 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 116,890 |
| 612.103.00 | Wages | 695,739 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 695,739 |
| 612.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| 612.200.00 | Contractual Services | 15,655 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,655 |
| 612.218.00 | Concerts | 21,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,000 |
| 612.231.00 | Heat, Light, Power, etc. | 46,470 | 46,470 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 612.300.00 | Materials and Supplies—Park | 63,085 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 63,085 |
| 612.300.01 | Materials and Supplies—Commissary | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 612.324.00 | Forage | 28,838 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,838 |
| 612.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 75,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 75,000 |
| 612.400.00 | Equipment | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 612.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 15,495 | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | 13,495 |
| 612.806.00 | Retirement Allowances | 35,500 | 35,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 612.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 8,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,500 |
| 612.500.00 | Rehabilitation—Palace of Fine Arts | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 612.500.01 | Soil—Fertilizer—Trees (Miscellaneous Improvements) | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 612.600.01 | Fleishhacker Playfield—Land | 38,256 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 38,256 |
| 612.600.02 | Lafayette Park—Land | 30,160 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 30,160 |
| | Total Park Fund | 1,276,088 | 83,970 | ..... | ..... | 1,192,118 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

That portion of the Park appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount provided by a levy of 10¼c on each $100 of the 1936-37 Assessment Roll, plus $103,416.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 613 | RECREATION DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 613.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 298,978 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 298,978 |
| 613.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 3,395 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,395 |
| 613.103.00 | Wages. | 34,698 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,698 |
| 613.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 613.200.00 | Contractual Services | 39,273 | 715 | ..... | ..... | 38,558 |
| 613.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 6,000 | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 613.203.01 | Truck Hire | 900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 |
| 613.203.02 | Auto Hire | 2,748 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,748 |
| 613.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 34,592 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,592 |
| 613.400.00 | Equipment. | 3,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,240 |
| 613.600.01 | Bayview District—Land | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 613.600.02 | Upper Noe District—Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.04 | Eureka Valley Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.06 | Longfellow Site Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.08 | Sunset District Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.10 | Sigmund Stern Land | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 613.600.11 | Grattan Land | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| 613.600.12 | Corona Heights Land | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 613.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 2,334 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,334 |
| 613.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | 666 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 666 |
| 613.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 11,000 | 11,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 613.809.00 | Rents. | 3,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,740 |
| 613.101.01 | Camp Mather—Permanent Salaries | 5,826 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,826 |
| 613.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 6,856 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,856 |
| 613.103.01 | Wages. | 450 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 450 |
| 613.200.01 | Contractual Services | 3,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,727 |
| 613.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 3,287 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,287 |
| 613.350.01 | Foodstuffs. | 14,854 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,854 |
| | Total Recreation Fund | 611,564 | 17,715 | ..... | ..... | 593,849 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7c on each $100 of the 1936-

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 614 | *LIBRARY | | | | | |
| 614.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 192,240 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 192,240 |
| 614.103.00 | Wages. . | 59,135 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 59,135 |
| 614.200.00 | Contractual Services | 34,485 | 450 | ..... | ..... | 34,035 |
| 614.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 614.200.01 | Binding. . | 25,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,000 |
| 614.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 614.328.00 | Books and Bindery Materials | 80,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 80,000 |
| 614.400.00 | Equipment. . | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 614.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 6,500 | 6,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 614.809.00 | Rents. . | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 614.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 |
| | Total Library Fund | 454,360 | 19,950 | ..... | ..... | 434,410 |
| 615 | WAR MEMORIAL | | | | | |
| | General Departments | | | | | |
| 615.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 71,460 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 71,460 |
| 615.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 615.103.00 | Wages. . | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 615.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,883 | 383 | ..... | ..... | 2,500 |
| 615.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 14,000 | 14,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 615.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 615.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 4,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,000 |
| | Art Museum | | | | | |
| 615.101.01 | Permanent Salaries | 5,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,220 |
| 615.102.01 | Temporary Salaries | 435 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 435 |
| 615.231.01 | Heat, Light, and Power | 8,000 | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 615.300.01 | Materials and Supplies | 750 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 750 |
| | Total War Memorial Fund | 118,248 | 22,383 | ..... | ..... | 95,865 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

*That portion of the Library appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5c on each $100 of the 1936-1937 Assessment Roll, plus $31,755.

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 617 | CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR | | | | | |
| 617.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 37,216 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,216 |
| 617.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 700 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 700 |
| 617.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 617.200.00 | Contractual Services | 4,400 | 60 | ..... | ..... | 4,340 |
| 617.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 3,600 | 3,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 617.200.01 | Exhibitions | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 617.203.00 | Auto Hire | 720 | 240 | ..... | ..... | 480 |
| 617.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 617.400.00 | Equipment | 1,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,000 |
| 617.800.00 | Sundry Fixed Charges | 150 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 150 |
| 617.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 617.900.00 | Services of Other Departments (de Young Museum) | 11,980 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total California Palace of Legion of Honor Fund | 74,966 | 15,880 | ..... | ..... | 59,086 |
| 618 | DE YOUNG MUSEUM | | | | | |
| 618.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 55,000 | ..... | ..... | 11,980 | 66,980 |
| 618.103.00 | Wages | 1,680 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,680 |
| 618.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 520 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 520 |
| 618.200.00 | Contractual Services | 2,000 | 230 | ..... | ..... | 1,770 |
| 618.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,500 | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 618.203.00 | Auto Hire | 325 | 240 | ..... | ..... | 85 |
| 618.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 1,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,900 |
| 618.400.00 | Equipment | 300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300 |
| 618.800.00 | Fixed Charges | 875 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 875 |
| 618.200.01 | Exhibitions | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| | Total de Young Museum Fund | 71,100 | 1,970 | ..... | 11,980 | 81,110 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 626 | CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER | | | | | |
| 626.277.00 | Publicity and Advertising Fund | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 |
| 626.703.02 | Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 |
| 626.800.01 | General City Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | 5,413,085 | ..... | ..... | 711,991 | 6,125,076 |
| 626.800.02 | P. S. E. Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | 2,053,397 | ..... | ..... | 6,339,136 | 8,392,533 |
| 626.803.01 | Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes Fund | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 626.803.02 | Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan Fund | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 |
| 626.804.00 | Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund | 900,000 | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | 895,500 |
| 678.000.00 | WPA Projects Fund | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 |
| | | 9,106,433 | 4,500 | ..... | 7,051,127 | 16,153,060 |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| | COUNTY ROAD FUND | | | | | |
| 645 | Street Repair | | | | | |
| 645.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 9,900 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,900 |
| 645.103.00 | Wages | 210,723 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 210,723 |
| 645.200.00 | Contractual Services | 10,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,320 |
| 645.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power (Asphalt Plant) | 1,100 | 1,100 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 645.203.01 | Truck Hire | 17,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,000 |
| 645.203.02 | Auto Hire | 720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 720 |
| 645.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 76,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 76,000 |
| 645.400.00 | Equipment | 4,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,300 |
| 645.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 |
| 645.806.00 | Retirement Charges | 13,000 | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 645.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 10,650 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,650 |
| | | 354,213 | 14,100 | ..... | ..... | 340,113 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | COUNTY ROAD FUND (Continued) | | | | | |
| 646 | Bridges | | | | | |
| 646.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 50,880 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,880 |
| 646.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 2,190 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,190 |
| 646.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,048 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,048 |
| 646.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 1,800 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 646.214.00 | Maintenance and Repair to Bridges | 4,846 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,846 |
| 646.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 720 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 720 |
| 646.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 3,090 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,090 |
| | | 64,574 | 1,800 | ..... | ..... | 62,774 |
| 647 | General | | | | | |
| 647.231.00 | Boulevard Lighting | 40,000 | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption (1927 Boulevards) | 200,000 | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.902.00 | Services of Real Estate Department | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 |
| 647.903.01 | Traffic (Services of Department of Electricity) | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 647.903.02 | Traffic (Services of Police Department) | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,000 |
| 647.903.03 | Stop, Stop and Go, Signals | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 647.907.00 | Streets Signs—New, and Repairs | 6,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,500 |
| 647.908.00 | Sunset Boulevard Maintenance | 18,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 18,000 |
| 647.909.00 | Boulevard Tree Maintenance | 4,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 |
| | | 300,500 | 245,000 | ..... | ..... | 55,500 |
| 648 | Street Construction | | | | | |
| 648.901.00 | Bay Bridge Approach—Payment to State of California | 97,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 97,500 |
| 648.905.00 | Castro Street to Divisadero—Divisional Highway | 54,600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,600 |
| 648.938.14 | Engineering Studies of Special Improvements | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 648.939.14 | Engineering Studies, E. of Van Ness Ave., N. of California St. | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| 648.940.00 | Taylor Street—Market to Post Streets | 28,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,000 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS (Continued) | | | | | |
| | COUNTY ROAD FUND (Continued) | | | | | |
| 648 | Street Construction (Continued) | | | | | |
| 648.941.00 | Pennsylvania Ave.—Eighteenth to Nineteenth Sts. | 4,800 | | | | 4,800 |
| 648.942.00 | Williams Ave.—Third and Newhall Sts. | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 |
| 648.943.00 | Silver Ave.—Amherst to Princeton | 8,800 | | | | 8,800 |
| 648.944.00 | Parker Ave.—Geary to Fulton | 40,000 | | | | 40,000 |
| 648.945.00 | Mendell St.—Innes to Jerrold Aves. | 7,200 | | | | 7,200 |
| 648.946.00 | Diamond St.—Sussex and Surrey Sts. | 5,400 | | | | 5,400 |
| 648.947.00 | Harriet—Harrison and Bryant Sts. | 15,000 | | | | 15,000 |
| 648.948.00 | Chesley—Harrison and Bryant Sts. | | | | | |
| 648.949.00 | Noriega—Ninth to Eleventh Aves. | 1,440 | | | | 1,440 |
| 648.980.00 | Ninth Ave.—Kirkham and Pacheco | 4,200 | | | | 4,200 |
| 648.981.00 | Taraval St.—Dewey Blvd. to Twelfth Ave. | 11,000 | | | | 11,000 |
| 648.982.00 | Golden Gate Bridge Approach | 40,000 | | | | 40,000 |
| 648.983.00 | Oregon St., Open into Embarcadero | 18,000 | | | | 18,000 |
| 648.984.00 | Joint Highway District No. 9 | 11,550 | | | | 11,550 |
| 648.985.00 | Joint Highway District No. 10 | 22,600 | | | | 22,600 |
| | | 400,090 | | | | 400,090 |
| | Total County Road Fund | 1,119,377 | 260,900 | | | 858,477 |
| 677 | SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND | | | | | |
| 677.902.00 | Seventh Avenue—Lincoln to Noriega | 12,000 | | | | 12,000 |
| 677.903.00 | Ocean Avenue—San Jose to Nineteenth Avenues | 111,000 | | | | 111,000 |
| 677.904.00 | Third and Channel Bridge | 1,545 | | | | 1,545 |
| 677.905.00 | Sixth and Channel Bridge | 6,520 | | | | 6,520 |
| 677.906.00 | Third and Islais Bridge | 40,195 | | | | 40,195 |
| | Unappropriated surplus | 328,740 | | | | 328,740 |
| | Total Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | | | | 500,000 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations for Expenditures, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 649 | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY | | | | | |
| 649.900.00 | Installation Fund | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 |
| 660 | CONTROLLER | | | | | |
| 660.705.00 | Tax Judgments Fund | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 |
| | SCHOOL DEPARTMENT | | | | | |
| 669 | Common School Fund (Tentative) | 9,347,725 | 475,500 | ..... | ..... | 8,872,225 |
| 670 | Special School Tax Fund (Tentative) | 977,000 | 800 | ..... | ..... | 976,200 |
| | | 10,324,725 | 476,300 | ..... | ..... | 9,848,425 |
| 672 | EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM | | | | | |
| 672.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 28,740 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 28,740 |
| 672.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 1,825 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,825 |
| 672.106.00 | Fees and Special Compensations | 1,300 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,300 |
| 672.200.00 | Contractual Services | 1,392 | 42 | ..... | ..... | 1,350 |
| 672.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 |
| 672.400.00 | Equipment | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 672.800.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 1,640,452 | ..... | ..... | 641,104 | 2,281,556 |
| 672.900.00 | Services of Other Departments | 3,500 | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | | 1,677,809 | 3,542 | ..... | 641,104 | 2,315,371 |
| 672.815.00 | Compensation Insurance | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| | Total Employees' Retirement Fund | 1,717,809 | 3,542 | ..... | 641,104 | 2,355,371 |

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 662 | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | | | | | |
| 662.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | ..... | ..... | ..... | 50,640 | 50,640 |
| 662.106.00 | Legal Expenses—City Attorney's Office | ..... | 21,400 | ..... | 21,400 | ..... |
| 662.200.00 | Contractual Services | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,494 | 15,494 |
| 662.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 662.400.00 | Equipment | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250 | 250 |
| 662.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200 | 200 |
| 662.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,261 | 1,261 |
| | Total Public Utilities Commission Fund | ..... | 21,400 | ..... | 90,745 | 69,345 |
| 664 | SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT | | | | | |
| 664.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 17,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 17,400 |
| 664.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 21,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,000 |
| 664.103.00 | Wages | 1,737 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,737 |
| 664.200.00 | Contractual Services | 12,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 12,000 |
| 664.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 8,000 | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 664.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 |
| 664.400.00 | Equipment | 5,500 | 5,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 664.600.00 | Land Purchases | 113,550 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 113,550 |
| 664.818.00 | Insurance Premiums | 257 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 257 |
| 664.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 1,356 | 1,356 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 664.812.00 | Taxes | 2,088 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,088 |
| | Total Airport Fund | 186,388 | 14,856 | ..... | ..... | 171,532 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 665 | MUNICIPAL RAILWAY OPERATING FUND | | | | | |
| 665.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 235,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 235,320 |
| 665.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 6,566 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,566 |
| 665.103.00 | Wages | 1,766,235 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,766,235 |
| 665.200.00 | Contractual Services | 95,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 95,000 |
| 665.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 425,000 | 425,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 120,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 120,000 |
| 665.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 182,500 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.801.00 | Accident Compensation | 400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400 |
| 665.804.00 | Educational and Welfare Grants and Contributions | 100 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100 |
| 665.808.00 | Passenger and Damage Claims | 70,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 70,000 |
| 665.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 100,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100,000 |
| 665.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 100,000 | 100,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Controller | 2,125 | 2,125 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.02 | Dept. of Public Works | 8,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,250 |
| 665.900.03 | Public Utilities Commission | 11,827 | 11,827 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.04 | Pub. Util. Com.—Claims Bureau | 11,220 | 11,220 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 665.900.05 | Pub. Util. Com.—Engineering Retirement System: | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 665.900.06 | Hospitalization (S. F. Hospital) | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 665.900.07 | Employee Claims | 10,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 10,000 |
| | Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund | 3,154,543 | 732,672 | ..... | ..... | 2,421,871 |

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 666 | WATER REVENUE | | | | | |
| 666.101.00 | *Permanent Salaries | 538,320 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 538,320 |
| 666.102.00 | *Temporary Salaries | 25,018 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,018 |
| 666.103.00 | *Wages | 43,287 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 43,287 |
| 666.200.00 | Contractual Services | 105,195 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 105,195 |
| 666.212.01 | Maintenance—Source of Supply | 152,913 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 152,913 |
| 666.212.02 | Maintenance—Transmission and Distribution | 208,480 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 208,480 |
| 666.212.03 | Maintenance—General | 25,415 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 25,415 |
| 666.231.00 | Heat, Light, and Power | 169,500 | 169,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 |
| 666.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 4,280 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,280 |
| 666.400.00 | Equipment | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 |
| 666.500.00 | Additions and Betterments | 135,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 135,000 |
| 666.800.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1928 Spring Valley | 2,530,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.800.02 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1910 Water | 400,000 | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.800.03 | Bond Interest and Redemption—1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 47,025 | 47,025 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.705.00 | Injuries and Damages | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 666.815.00 | Compensation Insurance | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 |
| 666.818.00 | Other Insurance | 6,895 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,895 |
| 666.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 43,000 | 43,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.812.00 | Taxes | 205,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 205,000 |
| 666.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 74,385 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 74,385 |
| 666.900.01 | Services of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | 106,561 | 106,561 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.02 | Services of Public Utilities Commission—Bur. Engineering | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 |
| 666.900.03 | Services of Public Utilities Commission | 47,372 | 47,372 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.04 | Services of Controller | 3,950 | 3,950 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 666.900.05 | Appropriation to General Fund | 1,407,984 | 1,407,984 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total Water Revenue Fund | 6,339,080 | 4,755,392 | ..... | ..... | 1,583,688 |

*To be detailed by operating bureaus in the Appropriation Ledger.

(Detail of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF OTHER CURRENT FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS (PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES) FOR EXPENDITURES 1936-1937
(Continued)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 667 | HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE | | | | | |
| 667.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | 83,790 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 83,790 |
| 667.102.00 | Temporary Salaries | 3,176 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,176 |
| 667.200.00 | Contractual Services | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 667.200.01 | Plant Repairs | 895 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 895 |
| 667.200.03 | Transmission Maintenance | 11,125 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,125 |
| 667.200.04 | Miscellaneous Maintenance | 6,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 |
| 667.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 667.350.00 | Foodstuffs | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 |
| 667.400.00 | Equipment | 4,850 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,850 |
| 667.800.00 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 1,157,306 | 1,157,306 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.800.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption (Hetch Hetchy Water Issues) | 959,548 | 959,548 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.815.00 | Compensation Insurance Premiums | 2,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 |
| 667.816.00 | Surety Bond Premiums | 58 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 58 |
| 667.817.00 | Automobile Insurance Premiums | 859 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 859 |
| 667.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | 5,000 | 5,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.809.00 | Land and Building Leases | 350 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350 |
| 667.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 |
| 667.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm | 15,326 | 15,326 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.900.02 | —Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering | 16,400 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,400 |
| 667.900.03 | —Controller | 1,775 | 1,775 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 667.900.04 | —Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | 114,442 | 114,442 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | Total Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | 2,438,900 | 2,253,397 | ..... | ..... | 185,503 |

(Details of Other Current Funds Appropriations, Public Service Enterprises, for Expenditures 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 668 | HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY | | | | | |
| 668.101.00 | Permanent Salaries | ..... | ..... | ..... | 63,300 | 63,300 |
| 668.200.00 | Contractual Services | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 668.212.01 | Plant Maintenance | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,950 | 6,950 |
| 668.212.02 | Routine Maintenance | ..... | ..... | ..... | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| 668.300.00 | Materials and Supplies | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 668.400.00 | Equipment | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,735 | 3,735 |
| 668.500.00 | Buildings, Structures and Improvements | ..... | ..... | ..... | 21,949 | 21,949 |
| 668.801.00 | Accident Compensation | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,461 | 1,461 |
| 668.817.00 | Automobile Insurance Premiums | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 500 |
| 668.805.00 | Fee to U. S. Government (Raker Act) | ..... | ..... | ..... | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 668.806.00 | Pensions and Retirement Allowances | ..... | 1,948 | ..... | 1,948 | ..... |
| 668.809.00 | Land and Building Leases | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90 | 90 |
| 668.812.00 | Taxes | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 668.813.00 | Current Depreciation Replacements | ..... | ..... | ..... | 9,620 | 9,620 |
| 668.900.01 | Services of Other Departments—Public Utilities Comm | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| 668.900.02 | —Pub. Util. Com.—Bur. Engineering | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 668.900.03 | —Controller | ..... | 950 | ..... | 950 | ..... |
| | Total Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | ..... | 7,898 | ..... | 221,003 | 213,105 |
| | TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURRENT | 12,118,911 | 7,785,615 | ..... | 311,748 | 4,645,044 |

DETAIL OF CAPITAL FUNDS APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES, 1936-1937

| Number | Description | Amount | Transfers | From Intra-fund Receipts | From Inter-fund Receipts | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION | | | | | |
| | 1932 HETCH HETCHY BONDS | | | | | |
| 92.900.00 | Roads and Trails in Yosemite National Park | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |
| | 1933 WATER DISTRIBUTION GRANT | | | | | |
| 93.900.04 | Bond Redemption | 807,262 | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 1933 HETCH HETCHY DAM GRANT | | | | | |
| 94.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 255,495 | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS | | | | | |
| | 1933 SEWER BONDS GRANT | | | | | |
| 95.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 61,202 | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 1933 HIGH PRESSURE SYSTEM GRANT | | | | | |
| 96.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 106,538 | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 1934 SCHOOL HOUSE GRANT | | | | | |
| 98.900.01 | Bond Interest and Redemption | 344,251 | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,824,748 | 1,574,748 | ..... | ..... | 250,000 |

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

## ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1011, Ordinance No. 9.05380, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated, but no appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and no such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. At the request of the Mayor or the Controller, the Civil Service Commission may investigate and report on the necessity for such temporary or seasonal services.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated the Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer. No appointment to such vacancy shall be made until the Civil Service Commission shall investigate and report to the Mayor on the necessity for such position, and unless approved by the Mayor and unless the Controller shall certify to the availability of funds.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1936-37 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective

positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such accommodations.

Section 3. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 11 | | Supervisors ..... | $ 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B88 | Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors ..... | 375 |
| 3 | 1 | B90 | Clerk of the Board of Supervisors..... | 500 |
| 4 | 1 | B174 | Bond and Ordinance Clerk..... | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 155 |
| 7 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 8 | 3 | B413 | Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors.. | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B416 | Finance Committee Stenographer..... | 325 |
| 10 | 1 | D4 | Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | O4 | Special Chauffeur ..... | 250 |

Section 4. **MAYOR**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Mayor ..... | $ 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B74 | Confidential Secretary to Mayor..... | 350 |
| 3 | 1 | B76 | Executive Secretary to Mayor..... | 400 |
| 4 | 1 | B212 | Special Messenger ..... | 175 |
| 5 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B414 | Head Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 225 |
| 7 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator..... | 155 |
| 8 | 2 | O4 | Special Chauffeur ..... | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | N404 | Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office. | 300 |

Section 5. **ASSESSOR**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Assessor | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B52 | Research Assistant | 250 |
| 3 | 1 | B120 | Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office | 300 |
| 4 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (exempt, Sec. 28) | 200 |
| 7 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 8 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 300 |
| 9 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 10 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 11 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 13 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B242 | Blockbook Draftsman | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | B242 | Blockbook Draftsman | 225 |
| 16 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 17 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 19 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 20 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 21 | 3 | G2 | Real Estate Appraiser | 250 |
| 22 | 3 | G8 | Improvement Appraiser | 250 |
| 23 | 1 | G8 | Improvement Appraiser | 200 |
| 24 | 1 | G10 | Senior Improvement Appraiser | 300 |
| 25 | 3 | G14 | Personal Property Appraiser | 250 |
| 26 | 1 | G14 | Personal Property Appraiser | 225 |
| 27 | 1 | G16 | Senior Personal Property Appraiser | 300 |
| 28 | 1 | G20 | Chief Assistant Assessor | 400 |
| 29 | 12* | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 30 | 1* | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 31 | 2* | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 32 | 1* | G14 | Personal Property Appraiser | 190 |
| | | | TEMPORARY AS NEEDED | |
| 33 | | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 34 | | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 35 | | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 36 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules. | |

Section 6. **CITY ATTORNEY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | City Attorney | $ 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 4 | 4 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 5 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | F702 | Valuation Engineer | 300 |
| 7 | 1 | F706 | Chief Valuation Engineer | 750 |
| 8 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil | 300 |
| 9 | 2 | K4 | Attorney, Civil | 250 |

*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 2 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 350 |
| 11 | 1 | K8 | Principal Attorney, Civil | 800 |
| 12 | 1 | K8 | Principal Attorney, Civil | 500 |
| 13 | 1 | K12 | Chief Attorney, Civil | 600 |
| 14 | 1 | K16 | Special Counsel, Water Service | 833.33 |
| 15 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services | 150 |

Section 7. **DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | District Attorney | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 3 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 3 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 190 |
| 4 | 1 | B156 | Senior Criminal Law Clerk | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B166 | Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 7 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | 50 |
| 9 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 10 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 11 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 12 | 1 | B516 | Senior Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 13 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 375 |
| 14 | 5 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 190 |
| 16 | 3 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 375 |
| 17 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 300 |
| 18 | 2 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 19 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 190 |
| 21 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal | 375 |
| 22 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal | 275 |
| 23 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal | 250 |

Section 8. **TREASURER**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Treasurer | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 240 |
| 3 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 300 |
| 4 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 240 |
| 5 | 2 | B102 | Teller | 210 |
| 6 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 180 |
| 7 | 2 | B104 | Senior Teller | 250 |
| 8 | 1 | B104 | Senior Teller | 240 |
| 9 | 1 | B112 | Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office | 375 |
| 10 | 1 | B112 | Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office | 325 |
| 11 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 12 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (exempt Sec. 31) | 175 |

Section 9. **SHERIFF**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Sheriff | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B84 | Under Sheriff | 300 |
| 3 | 1 | B98 | Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (exempt Sec. 32) | 275 |
| 4 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 4 | B222 | General Clerk | 215 |

Section 9. SHERIFF (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 197 |
| 7 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 225 |
| 8 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper | 215 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 185 |
| 10 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 11 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 155 |
| 12 | 7 | C154 | Keeper | 160 |
| 13 | 1 | C156 | Head Keeper | 200 |
| 14 | 1 | D2 | Bailiff | 215 |
| 15 | 26 | D2 | Bailiff | 197 |
| 16 | 3 | D3 | Woman Bailiff | 170 |
| 17 | 6 | D52 | Jail Matron | 197 |
| 18 | 3 | D52 | Jail Matron | 190 |
| 19 | 1 | D52 | Jail Matron | 170 |
| 20 | 2 | D54 | Head Jail Matron | 200 |
| 21 | 16 | D60 | Jailer | 197 |
| 22 | 4 | D60 | Jailer | 190 |
| 23 | 5 | D60 | Jailer | 170 |
| 24 | 1 | D60 | Jailer | 225 |
| 25 | 6 | D64 | Captain of Watch | 210 |
| 26 | 1 | D66 | Superintendent of Jail | 275 |
| 27 | 1 | D66 | Superintendent of Jail | 250 |
| 28 | 8 | D102 | Writ Server | 215 |
| 29 | 2 | D102 | Writ Server | 197 |
| 30 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 195 |
| 31 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 195 |
| 32 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil (part time) | 200 |
| 33 | 1 | L360 | Physician (Deduct for B. L. & R.) | 335 |
| 34 | 1 | O2 | Chauffeur | 170 |
| 35 | 1 | O52 | Farmer | 200 |
| 36 | 1 | O52 | Farmer | 135 |
| 37 | 3 | O168 | Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |

Section 10. PUBLIC DEFENDER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Public Defender | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 3 | 2 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 350 |

Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | | Commissioner | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | | Chief of Police | 600 |
| 3 | 1 | | Property Clerk | 300 |
| 4 | 1 | | Police Surgeon | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B99 | Secretary, Police Commission and Chief Clerk | 400 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 7 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 250 |
| 8 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 9 | 7 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| | | | BUREAU OF INSPECTORS | |
| 10 | 1 | | Captain of Inspectors | $ 416.66 |
| 11 | 72 | | Inspector | 230 |
| 12 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 230 |
| 13 | 1 | D152 | Criminologist | 300 |
| 14 | 3 | Q20 | Police Women | 200 |

Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 15 | 9 | Q60 | Lieutenants | 250** |
| 16 | 1 | Q62 | Photographer, Police Department | 225 |
| | | | UNIFORM FORCE | |
| 17 | 1 | | Captain of Traffic | $ 333.33 |
| 18 | 1 | | Inspector of Motor Vehicles | 230 |
| 19 | 1 | | Inspector of Horses and Equipment | 230 |
| 20 | 1 | | Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance | 230 |
| 21 | 3 | D52 | Jail Matron | 175 |
| 22 | 1 | D52 | Jail Matron | 170 |
| 23 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 195 |
| 24 | 10 | J70 | Hostlers | 180 |
| 25 | 3 | O158 | Motor Boat Operator | 200 |
| 26 | 1 | O158 | Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of | 200 |
| 27 | 964 | Q2 | Policemen | 200 |
| 28 | 25 | Q30 | Police Patrol Driver | 200 |
| 29 | 87 | Q40 | Corporal | 215** |
| 30 | 95 | Q50 | Sergeant | 220** |
| 31 | 44 | Q60 | Lieutenant | 250** |
| 32 | 16 | Q80 | Captain | 300** |

**In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 12. **FIRE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | | Commissioner | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | | Chief Engineer | 600 |
| 3 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | B68 | Chief Clerk, Fire Department | 400 |
| 5 | 1 | B306 | Multigraph Operator | 165 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 7 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 8 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 9 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 10 | 894 | H2 | Firemen | 180-200* |
| 11 | 28 | H10 | Chief's Operator | 210 |
| 12 | 12 | H15 | Engineer of Fire Engines | 220** |
| 13 | 114 | H20 | Lieutenant | 222.50** |
| 14 | 74 | H30 | Captain | 235** |
| 15 | 23 | H40 | Battalion Chief | 350** |
| 16 | 4 | H50 | Assistant Chief Engineer | 400 |
| 17 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 235 |
| 18 | 6 | O166 | Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines | 195 |
| 19 | 1 | O166 | Fireman of Stationary Steam Engines | 185 |
| 20 | 4 | O168 | Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 230 |
| 21 | 2 | O168 | Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 22 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 280 |
| 23 | 3 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day | |
| 24 | 1 | M4 | Master Mechanic | 416.66 |
| 25 | 12 | O304 | Hydrantman Gateman | 215 |
| 26 | 1 | O304 | Hydrantman Gateman | 175 |

*At rates fixed by Charter.

**In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | 1 | O310 | Foreman Hydrantman Gateman..... | 255 |
| 28 | 1 | U112 | Pipe Calker, at $7.50 per day..... | |
| | | | FIRE BOAT CREWS | |
| 29 | 1 | H120 | Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief).....$ | 255 |
| 30 | 4 | H120 | Pilot of Fire Boats..... | 255 |
| 31 | 9 | H110 | Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)..... | 255 |
| 32 | 9 | H102 | Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)..... | 205 |

Section 13. **BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | Members of Board, $15 per meeting. | |
| 2 | 1 | B61 | Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals....$ | 250 |

Section 14. **PARK DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Accountant . . . .....$ | 200 |
| 2 | 1 | | Assistant Manager, Kezar Stadium..... | 225 |
| 3 | 1 | | Athletic Organizer ..... | 475 |
| 4 | 9 | | Attendants ..... | 75 |
| 5 | 1 | | Attendants (men's) ..... | 140 |
| 6 | 1 | | Attendant, Coit Tower..... | 50 |
| 7 | 1 | | Attendant, Coit Tower..... | 100 |
| 8 | 1 | | Attendant, Bathhouse ..... | 135 |
| 9 | 1 | | Cashier, Chief ..... | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | | Cashier ..... | 125 |
| 11 | 2 | | Clerk-Stenographers, General ..... | 115 |
| 12 | 1 | | Cook (Children's Quarters)..... | 90 |
| 13 | 1 | | Engineer ..... | 175 |
| 14 | 1 | | Engineer, Chief ..... | 215 |
| 16 | 1 | | Fireman ..... | 175 |
| 17 | 1 | | Golf Starter ..... | 180 |
| 18 | 1 | | Golf Starter ..... | 175 |
| 19 | 1 | | Golf Starter, Assistant..... | 165 |
| 20 | 1 | | Groundkeeper, Kezar Stadium..... | 165 |
| 21 | 1 | | Harbor Master, Day ..... | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Harbor Master, Night..... | 125 |
| 26 | 1 | | Manager (Lincoln Cafe) ..... | 135 |
| 27 | 1 | | Manager (Fleishhacker Booth) ..... | 175 |
| 28 | 1 | | Matron ..... | 80 |
| 29 | 2 | | Pump Men ..... | 175 |
| 30 | 1 | | Secretary ..... | 400 |
| 32 | 1 | | Stenographer ..... | 115 |
| 33 | 1 | | Superintendent ..... | 725 |
| 34 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Construction) ..... | 325 |
| 35 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant) ..... | 275 |
| 36 | 1 | | Superintendent (Asistant) ..... | 235 |
| 37 | 1 | | Superintendent of Motor Vehicles..... | 250 |
| 38 | 1 | | Superintendent of Restaurant Activities | 250 |
| 40 | 1 | | Supervisor, Materials and Supplies..... | 225 |
| 41 | 1 | | Timekeeper ..... | 150 |
| 42 | 1 | | Timekeeper (Assistant) ..... | 100 |
| 43 | 1 | | Utility (Children's Quarters) ..... | 175 |
| 44 | 1 | | Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters).. | 95 |
| 45 | 2 | | Windmill Attendants ..... | 125 |
| 46 | 1 | | Zoo Director and Zoological Expert.... | 333.33 |
| 47 | 1 | | Golf Starter ..... | 175 |
| 48 | 1 | | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |

Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 49 | 1 | | Inspector of Personnel | 200 |
| 50 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Golf Course) | 225 |
| 51 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant Botanist—Entomologist—Arboretum) | 225 |

Section 15. **PARK DEPARTMENT** (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Per Diem Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 53 | | | Animal Keepers | $ 5 |
| 54 | | | Apprentice | 3 |
| 55 | | | Ball Field Boys (per hour) | .50 |
| 56 | | | Booth Helpers (per hour) | .25 |
| 57 | | | Booth Helpers (per hour) | .30 |
| 58 | | | Boys to Attend Donkeys (per hour) | .30 |
| 59 | | | Boys to Attend Ponies (per hour) | .20 |
| 60 | | | Carpenters | 9 |
| 61 | | | Carpenters | 8 |
| 62 | | | Carpenters (Assistants) | 7 |
| 63 | | | Cashiers (per hour) | .30 |
| 64 | | | Cashier (Assistant) | 3 |
| 65 | | | Cashier | 3.50 |
| 66 | | | Cashier | 4 |
| 67 | | | Cashier | 3 |
| 68 | | | Chauffeur | 7 |
| 69 | | | Chauffeurs | 7.50 |
| 70 | | | Clerks | 3 |
| 71 | | | Clerks | 4 |
| 72 | | | Clerks | 5 |
| 73 | | | Cook | 7.50 |
| 74 | | | Cook | 6.50 |
| 75 | | | Cook, Relief | 5.50 |
| 76 | | | Cook | 4 |
| 77 | | | Cook | 8 |
| 78 | | | Dishwasher | 3.50 |
| 79 | | | Dishwasher | 4 |
| 80 | | | Foreman | 6 |
| 81 | | | Foreman | 6.50 |
| 82 | | | Foreman | 7 |
| 83 | | | Foreman | 7.50 |
| 84 | | | Foreman | 8 |
| 85 | | | Foreman | 8.50 |
| 86 | | | Foreman (Plaster Work) | 12 |
| 86½ | | | Gardeners | 5.50 |
| 87 | | | Gardeners | 6 |
| 88 | | | Gardener | 6.50 |
| 89 | | | Glaziers | 9 |
| 90 | | | Janitor | 5 |
| 91 | | | Janitress | 3 |
| 92 | | | Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour) | .30 |
| 93 | | | Laborers | 4 |
| 94 | | | Laborers | 5 |
| 95 | | | Laborers | 5.50 |
| 96 | | | Laborers | 6 |
| 97 | | | Laborers | 2.50 |
| 98 | | | Laborers (per hour) | .50 |
| 99 | | | Laborers, Apprentice | 2.50 |
| 100 | | | Laborers, Apprentice | 3 |
| 101 | | | Laborers, Apprentice | 4 |
| 102 | | | Master Painters | 15 |

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Per Diem Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 103 | | | Matron | 3 |
| 104 | | | Manager | 5 |
| 105 | | | Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour) | .30 |
| 106 | | | Model Maker | 9 |
| 107 | | | Model Caster | 8 |
| 108 | | | Model Caster | 7 |
| 109 | | | Modelers (Plaster) | 15 |
| 110 | | | Mower Men | 6.50 |
| 111 | | | Office Boy | 2.50 |
| 112 | | | Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Playfield) | 5 |
| 113 | | | Pantryman (Harding Cafe) | 3.50 |
| 114 | | | Painters | 9 |
| 115 | | | Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour) | .30 |
| 116 | | | Plasterers | 10 |
| 117 | | | Plasterer's Tender | 7.50 |
| 118 | | | Porter | 4 |
| 119 | | | Porter | 3.50 |
| 120 | | | Porter | 3 |
| 121 | | | Rides Man | 3 |
| 122 | | | Roofers | 8 |
| 123 | | | Sheet Metal Worker | 8 |
| 124 | | | Stableman | 5 |
| 125 | | | Starters, Sub. (Golf Course) | 5 |
| 126 | | | Starter, Assistant | 5 |
| 127 | | | Stenographers | 4 |
| 128 | | | Superintendent (Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses) | 8.50 |
| 129 | | | Supply Agent | 5 |
| 130 | | | Storeroom Keeper | 3.50 |
| 131 | | | Teamsters | 6 |
| 132 | | | Teamsters | 6.50 |
| 133 | | | Tennis Courts Manager | 5.50 |
| 134 | | | Tractor Driver | 6 |
| 135 | | | Tractor Man | 6.50 |
| 136 | | | Tractor Man | 9 |
| 137 | | | Utility Helpers (per hour) | .25 |
| 138 | | | Utility | 4 |
| 139 | | | Waiter | 3 |
| 140 | | | Waiter | 3.50 |
| 141 | | | Waiter | 4 |
| 142 | | | Waitresses | 3 |
| 143 | | | Waitresses | 3.50 |
| 144 | | | Waitresses | 4 |
| 145 | | | Waitresses | 5 |
| 146 | | | Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo) | 5 |
| 147 | | | Yardman | 2.50 |
| 148 | | | Yardman | 4.50 |
| 149 | | | Yardman | 4 |
| 150 | | | Yardman | 3.50 |
| 151 | | | Yardman | 3 |

PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 152 | | | Civil Engineer—Draftsman | 7.00 |
| 153 | | | Civil Engineer—Designer | 7.50 |
| 154 | | | Surveyor's Assistant | 7.00 |
| 155 | | | Draftsman | 7.00 |
| 156 | | | Surveyor | 8.00 |
| 157 | | | Landscape Architect | 8.00 |

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)
PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Per Diem Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 158 | | | Inspector Construction | 8.00 |
| 159 | | | Life Guards | 5.00 |
| 160 | | | Bathhouse Attendants | 5.00 |
| 161 | | | Kezar Stadium Attendants | 5.00 |
| 162 | | | Booth Helpers | 3.00 |
| 163 | | | Assistant Surveyor | 7.00 |

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. **RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day | |
| 2 | 1 | A158 | Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day. | |
| 3 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 4 | 1 | A392 | Plasterer at $12 per day | |
| 5 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 185 |
| 6 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper, 5 mos. at $185 (less B., R. & L. at camp), 7 mos. at | 150 |
| 7 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 8 | 1 | B351 | Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 10 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 11 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 140 |
| 12 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 13 | 1 | F304 | Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance | 350 |
| 14 | 11 | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 15 | 1 | J12 | Labor Foreman | 175 |
| 16 | 22 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 150 |
| 17 | 32 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 145 |
| 18 | 2 | O10 | Truck Driver, Light Truck | 150 |
| 19 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L. at camp) | 187.50 |
| 20 | | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 19) | 160 |
| 21 | 4 | O58 | Gardener | 145 |
| 22 | 1 | O62 | Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department | 200 |
| 23 | 1 | R2 | Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | R3 | Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 250 |
| 25 | 1 | R4 | Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 400 |
| 26 | 14 | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 75 |
| 27 | | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 65 |
| 28 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director | 185 |
| 29 | 4 | R56 | Playground Director | 165 |
| 30 | 22 | R56 | Playground Director | 150 |
| 31 | 22 | R56 | Playground Director | 145 |
| 32 | 1 | R56 | Paygrounds Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 33 | | R56 | Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same employee as Item 32) | 185 |
| 34 | | R56 | Playground Director, 65c per hour | |
| 35 | | R56 | Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sunday only) | |
| 36 | 1 | R102 | Camp Manager (9½ mo.) | 225 |

Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | | R102 | Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 36) | 260 |
| 38 | 1 | R106 | Supervisor of Dramatics | 225 |
| 39 | 1 | R108 | Supervisor of Music | 200 |
| 40 | 2 | R112 | Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo. | 110 |
| 41 | 1 | R114 | Swimming Instructor—7 mo. | 135 |
| 42 | 1 | R114 | Swimming Instructor—7 mo. | 190 |
| 43 | | R114 | Swimming Instructor—3 mo. (same as Item 42) | 150 |
| 44 | 1 | R114 | Swimming Instructor—7 mo. | 130 |
| 45 | 1 | R116 | Supervisor of Swimming | 185 |
| 46 | | R112 | Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour | |
| 47 | | R114 | Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour | |
| 48 | | | Pianist (as needed), $2.50 per call | |
| 49 | | | Referee (as needed), $2.50 to $10 per game | |
| 50 | | | 1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract | |
| 51 | | | 3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract | |
| 52 | | A456 | Sheetmetal Worker (as needed), per day | 9.50 |
| 53 | | C152 | Watchman (as needed) | 145 |
| 54 | | M108 | Blacksmith (as needed), per day | 9.00 |
| CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED —All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein. | | | | |
| 55 | | A154 | Carpenters at $9 per day | |
| 56 | | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 57 | | A404 | Plumber at $9 per day | |
| 58 | | B4 | Bookkeeper | 150 |
| 59 | | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | |
| 60 | | E154 | Lineman at $9 per day | |
| 61 | | I8 | Head Baker (deduct R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 62 | | I12 | Cook (deduct R. & L.) | 162.50 |
| 63 | | I16 | Chef (deduct R. & L.) | 210.50 |
| 64 | | I103 | Institutional Help, less than | 80 |
| 65 | | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 66 | | L352 | Interne | 102.50 |
| 67 | | P102 | Registered Nurse | 102.50 |
| 68 | | | Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract | |
| 69 | | | Camp Guide (P. T.), less than $80 | |
| 70 | | | Life Guard (P. T.), less than $80 | |

Section 17. **PUBLIC LIBRARY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A154 | Carpenter | $ 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B72 | Business Manager, Public Library | 375 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 4 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk | 85 |
| 5 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 160 |
| 7 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 110 |
| 8 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator (part-time) | 65 |
| 9 | 1 | C102 | Janitress | 75 |
| 10 | 1 | C102 | Janitress (part-time) | 82.50 |
| 11 | 1 | C102 | Janitress | 65 |
| 12 | 9 | | Janitress or Janitor (part-time) | 55 |

Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | 9 | | Janitress or Janitor (part-time) | 27.50 |
| 14 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | 185 |
| 15 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 125 |
| 16 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 150 |
| 17 | 5 | J54 | Book Repairer | 110 |
| 18 | 1 | J54 | Book Repairer | 125 |
| 19 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver, light truck | 160 |
| | | | DEPARTMENTAL TITLES | |
| 20 | 7 | | Branch Librarian | 175 |
| 21 | 5 | | Librarian | 100 |
| 22 | 7 | | Librarian | 120 |
| 23 | 15 | | Librarian | 130 |
| 24 | 10 | | Librarian | 140 |
| 25 | 2 | | Librarian | 150 |
| 26 | 17 | | Librarian | 160 |
| 27 | 10 | | Librarian | 175 |
| 28 | 1 | | Librarian | 225 |
| 29 | 1 | | Librarian | 250 |
| 30 | 1 | | City Librarian | 400 |
| 31 | 67 | | Substitutes, 50c per hour | |
| 32 | 39 | | Pages, 30c per hour | |
| 33 | 1 | | Station Keeper (part time) | 50 |
| 34 | 4 | | Station Keepers (part time) | 15 |

Section 18. **WAR MEMORIAL**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B59 | Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial | $ 250 |
| 2 | 1 | B96 | Managing Director, War Memorial | 500 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 4 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 5 | 1 | C106 | Janitor, Sub-Foreman | 170 |
| 6 | 14 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 7 | 5 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 8 | 1 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 160 |
| 9 | 1 | E108 | Electrician | 220 |
| 10 | 1 | E109 | Stage Electrician, $75 per week | |
| 11 | 1 | E130 | Elevator Mechanic | 220 |
| 12 | 3 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 13 | 1 | A165 | Stage Carpenter, $75 per week | |
| | | | ART MUSEUM | |
| 14 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 15 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| | | | AS NEEDED | |
| 16 | 1 | A179 | Stage Property Man, $12.50 per day | |

Section 19. **ART COMMISSION**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) | $ 75 |
| 2 | 1 | B57 | Secretary, Art Commission | 250 |

## Section 20. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Departmental Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Assistant Director | $ 333.33 |
| 2 | 1 | | Engineer and Building Superintendent. | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Organist (part time) | 300 |
| 4 | 1 | | Supervisor | 200 |
| 5 | 5 | | Galleryman | 125 |
| 6 | 1 | | Head Janitor | 130 |
| 7 | 2 | | Janitor's Assistants | 125 |
| 8 | 1 | | Stenographer | 150 |
| 9 | 2 | | Stenographer | 125 |
| 10 | 1 | | Librarian | 110 |
| 11 | 1 | | Gallery Assistant | 125 |
| 12 | 3 | | Caretaker | 90 |
| 13 | 1 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 14 | 1 | | Organ Repairer (as needed) | 33 |
| 15 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). | 150 |

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director | $ 591.66 |
| 2 | 1 | | Supervisor of Exhibits | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Recorder | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | | Secretary to Director | 175 |
| 5 | 2 | | Museum Instructor | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | | Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | | Assistant Museum Instructor | 110 |
| 8 | 1 | | Stenographer | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | | Head Gallery Man | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | | Labeller | 140 |
| 11 | 1 | | Clerk | 110 |
| 12 | 1 | | Mechanic | 190 |
| 13 | 1 | | Assistant Mechanic | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | | Janitor | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | | Assistant Janitor | 125 |
| 16 | 1 | | Head Caretaker | 100 |
| 17 | 4 | | Caretaker | 90 |
| 18 | 1 | | Secretary Board of Trustees | 240 |
| 19 | 10 | | Gallery Man | 125 |
| | 1 | | Carpenter, $5.50 per day | |
| 20 | 3 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 21 | 1 | | Curator of Prints | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Assistant Head Gallery Man | 150 |
| 23 | 1 | | Expert Repairman | 150 |

## Section 22. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Sciences and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

## Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 12 | | Judges | $ 625 |
| 2 | 1 | B85 | Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court | 450 |
| 3 | 12 | B152 | Court Room Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B156 | Senior Criminal Law Clerk | 250 |
| 6 | 4 | B160 | Civil Law Clerk | 200 |
| 7 | 5 | B164 | Senior Civil Law Clerk | 240 |

Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8 | 1 | B170 | Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court.. | 275 |
| 9 | 1 | B172 | Clerk of Municipal Court..... | 500 |
| 10 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 240 |
| 11 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 12 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 199 |
| 13 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |
| 14 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 275 |
| 15 | 4 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter, $12.50 per day, plus transcriptions. | |
| 16 | 3 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 200 |
| 17 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 155 |

Section 24. **SUPERIOR COURT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 16 | | Judges . . . .....$ | 500 |
| 2 | 1 | | Secretary-Jury Commissioner ..... | 600* |
| 3 | 1 | | Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. | 300* |
| 4 | 1 | | Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. | 250* |
| 5 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator..... | 190 |
| 6 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator..... | 125 |
| 7 | 8 | B252 | Court Interpreter ..... | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 10 | 4 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter, $12.50 per day, plus transcriptions. | |
| 11 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 150 |

*(Titles fixed by State law.)

Section 25. **LAW LIBRARY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | K102 | Assistant Law Librarian.....$ | 275 |
| 2 | 1 | K104 | Law Librarian ..... | 450 |
| 3 | 1 | O102 | Bookbinder ..... | 200 |

Section 26. **JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper . . . .....$ | 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B254 | Interpreter Clerk ..... | 210 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 210 |
| 4 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 185 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 225 |
| 9 | 8 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 210 |
| 10 | 2 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 195 |
| 11 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer..... | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer..... | 235 |
| 14 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer..... | 225 |
| 15 | 1 | T64 | Referee (part-time) ..... | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | T72 | Chief Juvenile Probation Officer..... | 380 |
| 17 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $12.50 per day plus transcriptions. | |

Section 27. **JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | C104 | Janitor ....$ | 145 |
| 2 | 1 | I2 | Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.) | 78 |
| 3 | 1 | I12 | Cook (deduct for R.) | 110 |
| 4 | 1 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.) | 135 |
| 5 | 1 | T2 | Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) | 161.50 |
| 6 | 3 | T2 | Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) | 135 |
| 7 | 1 | T2 | Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.) | 145 |
| 8 | 6 | T4 | Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) | 105.50 |
| 9 | 1 | T4 | Woman Attendant (deduct for R.) | 100 |
| 10 | 1 | T10 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.) | 175 |
| 11 | 1 | T12 | Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.) | 207.50 |

Section 28. **ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ....$ | 210 |
| 2 | 5 | T56 | Probation Officer | 210 |
| 3 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer | 190 |
| 5 | 1 | T58 | Probation Officer-Stenographer | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | T70 | Chief Probation Officer | 325 |

Section 29. **CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Chief Administrative Officer ....$ | 1,000 |
| 2 | 1 | B97 | Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer | 325 |
| 3 | 1 | B415 | Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time) | 75 |

Section 30. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B95 | Director of Finance and Records....$ | 500 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |

Section 31. **DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B93 | Tax Collector ....$ | 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B92 | Chief Clerk | 325 |
| 3 | 1 | B89 | Director, Bureau of Licenses | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 240 |
| 5 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 215 |
| 6 | 1 | B104 | Senior Teller | 240 |
| 7 | 1 | B108 | Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office | 300 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 215 |
| 9 | 16 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 185 |
| 11 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 6* | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 12½ | 3* | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 13 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 14 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 275 |
| 15 | 1 | B237 | Tax Redemption Clerk | 200 |
| 16 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 17 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 18 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | G152 | License Adjuster | 250 |
| 20 | 2* | G152 | License Adjuster | 215 |
| 21 | 1 | B91 | Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue | 375 |
| 22 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil | 250 |
| 23 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) | 150 |

Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B62 | Chief Clerk Elections | $ 350 |
| 2 | 1 | B64 | Chief Clerk Registrations | 350 |
| 3 | 1 | B66 | Registrar of Voters | 450 |
| 4 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 5 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 250 |
| 6 | 5 | B222 | General Clerk | 225 |
| 7 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 205 |
| 8 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 9 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 11 | 1 | B304 | Senior Addressing Machine Operator | 225 |
| 12 | 1 | B305 | Voting Machine Adjuster | 155 |
| 13 | 1 | B355 | Custodian of Voting Machines | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 15 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). | |
| | | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | |
| 16 | | B202 | Judges of Election, $5 per day | |
| 17 | | B204 | Inspectors of Election, $5 per day | |

Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—RECORDER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B80 | Chief Clerk | $ 280 |
| 2 | 1 | B81 | Recorder | 666.66 |
| 3 | 7 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 4 | B222 | General Clerk | 215 |
| 5 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 215 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 7 | 13 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 200 |
| 8 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist (7 mos. at $200; 5 mos. at $155). | |
| 9 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 10 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |

*Occupants of all positions under Items 12 and 20 and 1 of the positions under Item 12½, heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

## Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—COUNTY CLERK

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 18 | B152 | Courtroom Clerk | $ 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B160 | Civil Law Clerk | 250 |
| 4 | 4 | B160 | Civil Law Clerk | 200 |
| 5 | 3 | B164 | Senior Civil Law Clerk | 240 |
| 6 | 1 | B168 | Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office | 300 |
| 7 | 1 | B169 | County Clerk | 500 |
| 8 | 15 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 10 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 12 | 7 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 200 |

## Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 375 |
| 2 | 1 | B173 | Public Administrator | 666.66 |
| 3 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 4 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 300 |
| 7 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 250 |
| 8 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 666.66 |

## Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B18 | Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. | $ 466.66 |
| 2 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 3 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 6 | 1 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | B352 | Storekeeper | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 220 |
| 10 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper (8 mos. at $200; 4 mos. at $180). | |
| 12 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 180 |
| 13 | 1 | B357 | Chief Storekeeper | 325 |
| 14 | 1 | B358 | Assistant Stationery Buyer | 225 |
| 15 | 1 | B360 | Printing and Stationery Buyer | 250 |
| 16 | 2 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper | 150 |
| 17 | 1 | B365 | Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 300 |
| 19 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 225 |
| 21 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 200 |
| 22 | 1 | B370 | R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent | 250 |
| 23 | 1 | B371 | Purchasing Agent—Water Service | 325 |
| 24 | 1 | B372 | Purchasing Agent—Other Services | 325 |
| 25 | 1 | B374 | Purchaser of Supplies | 833.33 |
| 26 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 27 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 28 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |

Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 29 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 30 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 31 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 32 | 2 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day | |
| 33 | 1 | J12 | Foreman Laborer | 195 |
| 34 | 1 | J66 | Garageman | 150 |
| 35 | 1 | J66 | Garageman at $6.50 per day | |
| 36 | 2 | N302 | Inspector of General Supplies | 200 |
| 36½ | — | B512 | General Clerk-Typist (as needed) | 150 |

Section 37. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | 2 | A156 | Patternmaker, $9 per day | |
| 38 | 1 | A364 | Car and Auto Painter, $10 per day | |
| 39 | 4 | A364 | Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day | |
| 40 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | $ 185 |
| 41 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 170 |
| 42 | 2 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 43 | 1 | E104 | Batteryman-Electrician, $9 per day | |
| 44 | 1 | J62 | Fire Hose Repairer | 160 |
| 45 | 1 | J66 | Garageman | 160 |
| 46 | 3 | J66 | Garageman, $6.50 per day | |
| 47 | 1 | J67 | Vulcanizer, $7 per day | |
| 48 | 1 | M2 | General Foreman Machinist | 300 |
| 49 | 1 | M3 | Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop | 350 |
| 50 | 24 | M54 | Auto Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 51 | 1 | M60 | Auto Fender and Body Worker, $9 per day | |
| 52 | 5 | M104 | Blacksmith Helper, $7.08 per day | |
| 53 | 2 | M104 | Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day | |
| 54 | 5 | M108 | Blacksmith, $9 per day | |
| 55 | 1 | M108 | Blacksmith, $8 per day | |
| 56 | 1 | M154 | Boilermaker's Helper, $6.58 per day | |
| 57 | 1 | M156 | Boilermaker, $9 per day | |
| 58 | 3 | M252 | Machinist Helper, $6.58 per day | |
| 59 | 5 | M254 | Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 60 | 1 | O10 | Driver of Light Truck, $6.50 per day | |
| 61 | 1 | O108 | Leatherworker, $9 per day | |

Section 38. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 175 |
| 2 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 275 |
| 3 | 1 | G206 | Chief Right of Way Agent | 600 |

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employ-

Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

ments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | $ 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman..... | 200 |
| 7 | 1 | G202 | Division Right of Way Agent..... | 275 |
| 8 | 1 | G202 | Division Right of Way Agent..... | 275 |
| 9 | 1 | G204 | Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent... | 375 |
| | | | Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation. | |

Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A154 | Carpenter ..... | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day..... | |
| 3 | 1 | C2 | Asst. Superintendent of Auditorium.... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | C4 | Superintendent of Auditorium..... | 250 |
| 5 | 4 | C104 | Janitor ..... | 155 |
| 6 | 1 | C152 | Watchman ..... | 180 |
| 7 | 1 | C152 | Watchman . . . ..... | 155 |
| 8 | 1 | E108 | Electrician . . . ..... | 237.50 |
| 9 | 1 | J64 | Chair Repairer, $6.50 per day..... | |
| 10 | 1 | O168 | Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines.. | 220 |
| 11 | 1 | | Organ Repairer (part time)..... | 75 |
| 12 | 1 | C101 | Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), $3 per day..... | |

Section 40. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director of Public Works..... | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B10 | Accountant ..... | 250 |
| 3 | 1 | B94 | Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works ..... | 400 |
| 4 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant ..... | 190 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 6 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |
| 7 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 300 |
| 8 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 155 |
| 10 | 5 | B454 | Telephone Operator ..... | 150 |
| 11 | 1 | B458 | Chief Telephone Operator..... | 165 |
| 12 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 155 |

Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 2 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |
| 3 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 325 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 185 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 155 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 185 |

## Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A8 | Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings. | $ 275 |
| 2 | 1 | A10 | Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings | 325 |
| 3 | 1 | A160 | Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W. | 250 |
| 4 | 1 | A208 | Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W. | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | A358 | General Foreman Painter, D. P. W. | 275 |
| 6 | 1 | A408 | Foreman Plumber, D. P. W. | 275 |
| 7 | 1 | A460 | Foreman Sheet Metal Worker | 300 |
| 8 | 1 | A506 | Foreman Steamfitter | 275 |
| 9 | 1 | E112 | Foreman Electrician | 275 |
| 10 | 14 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 155 |
| 11 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 12 | 1 | C54 | Elevator Starter | 180 |
| 13 | 1 | C102 | Janitress | 155 |
| 14 | 1 | C102 | Janitress (Part Time) | 75 |
| 15 | 44 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 16 | 8 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 17 | 5 | C104 | Janitor | 165 |
| 18 | 1 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 190 |
| 19 | 2 | C108 | Foreman Janitor | 175 |
| 20 | 1 | C108 | Foreman Janitor | 180 |
| 21 | 1 | C110 | Head Janitor | 260 |
| 22 | 4 | C152 | Watchman | 155 |
| 23 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 24 | 3 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 160 |
| 25 | 2 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 170 |
| 26 | 1 | C204 | Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner | 185 |
| 27 | 5 | O166 | Fireman Stationary Steam Engines | 185 |
| 28 | 6 | O168 | Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 29 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 300 |
| 30 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines | 280 |

## Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | A52 | Hod Carrier | day | $ 9 |
| 2 | | A56 | Bricklayer | day | 11 |
| 3 | | A58 | Marble Setter's Helper | day | 6 |
| 4 | | A60 | Marble Setter | day | 10 |
| 5 | | A62 | Tile Setter | day | 10 |
| 6 | 21 | A154 | Carpenter | day | 9 |
| 7 | | A158 | Sub-Foreman Carpenter | day | 9.50 |
| 8 | | A162 | Lather | day | 10 |
| 9 | | A172 | Hardwood Floorman | day | 10 |
| 10 | 10 | A202 | Cement Finisher Helper | day | 8 |
| 11 | 3 | A204 | Cement Finisher | day | 9 |
| 12 | | A206 | Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher | day | 9.50 |

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Cont'd)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | 2 | A252 | Glazier | day | 8.50 |
| 14 | 1 | A252 | Glazier | day | 9.50 |
| 15 | 2 | A302 | Locksmith | day | 8 |
| 16 | 1 | A352 | Painter's Shopman | day | 7 |
| 17 | 26 | A354 | Painter | day | 9 |
| 18 | | A356 | Sub-Foreman Painter | day | 9.50 |
| 19 | | A380 | Paper Hanger | day | 10 |
| 20 | 1 | A392 | Plasterer | day | 11 |
| 21 | 1 | A402 | Plumber's Shopman | day | 7 |
| 22 | 23 | A404 | Plumber | day | 10 |
| 23 | 1 | A452 | Sheet Metal Shopman | day | 6.50 |
| 24 | 10 | A456 | Sheet Metal Worker | day | 10 |
| 25 | 1 | A458 | Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker | day | 10.50 |
| 26 | 10 | A504 | Steamfitter | day | 10 |
| 27 | 1 | A551 | Apprentice | day | 7 |
| 28 | 1 | A551 | Apprentice | day | 6.50 |
| 29 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | day | 7 |
| 30 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | per month | 145 |
| 31 | 1 | C202 | Window Cleaner | per month | 155 |
| 32 | 6 | E108 | Electrician | day | 9 |
| 33 | 1 | J4 | Laborer | day | 6 |

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | F560 | Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection | 500 |
| 6 | 1 | M158 | Boiler Inspector | 250 |

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 250 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 225 |
| 3 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 4 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | F4 | Second Assistant City Engineer | 650 |
| 7 | 1 | F10 | City Engineer | 650 |
| 8 | 1 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 9 | 1 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 10 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 160 |
| 11 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 12 | 2 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | F256 | Cartographer and Art Designer | 210 |
| 14 | 3 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 16 | 1 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 375 |
| 17 | 3 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 300 |

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Cont'd)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | 1 | F262 | Sanitary Engineering Designer | 325 |
| 19 | 1 | F270 | Chief Civil Engineering Designer | 450 |
| 20 | 1 | F356 | Electrical Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 22 | 1 | F502 | Engineer Assessments and Complaints | 250 |
| 23 | 1 | F506 | Engineer Grades | 275 |
| 24 | 1 | F510 | Engineer Street Improvement Investigations | 275 |
| 25 | 1 | F510 | Engineer, Street Improvement Investigations | 250 |
| 26 | 1 | F514 | Engineer Street Improvement Plans | 300 |
| 27 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 350 |
| 28 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 315 |
| 29 | 1 | F552 | Structural Draftsman | 200 |
| 30 | 1 | F604 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 250 |
| 31 | 13 | F604 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 225 |
| 32 | 4 | F610 | Surveyors | 250 |
| 33 | 1 | F612 | Office Surveyor | 225 |
| 34 | 1 | F614 | Assistant Chief Surveyor | 275 |
| 35 | 1 | F616 | Chief Surveyor | 325 |
| 36 | 1 | L116 | Senior Engineering Chemist | 400 |
| 37 | 4 | N252 | Street Inspector | 225 |

Section 46. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38 | 1 | B312 | Blue Printer | $ 215 |
| 39 | 1 | B314 | Photostat Operator | 225 |
| 40 | 1 | B316 | Photographer | 265 |
| 41 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 42 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 43 | 2 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 44 | 1 | C152 | Watchman (part-time) at rate of | 145 |
| 45 | 4 | F202 | Inspector, Public Works Construction | 225 |
| 46 | 4 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 47 | 24 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 48 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 275 |
| 49 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 50 | 1 | F208 | Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor | 300 |
| 51 | 1 | F210 | Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major | 400 |
| 52 | 2 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 175 |
| 53 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 160 |
| 54 | 3 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 55 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 56 | 4 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 57 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 58 | 2 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 300 |
| 59 | 1 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 275 |
| 60 | 1 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 61 | 2 | F262 | Sanitary Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 62 | 1 | F354 | Electrical Engineering Designer | 250 |

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 63 | 5 | F452 | Mechanical Draftsman | 200 |
| 64 | 6 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 65 | 1 | F460 | Assistant Mechanical Engineer | 250 |
| 66 | 1 | F552 | Structural Draftsman | 200 |
| 67 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 68 | 14 | F604 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 225 |
| 69 | 2 | F610 | Surveyor | 250 |
| 70 | 1 | L114 | Engineering Chemist | 225 |
| 71 | 1 | O152 | Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines $10 per day | |
| 71½ | | A106 | Building Inspector | 225 |
| 72 | | F654 | Traffic Checker | 175 |
| 73 | | F102 | Architectural Draftsman | 200 |
| 74 | | F106 | Architectural Designer | 250 |
| 74½ | | F108 | Architect | 300 |
| 75 | | F352 | Electrical Draftsman | 200 |
| 76 | | F360 | Assistant Electrical Engineer | 250 |
| 77 | | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 300 |
| 78 | | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 160 |
| 79 | | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 80 | | F406 | Assistant Hydraulic Engineer | 250 |
| 81 | | F408 | Hydraulic Engineer | 300 |
| 82 | | F462 | Mechanical Engineer | 300 |
| 82½ | | F554 | Structural Engineer Designer | 275 |
| 82¾ | | F556 | Structural Engineer Inspector | 250 |
| 83 | | B210 | Office Assistant | 85 |
| 84 | | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 85 | | J4 | Laborer $6.00 per day | |
| 86 | | J6 | Waterpipe Welder 7.50 per day | |
| 87 | | J10 | Labor Sub-Foreman 6.50 per day | |
| 88 | | J12 | Labor Foreman 7.00 per day | |
| 89 | | M252 | Machinist's Helper 7.08 per day | |
| 90 | | M254 | Machinist 9.00 per day | |
| 91 | | M256 | Mechanical Inspector | 225 |

Section 47. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 275 |
| 4 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 200 |

Section 48. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | O208 | General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | O214 | Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair | 250 |
| 3 | 1 | O214 | Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | O216 | Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair | 375 |

Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 12 | A52 | Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at $9 per day.. | |
| 6 | 7 | A56 | Bricklayer at $11 per day..... | |
| 8 | 19 | J4 | Laborer at $6 per day..... | |
| 9 | 4 | O14 | Driver, spec. equip., at $8 per day..... | |
| 10 | 31* | O204 | Cribber at $7 per day..... | |
| 11 | 16 | O210 | Sewer Cleaner at $8.50 per day..... | |
| 12 | 1 | O208 | Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair .....$ | 250 |

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | O202 | Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....$ | 180 |

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2 | C152 | Watchman .....$ | 155 |
| 3 | 3 | C152 | Watchman ..... | 145 |
| 4 | 227 | J4 | Laborer at $6 per day ..... | |
| 5 | 6 | J10 | Laborer—Sub-Foreman at $7 per day... | |
| 6 | 6 | J10 | Laborer—Sub-Foreman at $6.50 per day. | |
| 7 | 2 | J66 | Garagemen ..... | 150 |
| 8 | 3 | J108 | District Director of Street Cleaning.... | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | J112 | Supervisor of Street Cleaning ..... | 275 |
| 10 | 16 | O12 | Truck Driver, heavy truck, at $8 per day | |
| 11 | 4 | O12 | Truck Driver, heavy truck, at $7.50 day | |
| 12 | 6 | O14 | Driver of Special Equipment at $8 day | |
| 13 | 5 | O14 | Driver of Special Equipment at $7.50 day | |
| 14 | 1 | O18 | Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at $8 per day ..... | |
| 15 | 1 | O58 | Gardener at $6 per day..... | |

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

---

*Thirteen of these not included in budget estimates as compensations are paid by property owners.

## Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | F220 | General Superintendent of Streets | $ 500 |
| 1½ | 1 | O298 | Supervisor of Street Repair | 325 |

### DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2 | A202 | Cement Finisher's Helper at $8 per day | |
| 3 | 3 | A204 | Cement Finisher at $9 per day | |
| 4 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant at $5.40 per day | |
| 5 | 25 | J4 | Laborers at $6 per day | |
| 6 | 1 | J10 | Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at $6 per day | |
| 7 | 2 | J12 | Laborer, Foreman, at $7 per day | |
| 8 | 1 | M254 | Machinist at $9 per day | |
| 9 | 11 | O12 | Truck Driver, heavy truck, at $8 per day | |
| 10 | 2 | O14 | Driver, Spec. Equipment, at $8 per day | |
| 11 | 5 | O152 | Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at $10 per day | |
| 12 | 1 | O168 | Engineer, Sta. St. Eng. | $ 220 |
| 13 | 1 | O252 | Dryerman at $9 per day | |
| 14 | 1 | O254 | Foreman, Asph. Plant, at $10 per day | |
| 15 | 3 | O260 | Rammer at $7 per day | |
| 16 | 2 | O264 | Paver at $8 per day | |
| 17 | 9 | O268 | Granite Cutters at $9.50 per day | |
| 18 | 2 | O274 | Asphalt Mixerman at $9 per day | |
| 19 | 28 | O276 | Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per day | |
| 20 | 11 | O278 | Asphalt Finishers at $8 per day | |
| 21 | 2 | O282 | Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at $9 per day | |
| 22 | 1 | O294 | General Foreman, Street Repair | 275 |
| 23 | 1 | O294 | General Foreman, Street Repair | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | O294 | General Foreman, Street Repair | 225 |
| | | | BRIDGES | |
| 25 | 6 | C153 | Bridge Attendant | 155 |
| 26 | 5 | C153 | Bridge Attendant | 145 |
| 27 | 10 | O168 | Engineer Sta. St. Engines | 220 |
| 28 | 1 | O168 | Engr. Sta. St. Engines (Relief) at rate of | 220 |
| 29 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines | 275 |
| | | | Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract. | |

## Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 10 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 3 | 2 | F102 | Architectural Draftsman | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | F112 | City Architect | 600 |
| 5 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 6 | 1 | F104 | Architectural Estimator | 250 |

## Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 225 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 4 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 4 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | E2 | Line Inspector | 210 |
| 8 | 13 | E4 | Electrical Inspector | 250 |
| 9 | 1 | E8 | Chief Electrical Inspector | 275 |
| 10 | 8 | E52 | Fire Dispatcher | 225 |
| 11 | 1 | E54 | Chief Fire Dispatcher | 250 |
| 12 | 1 | E108 | Electrician | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | E108 | Electrician at $9 per day | |
| 14 | 1 | E110 | Radio Maintenance Man at $8 per day | |
| 15 | 1 | E116 | Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity | 300 |
| 16 | 1 | E154 | Lineman | 220 |
| 17 | 9 | E154 | Lineman | 215 |
| 18 | 3 | E154 | Lineman | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | E156 | Cable Splicer at $9.50 per day | |
| 20 | 2 | E160 | Foreman Lineman | 240 |
| 21 | 1 | F366 | Chief, Department of Electricity | 416.66 |
| 22 | 3 | J4 | Laborer at $6 per day | |
| 23 | 1 | J12 | Labor Foreman | 195 |
| 24 | 1 | J66 | Garageman | 162.50 |
| 25 | 1 | J76 | Traffic Button Maintenance Man at $9 per day | |
| 26 | 2 | M254 | Machinist | 225 |
| 27 | 5 | M260 | Instrument Maker | 225 |
| 28 | 1 | M264 | Foreman Instrument Maker | 250 |

## Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE

### ADMINISTRATION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 160 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 100 |
| 4 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 5 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 125 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 155 |
| 9 | | I103 | Institutional Help | 79.50 |
| 10 | 1 | L14 | Assistant Director of Public Health | 450 |
| 11 | 1 | L18 | Director of Public Health | 833.33 |

### ACCOUNTING

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 12½ | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 13 | 2 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 150 |
| 14 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 400 |
| 15 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 16 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

STATISTICS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 18 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 185 |
| 19 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 20 | 2 | B238 | Hospital Statistician | 190 |
| 21 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |

MEAT INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 22 | 6 | N56 | Market Inspector | 200 |
| 23 | 1 | N58 | Chief Market Inspector | 225 |
| 24 | 8 | N60 | Abattoir Inspector | 200 |
| 25 | 3 | N62 | Veterinarian | 201 |
| 26 | 7 | N62 | Veterinarian | 200 |

COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 28 | 4 | J74 | Rat Catcher | 115 |
| 29 | 4 | L370 | Epidemiologist (part time) | 225 |
| 30 | 1 | L371 | Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) | 350 |
| 31 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | 175 |

CLINICS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 32 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) | 75 |
| 33 | 2 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 150 |
| 34 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 100 |
| 35 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 75 |
| 36 | 1 | L404 | Psychologist | 175 |
| 37 | 4 | L404 | Psychologist | 150 |
| 38 | 1 | L404 | Psychologist (part time) | 75 |
| 39 | 1 | L408 | Chief Psychologist (part time) | 200 |
| 40 | 1 | L408 | Chief Psychologist (part time | 150 |
| 41 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | 150 |

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 42 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 43 | 1 | C102 | Janitress | 75 |
| 44 | | I103 | Institutional Help | 79.50 |
| 45 | 1 | L52 | Bacteriological Laboratory Technician | 125 |
| 46 | 1 | L56 | Bacteriologist | 225 |
| 47 | 3 | L56 | Bacteriologist | 175 |
| 48 | 1 | L60 | Bacteriological Milk Inspector | 250 |
| 49 | 1 | L64 | Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time) | 75 |

SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 50 | 1 | L252 | Optometrist (part time) | 150 |
| 51 | 1 | L368 | Director Bureau Child Hygiene | 333.33 |
| 52 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician | 250 |
| 53 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 175 |
| 54 | 11 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 150 |
| 54½ | 1 | L602 | Audiometer Technician | 125 |

Section 55. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE** (Continued)

DENTAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 55 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | $ 50 |
| 56 | 2 | L152 | Dental Hygienist | 150 |
| 57 | 13 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 100 |
| 58 | 1 | L158 | Director Dental Bureau (part time) | 250 |

CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 59 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician | 250 |
| 59½ | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 200 |
| 60 | 4 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 150 |
| 61 | 2 | L364 | Pediatrician at $5 per day (part time) | |

MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 62 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 63 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 64 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 100 |
| 65 | 7 | N52 | Food and Restaurant Inspector | 200 |
| 66 | 10 | N52 | Food and Restaurant Inspector | 185 |
| 67 | 2 | N52 | Food and Restaurant Inspector | 175 |
| 68 | 1 | N54 | Chief Food Inspector | 325 |
| 69 | 2 | N64 | Dairy Inspector | 300 |
| 70 | 1 | N64 | Dairy Inspector | 225 |
| 71 | 1 | N64 | Dairy Inspector | 200 |

CHEMICAL LABORATORY

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 72 | 1 | L102 | Food Chemist Assistant | $ 125 |
| 73 | 1 | L104 | Food Chemist | 225 |
| 73½ | 1 | L104 | Food Chemist Assistant | 175 |
| 74 | 1 | L106 | Senior Food Chemist | 250 |

PLUMBING INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 75 | 1 | A412 | Plumbing Inspector | 250 |
| 76 | 7 | A412 | Plumbing Inspector | 240 |
| 77 | 1 | A416 | Chief Plumbing Inspector | 325 |
| 78 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |

HOUSING INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 79 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 80 | 9 | N204 | Housing Inspector | 200 |
| 81 | 1 | N206 | Chief Housing Inspector | 275 |

INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 82 | 2 | N205 | Industrial Inspector | 200 |
| 83 | 1 | N205 | Industrial Inspector | 185 |
| 84 | 1 | N208 | Chief Industrial Inspector | 275 |

CITY PHYSICIANS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 85 | 4 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 300 |
| 86 | 2 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 150 |
| 87 | 1 | L362 | Supervisor of City Physicians (part time) | 300 |

FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 88 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 89 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 90 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 91 | 1 | P54 | Supervising Field Nurse | 225 |
| 92 | 8 | P54 | Supervising Field Nurse | 190 |
| 93 | 1 | P54 | Supervising Field Nurse | 175 |
| 94 | 1 | P58 | Director of Field Nursing | 275 |

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 95 | 38 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |
| | | | FIELD NURSING, OTHER | |
| 96 | 19 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |
| 97 | 1 | P101 | Chinese Visiting Nurse | 165 |
| | | | SOCIAL SERVICE | |
| 98 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |
| 99 | 1 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 180 |
| 100 | 1 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 165 |
| 101 | 1 | T160 | Senior Social Service Investigator | 215 |
| | | | TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU | |
| 102 | 7 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |
| | | | OUTPATIENT MATERNITY SERVICE | |
| 103 | 1 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 300 |
| 104 | 1 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 190 |
| 105 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 100 |
| 106 | 2 | P102 | Registered Nurse | 125 |

Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—LAGUNA HONDA HOME

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 3 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 125 |
| 4 | 1 | I8 | Head Baker | 190 |
| 5 | 3 | I12 | Cook | 165 |
| 6 | 1 | I12 | Cook (part time) | 75 |
| 7 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | I16 | Chef | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | I22 | Butcher | 125 |
| 10 | 1 | I24 | Senior Butcher | 235 |
| 11 | | I26 | Hog Killer, $9 per day (as needed) | |
| 12 | 4 | I54 | Waitress | 110 |
| 13 | 1 | I58 | Dining Room Steward | 140 |
| 14 | | I102 | Inmate Help, not over | 50 |
| 15 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 16 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 140 |
| 17 | 4 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 18 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 19 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 120 |
| 20 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 21 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 22 | 2 | I112 | Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |
| 23 | 1 | I154 | Laundress | 95 |
| 24 | 1 | I164 | Marker and Distributor | 127 |
| 25 | 1 | I170 | Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |

Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | 1 | I174 | Superintendent of Laundry | 189 |
| 27 | 1 | I254 | Seamstress | 90 |
| 28 | 1 | I256 | Head Seamstress (deduct for R.) | 125 |
| 29 | 1 | I302 | Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 30 | 1 | I304 | Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 31 | 1 | L8 | Assistant to Superintendent | 275 |
| 32 | 1 | L10 | Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance) | 733.33 |
| 33 | 1 | L54 | Assistant Bacteriologist | 100 |
| 34 | 1 | L202 | Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 35 | 1 | L306 | Senior Pharmacist | 200 |
| 36 | 5 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 37 | 1 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 38 | 2 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 39 | 1 | L360 | Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |
| 40 | 1 | L452 | X-ray Technician | 150 |
| 41 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 42 | 1 | O52 | Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 43 | 1 | O54 | Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 220 |
| 44 | 1 | O58 | Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 45 | 1 | O60 | Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 46 | 3 | O168 | Engineer Sta. Steam Engines | 220 |
| 47 | 1 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 48 | 22 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 49 | 4 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 50 | 1 | P118 | Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |
| 51 | 1 | P208 | Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |

Section 57 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—ISOLATION HOSPITAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 77.50 |
| 2 | 1 | C152 | Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 145 |
| 3 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | I54 | Waitress | 110 |
| 5 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 6 | 1 | I204 | Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 85 |
| 7 | 1 | I254 | Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 8 | 2 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 9 | 1 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 52.50 |
| 10 | 1 | L372 | Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 385 |
| 12 | | P54 | Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 to 39.50 |
| 13 | 7 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 15 | 1 | P116 | Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |

## Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 165 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 4 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 125 |
| 5 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | B238 | Hospital Statistician | 190 |
| 7 | 1 | B238 | Hospital Statistician | 160 |
| 8 | 7 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 100 |
| 10 | 12 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for R.) | 75 |
| 11 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 12 | 2 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 125 |
| 13 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 13½ | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 160 |
| 14 | 1 | C6 | Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 260 |
| 15 | 2 | C152 | Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 145 |
| 16 | 2 | E108 | Electrician | 237.50 |
| 17 | 1 | I6 | Pastry Cook | 175 |
| 18 | 8 | I12 | Cook | 165 |
| 19 | 1 | I16 | Chef | 200 |
| 20 | 8 | I54 | Waitress | 110 |
| 21 | 8 | I56 | Waiter | 110 |
| 22 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 23 | 1 | I118 | Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 24 | 1 | I122 | House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 25 | 1 | I122 | House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 26 | 14 | I152 | Flat Work Ironer | 85 |
| 27 | 5 | I154 | Laundress | 98 |
| 28 | 4 | I154 | Laundress | 94 |
| 29 | 1 | I154 | Laundress | 93 |
| 30 | 7 | I154 | Laundress | 92 |
| 31 | 1 | I156 | Starcher | 118 |
| 32 | 1 | I164 | Sorter | 127 |
| 33 | 1 | I164 | Marker and Distributor | 127 |
| 34 | 1 | I166 | Wringerman | 136.33 |
| 35 | 2 | I170 | Washer | 129.50 |
| 36 | 1 | I172 | Head Washer | 152.50 |
| 37 | 1 | I178 | Superintendent of Laundry | 200 |
| 38 | 1 | I206 | Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 39 | 1 | I208 | Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 40 | 1 | I210 | Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 41 | 2 | I254 | Seamstress | 90 |
| 42 | 1 | I256 | Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 43 | 1 | J4 | Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |

## Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 1 | L2 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 310 |
| 45 | 1 | L6 | Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance) | 733.33 |
| 46 | 1 | L70 | Physio-Therapist (part time) | 100 |

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 47 | 2 | L72 | Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) | 75 |
| 48 | 2 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 50 |
| 49 | 4 | L202 | Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 50 | 1 | L206 | Chief Dietitian | 175 |
| 51 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 225 |
| 52 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 200 |
| 53 | 2 | L304 | Pharmacist | 190 |
| 54 | 1 | L306 | Senior Pharmacist | 250 |
| 55 | 42 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 56 | 19 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 52.50 |
| 57 | 7 | L356 | Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 65 |
| 58 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 75 |
| 59 | 3 | L372 | Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 60 | 1 | L372 | Resident Physician | 175 |
| 61 | 1 | L452 | X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 62 | 3 | L452 | X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 63 | 1 | L456 | Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 64 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 200 |
| 65 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 100 |
| 66 | 1 | M255 | Bracemaker | 77.50 |
| 67 | 1 | O60 | Head Gardener (deduct for R.) | 150 |
| 68 | 4 | O166 | Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine | 185 |
| 69 | 4 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine | 220 |
| 70 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) | 280 |

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—**
**SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL** (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 71 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | $ 165 |
| 72 | 124 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 74 | | P103 | Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. | |
| 74½ | | P103 | Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. | |
| 75 | | P103 | Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals | |
| 75½ | | P103 | Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. | |
| 76 | | P103 | Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. | |
| 76½ | | P103 | Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. | |
| 77 | | P103 | Special Nurses, Additional Patient $2 extra | |
| 78 | 33 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 79 | 1 | P104 | Head Nurse | 135 |
| 80 | 1 | P110 | Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 81 | 1 | P110 | Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 82 | 2 | P110 | Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 170 |
| 84 | 1 | P122 | Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 285 |
| 85 | 4 | P204 | Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 86 | 1 | P206 | Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 87 | 1 | P208 | Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 145 |
| 88 | 18 | P206 | Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 89 | 1 | P210 | Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 90 | 1 | P212 | Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 91 | 1 | P214 | Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 92 | 1 | P216 | Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 93 | | P254 | Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 to 39.50 |
| 94 | 2 | P304 | Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 95 | 1 | P306 | Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 96 | 1 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 150 |
| 97 | 1 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 140 |
| 98 | 2 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 120 |
| 99 | 2 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 180 |
| 100 | 8 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 140 |
| | | | TEMPORARY AND RELIEF SERVICES | |
| 101 | 14 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |

Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 3 | 12 | L504 | Emergency Hospital Surgeon | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | L506 | Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | L508 | Chief Surgeon | 250 |
| 6 | 14 | O6 | Ambulance Driver | 200 |
| 7 | 1 | O6 | Ambulance Driver | 185 |
| 8 | 4 | O6 | Ambulance Driver | 175 |
| 9 | 5 | P2 | Emergency Hospital Steward | 165 |
| 10 | 21 | P2 | Emergency Hospital Steward | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | P4 | Chief Emergency Hospital Steward | 250 |
| 12 | 13 | P102 | Registered Nurse | 165 |
| 13 | 6 | P102 | Registered Nurse | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | P102 | Registered Nurse (Relief) at $5 per day | |

## Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—HASSLER HEALTH HOME

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 87.50 |
| 2 | 1 | C152 | Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 3 | 2 | I12 | Cook (deduct for R. & L.) | 162.50 |
| 4 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.) | 182.50 |
| 5 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than | 80 |
| 6 | 2 | I116 | Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 92.50 |
| 7 | 1 | I254 | Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 92.50 |
| 8 | 2 | J4 | Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 9 | 1 | J4 | Laborer at $5 per day | |
| 10 | 1 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 50 |
| 11 | 1 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 12 | 1 | L363 | Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 310 |
| 13 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 14 | 1 | O54 | Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) | 210 |
| 15 | 1 | O58 | Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 16 | 1 | O58 | Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 92.50 |
| 17 | 4 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 18 | 1 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 19 | 1 | P112 | Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |

### AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are available:

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 20 | 1 | I116 | Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 85 |

## Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | $ 185 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 185 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B510 | Braille Typist | 150 |
| 6 | 3 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 150 |
| 8 | 4 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 180 |
| 9 | 4 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 150 |
| 10 | 1 | T160 | Senior Social Service Investigator | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | T162 | Director of County Welfare Department | 300 |

## Section 64. CORONER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter | $ 200 |
| 2 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 3 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 165 |
| 4 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 6 | 1 | I106 | Morgue Attendant | 95 |

Section 64. CORONER (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | 1 | L52 | Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.. | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | L52 | Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.. | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | L62 | Pathologist (part time) ..... | 125 |
| 10 | 1 | L102 | Food Chemist Assistant ..... | 95 |
| 11 | 1 | L110 | Toxicologist (part time) ..... | 150 |
| 12 | 1 | L502 | Autopsy Surgeon ..... | 325 |
| 12½ | 1 | L502 | Autopsy Surgeon ..... | 100 |
| 13 | 2 | N4 | Coroner's Investigator ..... | 215 |
| 14 | 1 | N4 | Coroner's Investigator ..... | 200 |
| 15 | 1 | N8 | Coroner's Chief Investigator ..... | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | N10 | Coroner ..... | 666.66 |
| 17 | 3 | O8 | Morgue Ambulance Driver ..... | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | O8 | Morgue Ambulance Driver ..... | 175 |

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT—AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .....$ | 150 |
| 2 | 1 | N154 | Horticultural Inspector ..... | 250 |
| 3 | 3 | N154 | Horticultural Inspector ..... | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | N156 | County Agricultural Commissioner .... | 400 |

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist .....$ | 225 |
| 2 | 1 | N356 | Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures . . . ..... | 250 |
| 3 | 3 | N354 | Inspector of Weights and Measures .... | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | N354 | Inspector of Weights and Measures .... | 175 |
| 5 | 1 | N358 | Sealer of Weights and Measures ..... | 325 |

Section 67. CONTROLLER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Controller .....$ | 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 200 |
| 3 | 8 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 185 |
| 4 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 180 |
| 5 | 4 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 3 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 200 |
| 7 | 6 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 190 |
| 8 | 1 | B7 | Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements..... | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B8 | Supervisor of Disbursements..... | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | B10 | Accountant ..... | 210 |
| 11 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant ..... | 325 |
| 12 | 2 | B14 | Senior Accountant ..... | 285 |
| 13 | 1 | B21 | Chief Assistant Controller..... | 575 |
| 13½ | 1 | B26 | Supervisor of Budget Statistics..... | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B28 | Supervisor of General Audits..... | 325 |
| 15 | 1 | B30 | Supervisor of Utilities Audits..... | 325 |
| 16 | 1 | B55 | Supervisor of Pay Rolls ..... | 310 |
| 17 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) ..... | 79.50 |
| 18 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 190 |
| 20 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 185 |
| 21 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 22 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 25 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 185 |
| 26 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 27 | 2 | B234 | Head Clerk | 225 |
| 28 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 210 |
| 29 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 190 |
| 30 | 2 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 175 |
| 31 | 3 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 165 |
| 32 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 155 |
| 33 | 2 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 34 | 2 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 35 | 5 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 36 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 37 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 38 | 1 | B417 | Confidential Secretary to the Controller | 225 |
| 39 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator | 155 |
| 40 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 41 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 42 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 43 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney—Civil | 400 |
| 44 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services | 150 |
| 45 | | | Field Bookkeepers or Accountants, (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) | 200 |

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | Commissioners, $15 per meeting | |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) | $ 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $12.50 per meeting | |
| 4 | 1 | F158 | City Planning Engineer and Secretary | 350 |
| 5 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | F255 | City Planning Draftsman (as needed) | 200 |

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | Commissioners | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | | Manager of Utilities | 1,000 |
| 3 | 1 | B22 | Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission | 410 |
| 4 | 1 | B60 | Secretary, Public Utilities Commission | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | B53 | Director of Public Relations | 400 |
| 6 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 7 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 8 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 9 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 10 | 1 | G106 | Claims Adjuster | 350 |
| 11 | 1 | O4 | Special Chauffeur | 200 |
| 12 | 1 | S112 | Inspector, Municipal Railway | 210 |
| 13 | 1 | S112 | Inspector, Municipal Railway | 200 |

## Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 150 |
| 2 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 125 |
| 3 | 1 | F52 | Meteorological Computor | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | F54 | Meteorologist | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | F60 | Assistant Superintendent | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | F62 | Superintendent | 500 |
| | | | TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES | |
| 7 | | A154 | Carpenter, $9 per day | |
| 8 | | A354 | Painter, $9 per day | |
| 10 | | E108 | Electrician, $9 per day | |
| 12 | 11 | J52 | Airport Attendant | 150 |

## Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 275 |
| 3 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant | 85 |
| 4 | 1 | B246 | Map Clerk | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 7 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | F214 | Construction Engineer | 300 |
| 11 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 12 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 14 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 200 |
| 15 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 17 | 1 | F320 | Senior Civil Engineer | 550 |
| 18 | 2 | F356 | Electrical Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 19 | 1 | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 350 |
| 20 | 1 | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 300 |
| 21 | | F370 | Chief Electrical Engineer | 650 |
| 21½ | 1 | F372 | Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau | 833.33 |
| 22 | 1 | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 160 |
| 23 | 4 | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer | 265 |
| 24 | 1 | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 25 | 1 | F406 | Assistant Hydraulic Engineer | 330 |
| 26 | 1 | F408 | Hydraulic Engineer | 400 |
| 27 | 1 | F452 | Mechanical Draftsman | 200 |
| 28 | 1 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 290 |
| 29 | 1 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 30 | 1 | F456 | Designer Street Railway Equipment | 290 |
| 31 | 1 | F462 | Mechanical Engineer | 265 |
| 32 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 350 |
| 33 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 300 |
| 34 | 1 | F552 | Structural Draftsman | 225 |
| 35 | 1 | F554 | Structural Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 36 | 1 | F556 | Structural Engineering Inspector | 250 |

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | 1 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 175 |
| 38 | 1 | N102 | Light-Water Complaint Investigator | 200 |
| 39 | 1 | O4 | Special Chauffeur | 225 |
| 40 | 1 | O152 | Engineer, Hoisting and Portable Engines, $10 per day | |
| | | | CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED | |
| 41 | | F12 | Consulting Engineer, Utilities | 650 |
| 42 | | F102 | Architectural Draftsman | 200 |
| 43 | | F104 | Architectural Estimator | 250 |
| 44 | | F106 | Architectural Designer | 250 |
| 45 | | F108 | Architect | 300 |
| 46 | | F202 | Inspector Public Works Construction | 200 |
| 50 | | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 160 |
| 52 | | F256 | Cartographer and Art Designer | 210 |
| 53 | | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 54 | | F351 | Junior Electrical Engineer | 160 |
| 55 | | F352 | Electrical Engineering Draftsman | 200 |
| 56 | | F354 | Electrical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 59 | | F460 | Assistant Mechanical Engineer | 250 |
| 62 | | F558 | Structural Engineer | 250 |
| 64 | | F610 | Surveyor | 250 |
| 65 | | M256 | Mechanical Inspector | 225 |
| 66 | | O2 | Chauffeur | 170 |
| 67 | | | Seasonal Clerical Service (as needed) | 150 |

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | A154 | Carpenter, $9 per day | |
| 2 | 9 | A364 | Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day | |
| 3 | 1 | A370 | Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop | $ 250 |
| 4 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 325 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 7 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 4 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 9 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 240 |
| 10 | 2 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 11 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 250 |
| 12 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 225 |
| 13 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 14 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 15 | 2 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 16 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 17 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator (relief) | 145 |
| 18 | 28 | C104 | Janitor | 160 |
| 19 | 8 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 20 | 3 | C104 | Janitor, $5.80 per day | |
| 21 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 135 |
| 22 | 2 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor, $6.30 per day | |
| 23 | 1 | E106 | Armature Winder, $9 per day | |
| 24 | 1 | E108 | Electrician, $9 per day | |
| 25 | 6 | E154 | Lineman, $7.40 per day | |
| 26 | 1 | E160 | Foreman Lineman | 215 |
| 27 | 1 | F216 | Maintenance of Way Engineer | 300 |
| 28 | 4 | J4 | Laborer, $5.80 per day | |
| 29 | 5 | J66 | Garageman, $6.80 per day | |

## Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | 48 | J152 | Trackman, $5.80 per day | |
| 31 | 2 | J160 | Track Welder, $6.30 per day | |
| 32 | 2 | J162 | Car Repairer Welder, $7.50 per day | |
| 33 | 3 | J166 | Track Foreman, $6.30 per day | |
| 34 | 1 | J168 | General Foreman of Track Maintenance | 250 |
| 35 | 1 | M5 | Assistant Master Mechanic | 300 |
| 36 | 1 | M6 | Master Mechanic | 350 |
| 37 | 3 | M54 | Auto Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 38 | 1 | M56 | Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway | 250 |
| 39 | 2 | M104 | Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day | |
| 40 | 2 | M108 | Blacksmith, $9 per day | |
| 41 | 49 | M202 | Car Repairer, $6.80 per day | |
| 42 | 5 | M206 | Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day | |
| 43 | 2 | M208 | Foreman Car Repairer, $7.80 per day | |
| 44 | 6 | M254 | Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 45 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver Heavy Truck, $8 per day | |
| 46 | 1 | S10 | Manager Municipal Railway | 700 |
| 47 | 1 | S60 | Instructor Municipal Railway | 240 |
| 48 | 427 | S102 | Conductor, 75c per hour | |
| 49 | 420 | S104 | Motorman, 75c per hour | |
| 50 | 50 | S106 | Bus Operator, 80c per hour | |
| 51 | 5 | S110 | Junior Inspector Municipal Railway | 175 |
| 52 | 8 | S110 | Junior Inspector Municipal Railway | 165 |
| 53 | 12 | S112 | Inspector Municipal Railway | 200 |
| 54 | 5 | S112 | Inspector Municipal Railway | 180 |
| 55 | 2 | S120 | Day Dispatcher | 210 |
| 56 | 1 | S124 | Supervisor of Schedules | 210 |
| 57 | 2 | S128 | Division Superintendent Municipal Ry. | 275 |
| 58 | 1 | S132 | Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway | 350 |
| 59 | 1 | U108 | Compressor Operator, portable, $6.30 per day | |

## Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

### 1. EXECUTIVE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 175 |
| 2 | 1 | U44 | Manager, Water Department | 833.33 |

### 2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 200 |
| 4 | 2 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 275 |
| 5 | 2 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 6 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 400 |
| 7 | 1 | B24 | Auditor | 700 |
| 8 | 1 | B109 | Cashier | 325 |
| 9 | 1 | B210 | Office Boy (Apprentice) | 75 |
| 10 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 11 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 12 | 4 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |

### 4. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 14 | 3 | F524 | Water Purification Engineer | 175 |
| 15 | 1 | F526 | Chief Water Purification Engineer | 350 |

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Cont'd)

5. ENGINEERING

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 | 1 | B315 | Photographer—Water Service | 200 |
| 17 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 18 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 19 | 1 | F2 | Assistant Engineer—Water Service | 500 |
| 20 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 175 |
| 22 | 2 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 23 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | F406 | Assistant Hydraulic Engineer | 250 |
| 25 | 1 | F408 | Hydraulic Engineer | 375 |

6. HOUSE SERVICE

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 165 |
| 27 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 160 |
| 29 | 3 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 30 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 31 | 1 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 175 |
| 32 | 1 | I122 | House Mother (part time) | 100 |

7. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 33 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 34 | 1 | V30 | Assistant Superintendent | 200 |
| 35 | 1 | V40 | Superintendent | 600 |

8. WATER SALES DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 36 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant | 85 |
| 37 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant | 75 |
| 38 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 39 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 40 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 41 | 1 | N420 | Consumers Complaint Investigator | 225 |
| 42 | 1 | U80 | Assistant Manager | 375 |
| 43 | 1 | U88 | Manager | 475 |

9. SERVICE AND SUPPLY

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 45 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 225 |
| 46 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 47 | 7 | U122 | Shut-off Man | 175 |
| 48 | 1 | U123 | Service Inspector | 185 |
| 49 | 1 | U124 | Special Complaint Inspector | 200 |
| 50 | 1 | U126 | Meter Inspector | 175 |
| 51 | 1 | U132 | Contractor's and Builder's Inspector | 225 |

Section 74. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

10. CONSUMERS' PREMISES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | U126 | Meter Inspector | $ 175 |
| 2 | 1 | U128 | Chief Meter Inspector | 200 |

11. WATER SALES DIVISION—METER READING

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 7 | B247 | Meter Reader | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | B247 | Meter Reader | 165 |
| 5 | 7 | B247 | Meter Reader | 150 |

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

12. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 7 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 9 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 10 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 11 | 5 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | 75 |
| 11½ | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 225 |
| 12 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 215 |
| 13 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 14 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 15 | 1 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 160 |
| 16 | 1 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 17 | 12 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 175 |
| 18 | 5 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 21 | 1 | U56 | Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Accounts | 290 |
| 22 | 1 | U60 | Supervisor Consumers' Accounts | 350 |

13. WATER SALES DIVISION—COLLECTIONS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 24 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 180 |
| 25 | 18 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 26 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 27 | 14 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 29 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 30 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 31 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 32 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 33 | 1 | U52 | Supervisor of Collections | 300 |

14. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 34 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 35 | 1 | U51 | Supervisor Docks and Shipping | 275 |
| 36 | 1 | U125 | Hoseman—Ships and Docks | 190 |
| 37 | 1 | U125 | Hoseman—Ships and Docks | 160 |

15. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 39 | 1 | B356 | Senior Storekeeper | 265 |
| 40 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 41 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 42 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 43 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 175 |
| 44 | 1 | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 160 |
| 45 | 1 | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 175 |
| 46 | 1 | M266 | Foreman Meter Repairs | 200 |
| 47 | 1 | M268 | Foreman Machinist | 285 |
| 48 | 2 | O58 | Gardener | 135 |
| 49 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 165 |
| 50 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 165 |
| 51 | 2 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 160 |
| 52 | 1 | U136 | General Foreman—Service and Meters | 300 |
| 53 | 1 | U140 | General Foreman—Main Pipes | 325 |
| 54 | 1 | U142 | Assistant Superintendent | 350 |
| 55 | 1 | U144 | Superintendent | 500 |

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

16. PUMPS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 56 | 1 | O162 | Boiler Cleaner | 165 |
| 57 | 3 | O164 | Oiler | 175 |
| 58 | 4 | O166 | Fireman Stationary Steam Engines | 185 |
| 59 | 4 | O168 | Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 60 | 1 | O170 | Assistant Chief Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines | 235 |

18. PENINSULA DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 61 | 1 | O166 | Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (deduct for house) | 185 |
| 62 | 1 | O167 | Engine Room Attendant (relief) | 185 |
| 63 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 265 |
| 64 | 4 | U214 | Pump Operator | 165 |
| 65 | 1 | U214 | Pump Operator (deduct for house) | 165 |
| 66 | 1 | U215 | Head Pump Operator | 200 |
| 67 | 1 | U215 | Head Pump Operator (deduct for house) | 200 |

Section 75. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

19. MILLBRAE STATION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A404 | Plumber | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | C104 | Janitress (part time) (less room and board) | 45.50 |
| 7 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 9 | 1 | E154 | Lineman | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | I12 | Cook (less house) | 130 |
| 11 | 1 | U227 | General Maintenance Foreman (less house) | 210 |
| 12 | 1 | U228 | Country Meterman | 175 |
| 13 | 2 | U230 | Maintenance Foreman | 200 |
| 14 | 1 | U236 | Assistant Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) | 300 |
| 15 | 1 | U246 | Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) | 466.66 |

20. PENINSULA DIVISION—RESERVOIRS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 | 1 | I60 | Housekeeper (part time) | 35 |
| 17 | 2 | I60 | Housekeeper (part time) | 20 |
| 18 | 4 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 160 |
| 19 | 2 | U212 | Ranger (deduct for house) | 145 |
| 20 | 1 | U212 | Ranger | 135 |

21. ALAMEDA SYSTEM

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 22 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 150 |
| 23 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 24 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 160 |
| 25 | 1 | U212 | Ranger | 145 |
| 26 | 1 | U212 | Ranger (deduct for house) | 145 |
| 27 | 2 | U214 | Pump Operator | $6 a day |

## Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

### 21. ALAMEDA SYSTEM.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28 | 1 | U214 | Pump Operator (part time) | 50 |
| 29 | 1 | U215 | Head Pump Operator (deduct for house) | 200 |
| 30 | 1 | U226 | General Maintenance Foreman | 200 |
| 31 | 1 | U230 | Maintenance Foreman | 200 |
| 32 | 1 | U231 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for house) | 225 |
| 33 | 1 | U232 | Superintendent (deduct for house) | 300 |

### 22. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 34 | 2 | A154 | Carpenter ....day | 9 |
| 35 | 21 | J4 | Laborers ....day | 6 |
| 36 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver (light truck) ....day | 7.50 |
| 37 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver (light truck) ....day | 6.50 |
| 38 | 2 | U104 | Leadman ....day | 6 |
| 39 | 3 | U108 | Compressor Operator ....day | 7.50 |
| 40 | 13 | U112 | Pipe Caulker ....day | 7.50 |
| 41 | 5 | U114 | Main Pipe Foreman ....day | 7.75 |
| 42 | 8 | U120 | Gateman ....day | 7.75 |
| 43 | 2 | U206 | Water Department Worker ....day | 6 |

### 23. MACHINE SHOP AND MISCELLANEOUS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 1 | A551 | Apprentice ....day | 7.00 |
| 45 | 1 | J66 | Garageman ....day | 6.50 |
| 46 | 5 | M54 | Auto Machinist ....day | 9 |
| 47 | 4 | M254 | Machinist ....day | 9 |

### 24. SERVICE AND METER

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 48 | 10 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 49 | 1 | U116 | Service Man ....day | 7.75 |
| 50 | 15 | U116 | Service Man ....day | 7.50 |

### 25. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION

Reservoir Keepers and Screenmen

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 52 | 3 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |

### 19a. PENINSULA DIVISION—MILLBRAE STATION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 53 | 2 | A154 | Carpenter ....day | 9 |
| 54 | 1 | A354 | Painter ....day | 9 |
| 55 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator (part time) ....day | 4 |
| 56 | 21 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 57 | 1 | M54 | Auto Machinist ....day | 9 |
| 58 | 1 | O10 | Light Truck Driver ....day | 6.50 |
| 59 | 1 | O58 | Gardener ....day | 6 |
| 60 | 8 | U206 | Water Department Worker ....day | 6 |
| 61 | 1 | U214 | Pump Operator ....day | 6.25 |

### 7a. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 62 | 3 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 63 | 1 | V8 | Sub-Foreman ....day | 6.50 |

### 21a. ALAMEDA DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 64 | 10 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 65 | 1 | O58 | Gardener ....day | 6 |
| 66 | 1 | O116 | Teamster ....day | 6.50 |
| 67 | 1 | U206 | Water Department Worker ....day | 6 |

### 6a. GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 68 | 1 | C104 | Janitor ....day | 6 |
| 69 | | | Teams and Trucks .... | |

## Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | Asst. Hydraulic Engineer.. | | | $325.00 |
| 2 | | Asst. Bacteriologist ..... | .50 | | |
| 3 | | Asst. Biologist ..... | .50 | | |
| 4 | | Asst. Elec. Eng..... | | | 300.00 |
| 5 | | Asst. Mechanical Engineer. | | | 300.00 |
| 6 | | Auto Mechanic ..... | | 8.73 | |
| 7 | | Blacksmith . . ..... | 1.091 | | |
| 8 | | Blacksmith Helper ..... | .818 | | |
| 9 | | Bacteriologist (part time). | | | 75.00 |
| 10 | | Biologist (part time) ..... | | | 75.00 |
| 11 | | Boilermaker . . . ..... | 1.091 | | |
| 12 | | Boilermaker Helper ..... | .75 | | |
| 13 | | Brakeman . . . ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 14 | | Bookkeeper . . ..... | | | 175.00 |
| 15 | | Construction Supt. ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 16 | | Construction Foreman .... | | | 200.00 |
| 17 | | Clerical Service ..... | .50 | | |
| 18 | | Clerical Service ..... | | 5.00 | |
| 19 | | Clerk (Experienced) ..... | | | 337.50 |
| 20 | | Carpenter . . ..... | | 9.00 | |
| 21 | | Carpenter Foreman ..... | | 10.00 | |
| 22 | | Cement Finisher ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 23 | | Cement Gun Operator ..... | | 7.00 | |
| 24 | | Clerk, General ..... | | | 155.00 |
| 25 | | Cook . . ..... | | | 212.50 |
| 26 | | Cook Helper ..... | | | 137.50 |
| 27 | | Compressorman . . ..... | | 7.67 | |
| 28 | | Construction Engineer .... | | | 600.00 |
| 29 | | Concrete Man ..... | | 5.00 | |
| 30 | | Concrete Foreman ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 31 | | Chainman . . ..... | | | 140.00 |
| 32 | | Chucktender . . ..... | | 5.25 | |
| 33 | | Draftsman . . ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 34 | | Detectorman . . ..... | | | 212.50 |
| 35 | | Dishwasher . . ..... | | | 112.50 |
| 36 | | Designer . . ..... | | | 275.00 |
| 37 | | Driver . . ..... | .90 | | |
| 38 | | Driver . . ..... | .95 | | |
| 39 | | Driver . . ..... | 1.00 | | |
| 40 | | Driver . . ..... | 1.10 | | |
| 41 | | Driver . . ..... | 1.15 | | |
| 42 | | Driver . . ..... | 1.25 | | |
| 43 | | Driver . . ..... | 1.35 | | |
| 44 | | Driver . . ..... | | 5.50 | |
| 45 | | Driver . . ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 46 | | Driver . . ..... | | 6.50 | |
| 47 | | Driver . . ..... | | 7.00 | |
| 48 | | Driver . . ..... | | 7.50 | |
| 49 | | Driver . . ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 50 | | Driver . . ..... | | 9.20 | |
| 51 | | Driver . . ..... | | 10.00 | |
| 52 | | Driver (Tractor) ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 53 | | Diver . . . . . Per Dive 25.00 | | | |
| 54 | | Estimator . . ..... | | | 175.00 |
| 55 | | Electrician . . ..... | | 8.75 | |

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 56 | | Engineer, Mechanical ..... | | | 350.00 |
| 57 | | Engineer Asst. ..... | | | 287.50 |
| 58 | | Electrician ..... | | 9.00 | |
| 59 | | Engineer (Asst. Const.)... | | | 375.00 |
| 60 | B314 | Photostat Operator ..... | | | 165.00 |
| 61 | F102 | Architectural Draftsman .. | | | 200.00 |
| 62 | F106 | Architectural Designer .... | | | 250.00 |
| 63 | F108 | Architect ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 64 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | | | 250.00 |
| 65 | F352 | Electrical Engineering Draftsman ..... | | | 200.00 |
| 66 | F354 | Electrical Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 67 | F356 | Electrical Engineering Inspector ..... | | | 225.00 |
| 68 | F362 | Electrical Engineer ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 69 | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 70 | F452 | Mechanical Draftsman .... | | | 200.00 |
| 71 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 72 | F552 | Structural Draftsman ..... | | | 200.00 |
| 73 | F554 | Structural Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 74 | F556 | Structural Engineering Inspector ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 75 | F558 | Structural Engineer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 76 | F614 | Assistant Chief Surveyor... | | | 275.00 |
| 77 | F616 | Chief Surveyor ..... | | | 325.00 |

Section 77. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION** (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 78 | | Foreman ..... | | | $250.00 |
| 79 | | Foreman ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 80 | | Foreman ..... | | 6.25 | |
| 81 | | Foreman ..... | | 6.50 | |
| 82 | | Foreman ..... | | 7.00 | |
| 83 | | Foreman ..... | | 7.50 | |
| 84 | | Foreman ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 85 | | Foreman ..... | | 10.00 | |
| 86 | | Foreman, General ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 87 | | Field Asst. ..... | | | 225.00 |
| 88 | | Fire Boss ..... | | | 212.50 |
| 89 | | Form Man ..... | | 5.25 | |
| 90 | | Grout Gunman ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 91 | | Gunite Helper ..... | | 5.00 | |
| 92 | | Gunite Mixerman ..... | | 5.50 | |
| 93 | | Gate Tender ..... | | 5.75 | |
| 94 | | Graderman ..... | | 6.50 | |
| 95 | | Groundman ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 96 | | Hoistman ..... | | 9.00 | |
| 97 | | Hodcarrier ..... | | 9.00 | |

## Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 98 | | Hostler | | 6.50 | |
| 99 | | Housesmith | | 9.00 | |
| 100 | | Housesmith Foreman | | 10.00 | |
| 101 | | Inspector | | | 250.00 |
| 102 | | Inspector, Engineer | | | 250.00 |
| 103 | | Inspector, Chief | | | 275.00 |
| 104 | | Janitress | | | 100.00 |
| 105 | | Janitor | | | 107.50 |
| 106 | | Jackhammerman | | 5.00 | |
| 107 | | Kitchen Helper | | | 117.50 |
| 108 | | Laborer | | 4.00 | |
| 109 | | Laborer | | 4.25 | |
| 110 | | Laborer | | 4.50 | |
| 111 | | Laborer | | 4.75 | |
| 112 | | Laborer | | 5.00 | |
| 113 | | Laborer | | 5.50 | |
| 114 | | Laborer | | 6.00 | |
| 115 | | Lineman | | 8.73 | |
| 116 | | Lampman | | | 150.00 |
| 117 | | Lineman Helper | | 7.73 | |
| 118 | | Mechanic, Camp | | | 225.00 |
| 119 | | Machinist | | 8.73 | |
| 120 | | Machinist | 1.091 | | |
| 121 | | Machinist Helper | .818 | | |
| 122 | | Machineman | | 5.75 | |
| 123 | | Master Mechanic | | | 453.50 |
| 124 | | Mixerman | | 5.50 | |
| 125 | | Motorman | | 5.75 | |
| 126 | | Motorman (Gas) | | 7.67 | |
| 127 | | Mucker | | 4.50 | |
| 128 | | Miner | | 6.00 | |
| 129 | | Nozzleman | | 6.50 | |
| 130 | | Nurse | | | 162.50 |
| 131 | | Nipper | | 5.25 | |
| 132 | | Pipe Joint Inspector | | 6.50 | |
| 133 | | Plasterer | | 12.00 | |
| 134 | | Painter | | 9.00 | |
| 135 | | Plumber | | 9.00 | |
| 136 | | Physician | | | 337.50 |
| 137 | | Porter | | 4.50 | |
| 138 | | Powderman | | 5.75 | |
| 139 | | Pumpman | | 5.00 | |
| 140 | | Rigger | | 7.00 | |
| 141 | | Rescueman | .75 | | |
| 142 | | Safety Man | | | 250.00 |
| 143 | | Steelworker | | 9.00 | |
| 144 | | Surveyor | | | 200.00 |
| 145 | | Sanitary Engineer (part time) | | | 75.00 |
| 146 | | Steam Shovel Engineer | | 10.00 | |
| 147 | | Steam Shovel Fireman | | 7.00 | |
| 148 | | Steam Shovel Oiler | | 6.00 | |
| 149 | | Steam Shovel Watchman | | 7.00 | |
| 150 | | Superintendent | | | 500.00 |
| 151 | | Steamfitter | | 10.00 | |
| 152 | | Skiptender | | 5.75 | |
| 153 | | Sub Foreman | | 6.50 | |
| 154 | | Special Agent | | | 225.00 |

Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 155 | | Stenographer | | | 155.00 |
| 156 | | Storekeeper | | | 240.00 |
| 157 | | Tunnel Supt. | | | 250.00 |
| 158 | | Tractor Driver | | 7.50 | |
| 159 | | Tool Sharpener | | 6.50 | |
| 160 | | Tool Sharpener Helper | | 5.25 | |
| 161 | | Tunnel Supt. Asst. | | | 240.00 |
| 162 | | Templateman | | 5.25 | |
| 163 | | Typist | | | 155.00 |
| 164 | | Timekeeper | | | 175.00 |
| 165 | | Waiter | | | 112.50 |
| 166 | | Water Pipe Welder | | 7.50 | |
| 167 | | Welder | 1.091 | | |
| 168 | | Welder Helper | .75 | | |
| 169 | | Watchman | | | 150.00 |
| 170 | | Waterboy | | 3.50 | |

Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchasers Contracts.

Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.

Section 78. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 215 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 3 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | 120 |
| 5 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 60 |
| 6 | 1 | E107 | Power House Electrician | 210 |
| 7 | 1 | E120 | Governorman | 150 |
| 8 | 3 | E120 | Governorman | 145 |
| 9 | 3 | E120 | Governorman | 140 |
| 10 | 1 | E122 | Power House Operator | 190 |
| 11 | 4 | E122 | Power House Operator | 185 |
| 12 | 3 | E122 | Power House Operator | 165 |
| 13 | 3 | E122 | Power House Operator | 150 |
| 14 | 1 | E128 | Superintendent Power House | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | E128 | Superintendent Power House | 210 |
| 16 | 2 | E151 | Transmission Line Patrolman's Helper | 137.50 |
| 17 | 2 | E152 | Transmission Line Patrolman | 210 |
| 18 | 1 | E164 | Foreman Lineman, Power Operative | 250 |
| 19 | 1 | I2 | Kitchen Helper | 112.50 |
| 20 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 167.50 |
| 21 | 1 | I60 | Housekeeper | 117.50 |
| 22 | 1 | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 23 | 2 | J4 | Laborer | 135 |
| 24 | 1 | F351 | Junior Electrical Engineer | 150 |
| 25 | 1 | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 400 |
| 26 | 1 | M254 | Machinist | 210 |
| 27 | 1 | O58 | Gardener | 175 |

Section 78½. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE—SEASONAL AND TEMPORARY**

| | | | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | A154 | Carpenter | $9.00 | |
| 2 | A164 | Carpenter-Foreman | $10.00 | |
| 3 | A204 | Cement Finisher | $8.00 | |
| 4 | E150 | Lineman Helper | $7.73 | |
| 5 | E154 | Lineman | $8.73 | |
| 6 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | | $187.50 |
| 7 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | | 175 |
| 8 | J4 | Laborer | $6.00 | |
| 9 | J4 | Laborer | $5.50 | |
| 10 | J4 | Laborer | $4.50 | |
| 11 | M54 | Auto Machinist | $8.73 | |
| 12 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $8.00 | |
| 13 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $7.50 | |
| 14 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $7.00 | |
| 15 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $6.50 | |
| 16 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $6.00 | |
| 17 | O20 | Brakeman | $6.00 | |

Section 79. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 1 | A172 | Repair Foreman | $ 300 |
| 3 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 7 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 125 |
| 8 | 1 | F8 | Utilities Engineer | 700 |
| 9 | 1 | F212 | Assistant Engineer | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | F212 | Assistant Engineer | 237.50 |
| 11 | 1 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 187.50 |
| 12 | 2 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 175 |
| 14 | 1 | J12d | Labor Foreman Utilities | 210 |
| 15 | 1 | J12d | Labor Foreman Utilities | 200 |
| 16 | 1 | M55 | Sub-foreman Auto Machinist | 210 |
| 17 | 1 | O4 | Special Chauffeur | 225 |
| 18 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 160 |
| 19 | 2 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 150 |
| 20 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 140 |
| 21 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 135 |
| 22 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 125 |
| 23 | 1 | U206 | Water Department Worker | 135 |
| 24 | 1 | U206 | Water Department Worker | 125 |
| 25 | 2 | U222 | General Maintenance Foreman | 200 |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Superintendent of Schools | $ 10,000 |
| 2 | Chief Deputy Superintendent | 6,000 |
| 3 | Deputy Superintendent | 5,400 |
| 4 | Assistant to Superintendent | 3,000 |
| 5 | Directors "A" 276 days' service | 4,800 |
| 6 | Directors "B" 237 days' service | 4,500 |
| 7 | Assts. to Director of Physical Education | 3,300 |
| 8 | Supervisors "AA" 276 days' service | 4,250 |
| 9 | Supervisors Class "A" | 3,900 |
| 10 | Supervisors Class "B" | 3,300 |
| 11 | Supervisors Class "C" | 3,036 |
| 12 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 1,800 |
| 13 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 1,932 |
| 14 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,016 |
| 15 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,100 |
| 16 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,280 |
| 17 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,364 |
| 18 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,448 |
| 19 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,544 |
| 20 | Head Dept. Junior High | 2,724 |
| 21 | Head Dept. Junior High | 2,892 |
| 22 | Junior College President | 7,000 |
| 23 | Junior College Vice-President and Dean of Men | 5,000 |
| 24 | Junior College Vice-President and Dean of Women | 5,000 |
| 25 | Junior College Registrar and Direct. of Personnel | 4,500 |
| 26 | Assistant Dean of Men | 3,600 |
| 27 | Assistant Dean of Women | 3,600 |
| 28 | Librarian | 3,000 |
| 29 | Assistant Registrar | 3,000 |
| 30 | Assistant to President | 3,000 |
| 31 | Principals | 5,100 |
| 32 | Principals | 4,800 |
| 33 | Principals | 4,500 |
| 34 | Principals | 4,250 |
| 35 | Principals | 4,020 |
| 36 | Principals | 3,720 |
| 37 | Principals | 3,420 |
| 38 | Principals | 2,880 |
| 39 | Principals—Evening Schools | 2,304 |
| 40 | Principals—Evening Schools | 1,603.20 |
| 41 | Vice-Principals | 3,900 |
| 42 | Vice-Principals | 3,708 |
| 43 | Vice-Principals | 3,600 |
| 44 | Vice-Principals | 3,504 |
| 45 | Vice-Principals | 3,456 |
| 46 | Vice-Principals | 3,408 |
| 47 | Vice-Principals | 3,276 |
| 48 | Vice-Principals | 3,204 |
| 49 | Vice-Principals | 3,192 |
| 50 | Vice-Principals | 3,108 |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 51 | Vice-Principals | 3,036 |
| 52 | Vice-Principals | 3,012 |
| 53 | Vice-Principals | 3,000 |
| 54 | Vice-Principals | 2,976 |
| 55 | Vice-Principals | 2,904 |
| 56 | Vice-Principals | 2,880 |
| 57 | Vice-Principals | 2,844 |
| 58 | Vice-Principals | 2,820 |
| 59 | Vice-Principals | 2,808 |
| 60 | Vice-Principals | 2,748 |
| 61 | Vice-Principals | 2,736 |
| 62 | Vice-Principals | 2,700 |
| 63 | Vice-Principals | 2,676 |
| 64 | Vice-Principals | 2,664 |
| 65 | Vice-Principals | 2,604 |
| 66 | Vice-Principals | 2,580 |
| 67 | Vice-Principals | 2,508 |
| 68 | Vice-Principals | 2,448 |
| 69 | Vice-Principals | 2,436 |
| 70 | Vice-Principals | 2,400 |
| 71 | Vice-Principals | 2,376 |
| 72 | Vice-Principals | 2,364 |
| 73 | Vice-Principals | 2,316 |
| 74 | Vice-Principals | 2,304 |
| 75 | Vice-Principals | 2,280 |
| 76 | Vice-Principals | 2,220 |
| 77 | Vice-Principals | 2,232 |
| 78 | Vice-Principals | 2,136 |
| 79 | Vice-Principals | 2,100 |
| 80 | Vice-Principals | 2,076 |
| 81 | Vice-Principals | 2,044 |
| 82 | Vice-Principals | 1,980 |
| 83 | Vice-Principals | 1,984 |
| 84 | Vice-Principals | 1,920 |
| 85 | Vice-Principals | 1,800 |
| 86 | Vice-Principals | 1,740 |
| 87 | Teachers | $ 3,780 |
| 88 | Teachers | 3,600 |
| 89 | Teachers | 3,588 |
| 90 | Teachers | 3,500 |
| 91 | Teachers | 3,480 |
| 92 | Teachers | 3,408 |
| 93 | Teachers | 3,400 |
| 94 | Teachers | 3,300 |
| 95 | Teachers | 3,384 |
| 96 | Teachers | 3,288 |
| 97 | Teachers | 3,336 |
| 98 | Teachers | 3,204 |
| 99 | Teachers | 3,200 |
| 100 | Teachers | 3,156 |
| 101 | Teachers | 3,108 |
| 102 | Teachers | 3,100 |
| 103 | Teachers | 3,084 |
| 104 | Teachers | 3,072 |
| 105 | Teachers | 3,000 |
| 106 | Teachers | 2,988 |
| 107 | Teachers | 2,976 |
| 108 | Teachers | 2,900 |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 109 | Teachers | 2,904 |
| 110 | Teachers | 2,892 |
| 111 | Teachers | 2,880 |
| 112 | Teachers | 2,808 |
| 113 | Teachers | 2,800 |
| 114 | Teachers | 2,784 |
| 115 | Teachers | 2,736 |
| 116 | Teachers | 2,724 |
| 117 | Teachers | 2,712 |
| 118 | Teachers | 2,700 |
| 119 | Teachers | 2,628 |
| 120 | Teachers | 2,604 |
| 121 | Teachers | 2,600 |
| 122 | Teachers | 2,580 |
| 123 | Teachers | 2,544 |
| 124 | Teachers | 2,508 |
| 125 | Teachers | 2,500 |
| 126 | Teachers | 2,484 |
| 127 | Teachers | 2,460 |
| 128 | Teachers | 2,448 |
| 129 | Teachers | 2,436 |
| 130 | Teachers | 2,400 |
| 131 | Teachers | 2,388 |
| 132 | Teachers | 2,364 |
| 133 | Teachers | 2,304 |
| 134 | Teachers | 2,280 |
| 135 | Teachers | 2,208 |
| 136 | Teachers | 2,196 |
| 137 | Teachers | 2,184 |
| 138 | Teachers | 2,136 |
| 139 | Teachers | 2,112 |
| 140 | Teachers | 2,100 |
| 141 | Teachers | 2,064 |
| 142 | Teachers | 2,016 |
| 143 | Teachers | 2,004 |
| 144 | Teachers | 1,980 |
| 145 | Teachers | 1,932 |
| 146 | Teachers | 1,836 |
| 147 | Teachers | 1,800 |
| 148 | Teachers | 1,744 |
| 149 | Teachers | 1,680 |
| 150 | Teachers | 1,500 |
| 151 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 5.9163 Eve. |
| 152 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 6.5445 Eve. |
| 153 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 7.0942 Eve. |
| 154 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 7.8534 Eve. |
| 155 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 9.6126 Eve. |
| 156 | Teachers not full time on pro-rata of above rates | |

### SUBSTITUTES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 157 | Teachers at $6.50 per day | |
| 158 | Teachers at $5.00 per day | |
| 159 | Teachers at $3.50 per evening | |
| 160 | Teachers at $1.8055 per unit served | |

### SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 161 | Teachers at $4.50 per day | |
| 162 | Teachers at $3.50 per day | |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

### SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 163 | Teachers at $1.50 per hour | |
| 164 | Teachers at $2.00 per hour | |
| 165 | Laboratory Assistants (as needed) | |
| 166 | Yard Teachers at rate of $120.00 in excess of rates above P. S. A. L. | |
| 167 | Teachers at rate of $100.00 in excess of rates above | |
| 168 | Junior College Unit Teachers $100 per unit per annum | |

## Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1 | A6 | Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs | $ 375 |
| 201 | 4 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day | |
| 202 | 1 | A162 | Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day | |
| 203 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 204 | 1 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 220 |
| 205 | | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 206 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 375 |
| 207 | | B14 | Senior Accountant | 275 |
| 208 | 1 | B58 | Secretary, Board of Education (exempt) | 400 |
| 209 | 1 | B180 | Law Clerk, Board of Education | 275 |
| 210 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (full time) | 85 |
| 211 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 212 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 213 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 214 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 215 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 216 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 218 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 175 |
| 219 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 220 | 2 | B308 | Comptometer Operators (as needed), at $5 per day | |
| 221 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 230 |
| 222 | 1 | B380 | Armorer, R. O. T. C. (part time) | 75 |
| 223 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 224 | 48 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 225 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 226 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 227 | 10 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 228 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 140 |
| 229 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $4.20 per evening | |
| 230 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.30 per evening | |
| 231 | 5 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.00 per evening | |
| 232 | 31 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer, at $6 per day | |
| 233 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 234 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 235 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 180 |
| 237 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 175 |
| 238 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 239 | | B454 | Telephone Operator (part time) for relief when needed, at $2 per day | |

Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 240 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 241 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 242 | 5 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 243 | 3 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 244 | 95 | C102 | Janitress | 140 |
| 245 | 5 | C102 | Janitress | 130 |
| 246 | 127 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 247 | 37 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 248 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 16 |
| 249 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 10 |
| 250 | 18 | C105 | Special Janitor | 162.50 |
| 251 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 185 |
| 252 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 170 |
| 253 | 3 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 160 |
| 254 | 1 | C112 | Supervisor School Janitors | 275 |
| 255 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 140 |
| 256 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 130 |
| 257 | 1 | I12 | Cook (part time) | 75 |
| 258 | 2 | | Pantry Maid (part time) | 75 |
| 260 | 11 | J78 | Stockmen | 200 |
| 261 | 1 | J80 | Foreman Stockman | 205 |
| 262 | 1 | O2 | Chauffeur | 215 |
| 263 | 1 | O104 | Moving Picture Operator | 200 |
| 264 | 2 | O122 | Window Shade Worker | 200 |
| 265 | 12 | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 266 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines (part time) | 125 |
| 267 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, $3 per eve. as required | |
| 268 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Stm. Engines. | 310 |
| 269 | 1 | O61 | Foreman Gardener | 175 |
| 270 | 8 | O58 | Gardener | 145 |
| 271 | | | Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates fixed in salary ordinance | |
| | | | TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL | |
| 272 | | | 2½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 273 | | | 1 -ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 274 | | | 3½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 275 | | | 1½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |

Section 82. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | | Commissioners | $ 100 |
| 2 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 3 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 215 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 7 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 8 | 1 | G58 | Civil Service Examiner | 300 |
| 9 | 2 | G58 | Civil Service Examiner | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | G58 | Civil Service Examiner | 225 |

Section 82. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11 | 1 | G59 | Assistant Personnel Expert | 300 |
| 12 | 1 | G59 | Assistant Personnel Expert | 250 |
| 13 | 1 | G60 | Personnel Expert | 450 |
| 14 | 1 | G62 | Chief Examiner and Secretary | 466.66 |
| | | | AS NEEDED | |
| 15 | | | Examiners and Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report. | |

Section 83. **RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B82 | Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) | $ 450 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | | B244 | Actuarial Clerk (as needed) | 200 |
| 6 | | | Consulting Actuary (as needed), $50 per day | |
| 7 | | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed) | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 9 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). | 150 |
| 10 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 11 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 12 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 13 | | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at $12.50 per day plus transcriptions | |
| 14 | 1 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 300 |
| 15 | 1 | N410 | Investigator | 200 |
| 16 | | | Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board | |

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $4,500 for Installation of Traffic Signals in Connection with San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1010, Ordinance No. 9.051268, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $4,500 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 547.903.07, for the installation of traffic signals in the district south of Market street, in connection with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside the sum of $4,500 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 547.903.07, for the installation of traffic signals in the district south of Market street, in connection with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach streets.

Approved as to form, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available, Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Extension of Dearborn Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2600, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Lewis A. Maison et al., Lot 14, Assessor's Block 3577, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the extension of Dearborn street, for the sum of $5,750, payable from Appropriation No. 548.974.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Right of Way for Richmond Sewer Tunnel by Condemnation Proceedings.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 2601, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of a right of way easement to construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate and/or repair a sewer tunnel beneath the surface of the following described parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1: Beginning at a point perpendicularly distant 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lake street and perpendicularly distant 109.80 feet easterly from the easterly line of 27th avenue; thence running easterly along a line parallel with the said line of Lake street a distance of 10.20 feet; thence at right angles southerly 2.45 feet; thence deflecting 31° 01′ 19″ to the right and running southwesterly 26.31 feet; thence deflecting 58° 58′ 41″ to the right and running westerly 11.67 feet; thence deflecting 121° 01′ 19″ to the right and running northeasterly 29.17 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Richmond Block 97.

PARCEL 2: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of 34th avenue, distant thereon 8.44 feet northerly from the northerly line

of Cabrillo street; thence running northerly along said line of 34th avenue, 16.56 feet; thence at right angles easterly 9.96 feet; thence deflecting 121° 01′ 19″ to the right and running southwesterly 19.33 feet to the easterly line of 34th avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Richmond Block 348.

PARCEL 3: A strip of land 10 feet wide, being 5 feet on each side of the following described center-line:

Beginning at a point perpendicularly distant 30 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street and perpendicularly distant 7 feet from the westerly line of 34th avenue; running thence southwesterly 198.38 feet to a point perpendicularly distant 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street and perpendicularly distant 109.23 feet westerly from the westerly line of 34th avenue.

PARCEL 4: Beginning at a point perpendicularly distant 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Cabrillo street and perpendicularly distant 103.40 feet westerly from the westerly line of 34th avenue; thence westerly, parallel with said line of Cabrillo street, 11.67 feet; thence deflecting 58° 58′ 41″ to the left and running southwesterly 9.57 feet; thence deflecting 31° 01′ 19″ to the left and running southerly, parallel with said line of 34th avenue, 16.80 feet; thence at right angles easterly, parallel with said line of Cabrillo street, 1.57 feet; thence deflecting 58° 58′ 41″ to the left and running northeasterly 29.17 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Richmond Block 414.

The taking of a right of way easement to said property is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of the Richmond Sewer Tunnel for the purpose of conveying sewage to a treatment plant for the use of said City and County of San Francisco and its inhabitants.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Bayview Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2602, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., trustee for the Estate of Nora M. Egan, deceased, Lots 10, 11 and 12, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bay View Playground, for the sum of $9,500, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2603, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from John Lynch, et ux., Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $5,000, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2604, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Florence G. Perine all of Lot 24, Assessor's Block 2614, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of $150, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.09. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2605, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from California Water Service Company an easement over Lot 313, Subdivision No. 3, San Mateo Park, San Mateo County, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $75, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2606, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Lucille McKeever and B. McKeever, Lot 17-A, Assessor's Block 2694,

situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $4,500, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Sale of Buildings on Mission High School Playground Site.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2607, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings situated in Assessor's Block 3579, San Francisco, now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco and not required for school purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for McLaren Park Roadway.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2608, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Antonio Spinoso, Lot 8, Assessor's Block 6261, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for a roadway through the proposed McLaren Park, for the sum of $517.97, payable from Appropriation No. 512.600.03. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement Required for the Richmond Sewer Tunnel.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 2609, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Carrie M. Posner an easement for the Richmond Sewer Tunnel beneath the surface of portion of Lot 17-B, Assessor's Block 1677, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the sum of $29.17, payable from Appropriation No. 595.916.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Authorizing Transfer of Certain City Land for Widening Bay Street.**

(Code No. 12.175)

Also, Resolution No. 2610, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, the Department of Public Works requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring a portion of the Funston Playground to said department for widening Bay street, which land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Laguna street and the southerly line of Bay street; thence westerly along said line of Bay street, 1372.50 feet to the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence at right angles southerly along said line of Fillmore street 51.250 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to said line of Fillmore street, radius 20 feet, central angle 90 degrees, 31.416 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 31.250 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Bay street; thence easterly along said parallel line, 1332.50 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 20 feet, central angle 90 degrees, 31.416 feet to tangency with the westerly line of Laguna street; thence northerly along said line of Laguna street, 51.250 feet to the southerly line of Bay street and the point of beginning.

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the above described land can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works for street purposes; and

Whereas, the Board of Education and the Recreation Department have consented to the use of said land for street purposes; and

Whereas, Bay street is to be improved without any expense to the Board of Education and the Recreation Department, and such improvement will benefit and increase the value of the remaining portion of the playground, which benefit will equal or exceed the value of the above described land; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer be made; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the control of the above described land be and is hereby transferred from the Board of Education and the Recreation Department to the Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Pension Increase Recommended to State Welfare Board.**

(Code No. 19.022)

Also, Resolution No. 2592, as follows:

Whereas, there has been brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors the case of William H. Courter, of the City and County of San Francisco, blind pensioner, now receiving $40 per month, who petitions for an increase to the maximum State allowance of $50 per month; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby recommends that the State Welfare Board give consideration to granting the request of William H. Courter to increase his pension to $50 per month; and be it

Further Resolved, That each case of a blind pensioner who appeals to the Board of Supervisors shall be treated on its merits when the case is presented.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Electrification and Extension of Southern Pacific Peninsula Lines.**

(Code No. 15.092)

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 2560, as follows:

Whereas, during the past decade the trend of San Franciscans seeking suburban homes has been down the peninsula; and

Whereas, it is conceded improved transportation facilities to the East Bay will, upon completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, tend to induce San Franciscans seeking suburban homes, to move to the East Bay; and

Whereas, it is for the best interests of San Francisco and her citizens that San Franciscans *should not* move to the East Bay, where they will practically be alienated from San Francisco; and

Whereas, to overcome San Francisco moving to the East Bay because of superior interurban service, it is necessary that the Southern Pacific Company improve its service, not alone down the peninsula as far down as Palo Alto, but also to Marin County; and

Whereas, a decided advantage will be enjoyed by the interurban trains to East Oakland due to the fact that the interurban depot will be within walking distance of suburbanites, and with a view of meeting this situation; be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors address a letter to the Southern Pacific Company, asking the Southern Pacific Company: "Will the Southern Pacific Company, at this time, consider favorably electrifying their lines to Palo Alto and extending said lines to Howard and Second streets in San Francisco?"

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that the last paragraph be amended to read as follows:

"Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors forward to the Southern Pacific Company, the following: 'Will the Southern Pacific Company at this time consider favorably the establishment of an electric suburban railway service from Second and Howard streets in San Francisco to Palo Alto?' "

Amendment *carried* without objection.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the resolution, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Leave of Absence—Philip L. Bush, Member Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2598, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Philip L. Bush, a member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing June 2, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Leave of Absence—Albert Greenbaum, Member of Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2599, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Albert Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Philip C. Katz, Public Administrator.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2611, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 3, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate "Cabrillo Day," September 28, 1936.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2612, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to prepare for the proper observance on September 28, 1936, of "Cabrillo Day," in honor of John Rodrigues Cabrillo, who discovered California in 1542.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Agreement With Market Street Railway Company for Abandonment of Tracks on Sixth, Taylor and Post Streets.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2613, as follows:

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company is the owner and holder of an operating permit wherein and whereby said company maintains certain street railway tracks and operates certain electric railway cars over and on Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, thence over and across Market street to Taylor street, thence

over and along Taylor street to Post street; and said Market Street Railway Company is also the owner of a certain franchise heretofore granted by the City and County of San Francisco under the authority of which said Market Street Railway Company maintains certain railroad tracks on Post street from its intersection with Leavenworth street easterly to Montgomery street and under and by virtue of said franchise operates electric railway cars over said tracks; and

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that it is necessary to widen the roadway of Taylor street from Market street to Post street and to remove said railway tracks thereon in order to meet the increase traffic conditions over and on said Taylor street; and it further appearing that the franchise under and by virtue of which said Market Street Railway Company maintains its said tracks on Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street will expire on December 26, 1936, and that thereafter said Market Street Railway Company will not maintain said tracks or operate cars over and along said portion of Post street; and

Whereas, it appears that public interest will not be injured or suffer by the abandonment of said railway tracks on Sixth street from Mission street to Market street and over and across Market street, and on Taylor street from Market street to Post street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the Market Street Railway Company, wherein and whereby the said company will agree to petition the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and request authority to abandon its railway tracks on Sixth street from Mission street to Market street and over and across Market street to Taylor street and over and along Taylor street from Market street to Post street and to discontinue said railway service over and along said streets; and

That when the Board of Supervisors of the City and County shall, by ordinance, permit the abandonment of said tracks and the discontinuance of said street railway service over the same, said Market Street Railway Company will, at its own cost and expense, remove said railway tracks from said streets, including the crossings where said tracks intersect other lines of said Market Street Railway Company, and also, at its own expense, remove all trolley poles and wires from said streets, and that, in addition thereto, said Market Street Railway Company will, upon the expiration of its franchise, remove the railway tracks and trolley poles which it now maintains on Post street between Leavenworth street and Montgomery street;

That in and by said agreement the City and County of San Francisco will agree to repave such portions of said streets from which the tracks shall have been removed at its own cost and expense;

That said agreement shall also provide that said tracks on Sixth street from Mission street to Market street and over and across Market street to Taylor street and over and along Taylor street, shall be removed on demand of the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco at any time after permission is granted by the Board of Supervisors to abandon service on said streets and that said tracks will be removed on Post street on demand of the Director of Public Works at any time after the expiration of the franchise of said Market Street Railway Company to operate cars over and on said portions of Post street above mentioned; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and they are, hereby authorized to execute this agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Death of Charles R. Perkins, Director Redwood Empire Association.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2614, as follows:

Whereas, Charles R. Perkins, of Fort Bragg, California, has been called to his heavenly rest; and

Whereas, Mr. Perkins, in his lifetime, accomplished great and lasting good for the County of Mendocino, through his civic activities; and

Whereas, Mr. Perkins, as Director of the Redwood Empire Association, worked with untiring and relentless effort for the development of all that territory which the Empire embraces; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco notes with deep and sincere regret the passing of the beloved and esteemed Charles R. Perkins and expresses its heartfelt condolences to the members of his family; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns, it does so out of respect to the memory of Charles R. Perkins.

*Adopted unanimously* by rising vote.

**In Memoriam: William H. Leahy.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2616, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors learns with profound sorrow of the death of William H. Leahy, noted musical producer and leader of grand opera productions at the old Tivoli Opera House; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its sincere regrets at the passing of William H. Leahy and extends its sympathy to the family of the deceased; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of William H. Leahy and the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to his family.

*Adopted unanimously* by rising vote.

**Mayor to Appropriate Moneys Necessary for Report by Public Utilities Commission, Re Rapid Transit by Elevated System.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2615, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors voted an appropriation of $25,000 for a report covering rapid transit; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, by its Manager of Utilities E. G. Cahill has submitted a report covering rapid transit via a subway, but has failed to present an alternate plan covering an elevated system; and

Whereas, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, in his report of 1929, on page 174 thereof, stated:

"For a number of years plans have been formulating for a rapid transit line parallel and south of Market street, connecting with Capp street into the Mission District. This would involve the widening of Capp street and would provide a rapid transit service between the thickly-populated Mission District and points adjacent to the business district, and at the same time connect with the proposed Transbay Bridge Terminal Loop."

B. M. Rastall in his report on rapid transit problems to the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce in 1934 reported as follows on page 19 thereof:

"We have already seen that badly needed relief of Market street; the necessity for assuring balanced development; and slow, crowded, service to the western and southern suburbs combined to the point the immediate necessity for rapid transit relief. If this rapid transit system can be made to serve the bridge also, giving as extensive a service on this side as on the East Bay another important objective will be reached.

The first solution is in a combination surface-elevated line so located as to take traffic from and feed to the Bay Bridge; also to handle business from the congested area along Market street; the Mission District, the whole western area via Twin Peaks and Sunset Tunnels and the southern area via the Southern Pacific System.

*Minna Street Elevated.*

From the bridge terminal at Second street, the rapid transit elevated lines would continue up Minna street to the stations at Fifth or Sixth and at Eleventh or Twelfth streets. "The Eleventh street station would serve Van Ness avenue, upper Market and the Mission District with street-car transfers to that section."

Bion J. Arnold in his report to the San Mateo County Planning Commission, under date of October 11, 1934, stated in part as follows:

"At that time, I directed attention to Minna street as a possible entrance to the down town district for trains or cars coming from the west on an elevated structure built on this street, but only as supplemental to or possibly in advance of the Market street subway"; and

Whereas, an elevated system will at minimum cost provide rapid transit; and

Whereas, an elevated system has been urged by organizations; and

Whereas, citizens will inquire what consideration has been given an elevated system; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission furnish the Board of Supervisors a report covering an elevated system, which will provide rapid transit where necessary in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appropriate the necessary monies from his Emergency Fund to cover the cost of the said report.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto moved that the foregoing resolution be considered next Monday in Committee of the Whole.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Referred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

**Announcement.**

Supervisor Mead announced meeting of Fire, Safety and Police Committee for Thursday, June 4, 1936, at 10 a. m.

**Rapid Transit Report.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Public Utilities Commission, transmitting report on rapid transit system for San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities and Finance Committee jointly.*

### Underground District, Franklin Street.

Also, communication from Public Utilities Commission, regarding creation of Underground District in Franklin street from Golden Gate avenue to Beach street.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Cable for Extension of Hetch Hetchy Power Transmission Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, regarding copper cable purchased for extension of Hetch Hetchy power transmission line and recommending because it is obsolete that it be turned in for credit on the purchase of a modern conductor.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### School Children to Act as Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk confer with the Board of Education, requesting that the teachers of this City appoint a group of boys and girls from the high school classes to act as Supervisors some afternoon, and that the Clerk appoint one of his assistants to advise them as to the rules and regulations of the Board.

Motion *carried.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 3:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 8, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 24

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
...ICAL DEPT.

Monday, June 8, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 8, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 14 and June 1, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing Sale of City Property on Portola Drive Near Twenty-fourth Street, San Francisco.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Also, Bill No. 1009, Ordinance No. 12.17295, as follows:

Authorizing sale of city property on Portola drive near Twenty-fourth street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works:

Beginning at a point distant 170 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street and 196.346 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Burnham street; thence westerly, parallel with said line of Twenty-fourth street, 6.804 feet to the proposed easterly line of Portola drive; thence southerly along said proposed line, on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 113 degrees 44 minutes 35 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 500 feet, central angle 13 degrees 48 minutes 06 sec-

No basement shall be allowed in any building or structure erected or maintained as a gasoline supply station.

A gasoline supply station shall not be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part for any other purpose than that necessary for the operation of a gasoline supply station and for such services as are by this ordinance specifically permitted in conjunction therewith, unless said building is of Class A or B construction; provided, however, that no gasoline supply station shall be maintained in any building that is occupied in any part as a church, school, hospital, theatre, hotel, apartment house, tenement house or place of public assemblage. The portion occupied as a gasoline supply station shall have no entrance, exit or other opening of any kind whatsoever into other parts of the building not so occupied. All walls separating such portion occupied as a gasoline supply station from the remainder of the building shall be of masonry. The floors which constitute the ceiling of such gasoline supply station shall be of reinforced concrete slabs not less than four (4) inches thick, supported by concrete fireproofed carrying units of the frame. All such slabs shall be reinforced so as to develop their full strength to resist upward pressures. No basement shall be permitted in any portion of a building or structure that is occupied or is so constructed as to be occupied as a gasoline supply station.

Where a gasoline supply station is erected or maintained in a building of Class A or B construction, any portion of which is used for other occupancies than those specifically permitted by the provisions of this ordinance, proper ventilation and a free circulation of air shall be provided, either by the installation of ventilating outlets in the outer walls of such buildings or by means of a mechanical exhaust ventilating system, as in the judgment of the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health may be required.

The size, number and location of ventilating outlets, when required, shall be determined by the Fire Department and/or the Department of Public Health, and the top of same shall be not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor. Such outlets shall be protected with galvanized wire rods not less than three-eighths (⅜) inch in diameter so as to provide an opening of one-half (½) inch mesh. Protections of ornamental design may be used, provided they have the strength equal to that of the rods. All protections shall be firmly anchored in or secured to their supports.

Mechanical exhaust ventilating system, when required, shall consist of power driven exhaust fan or fans of the positive centrifugal type and shall have sufficient capacity to exhaust a quantity of air equal to not less than six times the cubic contents of the space occupied as such gasoline supply station each hour. This mechanical exhaust shall be drawn from a point not more than eighteen (18) inches above the floor line and shall be evenly distributed over the entire area of such space. The fan discharge shall be taken to a point above the roof of the building or to outer air at a point not less than twenty (20) feet from any window or other opening in the building or any adjoining building, but in no event shall the fan discharge be taken to an inner court.

Section 4. Permit for gasoline and appliances used in connection with gasoline: The storing or keeping of gasoline or the installation, erection, alteration, replacement, repair or use of any gasoline pump, underground gasoline tank, pipe, appliances or devices used in connection with the storage or handling of gasoline at a gasoline supply station, shall not be undertaken until a permit has been granted by the Fire Marshal. The Fire Marshal may refuse to grant and may revoke such permit for noncompliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 5. Quantity of gasoline that may be stored: Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons.

Section 6. Installation Requirements: (a) All underground gasoline tanks shall be placed outside of buildings and under the sidewalk area in a location designated by the Fire Marshal. The top of such tanks shall be at least four (4) feet below the sidewalk or grade and the space between the top of tanks and the sidewalk or grade shall be filled with earth.

(b) Where two (2) or more underground tanks are installed there shall be an approved concrete dividing wall of not less than twelve (12) inches in thickness or three (3) feet of earth between each tank.

(c) All underground tanks shall set on a firm foundation and, where water is encountered, tanks shall be placed in an approved water-tight concrete enclosure.

(d) Each underground tank shall have a separate filling pipe extending up to the sidewalk or grade, equipped with a cover and a metal plate flush with the sidewalk or grade. The filling pipe shall be of a diameter not larger than three (3) inches.

(e) Each underground tank shall have a separate vent pipe extending out of the top of the tank to a height of not less than twelve (12) feet above the opening of the filling pipe and capped with an approved fitting. The vent pipe shall be on the outside of the buildings and terminate as far away as possible from any window or other building opening. The inside diameter of vent pipes shall not be less than one and one-quarter (1¼) inches, nor more than two (2) inches.

(f) All pipes shall be at least twelve (12) inches underground, shall have a fall toward the tank, shall be free of traps, sags or pockets, and shall be standard, full weight, galvanized iron, or equivalent.

(g) Gasoline shall be taken from underground tanks by means of approved pumps only, which shall be installed in locations designated by the Fire Marshal. No gravity, siphon or pressure system shall be used to take gasoline from any underground tank.

(h) Tanks and pipes shall not be covered until an inspection has been made by the Fire Marshal and permission to do so has been granted by said officer. The Fire Marshal shall be notified when the installation is complete and ready for inspection.

Section 7. Miscellaneous Safety Provisions: (a) Underground tanks shall be filled only through a hose connected to a vehicle used for the transportation of gasoline, leading through continuous metal fittings and connections, properly grounded to and into the filling pipe of underground tanks, or by an approved bucket, or other method approved by the Fire Marshal.

(b) The fuel tank of an automobile shall be filled only through a hose connected to a pump of a portable filling tank or underground tank.

(c) Gasoline shall not be kept or conveyed in open receptacles in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station, shall not be used for cleaning purposes and shall be stored or kept only in portable filling tanks or underground tanks. The storage or sale of gasoline or any inflammable liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit in glass bottles or other breakable containers is prohibited.

(d) All combustible waste and rubbish shall be kept at all times in metal receptacles fitted with a tight cover until removed from the premises. Gasoline, oils, grease or inflammable liquid of any kind shall not be allowed to flow or be placed into the drainage system. Oil and grease shall not be allowed to accumulate on the floor. Sawdust shall not be kept and sawdust or other combustible material shall not be used to absorb oil, grease or gasoline.

(e) Smoking is prohibited in or about the premises of a gasoline

supply station and the motor of an automobile shall not be in motion while filling the fuel tank with gasoline. Notice to that effect shall be conspicuously posted as required by the Fire Marshal.

(f) All waste oil (crankcase drainings) shall be kept in an approved underground tank, installed as required by the Fire Marshal.

(g) No stove, forge, torch, furnace, heating apparatus, flame, fire or other apparatus, device or equipment which the Fire Marshal shall deem to be hazardous, shall be maintained or kept in or about the premises of a gasoline supply station unless approved by the Fire Marshal.

(h) The Fire Marshal shall have and is hereby vested with authority to make reasonable and necessary rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions hereof, respecting the use, operation, maintenance and construction of any appliance, device, apparatus, or equipment used in connection with gasoline, to carry into effect the intents and purposes of this ordinance.

(i) All electric motors or devices capable of emitting an exposed spark shall be located at least four (4) feet above the floor and all electric wiring shall be installed as required by the Department of Electricity. All portable electric lights shall be equipped with keyless sockets and lamp guards.

(j) There shall be maintained at all times in and about the premises of a gasoline supply station such number of approved, fully charged portable fire extinguishers and approved buckets, full at all times of clean, dry sand, as may be required by the Fire Marshal, but in no case shall there be less than two fire extinguishers and two buckets of sand. These appliances shall be installed in places designated by the Fire Marshal.

(k) *When a gasoline supply station is discontinued for a period of more than six months, or is dismantled, the person, firm, association or corporation who was last conducting, maintaining or operating such gasoline supply station shall either remove all underground tanks that were used in connection with such station, or cause the same to be filled with water or other non-inflammable liquid in accordance with the directions of the Fire Marshal.*

Section 8. Services Permitted: The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles and the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches, etc., upon these premises, excepting as required for the before stated services, shall be prohibited. *All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may make regarding the same.*

Section 9. Duties of the Fire Marshal: It shall be the duty of the Fire Marshal to see that the provisions of this ordinance are complied with, and for that purpose he shall have access to any and all premises or buildings used as gasoline supply stations.

Section 10. Penalty: Any person, firm, company or corporation that violates, disobeys or refuses to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than ten ($10) dollars nor more than fifty ($50) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and such person, firm, company or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day that such violation, disobedience or refusal continues and shall be

subject to the penalty imposed by this ordinance for each and every separate offense.

Section 11. Parts of Ordinance No. 2659 (New Series), and Ordinance No. 11.051, together with any section of any other ordinance which is in conflict herewith is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Amendment to Garage Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.0822)

Also, Bill No. 975, Ordinance No. 11.08222, as follows:

Amending Section 2, Subdivision (E) thereof, of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), entitled "Governing the construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of public, commercial and private garages; regulating and providing for the storage and use of gasoline in connection with public, commercial and private garages; duties of the Fire Marshal; penalties for violation; repealing Ordinance No. 746 (New Series), and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance," *by prescribing the limits within which permits may be granted for the establishment of public or commercial garages with respect to any church, theatre, or hospital.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 (Subdivision (e)), of Ordinance No. 8564 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

(e)—Permits. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, company or corporation hereafter to establish, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, where more than one quart of gasoline is stored or kept, without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department in accordance with the provisions of the ordinance establishing procedure by Departments and Officers for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits and licenses, and appeals based thereon; provided, however, that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not grant or issue any permit to establish, construct, operate or maintain a gasoline supply station upon any lot, wharf, pier or other premises, the nearest point of the property line of which shall come within 200 feet of any point on the property line of any hospital or within 60 feet of the nearest point on the property line of any church or theatre *or within* 150 *feet of any entrance of any school, said measurements to be taken in a straight line between the nearest property line of the hospital, church or theatre and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station and between the nearest entrance to any school and the nearest property line of the gasoline supply station, provided, however, that in no event shall the nearest property line of any gasoline supply station be within* 100 *feet of the nearest property line of any school.*

*All applications for permits shall be made in writing, shall contain a description of the lot or premises upon which it is sought to erect and maintain such public or commercial garage, including the dimensions of the lot or premises together with a complete floor plan and drawings showing the elevation of all structures to be erected thereon.*

*Permits heretofore issued to maintain and operate any public or commercial garage, in accordance with the provisions of any ordinance heretofore existing, shall remain in full force and effect unless such permit has been revoked or the public or commercial garage for which said permit has been issued has not been operated for a period of six months. No permit for the operation of a public or commercial garage, issued in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, or any other*

*ordinance heretofore existing, shall be assigned or transferred without the written approval of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department.*

(f) *For the purposes of this ordinance, the following terms shall have the following definitions whenever the same are used in this ordinance:*

*School: Any building in which is housed any institution of learning conducted or operated under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco, or any institution of learning wherein a general course of study is maintained or carried on by the State of California or by any agency thereof, or any institution of learning attendance at which will satisfy the compulsory educational laws of the State of California, or any college or university whereat advanced courses in advanced education are maintained and which has an average daily attendance of, at least, twenty pupils.*

*Hospital: Any institution conducted in accordance with the laws of the State of California or the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for the care of the sick or injured which has accommodation for not less than twenty patients; provided, however, that for the purposes of this ordinance, any institution maintained by the City and County of San Francisco for the rendering of emergency care or services to the sick or injured shall not be deemed to be a hospital.*

*Church: Any building erected and used for the purposes of religious worship and where religious services are held at regular stated intervals and where no part of such structure is used or occupied for commercial purposes.*

*Theatre: Any building in which the major portion of said building is devoted exclusively to theatrical, operatic or moving picture performances, and which is constructed or maintained in accordance with the provisions of Sections 157 to 188 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), commonly known as the "Building Law."*

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2617, as follows:

Be it resolved, that the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

| No. To | For |
|---|---|
| 694—Director of Public Works | $334.05 |
| 695—Director of Public Works | 334.05 |
| 696—Keuffel & Esser Co. | 28.00 |
| 697—Bay Shore Cons. Co. | 956.25 |
| 698—D. Matheson | 3.72 |
| 699—P. F. Reilly | 600.00 |
| 700—American Trust Co. | 189.30 |

Payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the president of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby

authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City Buildings on San Jose Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2618, as follows:

Resolved, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings located on San Jose avenue, now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the widening of San Jose avenue.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing the Assessor to Become a Member of the National Association of Assessing Officers, and Appropriating $50 for Annual Membership Dues.**
(Code Nc. 9.011)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1012, Ordinance No. 9.0113, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Assessor to become a member of the National Association of Assessing Officers, and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Assessor thereof become a member of the National Association of Assessing Officers for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said National Association of Assessing Officers, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said Association.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership, not to exceed fifty ($50) dollars, be paid out of Contractual Service Fund of the Assessor's office.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Acceptance of Permit to Construct Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 in Streets of Hillsborough.**
(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2619, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain permit to construct and maintain Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, in, under and along certain streets in the Town of Hills-

borough, San Mateo County, California, as per resolution adopted by the City Council of the Town of Hillsborough on April 7, 1936, subject to the terms and conditions contained in said permit.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Refunds of Amounts Paid for Taxes Erroneously.**
(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2620, as follows:

Resolved, that the following amounts be and same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous taxes, to-wit:

(1) To Elton T. Southern, per receipt 5251, fiscal year 1936, vol. 2, page 20, line 14, 1936 unsecured personal property rolls....$7.36
(2) J. D. Myall, care C. S. Mahoney, per receipts 95418 for year 1935, and 23462 for year 1936..... 7.73
(3) Frances Mann, per vol. 15, lot 39, block 2029 of the 1935-1936 real estate rolls ..... 3.68

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinance, on recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Emergency Appropriation of $3,500 to the Grand Jury for Balance of Fiscal Year 1935-36.**
(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1013, Ordinance No. 9.051269, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of $3,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 521.105.01 for the necessary expenses of the Grand Jury; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 521.105.01 for the expenses of the Grand Jury.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Relative to Visit of Supervisor James B. McSheehy to Secretary Ickes of the Department of the Interior Regarding Grant for Hydro Electric Distribution System.**

Item No. 10 on today's calendar, referring to visit of Supervisor McSheehy to Secretary of the Interior Ickes, was *ordered stricken from the calendar by the Board at the request of Supervisor McSheehy.*

**Motions.**

Supervisor Shannon then moved that Supervisor James B. McSheehy

be authorized, while in the East, to visit the Secretary of the Interior Harold J. Ickes and endeavor to secure Federal aid in as large an amount as may be possible toward the $46,785,000 estimated for the development of the Hetch Hetchy Power Municipal Distribution System.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Uhl—3.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Supervisor McSheehy thereupon declared that he would represent San Francisco in Washington without expense to the city.

Supervisor Shannon moved also that the Clerk of the Board be instructed to write Secretary Ickes that Supervisor McSheehy will call on him while in the East, representing the Board of Supervisors.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Uhl—3.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the roll calls on this matter accompany the letter to Secretary Ickes.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Re-referred.**

The following bill was, on motion, *re-referred to the Finance Committee for hearing a week from Friday*:

**Providing for the Reimbursement of City and County of San Francisco for Aid Granted Persons Either Directly or by Institution Care, Etc.**

(Code No. 18.01)

Bill No. 1014, Ordinance No. 18.013, as follows:

Providing for the reimbursement of the City and County of San Francisco for aid granted to persons, either directly or by institutional care, and directing the officer, board or commission giving or granting such aid, either directly or by institutional care, to provide for the reimbursement of the City and County for the amount of aid so granted and directing the taking of obligations from the person or persons receiving said aid for the reimbursement of the City and County for said aid so granted.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every person who is given or shall receive aid directly or indirectly from public moneys drawn through the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco shall be liable for the value of said aid so allowed, granted, or given, and the value thereof shall constitute a first lien on all property, real, personal, or mixed, that said person may possess, acquire, or have an interest in.

Section 2. The actual cost of direct or indirect aid shall constitute its value. The Board of Supervisors shall not later than the last Monday of August of each year establish by resolution a basic rate for evaluating institutional care allowed, granted, or given to persons at the Laguna Honda Home, and the rate so established shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said charge and the resulting amount which shall be due to the city and county of San Francisco.

Section 3. As a consideration and as a condition precedent to the allowing, granting or giving of aid, the officer, board or commission charged with the duty of allowing, granting or giving of aid shall take from every person now receiving aid and from every person who may hereafter be allowed, granted, or given aid the following agreement:

"AGREEMENT TO REIMBURSE FOR MONEYS EXPENDED OR AID GRANTED OR GIVEN."

"In consideration of the granting of aid to me by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a condition precedent thereto, I hereby pledge, promise and agree to reimburse and repay said City and County for all sums of money actually expended in my behalf or aid granted or given by the City and County of San Francisco for my care and maintenance, provided I am able to pay for the same in whole or in part, and I further agree that any claim for such moneys expended in my behalf or aid granted or given me by said City and County shall be a preferred claim against me and a first lien on all property now owned or possessed by me or that may hereafter be acquired by me or in my behalf.

"Statute of Limitations is hereby forever waived.

"This agreement is binding upon myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and assigns.

"Dated this.....day of....., 193....

.....

Signature of Applicant in Full Residing at:

"Witness:

..... ......"

Section 4. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall prescribe the procedure governing the evaluation of institutional care at the Laguna Honda Home, the auditing, accounting, reporting and collecting of all obligations arising under this ordinance in accordance with the provisions of Sections 64 and 75 of the Charter.

Section 5. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently or designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his property or financial condition, means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly, or through any agency watsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon respecting his property, or financial condition, means or ability to pay of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting for the purposes recited in this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $500, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be liable for the full value of the aid thus fraudulently obtained.

**Referred.**

The following bill was *referred to the Finance and Streets Committees*:

**Providing for Engineering Inspection for Certain Work and Improvements and for Payment of Cost and Expense Thereof to City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1015, Ordinance No. 3.04162, as follows:

An ordinance providing engineering inspection for certain work

and improvements and for the payment of the cost and expense thereof to the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Works to cause engineering inspection to be made of:

a. All work and improvements performed under the provisions of Ordinances Nos. 12.0211 and 12.0212.

b. All garbage disposal pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 17.085.

c. All reclamation work within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation, to make, or cause or permit to be made, any improvement or work as referred to in Section 1 hereof without first securing engineering inspection service from the Director of Public Works.

Section 3. Whenever any person, firm or corporation desires and intends to do any work or make any improvement as described in Section 1 hereof, such person, firm or corporation before performing any such contemplated work, shall in writing request the Director of Public Works to make engineering inspection. The cost of such engineering inspection shall constitute a charge against and be paid for by the person, firm or corporation performing said work or making such improvements in the manner hereinafter provided.

Section 4. Upon such written request being received it shall be the duty of said Director of Public Works to make an estimate of the cost of such engineering inspection, and notify the person making the request the estimated cost thereof.

Section 5. Such person, firm or corporation must thereupon, and prior to the commencement of any work or performance as described in section 1 hereof, deposit the amount of money determined upon as the estimated cost of such inspection with the Director of Public Works.

All moneys paid to the Director of Public Works under the provisions of this ordinance shall be deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of the appropriation for "Engineering Inspection", to be used exclusively to defray the cost of the specific engineering inspection for which it was tendered. When said amount of money is deposited, it shall thereupon be the duty of said Director of Public Works to furnish said engineering inspection.

Section 6. Should the cost and expense of such engineering inspection amount to more than said estimate, the person, firm or corporation concerned shall thereupon be immediately notified and required to make an additional deposit with the Director of Public Works of an amount sufficient to complete the entire cost of said inspection as estimated by the Director of Public Works, which sum when paid shall be deposited as aforesaid, and no further engineering inspection service shall be performed or furnished until such additional deposit is made with the Director of Public Works.

Section 7. Should the cost and expense of such engineering inspection be less than the amount deposited, then the surplus or excess existing in the amount deposited over the total actual cost of such engineering inspection shall be refunded to the person, firm or corporation by whom such funds were originally deposited.

Section 8. The Controller shall prescribe the forms and fiscal procedure under the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $700 to County Welfare Department for Payment of Three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1016, Ordinance No. 9.051270, as follows:

Appropriating $700 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 (temporary salaries, County Welfare Department) for payment of salaries of three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $700 be and is hereby set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 (temporary salaries, County Welfare Department) to pay the salaries of three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.

Approved and recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, on recommendation of Finance Committee, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $16,000 from Park Department Surplus to Other Park Department Appropriations.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1017, Ordinance No. 9.051271, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $16,000 out of the surplus existing in the revenues of the Park Department, $8,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.103.00, $4,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.300.00, and $4,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.334.00 for the purpose of providing the necessary funds for the uninterrupted operation of this Department due to increased activities in its public service enterprises; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $16,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the revenues of the Park Department, $8,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.103.00, $4,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.300.00, and $4,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.334.00 for the purpose of providing necessary funds for the uninterrupted operation of this Department due to increased activities in its public service enterprises.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide the necessary funds for the uninterrupted operation of this Department.

Recommended by B. P. Lamb, Park Department.

By order of the Board of Park Commissioners at a regular meeting of the Board held June 4, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

An Ordinance Defining Public Parks, Board of Park Commissioners, Superintendent and Secretary; Establishing Rules and Regulations; Defining Particular Offenses; Providing for Permits and Procedure Therefor; Establishing Traffic Regulations; Providing a Penalty for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Orders and Ordinances or Parts of Orders and Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.

(Code No. 23.011)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113, as follows:

An ordinance defining public parks, Board of Park Commissioners, superintendent and secretary; establishing rules and regulations; defining particular offenses; providing for permits and procedure therefor; establishing traffic regulations; providing a penalty for a violation thereof; and repealing all orders and ordinances or parts of orders and ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows

## CHAPTER I.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

### DEFINITIONS.

Sec. 1. Public Parks defined.
Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

SEC. 1. **Public Parks Defined.** When used in this code the words "Public Parks, Squares or Pleasure Grounds" shall include all parks, squares, all grounds surrounding public buildings, all roadways and avenues, and the Great Highway and all other territory within the jurisdiction and under the control of the Park Commission as set forth in Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this code the words "Board of Park Commissioners" or "Park Commissioners" shall refer to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 3. **Superintendent Defined.** When used in this code the word "Superintendent" shall refer to the Superintendent of Parks, as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 4. **Secretary Defined.** When used in this code the word "Secretary" shall refer to the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

## ARTICLE 2.

## RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Sec. 7. Observation of rules and regulations.
Sec. 8. Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.
Sec. 9. Regulating use on concert days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.
Sec. 10. Exclusion of public when necessary.
Sec. 11. Prohibiting use of bridle paths by pedestrians.
Sec. 12. Riding and driving animals and vehicles confined to designated roads.
Sec. 13. Restricting vehicles to certain areas.
Sec. 14. Performance of labor in parks.
Sec. 15. Regulating games, baseball, etc., throwing missiles.

SEC. 7. **Observation of Rules and Regulations.** No person shall disobey or violate any of the rules and regulations regulating the use and enjoyment of any of the buildings and structures in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, which rules and regulations at the time are posted in some conspicuous place in such building and structure; nor shall any person disobey any instruction or any sign in any of said public grounds; nor shall any person refuse any reasonable request of any police officer in any of the said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds; and the Superintendent of said park or manager or person in charge of said buildings or structures, may refuse admission to, and may expel therefrom, any person refusing to comply with said rules and regulations.

SEC. 8. **Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.** The building situated in Golden Gate Park and known as the "Sharon Building," and the grounds around and adjacent to the same, the swings, spring boards, merry-go-round, croquet games, tennis courts, and the grounds prepared for baseball and other sports and such places designated and set apart by the Board of Park Commissioners are hereby designated and set apart as quarters and playground for children who shall visit the park and shall be used and occupied exclusively by said children and the parents, guardians or other persons accompanying or having the said children in charge. The rules and regulations for the government of said children's quarters and playground, and the persons who shall be entitled to use and enjoy the same, and of the occupations and amusements which may be carried upon the same shall be designated from time to time hereafter by the Park Commissioners by printed notices, which shall be posted in some conspicuous point in or near the said buildings; and all persons visiting or using the said children's quarters and playgrounds shall conform to and obey such posted notices and regulations.

SEC. 9. **Regulating Use On Concert Days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.** All persons are forbidden to walk across or upon the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park between Seventh and Tenth avenues on concert days, between the hours of 1:30 and 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and during said hours must pass under the Granite Bridge in going to or leaving the concert grounds between these points; and provided further that during said above mentioned hours on concert days no pedestrians shall be allowed on the pedestrian path paralleling Main Drive, on the south side thereof, between Seventh and Eleventh avenues, or concourse and at said above mentioned periods vehicles when going west in immediate vicinity of concourse must take the inner drive; the Museum Road between the Main Drive and Japanese Tea Garden is closed for vehicle traffic during said periods of time.

SEC. 10. **Exclusion of Public When Necessary.** In case of an emergency

o where in the judgment of the Superintendent the public interest demands it, p tions of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds may be closed to the p lic, and all persons thereby be excluded therefrom until permission is given t return.

SEC. 11. **Prohibiting Use of Bridle Paths by Pedestrians.** No person shall w lk upon any bridle path, or drive, paralleling the Main Drive in Golden G te Park, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 12. **Riding and Driving Animals and Vehicles Confined to Designated R ads.** No person shall within any of said public parks, squares or pleasure g unds, ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle o any kind elsewhere than on the roads or driveways provided and designated f such purpose.

SEC. 13. **Restricting Vehicles to Certain Areas.** No person shall place, p pel, ride or drive any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle on any s dewalk or footpath in or around any of the public parks, squares or pleasure g unds, or in that portion of the Golden Gate Park known as "Conservatory V lley," or on that portion of said park adjacent to the Music Stand, or upon o over any of the walks or drive leading up, down or upon any part of Straw- b rry Hill in said park, excepting on the roads, driveways, avenues, and high- w ys, especially provided and designated by the Park Commissioners for that p rpose.

SEC. 14. **Performance of Labor in Parks.** Under the supervision of the S perintendent of Parks the park will perform any labor in or on any public p rk, square, or pleasure ground, such as taking up and replacing soil, ground a d pavements, structures, trees, shrubs and the like, and for the performance o any work for which a permit is granted to any corporation or individual, all l bor and material shall be charged against such corporation, firm or person.

SEC. 15. **Regulating Games, Baseball, Etc. Throwing Missiles.** No person s all play ball, fly kites, play football, or engage in any other game or games o or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at s ch places therein as shall be especially designated and provided for such p rpose; nor shall any person throw any stone or other missile in any of the p blic parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. Baseball may be played at the C ildren's ball grounds for children under twelve years, ball grounds in Golden G te Park opposite Seventh avenue, and athletic games may be played at the S adium and such places as set apart and designated by the Park Commis- s oners. A permit must first be obtained from the Park Commissioners when t e Stadium is to be used for exhibition games.

## CHAPTER II.

## PARTICULAR OFFENSES.

### ARTICLE 1.

### ADVERTISING.

Sec. 21. Handbills, circulars, advertisements, etc.
Sec. 22. Advertising vehicles restricted to transverse roads.

SEC. 21. **Handbills, Circulars, Advertisements, Etc.** No person shall dis- t ibute, circulate or give away in or throw in or deposit in or on any of said

public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any handbills, circulars, pamphl tracts, dodgers or advertisements, or post or affix to any trees, shrubs, plan fence, building, structure, dome, monument, chair or bench, roads or drives any thing or place situate therein any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, trac dodgers or advertisements.

SEC. 22. **Advertising Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads.** No vehi having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name owner, name of maker or name of vehicle, or sign shall be allowed within of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, provided, however, t drays, trucks, wagons and other vehicles, if not loaded to exceed six (6) t gross, may use the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park betwe Lincoln Way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth aven and/or Twenty-fifth avenue and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak str

## ARTICLE 2.

## ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 26. Animals.
Sec. 27. Dogs.
Sec. 28. Disturb or feed fish, birds, quadrupeds, etc.

SEC. 26. **Animals.** No person shall lead, turn in or let loose, or permit run at large any cattle, horses, goats, sheep, swine or other animals, or fo of any kind in or upon any such public parks, squares or pleasure grounds

SEC. 27. **Dogs.** No person having the care and charge of any dog sh suffer, allow or permit any such dog to enter upon or remain in any of s public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, unless said dog is fastened to a led by a chain or line of suitable strength and not more than eight (8) f long. Nor shall any person having the care or charge of any dog permit su dog to enter the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or a of the sand boxes, play apparatus or play areas normally reserved for childr or drink the water of any lake or pond in any of the parks, squares, or pleas grounds, except at such place or places as may be maintained or designa therefor.

SEC. 28. **Disturb or Feed Fish, Birds, Quadrupeds, Etc.** No person shall, any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, disturb the fish, ann strike, chase, snare or set snares for, catch, injure or destroy any rabbit, qu bird or wild quadruped, nor injure or maltreat any domestic or other anim quail or bird therein, nor shall any person rob, molest or interfere with a bird's nest therein, and no person shall give or offer or attempt to give to a animal within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds any tobacco other known noxious article, or substance the giving of which shall be p hibited by posted notices. It shall be unlawful for any person to feed or of food to any of the animals, birds or reptiles in the Zoological Park of t Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield.

## ARTICLE 3.

## NUISANCES.

Sec. 34. Depositing refuse.

SEC. 34. **Depositing Refuse.** No person shall place, deposit or dump, cause to be placed, deposited or dumped in or upon any of said public par squares, or pleasure grounds, any garbage, refuse, dirt, ashes, broken gla crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, or any dead animal or dead fowl; n

shall any person leave or scatter about on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of lunches, newspaper, or rubbish of any kind, and same shall be taken away or deposited in cans or other receptacles provided for the reception of waste matter.

## ARTICLE 4.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

Sec. 39. Indecent or disorderly conduct or language.
Sec. 40. Bathing restrictions—water pollution.
Sec. 41. Undressing on Ocean Beach.
Sec. 42. Athletic attire restricted.
Sec. 43. Bonfires.
Sec. 44. Camping or tarrying over night.
Sec. 45. Selling merchandise prohibited.

SEC. 39. **Indecent or Disorderly Conduct or Language.** No person shall indulge in any brawl, riotous, boisterous or indecent conduct, or engage in any fighting or boxing contest, in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall use profane, indecent, threatening or violent language therein; and no intoxicated, noisy, disorderly or publicly offensive person shall enter or remain therein.

SEC. 40. **Bathing Restrictions, Water Pollution.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any pond, stream, lake or pool in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purposes; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard and north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park; and no person shall throw into or cause to be deposited any dirt, filth, or foreign matter in or near or in any manner pollute the waters of any of the ponds, streams, lakes or pools.

SEC. 41. **Undressing on Ocean Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard on the Ocean Beach north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 42. **Athletic Attire Restricted.** No person shall appear in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, clad in any athletic costume or attire in which any part of the legs, arms or trunk is exposed to view, except at the places therein as shall have been specially set apart for athletic sports for which such costume or attire is appropriate, such as the Stadium and ball grounds and such places set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners.

SEC. 43. **Bonfires.** No person shall kindle or cause to be kindled any bonfire or fire of any kind in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways thereof, except in the places set apart and designated therefor by the Park Commissioners, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

SEC. 44. **Camping or Tarrying Overnight.** No person shall camp, lodge, sleep, or tarry overnight in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 45. **Selling Merchandise Prohibited.** No person shall sell, vend, ... pose of, or offer for sale, any goods, wares or merchandise in or upon any ... the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. And no person shall er... or maintain any structure, counter, table, tent, or other thing in or upon ... of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, used or intended to be u... in the selling or exposing or exposing for sale any goods, wares or merchand...

## ARTICLE 5.

## GAMES OF CHANCE.

Sec. 50. Gambling, fortune telling.

SEC. 50. **Gambling, Fortune Telling.** No person shall maintain, condu..., expose, exhibit or play any game of chance, gambling table or contrivance ... instrument of gaming or gambling in or upon any of the public parks, squa..., or pleasure grounds, and all and every manner of gaming and gambling is p... hibited. And no person shall engage in or practice fortune telling or any le... practice therein.

## ARTICLE 6.

## GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS.

Sec. 53. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 54. Parades, picnics, etc., permits for.

Sec. 55. Funeral procession, routes restricted.

SEC. 53. **Holding Meetings, Discussions.** No person or persons shall hol... any public meeting of any kind or nature, nor hold any public discussion ... debate, where five or more persons are gathered together for that purpo..., in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, without fi... obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, except at such pla... as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 54. **Parades, Picnics, Etc. Permits for.** Any company, society, soci... club, or organization, when the number of members thereof shall exce... twenty-five (25) persons, desiring to resort to and use any of said public par..., squares or pleasure grounds, for parade, picnicking or other pleasurable p... poses, shall at least one day prior to the proposed parade, or picnic or ... thereof, notify the Superintendent of said grounds or the Secretary of ... Park Commissioners of their intention so to use said grounds, and obtain ... permit in writing therefor.

SEC. 55. **Funeral Procession, Routes Restricted.** No person having ... charge of any funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon or other vehicle des... nated for or carrying the body of a deceased person for burial or otherwi... shall enter in or go upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure groun..., excepting on or over the transverse roadway leading across Golden Gate Pa... between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Twenty-fou... avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street a... Oak street.

## ARTICLE 7.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.


Sec. 60. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.
Sec. 61. Removing trees, turf, soil, rock, etc.
Sec. 62. Removal of bathing apparel, towels, other personal property.
Sec. 63. Trespass on public grounds, turf, shrubbery.
Sec. 64. Restrictions and defacing of ladies' rest rooms.
Sec. 65. Destruction, mutilation, defacement, etc., of park buildings, property, furniture, fixtures, etc.


SEC. 60. **Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up or in any manner injure any tree, shrub, plant, in or growing in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or in any building or structure therein situated.

SEC. 61. **Removing Trees, Turf, Soil, Rock, Etc.** No person shall remove any tree, wood, turf, grass, soil or rock or anything of like kind in or belonging to said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways or highways thereof.

SEC. 62. **Removal of Bathing Apparel, Towels, Other Personal Property.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any of the parks or playgrounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners any towel, bathing cap, bathing suit, bathing apparatus, or other personal property under the control of or title to which is vested in the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 63. **Trespass on Public Grounds, Turf, Shrubbery.** No person shall go within any shrubbery or climb any tree in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, nor shall any person walk upon any ground therein where limbs or branches of trees or shrubs come within three feet of the ground; nor shall any person walk on the turf bordering walks or drives, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 64. **Restrictions and Defacing of Ladies' Restrooms.** No male person over the age of ten years shall enter any ladies' toilet, room or apartment within any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall cut or in any wise deface the walls, doors or any part of ladies' toilet, room or apartment; and no person shall write, print or paint on any part thereof any name, figure, picture, design, word, language, or sign of any kind.

SEC. 65. **Destruction, Multilation, Defacement, Etc., of Park Buildings, Property, Furniture, Fixtures, Etc.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, hurt or otherwise mar or injure any building, structure, dome, monument, chair or other furniture, fixture, or fence in, or belonging to, any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall write, paint or cut any figure thereon, or place thereon any writing, painting or printing, or write upon, paint or cut any building, structure, dome, monument, chair, or other furniture, fixture or fence in any manner whatsoever; nor shall any person climb or go over any of said property.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERMITS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 69. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
Sec. 70. Injury or damage to walks, plots or roadways.
Sec. 71. Exposing, disconnecting, pipes, sewers, etc.
Sec. 72. Moving buildings across parks. Permits.
Sec. 73. Erecting structure or obstruction. Permit.
Sec. 74. Leaving building materials on public grounds.
Sec. 75. Permits and bonds.
Sec. 76. All permits to be issued by and fees paid to Secretary.
Sec. 77. Condition of grounds after repairs.
Sec. 78. Removal of buildings and penalty.
Sec. 79. Display of red lights during obstruction or excavation.
Sec. 80. Permit to transport or sell wares.
Sec. 81. Maintaining places of amusement—permit necessary.

SEC. 69. **Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** The space in Marina Park at the foot of Scott and Divisadero streets, known and designated as Yacht Harbor, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or craft of any character may moor or enter the aforesaid mooring grounds until after a permit in writing has been obtained from the Park Commissioners, in which event it will be permitted to enter and use only the mooring space designated in said permit.

SEC. 70. **Injury or Damage to Walks, Plots or Roadways.** No person shall injure, dig or tear up any pavement, sidewalk, cross walk, grass plot or roadway or any part thereof, in any public park, square or pleasure ground, without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him, and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 71. **Exposing, Disconnecting Pipes, Sewers, Etc.** No person shall dig down toward or to, expose, tear up, disconnect or connect with any of the water pipes or sewers in or under any public park, square or pleasure ground, without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 72. **Moving Buildings Across Parks. Permits.** No person shall move along, across or upon any public park, square, pleasure ground or Great Highway any building or other structure, without having first obtained permission of the Board of Park Commissioners and a certificate thereof signed by the President and Secretary, and no such building or other structure shall remain on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, in any one part thereof, for more than five hours, nor upon any public park, square, or pleasure ground for a period of more than twenty-four hours.

SEC. 73. **Erecting Structure or Obstruction. Permit.** No person shall build, put up, place, erect, have, keep or maintain or cause to be built, put up, placed, erected, had, kept or maintained, any nuisance or any fence, building, structure, obstruction or other thing which shall in any manner obstruct any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or any part or portion thereof, or which shall in any way prevent, hinder or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public thereof. And no person shall build, erect, place, put up or maintain any building, structure, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, to be evidenced by a permit

writing, signed by the President, and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board.

SEC. 74. **Leaving Building Materials on Public Grounds.** No person shall encumber or obstruct or cause to be encumbered or obstructed any public park, square or pleasure ground, or part thereof, by placing or leaving therein or thereon any building materials or any article or thing whatsoever, without first having obtained a written permit signed by the Superintendent and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary.

SEC. 75. **Permits and Bonds.** When the permit is under Sections 70, 71, and 73 of this article, the permittee shall give a bond with one or more sureties satisfactory to the President of the Park Commission, in such sum as the President may determine, that the conditions prescribed in said permit, including that as to time for which granted, shall in all respects be complied with, that no injury shall be done or caused or permitted to any public park, square, or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or to the trees, shrubs, grass, fences or anything thereon, and that the Park Commission and its officers shall be fully indemnified against and saved harmless from all damages and cost which may ensue from any act or thing done or purported to be done under such permit; whereupon the Secretary shall, if the Park Commission or President thereof or the Superintendent, as the case may be, shall direct, issue a permit wherein shall be stated all the conditions and circumstances, and limiting the time in which the act permitted must be done. Provided: That in granting any permit under Sections 70 and 71 of this Article the Park Commission may, in lieu of such bond, accept from the permittee, a cash deposit in such sum as may be designated by the President, as security that no public park, square or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or the trees, shrubs, grass, fences, or anything thereon shall suffer any injury in consequence of any act done or permitted, or omitted, under or purported to be under such permit; but the acceptance of such cash deposit by said Park Commission shall in nowise impair any right, or claim, or demand, direct or indirect, against the permittee receiving such permit, by virtue of any bond the permittee has given or furnished to the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 76. **All Permits to Be Issued by and Fees Paid to Secretary.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall issue all permits authorized by the Park Commission, and shall sign the same, receive all fees, charges and deposits therefor, and keep a record of all proceedings and doings thereunder, or in connection therewith, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

SEC. 77. **Condition of Grounds After Repairs.** Every permittee to whom a permit is granted under Sections 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74 of this Article shall leave the ground, site or place within the public parks, squares and pleasure grounds, where work has been done pursuant to such permit, in as good condition in all respects when such permit shall have expired, as when the same was granted, and shall repair said ground, site or place to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of Parks.

SEC. 78. **Removal of Buildings and Penalty.** The owner or owners, or occupant or occupants of any building, or the contractor or other person in charge of or superintending or directing its removal, or either or all of them, who shall suffer the same to remain in any parkway for any time whatsoever longer than that specified in the permit issued by the Park Commission, or who shall place in or permit to remain in such parkway, any building or structure without an appropriate permit, shall, in addition to the penalty herein provided, forfeit, as a penalty, the amount whereof shall be specified

in the permit, for every twenty-four hours or any part thereof the sa shall continue.

SEC. 79. **Display of Red Lights During Obstruction or Excavation.** A person having the use of any portion of any public park, square, or pleasu ground, shall cause red lights to be placed in conspicuous places in front of a at each end of the obstruction or excavation from sunset to sunrise each nig such obstruction or excavation remains.

SEC. 80. **Permit to Transport or Sell Wares.** No person shall transp into, carry into, have or take into, or leave upon or over any of the pub parks, squares or pleasure grounds, any fruit, nuts, candy, vegetables, or oth goods, wares or merchandise of any kind to be sold or offered for sale, wi out first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners therefor.

SEC. 81. **Maintaining Places of Amusement, Permit Necessary.** No pers shall, within any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, set up maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, show, show stand, performan concert, concert hall, moving pictures or the taking of moving pictures, or a other kind of amusement, without first obtaining the consent of the Pa Commissioners thereto.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 85. Breaking in of animals.
Sec. 86. Sulky and vehicle trailing prohibited.
Sec. 87. Trade and commercial vehicles restricted to tranverse roads—garbe transportation prohibited.
Sec. 88. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
Sec. 89. Pleasure vehicles only permitted to enter parks.
Sec. 90. Vehicles abreast of each other.
Sec. 91. Limiting speed of bicycles and similar vehicles.
Sec. 92. Transporting merchandise for sale prohibited.

SEC. 85. **Breaking in of Animals.** No person shall, within or on any said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, ride, drive or leave there any vicious, unbroken or wild horse, mule or other animal, or use therein thereon any breaking cart or other vehicle or apparatus or appliance used breaking any of such animals.

SEC. 86. **Sulky and Vehicle Trailing Prohibited.** No person shall tr any sulky or other vehicle through any public park, square, or pleasure grou

SEC. 87. **Trade and Commercial Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roa Garbage Transportation Prohibited.** No dray, truck, wagon, cart or oth vehicle carrying or employed in carrying goods, merchandise, manure, soil other article of commerce or trade, shall travel upon any of the driveways roads of any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, for any oth purpose than to cross the same immediately at the regular street intersectio nor upon any of the roads or driveways of said public grounds, except t transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fi avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Vehicles havi deliveries in park shall use nearest entrance to point of delivery. Transportati of garbage is prohibited upon any road or driveway in said public parks, squar or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 88. **Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person ow ing, driving or having charge of any carriage, coach, motor wagon, auton

b[illegible], or vehicle let or used for hire or anyone employed by such owner, or d[illegible]ver, shall within said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds stand f[illegible] the purpose of, or in any way let or solicit custom or passengers for the s[illegible]e.

SEC. 89. **Pleasure Vehicles Only Permitted to Enter Parks.** No vehicle u[illegible]ally engaged in carrying baggage or merchandise other than the personal e[illegible]cts of the owner, driver, motorman or engineer thereof, and no vehicle used f[illegible] advertising purposes, or with any name or designation exposed thereon for a[illegible]vertising purposes, shall be or enter upon or use any part of the public p[illegible]ks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting when used exclusively for pleas[illegible]e purposes.

SEC. 90. **Vehicles Abreast of Each Other.** No more than two vehicles shall r[illegible] or be propelled abreast of each other while used or operated within the p[illegible]blic parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. The said vehicles shall be run a[illegible] propelled singly and not attached to each other, except in case of an acci[illegible]nt, when one may assist the other.

SEC. 91. **Limiting Speed of Bicycles and Similar Vehicles.** No person shall r[illegible]e, propel or operate any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle in or [illegible] any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, at a greater rate of s[illegible]ed than ten (10) miles per hour; and at the crossings or junctions of any r[illegible]ads or driveways, or at any place used as a crossing by persons on foot, at a s[illegible]ed to exceed six (6) miles per hour.

SEC. 92. **Transporting Merchandise for Sale Prohibited.** No person shall d[illegible]ive in or into or enter upon or pass over any part or portion of said public p[illegible]rks, squares or pleasure grounds in any cart, wagon or other vehicle, having t[illegible]herein any goods, wares or merchandise intended for sale therein.

## CHAPTER V.

## PENAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 97. Violation a misdemeanor—Penalty.
Sec. 98. Repeal.
Sec. 99. Saving Clause.

SEC. 97. **Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or cor[illegible]poration who shall violate any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be g[illegible]uilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five h[illegible]undred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period [illegible] not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 98. **Repeal.** All Ordinances or orders or parts of Ordinances or orders [illegible] conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SEC. 99. **Saving Clause.** If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or p[illegible]hrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such d[illegible]ecision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. T[illegible]he Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordi[illegible]nance, and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irre[illegible]spective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub-sections, sentences, c[illegible]lauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, D[illegible]oncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on San Carlos Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1020, Ordinance No. 12.073175, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 28, 1934, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139) to read as follows:

Section 1139: The width of sidewalks on San Carlos street, between Nineteenth street and Twenty-first street, shall be six (6) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Guerrero Street, Between Market and Fourteenth.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1019, Ordinance No. 12.073176, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred seventy-six (1176).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 2nd, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1176, to read as follows:

Section 1176. The width of sidewalks on Guerrero street, between Market street and Fourteenth street, shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Authorizing Exchange of Certain Lands in Sloat Boulevard With Gough Street Railroad Company.

(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Bill No. 1021, Ordinance No. 12.17417, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of certain lands in Sloat boulevard with Gough Street Railroad Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain city-owned land hereinafter described as Parcel "D" to Gough Street Railroad Company in exchange for certain other land hereinafter described as Parcel 3.

Section 2. Said Parcel "D" is that certain tract of land which was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17214, Bill No. 964, approved April 21, 1936.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of said real property, and estimates the value of Parcel "D" equal to the value of Parcel 3.

Section 4. Said parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL "D": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard with the easterly line of 39th avenue; thence easterly and along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 26.194 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50.0 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning; which said point of beginning is also the point of curve to the right with a radius of 1482.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence westerly and northwesterly along said curve a distance of 982.376 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northwesterly and tangent to last mentioned curve a distance of 150 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southwesterly 35 feet to the southwesterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southeasterly 150 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 1517.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence southeasterly and easterly along said curve a distance of 1005.569 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northerly at right angles to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 35 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning.

Excepting the portions thereof owned by Gough Street Railroad Company.

PARCEL "3": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard with the easterly line of 39th avenue; thence easterly and along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 26.194 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50.0 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning; thence south 86 degrees 51 minutes 05 seconds west and parallel to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard a distance of 580.423 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 167.5 feet and an angle of 55 degrees 15 minutes 15 seconds; thence westerly and northwesterly along said curve a distance of 161.53 feet; thence north 37 degrees 53 minutes 40 seconds west 21.85 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 1462.27 feet and an angle of 17 degrees 17 minutes 14 seconds; thence northwesterly along said curve a distance of 441.195 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the now existing right of way of the Gough Street Railroad Company; thence south 34 degrees 49 minutes 06 seconds west 35 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of the now existing right of way of the Gough Street Railroad Company, which said point is the point of a curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 1427.27 feet and an angle of 17 degrees 17 minutes 14 seconds; thence southeasterly along said curve a distance of 430.634 feet to the point of tangent; thence south 37 degrees 53 minutes 40 seconds east 21.85 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 202.5 feet and an angle of 55 degrees 15 minutes 15 seconds; thence southeasterly and easterly along said curve a distance of 195.28 feet to the point of tangent; thence north 86 degrees 51 minutes 05 seconds east and parallel to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard a distance of 580.423 feet; thence north 3 degrees 08 minutes 55 seconds west 35 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning.

Excepting the portions thereof set forth as an exception in the foregoing description of Parcel "D."

Section 5. In behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "D" to Gough Street Railroad Company. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to Gough Street Railroad Company upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel 3, and shall record the latter deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Sigmund Stern—Member of the Recreation Committee.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2622, as follows:

Resolved, that in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing June 8, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor James B. McSheehy.**

**(Code No. 4.053)**

Also, Resolution No. 2623, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 15, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Mayor's Veto—Budget Item for Pioneer Home.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 4, 1936.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I herewith return to you Ordinance No. 9.051259 which constitutes the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1936, and which was enacted by you on June 1, 1936, and hereby notify you that I hereby veto and disapprove item designated No. 626.900.00 which reads as follows:

"The sum of $10,000 to be expended as a contribution toward the purchase of property at the northeast corner of Chestnut and Hyde streets, commonly designated as the Humphreys property, which said sum shall be expended only when the balance of the purchase price has been provided by private subscription; and said property shall be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by good and sufficient deed and free and clear of all encumbrances; said property to be used for public purposes."

My disapproval of said item goes to the whole thereof, and my reasons for disapproving the same are, that this appropriation would not serve an immediate essential requirement of the people of the City and County, and that the acquisition of the property intended to be acquired through this appropriation, no matter what proportion of the cost thereof is paid by private subscription, will in the future be a burden upon the city, which burden will not be commensurate with the benefits which will flow therefrom.

Furthermore, the acquistion of the property in question will take from the tax roll a very valuable piece of property, which in reality the city does not need at the present time, and thereby reduce the amount of our tax roll accordingly.

At the present time the city is expending more than six hundred thousand dollars per year in the maintenance and conduct of properties similarly acquired.

I am mindful of the sentiment which prompts you to endeavor to acquire this property, but believe that at the present time the spirit of economy in municipal affairs must override sentiment.

With the exception of the item mentioned, your budget ordinance is approved.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Mayor's Veto Sustained.

The question being put, "Shall the item pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor?" The roll was called and the Mayor's veto *sustained* by the following vote:

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

### Palace Hotel Suit for Assessment Equalization.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Harold J. Boyd, Chief Assistant Assessor, calling attention to action instituted June 3, 1936, entitled "Palace Hotel Company of San Francisco (a corporation), plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, defendant."

Ordered *filed*.

### Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Mrs. Felton Taylor, acknowledging with gratitude resolution of the Board of Supervisors condoling the death of her husband, former Secretary of the Public Utilities Commission.

### Corona Heights Playground.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent Recreation Commission, in reply to letter of June 2, informing the Board of Supervisors that the outline of the Corona Heights Playground will be, generally speaking, the entire top of the hill and a frontage of 170 feet on Fifteenth street, and approximately 297 feet on State street. Also advising that the Commission plans to develop the playground area so that it will include a children's apparatus section, general play area and several tennis courts.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

### Grattan School Playground.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Grattan Parent Teachers Association thanking the Board for $20,000 budget appropriation for the construction of a playground in the Grattan School district.

Ordered *filed.*

### Death of Carl Westerfeld.

President Franck R. Havenner moved the following, which was *adopted by rising vote*:

The Board of Supervisors learned with deepest regret of the passing of Carl Westerfeld.

Carl Westerfeld was one of the outstanding and respected attorneys of San Francisco. He was the descendant of a pioneer family whose identity with San Francisco and California made for the good of the community.

In public affairs Carl Westerfeld took a highly patriotic and active interest; served with distinguished credit on the Fish and Game Commission where he gained and held the friendship and high regard of all with whom he came in contact.

As a member of the bar, he stood among the highest in attainment, character and fidelity to his profession.

The Board of Supervisors today adjourns out of respect to the memory of the late Carl Westerfeld, and extends sympathy to the surviving members of his family.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

### San Mateo County Requested to Maintain "First Aid" Signs on Highway.

(Code No. 17.12)

Resolution No. 2626, as follows:

Whereas, thousands of San Franciscans use the highways of the Peninsula and particularly in San Mateo County; and

Whereas, many persons involved in automobile accidents in San Mateo County and requiring first-aid treatment have been directed or taken to private hospitals unaware of the emergency hospital service maintained by the county; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco learns that these victims of accidents have been required to pay exorbitant costs for first-aid treatment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco does hereby respectfully request the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County to maintain appropriate signs on the highways indicating the location where first-aid treatment may be obtained; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Increase of Old-Age Pension Recommended.

(Code No. 19.022)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2627, as follows:

Whereas, Miss Eugenie Schenk, Director of Welfare, cancelled the old-age pension of J. E. Maxwell; and

Whereas, Mr. Maxwell has, in the past, qualified for and received old-age pension; and

Whereas, Mr. Maxwell is now residing at the International Hotel,

848 Kearny street, and, according to the testimony of Mr. J. V. Goudy and P. A. Malarat, proprietors of said hotel, Mr. Maxwell is of sound mind, good moral character and able to care for himself; and

Whereas, Mr. Maxwell has no other source of income; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors recommend to the Social Welfare Committee of the State of California that Mr. Maxwell be accorded old-age pension in the sum of $35 per month.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Hibernians' Centennial.**
(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2624, as follows:

Whereas, members of the Ancient Order of Hibernians in the City and County of San Francisco are making preparations to appropriately celebrate on August 22 and 23, 1936, the centennial of the existence of that organization in the United States; and

Whereas, the Ancient Order of Hibernians has, during the past 100 years, loyally and faithfully supported every cause in peace and in war in which the welfare of the United States was involved, giving to American life those cherished ideals of liberty, freedom and democracy; and

Whereas, the ceremonies and exercises to be held will attract to San Francisco thousands of people from all parts of California; and

Whereas, to fittingly celebrate the centennial herein referred to, it is right and proper that the City and County of San Francisco, in its official capacity, cooperate with its citizens in making this celebration the success which it properly merits; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby requests that the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, appoint a citizens' committee to cooperate with the members of the Ancient Order of Hibernians in making any and all necessary preparations for successful ceremonies and exercises on the dates herein referred to.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**"Golden Gate International Exposition—A Pageant of the Pacific in 1939" to Be Printed on City Stationery.**
(Code No. 5.95)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2625, as follows:

Whereas, the official title of "Golden Gate International Exposition—A Pageant of the Pacific" has been adopted by the officials of the exposition corporation; and

Whereas, every official and employee of the City and County of San Francisco should take advantage of every opportunity to advertise the exposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be directed to have printed on the stationery of the City and County of San Francisco the words, "Golden Gate International Exposition—A Pageant of the Pacific in 1939"; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer and officers, boards and commissions of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Purchaser of Supplies is requested to notify all departments.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Report Requested on Delinquent Repaving Obligation of the Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Havenner announced that he had been informed by the of the Market Street Railway Company, asked that the Public Utilities Committee make report to the Board at its early convenience.

### Revenue Bond Test Case.

Supervisor Havenner announced that he had been informed by the City Attorney that the Chief Justice of the State Supreme Court had stated that hearing on the question of the right of the City and County to issue revenue bonds for public utility purposes would probably be set for sometime in August. Supervisor Havenner suggested that the chairman of the Special Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution Committee call a meeting of the committee as soon as the City Attorney is ready to return the ordinance which will be carried into the Supreme Court for test, so that it can be submitted to the Board for action and carried forward for test in the immediate future.

### Committee to Revise Building Laws.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the president appoint a special committee of three members of the Board to revise the present building code so that buildings will be made safe for habitation in San Francisco. Amendment by Supervisor Brown that the matter be referred to the Building Committee was accepted by Supervisor McSheehy.

*So ordered.*

### Committee on Livermore Rodeo.

President Havenner appointed Supervisors Mead and Ratto to attend Rodeo at Livermore and participate in parade and luncheon June 13, at 10 a. m.

### Entertainment of New Redwood Empire Association Commissioners.

Supervisor Ratto asked that the two Supervisors' cars be made available at the City Hall, Monday, 10 a. m., in connection with entertainment, under sponsorship of Redwood Empire Association, of newly appointed highway commissioners.

*So ordered.*

### Meeting Announcements.

Building Committee, June 11, 3:30 p. m.
Citizens' Committee for celebration July 4, Friday, June 12, 4 p. m.
Fire, Safety and Police, June 12, 10 a. m.
Public Utilities Committee, June 16, 2 p. m.
Public Welfare, June 12, 9 a. m.

### Supervisor Shannon Excused.

Supervisor Shannon asked to be excused from Finance Committee meeting on Friday as he will be in Petaluma at Eagles' Convention.

*So ordered.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 4:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 15, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 25

Monday, June 15, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

FRANC

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JUNE 15, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 15, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 8, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $4,500 for Installation of Traffic Signals in Connection with San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1010, Ordinance No. 9.051268, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $4,500 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 547.903.07, for the installation of traffic signals in the district south of Market street, in connection with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside the sum of $4,500 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 547.903.07, for the installation of traffic signals in the district south of Market street, in connection with the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

# Annual Salary Ordinance

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1937

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1011, Ordinance No. 9.05380, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated, but no appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and no such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. At the request of the Mayor or the Controller, the Civil Service Commission may investigate and report on the necessity for such temporary or seasonal services.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated the Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer. No appointment to such vacancy shall be made until the Civil Service Commission shall investigate and report to the Mayor on the necessity for such position, and unless approved by the Mayor and unless the Controller shall certify to the availability of funds.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1936-37 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective

positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such accommodations.

Section 3. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 11 | | Supervisors ..... | $ 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B88 | Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors ..... | 375 |
| 3 | 1 | B90 | Clerk of the Board of Supervisors..... | 500 |
| 4 | 1 | B174 | Bond and Ordinance Clerk..... | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 155 |
| 7 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 8 | 3 | B413 | Assistant Clerk—Board of Supervisors.. | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B416 | Finance Committee Stenographer..... | 325 |
| 10 | 1 | D4 | Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | O4 | Special Chauffeur ..... | 250 |

Section 4. **MAYOR**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Mayor ..... | $ 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B74 | Confidential Secretary to Mayor..... | 350 |
| 3 | 1 | B76 | Executive Secretary to Mayor..... | 400 |
| 4 | 1 | B212 | Special Messenger ..... | 175 |
| 5 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B414 | Head Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 225 |
| 7 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator..... | 155 |
| 8 | 2 | O4 | Special Chauffeur ..... | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | N404 | Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office. | 300 |

## Section 5. ASSESSOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Assessor | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B52 | Research Assistant | 250 |
| 3 | 1 | B120 | Supervisor, Accounts and Records Assessor's Office | 300 |
| 4 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (exempt, Sec. 28) | 200 |
| 7 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 8 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 300 |
| 9 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 10 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 11 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 13 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B242 | Blockbook Draftsman | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | B242 | Blockbook Draftsman | 225 |
| 16 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 17 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 19 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 20 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 21 | 3 | G2 | Real Estate Appraiser | 250 |
| 22 | 3 | G8 | Improvement Appraiser | 250 |
| 23 | 1 | G8 | Improvement Appraiser | 200 |
| 24 | 1 | G10 | Senior Improvement Appraiser | 300 |
| 25 | 3 | G14 | Personal Property Appraiser | 250 |
| 26 | 1 | G14 | Personal Property Appraiser | 225 |
| 27 | 1 | G16 | Senior Personal Property Appraiser | 300 |
| 28 | 1 | G20 | Chief Assistant Assessor | 400 |
| 29 | 12* | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 30 | 1* | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 31 | 2* | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 32 | 1* | G14 | Personal Property Appraiser | 190 |
| | | | TEMPORARY AS NEEDED | |
| 33 | | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 34 | | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 35 | | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 36 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services and other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules. | |

## Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | City Attorney | $ 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 4 | 4 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 5 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | F702 | Valuation Engineer | 300 |
| 7 | 1 | F706 | Chief Valuation Engineer | 750 |
| 8 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil | 300 |
| 9 | 2 | K4 | Attorney, Civil | 250 |

*Occupants of these positions are paid from appropriations for temporary services and have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 2 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 350 |
| 11 | 1 | K8 | Principal Attorney, Civil | 800 |
| 12 | 1 | K8 | Principal Attorney, Civil | 500 |
| 13 | 1 | K12 | Chief Attorney, Civil | 600 |
| 14 | 1 | K16 | Special Counsel, Water Service | 833.33 |
| 15 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services | 150 |

Section 7. **DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | District Attorney | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 3 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 3 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 190 |
| 4 | 1 | B156 | Senior Criminal Law Clerk | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B166 | Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 7 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | 50 |
| 9 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 10 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 11 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 12 | 1 | B516 | Senior Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 13 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 375 |
| 14 | 5 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | K54 | Attorney, Criminal | 190 |
| 16 | 3 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 375 |
| 17 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 300 |
| 18 | 2 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 250 |
| 19 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 190 |
| 21 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal | 375 |
| 22 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal | 275 |
| 23 | 1 | K58 | Principal Attorney, Criminal | 250 |

Section 8. **TREASURER**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Treasurer | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 240 |
| 3 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 300 |
| 4 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 240 |
| 5 | 2 | B102 | Teller | 210 |
| 6 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 180 |
| 7 | 2 | B104 | Senior Teller | 250 |
| 8 | 1 | B104 | Senior Teller | 240 |
| 9 | 1 | B112 | Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office | 375 |
| 10 | 1 | B112 | Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office | 325 |
| 11 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 12 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (exempt Sec. 31) | 175 |

Section 9. **SHERIFF**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Sheriff | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B84 | Under Sheriff | 300 |
| 3 | 1 | B98 | Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (exempt Sec. 32) | 275 |
| 4 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 4 | B222 | General Clerk | 215 |

Section 9. SHERIFF (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 197 |
| 7 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 225 |
| 8 | 1 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper | 215 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 185 |
| 10 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 11 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 155 |
| 12 | 7 | C154 | Keeper | 160 |
| 13 | 1 | C156 | Head Keeper | 200 |
| 14 | 1 | D2 | Bailiff | 215 |
| 15 | 26 | D2 | Bailiff | 197 |
| 16 | 3 | D3 | Woman Bailiff | 170 |
| 17 | 6 | D52 | Jail Matron | 197 |
| 18 | 3 | D52 | Jail Matron | 190 |
| 19 | 1 | D52 | Jail Matron | 170 |
| 20 | 2 | D54 | Head Jail Matron | 200 |
| 21 | 16 | D60 | Jailer | 197 |
| 22 | 4 | D60 | Jailer | 190 |
| 23 | 5 | D60 | Jailer | 170 |
| 24 | 1 | D60 | Jailer | 225 |
| 25 | 6 | D64 | Captain of Watch | 210 |
| 26 | 1 | D66 | Superintendent of Jail | 275 |
| 27 | 1 | D66 | Superintendent of Jail | 250 |
| 28 | 8 | D102 | Writ Server | 215 |
| 29 | 2 | D102 | Writ Server | 197 |
| 30 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 195 |
| 31 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 195 |
| 32 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil (part time) | 200 |
| 33 | 1 | L360 | Physician (Deduct for B. L. & R.) | 335 |
| 34 | 1 | O2 | Chauffeur | 170 |
| 35 | 1 | O52 | Farmer | 200 |
| 36 | 1 | O52 | Farmer | 135 |
| 37 | 3 | O168 | Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |

Section 10. **PUBLIC DEFENDER**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Public Defender | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 3 | 2 | K56 | Senior Attorney, Criminal | 350 |

Section 11. **POLICE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | | Commissioner | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | | Chief of Police | 600 |
| 3 | 1 | | Property Clerk | 300 |
| 4 | 1 | | Police Surgeon | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B99 | Secretary, Police Commission and Chief Clerk | 400 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 7 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 250 |
| 8 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 9 | 7 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| | | | BUREAU OF INSPECTORS | |
| 10 | 1 | | Captain of Inspectors | $ 416.66 |
| 11 | 72 | | Inspector | 230 |
| 12 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 230 |
| 13 | 1 | D152 | Criminologist | 300 |
| 14 | 3 | Q20 | Police Women | 200 |

Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 15 | 9 | Q60 | Lieutenants | 250** |
| 16 | 1 | Q62 | Photographer, Police Department | 225 |
| | | | UNIFORM FORCE | |
| 17 | 1 | | Captain of Traffic | $ 333.33 |
| 18 | 1 | | Inspector of Motor Vehicles | 230 |
| 19 | 1 | | Inspector of Horses and Equipment | 230 |
| 20 | 1 | | Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance | 230 |
| 21 | 3 | D52 | Jail Matron | 175 |
| 22 | 1 | D52 | Jail Matron | 170 |
| 23 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 195 |
| 24 | 10 | J70 | Hostlers | 180 |
| 25 | 3 | O158 | Motor Boat Operator | 200 |
| 26 | 1 | O158 | Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of | 200 |
| 27 | 964 | Q2 | Policemen | 200 |
| 28 | 25 | Q30 | Police Patrol Driver | 200 |
| 29 | 87 | Q40 | Corporal | 215** |
| 30 | 95 | Q50 | Sergeant | 220** |
| 31 | 44 | Q60 | Lieutenant | 250** |
| 32 | 16 | Q80 | Captain | 300** |

**In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Policemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Policeman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 12. **FIRE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | | Commissioner | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | | Chief Engineer | 600 |
| 3 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | B68 | Chief Clerk, Fire Department | 400 |
| 5 | 1 | B306 | Multigraph Operator | 165 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 7 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 8 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 9 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 10 | 894 | H2 | Firemen | 180-200* |
| 11 | 28 | H10 | Chief's Operator | 210 |
| 12 | 12 | H15 | Engineer of Fire Engines | 220** |
| 13 | 114 | H20 | Lieutenant | 222.50** |
| 14 | 74 | H30 | Captain | 235** |
| 15 | 23 | H40 | Battalion Chief | 350** |
| 16 | 4 | H50 | Assistant Chief Engineer | 400 |
| 17 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 235 |
| 18 | 6 | O166 | Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines | 195 |
| 19 | 1 | O166 | Fireman of Stationary Steam Engines | 185 |
| 20 | 4 | O168 | Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 230 |
| 21 | 2 | O168 | Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 22 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines | 280 |
| 23 | 3 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day | |
| 24 | 1 | M4 | Master Mechanic | 416.66 |
| 25 | 12 | O304 | Hydrantman Gateman | 215 |
| 26 | 1 | O304 | Hydrantman Gateman | 175 |

*At rates fixed by Charter.

**In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 12. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | 1 | O310 | Foreman Hydrantman Gateman..... | 255 |
| 28 | 1 | U112 | Pipe Calker, at $7.50 per day..... | |
| | | | FIRE BOAT CREWS | |
| 29 | 1 | H120 | Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief).....$ | 255 |
| 30 | 4 | H120 | Pilot of Fire Boats..... | 255 |
| 31 | 9 | H110 | Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)..... | 255 |
| 32 | 9 | H102 | Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)..... | 205 |

Section 13. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | Members of Board, $15 per meeting. | |
| 2 | 1 | B61 | Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals....$ | 250 |

Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Accountant . . . . . .$ | 200 |
| 2 | 1 | | Assistant Manager, Kezar Stadium..... | 225 |
| 3 | 1 | | Athletic Organizer ..... | 475 |
| 4 | 9 | | Attendants ..... | 75 |
| 5 | 1 | | Attendants (men's) ..... | 140 |
| 6 | 1 | | Attendant, Coit Tower..... | 50 |
| 7 | 1 | | Attendant, Coit Tower..... | 100 |
| 8 | 1 | | Attendant, Bathhouse ..... | 135 |
| 9 | 1 | | Cashier, Chief ..... | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | | Cashier ..... | 125 |
| 11 | 2 | | Clerk-Stenographers, General ..... | 115 |
| 12 | 1 | | Cook (Children's Quarters)..... | 90 |
| 13 | 1 | | Engineer ..... | 175 |
| 14 | 1 | | Engineer, Chief ..... | 215 |
| 16 | 1 | | Fireman ..... | 175 |
| 17 | 1 | | Golf Starter ..... | 180 |
| 18 | 1 | | Golf Starter ..... | 175 |
| 19 | 1 | | Golf Starter, Assistant..... | 165 |
| 20 | 1 | | Groundkeeper, Kezar Stadium..... | 165 |
| 21 | 1 | | Harbor Master, Day ..... | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Harbor Master, Night..... | 125 |
| 26 | 1 | | Manager (Lincoln Cafe) ..... | 135 |
| 27 | 1 | | Manager (Fleishhacker Booth) ..... | 175 |
| 28 | 1 | | Matron ..... | 80 |
| 29 | 2 | | Pump Men ..... | 175 |
| 30 | 1 | | Secretary ..... | 400 |
| 32 | 1 | | Stenographer ..... | 115 |
| 33 | 1 | | Superintendent ..... | 725 |
| 34 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Construction) ..... | 325 |
| 35 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant) ..... | 275 |
| 36 | 1 | | Superintendent (Asistant) ..... | 235 |
| 37 | 1 | | Superintendent of Motor Vehicles..... | 250 |
| 38 | 1 | | Superintendent of Restaurant Activities | 250 |
| 40 | 1 | | Supervisor, Materials and Supplies..... | 225 |
| 41 | 1 | | Timekeeper ..... | 150 |
| 42 | 1 | | Timekeeper (Assistant) ..... | 100 |
| 43 | 1 | | Utility (Children's Quarters) ..... | 175 |
| 44 | 1 | | Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters).. | 95 |
| 45 | 2 | | Windmill Attendants ..... | 125 |
| 46 | 1 | | Zoo Director and Zoological Expert.... | 333.33 |
| 47 | 1 | | Golf Starter ..... | 175 |
| 48 | 1 | | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |

Section 14. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 49 | 1 | | Inspector of Personnel | 200 |
| 50 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant in charge of Golf Course) | 225 |
| 51 | 1 | | Superintendent (Assistant Botanist—Entomologist—Arboretum) | 225 |

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Per Diem Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 53 | | | Animal Keepers | $ 5 |
| 54 | | | Apprentice | 3 |
| 55 | | | Ball Field Boys (per hour) | .50 |
| 56 | | | Booth Helpers (per hour) | .25 |
| 57 | | | Booth Helpers (per hour) | .30 |
| 58 | | | Boys to Attend Donkeys (per hour) | .30 |
| 59 | | | Boys to Attend Ponies (per hour) | .20 |
| 60 | | | Carpenters | 9 |
| 61 | | | Carpenters | 8 |
| 62 | | | Carpenters (Assistants) | 7 |
| 63 | | | Cashiers (per hour) | .30 |
| 64 | | | Cashier (Assistant) | 3 |
| 65 | | | Cashier | 3.50 |
| 66 | | | Cashier | 4 |
| 67 | | | Cashier | 3 |
| 68 | | | Chauffeur | 7 |
| 69 | | | Chauffeurs | 7.50 |
| 70 | | | Clerks | 3 |
| 71 | | | Clerks | 4 |
| 72 | | | Clerks | 5 |
| 73 | | | Cook | 7.50 |
| 74 | | | Cook | 6.50 |
| 75 | | | Cook, Relief | 5.50 |
| 76 | | | Cook | 4 |
| 77 | | | Cook | 8 |
| 78 | | | Dishwasher | 3.50 |
| 79 | | | Dishwasher | 4 |
| 80 | | | Foreman | 6 |
| 81 | | | Foreman | 6.50 |
| 82 | | | Foreman | 7 |
| 83 | | | Foreman | 7.50 |
| 84 | | | Foreman | 8 |
| 85 | | | Foreman | 8.50 |
| 86 | | | Foreman (Plaster Work) | 12 |
| 86½ | | | Gardeners | 5.50 |
| 87 | | | Gardeners | 6 |
| 88 | | | Gardener | 6.50 |
| 89 | | | Glaziers | 9 |
| 90 | | | Janitor | 5 |
| 91 | | | Janitress | 3 |
| 92 | | | Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour) | .30 |
| 93 | | | Laborers | 4 |
| 94 | | | Laborers | 5 |
| 95 | | | Laborers | 5.50 |
| 96 | | | Laborers | 6 |
| 97 | | | Laborers | 2.50 |
| 98 | | | Laborers (per hour) | .50 |
| 99 | | | Laborers, Apprentice | 2.50 |
| 100 | | | Laborers, Apprentice | 3 |
| 101 | | | Laborers, Apprentice | 4 |
| 102 | | | Master Painters | 15 |

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Per Diem Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 103 | | | Matron | 3 |
| 104 | | | Manager | 5 |
| 105 | | | Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour) | .30 |
| 106 | | | Model Maker | 9 |
| 107 | | | Model Caster | 8 |
| 108 | | | Model Caster | 7 |
| 109 | | | Modelers (Plaster) | 15 |
| 110 | | | Mower Men | 6.50 |
| 111 | | | Office Boy | 2.50 |
| 112 | | | Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Playfield) | 5 |
| 113 | | | Pantryman (Harding Cafe) | 3.50 |
| 114 | | | Painters | 9 |
| 115 | | | Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour) | .30 |
| 116 | | | Plasterers | 10 |
| 117 | | | Plasterer's Tender | 7.50 |
| 118 | | | Porter | 4 |
| 119 | | | Porter | 3.50 |
| 120 | | | Porter | 3 |
| 121 | | | Rides Man | 3 |
| 122 | | | Roofers | 8 |
| 123 | | | Sheet Metal Worker | 8 |
| 124 | | | Stableman | 5 |
| 125 | | | Starters, Sub. (Golf Course) | 5 |
| 126 | | | Starter, Assistant | 5 |
| 127 | | | Stenographers | 4 |
| 128 | | | Superintendent (Assistant in Charge of Golf Courses) | 8.50 |
| 129 | | | Supply Agent | 5 |
| 130 | | | Storeroom Keeper | 3.50 |
| 131 | | | Teamsters | 6 |
| 132 | | | Teamsters | 6.50 |
| 133 | | | Tennis Courts Manager | 5.50 |
| 134 | | | Tractor Driver | 6 |
| 135 | | | Tractor Man | 6.50 |
| 136 | | | Tractor Man | 9 |
| 137 | | | Utility Helpers (per hour) | .25 |
| 138 | | | Utility | 4 |
| 139 | | | Waiter | 3 |
| 140 | | | Waiter | 3.50 |
| 141 | | | Waiter | 4 |
| 142 | | | Waitresses | 3 |
| 143 | | | Waitresses | 3.50 |
| 144 | | | Waitresses | 4 |
| 145 | | | Waitresses | 5 |
| 146 | | | Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo) | 5 |
| 147 | | | Yardman | 2.50 |
| 148 | | | Yardman | 4.50 |
| 149 | | | Yardman | 4 |
| 150 | | | Yardman | 3.50 |
| 151 | | | Yardman | 3 |

PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 152 | | | Civil Engineer—Draftsman | 7.00 |
| 153 | | | Civil Engineer—Designer | 7.50 |
| 154 | | | Surveyor's Assistant | 7.00 |
| 155 | | | Draftsman | 7.00 |
| 156 | | | Surveyor | 8.00 |
| 157 | | | Landscape Architect | 8.00 |

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

PERSONAL SERVICES WAGES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Per Diem Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 158 | | | Inspector Construction | 8.00 |
| 159 | | | Life Guards | 5.00 |
| 160 | | | Bathhouse Attendants | 5.00 |
| 161 | | | Kezar Stadium Attendants | 5.00 |
| 162 | | | Booth Helpers | 3.00 |
| 163 | | | Assistant Surveyor | 7.00 |

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day | |
| 2 | 1 | A158 | Sub-Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day. | |
| 3 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 4 | 1 | A392 | Plasterer at $12 per day | |
| 5 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 185 |
| 6 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper, 5 mos. at $185 (less B., R. & L. at camp), 7 mos. at | 150 |
| 7 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 8 | 1 | B351 | Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 10 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 11 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 140 |
| 12 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 13 | 1 | F304 | Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance | 350 |
| 14 | 11 | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 15 | 1 | J12 | Labor Foreman | 175 |
| 16 | 22 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 150 |
| 17 | 32 | J72 | Playground Caretaker | 145 |
| 18 | 2 | O10 | Truck Driver, Light Truck | 150 |
| 19 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L. at camp) | 187.50 |
| 20 | | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 19) | 160 |
| 21 | 4 | O58 | Gardener | 145 |
| 22 | 1 | O62 | Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Department | 200 |
| 23 | 1 | R2 | Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | R3 | Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 250 |
| 25 | 1 | R4 | Superintendent, Rec. Dept. | 400 |
| 26 | 14 | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 75 |
| 27 | | R56 | Playground Director (part time) | 65 |
| 28 | 1 | R56 | Playground Director | 185 |
| 29 | 4 | R56 | Playground Director | 165 |
| 30 | 22 | R56 | Playground Director | 150 |
| 31 | 22 | R56 | Playground Director | 145 |
| 32 | 1 | R56 | Paygrounds Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 33 | | R56 | Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same employee as Item 32) | 185 |
| 34 | | R56 | Playground Director, 65c per hour | |
| 35 | | R56 | Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sunday only) | |
| 36 | 1 | R102 | Camp Manager (9½ mo.) | 225 |

## Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | | R102 | Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 36)..... | 260 |
| 38 | 1 | R106 | Supervisor of Dramatics ..... | 225 |
| 39 | 1 | R108 | Supervisor of Music ..... | 200 |
| 40 | 2 | R112 | Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo..... | 110 |
| 41 | 1 | R114 | Swimming Instructor—7 mo..... | 135 |
| 42 | 1 | R114 | Swimming Instructor—7 mo..... | 190 |
| 43 | | R114 | Swimming Instructor—3 mo. (same as Item 42) ..... | 150 |
| 44 | 1 | R114 | Swimming Instructor—7 mo..... | 130 |
| 45 | 1 | R116 | Supervisor of Swimming ..... | 185 |
| 46 | | R112 | Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour.. | |
| 47 | | R114 | Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour.... | |
| 48 | | | Pianist (as needed), $2.50 per call..... | |
| 49 | | | Referee (as needed), $2.50 to $10 per game ..... | |
| 50 | | | 1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract ..... | |
| 51 | | | 3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract ..... | |
| 52 | | A456 | Sheetmetal Worker (as needed), per day | 9.50 |
| 53 | | C152 | Watchman (as needed) ..... | 145 |
| 54 | | M108 | Blacksmith (as needed), per day..... | 9.00 |

CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 55 | | A154 | Carpenters at $9 per day ..... | |
| 56 | | A354 | Painter at $9 per day ..... | |
| 57 | | A404 | Plumber at $9 per day ..... | |
| 58 | | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 150 |
| 59 | | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | |
| 60 | | E154 | Lineman at $9 per day ..... | |
| 61 | | I8 | Head Baker (deduct R. & L.)..... | 137.50 |
| 62 | | I12 | Cook (deduct R. & L.)..... | 162.50 |
| 63 | | I16 | Chef (deduct R. & L.)..... | 210.50 |
| 64 | | I103 | Institutional Help, less than..... | 80 |
| 65 | | J4 | Laborer . . . ..... | 150 |
| 66 | | L352 | Interne ..... | 102.50 |
| 67 | | P102 | Registered Nurse ..... | 102.50 |
| 68 | | | Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract ..... | |
| 69 | | | Camp Guide (P. T.), less than $80..... | |
| 70 | | | Life Guard (P. T.), less than $80..... | |

## Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A154 | Carpenter .....$ | 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B72 | Business Manager, Public Library..... | 375 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 4 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 85 |
| 5 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 160 |
| 7 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator ..... | 110 |
| 8 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator (part-time)..... | 65 |
| 9 | 1 | C102 | Janitress ..... | 75 |
| 10 | 1 | C102 | Janitress (part-time) ..... | 82.50 |
| 11 | 1 | C102 | Janitress ..... | 65 |
| 12 | 9 | | Janitress or Janitor (part-time)..... | 55 |

Section 17. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | 9 | | Janitress or Janitor (part-time) | 27.50 |
| 14 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | 185 |
| 15 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 125 |
| 16 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 150 |
| 17 | 5 | J54 | Book Repairer | 110 |
| 18 | 1 | J54 | Book Repairer | 125 |
| 19 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver, light truck | 160 |
| | | | DEPARTMENTAL TITLES | |
| 20 | 7 | | Branch Librarian | 175 |
| 21 | 5 | | Librarian | 100 |
| 22 | 7 | | Librarian | 120 |
| 23 | 15 | | Librarian | 130 |
| 24 | 10 | | Librarian | 140 |
| 25 | 2 | | Librarian | 150 |
| 26 | 17 | | Librarian | 160 |
| 27 | 10 | | Librarian | 175 |
| 28 | 1 | | Librarian | 225 |
| 29 | 1 | | Librarian | 250 |
| 30 | 1 | | City Librarian | 400 |
| 31 | 67 | | Substitutes, 50c per hour | |
| 32 | 39 | | Pages, 30c per hour | |
| 33 | 1 | | Station Keeper (part time) | 50 |
| 34 | 4 | | Station Keepers (part time) | 15 |

Section 18. **WAR MEMORIAL**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B59 | Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial | $ 250 |
| 2 | 1 | B96 | Managing Director, War Memorial | 500 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 4 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 5 | 1 | C106 | Janitor, Sub-Foreman | 170 |
| 6 | 14 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 7 | 5 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 8 | 1 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 160 |
| 9 | 1 | E108 | Electrician | 220 |
| 10 | 1 | E109 | Stage Electrician, $75 per week | |
| 11 | 1 | E130 | Elevator Mechanic | 220 |
| 12 | 3 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 13 | 1 | A165 | Stage Carpenter, $75 per week | |
| | | | ART MUSEUM | |
| 14 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 15 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| | | | AS NEEDED | |
| 16 | 1 | A179 | Stage Property Man, $12.50 per day | |

Section 19. **ART COMMISSION**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) | $ 75 |
| 2 | 1 | B57 | Secretary, Art Commission | 250 |

## Section 20. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Departmental Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Assistant Director | $ 333.33 |
| 2 | 1 | | Engineer and Building Superintendent. | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Organist (part time) | 300 |
| 4 | 1 | | Supervisor | 200 |
| 5 | 5 | | Galleryman | 125 |
| 6 | 1 | | Head Janitor | 130 |
| 7 | 2 | | Janitor's Assistants | 125 |
| 8 | 1 | | Stenographer | 150 |
| 9 | 2 | | Stenographer | 125 |
| 10 | 1 | | Librarian | 110 |
| 11 | 1 | | Gallery Assistant | 125 |
| 12 | 3 | | Caretaker | 90 |
| 13 | 1 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 14 | 1 | | Organ Repairer (as needed) | 33 |
| 15 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). | 150 |

## Section 21. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director | $ 591.66 |
| 2 | 1 | | Supervisor of Exhibits | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Recorder | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | | Secretary to Director | 175 |
| 5 | 2 | | Museum Instructor | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | | Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | | Assistant Museum Instructor | 110 |
| 8 | 1 | | Stenographer | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | | Head Gallery Man | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | | Labeller | 140 |
| 11 | 1 | | Clerk | 110 |
| 12 | 1 | | Mechanic | 190 |
| 13 | 1 | | Assistant Mechanic | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | | Janitor | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | | Assistant Janitor | 125 |
| 16 | 1 | | Head Caretaker | 100 |
| 17 | 4 | | Caretaker | 90 |
| 18 | 1 | | Secretary Board of Trustees | 240 |
| 19 | 10 | | Gallery Man | 125 |
| | 1 | | Carpenter, $5.50 per day | |
| 20 | 3 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 21 | 1 | | Curator of Prints | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Assistant Head Gallery Man | 150 |
| 23 | 1 | | Expert Repairman | 150 |

## Section 22. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Sciences and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

## Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 12 | | Judges | $ 625 |
| 2 | 1 | B85 | Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court | 450 |
| 3 | 12 | B152 | Court Room Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B156 | Senior Criminal Law Clerk | 250 |
| 6 | 4 | B160 | Civil Law Clerk | 200 |
| 7 | 5 | B164 | Senior Civil Law Clerk | 240 |

Section 23. MUNICIPAL COURT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8 | 1 | B170 | Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court.. | 275 |
| 9 | 1 | B172 | Clerk of Municipal Court..... | 500 |
| 10 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 240 |
| 11 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 12 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 199 |
| 13 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |
| 14 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk ..... | 275 |
| 15 | 4 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter, $12.50 per day, plus transcriptions. | |
| 16 | 3 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 200 |
| 17 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 155 |

Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 16 | | Judges . . . .....$ | 500 |
| 2 | 1 | | Secretary-Jury Commissioner ..... | 600* |
| 3 | 1 | | Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. | 300* |
| 4 | 1 | | Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner. | 250* |
| 5 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator..... | 190 |
| 6 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator..... | 125 |
| 7 | 8 | B252 | Court Interpreter ..... | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 175 |
| 10 | 4 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter, $12.50 per day, plus transcriptions. | |
| 11 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 150 |

*(Titles fixed by State law.)

Section 25. LAW LIBRARY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | K102 | Assistant Law Librarian.....$ | 275 |
| 2 | 1 | K104 | Law Librarian ..... | 450 |
| 3 | 1 | O102 | Bookbinder ..... | 200 |

Section 26. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper . . . .....$ | 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B254 | Interpreter Clerk ..... | 210 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 210 |
| 4 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 185 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 225 |
| 9 | 8 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 210 |
| 10 | 2 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 195 |
| 11 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer ..... | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer..... | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer..... | 235 |
| 14 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer..... | 225 |
| 15 | 1 | T64 | Referee (part-time) ..... | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | T72 | Chief Juvenile Probation Officer..... | 380 |
| 17 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $12.50 per day plus transcriptions. | |

## Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | $ 145 |
| 2 | 1 | I2 | Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.) | 78 |
| 3 | 1 | I12 | Cook (deduct for R.) | 110 |
| 4 | 1 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.) | 135 |
| 5 | 1 | T2 | Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) | 161.50 |
| 6 | 3 | T2 | Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.) | 135 |
| 7 | 1 | T2 | Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.) | 145 |
| 8 | 6 | T4 | Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.) | 105.50 |
| 9 | 1 | T4 | Woman Attendant (deduct for R.) | 100 |
| 10 | 1 | T10 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.) | 175 |
| 11 | 1 | T12 | Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.) | 207.50 |

## Section 28. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 210 |
| 2 | 5 | T56 | Probation Officer | 210 |
| 3 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer | 190 |
| 5 | 1 | T58 | Probation Officer-Stenographer | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | T70 | Chief Probation Officer | 325 |

## Section 29. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Chief Administrative Officer | $ 1,000 |
| 2 | 1 | B97 | Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer | 325 |
| 3 | 1 | B415 | Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time) | 75 |

## Section 30. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B95 | Director of Finance and Records | $ 500 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |

## Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B93 | Tax Collector | $ 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B92 | Chief Clerk | 325 |
| 3 | 1 | B89 | Director, Bureau of Licenses | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 240 |
| 5 | 1 | B102 | Teller | 215 |
| 6 | 1 | B104 | Senior Teller | 240 |
| 7 | 1 | B108 | Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office | 300 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 215 |
| 9 | 16 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 185 |
| 11 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—TAX COLLECTOR (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 6* | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 12½ | 3* | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 13 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 14 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 275 |
| 15 | 1 | B237 | Tax Redemption Clerk | 200 |
| 16 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 17 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 18 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | G152 | License Adjuster | 250 |
| 20 | 2* | G152 | License Adjuster | 215 |
| 21 | 1 | B91 | Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue | 375 |
| 22 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil | 250 |
| 23 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed) | 150 |

Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B62 | Chief Clerk Elections | $ 350 |
| 2 | 1 | B64 | Chief Clerk Registrations | 350 |
| 3 | 1 | B66 | Registrar of Voters | 450 |
| 4 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 5 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 250 |
| 6 | 5 | B222 | General Clerk | 225 |
| 7 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 205 |
| 8 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 9 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 11 | 1 | B304 | Senior Addressing Machine Operator | 225 |
| 12 | 1 | B305 | Voting Machine Adjuster | 155 |
| 13 | 1 | B355 | Custodian of Voting Machines | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 15 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). | |
| | | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | |
| 16 | | B202 | Judges of Election, $5 per day | |
| 17 | | B204 | Inspectors of Election, $5 per day | |

Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—RECORDER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B80 | Chief Clerk | $ 280 |
| 2 | 1 | B81 | Recorder | 666.66 |
| 3 | 7 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 4 | B222 | General Clerk | 215 |
| 5 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 215 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 7 | 13 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 200 |
| 8 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist (7 mos. at $200; 5 mos. at $155). | |
| 9 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 10 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |

*Occupants of all positions under Items 12 and 20 and 1 of the positions under Item 12½, heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, have acquired permanent status under the rule of the Civil Service Commission adopted pursuant to Section 148 of the Charter.

## Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—COUNTY CLERK

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 18 | B152 | Courtroom Clerk | $ 200 |
| 2 | 1 | B154 | Criminal Law Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B160 | Civil Law Clerk | 250 |
| 4 | 4 | B160 | Civil Law Clerk | 200 |
| 5 | 3 | B164 | Senior Civil Law Clerk | 240 |
| 6 | 1 | B168 | Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office | 300 |
| 7 | 1 | B169 | County Clerk | 500 |
| 8 | 15 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 10 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 11 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 12 | 7 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 200 |

## Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 375 |
| 2 | 1 | B173 | Public Administrator | 666.66 |
| 3 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 4 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 300 |
| 7 | 1 | K4 | Attorney, Civil (part time) | 250 |
| 8 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney, Civil | 666.66 |

## Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B18 | Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept. | $ 466.66 |
| 2 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 3 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 6 | 1 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | B352 | Storekeeper | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 220 |
| 10 | 2 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper (8 mos. at $200; 4 mos. at $180). | |
| 12 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 180 |
| 13 | 1 | B357 | Chief Storekeeper | 325 |
| 14 | 1 | B358 | Assistant Stationery Buyer | 225 |
| 15 | 1 | B360 | Printing and Stationery Buyer | 250 |
| 16 | 2 | B362 | Produce Buyer and Storekeeper | 150 |
| 17 | 1 | B365 | Supervisor of Equipment and Supplies | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 300 |
| 19 | 1 | B364 | Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper | 200 |
| 20 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 225 |
| 21 | 1 | B366 | Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies | 200 |
| 22 | 1 | B370 | R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent | 250 |
| 23 | 1 | B371 | Purchasing Agent—Water Service | 325 |
| 24 | 1 | B372 | Purchasing Agent—Other Services | 325 |
| 25 | 1 | B374 | Purchaser of Supplies | 833.33 |
| 26 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 27 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 28 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |

Section 36. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 29 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 30 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 31 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 32 | 2 | J4 | Laborer, $6 per day | |
| 33 | 1 | J12 | Foreman Laborer | 195 |
| 34 | 1 | J66 | Garageman | 150 |
| 35 | 1 | J66 | Garageman at $6.50 per day | |
| 36 | 2 | N302 | Inspector of General Supplies | 200 |
| 36½ | — | B512 | General Clerk-Typist (as needed) | 150 |

Section 37. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | 2 | A156 | Patternmaker, $9 per day | |
| 38 | 1 | A364 | Car and Auto Painter, $10 per day | |
| 39 | 4 | A364 | Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day | |
| 40 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | $ 185 |
| 41 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 170 |
| 42 | 2 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 43 | 1 | E104 | Batteryman-Electrician, $9 per day | |
| 44 | 1 | J62 | Fire Hose Repairer | 160 |
| 45 | 1 | J66 | Garageman | 160 |
| 46 | 3 | J66 | Garageman, $6.50 per day | |
| 47 | 1 | J67 | Vulcanizer, $7 per day | |
| 48 | 1 | M2 | General Foreman Machinist | 300 |
| 49 | 1 | M3 | Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop | 350 |
| 50 | 24 | M54 | Auto Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 51 | 1 | M60 | Auto Fender and Body Worker, $9 per day | |
| 52 | 5 | M104 | Blacksmith Helper, $7.08 per day | |
| 53 | 2 | M104 | Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day | |
| 54 | 5 | M108 | Blacksmith, $9 per day | |
| 55 | 1 | M108 | Blacksmith, $8 per day | |
| 56 | 1 | M154 | Boilermaker's Helper, $6.58 per day | |
| 57 | 1 | M156 | Boilermaker, $9 per day | |
| 58 | 3 | M252 | Machinist Helper, $6.58 per day | |
| 59 | 5 | M254 | Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 60 | 1 | O10 | Driver of Light Truck, $6.50 per day | |
| 61 | 1 | O108 | Leatherworker, $9 per day | |

Section 38. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 175 |
| 2 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 275 |
| 3 | 1 | G206 | Chief Right of Way Agent | 600 |

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employ-

Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

ments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ....$ | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist .... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman.... | 200 |
| 7 | 1 | G202 | Division Right of Way Agent.... | 275 |
| 8 | 1 | G202 | Division Right of Way Agent.... | 275 |
| 9 | 1 | G204 | Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent... | 375 |
| | | | Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation. | |

Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A154 | Carpenter ....$ | 225 |
| 2 | 1 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day.... | |
| 3 | 1 | C2 | Asst. Superintendent of Auditorium.... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | C4 | Superintendent of Auditorium.... | 250 |
| 5 | 4 | C104 | Janitor .... | 155 |
| 6 | 1 | C152 | Watchman .... | 180 |
| 7 | 1 | C152 | Watchman .... | 155 |
| 8 | 1 | E108 | Electrician .... | 237.50 |
| 9 | 1 | J64 | Chair Repairer, $6.50 per day.... | |
| 10 | 1 | O168 | Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines.. | 220 |
| 11 | 1 | | Organ Repairer (part time).... | 75 |
| 12 | 1 | C101 | Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), $3 per day.... | |

Section 40. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director of Public Works....$ | 666.66 |
| 2 | 1 | B10 | Accountant .... | 250 |
| 3 | 1 | B94 | Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works .... | 400 |
| 4 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant .... | 190 |
| 5 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk .... | 200 |
| 6 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk .... | 155 |
| 7 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk .... | 300 |
| 8 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .... | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .... | 155 |
| 10 | 5 | B454 | Telephone Operator .... | 150 |
| 11 | 1 | B458 | Chief Telephone Operator.... | 165 |
| 12 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist .... | 155 |

Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk .... | 200 |
| 2 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk .... | 155 |
| 3 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk .... | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk .... | 325 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .... | 185 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .... | 155 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist .... | 185 |

## Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A8 | Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings. | $ 275 |
| 2 | 1 | A10 | Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings | 325 |
| 3 | 1 | A160 | Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W. | 250 |
| 4 | 1 | A208 | Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W. | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | A358 | General Foreman Painter, D. P. W. | 275 |
| 6 | 1 | A408 | Foreman Plumber, D. P. W. | 275 |
| 7 | 1 | A460 | Foreman Sheet Metal Worker | 300 |
| 8 | 1 | A506 | Foreman Steamfitter | 275 |
| 9 | 1 | E112 | Foreman Electrician | 275 |
| 10 | 14 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 155 |
| 11 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 12 | 1 | C54 | Elevator Starter | 180 |
| 13 | 1 | C102 | Janitress | 155 |
| 14 | 1 | C102 | Janitress (Part Time) | 75 |
| 15 | 44 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 16 | 8 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 17 | 5 | C104 | Janitor | 165 |
| 18 | 1 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 190 |
| 19 | 2 | C108 | Foreman Janitor | 175 |
| 20 | 1 | C108 | Foreman Janitor | 180 |
| 21 | 1 | C110 | Head Janitor | 260 |
| 22 | 4 | C152 | Watchman | 155 |
| 23 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 24 | 3 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 160 |
| 25 | 2 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 170 |
| 26 | 1 | C204 | Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner | 185 |
| 27 | 5 | O166 | Fireman Stationary Steam Engines | 185 |
| 28 | 6 | O168 | Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 29 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 300 |
| 30 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines | 280 |

## Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are *not* established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | A52 | Hod Carrier | day | $ 9 |
| 2 | | A56 | Bricklayer | day | 11 |
| 3 | | A58 | Marble Setter's Helper | day | 6 |
| 4 | | A60 | Marble Setter | day | 10 |
| 5 | | A62 | Tile Setter | day | 10 |
| 6 | 21 | A154 | Carpenter | day | 9 |
| 7 | | A158 | Sub-Foreman Carpenter | day | 9.50 |
| 8 | | A162 | Lather | day | 10 |
| 9 | | A172 | Hardwood Floorman | day | 10 |
| 10 | 10 | A202 | Cement Finisher Helper | day | 8 |
| 11 | 3 | A204 | Cement Finisher | day | 9 |
| 12 | | A206 | Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher | day | 9.50 |

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Cont'd)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | 2 | A252 | Glazier | day | 8.50 |
| 14 | 1 | A252 | Glazier | day | 9.50 |
| 15 | 2 | A302 | Locksmith | day | 8 |
| 16 | 1 | A352 | Painter's Shopman | day | 7 |
| 17 | 26 | A354 | Painter | day | 9 |
| 18 | | A356 | Sub-Foreman Painter | day | 9.50 |
| 19 | | A380 | Paper Hanger | day | 10 |
| 20 | 1 | A392 | Plasterer | day | 11 |
| 21 | 1 | A402 | Plumber's Shopman | day | 7 |
| 22 | 23 | A404 | Plumber | day | 10 |
| 23 | 1 | A452 | Sheet Metal Shopman | day | 6.50 |
| 24 | 10 | A456 | Sheet Metal Worker | day | 10 |
| 25 | 1 | A458 | Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker | day | 10.50 |
| 26 | 10 | A504 | Steamfitter | day | 10 |
| 27 | 1 | A551 | Apprentice | day | 7 |
| 28 | 1 | A551 | Apprentice | day | 6.50 |
| 29 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | day | 7 |
| 30 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | per month | 145 |
| 31 | 1 | C202 | Window Cleaner | per month | 155 |
| 32 | 6 | E108 | Electrician | day | 9 |
| 33 | 1 | J4 | Laborer | day | 6 |

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | F560 | Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection | 500 |
| 6 | 1 | M158 | Boiler Inspector | 250 |

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 250 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 225 |
| 3 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 4 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 5 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | F4 | Second Assistant City Engineer | 650 |
| 7 | 1 | F10 | City Engineer | 650 |
| 8 | 1 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 9 | 1 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 10 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 160 |
| 11 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 12 | 2 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | F256 | Cartographer and Art Designer | 210 |
| 14 | 3 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 16 | 1 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 375 |
| 17 | 3 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 300 |

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Cont'd)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | 1 | F262 | Sanitary Engineering Designer | 325 |
| 19 | 1 | F270 | Chief Civil Engineering Designer | 450 |
| 20 | 1 | F356 | Electrical Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 22 | 1 | F502 | Engineer Assessments and Complaints | 250 |
| 23 | 1 | F506 | Engineer Grades | 275 |
| 24 | 1 | F510 | Engineer Street Improvement Investigations | 275 |
| 25 | 1 | F510 | Engineer, Street Improvement Investigations | 250 |
| 26 | 1 | F514 | Engineer Street Improvement Plans | 300 |
| 27 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 350 |
| 28 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 315 |
| 29 | 1 | F552 | Structural Draftsman | 200 |
| 30 | 1 | F604 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 250 |
| 31 | 13 | F604 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 225 |
| 32 | 4 | F610 | Surveyors | 250 |
| 33 | 1 | F612 | Office Surveyor | 225 |
| 34 | 1 | F614 | Assistant Chief Surveyor | 275 |
| 35 | 1 | F616 | Chief Surveyor | 325 |
| 36 | 1 | L116 | Senior Engineering Chemist | 400 |
| 37 | 4 | N252 | Street Inspector | 225 |

Section 46. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING** (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS

**The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38 | 1 | B312 | Blue Printer | $ 215 |
| 39 | 1 | B314 | Photostat Operator | 225 |
| 40 | 1 | B316 | Photographer | 265 |
| 41 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 42 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 43 | 2 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 44 | 1 | C152 | Watchman (part-time) at rate of | 145 |
| 45 | 4 | F202 | Inspector, Public Works Construction | 225 |
| 46 | 4 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 47 | 24 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 48 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 275 |
| 49 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 50 | 1 | F208 | Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor | 300 |
| 51 | 1 | F210 | Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major | 400 |
| 52 | 2 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 175 |
| 53 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 160 |
| 54 | 3 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 55 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 56 | 4 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 57 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 58 | 2 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 300 |
| 59 | 1 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 275 |
| 60 | 1 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 61 | 2 | F262 | Sanitary Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 62 | 1 | F354 | Electrical Engineering Designer | 250 |

## Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

### EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE MONEYS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 63 | 5 | F452 | Mechanical Draftsman | 200 |
| 64 | 6 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 65 | 1 | F460 | Assistant Mechanical Engineer | 250 |
| 66 | 1 | F552 | Structural Draftsman | 200 |
| 67 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 68 | 14 | F604 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 225 |
| 69 | 2 | F610 | Surveyor | 250 |
| 70 | 1 | L114 | Engineering Chemist | 225 |
| 71 | 1 | O152 | Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines | $10 per day |
| 71½ | | A106 | Building Inspector | 225 |
| 72 | | F654 | Traffic Checker | 175 |
| 73 | | F102 | Architectural Draftsman | 200 |
| 74 | | F106 | Architectural Designer | 250 |
| 74½ | | F108 | Architect | 300 |
| 75 | | F352 | Electrical Draftsman | 200 |
| 76 | | F360 | Assistant Electrical Engineer | 250 |
| 77 | | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 300 |
| 78 | | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 160 |
| 79 | | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 80 | | F406 | Assistant Hydraulic Engineer | 250 |
| 81 | | F408 | Hydraulic Engineer | 300 |
| 82 | | F462 | Mechanical Engineer | 300 |
| 82½ | | F554 | Structural Engineer Designer | 275 |
| 82¾ | | F556 | Structural Engineer Inspector | 250 |
| 83 | | B210 | Office Assistant | 85 |
| 84 | | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 85 | | J4 | Laborer | $6.00 per day |
| 86 | | J6 | Waterpipe Welder | 7.50 per day |
| 87 | | J10 | Labor Sub-Foreman | 6.50 per day |
| 88 | | J12 | Labor Foreman | 7.00 per day |
| 89 | | M252 | Machinist's Helper | 7.08 per day |
| 90 | | M254 | Machinist | 9.00 per day |
| 91 | | M256 | Mechanical Inspector | 225 |

## Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 275 |
| 4 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 200 |

## Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | O208 | General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | O214 | Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair | 250 |
| 3 | 1 | O214 | Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | O216 | Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair | 375 |

Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR (Continued)

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 12 | A52 | Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at $9 per day.. | |
| 6 | 7 | A56 | Bricklayer at $11 per day..... | |
| 8 | 19 | J4 | Laborer at $6 per day..... | |
| 9 | 4 | O14 | Driver, spec. equip., at $8 per day..... | |
| 10 | 31* | O204 | Cribber at $7 per day..... | |
| 11 | 16 | O210 | Sewer Cleaner at $8.50 per day..... | |
| 12 | 1 | O208 | Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair .....$ | 250 |
| | | | Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract. | |

Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | O202 | Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....$ | 180 |

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2 | C152 | Watchman .....$ | 155 |
| 3 | 3 | C152 | Watchman ..... | 145 |
| 4 | 227 | J4 | Laborer at $6 per day ..... | |
| 5 | 6 | J10 | Laborer—Sub-Foreman at $7 per day... | |
| 6 | 6 | J10 | Laborer—Sub-Foreman at $6.50 per day. | |
| 7 | 2 | J66 | Garagemen ..... | 150 |
| 8 | 3 | J108 | District Director of Street Cleaning.... | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | J112 | Supervisor of Street Cleaning ..... | 275 |
| 10 | 16 | O12 | Truck Driver, heavy truck, at $8 per day | |
| 11 | 4 | O12 | Truck Driver, heavy truck, at $7.50 day | |
| 12 | 6 | O14 | Driver of Special Equipment at $8 day | |
| 13 | 5 | O14 | Driver of Special Equipment at $7.50 day | |
| 14 | 1 | O18 | Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at $8 per day ..... | |
| 15 | 1 | O58 | Gardener at $6 per day..... | |
| | | | Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract. | |

*Thirteen of these not included in budget estimates as compensations are paid by property owners.

## Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF STREETS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | F220 | General Superintendent of Streets | $ 500 |
| 1½ | 1 | O298 | Supervisor of Street Repair | 325 |

### DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2 | A202 | Cement Finisher's Helper at $8 per day | |
| 3 | 3 | A204 | Cement Finisher at $9 per day | |
| 4 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant at $5.40 per day | |
| 5 | 25 | J4 | Laborers at $6 per day | |
| 6 | 1 | J10 | Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at $6 per day | |
| 7 | 2 | J12 | Laborer, Foreman, at $7 per day | |
| 8 | 1 | M254 | Machinist at $9 per day | |
| 9 | 11 | O12 | Truck Driver, heavy truck, at $8 per day | |
| 10 | 2 | O14 | Driver, Spec. Equipment, at $8 per day | |
| 11 | 5 | O152 | Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at $10 per day | |
| 12 | 1 | O168 | Engineer, Sta. St. Eng. | $ 220 |
| 13 | 1 | O252 | Dryerman at $9 per day | |
| 14 | 1 | O254 | Foreman, Asph. Plant, at $10 per day | |
| 15 | 3 | O260 | Rammer at $7 per day | |
| 16 | 2 | O264 | Paver at $8 per day | |
| 17 | 9 | O268 | Granite Cutters at $9.50 per day | |
| 18 | 2 | O274 | Asphalt Mixerman at $9 per day | |
| 19 | 28 | O276 | Asphalt Workers at $7.50 per day | |
| 20 | 11 | O278 | Asphalt Finishers at $8 per day | |
| 21 | 2 | O282 | Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at $9 per day | |
| 22 | 1 | O294 | General Foreman, Street Repair | 275 |
| 23 | 1 | O294 | General Foreman, Street Repair | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | O294 | General Foreman, Street Repair | 225 |
| | | | BRIDGES | |
| 25 | 6 | C153 | Bridge Attendant | 155 |
| 26 | 5 | C153 | Bridge Attendant | 145 |
| 27 | 10 | O168 | Engineer Sta. St. Engines | 220 |
| 28 | 1 | O168 | Engr. Sta. St. Engines (Relief) at rate of | 220 |
| 29 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines | 275 |
| | | | Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract. | |

## Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 10 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 3 | 2 | F102 | Architectural Draftsman | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | F112 | City Architect | 600 |
| 5 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 6 | 1 | F104 | Architectural Estimator | 250 |

## Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 225 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 4 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 4 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | E2 | Line Inspector | 210 |
| 8 | 13 | E4 | Electrical Inspector | 250 |
| 9 | 1 | E8 | Chief Electrical Inspector | 275 |
| 10 | 8 | E52 | Fire Dispatcher | 225 |
| 11 | 1 | E54 | Chief Fire Dispatcher | 250 |
| 12 | 1 | E108 | Electrician | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | E108 | Electrician at $9 per day | |
| 14 | 1 | E110 | Radio Maintenance Man at $8 per day | |
| 15 | 1 | E116 | Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity | 300 |
| 16 | 1 | E154 | Lineman | 220 |
| 17 | 9 | E154 | Lineman | 215 |
| 18 | 3 | E154 | Lineman | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | E156 | Cable Splicer at $9.50 per day | |
| 20 | 2 | E160 | Foreman Lineman | 240 |
| 21 | 1 | F366 | Chief, Department of Electricity | 416.66 |
| 22 | 3 | J4 | Laborer at $6 per day | |
| 23 | 1 | J12 | Labor Foreman | 195 |
| 24 | 1 | J66 | Garageman | 162.50 |
| 25 | 1 | J76 | Traffic Button Maintenance Man at $9 per day | |
| 26 | 2 | M254 | Machinist | 225 |
| 27 | 5 | M260 | Instrument Maker | 225 |
| 28 | 1 | M264 | Foreman Instrument Maker | 250 |

## Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE

### ADMINISTRATION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 160 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 100 |
| 4 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 5 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 125 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 155 |
| 9 | | I103 | Institutional Help | 79.50 |
| 10 | 1 | L14 | Assistant Director of Public Health | 450 |
| 11 | 1 | L18 | Director of Public Health | 833.33 |

### ACCOUNTING

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 12½ | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 13 | 2 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 150 |
| 14 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 400 |
| 15 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 16 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

STATISTICS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 18 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 185 |
| 19 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 20 | 2 | B238 | Hospital Statistician | 190 |
| 21 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |

MEAT INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 22 | 6 | N56 | Market Inspector | 200 |
| 23 | 1 | N58 | Chief Market Inspector | 225 |
| 24 | 8 | N60 | Abattoir Inspector | 200 |
| 25 | 3 | N62 | Veterinarian | 201 |
| 26 | 7 | N62 | Veterinarian | 200 |

COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 28 | 4 | J74 | Rat Catcher | 115 |
| 29 | 4 | L370 | Epidemiologist (part time) | 225 |
| 30 | 1 | L371 | Director, Bureau of Communicable Diseases (part time) | 350 |
| 31 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | 175 |

CLINICS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 32 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) | 75 |
| 33 | 2 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 150 |
| 34 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 100 |
| 35 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 75 |
| 36 | 1 | L404 | Psychologist | 175 |
| 37 | 4 | L404 | Psychologist | 150 |
| 38 | 1 | L404 | Psychologist (part time) | 75 |
| 39 | 1 | L408 | Chief Psychologist (part time) | 200 |
| 40 | 1 | L408 | Chief Psychologist (part time | 150 |
| 41 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | 150 |

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORY

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 42 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 43 | 1 | C102 | Janitress | 75 |
| 44 | | I103 | Institutional Help | 79.50 |
| 45 | 1 | L52 | Bacteriological Laboratory Technician | 125 |
| 46 | 1 | L56 | Bacteriologist | 225 |
| 47 | 3 | L56 | Bacteriologist | 175 |
| 48 | 1 | L60 | Bacteriological Milk Inspector | 250 |
| 49 | 1 | L64 | Consultant, Bacteriologist (part time) | 75 |

SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 50 | 1 | L252 | Optometrist (part time) | 150 |
| 51 | 1 | L368 | Director Bureau Child Hygiene | 333.33 |
| 52 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician | 250 |
| 53 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 175 |
| 54 | 11 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 150 |
| 54½ | 1 | L602 | Audiometer Technician | 125 |

## Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

### DENTAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 55 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | $ 50 |
| 56 | 2 | L152 | Dental Hygienist | 150 |
| 57 | 13 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 100 |
| 58 | 1 | L158 | Director Dental Bureau (part time) | 250 |

### CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 59 | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician | 250 |
| 59½ | 1 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 200 |
| 60 | 4 | L364 | Pediatrician (part time) | 150 |
| 61 | 2 | L364 | Pediatrician at $5 per day (part time) | |

### MILK AND FOOD INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 62 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 63 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 64 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 100 |
| 65 | 7 | N52 | Food and Restaurant Inspector | 200 |
| 66 | 10 | N52 | Food and Restaurant Inspector | 185 |
| 67 | 2 | N52 | Food and Restaurant Inspector | 175 |
| 68 | 1 | N54 | Chief Food Inspector | 325 |
| 69 | 2 | N64 | Dairy Inspector | 300 |
| 70 | 1 | N64 | Dairy Inspector | 225 |
| 71 | 1 | N64 | Dairy Inspector | 200 |

### CHEMICAL LABORATORY

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 72 | 1 | L102 | Food Chemist Assistant | $ 125 |
| 73 | 1 | L104 | Food Chemist | 225 |
| 73½ | 1 | L104 | Food Chemist Assistant | 175 |
| 74 | 1 | L106 | Senior Food Chemist | 250 |

### PLUMBING INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 75 | 1 | A412 | Plumbing Inspector | 250 |
| 76 | 7 | A412 | Plumbing Inspector | 240 |
| 77 | 1 | A416 | Chief Plumbing Inspector | 325 |
| 78 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |

### HOUSING INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 79 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 80 | 9 | N204 | Housing Inspector | 200 |
| 81 | 1 | N206 | Chief Housing Inspector | 275 |

### INDUSTRIAL INSPECTION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 82 | 2 | N205 | Industrial Inspector | 200 |
| 83 | 1 | N205 | Industrial Inspector | 185 |
| 84 | 1 | N208 | Chief Industrial Inspector | 275 |

### CITY PHYSICIANS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 85 | 4 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 300 |
| 86 | 2 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 150 |
| 87 | 1 | L362 | Supervisor of City Physicians (part time) | 300 |

### FIELD NURSING, ADMINISTRATION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 88 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 89 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 90 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 91 | 1 | P54 | Supervising Field Nurse | 225 |
| 92 | 8 | P54 | Supervising Field Nurse | 190 |
| 93 | 1 | P54 | Supervising Field Nurse | 175 |
| 94 | 1 | P58 | Director of Field Nursing | 275 |

## Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

### FIELD NURSING, SCHOOLS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 95 | 38 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |

### FIELD NURSING, OTHER

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 96 | 19 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |
| 97 | 1 | P101 | Chinese Visiting Nurse | 165 |

### SOCIAL SERVICE

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 98 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |
| 99 | 1 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 180 |
| 100 | 1 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 165 |
| 101 | 1 | T160 | Senior Social Service Investigator | 215 |

### TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 102 | 7 | P52 | Field Nurse | 165 |

### OUTPATIENT MATERNITY SERVICE

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 103 | 1 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 300 |
| 104 | 1 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 190 |
| 105 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 100 |
| 106 | 2 | P102 | Registered Nurse | 125 |

## Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—LAGUNA HONDA HOME

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 3 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 125 |
| 4 | 1 | I8 | Head Baker | 190 |
| 5 | 3 | I12 | Cook | 165 |
| 6 | 1 | I12 | Cook (part time) | 75 |
| 7 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | I16 | Chef | 200 |
| 9 | 1 | I22 | Butcher | 125 |
| 10 | 1 | I24 | Senior Butcher | 235 |
| 11 | | I26 | Hog Killer, $9 per day (as needed) | |
| 12 | 4 | I54 | Waitress | 110 |
| 13 | 1 | I58 | Dining Room Steward | 140 |
| 14 | | I102 | Inmate Help, not over | 50 |
| 15 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 16 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 140 |
| 17 | 4 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 18 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 19 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 120 |
| 20 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 21 | 1 | I110 | Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 22 | 2 | I112 | Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |
| 23 | 1 | I154 | Laundress | 95 |
| 24 | 1 | I164 | Marker and Distributor | 127 |
| 25 | 1 | I170 | Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |

Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | 1 | I174 | Superintendent of Laundry | 189 |
| 27 | 1 | I254 | Seamstress | 90 |
| 28 | 1 | I256 | Head Seamstress (deduct for R.) | 125 |
| 29 | 1 | I302 | Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 30 | 1 | I304 | Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 31 | 1 | L8 | Assistant to Superintendent | 275 |
| 32 | 1 | L10 | Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance) | 733.33 |
| 33 | 1 | L54 | Assistant Bacteriologist | 100 |
| 34 | 1 | L202 | Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 35 | 1 | L306 | Senior Pharmacist | 200 |
| 36 | 5 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 37 | 1 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 38 | 2 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 39 | 1 | L360 | Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |
| 40 | 1 | L452 | X-ray Technician | 150 |
| 41 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 42 | 1 | O52 | Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 43 | 1 | O54 | Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 220 |
| 44 | 1 | O58 | Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 45 | 1 | O60 | Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 46 | 3 | O168 | Engineer Sta. Steam Engines | 220 |
| 47 | 1 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 48 | 22 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 49 | 4 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 50 | 1 | P118 | Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |
| 51 | 1 | P208 | Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |

Section 57 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—ISOLATION HOSPITAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 77.50 |
| 2 | 1 | C152 | Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 145 |
| 3 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | I54 | Waitress | 110 |
| 5 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 6 | 1 | I204 | Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 85 |
| 7 | 1 | I254 | Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 8 | 2 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 9 | 1 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 52.50 |
| 10 | 1 | L372 | Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 385 |
| 12 | | P54 | Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 to 39.50 |
| 13 | 7 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 15 | 1 | P116 | Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 235 |

## Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | $ 165 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 4 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 125 |
| 5 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | B238 | Hospital Statistician | 190 |
| 7 | 1 | B238 | Hospital Statistician | 160 |
| 8 | 7 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 100 |
| 10 | 12 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for R.) | 75 |
| 11 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 12 | 2 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 125 |
| 13 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 13½ | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 160 |
| 14 | 1 | C6 | Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 260 |
| 15 | 2 | C152 | Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 145 |
| 16 | 2 | E108 | Electrician | 237.50 |
| 17 | 1 | I6 | Pastry Cook | 175 |
| 18 | 8 | I12 | Cook | 165 |
| 19 | 1 | I16 | Chef | 200 |
| 20 | 8 | I54 | Waitress | 110 |
| 21 | 8 | I56 | Waiter | 110 |
| 22 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 23 | 1 | I118 | Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 24 | 1 | I122 | House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 25 | 1 | I122 | House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 26 | 14 | I152 | Flat Work Ironer | 85 |
| 27 | 5 | I154 | Laundress | 98 |
| 28 | 4 | I154 | Laundress | 94 |
| 29 | 1 | I154 | Laundress | 93 |
| 30 | 7 | I154 | Laundress | 92 |
| 31 | 1 | I156 | Starcher | 118 |
| 32 | 1 | I164 | Sorter | 127 |
| 33 | 1 | I164 | Marker and Distributor | 127 |
| 34 | 1 | I166 | Wringerman | 136.33 |
| 35 | 2 | I170 | Washer | 129.50 |
| 36 | 1 | I172 | Head Washer | 152.50 |
| 37 | 1 | I178 | Superintendent of Laundry | 200 |
| 38 | 1 | I206 | Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 39 | 1 | I208 | Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 40 | 1 | I210 | Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 125 |
| 41 | 2 | I254 | Seamstress | 90 |
| 42 | 1 | I256 | Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 43 | 1 | J4 | Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |

## Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 1 | L2 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 310 |
| 45 | 1 | L6 | Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance) | 733.33 |
| 46 | 1 | L70 | Physio-Therapist (part time) | 100 |

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 47 | 2 | L72 | Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) | 75 |
| 48 | 2 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 50 |
| 49 | 4 | L202 | Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 50 | 1 | L206 | Chief Dietitian | 175 |
| 51 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 225 |
| 52 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 200 |
| 53 | 2 | L304 | Pharmacist | 190 |
| 54 | 1 | L306 | Senior Pharmacist | 250 |
| 55 | 42 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 56 | 19 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 52.50 |
| 57 | 7 | L356 | Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 65 |
| 58 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 75 |
| 59 | 3 | L372 | Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 60 | 1 | L372 | Resident Physician | 175 |
| 61 | 1 | L452 | X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 102.50 |
| 62 | 3 | L452 | X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 63 | 1 | L456 | Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 64 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 200 |
| 65 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 100 |
| 66 | 1 | M255 | Bracemaker | 77.50 |
| 67 | 1 | O60 | Head Gardener (deduct for R.) | 150 |
| 68 | 4 | O166 | Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine | 185 |
| 69 | 4 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine | 220 |
| 70 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) | 280 |

Section 60. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—**
**SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL** (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 71 | 1 | P52 | Field Nurse | $ 165 |
| 72 | 124 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 74 | | P103 | Special Nurses (as needed), 8 hrs. | |
| 74½ | | P103 | Special Nurses (as needed), 10 hrs. | |
| 75 | | P103 | Special Nurses (as needed), 12 hrs., with meals | |
| 75½ | | P103 | Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 8 hrs. | |
| 76 | | P103 | Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 10 hrs. | |
| 76½ | | P103 | Special Nurses (Virulent Communicable Diseases and Violent Patients), 12 hrs. | |
| 77 | | P103 | Special Nurses, Additional Patient $2 extra | |
| 78 | 33 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 79 | 1 | P104 | Head Nurse | 135 |
| 80 | 1 | P110 | Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 81 | 1 | P110 | Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 82 | 2 | P110 | Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 170 |
| 84 | 1 | P122 | Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 285 |
| 85 | 4 | P204 | Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 86 | 1 | P206 | Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 87 | 1 | P208 | Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 145 |
| 88 | 18 | P206 | Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 89 | 1 | P210 | Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 90 | 1 | P212 | Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 91 | 1 | P214 | Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 92 | 1 | P216 | Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 150 |
| 93 | | P254 | Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 to 39.50 |
| 94 | 2 | P304 | Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 95 | 1 | P306 | Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 185 |
| 96 | 1 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 150 |
| 97 | 1 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 140 |
| 98 | 2 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 120 |
| 99 | 2 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 180 |
| 100 | 8 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 140 |
| | | | TEMPORARY AND RELIEF SERVICES | |
| 101 | 14 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |

Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—EMERGENCY HOSPITALS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B352 | Storekeeper | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 3 | 12 | L504 | Emergency Hospital Surgeon | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | L506 | Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | L508 | Chief Surgeon | 250 |
| 6 | 14 | O6 | Ambulance Driver | 200 |
| 7 | 1 | O6 | Ambulance Driver | 185 |
| 8 | 4 | O6 | Ambulance Driver | 175 |
| 9 | 5 | P2 | Emergency Hospital Steward | 165 |
| 10 | 21 | P2 | Emergency Hospital Steward | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | P4 | Chief Emergency Hospital Steward | 250 |
| 12 | 13 | P102 | Registered Nurse | 165 |
| 13 | 6 | P102 | Registered Nurse | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | P102 | Registered Nurse (Relief) at $5 per day | |

## Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—HASSLER HEALTH HOME

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 87.50 |
| 2 | 1 | C152 | Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 87.50 |
| 3 | 2 | I12 | Cook (deduct for R. & L.) | 162.50 |
| 4 | 1 | I14 | Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.) | 182.50 |
| 5 | | I103 | Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than | 80 |
| 6 | 2 | I116 | Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 92.50 |
| 7 | 1 | I254 | Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 92.50 |
| 8 | 2 | J4 | Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 9 | 1 | J4 | Laborer at $5 per day | |
| 10 | 1 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 50 |
| 11 | 1 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 12 | 1 | L363 | Resident Physician and Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 310 |
| 13 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |
| 14 | 1 | O54 | Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal) | 210 |
| 15 | 1 | O58 | Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 16 | 1 | O58 | Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 92.50 |
| 17 | 4 | P102 | Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 18 | 1 | P104 | Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 19 | 1 | P112 | Superintendent of Nursing, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 160 |

### AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are available:

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 20 | 1 | I116 | Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 85 |

## Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | $ 185 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 185 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B510 | Braille Typist | 150 |
| 6 | 3 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator | 150 |
| 8 | 4 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 180 |
| 9 | 4 | T156 | Social Service Investigator | 150 |
| 10 | 1 | T160 | Senior Social Service Investigator | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | T162 | Director of County Welfare Department | 300 |

## Section 64. CORONER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter | $ 200 |
| 2 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 3 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 165 |
| 4 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 6 | 1 | I106 | Morgue Attendant | 95 |

Section 64. CORONER (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | 1 | L52 | Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.. | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | L52 | Bacteriological Laboratory Technician.. | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | L62 | Pathologist (part time) ..... | 125 |
| 10 | 1 | L102 | Food Chemist Assistant ..... | 95 |
| 11 | 1 | L110 | Toxicologist (part time) ..... | 150 |
| 12 | 1 | L502 | Autopsy Surgeon ..... | 325 |
| 12½ | 1 | L502 | Autopsy Surgeon ..... | 100 |
| 13 | 2 | N4 | Coroner's Investigator ..... | 215 |
| 14 | 1 | N4 | Coroner's Investigator ..... | 200 |
| 15 | 1 | N8 | Coroner's Chief Investigator ..... | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | N10 | Coroner ..... | 666.66 |
| 17 | 3 | O8 | Morgue Ambulance Driver ..... | 200 |
| 18 | 1 | O8 | Morgue Ambulance Driver ..... | 175 |

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT—AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .....$ | 150 |
| 2 | 1 | N154 | Horticultural Inspector ..... | 250 |
| 3 | 3 | N154 | Horticultural Inspector ..... | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | N156 | County Agricultural Commissioner .... | 400 |

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist .....$ | 225 |
| 2 | 1 | N356 | Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures . . . ..... | 250 |
| 3 | 3 | N354 | Inspector of Weights and Measures .... | 225 |
| 4 | 1 | N354 | Inspector of Weights and Measures .... | 175 |
| 5 | 1 | N358 | Sealer of Weights and Measures ..... | 325 |

Section 67. CONTROLLER

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Controller .....$ | 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 200 |
| 3 | 8 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 185 |
| 4 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 180 |
| 5 | 4 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 3 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 200 |
| 7 | 6 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 190 |
| 8 | 1 | B7 | Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements..... | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B8 | Supervisor of Disbursements..... | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | B10 | Accountant ..... | 210 |
| 11 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant ..... | 325 |
| 12 | 2 | B14 | Senior Accountant ..... | 285 |
| 13 | 1 | B21 | Chief Assistant Controller..... | 575 |
| 13½ | 1 | B26 | Supervisor of Budget Statistics..... | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B28 | Supervisor of General Audits..... | 325 |
| 15 | 1 | B30 | Supervisor of Utilities Audits..... | 325 |
| 16 | 1 | B55 | Supervisor of Pay Rolls ..... | 310 |
| 17 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) ..... | 79.50 |
| 18 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 190 |
| 20 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 185 |
| 21 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 22 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 25 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 185 |
| 26 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 27 | 2 | B234 | Head Clerk | 225 |
| 28 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 210 |
| 29 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 190 |
| 30 | 2 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 175 |
| 31 | 3 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 165 |
| 32 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 155 |
| 33 | 2 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 34 | 2 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 35 | 5 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 36 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 37 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 38 | 1 | B417 | Confidential Secretary to the Controller | 225 |
| 39 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator | 155 |
| 40 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 41 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 42 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 43 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney—Civil | 400 |
| 44 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services | 150 |
| 45 | | | Field Bookkeepers or Accountants, (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) | 200 |

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | Commissioners, $15 per meeting | |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) | $ 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $12.50 per meeting | |
| 4 | 1 | F158 | City Planning Engineer and Secretary | 350 |
| 5 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | F255 | City Planning Draftsman (as needed) | 200 |

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | | Commissioners | $ 100 |
| 2 | 1 | | Manager of Utilities | 1,000 |
| 3 | 1 | B22 | Asst. Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Utilities Commission | 410 |
| 4 | 1 | B60 | Secretary, Public Utilities Commission | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | B53 | Director of Public Relations | 400 |
| 6 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 7 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 8 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 9 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 10 | 1 | G106 | Claims Adjuster | 350 |
| 11 | 1 | O4 | Special Chauffeur | 200 |
| 12 | 1 | S112 | Inspector, Municipal Railway | 210 |
| 13 | 1 | S112 | Inspector, Municipal Railway | 200 |

## Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 150 |
| 2 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 125 |
| 3 | 1 | F52 | Meteorological Computor | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | F54 | Meteorologist | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | F60 | Assistant Superintendent | 250 |
| 6 | 1 | F62 | Superintendent | 500 |
| | | | TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES | |
| 7 | | A154 | Carpenter, $9 per day | |
| 8 | | A354 | Painter, $9 per day | |
| 10 | | E108 | Electrician, $9 per day | |
| 12 | | J52 | Airport Attendant | 150 |

## Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 275 |
| 3 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant | 85 |
| 4 | 1 | B246 | Map Clerk | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 7 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | F204 | Civil Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | F214 | Construction Engineer | 300 |
| 11 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 12 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 14 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 200 |
| 15 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 240 |
| 17 | 1 | F320 | Senior Civil Engineer | 550 |
| 18 | 2 | F356 | Electrical Engineering Inspector | 225 |
| 19 | 1 | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 350 |
| 20 | 1 | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 300 |
| 21 | | F370 | Chief Electrical Engineer | 650 |
| 21½ | 1 | F372 | Manager and Chief Engineer, Electric Power Bureau | 833.33 |
| 22 | 1 | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 160 |
| 23 | 4 | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer | 265 |
| 24 | 1 | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 25 | 1 | F406 | Assistant Hydraulic Engineer | 330 |
| 26 | 1 | F408 | Hydraulic Engineer | 400 |
| 27 | 1 | F452 | Mechanical Draftsman | 200 |
| 28 | 1 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 290 |
| 29 | 1 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 30 | 1 | F456 | Designer Street Railway Equipment | 290 |
| 31 | 1 | F462 | Mechanical Engineer | 265 |
| 32 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 350 |
| 33 | 1 | F518 | Office Engineer | 300 |
| 34 | 1 | F552 | Structural Draftsman | 225 |
| 35 | 1 | F554 | Structural Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 36 | 1 | F556 | Structural Engineering Inspector | 250 |

## Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—ENGINEERING (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | 1 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 175 |
| 38 | 1 | N102 | Light-Water Complaint Investigator | 200 |
| 39 | 1 | O4 | Special Chauffeur | 225 |
| 40 | 1 | O152 | Engineer, Hoisting and Portable Engines, $10 per day | |
| | | | CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED | |
| 41 | | F12 | Consulting Engineer, Utilities | 650 |
| 42 | | F102 | Architectural Draftsman | 200 |
| 43 | | F104 | Architectural Estimator | 250 |
| 44 | | F106 | Architectural Designer | 250 |
| 45 | | F108 | Architect | 300 |
| 46 | | F202 | Inspector Public Works Construction | 200 |
| 50 | | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 160 |
| 52 | | F256 | Cartographer and Art Designer | 210 |
| 53 | | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 54 | | F351 | Junior Electrical Engineer | 160 |
| 55 | | F352 | Electrical Engineering Draftsman | 200 |
| 56 | | F354 | Electrical Engineering Designer | 250 |
| 59 | | F460 | Assistant Mechanical Engineer | 250 |
| 62 | | F558 | Structural Engineer | 250 |
| 64 | | F610 | Surveyor | 250 |
| 65 | | M256 | Mechanical Inspector | 225 |
| 66 | | O2 | Chauffeur | 170 |
| 67 | | | Seasonal Clerical Service (as needed) | 150 |

## Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | A154 | Carpenter, $9 per day | |
| 2 | 9 | A364 | Car and Auto Painter, $9 per day | |
| 3 | 1 | A370 | Foreman Car and Auto Paint Shop | $ 250 |
| 4 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 225 |
| 5 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 325 |
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 7 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 4 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 9 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 240 |
| 10 | 2 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 11 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 250 |
| 12 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 225 |
| 13 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 14 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 15 | 2 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 16 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 17 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator (relief) | 145 |
| 18 | 28 | C104 | Janitor | 160 |
| 19 | 8 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 20 | 3 | C104 | Janitor, $5.80 per day | |
| 21 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 135 |
| 22 | 2 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor, $6.30 per day | |
| 23 | 1 | E106 | Armature Winder, $9 per day | |
| 24 | 1 | E108 | Electrician, $9 per day | |
| 25 | 6 | E154 | Lineman, $7.40 per day | |
| 26 | 1 | E160 | Foreman Lineman | 215 |
| 27 | 1 | F216 | Maintenance of Way Engineer | 300 |
| 28 | 4 | J4 | Laborer, $5.80 per day | |
| 29 | 5 | J66 | Garageman, $6.80 per day | |

## Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | 48 | J152 | Trackman, $5.80 per day | |
| 31 | 2 | J160 | Track Welder, $6.30 per day | |
| 32 | 2 | J162 | Car Repairer Welder, $7.50 per day | |
| 33 | 3 | J166 | Track Foreman, $6.30 per day | |
| 34 | 1 | J168 | General Foreman of Track Maintenance | 250 |
| 35 | 1 | M5 | Assistant Master Mechanic | 300 |
| 36 | 1 | M6 | Master Mechanic | 350 |
| 37 | 3 | M54 | Auto Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 38 | 1 | M56 | Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway | 250 |
| 39 | 2 | M104 | Blacksmith Helper, $8 per day | |
| 40 | 2 | M108 | Blacksmith, $9 per day | |
| 41 | 49 | M202 | Car Repairer, $6.80 per day | |
| 42 | 5 | M206 | Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, $7.30 per day | |
| 43 | 2 | M208 | Foreman Car Repairer, $7.80 per day | |
| 44 | 6 | M254 | Machinist, $9 per day | |
| 45 | 1 | O12 | Truck Driver Heavy Truck, $8 per day | |
| 46 | 1 | S10 | Manager Municipal Railway | 700 |
| 47 | 1 | S60 | Instructor Municipal Railway | 240 |
| 48 | 427 | S102 | Conductor, 75c per hour | |
| 49 | 420 | S104 | Motorman, 75c per hour | |
| 50 | 50 | S106 | Bus Operator, 80c per hour | |
| 51 | 5 | S110 | Junior Inspector Municipal Railway | 175 |
| 52 | 8 | S110 | Junior Inspector Municipal Railway | 165 |
| 53 | 12 | S112 | Inspector Municipal Railway | 200 |
| 54 | 5 | S112 | Inspector Municipal Railway | 180 |
| 55 | 2 | S120 | Day Dispatcher | 210 |
| 56 | 1 | S124 | Supervisor of Schedules | 210 |
| 57 | 2 | S128 | Division Superintendent Municipal Ry. | 275 |
| 58 | 1 | S132 | Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway | 350 |
| 59 | 1 | U108 | Compressor Operator, portable, $6.30 per day | |

## Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

### 1. EXECUTIVE

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | $ 175 |
| 2 | 1 | U44 | Manager, Water Department | 833.33 |

### 2. ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 200 |
| 4 | 2 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 275 |
| 5 | 2 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 6 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 400 |
| 7 | 1 | B24 | Auditor | 700 |
| 8 | 1 | B109 | Cashier | 325 |
| 9 | 1 | B210 | Office Boy (Apprentice) | 75 |
| 10 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 11 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 12 | 4 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |

### 4. WATER PURIFICATION DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 14 | 3 | F524 | Water Purification Engineer | 175 |
| 15 | 1 | F526 | Chief Water Purification Engineer | 350 |

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Cont'd)

5. ENGINEERING

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 | 1 | B315 | Photographer—Water Service | 200 |
| 17 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 18 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 19 | 1 | F2 | Assistant Engineer—Water Service | 500 |
| 20 | 1 | F206 | Senior Civil Engineering Inspector | 250 |
| 21 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 175 |
| 22 | 2 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman | 225 |
| 23 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | F406 | Assistant Hydraulic Engineer | 250 |
| 25 | 1 | F408 | Hydraulic Engineer | 375 |

6. HOUSE SERVICE

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 165 |
| 27 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 160 |
| 29 | 3 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 30 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 31 | 1 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 175 |
| 32 | 1 | I122 | House Mother (part time) | 100 |

7. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 33 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 34 | 1 | V30 | Assistant Superintendent | 200 |
| 35 | 1 | V40 | Superintendent | 600 |

8. WATER SALES DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 36 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant | 85 |
| 37 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant | 75 |
| 38 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 39 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 40 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 41 | 1 | N420 | Consumers Complaint Investigator | 225 |
| 42 | 1 | U80 | Assistant Manager | 375 |
| 43 | 1 | U88 | Manager | 475 |

9. SERVICE AND SUPPLY

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 45 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 225 |
| 46 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 47 | 7 | U122 | Shut-off Man | 175 |
| 48 | 1 | U123 | Service Inspector | 185 |
| 49 | 1 | U124 | Special Complaint Inspector | 200 |
| 50 | 1 | U126 | Meter Inspector | 175 |
| 51 | 1 | U132 | Contractor's and Builder's Inspector | 225 |

Section 74. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

10. CONSUMERS' PREMISES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | U126 | Meter Inspector | $ 175 |
| 2 | 1 | U128 | Chief Meter Inspector | 200 |

11. WATER SALES DIVISION—METER READING

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 7 | B247 | Meter Reader | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | B247 | Meter Reader | 165 |
| 5 | 7 | B247 | Meter Reader | 150 |

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

12. CONSUMERS' ACCOUNTS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 7 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 8 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 9 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 10 | 8 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 11 | 5 | B222 | General Clerk (part time) | 75 |
| 11½ | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 225 |
| 12 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 215 |
| 13 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 14 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 180 |
| 15 | 1 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 160 |
| 16 | 1 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 17 | 12 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 175 |
| 18 | 5 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 21 | 1 | U56 | Assistant Supervisor — Consumers' Accounts | 290 |
| 22 | 1 | U60 | Supervisor Consumers' Accounts | 350 |

13. WATER SALES DIVISION—COLLECTIONS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 24 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 180 |
| 25 | 18 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 26 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 160 |
| 27 | 14 | B222 | General Clerk | 150 |
| 28 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 29 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 30 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 31 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 32 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 33 | 1 | U52 | Supervisor of Collections | 300 |

14. DOCKS AND SHIPPING

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 34 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 35 | 1 | U51 | Supervisor Docks and Shipping | 275 |
| 36 | 1 | U125 | Hoseman—Ships and Docks | 190 |
| 37 | 1 | U125 | Hoseman—Ships and Docks | 160 |

15. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 39 | 1 | B356 | Senior Storekeeper | 265 |
| 40 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 41 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 42 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 43 | 1 | F252 | Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman | 175 |
| 44 | 1 | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 160 |
| 45 | 1 | F401 | Junior Hydraulic Engineer | 175 |
| 46 | 1 | M266 | Foreman Meter Repairs | 200 |
| 47 | 1 | M268 | Foreman Machinist | 285 |
| 48 | 2 | O58 | Gardener | 135 |
| 49 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 165 |
| 50 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 165 |
| 51 | 2 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 160 |
| 52 | 1 | U136 | General Foreman—Service and Meters | 300 |
| 53 | 1 | U140 | General Foreman—Main Pipes | 325 |
| 54 | 1 | U142 | Assistant Superintendent | 350 |
| 55 | 1 | U144 | Superintendent | 500 |

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

16. PUMPS

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 56 | 1 | O162 | Boiler Cleaner | 165 |
| 57 | 3 | O164 | Oiler | 175 |
| 58 | 4 | O166 | Fireman Stationary Steam Engines | 185 |
| 59 | 4 | O168 | Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 60 | 1 | O170 | Assistant Chief Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines | 235 |

18. PENINSULA DIVISION

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 61 | 1 | O166 | Fireman Stationary Steam Engines (deduct for house) | 185 |
| 62 | 1 | O167 | Engine Room Attendant (relief) | 185 |
| 63 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines | 265 |
| 64 | 4 | U214 | Pump Operator | 165 |
| 65 | 1 | U214 | Pump Operator (deduct for house) | 165 |
| 66 | 1 | U215 | Head Pump Operator | 200 |
| 67 | 1 | U215 | Head Pump Operator (deduct for house) | 200 |

Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

19. MILLBRAE STATION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | A404 | Plumber | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | C104 | Janitress (part time) (less room and board) | 45.50 |
| 7 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 9 | 1 | E154 | Lineman | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | I12 | Cook (less house) | 130 |
| 11 | 1 | U227 | General Maintenance Foreman (less house) | 210 |
| 12 | 1 | U228 | Country Meterman | 175 |
| 13 | 2 | U230 | Maintenance Foreman | 200 |
| 14 | 1 | U236 | Assistant Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) | 300 |
| 15 | 1 | U246 | Superintendent—Peninsula Division (deduct for house) | 466.66 |

20. PENINSULA DIVISION—RESERVOIRS

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 | 1 | I60 | Housekeeper (part time) | 35 |
| 17 | 2 | I60 | Housekeeper (part time) | 20 |
| 18 | 4 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 160 |
| 19 | 2 | U212 | Ranger (deduct for house) | 145 |
| 20 | 1 | U212 | Ranger | 135 |

21. ALAMEDA SYSTEM

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 22 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 150 |
| 23 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 24 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper (deduct for house) | 160 |
| 25 | 1 | U212 | Ranger | 145 |
| 26 | 1 | U212 | Ranger (deduct for house) | 145 |
| 27 | 2 | U214 | Pump Operator | $6 a day |

Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

21. ALAMEDA SYSTEM.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28 | 1 | U214 | Pump Operator (part time) | 50 |
| 29 | 1 | U215 | Head Pump Operator (deduct for house) | 200 |
| 30 | 1 | U226 | General Maintenance Foreman | 200 |
| 31 | 1 | U230 | Maintenance Foreman | 200 |
| 32 | 1 | U231 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for house) | 225 |
| 33 | 1 | U232 | Superintendent (deduct for house) | 300 |
| | | | 22. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION | |
| 34 | 2 | A154 | Carpenter ....day | 9 |
| 35 | 21 | J4 | Laborers ....day | 6 |
| 36 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver (light truck) ....day | 7.50 |
| 37 | 1 | O10 | Truck Driver (light truck) ....day | 6.50 |
| 38 | 2 | U104 | Leadman ....day | 6 |
| 39 | 3 | U108 | Compressor Operator ....day | 7.50 |
| 40 | 13 | U112 | Pipe Caulker ....day | 7.50 |
| 41 | 5 | U114 | Main Pipe Foreman ....day | 7.75 |
| 42 | 8 | U120 | Gateman ....day | 7.75 |
| 43 | 2 | U206 | Water Department Worker ....day | 6 |
| | | | 23. MACHINE SHOP AND MISCELLANEOUS | |
| 44 | 1 | A551 | Apprentice ....day | 7.00 |
| 45 | 1 | J66 | Garageman ....day | 6.50 |
| 46 | 5 | M54 | Auto Machinist ....day | 9 |
| 47 | 4 | M254 | Machinist ....day | 9 |
| | | | 24. SERVICE AND METER | |
| 48 | 10 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 49 | 1 | U116 | Service Man ....day | 7.75 |
| 50 | 15 | U116 | Service Man ....day | 7.50 |
| | | | 25. CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION | |
| | | | Reservoir Keepers and Screenmen | |
| 52 | 3 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| | | | 19a. PENINSULA DIVISION—MILLBRAE STATION | |
| 53 | 2 | A154 | Carpenter ....day | 9 |
| 54 | 1 | A354 | Painter ....day | 9 |
| 55 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator (part time) ....day | 4 |
| 56 | 21 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 57 | 1 | M54 | Auto Machinist ....day | 9 |
| 58 | 1 | O10 | Light Truck Driver ....day | 6.50 |
| 59 | 1 | O58 | Gardener ....day | 6 |
| 60 | 8 | U206 | Water Department Worker ....day | 6 |
| 61 | 1 | U214 | Pump Operator ....day | 6.25 |
| | | | 7a. AGRICULTURAL DIVISION | |
| 62 | 3 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 63 | 1 | V8 | Sub-Foreman ....day | 6.50 |
| | | | 21a. ALAMEDA DIVISION | |
| 64 | 10 | J4 | Laborer ....day | 6 |
| 65 | 1 | O58 | Gardener ....day | 6 |
| 66 | 1 | O116 | Teamster ....day | 6.50 |
| 67 | 1 | U206 | Water Department Worker ....day | 6 |
| | | | 6a. GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS | |
| 68 | 1 | C104 | Janitor ....day | 6 |
| 69 | | | Teams and Trucks .... | |

## Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | Asst. Hydraulic Engineer.. | | | $325.00 |
| 2 | | Asst. Bacteriologist ..... | .50 | | |
| 3 | | Asst. Biologist ..... | .50 | | |
| 4 | | Asst. Elec. Eng..... | | | 300.00 |
| 5 | | Asst. Mechanical Engineer. | | | 300.00 |
| 6 | | Auto Mechanic ..... | | 8.73 | |
| 7 | | Blacksmith . . . . . | 1.091 | | |
| 8 | | Blacksmith Helper ..... | .818 | | |
| 9 | | Bacteriologist (part time). | | | 75.00 |
| 10 | | Biologist (part time) ..... | | | 75.00 |
| 11 | | Boilermaker . . . . . | 1.091 | | |
| 12 | | Boilermaker Helper ..... | .75 | | |
| 13 | | Brakeman . . . . . | | 6.00 | |
| 14 | | Bookkeeper . . . . . | | | 175.00 |
| 15 | | Construction Supt. ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 16 | | Construction Foreman .... | | | 200.00 |
| 17 | | Clerical Service ..... | .50 | | |
| 18 | | Clerical Service ..... | | 5.00 | |
| 19 | | Clerk (Experienced) ..... | | | 337.50 |
| 20 | | Carpenter . . . . . | | 9.00 | |
| 21 | | Carpenter Foreman ..... | | 10.00 | |
| 22 | | Cement Finisher ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 23 | | Cement Gun Operator ..... | | 7.00 | |
| 24 | | Clerk, General ..... | | | 155.00 |
| 25 | | Cook . . . . . | | | 212.50 |
| 26 | | Cook Helper ..... | | | 137.50 |
| 27 | | Compressorman . . . . . | | 7.67 | |
| 28 | | Construction Engineer .... | | | 600.00 |
| 29 | | Concrete Man ..... | | 5.00 | |
| 30 | | Concrete Foreman ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 31 | | Chainman . . . . . | | | 140.00 |
| 32 | | Chucktender . . . . . | | 5.25 | |
| 33 | | Draftsman . . . . . | | | 250.00 |
| 34 | | Detectorman . . . . . | | | 212.50 |
| 35 | | Dishwasher . . . . . | | | 112.50 |
| 36 | | Designer . . . . . | | | 275.00 |
| 37 | | Driver . . . . . | .90 | | |
| 38 | | Driver . . . . . | .95 | | |
| 39 | | Driver . . . . . | 1.00 | | |
| 40 | | Driver . . . . . | 1.10 | | |
| 41 | | Driver . . . . . | 1.15 | | |
| 42 | | Driver . . . . . | 1.25 | | |
| 43 | | Driver . . . . . | 1.35 | | |
| 44 | | Driver . . . . . | | 5.50 | |
| 45 | | Driver . . . . . | | 6.00 | |
| 46 | | Driver . . . . . | | 6.50 | |
| 47 | | Driver . . . . . | | 7.00 | |
| 48 | | Driver . . . . . | | 7.50 | |
| 49 | | Driver . . . . . | | 8.00 | |
| 50 | | Driver . . . . . | | 9.20 | |
| 51 | | Driver . . . . . | | 10.00 | |
| 52 | | Driver (Tractor) ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 53 | | Diver .....Per Dive 25.00 | | | |
| 54 | | Estimator . . . . . | | | 175.00 |
| 55 | | Electrician . . . . . | | 8.75 | |

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 56 | | Engineer, Mechanical ..... | | | 350.00 |
| 57 | | Engineer Asst. ..... | | | 287.50 |
| 58 | | Electrician ..... | | 9.00 | |
| 59 | | Engineer (Asst. Const.)... | | | 375.00 |
| 60 | B314 | Photostat Operator ..... | | | 165.00 |
| 61 | F102 | Architectural Draftsman .. | | | 200.00 |
| 62 | F106 | Architectural Designer .... | | | 250.00 |
| 63 | F108 | Architect ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 64 | F260 | Civil Engineering Designer | | | 250.00 |
| 65 | F352 | Electrical Engineering Draftsman ..... | | | 200.00 |
| 66 | F354 | Electrical Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 67 | F356 | Electrical Engineering Inspector ..... | | | 225.00 |
| 68 | F362 | Electrical Engineer ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 69 | F404 | Hydraulic Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 70 | F452 | Mechanical Draftsman .... | | | 200.00 |
| 71 | F454 | Mechanical Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 72 | F552 | Structural Draftsman ..... | | | 200.00 |
| 73 | F554 | Structural Engineering Designer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 74 | F556 | Structural Engineering Inspector ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 75 | F558 | Structural Engineer ..... | | | 250.00 |
| 76 | F614 | Assistant Chief Surveyor... | | | 275.00 |
| 77 | F616 | Chief Surveyor ..... | | | 325.00 |

Section 77. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION** (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

**(The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)**

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 78 | | Foreman ..... | | | $250.00 |
| 79 | | Foreman ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 80 | | Foreman ..... | | 6.25 | |
| 81 | | Foreman ..... | | 6.50 | |
| 82 | | Foreman ..... | | 7.00 | |
| 83 | | Foreman ..... | | 7.50 | |
| 84 | | Foreman ..... | | 8.00 | |
| 85 | | Foreman ..... | | 10.00 | |
| 86 | | Foreman, General ..... | | | 300.00 |
| 87 | | Field Asst. ..... | | | 225.00 |
| 88 | | Fire Boss ..... | | | 212.50 |
| 89 | | Form Man ..... | | 5.25 | |
| 90 | | Grout Gunman ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 91 | | Gunite Helper ..... | | 5.00 | |
| 92 | | Gunite Mixerman ..... | | 5.50 | |
| 93 | | Gate Tender ..... | | 5.75 | |
| 94 | | Graderman ..... | | 6.50 | |
| 95 | | Groundman ..... | | 6.00 | |
| 96 | | Hoistman ..... | | 9.00 | |
| 97 | | Hodcarrier ..... | | 9.00 | |

Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 98 | | Hostler | | 6.50 | |
| 99 | | Housesmith | | 9.00 | |
| 100 | | Housesmith Foreman | | 10.00 | |
| 101 | | Inspector | | | 250.00 |
| 102 | | Inspector, Engineer | | | 250.00 |
| 103 | | Inspector, Chief | | | 275.00 |
| 104 | | Janitress | | | 100.00 |
| 105 | | Janitor | | | 107.50 |
| 106 | | Jackhammerman | | 5.00 | |
| 107 | | Kitchen Helper | | | 117.50 |
| 108 | | Laborer | | 4.00 | |
| 109 | | Laborer | | 4.25 | |
| 110 | | Laborer | | 4.50 | |
| 111 | | Laborer | | 4.75 | |
| 112 | | Laborer | | 5.00 | |
| 113 | | Laborer | | 5.50 | |
| 114 | | Laborer | | 6.00 | |
| 115 | | Lineman | | 8.73 | |
| 116 | | Lampman | | | 150.00 |
| 117 | | Lineman Helper | | 7.73 | |
| 118 | | Mechanic, Camp | | | 225.00 |
| 119 | | Machinist | | 8.73 | |
| 120 | | Machinist | 1.091 | | |
| 121 | | Machinist Helper | .818 | | |
| 122 | | Machineman | | 5.75 | |
| 123 | | Master Mechanic | | | 453.50 |
| 124 | | Mixerman | | 5.50 | |
| 125 | | Motorman | | 5.75 | |
| 126 | | Motorman (Gas) | | 7.67 | |
| 127 | | Mucker | | 4.50 | |
| 128 | | Miner | | 6.00 | |
| 129 | | Nozzleman | | 6.50 | |
| 130 | | Nurse | | | 162.50 |
| 131 | | Nipper | | 5.25 | |
| 132 | | Pipe Joint Inspector | | 6.50 | |
| 133 | | Plasterer | | 12.00 | |
| 134 | | Painter | | 9.00 | |
| 135 | | Plumber | | 9.00 | |
| 136 | | Physician | | | 337.50 |
| 137 | | Porter | | 4.50 | |
| 138 | | Powderman | | 5.75 | |
| 139 | | Pumpman | | 5.00 | |
| 140 | | Rigger | | 7.00 | |
| 141 | | Rescueman | .75 | | |
| 142 | | Safety Man | | | 250.00 |
| 143 | | Steelworker | | 9.00 | |
| 144 | | Surveyor | | | 200.00 |
| 145 | | Sanitary Engineer (part time) | | | 75.00 |
| 146 | | Steam Shovel Engineer | | 10.00 | |
| 147 | | Steam Shovel Fireman | | 7.00 | |
| 148 | | Steam Shovel Oiler | | 6.00 | |
| 149 | | Steam Shovel Watchman | | 7.00 | |
| 150 | | Superintendent | | | 500.00 |
| 151 | | Steamfitter | | 10.00 | |
| 152 | | Skiptender | | 5.75 | |
| 153 | | Sub Foreman | | 6.50 | |
| 154 | | Special Agent | | | 225.00 |

Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES—FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (Continued)

| Item No. | Class No. | Departmental Title | Per Hour | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 155 | | Stenographer | | | 155.00 |
| 156 | | Storekeeper | | | 240.00 |
| 157 | | Tunnel Supt. | | | 250.00 |
| 158 | | Tractor Driver | | 7.50 | |
| 159 | | Tool Sharpener | | 6.50 | |
| 160 | | Tool Sharpener Helper | | 5.25 | |
| 161 | | Tunnel Supt. Asst. | | | 240.00 |
| 162 | | Templateman | | 5.25 | |
| 163 | | Typist | | | 155.00 |
| 164 | | Timekeeper | | | 175.00 |
| 165 | | Waiter | | | 112.50 |
| 166 | | Water Pipe Welder | | 7.50 | |
| 167 | | Welder | 1.091 | | |
| 168 | | Welder Helper | .75 | | |
| 169 | | Watchman | | | 150.00 |
| 170 | | Waterboy | | 3.50 | |

Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchasers Contracts.

Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.

Section 78. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 215 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 3 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | C104 | Janitor | 120 |
| 5 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 60 |
| 6 | 1 | E107 | Power House Electrician | 210 |
| 7 | 1 | E120 | Governorman | 150 |
| 8 | 3 | E120 | Governorman | 145 |
| 9 | 3 | E120 | Governorman | 140 |
| 10 | 1 | E122 | Power House Operator | 190 |
| 11 | 4 | E122 | Power House Operator | 185 |
| 12 | 3 | E122 | Power House Operator | 165 |
| 13 | 3 | E122 | Power House Operator | 150 |
| 14 | 1 | E128 | Superintendent Power House | 250 |
| 15 | 1 | E128 | Superintendent Power House | 210 |
| 16 | 2 | E151 | Transmission Line Patrolman's Helper | 137.50 |
| 17 | 2 | E152 | Transmission Line Patrolman | 210 |
| 18 | 1 | E164 | Foreman Lineman, Power Operative | 250 |
| 19 | 1 | I2 | Kitchen Helper | 112.50 |
| 20 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 167.50 |
| 21 | 1 | I60 | Housekeeper | 117.50 |
| 22 | 1 | J4 | Laborer | 150 |
| 23 | 2 | J4 | Laborer | 135 |
| 24 | 1 | F351 | Junior Electrical Engineer | 150 |
| 25 | 1 | F362 | Electrical Engineer | 400 |
| 26 | 1 | M254 | Machinist | 210 |
| 27 | 1 | O58 | Gardener | 175 |

## Section 78½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE— SEASONAL AND TEMPORARY

| | | | Per Day | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | A154 | Carpenter | $9.00 | |
| 2 | A164 | Carpenter-Foreman | $10.00 | |
| 3 | A204 | Cement Finisher | $8.00 | |
| 4 | E150 | Lineman Helper | $7.73 | |
| 5 | E154 | Lineman | $8.73 | |
| 6 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | | $187.50 |
| 7 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | | 175 |
| 8 | J4 | Laborer | $6.00 | |
| 9 | J4 | Laborer | $5.50 | |
| 10 | J4 | Laborer | $4.50 | |
| 11 | M54 | Auto Machinist | $8.73 | |
| 12 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $8.00 | |
| 13 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $7.50 | |
| 14 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $7.00 | |
| 15 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $6.50 | |
| 16 | O16 | Truck Driver-Laborer | $6.00 | |
| 17 | O20 | Brakeman | $6.00 | |

## Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 1 | A172 | Repair Foreman | $ 300 |
| 3 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 250 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 7 | 1 | C152 | Watchman | 125 |
| 8 | 1 | F8 | Utilities Engineer | 700 |
| 9 | 1 | F212 | Assistant Engineer | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | F212 | Assistant Engineer | 237.50 |
| 11 | 1 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 187.50 |
| 12 | 2 | F605 | Surveyor's Field Assistant | 175 |
| 14 | 1 | J12d | Labor Foreman Utilities | 210 |
| 15 | 1 | J12d | Labor Foreman Utilities | 200 |
| 16 | 1 | M55 | Sub-foreman Auto Machinist | 210 |
| 17 | 1 | O4 | Special Chauffeur | 225 |
| 18 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 160 |
| 19 | 2 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 150 |
| 20 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 140 |
| 21 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 135 |
| 22 | 1 | U130 | Reservoir Keeper | 125 |
| 23 | 1 | U206 | Water Department Worker | 135 |
| 24 | 1 | U206 | Water Department Worker | 125 |
| 25 | 2 | U222 | General Maintenance Foreman | 200 |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Superintendent of Schools | $ 10,000 |
| 2 | Chief Deputy Superintendent | 6,000 |
| 3 | Deputy Superintendent | 5,400 |
| 4 | Assistant to Superintendent | 3,000 |
| 5 | Directors "A" 276 days' service | 4,800 |
| 6 | Directors "B" 237 days' service | 4,500 |
| 7 | Assts. to Director of Physical Education | 3,300 |
| 8 | Supervisors "AA" 276 days' service | 4,250 |
| 9 | Supervisors Class "A" | 3,900 |
| 10 | Supervisors Class "B" | 3,300 |
| 11 | Supervisors Class "C" | 3,036 |
| 12 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 1,800 |
| 13 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 1,932 |
| 14 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,016 |
| 15 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,100 |
| 16 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,280 |
| 17 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,364 |
| 18 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,448 |
| 19 | Assistant Supervisor of Attendance | 2,544 |
| 20 | Head Dept. Junior High | 2,724 |
| 21 | Head Dept. Junior High | 2,892 |
| 22 | Junior College President | 7,000 |
| 23 | Junior College Vice-President and Dean of Men | 5,000 |
| 24 | Junior College Vice-President and Dean of Women | 5,000 |
| 25 | Junior College Registrar and Direct. of Personnel | 4,500 |
| 26 | Assistant Dean of Men | 3,600 |
| 27 | Assistant Dean of Women | 3,600 |
| 28 | Librarian | 3,000 |
| 29 | Assistant Registrar | 3,000 |
| 30 | Assistant to President | 3,000 |
| 31 | Principals | 5,100 |
| 32 | Principals | 4,800 |
| 33 | Principals | 4,500 |
| 34 | Principals | 4,250 |
| 35 | Principals | 4,020 |
| 36 | Principals | 3,720 |
| 37 | Principals | 3,420 |
| 38 | Principals | 2,880 |
| 39 | Principals—Evening Schools | 2,304 |
| 40 | Principals—Evening Schools | 1,603.20 |
| 41 | Vice-Principals | 3,900 |
| 42 | Vice-Principals | 3,708 |
| 43 | Vice-Principals | 3,600 |
| 44 | Vice-Principals | 3,504 |
| 45 | Vice-Principals | 3,456 |
| 46 | Vice-Principals | 3,408 |
| 47 | Vice-Principals | 3,276 |
| 48 | Vice-Principals | 3,204 |
| 49 | Vice-Principals | 3,192 |
| 50 | Vice-Principals | 3,108 |

Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 51 | Vice-Principals | 3,036 |
| 52 | Vice-Principals | 3,012 |
| 53 | Vice-Principals | 3,000 |
| 54 | Vice-Principals | 2,976 |
| 55 | Vice-Principals | 2,904 |
| 56 | Vice-Principals | 2,880 |
| 57 | Vice-Principals | 2,844 |
| 58 | Vice-Principals | 2,820 |
| 59 | Vice-Principals | 2,808 |
| 60 | Vice-Principals | 2,748 |
| 61 | Vice-Principals | 2,736 |
| 62 | Vice-Principals | 2,700 |
| 63 | Vice-Principals | 2,676 |
| 64 | Vice-Principals | 2,664 |
| 65 | Vice-Principals | 2,604 |
| 66 | Vice-Principals | 2,580 |
| 67 | Vice-Principals | 2,508 |
| 68 | Vice-Principals | 2,448 |
| 69 | Vice-Principals | 2,436 |
| 70 | Vice-Principals | 2,400 |
| 71 | Vice-Principals | 2,376 |
| 72 | Vice-Principals | 2,364 |
| 73 | Vice-Principals | 2,316 |
| 74 | Vice-Principals | 2,304 |
| 75 | Vice-Principals | 2,280 |
| 76 | Vice-Principals | 2,220 |
| 77 | Vice-Principals | 2,232 |
| 78 | Vice-Principals | 2,136 |
| 79 | Vice-Principals | 2,100 |
| 80 | Vice-Principals | 2,076 |
| 81 | Vice-Principals | 2,044 |
| 82 | Vice-Principals | 1,980 |
| 83 | Vice-Principals | 1,984 |
| 84 | Vice-Principals | 1,920 |
| 85 | Vice-Principals | 1,800 |
| 86 | Vice-Principals | 1,740 |
| 87 | Teachers | $ 3,780 |
| 88 | Teachers | 3,600 |
| 89 | Teachers | 3,588 |
| 90 | Teachers | 3,500 |
| 91 | Teachers | 3,480 |
| 92 | Teachers | 3,408 |
| 93 | Teachers | 3,400 |
| 94 | Teachers | 3,300 |
| 95 | Teachers | 3,384 |
| 96 | Teachers | 3,288 |
| 97 | Teachers | 3,336 |
| 98 | Teachers | 3,204 |
| 99 | Teachers | 3,200 |
| 100 | Teachers | 3,156 |
| 101 | Teachers | 3,108 |
| 102 | Teachers | 3,100 |
| 103 | Teachers | 3,084 |
| 104 | Teachers | 3,072 |
| 105 | Teachers | 3,000 |
| 106 | Teachers | 2,988 |
| 107 | Teachers | 2,976 |
| 108 | Teachers | 2,900 |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 109 | Teachers | 2,904 |
| 110 | Teachers | 2,892 |
| 111 | Teachers | 2,880 |
| 112 | Teachers | 2,808 |
| 113 | Teachers | 2,800 |
| 114 | Teachers | 2,784 |
| 115 | Teachers | 2,736 |
| 116 | Teachers | 2,724 |
| 117 | Teachers | 2,712 |
| 118 | Teachers | 2,700 |
| 119 | Teachers | 2,628 |
| 120 | Teachers | 2,604 |
| 121 | Teachers | 2,600 |
| 122 | Teachers | 2,580 |
| 123 | Teachers | 2,544 |
| 124 | Teachers | 2,508 |
| 125 | Teachers | 2,500 |
| 126 | Teachers | 2,484 |
| 127 | Teachers | 2,460 |
| 128 | Teachers | 2,448 |
| 129 | Teachers | 2,436 |
| 130 | Teachers | 2,400 |
| 131 | Teachers | 2,388 |
| 132 | Teachers | 2,364 |
| 133 | Teachers | 2,304 |
| 134 | Teachers | 2,280 |
| 135 | Teachers | 2,208 |
| 136 | Teachers | 2,196 |
| 137 | Teachers | 2,184 |
| 138 | Teachers | 2,136 |
| 139 | Teachers | 2,112 |
| 140 | Teachers | 2,100 |
| 141 | Teachers | 2,064 |
| 142 | Teachers | 2,016 |
| 143 | Teachers | 2,004 |
| 144 | Teachers | 1,980 |
| 145 | Teachers | 1,932 |
| 146 | Teachers | 1,836 |
| 147 | Teachers | 1,800 |
| 148 | Teachers | 1,744 |
| 149 | Teachers | 1,680 |
| 150 | Teachers | 1,500 |
| 151 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 5.9163 Eve. |
| 152 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 6.5445 Eve. |
| 153 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 7.0942 Eve. |
| 154 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 7.8534 Eve. |
| 155 | Teachers—Evening Schools | 9.6126 Eve. |
| 156 | Teachers not full time on pro-rata of above rates | |

### SUBSTITUTES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 157 | Teachers at $6.50 per day | |
| 158 | Teachers at $5.00 per day | |
| 159 | Teachers at $3.50 per evening | |
| 160 | Teachers at $1.8055 per unit served | |

### SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 161 | Teachers at $4.50 per day | |
| 162 | Teachers at $3.50 per day | |

## Section 80. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

### SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

| Item No. | Department Title | Per Annum |
|---|---|---|
| 163 | Teachers at $1.50 per hour | |
| 164 | Teachers at $2.00 per hour | |
| 165 | Laboratory Assistants (as needed) | |
| 166 | Yard Teachers at rate of $120.00 in excess of rates above P. S. A. L. | |
| 167 | Teachers at rate of $100.00 in excess of rates above | |
| 168 | Junior College Unit Teachers $100 per unit per annum | |

## Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1 | A6 | Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs | $ 375 |
| 201 | 4 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day | |
| 202 | 1 | A162 | Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day | |
| 203 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 204 | 1 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 220 |
| 205 | | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 206 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 375 |
| 207 | | B14 | Senior Accountant | 275 |
| 208 | 1 | B58 | Secretary, Board of Education (exempt) | 400 |
| 209 | 1 | B180 | Law Clerk, Board of Education | 275 |
| 210 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (full time) | 85 |
| 211 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 212 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 213 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 214 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 215 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 216 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 218 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 175 |
| 219 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 220 | 2 | B308 | Comptometer Operators (as needed), at $5 per day | |
| 221 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 230 |
| 222 | 1 | B380 | Armorer, R. O. T. C. (part time) | 75 |
| 223 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 224 | 48 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 225 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 226 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 227 | 10 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 228 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 140 |
| 229 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $4.20 per evening | |
| 230 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.30 per evening | |
| 231 | 5 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.00 per evening | |
| 232 | 31 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer, at $6 per day | |
| 233 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 234 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 235 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 180 |
| 237 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 175 |
| 238 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 239 | | B454 | Telephone Operator (part time) for relief when needed, at $2 per day | |

## Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 240 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 241 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 242 | 5 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 243 | 3 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 244 | 95 | C102 | Janitress | 140 |
| 245 | 5 | C102 | Janitress | 130 |
| 245½ | 10 | C102 | Janitress (substitutes, inferior positions) ....at rate of | 130 |
| 246 | 127 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 247 | 37 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 248 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 16 |
| 249 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 10 |
| 250 | 18 | C105 | Special Janitor | 162.50 |
| 251 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 185 |
| 252 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 170 |
| 253 | 3 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 160 |
| 254 | 1 | C112 | Supervisor School Janitors | 275 |
| 255 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 140 |
| 256 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 130 |
| 257 | 1 | I12 | Cook (part time) | 75 |
| 258 | 2 | | Pantry Maid (part time) | 75 |
| 260 | 11 | J78 | Stockmen | 200 |
| 261 | 1 | J80 | Foreman Stockman | 205 |
| 262 | 1 | O2 | Chauffeur | 215 |
| 263 | 1 | O104 | Moving Picture Operator | 200 |
| 264 | 2 | O122 | Window Shade Worker | 200 |
| 265 | 12 | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 266 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines (part time) | 125 |
| 267 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, $3 per eve. as required | |
| 268 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Stm. Engines. | 310 |
| 269 | 1 | O61 | Foreman Gardener | 175 |
| 270 | 8 | O58 | Gardener | 145 |
| 271 | | | Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates fixed in salary ordinance | |

### TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 272 | | | 2½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 273 | | | 1 -ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 274 | | | 3½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 275 | | | 1½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |

## Section 82. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | | Commissioners ....$ | 100 |
| 2 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 165 |
| 3 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 215 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 6 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 7 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 8 | 1 | G58 | Civil Service Examiner | 300 |

Section 82. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9 | 2 | G58 | Civil Service Examiner | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | G58 | Civil Service Examiner | 225 |
| 11 | 1 | G59 | Assistant Personnel Expert | 300 |
| 12 | 1 | G59 | Assistant Personnel Expert | 250 |
| 13 | 1 | G60 | Personnel Expert | 450 |
| 14 | 1 | G62 | Chief Examiner and Secretary | 466.66 |
| | | | AS NEEDED | |
| 15 | | | Examiners and Clerical Services at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report. | |

Section 83. **RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B82 | Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System (part time) | $ 450 |
| 2 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 3 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 4 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 250 |
| 5 | | B244 | Actuarial Clerk (as needed) | 200 |
| 6 | | | Consulting Actuary (as needed), $50 per day | |
| 7 | | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed) | 150 |
| 8 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 9 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed). | 150 |
| 10 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 190 |
| 11 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 12 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 13 | | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at $12.50 per day plus transcriptions | |
| 14 | 1 | L360 | Physician (part time) | 300 |
| 15 | 1 | N410 | Investigator | 200 |
| 16 | | | Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board | |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2628, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Helen E. Sale an easement over Lot 2, Map No. 2 of Hillsborough Terrace, Hillsborough, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $1,500, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-

57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Ballhaus Property on Crystal Springs Reservoir Watershed.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2629, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land on the Skyline boulevard, Crystal Springs Reservoir Watershed, San Mateo County, California, for the sums set forth below, payable from the San Francisco Water Department Reserve for Land Purchases, Appropriation No. 590.900.00:

Emilia Ballhaus ..... $7,000
Hester Ballhaus ..... 8,000

It is understood that Hester Ballhaus may remove the house from her property within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Sale of Old Francis Scott Key School Building.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 2630, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, That the Director of Property be and he is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the old Francis Scott Key School building on Forty-third avenue, between Irving and Judah streets, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2631, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Kenneth Evers, et ux., all of Lot 14-A, in Assessor's Block 2199 ..... $3,000.00
Sol Getz & Sons, portion of Lot 2, in Assessor's Block 1834 ..... 137.40

| | |
|---|---|
| Earl H. Smith, et ux., portion of Lots 12 and 13, in Assessor's Block 1774 | 909.60 |
| John J. Gorman, et ux., portion of Lot 31, in Assessor's Block 1835 | 349.80 |
| Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, portion of Lots 15, 16 and 16-A, in Assessor's Block 1774 | 1,266.00 |

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2632, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Paolina Restani, the southeasterly 20 feet of Lots 20 and 21, Assessor's Block 7163, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $2,300, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the lots, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty days after recording the deed to the City.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinances were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriation of $96,378.81 Principal and Interest—Voting Machines.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1022, Ordinance No. 9.051272, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $96,378.81 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.400.29 for the payment of principal and interest in connection with the acquisition of voting machines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $96,378.81 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 533.400.29 for the payment of principal and interest in connection with the acquisition of voting machines.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate

action is required to provide funds for the payment of this amount prior to the close of the fiscal year.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters.

Funds Available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved: Arthur E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $1,004, transfer of Funds for Temporary Salaries—Controller's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1023, Ordinance No. 9.051273, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $1,004 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.102.00 to provide funds for temporary salaries for the Controller's office for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,004 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.102.00 for the purpose of providing funds for temporary salaries for the Controller's office for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of this department due to increased costs as a result of tabulating service required in connection with Traffic Tag procedure.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended, funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $4,867, Widows' and Orphans' Fund.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1024, Ordinance No. 9.051274, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $4,867 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.804.01 for the purpose of providing funds for Widows' Pensions for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,867 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.804.01 for the purpose of providing funds for Widows' Pensions for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this

ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide funds for Widows' Pensions for the balance of the fiscal year.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended: E. Schenck, Director, County Welfare Department.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $7,975, Workmen's Compensation Insurance Benefits, City Employees.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1025, Ordinance No. 9.051275, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $7,975 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.802.00 to provide for Workmen's Compensation Insurance benefits for the employees of the City and County government; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $7,975 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.802.00 to provide for Workmen's Compensation Insurance benefits for the employees of the City and County government.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Retirement System.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended: Ralph R. Nelson, Retirement System.
Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Referred.**

The following bill was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee for consideration on June 23, when conference is held with Market Street Railway Company re its paving obligations, to-wit*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $35,116, Payments of Judgments.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1026, Ordinance No. 9.051276, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $35,116 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.705.00 for the payment of interest and principal of judgments Nos. 244 and 65435; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $35,116 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.705.00 for the payment of interest and principal of judgments Nos. 244 and 65435.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to provide funds for the payment of these judgments prior to the close of the fiscal year.

(*Regarding the foregoing it was agreed that matter would not be acted upon until Supervisor McSheehy returned from the East.*)

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency ordinances were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $53,274, Maintenance of Aged for Balance of Fiscal Year.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1027, Ordinance No. 9.051277, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $53,274 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.804.02 for the purpose of providing funds for the maintenance of the aged for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $53,274 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.804.02 for the purpose of providing funds for the maintenance of the aged for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide funds for the maintenance of the aged for the balance of the fiscal year.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $24,800, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1028, Ordinance No. 9.051278, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $24,800 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 551.999.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 553.300.00 to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $24,800 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 551.999.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 553.300.00 to provide funds for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended: J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $2,500, Lunacy Commission.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1029, Ordinance No. 9.051279, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $2,500 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 521.106.02 for the purpose of providing funds for the operation of the Lunacy Commission for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 521.106.02 for the purpose of providing funds for the operation of the Lunacy Commission for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to provide funds for the operation of the Lunacy Commission for the balance of the fiscal year.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended: J. J. Van Nostrand, Judge.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Mayor to Join United States Conference of Mayors.**

(Code No. 2.01)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1030, Ordinance No. 2.013, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor to become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors, and to represent the City and County in said conferences, and providing for the payment of expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Mayor thereof become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors for and on behalf of said City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said United States Conference of Mayors and to represent the City and County in said conference.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership be paid out of such funds as may be annually set aside and appropriated for the purpose.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 2.012 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Controller to Join Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada.**

(Code No. 9.0419)

Also, Bill No. 1031, Ordinance No. 9.04194, as follows:

Authorizing the Controller to become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada, and providing for the payment of expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Controller thereof become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada for and on behalf of the City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said association.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership be paid out of such funds as may be annually set aside and appropriated for the purpose.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 9.04192 is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2637, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Leo A. Morch and Mary T. Morch, his wife, Lot 13, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $5,250, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2638, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Patrick J. Neils and Christina Neils, his wife, Lot 17, Assessor's Block 2694, San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $4,250, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bills were, on motion, *laid over one week*:

**Amendment to Building Law—Chimneys, Flues, Etc.**

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 1034, Ordinance No. 11.0814, as follows:

Amending Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series) entitled: "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings. Establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance", and adding a new section thereto to be numbered 245(A), relating to chimneys, flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 242. All chimneys and flues hereafter constructed, except as provided in Sections 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 hereof referring to patent chimneys and chimneys, flues or vents for gas appliances, shall be of brick or stone, or may be of concrete when in concrete walls; their enclosing walls shall be not less than four (4) inches thick, and shall, if less than eight (8) inches thick, be lined on the inside with well-burnt clay or terra cotta pipe not less than ¾ of an inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 3x7 inches, 3x11½ inches, 7x7 inches and 7x11½ inches, and one inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 2½x15 inches, 6½x15 inches, 11x11 inches, 11x15 inches and 15x15 inches. Said lining shall start from the bottom of the flue or the throat of a fireplace, be continuous to the top of the flue, and shall be built in first and bricked around as carried up. Flues where lining is not required by this ordinance shall have the joints struck smooth on the inside, and, if less than eight (8) inches thick, shall be smoothly plastered for the entire height on the outside.

No smoke flue shall be less than 7 by 7 inches in the clear, and such sized flue shall have but one inlet; for two inlets the flue shall be not less than 7 by 11½ inches in the clear; for three inlets not less than 6½ by 15 inches in the clear, and for a larger number of inlets the size shall be increased in same proportion. Flues larger than two hundred square inches and less than five hundred square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than eight inches thick; flues larger than five hundred and less than one thousand square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than twelve inches thick to a height of fifteen feet above the inlet, and eight inches thick the remaining height; flues larger than one thousand square inches shall be proportionately increased in size and shall be lined with fire brick for at least twenty feet above the inlet.

Bakery oven flues shall be not less than 12 by 12 inches in the clear and shall be surrounded by brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick.

The inside four inches of all boiler flues for boilers of over twenty-five horsepower shall be of fire brick, laid in fire mortar, for a distance of twenty-five feet in any direction from the source of heat.

Chimneys and stacks connected with steam boilers shall extend not less than ten feet above the woodwork of the roof, or any adjacent roof, and if sawdust, shavings or wood are burned, shall extend twenty

feet above such roofs and be provided with a spark arrester. Spark arresters shall be placed upon all chimneys and stacks whenever the Director of Public Works deems it necessary for the safety of property.

Chimneys and flues from boilers, restaurants and hotel ranges, bakers' ovens and similar unusually hot flues, shall have the outside exposed throughout the height of the room in which connection therewith is made, and if plastered shall be plastered directly upon the bricks.

All chimneys having a greater flue area than two hundred and sixty (260) square inches shall be carried up at least ten feet above the highest point of the roof of the building of which they form a part, and ten feet above the highest point of any roof within fifty feet of such chimney.

Where a smoke pipe is to enter a chimney or flue a tile thimble not less than ⅝ of an inch thick shall be placed as construction progresses. Thimbles shall be surrounded by four inches of brick work brought out flush with furring, and shall extend to the face of the plastering and not be nearer than six inches to any wood, lath and plaster. No tile pipe shall be used as a smoke pipe in connection with such thimbles.

Chimneys not part of a wall shall not be built upon any floor or beam of wood, but shall be built from the ground up and shall not increase in size from the foundation. No chimney shall be corbelled out more than eight inches from a wall, and corbelling shall consist of at least five courses of brick, but no corbelling shall be more than four inches in twelve-inch walls. Offsets for reducing the size of chimneys shall not be greater than one inch to each course.

Flues in party walls shall not extend within four inches of the center of the wall, and joint flues in party walls shall be separated across the wall by an eight-inch width of brick work for the entire length.

No joist or girder shall be supported on the walls of any chimney or flue, and no woodwork shall be placed nearer than two inches to the outside face of, or within seven inches of the inside of any smoke, air or other flue.

All wood joists shall be trimmed away at least two inches from any smoke, air or other flue; the trimmer beam shall not be less than eight inches from the inside of the flue, and four inches from the outside of a chimney breast; except that for smoke flues the brick work of which is by this ordinance required to be eight inches thick or more, the trimmer beam shall not be less than twelve inches from the inside of the flue.

Chimneys built outside of frame structures, or in light wells thereof, shall be well anchored, at intervals of not less than ten feet to the stud walls.

All chimneys and flues shall be properly cleaned and all rubbish removed and same left smooth on the inside on completion of the building.

Section 251. (a) No gas grate, gas log, or other appliance using gas or electricity for producing heat, except as otherwise ordained, shall be placed in a fireplace or recess unless such fireplace or recess be constructed as required in Sections 243 and 246 of this ordinance for fireplaces, the sides, back and top of which shall be of brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick; all pipes supplying gas thereto shall be of iron and enter only at the sides of fireplaces or recesses, through brick work. Gas grates, gas logs, or other appliances using gas or electricity for producing heat, not placed in a fireplace or recess shall have a clear and unenclosed space of not less than ten (10) inches between them and any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material, or a similar space of four (4) inches between them and any woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material protected with terra cotta or tiles one inch

thick or with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material.

No vent shall be permitted other than a brick patent chimney, flue or vent as required by Sections 242, 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 of this ordinance.

(b) No gas range, or gas water heater, shall be placed nearer than twelve (12) inches to any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material, or nearer than six (6) inches thereto, if such woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material is protected with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and the woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material. No gas range or gas water heater shall be placed in any recess unless the front of the recess is either open or freely vented at top and bottom.

(c) Every instantaneous gas water heater shall be provided with a vent pipe not less than three (3) inches in diameter, extending clear through and at least twelve (12) inches above the roof, with a "T" connection at the top; and around every such vent at all places not exposed there shall be a galvanized iron sleeve extending the full length of the concealed portion with a clear air space of not less than one (1) inch surrounding the vent. In every room fitted with an instantaneous gas water heater there shall be provided an air inlet independent of doors or windows.

(d) All low, portable gas stoves, gas plates, or heaters, shall be placed on iron stands or other incombustible bases, or the burners shall be at least six (6) inches above the base of the stove and metal guard plates placed four (4) inches below the burners; all woodwork under them shall be covered with metal or other incombustible material. All portable gas-heated stoves, ranges, kettles, gas plates or other gas-heated devices, shall be connected direct to their gas supply main only by iron pipe or flexible metal tubing.

Section 252(B). The provisions of Sections Nos. 244, 245 and 256 regulating and requiring chimneys, vents and flues shall not apply to the installation or maintenance of gas heat radiators, standing on the floor not less than five inches from any wooden or plaster wall.

All gas heat radiators shall be connected to a flue as provided for in Section 245(a), or to a brick or patent chimney or to a terra cotta flue of not less than 6 square inches in the clear, the walls of such flues to be not less than ½ inch in thickness and the joints to be made of galvanized iron sleeve not less than three inches wide with edges flanged outward at least ½ inch and the joints to be filled with cement; the entire flue encased in galvanized iron similar to the so-called patent flue with ½-inch air space all around between terra cotta and casing. This patent flue to be so sized that not less than six square inches in the clear will be permitted for four radiators or less, and in cases where more than four radiators are to be connected into the same flue the area is to be increased proportionally, said patent flue in all cases to extend to the outside and at least two feet above the roof of the building. Every gas heater of the radiator type shall be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe; the burner of said radiator shall be of the bunsen or atmospheric type; the radiator shall be made of cast iron, and when installed in any building shall not be closer than five inches to any wall or partition, and shall be connected to a flue, vent pipe or chimney of such size and material as is provided for herein, excepting where said radiator is so designed and constructed as to have a firebox or burner chamber containing the burner where combustion takes place, and also, having one or more super-heating chambers or secondary combustion chambers attached to or substantially surrounding said firebox containing said burner, and also having at the back of said radiator a retort for the purpose of purifying and humidifying the products of combustion before entering

the room, said retort being attached to or made a part of said firebox and said super-heating or secondary combustion chambers.

Every gas heater of the fireplace or mantle type, having a bunsen or atmospheric burner, shall only be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe.

No gas heater of the portable stove type shall contain or be operated with bunsen or atmospheric burner, or be connected to the gas supply by flexible metal or rubber tubing, unless the stopcock is provided and placed back of said tubing at the point of the gas supply outlet.

Section 2. There is hereby added to Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, a new section to be numbered 245(A), and to read as follows:

Section 245(A). In lieu of brick, stone, or patent chimney, as specified, in Sections 242, 244, 244-A and 245, there may be erected for venting gas appliances only, chimneys, flues, or vents which are constructed as follows:

"1. Fire-clay vent pipe, either round or rectangular, having a shell thickness of not less than one-half (½) inch, may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of less than six (6) inches or an internal area of less than twenty-eight (28) square inches. Such fire-clay vent pipe having a shell thickness of not less than three-quarters (¾) inch may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of six (6) or more inches or an internal area of twenty-eight (28) or more square inches. The joints, between each length of pipe on other than the bell and spigot type of pipe, shall be covered with sheet metal sleeves or bands of galvanized iron or steel of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, held in place and well cemented to the ends of the pipe.

"2. *Transite* (a pipe composed of an intimate mixture of Portland cement and clean asbestos fibre, containing no grit, organic fibre, or other adulterants, and formed under pressure on a mandrel to provide a dense, homogeneous structure with a smooth interior surface having machine tapered ends and couplings which provide gas-tight joints). Gas flue pipe of the type known as transite, or equal, may be installed provided that the thickness of the flue material shall conform to the requirements of the State Housing Act of California.

"3. *Metalbestos* (an aluminum flue pipe encased in aluminum or galvanized iron pipe). Gas flue pipe of the type known as metalbestos, or equal, may be installed provided that the aluminum inside pipe shall be not lighter than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron (or aluminum) casing be not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge, and there shall be a minimum air space of not less than one-half (½) of an inch between the aluminum inside pipe and the casing, spacing to be accomplished by means of vitrified asbestos spacer rings.

"4. *Payne 'A' Vent* (a flue pipe composed of an inner aluminum tube around which is wound two plies of one-quarter (¼) inch corrugated aircell asbestos. An outer casing of galvanized iron surrounds the aluminum pipe and insulation.) Gas flue pipe of the type known as Payne 'A' Vent, or equal, may be installed provided that for sizes of six (6) inches or less, inside diameter, the aluminum pipe shall be of not less than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, and for sizes in excess of six (6) inches, inside diameter, the aluminum inside pipe shall be of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-four (24) gauge.

"5. Any other durable flue pipe, approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, which will give an insulating value equal to the types as herein set forth and which will not disintegrate from the effects of gas fumes and other products of combusion.

"All flue pipes of the types as herein set forth shall rest upon an approved brick, tile, or concrete base or upon a substantial metal bracket securely fastened to the building. All such flue pipes shall

be braced at least every five (5) feet of their height by means of substantial galvanized iron bands (not less than 16 gauge) securely fastened to the building and shall be so erected that the flue shall be not less than two (2) inches from any combustible material, except as hereinafter provided. Approved ventilated collars which will hold the flue pipe two (2) inches from any combustible material shall be installed at any point where the flue pipe passes through a wall, floor, or roof. All such flue pipes shall extend to a point not less than twenty-four (24) inches above any portion of the roof which is within fifteen (15) feet in a horizontal direction from the flue pipe. No flue shall terminate within a point three (3) feet above or ten (10) feet from any window or other opening designed to serve as a means of ventilation of any building or room therein, nor shall a flue terminate in any court or vent shaft which is designed or may be used to serve as a source of air for the ventilation of any building or room therein. All chimneys, flues, or vents to which gas appliances are connected shall be equipped with an approved top which will prevent back draft, keep out rain, and provide satisfactory draft for the proper operation of the appliances.

"Unless otherwise approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, any gas chimney, flue, or vent, as herein described, erected inside of a building, shall have placed around it a metal jacket of not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge galvanized iron, and constructed as provided for patent chimneys, and the minimum air space between the flue material and the casing shall be not less than one-half (½) inch.

"Any gas chimney, flue, or vent installed in partition spaces shall not be closer than one (1) inch from the studding or any other combustible material, unless such studding or combustible material is covered by metal lath and plaster.

"No round chimney, flue, or vent shall have an internal diameter of less than four (4) inches, and no square, rectangular, or oval flue shall have an internal area of less than twelve (12) square inches, nor shall any rectangular or oval flue have an internal dimension less than two (2) inches."

### Amendment to Building Fee Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1033, Ordinance No. 3.04163, as follows:

Amending Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120 entitled: "An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney," relating to flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The applicant or applicants for the following permits shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses in investigation of conditions and inspection of operations in connection with the same, fees as follows:

Permit for moving building, $2; permit for construction or alteration of billboard, $2; permit for erection, installation or alteration of chimney, flue or vent, $2.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of chimneys, flues or vents may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars, payable quarterly in advance, to the Central Permit Bureau, which bureau shall then issue to the person,

firm or corporation having paid such fee a quarterly permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimneys, flues or vents; provided, however, that the holder of such quarterly permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said Bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any chimney, flue or vent of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney, flue or vent; and, provided further, that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for canceling said permit.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing the Director of Health to Sign Narcotic Orders.**

(Code No. 17.01)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 2633, as follows:

Resolved, That J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1936, and ending June 30, 1937, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health, namely: the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, the Central Office and the Hassler Health Home at Redwood City, California, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member, Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2634, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence—George Filmer, Sr., member, Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2635, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, George Filmer, Sr., is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Harry K. Wolff, member, Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2636, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Hon. Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing June 25, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Albert W. Stokes, City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2639, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Albert W. Stokes, member of the City Planning Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing June 20, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Angelo J. Rossi and Appointment of Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 12, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I do hereby apply to your Honorable Board for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing June 14, 1936, for the purpose of attending a conference of municipal, state and federal officials in regard to relief at the City of Washington.

For your further information, I am attaching a copy of a telegram received from Paul V. Betters, Executive Director of the U. S. Conference of Mayors.

In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I designate Honorable Franck R. Havenner, President of your Board, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

June 11, 1936.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall.

Funds for continuation of federal work relief program should be appropriated and enacted into law within the next week. Also there is definite possibility of further PWA funds. Would suggest in interests of City and County of San Francisco you come to Washington next week when plans and programs will be formulated with reference to the new programs in order that San Francisco program may be officially presented. Executive Committee of the Conference of Mayors is also contemplating holding meeting around twentieth. I consider it a distinct honor to the City that you have been invited as representative of the West Coast cities to participate in a nationwide broadcast with Mr. Hopkins on New York program after July 1st. Best personal wishes.

PAUL V. BETTERS,
Executive Director, United States Conference of Mayors.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2640, as follows:

Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby given permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period not exceeding thirty days from the 14th day of June, 1936, for the purpose of attending a conference of municipal, state and federal officials in regard to relief at the City of Washington.

We the undersigned, members of the Board of Supervisors, hereby present and recommend the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

Franck R. Havenner.
Alfred Roncovieri.
Jas. B. McSheehy.
Arthur M. Brown, Jr.
Fred W. Meyer.
Adolph Uhl.
Dewey Mead.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**In Memoriam: Judge Daniel C. Deasy.**

On motion of Supervisor James B. McSheehy the Board of Supervisors by rising vote *adopted* the following:

The sudden death of Judge Daniel C. Deasy of the Superior Court of this City and County was a distinct shock to the citizenry of San Francisco, who, for nearly a quarter of a century, had rewarded his faithful services to this city by returning him to the Superior bench on every occasion he submitted his name for reelection.

The death of Judge Deasy is mourned by every official and citizen and thousands of people who cherished his friendship.

The Board of Supervisors deeply mourns the passing of Superior Judge Daniel C. Deasy, whose knowledge of the law and humane interpretation theretof brought him the reputation of leader among the judiciary of the City.

And when the Board adjourns this day it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Judge Deasy.

**In Memoriam: Frank A. Lawler.**

On motion of Supervisor Dewey Mead the Board of Supervisors by rising vote *adopted* the following:

That the Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the passing of Frank A. Lawler, veteran real estate appraiser in the office of the Assessor, and hereby extends its sympathy to the breaved family of the deceased.

**Proposed Amendment to Building Code with Reference to Chimneys, Flues, etc.**

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Sheet Metal Contractors Association, stating that the proposed amendments to San Francisco Building Code in reference to chimneys, flues, etc., were

never submitted to said organization for its consideration, and requesting that no action be taken until the association has an opportunity to review changes and submit suggestions.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

Supervisor Brown (re Amendments to Building Law, and Amendment to Building Fee Ordinance, Items Nos. 20 and 21 in Calendar, June 15, 1936), moved that the Clerk address a letter to the Chief Administrative Officer, notifying him that these matters are under consideration by the Board, that an ordinance is in course of preparation, making the changes necessary in the law, and asking him to extend deadline, previously set for June 15, for one month.

**Acquisition of Property for McLaren Park From Salvation Army.**

Communication from Young, Hudson and Rabinowitz, attorneys at law, relative to the acquisition of certain property proposed for McLaren Park at $24,000.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Power of the Board Over Old-Age Pension Security Act.**

Supervisor Uhl presented communication from City Attorney John J. O'Toole relative to power of Board of Supervisors over pension under the Old Age Security Act.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee.*

**Purchase of Golden Gate Bridge Bonds by Retirement System.**

Supervisor Brown referred to reports of sale of City of Los Angeles bonds to San Francisco Retirement System and requested the Chair to look into the matter of purchase of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District bonds by the Retirement System.

*Meeting Public Welfare Committee, June 19, 9 a. m.*

**Director of County Welfare Department to Report to Board of Supervisors Result of All Investigations and Recommendations as to the Granting or Rejecting of Pensions.**

(Code No. 19.021)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2643, as follows:

Whereas, Section 13 of the Old-Age Pension Security Act provides that all applications for aid under the act shall be made to the Board of Supervisors of the County of which the applicant is a resident; Section 14:

"The Board of Supervisors directly or through the advisory board, or other authorized investigator, shall, upon receipt of the application for aid, *promptly and without any unnecessary delay and with all diligence make the necessary investigation.*"; and

Whereas, on September 23rd, 1929, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco enacted an ordinance (No. 8542, New Series), providing that:

"It shall be the duty of the County Welfare Department to investigate, for and on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, all persons receiving, or who may hereafter apply for financial aid from the City and County of San Francisco by reason of old age, etc. . . ., *and shall report in writing to the Board of Supervisors the result of all investigations and recommendations as to the granting or rejecting any such application.* All such investigations shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the laws of the State of California governing the persons described in this section," and

Whereas, Section 3 of the Ordinance provides that Miss Eugenie Schenk and her assistants then connected with the Widows' Pension Bureau were authorized to act for and on behalf of the Board of Super-

visors as directors and assistants in the direction of the County Welfare Department; and

Whereas, our City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, in a written opinion dated May 4, 1936, sets forth the following:

"As far as I am advised, Ordinance No. 8542 (New Series), above mentioned, provided for the functions and personnel of the County Welfare Bureau, and, therefore, that bureau has the right to investigate and report upon applications for aid under the Old Age Security Act.

"See *Reuter* v. *Board of Supervisors of San Mateo Co.*, 220 Cal. 314.

"You are, therefore, advised that it is the duty of the County Welfare Department to investigate and report upon all applications under the Old Age Security Act, and that the Board of Supervisors may take such action as it deems proper upon said report," now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Public Welfare be requested to forthwith comply with Ordinance No. 8542 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 23rd, 1929, and which ordinance provides that it shall be the duty of the County Welfare Department to investigate for and on behalf of the Board of Supervisors all persons receiving or who may hereafter apply for financial aid from the City and County of San Francisco by reason of old age . . . and shall report in writing to the Board of Supervisors the result of all investigations and recommendations as to the granting or rejecting of any such application.

*City Attorney to be requested to pass upon the framing of resolution, and that resolution be returned to Board for next Monday's meeting.*

### Report on One-Man Car Ordinance to Include Proviso Re Insanitary Condition, Ventilation, etc.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: In connection with the ordinance I presented to the Public Utilities Committee the week before last, an ordinance which was designed to test the power of the city to require the operation of two-man cars on specific lines. I want to present a report of accidents on one-man cars obtained from the Director of Public Health. He asked that when the Public Utilities Committee reports on the ordinance that it take into consideration the report made by the Department of Public Health as to accidents and insanitary conditions obtaining in one-man cars and if the committee deems it advisable that an additional proviso be incorporated in the ordinance relative to insanitary conditions, accidents, ventilation, etc.

### Accident Report.

The following was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

June 13, 1936.

Mr. Franck Havenner, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mr. Havenner: For your information I am submitting below a list of patients treated at the various Emergency Hospitals for injuries received in connection with one-man cars:

*Central Hospital*—April 1st to June 8th, 1936.

May 23—Peter Willard—brought from Post and Larkin streets, struck by car, No. 19 line, after alighting from same. Contusion back, possible fracture sacrum. Taken to Fort Miley Hospital.

June 1—John Kusino—brought from Ninth and Bryant—struck against seat of car, 19 line, when car ran off track. Abrasion left leg. Discharged.

*Harbor Hospital*—February 11th to June 8th, 1936.

February 11—Sally Jackson—brought from Folsom and Third streets, No. 15 car line—laceration of forehead.

March 26—Fred Ryer—brought from Fourth and Howard, No. 20 line, fracture of femur.

May 24—Santo Soto—Third and Townsend, line No. 15, contusion and abrasions.

*Mission Hospital.*

January 30—John Varger—line No. 29—fracture left hip and pelvis.
February 13—Marie Clancy—line No. 35—Pott's fracture.
April 28—Wm. Salazar—no injury—line No. 16.
May 19—Verna Michelson—line No. 25—abrasions left elbow and knee.
June 15—Alfred and Theresa Jones—line No. 25—Mr. Jones, minor lacerations forehead and elbow. Theresa Jones—bruises, forehead and left thumb—back injury.
May 22—Albert Moore—line No. 15—not hurt.
Mike Pistich—line No. 15—contusion left lower leg.
Mike Perlan—line No. 15—sprain right ankle, contusion right shoulder.

*Alemany Hospital.*

April 9—Mary Glidden—Geneva car line—cut by glass—minor lacerations right wrist.

*Park Hospital.*

April 16—Roy Belden—employee Market Street Railway Company—injured in carbarn at Broderick and Oak, collision of one-man cars—sprain of right wrist.

Sincerely,
J. C. GEIGER, M. D., Director.

**Report of Supervisor Shannon Re Administration of Unemployment Relief Funds by State.**

Supervisor Shannon reported on his trip to Eureka to attend the County Supervisors' Convention, where the question of the administration of unemployment relief funds by the State was discussed and a 14-point program adopted. He also reported on a conference held between the County Supervisors' Convention Committee and the Governor relative to the same subject in which His Excellency proposed to turn over the administration of relief funds to the counties, which did not meet with the approval of the committee. He declared that there was to be another meeting of the County Supervisors' Convention Committee, which consists of H. C. Legg of Los Angeles, C. E. Steinegul of San Joaquin, two other supervisors and himself, who will meet in Room 228 of the City Hall Thursday, June 18, 1936, at 2 p. m., to give the matter further consideration.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 3:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 22, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 26

Monday, June 22, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JUNE 22, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 22, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors answered present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisors McSheehy and Schmidt on leave.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 15, 1936, and June 15, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing the Assessor to Become a Member of the National Association of Assessing Officers, and Appropriating $50 for Annual Membership Dues.**

(Code Nc. 9.011)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1012, Ordinance No. 9.0113, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Assessor to become a member of the National Association of Assessing Officers, and providing for the payment of the expense thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Assessor thereof become a member of the National Association of Assessing Officers for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said National Association of Assessing Officers, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said Association.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership, not to exceed fifty ($50) dollars, be paid out of Contractual Service Fund of the Assessor's office.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following Bill was *laid over one week*:

**Appropriating $700 to County Welfare Department for Payment of Three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1016, Ordinance No. 9.051270, as follows:

Appropriating $700 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 (temporary salaries, County Welfare Department) for payment of salaries of three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $700 be and is hereby set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 (temporary salaries, County Welfare Department) to pay the salaries of three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over two weeks*:

**An Ordinance Defining Public Parks, Board of Park Commissioners, Superintendent and Secretary; Establishing Rules and Regulations; Defining Particular Offenses; Providing for Permits and Procedure Therefor; Establishing Traffic Regulations; Providing a Penalty for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Orders and Ordinances or Parts of Orders and Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.**

(Code No. 23.011)

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113, as follows:

An ordinance defining public parks, Board of Park Commissioners, superintendent and secretary; establishing rules and regulations; defining particular offenses; providing for permits and procedure therefor; establishing traffic regulations; providing a penalty for a violation thereof; and repealing all orders and ordinances or parts of orders and ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

CHAPTER I.

**GENERAL PROVISIONS.**

ARTICLE 1.

**DEFINITIONS.**

Sec. 1. Public Parks defined.
Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

SEC. 1. **Public Parks Defined.** When used in this code the words "Public Parks, Squares or Pleasure Grounds" shall include all parks, squares, all grounds surrounding public buildings, all roadways and avenues, and the Great Highway and all other territory within the jurisdiction and under the control of the Park Commission as set forth in Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this code the words "Board of Park Commissioners" or "Park Commissioners" shall re-

fer to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 3. **Superintendent Defined.** When used in this code the word "Superintendent" shall refer to the Superintendent of Parks, as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 4. **Secretary Defined.** When used in this code the word "Secretary" shall refer to the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

## ARTICLE 2.

## RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Sec. 7. Observation of rules and regulations.
Sec. 8. Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.
Sec. 9. Regulating use on concert days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.
Sec. 10. Exclusion of public when necessary.
Sec. 11. Prohibiting use of bridle paths by pedestrians.
Sec. 12. Riding and driving animals and vehicles confined to designated roads.
Sec. 13. Restricting vehicles to certain areas.
Sec. 14. Performance of labor in parks.
Sec. 15. Regulating games, baseball, etc., throwing missiles.

SEC. 7. **Observation of Rules and Regulations.** No person shall disobey or violate any of the rules and regulations regulating the use and enjoyment of any of the buildings and structures in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, which rules and regulations at the time are posted in some conspicuous place in such building and structure; nor shall any person disobey any instruction or any sign in any of said public grounds; nor shall any person refuse any reasonable request of any police officer in any of the said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds; and the Superintendent of said park or manager or person in charge of said buildings or structures, may refuse admission to, and may expel therefrom, any person refusing to comply with said rules and regulations.

SEC. 8. **Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.** The building situated in Golden Gate Park and known as the "Sharon Building," and the grounds around and adjacent to the same, the swings, spring boards, merry-go-round, croquet games, tennis courts, and the grounds prepared for baseball and other sports and such places designated and set apart by the Board of Park Commissioners are hereby designated and set apart as quarters and playground for children who shall visit the park and shall be used and occupied exclusively by said children and the parents, guardians or other persons accompanying or having the said children in charge. The rules and regulations for the government of said children's quarters and playground, and the persons who shall be entitled to use and enjoy the same, and of the occupations and amusements which may be carried upon the same shall be designated from time to time hereafter by the Park Commissioners by printed notices, which shall be posted in some conspicuous point in or near the said buildings; and all persons visiting or using the said children's quarters and playgrounds shall conform to and obey such posted notices and regulations.

SEC. 9. **Regulating Use On Concert Days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.** All persons are forbidden to walk across or upon the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park between Seventh and Tenth avenues on con-

cert days, between the hours of 1:30 and 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and during said hours must pass under the Granite Bridge in going to or leaving the concert grounds between these points; and provided further that during said above mentioned hours on concert days no pedestrians shall be allowed on the pedestrian path paralleling Main Drive, on the south side thereof, between Seventh and Eleventh avenues, or concourse and at said above mentioned periods, vehicles when going west in immediate vicinity of concourse must take the inner drive; the Museum Road between the Main Drive and Japanese Tea Garden is closed for vehicle traffic during said periods of time.

SEC. 10. **Exclusion of Public When Necessary.** In case of an emergency or where in the judgment of the Superintendent the public interest demands it, portions of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds may be closed to the public, and all persons thereby be excluded therefrom until permission is given to return.

SEC. 11. **Prohibiting Use of Bridle Paths by Pedestrians.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or drive, paralleling the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 12. **Riding and Driving Animals and Vehicles Confined to Designated Roads.** No person shall within any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle of any kind elsewhere than on the roads or driveways provided and designated for such purpose.

SEC. 13. **Restricting Vehicles to Certain Areas.** No person shall place propel, ride or drive any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle on any sidewalk or footpath in or around any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or in that portion of the Golden Gate Park known as "Conservatory Valley," or on that portion of said park adjacent to the Music Stand, or upon or over any of the walks or drive leading up, down or upon any part of Strawberry Hill in said park, excepting on the roads, driveways, avenues, and highways, especially provided and designated by the Park Commissioners for that purpose.

SEC. 14. **Performance of Labor in Parks.** Under the supervision of the Superintendent of Parks the park will perform any labor in or on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, such as taking up and replacing soil, ground and pavements, structures, trees, shrubs and the like, and for the performance of any work for which a permit is granted to any corporation or individual, all labor and material shall be charged against such corporation, firm or person.

SEC. 15. **Regulating Games, Baseball, Etc. Throwing Missiles.** No person shall play ball, fly kites, play football, or engage in any other game or game in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places therein as shall be especially designated and provided for such purpose; nor shall any person throw any stone or other missile in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. Baseball may be played at the children's ball grounds for children under twelve years, ball grounds in Golden Gate Park opposite Seventh avenue, and athletic games may be played at the Stadium and such places as set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners. A permit must first be obtained from the Park Commissioners when the Stadium is to be used for exhibition games.

## CHAPTER II.

## PARTICULAR OFFENSES.

### ARTICLE 1.

### ADVERTISING.

Sec. 21. Handbills, circulars, advertisements, etc.
Sec. 22. Advertising vehicles restricted to transverse roads.

SEC. 21. **Handbills, Circulars, Advertisements, Etc.** No person shall distribute, circulate or give away in or throw in or deposit in or on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tracts, dodgers or advertisements, or post or affix to any trees, shrubs, plants, fence, building, structure, dome, monument, chair or bench, roads or drives or any thing or place situate therein any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tracts, dodgers or advertisements.

SEC. 22. **Advertising Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads.** No vehicle having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name of owner, name of maker or name of vehicle, or sign shall be allowed within any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, provided, however, that delivery, trucks, wagons and other vehicles, if not loaded to exceed six (6) tons gross, may use the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln Way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

### ARTICLE 2.

### ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 26. Animals.
Sec. 27. Dogs.
Sec. 28. Disturb or feed fish, birds, quadrupeds, etc.

SEC. 26. **Animals.** No person shall lead, turn in or let loose, or permit to run at large any cattle, horses, goats, sheep, swine or other animals, or fowl of any kind in or upon any such public parks, squares or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 27. **Dogs.** No person having the care and charge of any dog shall suffer, allow or permit any such dog to enter upon or remain in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, unless said dog is fastened to and held by a chain or line of suitable strength and not more than eight (8) feet long. Nor shall any person having the care or charge of any dog permit such dog to enter the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or any of the sand boxes, play apparatus or play areas normally reserved for children, or drink the water of any lake or pond in any of the parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such place or places as may be maintained or designated therefor.

SEC. 28. **Disturb or Feed Fish, Birds, Quadrupeds, Etc.** No person shall, in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, disturb the fish, annoy, strike, chase, snare or set snares for, catch, injure or destroy any rabbit, quail, bird or wild quadruped, nor injure or maltreat any domestic or other animal, quail or bird therein, nor shall any person rob, molest or interfere with any bird's nest therein, and no person shall give or offer or attempt to give to any animal within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds any tobacco or other known noxious article, or substance the giving of which shall be prohibited by posted notices. It shall be unlawful for any person to feed or offer food to any of the animals, birds or reptiles in the Zoological Park of the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield.

## ARTICLE 3.

## NUISANCES.

Sec. 34. Depositing refuse.

SEC. 34. **Depositing Refuse.** No person shall place, deposit or dump, cause to be placed, deposited or dumped in or upon any of said public par squares, or pleasure grounds, any garbage, refuse, dirt, ashes, broken gla crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, or any dead animal or dead fowl; r shall any person leave or scatter about on any of said public parks, squares, pleasure grounds, any empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of lunch newspaper, or rubbish of any kind, and same shall be taken away or deposit in cans or other receptacles provided for the reception of waste matter.

## ARTICLE 4.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

Sec. 39. Indecent or disorderly conduct or language.
Sec. 40. Bathing restrictions—water pollution.
Sec. 41. Undressing on Ocean Beach.
Sec. 42. Athletic attire restricted.
Sec. 43. Bonfires.
Sec. 44. Camping or tarrying over night.
Sec. 45. Selling merchandise prohibited.

SEC. 39. **Indecent or Disorderly Conduct or Language.** No person sh indulge in any brawl, riotous, boisterous or indecent conduct, or engage in a fighting or boxing contest, in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasu grounds, and no person shall use profane, indecent, threatening or viol language therein; and no intoxicated, noisy, disorderly or publicly offens person shall enter or remain therein.

SEC. 40. **Bathing Restrictions, Water Pollution.** No person shall bathe the waters of any pond, stream, lake or pool in any of the public parks, squar or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpos nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard and north of the northerly line Fort Funston Military Reservation, and west of the easterly boundary line Lincoln Park; and no person shall throw into or cause to be deposited any d filth, or foreign matter in or near or in any manner pollute the waters of a of the ponds, streams, lakes or pools.

SEC. 41. **Undressing on Ocean Beach.** No person shall undress on Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard on the Ocean Beach north of northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easte boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such places as are set apart for su purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 42. **Athletic Attire Restricted.** No person shall appear in any of public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, clad in any athletic costume attire in which any part of the legs, arms or trunk is exposed to view, exc at the places therein as shall have been specially set apart for athletic spo for which such costume or attire is appropriate, such as the Stadium and t grounds and such places set apart and designated by the Park Commissione

SEC. 43. **Bonfires.** No person shall kindle or cause to be kindled any b fire or fire of any kind in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure groun or driveways thereof, except in the places set apart and designated therefor

the Park Commissioners, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

SEC. 44. **Camping or Tarrying Overnight.** No person shall camp, lodge, sleep, or tarry overnight in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 45. **Selling Merchandise Prohibited.** No person shall sell, vend, dispose of, or offer for sale, any goods, wares or merchandise in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. And no person shall erect or maintain any structure, counter, table, tent, or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, used or intended to be used in the selling or exposing or exposing for sale any goods, wares or merchandise.

## ARTICLE 5.

## GAMES OF CHANCE.

Sec. 50. Gambling, fortune telling.

SEC. 50. **Gambling, Fortune Telling.** No person shall maintain, conduct, expose, exhibit or play any game of chance, gambling table or contrivance or instrument of gaming or gambling in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and all and every manner of gaming and gambling is prohibited. And no person shall engage in or practice fortune telling or any like practice therein.

## ARTICLE 6.

## GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS.

Sec. 53. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 54. Parades, picnics, etc., permits for.

Sec. 55. Funeral procession, routes restricted.

SEC. 53. **Holding Meetings, Discussions.** No person or persons shall hold any public meeting of any kind or nature, nor hold any public discussion or debate, where five or more persons are gathered together for that purpose, in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 54. **Parades, Picnics, Etc. Permits for.** Any company, society, social club, or organization, when the number of members thereof shall exceed twenty-five (25) persons, desiring to resort to and use any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, for parade, picnicking or other pleasurable purposes, shall at least one day prior to the proposed parade, or picnic or use thereof, notify the Superintendent of said grounds or the Secretary of the Park Commissioners of their intention so to use said grounds, and obtain a permit in writing therefor.

SEC. 55. **Funeral Procession, Routes Restricted.** No person having the charge of any funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon or other vehicle designated for or carrying the body of a deceased person for burial or otherwise shall enter in or go upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except on or over the transverse roadway leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 7.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 60. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.
Sec. 61. Removing trees, turf, soil, rock, etc.
Sec. 62. Removal of bathing apparel, towels, other personal property.
Sec. 63. Trespass on public grounds, turf, shrubbery.
Sec. 64. Restrictions and defacing of ladies' rest rooms.
Sec. 65. Destruction, mutilation, defacement, etc., of park buildings, propert furniture, fixtures, etc.

SEC. 60. **Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, di up or in any manner injure any tree, shrub, plant, in or growing in any of th public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or in any building or structu therein situated.

SEC. 61. **Removing Trees, Turf, Soil, Rock, Etc.** No person shall remo any tree, wood, turf, grass, soil or rock or anything of like kind in or belongi to said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways or highwa thereof.

SEC. 62. **Removal of Bathing Apparel, Towels, Other Personal Propert** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any of the parks or pla grounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners any towel, bathi cap, bathing suit, bathing apparatus, or other personal property under th control of or title to which is vested in the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 63. **Trespass on Public Grounds, Turf, Shrubbery.** No person shall within any shrubbery or climb any tree in any of said public parks, square or pleasure grounds, nor shall any person walk upon any ground therein whe limbs or branches of trees or shrubs come within three feet of the ground; n shall any person walk on the turf bordering walks or drives, except to imm diately cross the same.

SEC. 64. **Restrictions and Defacing of Ladies' Restrooms.** No male pers over the age of ten years shall enter any ladies' toilet, room or apartment with any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall c or in any wise deface the walls, doors or any part of ladies' toilet, room apartment; and no person shall write, print or paint on any part thereof a name, figure, picture, design, word, language, or sign of any kind.

SEC. 65. **Destruction, Multilation, Defacement, Etc., of Park Building Property, Furniture, Fixtures, Etc.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, defac cut or otherwise mar or injure any building, structure, dome, monument, cha or other furniture, fixture, or fence in, or belonging to, any of the public park squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall write, paint or cut a figure thereon, or place thereon any writing, painting or printing, or write upo paint or cut any building, structure, dome, monument, chair, or other furnitu fixture or fence in any manner whatsoever; nor shall any person climb or over any of said property.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERMITS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 69. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
Sec. 70. Injury or damage to walks, plots or roadways.
Sec. 71. Exposing, disconnecting, pipes, sewers, etc.
Sec. 72. Moving buildings across parks. Permits.
Sec. 73. Erecting structure or obstruction. Permit.
Sec. 74. Leaving building materials on public grounds.
Sec. 75. Permits and bonds.
Sec. 76. All permits to be issued by and fees paid to Secretary.
Sec. 77. Condition of grounds after repairs.
Sec. 78. Removal of buildings and penalty.
Sec. 79. Display of red lights during obstruction or excavation.
Sec. 80. Permit to transport or sell wares.
Sec. 81. Maintaining places of amusement—permit necessary.

SEC. 69. **Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** The space in Marina Park at the ot of Scott and Divisadero streets, known and designated as Yacht Harbor, hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and ats; but no boat or craft of any character may moor or enter the aforesaid ooring grounds until after a permit in writing has been obtained from the rk Commissioners, in which event it will be permitted to enter and use only e mooring space designated in said permit.

SEC. 70. **Injury or Damage to Walks, Plots or Roadways.** No person shall jure, dig or tear up any pavement, sidewalk, cross walk, grass plot or road- ay or any part thereof, in any public park, square or pleasure ground, without written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him, and countersigned and corded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 71. **Exposing, Disconnecting Pipes, Sewers, Etc.** No person shall dig wn toward or to, expose, tear up, disconnect or connect with any of the ater pipes or sewers in or under any public park, square or pleasure ground, ithout a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him and counter- gned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 72. **Moving Buildings Across Parks. Permits.** No person shall move ong, across or upon any public park, square, pleasure ground or Great High- ay any building or other structure, without having first obtained permission the Board of Park Commissioners and a certificate thereof signed by the resident and Secretary, and no such building or other structure shall remain n any public park, square, or pleasure ground, in any one part thereof, for ore than five hours, nor upon any public park, square, or pleasure ground for period of more than twenty-four hours.

SEC. 73. **Erecting Structure or Obstruction. Permit.** No person shall uild, put up, place, erect, have, keep or maintain or cause to be built, put up, aced, erected, had, kept or maintained, any nuisance or any fence, building, ructure, obstruction or other thing which shall in any manner obstruct any of e public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or any part or portion thereof, which shall in any way prevent, hinder or impair the full and free use and joyment by the public thereof. And no person shall build, erect, place, put or maintain any building, structure, monument, dome or other thing in or on any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds without first obtain- g the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, to be evidenced by a permit writing, signed by the President, and countersigned and recorded by the ecretary of the Board.

SEC. 74. **Leaving Building Materials on Public Grounds.** No person sha encumber or obstruct or cause to be encumbered or obstructed any public par square or pleasure ground, or part thereof, by placing or leaving therein thereon any building materials or any article or thing whatsoever, without fir having obtained a written permit signed by the Superintendent and counte signed and recorded by the Secretary.

SEC. 75. **Permits and Bonds.** When the permit is under Sections 70, 7 72 and 73 of this article, the permittee shall give a bond with one or mo sureties satisfactory to the President of the Park Commission, in such sum the President may determine, that the conditions prescribed in said perm including that as to time for which granted, shall in all respects be compli with, that no injury shall be done or caused or permitted to any public par square, or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or to the trees, shrubs, gra fences or anything thereon, and that the Park Commission and its officers sh be fully indemnified against and saved harmless from all damages and co which may ensue from any act or thing done or purported to be done und such permit; whereupon the Secretary shall, if the Park Commission or Pre dent thereof or the Superintendent, as the case may be, shall direct, issue permit wherein shall be stated all the conditions and circumstances, and lim ing the time in which the act permitted must be done. Provided: That granting any permit under Sections 70 and 71 of this Article the Park Co mission may, in lieu of such bond, accept from the permittee, a cash depo in such sum as may be designated by the President, as security that no pub park, square or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or the trees, shrul grass, fences, or anything thereon shall suffer any injury in consequence any act done or permitted, or omitted, under or purported to be under su permit; but the acceptance of such cash deposit by said Park Commissi shall in nowise impair any right, or claim, or demand, direct or indire against the permittee receiving such permit, by virtue of any bond the pe mittee has given or furnished to the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 76. **All Permits to Be Issued by and Fees Paid to Secretary.** The Se retary of the Park Commission shall issue all permits authorized by the Pa Commission, and shall sign the same, receive all fees, charges and depos therefor, and keep a record of all proceedings and doings thereunder, or connection therewith, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing the Park Commission of the permits issued.

SEC. 77. **Condition of Grounds After Repairs.** Every permittee to whom permit is granted under Sections 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74 of this Article sh leave the ground, site or place within the public parks, squares and pleasu grounds, where work has been done pursuant to such permit, in as good co dition in all respects when such permit shall have expired, as when the sa was granted, and shall repair said ground, site or place to the satisfacti of the Superintendent of Parks.

SEC. 78. **Removal of Buildings and Penalty.** The owner or owners, occupant or occupants of any building, or the contractor or other person charge of or superintending or directing its removal, or either or all of the who shall suffer the same to remain in any parkway for any time whatsoev longer than that specified in the permit issued by the Park Commission, who shall place in or permit to remain in such parkway, any building structure without an appropriate permit, shall, in addition to the pena herein provided, forfeit, as a penalty, the amount whereof shall be specifi in the permit, for every twenty-four hours or any part thereof the sa shall continue.

SEC. 79. **Display of Red Lights During Obstruction or Excavation.** Any person having the use of any portion of any public park, square, or pleasure ground, shall cause red lights to be placed in conspicuous places in front of and at each end of the obstruction or excavation from sunset to sunrise each night such obstruction or excavation remains.

SEC. 80. **Permit to Transport or Sell Wares.** No person shall transport into, carry into, have or take into, or leave upon or over any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, any fruit, nuts, candy, vegetables, or other goods, wares or merchandise of any kind to be sold or offered for sale, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners therefor.

SEC. 81. **Maintaining Places of Amusement, Permit Necessary.** No person shall, within any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, show, show stand, performance, concert, concert hall, moving pictures or the taking of moving pictures, or any other kind of amusement, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 85. Breaking in of animals.
Sec. 86. Sulky and vehicle trailing prohibited.
Sec. 87. Trade and commercial vehicles restricted to tranverse roads—garbage transportation prohibited.
Sec. 88. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
Sec. 89. Pleasure vehicles only permitted to enter parks.
Sec. 90. Vehicles abreast of each other.
Sec. 91. Limiting speed of bicycles and similar vehicles.
Sec. 92. Transporting merchandise for sale prohibited.

SEC. 85. **Breaking in of Animals.** No person shall, within or on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, ride, drive or leave thereon any vicious, unbroken or wild horse, mule or other animal, or use therein or thereon any breaking cart or other vehicle or apparatus or appliance used in breaking any of such animals.

SEC. 86. **Sulky and Vehicle Trailing Prohibited.** No person shall trail any sulky or other vehicle through any public park, square, or pleasure ground.

SEC. 87. **Trade and Commercial Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads. Garbage Transportation Prohibited.** No dray, truck, wagon, cart or other vehicle carrying or employed in carrying goods, merchandise, manure, soil or other article of commerce or trade, shall travel upon any of the driveways or roads of any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, for any other purpose than to cross the same immediately at the regular street intersections, or upon any of the roads or driveways of said public grounds, except the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Vehicles having deliveries in park shall use nearest entrance to point of delivery. Transportation of garbage is prohibited upon any road or driveway in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 88. **Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person ow ing, driving or having charge of any carriage, coach, motor wagon, autom bile, or vehicle let or used for hire or anyone employed by such owner, driver, shall within said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds sta for the purpose of, or in any way let or solicit custom or passengers for t same.

SEC. 89. **Pleasure Vehicles Only Permitted to Enter Parks.** No vehic usually engaged in carrying baggage or merchandise other than the person effects of the owner, driver, motorman or engineer thereof, and no vehicle us for advertising purposes, or with any name or designation exposed thereon f advertising purposes, shall be or enter upon or use any part of the publ parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting when used exclusively for plea ure purposes.

SEC. 90. **Vehicles Abreast of Each Other.** No more than two vehicles sha run or be propelled abreast of each other while used or operated within t public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. The said vehicles shall be r and propelled singly and not attached to each other, except in case of an acc dent, when one may assist the other.

SEC. 91. **Limiting Speed of Bicycles and Similar Vehicles.** No person sha ride, propel or operate any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle in on any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, at a greater rate speed than ten (10) miles per hour; and at the crossings or junctions of a roads or driveways, or at any place used as a crossing by persons on foot, at speed to exceed six (6) miles per hour.

SEC. 92. **Transporting Merchandise for Sale Prohibited.** No person sha drive in or into or enter upon or pass over any part or portion of said publ parks, squares or pleasure grounds in any cart, wagon or other vehicle, havi therein any goods, wares or merchandise intended for sale therein.

## CHAPTER V.

## PENAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 97. Violation a misdemeanor—Penalty.
Sec. 98. Repeal.
Sec. 99. Saving Clause.

SEC. 97. **Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or co poration who shall violate any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than fi hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a peri of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 98. **Repeal.** All Ordinances or orders or parts of Ordinances or orde in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SEC. 99. **Saving Clause.** If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, su decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinan The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ord nance, and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, ir spective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub-sections, sentence clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Guerrero Street, Between Market and Fourteenth.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1019, Ordinance No. 12.073176, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred seventy-six (1176).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 2, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1176, to read as follows:

Section 1176. The width of sidewalks on Guerrero street, between Market street and Fourteenth street, shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on San Carlos Street.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1020, Ordinance No. 12.073175, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 28, 1934, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139) to read as follows:

Section 1139: The width of sidewalks on San Carlos street, between Nineteenth street and Twenty-first street, shall be six (6) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Exchange of Certain Lands in Sloat Boulevard With Gough Street Railroad Company.**
(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Bill No. 1021, Ordinance No. 12.17417, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of certain lands in Sloat boulevard with Gough Street Railroad Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property, in lieu of sale, is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain city-owned land hereinafter described as Parcel "D" to Gough Street Railroad Company in exchange for certain other land hereinafter described as Parcel 3.

Section 2. Said Parcel "D" is that certain tract of land which was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17214, Bill No. 964, approved April 21, 1936.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisal of said real property, and estimates the value of Parcel "D" equal to the value of Parcel 3.

Section 4. Said parcels are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL "D": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard with the easterly line of 39th avenue; thence easterly and along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 26.194 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50.0 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning; which said point of beginning is also the point of curve to the right with a radius of 1482.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence westerly and northwesterly along said curve a distance of 982.376 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northwesterly and tangent to last mentioned curve a distance of 150 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southwesterly 35 feet to the southwesterly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way; thence at right angles southeasterly 150 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 1517.5 feet and an angle of 37 degrees 58 minutes 01 seconds; thence southeasterly and easterly along said curve a distance of 1005.569 feet to the point of tangent of said curve; thence northerly at right angles to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 35 feet to the northerly line of Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning.

Excepting the portions thereof owned by Gough Street Railroad Company.

PARCEL "3": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard with the easterly line of 39th avenue; thence easterly and along the northerly line of Sloat boulevard 26.194 feet; thence at right angles southerly 50.0 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning; thence south 86 degrees 51 minutes 05 seconds west and parallel to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard a distance of 580.423 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 167.5 feet and an angle of 55 degrees 15 minutes 15 seconds; thence westerly and northwesterly along said curve a distance of 161.53 feet; thence north 37 degrees 53 minutes 40 seconds west 21.85 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 1462.27 feet and an angle of 17 degrees 17 minutes 14 seconds; thence northwesterly along said curve a distance of 441.195 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of the now existing right of way of the Gough Street Railroad Company; thence south 34 degrees 49 minutes 06 seconds west 35 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of the now existing right of way of the Gough Street Railroad Company, which said point is the point of a curve of a curve to the right with a radius of 1427.27 feet and an angle of 17 degrees 17 minutes 14 seconds; thence southeasterly along said curve a distance of 430.634 feet to the point of tangent; thence south 37 degrees 53 minutes 40 seconds east 21.85 feet to the point of curve of a curve to the left with a radius of 202.5 feet and an angle of 55 degrees 15 minutes 15 seconds; thence southeasterly and easterly along said curve a distance of 195.28 feet to the point of tangent; thence north 86 degrees 51 minutes 05 seconds east and parallel to the northerly line of Sloat boulevard a distance of 580.433 feet; thence north 3 degrees 08 minutes 55 seconds west 35 feet to the northerly line of the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way and the true point of beginning.

Excepting the portions thereof set forth as an exception in the foregoing description of Parcel "D."

Section 5. In behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel "D" to Gough Street Railroad Company. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to Gough Street Railroad Company upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel 3, and shall record the latter deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Refunds of Amounts Paid for Taxes Erroneously.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2650, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1935-1936, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Mortgage Guarantee Company, per Vol. 3, Bill 1838, Lot 22-23, Block 492, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | $309.46 |
| 2. | Minnie Gallagher, per Vol. 13, Bill 671, Lot 5, Block 1718, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Duplicate Payment | 38.43 |
| 3. | Helen O'Brien, per Vol. 16, Page 25, Line 9, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Duplicate Payment | .83 |
| 4. | Andrew J. Gallagher, per Vol. 14, Page 90, Line 18, Unsecured Personal Property Taxes, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | 13.14 |
| 5. | William Cohen, per Vol. 44, Bill 2360, Lot 7A, Block 7218, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | 38.66 |
| 6. | John Del Vecchio, per Vol. 44, Bill 1712, Lot 10, Block 7160, First and Second Installments, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | 9.20 |
| 7. | Thomas J. Doyle, per Vol. 31, Bill 1397, Lot 21, Block 5279, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Duplicate Payment | 8.94 |
| 8. | Herman Christensen, per Vol. 12, Bill 2389-B, Lot 2A, Block 1672, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | 18.41 |
| 9. | Anna C. Duffy, per Vol. 23, Bill 1987, Lot 55B, Block 3557, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1935, Erroneous Payment | 77.50 |
| 10. | Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., per Vol. 16, Bill 1883, Lot 3, Block 2187, First and Second Installments, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payments | 206.92 |
| 11. | Western Loan and Building Company, per Vol. 8, Bill 2205, Lot 1, Block 1275, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | 201.95 |
| 12. | Karl Unger, per Vol. 7, Bill 1295, Lot 3, Block 1099, Second Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment | 64.07 |

13. Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., per Vol. 4, Bill 2825, Lot 27, Block 683, First Installment, Fiscal Year 1935-1936, Erroneous Payment . . . . . 126.06

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Confirming Lease of School Property on Tehama Street.**

(Code No. 12.1732)

Also, Resolution No. 2649, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17324, Bill No. 932, approved March 17, 1936, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 8, 1936, for leasing the following described city owned real property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Tehama street, distant thereon 207 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of First street; running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Tehama street, 118 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 118 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 75 feet to the southeasterly line of Tehama street and the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Florence C. Claraty to lease said property for a period of ten years at a rental of $101 per month, subject to the condition that the Board of Education shall make the necessary repairs to the building at a cost not exceeding $3,000; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received, and the Board of Education has recommended that said lease be awarded to Florence C. Claraty.

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that said lease be and is hereby awarded to Florence C. Claraty.

Be it further resolved, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. The lease shall be made subject to such conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following Bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $391,000 from 1929 Sewer Bond Fund for Construction of Additional Sewers in Vicinity of Oakdale Avenue, etc.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1037, Ordinance No. 9.051280, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $391,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund for the construction of additional sewers in the vicinity of Oakdale avenue, Revere avenue and Industrial street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $391,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 586.000.00 out of the accrued surplus

existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund for the construction of additional sewers in the vicinity of Oakdale avenue, Revere avenue and Industrial street in the City and County of San Francisco, which said sewer is designated as Alemany boulevard sewer.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved as to availability of funds by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Refund of Apartment House License Fees.
(Code No. 9.058)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2652, as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller is authorized and directed to reimburse the apartment house owners who paid license fees in advance under previous License Ordinance (Ordinance No. 5132, New Series, Section 12). The list of said apartment house owners was prepared by the Tax Collector and checked by the Controller and the amounts found to be correct. Total amount, $2,156. Said reimbursements to be made as per list prepared by the Controller and filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on June 17, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bill was *passed for second reading*:

Regulating the Use of Aisles and Passageways, Stairways, Entrances, Lobbies, Foyers and Mezzanines in Theatres and Public Halls; Repealing Ordinance No. 1104.
(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1038, Ordinance No. 11.0012, as follows:

Regulating the use of aisles and passageways, stairways, entrances, lobbies, foyers and mezzanines in theatres and public halls; repealing Ordinance No. 1104.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for the owner, lessee, manager or other person, firm or corporation having charge of any theatre or public hall to permit any person during a performance, exhibition, lecture, entertainment or public assemblage therein to sit or remain standing in any entrance, aisle, passageway, stairway, lobby, foyer or mezzanine in such theatre or public hall, provided, however, that patrons of any theatre or public hall awaiting seats for any performance, exhibition, lecture or entertainment therein may be permitted to stand in such space as may be set aside in said theatre or hall with the approval of the Fire Department and for the accommodation of said patrons awaiting seats.

Section 2. The space set aside in said theatre or hall, with the approval of the Fire Department for the accommodation of patrons awaiting seats, shall be separated from the space left clear for exit

by a tape, ribbon or other material easily broken or detached, supported by light posts fixed in stationary sockets, the type of which post shall be not less than three nor more than four feet from the floor.

Section 3. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to permit any person to stand in any aisle or on any stairway in the auditorium or gallery of any theatre or hall during any performance, exhibition, lecture or entertainment given or held therein.

Section 4. The Chief of the Fire Department, or any member thereof acting under his authority, shall have full power to allot and set aside space in the theatres and public halls mentioned herein for the accommodation of patrons awaiting seats. The allocation of such space to be made so that at all times a reasonable amount of clear and unobstructed space shall be available as a means of exit for the patrons of said theatre or hall.

Section 5. The violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding one hundred days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 1104 entitled, "Regulating the Use of Aisles and Passageways and Stairways in Theatres and Public Halls", approved February 26, 1904, is hereby repealed, and Ordinance No. 862 entitled, "Prohibiting the Obstruction of Passageways of Theatres and Places of Public Assemblage", approved June 26, 1903, is also repealed in so far as the same conflicts with this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee was *re-referred to said Committee*:

**Amendment to Building Law—Chimneys, Flues, Etc.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Bill No. 1034, Ordinance No. 11.0814, as follows:

Amending Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series) entitled: "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings. Establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance", and adding a new section thereto to be numbered 245(A), relating to chimneys, flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 242. All chimneys and flues hereafter constructed, except as provided in Sections 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 hereof referring to patent chimneys and chimneys, flues or vents for gas appliances, shall be of brick or stone, or may be of concrete when in concrete walls; their enclosing walls shall be not less than four (4) inches thick, and shall, if less than eight (8) inches thick, be lined on the inside with well-burnt clay or terra cotta pipe not less than ¾ of an inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 3x7 inches, 3x11½ inches, 7x7 inches and 7x11½ inches, and one inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 2½x15 inches, 6½x15 inches, 11x11 inches, 11x15 inches and 15x15 inches. Said lining shall start from the bottom of the flue or the throat of a fireplace, be continuous to the top of the flue, and shall be built in first and bricked around as

carried up. Flues where lining is not required by this ordinance shall have the joints struck smooth on the inside, and, if less than eight (8) inches thick, shall be smoothly plastered for the entire height on the outside.

No smoke flue shall be less than 7 by 7 inches in the clear, and such sized flue shall have but one inlet; for two inlets the flue shall be not less than 7 by 11½ inches in the clear; for three inlets not less than 6½ by 15 inches in the clear, and for a larger number of inlets the size shall be increased in same proportion. Flues larger than two hundred square inches and less than five hundred square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than eight inches thick; flues larger than five hundred and less than one thousand square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than twelve inches thick to a height of fifteen feet above the inlet, and eight inches thick the remaining height; flues larger than one thousand square inches shall be proportionately increased in size and shall be lined with fire brick for at least twenty feet above the inlet.

Bakery oven flues shall be not less than 12 by 12 inches in the clear and shall be surrounded by brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick.

The inside four inches of all boiler flues for boilers of over twenty-five horsepower shall be of fire brick, laid in fire mortar, for a distance of twenty-five feet in any direction from the source of heat.

Chimneys and stacks connected with steam boilers shall extend not less than ten feet above the woodwork of the roof, or any adjacent roof, and if sawdust, shavings or wood are burned, shall extend twenty feet above such roofs and be provided with a spark arrester. Spark arresters shall be placed upon all chimneys and stacks whenever the Director of Public Works deems it necessary for the safety of property.

Chimneys and flues from boilers, restaurants and hotel ranges, bakers' ovens and similar unusually hot flues, shall have the outside exposed throughout the height of the room in which connection therewith is made, and if plastered shall be plastered directly upon the bricks.

All chimneys having a greater flue area than two hundred and sixty (260) square inches shall be carried up at least ten feet above the highest point of the roof of the building of which they form a part, and ten feet above the highest point of any roof within fifty feet of such chimney.

Where a smoke pipe is to enter a chimney or flue a tile thimble not less than ⅝ of an inch thick shall be placed as construction progresses. Thimbles shall be surrounded by four inches of brick work brought out flush with furring, and shall extend to the face of the plastering and not be nearer than six inches to any wood, lath and plaster. No tile pipe shall be used as a smoke pipe in connection with such thimbles.

Chimneys not part of a wall shall not be built upon any floor or beam of wood, but shall be built from the ground up and shall not increase in size from the foundation. No chimney shall be corbelled out more than eight inches from a wall, and corbelling shall consist of at least five courses of brick, but no corbelling shall be more than four inches in twelve-inch walls. Offsets for reducing the size of chimneys shall not be greater than one inch to each course.

Flues in party walls shall not extend within four inches of the center of the wall, and joint flues in party walls shall be separated across the wall by an eight-inch width of brick work for the entire length.

No joist or girder shall be supported on the walls of any chimney or flue, and no woodwork shall be placed nearer than two inches to the outside face of, or within seven inches of the inside of any smoke, air or other flue.

All wood joists shall be trimmed away at least two inches from any smoke, air or other flue; the trimmer beam shall not be less than eight inches from the inside of the flue, and four inches from the outside

of a chimney breast; except that for smoke flues the brick work of which is by this ordinance required to be eight inches thick or more, the trimmer beam shall not be less than twelve inches from the inside of the flue.

Chimneys built outside of frame structures, or in light wells thereof, shall be well anchored, at intervals of not less than ten feet to the stud walls.

All chimneys and flues shall be properly cleaned and all rubbish removed and same left smooth on the inside on completion of the building.

Section 251. (a) No gas grate, gas log, or other appliance using gas or electricity for producing heat, except as otherwise ordained, shall be placed in a fireplace or recess unless such fireplace or recess be constructed as required in Sections 243 and 246 of this ordinance for fireplaces, the sides, back and top of which shall be of brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick; all pipes supplying gas thereto shall be of iron and enter only at the sides of fireplaces or recesses, through brick work. Gas grates, gas logs, or other appliances using gas or electricity for producing heat, not placed in a fireplace or recess shall have a clear and unenclosed space of not less than ten (10) inches between them and any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material, or a similar space of four (4) inches between them and any woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material protected with terra cotta or tiles one inch thick or with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material.

No vent shall be permitted other than a brick patent chimney, flue or vent as required by Sections 242, 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 of this ordinance.

(b) No gas range, or gas water heater, shall be placed nearer than twelve (12) inches to any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material, or nearer than six (6) inches thereto, if such woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material is protected with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and the woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material. No gas range or gas water heater shall be placed in any recess unless the front of the recess is either open or freely vented at top and bottom.

(c) Every instantaneous gas water heater shall be provided with a vent pipe not less than three (3) inches in diameter, extending clear through and at least twelve (12) inches above the roof, with a "T" connection at the top; and around every such vent at all places not exposed there shall be a galvanized iron sleeve extending the full length of the concealed portion with a clear air space of not less than one (1) inch surrounding the vent. In every room fitted with an instantaneous gas water heater there shall be provided an air inlet independent of doors or windows.

(d) All low, portable gas stoves, gas plates, or heaters, shall be placed on iron stands or other incombustible bases, or the burners shall be at least six (6) inches above the base of the stove and metal guard plates placed four (4) inches below the burners; all woodwork under them shall be covered with metal or other incombustible material. All portable gas-heated stoves, ranges, kettles, gas plates or other gas-heated devices, shall be connected direct to their gas supply main only by iron pipe or flexible metal tubing.

Section 252(B). The provisions of Sections Nos. 244, 245 and 256 regulating and requiring chimneys, vents and flues shall not apply to the installation or maintenance of gas heat radiators, standing on the floor not less than five inches from any wooden or plaster wall.

All gas heat radiators shall be connected to a flue as provided for in Section 245(a), or to a brick or patent chimney or to a terra cotta flue of not less than 6 square inches in the clear, the walls of such

flues to be not less than ½ inch in thickness and the joints to be made of galvanized iron sleeve not less than three inches wide with edges flanged outward at least ½ inch and the joints to be filled with cement; the entire flue encased in galvanized iron similar to the so-called patent flue with ½-inch air space all around between terra cotta and casing. This patent flue to be so sized that not less than six square inches in the clear will be permitted for four radiators or less, and in cases where more than four radiators are to be connected into the same flue the area is to be increased proportionately, said patent flue in all cases to extend to the outside and at least two feet above the roof of the building. Every gas heater of the radiator type shall be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe; the burner of said radiator shall be of the bunsen or atmospheric type; the radiator shall be made of cast iron, and when installed in any building shall not be closer than five inches to any wall or partition, and shall be connected to a flue, vent pipe or chimney of such size and material as is provided for herein, excepting where said radiator is so designed and constructed as to have a firebox or burner chamber containing the burner where combustion takes place, and also, having one or more super-heating chambers or secondary combustion chambers attached to or substantially surrounding said firebox containing said burner, and also having at the back of said radiator a retort for the purpose of purifying and humidifying the products of combustion before entering the room, said retort being attached to or made a part of said firebox and said super-heating or secondary combustion chambers.

Every gas heater of the fireplace or mantle type, having a bunsen or atmospheric burner, shall only be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe.

No gas heater of the portable stove type shall contain or be operated with bunsen or atmospheric burner, or be connected to the gas supply by flexible metal or rubber tubing, unless the stopcock is provided and placed back of said tubing at the point of the gas supply outlet.

Section 2. There is hereby added to Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, a new section to be numbered 245(A), and to read as follows:

Section 245(A). In lieu of brick, stone, or patent chimney, as specified, in Sections 242, 244, 244-A and 245, there may be erected for venting gas appliances only chimneys, flues, or vents which are constructed as follows:

"1. Fire-clay vent pipe, either round or rectangular, having a shell thickness of not less than one-half (½) inch, may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of less than six (6) inches or an internal area of less than twenty-eight (28) square inches. Such fire-clay vent pipe having a shell thickness of not less than three-quarters (¾) inch may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of six (6) or more inches or an internal area of twenty-eight (28) or more square inches. The joints, between each length of pipe on other than the bell and spigot type of pipe, shall be covered with sheet metal sleeves or bands of galvanized iron or steel of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, held in place and well cemented to the ends of the pipe.

"2. *Transite* (a pipe composed of an intimate mixture of Portland cement and clean asbestos fibre, containing no grit, organic fibre, or other adulterants, and formed under pressure on a mandrel to provide a dense, homogeneous structure with a smooth interior surface having machine tapered ends and couplings which provide gas-tight joints). Gas flue pipe of the type known as transite, or equal, may be installed provided that the thickness of the flue material shall conform to the requirements of the State Housing Act of California.

"3. *Metalbestos* (an aluminum flue pipe encased in aluminum or galvanized iron pipe). Gas flue pipe of the type known as metalbestos, or equal, may be installed provided that the aluminum inside pipe

shall be not lighter than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron (or aluminum) casing be not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge, and there shall be a minimum air space of not less than one-half (½) of an inch between the aluminum inside pipe and the casing, spacing to be accomplished by means of vitrified asbestos spacer rings.

"4. *Payne 'A' Vent* (a flue pipe composed of an inner aluminum tube around which is wound two plies of one-quarter (¼) inch corrugated air-cell asbestos. An outer casing of galvanized iron surrounds the aluminum pipe and insulation.) Gas flue pipe of the type known as Payne 'A' Vent, or equal, may be installed provided that for sizes of six (6) inches or less, inside diameter, the aluminum pipe shall be of not less than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, and for sizes in excess of six (6) inches, inside diameter, the aluminum inside pipe shall be of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-four (24) gauge.

"5. Any other durable flue pipe, approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, which will give an insulating value equal to the types as herein set forth and which will not disintegrate from the effects of gas fumes and other products of combustion.

"All flue pipes of the types as herein set forth shall rest upon an approved brick, tile, or concrete base or upon a substantial metal bracket securely fastened to the building. All such flue pipes shall be braced at least every five (5) feet of their height by means of substantial galvanized iron bands (not less than 16 gauge) securely fastened to the building and shall be so erected that the flue shall be not less than two (2) inches from any combustible material, except as hereinafter provided. Approved ventilated collars which will hold the flue pipe two (2) inches from any combustible material shall be installed at any point where the flue pipe passes through a wall, floor, or roof. All such flue pipes shall extend to a point not less than twenty-four (24) inches above any portion of the roof which is within fifteen (15) feet in a horizontal direction from the flue pipe. No flue shall terminate within a point three (3) feet above or ten (10) feet from any window or other opening designed to serve as a means of ventilation of any building or room therein, nor shall a flue terminate in any court or vent shaft which is designed or may be used to serve as a source of air for the ventilation of any building or room therein. All chimneys, flues, or vents to which gas appliances are connected shall be equipped with an approved top which will prevent back draft, keep out rain, and provide satisfactory draft for the proper operation of the appliances.

"Unless otherwise approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, any gas chimney, flue, or vent, as herein described, erected inside of a building, shall have placed around it a metal jacket of not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge galvanized iron, and constructed as provided for patent chimneys, and the minimum air space between the flue material and the casing shall be not less than one-half (½) inch.

"Any gas chimney, flue, or vent installed in partition spaces shall not be closer than one (1) inch from the studding or any other combustible material, unless such studding or combustible material is covered by metal lath and plaster.

"No round chimney, flue, or vent shall have an internal diameter of less than four (4) inches, and no square, rectangular, or oval flue shall have an internal area of less than twelve (12) square inches, nor shall any rectangular or oval flue have an internal dimension less than two (2) inches."

**Amendment to Building Fee Ordinance.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1033, Ordinance No. 3.04163, as follows:

Amending Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120 entitled: "An

ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney," relating to flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The applicant or applicants for the following permits shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses in investigation of conditions and inspection of operations in connection with the same, fees as follows:

Permit for moving building, $2; permit for construction or alteration of billboard, $2; permit for erection, installation or alteration of chimney, flue or vent, $2.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of chimneys, flues or vents may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars, payable quarterly in advance, to the Central Permit Bureau, which bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee a quarterly permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimneys, flues or vents; provided, however, that the holder of such quarterly permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said Bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any chimney, flue or vent of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney, flue or vent; and, provided further, that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for canceling said permit.

Referred.

The following resolution presented by Supervisor Uhl was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

**County Welfare Board to Report on Application for Old Age Pensions.**

(Code No. 19.021)

Resolution No. 2643, as follows:

Whereas, section 13 of the Old Age Pension Security Act provides that all applications for aid under the act shall be made to the Board of Supervisors of the county of which the applicant is a resident; section 14:

"The Board of Supervisors directly or through the advisory board, or other authorized investigator, shall, upon receipt of the application for aid, promptly and without any unnecessary delay and *with all diligence make the necessary investigation*"; and

Whereas, on September 23, 1929, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco enacted an ordinance (No. 8542, N. S.), providing that:

"It shall be the duty of the County Welfare Department to investigate, for and on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, all persons receiving, or who may hereafter apply for financial aid from the City and County of San Francisco by reason of old age, etc. . . . *and shall report in writing to the Board of Supervisors the result of all investigations and recommendations as to the granting or rejecting any such application.* All such investigations shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the laws of the State of California governing the persons described in this section," and

Whereas, section 3 of the ordinance provides that Miss Eugenie Schenk and her assistants then connected with the Widows Pension

Bureau were authorized to act for and on behalf of the Board of Supervisors as directors and assistants in the direction of the County Welfare Department; and

Whereas, our City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, in a written opinion dated May 4, 1936, sets forth the following:

"As far as I am advised, Ordinance No. 8542 (N. S.), above mentioned, provided for the functions and personnel of the County Welfare Bureau, and, therefore, that bureau has the right to investigate and report upon applications for aid under the Old Age Security Act.

"See *Reuter* v. *Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County*, 22 Cal. 314.

"You are therefore advised that it is the duty of the County Welfare Department to investigate and report upon all applications under the Old Age Security Act, and that the Board of Supervisors may take such action as it deems proper upon said report"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Public Welfare be requested to forthwith comply with Ordinance No. 8542 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 23, 1929, and which ordinance provides that it shall be the duty of the County Welfare Department to investigate for and on behalf of the Board of Supervisors all persons receiving or who may hereafter apply for financial aid from the City and County of San Francisco by reason of old age . . . and shall report in writing to the Board of Supervisors the result of all investigations and recommendations as to the granting or rejecting of any such application.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Directing the Attention of the Mayor to the Sale of Reprints and Other Art Goods in Publicly-Owned Museums.**

(Code No. 24.02)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 2651, as follows:

Directing the attention of the Mayor to the sale of reprints and other art goods in publicly-owned museums.

Whereas, it has been brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco by the Retail Merchants Association and other trade organizations in San Francisco and by private persons engaged in the sale of pictures and art goods in San Francisco that for some time past it has been the custom of the trustees of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor and other publicly-owned museums in San Francisco to permit the sale of reprints of art exhibitions and other art goods in the said California Palace of the Legion of Honor and other publicly-owned museums; and

Whereas, in the opinion of the Board of Supervisors the sale of such reprints and art goods by said publicly-owned museums is a serious interference with, and a detriment to, persons in the City and County of San Francisco who are engaged in the sale of similar commodities and who are paying taxes to said City and County and is not a proper function of any branch or department of the municipal government of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to his Honor, the Mayor, and that he be, and he is hereby asked to request the several boards of trustees in charge of the several art museums in the City and County of San Francisco to desist from the practice of selling or permitting the sale of said reprints or other art goods in the said art museums under their respective jurisdictions.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Requesting the Governor and Others to Place One-Half of San Francisco in the District of Each of the Two New Highway Commissioners.**

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2641, as follows:

Whereas, two new members of the California Highway Commission have recently been appointed—one representing the counties north of San Francisco, the other representing the counties south of San Francisco, and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is a part of the Redwood Empire and the main arteries within and leading through San Francisco are part of the Redwood Empire System of Highways, with particular reference to San Francisco approaches to the Golden Gate Bridge, which, when completed, will forever link San Francisco with its neighboring Northbay Redwood Empire Counties, and

Whereas, San Francisco for years has been and is now working jointly with the Northbay Counties through our Redwood Empire Association in conducting negotiations for highway improvements within San Francisco and Northbay Counties, and

Whereas, main arteries within and leading through San Francisco are also an important part of the system of highways leading southward through the central counties via the Peninsula, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in and for the City and County of San Francisco respectfully request His Excellency, Governor Frank F. Merriam; State Director of Public Works Earl Lee Kelly, Chairman Harry A. Hopkins and other members of the California Highway Commission, to include that one-half of the City and County of San Francisco north of Market street, extended to the ocean, in the district assigned to Commissioner Paul G. Jasper of Fortuna; also to include that half of the City and County of San Francisco south of Market street, extended to the ocean, in the district assigned to Highway Commissioner Ray Judah of Santa Cruz, and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to each of the state officials named in the foregoing paragraph, for their consideration prior to and during the next regular monthly meeting of the California Highway Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Transfer of Water Department Land for Alemany Boulevard and Certain Connecting Streets.**

(Code No. 12.175)

Also, Resolution No. 2642, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, the Department of Public Works requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring certain San Francisco Water Department land to the Department of Public Works for Alemany boulevard and certain connecting streets, which land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Salem street with the northwesterly line of Case street and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Salem street 103.360 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence deflecting 93 degrees 40 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard 43.523 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the

preceding course, radius 15 feet, central angle 144 degrees 32 minutes 10 seconds, an arc distance of 37.840 feet, to the point of tangency with the southwesterly line of Crescent avenue (as it exists between Banks street and Prentiss street) produced southeasterly; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Crescent avenue, so produced, tangent to the last described curve 69.443 feet to the northeasterly line of aforesaid Salem street; thence deflecting 50 degrees 51 minutes 48 seconds to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Salem street 36.486 feet to its point of intersection with the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue, said point of intersection being the point of commencement of the description of Parcel 36 in that certain deed by the Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 2002, Official Records, Page 1, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 94 degrees 08 minutes 12 seconds to the right and running northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Crescent avenue, said line being the northwesterly boundary line of aforesaid parcel 36, 62.644 feet to the southeasterly line of Nevada street, produced southwesterly; thence deflecting 125 degrees 28 minutes to the right and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line of Nevada street, so produced, 4.232 feet to the northeasterly line of Crescent avenue, produced southeasterly (as it exists between Banks street and Prentiss street); thence deflecting 90 degrees 28 minutes to the left and running southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Crescent avenue, so produced, 140.005 feet to the northwesterly line of Putnam street, produced southwesterly; thence deflecting 89 degrees 32 minutes to the left and running northeasterly along said line of Putnam street, so produced, 102.830 feet to the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes to the right and running northeasterly along said line of Crescent avenue, being along the northwesterly boundary line of above mentioned parcel 36, 16.114 feet to the northeasterly corner of said parcel 36; thence deflecting 85 degrees 51 minutes 48 seconds to the right and running southeasterly along the northeasterly boundary line of said parcel 36, 42.160 feet to the southeasterly line of Putnam street, produced southwesterly; thence deflecting 39 degrees 36 minutes 12 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along the said line of Putnam street, so produced, 39.419 feet; thence southerly, southeasterly, and easterly on a curve to the left, tangent to last described course, radius 15 feet, central angle 129 degrees 01 minutes 26 seconds, an arc distance of 33.778 feet to the point of compound curvature with the northwesterly line of aforementioned proposed Alemany boulevard; thence northeasterly along said line of the proposed Alemany boulevard on a curve to the left, tangent to the last described curve, radius 2824.93 feet, central angle 0 degree, 16 minutes 42 seconds, an arc distance of 13.723 feet to the aforementioned northeasterly boundary line of parcel 36; thence southeasterly along said line of parcel 36, which line is the northeasterly line of lot 15, as per "Gift Map No. 4," recorded as hereinafter described, which line deflects 89 degrees 41 minutes 56 seconds to the right from the tangent to the last described curve at the last described point, 22.212 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of lot 15; thence at right angles southwesterly along the southeasterly boundary line of lots 15, 14, 13, 12 and 11, as shown on said "Gift Map No. 4," a distance of 125 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly along the northeasterly line of lot 34, as shown on said "Gift Map No. 4," a distance of 70 feet to the northwesterly line of Case street; thence at right angles southwesterly along the said northwesterly line of Case street 125 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Salem street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of lots numbered 6 to 15 (incl.) and 30 to 34 (incl.), as the same are laid down, delineated and numbered upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Gift Map No. 4," recorded December 31, 1861, in Book 2 A and B of Maps, at pages 16 and 17.

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the above described land can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works for street purposes; and

Whereas, on April 27, 1936, the Public Utilities Commission adopted Resolution No. 1311 consenting to the proposed transfer subject to the condition that upon the closing of Crescent avenue between Nevada street and Putnam street, and upon the closing of Salem street, between Crescent avenue and Alemany boulevard, the portions of said closed streets hereinafter described as parcels "A" and "B", respectively, shall revert to the San Francisco Water Department as a part of said Department's adjoining property, and also subject to the condition that the San Francisco Water Department be relieved of any liability either now or in the future to incur any expense in connection with the maintenance or relocation of its existing pipe lines occasioned by or incidental to the proposed extension of Crescent avenue and Putnam street into Alemany boulevard which would not be necessary under present conditions; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer and exchange be made.

Said parcels "A" and "B" hereinbefore referred to are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel "A" (Crescent avenue): Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 62.644 feet from the northeasterly line of Salem street, said point being in the easterly line of Nevada street produced southerly; thence northeasterly along said line of Crescent avenue, 171.895 feet to the westerly line of Putnam street produced southerly; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes to the left and running northerly along said line of Putnam street produced southerly, 73.669 feet to the northwesterly line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 125 degrees 28 minutes to the left and running southwesterly along last named line, 85.947 feet; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes to the left and running southerly, 36.835 feet to the center line of Crescent avenue; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes to the right and running southwesterly along said center line, 85.947 feet to the easterly line of Nevada street produced southerly; thence deflecting 54 degrees 32 minutes to the left and running southerly along last named line so produced, 36.835 feet to the southerly line of Crescent avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel "B" (Salem street): Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Salem street, distant southeasterly thereon 36.486 feet from the southeasterly line of Crescent avenue, said point being in the southerly line of Crescent avenue (as it exists between Banks and Prentiss streets) produced easterly; thence southeasterly along said line of Salem street, 67.646 feet to the northwesterly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence deflecting 93 degrees 40 minutes 23 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last-named line, 20.041 feet to the center line of Salem street; thence deflecting 86 degrees 19 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running northwesterly along said center line, 82.637 feet to aforesaid southerly line of Crescent avenue produced easterly; thence deflecting 129 degrees 08 minutes 12 seconds to the right and running easterly along last-named line, 25.785 feet to the northeasterly line of Salem street and the point of beginning.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, That the control of parcel 1 be and is hereby transferred from the Public Utilities Commission to the Department of Public Works, to be used for street purposes.

Be It Further Resolved, Upon the closing of said portions of Crescent avenue and Salem street, that the control of said parcels "A" and "B" shall automatically revert to the Public Utilities Commission as San Francisco Water Department property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Intention to Change Grades on Bemis Street Between Castro Street and Mateo Street.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2644, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Bemis street between Castro street and Mateo street as shown on Map entitled "Grade Map of Bemis Street, Between Castro and Mateo Streets," approved by Director of Works Order No. 4004, May 6, 1936, and filed in this office May 8, 1936.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Approving Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 2645, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Amended Grade Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights and Adjacent Streets," approved the 7th day of February, 1936, by Director of Public Works Order No. 3556, be and is hereby approved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following Bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Sloat Boulevard Between Junipero Serra Boulevard and Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1034, Ordinance No. 12.073177, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seven Hundred and Forty-nine (749) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 4, 1936, by amending Section Seven Hundred and Forty-nine (749) thereof to read as follows:

Section 749. The width of sidewalks on Sloat boulevard between the Great Highway and Junipero Serra boulevard shall be 12 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Northwesterly Side of Brannan Street Between Fifth and Sixth Streets from 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1035, Ordinance No. 12.073178, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 12, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof to read as follows:

Section 220. The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between The Embarcadero and Seventh street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Eighth street and a line 275 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Ninth street and a line 275 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Ninth and Division streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Visitacion Avenue Between Hahn Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1036, Ordinance No. 12.073179, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-seven (1177).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 12, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-seven (1177) to read as follows:

Section 1177. The width of sidewalks on Visitacion avenue between La Grande avenue and Hahn street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Visitacion avenue between Hahn street and southeasterly termination shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Closing to Traffic, Seventeenth Street Between Third and Illinois Streets.**

(Code No. 14.06101)

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 2646, as follows:

Resolved, That Seventeenth street between Third and Illinois streets be and is hereby closed to traffic, and be it

Further Resolved, That the Montague Pipe and Steel Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to use and occupy the street space so closed for storage and assembling space and to erect barricades across Seventeenth

street at Third street and at Illinois street, said barricades to conform to the requirements of the Director of Public Works.

Andrew J. Gallagher was heard in support of the resolution.

Thereupon, on the advice of the City Attorney that the Board of Supervisors had no power to close the street, the subject matter, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, was *referred to the Board of Public Works.*

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Assigning Name of "Lone Mountain Terrace" to Certain Public Lane Located Between Anza and Turk Streets, Running From Parker Avenue West to Rossi Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.08)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2647, as follows:

Resolved, That the name "Lone Mountain Terrace" be and is hereby assigned to that certain public lane located between Anza and Turk streets, running from Parker avenue west to Rossi avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Leave of Absence, Dr. James W. Ward, Member, Advisory Board of Health.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of the Acting Mayor.

Resolution No. 2648, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. James W. Ward, member of the Advisory Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence from June 17, 1936, to July 1, 1936, with permission to leave the state, to attend meeting of American Institute of Homeopathy in Cleveland, Ohio.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Order of Cincinnatus, re: Graft in Police Department.**

Supervisor Mead presented communication from Ben C. Dunniway, Vice-President, San Francisco Order of Cincinnatus, transmitting copy of resolution of said Order demanding that the Mayor immediately recommend to the Board of Supervisors an appropriation of $50,000 for the purpose of continuing the present investigations into conditions in the Police Department.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**City Attorney's Opinion as to Reports Required from County Welfare Bureau.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney John J. O'Toole in reply to request for an opinion on resolution of Supervisor Uhl calling upon the Director, County Welfare Department, to report result of her investigations on all applications for relief under the Old Age Security Act,

and advising that Ordinance No. 8452 (New Series) placed upon the County Welfare Department the duty of investigating all applications and that that power was conferred by the new charter, but that there is nothing which takes from the Board of Supervisors the right to obtain a report on any application for aid under the act.

Ordered *filed.*

### Protest Hospital Fee Ordinance.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Communication from California State Federation of Unemployed and Allied Organizations protesting so-called Hospital Fee Ordinance which requires recipients of relief or hospitalization to sign a pledge to reimburse San Francisco for any sums of money actually expended in their behalf and requiring a lien on property now owned or hereafter acquired by relief or hospitalized recipients.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Regulating Operators of One-Man Cars.

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. 1039, Ordinance No. 15.09115, as follows:

Prohibiting any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car from collecting fares, issuing transfers or making change while said street car is in motion.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car shall not collect fares, issue transfers or make change while said street car is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by fine not to exceed Five Hundred ($500) Dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

### Withdrawal from Sale—Property Erroneously Assessed.

(Code No. 9.011)

Resolution No. 2653, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor in a communication dated June 22, 1936, the following property, being erroneously assessed in 1935, must be withdrawn from sale and re-assessed in 1936, in accordance with section 3806, Political Code, and Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer the same for sale:

| Vol. | Page | Block | Lot |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 84 | 211 | 13 |
| 2 | 135 | 240 | 2 |
| 2 | 135 | 240 | 15 |
| 6 | 167 | 997 | 2 |
| 7 | 212 | 1203 | 8½ |
| 7 | 212 | 1203 | 8½ |
| 8 | 18 | 1218 | 1 |
| 10 | 52 | 1454 | 14 |
| 13 | 166 | 1766 | 48 |
| 14 | 102 | 1827 | 25 |
| 16 | 84 | 2146 | 1 |

| Vol. | Page | Block | Lot |
|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | 40 | 2308 | 22 |
| 18 | 231 | 2418 | 1 |
| 20 | 152 | 2919 | 11 |
| 20 | 112 | 2890 | 12 |
| 22 | 21 | 3123 | 29 |
| 26 | 145 | 3932 | 10 |
| 26 | 155 | 3965 | 12A |
| 27 | 48 | 4085 | 8 |
| 31 | 70 | 5223 | 1 |
| 36 | 114 | 6077 | 35 |
| 38 | 136 | 6448 | 15½ |
| 38 | 136 | 6448 | 15½ |
| 38 | 169 | 6466 | 8A |
| 40 | 151 | 6655 | 66 |
| 40 | 155 | 6657 | ¾ |
| 43 | 52 | 7018 | 38 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Referred.**

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Uhl and *referred to Finance Committee*:

**Old Age Pension, Aloena Hanzel.**

(Code No. 19.024)

Resolution No. 2654, as follows:

Whereas, Aloena Hanzel, a citizen of San Francisco, was dropped from the WPA Sewing Project on May 29, 1936, same paying $27.50, balance due for services on WPA to said May 29, 1936; and

Whereas, Mrs. Hanzel now finds it necessary that she obtain her Old Age Pension, application for which was filed with the County Welfare Department of San Francisco in July, 1935; and

Whereas, Mrs. Hanzel was advised by the Director, County Welfare Department, that her case will be given consideration August 1, 1936; and

Whereas, Mrs. Hanzel has no means of support; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors recommend to the State Welfare Department that Mrs. Hanzel's application for Old Age Pension be granted in the sum of $35 per month.

**Memo of Matters in Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk prepare memo of all matters pending before the various committees of the Board, for information of representative of the Retail Dealers Association.

**City Attorney Opinion Requested as to Premiums on Bonds for Additional Construction Work.**

Supervisor Brown requested that the City Attorney be requested to furnish opinion as to whether a premium realized on the sale of bonds can be used for additional construction work, to that set forth in bond proceedings.

**Meetings Announced.**

Joint Public Utilities and Finance Committee (Rapid Transit), Wednesday, 10 a. m.

Streets Committee, Wednesday, 2:30 p. m. (Park-O-Meters).

Public Welfare Committee, Thursday, 4:30 p. m. (Billboards).

Supervisor Havenner announced that there would be a tour of inspection of WPA Projects tomorrow. Members of the Board of Super-

visors were invited. Party will leave Polk street entrance of City Hall at 9:30 a. m. Supervisors' automobiles will be available.

Supervisor Meyer stated that the Joint Committee on Subways has met several times and only two members were present, and would meet the coming Wednesday at 10 a. m. for final analysis of the question.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 3:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, June 29, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

G 11

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 27

Monday, June 29, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JUN 1

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JUNE 29, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 29, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisors McSheehy and Schmidt on leave.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 22, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $700 to County Welfare Department, for Payment of Three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendations of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1016, Ordinance No. 9.051270, as follows:

Appropriating $700 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 (temporary salaries, County Welfare Department) for payment of salaries of three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $700 be and is hereby set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 502.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 556.102.00 (temporary salaries, County Welfare Department) to pay the salaries of three Social Service Investigators to June 30, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

**Mayor to Join United States Conference of Mayors.**

(Code No. 2.01)

Also, Bill No. 1030, Ordinance No. 2.013, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor to become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors, and to represent the City and County in said conference, and providing for the payment of expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Mayor thereof become a member of the United States Conference of Mayors for and on behalf of said City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said United States Conference of Mayors and to represent the City and County in said conference.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership be paid out of such funds as may be annually set aside and appropriated for the purpose.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 2.012 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Controller to Join Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada.**

(Code No. 9.0419)

Also, Bill No. 1031, Ordinance No. 9.04194, as follows:

Authorizing the Controller to become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada, and providing for the payment of expenses in connection therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That it will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Controller thereof become a member of the Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada for and on behalf of the City and County, and he is therefore authorized and directed to join said Municipal Financial Officers' Association of the United States and Canada, and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said association.

Section 2. That the annual expense of said membership be paid out of such funds as may be annually set aside and appropriated for the purpose.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 9.04192 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for Williams Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendations of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2655, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Giambatista Demattei, et ux., a portion of Lots 17, 18 and 19, Assessor's Block 5417, San Francisco, California, required for the widening

of Williams avenue, for the sum of $1,950, payable from Appropriation No. 548.980.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above parties for a particular description of said land.

The above sum includes the cost of relocating the improvements now on said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Williams Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2656, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Joseph Smith, Lot 2, Assessor's Block 5412, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Williams avenue, for the sum of $175, payable from Appropriation No. 548.980.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Widening Corbett Avenue and Repealing Resolution No. 32824 (New Series).**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2657, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from United Capital Corporation, a portion of Lot 4, Assessor's Block 2717, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Corbett avenue, for the sum of $70.80, payable from Appropriation No. 548.981.17.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Resolution No. 32824 (New Series), adopted by this Board on July 21, 1930, is hereby repealed.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2658, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from F. H. Maloney, et ux., Lot 2, in Assessor's Block 2108, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $700, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of the City and County of San Francisco,

Superior Court Action No. 262443. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed to Embarcadero Property.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2659, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, in conjunction with the State of California, has purchased Lot 2, Assessor's Block 202, San Francisco, California, situated at the northwest corner of Clay street and The Embarcadero, for the purpose of widening The Embarcadero; the ownership of said property being as follows, to-wit: The State of California, 150/205 thereof, and the City and County of San Francisco, 55/205 thereof; and

Whereas, the California Pacific Title & Trust Company is holding said property in trust for the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco, said trust having been established by Resolution No. 35598 (New Series) of this Board; and

Whereas, it is now desirable that the City and County of San Francisco take title in its own name to an undivided 55/205 interest in said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the California Pacific Title & Trust Company to an undivided 55/205 interest in said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Establishing a Fund for City's Share of Rents Received From Certain Real Property Situated at Northeast Corner of Clay Street and The Embarcadero, San Francisco.**

(Code No. 9.025)

On recommendations of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 1040, Ordinance No. 9.0251, as follows:

Establishing a fund for City's share of rents received from certain real property situated at northwest corner of Clay street and The Embarcadero, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a fund to be known as the "Embarcadero Widening Fund" for depositing the City's share of rental money received from the use of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 202, San Francisco, California, title to which property is held jointly by the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California, the City owning an undivided 55/205 interest therein and the State owning an undivided 150/205 interest therein.

Section 2. The Real Estate Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to collect the City's share of such rentals. The Director of Property, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized to approve all leases and other papers in connection with the renting of said real property by the State of California, as principal owner; provided such leases and other papers meet with his approval. To defray the cost of collecting such rentals and for negotiating leases incident to said property, the Real

Estate Department shall be entitled to the fees specified in Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 12.1611, Bill No. 346, approved July 6, 1933.

Section 3. In accordance with Resolution No. 35598 (New Series) adopted by this Board on January 4, 1932, all moneys received by the Real Estate Department in payment of rents derived from the use of such property except the fees mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, shall be deposited in said "Embarcadero Widening Fund" to pay the cost of further widening The Embarcadero and the cost of any needed repairs to the improvements on said property. The California Pacific Title & Trust Company is hereby requested to transfer to the Real Estate Department all money it is holding in trust for the City in connection with this project.

Section 4. The Controller is hereby authorized to pay from the moneys on hand in said fund, all bills for repairs to the improvements on said property and for further widening The Embarcadero at Clay street. Such bills shall not be paid until they have been approved by the Director of Property.

Section 5. It is hereby understood that The Embarcadero Widening Fund shall consist only of the City's share of rental received from said property. There shall be no obligation on the part of the City to provide additional money from other sources for the purposes for which said fund is established under Section 3 of this ordinance.

Section 6. This ordinance shall supersede Resolution No. 35598 (New Series) hereinbefore referred to.

Form approved by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 1041, Ordinance No. 12.172528, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Commission:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Ocean avenue, distant thereon 81.33 feet southeasterly from the point of intersection of the said southwesterly line of Ocean avenue with the easterly line of Ashton avenue, and running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Ocean avenue 27.11 feet; thence southerly parallel with said easterly line of Ashton avenue 86.8 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet, and thence at right angles northerly 97.3 feet to the southwesterly line of Ocean avenue and the point of commencement.

Being Lot No. 24 in Block No. 25, as the same is laid down, delineated and numbered upon a certain map on file in the Office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Map of Lakeview," recorded August 11, 1890, in Book E and F of Maps, at pages 138 and 139.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Authorizing Treasurer to Make Temporary Transfer of Funds for Meeting Obligations of the City and County Pending Collection of First Installment of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.052)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2660, as follows:

Authorizing the Treasurer to make such temporary transfers of funds as may be necessary for the meeting of obligations of the City and County until collections of first installment of taxes, 1936-1937.

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of July, 1936, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of July, 1936, until the first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1936-1937 is collected, or is delinquent; that such temporary transfers of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the first installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before December 31, 1936, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

Approved as to Form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Duncan Matheson, Treasurer.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Providing for the Reimbursement of City and County of San Francisco for Aid Granted Persons Either Directly or by Institution Care, Etc.**

(Code No. 18.01)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 1014, Ordinance No. 18.013, as follows:

Providing for the reimbursement of the City and County of San Francisco for aid granted to persons, either directly or by institutional care, and directing the officer, board or commission giving or granting such aid, either directly or by institutional care, to provide for the reimbursement of the City and County for the amount of aid so granted and directing the taking of obligations from the person or persons receiving said aid for the reimbursement of the City and County for said aid so granted.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every person who is given or shall receive aid directly or indirectly from public moneys drawn through the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco shall be liable for the value of said aid so allowed, granted, or given, and the value thereof shall constitute a first lien on all property, real, personal, or mixed, that said person may possess, acquire, or have an interest in.

Section 2. The actual cost of direct or indirect aid shall constitute its value. The Board of Supervisors shall not later than the last Monday of August of each year establish by resolution a basic rate for

evaluating institutional care allowed, granted, or given to persons at the Laguna Honda Home, and the rate so established shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said charge and the resulting amount which shall be due to the city and county of San Francisco.

Section 3. As a consideration and as a condition precedent to the allowing, granting or giving of aid, the officer, board or commission charged with the duty of allowing, granting or giving of aid shall take from every person now receiving aid and from every person who may hereafter be allowed, granted, or given aid the following agreement:

"AGREEMENT TO REIMBURSE FOR MONEYS EXPENDED OR AID GRANTED OR GIVEN."

"In consideration of the granting of aid to me by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a condition precedent thereto, I hereby pledge, promise and agree to reimburse and repay said City and County for all sums of money actually expended in my behalf or aid granted or given by the City and County of San Francisco for my care and maintenance, provided I am able to pay for the same in whole or in part, and I further agree that any claim for such moneys expended in my behalf or aid granted or given me by said City and County shall be a preferred claim against me and a first lien on all property now owned or possessed by me or that may hereafter be acquired by me or in my behalf.

"Statute of Limitations is hereby forever waived.

"This agreement is binding upon myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and assigns.

"Dated this.....day of....., 193....

.....

Signature of Applicant in Full Residing at:

"Witness:

..... ......"

Section 4. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall prescribe the procedure governing the evaluation of institutional care at the Laguna Honda Home, the auditing, accounting, reporting and collecting of all obligations arising under this ordinance in accordance with the provisions of Sections 64 and 75 of the Charter.

Section 5. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently or designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his property or financial condition, means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly, or through any agency watsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon respecting his property, or financial condition, means or ability to pay of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting for the purposes recited in this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $500, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be liable for the full value of the aid thus fraudulently obtained.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend as follows:

Insert Section 3a. Provided, however, that the form of the lien to be taken in connection with aid to the needy aged shall be as prescribed by the State Department of Social Welfare.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, the subject matter was *laid over one week*:

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were *finally passed*:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $2,500 Out of Surplus in Emergency Reserve Fund, for Foodstuffs, Balance of Fiscal Year, County Jails—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendations of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1042, Ordinance No. 9.051281, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $2,500 out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 507.334.00 for the purchase of foodstuffs for the County Jails for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 507.334.00 for the purchase of foodstuffs for the County Jails for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department.

Approved as to Form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Recommended by David C. Murphy, Sheriff.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriations Out of Existing Surplus in various Appropriations for the Uninterrupted Operation of San Francisco Hospital—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1043, Ordinance No. 9.051282, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriations out of the surpluses existing in the following appropriations in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 555.999.00.....$5,800
Appropriation No. 551.999.00..... 3,000

to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 553.300.00.....$2,000
Appropriation No. 553.334.00..... 2,300
Appropriation No. 563.231.53..... 4,500

for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There are hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surpluses existing in the following appropriations, the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 555.999.00.....$5,800
Appropriation No. 551.999.00..... 3,000

to the credit of the following appropriations, in the amounts indicated:

Appropriation No. 553.300.00.....$2,000
Appropriation No. 553.334.00..... 2,300
Appropriation No. 563.231.53..... 4,500

for the purchase of materials, supplies and foodstuffs and for the payment of gas and electricity bills for the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists to continue the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

### Engineering Inspection Fees.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1044, Ordinance No. 3.04164, as follows:

An ordinance providing engineering inspection for certain work and improvements and for the payment of the cost and expense thereof to the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Works to cause engineering inspection to be made of:

a. All work and improvements performed under the provisions of Ordinances Nos. 12.0211 and 12.0212.

b. All garbage disposal pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 17.085.

c. All reclamation work within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to make, or cause or permit to be made, any improvements or work as referred to in Section 1 hereof without first securing engineering inspection service from the Director of Public Works.

Section 3. Whenever any person, firm or corporation desires and intends to do any work or make any improvement as described in Section 1 hereof, such person, firm or corporation before performing any such contemplated work, shall in writing request the Director of Public Works to make engineering inspection. The cost of such engineering inspection shall constitute a charge against and be paid for monthly in advance by the person, firm or corporation performing said work or making such improvements in the manner hereinafter provided.

Section 4. Upon such written request being received it shall be the duty of said Director of Public Works to make an estimate of the cost of such engineering inspection, and notify the person making the request the estimated monthly cost thereof.

Section 5. Such person, firm or corporation must thereupon, and prior to the commencement or continuation of any work or performance as described in Section 1 hereof, deposit monthly in advance the amount of money determined upon as the estimated monthly cost of such inspection with the Director of Public Works.

All moneys paid to the Director of Public Works under the provisions of this ordinance shall be deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of the appropriation for "Engineering Inspection," to be used

exclusively to defray the cost of the specific engineering inspection for which it was tendered. When said amount of money is deposited, it shall thereupon be the duty of said Director of Public Works to furnish said engineering inspection.

Section 6. Should the monthly cost and expense of such engineering inspection amount to more than said estimate, the person, firm, or corporation concerned shall thereupon be immediately notified and required to make an additional deposit with the Director of Public Works of an amount sufficient to complete the monthly cost of said inspection as estimated by the Director of Public Works, which sum when paid shall be deposited as aforesaid, and no further engineering inspection service shall be performed or furnished until such additional deposit is made with the Director of Public Works.

Section 7. Should the monthly cost and expense of such engineering inspection be less than the amount deposited then the surplus or excess existing in the amount deposited over the total actual cost of such engineering inspection shall be credited on account of such continued inspection as may be required or when the work has been completed be refunded to the person, firm or corporation by whom such funds were originally deposited.

Section 8. The Controller shall prescribe the forms and fiscal procedure under the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and Authorizing Employment of Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests for Reductions in 1936-37 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Bill No. 1045, Ordinance No. 1.06215, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1936-1937 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1936-1937 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ nine independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than $300. One independent expert real estate appraiser shall receive not more than $250 and the remaining seven independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than $225 each, chargeable to 1936-1937 Appropriation No. 601.106.00 and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1936-1937 Appropriation No. 601.106.00 to the Director of Property the

sum of $200 to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Form approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing City Attorney to Institute Proceedings for the Abatement of Nuisance at the Southeast Corner of Hermann and Church Streets.**

(Code No. 17.09)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 2661, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the structures situate on the lot at the southeast corner of Hermann and Church streets be and are hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Leave of Absence—Matthew Brady, District Attorney.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2662, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 30, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Leave of Absence—Joseph P. Nourse, Acting Superintendent of San Francisco Public Schools.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2663, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Joseph P. Nourse, Acting Superintendent of San Francisco Public Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing June 29, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Regulating Use of Aisles, Passageways, Etc., in Theatres and Moving Picture Houses—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1038, Ordinance No. 11.0012, as follows:

Regulating the use of aisles and passageways, stairways, entrances, lobbies, foyers and mezzanines in theatres and public halls; repealing Ordinance No. 1144 and declaring an emergency and making this ordinance effective forthwith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for the owner, lessee, manager or other person, firm or corporation having charge of any theatre or public hall to permit any person during a performance, exhibition, lecture, entertainment or public assemblage therein to sit or remain standing in any entrance, aisle, passageway, stairway, lobby, foyer or mezzanine in such theatre or public hall, provided, however, that patrons of any theatre or public hall awaiting seats for any performance, exhibition, lecture or entertainment therein may be permitted to stand in such space as may be set aside in said theatre or hall with the approval of the Police Department and for the accommodation of said patrons awaiting seats.

Section 2. The space set aside in said theatre or hall, with the approval of the Police Department for the accommodation of patrons awaiting seats, shall be separated from the space left clear for exit by a tape, ribbon or other material easily broken or detached, supported by light posts fixed in stationary sockets, the type of which post shall be not less than three nor more than four feet from the floor.

Section 3. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to permit any person to stand in any aisle or on any stairway in the auditorium or gallery of any theatre or hall during any performance, exhibition, lecture or entertainment given or held therein.

Section 4. The Chief of the Police Department, or any member thereof acting under his authority, shall have full power to allot and set aside space in the theatres and public halls mentioned herein for the accommodation of patrons awaiting seats. The allocation of such space to be made so that at all times a reasonable amount of clear and unobstructed space shall be available as a means of exit for the patrons of said theatre or hall.

Section 5. The violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail not exceeding one hundred days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 1144, entitled "Regulating the Use of Aisles and Passageways and Stairways in Theatres and Public Halls", approved February 26, 1904, is hereby repealed, and Ordinance No. 862 entitled, "Prohibiting the Obstruction of Passageways of Theatres and Places of Public Assemblage", approved June 26, 1903, is also repealed in so far as the same conflicts with this ordinance.

Section 7. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

The same is necessary for the preservation of the safety of a large number of persons in the City and County of San Francisco attending theatres and public halls where performances or other public exhibitions are given, and that without said ordinance there is great danger

to the persons in said theatres as well as to persons entering and leaving same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

(*The foregoing bill was passed for second reading at meeting of Board June* 22, 1936; *there being nine members of the Board present at meeting of June* 29, 1936, *it was again presented as an emergency ordinance and finally passed.*)

**Appropriating $391,000 from 1929 Sewer Bond Fund for Construction of Additional Sewers in Vicinity of Oakdale Avenue, etc.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1037, Ordinance No. 9.051280, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $391,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund for the construction of additional sewers in the vicinity of Oakdale avenue, Revere avenue and Industrial street; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $391,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of Appropriation No. 586,000.00 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund for the construction of additional sewers in the vicinity of Oakdale avenue, Revere avenue and Industrial street in the City and County of San Francisco, which said sewer is designated as Alemany boulevard sewer.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, to comply with time limitations as established by the Federal Government and to permit the Controller to certify to the contract for the construction of Alemany sewer, Section D-1.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Franck R. Havenner, Acting Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to availability of funds by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

(*The foregoing bill was passed for second reading at meeting of Board June* 22, 1936; *there being nine members of the Board present at meeting of June* 29, 1936, *it was again presented as an emergency ordinance and finally passed.*)

**Supplemental Appropriation of $35,116 for Payment of Principal and Interest Judgments of Market Street Railway Company.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1026, Ordinance No. 9.051276, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $35,116 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.705.00 for the payment of interest and principal of judgments; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $35,116 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 560.705.00 for the payment of interest and principal of judgments.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and

the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to provide funds for the payment of these judgments prior to the close of the fiscal year and, therefore, this ordinance must become effective before the close of this fiscal year.

Approved as to form and recommended: Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended: Funds available. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Opinion Requested on Use of Bond Premiums.

Supervisor Brown renewed his request for opinion from the City Attorney as to the use of premiums received on bond sales.

### Supervisors Invited to Participate in Fourth of July Celebration.

Supervisor Brown invited all members of the Board to participate in July 4th celebration.

### Authorizing Public Utilities Commission to Acquire or Construct Power Distribution System.

(Code No. 15.032)

Presented by Supervisor Havenner.

Bill No. 1056, Ordinance No. 15.0324, as follows:

Authorizing the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire by purchase, and/or by condemnation, or otherwise, and/or to construct, a public utility or any part thereof for the distribution of electric energy to the inhabitants and residents of the City and County of San Francisco or to the inhabitants and residents of other cities or of other counties, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of acquiring and/or constructing such utility to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations payable solely out of the revenue of such utility, and providing for the manner in which said bonds or other obligations payable solely out of revenue of said utility shall be issued, and for the payment of interest thereon and how the interest on, and the principal of said bonds or obligations shall be paid and for the procedure to be undertaken by said Public Utilities Commission and by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to authorize the issuance of said bonds or other obligations and for the payment of interest on and the principal of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, it is provided in Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that the Board of Supervisors of said City and County may, by ordinance, confer on any officer, board or commission such other and additional powers as the Board of Supervisors may deem advisable over and above and in addition to the powers conferred on said officer, board or commission by the Charter; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has, pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of

supplying any public utility service to said City and County and to its inhabitants and/or to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors deems it advisable to confer upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the right to acquire by purchase, condemnation or construction a public utility for the purpose of distributing to the City and County of San Francisco and/or to parts thereof, and/or to other cities, counties and political subdivisions and/or to parts thereof, and to the inhabitants and residents of said City and County of San Francisco and/or to the inhabitants and residents of parts thereof, and/or to the inhabitants and residents of other cities, counties or political subdivisions electric energy for all purposes, and to accomplish said purpose to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations evidencing said money so borrowed, which said money so borrowed and bonds or other obligations so issued, shall be repayable solely out of the revenue of said public utility.

Section 2. There is hereby conferred upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the following powers in addition to the powers heretofore or hereafter granted to said Public Utilities Commission by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or by other ordinances of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

1. Said Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco may acquire by purchase, and/or by condemnation, and/or by construction, a public utility for the purpose of supplying to the inhabitants and residents of the City and County of San Francisco, and/or to any part thereof, and/or to the inhabitants and residents of any other city or county or political subdivision, or any part thereof, or to the City and County of San Francisco, or to any other city or county or political subdivision, the electric energy for all purposes.

2. That for the purpose of acquiring, condemning or constructing, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of such acquisition, condemnation or construction, said Public Utilities Commission may, as hereinafter in this ordinance set forth, enter into obligations, borrow money and issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, and provide for the payment of such obligations, and for the repayment of money so borrowed, as well as for the payment of said bonds or other obligations, solely out of the revenue of said public utility so established, purchased, acquired, condemned or constructed.

3. For the accomplishment of the purposes hereinbefore set forth, that is, to establish, acquire, condemn or construct said public utility or part thereof for the distribution of said electric energy, as aforesaid, said Public Utilities Commission shall make a report to the Board of Supervisors of the size, character and kind of electric distribution system which it desires to establish, acquire, condemn or construct in whole or in part, and the city and county, city or county, or political subdivision, or particular parts thereof, which it will serve or tend to serve, together with an estimate of the cost thereof, as well as an estimate of the probable period of time which will be consumed in establishing, acquiring, condemning or constructing said utility, as well as the manner in which the same will be established, acquired or constructed, as well as the manner in which the cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility will be met. Said report shall also show in detail the estimated net annual revenue from said utility after deducting the cost of operation and maintenance, repairs and reconstruction, depreciation, and all other charges including interest and redemption charges or any moneys expended for the establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility.

4. Upon receiving said report, the Board of Supervisors shall examine the same, and for said purpose may employ engineers, accountants and other experts to aid in said examination, and if after said examination and the report of said engineers, accountants or other

experts, the said Board of Supervisors is satisfied that it will be for the benefit and best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that said utility should be established, acquired or constructed, as originally reported, or as amended by said Public Utilities Commission, said Board of Supervisors shall, by resolution, approve said report and recommend that said utility be established, acquired or constructed as set forth in said report.

5. Thereafter the said Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance authorize and empower said Public Utilities Commission to establish, and/or acquire, and/or construct said utility or part thereof as recommended or set forth in said report, and shall by said ordinance, or by a separate ordinance, or by separate ordinances, authorize said Public Utilities Commission to enter into such obligations, or to borrow such money, or moneys, or to issue such bonds or other obligations as may be necessary to defray the necessary cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility, including the estimated amount necessary to pay the interest on any money so borrowed or bonds or other obligations so issued during the period of acquisition or construction of said utility and for twelve months thereafter.

6. Said bonds or other obligations so authorized to be issued shall be executed by said Public Utilities Commission, by its president and secretary, and shall be in such form and of such denomination, shall be payable at such times, and shall contain such conditions as the said Board of Supervisors in said ordinance may provide, provided that said bonds or other obligations shall be so conditioned that they will not bear interest at a rate higher than six per cent per annum payable semi-annually. Any bonds or other obligations authorized as herein provided, as well as the interest thereon, shall be payable wholly and exclusively out of the net revenue arising from the operation of the utility for which they shall have been authorized after the payment of all operating expenses of said utility, including pension and retirement charges, all amounts necessary for the repair and maintenance of the property of said utility and all amounts necessary to provide for a proper fund to meet the depreciation on said utility. No part of the amount of said bonds or of the interest thereon shall be payable from moneys raised from taxes levied against property or from any other source whatsoever, save and except from the revenues of said utility for the establishment, acquisition or construction of which they may have been issued, and then only in the manner hereinbefore set forth, and said bonds or other obligations shall so state upon their face or by endorsement thereon.

7. The Public Utilities Commission shall have full power and authority to determine and provide for the times at which, and the manner in which, said bonds or other obligations shall be sold and disposed of, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues.

8. The proceeds of all bonds or other obligations sold by said Public Utilities Commission shall be forthwith placed in the Treasury of the City and County and shall only be used for the purposes for which they may have been sold and authorized.

Section 3. Any utility established, acquired or constructed by said Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to or under authority of this ordinance, as well as the income therefrom, shall be subject to all of the provisions of the Charter governing the operation of existing utilities.

Section 4. At the time the Board of Supervisors authorizes the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the issuing of any bonds or other obligations, said Board may, in the ordinance or ordinances authorizing the incurring of said obligation, the borrowing of said money or the issuing of said bonds or obligations, add such additional provisions as it may deem proper relative to the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the

issuance of any bonds or obligations, or as to the conduct, operation, establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility, save and except that no such provision shall be contrary to any Charter provision on the subject, nor shall any provision be made for the payment of any money, bond, or obligation except from the revenue of the utility authorized to be established.

Section 5. Any ordinance of the Board of Supervisors authorizing the borrowing of any money, or the issuance of any bonds or other obligations evidencing the amount or amounts of money so borrowed, shall provide that the Public Utilities Commission shall, at all times while said bonds are outstanding or any other indebtedness authorized by said ordinance remains unpaid, provide and maintain such rates for the service furnished by said utility, so that the said rates will be sufficient to provide for the payment of the principal sum of said indebtedness and the interest to accrue thereon, in accordance with the terms of said bonds or other obligations, in addition to all other expenses incident to the operation or maintenance of said utility, and said ordinance shall also provide that the Board of Supervisors shall approve such rates, and when said ordinance is so passed, the same shall constitute a binding contract between all holders of said bonds or other obligations and said Public Utilities Commission to so maintain said rate.

Section 6. Whenever the words "bond or bonds," "obligation or obligations" are used in this ordinance, the said words shall mean bonds or obligations payable solely, both as to principal and interest, out of the revenue of the said utility wherein or wherefor they may have been issued. The word "indebtedness," as used in this ordinance, shall mean indebtedness repayable solely out of the revenue of the said utility for which said indebtedness was created.

*Referred to Special Hetch Hetchy and Public Utilities Committee Jointly.* Meeting Wednesday, at 2 p. m.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee *was passed for second reading*:

### Traveling Expenses Per Diem Employes.

(Code No. 9.056)

Bill No. 1046, Ordinance No. 9.0561, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1936-1937 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1936-1937 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of routine duties shall under the authority of law or ordinance leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County, or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all

amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent: Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

### Coins to Commemorate Opening of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Authorized by Congress.

Supervisor Havenner announced that bill authorizing special issue of 50-cent coins to commemorate the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge had been passed by Congress and signed by the President.

### Supervisor Shannon to Represent San Francisco at Los Angeles Conference on Second ¼ Cent Gas Tax Fund.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Supervisor Shannon be authorized to represent the Board of Supervisors at the conference to be held in Los Angeles this week on the expenditure of the second ¼ Cent Gas Tax Fund.

Motion *carried*.

### Rapid Transit Report.

Supervisor Uhl announced that he was going to request that the Public Utilities Committee file the rapid transit report with the Board at its next meeting, or he will move that it be taken out of Committee and be heard by the Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole.

### Opinion Requested as to Power of County Welfare Department to Deduct From Old-Age Pension Money Advanced to Persons on Relief.

Supervisor Uhl requested an opinion from the City Attorney as to whether the County Welfare Department can deduct from the Old-Age Pension, money advanced in the past to persons on relief. The City Attorney announced he would furnish opinion requested.

Supervisor Uhl also requested that the Clerk send letter to County Welfare Department, asking if that department has been deducting from Old-Age Pensions, money advanced for relief.

### Moving Picture Misrepresenting San Francisco Earthquake.

Supervisor Uhl called the Board's attention to moving picture showing in Los Angeles and reported misrepresentation of conditions in San Francisco at time of 1906 disaster.

Supervisor Shannon is to investigate on his forthcoming trip to Los Angeles.

### Meetings Announced.

Building Committee, July 3, 3:30 p. m.

Joint Public Utilities and Special Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution Committee, July 1, 2 p. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 3:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 28

Monday, July 6, 1936
Thursday, July 9, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JULY 6, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 6, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors answered present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt and Shannon on leave.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

### Telegram.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Los Angeles, Calif., July 5, 1936, 10.42 p. m.

John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Will not be able to finish here until Monday afternoon. Will attend meeting Wednesday.

WARREN SHANNON.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 29, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Delivery of Assessment Roll.

The following were read by the Clerk:

July 3rd, 1936.

Honorable Franck R. Havenner, President of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sir: The 1936 Assessment Roll has been signed by me as Chief Assistant Assessor. The City Attorney has formally passed upon the propriety of this.

Section 3677 of the Political Code reads as follows: "During the session of the Board (i. e., the County Board of Equalization) the Assessor and any deputy whose testimony is needed must be present and may make any statement and introduce or examine witnesses on questions before the Board."

I am transmitting to you herewith a statement signed by Doctor Tilton E. Tillman certifying that Assessor Wolden will not be able to be present during the hearings of the Board.

I will be present to act in the place of the Assessor.

Very truly yours,

HAROLD J. BOYD,
Chief Assistant Assessor.

TILTON E. TILLMAN, M. D.
*Physician and Surgeon*
Suite 1301
450 Sutter Street
San Francisco, California

July 1, 1936.

To Whom It May Concern:

This is to certify that Russell L. Wolden, Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, is still under my professional care.

Mr. Wolden underwent a very severe major operation in November, 1935, from the effects of which he is still convalescing. He is gaining steadily in strength and at the present time I have advised him not to take on any strenuous work.

Respectfully yours,
TILTON E. TILLMAN, M. D.

July 6, 1936.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sir: The following volumes of the 1936 Assessment Roll have been delivered to you today in accordance with the provisions of Section 3654 of the Political Code of the State of California:

44 Volumes, Real Estate and Improvements and Secured Personal Property;
8 Volumes, Indices to Real Estate Roll.
7 Volumes, Unsecured Personal Property on which taxes have been collected by the Assessor.

There remains undelivered the following volumes of the 1936 Assessment Roll:

1 Volume, Arbitrary Assessments.
1 Volume, Unsecured Personal Property on which taxes have been collected by the Assessor.
4 Volumes, Unsecured Personal Property on which taxes have not been collected by the Assessor.
2 Volumes, Indices for Unsecured Personal Property Roll.

All acts in connection with the assessment of property listed in these volumes have been completed. The volumes are now being compiled. When compilation has been effected delivery to you will be made.

No totals have been accumulated on any of the volumes. Section 3737 of the Political Code assigns the responsibility for this duty to the County Auditor.

Yours truly,
HAROLD J. BOYD,
Chief Assistant Assessor.

## BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Board of Supervisors to Act as a Board of Equalization.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Resolution No. 2664, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will meet on Monday, July 6, 1936, at 2 P. M., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1936 and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 20,

1936, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Providing for Notice in Official Newspaper, Re Filing Statement of Real and Personal Property.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Resolution No. 2665, as follows:

A list of all persons resident of the City and County of San Francisco who have failed to file with the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all real and personal property not exempt from taxation owned by each of said persons on the first Monday of March, 1936, at 12 o'clock noon of said day, after demand therefor was made by said Assessor, having been filed with the Board; be it

Resolved, That each of the aforesaid persons who have failed to file said statement, be and he or she is hereby required to file with the clerk of the Board within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all the property owned or controlled or in the possession of said person on the first Monday of March, 1936; and be it

Further Resolved, That notice to all of the said persons herein referred to be given by the publication of a notice in the official newspaper, which said notice shall be in the words and figures following, to-wit:

To each individual person who has failed to file with the Assessor a verified statement setting forth all the real and personal property not exempt from taxation, owned by such person or in his possession or under his control, at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1936.

You and each of you are hereby required to file with the undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath, setting forth specifically all of the property owned or controlled by you or under your possession, at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1936.

Dated July 6, 1936.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of
the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## MAYOR'S VETO.

The following matter was taken up:

**Ordinance Regulating Use of Aisles, Etc., in Theatres, Etc.**

July 2, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I herewith return you Ordinance No. 11.0012 with my disapproval and veto endorsed thereon.

My veto of this ordinance is not by reason of the fact that I am not heartily in accord with the suggested regulation of theatres and public halls, but it is due to the fact that, in my opinion, the Police Department is not the proper department to have supervision over the allotment of space which may be occupied by parons awaiting seats in theatres and public halls. The allotment of such space is to make sure that there will always be an ample exit from theatres or halls in case of any panic arising from fire or other causes and I, there-

fore, believe that the allotment of such space can be better made by the Fire Department than by the Police Department, as the former department is more familiar with the general regulations governing exits from this particular class of buildings. After the allocation of such place is made by the Fire Department it will be the duty of the Police Department to see that persons awaiting seats are not permitted to occupy any other space in the theatre.

Should your Board see fit to pass another ordinance which will vest a suggested authority in the Fire Department, I shall be glad to approve it.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### Mayor's Veto Sustained.

The question being put, "Shall the ordinance pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor, the Mayor, the roll was called and the Mayor's veto sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Sloat Boulevard Between Junipero Serra Boulevard and Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendations of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1034, Ordinance No. 12.073177, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seven Hundred and Forty-nine (749) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 4, 1936, by amending Section Seven Hundred and Forty-nine (749) thereof to read as follows:

Section 749. The width of sidewalks on Sloat boulevard between the Great Highway and Junipero Serra boulevard shall be 12 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Northwesterly Side of Brannan Street Between Fifth and Sixth Streets from 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1035, Ordinance No. 12.073178, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended

in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 12, 1936, by amending Section Two Hundred and Twenty (220) thereof to read as follows:

Section 220. The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between The Embarcadero and Seventh street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the northwesterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth streets shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street, the southeasterly side of, between Seventh and Eighth streets shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Eighth street and a line 275 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Ninth street and a line 275 feet northeasterly therefrom shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Brannan street between Ninth and Division streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Visitacion Avenue Between Hahn Street and Its Southeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1036, Ordinance No. 12.073179, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-seven (1177).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 12, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-seven (1177) to read as follows:

Section 1177. The width of sidewalks on Visitacion avenue between La Grande avenue and Hahn street shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Visitacion avenue between Hahn street and southeasterly termination shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was *laid over two weeks*:

**An Ordinance Defining Public Parks, Board of Park Commissioners, Superintendent and Secretary; Establishing Rules and Regulations; Defining Particular Offenses; Providing for Permits and Procedure Therefor; Establishing Traffic Regulations; Providing a Penalty for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Orders and Ordinances or Parts of Orders and Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.**

(Code No. 23.011)

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113, as follows:

An ordinance defining public parks, Board of Park Commissioners, superintendent and secretary; establishing rules and regulations; defining particular offenses; providing for permits and procedure therefor; establishing traffic regulations; providing a penalty for a violation thereof; and repealing all orders and ordinances or parts of orders and ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

## CHAPTER I.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

### DEFINITIONS.

Sec. 1. Public Parks defined.
Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

SEC. 1. **Public Parks Defined.** When used in this code the words "Pub Parks, Squares or Pleasure Grounds" shall include all parks, squares, grounds surrounding public buildings, all roadways and avenues, and the Gre Highway and all other territory within the jurisdiction and under the contr of the Park Commission as set forth in Section 41 of the Charter of the Ci and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this co the words "Board of Park Commissioners" or "Park Commissioners" shall fer to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Fra cisco.

SEC. 3. **Superintendent Defined.** When used in this code the word "Supe intendent" shall refer to the Superintendent of Parks, as set forth in Secti 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 4. **Secretary Defined.** When used in this code the word "Secretar shall refer to the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners as set for in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

### ARTICLE 2.

### RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Sec. 7. Observation of rules and regulations.
Sec. 8. Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.
Sec. 9. Regulating use on concert days of Main Drive and Museum Road Golden Gate Park.
Sec. 10. Exclusion of public when necessary.
Sec. 11. Prohibiting use of bridle paths by pedestrians.
Sec. 12. Riding and driving animals and vehicles confined to designated roads.
Sec. 13. Restricting vehicles to certain areas.
Sec. 14. Performance of labor in parks.
Sec. 15. Regulating games, baseball, etc., throwing missiles.

SEC. 7. **Observation of Rules and Regulations.** No person shall disob or violate any of the rules and regulations regulating the use and enjoyme of any of the buildings and structures in said public parks, squares, or pleasu grounds, which rules and regulations at the time are posted in some conspicuo place in such building and structure; nor shall any person disobey any instru tion or any sign in any of said public grounds; nor shall any person refuse a reasonable request of any police officer in any of the said public parks, square or pleasure grounds; and the Superintendent of said park or manager person in charge of said buildings or structures, may refuse admission to, a may expel therefrom, any person refusing to comply with said rules and reg lations.

SEC. 8. **Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.** The buildi

uated in Golden Gate Park and known as the "Sharon Building," and the grounds around and adjacent to the same, the swings, spring boards, merry-go-round, croquet games, tennis courts, and the grounds prepared for baseball and other sports and such places designated and set apart by the Board of Park Commissioners are hereby designated and set apart as quarters and playground for children who shall visit the park and shall be used and occupied exclusively by said children and the parents, guardians or other persons accompanying or having the said children in charge. The rules and regulations for the government of said children's quarters and playground, and the persons who shall be entitled to use and enjoy the same, and of the occupations and amusements which may be carried upon the same shall be designated from time to time hereafter by the Park Commissioners by printed notices, which shall be posted in some conspicuous point in or near the said buildings; and all persons visiting or using the said children's quarters and playgrounds shall conform to and obey such posted notices and regulations.

SEC. 9. **Regulating Use On Concert Days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.** All persons are forbidden to walk across or upon the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park between Seventh and Tenth avenues on concert days, between the hours of 1:30 and 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and during said hours must pass under the Granite Bridge in going to or leaving the concert grounds between these points; and provided further that during said above mentioned hours on concert days no pedestrians shall be allowed on the pedestrian path paralleling Main Drive, on the south side thereof, between Seventh and Eleventh avenues, or concourse and at said above mentioned periods, vehicles when going west in immediate vicinity of concourse must take the lower drive; the Museum Road between the Main Drive and Japanese Tea Garden is closed for vehicle traffic during said periods of time.

SEC. 10. **Exclusion of Public When Necessary.** In case of an emergency or where in the judgment of the Superintendent the public interest demands it, portions of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds may be closed to the public, and all persons thereby be excluded therefrom until permission is given to return.

SEC. 11. **Prohibiting Use of Bridle Paths by Pedestrians.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or drive, paralleling the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 12. **Riding and Driving Animals and Vehicles Confined to Designated Roads.** No person shall within any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle of any kind elsewhere than on the roads or driveways provided and designated for such purpose.

SEC. 13. **Restricting Vehicles to Certain Areas.** No person shall place, propel, ride or drive any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle on any sidewalk or footpath in or around any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or in that portion of the Golden Gate Park known as "Conservatory Valley," or on that portion of said park adjacent to the Music Stand, or upon or over any of the walks or drive leading up, down or upon any part of Strawberry Hill in said park, excepting on the roads, driveways, avenues, and highways, especially provided and designated by the Park Commissioners for that purpose.

SEC. 14. **Performance of Labor in Parks.** Under the supervision of the Superintendent of Parks the park will perform any labor in or on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, such as taking up and replacing soil, ground

and pavements, structures, trees, shrubs and the like, and for the performan of any work for which a permit is granted to any corporation or individual, labor and material shall be charged against such corporation, firm or person.

SEC. 15. **Regulating Games, Baseball, Etc. Throwing Missiles.** No pers shall play ball, fly kites, play football, or engage in any other game or gam in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except such places therein as shall be especially designated and provided for su purpose; nor shall any person throw any stone or other missile in any of t public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. Baseball may be played at t children's ball grounds for children under twelve years, ball grounds in Gold Gate Park opposite Seventh avenue, and athletic games may be played at t Stadium and such places as set apart and designated by the Park Comm sioners. A permit must first be obtained from the Park Commissioners wh the Stadium is to be used for exhibition games.

## CHAPTER II.

## PARTICULAR OFFENSES.

### ARTICLE 1.

### ADVERTISING.

Sec. 21. Handbills, circulars, advertisements, etc.
Sec. 22. Advertising vehicles restricted to transverse roads.

SEC. 21. **Handbills, Circulars, Advertisements, Etc.** No person shall d tribute, circulate or give away in or throw in or deposit in or on any of sa public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any handbills, circulars, pamphle tracts, dodgers or advertisements, or post or affix to any trees, shrubs, plan fence, building, structure, dome, monument, chair or bench, roads or drives any thing or place situate therein any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, trac dodgers or advertisements.

SEC. 22. **Advertising Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads.** No vehi having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name owner, name of maker or name of vehicle, or sign shall be allowed within a of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, provided, however, th drays, trucks, wagons and other vehicles, if not loaded to exceed six (6) to gross, may use the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park betwe Lincoln Way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth aven and/or Twenty-fifth avenue and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak stre

### ARTICLE 2.

### ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 26. Animals.
Sec. 27. Dogs.
Sec. 28. Disturb or feed fish, birds, quadrupeds, etc.

SEC. 26. **Animals.** No person shall lead, turn in or let loose, or permit run at large any cattle, horses, goats, sheep, swine or other animals, or fo of any kind in or upon any such public parks, squares or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 27. **Dogs.** No person having the care and charge of any dog sh suffer, allow or permit any such dog to enter upon or remain in any of sa public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, unless said dog is fastened to a led by a chain or line of suitable strength and not more than eight (8) fe long. Nor shall any person having the care or charge of any dog permit su

dg to enter the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or any o the sand boxes, play apparatus or play areas normally reserved for children, o drink the water of any lake or pond in any of the parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such place or places as may be maintained or designated therefor.

SEC. 28. **Disturb or Feed Fish, Birds, Quadrupeds, Etc.** No person shall, in ay of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, disturb the fish, annoy, strike, chase, snare or set snares for, catch, injure or destroy any rabbit, quail, rd or wild quadruped, nor injure or maltreat any domestic or other animal, quail or bird therein, nor shall any person rob, molest or interfere with any rd's nest therein, and no person shall give or offer or attempt to give to any nimal within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds any tobacco or ther known noxious article, or substance the giving of which shall be pro-bited by posted notices. It shall be unlawful for any person to feed or offer od to any of the animals, birds or reptiles in the Zoological Park of the erbert Fleishhacker Playfield.

## ARTICLE 3.

## NUISANCES.

ec. 34. Depositing refuse.

SEC. 34. **Depositing Refuse.** No person shall place, deposit or dump, or cause to be placed, deposited or dumped in or upon any of said public parks, quares, or pleasure grounds, any garbage, refuse, dirt, ashes, broken glass, ockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, or any dead animal or dead fowl; nor shall any person leave or scatter about on any of said public parks, squares, or easure grounds, any empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of lunches, wspaper, or rubbish of any kind, and same shall be taken away or deposited cans or other receptacles provided for the reception of waste matter.

## ARTICLE 4.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

ec. 39. Indecent or disorderly conduct or language.
ec. 40. Bathing restrictions—water pollution.
ec. 41. Undressing on Ocean Beach.
ec. 42. Athletic attire restricted.
ec. 43. Bonfires.
ec. 44. Camping or tarrying over night.
ec. 45. Selling merchandise prohibited.

SEC. 39. **Indecent or Disorderly Conduct or Language.** No person shall dulge in any brawl, riotous, boisterous or indecent conduct, or engage in any ghting or boxing contest, in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure ounds, and no person shall use profane, indecent, threatening or violent nguage therein; and no intoxicated, noisy, disorderly or publicly offensive rson shall enter or remain therein.

SEC. 40. **Bathing Restrictions, Water Pollution.** No person shall bathe in e waters of any pond, stream, lake or pool in any of the public parks, squares, pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purposes; r in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the reat Highway and the Skyline boulevard and north of the northerly line of ort Funston Military Reservation, and west of the easterly boundary line of ncoln Park; and no person shall throw into or cause to be deposited any dirt,

filth, or foreign matter in or near or in any manner pollute the waters of a of the ponds, streams, lakes or pools.

SEC. 41. **Undressing on Ocean Beach.** No person shall undress on t Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard on the Ocean Beach north of t northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easte boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such places as are set apart for su purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 42. **Athletic Attire Restricted.** No person shall appear in any of t public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, clad in any athletic costume attire in which any part of the legs, arms or trunk is exposed to view, exce at the places therein as shall have been specially set apart for athletic spo for which such costume or attire is appropriate, such as the Stadium and b grounds and such places set apart and designated by the Park Commissione

SEC. 43. **Bonfires.** No person shall kindle or cause to be kindled any bo fire or fire of any kind in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure groun or driveways thereof, except in the places set apart and designated therefor the Park Commissioners, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Co missioners thereto.

SEC. 44. **Camping or Tarrying Overnight.** No person shall camp, lod sleep, or tarry overnight in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasu grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Boa of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 45. **Selling Merchandise Prohibited.** No person shall sell, vend, d pose of, or offer for sale, any goods, wares or merchandise in or upon any the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. And no person shall ere or maintain any structure, counter, table, tent, or other thing in or upon a of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, used or intended to be us in the selling or exposing or exposing for sale any goods, wares or merchandi

## ARTICLE 5.

## GAMES OF CHANCE.

Sec. 50. Gambling, fortune telling.

SEC. 50. **Gambling, Fortune Telling.** No person shall maintain, condu expose, exhibit or play any game of chance, gambling table or contrivance instrument of gaming or gambling in or upon any of the public parks, squar or pleasure grounds, and all and every manner of gaming and gambling is p hibited. And no person shall engage in or practice fortune telling or any li practice therein.

## ARTICLE 6.

## GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS.

Sec. 53. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 54. Parades, picnics, etc., permits for.

Sec. 55. Funeral procession, routes restricted.

SEC. 53. **Holding Meetings, Discussions.** No person or persons shall h any public meeting of any kind or nature, nor hold any public discussion debate, where five or more persons are gathered together for that purpo in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, without fi

obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 54. **Parades, Picnics, Etc. Permits for.** Any company, society, social club, or organization, when the number of members thereof shall exceed twenty-five (25) persons, desiring to resort to and use any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, for parade, picnicking or other pleasurable purposes, shall at least one day prior to the proposed parade, or picnic or use thereof, notify the Superintendent of said grounds or the Secretary of the Park Commissioners of their intention so to use said grounds, and obtain a permit in writing therefor.

SEC. 55. **Funeral Procession, Routes Restricted.** No person having the charge of any funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon or other vehicle designated for or carrying the body of a deceased person for burial or otherwise shall enter in or go upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting on or over the transverse roadway leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 7.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 60. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.
Sec. 61. Removing trees, turf, soil, rock, etc.
Sec. 62. Removal of bathing apparel, towels, other personal property.
Sec. 63. Trespass on public grounds, turf, shrubbery.
Sec. 64. Restrictions and defacing of ladies' rest rooms.
Sec. 65. Destruction, mutilation, defacement, etc., of park buildings, property, furniture, fixtures, etc.

SEC. 60. **Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up or in any manner injure any tree, shrub, plant, in or growing in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or in any building or structure therein situated.

SEC. 61. **Removing Trees, Turf, Soil, Rock, Etc.** No person shall remove any tree, wood, turf, grass, soil or rock or anything of like kind in or belonging to said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways or highways thereof.

SEC. 62. **Removal of Bathing Apparel, Towels, Other Personal Property.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any of the parks or playgrounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners any towel, bathing cap, bathing suit, bathing apparatus, or other personal property under the control of or title to which is vested in the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 63. **Trespass on Public Grounds, Turf, Shrubbery.** No person shall go within any shrubbery or climb any tree in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, nor shall any person walk upon any ground therein where limbs or branches of trees or shrubs come within three feet of the ground; nor shall any person walk on the turf bordering walks or drives, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 64. **Restrictions and Defacing of Ladies' Restrooms.** No male person over the age of ten years shall enter any ladies' toilet, room or apartment within any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall cut

or in any wise deface the walls, doors or any part of ladies' toilet, room apartment; and no person shall write, print or paint on any part thereof name, figure, picture, design, word, language, or sign of any kind.

SEC. 65. **Destruction, Multilation, Defacement, Etc., of Park Buildi Property, Furniture, Fixtures, Etc.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, defa cut or otherwise mar or injure any building, structure, dome, monument, ch or other furniture, fixture, or fence in, or belonging to, any of the public par squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall write, paint or cut figure thereon, or place thereon any writing, painting or printing, or write up paint or cut any building, structure, dome, monument, chair, or other furnitu fixture or fence in any manner whatsoever; nor shall any person climb or over any of said property.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERMITS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 69. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
Sec. 70. Injury or damage to walks, plots or roadways.
Sec. 71. Exposing, disconnecting, pipes, sewers, etc.
Sec. 72. Moving buildings across parks. Permits.
Sec. 73. Erecting structure or obstruction. Permit.
Sec. 74. Leaving building materials on public grounds.
Sec. 75. Permits and bonds.
Sec. 76. All permits to be issued by and fees paid to Secretary.
Sec. 77. Condition of grounds after repairs.
Sec. 78. Removal of buildings and penalty.
Sec. 79. Display of red lights during obstruction or excavation.
Sec. 80. Permit to transport or sell wares.
Sec. 81. Maintaining places of amusement—permit necessary.

SEC. 69. **Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** The space in Marina Park at t foot of Scott and Divisadero streets, known and designated as Yacht Harbo is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft a boats; but no boat or craft of any character may moor or enter the aforesa mooring grounds until after a permit in writing has been obtained from t Park Commissioners, in which event it will be permitted to enter and use on the mooring space designated in said permit.

SEC. 70. **Injury or Damage to Walks, Plots or Roadways.** No person sh injure, dig or tear up any pavement, sidewalk, cross walk, grass plot or roa way or any part thereof, in any public park, square or pleasure ground, witho a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him, and countersigned a recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 71. **Exposing, Disconnecting Pipes, Sewers, Etc.** No person shall d down toward or to, expose, tear up, disconnect or connect with any of t water pipes or sewers in or under any public park, square or pleasure groun without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him and counte signed and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 72. **Moving Buildings Across Parks. Permits.** No person shall mo along, across or upon any public park, square, pleasure ground or Great Hig way any building or other structure, without having first obtained permissio of the Board of Park Commissioners and a certificate thereof signed by th President and Secretary, and no such building or other structure shall remai on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, in any one part thereof, f

than five hours, nor upon any public park, square, or pleasure ground for riod of more than twenty-four hours.

EC. 73. **Erecting Structure or Obstruction. Permit.** No person shall d, put up, place, erect, have, keep or maintain or cause to be built, put up, ed, erected, had, kept or maintained, any nuisance or any fence, building, cture, obstruction or other thing which shall in any manner obstruct any of public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or any part or portion thereof, hich shall in any way prevent, hinder or impair the full and free use and yment by the public thereof. And no person shall build, erect, place, put or maintain any building, structure, monument, dome or other thing in or any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds without first obtain- the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, to be evidenced by a permit writing, signed by the President, and countersigned and recorded by the retary of the Board.

EC. 74. **Leaving Building Materials on Public Grounds.** No person shall mber or obstruct or cause to be encumbered or obstructed any public park, are or pleasure ground, or part thereof, by placing or leaving therein or reon any building materials or any article or thing whatsoever, without first ing obtained a written permit signed by the Superintendent and counter- ed and recorded by the Secretary.

EC. 75. **Permits and Bonds.** When the permit is under Sections 70, 71, and 73 of this article, the permittee shall give a bond with one or more eties satisfactory to the President of the Park Commission, in such sum as h President may determine, that the conditions prescribed in said permit, uding that as to time for which granted, shall in all respects be complied h, that no injury shall be done or caused or permitted to any public park, are, or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or to the trees, shrubs, grass, ces or anything thereon, and that the Park Commission and its officers shall fully indemnified against and saved harmless from all damages and cost ich may ensue from any act or thing done or purported to be done under h permit; whereupon the Secretary shall, if the Park Commission or Presi- t thereof or the Superintendent, as the case may be, shall direct, issue a mit wherein shall be stated all the conditions and circumstances, and limit- the time in which the act permitted must be done. Provided: That in nting any permit under Sections 70 and 71 of this Article the Park Com- ssion may, in lieu of such bond, accept from the permittee, a cash deposit such sum as may be designated by the President, as security that no public k, square or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or the trees, shrubs, ss, fences, or anything thereon shall suffer any injury in consequence of y act done or permitted, or omitted, under or purported to be under such mit; but the acceptance of such cash deposit by said Park Commission ll in nowise impair any right, or claim, or demand, direct or indirect, inst the permittee receiving such permit, by virtue of any bond the per- ittee has given or furnished to the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 76. **All Permits to Be Issued by and Fees Paid to Secretary.** The Sec- ary of the Park Commission shall issue all permits authorized by the Park mmission, and shall sign the same, receive all fees, charges and deposits erefor, and keep a record of all proceedings and doings thereunder, or in nnection therewith, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to e Park Commission of the permits issued.

SEC. 77. **Condition of Grounds After Repairs.** Every permittee to whom a rmit is granted under Sections 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74 of this Article shall ave the ground, site or place within the public parks, squares and pleasure rounds, where work has been done pursuant to such permit, in as good con-

dition in all respects when such permit shall have expired, as when the sa was granted, and shall repair said ground, site or place to the satisfact of the Superintendent of Parks.

SEC. 78. **Removal of Buildings and Penalty.** The owner or owners, occupant or occupants of any building, or the contractor or other person charge of or superintending or directing its removal, or either or all of th who shall suffer the same to remain in any parkway for any time whatsoe longer than that specified in the permit issued by the Park Commission, who shall place in or permit to remain in such parkway, any building structure without an appropriate permit, shall, in addition to the pena herein provided, forfeit, as a penalty, the amount whereof shall be speci in the permit, for every twenty-four hours or any part thereof the sa shall continue.

SEC. 79. **Display of Red Lights During Obstruction or Excavation.** A person having the use of any portion of any public park, square, or pleas ground, shall cause red lights to be placed in conspicuous places in front of a at each end of the obstruction or excavation from sunset to sunrise each ni such obstruction or excavation remains.

SEC. 80. **Permit to Transport or Sell Wares.** No person shall transp into, carry into, have or take into, or leave upon or over any of the pub parks, squares or pleasure grounds, any fruit, nuts, candy, vegetables, or oth goods, wares or merchandise of any kind to be sold or offered for sale, wi out first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners therefor.

SEC. 81. **Maintaining Places of Amusement, Permit Necessary.** No pers shall, within any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, set up maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, show, show stand, performan concert, concert hall, moving pictures or the taking of moving pictures, or a other kind of amusement, without first obtaining the consent of the Pa Commissioners thereto.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 85. Breaking in of animals.
Sec. 86. Sulky and vehicle trailing prohibited.
Sec. 87. Trade and commercial vehicles restricted to tranverse roads—garba transportation prohibited.
Sec. 88. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
Sec. 89. Pleasure vehicles only permitted to enter parks.
Sec. 90. Vehicles abreast of each other.
Sec. 91. Limiting speed of bicycles and similar vehicles.
Sec. 92. Transporting merchandise for sale prohibited.

SEC. 85. **Breaking in of Animals.** No person shall, within or on any said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, ride, drive or leave there any vicious, unbroken or wild horse, mule or other animal, or use therein thereon any breaking cart or other vehicle or apparatus or appliance used breaking any of such animals.

SEC. 86. **Sulky and Vehicle Trailing Prohibited.** No person shall tra any sulky or other vehicle through any public park, square, or pleasure groun

SEC. 87. **Trade and Commercial Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads. Garbage Transportation Prohibited.** No dray, truck, wagon, cart or other vehicle carrying or employed in carrying goods, merchandise, manure, soil or other article of commerce or trade, shall travel upon any of the driveways or roads of any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, for any other purpose than to cross the same immediately at the regular street intersections, or upon any of the roads or driveways of said public grounds, except the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Vehicles having deliveries in park shall use nearest entrance to point of delivery. Transportation of garbage is prohibited upon any road or driveway in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 88. **Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person owning, driving or having charge of any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, or vehicle let or used for hire or anyone employed by such owner, or driver, shall within said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds stand for the purpose of, or in any way let or solicit custom or passengers for the same.

SEC. 89. **Pleasure Vehicles Only Permitted to Enter Parks.** No vehicle usually engaged in carrying baggage or merchandise other than the personal effects of the owner, driver, motorman or engineer thereof, and no vehicle used for advertising purposes, or with any name or designation exposed thereon for advertising purposes, shall be or enter upon or use any part of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting when used exclusively for pleasure purposes.

SEC. 90. **Vehicles Abreast of Each Other.** No more than two vehicles shall run or be propelled abreast of each other while used or operated within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. The said vehicles shall be run and propelled singly and not attached to each other, except in case of an accident, when one may assist the other.

SEC. 91. **Limiting Speed of Bicycles and Similar Vehicles.** No person shall ride, propel or operate any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle in or on any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, at a greater rate of speed than ten (10) miles per hour; and at the crossings or junctions of any roads or driveways, or at any place used as a crossing by persons on foot, at a speed to exceed six (6) miles per hour.

SEC. 92. **Transporting Merchandise for Sale Prohibited.** No person shall drive in or into or enter upon or pass over any part or portion of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds in any cart, wagon or other vehicle, having therein any goods, wares or merchandise intended for sale therein.

## CHAPTER V.

## PENAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 97. Violation a misdemeanor—Penalty.
Sec. 98. Repeal.
Sec. 99. Saving Clause.

SEC. 97. **Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five

hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 98. **Repeal.** All Ordinances or orders or parts of Ordinances or orders in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SEC. 99. **Saving Clause.** If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

### Confirming Lease to Pan American Airways Company of Portion of San Francisco Airport Property.

(Code No. 12.17354)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1047, Ordinance No. 12.173543, as follows:

Confirming lease to Pan American Airways Company of portion of San Francisco Airport property.

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 1291 of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids would be received by him on June 22, 1936, for leasing a portion of the San Francisco Airport land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point distant south 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west 50 feet from the corner common to Sections 26, 27, 34 and 35, Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, which section corner is located south 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds east 3215.61 feet along the north line of Section 34 from the center line of the Bayshore Highway as it now exists; thence north 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds west, parallel to the line between said Sections 27 and 34, 850 feet; thence south 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west 1785 feet; thence south 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds east 900 feet; thence north 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds east 1785 feet; thence north 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds west 50 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 36.880 acres.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Pan American Airways Company to lease said property at a rental of $1,000.00 per year for the first five years, $5,000.00 per year for the second five years, with the right to extend the lease for an additional period of ten years at a rental of $10,000.00 per year; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said lease be awarded to Pan American Airways Company; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That said lease be and is hereby awarded to Pan American Airways Company.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. The effective date of the commencement of the lease shall be on or about June 1, 1937, or earlier date, dependent upon the completion of the improvements to said acreage now contemplated. The lease shall be subject

to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Commission and the City Attorney.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

### Communication.

The following was read and *ordered printed in the Journal*:

June 29, 1936.

Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, City Hall, City.

Dear Sir: You have asked me if it is contained in the proposed lease to be entered into with the Pan American Airways Company that the lease is to have no effect unless the funds for the improvements contemplated are provided by an agency of the United States Government.

My answer to your query is that such a provision as above outlined is contained first of all in the final paragraph of solicitation for bids to enter into the lease.

In the body of the proposed lease, in Subdivision 5 of Paragraph 4, it is provided, in substance, that if the City is unable to obtain the necessary funds from the United States of America or any agency thereof so as to enable the City to complete the various structures contemplated under the lease within twelve months from the date of entering into the lease, the City will be given an extension of four months, and if not completed at the end of that time a further extension of an additional four months may be had, and if the work is not completed at the end of that time the lease may be terminated and the sole remedy that the lessee shall have is the right to cancel the lease and the City will not be answerable in damages.

Trusting the foregoing answers your question, I remain,

Yours truly,

DION R. HOLM, Public Utilities Counsel.

### Passed for Second Reading.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, the following bill, amended by striking out the emergency clause, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

### Additional Appropriation of $50,000 for Investigation of Members of Police Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1048, Ordinance No. 9.051283, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $50,000 (additional to $25,000 and $2,000 heretofore appropriated) from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, in response to the request of the District Attorney to enable said District Attorney to continue to investigate charges which have been made that certain members of the Police Department unlawfully collect moneys from illegal activities, and making this ordinance effective forthwith as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Special Fund of the District Attorney, from Appropriation No. 602.900.00—1936-1937 (Emergency Reserve Fund), the sum of $50,000 (additional to the sums of $25,000 and $2,000) for the purpose of defraying the cost of continuing an investigation as to the charges which have been made that certain members of the Police Department unlawfully collect moneys from illegal activities in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The amount hereby appropriated shall be expended and

accounted for as provided by Section 29 of the Charter and Section 4308 of the Political Code.

Section 3. This appropriation is made from said Emergency Fund in order to permit the uninterrupted operation of an office of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, the office of the District Attorney.

Section 4. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure to become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare and find by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance become effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That said appropriation is necessary immediately for the uninterrupted operation of an office of the City and County, to-wit, the office of District Attorney in order to enable said District Attorney to continue the investigation referred to in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Transfer of Certain City Lands to Board of Education for Junior College Site, Also School Lot on Geary Street to Real Estate Department.**

(Code No. 12.175)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2666, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, the Board of Education requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring certain City owned lands to said Board for a Junior College site, which lands are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

Parcel 1. Starting at the intersection of the easterly property line of Phelan avenue and the northerly property line of Ocean avenue, thence easterly 526.600 feet along the said northerly property line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 81 degrees 0 minutes to the left and distant 260.000 feet; thence deflecting to the right 21 degrees 15 minutes and distant 515.374 feet; thence deflecting 30 degrees 15 minutes to the left and distant 175.000 feet; thence at right angles in a westerly direction 375.000 feet distant; thence at right angles in a northerly direction 250.000 feet distant; thence at right angles in an easterly direction 290.000 feet distant; thence at right angles in a northerly direction 188.000 feet distant; thence at right angles in a westerly direction 741.804 feet distant to the easterly property line of Phelan avenue; thence at right angles in a southerly direction along the easterly property line of Phelan avenue 1315.000 feet distant to the northerly property line of Ocean avenue and the point of commencement.

Containing 19.3 acres more or less.

Parcel 2. Starting at the intersection of the northerly property line of Ocean avenue and the westerly boundary line of the Southern Pacific right of way; thence westerly along the said northerly property line of Ocean avenue 840.000 feet; thence deflecting an angle of 99 degrees 0 minutes to the right and distant 260.000 feet; thence deflecting an angle of 21 degrees 15 minutes to the right and distant 252.594 feet; thence deflecting an angle of 59 degrees 45 minutes to the right and running parallel to Ocean avenue and in an easterly direction to the intersection of the westerly boundary line of the Southern Pacific right of way; thence southerly along the westerly boundary line of the Southern

Pacific right of way to the northerly property line of Ocean avenue, the point of commencement.

Containing 9.3 acres more or less.

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the above described lands can be advantageously used by the Board of Education for school purposes; and

Whereas, on May 20, 1936, the Recreation Commission adopted a resolution consenting to the transfer of said Parcel 2 to the Board of Education; and

Whereas, on June 4, 1936, the Board of Park Commissioners adopted a resolution consenting to the transfer of said Parcel 1 to the Board of Education, subject to the condition that the school lot on the south side of Geary street, 60 feet west of Jones street, San Francisco, size 102.5 feet x 137.5 feet, be transferred to the Real Estate Department for the purpose of sale, the proceeds of such sale to be used for the purchase of additional real property; and

Whereas, on May 26, 1936, the Board of Education adopted Resolution No. B-1398, consenting to the transfer of said school lot on Geary street to the Real Estate Department, upon completion of the transfer of said Parcels 1 and 2; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfers be made; and

Whereas, this Board has considered the proposed transfer, and hereby determines that Parcels 1 and 2 are no longer necessary for the departments having jurisdiction over the same and can be advantageously used by the Board of Education, that the school lot on Geary street is no longer necessary for the Board of Education. Now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the control of said Parcels 1 and 2 be and is hereby transferred to the Board of Education for school purposes. Be it

Further Resolved, That the control of said school lot on Geary street be and is hereby transferred to the Real Estate Department, for the purpose of sale, the proceeds of such sale to be used for the purchase of additional land adjoining the Kezar Stadium or for the acquisition of such other real property as may be determined by the Park Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2679, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

| | |
|---|---|
| Sterling Realty Company, portion of Lot 29, in Assessor's Block 2056 | $375 |
| Lillian B. Hartman, portion of Lot 23-A, in Block 2031 | 375 |
| Teresa N. Wallace and Romietta J. Wallace, portion of Lot 33, in Assessor's Block 1835 | 325 |
| Elizabeth Margaret Skillicorn, portions of Lots 13 and 14, in Assessor's Block 2138 | 750 |

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the Office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2667, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Henry F. Striegel, et ux, portion of Lot 27, in Assessor's Block 2031 ..... $1,050.00
Mary Agnes Moore, portion of Lot 33, in Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 750.00
A. L. Piombo, et ux, portions of Lots 1-O, 1-P, 1-Q and 1-R, in Assessor's Block 1864 ..... 2,150.00
Georgia F. Auger and H. L. Auger, portion of Lot 11, in Assessor's Block 1774 ..... 447.60

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the McLaren Park Roadway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2668, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Lilliam B. O'Connor, Lot 12, Assessor's Block 6265, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for a roadway through the proposed McLaren Park, for the sum of $320, payable from Appropriation No. 591.902.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2678, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary A. Preston and Thomas A. Preston, her husband, Lot 12, Assessor's Block 6638, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sum of $5,775, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.02; the City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing the City Attorney to Settle for $600 the Pending Litigation of Martin and Claire Wagner for Account of Damages.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1049, Ordinance No. 6.022220, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Martin W. Wagner and Claire Wagner in the sum of six hundred dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Martin W. Wagner and Claire Wagner against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages as the result of personal injuries sustained by Claire Wagner on or about September 26, 1935, on the east sidewalk of Polk street in front of the premises known as 1840 Polk street as the result of an alleged hole and/or defect in the sidewalk near the curb by the payment of the sum of six hundred ($600) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Martin Wagner and Claire Wagner, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of six hundred ($600) dollars.

Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2669, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund 1936-1937 to the following, being refunds of amounts paid erroneously as taxes, to-wit:

(1) To Joseph M. Wihr, Lot 46, Block 1498, Fiscal Year 1935..$53.20
(2) San Francisco Bank, Vol. 12, Bills 2421, 2422, 2423, Lots 33, 34, 35, Block 1672 ..... 49.14
(3) Western Loan Association, Vol. 20, Bill 849, Lot 28, Block 2840, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 66.64
(4) Emma L. Dacre, Vol. 21, Bill 2761, Lot 14, Block 3099, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 26.69
(5) Mary Rodriquez, Vol. 34, Bill 215, Lot 25, Block 5648, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 14.31
(6) The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, Vol. 35, Bill 334, Lot ½, Block 5837, Fiscal Year 1935..... 23.94
(7) Joan B. O'Donnell, as per Vol. 6, Lot 6, Block 986, of Real Estate Roll, Fiscal Year 1935-36 ..... 33.14

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Refund of Apartment House License Fees.**

(Code No. 9.058)

Also, Resolution No. 2670, as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller is authorized and directed to reimburse the apartment house owners who paid license fees in advance under previous License Ordinance (Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Section 12). The list of said apartment house owners was prepared

by the Tax Collector and checked by the Controller and the amounts found to be correct. Total amount $85. Said reimbursements to be made as per list prepared by the Controller and filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on June 30, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Confirming Sale of City Land on Portola Drive to J. M. Crogan Et Ux.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Resolution No. 2671, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17295, Bill No. 1009, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on June 24, 1936, for the sale of certain City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point distant 170 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street and 196.346 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Burnham street; thence westerly, parallel with said line of Twenty-fourth street, 6.804 feet to the proposed easterly line of Portola drive; thence southerly along said proposed line, on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 113 degrees 44 minutes 35 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 500 feet, central angle 13 degrees 48 minutes 06 seconds, 120.442 feet to a point distant 285 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fourth street and 168.338 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Burnham street; thence deflecting 80 degrees 03 minutes 31 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running easterly, parallel with said line of Twenty-fourth street, 2.692 feet; thence deflecting 94 degrees to the left and running northerly 42.081 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 135 feet, central angle 33 degrees 30 minutes, 78.933 feet; thence northwesterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 0.323 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 3, Block 14 of Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 136, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from J. M. Crogan et ux., to purchase said land for the sum of $60, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, J. M. Crogan et ux., have deposited the sum of $30 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $60 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $60; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said parcel for the said sum of $60; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to J. M. Crogan and Hazel Crogan, his wife. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Form approved by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Salary Ordinance Amendment—Lecturer, Park Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1050, Ordinance No. 9.05380, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance 9.05380 by adding Item 24 to Section 21 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director | $ 591.66 |
| 2 | 1 | | Supervisor of Exhibits | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Recorder | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | | Secretary to Director | 175 |
| 5 | 2 | | Museum Instructor | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | | Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | | Assistant Museum Instructor | 110 |
| 8 | 1 | | Stenographer | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | | Head Gallery Man | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | | Labeller | 140 |
| 11 | 1 | | Clerk | 110 |
| 12 | 1 | | Mechanic | 190 |
| 13 | 1 | | Assistant Mechanic | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | | Janitor | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | | Assistant Janitor | 125 |
| 16 | 1 | | Head Caretaker | 100 |
| 17 | 4 | | Caretaker | 90 |
| 18 | 1 | | Secretary Board of Trustees | 240 |
| 19 | 10 | | Gallery Man | 125 |
| | 1 | | Carpenter, $5.50 per day | |
| 20 | 3 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 21 | 1 | | Curator of Prints | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Assistant Head Gallery Man | 150 |
| 23 | 1 | | Expert Repairman | 150 |
| 24 | 1 | | Lecturer, $10 per Sunday | |

*Explanatory.*

Item 24 was omitted by error from the Salary Ordinance originally adopted but appropriation for the employment was included in the budget estimates and appropriation ordinance. Adoption of this amendment will correct the error.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over until next meeting*:

Appropriating $125 From Emergency Reserve, Appropriation 602.900.00, for Burial of Mary Watson, Widow of Civil War Veteran.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1051, Ordinance No. 9.051284, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $125 from Emergency Reserve Appropria-

tion No. 602.900.00 for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of Civil War veteran.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $125 is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of Civil War veteran.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Ordering an Audit of the Controller's Books.**

(Code No. 9.061)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2676, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of Section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books and accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1935-36, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros. and Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: For supervising accountant, $50 per day; for senior accountant, $25 per day; for junior accountant, $15 per day; together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of $5000 and shall be paid from Appropriation No. 601.248.00, Fiscal Year 1936-37, Appropriation Ordinance.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill, amended by striking out the emergency clause, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Appropriation $65,105 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor for Month of July, 1936, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1052, Ordinance No. 9.051285, as follows:

Appropriating $65,105 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the mnoth of July, 1936, and

authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $65,105 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of July, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved and /or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the disbursement of funds for the relief of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Mead, the following bill was *laid over two weeks*, pending opinion of City Attorney as to whether, if legislation to be presented to State Legislature repealing provisions requiring persons aided by City to file lien fails of enactment, then can person seeking pension tender lien and receive aid, to-wit:

**Providing for the Reimbursement of City and County of San Francisco for Aid Granted Persons Either Directly or by Institution Care, Etc.**

(Code No. 18.01)

Bill No. 1014, Ordinance No. 18.013, as follows:

Providing for the reimbursement of the City and County of San Francisco for aid granted to persons, either directly or by institutional care, and directing the officer, board or commission giving or granting such aid, either directly or by institutional care, to provide for the reimbursement of the City and County for the amount of aid so granted and directing the taking of obligations from the person or persons receiving said aid for the reimbursement of the City and County for said aid so granted.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every person who is given or shall receive aid directly or indirectly from public moneys drawn through the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco shall be liable for the value of said aid so allowed, granted, or given, and the value thereof shall constitute a first lien on all property, real, personal, or mixed, that said person may possess, acquire, or have an interest in.

Section 2. The actual cost of direct or indirect aid shall constitute its value. The Board of Supervisors shall not later than the last Monday of August of each year establish by resolution a basic rate for evaluating institutional care allowed, granted, or given to persons at the Laguna Honda Home, and the rate so established shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said charge and the re-

sulting amount which shall be due to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. As a consideration and as a condition precedent to the allowing, granting or giving of aid, the officer, board or commission charged with the duty of allowing, granting or giving of aid shall take from every person now receiving aid and from every person who may hereafter be allowed, granted, or given aid the following agreement:

"AGREEMENT TO REIMBURSE FOR MONEYS EXPENDED OR AID GRANTED OR GIVEN."

"In consideration of the granting of aid to me by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a condition precedent thereto, I hereby pledge, promise and agree to reimbuse and repay said City and County for all sums of money actually expended in my behalf or aid granted or given by the City and County of San Francisco for my care and maintenance, provided I am able to pay for the same in whole or in part, and I further agree that any claim for such moneys expended in my behalf or aid granted or given me by said City and County shall be a preferred claim against me and a first lien on all property now owned or possessed by me or that may hereafter be acquired by me or in my behalf.

"Statute of Limitations is hereby forever waived.

"This agreement is binding upon myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and assigns.

"Dated this.....day of....., 193....

.....

Signature of Applicant in Full Residing at:

"Witness:

..... ......"

Section 3a. Provided, however, that the form of the lien to be taken in connection with aid to the needy aged shall be as prescribed by the State Department of Social Welfare.

Section 4. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall prescribe the procedure governing the evaluation of institutional care at the Laguna Honda Home, the auditing, accounting, reporting and collecting of all obligations arising under this ordinance in accordance with the provisions of Sections 64 and 75 of the Charter.

Section 5. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently or designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his property or financial condition, means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly, or through any agency whatsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon respecting his property, or financial condition, means or ability to pay of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting for the purposes recited in this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $500, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be liable for the full value of the aid thus fraudulently obtained.

*June* 8, 1936—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Mead, the following resolution was *laid over until Thursday, July* 9, 1936:

**Resolution of Intention for Bond Issue, for Construction of Courts Building.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 2677, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand

the construction and furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building to be erected in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal Courts of the City and County of San Francisco and for other courts which may be hereafter created, and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other Officers and Agencies of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and futher declaring that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand that more adequate housing facilities be afforded the Courts and other Agencies of the City and County of San Francisco and that to meet such purpose the said City and County shall construct and furnish a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $3,220,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $3,220,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $3,220,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller; Alfred J. Cleavy, Chief Administrative Officer, and Wm. J. Wolden, Director of Public Works.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

## THEATRES, MOVING PICTURE HOUSES AND PLACES OF PUBLIC ASSEMBLY.

(Code No. 11.11.)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1059, Ordinance No. 11.113.

An ordinance regulating the installation and operation of all electrical apparatus and appliances used in the conduction, operation and maintenance of moving picture exhibitions and theatres, emergency lighting, aisle lighting, emergency service, exit signs for such theatres, moving picture exhibitions, auditoriums, assembly halls, dance halls, banquet halls, skating rinks, lodge

halls, hotels, schools and churches in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the registration and issuance and revocation of certificates of registration for motion picture projectionists; providing for renewal of certificate; providing for expiration of certificate and fee; prohibiting projectionist under certain age from working, and prohibiting employment of projectionist under certain age; authorizing the Department of Electricity to enforce the provisions of this ordinance; providing penalties for the violation thereof; providing for right of entry by employe of Department of Electricity; non-liability of City for damages; and repealing Ordinances No. 1510 (New Series) and No. 1543 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

SEC. 1. **Permit Required to Install Projectors.** It shall be unlawful to operate or install any portable type of motion picture equipment using film other than the slow burning type (cellulose, acetate or equivalent) unless said equipment is inspected by the Department of Electricity previous to its operation and a permit to operate said machine has been issued by the Chief of the Department.

SEC. 2. **Registration of Motion Picture Projectionists.** After October 1, 1936, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate and maintain any professional type of motion picture equipment using film other than of the slow burning type, as defined in Article 35 of the National Electric Code, unless the projectionist is registered with the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2a. **Registration Requirements.** Before any motion picture projectionist shall become registered he shall submit on forms furnished by the Department, sworn statements giving not less than six (6) months' experience in motion picture operation and machine maintenance.

SEC. 2b. **Issuance of Certificate.** When an applicant for registration as a motion picture projectionist has supplied sworn statements giving the necessary information required in Section 2a, a Certificate of Registration as a Motion Picture Projectionist shall be issued to him by the Chief.

SEC. 2c. **Renewal of Registration Certificates.** Certificates of registration issued to motion picture projectionists shall be renewed on or before the first day of each calendar year.

SEC. 2d. **Expiration of Registrations.** Unless certificates of registration as a motion picture projectionist are renewed within thirty (30) days after the date of expiration of said certificates, the holder of same shall be required to pay a fee of five dollars ($5.00) before a new certificate shall be issued to him. It shall be unlawful to operate or maintain any motion picture machines or equipment unless the operator is the holder of an uncancelled or unexpired certificate of registration.

SEC. 3. **Temporary Registration Certificates.**

The Department shall issue temporary registration certificates to competent motion picture projectionists working for or with any traveling picture exhibition, where the work of said projectionist is necessary for the successful operation of the traveling motion picture equipment. Said temporary registration certificate shall not be issued for a period exceeding thirty (30) days. The applicant shall show proof of his experience and ability to operate the types of equipment specified in his application for said temporary registration certificate.

SEC. 4. **Emergency Registration Certificates.** If at any time due to circum-

stances beyond their control, it is impossible for an employer to secure the services of a registered motion picture projectionist, said employer shall submit in writing an application for a temporary registration certificate for the temporary employment of a motion picture projectionist. If said temporary employee shall pass an examination as shall test his ability to operate and maintain motion picture machines and equipment, to the satisfaction of the Chief of the Department, the Chief shall have the power to issue a temporary registration certificate for a period not exceeding thirty (30) days.

SEC. 5. **Employment of Minor Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to employ for the purpose of operating a motion picture machine in any place of public assemblage, any person who is under twenty-one (21) years of age.

SEC. 6. **Operation by Minor Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, who is under 21 years of age, to operate any professional type motion picture machine or equipment in any place of public assemblage.

SEC. 7. **Wiring in Theatres and Moving Picture Houses.** On and after the passage of this ordinance, all electrical materials, appliances, and apparatus, installed, operated or maintained in, on or about any theatre or motion picture house shall conform to the requirements of the State Safety Orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and the National Electric Code as approved by the American Standards Association, except as such orders and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided for in this ordinance.

SEC. 8. **Emergency Lighting.** Emergency lighting shall mean and include exit lights, aisle lights, and all lighting necessary to properly illuminate lobbies, stairways, corridors and other portions of the theatre, or other places of public assembly as herein classified, to which the public have access and which are normally kept lighted during a performance. Emergency lighting shall be arranged to assure continued illumination of the auditorium, and all exits leading therefrom in cases of emergency caused by failure of the general lighting source of energy supply.

SEC. 8a. **Emergency Lighting Intensities.** There shall be provided sufficient illumination to permit all persons to safely traverse the occupied areas. The minimum average intensity of emergency illumination, except for auditoriums, shall be not less than ¼ watt per square foot; for auditoriums, not less than a minimum of ⅛ watt per square foot of floor area shall be installed.

SEC. 8b. **Aisle Lighting Required.** In theatres and motion picture houses additional lights supplied by the emergency system shall be so placed within, or adjacent to, the main aisles as to thoroughly illuminate them.

SEC. 9. **Emergency Service Classes, Classifications.** The provisions of this section shall apply to all systems supplying emergency illumination. The classification of emergency services for occupancies described herein is as follows:

SEC. 9a. Theatres, motion picture houses, opera houses, and auditoriums having a seating capacity in excess of 1000 persons, shall be supplied by a "Class 1" emergency service.

SEC. 9b. Theatres, motion picture houses, opera houses, and auditoriums having a seating capacity not in excess of 1000 persons, shall be supplied by a "Class 1" or "Class 2" emergency service.

SEC. 9c. Assembly halls, dance halls, banquet halls, skating rinks, lodge halls, churches, school auditoriums, any assembly hall used for religious serv-

ices, or for the purposes of instruction, or entertainment, and having a seating capacity in excess of 500 persons, shall have "Class 1" emergency service.

SEC. 9d. For the types of occupancies designated in Section 9, and having a seating capacity not in excess of 500 persons, "Class 1" or "Class 2" emergency service shall be installed.

SEC. 10. **Emergency Service Classes.** The different classes of emergency service shall be as follows:

SEC. 10a. "Class 1" shall mean the installation of emergency lighting on an individual lighting service, electrically separated from the general lighting services. For metering purposes only, the emergency lighting feeder may be connected to the general lighting bus, provided a double throw, selective service type of automatic switch or circuit breaker is installed and so arranged that the emergency lighting feeder is automatically transferred to the emergency lighting service upon failure of the general lighting service.

SEC. 10b. "Class 2" emergency service shall mean the installation of the emergency service switch on the line side of the general lighting service switch. Under no condition can the emergency lighting feeders of "Class 2" emergency services be connected to the bus bars on the load side of the general lighting meter.

SEC. 11. **Capacity of Emergency Service and Feeders.** The service, sub-service and feeder conductors supplying emergency lighting shall be installed to provide for (100%) one hundred per cent of the total electrical load connected thereto.

SEC. 12. **Emergency System in Separate Raceways.** Emergency lighting service, sub-service feeder and circuits shall not be installed in the same conduit or raceway with any conductors supplied from the general lighting system.

SEC. 12a. **Emergency lighting** and general lighting circuits may be installed in the same outlet box with the general lighting circuits only when said outlet supplies both emergency and general lighting.

SEC. 13. **Emergency Feeder and Panel Installation.** From the emergency service switch location the emergency feeder shall run to an approved non-fused type externally operable disconnect switch and panel-board located in the lobby or other convenient location in the front of the house. The emergency panel disconnect switch shall be installed as part of the emergency panel or may be installed adjacent thereto.

SEC. 14. **Control of Emergency Lighting Circuits and Outlets.** Lighting outlets installed on the exterior of a building for the purposes of illuminating outside stairways, fire escapes, and passageways, when there is not sufficient daylight, may be separately controlled from the emergency panel-board by a switch or by an automatic light actuated device approved for the purpose. No other switches shall be installed at any location on emergency circuits or in the circuits of an emergency panel-board. Unless, as specified above, no other switch or control device shall be inserted in the wiring of an emergency system other than a switch at the main service location, the panel-board disconnect switch, or the automatic switch on the control panel for special current source.

SEC. 14a. **No Portion of the Emergency Lighting System** shall be controlled from the projection room or stage location.

SEC. 15. **Exit Signs Required.** At each exit in every theatre, motion picture house, auditorium, dance hall or assembly hall there shall be installed

an approved type of exit sign light. Each exit sign light shall be located not more than 24 inches above the top of the exit opening, said distance to be measured to the bottom of the exit light sign. All of the lamps in each exit light sign shall be illuminated before the public enters the building and shall be kept illuminated until after the public has left the building.

SEC. 16. **Construction of Exit Light Signs.** Exit light signs shall be constructed of not less than No. 16 U. S. standard gauge metal and shall have a green glass front. A metal mat or face having letters cut through such mat or face shall be installed in front or back of said glass panel.

SEC. 16a. Every exit sign shall show the word "Exit" thereon in plainly legible green letters not less than 6 inches high, with the principal strokes of such letters not less than ⅝-inch in width. Electric signs indicating directions of exits need not conform to the requirements of this section.

SEC. 16b. Each exit sign shall contain not less than two lamp receptacles. Each receptacle shall contain a lamp of not less than 15 watts. Both lamp receptacles shall be connected to the emergency system, or one lamp receptacle shall be connected to the emergency lighting circuit and the other lamp receptacle to the general lighting circuit.

SEC. 17. **Installation Requirements.** All electrical wiring shall be installed in accordance with the requirements of existing law and the supplementary electrical installation rules and orders of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 18. **House Light Control From Projection Room.** Where the general lighting for the house is controlled from the projection room an additional control arrangement shall be installed in a location in the front part of the house.

SEC. 18a. **Installation of Dimmers.** Where dimmers are installed and controlled from the projection room, the dimmers shall be so installed that the operator can easily control same without leaving the projection room. No dimmer plates or reactance dimmers shall be installed in the projection room.

SEC. 18b. **Dimmers Controlled From Projection Room.** Where dimmers are installed in house lighting circuits that are controlled from the projection room, the control arrangement located in the front of the house shall automatically by-pass the dimmer circuits when the control switch is actuated.

SEC. 18c. **Dimmers Mechanically Controlled.** Where dimmers are mechanically controlled from the projection room, the dimmer control rods shall pass through an opening so designed that the space through which flame or smoke can pass shall be a minimum and shall not exceed twice the cross-sectional area of the rod.

SEC. 18d. **No Fuses, Etc., Allowed in Projection Room.** No fuses or overcurrent protective devices for the house lighting circuits shall be installed in the projection room.

SEC. 19. **Projection Room Ventilating Fan.** Ventilating fan motors installed for the ventilating of the projection room shall be of a type that can be and shall be installed on the emergency lighting system. The control for the projection room exhaust fan shall be installed outside of the projection room, as near as possible to the entrance of the room. No switch or fuses for the projection room ventilating fan shall be installed in the projection room.

SEC 20. **Projection Room Equipment Wiring.** Each appliance or device

installed in the projection room that consumes or generates more than 1000 watts shall be placed upon a separate circuit.

SEC. 20a. **Motor Installation.** Each motor greater than ¼ h. p. shall be connected to a separate circuit with approved overload protective devices.

SEC. 20b. **Arc Lamp Installation.** Each arc lamp shall be installed upon a separate circuit and shall have the capacity of conductors and their approved overload protective devices installed for 50% in excess of the normal current of the lamp.

SEC. 20c. **Motors, Etc., Separate Room.** Motor generators, arc rectifiers, current rectifiers, storage batteries, filters, impedances and resistances, etc., shall be installed in a ventilated room adjoining the projection room with a self-closing door between so that the apparatus is under the immediate supervision of the operator.

SEC. 20d. **Motor Control.** Motors installed either in the projection room or on the stage that are greater in size than ¼ h. p. 110 volts or for any size of 220-volt motor shall not be controlled by means of three-way and four-way switches carrying the motor current. Approved magnetic switches or equivalent means shall be installed to interrupt the motor current and three-way or four-way switches may be installed in the magnetic circuit.

SEC. 20e. **Cords in Projection Room.** All cords installed within a projection room shall be of armored cord or reinforced cord.

SEC. 20f. **Lamps in Projection Room.** All lamps in the projection room, unless installed within an enclosing globe, shall be equipped with guards.

SEC. 20g. **Protective Devices in Projection Room.** The circuits and approved overload protective devices for all equipment in the projection room shall be installed in an approved dead front externally operated panel-board located in the said room. This does not apply to the individual disconnect switch mounted on the projector equipment.

SEC. 20h. **Protective Devices for Feeder Motors.** Where small carbon arc feeder motors are installed and connected to arc circuit conductors, each motor shall have its approved overload protective devices.

SEC. 21. **Switches and Fuses in Projection Room.** All switches in the projection room shall be of approved enclosed, externally operated type. An approved disconnecting means shall be installed ahead of each overload protective device. Double throw switches used to connect circuits to either of two sources of supply shall be so installed that the blades of the switch shall be connected in the circuit on the line side of the overload protective devices unless an additional switch is installed directly ahead of the overload protective devices on each source of supply.

SEC. 22. **Accessibility of Projection Room.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to keep locked during the hours in which the theatre is open to the public, the door or entrance to the projection room.

SEC. 23. **Dressing Room Switches Required.** Wall switches shall be installed for the control of all lights in dressing rooms.

SEC. 23a. **Bracket, Etc., Lamps to Be Protected.** All bracket or cord pendant lamps shall be protected by approved lamp guards, sealed or locked in place.

SEC. 23b. **Installation of Bracket Lamps.** Where bracket lights are in-

talled over dressing tables, one convenience outlet receptacle shall be installed or every four bracket lamps. The plug receptacle shall be connected to circuits that are separate from the lighting.

SEC. 24. **Enforcement of Ordinance.** The Department of Electricity of he City and County of San Francisco shall be responsible for the enforcement f this ordinance.

SEC. 25. **Right of Entry.** Upon the display of his credentials at any time he representative of the Department of Electricity shall have the right to nter any building in the discharge of his official duties, or for the purpose of naking any inspection or test of the installation of electrical wiring or equipnent and devices contained therein.

SEC. 26. **Non-Liability of City for Damages.** Nothing in this ordinance hall be construed to relieve from or lessen the liability of any person, firm r corporation owning, operating or installing any electrical work, or causing he same or any part thereof to be done for damages to anyone injured by ny defect therein or any negligent doing or installation thereof, nor shall he City and County or any agent thereof be held liable therefor by reason f any inspection herein authorized and made by reason of such authority, r by reason of the certificate of inspection and approval issued by the Departnent.

SEC. 27. **Penalty for Violation of Ordinance.** Any person, firm or corporaion who violates, disobeys, omits, neglects or refuses to comply with, or resists r opposes the execution of, or violates any of the provisions of this ordinance, hall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500.00) dollars, or by imrisonment of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonnent, and every person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a seprate offense for each day such violation shall continue, and shall be subject o the penalty imposed by this section for each and every separate offense.

SEC. 28. **Validity of Ordinance.** If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions f this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

SEC. 29. Ordinances No. 1510 (New Series), No. 1543 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

### Amendment to Building Law—Chimneys, Flues, Etc.

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 1034, Ordinance No. 11.0814, as follows:

Amending Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series) entitled: "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings. Establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict

with this ordinance", and adding a new section thereto to be numbered 245(A), relating to chimneys, flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 242. All chimneys and flues hereafter constructed, except as provided in Sections 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 hereof referring to patent chimneys and chimneys, flues or vents for gas appliances, shall be of brick or stone, or may be of concrete when in concrete walls; their enclosing walls shall be not less than four (4) inches thick, and shall, if less than eight (8) inches thick, be lined on the inside with well-burnt clay or terra cotta pipe not less than ¾ of an inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 3x7 inches, 3x11½ inches, 7x7 inches and 7x11½ inches, and one inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 2½x15 inches, 6½x15 inches, 11x11 inches, 11x15 inches and 15x15 inches. Said lining shall start from the bottom of the flue or the throat of a fireplace, be continuous to the top of the flue, and shall be built in first and bricked around as carried up. Flues where lining is not required by this ordinance shall have the joints struck smooth on the inside, and, if less than eight (8) inches thick, shall be smoothly plastered for the entire height on the outside.

No smoke flue shall be less than 7 by 7 inches in the clear, and such sized flue shall have but one inlet; for two inlets the flue shall be not less than 7 by 11½ inches in the clear; for three inlets not less than 6½ by 15 inches in the clear, and for a larger number of inlets the size shall be increased in same proportion. Flues larger than two hundred square inches and less than five hundred square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than eight inches thick; flues larger than five hundred and less than one thousand square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than twelve inches thick to a height of fifteen feet above the inlet, and eight inches thick the remaining height; flues larger than one thousand square inches shall be proportionately increased in size and shall be lined with fire brick for at least twenty feet above the inlet.

Bakery oven flues shall be not less than 12 by 12 inches in the clear and shall be surrounded by brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick.

The inside four inches of all boiler flues for boilers of over twenty-five horsepower shall be of fire brick, laid in fire mortar, for a distance of twenty-five feet in any direction from the source of heat.

Chimneys and stacks connected with steam boilers shall extend not less than ten feet above the woodwork of the roof, or any adjacent roof, and if sawdust, shavings or wood are burned, shall extend twenty feet above such roofs and be provided with a spark arrester. Spark arresters shall be placed upon all chimneys and stacks whenever the Director of Public Works deems it necessary for the safety of property.

Chimneys and flues from boilers, restaurants and hotel ranges, bakers' ovens and similar unusually hot flues, shall have the outside exposed throughout the height of the room in which connection therewith is made, and if plastered shall be plastered directly upon the bricks.

All chimneys having a greater flue area than two hundred and sixty (260) square inches shall be carried up at least ten feet above the highest point of the roof of the building of which they form a part, and ten feet above the highest point of any roof within fifty feet of such chimney.

Where a smoke pipe is to enter a chimney or flue a tile thimble not less than ⅝ of an inch thick shall be placed as construction progresses. Thimbles shall be surrounded by four inches of brick work brought out flush with furring, and shall extend to the face of the plastering

and not be nearer than six inches to any wood, lath and plaster. No tile pipe shall be used as a smoke pipe in connection with such thimbles.

Chimneys not part of a wall shall not be built upon any floor or beam of wood, but shall be built from the ground up and shall not increase in size from the foundation. No chimney shall be corbelled out more than eight inches from a wall, and corbelling shall consist of at least five courses of brick, but no corbelling shall be more than four inches in twelve-inch walls. Offsets for reducing the size of chimneys shall not be greater than one inch to each course.

Flues in party walls shall not extend within four inches of the center of the wall, and joint flues in party walls shall be separated across the wall by an eight-inch width of brick work for the entire length.

No joist or girder shall be supported on the walls of any chimney or flue, and no woodwork shall be placed nearer than two inches to the outside face of, or within seven inches of the inside of any smoke, air or other flue.

All wood joists shall be trimmed away at least two inches from any smoke, air or other flue; the trimmer beam shall not be less than eight inches from the inside of the flue, and four inches from the outside of a chimney breast; except that for smoke flues the brick work of which is by this ordinance required to be eight inches thick or more, the trimmer beam shall not be less than twelve inches from the inside of the flue.

Chimneys built outside of frame structures, or in light wells thereof, shall be well anchored, at intervals of not less than ten feet to the stud walls.

All chimneys and flues shall be properly cleaned and all rubbish removed and same left smooth on the inside on completion of the building.

Section 251. (a) No gas grate, gas log, or other appliance using gas or electricity for producing heat, except as otherwise ordained, shall be placed in a fireplace or recess unless such fireplace or recess be constructed as required in Sections 243 and 246 of this ordinance for fireplaces, the sides, back and top of which shall be of brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick; all pipes supplying gas thereto shall be of iron and enter only at the sides of fireplaces or recesses, through brick work. Gas grates, gas logs, or other appliances using gas or electricity for producing heat, not placed in a fireplace or recess shall have a clear and unenclosed space of not less than ten (10) inches between them and any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material, or a similar space of four (4) inches between them and any woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material protected with terra cotta or tiles one inch thick or with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material.

No vent shall be permitted other than a brick patent chimney, flue or vent as required by Sections 242, 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 of this ordinance.

(b) No gas range, or gas water heater, shall be placed nearer than twelve (12) inches to any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material, or nearer than six (6) inches thereto, if such woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material is protected with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and the woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material. No gas range or gas water heater shall be placed in any recess unless the front of the recess is either open or freely vented at top and bottom.

(c) Every instantaneous gas water heater shall be provided with a vent pipe not less than three (3) inches in diameter, extending clear through and at least twelve (12) inches above the roof, with

a "T" connection at the top; and around every such vent at all places not exposed there shall be a galvanized iron sleeve extending the full length of the concealed portion with a clear air space of not less than one (1) inch surrounding the vent. In every room fitted with an instantaneous gas water heater there shall be provided an air inlet independent of doors or windows.

(d) All low, portable gas stoves, gas plates, or heaters, shall be placed on iron stands or other incombustible bases, or the burners shall be at least six (6) inches above the base of the stove and metal guard plates placed four (4) inches below the burners; all woodwork under them shall be covered with metal or other incombustible material. All portable gas-heated stoves, ranges, kettles, gas plates or other gas-heated devices, shall be connected direct to their gas supply main only by iron pipe or flexible metal tubing.

Section 252(B). The provisions of Sections Nos. 244, 245 and 256 regulating and requiring chimneys, vents and flues shall not apply to the installation or maintenance of gas heat radiators, standing on the floor not less than five inches from any wooden or plaster wall.

All gas heat radiators shall be connected to a flue as provided for in Section 245(a), or to a brick or patent chimney or to a terra cotta flue of not less than 6 square inches in the clear, the walls of such flues to be not less than ½ inch in thickness and the joints to be made of galvanized iron sleeve not less than three inches wide with edges flanged outward at least ½ inch and the joints to be filled with cement; the entire flue encased in galvanized iron similar to the so-called patent flue with ½-inch air space all around between terra cotta and casing. This patent flue to be so sized that not less than six square inches in the clear will be permitted for four radiators or less, and in cases where more than four radiators are to be connected into the same flue the area is to be increased proportionately, said patent flue in all cases to extend to the outside and at least two feet above the roof of the building. Every gas heater of the radiator type shall be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe; the burner of said radiator shall be of the bunsen or atmospheric type; the radiator shall be made of cast iron, and when installed in any building shall not be closer than five inches to any wall or partition, and shall be connected to a flue, vent pipe or chimney of such size and material as is provided for herein, excepting where said radiator is so designed and constructed as to have a firebox or burner chamber containing the burner where combustion takes place, and also, having one or more super-heating chambers or secondary combustion chambers attached to or substantially surrounding said firebox containing said burner, and also having at the back of said radiator a retort for the purpose of purifying and humidifying the products of combustion before entering the room, said retort being attached to or made a part of said firebox and said super-heating or secondary combustion chambers.

Every gas heater of the fireplace or mantle type, having a bunsen or atmospheric burner, shall only be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe.

No gas heater of the portable stove type shall contain or be operated with bunsen or atmospheric burner, or be connected to the gas supply by flexible metal or rubber tubing, unless the stopcock is provided and placed back of said tubing at the point of the gas supply outlet.

Section 2. There is hereby added to Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, a new section to be numbered 245(A), and to read as follows:

Section 245(A). In lieu of brick, stone, or patent chimney, as specified, in Sections 242, 244, 244-A and 245, there may be erected for venting gas appliances only chimneys, flues, or vents which are constructed as follows:

"1. Fire-clay vent pipe, either round or rectangular, having a shell thickness of not less than one-half (½) inch, may be installed for

flues or vents which have an internal diameter of less than six (6) inches or an internal area of less than twenty-eight (28) square inches. Such fire-clay vent pipe having a shell thickness of not less than three-quarters (¾) inch may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of six (6) or more inches or an internal area of twenty-eight (28) or more square inches. The joints, between each length of pipe on other than the bell and spigot type of pipe, shall be covered with sheet metal sleeves or bands of galvanized iron or steel of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, held in place and well cemented to the ends of the pipe.

"2. *Transite* (a pipe composed of an intimate mixture of Portland cement and clean asbestos fibre, containing no grit, organic fibre, or other adulterants, and formed under pressure on a mandrel to provide a dense, homogeneous structure with a smooth interior surface having machine tapered ends and couplings which provide gas-tight joints). Gas flue pipe of the type known as transite, or equal, may be installed provided that the thickness of the flue material shall conform to the requirements of the State Housing Act of California.

"3. *Metalbestos* (an aluminum flue pipe encased in aluminum or galvanized iron pipe). Gas flue pipe of the type known as metalbestos, or equal, may be installed provided that the aluminum inside pipe shall be not lighter than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron (or aluminum) casing be not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge, and there shall be a minimum air space of not less than one-half (½) of an inch between the aluminum inside pipe and the casing, spacing to be accomplished by means of vitrified asbestos spacer rings.

"4. *Payne 'A' Vent* (a flue pipe composed of an inner aluminum tube around which is wound two plies of one-quarter (¼) inch corrugated air-cell asbestos. An outer casing of galvanized iron surrounds the aluminum pipe and insulation.) Gas flue pipe of the type known as Payne 'A' Vent, or equal, may be installed provided that for sizes of six (6) inches or less, inside diameter, the aluminum pipe shall be of not less than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, and for sizes in excess of six (6) inches, inside diameter, the aluminum inside pipe shall be of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-four (24) gauge.

"5. Any other durable flue pipe, approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, which will give an insulating value equal to the types as herein set forth and which will not disintegrate from the effects of gas fumes and other products of combustion.

"All flue pipes of the types as herein set forth shall rest upon an approved brick, tile, or concrete base or upon a substantial metal bracket securely fastened to the building. All such flue pipes shall be braced at least every five (5) feet of their height by means of substantial galvanized iron bands (not less than 16 gauge) securely fastened to the building and shall be so erected that the flue shall be not less than two (2) inches from any combustible material, except as hereinafter provided. Approved ventilated collars which will hold the flue pipe two (2) inches from any combustible material shall be installed at any point where the flue pipe passes through a wall, floor, or roof. All such flue pipes shall extend to a point not less than twenty-four (24) inches above any portion of the roof which is within fifteen (15) feet in a horizontal direction from the flue pipe. No flue shall terminate within a point three (3) feet above or ten (10) feet from any window or other opening designed to serve as a means of ventilation of any building or room therein, nor shall a flue terminate in any court or vent shaft which is designed or may be used to serve as a source of air for the ventilation of any building or room therein. All chimneys, flues, or vents to which gas appliances are connected shall be equipped with an approved top which will prevent back draft, keep out rain, and provide satisfactory draft for the proper operation of the appliances.

"Unless otherwise approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, any gas chimney, flue, or vent, as herein described, erected inside of a building, shall have placed around it a metal jacket of not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge galvanized iron, and constructed as provided for patent chimneys, and the minimum air space between the flue material and the casing shall be not less than one-half (½) inch.

"Any gas chimney, flue, or vent installed in partition spaces shall not be closer than one (1) inch from the studding or any other combustible material, unless such studding or combustible material is covered by metal lath and plaster.

"No round chimney, flue, or vent shall have an internal diameter of less than four (4) inches, and no square, rectangular, or oval flue shall have an internal area of less than twelve (12) square inches, nor shall any rectangular or oval flue have an internal dimension less than two (2) inches."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

### Amendment to Building Fee Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 1033, Ordinance No. 3.04163, as follows:

Amending Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120 entitled: "An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney," relating to flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The applicant or applicants for the following permits shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses in investigation of conditions and inspection of operations in connection with the same, fees as follows:

Permit for moving building, $2; permit for construction or alteration of billboard, $2; permit for erection, installation or alteration of chimney, flue or vent, $2.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of chimneys, flues or vents may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars, payable quarterly in advance, to the Central Permit Bureau, which bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee a quarterly permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimneys, flues or vents; provided, however, that the holder of such quarterly permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said Bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any chimney, flue or vent of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney, flue or vent; and, provided further, that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for canceling said permit.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

### Requiring Labels on Flues and Vents.

(Code No. 11.08)

Also, Bill No. 1057, Ordinance No. 11.0815, as follows:

Amending Section 244, of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled

"Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Buildings, Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings; Establishing Fire Limits, and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict With This Ordinance," *by requiring labels to be placed on new or repaired vents by the Bureau of Building Inspection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 244, of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Section 244. In lieu of the brick or stone chimney, as hereinbefore provided, there may be erected a chimney known as a Patent Chimney, for which a United States patent has been issued, and which has been approved by the Department of Public Works.

Every corporation, co-partnership or individual engaged in conducting the business of constructing, erecting, installing or repairing brick, stone or patent chimneys or fireplaces in the City and County of San Francisco, shall appear in person or by duly authorized representative at the office of the Department of Public Works, and shall register with the said Department of Public Works, the name and place of business in said City and County of said corporation, co-partnership or individual, and the person so appearing shall make and file with said Department of Public Works, his affidavit that such name and place of business as thus registered are correctly stated. Upon filing of said affidavit said Department of Public Works shall forthwith issue to said corporation co-partnership or individual a certificate of such registration provided that said certificate shall not be granted for more than the period of one fiscal year or portion thereof, in any case unexpired at the time of granting of the certificates. And no corporation, co-partnership or individual shall construct, install, erect or repair any patent chimney or fireplace connected with the patent chimney unless such certificate of registration shall have first been issued to such corporation, co-partnership or individual.

No heater, stove or range wherein coal, gas or coal oil or other fuel is consumed, shall be used unless the same be connected with a brick, stone or patent chimney except as provided for in Section 247 of the Building Ordinance.

Upon the completion or the erection or repair of any brick, stone or patent chimney or fireplace connected with a patent chimney, it shall be the duty of the corporation, co-partnership or individual who performs said work to notify the Department of Public Works of such completion, who shall at once cause the same to be inspected and if found in compliance with this ordinance shall make and deliver a certificate or report of such inspection to the corporation, co-partnership or individual that performed said work which certificate or report shall specify whether or not said work has been performed in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and if not in compliance therewith shall state wherein said work does not comply with the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works to keep on file in this office a duplicate of such certificate or report which shall at all times be subject and readily accessible to the inspection of the public.

*No gas flue pipe, gas vent, chimney, patent chimney, or fireplace connected with a patent chimney hereafter constructed, altered, or repaired shall be used until a label has been affixed to said gas flue pipe, gas vent, chimney, patent chimney, or fireplace connected with a patent chimney by said Department of Public Works certifying that said work has been done in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance; nor shall any gas flue pipe, gas vent, chimney, patent chimney, or fireplace connected*

*with a patent chimney be plastered over or otherwise enclosed in any building until such label shall have been so affixed.*

All patent chimneys shall be built up from the floor on which they are used, and in no case shall a stove pipe enter the bottom of a patent chimney, and when erected on the inside of a building they shall rest on an iron plate not less than one quarter of an inch in thickness covered by not less than 8 inches of brickwork, and shall contain a smoke proof opening near the bottom for cleaning purposes. Patent chimneys built on the inside of a building shall have an opening in the partition enclosing the chimney to permit the cleaning of same. If a patented chimney be erected on the outside of a building, it shall rest on a substantial iron bracket, not less than ¼ inch in thickness by 1¼ inches in width, and fastened to studding by two bolts not less than 5/16 inch in thickness, and nuts, screws, or lag screws shall not be allowed.

All patent chimneys shall be braced every five feet of their height by substantial iron bands securely fastened to the frame or studding or cross pieces of the building, which said bands shall not be less than ⅛ inch in thickness by ⅞ inch in width and so constructed that chimney does not come within 1 inch of any woodwork. In no case shall any patent chimney be suspended from any roof timber or floor beam. All joints must be cemented together with cement mortar and the bands covering the joints shall be made of No. 24 gauge galvanized iron, and to be riveted with not less than 2 rivets and space between bands and terra cotta pipe to be filled with cement mortar to make them smoke and soot proof. Each band to have not less than 3 No. 24 gauge, galvanized iron lugs riveted thereon.

The covering or casing of all patent chimneys shall be of No. 24 gauge galvanized iron riveted together at the lengthwise joint with rivets not more than 3 inches apart or may be seamed, and top and bottom of seams secured by rivets, and shall be ventilated by six holes not less than 1 inch in diameter, made close to the top of the chimney above roof, also six holes not less than ½ inch in diameter near inlet. The base or starting joint of galvanized iron casing or covering of patent chimney from fireplace shall have not less than eight (8) holes of not less than ¾ inch in diameter, not less than three (3) inches from bottom of said starting joint. The casing or covering shall be at least 2 inches from the inside of terra cotta pipe.

Where chimney passes through the roof the flange or roof collar to be of such capacity as to allow the casing of patent chimney to pass through said roof collar or flange and to fit snugly. A short and tapering casing made to slip over outside casing of chimney and roof collar so that casing above roof will conform with sides of casing or covering of chimney below roof collar.

No patent chimney shall be erected so that it will be less than 1 inch from all woodwork, and the openings in the roof and through each floor and ceiling through which it passes shall be closed around said chimney with an iron plate or other fireproof material. All patent chimneys projecting through a pitched roof six (6) feet or more shall be braced with not less than one iron rod or gas pipe, not less than ⅝ inch in diameter and said chimney to be also tied with not less than two guide wires to roof.

All pipe used for patent chimneys shall be composed of pure calcined clay not less than 1 inch in thickness.

No patent chimney shall have more than one inlet except that patent chimneys used or designed as vents, for gas stoves, gas ranges, or gas heaters, may have one terra cotta inlet not over 4 inches in diameter, for each floor, provided that the sizes of patent chimneys with which such inlets are connected shall be as follows:

Chimneys, 3 stories or less in height shall not be less than six (6) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 4 stories in height shall not be less than seven (7) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 5 stories in height shall not be less than eight (8) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 6 stories in height shall not be less than ten (10) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 7 stories or over in height shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in diameter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Authorizing Public Utilities Commission to Acquire or Construct Power Distribution System.**

(Code No. 15.032)

The following recommendation of Joint Public Utilities and Special Hetch Hetchy Power Committees was taken up:

Presented by Supervisor Havenner.

Bill No. 1056, Ordinance No. 15.0324, as follows:

Authorizing the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire by purchase, and/or by condemnation, or otherwise, and/or to construct, a public utility or any part thereof for the distribution of electric energy to the inhabitants and residents of the City and County of San Francisco or to the inhabitants and residents of other cities or of other counties, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of acquiring and/or constructing such utility to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations payable solely out of the revenue of such utility, and providing for the manner in which said bonds or other obligations payable solely out of revenue of said utility shall be issued, and for the payment of interest thereon and how the interest on, and the principal of said bonds or obligations shall be paid and for the procedure to be undertaken by said Public Utilities Commission and by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to authorize the issuance of said bonds or other obligations and for the payment of interest on and the principal of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, it is provided in Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that the Board of Supervisors of said City and County may, by ordinance, confer on any officer, board or commission such other and additional powers as the Board of Supervisors may deem advisable over and above and in addition to the powers conferred on said officer, board or commission by the Charter; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has, pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of supplying any public utility service to said City and County and to its inhabitants and/or to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors deems it advisable to confer upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the right to acquire by purchase, condemnation or construction a public utility for the purpose of distributing to the City and County of San Francisco and/or to parts thereof, and/or to other cities, counties and political subdivisions and/or to parts thereof, and to the inhabitants and residents of said City and County of San Francisco and/or to the inhabitants and residents of parts thereof, and/or to the inhabitants and residents of other cities, counties or political subdivisions electric energy for all purposes, and to accomplish said pur-

pose to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations evidencing said money so borrowed, which said money so borrowed and bonds or other obligations so issued, shall be repayable solely out of the revenue of said public utility.

Section 2. There is hereby conferred upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the following powers in addition to the powers heretofore or hereafter granted to said Public Utilities Commission by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or by other ordinances of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

1. Said Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco may acquire by purchase, and/or by condemnation, and/or by construction, a public utility for the purpose of supplying to the inhabitants and residents of the City and County of San Francisco, and/or to any part thereof, and/or to the inhabitants and residents of any other city or county or political subdivision, or any part thereof, or to the City and County of San Francisco, or to any other city or county or political subdivision, the electric energy for all purposes.

2. That for the purpose of acquiring, condemning or constructing, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of such acquisition, condemnation or construction, said Public Utilities Commission may, as hereinafter in this ordinance set forth, enter into obligations, borrow money and issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, and provide for the payment of such obligations, and for the repayment of money so borrowed, as well as for the payment of said bonds or other obligations, solely out of the revenue of said public utility so established, purchased, acquired, condemned or constructed.

3. For the accomplishment of the purposes hereinbefore set forth, that is, to establish, acquire, condemn or construct said public utility or part thereof for the distribution of said electric energy, as aforesaid, said Public Utilities Commission shall make a report to the Board of Supervisors of the size, character and kind of electric distribution system which it desires to establish, acquire, condemn or construct in whole or in part, and the city and county, city or county, or political subdivision, or particular parts thereof, which it will serve or tend to serve, together with an estimate of the cost thereof, as well as an estimate of the probable period of time which will be consumed in establishing, acquiring, condemning or constructing said utility, as well as the manner in which the same will be established, acquired or constructed, as well as the manner in which the cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility will be met. Said report shall also show in detail the estimated net annual revenue from said utility after deducting the cost of operation and maintenance, repairs and reconstruction, depreciation, and all other charges including interest and redemption charges or any moneys expended for the establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility.

4. Upon receiving said report, the Board of Supervisors shall examine the same, and for said purpose may employ engineers, accountants and other experts to aid in said examination, and if after said examination and the report of said engineers, accountants or other experts, the said Board of Supervisors is satisfied that it will be for the benefit and best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that said utility should be established, acquired or constructed, as originally reported, or as amended by said Public Utilities Commission, said Board of Supervisors shall, by resolution, approve said report and recommend that said utility be established, acquired or constructed as set forth in said report.

5. Thereafter the said Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance authorize and empower said Public Utilities Commission to establish, and/or acquire, and/or construct said utility or part thereof as recommended or set forth in said report, and shall by said ordinance, or by a separate ordinance, or by separate ordinances, authorize said Public

Utilities Commission to enter into such obligations, or to borrow such money, or moneys, or to issue such bonds or other obligations as may be necessary to defray the necessary cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility, including the estimated amount necessary to pay the interest on any money so borrowed or bonds or other obligations so issued during the period of acquisition or construction of said utility for twelve months thereafter.

6. Said bonds or other obligations so authorized to be issued shall be executed by said Public Utilities Commission, by its president and secretary, and shall be in such form and of such denomination, shall be payable at such times, and shall contain such conditions as the said Board of Supervisors in said ordinance may provide, provided that said bonds or other obligations shall be so conditioned that they will not bear interest at a rate higher than six per cent per annum payable semi-annually. Any bonds or other obligations authorized as herein provided, as well as the interest thereon, shall be payable wholly and exclusively out of the net revenue arising from the operation of the utility for which they shall have been authorized after the payment of all operating expenses of said utility, including pension and retirement charges, all amounts necessary for the repair and maintenance of the property of said utility and all amounts necessary to provide for a proper fund to meet the depreciation on said utility. No part of the amount of said bonds or of the interest thereon shall be payable from moneys raised from taxes levied against property or from any other source whatsoever, save and except from the revenues of said utility for the establishment, acquisition or construction of which they may have been issued, and then only in the manner hereinbefore set forth, and said bonds or other obligations shall so state upon their face or by endorsement thereon.

7. The Public Utilities Commission shall have full power and authority to determine and provide for the times at which, and the manner in which, said bonds or other obligations shall be sold and disposed of, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues.

8. The proceeds of all bonds or other obligations sold by said Public Utilities Commission shall be forthwith placed in the Treasury of the City and County and shall only be used for the purposes for which they may have been sold and authorized.

Section 3. Any utility established, acquired or constructed by said Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to or under authority of this ordinance, as well as the income therefrom, shall be subject to all of the provisions of the Charter governing the operation of existing utilities.

Section 4. At the time the Board of Supervisors authorizes the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the issuing of any bonds or other obligations, said Board may, in the ordinance or ordinances authorizing the incurring of said obligation, the borrowing of said money or the issuing of said bonds or obligations, add such additional provisions as it may deem proper relative to the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the issuance of any bonds or obligations, or as to the conduct, operation, establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility, save and except that no such provision shall be contrary to any Charter provision on the subject, nor shall any provision be made for the payment of any money, bond, or obligation except from the revenue of the utility authorized to be established.

Section 5. Any ordinance of the Board of Supervisors authorizing the borrowing of any money, or the issuance of any bonds or other obligations evidencing the amount or amounts of money so borrowed, shall provide that the Public Utilities Commission shall, at all times

while said bonds are outstanding or any other indebtedness authorized by said ordinance remains unpaid, provide and maintain such rates for the service furnished by said utility, so that the said rates will be sufficient to provide for the payment of the principal sum of said indebtedness and the interest to accrue thereon, in accordance with the terms of said bonds or other obligations, in addition to all other expenses incident to the operation or maintenance of said utility, and said ordinance shall also provide that the Board of Supervisors shall approve such rates, and when said ordinance is so passed, the same shall constitute a binding contract between all holders of said bonds or other obligations and said Public Utilities Commission to so maintain said rate.

Section 6. Whenever the words "bond or bonds," "obligation or obligations" are used in this ordinance, the said words shall mean bonds or obligations payable solely, both as to principal and interest, out of the revenue of the said utility wherein or wherefor they may have been issued. The word "indebtedness," as used in this ordinance, shall mean indebtedness repayable solely out of the revenue of the said utility for which said indebtedness was created.

### Privilege of the Floor.

M. Nurritt, representing the Chamber of Commerce, was heard in opposition.

### Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Brown proposed an amendment to Section 5 of the ordinance whereby the ordinance shall be adopted by a three-fourths vote of all the members of the Board of Supervisors, and the City Attorney supplied the following language, to be inserted at the end of Section 5, to-wit:

"All ordinances provided for in this section shall be enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the Board of Supervisors."

The amendment was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

### Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the ordinance as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

### Rereferred.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee was, on motion, *rereferred to the Streets Committee*:

### Intention to Close a Portion of Congo Street From Mangels Avenue Northerly 36.064 Feet.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2672, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Congo street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Congo street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Congo street with the northerly line of Mangels avenue; thence northerly

along said line of Congo street 36.064 feet; thence deflecting 90 degrees 01 minute to the left and running westerly 19.50 feet; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes to the left and running southerly 36.058 feet to the northerly line of Mangels avenue; thence easterly along said line of Mangels avenue 19.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Congo street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Congo street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

### Establishing Grades on Conklin Street Between Silver Avenue and Its Northerly Termination.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1053, Ordinance No. 12.0719, as follows:

Establishing grades on Conklin street between Silver avenue and its northerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Conklin street between Silver avenue and its northerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office June 23, 1936.

*Conklin Street.*

Westerly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 100.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Easterly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 104.00 feet (the same being the present official grade).

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 46.29 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 104.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 120 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 109.04 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 170 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 113.46 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 220 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 119.91 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 381.50 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 144.00 feet.

On Conklin street between Silver avenue and its northerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Grove Street Between Market and Larkin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1054, Ordinance No. 12.073180, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and seventy-eight (1178).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 23rd, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and seventy-eight (1178) to read as follows:

Section 1178: The width of sidewalks on Grove street between Market street and Larkin street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grove street, the southerly side of, between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue, shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grove street, the northerly side of, between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue, shall be 22 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Grove Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1055, Ordinance No. 12.073181, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-Seven (1047) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 23, 1936, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-Seven (1047) thereof to read as follows:

Section 1047. The width of sidewalks on Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Approving List of Projects to Be Improved Out of "City Street ¼c Gas Tax Fund."**

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2675, as follows:

Resolved, That the attached list of projects (being Seventh avenue, Lincoln way to Noriega street and four other items) proposed to be improved with funds allocated by Section 194 of Senate Bill No. 561, Statutes of 1935, known as "City Street One-Quarter Cent Gas Fund," for fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, be approved, to-wit:

Seventh avenue, Lincoln way to Noriega street;

Ocean avenue, San Jose avenue to Nineteenth avenue;
Third and Channel streets bridge;
Sixth and Channel streets bridge;
Third street and Islais Creek bridge.

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute said project statement for and in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and to transmit same to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2673, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing July 20, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Leave of Absence, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, San Francisco Fire Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2674, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, San Francisco Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 18, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Arthur E. Curtis, Director, Department of Finance and Records.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2680, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Arthur E. Curtis, Director, Department of Finance and Records, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fourteen days, commencing July 12, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Leave of Absence—Charles A. Derry, Member, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2681, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Charles A. Derry, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing July 9, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, amended by striking out the emergency clause, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $243,000 for Alemany Boulevard Sewer.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1058, Ordinance No. 9.051286, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $243,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund, PWA Docket No. 1401, to the credit of Appropriation No. 856.000.00 for the construction of Alemany boulevard sewer extension, sections one and two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $243,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund, PWA Docket No. 1401, to the credit of Appropriation No. 856.000.00, for the construction of Alemany boulevard sewer extension, sections one and two.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Wm. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

**Old-Age Pension, Agatha Bauquier.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Whereas, Agatha Bauquier, residing at Forty-fifth avenue, San Francisco, made application for old-age pension five years ago; and

Whereas, the Director of the County Welfare Department, Miss Eugenie Schenk, has held up the granting of said pension on the grounds of citizenship; and

Whereas, Agatha Bauquier has made affidavit she was born in the Mission District, San Francisco, eighty years ago; that her family moved to Clevester Valley, Yolo County, when she was a baby; at the age of nine her parents sent her to eldest sister, Mrs. O'Connor, at San Juan; at the age of fourteen she married to Mr. Brown, a banker of Camptonville; became a widow at the age of twenty-four; moved to Sacramento, where she married Frank Bauquier in 1888; subsequently moved to Roseville, where a daughter, Louise Bauquier, was

born; in 1925 moved to San Francisco; now resides with son-in-law and daughter at above address; also the affidavit sets forth that Agatha Bauquier has never left the State of California.

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors approves the old-age pension application for Agatha Bauquier in the sum of $25 per month; and be it

Further Resolved, That the State Social Welfare Board be requested to approve said pension.

*Referred to the Finance Committee.*

**Old-Age Pension, Elna Johnson.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Whereas, Elna Johnson, seventy years of age, residing at 1329 Laguna street, made application for old-age pension in October, 1935; and

Whereas, the Director, County Welfare Department, Miss Eugenie Schenk, advises the record covering Elna Johnson's case was completed on May 28, 1936; that her case is to be investigated in July; recommended as of August 1; pension payable September 1; and

Whereas, Elna Johnson, who is seventy years of age, without means of support, is sorely in need of the old-age pension; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors approve the application for old-age pension of Elna Johnson in the sum of $35 per month; and be it

Further Resolved, That the State Social Welfare Board be requested to approve said pension.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Urging Adequate Representation for San Francisco on Proposed Maritime Commission.**

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, advising that it has asked President Roosevelt that on the Maritime Commission of Five which he is shortly to appoint, that no less than two members be appointed to represent San Francisco and the West Coast in view of the importance of this port as a shipping center.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the Clerk was directed to send a similar telegram to the President.

**San Francisco Labor Council Approves Municipal Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from the San Francisco Labor Council advising that at the last regular meeting of the Council a resolution was adopted placing the Council on record as favoring the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power through a municipally owned system, and urging and requesting that the question be submitted to the electorate at the November election for the issuance of revenue bonds for municipal distribution of electric power from Hetch Hetchy.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

**Special Meeting of the State Supervisors Association.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from C. E. Grier, acting president of the State Supervisors Association, issuing call for a special meeting of the State Su-

pervisors Association to be held at Sacramento Hotel, Sacramento, Friday, July 24, 1936, at 10 a. m., for the purpose of perfecting an organization to formulate a permanent relief program to be submitted to the administration and the Legislature at the January session.

*Referred to the Finance Committee.*

### Mission Merchants Association Oppose Subways.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Communication from the Mission Street Merchants Association, advising that at a specially called meeting of its executive committee held July 2, 1936, it was unanimously resolved that the organization go on record as being unalterably opposed to the entire subway system as proposed and recommended by Manager of Utilities Cahill, and also to any subway system that may hereafter be suggested.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

### Protest Appropriation for Police Investigation.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Communication from Jno. L. LeBerthou, publisher of the *News Letter and Wasp*, protesting an additional appropriation of $50,000 to continue investigation of police officers by Private Detectives Atherton and Dunn.

Read by the Clerk.

### Extension of Time Payment of Dog Licenses.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from Mrs. Thos. Lundy requesting, on account of vacation season and absence of many from the City, that time for payment of dog licenses be extended.

### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the request be complied with. No objection, it was *so ordered.*

### Queries on Welfare Records.

Supervisor Uhl requested City Attorney's opinion on following questions:

1. Can the Director of Welfare refuse to members of the Board of Supervisors access to old-age pension and blind pension records, other than medical reports?

2. Can the Director of Welfare refuse to a Supervisor to see medical records of applicants for old-age or blind pensions?

### In Memoriam—John McCabe.

On motion of President Franck R. Havenner, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco expressed deep regret at the passing of John McCabe, for many years a loyal and trustworthy employee of the San Francisco Mint.

By rising vote the Board expressed condolence for the surviving members of Mr. McCabe's family.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 9:45 a. m., Thursday, July 9, 1936.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## THURSDAY, JULY 9, 1936, 9:45 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Thursday, July 9, 1936, 9:45 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of regular meeting of Monday, July 6, 1936.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

A telegram from Supervisor Colman saying that he would be unable to attend today or Monday, as he was in Los Angeles on particular business, was read by the Clerk and *ordered filed.*

Supervisor Schmidt absent on leave.

Quorum present.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $125 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation 602.900.00, for Burial of Mary Watson, Widow of Civil War Veteran.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1051, Ordinance No. 9.051284, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $125 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of Civil War veteran.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $125 is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of Civil War veteran.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

### Final Passage.

The following bill, passed for second reading July 6, 1936, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Additional Appropriation of $50,000 for Investigation of Members of Police Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1048, Ordinance No. 9.051283, as follows:

Making an appropriation of $50,000 (additional to $25,000 and $2,000 heretofore appropriated) from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, in response to the request of the District Attorney to enable said District Attorney to continue to investigate charges which have been made that certain members of the Police Department unlawfully collect moneys from illegal activities, and making this ordinance effective forthwith as an emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Special Fund of the District Attorney, from Appropriation No. 602.900.00, 1936-1937 (Emergency Reserve Fund), the sum of $50,000 (additional to the sums of $25,000 and $2,000) for the purpose of defraying the cost of continuing an investigation as to the charges which have been made that certain members of the Police Department unlawfully collect moneys from illegal activities in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The amount hereby appropriated shall be expended and accounted for as provided by Section 29 of the Charter, and Section 4308 of the Political Code.

Section 3. This appropriation is made from said Emergency Fund in order to permit the uninterrupted operation of an office of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, the office of the District Attorney.

Section 4. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure to become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare and find by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance become effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That said appropriation is necessary immediately for the uninterrupted operation of an office of the City and County, to-wit, the office of the District Attorney, in order to enable said District Attorney to continue the investigation referred to in Section One of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Snannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Action Rescinded.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, action whereby the foregoing bill was finally passed was rescinded in order that Mr. Jno. L. Le Berthon, publisher of the *News-Letter Wasp*, weekly newspaper, might be heard.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Thereupon, Mr. Jno. L. Le Berthon, publisher of the *News-Letter Wasp*, a weekly newspaper, was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard at length in opposition to any further appropriations for investigation of the Police Department on the ground that such investigation was injuring San Francisco and was productive of no substantial results.

**Final Passage.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the roll was again called on the foregoing bill and the same was again *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following bill, passed for second reading July 6, 1936, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $65,105 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor for Month of July, 1936, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1052, Ordinance No. 9.051285, as follows:

Appropriating $65,105 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the

City and County of San Francisco for the month of July, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $65,105 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of July, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the disbursement of funds for the relief of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $243,000 Out of the Accrued Surplus Existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund, PWA Docket No. 1401, to the Credit of Appropriation No. 856.000.00 for the Construction of Alemany Boulevard Sewer Extension, Sections One and Two; an Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1058, Ordinance No. 9.051280, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $243,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund, PWA Docket No. 1401, to the credit of Appropriation No. 856.000.00 for the construction of Alemany boulevard sewer extension, sections one and two; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $243,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund, PWA Docket No. 1401, to the credit of Appropriation No. 856.000.00 for the construction of Alemany Boulevard Sewer Extension, sections one and two.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Re-referred.**

On motion of Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, the following resolution was *re-referred to Finance Committee*:

**Resolution of Intention for Bond Issue, for Construction of Courts Building.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 2677, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building to be erected in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal Courts of the City and County of San Francisco and for other courts which may be hereafter created, and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other Officers and Agencies of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and further declaring that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand that more adequate housing facilities be afforded the Courts and other Agencies of the City and County of San Francisco and that to meet such purpose the said City and County shall construct and furnish a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $3,220,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $3,220,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $3,220,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller; Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, and Wm. J. Wolden, Director of Public Works.

### Petition of Santa Fe for Rail and Bus Permit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Petition of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company requesting that the Board of Supervisors approve its application for permission to institute a combined train and stage service between San Francisco and Los Angeles and intermediate points, and to commend it to the favorable consideration of the Railroad Commission before which it is pending; also that the City Attorney be authorized and instructed to present such endorsement of the application to the Railroad Commission and to support it with suitable and proper evidence as in the interest of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

### Report of Supervisor Shannon on Moving Picture "City of San Francisco."

Supervisor Shannon reported on his trip to Los Angeles with reference to the matter of newspaper article derogatory to San Francisco exploiting Paramount picture showing fire and earthquake disaster, which picture is entitled "City of San Francisco," and which is now being shown in this city and other cities throughout the United States. He said, "There is no exaggeration in anything the young lady said in the Hollywood newspaper article," and he heartily agreed with Supervisor Uhl in the statement that it is doing irreparable damage to San Francisco. He read a copy of the telegram which he addressed to Mayor Rossi outlining these views and urging that something be done to counteract the effect the picture is bound to produce. After sending the telegram, he said, he consulted two attorneys of Los Angeles and they both told him that a writ of prohibition would stand, prohibiting the showing of the picture, and that he thereupon sent a telegram to City Attorney O'Toole and to Leland W. Cutler requesting that they take the matter up at once. You cannot get, he said, an idea of the derogatory character of this picture until you see it. The earthquake in San Francisco, he said, as we know, lasted about 15 seconds. The picture lasts for 20 minutes, and shows two shocks; it shows buildings falling and killing people in the streets; fissures in the streets, and buildings and people falling into them.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon moved that when we convene this afternoon that we sit as a Board of Supervisors rather than as a Board of Equalization and ask the City Attorney to attend, and see what legal method can be adopted to change conditions that are presented by this Paramount picture in San Francisco.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Report of Supervisor James B. McSheehy on his interview with Secretary Ickes re distribution of Hetch Hetchy power by municipality.

Supervisor McSheehy reported that on his recent trip to Washington he spent one-half hour with Secretary Ickes discussing the question of the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and that he was carefully compiling a report on the subject and will call a meeting of the Special Hetch Hetchy Power Committee and tender to them said report some time the latter part of this week.

## BOARD OF EQUALIZATION—10:30 A. M.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board now resolve itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of considering applications for correction or reduction of assessments on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1936-37.

Motion *carried.*

## Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

| | | Lot | Block | Building | Lan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Ella Balich | 1 | 5712 | 3,000 | 66 |
| 2 | Olympia Drivell | 33 | 1661 | 4,500 | 2,13 |
| 3 | Catherine M. Coffey | 3 | 1408 | 3,500 | 1,20 |
| 4 | Jean Kearney | 1 | 1454 | 4,500 | 2,07 |
| 5 | Jean Kearney | 2 | 1660 | 50 | 1,25 |
| 6 | A. Hilson | 47 | 3570 | 10,000 | 6,09 |
| 7 | Jenny Weck | 11 | 6464 | 800 | 30 |
| 8 | Jos. Pacello | 22 | 1075 | 3,500 | 1,68 |
| 9 | Ida S. Davey | 9 | 1779 | 2,150 | 70 |
| 10 | George Gale | 13A | 541 | 38,000 | 5,81 |
| 11 | Lucy Marc | 30 | 7163 | 1,050 | 45 |
| 12 | Della Pedersen | 16 | 866 | 1,100 | 1,25 |
| 13 | Sophie Blum | 21 | 1648 | 3,800 | 1,65 |
| 14 | Elizabeth Webb | 3 | 3561 | 500 | 1,58 |
| 15 | Laura Peterson | 38 | 3641 | 1,700 | 1,42 |
| 16 | Bess Taylor Meyer | 9 | 1220 | ..... | 3,84 |
| 17 | Bess T. Meyer | 100 | 3736 | 4,050 | 3,75 |
| 18 | B. T. Meyer | 4A | 668 | 5,050 | 37,55 |
| 19 | Manuel Vigo | 16 | 186 | 3,000 | 1,98 |
| 20 | Winnifred Burleson | 18 | 283 | 21,000 | 12,75 |
| 21 | Josephine De Rago | 6 | 842 | 4,000 | 2,18 |
| 22 | T. J. De Rago | 16 | 720 | 2,700 | 3,16 |
| 23 | Lilian K. Slinkey | 18 | 720 | 700 | 3,95 |
| 24 | Marius Payan | 9F | 1187 | 5,400 | 1,51 |
| 25 | E. Gunderson | 32/32A | 1176 | ..... | 1,50 |
| 26 | Margaret E. O'Neil | 23 | 182 | ..... | 1,47 |
| 27 | Harry Greenberg | 42/43 | 1037 | 1,580 | 11,70 |
| 28 | Chas. K. Blender | 1G | 499 | 9,000 | 1,93 |
| 29 | Augustine Gerval | 15/16 | 1074 | 1,000 | 4,50 |
| 30 | Howard Segelken | 16 | 1290 | 1,000 | 88 |
| 31 | Leonore Newhouse | 11 | 525 | 2,550 | 2,07 |
| 32 | Pierce Barron | 29 | 1024 | 3,800 | 1,84 |
| 33 | Margaret Schulte | 42 | 1268 | 1,450 | 1,50 |
| 34 | Katie Martens | 28 | 2055 | 1,700 | 70 |
| 35 | Mary Henricks | 32A | 1658 | 4,300 | 1,42 |
| 36 | Mary L. Larkins | 21 | 1443 | 200 | 2,00 |
| 37 | Mary L. Larkins | 13 | 2302 | ..... | 77 |
| 38 | Mary L. Larkins | 45 | 1376 | 2,100 | 1,75 |
| 39 | Marie Salabert | 3/4 | 158 | 7,600 | 3,00 |
| 40 | Wm. Heincke | 19/20 | 5447 | 3,400 | 45 |
| 41 | S. G. Fisher | 1 | 594 | 8,000 | 47,50 |
| 42 | Mary E. Conlan | 7 | 1450 | 1,050 | 1,25 |
| 43 | Alice Marx | 14/15 | 672 | 11,000 | 7,87 |
| 44 | Kenette Gehring | 44C/44D | 2618 | 1,800 | 68 |
| 45 | V. Gerovasi | 23 | 5882 | 1,300 | 25 |
| 46 | Teresa Lyons | 12 | 672 | 2,000 | 2,73 |
| 47 | Theresa Lyons | 20 | 3704 | 8,500 | 11,25 |
| 48 | Hannah Valentine | 7 | 1588 | 400 | 85 |
| 49 | Marie Fox | 9 | 152 | ..... | 2,89 |
| 50 | Wm. J. Patton | 1A | 1732 | 4,500 | 1,06 |
| 51 | Albert R. Dice | 23 | 2611 | 1,900 | 1,18 |
| 52 | Gaston Le Brun | 2C/2D | 6473 | 2,000 | 22 |
| 53 | Anna Hirschfeld | 25 | 1834 | 1,400 | 75 |
| 54 | Ruth Schnell | 1 | 2610 | 5,500 | 2,60 |
| 55 | Mollie Richard | 1/3 | 3065 | ..... | 50 |
| 56 | Mollie Richmond | 45/48 | 3065 | 50 | 52 |
| 57 | Mary M. Miller | 26 | 1235 | 2,250 | 1,85 |
| 58 | Robt. F. Gallway | 13 | 656 | 1,900 | 2,76 |
| 59 | Tessie Rambisos | 13/14 | 41 | 3,700 | 2,95 |

| | | Lot | Block | Building | Land |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 60 | Bertha Hartman | 9 | 181 | 2,500 | 930 |
| 61 | V. Briggen | 13 | 1742 | 550 | 1,250 |
| 62 | B. Carlson | 21 | 1754 | 1,930 | 1,110 |
| 63 | G. Molinari | 14 | 6639 | 1,500 | 750 |
| 64 | G. Baccus | 12 | 1248 | 4,300 | 1,540 |
| 65 | John Regan | 18 | 1156 | 2,800 | 1,860 |
| 66 | John Regan | 18 | 1075 | 2,750 | 1,320 |
| 67 | C. Rohde | 28 | 97 | 6,650 | 2,930 |
| 68 | Harriet Hall | 45 | 3557 | 2,900 | 1,580 |
| 69 | J. B. Miramon | 2 | 597 | 2,600 | 2,120 |
| 70 | M. J. Falvey | 41 | 1706 | 400 | 750 |
| 71 | F. A. Schlag | 27 | 1642 | 850 | 1,570 |
| 72 | Nettie Waxman | 19 | 685 | 2,700 | 1,980 |
| 73 | Madolin M. Gregg | 20 | 1932 | 2,150 | 610 |
| 74 | Meta Jensen | 29 | 1651 | 2,250 | 1,250 |
| 75 | Phyllis Taranto | 11 | 1739 | 1,400 | 1,250 |
| 76 | E. di Guilio | 37 | 1380 | 4,350 | 1,790 |
| 77 | E. di Guilio | 2-9 | 4763 | ..... | 1,250 |
| 78 | Rose Kelly | 15 | 1087 | 3,850 | 750 |
| 79 | Emilio Giovannini | 26 | 6781 | 1,150 | 250 |
| 80 | Elise Carillon | 11 | 1261 | 4,250 | 2,160 |
| 81 | Mary Campbell | 6 | 1450 | 950 | 1,250 |
| 82 | M. Nugent | 113 | 1269 | 550 | 770 |
| 83 | Lauretta M. and Ida A. Herlihy | 4 | 1081 | 1,250 | 1,650 |
| 84 | Lauretta M. and Ida A. Herlihy | 29 | 1053 | 100 | 1,650 |
| 85 | C. Lusinchi | 2 | 933 | 3,250 | 1,520 |
| 86 | M. Guttman | 11 | 1557 | 10,800 | 2,660 |
| 87 | Olympia Spadini | 21 | 1012 | 1,800 | 1,990 |
| 88 | Bertha Ratner | 12 | 547 | 12,150 | 4,500 |
| 89 | Carl Warden | 11/12 | 1744 | 19,000 | 2,580 |
| 90 | Carl Warden | 19/21 | 1759 | 23,500 | 3,700 |
| 91 | Helen Flaherty | 31 | 4259 | 1,050 | 300 |
| 92 | Helen Flaherty | 24 | 4259 | ..... | 500 |
| 93 | P. J. Le Cam | 1C | 476 | 2,250 | 1,790 |
| 94 | Henry C. Melone | 34-39 | 6521 | 13,800 | 8,270 |
| 95 | Henry C. Melone | 12 | 3532 | 6,000 | 16,150 |
| 96 | Florence Harry | 26A | 2302 | 1,400 | 350 |
| 97 | Florence Harry | 28/29 | 5626 | ..... | 180 |
| 98 | Kathryn C. Culberson | 4 | 1335 | 4,850 | 4,920 |
| 99 | Joseph Marty | 10 | 723 | 5,400 | 2,130 |
| 100 | Phyllis Taranto | 43 | 1765 | 1,800 | 2,600 |
| 101 | Janet Henderson | 19 | 6566 | 2,150 | 750 |
| 102 | J. R. and Uronia Harkalis | 28 | 6552 | 600 | 1,060 |
| 103 | Giovanni and Rosa De Martini | 7/8 | 103 | 5,400 | 7,970 |
| 104 | Rosa De Martini | 34 | 104 | 2,550 | 3,280 |
| 105 | Rosa De Martini | 8/9 | 55 | 9,960 | 3,000 |
| 106 | May C. Ritchie | 10 | 501 | 12,600 | 3,840 |
| 107 | May C. Ritchie | 9 | 501 | 4,950 | 2,750 |
| 108 | M. M. Linden—Lenore Lindner | 8 | 1423 | 1,550 | 1,250 |
| 109 | M. M. Linden—Lenore Lindner | 28 | 675 | 500 | 2,060 |
| 110 | May McLean | 20 | 725 | 1,800 | 4,530 |
| 111 | M. J. Kelly | 7 | 1126 | 16,000 | 7,070 |
| 112 | F. Steffens | 19 | 334 | 8,200 | 4,270 |
| 113 | Marjorie W. Mills | 28 | 1008 | 1,500 | 2,680 |
| 114 | Mrs. Elizabeth K. Glissman | 17 | 1557 | 5,400 | 2,240 |
| 115 | Morris Brown Realty Co. | 4 | 260 | 20,000 | 34,000 |
| 116 | Morris Brown Realty Co. | 11 | 286 | 35,000 | 48,380 |
| 117 | Morris Brown Realty Co. | 4 | 3703 | 32,000 | 40,700 |
| 118 | Morris Brown Realty Co. | 55 | .... | 32,000 | 40,700 |
| 119 | Margaret De Rosia | 22 | 1254 | 2,350 | 2,490 |
| 120 | Belle Fleming | 30 | 1080 | 1,900 | 1,130 |
| 121 | M. Relat | 16/17 | 478 | 16,000 | 3,590 |

| | | Lot | Block | Building | Land |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 122 | Thina Wagner | 36 | 1066 | 400 | 590 |
| 123 | Frank Morel | 7 | 1215 | 1,200 | 4,400 |
| 124 | Antonio Catona | 1D | 497 | 4,800 | 1,490 |
| 125 | V. Chas. Quartararo | 13 | 1664 | 4,800 | 1,250 |
| 126 | Mrs. E. Welkus | 7 | 761 | 4,810 | 1,600 |
| 126A | John Fischer | 16/17 | 1191 | 12,150 | 4,750 |
| 127 | Minnie C. Cox | 11 | 1860A | ..... | 500 |
| 128 | Solomon Levin ½, E. K. Levin ½ | 2 | 590 | 80,000 | 20,740 |
| 129 | Anna Schwindt | 22 | 6507 | 2,350 | 1,250 |
| 130 | Earl W. Rudeen | 31 | 2357 | 1,600 | 600 |
| 131 | Margaret M. Riordan | 6 | 2135 | ..... | 570 |
| 132 | D. Asher | 9 | 1054 | 900 | 2,070 |
| 133 | Emma Rozasy | 26 | 630 | 12,200 | 3,890 |
| 134 | Giza Rozasy | 13/14 | 528 | 22,100 | 4,070 |
| 135 | Katheryn Frank | 29 | 849 | 2,000 | 1,730 |
| 136 | Frances Krueger | 20 | 1586 | ..... | 3,560 |
| 137 | Frances R. Krueger | 33 | 1610 | ..... | 2,470 |
| 138 | Frances R. Krueger | 15 | 1643 | 1,000 | 1,500 |
| 139 | M. Haloski | 22/22A | 4152 | 3,200 | 680 |
| 140 | Mary F. D. Engle | 35 | 1203 | 450 | 1,450 |
| 141 | Antonio Cipriano | 28 | 6047 | 1,400 | 200 |
| 142 | A. J. Philips | 22 | 274 | 17,000 | 6,880 |
| 143 | Madeline Lourdeaux | 12 | 1499 | 1,600 | 950 |
| 144 | Madeline Lourdeaux | 24B | 6341 | 1,300 | 150 |
| 145 | Madeline Lourdeaux | 15 | 2138 | 1,600 | 700 |
| 146 | Madeline Lourdeaux | 18 | 1735 | 13,500 | 3,750 |
| 147 | Madeline Lourdeaux | 24 | 1736 | 3,600 | 1,020 |
| 148 | Mary F. Reising | 56F | 6463 | 1,400 | 410 |
| 149 | Mary F. Reising | 49 | 3616 | 1,100 | 1,180 |
| 150 | Mary E. Whetston | 13 | 543 | 3,600 | 1,880 |

## RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:45 p. m., on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Equalization took a recess until 2 p. m. this day, when the Board will reassemble as a Board of Supervisors to discuss the report of Supervisor Shannon on the picture, "City of San Francisco," depicting earthquake and fire, and to hear the City Attorney as to what legal method may be employed to counteract its derogatory effect.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, July 13, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
GOVT. PUBLICATIONS DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 29

Thursday, July 9, 1936

Friday, July 10, 1936

Monday, July 13, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

as the unemployed and the non-employables, with the exception of institutional cases; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the State Association of County Supervisors be requested to call a meeting of the Relief Committee of the State Association to outline a long-term State, County and Federal relief program, with the assistance of such tax conscious and responsible organizations as they shall deem valuable to their work; such program to look toward the official and permanent sharing of this new, added load by the State and Federal authorities.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Consideration of Proposed Bond Issue for Court House Building.**

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that this Board of Supervisors, acting in Committee of the Whole, meet tomorrow at 4 p. m. to consider plans, etc., and come to some conclusion relative to a proposed bond issue for the construction of a Court House in the Civic Center.

*So ordered.*

The Clerk, on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, was directed to ask City Attorney to send telegram to Washington asking if election may be held in November for the Court House bonds, thereby saving taxpayers $40,000, approximate cost of special election.

*So ordered.*

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be directed to notify every member of the meeting tomorrow.

*So ordered..*

**Relative to San Francisco Earthquake Picture.**

Supervisor Shannon asked opinion of City Attorney O'Toole as to what we can do regarding the moving picture, "San Francisco," depicting fire and earthquake episodes, which he declared were utterly untrue and not based on facts, and which constituted a libel on San Francisco and which is going to do immeasurable harm and create a bad impression on people we expect here in 1939 for the Golden Gate International Exposition. He asked the City Attorney if he knew of any legal way we could stop the production of the Paramount picture, or at least cut out the grossly exaggerated earthquake scenes.

City Attorney O'Toole said in part: "I do not. I cannot find any action anywhere. The City cannot bring suit for libel. There is an ordinance or City law preventing the showing of any picture that is calculated to incite race hatred, but it cannot be invoked in this case. I cannot see any way you can stop it."

Attention was called to the fact that Dr. A. H. Giannini, former Supervisor, had been recently appointed to the presidency of the Moving Picture Industry and that his sympathies were with San Francisco, his home City. It was decided that appeal would be made to him to do what he could to help in the situation.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon stated that he would present his resolution on Monday.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl, at the hour of 6 p. m., moved that the Board of Supervisors recess until 4 p. m. tomorrow, to consider proposed bond issue for Court House in the Civic Center.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## FRIDAY, JULY 10, 1936, 4 P. M.

The Board, sitting as a Board of Equalization, reconvened as a Board of Supervisors pursuant to motion of Supervisor Roncovieri at the session of July 9th for the purpose of giving consideration to the matter of a proposed bond issue for the construction of a Court House in the Civic Center.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Colman, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

President Havenner in the Chair.

### COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the following resolution:

**Resolution of Intention for Bond Issue, for Construction of Courts Building.**

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 2677, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building to be erected in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal Courts of the City and County of San Francisco and for other courts which may be hereafter created, and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other Officers and Agencies of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and further declaring that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand that more adequate housing facilities be afforded the Courts and other Agencies of the City and County of San Francisco and that to meet such purpose the said City and County shall construct and furnish a municipal improvement, to-wit, a Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $3,220,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $3,220,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary ex-

penses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $3,220,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not less than 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described herein.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller; Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, and Wm. J. Wolden, Director of Public Works.

Privilege of the Floor.

City Attorney O'Toole was heard at length on the resolution and suggested that Section 4, last two lines, be amended to read "30 per cent of the total cost of the improvement described herein."

Motion.

Supervisor Meyer thereupon moved to amend the resolution, Section 4, line 4, by striking out the words "of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvement described herein," and insert in lieu thereof the words "of the total cost of the improvement described herein."

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Wm. Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was also granted the privilege of the floor in explanation of the act providing for grants of Federal funds for this purpose.

Motions.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon and Brown, moved that the resolution be amended by providing for a 45 per cent grant in lieu of a 30 per cent grant.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the resolution be amended by providing for a "not less than 40 per cent" grant in lieu of "30 per cent."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Absent—Colman, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that this Board approve proposed 200-car central garage in proposed Court House building.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

*Explanation of Vote.*

Supervisor Brown, in explanation of the vote on the resolution, said that he "still thought that the policy of rushing into this matter is not the best policy. I am willing, he said, to follow Mr. Leavy's recommendations, but I still believe that the matter should be postponed. I will vote in favor of the resolution."

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution as amended and the same was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

## COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ARISES.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Committee of the Whole arise and report the resolution, as amended, to the Board.

Motion *carried.*

### Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, and in words and figures following, was *adopted* by the following vote:

### Resolution of Intention for Bond Issue for Construction of Courts Building.

(Code No. 12.123)

Resolution No. 2677, as follows:

Declaring and determining that public interest and necessity demand the construction and furnishing by the City and County of San Francisco of a municipal improvement, to-wit: a Courts Building, to be erected in the Civic Center of San Francisco, on land owned by the City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and Municipal Courts of the City and County of San Francisco and for other courts which may be hereafter created, and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other officers and agencies of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and further declaring that the estimated cost of said improvements is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared and determined that public interest and necessity demand that more adequate housing facilities be afforded the Courts and other agencies of the City and County of San Francisco and that to meet such purpose the said City and County shall construct and furnish a municipal improvement, to-wit: a Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein; and it is further declared and determined that the estimated cost of said improvement is and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvement described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of $3,220,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of $3,220,000 no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of $3,220,000.

Section 4. The bonds described herein shall not be offered for sale or sold unless the United States Government, through one of its agencies, shall make or agree to make a grant to the municipality of not

less than 30 per cent of the total cost of the improvement described herein.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board adjourned as a Board of Supervisors to reassemble as a Board of Equalization.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY, JULY 13, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 13, 1936, 2 p. m. The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 6 and 9, 1936, were considered read and approved.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Establishing a Fund for City's Share of Rents Received From Certain Real Property Situated at Northeast Corner of Clay Street and The Embarcadero, San Francisco.**

(Code No. 9.025)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1040, Ordinance No. 9.0251, as follows:

Establishing a fund for City's share of rents received from certain real property situated at northwest corner of Clay street and The Embarcadero, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a fund to be known as the "Embarcadero Widening Fund" for depositing the City's share of rental money received from the use of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 202, San Francisco, California, title to which property is held jointly by the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California, the City owning an undivided 55/205 interest therein and the State owning an undivided 150/205 interest therein.

Section 2. The Real Estate Department of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to collect the City's share of such rentals. The Director of Property, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized to approve all leases and other

papers in connection with the renting of said real property by the State of California, as principal owner; provided such leases and other papers meet with his approval. To defray the cost of collecting such rentals and for negotiating leases incident to said property, the Real Estate Department shall be entitled to the fees specified in Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 12.1611, Bill No. 346, approved July 6, 1933.

Section 3. In accordance with Resolution No. 35598 (New Series) adopted by this Board on January 4, 1932, all moneys received by the Real Estate Department in payment of rents derived from the use of such property except the fees mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, shall be deposited in said "Embarcadero Widening Fund" to pay the cost of further widening The Embarcadero and the cost of any needed repairs to the improvements on said property. The California Pacific Title & Trust Company is hereby requested to transfer to the Real Estate Department all money it is holding in trust for the City in connection with this project.

Section 4. The Controller is hereby authorized to pay from the moneys on hand in said fund, all bills for repairs to the improvements on said property and for further widening The Embarcadero at Clay street. Such bills shall not be paid until they have been approved by the Director of Property.

Section 5. It is hereby understood that The Embarcadero Widening Fund shall consist only of the City's share of rental received from said property. There shall be no obligation on the part of the City to provide additional money from other sources for the purposes for which said fund is established under Section 3 of this ordinance.

Section 6. This ordinance shall supersede Resolution No. 35598 (New Series) hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 1041, Ordinance No. 12.172528, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of said Commission:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Ocean avenue, distant thereon 81.33 feet southeasterly from the point of intersection of the said southwesterly line of Ocean avenue with the easterly line of Ashton avenue, and running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Ocean avenue 27.11 feet; thence southerly parallel with said easterly line of Ashton avenue 86.8 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet, and thence at right angles northerly 97.3 feet to the southwesterly line of Ocean avenue and the point of commencement.

Being Lot No. 24 in Block No. 25, as the same is laid down, delineated and numbered upon a certain map on file in the Office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Map of Lakeview," recorded August 11, 1890, in Book E and F of Maps, at pages 138 and 139.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Engineering Inspection Ordinance.**
**(Code No. 3.041)**

Also, Bill No. 1044, Ordinance No. 3.04164, as follows:

An ordinance providing engineering inspection for certain work and improvements and for the payment of the cost and expense thereof to the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the procedure therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Works to cause engineering inspection to be made of:

a. All work and improvements performed under the provisions of Ordinances Nos. 12.0211 and 12.0212.

b. All garbage disposal pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 17.085.

c. All reclamation work within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to make, or cause or permit to be made, any improvement or work as referred to in Section 1 hereof without first securing engineering inspection service from the Director of Public Works.

Section 3. Whenever any person, firm or corporation desires and intends to do any work or make any improvement as described in Section 1 hereof, such person, firm or corporation before performing any such contemplated work, shall in writing request the Director of Public Works to make engineering inspection. The cost of such engineering inspection shall constitute a charge against and be paid for monthly in advance by the person, firm or corporation performing said work or making such improvements in the manner hereinafter provided.

Section 4. Upon such written request being received it shall be the duty of said Director of Public Works to make an estimate of the cost of such engineering inspection, and notify the person making the request the estimated monthly cost thereof.

Section 5. Such person, firm or corporation must thereupon, and prior to the commencement or continuation of any work or performance as described in Section 1 hereof, deposit monthly in advance the amount of money determined upon as the estimated monthly cost of such inspection with the Director of Public Works.

All moneys paid to the Director of Public Works under the provisions of this ordinance shall be deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of the appropriation for "Engineering Inspection," to be used exclusively to defray the cost of the specific engineering inspection for which it was tendered. When said amount of money is deposited, it shall thereupon be the duty of said Director of Public Works to furnish said engineering inspection.

Section 6. Should the monthly cost and expense of such engineering inspection amount to more than said estimate, the person, firm, or corporation concerned shall thereupon be immediately notified and required to make an additional deposit with the Director of Public Works of an amount sufficient to complete the monthly cost of said inspection as estimated by the Director of Public Works, which sum

when paid shall be deposited as aforesaid, and no further engineering inspection service shall be performed or furnished until such additional deposit is made with the Director of Public Works.

Section 7. Should the monthly cost and expense of such engineering inspection be less than the amount deposited then the surplus or excess existing in the amount deposited over the total actual cost of such engineering inspection shall be credited on account of such continued inspection as may be required or when the work has been completed be refunded to the person, firm or corporation by whom such funds were originally deposited.

Section 8. The Controller shall prescribe the forms and fiscal procedure under the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and Authorizing Employment of Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1936-37 Assessments.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Bill No. 1045, Ordinance No. 1.06215, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1936-1937 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1936-1937 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ nine independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than $300. One independent expert real estate appraiser shall receive not more than $250 and the remaining seven independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than $225 each, chargeable to 1936-1937 Appropriation No. 601.106.00 and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1936-1937 Appropriation No. 601.106.00 to the Director of Property the sum of $200 to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2684, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Jesse J. Filippelli, et ux., portion of Lot 1-A, in Assessor's Block 1864.....$375.00

Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, portions of Lots 9 and 10, in Assessor's Block 2406..... 250.00

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the Office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2685, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Joe Sunseri, et ux., Lot 19, Assessor's Block 1260, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Castro-Divisadero divisional highway, for the sum of $6,500 payable from Appropriation No. 548.905.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2686, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Consolidated Securities Company, all of Lots 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 75, 76, 77, 78, 85 and 86, Assessor's Block 2614; all of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, Assessor's Block 2615; all of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 12, Assessor's Block 2620; all of Lots 15, 17, 18, 19, 23 and 38, Assessor's Block 2621; all of Lots 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32, Assessor's Block 2622, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of $17,000.00,

payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.09. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Buildings on Army Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2687, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the widening of Army Street between Bryant and Harrison streets, San Francisco, and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2688, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Carl Christensen, et ux., a portion of Lot 13, Assessor's Block 2406, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $471.80, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the Office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue Widening.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2689, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

| | |
|---|---|
| Clarence H. King, portion of Lot 19, in Assessor's Block 1774 | $ 360.60 |
| Harry H. Ward, portion of Lot 39, in Assessor's Block 2055 | 1,320.00 |

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the Office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a par-

ticular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2690, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Walter Brown and Elizabeth Brown, his wife, portion of Lots 42 and 43, Assessor's Block 7159, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $1,025, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the Office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2691, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Leontine B. McMillan and Robert F. McMillan, her husband, all of Lot 24, Assessor's Block 2621, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of $200, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.09. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2692, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Emma Brooks, administratrix of the estate of Emma J. N. Steinweg, deceased, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $2,500, payable from Appropriation No. 513.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City Buildings on Nineteenth Avenue Project.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2693, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the widening of Nineteenth avenue from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, San Francisco, and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City Buildings on Richardson Avenue Project.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2694, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the Richardson avenue project from Lyon street to Lombard street, San Francisco, and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2695, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

1—Provident Mutual Loan Association, per Vol. 43, Bill 2276, Lot 13, Block 7078, fiscal year 1935.....$ 30.93

2—Mrs. Gizi Bittner, per Vol. 19, Bills 320 and 344, Lot 26, Block 2645, year 1934, $37.48, and year 1935, $35.72..... 73.20

3—Louis Bacciocco, per Vol. 20, Bill 1044, Lots 10-13, Block 2858, fiscal year 1935..... 138.26

4—Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., per Vol. 44, Bill 2249, Lot 11A, Block 7209, fiscal year 1935..... 46.21

5—Chas. W. Fay, per Vol. 6, Bill 1491, Lot 16, Block 953, fiscal year 1935 ..... 238.49

6—Bank of America, per Vol. 4, Bill 1628, Lot 11, Block 627, fiscal year 1935..... 174.52

7—Mrs. Teenie Barry, per Vol. 12, Bill 817, Lot 14, Block 1635, fiscal year 1935..... 56.15

8—O'Brien Inn, per Receipts 6163 and 31648, year 1936..... 21.28

9—Dr. W. R. P. Clark, per Receipts 33586 and 36398, year 1936. 11.05

10—Realty Bond Reorganization Co., per Receipt 15921, year 1936..... 14.73
11—Skinner & Eddy Corp., per Receipt 288832, year 1936..... 116.39

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 2696, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 701 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 702 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 703 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 704 to Anglo California National Bank for $230.31, No. 705 to Antoinette G. Meagher—Minnie C. Meagher for $148.20, No. 706 to S. F. Chronicle for $23.10—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing the Treasurer to Sell Registered Warrants From the State.**

(Code No. 9.029)

Also, Bill No. 1060, Ordinance No. 9.0291, as follows:

Authorizing the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco to sell registered warrants received from the State of California, and providing the procedure for such sale.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the State of California warrants for moneys due to the City and County of San Francisco from the State of California and when there are not sufficient funds in the treasury of the State of California to pay the amount of said warrants and said warrants are registered for future payment by the said State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, whenever he shall deem it necessary or beneficial, shall offer said warrants for sale to the highest and best bidder therefor, provided that no bid shall be accepted by the Treasurer for said warrants which is less than the face value thereof.

Section 2. Whenever the Treasurer shall deem it necessary or beneficial to sell and dispose of said warrants, he shall cause to be published in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice stating the time and place when bids will be received for said warrants and a brief description of the character of said warrants as well as the total amount thereof to be sold, and at the time and place fixed for the receiving of bids, the said Treasurer shall accept the highest and best bid made for said warrants. The said Treasurer may add any other condition which he may deem proper to be complied with by the persons bidding for said warrants.

Section 3. Upon the Treasurer accepting any bid for said warrants made under the conditions herein provided for, said Treasurer is hereby given full power and authority to endorse and deliver said

warrants to the purchaser or purchasers thereof and to deposit the proceeds of said sale in the proper fund or funds.

Approved by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Fixing Date of Hearing of Appeal Rezoning of Southwest Corner Sixteenth Avenue and Cabrillo Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Streets and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2683, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Sixteenth avenue and Cabrillo street, is hereby set for Monday, July 20, 1936, at 2 P. M.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. John Bakewell, Jr., Member of Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2697, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable John Bakewell, Jr., member of the Art Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days, commencing July 13, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was *passed for second reading*:

**Officers' and Employees' Traveling Expenses.**

(Code No. 9.056)

Bill No. 1046, Ordinance No. 9.0564, as follows:

Providing for the amount per diem for the fiscal year 1936-1937 for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco for traveling expenses exclusive of transportation and Pullman charges and excluding traveling expenses incurred in the discharge of routine duty, and directing the Controller to establish rules for payment of claims for traveling expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. During the fiscal year 1936-1937 any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, except in the discharge of

routine duties, shall, under the authority of law or ordinance, leave the City and County for the purpose of performing any official duty for or on behalf of the City and County, or for the purpose of rendering any service to, or for the City and County, or for the purpose of officially representing said City and County, or any commission or department thereof, said officer or employee shall be allowed as the expense incident to said service the actual cost of transportation, including Pullman charges, together with an amount not to exceed fifteen dollars per day for each and every day while said officer or employee is absent on said official business.

Section 2. The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, and for the presentation of such vouchers as he shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expense provided for in this ordinance unless appropriations for such expense have been made by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance enacted in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

### Earthquake Picture, "San Francisco," Condemned.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2698, as follows:

Whereas, there is being displayed at the Paramount Theatre in San Francisco, and at various theatres throughout the United States, the Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer moving picture entitled "San Francisco"; and

Whereas, said picture contains and displays certain scenes which purport to correctly represent occurrences connected with the San Francisco earthquake of 1906; and

Whereas, said scenes are grossly and ridiculously exaggerated and hold San Francisco up to the public generally as a city in imminent danger of being destroyed by earthquake, thereby injuring San Francisco commercially and financially; and

Whereas, said scenes do not correctly depict the occurrences of said San Francisco earthquake; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby protest against the continuation of said picture "San Francisco," as the same is now shown, and does hereby call upon the producers and owners of said picture to eliminate therefrom the said incorrect scenes which purport to be correct reproductions of occurrences at said earthquake, or to change the title of said picture.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

### Relative to One-Man Car.

Supervisor Havenner asked Chairman of Public Utilities Committee whether he would be ready to report on one-man car ordinances which Supervisor Havenner heretofore presented and which were referred to the Public Utilities Committee—whether the committee would report by next meeting of the Board. Supervisor Meyer said that the committee would meet this week and try to have report ready.

### Meeting of Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Supervisor Mead announced the Fire, Safety and Police Committee will meet tomorrow afternoon immediately after recess for the purpose

of giving consideration to the Fire Ordinance relative to taverns, restaurants, etc.

### Old Age Pensions.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the manner in which old-age pensions are being handled. He referred to the case of Mrs. Helen Johnson, Swedish widow, 67 years of age. She filed necessary papers last May. Middlebrook of Controller's office, and Controller Leavy, acknowledged receipt of the application. In May the matter was completed. She has now received notice that although she qualified in May for the pension she will not receive her August check until September. This little woman is absolutely penniless. It is absurd that some quicker action cannot be had in these matters. He asked that subject matter be referred to the Public Welfare Committee for the purpose of devising some quicker procedure.

*So ordered.*

### Olympic Games.

Supervisor Brown stated that he was interviewed recently by Frank Marisch, who was raising funds for California athletes participating in Olympic games to be held in Berlin this year. Mr. Marisch called his attention to the fact that there were a number of San Francisco athletes in trials held in the East to qualify for participation in said Olympic Games. Many Californians were successful in gaining positions on the Olympic Team. He therefore moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to give every consideration to request for contributions to permit these athletes to enter the Olympic Games competition.

*So ordered.*

### Maritime Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from M. H. McIntyre, Secretary to the President, acknowledging receipt of telegram of July 7 requesting at least two appointments from San Francisco on the proposed United States Maritime Commission, and assuring the Board that the suggestion will have careful consideration.

Ordered *filed.*

### Pedestrian Day on San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce suggesting that upon completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge that a day be set aside when pedestrians may have an opportunity to cross the bridge.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors endorse the request of the Chamber of Commerce that citizens be given an opportunity to cross San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge on foot when it is completed.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Brown announced that he made a similar request to the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

### Report of Unemployment Relief Program.

Supervisor Shannon made a verbal report on conference with the Governor relative to Unemployment Relief Program. He said he would make a written report shortly.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that Supervisor Shannon be delegated to attend meeting of County Supervisors in Sacramento July 24, 1936.

*So ordered.*

## RECESS.

Thereupon the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 3 p. m., recessed until such time as we may hear from City Attorney O'Toole and Controller Leavy regarding new courthouse bond legislation.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 27, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 30

Monday, July 13, 1936, 4 p. m.

Tuesday, July 14, 1936

Wednesday, July 15, 1936

Monday, July 20, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JULY 13, 1936, 4 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors, being in session as a Board of Equalization, recessed and reconvened as a Board of Supervisors for the purpose of considering a proposed bond issue for construction of a Court House in the Civic Center.

The following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Uhl was excused.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### Re Court House Bond Election.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole declared to the Board of Supervisors that he had consulted with our New York bond attorneys and that he had been advised that a separate ballot and separate election offices will be required for a proposed Court House bond election. He requested that the Board of Supervisors fix an hour when eight members are present tomorrow and the necessary legislation may be passed.

### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board of Supervisors recess until tomorrow at 4 p. m. for consideration of Court House bond legislation, and that there be a call of the Board.

Motion *carried*.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY, JULY 13, 1936, 5 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors, being in session as a Board of Equalization, recessed and reconvened as a Board of Supervisors.

The following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

President Havenner presiding.

### Protest Against "San Francisco," Earthquake Moving Picture.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2698, as follows:

Whereas, there is being displayed at the Paramount Theatre in San Francisco, and at various theatres throughout the United States, the Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer moving picture entitled, "San Francisco;" and

Whereas, said picture contains and displays certain scenes which pur-

port to correctly represent occurrences connected with the San Francisco earthquake of 1906; and

Whereas, said scenes are grossly and ridiculously exaggerated and hold San Francisco up to the public generally as a city in imminent danger of being destroyed by earthquake, thereby injuring San Francisco commercially and financially; and

Whereas, said scenes do not correctly depict the occurrences of said San Francisco earthquake; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby protest against the continuation of said picture "San Francisco" as the same is now shown, and does hereby call upon the producers and owners of said picture to eliminate therefrom the said incorrect scenes which purport to be correct reproductions of occurrences at said earthquake, or to change the title of said picture.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

## RECESS.

Thereupon, at 5:05 p. m., on motion of Supervisor Brown, the Board of Supervisors recessed until 4 p. m. Tuesday, July 14, 1936.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## TUESDAY, JULY 14, 1936, 4:25 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors, being in session as a Board of Equalization, reconvened as a Board of Supervisors pursuant to motion of Supervisor Roncovieri of Monday, July 13, 1936, for the purpose of giving consideration to the matter of the proposed bond issue for a Court House in the Civic Center.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

Quorum present.

President Havenner in the Chair.

### Consideration of Proposed Bond Issue for Court House.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole stated: "After conferring with the bond attorneys in New York and here, we found out that we will have to publish in our ordinance a complete list not only of polling places, but also a complete list of election officers. It will mean possibly one additional election officer, the use of paper ballots, and an election judge. The Registrar of Voters is making up a list of polling places and a list of election officers, which will be included in the ordinance, which will probably be ready tomorrow.

"The use of the paper ballots and the requirement of additional election officers will also necessitate the purchase of about 1200 voting booths, which will be an additional election expense."

The City Attorney thereupon stated that the ordinance will probably be ready tomorrow afternoon.

### Communication from Controller.

A communication from the Controller setting forth the proposed schedules of bond issues for proposed Court House bond issue was read by the Clerk and *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, was then heard at length in explanation of the two schedules proposed, one of which must be selected for the proposed issue.

### Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the Finance Committee retire for the purpose of giving consideration to the schedules prepared by the Controller.

Motion *carried.*

### Report of Finance Committee.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, Supervisor Roncovieri, Chairman of the Finance Committee, returned and reported that said Committee had consulted with Controller Leonard S. Leavy and had concluded that the best plan of the two schedules prepared was Schedule No. 1.

Controller Leavy was thereupon heard at length as to the advantages of Schedule No. 1.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare bond ordinance in accordance with Schedule No. 1 and that we recess as a Board of Supervisors until 4 p. m. tomorrow to consider it.

Motion *carried.*

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## WEDNESDAY, JULY 15, 1936, 4:35 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Wednesday, July 15, 1936, 4:35 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Tuesday, July 14, 1936, for the purpose of giving consideration to proposed bond issue of construction of a Court House in the Civic Center.

The following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

President Havenner in the Chair.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was presented, read by the Clerk, and *passed for second reading*:

### Calling and Providing for Bond Election for Court House.

(Code No. 12.123)

Bill No. 1061, Ordinance No. 12.1231, as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 25th day of August, 1936, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,220,000, for the construction and furnishing of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County, for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be heeafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein, and providing for the maximum rate of interest, the date and time of retirement of said bonds, and fixing the date of said special election, the matter of holding the same, establishing election precincts and polling places for said election, appointing boards of election therefor and providing for notice thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 25th day of August, 1936, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit:

PROPOSITION: Shall the City and County of San Francisco incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of $3,220,000, for the construction and furnishing of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein?

Section 2. The estimated cost of said proposed municipal improvement is the sum of $3,220,000 and the amount of the principal of said indebtedness proposed to be incurred for said municipal improvement is the said sum of $3,220,000; provided, however, that none of said bonds herein provided for shall be issued or sold unless the United States of America, or one of its agencies, shall make, or agree to make, a grant to the City and County of San Francisco of not less than thirty per cent

(30%) of the total cost of said proposed municipal improvement. It is contemplated that said grant up to an amount of not less than thirty per cent of the total cost as hereinbefore estimated of said proposed improvement will be received by the City and County of San Francisco in cash and if so received, an amount not less than thirty per cent of the total cost as hereinbefore estimated of said improvement will be applied to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds herein proposed to be issued. Any sums which may be received upon such grant in excess of thirty per cent of the cost of said proposed improvement may be applied in the discretion of the Board of Supervisors either to the payment of the cost of said proposed municipal improvement or to the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds proposed to be issued. If said grant so made by the United States of America, or any agency thereof, is conditioned so as to be applied solely to the payment of the cost of said improvement, then the total amount of bonds herein authorized to be sold shall be proportionately reduced and in that event the total cost of said improvement to the City and County of San Francisco shall be at least thirty per cent less than the amount hereinbefore estimated, and the total principal amount of the bonds proposed to be sold shall likewise be at least thirty per cent less than said sum of $3,220,000 to be incurred; namely, from the total amount of said bonds herein proposed to be issued the Board of Supervisors in said event shall withhold from sale and cancel an amount equal to at least thirty per cent thereof. Said Board of Supervisors reserves the right to adopt such of the foregoing methods of applying said grant (if received from the United States of America or any one of its agencies) as may be necessary to satisfy any condition imposed by the United States of America, or any one of its agencies, as a condition precedent to the making of such grant.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and convassed, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided, and in all particulars not herein recited, such election shall be held as provided by law for holding municipal elections in the City and County of San Francisco. The polls at the polling places hereinafter designated shall be opened at 7 o'clock in the morning of said day of election and shall be kept open continuously thereafter until 8 o'clock in the evening of said day of election, when the polls shall be closed (except as provided in Section 1164 of the Political Code), and the election officers shall thereupon proceed to canvass the ballots cast thereat and make due return thereof in the manner provided by law.

Section 4. For the conduct of said special election the City and County of San Francisco is hereby divided into 1055 special election precincts. The territory embraced within each of said precincts hereinafter numbered shall consist of the precincts bearing a like number as now established of record by the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco for general, state, and county election purposes. Said precincts and polling places therein for the conduct of said election are as follows:

### 20TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

Precinct
1 Francisco School, Powell and Francisco.
2 Garage, 1864 Stockton.
3 Garage, 1830 Powell.
4 Garage, 552 Union.
5 Garage, 272 Green.
6 Garage, 453 Green.
7 Store, 678 Broadway.
8 Roma Hall, 1524 Powell.
9 Store, 1300 Powell.
10 Washington Irving School, Broadway and Sansome.
11 International Hotel, 848 Kearny.
12 Basement, 1048 Stockton.
13 Commodore Stockton School, Washington and Stockton.
14 Residence Club, 950 Powell.
15 New China Hotel, 857 Clay.
16 Grand Union Hotel, 570 California.
17 Alpine Hotel, 480 Pine.
18 Terminal Hotel, 60 Market.
19 Hotel Sutter, 144 Kearny.
20 Basement, N. E. California and Stockton.
21 Garage, 621 Stockton.
22 Colonial Hall, 27 Monroe.
23 Garage, S. W. Stockton and Pine.
24 Garage, 530 Stockton.
25 Hotel Plaza, 310 Post.
26 Baldwin Hotel, 321 Grant Ave.
27 Stage Depot, 781 Market.
28 Palace Hotel, 639 Market.
29 Y. M. C. A., 177 Steuart.
30 Occidental Hotel, 175 3rd St.
31 Garage, 50 Hawthorne.
32 Monterey Hotel, 345 3rd St.
33 Garage, 423 4th St.
34 Hotel Central, 574 3rd St.
35 Crest Hotel, 117 4th St.
36 Store, 289 4th St.
37 Store, 760 Harrison.
38 Hotel Lankershim, 55 5th St.
39 Hotel Lick, 115 5th St.
40 Hotel Rose, 125 6th St.
41 Southern Police Station, 360 4th St.
42 Hotel Anglo, 241 6th St.
43 Store, 823 Bryant.
44 Hotel Seneca, 34 6th St.
45 Ventura Hotel, 1039 Mission.
46 Hotel Reno, 252 6th St.
47 Yuba Hotel, 1146 Mission.
48 Hotel Gordon, 112 7th St.
49 Store, 289 8th St.
50 Hotel Whitcomb, 1231 Market.
51 Garage, 725 Minna.
52 Garage, 52 Sheridan.
53 Basement, 345 7th St.
54 Garage, 441 San Bruno Ave.
55 Garage, 215 Missouri.
56 Garage, 1125 18th St.
57 Potrero Police Station, 3rd and 20th Streets.
58 Garage, 608 Mississippi.
59 Garage, 332 Missouri.
60 Daniel Webster School, 20th and Missouri.
61 Slovenian Progressive Home, 2101 Mariposa.
62 Patrick Henry School, Vermont and Eighteenth.
63 Garage, 991 San Bruno Ave.
64 Garage, 1724 20th St.
65 Garage, 1022 De Haro.
66 Garage, 1019 Kansas.
67 San Francisco Hospital, 22nd and Potrero.
68 Garage, 1340 Utah.
69 Garage, 1323 Vermont.
70 Garage, 1307 Kansas.
71 Starr King School, 25th and Utah.

### 21ST ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

Precinct
1 Garage, 209 Precita Ave.
2 Le Conte School, Army and Harrison.
3 Garage, 2853 Army.
4 Garage, 1628 York.
5 Basement, 1697 Alabama.
6 Garage, 3273 Folsom.
7 Garage, 208 Precita Ave.
8 Garage, 17 Coleridge.
9 Store, 3211 Mission.
10 Garage, 9 Godeus.
11 Club House, 199 Bon View.
12 Garage, 103 Wool.
13 Garage, 3734 Folsom.
14 Garage, 207 Prentiss.
15 Paul Revere School (auditorium), Banks and Tompkins.
16 Garage, 415 Anderson.
17 Garage, 212 Bennington.
18 Garage, 211 Cortland Ave.
19 Garage, 322 Coleridge.
20 Garage, 44 Cortland Ave.
21 Junipero Serra School, Holly Park Circle and Highland Ave.
22 Garage, 378 Park.
23 Garage, 656 Ellsworth.
24 Basement, 10 Bache.
25 Garage, 139 Leese.
26 Garage, 39 Agnon.
27 Garage, 115 Genebern Way.
28 Garage, 18 Nye.
29 Store, 343 Silver Ave.
30 Garage, 161 Naples.
31 Monroe School (auditorium), Madrid St.
32 Excelsior School, Excelsior and London.
33 Garage, 254 Lisbon.
34 Garage, 338 Naples.
35 Cleveland School, Athens and Persia.
36 Garage, 450 Edinburgh.
37 Garage, 383 Paris.
38 Garage, 417 Paris.
39 Garage, 583 Vienna.
40 Garage, 567 London.
41 Garage, 623 Vienna.
42 Garage, 25 Italy Ave.
43 Garage, 235 Amazon Ave.
44 Garage, 741 Edinburgh.
45 Basement, N. W. Italy and Vienna.
46 Garage, 25 Rolph.
47 Garage, 141 Rolph.
48 Garage, 329 Rolph.
49 Garage, 1015 Munich.
50 Guadalupe School, Prague and Cordova.
51 Garage, 1120 Munich.
52 Garage, 146 Newton.
53 Garage, 21 Concord.
54 Garage, 24 Concord.
55 Garage, 660 Morse.
56 Longfellow School, Lowell and Morse.
57 Garage, 856 Silver.
58 Garage, 39 Dartmouth.
59 Garage, 71 Girard.
60 Garage, 355 Silliman.
61 Edward Taylor School (auditorum), Somerset and Bacon.
62 Portola School, Bacon and Girard.
63 Garage, 612 Girard.
64 Garage, 1200 Brussels.
65 Garage, 164 Arleta.
66 Visitacion School, Schwerin and Visitacion.
67 Hall, 105 Raymond.
68 Store, 3906 3rd St.
69 Garage, 2379 San Bruno Ave.
70 Garage, 807 Newhall.
71 Bay View Police Station, 1676 Newcomb.
72 Garage, 1653 Oakdale Ave.
73 Garage, 1462 Palou Ave.
74 Garage, 1363 Revere Ave.
75 Garage, 1631 Revere Ave.
76 Garage, 1643 Palou Ave.
77 Store, 2549 San Bruno Ave.
78 Bay View School, Bay View and Pomona.

79 Garage, 1574 Underwood Ave.
80 Garage, 86 Carr.
81 Garage, 1327 Gilman Ave.
82 Bret Harte School, Jamestown and 3rd.
83 Garage, 284 Wheeler Ave.

**22ND ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

Precinct
1 San Remo Hotel, 2237 Mason.
2 Garage, E. S. Leavenworth, 50 ft. N. Chestnut.
3 Store, 801 North Point.
4 Garage, 945 Bay.
5 Garage, 2806 Van Ness Ave.
6 Garage, W. S. Polk, 50 ft. N. Greenwich.
7 Garage, 2700 Polk.
8 Garage, 1370 Filbert.
9 Garage, 2250 Hyde.
10 Sarah B. Cooper School, Jones and Lombard.
11 Hancock School, Filbert and Taylor.
12 Garage, 62 Valparaiso.
13 Garage, 737 Union.
14 Garage, 1833 Mason.
15 Store, 805 Union.
16 Garage, 1046 Union.
17 Garage, 1183 Filbert.
18 Garage, 1259 Filbert.
19 Garage, 2221 Larkin.
20 Garage, S. W. Union and Polk.
21 Garage, 1522 Vallejo.
22 Garage, 1426 Vallejo.
23 Garage, 1290 Green.
24 Garage, 1192 Green.
25 Garage, 1746 Leavenworth.
26 Garage, 1601 Mason.
27 Jean Parker School, Broadway and Powell.
28 Garage, 1051 Pacific Ave.
29 Garage, 1188 Pacific Ave.
30 Store, 1630 Leavenworth.
31 Garage, 1269 Vallejo.
32 Garage, 1356 Broadway.
33 Garage, 1515 Broadway.
34 Apartments, 1542 Jackson.
35 Garage, 1419 Pacific Ave.
36 Garage, 1528 Leavenworth.
37 Garage, 1131 Pacific Ave.
38 Public Library, Powell and Washington.
39 Garage, 1144 Clay.
40 Basement, Park Lane Apartments, N. W. corner Mason-Sacramento.
41 Basement, 1175 Clay.
42 Garage, N. S. Pleasant, 150 ft W. Taylor.
43 Store, 1273 Jackson.
44 Garage, 1335 Jackson.
45 Basement, 1622 Washington.
46 Store, 1716 Clay.
47 Garage, 1616 Larkin.
48 Garage, 1327 Leavenworth.
49 Apartments, 1315 Clay.
50 Apartments, 1441 Clay.
51 Apartments, 1547 Clay.
52 Apartments, 1501 Larkin.
53 Garage, 1349 Larkin.
54 Garage, 1625 Sacramento.
55 Rovengo Hotel, 1430 Larkin.
56 Garage, 1350 California.
57 Basement, S. S. Sacramento, 50 ft. W. Jones.
58 Fairmont Hotel, California St. Entrance.
59 Mark Hopkins Hotel, California and Mason.
60 Basement, 734 Bush.
61 Huntington Apartments, S. E. California and Taylor.
62 Apartments, 880 Bush.
63 Garage, 1050 Pine.
64 Garage, S. S. California, 100 ft. W. Jones.
65 Garage, 1060 Bush.
66 Garage, 1230 Pine.
67 Store, 919A Leavenworth.
68 Basement, 1346 Pine.
69 Redding School, Pine and Larkin.
70 Hotel Harcourt, 1105 Larkin.
71 Store, 1141 Polk.
72 Granada Hotel, 1000 Sutter.
73 Store, 1033 Sutter.
74 Garage, 930 Sutter.
75 Garage, 1073 Bush.
76 Garage, 740 Post.
77 Garage, 820 Jones.
78 Store, 721 Sutter.
79 Y. W. C. A., 620 Sutter.
80 Garage, 665 Sutter.
81 Cartwright Hotel, 524 Sutter.
82 St. Francis Hotel, Geary and Powell.
83 Hotel Cecil, 545 Post.
84 Hotel Worth, 641 Post.
85 Hotel Davenport, 540 Jones.
86 Garage, 737 Post.
87 Garage, 550 O'Farrell.
88 Store, 865 Post.
89 Garage, W. S. Leavenworth, 60 ft. S. Geary.
90 Rhodema Hotel, 811 Geary.
91 Store, 1030 Polk.
92 Adams School, Eddy and Van Ness Ave.
93 Hotel Harvard, 685 Ellis.
94 Hotel Essex, 684 Ellis.
95 Store, 508 Ellis.
96 Garage, 334 Hyde.
97 Hotel Arlington, 480 Ellis.
98 Woodland Hotel, 473 Ellis.
99 Olympic Hotel, 230 Eddy.
100 Blackstone Hotel, 340 O'Farrell.
101 Hotel Virginia, 312 Mason.
102 Continental Hotel, 127 Ellis.
103 New Dalt Hotel, 34 Turk.
104 Hall, 230 Jones.
105 Hotel Von Dorn, 242 Turk.
106 Hotel Shaw, 1110 Market.
107 Hotel Astor, 270 McAllister.
108 Lafayette Hotel, 240 Hyde.
109 Garage, 575 Eddy.
110 Hotel Senate, 467 Turk.
111 Hotel Polk, 560 Polk.
112 Basement, City Hall, McAllister St. Entrance.
113 Garage, W. S. Gough, 50 ft. S. Pine.
114 Garage, 1845 Laguna.
115 Store, 1946 Fillmore.
116 Garage, 2264 Bush.
117 Garage, 2430 Bush.
118 Garage, E. S. Broderick, 50 ft. S. Bush.
119 Store, 2205 Sutter.
120 Garage, 1956 Post.
121 Garage, 1701 Sutter.
122 House, 1447 Post.
123 Garage, 1530 Octavia.
124 Dorchester Hotel, 1482 Sutter.
125 Hotel Normandie, 1499 Sutter.
126 Store, 1063 O'Farrell.
127 Store, 1308 Octavia.
128 Garage, 1186 Ellis.
129 Garage, 1300 Laguna.
130 Garage, 1340 Ellis.
131 Raphael Weill School, Buchanan and O'Farrell.
132 Anglo Hotel Apartments, 1550 Fillmore.
133 Garage, 1626 Steiner.
134 Community Center, 1776 Ellis.
135 Girls High School, Geary and Scott.
136 Store, 1534 Divisadero.
137 Store, 1400 Divisadero.
138 Store, 1225 Divisadero.
139 Garage, 1103 Scott.
140 Garage, N. W. Divisadero and Golden Gate.
141 Garage, 1215 Pierce.
142 Golden Gate School, Golden Gate and Pierce.
143 Garage, 1637 Golden Gate Ave.
144 Store, 1440 Golden Gate Ave.
145 Garage, 1574 Eddy.

146 Garage, 1250 McAllister.
147 Garage, 1292 Turk.
148 Store, 1203 Golden Gate Ave.
149 Store, 1006 Golden Gate Ave.
150 Garage, 1075 Golden Gate Ave.
151 Garage, 1267 Ellis.
152 Garage, N. S. Willow, 150 ft. W. Van Ness Ave.
153 Garage, 731 Van Ness Ave.
154 Basement, N. E. Gough and Elm.
155 John Swett School, McAllister and Franklin.
156 Garage, 470 Grove.
157 Valley Hotel, 520 Hayes.
158 Garage, S. S. Linden, 100 ft. E. Octavia.
159 Garage, 337 Fulton.
160 Commerce High School, Van Ness Ave. and Fell.
161 Garage, 207 Gough.
162 Garage, 350 Laguna.
163 Garage, 149 Page.
164 Garage, 129 Oak.
165 Garage, S. W. Gough and Rose.
166 Garage, 80 Waller.
167 Ramona Hotel, 174 Ellis.
168 Galileo High School, Bay and Van Ness Ave.

**23RD ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

Precinct
1 Store, 950 Fillmore.
2 Garage, 821 Fulton.
3 Garage, 768 Grove.
4 Store, 697 Grove.
5 Store, 604 Fillmore.
6 Garage, 603 Fell.
7 Garage, 355 Laguna.
8 John Muir School, Webster and Oak.
9 Garage, 300 Buchanan.
10 Garage, 398 Haight.
11 Garage, 141 Webster.
12 Garage, 252 Hermann.
13 Garage, 78 Buchanan.
14 Garage, 180 Duboce Ave.
15 Garage, 87 Elgin Park.
16 Warehouse, Dept. of Elections, 168 Otis.
17 Garage, 75 Lafayette.
18 Garage, 313 12th St.
19 Garage, 1764 Mission.
20 Garage, 195 Duboce Ave.
21 Garage, 219 Clinton Park.
22 Basement, N. S. 14th, 100 ft. E. Market.
23 Garage, 72 Landers.
24 Garage, 349 Van Ness Ave. So.
25 Garage, 356 Van Ness Ave. So.
26 Store, 309 Valencia.
27 Basement, 479 14th St.
28 Building Trades Temple, 14th and Guerrero.
29 Garage, 453 Van Ness Ave. So.
30 Marshall School, S. W. 15th and Capp.
31 Basement, 225 Dolores.
32 Garage, 180 Dolores.
33 Garage, 2621 19th.
34 Warehouse, Dept. of Elections, 18th and Treat.
35 Garage, 135 Capp.
36 Garage, 26 Hoff.
37 Store, 582 Valencia.
38 Columbia Park Boys Club, 458 Guerrero.
39 Garage, 3658 17th St.
40 Basement, 620 Van Ness Ave. So.
41 Store, 3311 17th St.
42 Basement, 551 Guerrero.
43 Garage, 538 Guerrero.
44 Mission High School, N. E. 18th and Church.
45 Garage, 3277 19th St.
46 Garage, 308 Capp.
47 Store, 3445 18th St.
48 Garage, 58 Lapidge.
49 Garage, 650 Guerrero.
50 Garage, 421 Capp.
51 Garage, 229 Lexington.
52 Garage, 753 Guerrero.
53 Garage, 25 Cumberland.
54 Garage, 819 Florida.
55 Garage, 771 Treat Ave.
56 Garage, 2416 Folsom.
57 Garage, N. E. 21st and Capp.
58 Garage, 346 San Carlos.
59 Garage, 3360 21st St.
60 Garage, 880 Guerrero.
61 Garage, 2620 22nd St.
62 Garage, 874 Hampshire.
63 Garage, 2700 22nd St.
64 Store, 2850 22nd St.
65 Y. W. C. A., 2520 Folsom.
66 Garage, 1050 Van Ness Ave. So.
67 Garage, 44 Bartlett.
68 Basement, 18 Hill.
69 Garage, 74 Fair Oaks.
70 Bryant School, Bryant and 22nd Sts.
71 Garage, 2811 22nd St.
72 Store, 2891 22nd St.
73 Hawthorne School, Shotwell and 22nd Sts.
74 Garage, 830 Shotwell.
75 Garage, 716 Capp.
76 Garage, 1014 Guerrero.
77 Garage, 959 Dolores.
78 Garage, 1127 Hampshire.
79 Store, 2854 24th St.
80 Store, 2764 Folsom.
81 Garage, 886 Capp.
82 Horace Mann Jr. High School, 23rd and Valencia.
83 Garage, 108 San Jose Ave.
84 Garage, 286 Fair Oaks.
85 Garage, 1231 Hampshire.
86 Garage, 2837 Harrison.
87 Garage, 2834 Harrison.
88 Garage, 1130 Treat Ave.
89 Garage, 1115 Shotwell.
90 Garage, 1010 Shotwell.
91 Garage, 353 Bartlett.
92 Garage, 1330 Valencia.
93 Garage, 260 San Jose Ave.
94 Garage, 1286 Guerrero.
95 Garage, 1406 York.
96 Garage, 2970 26th St.
97 Store, 2971 Mission.
98 Garage, 422 Bartlett.
99 Garage, 1340 Guerrero.
100 House, 3772 26th St.
101 Garage, 1425 Alabama.
102 Garage, 3441 26th St.
103 Garage, 3454 Army.
104 Garage, 562 San Jose Ave.
105 Garage, 3739 26th St.
106 Garage, 148 Duncan.
107 Garage, 82 Tiffany Ave.
108 Garage, 59 28th St.

**24TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

Precinct
1 Garage, 60 Jersey.
2 House, 442 Vicksburg.
3 Garage, 228 Jersey.
4 James Lick School, S. W. Noe and 25th.
5 Garage, 491 Jersey.
6 Garage, 934 Diamond.
7 Garage, 810 Douglass.
8 Garage, 1516 Castro.
9 Garage, 411 Clipper.
10 Garage, 4061 25th St.
11 Garage, 3982 26th St.
12 Garage, 1365 Church.
13 Hall, 3889 26th St.
14 Garage, 1456 Church.
15 Garage, 4115 26th St.
16 Garage, 1249 Diamond.
17 Garage, 236½ Duncan.
18 Garage, 1557 Church.
19 Garage, 276 28th St.
20 Garage, 318 28th St.

21 Garage, 518 29th St.
22 Garage, 771 San Jose Ave.
23 Garage, 106 30th St.
24 Garage, 100 Day.
25 Garage, 422 Day.
26 Garage, 191 Day.
27 Garage, 1670 Sanchez.
28 Fairmont School, Chenery and Randall.
29 Garage, 162 Randall.
30 Garage, 1749 Sanchez.
31 Garage, 72 Harper.
32 Garage, 2612 Diamond.
33 Garage, 49 Richland.
34 Garage, 264 Arlington.
35 Garage, 325 Laidley.
36 Garage, 455 Chenery.
37 Garage, 2 College Terrace.
38 Garage, 142 Bosworth.
39 Garage, 509 Chenery.
40 Garage, 2776 Diamond.
41 Glen Park School, Brompton and Bosworth.
42 Garage, 438 Monterey Blvd.
43 Garage 180 Evelyn Way.
44 Garage, 1053 Portola Drive.
45 Garage, 4 San Pablo Ave.
46 Garage, 30 Terrace Drive.
47 Garage, 500 Foerster.
48 Garage, 210 Hazelwood Ave.
49 Garage, 301 Valdez Ave.
50 Garage, 20 San Felipe Ave.
51 Garage, 1501 Monterey Blvd.
52 Garage, 140 San Fernando Way.
53 Garage, 50 Lyell.
54 Garage, 74 Tingley.
55 Garage, 32 Francis.
56 Garage, 171 Capistrano Ave.
57 Garage, 109 Joost Ave.
58 Garage, 135 Flood Ave.
59 Sunnyside School (auditorium), entrance Foerster St.
60 Garage, 207 Flood Ave.
61 Garage, 488 Flood Ave.
62 Garage, 328 Staples Ave.
63 Garage, 550 Judson Ave.
64 Garage, 1526 Plymouth Ave.
65 Garage, 285 Valdez Ave.
66 Aptos Junior High School, Aptos Ave. and Upland.
67 Commodore Sloat School, Junipero Serra Blvd. and Ocean Ave.
68 Garage, 108 Westwood Drive.
69 Garage, 54 Westwood Drive.
70 Garage, 19 Southwood Drive.
71 Garage, 19 Keystone Way.
72 Garage, 705 Victoria.
73 Garage, 311 Moncada Way.
74 Garage, 20 Entrada Court.
75 Garage, 84 Norton.
76 Garage, 380 Capistrano Ave.
77 Garage, 82 Delano Ave.
78 Garage, 18 Rudden.
79 Garage, 164 Ocean Ave.
80 Garage, 51 Nantucket Ave.
81 Garage, 143 Judson Ave.
82 Balboa High School, Onondaga and Otsego.
83 Garage, 64 Bannock.
84 San Miguel School, Delano and Seneca.
85 Garage, 44 Ellington Ave.
86 Basement, 25 Ottawa.
87 Garage, 32 Farragut Ave.
88 Garage, 5870 Mission.
89 Garage, 64 Regent.
90 Garage, 215 Louisburg.
91 Garage, 206 Harold Ave.
92 Garage, 243 Lee Ave.
93 Garage, 33 DeMontford Ave.
94 Farragut School, Holloway and Capitol.
95 Garage, 275 Ashton Ave.
96 Garage, 470 Vernon.
97 Garage, 1054 Plymouth Ave.
98 Garage, 139 Granada Ave.
99 Garage, 975 Capitol.
100 Garage, 999 Holloway Ave.
101 Garage, 2580 San Jose Ave.
102 Garage, 963 Plymouth Ave.
103 Garage, 122 Farallones Ave.
104 Garage, 135 Broad.
105 Store, 106 Sagamore.
106 Sheridan School, Farallones and Capitol.
107 Garage, 256 DeLong.
108 Garage, 475 Ralston.
109 Garage, 176 Juanita Way.
110 Garage, 3045 23rd Ave.

**25TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

Precinct
1 Lowell High School, Hayes and Masonic.
2 Garage, 2003 Fulton.
3 Garage, 2190 Grove.
4 Garage, 2237 Fulton.
5 Basement, 214 Ashbury.
6 Andrew Jackson School, Grove and Cole.
7 Garage, 234 Cole.
8 Garage, 2141 Hayes.
9 Garage, 528 Stanyan.
10 Garage, 1545 Page.
11 Garage, 508 Clayton.
12 Garage, 1760 Page.
13 Garage, 1860 Page.
14 Garage, 413 Shrader.
15 Garage, 1456 Waller.
16 Garage, 27 Belvedere.
17 Store, 1737 Haight.
18 Garage, 66 Delmar.
19 Garage, 145 Delmar.
20 Garage, S. W. Ashbury and Waller.
21 Garage, 733 Clayton.
22 Garage, 396 Frederick.
23 Garage, 169 Beulah.
24 Garage, 829 Ashbury.
25 Garage, E. S. Clayton, 60 ft. S. Frederick.
26 Garage, 51 Carl.
27 Garage, 417A Frederick.
28 Garage, 171 Carl.
29 Garage, 75 Parnassus Ave.
30 House, 520 Belvedere.
31 Garage, 519 Belvedere.
32 Grattan School, Grattan and Shrader.
33 House, 150 Rivoli.
34 Garage, 1450 Cole.
35 Garage, 227 Alma.
36 Garage, 566 Frederick.
37 Garage, 281 Carl.
38 Polytechnic High School, Frederick and Willard.
39 Garage, 16 Hillway.
40 Garage, 337 Parnassus Ave.
41 Garage, 1283 Arguello Blvd.
42 House, 1228 3rd Ave.
43 Garage, 1258 5th Ave.
44 Garage, 1360 4th Ave.
45 Garage, 1369 4th Ave.
46 Garage, 332 Hugo.
47 Garage, 1268 6th Ave.
48 Garage, 1464 5th Ave.
49 Garage, 1270 7th Ave.
50 Laguna Honda School, 7th Ave. and Irving.
51 Garage, 1422 8th Ave.
52 Garage, 1375 8th Ave.
53 Garage, 1477 9th Ave.
54 Garage, 1500 7th Ave.
55 Hall, 1738 9th Ave.
56 Garage, 1732 11th Ave.
57 Garage, 1630 11th Ave.
58 Garage, 627 Kirkham.
59 Garage, 1483 11th Ave.
60 Garage, 1366 11th Ave.
61 Garage, 947 Lincoln Way.
62 Garage, 1340 12th Ave.
63 Garage, 1248 Funston Ave.
64 Columbus School, 12th Ave. and Kirkham.

65 Garage, 1315 14th Ave.
66 Garage, 1252 16th Ave.
67 Garage, 1530 Irving.
68 Garage, 1043 Judah.
69 Garage, 1630 19th Ave.
70 Garage, 1511 17th Ave.
71 Jefferson School, 18th Ave. and Irving.
72 Garage, 1234 18th Ave.
73 Garage, 1260 19th Ave.
74 Garage, 1465 20th Ave.
75 Garage, 1243 22nd Ave.
76 Garage, 1347 22nd Ave.
77 Garage, 1578 22nd Ave.
78 Garage, 1446 Moraga.
79 Garage, 1666 23rd Ave.
80 Garage, 1507 26th Ave.
81 Garage, 1327 25th Ave.
82 Garage, 1230 25th Ave.
83 Garage, 1265 27th Ave.
84 Garage, 1322 28th Ave.
85 Garage, 1487 27th Ave.
86 Garage, 1266 30th Ave.
87 Garage, 3124 Irving.
88 Garage, 1227 35th Ave.
89 Garage, 1334 35th Ave.
90 Garage, 1235 39th Ave.
91 Garage, 1350 40th Ave.
92 Garage, 1354 44th Ave.
93 Garage, 1331 44th Ave.
94 Store, 1259 47th Ave.
95 Garage, 1567 46th Ave.
96 Garage, 1522 48th Ave.
97 Garage, 1631 45th Ave.
98 Garage, 1907 9th Ave.
99 Garage, 2001 9th Ave.
100 Laguna Honda Home.
101 Laguna Honda Station, Laguna Honda Blvd.
102 Garage, 145 Vasquez Ave.
103 Garage, 30 Vasquez Ave.
104 Garage, 118 Dorchester Way.
105 Garage, 264 Granville Way.
106 West Portal School, Lenox Way and Taraval.
107 Garage, 390 Castenada Ave.
108 Garage, 18 Madrone Ave.
109 Garage, 164 Madrone Ave.
110 Garage, 727 Ulloa.
111 Garage, 353 Wawona.
112 Garage, 515 Vicente.
113 Garage, 2454 17th Ave.
114 Garage, 2326 16th Ave.
115 Garage, 2246 15th Ave.
116 Garage, 2083 16th Ave.
117 Garage, 1949 20th Ave.
118 Garage, 920 Rivera.
119 Garage, 2216 18th Ave.
120 Garage, 2233 21st Ave.
121 Garage, 2331 19th Ave.
122 Garage, 1708 Ulloa.
123 Garage, 2425 21st Ave.
124 Garage, 2550 21st Ave.
125 Garage, 2611 21st Ave.
126 Taraval Police Station, Basement W. S. 24th N. Taraval.
127 Garage, 2491 24th Ave.
128 Parkside School, 25th and Ulloa.
129 Garage, 2335 28th Ave.
130 Garage, 2495 27th Ave.
131 Garage, 2519 30th Ave.
132 Garage, 2310 30th Ave.
133 Garage, 2371 32nd Ave.
134 Garage, S. W. 34th and Taraval.
135 Garage, 2438 Taraval.
136 Garage, 2206 46th Ave.
137 Garage, 1485 23rd Ave.
138 Lawton School, 31st Ave. and Lawton.

### 26TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

Precinct

1 Garage, 1638 Baker.
2 Garage, 2838 Bush.
3 Store, 2700 Sutter.
4 Store, 2401 Post.
5 Store, 2601 Post.
6 Garage, 2837 Geary.
7 Geary School, Cook St. N. Geary.
8 Garage, 10 Parker Ave.
9 Garage, 901 Broderick.
10 Garage, 736 Lyon.
11 Garage, 2008 Golden Gate Ave.
12 Garage, 2171 Golden Gate Ave.
13 Garage, 29 Hemway Terrace.
14 Store, 803 Fillmore.
15 Garage, 1449 McAllister.
16 Garage, 1316 Fulton.
17 Garage, 1780 McAllister.
18 House, 1522 Fulton.
19 Garage, 1632 Fulton.
20 Garage, 930 Hayes.
21 Garage, 1364 Grove.
22 Garage, 605 Scott.
23 Garage, 442 Broderick.
24 Garage, 1456 Hayes.
25 Store, 463 Broderick.
26 Garage, 550 Central Ave.
27 Garage, 720 Masonic Ave.
28 Garage, 826 Masonic Ave.
29 Garage, 744 Oak.
30 Denman School, Hayes and Pierce.
31 Garage, 860 Oak.
32 Garage, 1075 Fell.
33 Garage, N. W. Scott and Oak.
34 Garage, 1122 Page.
35 Garage, 114 Lyon.
36 Garage, 1040 Masonic Ave.
37 Garage, 610 Page.
38 Garage, 732 Page.
39 Hall, 220 Pierce.
40 Garage, 245 Pierce.
41 Garage, 925 Page.
42 Garage, 120 Broderick.
43 Garage, 1036 Haight.
44 Garage, 158 Central Ave.
45 Dudley Stone School, Haight and Masonic.
46 Store, 533 Haight.
47 Garage, 337 Hermann.
48 Garage, 76 Pierce.
49 Basement, 128 Scott.
50 Garage, 824 Waller.
51 Garage, 845 Waller.
52 Garage, 28 Belcher.
53 Garage, 531 Duboce Ave.
54 Garage, 56 Walter.
55 McKinley School, Castro and 14th.
56 Garage, 157 Alpine Terrace.
57 Garage, 24 Buena Vista Terrace.
58 Garage, 1342 Masonic Ave.
59 Hotel Twin Peaks, 2160 Market.
60 Garage, 879 14th St.
61 Garage, 2142 15th St.
62 Garage, 2218 15th St.
63 Sanchez School, Sanhcez and 16th Sts.
64 Basement, N. E. 16th and Sanchez.
65 Garage, 33 Prosper.
66 Garage, 3950 17th St.
67 Garage, 19 Beaver.
68 Garage, 2425 15th St.
69 Garage, 4164 17th St.
70 Garage, 4372 17th St.
71 Garage, 69 Piedmont.
72 Garage, 22 Temple.
73 Garage, 1142 Clayton.
74 Garage, 3866 18th St.
75 Garage, 3938 18th St.
76 Garage, 476 Noe.
77 Garage, 3952 19th St.
78 Store, 545 Castro.
79 Store, 4146 18th St.
80 Garage, 52 Diamond.
81 Garage, 152 Eureka.
82 Garage, 2630 Market.
83 Garage, 3086 Market.
84 Garage, 226 Douglass.
85 Garage, 284 Douglass.
86 Garage, 3225 Market.
87 Garage, 744 Church.
88 Garage, 666 Noe.
89 Garage, 681 Castro.

90 Garage, 626 Castro.
91 Garage, 160 Collingwood.
92 Garage, 4441 20th St.
93 Garage, 278 Eureka.
94 Garage, 4535 19th St.
95 Garage, 3524 21st St.
96 Garage, 3975 20th St.
97 Garage, 743 Castro.
98 Garage, S. S. 21st 80 ft. W. Dolores.
99 Garage, 409 Hill.
100 Garage, 514 Hill.
101 Garage, 476 Diamond.
102 Garage, 4342 21st St.
103 Edison School, 22nd and Dolores.
104 Garage, 1125 Church.
105 Garage, 945 Sanchez.
106 Garage, 526 Alvarado.
107 Garage, 523 Alvarado.
108 Alvarado School, 22nd and Douglass.
109 Garage, 821 Alvarado.
110 Garage, 919 Alvarado.
111 Store, 3868 24th St.
112 Garage, 1021 Noe.
113 Garage, 548 Elizabeth.
114 Garage, 4151 23rd St.
115 Garage, 4223 23rd St.
116 Garage, 4252 24th St.

**27TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

Precinct
1 Garage, 1384 Francisco.
2 Garage, 1361 Bay.
3 Garage, 3740 Fillmore.
4 Garage, 128 Cervantes Blvd.
5 Garage, 312 Avila.
6 Garage, 1867 Jefferson.
7 Garage, 2170 Beach.
8 Garage, 70 Cervantes Blvd.
9 Garage, 112 Alhambra Way.
10 Garage, 224 Avila.
11 Garage, 164 Avila.
12 Winfield Scott School, S. E. Beach and Divisadero.
13 Garage, 3434 Broderick.
14 Garage, 3437 Broderick.
15 Garage, 144 Mallorca Way.
16 Garage, 34 Toledo Way.
17 Garage, 62 Avila.
18 Garage, 2155 Bay.
19 Garage, 2223 Francisco.
20 Garage, 3315 Divisadero.
21 Garage, 2473 Francisco.
22 Garage, 3036 Baker.
23 Garage, 3035 Van Ness Ave.
24 Garage, 1554 Chestnut.
25 Garage, 3201 Octavia.
26 Marina School, Fillmore and Chestnut.
27 Garage, N. S. Lombard, 100 ft. W. Van Ness.
28 Garage, 2935 Franklin.
29 Garage, 1668 Lombard.
30 Garage, 3052 Octavia.
31 Garage, 3108 Buchanan.
32 Yerba Buena School, Greenwich and Webster.
33 Garage, 3227 Steiner.
34 Garage, 2343 Greenwich.
35 North End Police Station, Greenwich and Scott.
36 Garage, 2601 Greenwich.
37 Garage, 2945 Scott.
38 Garage, 2836 Filbert.
39 Garage, 2833 Union.
40 Garage, 1549 Filbert.
41 Garage, 1615 Greenwch.
42 Garage, 2750 Gough.
43 Sherman School, Union and Franklin.
44 Garage, 1816 Union.
45 Garage, 2821 Laguna.
46 Garage, 2901 Buchanan.
47 Store, 3044 Fillmore.
48 Garage, 3042 Steiner.
49 Garage, 2942 Steiner.
50 Garage, 2329 Green.
51 Garage, 2683 Green.
52 Garage, 2545 Baker.
53 Garage, 2408 Franklin.
54 Garage, 1889 Green.
55 Garage, 1699 Vallejo.
56 Garage, N. W. Gough and Broadway.
57 Garage, 2409 Octavia.
58 Garage, 2103 Vallejo.
59 Garage, 2632 Fillmore.
60 Garage, 2417 Broadway.
61 Grant School, Pacific and Baker.
62 Garage, E. S. Franklin, 120 ft. N. Pacific.
63 Garage, 1745 Broadway.
64 Garage, 2030 Franklin.
65 Garage, 2101 Pacific Ave.
66 Garage, 2400 Webster.
67 Pacific Heights School, Entrance Webster St.
68 Garage, 2241 Webster.
69 Garage, 2510 Washington.
70 Garage, 2640 Jackson.
71 Garage, 3024 Washington.
72 Garage, 3232 Jackson.
73 Garage, 3184 Washington.
74 Gough School, Washington and Franklin.
75 Garage, 2315 Buchanan.
76 Garage, N. E. Franklin and Sacramento.
77 Garage, 1755 Franklin.
78 Garage, 1734 Gough.
79 Garage, W. S. Gough, 150 ft. S. California.
80 Garage, 2153 Sacramento.
81 Garage, 2263 Sacramento.
82 Garage, 2101 California.
83 Store, 2469 Sacramento.
84 Garage, 2136 Pierce.
85 Garage, 2136 Steiner.
86 Garage, 2430 Pine.
87 Garage, 2772 Sacramento.
88 Garage, 2652 California.
89 Emerson School, California and Divisadero.
90 Basement, S. W. Divisadero and Washington.
91 Garage, 1908 Baker.
92 Store, 2051 Divisadero.
93 Garage, 1716 Baker.
94 Garage, 212 Presidio Ave.
95 Garage, 1811 Baker.
96 Garage, 2958 Pine.
97 Garage, 320 Walnut.
98 Garage, 3435 Clay.
99 Garage, 3319 Jackson.
100 House, 130 Locust.
101 Garage, 3675 Jackson.
102 House, 3676 Sacramento.
103 Garage, 3646 California.
104 Garage, 3978 Washington.
105 Madison School, Sacramento and Arguello.
106 Garage, 234 Arguello Blvd.
107 Garage, 3233 Scott.

**28TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

Precinct
1 Garage, 100 Lake.
2 Garage, 346 Lake.
3 Garage, 530 Lake.
4 Garage, 155 Parker.
5 Garage, 92 Palm Ave.
6 Garage, 3434 Geary.
7 Roosevelt School, Arguello and Geary.
8 Garage, N. E. Euclid and Arguello.
9 Garage, 4124 California.
10 Garage, 234 2nd Ave.
11 Garage, 350 3rd Ave.
12 Garage, 211 3rd Ave.
13 Garage, 152 6th Ave.
14 Garage, 211 4th Ave.
15 Store, 307½ 4th Ave.
16 George Peabody School, 7th Ave. and Clement.
17 Garage, 158 8th Ave.

18 Garage, 355 7th Ave.
19 Garage, 172 9th Ave.
20 Garage, 335 8th Ave.
21 Garage, 184 10th Ave.
22 Garage, 116 11th Ave.
23 Garage, 280 11th Ave.
24 Garage, 334 11th Ave.
25 House, 176 12th Ave.
26 Garage, 115 12th Ave.
27 Sutro School, Funston and California.
28 Garage, 333 12th Ave.
29 Garage, 179 14th Ave.
30 Garage, 234 15th Ave.
31 Garage, 380 15th Ave.
32 Garage, 20 18th Ave.
33 Garage, 267 15th Ave.
34 Garage, 320 16th Ave.
35 Garage, 146 17th Ave.
36 Garage, 241 16th Ave.
37 Garage, 218 18th Ave.
38 Y. M. C. A., 360 18th Ave.
39 Garage, 268 19th Ave.
40 Garage, 181 19th Ave.
41 Garage, 208 20th Ave.
42 Garage, 339 19th Ave.
43 Garage, 1908 Lake.
44 Garage, 206 21st Ave.
45 Garage, 220 22nd Ave.
46 Garage, 357 20th Ave.
47 Garage, 190 25th Ave.
48 Alamo School, 23rd and California.
49 Hall, 374 24th Ave.
50 Garage, 329 23rd Ave.
51 Garage, 234 25th Ave.
52 Garage, 353 25th Ave.
53 Garage, 418 25th Ave.
54 Garage, 320 El Camino Del Mar.
55 Garage, 170 27th Ave.
56 Garage, 377 26th Ave.
57 Garage, 6522 California.
58 Garage, 475 27th Ave.
59 Garage, 289 29th Ave.
60 Garage, 367 28th Ave.
61 Garage, 6835 California.
62 Presidio Jr. High School, 30th Ave. and Clement.
63 Garage, 280 32nd Ave.
64 Garage, 475 31st Ave.
65 Garage, 478 33rd Ave.
66 Garage, 498 35th Ave.
67 Garage, 588 37th Ave.
68 Garage, 480 39th Ave.
69 Garage, 7620 Geary.
70 Garage, 429 43rd Ave.
71 Garage, 661 Arguello Blvd.
72 Garage, 2678 McAllister.
73 Garage, 14 Parsons.
74 Garage, 3161 Turk.
75 Garage, 837 Arguello Blvd.
76 Garage, 447 3rd Ave.
77 Garage, 528 4th Ave.
78 Garage, 610 3rd Ave.
79 Store, 664 4th Ave.
80 Garage, 470 5th Ave.
81 Garage, 766 5th Ave.
82 Garage, 553 5th Ave.
83 Richmond Police Station, 6th Ave. and Geary.
84 Frank McCoppin School, 7th Ave. and Balboa.
85 Garage, 701 5th Ave.
86 Garage, 710 7th Ave.
87 Garage, 571 7th Ave.
88 Garage, 554 9th Ave.
89 Garage, 680 8th Ave.
90 Garage, 653 8th Ave.
91 Garage, 3134 Fulton.
92 Garage, 450 10th Ave.
93 Garage, 574 11th Ave.
94 Garage, 816 Cabrillo.
95 Garage, 615 10th Ave.
96 Garage, 714 10th Ave.
97 Garage, 1028 Anza.
98 Garage, 694 12th Ave.
99 Garage, 507 12th Ave.
100 Garage, 2332 Anza.
101 Garage, 508 15th Ave.
102 Garage, 618 Funston Ave.
103 Garage, 749 11th Ave.
104 Garage, 750 15th Ave.
105 Garage, 467 16th Ave.
106 Garage, 482 19th Ave.
107 Garage, 567 15th Ave.
108 Garage, 2531 Anza.
109 Garage, 571 18th Ave.
110 Garage, 1419 Balboa.
111 Argonne School, 18th and Cabrillo.
112 Garage, 788 16th Ave.
113 Garage, 766 18th Ave.
114 Garage, 778 19th Ave.
115 Garage, 436 21st Ave.
116 Garage, 666 20th Ave.
117 Garage, 649 20th Ave.
118 Garage, 768 20th Ave.
119 Basement, 4446 Fulton.
120 Garage, 425 21st Ave.
121 Garage, 696 22nd Ave.
122 Garage, 687 22nd Ave.
123 Garage, 776 24th Ave.
124 Garage, 723 23rd Ave.
125 Garage, 578 24th Ave.
126 Garage, 599 26th Ave.
127 Garage, 685 26th Ave.
128 Garage, 819 24th Ave.
129 Garage, 709 25th Ave.
130 Garage, 811 26th Ave.
131 Garage, 6437 Geary.
132 Garage, 659 27th Ave.
133 Garage, 827 28th Ave.
134 Garage, 812 31st Ave.
135 George Washington High School, Entrance 32nd and Anza.
136 Garage, 730 33rd Ave.
137 Garage, 817 32nd Ave.
138 Garage, 679 33rd Ave.
139 Garage, 725 33rd Ave.
140 Garage, 839 34th Ave.
141 Lafayette School, 37th and Anza.
142 Garage, 890 37th Ave.
143 Garage, 811 38th Ave.
144 Garage, 543 41st Ave.
145 Garage, 611 39th Ave.
146 Garage, 778 42nd Ave.
147 Garage, 878 40th Ave.
148 Garage, 819 42nd Ave.
149 Garage, 550 44th Ave.
150 Garage, 636 44th Ave.
151 Garage, 778 45th Ave.
152 Garage, 806 45th Ave.
153 Garage, 735 47th Ave.
154 Garage, 831 48th Ave.

Dated at San Francisco this 6th day of July, 1936.

C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

---

The election officers to act in the conduct of said election and the polling places where they are to perform their duties, are as follows:

**TWENTIETH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—L. Iavarone, 2001 Stockton.
Judge—Jos. Balestrini, 351 Chestnut.
Clerk—E. A. Lehman, 542 Bush.
Clerk—Amelia Jacketta, 334 Vallejo.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—W. L. Canevaro, 579 Greenwich.
Judge—Susie Pieri, 427 Chestnut.
Clerk—Mary R. Butler, 1918 Powell.
Clerk—Mary L. Stout, 1351 Broadway.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—E. F. Canevaro, 579 Greenwich.
Judge—Ida Ennis, 1731 Stockton.
Clerk—N. D. Sharp, 1564 Jackson.
Clerk—Jessie G. Crittenden, 555 California.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—Louis Chiantelli, 1524 Grant Ave.

Judge—Maye R. Kietel, 570 California.
Clerk—Rose Campana, 1646 Stockton.
Clerk—Ellen Bianchini, 370 Union.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—S. F. Addiego, 1236A Kearny.
Judge—Ellen Cooney, 291 Union.
Clerk—Augusto Martinelli, 340 Vallejo.
Clerk—G. J. Gallo, 334 Vallejo.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—J. J. Loughery, 556 California.
Judge—Amalia Curtis, 23 Jasper Place.
Clerk—Mrs. Eliz. Fanucci, 21 Jasper Place.
Clerk—Simeon Addiego, 27 Jasper Place.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—W. F. Ehlers, 1165 Kearny.
Judge—A. F. Truette, 1334 Stockton.
Clerk—Renato Marchetti, 1426 Stockton.
Clerk—W. A. Bari, 17 Tracy Place.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—Ascanio Cataloni, 732 Vallejo.
Judge—Violet Fowler, 1867 Jefferson.
Clerk—John Marino, 1616A Powell.
Clerk—Juan Barguilla, 1418 Powell.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—Wm. Debrunner, 1348 Powell.
Judge—Mrs. J. P. Kenneally, 808 Union.
Clerk—J. L. Kirk, 556 California.
Clerk—Angelo Cagnazzo, 671½ Broadway.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—Michael E. Woodfield, 359A Broadway.
Judge—Emma B. Alvarez, 1256 Powell.
Clerk—A. F. Lawler, 556 California.
Clerk—O. W. Smith, 1256 Powell.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—E. J. Campodonico, 36 Columbus Ave.
Judge—Maurine Blaskower, 1562 Vallejo.
Clerk—Eugene Krischer, 959 Vallejo.
Clerk—Maurice Albora, 112 Columbus Ave.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—Chas. Lowenberg, 1000 Sutter.
Judge—Mary Losada, 49 Auburn.
Clerk—G. F. Spaulding, 936 Mission.
Clerk—Dode Walsh, 1112 Market.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—J. T. Johnson, 1773 Hyde.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Goley, 1000 Powell.
Clerk—Georgina B. Alverson, 1000 Powell.
Clerk—Mrs. Margaret Borg, 1000 Powell.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—E. M. DeWitt, 707 Stockton.
Judge—J. R. Ferrea, 1257 Chestnut.
Clerk—Mary L. Sheldon, 830 Powell.
Clerk—R. R. Aungst, 118 Taylor.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—H. H. McGuigan, 140 4th Street.
Judge—Clara M. Settau, 195 7th Street.
Clerk—H. G. Merritt, 85 4th Street.
Clerk—J. P. Brown, 949 Clay.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—J. Harry Jenkins, 528 Kearny.
Judge—Louis Tuttle, 549 Kearny.
Clerk—Chas. F. Antrim, 549 Kearny.
Clerk—Jos. M. Derrig, 528 Kearny.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—A. C. Cunningham, 628 Montgomery.
Judge—John J. Sullivan, 480 Pine.
Clerk—J. F. Laughlin, 480 Pine.
Clerk—F. H. Atwater, 565 California.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—Jess Doran, 957 Mission.
Judge—Fred Landucci, 601 Montgomery.
Clerk—Ida Hinkle, 66 Geary.
Clerk—F. W. Bell, 139 Drumm.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—B. F. Thomas, 480 Pine.
Judge—Mrs. Helen Cutherell, 342 Grant Ave.
Clerk—Mignon MacDonald, 342 Grant Ave.
Clerk—Margaret McGovern, 342 Grant Ave.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—J. A. Reed, 538 Pine.
Judge—Anna Judd, 1928 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Irene M. Hewins, 510 Stockton.
Clerk—I. H. Dahlman, 314 Kearny.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—Corwin Hansen, 778 Pine.
Judge—Della Tobin, 20 Joice.
Clerk—Lillian S. Cleary, 1111 Jones.
Clerk—Donna Lowry, 44 McAllister.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—M. R. Cohn, 27 Monroe.
Judge—Edna A. Beveridge, 660 Bush.
Clerk—Mrs. Dora L. Osthoff, 39 Monroe.
Clerk—Lewis T. Bristol, 27 Monroe.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—Robt. Pfefferle, 542 Bush.
Judge—Mrs. Dorothy Roth, 725 Pine.
Clerk—Mary A. Tuttle, 618 Bush.
Clerk—Max Bennett, 16 Joice.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—Ira C. Lobaugh, 510 Stockton.
Judge—A. A. Robinson, 510 Bush.
Clerk—Geo. F. Wilhelm, 542 Bush.
Clerk—E. A. Lehman, 542 Bush.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—Ralph C. Nagle, 310 Post.
Judge—Florence McCann, 310 Post.
Clerk—Theresa G. Smith, 310 Post.
Clerk—Zula E. Taber, 417 Stockton.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—Thos. E. Lawson, 556 California.
Judge—Cora E. Colt, 325 Sutter.
Clerk—Leah Jonas, 340 Stockton.
Clerk—C. Hansen, 778 Pine.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—M. Keville, 83 4th Street.
Judge—Minnie McDermott, 85 4th Street.
Clerk—R. C. Avy, 74 3rd Street.
Clerk—Robt. Fields, 140 4th Street.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspetcor—Ray Swailes, 1139 Market.
Judge—P. R. Brownell, 939 Mission.
Clerk—B. D. Fyfe, 179 Jessie.
Clerk—John Riordan, 259 4th Street.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—R. L. Bartel, 51 6th Street.
Judge—Wm. I. Fox, 936 Mission.
Clerk—W. R. Johnston, 74 3rd Street.
Clerk—John Riordan, 259 4th Street.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—Thos. O'Brien, 175 3rd Street.
Judge—Grace Haseltine, 55 5th Street.
Clerk—Chas. J. Dunn, 137 3rd Street.
Clerk—J. L. Macdonald, 684 Folsom.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—Chas. W. Etique, 163 Tehama.
Judge—R. S. Booker, 221 3rd Street.
Clerk—P. F. Grossman, 153 Freelon.
Clerk—Evilo Hanifen, 1464 Taylor.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—F. B. Clifford, 936 Mission.
Judge—E. M. T. Wilprecht, 19 Guy Place.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary R. Hart, 45 Verona Place.
Clerk—Edw. G. Sohl, 1054 Howard.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—W. Faillinger, 1078 Howard.
Judge—Blanche J. Berndt, 580 O'Farrell.
Clerk—James M. Cox, 250 Taylor.
Clerk—W. P. Hauser, 203 4th Street.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—J. P. McGuire, 102 South Park.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret Malone, 165 South Park.
Clerk—C. S. Jordan, 28 South Park.
Clerk—Daniel E. Evans, 22 South Park.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—Jos. Anderson, 718 Howard.
Judge—Geo. F. Emmerson, 193 4th Street.
Clerk—John Totter, 193 4th Street.

Clerk—R. F. Morton, 193 4th Street.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—R. M. Schoenthal, 284 4th Street.
Judge—Frank Berens, 753 Howard.
Clerk—J. T. Ely, 203 4th Street.
Clerk—Roy T. O'Neil, 370 3rd Street.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—H. B. Angell, 75 Shipley.
Judge—Helen Burke, 77 Shipley.
Clerk—John Murphy, 370 3rd Street.
Clerk—Oliver Bellrude, 758 Harrison.

**Precinct: 38:**
Inspector—F. L. Boruff, 55 5th Street.
Judge—F. X. Kenney, 44 4th Street.
Clerk—Jos. Jacona, 51 6th Street.
Clerk—D. E. Bretz, 44 5th Street.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—J. C. Applegate, 895 Mission.
Judge—P. F. Green, 819 Mission.
Clerk—H. G. Merritt, 108 4th Street.
Clerk—Earl D. Holzhauer, 108 4th Street.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—A. Gilbertson, 939 Mission.
Judge—C. W. Mahoney, 149 6th St.
Clerk—P. J. McDonald, 971 Mission.
Clerk—Henry C. Foote, 481 Minna.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—E. E. Opderbeck, 315 5th St.
Judge—Amelia E. Baum, 516 O'Farrell.
Clerk—H. J. Vind, 284 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Odessia Smith, 111 Mason.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—Edw. Wuncher, 977 Folsom.
Judge—R. N. Folks, 732 Natoma.
Clerk—R. B. Stadler, 377 6th Street.
Clerk—Wm. Leanna, 215 Shipley.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—A. G. DeForce, 161 Morris Ave.
Judge—Chas. A. Weis, 995 Harrison.
Clerk—Josephine Murphy, 121A Freelon.
Clerk—Curtis L. Smith, 809 Bryant.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—Henry Kirsch, 74 6th Street.
Judge—Frank J. Perkins, 1045 Market.
Clerk—Mrs. Anna K. O'Neill, 1087 Market.
Clerk—Julius Hagendorn, 1018 Mission.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Geo. Graham, 159 7th Street.
Judge—H. M. Hine, 1077 Mission.
Clerk—A. S. McCreary, 170 6th Street.
Clerk—Minnie M. Andrews, 1039 Mission.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—G. G. Miller, 252 6th Street.
Judge—B. C. O'Brien, 214 6th Street.
Clerk—Wm. J. Drummey, 252 6th Street.
Clerk—Ethel M. Pyle, 259 7th Street.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—Fred C. Lehman, 92 7th St.
Judge—Wm. G. Riley, 1139 Market.
Clerk—D. C. Dwyer, 40 7th St.
Clerk—J. A. Le Duke, 939 Mission.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—Geo. H. Brown, 112 7th St.
Judge—Thos. D. Cashman, 112 7th St.
Clerk—Chas. A. Koeppe, 112 7th St.
Clerk—Anna M. Perry, 775 Clementina.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—J. D. Kirkpatrick, 9A Summer.
Judge—Fred Spirz, 56 Langton.
Clerk—Mrs. Nora Heinrichs, 1256 Folsom.
Clerk—Jennie W. Silverene, 31 Rausch.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—Wm. R. Weaver, 1288 Mission.
Judge—L. R. Harrington, Hotel Whitcomb.
Clerk—Frances Kane, 1288 Mission.
Clerk—John Heaney, 91 9th St.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—F. H. Diver, 65 Kissling.
Judge—Cornelia Stanley, 14 Washburn.
Clerk—Mary Casey, 14 Washburn.
Clerk—Wm. T. Smith, 751 Natoma.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—S. G. Macia, 256 Dore.
Judge—Annie Rapina, 321 10th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Annie I. Peterson, 1402 18th St.
Clerk—R. C. Leonard, 245 Connecticut.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—J. Lobig, 18 Brush Place.
Judge—J. W. Roscoe, 314 6th St.
Clerk—L. O. Brown, 300 7th St.
Clerk—B. B. Shepley, 736 Natoma.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—Michael Bizal, 441 Utah.
Judge—Anna Siebecker, 345 Texas.
Clerk—L. Lizee, 405 Utah.
Clerk—Rosalind Vallier, 253 Missouri.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—John W. Henneberry, 262 Connecticut.
Judge—Mary Perac, 266 Missouri.
Clerk—Mary Griffin, 275 Missouri.
Clerk—Rose Corso, 1435 17th St.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—C. F. Adams, 300 Pennsylvania.
Judge—Miss Mildred Kaunitz, 1222 18th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Eliz. Duff, 609 Tennessee.
Clerk—Matilda Kelly, 1142 Mariposa.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—W. J. Crowley, 921 Minnesota.
Judge—John J. Canavan, 924 Minnesota.
Clerk—Cecelia M. Leslie, 947 Minnesota.
Clerk—Chas. A. Crowley Jr., 799 22nd St.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—John P. Carey, 1425 20th St.
Judge—Catherine A. Rooney, 1219 19th St.
Clerk—Michael McGarvey, 874 Mississippi.
Clerk—Annie I. Peterson, 1402 18th St.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—H. Sanguinetti, 376 Missouri.
Judge—P. Murnane, 343 Missouri.
Clerk—Florence Scharetg, 388 Texas.
Clerk—Julia E. Ott, 350 Texas.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—Geo. R. McVey, 143 Missouri.
Judge—Rose Dunnigan, 1605 20th St.
Clerk—Mary Mannion, 403 Connecticut.
Clerk—Martha S. Fatooh, 1540 20th St.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—H. O. Day, 578 Vermont.
Judge—Rose Sukle, 519 Rhode Island.
Clerk—Ella Dorcey, 569 San Bruno Ave.
Clerk—Cecila Soarinen, 244 18th St.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—H. M. Pabor, 2330 19th St.
Judge—Eugene J. Daley Sr., 2405 18th St.
Clerk—P. J. Barich, 600 Vermont.
Clerk—Mary C. O'Toole, 695 Rhode Island.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—Wm. Webb, 710 Vermont.
Judge—Helen I. Maloney, 743 Vermont.
Clerk—Mary Hynes, 931 Vermont.
Clerk—Richard Stevens, 2220B 23rd St.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—Bernard J. Drury Jr., 731 Wisconsin.
Judge—Madeline Phemester, 528 Arkansas.
Clerk—Michael Conaty, 1708 20th St.
Clerk—A. E. Wehrli, 707 DeHaro.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—M. Govednik, 807 Kansas.
Judge—Hazel M. Lucy, 779 Wisconsin.
Clerk—R. W. Morgan, 828 Arkansas.
Clerk—O. F. Forslund, 1015 Carolina.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—J. J. Daly, 2308 Humboldt.
Judge—Maria Gianotti, 1019 Kansas.
Clerk—Edw. Lynch, 1036 Kansas.
Clerk—Wm. G. Delany, 1041 Kansas.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—Gus Plato, San Francisco Hospital.

Judge—John McQueeney, San Francisco Hospital.
Clerk—Daniel Sweeney, San Francisco Hospital.
Clerk—O. Edwards, San Francisco Hospital.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—P. J. Cunningham, 1263 Potrero Ave.
Judge—C. F. Gardner, 1334 Utah.
Clerk—Mrs. Edith Pratt, 1340 Utah.
Clerk—Edw. Fitzpatrick, 1212 Utah.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—Harold Roberts, 1354 Kansas.
Judge—Hugh Deranso, 1380 San Bruno Ave.
Clerk—L. Paterson, 430 Missouri.
Clerk—C. R. McGuire, 2616 24th St.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—John O'Keefe, 1349 Rhode Island.
Judge—Mary B. Roberts, 2313 Humboldt.
Clerk—John F. Gallagher, 1324 Rhode Island.
Clerk—Herman Scherf, 1052 Kansas.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—John R. Donahue, 1411 Kansas.
Judge—Irene M. Kelleher, 1433 Vermont.
Clerk—Helen E. Sweeney, 1444 Rhode Island.
Clerk—Eleanor Pratt, 1406 Kansas.

**TWENTY-FIRST ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—F. Louie, 3179 Mission.
Judge—Margaret C. Murphy, 3347 Army.
Clerk—Anna Weible, 3335 Army.
Clerk—Florence M. Clark, 229 Precita Ave.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—A. T. Jess, 2975 Army.
Judge—Ella Miller, 3111½ Folsom.
Clerk—Frances Klemm, 1506 Florida.
Clerk—Ethel B. Bullard, 1428 Shotwell.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—P. Fitzgerald, 1683 York.
Judge—Antoinette Grant, 20 Joy.
Clerk—Mrs. Delia Butler, 642 Precita Ave.
Clerk—P. Fitzgerald, 1683 York.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—J. T. Reilly Jr., 290 Mullen Ave.
Judge—Lena A. Mau, 341 Franconia.
Clerk—P. J. Garrity, 105 Franconia.
Clerk—D. A. Desmond, 153 Ellsworth.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—Frank Pechacek, 225 Mullen Ave.
Judge—Margaret O'Grady, 1670 Alabama.
Clerk—Margaret Crackbon, 1719 Alabama.
Clerk—Mary A. Dingle, 300 Buchanan.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—D. Collins, 117 Manchester.
Judge—A. C. R. Coutts, 1451 Shotwell.
Clerk—Marie Johnson, 24 Coleridge.
Clerk—Hattie J. Anderson, 200 Gates.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—J. T. Funston, 278A Precita Ave.
Judge—Mary Gallagher, 21 Coso Ave.
Clerk—Ellen M. Nolan, 17 Mirabel Ave.
Clerk—Wm. J. Gilbert, 122A Precita Ave.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—S. O. Fales, Sr., 16 Lundy's Lane.
Judge—M. J. Callaghan, 31 Coleridge.
Clerk—Hannah Barry, 9 Coleridge.
Clerk—Mrs. Orrie Schroyer, 30 Winfield Ave.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—W. J. A. Collonan, 1 Peters Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Anna Collins, 135 Coleridge.
Clerk—Miriam Dunn, 66 Coleridge.
Clerk—Anita Moroncelli, 11 Bennington.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—William Smith, 11 Eugenia.
Judge—James J. Orme, 4 Godeus.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Gallagher, 296 Coleridge.
Clerk—Catherine M. Walsh, 338 Crescent Ave.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—Wm. Murphy, 187 Bocana Ave.
Judge—John Roccaforte, 203 Moultrie.
Clerk—Grace Shea, 328 Virginia.
Clerk—Anneta Skellenger, 12 Godeus.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—A. E. Beckman, 207 Andover.
Judge—Ingred E. Staff, 97 Anderson.
Clerk—Minnie P. Beckman, 207 Andover.
Clerk—Alice Werner, 257 Richland Ave.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—Edward Peterson, 2061 Mission.
Judge—Mary Hughes, 21 Newman.
Clerk—Phyllis Shalabba, 817 Cortland Ave.
Clerk—Margaret Kane, 230 Bennington.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—Glen Reels, 1223 Cortland Ave.
Judge—Mary McIver, 242 Nevada.
Clerk—J. D. Marron, 173 Ney.
Clerk—Josephine Dangle, 390 Shotwell.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—William Lee, 3936 Folsom.
Judge—J. E. Nunley, 724 Jarboe.
Clerk—Thos. F. Bell, 530 Tompkins.
Clerk—Ruth Lyons, 175 Ney.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—R. J. O'Rourke, 506 Ellsworth.
Judge—Lillian Burns, 443 Andover.
Clerk—Mrs. Agnes Lehr, 450 Anderson.
Clerk—Esther Laforgia, 437 Ellsworth.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—Dave McCann, 308 Andover.
Judge—Mae E. Ahern, 308 Andover.
Clerk—Mrs. Ella Wyatt, 367 Elsie.
Clerk—Mrs. Marie Murray, 525 Moscow.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—B. H. Smith, 254A Bon View.
Judge—Pauline Silvey, 116 Wool.
Clerk—Agnes Murphy, 313 Winfield.
Clerk—E. M. Roebling, 311 Cortland Ave.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—Jos. Farrell, 157 Bronte.
Judge—Marjorie Commins, 353 Prospect Ave.
Clerk—Mary A. Butler, 354½ Prospect Ave.
Clerk—Bertha Arata, 201 Justin Drive.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—F. P. Herm, 16A Gladys.
Judge—Valeska Juhl, 29 Santa Marina.
Clerk—Margaret McFarland, 75 Santa Marina.
Clerk—Edw. Smith, 14 Hollywood Ct.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—Louis Quist, 223 Richland Ave.
Judge—Ethel Jacque, 117 Highland.
Clerk—Miss Mary Lynch, 249 Richland.
Clerk—Anna H. Harte, 77 Leese.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—P. E. Gaehrke, 341 Richland.
Judge—Anna Page, 331 Bennington.
Clerk—Mrs. Ellen Wanderer, 362 Park.
Clerk—O. P. DeMars, 1820 Felton.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—E. A. Moser, 609 Banks.
Judge—S. W. Bremner, 748 Anderson.
Clerk—Rose Scaler, 501 Crescent Ave.
Clerk—A. C. Biedenbach, 402 Girard.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—Jos. Grisler, 10 Bache.
Judge—Margaret Gilmore, 300 Richland Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Annie Coulter, 46 Bache.

Clerk—B. Albrecht, 312 Richland Ave.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—Leo Maynard, 119 Crescent Ave.
Judge—Isabel R. Marron, 173 Ney.
Clerk—D. Silleman, 67 Crescent Ave.
Clerk—Wm. H. During, 139 Leese.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—W. L. Arata, 201 Justin Drive.
Judge—Hazel Pyne, 44 Maynard.
Clerk—Mrs. Maud Schofield, 319 Justin Drive.
Clerk—L. J. Champagne, 273 Justin Drive.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—Chas. A. Lyons, 175 Ney.
Judge—Alice L. Cruso, 36 Agnon Ave.
Clerk—Margaret M. Towey, 430 College Ave.
Clerk—H. B. Barber, 225 College Ave.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—W. P. Perry, 119 Trumbell.
Judge—Mary R. Sullivan, 156 Ney.
Clerk—Mrs. Nellie Barling, 223 Craut.
Clerk—Adele Watson, 201 Ney.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—M. L. Stone, 57 Lisbon.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret Redmond, 32 Edinburgh.
Clerk—Esther Sher, 51 Lisbon.
Clerk—Eileen S. Walsh, 378 Madrid.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—H. P. Connolly, 118 Vienna.
Judge—Alice M. Ryan, 171 Edinburgh.
Clerk—Maude McQueen, 54 Naples.
Clerk—Mrs. Irma E. Meilicke, 166 Naples.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—W. J. Gilbert, 122A Precita Ave.
Judge—Irma A. Pendergast, 271 Edinburgh.
Clerk—Mary A. Cantwell, 150 Edinburgh.
Clerk—M. J. Boschero, 629 Excelsior Ave.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—Thos. Turley, 25 Brazil.
Judge—Marie E. Sweeney, 179 Paris.
Clerk—Mary T. Leonard, 4487 Mission.
Clerk—Clara M. Olcott, 218 Avalon Ave.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—C. C. Coyle, 351 Madrid.
Judge—Mary M. Heavey, 263 Paris.
Clerk—Evelyn Simonetti, 318 Madrid.
Clerk—Thos. Aguilar, 296 Lisbon.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—M. J. Groom, 525 Persia.
Judge—Jennie Helmberg, 730 Excelsior Ave.
Clerk—Nora A. King, 251 Lisbon.
Clerk—F. E. Bell, 368 Moscow.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—Steven L. Ellis, 586 Moscow.
Judge—Margaret J. Nelson, 375 Munich.
Clerk—Catherine Cosgrove, 262 Prague.
Clerk—Jos. M. Dillon, 1024 Brazil Ave.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—Wm. R. Pitt, 447 Edinburgh.
Judge—Frances Gehringer, 438 Athens.
Clerk—Lottie E. Edwards, 465 Vienna.
Clerk—Frank Bosnick, 434 Naples.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—Arthur Anderson, 446 Lisbon.
Judge—Mary E. Mulholland, 383 Paris.
Clerk—Mrs. Agnes McSherry, 33 Persia.
Clerk—John Bignotti, 342 Brazil Ave.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—Geo. W. Taylor, 449 Lisbon.
Judge—Mrs. Mary E. Lowrey, 124 Persia.
Clerk—Mrs. Alice Cotter, 425 Paris.
Clerk—Emilia J. Costa, 437 London.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—E. B. Lewis, 426 Munich.
Judge—A. R. Overacker, 426 Munich.
Clerk—James F. Gunning, 900 Persia.
Clerk—Louise Ellis, 586 Moscow.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Erick Hartig, 724 Lisbon.
Judge—Mrs. Ella C. Walsh, 519 Lisbon.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Burkhardt, 4855 Mission.
Clerk—Eileen Walsh, 378 Madrid.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—J. F. Mueller, 742 Madrid.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Quinn, 639 Moscow.
Clerk—Clara A. Mattson, 334 La Grande Ave.
Clerk—R. E. Charlestrom, 675 Moscow.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—H. L. Hargrave, 776 Madrid.
Judge—Hannah Maher, 601 London.
Clerk—R. J. Sinclair, 693 Lisbon.
Clerk—Edna Scanlan, 646 Paris.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—E. S. Newbauer, 718 Paris.
Judge—Annie E. Doyle, 127 Amazon.
Clerk—E. P. Walcott, 716 Lisbon.
Clerk—A. M. Allsebrook, 751 Lisbon.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—C. A. Lenhart, 924 Geneva Ave.
Judge—Freda K. Healey, 906 Edinburgh.
Clerk—Clara Bartell, 956 Edinburgh.
Clerk—W. E. Anberg, 1153 Geneva Ave.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Jas. A. Hanlon, 708 Moscow.
Judge—Agnes C. Scharetg, 949 Athens.
Clerk—Katherine C. Beyer, 710 Vienna.
Clerk—Alma Quilling, 917 Edinburgh.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—Philip Ambrose, 68 Curtis.
Judge—Agnes Anderson, 55 Curtis.
Clerk—Mrs. Jessie Zemansky, 56 Curtis.
Clerk—Chas. R. Lenhart, 924 Geneva Ave.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—L. A. Foulkes, 65 Newton.
Judge—Mrs. Ellen Griffin, 119 Newton.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary I. Murphy, 1146 Naples.
Clerk—G. J. Castell, 246 Rolph.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—J. J. Overholtzer, 1175 Naples.
Judge—Ida R. Haseman, 1135 Naples.
Clerk—Margaret A. Palm, 37 Seville Ave.
Clerk—Delphia Gillespie, 127 Winding Way.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—Jen Mikkelsen, 736 Prague.
Judge—Marie A. Schorr, 795 Prague.
Clerk—Frieda Andersen, 501 Rolph.
Clerk—Mary E. Brown, 1044 Munich.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—Wm. Gillespie, 127 Winding Way.
Judge—Mabel A. McDevitt, 124 Baltimore Way.
Clerk—Ella J. McCarthy, 46 Baltimore Way.
Clerk—Virginia Ferrigno, 66 South Hill Blvd.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—John J. McSweeney, 518 Cordova.
Judge—Frances Duffy, 741 Edinburgh.
Clerk—H. Mark Evans, 534 Cordova.
Clerk—M. J. Del Sarto, 1201 Naples.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Dempsey, 424 Cordova.
Judge — Marguerite F. Whitney, 1159 Munich.
Clerk—Ella E. Bertuccelli, 44 Brunswick.
Clerk—Mary Cooke, 140 Baltimore Way.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—Lloyd Frimmersdorf, 126 Allison.
Judge—Mabel Erickson, 5323 Mission.
Clerk—Edw. Power, 495 Morse.
Clerk—R. E. Curley, 31 Allison.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—Timothy Sullivan, 71 Guttenberg.

Judge—Mrs. Garnet Burns, 36 Florentine.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Lynes, 42 Florentine.
Clerk—Boyd Chase, 10 Florentine.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—Wm. E. Cooney, 290 Hale.
Judge—Edith R. Meitz, 683 Morse.
Clerk—Julia Criss, 66 Guttenberg.
Clerk—A. F. Austin, 660 Morse.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—Chas. Kessler, 1239 Silver Ave.
Judge—Florence Clark, 734 Silver Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth Ruppel, 25 Oliver.
Clerk—R. F. Ahlgrim, 63 Cassandra Ct.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—John Hannigan, 292 Madison.
Judge—Sidonia Gaenicke, 281 Madison.
Clerk—Vivian Cluxton, 121 Harvard.
Clerk—Geo. Hood, 1016 Silver Ave.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—A. J. Bepler, 826 Silliman.
Judge—Catherine Hines, 319 Hale.
Clerk—Katherine Messengale, 714 Silliman.
Clerk—J. A. Anson, 64 Dunsmuir.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—Chas. Taylor, 107 Caven.
Judge—Violet Segarini, 16 Silliman.
Clerk—F. Mulligan, 246 Burrows.
Clerk—A. Willbach, 25 Felton.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—Thos. Mulligan, 246 Burrows.
Judge—Catherine Schneider, 165 Brussels.
Clerk—Emma A. Callen, 204 Girard.
Clerk—Anne F. Bareilles, 1436 Galvez Ave.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—Martin Haumann, 615 Woolsey.
Judge—Flora M. Stamp, 401 Somerset.
Clerk—J. T. Hannigan, 292 Madison.
Clerk—Margaret E. Shearn, 535 Felton.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—Milton F. Lyons, 2546 San Bruno Ave.
Judge—Ethel Johnson, 536 Brussels.
Clerk—Mary DeMartini, 442 Girard.
Clerk—Rose A. Giordano, 519 Brussels.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—Ernest Brum, 649 Brussels.
Judge—Martha E. Perrett, 154 Dwight.
Clerk—Musa Shanklin, 619 Brussels.
Clerk—Leah Cooper, 2914 San Bruno Ave.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—Luigi J. Beccaria, 1427 Galvez.
Judge—Ruth Cahalan, 1184 Girard.
Clerk—Rose Cutler, 1329 Goettengen.
Clerk—Louise A. Reid, 48 Tioga.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—Lauritz Andersen, 470 Campbell Ave.
Judge—D. Harrington, 137 Arleta Ave.
Clerk—Mary H. Miller, 538 Campbell.
Clerk—Jack L. Berks, 116 Delta.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—Victor J. Gatti, 150 Britton.
Judge—Chrissie G. Calverley, 310 Teddy Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Anna Peterson, 74 Ney.
Clerk—Marie McIntyre, 132 Cora.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—C. Staehle, 51 Peabody.
Judge—Mrs. Emma Edelman, 139 Cora.
Clerk—Elda Assalino, 717 Delta.
Clerk—Charlotte Lindberg, 11 Leland Ave.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—Eugene Baker, 751 Barneveld Ave.
Judge—Louis Metter, 1544 Hudson Ave.
Clerk—Mabel C. Cassinelli, 1566 Hudson Ave.
Clerk—Alfred W. Wulff, 1503 Galvez Ave.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—
Judge—Pauline Barnes, 1919 Palou Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth Littlefield, 1745 Silver Ave.
Clerk—Max Landau, 252 Charter Oak Ave.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—J. J. Regan, 1559 Jerrold Ave.
Judge—Mary Carey, 1539 Innes.
Clerk—Annie Milly, 1523 Kirkwood.
Clerk—Frances T. Guiney, 1508 Jerrold Ave.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—R. H. Morris, 1444 Newcomb Ave.
Judge—Ida Fitschen, 1651 LaSalle.
Clerk—Emma G. Rose, 1554 Newcomb Ave.
Clerk—Estelle Milly, 1534 Newcomb Ave.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—F. W. Zimmerman, 1558 Oakdale Ave.
Judge—Ophie Rudder, 1687 Oakdale Ave.
Clerk—Anna L. Beisswnager, 1545 Newcomb Ave.
Clerk—Marie Sostreich, 1541 Kirkwood Ave.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—P. Kane, 1367 Palou Ave.
Judge—Peter H. Sommer, 1440 Quesada Ave.
Clerk—Patricia M. Ofeifer, 1469 Palou Ave.
Clerk—Olive Lintner, 1411 Palou Ave.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—C. Bartlett, 1301 Revere Ave.
Judge—Inez Muscetti, 1490 Shafter Ave.
Clerk—F. S. Arthur, 1475 Quesada Ave.
Clerk—Louise Helms, 1415 Shafter Ave.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—Morris Beckerman, 2509 San Bruno Ave.
Judge—Alice E. Trapani, 1574 Revere Ave.
Clerk—Nellie Danis, 1553 Revere Ave.
Clerk—Marie S Kayser, 1494 Quesada Ave.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—Anton Bank, 1657 Palou Ave.
Judge—Rose Bacher, 1762 Quesada Ave.
Clerk—Richard Godfrey, 1643 Palou Ave.
Clerk—Sarah Andersen, 1504 Quesada Ave.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—Eugene Carrara, 1106 Bayshore Blvd.
Judge—Jean Persau, 1846 Egbert.
Clerk—Mrs. Etta H. Buice, 2032 Bancroft Ave.
Clerk—Tillie C. Uschnan, 1119 Bayshore Blvd.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—H. F. Schmidt, 15 Latona.
Judge—Mrs. Elsie L. Burns, 101 Thornton Ave.
Clerk—Olivia M. Hoare, 55 Pomona.
Clerk—Lillian Robertson, 98 Latona.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—Frank J. Orra, 1554 Thomas Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Jessie McCabe, 1646 Wallace.
Clerk—E. R. Schmitzer, 2006 Keith.
Clerk—Henrietta Leon, 1582 Quesada Ave.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—Jas. W. Pyne, 1739 Kirkwood Ave.
Judge—Rebecca Berzin, 1958 Donner Ave.
Clerk—Emily F. Martin, 64 Exeter.
Clerk—C. J. Gentoso, 1400 Bayshore Blvd.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—John Farren, 1293 Gilman Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Florence N. Pointer, 1124 Ingerson.
Clerk—Helen D. Johanson, 912 Hollister.
Clerk—Louise M. Perry, 1131 Gilman Ave.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—Wm. S. Field, 987 Key Ave.
Judge—Daniel O'Connor, 3236 Jennings.

Clerk—Mary McLafferty, 6315 3rd St.
Clerk—Wm. H. Allen, 1043 Jamestown Ave.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—John Wickstrom, 125 Tunnel Ave.
Judge—Mary E. Ashton, 410 Peninsula Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Irene Logue, 367 Wheeler.
Clerk—A. J. Brown, 400 Visitacion Ave.

**TWENTY-SECOND ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—August Perlite, 2029 Powell.
Judge—Mrs. Eva Garassino, 2258 Mason.
Clerk—Hugo Heinrichs, 488 Francisco.
Clerk—Frieda H. Schumacher, 1267 Filbert.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—H. B. Hildebrand, 771 Francisco.
Judge—Lulu DeMar, 898 Chestnut.
Clerk—Edith Heuer, 769 Francisco.
Clerk—Arthur L. Forcade, Sr., 2130 Larkin.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—E. B. Vattuone, 630 Lombard.
Judge—Wm. B. Gunther, 844 Bay.
Clerk—Annie Thornhill, 850 Bay.
Clerk—C. J. Malkus, 1523 Hyde.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—E. F. Driscoll, 1234 Filbert.
Judge—Margaret M. Gorman, 108 Culebra Terr.
Clerk—Marguerite————.
Clerk—J. P. DeMartini, 1144 Clay.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—L. H. Brown, 2727 Polk.
Judge—Frances Curry, 1207 Chestnut.
Clerk—Sadie Ferrea, 1257 Chestnut.
Clerk—Elsa S. Leon, 1257 Chestnut.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—Wm. Limbaugh, 2500 Van Ness Ave.
Judge—Clara L. Olsen, 2344 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth Van Zandt, 2433 Polk.
Clerk—A. C. Strohmaier, 1400 Filbert.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—Frank B. Ryan, 1268 Lombard.
Judge—Mrs. Theresa C. Randall, 2531 Larkin.
Clerk—R. J. Sur, 1280 Lombard.
Clerk—Minerva Baldwin, 1690 Washington.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—Jos. T. McBride, 2448 Larkin.
Judge—Miss Jennie Byrne, 1337 Greenwich.
Clerk—Margaret Farrell, 2506 Polk.
Clerk—Margaret Strong, 1385 Greenwich.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—Wm. F. Bailey, 1535 Pacific Ave.
Judge—Alda Fontanel.
Clerk—Minnie Colburn, 2349 Leavenworth.
Clerk—John J. Courtney, 1364 Filbert.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—Elmer Kehrelein, 939 Lombard.
Judge—Pauline DeMartini, 2146 Leavenworth.
Clerk—B. D. Carrell, 2160 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Sara Frank, 1520 Sacramento.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—Arthur Harper, 2363 Larkin.
Judge—Dominic Garassino, 2258 Mason.
Clerk—Irena A. Kenneally, 808 Union.
Clerk—Clara Burkheim, 1750 Sacramento.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—Mario Scarpa, 832 Filbert.
Judge—Mrs. Ida Volpe, 855 Greenwich.
Clerk—Edw. Junge, 864 Filbert.
Clerk—K. C. Bachelder, 1541 California.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—Peter Levoroni, 758 Green.
Judge—Mary Sylvia, 764 Union.
Clerk—Amelia Ferro, 774 Green.
Clerk—Ida Burkheim, 1750 Sacramento.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—J. B. Molinari, 948 Union.
Judge—Mrs. Madeline DeMartini, 890 Union.
Clerk—Mrs. Marian DeCaro, 1833 Mason.
Clerk—Veronica Corvino, 949 Filbert.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—Edw. A. Huber, 982 Green.
Judge—Marion H. Coleman, 982 Green.
Clerk—Irene DeMartini, 1767 Mason.
Clerk—Mark Schwartz, 1625 Polk.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—H. J. Metcalf, 1638 Hyde.
Judge—Ivy M. Mackinnon, 1000 Mason.
Clerk—Ethel W. Fickert, 1060 Green.
Clerk—M. Rachman, 1000 Union.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—L. C. Wallace, 2028 Hyde.
Judge—Margaret O'Malley, 1135 Filbert.
Clerk—Beckey Nurek, 12 Allen.
Clerk—Ida Osgood, 1117 Greenwich.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—H. C. Schumacher, 1267 Filbert.
Judge—Elsie Gianfermo, 28 Allen.
Clerk—Mrs. Frances Tanner, 2320 Larkin.
Clerk—Olive Henrick, 1677 Sacramento.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—J. J. Fargue, 1344 Union.
Judge—Norma Barsuglia, 1342 Green.
Clerk—Alice Theobold, 2221 Larkin.
Clerk—Wm. A. Schneider, 2221 Larkin.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—Herbert S. Marron, 1499 Union.
Judge—Mrs. Estelle Thomas, 2330 Larkin.
Clerk—Mrs. Malvina Eisert, 2301 Polk.
Clerk—Minerva Walling, 1400 Jones.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—Mich. J., 34 Bonita.
Judge—Ed. A. Israel, Jr., 2344 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Catherine A. Tozer, 1562 Vallejo.
Clerk—Geraldine D. Cohen, 729 Mason.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—V. C. Turrel, 2165 Larkin.
Judge—M. V. Anglin, 1444 Vallejo.
Clerk—Geo. C. Schiefer, 1329 Green.
Clerk—Mrs. Mabel L. Bernard, 1010 Bush.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—E. B. Norris, 1318 Vallejo.
Judge—Martin J. Hanley, 2200 Larkin.
Clerk—Edna Remy, 1338 Vallejo.
Clerk—Lyna Spinetti, 2200 Larkin.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—L. Dimmer, 1844 Hyde.
Judge—Juanita Stice, 2128 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Eleanor E. Foley, 1117 Vallejo.
Clerk—Ethel M. Cleaves, 2128 Van Ness Ave.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—S. H. Kawkins, 1130 Vallejo.
Judge—Margaret McCarthy, 27 Glover.
Clerk—Eleanor E. Foley, 1117 Vallejo.
Clerk—Lillyn Rush, 1156 Vallejo.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—Arthur F. Lundberg, 5 Alta Vista Terrace.
Judge—Allie R. Hemphill, 5 Alta Vista Terrace.
Clerk—Eleanore Petersen, 555 Bush.
Clerk—Catherine F. Brown, 1010 Bush.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—Ernest Trost, 1538 Mason.
Judge—Mary Cagliada, 1546 Mason.
Clerk—Mrs. Emma Brown, 1668 Mason.
Clerk—Clara Day, 1030 Larkin.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—John P. Romano, 1075 Pacific Avenue.
Judge—Anthony de la Torre Jr., 1075 Pacific Avenue.
Clerk—Bertha N. Hartman, 1018 Jackson.

Clerk—Beatrice Rosenthal, 1075 Sutter.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—Chas. Peck, 1108 Pacific Avenue.
Judge—Miss Agnes Kast, 1073 Broadway.
Clerk—Frank V. Benson, 1128 Pacific Ave.
Clerk—Ray Coney, 1075 Sutter.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—Edwin B. Prince, 1650 Leavenworth.
Judge—Anna M. Mattick, 1650 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Estelle Thomas, 1125 Broadway.
Clerk—Mary K. Smyth, 805 Leavenworth.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—P. E. Slavin, 1665 Hyde.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Balk, 1269 Vallejo.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Cordano, 1706 Hyde.
Clerk—Hattie Moser, 919 Sutter.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—W. J. Clancy, 1341 Vallejo.
Judge—Sarah Johnson, 1773 Hyde.
Clerk—Mabel Randall, 1325 Broadway.
Clerk—Marion T. Gearhard, 729 Leavenworth.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—D. J. Devine, 1926 Larkin.
Judge—Madeline Kavanagh, 2027 Larkin.
Clerk—Mrs. Louise Donnelly, 2128 Van Ness Avenue.
Clerk—Frances McBride, 1515 Broadway.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspetcor—Frank W. Wolff, 1527 Pacific Avenue.
Judge—C. H. Minto, 1410 Broadway.
Clerk—Jas. F. Conlan, 1740 Washington.
Clerk—Lillian M. O'Malley, 1527A Pacific Avenue.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—E. R. Sablatschan, 1472 Pacific Avenue.
Judge—Daisy J. Dady, 1414 Jackson.
Clerk—Bertha Giovannoni, 1531 Hyde.
Clerk—Gladys Blakeney, 1460 Jackson.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—E. C. Jacoby, 1290 Jackson.
Judge—Joseph Silvestri, 1528 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Frank Phelps, 1535 Jones.
Clerk—Lorina Wright, 1345 Taylor.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—J. B. Wright, 1345 Taylor.
Judge — Katherine R. Oullahan, 1134 Jackson.
Clerk—Elizabeth E. Taft, 1329 Taylor.
Clerk—Mary A. Cole, 1120 Jackson.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—Sylvano Romano, Pacific Avenue.
Judge—Gertrude Cohn, 1340 Washington.
Clerk—Leonore Oullahan, 1134 Jackson.
Clerk—Eva A. DeMartini, 1144 Clay.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—P. G. DeMartini, 1144 Clay.
Judge—Clarissa T. Wheeler, 1150 Clay.
Clerk—Mary D. Lynch, 1200 Taylor.
Clerk—Elsie Huntoon, 120 Wetmore.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Robt. Bacigalupi, 1531 Hyde.
Judge—Susannah Leffler, 1224 Hyde.
Clerk—Mary L. Shontz, 1425 Taylor.
Clerk—Emma B. Alvarez, 1075 Washington.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—C. R. Breyer, 1273 Jackson.
Judge—Georgie Shinn, 1224 Sacramento.
Clerk—Marcella M. Cramer, 1126 Taylor.
Clerk—Marie Doidge, 1263 Clay.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—Elmer E. Doidge, 1265 Clay.
Judge—Mrs. Lillias James, 1227 Clay.
Clerk—Mrs. Geneva Mires, 1219 Taylor.
Clerk—Miss Mabel Matzenbach, 1234 Jones.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—W. A. Lucas, 1360 Washington.
Judge—May Barovich, 1251 Jackson.
Clerk—Daisy Schindler, 1360 Clay.
Clerk—Mrs. Florence Crawford, 1390 Clay.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—Harry Harris, 1335 Jackson.
Judge—C. A. Malone, 1449 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Adele Z. McCord, 1440 Washington.
Clerk—Sophie Haas, 1710 Larkin.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Don E. Tripp, 1720 Larkin.
Judge—Dorothy Baker, 1640 Washington.
Clerk—Marie Purcell, 1644 Washington.
Clerk—Alice B. Holtinger, 1690 Washington.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—H. H. Penn, 1650 Clay.
Judge—Jennie Dodge, 1714 Clay.
Clerk—Helen C. Proll, 1630 Clay.
Clerk—Mrs. Gabrina Rydman, 1651 Larkin.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—D. McFeeley, 1642 Larkin.
Judge—J. F. Steckner, 1575 Washington.
Clerk—Margaret E. Peat, 1628 Larkin.
Clerk—Maud E. Riley, 850 Leavenworth

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—Chas. Y. Stanley, 1450 Clay.
Judge—Evelyn Wheeler, 1304 Hyde.
Clerk—Dorothy Canevaro, 1360 Hyde.
Clerk—J. E. Gaumer, 919 Sutter.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—Carl E. Davis, 1418 Leavenworth.
Judge—Mrs. Hattie C. Gibbs, 1216 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Susan O'Brien, 1373 Clay.
Clerk—Laura A. Monicle, 1373 Clay.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—Wm. E. Young, 1427 Clay.
Judge—Miss Margaret Myles, 1239 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Edith J. Davis, 1420 Sacramento.
Clerk—Louis Lacay, 686 Post.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—Warren Schofeld, 1215 Hyde.
Judge—Eva E. Landree, 1547 Clay.
Clerk—Chas. Randall, 1520 Larkin.
Clerk—Edw. Kennedy, 1561 Clay.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—Edw. J. Donnelly, 1215 Hyde.
Judge—Augusta Fleming, 1539 Larkin.
Clerk—Mrs. Catherine E. Girzi, 1535 Larkin.
Clerk—F. R. Gans, 835 Bush.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—Herbert O. Raether, 1507 California.
Judge—Louise McQuaide, 1650 California.
Clerk—Etta Burkheim, 1750 Sacramento.
Clerk—Chas. Smith, 1625 Polk.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—H. Peuteritz, 1590 California.
Judge—Mrs. Sarah Kearns, 1441 Larkin.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Moore, 1637 Sacramento.
Clerk—Margaret Adams, 1730 Sacramento.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—H. C. Sutcliffe, 1470 California.
Judge—Margaret Chicourrat, 1553 Sacramento.
Clerk—Katherine Creary, 1492 California.
Clerk—Catherine Friesenhausen, 1408 California.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—Fred Fay, 1463 Sacramento.
Judge—Miss Sue Ransome, 1155 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Mrs. Hattie Schoenfeld, 1140 Hyde.
Clerk—Henry Springer, 615 Taylor.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—Wm. E. Pelkey, 1250 Hyde.
Judge—Clara L. Powers, 1345 Sacramento.
Clerk—Teresa Sullivan, 1100 Leavenworth.
Clerk—F. Schmidt, 797 Bush.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—J. L. Welch, 1126 Irving.
Judge—Adrienne Barbieri, 1782 Union.
Clerk—Anastasia Roche, 1352 22nd Avenue.
Clerk—Marion Kohn, 950 Mason.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—Wm. Endicott, 1547 Clay.
Judge—Mary Duffy, 1518 Waller.
Clerk—Ida Burkheim, 1750 Sacramento.
Clerk—Esther R. Nathan, 433 Powell.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—Louis Reichert, 700 Mason.
Judge—Amalia C. Lasey, 821 Pine.
Clerk—Maude E. Stone, 704 Bush.
Clerk—Julia F. Downey, 1501 Larkin.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—P. V. Gleason, 942 Pine.
Judge—Mrs. Lois Fay, 823 Mason.
Clerk—Lillian S. Cleary, 1111 Jones.
Clerk—Helen M. Townsend, 890 Bush.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—Chas. S. Boyd, 800 Bush.
Judge—Margaret Maynes, 735 Mason.
Clerk—Florence L. Johnson, 903 Pine.
Clerk—Julia A. Hinkle, 935 Pine.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—Gene E. Pringle, 1050 Post.
Judge—Ada M. O'Brien, 946 Jones.
Clerk—Mrs. Gladys M. Shaw, 972 Bush.
Clerk—Lillian Sullivan, 980 Bush.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—John Cogburn, 929 Pine.
Judge—Lottie R. Shearer, 925 Jones.
Clerk—Mrs. Bertha Richardson, 1275 California.
Clerk—Melville Johnston, 1601 Sacramento.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—H. J. Reeves, 1005 Larkin.
Judge—Margaret M. Williams, 940 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Ida Dorsett, 1155 Pine.
Clerk—Josephine Musick, 1163 Pine.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—Leon M. Kind, 1533 Clay.
Judge—Margaret Tucker, 1361 California.
Clerk—Minnie Martin, 1010 Hyde.
Clerk—Marie L. Rupperts, 1254A Pine.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—Eugene M. Graham, 1249 Pine.
Judge—Frances M. Trewartha, 1249 Pine.
Clerk—Miss Marie Bradley, 939 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Mrs. Gene Powell, 1106 Bush.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—A. M. Andrews, 1346 Pine.
Judge—C. P. Bradley, 1499 California.
Clerk—Elizabeth Ashton, 1005 Hyde.
Clerk—Rosa Bories, 1242 Polk.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—John E. Hadley, 921 Leavenworth.
Judge—Celia Ballard, 1315 Polk.
Clerk—Grace N. Dodd, 1385 Pine.
Clerk—Dorothy Schnitman, 1355 Pine.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—H. S. McKeen, 1140 Sutter.
Judge—Esther Levy, 1105 Larkin.
Clerk—Nellie Bracken, 1105 Larkin.
Clerk—Agnes McCaully, 1008 Larkin.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—Mark E. Werner, 1141 Post.
Judge—Emma L. Sullivan, 1086 Post.
Clerk—Bertha J. Newman, 1020 Post.
Clerk—J. Galt, 1005 Larkin.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—F. Hyman, 1000 Sutter.
Judge—Marjorie Markovits, 1000 Sutter.
Clerk—Jeanne Lowenberg, 1000 Sutter.
Clerk—Laura E. Schmidt, 1000 Sutter.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—A. J. Kelch, 1008 Larkin.
Judge—Mrs. Minota French, 1025 Sutter.
Clerk—Sadie Haigh, 922 Post.
Clerk—Emma Hardy, 1008 Larkin.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—J. B. Nimetz, 866 Post.
Judge—Fannie Goodman, 940 Sutter.
Clerk—Carolyn H. Russek, 952 Sutter.
Clerk—Hattie Haines, 810 Hyde.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—Chas. Miller, 801 Jones.
Judge—Marie E. Selheimer, 850 Leavenworth.
Clerk—Ida L. Roberts, 801 Jones.
Clerk—Emile L. Topini, 1011 Bush.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—H. H. Falk, 845 Sutter.
Judge—Adelaide P. Crane, 729 Jones.
Clerk—Lottie Turner, 729 Jones.
Clerk—L. S. Blake, 825 Sutter.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—H. McMillan, 947 Bush.
Judge—Mrs. Jean P. Richards, 901 Bush.
Clerk—Dorothy Powers, 828 Jones.
Clerk—Edw. Malinoff, 947 Bush.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—Geo. W. Springer, 615 Taylor.
Judge—Hattie Holz, 765 Sutter.
Clerk—Leonore Holton, 640 Post.
Clerk—Linda B. Sampson, 625 Taylor.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—A. Goldstein, 680 Sutter.
Judge—Wilda Giddens, 875 Bush.
Clerk—Mary Friedenberg, 887 Bush.
Clerk—Anne T. Eaton, 432 Geary.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—Willis Brown, 863 Bush.
Judge—James F. Conlon, 1740 Washington.
Clerk—Miss Stella Boehmer, 635 Sutter.
Clerk—J. R. Roget, 863 Bush.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—Jesse Kahn, 630 Mason.
Judge—Rose Meyer, 524 Sutter.
Clerk—Louise Dalrymple, 562 Sutter.
Clerk—Marianne Moore, 930.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—Leonard Vannell, 440 Post.
Judge—Douglas J. Ogilvie, 440 Post.
Clerk—Mae Grimes, 440 Post.
Clerk—Miss Margaret McGraw, 350 Geary.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—Harry Stillman, 951 O'Farrell.
Judge—Norma Williams, 589 Post.
Clerk—Rose Davis, 440 Geary.
Clerk—Auguste Munzey, 520 Taylor.

**Precinct 84:**
Inspector—M. Eliaser, 620 Jones.
Judge—Lil S. Frankenberg, 501 Taylor.
Clerk—Eleanor Chesley, 642 Jones.
Clerk—Ella Raphael, 550 Geary.

**Precinct 85:**
Inspector—J. Reissberg, 540 Jones.
Judge—Augusta J. Griggs, 585 Geary.
Clerk—L. F. Culver, 571 Geary.
Clerk—Laura E. Dittmar, 540 Jones.

**Precinct 86:**
Inspector—Russell K. Dougherty, 580 O'Farrell.
Judge—Edna Rio, 711 Post.
Clerk—Marie T. Newman, 711 Post.
Clerk—H. S. Silverthorn, 632 Hyde.

**Precinct 87:**
Inspector—E. E. O'Leary, 522 O'Farrell.
Judge—Julia K. Manstone, 540 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Mrs. Kathryn J. Healey, 685 Geary.
Clerk—Mrs. Grace Ebner, 540 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 88:**
Inspector—Bernard Gordon, 645 Leavenworth.
Judge—Emma O. Peterson, 861 Post.
Clerk—May A. Kernan, 875 Post.
Clerk—Effie Raberg, 615 Leavenworth.

**Precinct 89:**
Inspector—Robt. F. Creary, 757 Sutter.
Judge—Mrs. Jean H. Rothe, 500 Hyde.
Clerk—Catherine O'Brien, 850 Geary.
Clerk—R. A. Bon, 730 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—Jos. L. Fahey, 788 O'Farrell.
Judge—Mrs. Elma Anderson, 730 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Mrs. Kate Fisk, 730 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Theodore Howard, 730 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—R. H. Abbey, 706 Polk.
Judge—Mrs. Elizabeth Anthony, 1051 Post.
Clerk—Mabel Huey, 1036 Polk.
Clerk—Gertrude C. Hyde, 935 Geary.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—Adolph Kuhn, 1029 Geary.
Judge—Leon E. Stolz, 1040 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—A. W. Leonard, 935 O'Farrell.
Clerk—F. A. Stone, 840 Van Ness Ave.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—Ray C. Warth, 619 Larkin.
Judge—Victoria Dwyer, 685 Ellis.
Clerk—Ben Dalling, 640 Eddy.
Clerk—Chas. G. Leonard, 640 Eddy.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—Leo Gardner, 425 Hyde.
Judge—J. H. Curtis, 598 Eddy.
Clerk—Jos. Lavin, 765 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Gustave G. Levy, 425 Hyde.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—J. F .Ryan, 444 Hyde.
Judge—Mrs. Pearl Cohn, 641 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Mrs. Ethel Fogarty, 421 Leavenworth.
Clerk—F. P. Churchill, 641 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—R. A. Whitley, 334 Hyde.
Judge—Robert Kalmuk, 440 Eddy.
Clerk—Malvina Simon, 360 Hyde.
Clerk—Bessie P. Durkee, 951 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—Henry Lestrade, 505 O'Farrell.
Judge—J. J. Glennon, 545 O'Farrell.
Clerk—M. H. Isaacs, 579 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Leon Baumgartner, 480 Ellis.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—Francis P. Quinn, 433 Ellis.
Judge—Marian R. McHenry, 380 Eddy.
Clerk—W. E. Dean, 380 Eddy.
Clerk—Florence Schomer, 380 Eddy.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—H. C. Thomas, 376 Ellis.
Judge—Florence Elwell, 420 Jones.
Clerk—Thos. F. Ryan, 373 Ellis.
Clerk—Carrie L. Wolcott, 411 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—H. D. Donaldson, 232 Ellis.
Judge—Lucy Collins, 275 Turk.
Clerk—Arthur S. Mundell, 340 O'Farrell.
Clerk—M. Clements, 1030 Polk.

**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—F. W. Weber, 280 O'Farrell.
Judge—Jane Wakefield, 245 Powell.
Clerk—Theresa Donovan, 334 Mason.
Clerk—E. L. Gross, 260 McAllister.

**Precinct 102:**
Inspector—C. A. Brown, 111 Mason.
Judge—Miss Charlotte Westover, 161 Ellis.
Clerk—Jare Nillson, 111 Mason.
Clerk—Kitty S. Cooney, 52 Pierce.

**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—Edw. S. Norton, 62 Turk.
Judge—Mrs. Belle R. Franklin, 34 Turk.
Clerk—H. J. Hargrave, 118 Taylor.
Clerk—J. W. Rosen, 35 Mason.

**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—J. H. Hart, 235 Eddy.
Judge—J. H. Irwin, 111 Taylor.
Clerk—Albert Meinema, 111 Taylor.
Clerk—Maude Hurley, 275 Turk.

**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—F. Edgington, 242 Turk.
Judge—Frances Spratt, 241 Jones.
Clerk—Ludorie N. Woisard, 242 Turk.
Clerk—John F. Tilley, 270 McAllister.

**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—A. O. Richardson, 41 Jones.
Judge—Julia Clarke, 41 Jones.
Clerk—Clarissa Lehman, 41 Jones.
Clerk—Hildred McKinnon, 44 McAllister.

**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—C. D. Armstrong, 365 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Margaret J. Kresteller, 146 McAllister.
Clerk—J. W. Raymond, 260 McAllister.
Clerk—J. H. Jenkins, 293 Golden Gate Ave.

**Precinct 108:**
Inspector—Francis B. Kerr, 220 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Alex Jacobs, 284 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Julia G. Gillig, 351 Turk.
Clerk—Clara Schreeder, 447 Eddy.

**Precinct 109:**
Inspector—J. Lester Molloy, 41 Jones.
Judge—Grace Johnston, 556 Larkin.
Clerk—Miss Aurora A. Ray, 416 Turk.
Clerk—P. J. Reardon, 965 Geary.

**Precinct 110:**
Inspector—Fred Bohling, 1130 Market.
Judge—Frances Writter, 467 Turk.
Clerk—Theresia Goodwin, 378 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Edna Hilton, 467 Turk.

**Precinct 111:**
Inspector—Wm. T. O'Brien, 545 Turk.
Judge—Katherine Krigler, 640 Polk.
Clerk—Kay Smith, 560 Polk.
Clerk—W. G. Clark, 1555 Franklin.

**Precinct 112:**
Inspector—S. F. Trester, 1256 Market.
Judge—Katherine Baumer, 1242 Market.
Clerk—A. L. McCabe, 1256 Market.
Clerk—E. F. Quinn, 419 Golden Gate Ave.

**Precinct 113:**
Inspector—P. J. Nevin, 1727 Pine.
Judge—Loretto Delmas, 1721 Pine.
Clerk—Marie Sims, 1991 California.
Clerk—Ralph E. Simpson, 1504 Franklin.

**Precinct 114:**
Inspector—P. B. Morrissey, 1844 Laguna.
Judge—Ida Parker, 1802 Laguna.
Clerk—Pauline W. Haller, 1802 Laguna.
Clerk—Hannah Mickelson, 1705 Octavia.

**Precinct 115:**
Inspector—Hugh McCann, 2164 Bush.
Judge—Geo. A. Bothmann, Jr., 2263 Pine.
Clerk—Lillie Shade, 2119 Bush.
Clerk—Grace Johnson, 556 Larkin.

**Precinct 116:**
Inspector—M. B. Silva, 1807½ Steiner.
Judge—Bertha Ross, 1839 Steiner.
Clerk—J. J. Farrell, 2351 Bush.
Clerk—Anna B. True, 1809 Steiner.

**Precinct 117:**
Inspector—V. I. Sinclair, 2793 Pine.
Judge—M. P. Corridan, 1835 Scott.
Clerk—Catherine Bartlett, 1859 Scott.
Clerk—Dorothy Hoffman, 340 Eddy.

**Precinct 118:**
Inspector—Victor L. Wolff, 1730 Broderick.
Judge—E. A. Turner, 2691 Bush.
Clerk—Katherine A. Davis, 1702 Broderick.
Clerk—Johanna K. Sutter, 340 Eddy.

**Precinct 119:**
Inspector—Sam Glaser, 1629 Pierce.

Judge—Mrs. Hattie Loeb, 2100 Post.
Clerk—Leo Jacobson, 2207 Sutter.
Clerk—Jeremiah Smith, 1410 Octavia.

**Precinct 120:**
Inspector—R. H. Welch, 1702 Steiner.
Judge—Thos. Morgan, 1423 Octavia.
Clerk—A. V. Carter, 2511 Bush.
Clerk—Miss Amelia Schier, 1407 Gough.

**Precinct 121:**
Inspetcor—Geo. A. Wagner, 1614 Geary.
Judge—Bertha L. Mauser, 1622 Geary.
Clerk—Mae A. Kane, 1735 Post.
Clerk—Miss Alison Schier, 1407 Gough.

**Precinct 122:**
Inspetcor—J. E. Tonjes, 1332 Geary.
Judge—Ida Coffman, 1635 Sutter.
Clerk—Catherine Power, 1305 Gough.
Clerk—H. J. Donohoe, 1440 Sutter.

**Precinct 123:**
Inspector—Wm. L. Conry, 1404 Post.
Judge—Mamie A. Koch, 1530 Octavia.
Clerk—Marie Kahn, 1500 Sutter.
Clerk—Chas. Hooker, 1528 Sutter.

**Precinct 124:**
Inspector—J. Vurhaft, 1671 Bush.
Judge—Mary H. O'Leary, 1460 Sutter.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Gaffey, 1440 Sutter.
Clerk—H. G. Bigorne, 1677 Bush.

**Precinct 125:**
Inspector—Jas. B. Mitchell, 1234 Geary.
Judge—Mrs. Daisy K. Sprecher, 1499 Sutter.
Clerk—Bessie Peters, 1499 Sutter.
Clerk—Frances Mitchell, 1234 Geary.

**Precinct 126:**
Inspector—Max Monasch, 1015 Van Ness Ave.
Judge—Mary Tuohey, 1117 Geary.
Clerk—Milton Morris, 1117 Geary.
Clerk—Wm. Winthrop, 1040 Ellis.

**Precinct 127:**
Inspector—R. E. Fitzgerald, 1201 Gough.
Judge—Ed R. Godsey, 1263 Geary.
Clerk—Rose de Lima Riley, 1301 Geary.
Clerk—Mrs. L. G. Robinson, 1256 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 128:**
Inspetcor—John Packer, 1233 O'Farrell.
Judge—Marie Champieux, 1150 Ellis.
Clerk—A. Kronwetter, 1107 Ellis.
Clerk—Harry Lewald, 1105 Gough.

**Precinct 129:**
Inspector—J. M. Smith, 1410 Octavia.
Judge—John M. Ryan, 1399 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Thos. Phelan, 1457 Geary.
Clerk—Lee G. Thompson, 1257 Octavia.

**Precinct 130:**
Inspector—Frank Cooper, 1325 Ellis.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret Witmer, 1233 Laguna.
Clerk—C. J. Kirsch, 1333 Laguna.
Clerk—Wm. A. Wilson, 1335 Laguna.

**Precinct 131:**
Inspector—P. J. Crowley, 1460 O'Farrell.
Judge—Joe Bass, 1492 Ellis.
Clerk—W. C. Austin, 1310 Webster.
Clerk—Arthur Gradwohl, 1733 Turk.

**Precinct 132:**
Inspector—George O. Lang, 1518 Ellis.
Judge—George D. Wise, 1414 Fillmore.
Clerk—M. Schwartz, 1550 Fillmore.
Clerk—Arthur H. Beebe, 1414 Fillmore.

**Precinct 133:**
Inspector—Louis Watson, 1728 Geary.
Judge—Mamie Meagher, 29 Avery.
Clerk—Wm. J. Costello, 1788 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Cora Carleton, 1600 Golden Gate Ave.

**Precinct 134:**
Inspector—Wm. Bloom, 1722 Ellis.
Judge—Nora C. Cooper, 1784 Ellis.
Clerk—Lillian A. Jones, 1885 O'Farrell.
Clerk—Ethel D. Leipsic, 1775 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 135:**
Inspector—A. E. Roberts, Jr., 1919A Geary.
Judge—Annie J. Eager, 50 Endicott Place.
Clerk—Alice M. Borman, 70 Endicott Place.
Clerk—J. R. Solomon, 1961 Geary.

**Precinct 136:**
Inspector—Emory Speck, 2323 Post.
Judge—Mrs. Anna Williams, 1045 Scott.
Clerk—Esther M. Sloss, 2379 Sutter.
Clerk—Herbert George, 1281 Geary.

**Precinct 137:**
Inspector—P. Spottiswood, 2040 Ellis.
Judge—C. A. Robinson, 1301A Divisadero.
Clerk—Louise M. Kumor, 1409½ Scott.
Clerk—Mrs. Nancy Scholl, 2273 Geary.

**Precinct 138:**
Inspector—H. Staeglich, 1215 Divisadero.
Judge—O. E. Reid, 1828 Eddy.
Clerk—Chas. Aguirre, 1215 Divisadero.
Clerk—C. O. Warren, 1828 Eddy.

**Precinct 139:**
Inspector—A. D. Stangster, 1959 Eddy.
Judge—Theresa Guy, 1040 Broderick.
Clerk—Esther N. Las Cano, 1760 Turk.
Clerk—A. C. Peterson, 952 Eddy.

**Precinct 140:**
Inspector—J. H. Roush, 1763 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Allethia Harper.
Clerk—C. C. Baldwin, 910 Broderick.
Clerk—Robt. F. Aveson, 580 McAllister.

**Precinct 141:**
Inspector—Jos. Melville, 1753 Eddy.
Judge—Belle Evans, 1813 Ellis.
Clerk—Reuben Goldman, 1841 Ellis.
Clerk—Jacob Daybell, 1805 Ellis.

**Precinct 142:**
Inspector—C. H. Carleton, 1600 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Therese Henry, 1050 Divisadero.
Clerk—Nettie B. Thompson, 1042 Divisadero.
Clerk—Freda Jacobs, 1701 Turk.

**Precinct 143:**
Inspector—Wm. B. Whelan, 932 Divisadero.
Judge—D. E. Mahoney, 1221 O'Farrell.
Clerk—John P. Allan, 1542 McAllister.
Clerk—Lea Schary, 1518 McAllister.

**Precinct 144:**
Inspector—J. F. Banner, 1376 Golden ,Gate Ave.
Judge—Leah Williams, 956 Pierce.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Nolan, 1474 Golden Gate Ave.

**Precinct 145:**
Inspector—Jacob Josias, 1300 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—P. W. Flanagan, 1372 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth Heider, 1430 Eddy.
Clerk—Artine M. Miller, 1312 Golden Gate Ave.

**Precinct 146:**
Inspector—H. Schneider, 1037 Fillmore.
Judge—Mrs. Frances Price, 1284 McAllister.
Clerk—Theodore Evjenth, 1359 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Jacob Ross, 803 Turk.

**Precinct 147:**
Inspector—Leon Makower, 1330 Turk.
Judge—Samuel Pastel, 1142 Webster.
Clerk—Maude L. Dreibelbis, 1363 Eddy.
Clerk—Fred Diehl, 805 Turk.

**Precinct 148:**
Inspector—P. H. McDonald, 1084 McAllister.
Judge—Ella M. Kearney, 911 Eddy.
Clerk—C. E. Smith, 917 Eddy.
Clerk—R. Tanner, 580 McAllister.

**Precinct 149:**
Inspector—C. E. Morris, 1005 Laguna.
Judge—Joseph Wadsworth, 1179 Turk.
Clerk—J. D. Marty, 1152 Buchanan.
Clerk—Emil Luescher, 1111 Turk.

**Precinct 150:**
Inspector—H. Merkle, 945 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Geo. DeWolffe, 820 McAllister.
Clerk—M. J. Mannix, 968 McAllister.
Clerk—Eva May Merritt, 761 Turk.

**Precinct 151:**
Inspector—Geo. L. Russell, 725 Van Ness Ave.
Judge—Edith E. Campbell, 1080 Eddy.
Clerk—Mary O'Malley, 1170 Eddy.
Clerk—Lena Ladar, 1257 Ellis.

**Precinct 152:**
Inspector—S. I Blaser, 629 Van Ness Ave.
Judge—Miss Annie Curley, 951 Ellis.
Clerk—Grace C. Blyth, 915 Franklin.
Clerk—C. A. Baker, 1050 Ellis.

**Precinct 153:**
Inspector—C. H. Lamb, 905 Eddy.
Judge—Norman B. Hagin, 836 Turk.
Clerk—Mrs. Eliz. Geimann, 820 Franklin.
Clerk—R. Schult, 907 Eddy.

**Precinct 154:**
Inspector—Wm. Burlingame, 723 Franklin.
Judge—Archie O. Bennett, 718 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Josephine Brown, 580 McAllister.
Clerk—M. H. Kahn, 835 Turk.

**Precinct 155:**
Inspector—George Roullard, 792 McAllister.
Judge—Catherine R. Bushaw, 792 McAllister.
Clerk—Geo. D. Byrne, 637 McAllister.
Clerk—Florence Samson, 717 Gough.

**Precinct 156:**
Inspector—J. A. Weatherford, 482A Fell.
Judge—Mrs. L. Patterson, 775 McAllister.
Clerk—Anna Teiermeyer, 512 Fulton.
Clerk—Adrienne M. Hoffman, 636 Octavia.

**Precinct 157:**
Inspector—C. W. Sawyer, 520 Hayes.
Judge—Adolph Jacobson, 503 Grove.
Clerk—Alma J. Marlowe, 549 Birch.
Clerk—Robt. F. Burns, 539 Octavia.

**Precinct 158:**
Inspector—F. Honeychurch, 316 Fulton.
Judge—P. J. Shanahan, 375 Fell.
Clerk—Caroline Gasser, 308A Fell.
Clerk—Bessie Gallahan, 412 Hayes.

**Precinct 159:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Murray, 171 Fell.
Judge—Betty S. Jones, 374 Grove.
Clerk—W. O. Jones, 428 Lily.
Clerk—Ethel H. Ainsler, 264A Octavia.

**Precinct 160:**
Inspector—Thos. A. Gibney, 110 Oak.
Judge—Mrs. Lulu Guard, 295 Fell.
Clerk—Madeline B. Asay, 265 Fell.
Clerk—Mrs. Eva C. Green, 295 Fell.

**Precinct 161:**
Inspector—Geo. Segurson Jr., 282 Oak.
Judge—James G. Cheney, 262 Oak.
Clerk—Miss Ruth M. Yost, 306 Octavia.
Clerk—Mrs. F. Bartlett, 207 Gough.

**Precinct 162:**
Inspector—A. F. Mackley, 279 Page.
Judge—Mae Bertram, 241 Page.
Clerk—Ellen P. Keogh, 389 Oak.
Clerk—Burna I. Powers, 232 Lily.

**Precinct 163:**
Inspector—E. T. Blake, 149 Page.
Judge—W. J. Reiterman, 177 Page.
Clerk—Esta DuBois, 151 Page.
Clerk—Ethel H. Amsler, 264A Octavia.

**Precinct 164:**
Inspector—Chas. Richmond, 179 Oak.
Judge—Alexie D. Langley, 20 Franklin.
Clerk—Catherine B. Fiedler, 1670 Market.
Clerk—Adah D. Wadlin, 45 Franklin.

**Precinct 165:**
Inspector—Alfred Snyder, 188 Hickory.
Judge—Mary A. Noonan, 160 Haight.
Clerk—Matilda Husk, 285 Rose.
Clerk—E. J. F. Phillips, 1360 O'Farrell.

**Precinct 166:**
Inspector—Geo. T. O'Neill, 148 Laguna.
Judge—A. L. Rosen, 50 Laguna.
Clerk—Mary A. Hecht, 82 Waller.
Clerk—S. M. Davis, 1499 Sutter.

**Precinct 167:**
Inspector—D. Friedman, 235 O'Farrell.
Judge—Alex McKay, 159 Powell.
Clerk—J. R. McGee, 174 Ellis.
Clerk—Fred Holz, 140 Ellis.

**Precinct 168:**
Inspector—Alex Rice, 1175 Francisco.
Judge—Selma Alexander, 940 Bay.
Clerk—Katherine Young, 969 North Point.
Clerk—Bertha K. Levy, 960 Bay.

**TWENTY-THIRD ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—William Dickson, 917 McAllister.
Judge—Ernest Raphael, 927 McAllister.
Clerk—Kathleen Elliott, 930 Fillmore.
Clerk—Mary Bergman, 728 Fulton.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—Charles Ingwersen, 730 Fillmore.
Judge—C. H. Roberts, 816B Grove.
Clerk—Lillian Gibbens, 835 Fulton.
Clerk—George L. Higgins, 859 Fulton.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—Richard Leonard, 801 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Alyce Hughes, 766 Hayes.
Clerk—Sara Bercovitch, 621 Webster.
Clerk—P. T. Fagrie, 995 McAllister.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—W. H. Wendt, 618A Buchanan.
Judge—Al Ewald, 626 Hayes.
Clerk—Louise Zeigler, 556 Fell.
Clerk—N. Duffy, 615 Hayes.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—D. J. Dunne, 203 Webster.
Judge—Mary N. Sullivan, 762 Fell.
Clerk—Mary E. Curley, 610 Fillmore.
Clerk—Amalia M. Hofmann, 507 Webster.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—Joseph A. Kearns, 440 Webster.
Judge—Mary McCafferty, 642 Oak.
Clerk—Isabel Lee, 578 Hickory.
Clerk—A. Altschuler, 827 Webster.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—J. A. Dembinski, 524 Oak.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret Macken, 432 Oak.
Clerk—Mrs. Margaret Randall, 457 Oak.
Clerk—J. T. Henry, 519 Hickory.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—L. C. McDonald, 329 Webster.
Judge—Mary E. Breslin, 641 Oak.
Clerk—Blanche Murphy, 305 Webster.
Clerk—Lena Austin, 661 Oak.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—James A. Davidson, 384 Page.
Judge—J. S. Hollman, 300 Buchanan.
Clerk—Matilda Engel, 368 Page.
Clerk—R. E. Haughey, 214 Haight.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—R. J. Curley, 464 Haight.
Judge—Mrs. Nora Kelly, 253 Webster.
Clerk—Mrs. Eva P. Tyrell, 426 Haight.
Clerk—M. Louis Levenson, 887 Hayes.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—C. E. Jackson, 123 Webster.
Judge—Thelma King, 201 Buchanan.
Clerk—Margaret Lynch, 308 Waller.
Clerk—F. C. Maloney, 443 Haight.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—F. E. Johnson, 633 Webster.
Judge—Sadie McCarty, 63 Webster.
Clerk—M. Conroy, 118 Fillmore.
Clerk—Thomas F. Lang, 33 Guerrero.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—James T. Cavanan, 520 Oak.
Judge—C. S. Penn, 34 Buchanan.
Clerk—Marie Bailey, 78 Buchanan.
Clerk—Ellen Coleman, 418 Haight.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—George P. Hopkins, 266 Clinton Park.
Judge—H. Warfield, 57 Guerrero.
Clerk—Mrs. Delia Hourihan, 33 Guerrero.
Clerk—Martha Craft, 842 Hayes.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—Sidney Sommer, 666 Grove.
Judge—Tiny E. Breedlove, 49 Pearl.
Clerk—Mrs. Gertrude K. Brown, 27 Elgin Park.
Clerk—M. Casdin, 619 Webster.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—Cecil M. Smith, 1657 Market.
Judge—Nora Hannon, 110½ Colton.
Clerk—Mary F. Bohan, 85 Crocker.
Clerk—R. J. Enright, 1338B Stevenson.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—Henry J. Sullivan, 58 Colton.
Judge—George Dignan, 1081 Natoma.
Clerk—E. I. O'Connor, 1532 Howard.
Clerk—Ralph W. Jamblin, 1065 Natoma.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—George O'Connor, 779 Guerrero.
Judge—Mrs. Lottie Sullivan, 1669 Howard.
Clerk—Michael J. Dalton, 18 Norfolk.
Clerk—Barbara Watson, 230 11th St.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—F. O. Kraul, 428 14th St.
Judge—Mabel Clarke, 470 14th St.
Clerk—Helena Murphy, 446 14th St.
Clerk—John Vinal, 2059 Market.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—George Schoenfeld, 157 Duboce Ave.
Judge—Josephine Donahue, 143 Duboce Ave.
Clerk—Della Childs, 145 Duboce Ave.
Clerk—Alice Campbell, 125½ Duboce Ave.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—R. Roebling, 144 Guerrero.
Judge—Isabel McDonald, 134B Guerrero.
Clerk—Annie Orsi, 227 Clinton Park.
Clerk—Florence Casdin, 619 Webster.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—J. P. Graham, 2059 Market.
Judge—F. F. Costa, 31 Landers.
Clerk—Mary Waldman, 31 Landers.
Clerk—Jennie Baker, 639 Octavia.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—A. W. Johnson, 54 Landers.
Judge—Mrs. E. Oehme, 273 Church.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Ryan, 685 14th St.
Clerk—Ruth C. Levy, 600 Fell.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—C. M. Coleman, 61 Shotwell.
Judge—Clara T. Simmons, 123 Shotwell.
Clerk—T. J. King, 349A Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—H. Merritt, 1910 Folsom.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—Arthur E. Ambrose, 281 14th St.
Judge—Mary Collins, 314A 14th St.
Clerk—Nora N. Martinelli, 147 Erie.
Clerk—Mildred McCray, 358 Van Ness Ave. South.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—T. H. Forbes, 495 Valencia.
Judge—F. J. McCauley, 447 Valencia.
Clerk—Alex J. Burrowes, 69 Julian Ave.
Clerk—Mary Rybicki, 160 Julian Ave.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—John F. Bauner, 436 Valencia.
Judge—Charles T. Burke, 115 Dolores.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Collins, 663 Fell.
Clerk—J. L. Ray, 386 Lily Ave.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—A. N. Popham, 161 Dolores.
Judge—Edward I. Burnzott, 151 Dolores.
Clerk—Mary Durkin, 272 Guerrero.
Clerk—Theresa O'Brien, 31 Ramona.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—Edward A. White, 36 Adair.
Judge—Hugh Jones, 479 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—H. J. Gilmour, 453 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—Alma R. Kragen, 1824 15th St.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—Milton Eber, 127 Julian Ave.
Judge—John A. Diersen, 121 Julian Ave.
Clerk—Antoinette C. Lewis, 157 Duboce Ave.
Clerk—A. H. Shaefer, 179 Julian Ave.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—Edward J. Vaughan, 389 Guerrero.
Judge—A. W. Donovan, 283 Dolores.
Clerk—Joseph P. O'Brien, 3230B 16th St.
Clerk—R. H. Carnal, 228 Haight.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—V. T. Stanley, 1947 15th St.
Judge—Mrs. Milliena M. Sheridan, 348 Shotwell.
Clerk—Charles Farnsworth, 369 Church.
Clerk—Minnie Green, 300 Page.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—John J. Norton, 2702 20th St.
Judge—Elizabeth Tracy, 317 Florida.
Clerk—Frances Curley, 2521 19th St.
Clerk—Phelita Reagan, 688 Hampshire.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—E. H. Oliver, 667 Van Ness Ave. South.
Judge—Louise Bammann, 385 Shotwell.
Clerk—Jane Ganley, 358 Shotwell.
Clerk—Delia Flaherty, 557 Van Ness Ave. South.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—S. Hartmann, 135 Capp.
Judge—Miss Louise L. Koch, 2069 Mission.
Clerk—Grace Marshall, 372 Shotwell.
Clerk—William J. Cornwell, 363 Page.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—C. J. Reardon, 559 Valencia.
Judge—Mrs. Agnes Burnett, 547 Valencia.
Clerk—R. D. Campbell, 2030 Mission.
Clerk—F. W. Wofschal, 2084 Mission.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—J. W. Finsterbusch, 31 Camp.
Judge—May Ryan, 123 Albion.
Clerk—Stella Mullen, 137 Albion.
Clerk—Mary Sullivan, 117 Albion.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—H. W. Blaettlet, 3538 17th St.
Judge—Mary Connolly, 3518 17th St.
Clerk—Mary Rose Dunbar, 3510 17th St.
Clerk—Clara K. Lea, 3554 17th St.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—John A. O'Kane, 13 Chula Lane.
Judge—Mrs. Regina Geib, 3626 17th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Angelina Stark, 366 Dolores.
Clerk—Steve Mandich, 3642 17th St.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Maurice Hirshfeld, 2159 Mission.
Judge—Mrs. Florence Dunne, 610A Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—Mrs. A. Higgins, 650 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—Myrtle Riviere, 126 Albion.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—Leo F. Daly, 876 Guerrero.
Judge—Emilie Lynch, 51 Sycamore.
Clerk—Margaret Hofmann, 3365 17th St.
Clerk—J. G. McCormick, 3414 18th St.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Brandt, 565 Guerrero.

Judge—Margaret L. Bourne, 3562 18th St.
Clerk—Marguerite Hall, 44 Dearborn.
Clerk—J. Quinley, 111 Webster.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—Wm. J. O'Connor, 524 Guerrero.
Judge—Mrs. Catherine C. Driscoll, 3675A 17th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Mae Pfeffer, 3535 17th St.
Clerk—Betty G. Nivens, 451 Haight.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—Andrew Skero, 3653 17th St.
Judge—Annie M. Grennan, 400 Dolores.
Clerk—Alice O'Connell, 3641 17th St.
Clerk—J. T. Kennedy, 576 Guerrero.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—F. P. Sweeney, 2389 Folsom.
Judge—Ann O'Farrell, 767 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—M. Kelly, 767 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—Lee Hiatt, 2316 Folsom.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—D. Wheeler, 3328 Capp.
Judge—Miss Betty Bandman, 3342 19th St.
Clerk—H. J. Baum, 373 Capp.
Clerk—Etta Ferrando, 3345 18th St.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—R. E. Parker, 3668 19th St.
Judge—Mrs. Anna Holt, 2264 Mission.
Clerk—Ida Landers, 3435 18th St.
Clerk—M. F. Gallagher, 537 14th St.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—Otto C. Huth, 629 Guerrero.
Judge—Ann Desmond, 52 Linda.
Clerk—Opal Dunlea, 81 Lapidge.
Clerk—Catherine Schroeder, 19 Linda.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—Peter W. Fuller, 3636 19th St.
Judge—Margaret Brogan, 575 Dolores.
Clerk—Alex F. McDonald, 3661 19th St.
Clerk—Olivia Heffron, 547 Dolores.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—Louis Bollinger, 423 Capp.
Judge—Stella R. Bonn, 440 Capp.
Clerk—Stella R. Schreiber, 418 Capp.
Clerk—Gladys Levy, 3636 19th St.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—F. H. Burd, 819 Valencia.
Judge—John S. Dwyer, 3483 18th St.
Clerk—Madeline Block, 220 Lexington.
Clerk—Nellie Sawyer, 275B Lexington.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—Leon B. Marks, 26 Angelica.
Judge—Anne A Coughlan, 3660 20th St.
Clerk—Anna R. Tait, 771 Guerrero.
Clerk—Marie Berry, 60A Angelica.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—R. H. Stettin, 3645 19th Street.
Judge—Ruth O'Leary, 3726 20th St.
Clerk—Jos. Chiappari, 3718 20th St.
Clerk—Henrietta Gergus, 52 Cumberland.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—Wm. N. Walsh, 3549 20th St.
Judge—Mrs. Jane Donovan, 2487 Harrison.
Clerk—Catherine Flowerday, 691 Florida.
Clerk—Eleanor Renault, 3038 19th St.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—C. F. Conley, 771 Treat Ave.
Judge—Kathryn Klinger, 716 Treat Ave.
Clerk—May K. Henning, 2433 Folsom.
Clerk—Avaloo L. Haney, 380 Shotwell.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—W. S. Quigley, 901 Van Ness Ave. South.
Judge—Margaret G. Kennedy, 955 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—J. Mulvihill, 2424 Folsom.
Clerk—Mildred Burgess, 631 Shotwell.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—Edw. Clark, 366 San Carlos.
Judge—Catherine Peck, 519½ Camp.
Clerk—Mrs. Alice B. Collins, 2471 Mission.
Clerk—Edna Saba, 3469 20th St.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—Harry Kupper, 325 Lexington.
Judge—Sadie N. Crystal, 362 Lexington.
Clerk—K. Olson, 3244 21st St.
Clerk—Martha Cohn, 3250 21st St.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—A. M. Niver, 972 Valencia.
Judge—Eva Hedman, 3356 21st St.
Clerk—Mary McDermott, 3358 21st St.
Clerk—John Hurley, 998 Valencia.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—M. J. Connerton, 3747 20th St.
Judge—Mrs. Eva B. Merrill, 862 Guerrero.
Clerk—Josephine Forrester, 3751 20th St.
Clerk—Edna Teichner, 3173 16th St.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—James Milton, 808 Potrero Ave.
Judge—Wm. Doyle, 924 Potrero Ave.
Clerk—James F. Colbert, 825 Hampshire.
Clerk—Hannah M. Sullivan, 2630 22nd St.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—Leroy V. O'Connor, 779 Guerrero.
Judge—Miss Mary Radich, 807 York.
Clerk—Annette Mibelli, 114 Albion.
Clerk—Katherine Daugherty, 69 Cumberland.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—August H. Thiel, 994A Florida.
Judge—Bernice Vandewater, 2363 Bryant.
Clerk—Nora O'Leary, 968 Hampshire.
Clerk—J. Pollachak, 22-A Cumberland.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—C. W. Walker, 2525 Harrison.
Judge—Wm. A. Hogarty, 2872 22nd St.
Clerk—Mrs. Louise J. Fitzpatrick, 910-A Florida.
Clerk—Marie R. Thiel, 2258 Bryant.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—H. S. Elliott, 1051 Van Ness Ave. South.
Judge—P. J. Walcott, 714 Shotwell.
Clerk—Mabel M. Williams, 3082 22nd St.
Clerk—Mary B. Elliott, 854 Florida.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—Chas. E. McDonald, 606 Capp.
Judge—Katherine L. Kavanaugh, 656 Capp.
Clerk—P. M. Connolly, 653 Capp.
Clerk—Ada Shannon, 1068-A Van Ness Ave. South.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—M. M. Mirnoff, 26 Hill.
Judge—Agnes Vermehren, 3271 21st St.
Clerk—Rosemond Detwiler, 3222 22nd St.
Clerk—M. Mirnoff, 1041 Valencia.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—M. J. Casey, 2946 24th St.
Judge—Miss Elizabeth Foote, 3361 21st St.
Clerk—Mrs. Genevieve C. Collins, 336 22nd St.
Clerk—Amelia Wingate, 3384 22nd St.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—Morris Goldberg, 86 Fair Oaks.
Judge—Bernice D. Cosgrove, 3488 22nd St.
Clerk—Betty Shinkwin, 952 Guerrero.
Clerk—Felicia M. Weihmann, 3430 22nd St.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—Albert F. Ramm, 1061 Potrero Ave.
Judge—Lillian Gallagher.
Clerk—Lena Sullivan, 1030 Hampshire.
Clerk—Emma E. Otts, 1082 York.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—P. Carney, 1027 Alabama.
Judge—Sarah Linahan, 1073 Florida.
Clerk—P. J. Hauser, 2408 Bryant.
Clerk—A. A. Hedman, 3356 31st St.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—M. J. Sullivan, 1012 Alabama.
Judge—Christine Fitzpatrick, 1018 Alabama.
Clerk—Margaret Gibbons, 2889 22nd St.

Clerk—Millie M. Smith, 851 Guerrero.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—Henry Von Werthern, 2698 Folsom.
Judge—Lena McEnhill, 2963 22nd St.
Clerk—Eleanor Walsh, 3021 22nd St.
Clerk—Mary R. Donahue, 3065 20th St.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—F. Farrington, 1197 Van Ness Ave. So.
Judge—Mrs. Lucinda Miller, 769 Capp.
Clerk—Josephine Floyd, 844A Shotwell.
Clerk—Nan Fitzpatrick, 3170 23d St.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—Stephen J. Fitzgerald, 181 Bartlett.
Judge—Chrissie Van Pelt, 2647 Mission.
Clerk—Mrs. M. Ryan, 3175 22nd St.
Clerk—Louise Weaver, 72 San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—R. F. Ennis, 52 Alvarado.
Judge—A. T. Thornhill, 29 Alvarado.
Clerk—Annie Gavin, 3369 22nd St.
Clerk—Ann Butler, 1044 Guerrero.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—Frank J. Doutrick, 953A Dolores.
Judge—Theodore Parsons, 2735 Mission.
Clerk—Mary F. Fitzgerald, 947 Dolores.
Clerk—Kathryn Schmidt, 1127 Hampshire.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—P. F. Schmidt, 1127 Hampshire.
Judge—Elsie Bernard, 2529 Bryant.
Clerk—Ida Gaggetti, 2839 23rd St.
Clerk—Kathleen Burke, 1166 Hampshire.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—Peter Tamony, 2866 24th St.
Judge—Miss Alice C. Moore, 2940 24th St.
Clerk—Margaret T. Barry, 2548 Bryant.
Clerk—Mary F. McAuliffe, 2953 23rd St.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—Hugh McCabe, 2768 Folsom.
Judge—Mary Sullivan, 2774 Folsom.
Clerk—Annie L. Byrne, 932 Shotwell.
Clerk—Regina Rundquist, 3269 22nd St.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—Geo. S. West, 1252 Van Ness Ave. So.
Judge—Arthur F. Purdy, 1258 Van Ness Ave So.
Clerk—Geo. Rogers, 3201 23rd St.
Clerk—Rebekah B. McDonald, 3264 24th St.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—Frank Ferry, 2766 Mission.
Judge—A. P. Stocker, 2734 Mission.
Clerk—Rose McCullough, 3380 23rd St.
Clerk—O. C. Edwards, 2766 Mission.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—T. J. Cantwell, 110½ San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Eda B. Davis, 1105 Guerrero.
Clerk—Caroline C. O'Brien, 1145 Guerrero.
Clerk—Irene M. Tyrrell, 118 San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 84:**
Inspector—W. J. Crowell, 1023 Dolores.
Judge—Margaret Carroll, 3644 24th St.
Clerk—Catherine A. McKenna, 275 Fair Oaks.
Clerk—Jane M. Carter, 20 Elizabeth.

**Precinct 85:**
Inspector—A. A. Peterson, 2902 25th St.
Judge—Marcela Kenney, 1231 Hampshire.
Clerk—Mrs. Nora Wright, 2843 24th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Sadie Murry, 1213 York.

**Precinct 86:**
Inspector—W. J. Nugent, 1201 Alabama.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Cairns, 2606 Bryant.
Clerk—Mary A. Coakley, 2837½ Harrison.
Clerk—Irene C. Byrne, 1321 Van Ness Ave. So.

**Precinct 87:**
Inspector—James F. Conway, 3009 24th St.
Judge—Margaret Haggerty, 2907 Harrison.
Clerk—Myrtle Boyd, 2834 Harrison.
Clerk—Leota M. Diedricksen, 3517 23rd St.

**Precinct 88:**
Inspector—F. C. Bindon, 3174 24th St.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Neill, 1139 Florida.
Clerk—T. Mullane, 1264 Treat Ave.
Clerk—Paul Cuneo, 1331 Dolores.

**Precinct 89:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Conroy, 1051 Shotwell.
Judge—Mrs. Helen Trotman, 2908 Folsom.
Clerk—Kathleen Fixa, 3485 21st St.
Clerk—Evelyn Curtis, 967 Capp.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—Jas. Kirby, 1427 Van Ness Ave. South.
Judge—Helen G. Byrne, 1321 Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—Kathleen E. Leishman, 1421½ Van Ness Ave. South.
Clerk—S. W. Tooby, 1421 Van Ness Ave. South.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—H. M. Jannssen, 335 Bartlett.
Judge—Margaret A. Craig, 3388 25th St.
Clerk—Agnes Thomas, 339 Bartlett.
Clerk—Mrs. Marie De Domenico, 325 Bartlett.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—A. W. Grant, 1391 Valencia.
Judge—Frank Schultz, 239 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Mary C. Gill, 281 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Lillian Cook, 316 Bartlett.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Treanor, 787 Valencia.
Judge—Fred C. Smith, 9 Elizabeth.
Clerk—Margaret G. Stearns, 1241 Guerrero.
Clerk—Mary E. Lowe, 3526 24th St.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—C. J. Benham, 1185 Dolores.
Judge—C. L. Miller, 318 Fair Oaks.
Clerk—Margaret A. Higgins, 322 Fair Oaks.
Clerk—Evelyn Davidson, 1109 Guerrero.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—John J. Dugan, 1359 York.
Judge—Edw. W. Noakes, 2906 Army.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Hamilton, 1424 York.
Clerk—Florence M. Wheeler, 415 Bartlett.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—Harry Wilson, 275 San Jose Ave.
Judge—Dorothy C. Kolby, 1310 Florida.
Clerk—Mrs. Lillian Glocker, 2784 Bryant.
Clerk—Thos. F. Gorman, 3327B 25th St.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—R. Osterholm, 150 Lilac.
Judge—Evelyn C. MacDonald, 1078 Capp.
Clerk—Sulina B. Ratto, 1400 Van Ness Ave So.
Clerk—Mayme Garlichs, 2977 Mission.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—T. J. O'Mara, 271 San Jose Ave.
Judge—Miss Alice Batiloro, 1453 Valencia.
Clerk—Alfred E. Bath, 1487 Valencia.
Clerk—Nellie St. Clair, 1487 Valencia.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—Wm. Granfield, 314 San Jose Ave.
Judge—Miriam Tobin, 334 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Gladys I. Nelson, 365 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Anthony Smolenski, 3780 26th St.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—Jacob Gonzawa, 3730 26th St.
Judge—Dolores Clark—3744 26th St.
Clerk—Mary E. Langley, 421 Fair Oaks.
Clerk—Paul Cuneo, 1331 Dolores.

**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—John Marlowe, 1425 Alabama.

Judge—Michael J. Moran, 1423 Alabama.
Clerk—Rose E. Miller, 1426 Florida.
Clerk—A. W. Blend, 1461 Alabama.

**Precinct 102:**
Inspector—W. F. Burke, 3441 26th St.
Judge—Lawrence Ortega, 3428 Army.
Clerk—Mary A. Lyons, 1529 Dolores.
Clerk—D. J. Buckley. 3370 Army.

**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—Wm. McKeon, Jr., 3606 Army.
Judge—Elizabeth Reimer, 3459 26th St.
Clerk—Chas. A. Clancy, 162 Duncan.
Clerk—F. F. Brocar, 3244 Mission.

**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—J. M. Riordan, 1411½ Guerrero.
Judge—Katherine Hogan, 25 27th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Margaret O'Leary, 562 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Leo J. Alaimo, 5 27th St.

**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—Paul McArdle, 2863 Harrison.
Judge—Dorothy Pickering, 1409 Guerrero.
Clerk—Catherine M. Sweeney, 1486 Guerrero.
Clerk—R. Bolles, 142A 27th St.

**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—Timothy Leahy, 159 Duncan.
Judge—Julia Azevedo, 154 Duncan.
Clerk—Mabel McCune, 136A Duncan.
Clerk—Chas. Eisenstein, 60 28th St.

**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—Francis J. Argenti, 88 29th St.
Judge—Mary E. Britton, 607 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Harry E. Christensen, 16 Tiffany Ave.
Clerk—J. M. Andersen, 663½ San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 108:**
Inspector—Daniel Gilfether, 18 Valley.
Judge—Mary C. Stahl, 1053A Dolores.
Clerk—Rena Coleman, 76 Valley.
Clerk—Geo. W. Kyte, 45 Valley.

**TWENTY-FOURTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—Chas. L. Grisez, 44 Jersey.
Judge—Mrs. Jane Duffy, 1209 Church.
Clerk—Rose Mary Sullivan, 21 Jersey.
Clerk—Eleanor Gibson, 65 Jersey.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—James Fahey, 143 Jersey.
Judge—Kathryn Graham, 3841B 24th St.
Clerk—Alice Staud, 1238 Church.
Clerk—Marie A. Sexton, 472 Jersey.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—Mark Hackett, 228 Jersey.
Judge—Hanna L. Cox, 290 Jersey.
Clerk—Margaret N. Doherty, 257 Jersey.
Clerk—Constance W. Von Werthern, 230 Valley.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—Wm. T. Carlyon, 314 Jersey.
Judge—Jos. K. Curry, 4065 24th St.
Clerk—Theresa Smith, 307 Jersey.
Clerk—Margaret Burns, 238 Valley.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—Thos Sexton, 472 Jersey.
Judge—Bertha Wedekind, 459 Jersey.
Clerk—Elizabeth Cotter, 224 27th St.
Clerk—Annie Gorman, 449A 27th St.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—L. H. Keast, 4340 25th St.
Judge—Marie M. Smith, 579 Jersey.
Clerk—Ann M. Lynch, 934 Diamond.
Clerk—Jas. E. Donahue, 802 Diamond.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—S. Rosen, 6 Fountain.
Judge—F. Becker, 4313 24th St.
Clerk—Lillian E. Wall, 4303 24th St.
Clerk—Mary E. Callaghan, 833 Douglass.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—Joe Lawless, 4609 25th St.
Judge—Maude Viereck, 564 Clipper.
Clerk—Lillian Small, 458 Clipper.
Clerk—Ellen McCarthy, 411 27th St.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—Eugene Hawkins, 1261A Church.
Judge—Mary Ellen McGovern, 4220 26th St.
Clerk—Nellie Waggoner, 4152 26th St.
Clerk—Charlotte Rutter, 469 Clipper.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—J. J. Pettit, 3952 26th St.
Judge—Mrs. Helen Kelly, 3874 25th St.
Clerk—Catherine M. Sullivan, 4028 26th St.
Clerk—A. L. Read, 1736 Sanchez.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—P. H. Maughan, 144 Clipper.
Judge—Teresa Erlenheim, 1267 Sanchez.
Clerk—Madeline J. Schoenstein, 1376 Church.
Clerk—N. Cohen, 3908 26th St.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—Edw. J. McGuirk, 3828 26th St.
Judge—Jessie Kohler, 3836 Army.
Clerk—Delia Hutchinson, 1119 Diamond.
Clerk—H. A. Kelley, 206 27th St.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—Geo. Michelson, 3957 26th St.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret O'Dwyer, 1307 Sanchez.
Clerk—Michael Kelly, 3908 Army.
Clerk—F. M. Murphy, 1417 Church.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—J. F. Moriarty, 220 27th St.
Judge—Annie L. McQuaide, 3927 Army.
Clerk—Edna R. Ryder, 1348 Dolores.
Clerk—H. A. Held, 340 27th St.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—P. J. McCloskey, 466 27th St.
Judge—Mrs. Catherine V. Fogarty, 4179 26th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Agnes Keane, 1316 Sanchez.
Clerk—W. H. Douglas, 198 Laidley.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—W. A. Tracy, 825 28th St.
Judge—Emily O'Kane, 1572 Church.
Clerk—Annette Anderson, 544 28th St.
Clerk—M. R. Reid, 1515 Diamond.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—Gerald G. Desmond, 339 27th St.
Judge—Etta O'Brien, 230 Duncan.
Clerk—Jeanne Driver, 226 Duncan.
Clerk—Mary Long, 58 Harper.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—F. P. Mitchell, 317 28th St.
Judge—Agnes M. Pendergast, 101 28th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Hanrahan, 1673 Church.
Clerk—Margaret F. Peterson, 129 28th St.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—E. J. O'Callaghan, 321 Duncan.
Judge—Mrs. Mary E. Harrell, 248 Valley.
Clerk—Mary E. Gallen, 231 28th St.
Clerk—E. F. Barnes, 1529 Sanchez.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—Harry Landwehr, 407 Duncan.
Judge—Marie Peterson, 336 Valley.
Clerk—Effie Fagalde, 374 29th St.
Clerk—Edna McMenomy, 360 Valley.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—R. M. Leveira, 584 29th St.
Judge—Ruby T. Gallagher, 556 29th St.
Clerk—Mary E. Cowan, 572 29th St.
Clerk—C. S. English, 421 Chenery.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—A. J. Carstensen, 179 29th St.
Judge—Florence Rielly, 61A 29th St.
Clerk—Mrs. Louise Sheridan, 743 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Mary Hennessy, 711A San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—Frank Neumann, 833 San Jose Ave.

Judge—J. F. O'Callaghan, 102 30th St.
Clerk—John J. Carroll, 102 30th St.
Clerk—Julia Bassette, 446 27th St.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—Dan T. Shea, 3344 Mission.
Judge—Julia A. Daly, 264 Day.
Clerk—Mildred Silveria, 277 29th St.
Clerk—Rosemond C. Conway, 202 Downey.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—D. F. Crane, 408 29th St.
Judge—Ellen M. Walsh, 466 Day.
Clerk—Sarah E. Fairbanks, 332 Day.
Clerk—Ruby H. White, 1595 Noe.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—Thos. P. Tierney, 1678 Dolores.
Judge—Walter Key, 181 29th St.
Clerk—M. McDermott, 436 Clipper.
Clerk—Margaret Flanagan, 308 30th St.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—Martin H. Huber, 481 Day.
Judge—Dorothy Nelson, 1852 Church.
Clerk—Addie Sanders, 410 30th St.
Clerk—Harriet G. O'Neill, 415 Frederick.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—J. M. Bertucci, 1760 Dolores.
Judge—Margaret Ashe, 111 Chenery.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary McCarthy, 289 30th St.
Clerk—Maude McDonald, 78 Chenery.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—F. H. Danton, 1844 Church.
Judge—Thos. Hennessy, 151 Randall.
Clerk—Molly McGuire, 126 Fairmount.
Clerk—Bessie Mollis, 140 Chenery.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—George Read, 1736 Sanchez.
Judge—Sarah Armstrong, 24 Whitney.
Clerk—Kathryn A. Gorman, 88 Whitney.
Clerk—Marguerite Rich, 415 30th St.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—W. B. French, 220 Fairmount.
Judge—Martha Coltzau, 105 Laidley.
Clerk—Allie Dennis, 100 Laidley.
Clerk—Geo. H. Leach, 107 Laidley.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—James W. Carpenter, 360 Sussex.
Judge—Genevieve Lucassen, 2660 Diamond.
Clerk—Rose Wall, 2518 Diamond.
Clerk—Timothy A. Driscoll, 452 Chenery.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—L. J. Tyson, 419 Chenery.
Judge—Josephine Johnson, 129 College Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Healy, 177 College Ave.
Clerk—Frances L. Riordan, 104 Bosworth.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—H. J. Landwehr, 281 Chenery.
Judge—Rose Sullivan, 264 Arlington.
Clerk—Mrs. Lucille Silva, 268 Arlington.
Clerk—Julia F. Dunn, 1683 San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—Henry J. Hermann, 354 Arlington.
Judge—Linda H. Gross, 321 Arlington.
Clerk—J. F. Rohatch, 353 Laidley.
Clerk—Julia I. Pieruccini, 163 Upland Dr.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—Joseph F. Schiele, 401 Chenery.
Judge—Mrs. Bertha McLaughlin, 455 Chenery.
Clerk—Mrs. Pollie Stonehouse, 464 Chenery.
Clerk—Georgia M. Nelson, 40 Mateo.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—John J. Crowley, 4 College Ave.
Judge—Katherine Manning, 86 St. Mary's Ave.
Clerk—Helen Giger, 4 College Terrace.
Clerk—Catherine E. McCarthy, 3990 Mission.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—John Gill, 230 Mateo.
Judge—Claire D. de Espinosa, 121 St. Mary's Ave.
Clerk—Ann Brennan, 127 St. Mary's Ave.
Clerk—Annie Finnigan, 24 Cuvier.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—J. C. O'Brien, 527 Chenery.
Judge—J. E. Shean, 2836 Diamond.
Clerk—Katherine A. O'Brien, 527 Chenery.
Clerk—Mrs. Lillian Pate, 736 Bosworth.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Max A. Schmidt, 74 Mizpah.
Judge—Minnie Burke, 900 Chenery.
Clerk—Rosemund Park, 44 Mizpah.
Clerk—Ann Burke, 900 Chenery.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—Claude Valerga, 72 Joost Ave.
Judge—Ella Scheper, 75 Paradise Ave.
Clerk—Margaret E. Corum, 149 Hamerton Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Margaret Driscoll, 37 Hamerton Ave.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—D. J. O'Connor, 538 Congo.
Judge—Dolores Menzies, 65 Nordhoff.
Clerk—Blanche Breckenridge, 69 Nordhoff.
Clerk—Mrs. Marie S. Connelly, 242 Joost Ave.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—Robt. Connell, 1375 Noe.
Judge—Theresa A. Spetrino, 574 Congo.
Clerk—Margaret Gray, 1012 Chenery.
Clerk—Mrs. Pauline F. March, 566 Rockdale Dr.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—Edward Reibman, 1380 Portola Drive.
Judge—Anne Davis, 260 Juanita Way.
Clerk—Violet Artigues, 1001 Portola Drive.
Clerk—Mrs. Martha C. Collins, 215 Juanita Way.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Dorville Libby, Jr., 10 San Pablo Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Lenore Ham, 4 San Pablo Ave.
Clerk—Eleanor M. Davis, 89 Agua Way.
Clerk—Mrs. Audrey K. Tucker, 40 Santa Monica Way.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—Wm. G. Stearns, 30 Terrace Drive.
Judge—Maybelle Y. Snow, 35 San Fernando Way.
Clerk—Irene Spears, 39 Terrace Drive.
Clerk—Miss Evelyn M. McCarthy, 15 Santa Ana Ave.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—L. J. Crouch, 706 Foerster.
Judge—Sarah Meyer, 479 Mangels Ave.
Clerk—Frieda M. Knight, 556 Joost Ave.
Clerk—Jennie Nolan, 436 Genesse.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—M. D. Cartwright, 766 Monterey Blvd.
Judge—Laura J. Wilk, 762 Monterey Blvd.
Clerk—Martha Foye, 443 Genessee.
Clerk—C. L. Hart, 642 Mangels.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—M. Gustafson, 130 Brentwood Ave.
Judge—R. A. Huber, 416 Colon Ave.
Clerk—Amy Schlesselmann, 320 Hazelwood.
Clerk—Lucile M. Hayes, 160 Northwood Drive.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—Z. T. Stell, 20 San Felipe Ave.
Judge—Amelia Meyer, 184 Yerba Buena Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Carlota Taylor, 170 Yerba Buena Ave.
Clerk—Miss Mary E. Wilms, 198 El Verano Way.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—O. W. Schwarz, 571 Darien Way.
Judge—Dona Hill, 315 Westgate Drive.
Clerk—Eva Traux, 1501 Monterey Blvd.
Clerk—Geo. V. Benker, 215 Santa Ana Ave.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—A. Alexander, 128 Genessee.
Judge—Lena Kahn, 120 Aptos Ave.
Clerk—Agnes Campbell, 35 San Rafael Way.
Clerk—E. R. Benninger, 100 San Rafael Way.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—C. J. Scanlon, 71 Lamartine.
Judge—Pauline Kaufmann, 224 Cayuga Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Daly, 68 Lyell.
Clerk—Virginia M. Miller, 82 Rotteck.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—J. D. Bernardi, 218 Holloway.
Judge—Josie Cooney, 223 Silver Ave.
Clerk—Margaret Bernie, 50 Cotter St.
Clerk—Julia F. Dunn, 1683 San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—Milton Smith, 67 Capistrano Ave.
Judge—Andrew Carnahan, 69 Cotter.
Clerk—Edythe Engelbrecht, 46 Harrington.
Clerk—Loretta M. Guisti, 66 Francis.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—Harry A. Sanford, 256 Cotter.
Judge—Julia E. Figone, 239 Cotter.
Clerk—Mary A. Smith, 67 Capistrano.
Clerk—M. A. Vroman, 1650 San Jose Ave.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—W. S. Mullins, 43 Joost Ave.
Judge—Wm. A. Duffey, 120 Monterey Blvd.
Clerk—Regina Miller, 73 Joost Ave.
Clerk—Anna I. Bell, 197 Joost Ave.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—Victor Lindberg, 126 Flood Ave.
Judge—Jean Shaw, 178 Flood Ave.
Clerk—Louise A. Mulcahy, 96 Staples.
Clerk—Mrs. Ruth Giacomini, 27 Staples.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—Wm. L. Bonille, 372 Hearst Ave.
Judge—Frances Mouille, 361 Hearst Ave.
Clerk—Laura Rice, 631 Edna.
Clerk—Frank D. Bentzen, 318 Flood Ave.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—Andy Ahern, 218 Staples.
Judge—Mrs. Hilda Webb, 218 Judson Ave.
Clerk—Geo. McDermott, 201 Flood Ave.
Clerk—H. T. Anderson, 254 Staples.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—A. G. Robblee, 248 Harold.
Judge—May Branick, 106 Ridgewood.
Clerk—Margaret McLellan, 662 Hearst.
Clerk—Mable N. Dauterman, 554 Hearst.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—Joseph J. Loustau, 324 Staples Ave.
Judge—Mrs. M. Wanda Gillette, 375 Staples Ave.
Clerk—Daisy Buckley, 460 Staples Ave.
Clerk—Leo M. Brady, 131 Genessee.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—Albert Olson, 35 Montecito.
Judge—Irean E. Healy, 569 Staples Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Ina Ames, 556 Judson Ave.
Clerk—Paul M. Disney, 84 Hazelwood Ave.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—H. W. Senter, 1526 Plymouth.
Judge—James S. Fennell, 159 Valdez Ave.
Clerk—Mabel Merritt, 235 Montecito Ave.
Clerk—Miss Elsie C. Britton, 235 Colon Ave.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—Leo Kane, 2121 San Jose Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Cathlyn McKee, 370 Colon Ave.
Clerk—Ruth Knoph, 285 Valdez Ave.
Clerk—Wm. J. Durkin, 255 Valdez Ave.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—L. A. Buchel, 958 Capitol Ave.
Judge—Sarah Drew, 150 Westgate Drive.
Clerk—Anne Monahan, 11 Westgate Drive.
Clerk—Hazel Kessler, 201 Westgate Drive.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—Donald N. Fairgrieve, 120 Eastwood Drive.
Judge—Jeanette V. Higgins, 389 San Leandro Way.
Clerk—Virginia B. Kohlbecker, 360 San Leandro Way.
Clerk—Pauline T. Meyer, 170 Aptos Ave.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—D. P. Batilora, 148 Westwood Drive.
Judge—Georgia W. Ely, 800 Faxon Ave.
Clerk—H. J. Thomas, 100 Westwood Drive.
Clerk—Madeline B. Peggo, 600 Miramar Ave.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—J. A. Sansom, 20 Westwood Drive.
Judge—Inez I. Oesterle, 752 Faxon Ave.
Clerk—C. T. Dorman, 500 Miramar Ave.
Clerk—Miss Josephine N. Coleman, 531 Miramar Ave.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—H. G. Porter, 15 Mercedes Way.
Judge—Mrs. Marie Journeay, 661 Faxon Ave.
Clerk—Violet Heuter, 342 Granada Ave.
Clerk—James W. Cannon, 1344 Ocean Ave.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—E. H. Norman, 142 Westwood Drive.
Judge—Barbara Denehy, 371 Ashton Ave.
Clerk—Florence Nolan, 181 Kenwood Way.
Clerk—Mrs. Selma M. Smith, 53 Granada Ave.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—F. P. Costello, 136 Corona.
Judge—Wm. J. Miller, 191 Corona.
Clerk—Rose Applas, 127 Corona.
Clerk—Lottie A. Randall, 135 Corona.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—John Bass, 342 Moncada Way.
Judge—Katherine F. O'Connell, 130 Paloma Way.
Clerk—V. Peltier, 535 Junipero Serra Blvd.
Clerk—Margaret Mallick, 2245 Ocean Ave.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—Plinio Righetti, 365 Urbano Drive.
Judge—Maude N. Moroney, 20 Entrada Court.
Clerk—Eileen M. Bowie, 142 Cerritos Ave.
Clerk—Robt. A. Carter, 467 Urbano Drive.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—M. M. Connolly, 285 Seneca.
Judge—Valeria Maffei, 23 Norton.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Mogan, 82 Norton.
Clerk—John Bernoldo, 61 Norton.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—John A. Begley, 390 Capistrano Ave.
Judge—Marcella Mahoney, 716 Cayuga.
Clerk—Coila Mullen, 169 Santa Rosa Ave.
Clerk—Bertha Molinari, 1 Otsego Ave.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—Robt. Hay, 436 Holloway.
Judge—Florence T. Murphy, 44 San Gabriel Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Isabella Halvorsan, 1765 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Martin G. Casserly, 239 Santa Rosa Ave.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—Nathaniel Hawthorne, 2001½ San Jose Ave.
Judge—A. P. Dudley, 100 San Ynez Ave.
Clerk—Gladys McKlem, 2 Rudden Ave.
Clerk—Veronica Stewart, 271 Otsego Ave.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—Walter G. Crowe, 57 Meda Ave.
Judge—Leona Morgan, 298 Ocean Ave.
Clerk—Pearl Lunney, 253 Otsego Ave.
Clerk—Emma A. Conry, 271 Otsego Ave.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—Wm. K. Geddes, 557 Laidley.
Judge—Mrs. Madeline Goodman, 57 Nantucket Ave.
Clerk—Jean Apparius, 175 Kenwood Way.
Clerk—Mary J. Greeninger, 44 Ellington Ave.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—Geo. Chandler, 174 Judson Ave.
Judge—Ruth F. Ross, 500 Circular Ave.
Clerk—C. E. Catoir, 115 Judson Ave.
Clerk—Ellen Carroll, 116 Judson Ave.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—A. Rehfeld, 178 Onondaga Ave.
Judge—Ordele Cohen, 1200 Cayuga Ave.
Clerk—Helen Pinnick, 7 Shawnee.
Clerk—Mae Roberts, 16 Navajo Ave.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—J. A. Russell, 137 Bertita.
Judge—Claude O'Hare, 36 Gloria Court.
Clerk—Dorothy Love, 141 Bertita.
Clerk—Louise E. Gage, 68 Del Monte.

**Precinct 84:**
Inspector—R. J. McKlem, 484 Otsego.
Judge—John D. Roberts, 16 Navajo Ave.
Clerk—Lilah Johnston, 623 Geneva Ave.
Clerk—Agnes M. Darling, 3044 Alemany Blvd.

**Precinct 85:**
Inspector—Chas. J. Kloos, 67 Del Monte.
Judge—Veronica E. Stewart, 15 Niagara Ave.
Clerk—Miss Katherine Hennessy, 74 Ellington Ave
Clerk—Lawrence R. Merrill, 1475 Cayuga.

**Precinct 86:**
Inspector—Lloyd Cole, 236 Lee Ave.
Judge—Pauline Quirolo, 5482 Mission.
Clerk—Ethel T. Rourke, 45 Ottawa Ave.
Clerk—Emily Heineman, 640 Victoria.

**Precinct 87:**
Inspector—Geo. Connell, 22 Farragut.
Judge—W. E. Lee, 656 Huron Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Rose M. Cordes, 140 Rae Ave.
Clerk—Etta Smith, 185 Naglee Ave.

**Precinct 88:**
Inspector—Peter T. Gensius, 2729 San Jose Ave.
Judge—Marie F. Maguire, 2809 San Jose Ave.
Clerk—Agnes M. Darling, 3044 Alemany Blvd.
Clerk—John I. Bevans, 179 Laura.

**Precinct 89:**
Inspector—Geo. W. Sanders, 2246 Cayuga Ave.
Judge—D. J. Sullivan, 158 Sears.
Clerk—Katie F. Vail, 122 Sears.
Clerk—Alfred M. Cerisier, 2273 Cayuga Ave.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—V. E. Lindgren, 2 DeSoto.
Judge—Grace Flanagan, 9 Regent.
Clerk—Miss Mary M. Da Col, 243 Louisburg.
Clerk—Chester O. Buntley, 208 Tara.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—A. G. Robblee, 248 Harold Ave.
Judge—John J. Hurley, 159 Harold Ave.
Clerk—Mildred L. Lloyd, 62 Bruce.
Clerk—Mrs. Estelle L. Cantet, 59 Granada Ave.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—H. J. Riegelhuth, 216 Miramar.
Judge—Mrs. Kate Bassity, 1149 Plymouth.
Clerk—Annie L. O'Connell, 1101 Plymouth.
Clerk—Bernardine A. Leonard, 1106 Plymouth.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—Alfred J. Tickner, 1039 Plymouth.
Judge—Mary Dorn, 525 Faxon Ave.
Clerk—Freda M. Zachary, 352 Ashton Ave.
Clerk—Alice L. Bowden, 1242 Capitol Ave.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—Geo. Waller, 478 Faxon Ave.
Judge—Kathleen M. Reilly, 600 Holloway Ave.
Clerk—Sadie G. Maxfield, 227 Jules.
Clerk—Hazel C. Coffman, 443 Faxon Ave.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—Jerome A. Desio, 829 Head.
Judge—Miss Virginia Murphy, 275 Ashton Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Lyman, 1000 Holloway Ave.
Clerk—Mary Dorn, 980 Holloway Ave.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—Geo. Arata, 85 Entrada Court.
Judge—Mrs. Adele Potthoff, 538 Arch.
Clerk—Rose Mary McVey, 55 DeSoto.
Clerk—Gertrude R. Monella, 568 Arch.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—Geo. G. Campini, 1075 Plymouth Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Amelia Mack, 1030 Plymouth.
Clerk—Charlotte Donegan, 134 Granada Ave.
Clerk—Mary J. Winchester, 137 Holloway Ave.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—Carl A. Meyer, 962 Capitol Ave.
Judge—Mary Sondeson, 158 Miramar.
Clerk—Alice Anderson, 525 Grafton Ave.
Clerk—Elmer E. Smith, 53 Granada Ave.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—James McDonough, 975 Capitol Ave.
Judge—M. L. Brown, 941 Capitol Ave.
Clerk—Isabell F. Scott, 343 Faxon Ave.
Clerk—Agnes C. Pierotti, 156 Ashton Ave.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—Geo. H. Rudovick, 306 Lakeview.
Judge—Mary F. Sullivan, 582 Bright.
Clerk—Angelo Di Pietro, 1015 Holloway.
Clerk—A. P. Wyss, 999 Holloway Ave.

**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—Ira H. Bachman, 150 Josiah Ave.
Judge—Catherine M. Wagar, 24 Margaret Ave.
Clerk—Katherine Mackey, 31 Cain Ave.
Clerk—Albina Perotti, 55 Josiah Ave.

**Precinct 102:**
Inspetcor—Fred Rodenback, 311 Ashton Ave.
Judge—Louise Kubli, 959 Plymouth Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Rose Cleary, 58 Brighton.
Clerk—Jeanne Kelleher, 903 Plymouth Ave.

**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—R. Marsh, 623 Lakeview Ave.
Judge—Ruby I. Moran, 165 Farallones.
Clerk—Mary J. Neenan, 142 Montana.
Clerk—Loretta D. Kelleher, 963 Plymouth.

**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—S. P. Mangan, 133 Broad.
Judge—Melida Brenan, 92 Broad.
Clerk—J. Downnig, 89 Farallones.
Clerk—Ana Bidwell, 133 Broad.

**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—James H. Morrissey, 3046 San Jose Ave.

Judge—Margaret Kelly, 64 Palmetto.
Clerk—Joseph H. Hunslow, 285 Broad.
Clerk—Rose Cleary, 58 Brighton Ave.

**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—H. M. Brickwell, 270 Broad.
Judge—Mae E. Coleman, 309 Sargent.
Clerk—Mrs. Julia Dullea, 271 Farallones.
Clerk—Delia Shaughnessy, 114 Randolph.

**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—A. S. Johnson, 85 San Luis Ave.
Judge—Ben A. Johnson, 245 Palmetto Ave.
Clerk—Shirley Rose, 32 Santa Cruz Ave.
Clerk—Ralph E. Johnson, 245 Palmetto Ave.

**Precinct 108:**
Inspector—Geo. L. Carlson, 135 Byxbee.
Judge—Thelma E. Parsons, 115 Byxbee.
Clerk—Esther Thomas, 176 Juanita Way.
Clerk—Frances Roof, 127 Arch.

**Precinct 109:**
Inspector—James E. Hughes, 258 Farallones.
Judge—Agnes Jordan, 176 Juanita Way.
Clerk—Ethel Holland, 975 Portola Drive.
Clerk—Walter D. Casey, 90 Chaves Ave.

**Precinct 110:**
Inspector—F. Freethy, 1246 Portola Dr.
Judge—Katherine E. Mahoney, 3045 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Anne Moholy, 1343 21st Ave.
Clerk—Wm. J. Canepa, 3017 21st Ave.

## TWENTY-FIFTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—Sidney Haas, 57 Ashbury.
Judge—Mary T. Manning, 631 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Alice R. Kenny, 627 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—F. H. Klopper, 58 Clayton.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—E. B. Neudelman, 2005 Fulton.
Judge—Eleanor Feehan, 2090 Grove.
Clerk—Flora Savio, 2037 Fulton.
Clerk—L. Pomerantz, 601 Masonic Ave.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—D. J. Hughes, 2168 Grove.
Judge—Anna Murphy, 2139 Grove.
Clerk—Phoebe McCarthy, 2145 Grove.
Clerk—Eleanor Feehan, 2090 Grove.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—H. G. Brackett, 2237 Fulton.
Judge—Anne A. Fennell, 41 Shrader.
Clerk—Helen B. Fitzgerald, 2237 Fulton.
Clerk—Emil Mayer, 2261 Fulton.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—Robert A. Benson, 1762 Page.
Judge—Angele Gregory, 1957 Hayes.
Clerk—Erma L. Wemple, 235 Ashbury.
Clerk—Capitola F. Palmer, 1790 Fell.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—H. E. Blomquist, 1985 Grove.
Judge—Ethel Jenkins, 1959 Grove.
Clerk—Mildred Nankeville, 2085 Hayes.
Clerk—Josephine Cotter, 138 Cole.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—Wm. S. Meyer, 2085 Hayes.
Judge—Lillian Horgan, 234 Cole.
Clerk—Susan Lotz, 2027 Hayes.
Clerk—Marguerite Horgan, 300 Stanyan.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—M. O. Gaillard, 238 Shrader.
Judge—Mrs. Gertrude Stack, 2159 Hayes.
Clerk—H. B. Westlake, 2070 Fell.
Clerk—Kathleen Enzenbacher, 403 Clayton.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—Jacob Weisler, 245 Shrader.
Judge—Anna E. J. Smith, 2100 Fell.
Clerk—Mae L. Riddle, 2100 Fell.
Clerk—Claire Ward, 523 Clayton.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—James McCarthy, 1549 Page.
Judge—Arthur Lewis, 1015 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Patrick Devlin, 2101 Hayes.
Clerk—Roy E. Nahl, 1550 Page.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—Jos. L. Licht, 504 Clayton.
Judge—M. J. Giles, 526 Clayton.
Clerk—Agnes Earl, 501 Ashbury.
Clerk—Sig Grosslicht, 504 Clayton.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—V. A. Krysiak, 1638 Haight.
Judge—Claire A. Ward, 523 Clayton.
Clerk—Gertrude Lebagh, 1837 Oak.
Clerk—Amy Littell, 1865 Oak.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—S. White, 408 Cole.
Judge—L. B. Doty, 1973 Page.
Clerk—Bertie J. Fleishman, 1848 Page.
Clerk—Mary Mulcahy, 1510 Waller.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—J. C. Allen, 1802 Haight.
Judge—W. B. Lafferty, 413 Shrader.
Clerk—Evelyn J. Waterman, 2023 Oak.
Clerk—Deborah Mack, 1900 Page.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—T. J. McFadden, 1221 Masonic Ave.
Judge—Gertrude A. Cuevas, 626 Ashbury.
Clerk—Mae D. Madden, 1460 Waller.
Clerk—R. E. LeBaron, 1752 Page.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—Edw. H. Behan, 1825 Haight.
Judge—Marie Burke, 657 Clayton.
Clerk—Helen Regan, 646 Cole.
Clerk—Evelyn J. Waterman, 2023 Oak.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—J. P. Jones, 726 Shrader.
Judge—W. G. Barnes, 740 Shrader.
Clerk—J. H. Spilner, 1659 Waller.
Clerk—Minnie Wise, 1601 Haight.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—A. J. Costello, 116 Frederick.
Judge—Mary E. Broderick, 1309 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Ida Mesquite, 1341 Waller.
Clerk—R. L. Lawson, 791 Ashbury.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—C. L. Sullivan, 768 Cole.
Judge—Mary E. Deasy, 83A Delmar.
Clerk—Mary Parker, 163 Delmar.
Clerk—Betty V. Campbell, 1667 Haight.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—Fred L. Slemmons.
Judge—A. L. Lawson, 791 Ashbury.
Clerk—P. E. Botta, 212 Frederick.
Clerk—W. E. Morton, 745 Clayton.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—M. Marcus, 799 Clayton.
Judge—Mary G. McIntyre, 795 Clayton.
Clerk—Dorothy Atha, 318 Frederick.
Clerk—Eda Levy, 1585 Waller.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—W. A. Cardoza, 764 Cole.
Judge—Rose Gaffney, 783 Cole.
Clerk—Mae Waring, 374 Frederick.
Clerk—Marguerite McCarthy, 360 Frederick.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—J. P. O'Neill, 841 Shrader.
Judge—Pauline F. Hannan, 382 Frederick.
Clerk—Bernard Deasy, 148 Beulah.
Clerk—Eda Levy, 1585 Waller.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—Henry R. Levy, 124 Downey.
Judge—Rosemond C. Conway, 202 Downey.
Clerk—Anna Parke, 254 Downey.
Clerk—Elsie Carillon, 404 Frederick.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—Clifford L. Hagan, 840 Clayton.
Judge—Kathrin Jones, 826 Clayton.
Clerk—May S. Pomeroy, 924 Clayton.
Clerk—W. V. Wise, 784 Cole.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—C. C. Benson, 889 Clayton.
Judge—Elizabeth Nelson, 367 Frederick.
Clerk—Anne Breslin, 367 Frederick.
Clerk—Norah Hobbs, 52 Grattan.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—Thos. J. Gray, 126 Carl.
Judge—Katherine M. Schlichting, 423 Frederick.
Clerk—H. C. Frash, 908 Clayton.
Clerk—Leonie J. Perrine, 78 Carmel.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—R. B. Walker, 106 Parnassus Ave.
Judge—Margaret D. Colbert, 172 Parnassus Ave.
Clerk—Bessie Batkin, 163 Carl.
Clerk—Geo. Hartnell, 1201 Sixth Ave.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—Jas. H. Reid, 117 Parnassus Ave.
Judge—Elizabeth Granfield, 1114 Shrader.
Clerk—Ellen B. Hughes, 75 Parnassus Ave.
Clerk—C. L. Licht, 101 Parnassus Ave.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—E. V. Sullivan, 983 Clayton.
Judge—Julia Kinaney, 636 Belvedere.
Clerk—Eleanor Manning, 975 Clayton.
Clerk—Nora M. Hobbs, 52 Grattan.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—Geo. Devaney, 105 Carl.
Judge—Anna C. Price, 1240 Cole.
Clerk—Maude R. Purdie, 4712 17th St.
Clerk—Frances Norton, 1208 Stanyan.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—R. F. Barth, 177 Parnassus Ave.
Judge—Jean Bacigalupi, 1105 Shrader.
Clerk—Alyce T. Radford, 189 Parnassus Ave.
Clerk—Lucille J. Schoos, 1139 Cole.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—Wm. Johnson, 1417 Cole.
Judge—Helen O'Connell, 125 Alma.
Clerk—Josephine Leary, 142 Rivoli.
Clerk—Helen A. Anderson, 154 Carmel.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—Wm. Fowler, 1529 Shrader.
Judge—Elizabeth Shortall, 141 Carmel.
Clerk—Helen A. Waite, 607 Belvedere.
Clerk—Geo. H. Skinner, 1532 Cole.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—A. F. Norton, 1208 Stanyan.
Judge—Maude Lidstone, 1227 Stanyan.
Clerk—Loretta Brady, 1329 Shrader.
Clerk—Katharyn Andrews, 234 Rivoli.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—V. G. Bonaly, 901 Stanyan.
Judge—Eleanor Kenny, 549 Frederick.
Clerk—Elizabeth C. Berns, 512 Frederick.
Clerk—H. Eliz. Cook, 30 Judah.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—Chris C. Willis, 283 Carl.
Judge—Rose T. McGovern, 71 Woodland Ave.
Clerk—E. J. Krueger. 267 Parnassus Ave.
Clerk—Mabelle A. Allen, 33 Hillway.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—D. F. Foley, 1240 3rd Ave.
Judge—Pauline E. Fox, 338 Carl.
Clerk—Anna Burke, 657 Clayton.
Clerk—Alice Ring, 609 Ashbury.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—S. Oppenheim, 1585 Waller.
Judge—Kathryn S. Johnson, 1356 Funston.
Clerk—Marion E. Allen, 349 Carl.
Clerk—May E. McMurray, 16 Hillway Ave.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Sheldon Bean, 279 Edgewood Ave.
Judge—Gertrude Baccus, 1289 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Clara H. Ranken, 315 Parnassus Ave.
Clerk—Alma P. Rainsgard, 1231 4th Ave.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—J. J. Meehan, 1318 Arguello Blvd.
Judge—Mabelle A. Allen, 33 Hillway Ave.
Clerk—Leo T. Burns, 1282 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Baccua, 1289 2nd Ave.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—A. Lynngreen, 1223A 2nd Ave.
Judge—Loretto Keane, 1215 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Hattie A. Fitzgerald, 1200 3rd Ave
Clerk—Elsie Hoffman, 1326 6th Ave.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—Walter A. Mullin, 250 Irving
Judge—Edith Rolls, 225 Irving.
Clerk—Mary F. Bolts, 335 Hugo.
Clerk—Mary A. Scheu, 1464 5th Ave.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—Gordon Shaff, 189 Downey.
Judge—May Wesling, 1316 4th Ave.
Clerk—Margaret O'Connor, 1360 4th Ave.
Clerk—Norma Beyer, 1584 8th Ave.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Richard Dwyer, 1369 4th Ave.
Judge—Alma Ramsgard, 1231 4th Ave.
Clerk—Mary W. Smith, 1264 6th Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Kilgariff, 1517 8th Ave.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—Leo Tabelmann, 1244 6th Ave.
Judge—Cecelia Dittman, 1248 5th Ave.
Clerk—L. Wilkes, 1249 4th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude M. Cheubin, 1249 4th Ave.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—C. L. Adler, 1328 6th Ave.
Judge—Grace Reichart, 1335 5th Ave.
Clerk—Kitty Crowley, 1350 6th Ave.
Clerk—W. M. Newhoff, 426 Irving.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—Wm. M. Riordan, 1451 5th Ave.
Judge—Jane Dougherty, 1455 5th Ave.
Clerk—Thos. F. McDermott, 1487 4th Ave.
Clerk—Elsie Beecher, 1414 5th Ave.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—Arthur Coleman, 1446 5th Ave.
Judge—Alice M. Graff, 1279 6th Ave.
Clerk—Dorothy Nelson, 546 Irving.
Clerk—Mary C. Schultz, 1266 7th Ave.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—R. F. Heyfron, 1473 6th Ave.
Judge—Anastasia O'Connell, 1408 7th Ave.
Clerk—Nellie G. Hopkins, 250 Kirkham.
Clerk—Agnes Nixon, 1539 9th Ave.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—J. E. Leonard, 1405 7th Ave.
Judge—Orena M. Smith, 1471 7th Ave.
Clerk—Emma T. Nichols, 1461 7th Ave.
Clerk—Louise M. Cory, 1495 7th Ave.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—Carl Skau, 1379 8th Ave.
Judge—Addie Mulcahy, 1375 8th Ave.
Clerk—Jean D. Bingley, 1366 9th Ave.
Clerk—C. V. Hill, 1387 8th Ave.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—Joseph Kelly, 1477 9th Ave.
Judge—Annie Featherston, 1482 9th Ave.
Clerk—Hester T. Williams, 1469 9th Ave.
Clerk—Adeline Helms, 1630 10th Ave.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—J. H. Cokeley, 415 Kirkham.
Judge—Marion Lloyd, 1520 6th Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth M. Buck, 1530 8th Ave.
Clerk—A. J. Warrack, 1560 8th Ave.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—A. F. Seebeck, 1387 8th Ave.
Judge—Jane Helms, 1630 10th Ave.
Clerk—Anne F. Ferguson, 1719 8th Ave.
Clerk—Hattie W. LeFevre, 319 Lawton.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—M. E. Decker, 313 Noriega.
Judge—Annie M. Mulville, 1725 10th Ave.
Clerk—Adrian Horton, 1833 9th Ave.
Clerk—Carl C. Francis, 1379 10th Ave.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—R. M. Meagles, 1544 12th Ave.
Judge—Dan Donovan, 1601 10th Ave.
Clerk—Louise H. Lunde, 1663 10th Ave.
Clerk—B. A. Richards, 1523 11th Ave.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—George W. Peterson, 1534 11th Ave.

Judge—Marie Kenney, 1555 9th Ave.
Clerk—Alick G. Sheriffs, 1574 11th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Agnes Nixon, 1539 9th Ave.

recinct 59:
Inspector—P. J. Kelly, 1418 12th Ave.
Judge—Mollie Meagles, 1440 12th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Butler, 1475 10th Ave.
Clerk—E. J. Rhodes, 1574 11th Ave.

recinct 60:
Inspector—Geo. W. Kavanaugh, 1394 11th Ave.
Judge—Helen Cochran, 2326 Kirkham.
Clerk—Frances E. Padilla, 1344 11th Ave.
Clerk—E. L. Kelly, 1257 9th Ave.

recinct 61:
Inspector—Ed. H. Kelly, 1215 10th Ave.
Judge—Mary E. Crump, 1258 10th Ave.
Clerk—Agnes G. Slattery, 923 Lincoln Way.
Clerk—Linda DeLew, 1257 10th Ave.

recinct 62:
Inspector—E. J. Andre, 1355 11th Ave.
Judge—Laurania McDonald, 1327 11th Ave.
Clerk—Nellie Musgrave, 1333 11th Ave.
Clerk—Rose Diez, 1347 11th Ave.

recinct 63:
Inspector—Geo. F. Kelly, 1275 12th Ave.
Judge—Alice C. Walker, 1365 12th Ave.
Clerk—Anne A. Harn, 1267 12th Ave.
Clerk—Luella Vickerson, 1278 10th Ave.

recinct 64:
Inspector—Jas. F. Norton, 1491 Funston.
Judge—Helena C. Butler, 1608 Funston.
Clerk—Alma Walker, 1483 Funston.
Clerk—Maude Lawlor, 1390 15th Ave.

recinct 65:
Inspector—Edw. H. Gilleran, 1351 15th Ave.
Judge—Annie Aceret, 1319 14th Ave.
Clerk—Emma Helms, 1330 15th Ave.
Clerk—Helen D. Connolly, 1533 Lincoln Way.

recinct 66:
Inspector—Phil J. Kennedy, 1252 16th Ave.
Judge—Mary A. Collins, 1271 15th Ave.
Clerk—Anelia Irwin, 1363 15th Ave.
Clerk—George Holst, 1530 Irving.

recinct 67:
Inspector—Frank J. Carevich, 1269 16th Ave.
Judge—Loretto Morrissey, 1225 16th Ave.
Clerk—Constance Noel, 1283 16th Ave.
Clerk—Alice Brown, 1291 16th Ave.

recinct 68:
Inspector—Daniel W. Ross, 1440 15th Ave.
Judge—Bessie H. Whiteman, 1463 16th Ave.
Clerk—Alma R. Herbst, 1459 15th Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Landreville, 1043 Judah.

recinct 69:
Inspector—John E. Peltret, 1691 18th Ave.
Judge—William E. Dugan, 1691 18th Ave.
Clerk—Anita C. Cleal, 1630 19th Ave.
Clerk—Grace A. Morris, 1436 17th Ave.

recinct 70:
Inspector—Joseph J. Mulcahy, 1438 22nd Ave.
Judge—Vilda Cordes, 1527 18th Ave.
Clerk—Eulalia M. Quinn, 1517 20th Ave.
Clerk—Sally W. Dean, 1384 10th Ave.

recinct 71:
Inspector—William E. McFadden, 1423 17th Ave.
Judge—J. J. Dunleavy, 1487 17th Ave.
Clerk—Leona Asaro, 1439 18th Ave.
Clerk—Frances C. Kulp, 1405 18th Ave.

recinct 72:
Inspector—John McCook, 1370 18th Ave.
Judge—Rose Schwarz, 1220 Judah.
Clerk—Louise M. Smith, 1366 18th Ave.
Clerk—F. C. Littlejohn, 1374 18th Ave.

recinct 73:
Inspector—J. J. Mitchell, 1321 19th Ave.
Judge—Mabel C. Fauser, 1290 20th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Kraus, 1260 19th Ave.
Clerk—Rebecca Snell, 1234 18th Ave.

Precinct 74:
Inspector—A. C. Field, 1465 20th Ave.
Judge—Isabel Donworth, 1458 21st Ave.
Clerk—M. A. Schwarting, 1215 20th Ave.
Clerk—Ettie E. Ballam, 1467 20th Ave.

Precinct 75:
Inspector—W. H. Hugo, 1335 21st Ave.
Judge—Marian Swadley, 1262 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth Artellan, 1263 21st Ave.
Clerk—Anne G. Moholy, 1343 21st Ave.

Precinct 76:
Inspector—A. A. Berliner, 1347 22nd Ave.
Judge—Elizabeth Brown, 1342 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Katherine Brennan, 1439 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Olive Chance, 1377 22nd Ave.

Precinct 77:
Inspector—L. P. Canepa, 1601 21st Ave.
Judge—Marguerite Molkenbuhr, 1815 Kirkham.
Clerk—Anne M. Crowe, 1650 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Olive L. Boyer, 1663 21st Ave.

Precinct 78:
Inspector—Leo F. Schwab, 1723 22nd Ave.
Judge—Emelia Muhlbach, 1701 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Helen Coleman, 1379 12th Ave.
Clerk—Mildred M. Cuneo, 1770 22nd Ave.

Precinct 79:
Inspector—Jack Ehrlich, 1691 23rd Ave.
Judge—Ethel Hunter, 1615 23rd Ave.
Clerk—M. Selleck, 1654 25th Ave.
Clerk—Ruth B. Nelson, 1627 24th Ave.

Precinct 80:
Inspector—William J. Laereman, 1554 24th Ave.
Judge—Blanche Houghton, 1538 27th Ave.
Clerk—Loretta Brode, 1538 27th Ave.
Clerk—P. H. Winston, 1725 Lawton.

Precinct 81:
Inspector—C. A. Dunster, 2622 Irving.
Judge—Luke Marisch, 1909 Judah.
Clerk—Gertrude Sherlock, 1438 26th Ave.
Clerk—Minnie Thal, 2425 Kirkham.

Precinct 82:
Inspector—Chas. A. Kurreger, 1254 26th Ave.
Judge—Marcella Noonan, 1249 25th Ave.
Clerk—Helen Kelley, 1223 25th Ave.
Clerk—C. H. Uhlenberg, 1222 26th Ave.

Precinct 83:
Inspector—G. M. Svenson, 1240 27th Ave.
Judge—Alma Jones, 1392 27th Ave.
Clerk—Mary F. Daly, 1214 27th Ave.
Clerk—G. A. Dunster, 2622 Irving.

Precinct 84:
Inspector—J. J. Willis, 1255 28th Ave.
Judge—Minnie Dwyer, 1331 28th Ave.
Clerk—Pearl E. Maritzen, 2728 Irving.
Clerk—Annie McKenna, 1319 28th Ave.

Precinct 85:
Inspector—Harold Kneis, 1463 29th Ave.
Judge—Dena Gotelli, 1418 29th Ave.
Clerk—Sarah E. Parker, 1479 27th Ave.
Clerk—Hilda T. Richards, 1475 27th Ave.

Precinct 86:
Inspector—Walter Swope, 1422 23rd Ave.
Judge—Josephine Clark, 1273 28th Ave.
Clerk—Mary E. Pelletier, 1230 31st Ave.
Clerk—J. D. Seright, 1242 43rd Ave.

Precinct 87:
Inspector—J. J. Cunningham, 1367 30th Ave.
Judge—J. M. Greif, 1290 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Margaret Thomas, 1443 30th Ave.
Clerk—Mary E. McLaren, 1295 32nd Ave.

Precinct 88:
Inspector—J. R. Boyle, 1251 35th Ave.
Judge—Lucie I. Schaefer, 1252 35th Ave.
Clerk—Frances Cussan, 1215 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Ruth Munro, 1262 34th Ave.

Precinct 89:
Inspector—F. A. Ames, 1565 34th Ave.

Judge—Frances T. McCloskey, 1230 38th Ave.
Clerk—J. Glassford, 1275 37th Ave.
Clerk—Louis Bookbinder, 1595 33rd Ave.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—Harry W. Rogers, 1235 39th Ave.
Judge—Gertrude Carpenter, 1255 39th Ave.
Clerk—Catherine M. Casey, 1247 38th Ave.
Clerk—Lulu A. Mull, 1295 39th Ave.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—E. A. Eichworth, 1350 40th Ave.
Judge—Grace Donoghue, 1246 42nd Ave.
Clerk—Edna V. O'Neill, 1346 41st Ave.
Clerk—Nellie Mahoney, 1231 33rd Ave.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—Ernest Neidholt, 1434 44th Ave.
Judge—Diana E. Smith, 1354 44th Ave.
Clerk—Irene B. Swanton, 2532 Kirkham.
Clerk—Joe Connelly, 1470 44th Ave.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—R. I. Kuttner, 1331 44th Ave.
Judge—Mary J. Brown, 1254 45th Ave.
Clerk—May F. Reilly, 1236 45th Ave.
Clerk—Matthew Tiernel, 1262 34th Ave.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—H. S. Stroebel, 1287 47th Ave.
Judge—Hildegard L. Rogers, 1254 La Playa.
Clerk—Daisy A. Smith, 4130 Judah.
Clerk—Ottie Fulmore, 1247 46th Ave.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—E. J. Long, 1731 17th Ave.
Judge—Edna Henderson, 3201 Kirkham.
Clerk—Margaret McGrath, 1567 46th Ave.
Clerk—Rose Siebert, 1479 45th Ave.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—O. D. Greene, 1326 La Playa.
Judge—Sarah S. Hart, 1346 La Playa.
Clerk—May L. Noble, 1255 35th Ave.
Clerk—W. A. Bendlin, 1537 47th Ave.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—Vernon E. O'Connor, 1643 48th Ave.
Judge—Hazel Shay, 1559 43rd Ave.
Clerk—Marcia Fitzkee, 1563 43rd Ave.
Clerk—S. Narinian, 4125 Moraga.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—J. M. Gregory, 1954 11th Ave.
Judge—Irba L. Berry, 1919 10th Ave.
Clerk—Jessie Ormond, 139 Noriega.
Clerk—T. G. Fish, 1907 9th Ave.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—A. F. Brooks, 85 Mendosa Ave.
Judge—Annie DeWitts, 52 Sotelo.
Clerk—Soledad Bennett, 120 Quintara.
Clerk—Lucia K. McLean, 133 Alton Ave.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—Jos. A. Bafigo, 110 Sagamore.
Judge—John Prunty, Laguna Honda Home.
Clerk—A. S. Kempf, Laguna Honda Home.
Clerk—Walter Bartlett, Laguna Honda Home.
Clerk—Peter Doyle, Laguna Honda Home.

**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—Jos. D. Farrell, 239 Magellan Ave.
Judge—Della E. Ward, 149 Magellan Ave.
Clerk—Leonora Cavanagh, 240 Magellan Ave.
Clerk—W. F. Garms, Jr., 211 Magellan Ave.

**Precinct 102:**
Inspector—Geo. A. Nelson, 159 Wawona.
Judge—Grace E. West, 225 Vasquez.
Clerk—Edna Hawkins, 8 Edgehill.
Clerk—Ida E. Willett, 157 Idora.

**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—Geo. Neumiller, 81 Idora.
Judge—Ursula B. Cummings, 601 Laguna Honda Blvd.
Clerk—Gertrude A. Kennedy, 19 Balcita Ave.
Clerk—Helen M. Kemmerley, 162 Idora.

**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—Jacob S. Meyer, 118 Dorchester Way.
Judge—O. P. Murray, 1046 Portola Drive.
Clerk—Harvey Neal, 106 Dorchester Way.
Clerk—Helen Cleghon, 140 Idora.

**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—Wm. H. Gitsham, 1260 Portola Drive.
Judge—Edith E. Van Natta, 280 Granville Way.
Clerk—Lita L. Burgess, 315 Kensington Way.
Clerk—Eleanor Scarr, 1340 12th Ave.

**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—J. A. Kelly, 80 Taraval.
Judge—Nell McMahon, 145 Pacheco.
Clerk—Hazel McColgan, 156 Lenox Way.
Clerk—Anita M. Jones, 1491 17th Ave.

**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—R. A. Doud, 1290 Portola Drive.
Judge—Helen Cleghon, 140 Idora.
Clerk—Cecelia M. Lunden, 2026 16th Ave.
Clerk—Edith Murphy, 1491 17th Ave.

**Precinct 108:**
Inspector—H. E. Iburg, 54 Wawona.
Judge—J. R. Walsh, 2468 Funston Ave.
Clerk—Adelaide Benson, 181 Taraval.
Clerk—Mary Henning, 1271 11th Ave.

**Precinct 109:**
Inspector—J. F. Maloney, 2558 15th Ave.
Judge—Edna M. Wall, 200 Vicente.
Clerk—Edna R. Qualman, 2574 15th Ave.
Clerk—Evelyn F. Hincks, 2571 14th Ave.

**Precinct 110:**
Inspector—Martin D. Eagan, 166 Dorchester Way.
Judge—Mary Murphy, 53 West Portal Ave.
Clerk—Carlo Micheletti, 1306 Portola Drive.
Clerk—Eva Schweiss, 1322 10th Ave.

**Precinct 111:**
Inspector—O. K. Jones, 265 Vicente.
Judge—Mrs. Mae Kane, 430 West Portal Ave.
Clerk—Eleanor F. Greaney, 1590 Portola Drive.
Clerk—Charlotte R. Sinclair, 2455 16th Ave.

**Precinct 112:**
Inspector—Malcom Williams, 2555 15th Ave.
Judge—Ruth L. McDonnell, 2616 14th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Healy, 260 Wawona.
Clerk—Frances A. Doyle, 2562 16th Ave.

**Precinct 113:**
Inspector—L. P. Remegie, 2443 15th Ave.
Judge—Nan Cotter, 2487 15th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Holl, 1350 Ulloa.
Clerk—Lillian Attiwood, 2623 15th Ave.

**Precinct 114:**
Inspector—Geo. McNicholas, 2326 16th Ave.
Judge—Margaret F. O'Connor, 309 Santiago.
Clerk—Gladys P. Hamill, 2379 16th Ave.
Clerk—Maude Lawlor, 1390 15th Ave.

**Precinct 115:**
Inspector—J. E. Joyner, 2201 16th Ave.
Judge—Helen Cheetham, 2202 17th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Callighan, 2139 16th Ave.
Clerk—John C. Rocker, 2256 15th Ave.

**Precinct 116:**
Inspector—R. S. Mackay, 2063 16th Ave.
Judge—J. A. McDonald, 2067 16th Ave.
Clerk—Louis P. Drew, 1979 17th Ave.
Clerk—Alice M. Ryan, 2071 19th Ave.

**Precinct 117:**
Inspector H. H. McGowan, 1982 18th Ave.
Judge—Eva Moholy, 1966 19th Ave.

Clerk—Beatrice Campbell, 1960 20th Ave.
Clerk—Alice M. Linden, 2026 16th Ave.

**Precinct 118:**
Inspector—Wm. G. Long, 2155 21st Ave.
Judge—Frank Eickhoff, 2170 20th Ave.
Clerk—M. J. McDonald, 2173 20th Ave.
Clerk—Imilda Caswell, 2359 17th Ave.

**Precinct 119:**
Inspector—Robt. Salinger, 2259 18th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Murphy, 2335 18th Ave.
Clerk—Marie I. Walsh, 2216 18th Ave.
Clerk—Otto Draeger, 2241 17th Ave.

**Precinct 120:**
Inspector—Fred Trowbridge, 2471 22nd Ave.
Judge—Mary E. Healy, 2258 21st Ave.
Clerk—Frances M. Keeney, 2234 21st Ave.
Clerk—Metha P. Melsen, 2639 18th Ave.

**Precinct 121:**
Inspector—James Clark, 2342 20th Ave.
Judge—Frances M. Tracey, 2380 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Eileen L. Hickey, 2360 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Louise G. Miller.

**Precinct 122:**
Inspector—Geo. F. Lynch, 1708 Ulloa.
Judge—D. J. Collins, 2606 19th Ave.
Clerk—Lillian Gereghty, 1700 Ulloa.
Clerk—Etta Cowan, 2477 20th Ave.

**Precinct 123:**
Inspector—Robt. Trowbridge, 2471 22nd Ave.
Judge—Veva Giandoni, 2428 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Lillian Murphy, 2463 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Jas. T. Moore, 2443 22nd Ave.

**Precinct 124:**
Inspector—Francis Farina, 932 Vicente.
Judge—Edw. J. Schmidt, 920 Vicente.
Clerk—J. Shirley, 2525 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Hannah M. Sullivan, 2630 22nd Ave.

**Precinct 125:**
Inspector—Daniel M. Sullivan, 2630 22nd Ave.
Judge—Lucy M. Swanson, 2674 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Hester H. Beyer, 2658 21st Ave.
Clerk—Louise Muller, 2462 23rd Ave.

**Precinct 126:**
Inspector—Harold E. Lunney, 2246 26th Ave.
Judge—Margaret Naughton, 2351 25th Ave.
Clerk—Jessie H. Swaney, 2362 27th Ave.
Clerk—Angeline Boldt, Santiago.

**Precinct 127:**
Inspector—Fred Mayfield, 2458 27th Ave.
Judge—D. V. Denehy, 2463 25th Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth Crangle, 2475 24th Ave.
Clerk—Thos. F. Glynn, 2526 27th Ave.

**Precinct 128:**
Inspector—E. A. Behrens, 2516 24th Ave.
Judge—Anastasia M. Leary, 2541 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Eleanora Sollozzo, 2511 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Ethel R. McHugh, 2567 26th Ave.

**Precinct 129:**
Inspector—Geo. Cunningham, 2469 27th Ave.
Judge—Ethel Daniels, 2321 28th Ave.
Clerk—Emma Van Bergen, 2310 28th Ave.
Clerk—Metha Nielson, 2639 18th Ave.

**Precinct 130:**
Inspector—J. H. Williams, 2434 29th Ave.
Judge—Mabel King, 2478 28th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Polen, 2506 28th Ave.
Clerk—Lillian Attwood, 2623 15th Ave.

**Precinct 131:**
Inspector—Edward F. Siebert, 2591 32nd Ave.
Judge—Evelyn C. LaPlace, 2590 32nd Ave.
Clerk—Gladys E. Kraal, 2575 30th Ave.
Clerk—Jos. W. Roberts, 2586 32nd Ave.

**Precinct 132:**
Inspector—S. J. Dickson, 2467 30th Ave.
Judge—Grace T. Dodge, 2462 31st Ave.
Clerk—Harry F. Roche, 2375 30th Ave.
Clerk—Evelyn Culley, 1919 10th Ave.

**Precinct 133:**
Inspector—R. W. Gloss, 2279 32nd Ave.
Judge—R. Zemke, 2036 Santiago.
Clerk—Margaret D. Mouille, 2275 31st Ave.
Clerk—Lucille F. Kimbark, 2267 32nd Ave.

**Precinct 134:**
Inspector—R. W. Gloss, 2126 Taraval.
Judge—Rose M. Haughy, 2471 34th Ave.
Clerk—Minnie Murphy, 2417 Taraval.
Clerk—Brenda H. Catanich, 1934 10th Ave.

**Precinct 135:**
Inspector—Ray E. Bates, 2114 46th Ave.
Judge—Hattie E. Boyd, 2347 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Myra B. Fassett, 2475 35th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Stiller, 2305 34th Ave.

**Precinct 136:**
Inspector—Paul E. Clemence, 2438 40th Ave.
Judge—John Fiala, 2526 Great Highway.
Clerk—Ella E. Hutchins, 2211 44th Ave.
Clerk—Agnes Loney.

**Precinct 137:**
Inspector—Perrie Thal, 1242 24th Ave.
Judge—Carmelita Reyburn, 1519 28th Ave.
Clerk—Eliz. S. McAllister, 1235 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Margaret M. Leach, 1358 24th Ave.

**Precinct 138:**
Inspector—Geo. R. Leach, 1358 24th Ave.
Judge—Lillian Allen, 1523 31st Ave.
Clerk—Della McMahon, 2509 Kirkham.
Clerk—Frances J. Summer, 1542 29th Ave.

## TWENTY-SIXTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—Joe. Newman, 1849 McAllister.
Judge—L. H. Klindt, 2586A Sutter.
Clerk—Miss Gisela Wehser, 2776 Bush.
Clerk—Florence Perkin, 1132 Haight.

**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—Jos. McAuliffe, 2809 Bush.
Judge—Chas. P. Quinn, 2832 Bush.
Clerk—Agnes Boxton, 1615 Lyon.
Clerk—Annie E. Harrington, 1635 Lyon.

**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—Frank J. Powers, 2526 Post.
Judge—Sophie Millett, 1441 Baker.
Clerk—A. R. Boling, 2532 Post.
Clerk—Winifred H. Pitts, 479 Steiner.

**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—H. F. Lindacher, 2904 Bush.
Judge—Theodora M. Skald, 2434A Post.
Clerk—I. Kates, 2432 Post.
Clerk—Dorothy Sigtrig, 230 Scott.

**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—Wm. McLaughlin, 1328 Lyon.
Judge—Florence Douglas, 2727 Geary.
Clerk—Annette Thompson, 2729 Geary.
Clerk—Abbie R. Smith, 1122 Page.

**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—Louis T. Brown, 855 Baker.
Judge—Margaret Gribbin, 23 Collins.
Clerk—Catherine Vineys, 38 Collins.
Clerk—T. J. Crowell, 999 Fell.

**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—J. R. Hooper, 1880 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Lena M. Banducci, 3021 Geary Blvd.
Clerk—Evelyn Benson, 16 Boyce.
Clerk—Mrs. Louise Sarcari, 78 Collins.

**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—Alex Levison, 1824 McAllister.
Judge—Louise Lercari, 78 Collins.
Clerk—Helen E. Zemansky, 90 Parker.
Clerk—Elsie L. Kays, 2760 Sutter.

**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—Albert F. Holmes, 949 Broderick.
Judge—Sam Klarnet, 947 Broderick.
Clerk—Jeanette Chrisman, 935 Broderick.
Clerk—Annie L. Vincent, 1955 Turk.

**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—A. R. Logasa, 1834 McAllister.

Judge—Kathleen Phillips, 745 Baker.
Clerk—Enid Lloyd, 727 Baker.
Clerk—Armand Silverman, 1010 Fell.

**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—Leo C. Boudreau, 2116 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Mary Mildahn, 923 Central Ave.
Clerk—Eileen Slattery, 917 Central Ave.
Clerk—Harry Newman, 1849 McAllister.

**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Cummings, 34 Atalaya Terrace.
Judge—Mrs. Alice Peters, 2197 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Mary A. Devereaux, 2171 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—A. Hart, 1847 McAllister.

**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—Harry S. Lippe, 233 Pierce.
Judge—Mrs. Kate Day, 11 Hemway Terrace.
Clerk—Margaret M. Flynn, 33 Atalaya Terrace.
Clerk—Cora Dickinson, 2401 Turk.

**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—Monte de Leon, 1269 McAllister.
Judge—Belle Rapheld, 924 Fulton.
Clerk—Chas. F. Waxman, 931 Fillmore.
Clerk—Morris Handelsman, 700 Broderick.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—Geo. Monnier, 1449 McAllister.
Judge—Norma A. Soher, 1361 McAllister.
Clerk—Anna C. Kriner, 1453 McAllister.
Clerk—Florence Collen, 947 Steiner.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—E. S. Isaacs, 704 Broderick.
Judge—Ellis Tyrrell, 843 Scott.
Clerk—Elizabeth Eads, 1368 Fulton.
Clerk—Alice V. Thompson, 1483B McAllister.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—Wm. Silverberg, 1780 McAllister.
Judge—Ellen T. Daly, 1827 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Bertha Leurs, 703 Broderick.
Clerk—Leland G. Guth, 1542 Grove.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—J. M. Barron, 1503 Fulton.
Judge—Bertha Meagles, 1524 Fulton.
Clerk—Frances Bernard, 561 Baker.
Clerk—Maurice Schneck, 1697 Fulton.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—E. R. Aehnlich, 1920 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Alice V. Reynolds, 629 Lyon.
Clerk—Mrs. Caroline L. Noonan, 1676 Fulton.
Clerk—Joseph P. Duffy, 1375 Masonic Ave.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—J. B. Randolph, 719 Fillmore.
Judge—R. G. Alexander, 733 Fillmore.
Clerk—Lillian Hughes, 964 Hayes.
Clerk—M. Daley, 1240 Grove.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—Chas. L. Hill, 1304 Fulton.
Judge—Mrs. Mary B. Rose, 602 Broderick.
Clerk—Katherine McGrath, 1290 Grove.
Clerk—Gertrude Daley, 1240 Grove.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—A. Hopkins, 54 Potomac.
Judge—May Metzger, 712A Central Ave.
Clerk—Etta M. White, 1265 Grove.
Clerk—Laura F. Herrick, 1145 Hayes.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—Chas. H. Taylor, 555 Broderick.
Judge—Emma Rakestraw, 448 Broderick.
Clerk—Ella Twohig, 1366 Hayes.
Clerk—Mrs. Floy LeBarron, 550 Broderick.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—A. A. Hammen, 1431 Grove.
Judge—Gladys Setterholm, 550 Baker.
Clerk—Mary A. Desimone, 659 Broderick.
Clerk—Emanuel Tuckey, 411 Pierce.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—Lewis H. Hamilton, 441 Baker.
Judge—Marie E. Hurley, 360 Baker.
Clerk—Maurice Harmon, 1429 Hayes.
Clerk—Eleanor Cavanaugh, 853 Fell.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—S. Geffen, 1639 Fulton.
Judge—Julius A. Bernstein, 1635 Fulton.
Clerk—Mrs. Ella Murphy, 1637 Fulton.
Clerk—L. B. Mendelsohn, 740 Masonic Ave.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspetcor—J. F. Canty, 1748 Hayes.
Judge—Catherine Hardiman, 734 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Grace Sullivan, 722 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Ivy Friede, 650 Masonic Ave.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—J. J. Hayes, 1727 Hayes.
Judge—Benj. Barnett, 58 Belcher.
Clerk—James Diller, 461 Central Ave.
Clerk—F. P. Landragan, 712 Oak.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—Wm. H. Briley, 628 Steiner.
Judge—Elizabeth Corbett, 617 Fillmore.
Clerk—Nellie Burke, 744 Oak.
Clerk—Emma Thomson, 921 Hayes.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—F. G. Argall, 1155 Hayes.
Judge—Ida J. Rolfs, 1010 Fell.
Clerk—Clara J. Mitchell, 1161 Hayes.
Clerk—Vincent A. Farrell, 655 Steiner.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—Harry C. Lather, 901 Fell.
Judge—Jerry Corcoran, 563 Steiner.
Clerk—Mary J. McGregor, 428 Pierce.
Clerk—Margaret T. McDade, 880 Oak.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—E. Tuckey, 411 Pierce.
Judge—Catherine E. Foley, 415 Pierce.
Clerk—F. Otgerstedt, 1031 Fell.
Clerk—Lucille T. McDaniell, 1035 Fell.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—Jos. J. Jacobs, 1000 Oak.
Judge—Mrs. Sadie Blanck, 1148 Fell.
Clerk—F. A. McCarthy, 1126 Fell.
Clerk—Wm. A. Carrick, 539 Scott.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—H. G. Walker, 109 Lyon.
Judge—Anna J. Cronin, 1102 Page.
Clerk—Abbie R. Smith, 1122 Page.
Clerk—Anna J. Cronin, 1102 Page.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Sullivan, 114 Lyon.
Judge—Ida O'Leary, 1382 Page.
Clerk—Teresa V. Sheridan, 1371 Oak.
Clerk—Margaret A. McDowell, 1248 Page.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—Carl Young, 1462½ Page.
Judge—Mary Ryan, 1006 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Hazel Briggs, 871 Oak.
Clerk—J. P. Brill, 901 Fell.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—P. McCloskey, 610 Page.
Judge—Frances V. Garrison, 799 Oak.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Madden, 600 Page, Apt. 102.
Clerk—John Gill, 785 Oak.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—W. W. Kelly, 825 Oak.
Judge—Margaret F. Grimes, 499 Steiner.
Clerk—Gertrude Cook, 780 Page.
Clerk—Arthur G. Pierce, 748 Page.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—J. J. Noonan, 255 Steiner.
Judge—Mrs. Lessie Beach, 120 Pierce.
Clerk—Fred Emerson, 616 Haight.
Clerk—Viola C. Kenney, 630 Haight.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—James M. Doon, 237 Pierce.
Judge—Geo. H. Janssen, 355 Pierce.
Clerk—Miss Katherine Burke, 959 Oak.
Clerk—James A. Sorensen, 858 Page.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—James J. Farrell, 309 Scott.
Judge—T. J. Duffiey, 969 Page.
Clerk—Nettie Bloom, 888 Haight.
Clerk—H. S. Lippi, 233 Pierce.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—Fred Henning, 1101 Oak.
Judge—Michael L. Condon, 941 Page.
Clerk—Sophie Schivo, 347 Divisadero.
Clerk—Jas. I. Andrews, 741 Oak.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—John T. Dwyer, 1010 Haight.
Judge—Mrs. Eva Dunning, 49 Broderick.
Clerk—Miss Gussie T. Johnson, 1050 Haight.
Clerk—F. C. Bock, 60 Alpine Terrace.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—Harry G. Ritter, 1337 Page.
Judge—Lottie E. Taggart, 21 Baker.
Clerk—Irene Douglas, 1373 Page.
Clerk—Wm. E. Morton, 150B Central Ave.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—J. D. Garrison, 1150 Masonic Ave.
Judge—Jessie Williams, 77 Central Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Roddy, 77 Central Ave.
Clerk—Eugene M. Reynolds, 132 Broderick.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—Chas. P. Kuss, 132 Steiner.
Judge—Sarah Giraud, 563 Haight.
Clerk—E. B. Cohn, 216 Steiner.
Clerk—Gordon Shaff, 56 Pierce.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—James Cleary, 2235 Market.
Judge—Louisa Smith, 369 Hermann.
Clerk—Mrs. Rose Casey, 416 Duboce Ave.
Clerk—Ann Neale Mayer, 450 Duboce Ave.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—W. E. Berdland, 581 Waller.
Judge—Mrs. Mabel Knorp, 55 Pierce.
Clerk—Minnie Wolters, 80 Pierce.
Clerk—Charles Wolff, 50 Carmelita.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—Edwin B. McTigue, 137 Pierce.
Judge—Mary L. Growney, 490 Carmelita.
Clerk—Nellie B. Peters, 119 Pierce.
Clerk—Minnie Rueser, 130 Scott.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—Raymond T. Nugent, 204 Divisadero.
Judge—Annie Mortley, 915 Haight.
Clerk—Thos. Hall, 888 Waller.
Clerk—Victoria A. Rudd, 93 Scott.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—D. J. Young, 65 Alpine Terrace.
Judge—Wm. P. Carroll, 771 Waller.
Clerk—Lorita M. Brown, 165 Divisadero.
Clerk—Irene Krull, 1 Scott.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—Walter J. Brown, 720 14th St.
Judge—Gertrude I. Monahan, 449 Duboce Ave.
Clerk—Nellie Toohig, 43 Sanchez.
Clerk—Edw. Tumulty, 720 14th St.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—Fred Kalb, 7 Walter.
Judge—Alma V. Gislin, 15 Walter.
Clerk—Ann Hagerty, 517 Duboce Ave.
Clerk—Ora F. Chappell, 427 Duboce Ave.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Jobst, 569 Duboce Ave.
Judge—A. E. Larabee, 74 Walter.
Clerk—Elizabeth Merritt, 57A Noe.
Clerk—Bernard M. Dervin, 38 Walter.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—Jerome T. Murray, 1037 14th St.
Judge—W. J. O'Connor, 1012 14th St.
Clerk—Annie B. Clary, 52 Castro.
Clerk—Anita Mahoney, 158 Castro.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—Philip McCarthy, 73 Pond.
Judge—Mrs. Ethel Siggins, 61 Buena Vista Terrace.
Clerk—A. Anderson, 85 Buena Vista Terrace.
Clerk—Helen S. Brazell, 73 Divisadero.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—Albert E. Peters, 479 Buena Vista Ave.
Judge—Alice Cuniffe, 1083 14th St.
Clerk—Anne P. Conner, 62 Buena Vista Terrace.
Clerk—Florence E. Oertel, 100 Buena Vista Terrace.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—Alfred T. Vlautin, 1326 Masonic Ave.
Judge—Miss Margaret Cashman, 1370 Masonic Ave.
Clerk—Rose H. Kelly, 815 Buena Vista Ave.
Clerk—F. W. Willey, 1394 Masonic Ave.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—Harold Groom, 2160 Market.
Judge—Mary Coyne, 2024 15th St.
Clerk—Chas. I. Rucker, 2120 Market.
Clerk—Anna R. Kramer, 103 Sanchez.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—E. F. Nightingale, 54 Henry.
Judge—May Nolan, 24 Henry.
Clerk—Florence A. Williams, 122 Noe.
Clerk—Jack D. Brasfield, 1508 Masonic Ave.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—J. J. Gunning, 27 Henry.
Judge—Edith Hart, 63 Scott.
Clerk—E. J. Johnson, 430 Noe.
Clerk—Sara M. McKinney, 73 Sanchez.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—J. Downey, 161A Henry.
Judge—Allena R. Noyes, 181 Henry.
Clerk—Frances Johnson, 2254 15th St.
Clerk—Catherine Jacobs, 48 Beaver.

**Precinct 63.**
Inspector—Edw. M. Gaffney, 2081 15th St.
Judge—Anna Mullen, 3479 16th St.
Clerk—E. D. Orsborn, 111 Guerrero.
Clerk—Aubrey W. Crummey, 133 Henry.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Cutherbertson, 2263 Market.
Judge—Anna F. Fogarty, 2255 Market.
Clerk—Fred G. Lemmon, 2221A Market.
Clerk—Nora Rand, 3468 16th St.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—P. J. Mehler, 308 Sanchez.
Judge—Norine V. Bell, 3872 17th St.
Clerk—Etherl Guomas, 3828 17th St.
Clerk—Wm. O'Brien, 250 Sanchez.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—John B. Wehr, 409 Castro.
Judge—Anita Mahoney, 2417 15th St.
Clerk, Mrs. Elleanore M. Staschen, 461 Castro.
Clerk—Hattie Spaar, 341 Noe.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—Wm. H. Billings, 323 Castro.
Judge—Mrs. Frances E. Cumming, 3653 16th St.
Clerk—John Matthews, 3674 16th St.
Clerk—Allena R. Noyes, 181 Henry.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—D. A. Skootsky, 3624 16th St.
Judge—Mrs. Lily MacIver, 3757 16th St.
Clerk—Elvira Berry, 130 Beaver.
Clerk—Valentina A. Morgan, 151 Beaver.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—Chas. Einfalt, 121 States.
Judge—Luke W. Tierney, 4124 17th St.
Clerk—Helen C. Munroe, 4096 17th St.
Clerk—Estelle M. Ucovich, 84 Douglass.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—Otto Schaeche, 297 States.
Judge—Hazel O'Brien, 467 Sanchez.
Clerk—Mrs. Della Larsen, 249 States.
Clerk—Joseph Magnani, 2 Vulcan.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—B. W. Lattimore, 142 Lower Terrace.
Judge—Agnes M. Sullivan, 156 Clifford Terrace.
Clerk—Katherine Mullins, 34 Ashbury Terrace.
Clerk—Wm. Hopper, 2 Ashbury Terrace.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—August Bendig, 141 States.
Judge—Eleanor C. More, 263 Upper Terrace.
Clerk—Margaret M. Krsak, 520 Roosevelt Way.
Clerk—Mary M. Hunter, 213 Upper Terrace.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Buecker, 26 Hancock.
Judge—Agnes M. O'Brien, 26 Mars.
Clerk—Madeline A. Mardalo, 1142 Clayton.
Clerk—Harold G. Walker, 85 Piedmont.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—E. S. Lee, 451A Sanchez.
Judge—Nora A. Donovan, 461 Noe.
Clerk—Gabrielle Fairfield, 3838 18th St.
Clerk—Lulu J. Andersen, 4020 18th St.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—E. J. Glennen, 6 Ford.
Judge—Della F. Jordan, 34 Ford.
Clerk—Alice Seibert, 480 Sanchez.
Clerk—Margaret Moldenhauer, 665 Alvarado.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—A. J. Cabral, 57 Hartford.
Judge—T. O'Leary, 4004 18th St.
Clerk—Madeline Emerson, 4016 18th St.
Clerk—Sadie Batt, 4038 18th St.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—Henry Strong, 3938 19th St.
Judge—Julia Hurley, 3921 18th St.
Clerk—Helen M. Collins, 581 Noe.
Clerk—R. L. Owens, 592 Sanchez.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—C. A. DeHaven, 553 Castro.
Judge—Catherine J. Tandy, 4020 19th St.
Clerk—Mildred Riley, 577 Castro.
Clerk—Jos. Rose, 573 Diamond.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—Joshua Valentine, 69 Collingwood.
Judge—Alice T. Winters, 590 Castro.
Clerk—Eleanor Cleary, 58 Collingwood.
Clerk—Elizabeth Neylon, 27 Collingwood.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—John E. O'Neill, 84 Eureka.
Judge—Margaret Powleson, 69 Eureka.
Clerk—Annie Ahlbach, 63 Diamond.
Clerk—Edgar E. Lincoln, 4038 23rd St.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—R. V. Leary, 152 Eureka.
Judge—James H. Lawrence, 4330½ 19th St.
Clerk—Anna Conlon, 4417 18th St.
Clerk—John Malone, 461 Alvarado.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—John F. Ahearn, 195 Eureka.
Judge—Winifred Hyland, 4077 17th St.
Clerk—P. J. Moore, 3161 16th St.
Clerk—Josephine G. Dunphy, 4367 17th St.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—Lionel O. Henning, 177A Corbett Ave.
Judge—Julia Beaudet, 190 Douglass.
Clerk—Rose Cuneo, 295 Douglass.
Clerk—Edw. L. Kelly, 4680 18th St.

**Precinct 84:**
Inspector—J. J. Callahan, 226 Douglass.
Judge—Ernestine Ranzulo, 4510 18th St.
Clerk—Esther Wolf, 36A Caselli Ave.
Clerk—Annie B. Shoenberger, 37 Clover.

**Precinct 85:**
Inspector—Wm. H. Garner, 61 Collingwood.
Judge—Julia Moriarty, 271 Collingwood.
Clerk—Eleanor T. Stone, 33 Eagle.
Clerk—Lillian O'Leary, 1423 Clayton.

**Precinct 86:**
Inspector—Fred S. Sharp, 3119 Market.
Judge—Peter J. Coghlan, 125 Villa Terrace.
Clerk—Mrs. Agnes E. Treyer, 347 Corbett Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Carrie Coburn, 252 Caselli.

**Precinct 87:**
Inspector—H. C. Reimers, 266 Cumberland.
Judge—Dan Gilfether, 3970 20th St.
Clerk—Mary McClernon, 3986 20th St.
Clerk—Annie E. Lucas, 3868 22nd St.

**Precinct 88:**
Inspector—Thos. P. Watts, 122A Collingwood.
Judge—Miss Myrtha Beppler, 616 Noe.
Clerk—Miss Mary Middleton, 4020 20th St.
Clerk—Willifred R. Mendonca, 3884 22nd St.

**Precinct 89:**
Inspector—Leo J. Murray, 4065 19th St.
Judge—Florence G. Kennedy, 760 Church.
Clerk—Florence Resing, 635 Castro.
Clerk—Irene Zimbeck, 3758 21st St.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—A. E. Ruprecht, 406A Noe.
Judge—Annie King, 4226 20th St.
Clerk—Alice Heim, 626 Castro.
Clerk—Helen A. Clancy, 4251 20th St.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—Harry M. Grimm, 249 Diamond.
Judge—Mary R. Parker, 146 Collingwood.
Clerk—Mrs. Annie McNichol, 268 Collingwood.
Clerk—Mrs. Hildur Olson, 277 Eureka.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—John D. Tyter, 224 Diamond.
Judge—Lillian B. Stevens, 258 Diamond.
Clerk—Josephine B. Morrissey, 4441 20th St.
Clerk—Lillian D. Howard, 317 Eureka.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—Robt. S. McCann, 4521 20th St.
Judge—Thos. J. Bean, 350 Eureka.
Clerk—Mrs. Eva Bennett, 4220 21st St.
Clerk—Miss Marian H. Riley, 4252 21st St.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—Arthur Berger, 4516 20th Ave.
Judge—Tessie Schimelpfenig, 4525 19th St.
Clerk—Ethel M. Denk, 4535 19th St.
Clerk—Mary T. Welsh, 869 Church.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—B. B. Carr, 3546 21st St.
Judge—Mrs. Ellen Roddy, 3871 20th St.
Clerk—Margaret Lanthier, 853 Church.
Clerk—Patrick W. Loftus, 270 Liberty.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—Jacob Karlin, 3971 20th St.
Judge—Thos. J. Rourke, 312 Liberty.
Clerk—Mary Donahue, 3762 21st St.
Clerk—Elizabeth O'Connell, 856 Church.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—James Cowhig, 751 Castro.
Judge—Mrs. Elsie M. Perry, 3856 21st St.
Clerk—Minnie Chase, 3943 21st St.
Clerk—Ruth Sloan, 508 Hill.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—Jas. F. Maney, 3536 22nd St.
Judge—Helen Howard, 3519 21st St.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Kennedy, 3523 21st St.
Clerk—Marie Kleupfer, 846 Dolores.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—H. P. Huston, 919 Church.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret I. Ryan, 887 Noe.
Clerk—Mrs. Ida E. Starr, 3733 21st St.
Clerk—Lillie E. Randolph, 405 Hill.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—Chas. K. Lorigan, 879 Castro.
Judge—Geo. Mangan, 3870 22nd St.
Clerk—Wm. Smith, 522 Hill.

Clerk—Aileen F. McNamara, 3886 22nd St.
**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—C. H. Stanbridge, 768 Elizabeth.
Judge—Marie C. DuCray, 3901 22nd St.
Clerk—Theresa Callaghan, 359 Diamond.
Clerk—Lottie G. Morris, 870 Castro.
**Precinct 102:**
Inspector—Chas. L. McCarthy, 436 Eureka.
Judge—Marie Spellman, 4308 21st St.
Clerk—Hugh A. O'Neill, 4256 22nd St.
Clerk—Ida Mallory, 3853 22nd St.
**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—John Egan, 3720 24th St.
Judge—Wm. Shea, 1082 Dolores.
Clerk—Louisa Gavigan, 219 Chattanooga.
Clerk—N. N. Thraelkill, 963 Sanchez.
**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—Andrew Sekara, 4418 18th St.
Judge—Mrs. Katherine McGough, 1161 Church.
Clerk—Celia A. Young, 236 Chattanooga.
Clerk—Theodosia C. Bjornstrom, 1185 Church.
**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—M. T. Clark, 967 Sanchez.
Judge—Mary E. Thomas, 18 Vicksburg.
Clerk—Anne Mitchell, 945 Sanchez.
Clerk—M. Charles Scully, 923 Sanchez.
**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—Chas. Smith, 3864 22nd St.
Judge—Mrs. Helen Maybury, 912 Noe.
Clerk—Catherine Mahoney, 568 Alvarado.
Clerk—Celina Green, 548 Alvarado.
**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—C. J. Crowley, 3932 23rd St.
Judge—Louise Hunt, 551 Alvarado.
Clerk—Frances DeVecchi, 559 Alvarado.
Clerk—E. T. Kennedy, 4078 23rd St.
**Precinct 108:**
Inspector—M. I. Bradley, 531 Eureka.
Judge—Julia A. Fitzgerald, 4272-B 23rd St.
Clerk—Nora Lynch, 587 Diamond.
Clerk—Mary Healy, 4041 22nd St.
**Precinct 109:**
Inspector—F. J. Zeising, 792 Elizabeth.
Judge—Margaret E. Golden, 855 Alvarado.
Clerk—Mrs. Mae A. Norris, 4368 23rd St.
Clerk—Hannah Moynihan, 591 Diamond.
**Precinct 110:**
Inspector—Ray J. Meloche, 3925 17th St.
Judge—Mrs. Nora Lynch, 919 Alvarado.
Clerk—Lottie Markley, 918 Alvarado.
Clerk—Ethel M. Campbell, 4530 24th St.
**Precinct 111:**
Inspector—Joseph Campbell, 1134 Church.
Judge—Margaret Byrne, 375 Elizabeth.
Clerk—Sophie McAuliffe, 161 Vicksburg.
Clerk—Charlotte A. Essmann, 500 Eureka.
**Precinct 112:**
Inspector—Arthur M. Berndt, 1021 Noe.
Judge—Kathryn Nolan, 1005 Noe.
Clerk—Miss Mary McAuliffe, 3952 23rd St.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary McCarthy, 3963 23rd St.
**Precinct 113:**
Inspector—N. L. MacKinnon, 1016 Noe.
Judge—Julia Nolan, 1068 Noe.
Clerk—John A. Shelly, 4043A 23rd St.
Clerk—Louise G. Donlan, 470 Elizabeth.
**Precinct 114:**
Inspector—R. A. Jensen, 1010 Church.
Judge—Mrs. Catherine Dolly, 4125 23rd St.
Clerk—Mary McGowan, 646 Elizabeth.
Clerk—Mrs. Maude James, 4124 24th St.
**Precinct 115:**
Inspector—Edw. T. Kenny, 4331 23rd St.
Judge—J. M. Willoh, 806 Elizabeth.
Clerk—J. F. Connors, 237 Hoffman Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Geraldine McDonald, 1142 Castro.
**Precinct 116:**
Inspector—Russell I. Borden, 987 Elizabeth.
Judge—Esther A. Brossule, 755 Elizabeth.
Clerk—Ellen A. Sullivan, 4356 24th St.
Clerk—Helen A. Shufelt, 4348 24th St.

**TWENTY-SEVENTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—A. A. Lewis, 1235 Bay.
Judge—Harry Joseph, 3141 Franklin.
Clerk—F. J. Woods, 1225 Bay.
Clerk—Bertha Wonder, 3141 Franklin.
**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—Geo. A. Nicholls, 1468 Francisco.
Judge—Louise J. Becker, 1341 Bay.
Clerk—Marie C. McCaron, 3330 Octavia.
Clerk—Maude Frasier, 3201 Gough.
**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—Louis Cantel, 290 Alhambra.
Judge—Mary L. Bulson, 3755 Fillmore.
Clerk—Sara R. Hughes, 1690 Beach.
Clerk—Anna C. Weeks, 50 Retiro Way.
**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—Earl Aldridge, 1535 Green.
Judge—Nelle L. Collins, 75 Casa Way.
Clerk—Ronnie T. Gamboni, 148 Cervantes Blvd.
Clerk—Estelle M. Stern, 3318 Broderick.
**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—A. H. Connolly Jr., 2090 Beach.
Judge—Marie Levy, 1830 Beach.
Clerk—Olga Sternberg, 12 Rico Way.
Clerk—Rose F. Hyman, 2925 Van Ness Ave.
**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—A. H. Connolly, 2090 Beach.
Judge—O. L. Hunt, 2090 Beach.
Clerk—Joseph Parente Jr., 501 Marina Blvd.
Clerk—F. J. Angeli, 1863 Jefferson.
**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—O. A. Harker, 3636 Broderick.
Judge—Rose Rosen, 2829 Filbert.
Clerk—Phoebe Glaser, 1601 Beach.
Clerk—Hilda Mathis, 44 Cervantes Blvd.
**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—Anton Frugoli, 44 Cervantes Blvd.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret Desmond, 22 Cervantes Blvd.
Clerk—L. R. Ghiotte, 1755 Beach.
Clerk—Gertrude Selig, 1761 Beach.
**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—Milton Lehman, 2695 Greenwich.
Judge—Loretta Murphy, 3535 Fillmore.
Clerk—Anne Nuber, 90 Alhambra.
Clerk—Gladys Abrams, 1601 Beach.
**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—Milano Rispoli, 3567 Pierce.
Judge—Julia O'Connor, 3584 Pierce.
Clerk—Alice Taylor, 3524 Pierce.
Clerk—Evelyn Guinaw, 3563 Pierce.
**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—J. A. Delucchi, 152 Avila.
Judge—Robert Bennett, 3444 Scott.
Clerk—Eleanore Gundersheimer, 3445 Pierce.
Clerk—Albert Gundersheimer, 3445 Pierce, Apt. 203.
**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—Fred W. Fay, 2510 Van Ness Ave.
Judge—Edith H. Malarkey, 2190 Bay.
Clerk—Stella Kolos, 3621 Scott.
Clerk—L. B. Armstrong, 3560 Divisadero.
**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—L. D. Burnham, 2101 Beach.
Judge—Henrietta Nossum, 3740 Fillmore.
Clerk—Hilda Montgomery, 2243 North Point.
Clerk—Anna L. Cole, 1360 Chestnut.
**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—Roy E. Giorgi, 2234 Beach.

Judge—Mrs. Margaret H. Cheshire, 2235 Beach.
Clerk—Selena Paolinelli, 3437 Broderick.
Clerk—A. H. Albrecht, 2249 Beach.

**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—P. T. Bennett, 1650 Clay.
Judge—Agnes De Haven, 3501 Fillmore.
Clerk—Edw. W. Toomey, 3515 Fillmore.
Clerk—B. H. Allgood, 25 Cervantes Blvd.

**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—Wilfred W. Harris, 175 Alhambra.
Judge—Madeline A. Cutten, 121 Alhambra.
Clerk—Frank Mulvihill, 145 Alhambra.
Clerk—H. A. Galindo, 56 Toledo Way.

**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—Edw. Pace, 334 Hyde.
Judge—Amalia Stagi, 3344 Scott.
Clerk—Catherine Connolly, 3224 Scott.
Clerk—H. E. Knerr, 3300 Scott.

**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—A. G. Schwartz, 1625 Polk.
Judge—Madelen Catelli, 2142 Bay.
Clerk—Vida C. Haley, 2264 Francisco.
Clerk—Ideel S. Jacobs, 2925 Van Ness Ave.

**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—Chas. H. Luchessa, 3244 Broderick.
Judge—Helen R. Devine, 2401 Chestnut.
Clerk—Ruth Rose, 2360 Chestnut.
Clerk—A. M. Hickman, 2263 Francisco.

**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—Fred H. Moon, 2395 Francisco.
Judge—Ray Ebbert, 3226 Broderick.
Clerk—L. B. Kibler, 2465 Chestnut.
Clerk—Ruth Hyman, 2925 Van Ness Ave.

**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—J. P. Purcell, 2245 Union.
Judge—Bernice Clewans, 3333 Broderick.
Clerk—Mrs. Margaret Parker, 2500 Chestnut.
Clerk—Doris Kohn, 3737 Fillmore.

**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—Roy K. Kimberlin, 3155 Broderick.
Judge—Mrs. Aida Olivi, 2533 Francisco.
Clerk—Catherine B. Lusinchi, 3055 Baker.
Clerk—R. T. Bray, 3035 Baker.

**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—Bert Waring, 2901 Van Ness Ave.
Judge—Mae Vander Zwief, 1362 Chestnut.
Clerk—Eva Breyer, 1236 Francisco.
Clerk—Mary Alison, 2935 Van Ness Ave.

**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—Wm. F. Buhlinger, 3147 Gough.
Judge—Lucille Keogan, 1465 Francisco.
Clerk—Helen Gallagher, 3155 Gough.
Clerk—G. Bertini, 3218 Octavia.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—E. L. Orstad, 2164 Bush.
Judge—Mrs. Ellen De Shields, 3245 Octavia.
Clerk—Mary A. Paddock, 3300 Laguna.
Clerk—Mrs. Eva Serf, 1625 Chestnut.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—Alfred Krogman, 1843 Bush.
Judge—Rosenna M. Murphy, 3230 Octavia.
Clerk—Cecelia P. Klein, 1925 Chestnut.
Clerk—C. A. Badino, 3250 Webster.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—Jack F. Kelly, 1535 Green.
Judge—Gus Bernardi, 2701 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Wm. S. Hoffman, 2845 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Anna E. Ayers, 2850 Franklin.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—Arthur Anderson, 1506 Lombard.
Judge—Pauline Speier, 1457 Chestnut.
Clerk—Mary M. Boyle, 1457 Chestnut.
Clerk—H. Crespi, 2855 Franklin.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—P. R. Chell, 1668 Lombard.
Judge—Gertrude V. Le Vey, 3055 Gough.
Clerk—A. J. McNamara, 1618 Lombard.
Clerk—Thos. J. Sutton, Jr., 1682 Lombard.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—Elroy F. Cook, 1750 Greenwich.
Judge—A. Raven, 1709 Lombard.
Clerk—Grace B. Duggan, 5 Rico Way.
Clerk—R. Bianchi, 1838 Greenwich.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—C. M. Brown, 1963 Filbert.
Judge—Gertrude Miraglia, 3121 Broderick.
Clerk—Wm. Schott, 1960 Union.
Clerk—M. G. Burr, 3119 Laguna.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—Louis Mecchi, 2269 Greenwich.
Judge—Mrs. Lillian O'Leary, 3233 Buchanan.
Clerk—J. C. Hertz, 3140 Webster.
Clerk—C. C. Amends, 3140 Webster.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—H. D. Pechner, 2410 Greenwich.
Judge—Howard Park, 2490 Greenwich.
Clerk—Mary A. Dwyer, 234 Moulton.
Clerk—Jas. Boyle, 2206 Greenwich.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—Ernest Loesch, 3042 Pierce.
Judge—Katherine F. Haneke, 235A Pixley.
Clerk—Antoinette Ball, 2490 Greenwich.
Clerk—D. J. Anzalone, 2262 Filbert.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—R. A. Wise, 2435 Union.
Judge—Jos. H. Mooser, 2944 Scott.
Clerk—Miss Loretta K. Klinck, 2497 Greenwich.
Clerk—L. C. Adams, 2408 Green.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—J. E. Delehanty, 2688 Filbert.
Judge—D. O. Druffil, 2672 Filbert.
Clerk—Miss Julia Micheletti, 2618 Greenwich.
Clerk—Gertrude Lehman, 2695 Greenwich.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—James McIntyre, 2241 Jackson.
Judge—Miss Sara Tomlinson, 2762 Broderick.
Clerk—Mrs. Helen B. Greene, 2865 Divisadero.
Clerk—Bertha Gold, 2647 Lombard.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—M. N. Rosen, 2829 Filbert.
Judge—Stella D. Pinaglia, 2728 Greenwich.
Clerk—Mrs. N. M. O'Connor, 2727 Greenwich.
Clerk—Minnie Dell Westen, 2725 Greenwich.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—P. E. Cantlon, 2806 Union.
Judge—Alice Benas, 2815 Filbert.
Clerk—Helen Bass, 2665 Baker.
Clerk—Carl Braun, 2743 Baker.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Wilfred Macken, 1545 Greenwich.
Judge—Margaret Skahan, 2515 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Agnes Blewett, 2515 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Anne J. Landgrebe, 2774 Union.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—Wm. Osborne, 2034 Green.
Judge—Adeline Dondero, 2615 Greenwich.
Clerk—Cora A. Van Duren, 2810 Gough.
Clerk—Rose Silberstein, 2645 Franklin.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—Thos. P. McGoldrick, 2750 Gough.
Judge—Antoinette Virgilio, 2743 Gough.
Clerk—G. Silberstein, 2645 Franklin.
Clerk—Irene E. Apfel, 1695 Filbert.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—Martin N. Miller, 2301 Green.

Judge—Carrie G. Kerruish, 2415 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Wm. Higginbottom, 1637 Filbert.
Clerk—D. M. Roberts, 1530 Green.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—F. R. Ratto, 28 Harris.
Judge—Samuel J. Sullivan, 1825 Filbert.
Clerk—Evelyn R. Cuneo, 2857 Octavia.
Clerk—B. G. Tuttle, 1872 Green.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Joseph P. Castro, 2127 Fillmore.
Judge—Mrs. Josephine Taylor, 2194 Green.
Clerk—Kate M. Collum, 1929 Union.
Clerk—Rose M. Roche, 2113 Webster.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—Wm. Hasenberg, 2009 Green.
Judge—Mrs. Margaret Bodefeld, 2901 Buchanan.
Clerk—Bernice Sanguinetti, 2028 Green.
Clerk—Rebessa Silberstein,, 2843 Webster.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—J. W. Chute, 2159 Union.
Judge—J. L. Silberstein, 2843 Webster.
Clerk—Hannah Block, 2114 Union.
Clerk—Margaret Halstead, 2192 Green.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—E. T. Rosenlund, 2940 Pierce.
Judge—Emily Boyce, 2327 Filbert.
Clerk—Anne Ball, 3038 Steiner.
Clerk—Geo. Bigot, 2340 Union.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—J. J. Degan, 2907 Fillmore.
Judge—Wm. Daniell, 2290 Green.
Clerk—Alice M. Brizzolara, 2913 Fillmore.
Clerk—H. Costa, 2289 Union.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—John W. Ross, 2745 Webster.
Judge—A. M. Lawson, 2745 Webster.
Clerk—H. J. Kenneally, 2175 Green.
Clerk—J. A. McBride, 2742 Pierce.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspetcor—G. L. Moffat, 2635 Green.
Judge—Ed. McDevitt, 2757 Divisadero.
Clerk—Mrs. Gladys Murphy, 2415 Green.
Clerk—Estelle Thomas, 1545 Green.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—M. N. Miller, 2301 Green.
Judge—Minna Eidenmuller, 2411 Green.
Clerk—Estelle H. Bernhard, 2999 California.
Clerk—F. E. Calestini, 2785 Union.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—Earl F. Hentzy, 1535 Green.
Judge—Ellie Kentzel, 2425 Franklin.
Clerk—Henrietta Bouvier, 1626 Vallejo.
Clerk—Chas. Vogelsang, 1700 Vallejo.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—M. A. Spinetti, 1889 Green.
Judge—T. J. Trodden Jr., 2706 Laguna.
Clerk—Blanche Miller, 2433 Franklin.
Clerk—D. S. Berry, 2523 Gough.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—Peter Swenson, 1651 Divisadero.
Judge—Lucille S. Irvine, 2409 Octavia.
Clerk—Marie L. Cuenin, 1626 Vallejo.
Clerk—Gus Widder, 2812 Clay.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—J. C. O'Brien, 2512 Octavia.
Judge—Fred Webster, 1940 Broadway.
Clerk—Miss Lenora Croudace, 1863 Vallejo.
Clerk—Mabel B. Quinn, 1901 Vallejo.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—Adair Westwood, 430 O'Farrell.
Judge—Sadie Jonas, 2195 Sacramento.
Clerk—Mrs. Sarah Irvine, 2407 Octavia.
Clerk—Minnie Moreau, 2228 Union.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—W. C. Wolfrom, 2841 Sacramento.
Judge—Jos. Duggan Sr., 2027 Pacific Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Lena Fornichi, 3007 Fillmore.
Clerk—Thomas Lee, 1809 Broadway.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—L. V. Roberts, 2361 Union.
Judge—Linea Baehr, 2295 Broadway.
Clerk—Estelle Thomas, 1545 Green.
Clerk—Caroline Sandello, 2133 Union.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—C. H. Diehl, 1590 Sacramento.
Judge—Mrs. Amy Breslauer, 2580 Broadway.
Clerk—Ella G. Kennedy, 2452 Broadway.
Clerk—Annie M. Cline, 3806 California.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—J. A. Mulligan, 2500 Clay.
Judge—Ellice M. Biggam, 2430 Fillmore.
Clerk—Jennie W. Kemp, 3086 Washington.
Clerk—L. C. Burg, 2901 Pacific Ave.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—Gregory Laughlin, 2271 California.
Judge—C. A. Romadka, 1720 Pacific Ave.
Clerk—Miss Saidie Meyer, 2101 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Esther Nathan, 7135 Van Ness Ave.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—Chas. DeF. Case, 1808 Pacific Ave.
Judge—Marian Conway, 1769 Broadway.
Clerk—Mrs. M. Teresa Orpin, 1808 Pacific Ave.
Clerk—Ida M. Lockhardt, 2432 Jackson.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—Roy C. Tjader, 2030 Franklin.
Judge—Julia C. Gross, 2590 Sacramento.
Clerk—Lenette Caro, 2030 Franklin.
Clerk—Lilian B. Richardson, 2030 Franklin.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—Joseph A. Duggan Jr., 2027 Pacific Ave.
Judge—Milly R. Pomrene, 2150 Washington.
Clerk—Madeline Katschinski, 1933 Jackson.
Clerk—Hanna Schreiber, 3913 Sacramento.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—E. H. Schilling, 2209 Jackson.
Judge—James McIntyre, 2241 Jackson.
Clerk—Horatio Muzzy, 2275 Jackson.
Clerk—Ethel S. Tobias, 2444 Clay.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—E. W. Houstown, 2410 Pacific Ave.
Judge—Miss Sebra Rixon, 2438 Jackson.
Clerk—Emily R. McDonald, 2438 Jackson.
Clerk—Jos. F. Kinnian, 2241 Webster.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—Leon S. Sheen, 2444 Clay.
Judge—R. Bascocci, 2307 Webster.
Clerk—Alice Chartrey, 2369 Jackson.
Clerk—Jean E. Licht, 2480 Washington.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—James W. Fitzpatrick, 2576 Washington.
Judge—Rose Kaplan, 2510 Washington.
Clerk—Rose Sanders, 2445 Fillmore.
Clerk—Lottie Barr, 3074 Washington.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—R. M. Watson, 2611 California.
Judge—D. L. Hollub, 2404 Clay.
Clerk—Mrs. Elizabeth Roantree, 2336 Divisadero.
Clerk—Rita B. Strauss, 2530 Fillmore.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—P. F. Laflin, 2958 Washington.
Judge—Lucy M. Finegan, 2112 Baker.
Clerk—Miss Daisy Upham, 2341 Divisadero.
Clerk—F. W. Collett, 2106 Baker.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—H. Hazenberg, 2565 Washington.
Judge—Miss Louise Theurkauf, 12 Presidio Ave.

Clerk—Miss Mayme Cafferata, 2682 Sacramento.
Clerk—Jess Diamond, 2015 Sacramento.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—R. S. Brown, 3105 Jackson.
Judge—Bertha Kennedy, 3105 Jackson.
Clerk—Mrs. Ann Brown, 3105 Jackson.
Clerk—Ada Green, 2855 California.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—Harry Marks, 1950 Franklin.
Judge—Burnette Bernheim, 1840 Clay.
Clerk—Bernice Mullen, 1950 Franklin.
Clerk—Clemence Meyer, 1755 Franklin.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—William Canavan, 2228 Clay.
Judge—R. E. Cates, 2311 Buchanan.
Clerk—Roy L. Alderson, 2207 Clay.
Clerk—Gladys Bennett, 2315 Buchanan.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—Alfred E. Hansen, 2032 Steiner.
Judge—Minnie Rosenstein, 1835 Clay.
Clerk—Hilda C. Nathan, 1735 Van Ness Ave.
Clerk—Alyce Doughty, 1835 Clay.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—E. M. Pollack, 1755 Franklin.
Judge—Mrs. Gail McClellan, 1745 Franklin.
Clerk—Louis Schieffer, 1745 Franklin.
Clerk—Pauline Berg, 1845 Franklin.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—A. F. Myerson, 2629 Clay.
Judge—Nettie Held, 1865 California.
Clerk—Ruth U. Shelton, 1871 Sacramento.
Clerk—Leta Platts, 2307 Webster.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—T. M. Fahy, 1701 Gough.
Judge—Anna D. Davidson, 1950 Gough.
Clerk—Frances Wiley, 1830 Pine.
Clerk—Amy Diamond, 2015 Sacramento.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—M. S. Goodman, 2045 California.
Judge—Helen Krebs, 3101 Sacramento.
Clerk—Emanuel Levene, 2001 California.
Clerk—Marie Ross, 2135 Sacramento.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—Fred S. McWilliams, 2263 Sacramento.
Judge—Mrs. Catherine E. Keith, 2201 Sacramento.
Clerk—Laura McConahey, 2522 Sacramento.
Clerk—A. G. Bays, 2136 California.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—Ralph A. Kelly, 1905 Laguna.
Judge—Mildred E. Moore, 2002 Buchanan.
Clerk—Ola Allen, 1905 Laguna.
Clerk—Nettie Goodell, 2515½ Sacramento.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—O. H. Schwarz, 2148 Pine.
Judge—Henrietta Escouteloup, 2413 Clay.
Clerk—Ruth P. Dreyer, 2407 Sacramento.
Clerk—W. C. Wolfrom, 2841 Sacramento.

**Precinct 84:**
Inspector—J. A. Macdonald, 2530 Sacramento.
Judge—Grace Rankin, 2237 Steiner.
Clerk—Miss Helen Meyer, 2684 Sacramento.
Clerk—Lillian McIntosh, 2522 Sacramento.

**Precinct 85:**
Inspector—Kenneth F. Anderson, 2148 Steiner.
Judge—Marie H. Rossi, 2134 Steiner.
Clerk—Helen Castro, 2127 Fillmore.
Clerk—Mary R. Nellis, 2645 Sacramento.

**Precinct 86:**
Inspector—Chas. Bergman, 2519B California.
Judge—Joseph Hamilton, 2517 California.
Clerk—Pauline Dixon, 2432 Pine.
Clerk—Minnie Wikman, 2591 California.

**Precinct 87:**
Inspector—Jas. F. Currie, 2590 Pine.
Judge—Mary C. McCarthy, 2107 Scott.
Clerk—L. Colter, 2334 Pine.
Clerk—Jos. Fusch, 2716 California.

**Precinct 88:**
Inspector—Howard J. Myers, 2001 Pierce.
Judge—Mrs. Jane Foley, 2801 Sacramento.
Clerk—B. L. Meninger, 2001 Pierce.
Clerk—Myrtle A. Rosenstein, 2825 Sacramento.

**Precinct 89:**
Inspector—F. F. Cunneen, 1923 Pierce.
Judge—Annette Gabriele, 2659 California.
Clerk—Harriet R. Davis, 1925 Pierce.
Clerk—Myrtle Rosenstein, 2825 Sacramento.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—R. P. Cole, 2935 Washington.
Judge—Maud Minner, 2230 Divisadero.
Clerk—Agnes Baker, 2845 Washington.
Clerk—C. A. Cross, 2233 Divisadero.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—J. Gilleran, 2882 Pine.
Judge—Lorna C. Delaney, 2824 Clay.
Clerk—Carrie M. Traeger, 1849 Lyon.
Clerk—Laurette Schorcht, 2117 Broderick.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—Harry R. Gosliner, 2904 California.
Judge—Gladys Moral, 2860 California.
Clerk—Angela Miller, 2966 California.
Clerk—A. C. Green, 2855 California.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—Wm. P. Connelly, 1720 Baker.
Judge—Marcella Littleton, 2790 Pine.
Clerk—R. T. McKeon, 2866 Pine.
Clerk—J. E. Judge, 1718 Baker.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—Joseph L. Gould, 3294 Clay.
Judge—Mrs. Winifred Deasy, 3294 Clay, Apt. 2.
Clerk—Nettie Ettling, 3140 Clay.
Clerk—Rose Blankenstein, 3140 Clay.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—N. G. Korneeff, 2041 Lyon.
Judge—Aileen Rixon, 3133 Sacramento.
Clerk—Mrs. Ellen Graham, 3109 Sacramento.
Clerk—Carrie M. Traeger, 3170 Sacramento.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—J. J. Thomas, 3151 California.
Judge—Mrs. Elise Vaughan, 2952 Pine.
Clerk—Beatrice Jacoby, 3077 California.
Clerk—J. R. Allen, 3167 California.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—Jas. W. Barron, 320 Walnut.
Judge—Geo. Ellis, 3354 Sacramento.
Clerk—Lee Kahn, 3301 Clay.
Clerk—Sallie Railton, 3342 Sacramento.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—Geo. W. Sweeney, 1671 Bush.
Judge—Anastasia Reardon, 430 Laurel.
Clerk—Effie Hammond, 325 Walnut.
Clerk—O. F. Buchner, 3433 Sacramento.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—R. M. Maynard, 3317 Jackson.
Judge—N. Arrington, 6210 Geary.
Clerk—Maude McCarthy, 408 Locust.
Clerk—Wm. L. Tanner, 250 Laurel.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—L. I. Cahn, 3540 Washington.
Judge—Henrietta M. Van Woods, 360 Locust.
Clerk—Frank W. Smith, 3467 Jackson.
Clerk—Mary A. Carroll, 360 Locust.

**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—W. H. Gibson, 416 Locust.
Judge—Mrs. Emilie Blanckenburg, 3675 Jackson.
Clerk—J. R. Foley, 770 5th Ave.
Clerk—J. A. Chesebrough, 3742 Washington.

**Precinct 102:**
Inspector—Sam Cohen, 3674 Sacramento.
Judge—Mary M. Parnow, 419 Locust.
Clerk—Wm. R. O'Leary, 3632 Sacramento.
Clerk—E. C. Allari, 3550 California.
**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—Harry Urban, 1377 Geary.
Judge—Maude J. Pierson, 404 Maple.
Clerk—Wm. L. Lynch, 3790 Sacramento.
Clerk—Hannah Gibbs, 423 Spruce.
**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—Louis J. Joest, 3969 Washington.
Judge—Frances E. Button, 3912 Clay.
Clerk—Mary A. Hourihan, 3892 Sacramento.
Clerk—F. Buckley, 3978 Washington.
**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—A. Loewenstein, 3918 Sacramento.
Judge—Agnes L. Hughes, 3980 Sacramento.
Clerk—Emma C. Cartland, 3974 Sacramento.
Clerk—L. A. Crame, 3984 Sacramento.
**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—Louis K. Shay, 3842 California.
Judge—Marion D. Kutzkau, 3865 California.
Clerk—Jos. Cline, 3806 California.
Clerk—S. A. Barish, 3889 Sacramento.
**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—Raymond F. Jordan, 2351 Washington.
Judge—Erminia Muriale, 2455 Lombard.
Clerk—Mary E. Smith, 2554A Greenwich.
Clerk—O. J. Arnold, 3233 Scott.

**TWENTY-EIGHTH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT**

**Precinct 1:**
Inspector—Herman Eschen, 217 Arguello Blvd.
Judge—I. Schwartz, 253 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—H. F. Young, 265 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—Mrs. Bertha Jaslow, 4034 California.
**Precinct 2:**
Inspector—Chas. J. Plunkett, 148 3rd Ave.
Judge—Alfred Bonner, 300 Lake.
Clerk—Bertha Jaslow, 4034 California.
Clerk—Eleanor M. Kemble, 377 3rd Ave.
**Precinct 3:**
Inspector—A. C. Hanna, 93 6th Ave.
Judge—Helen S. Sherman, 98 6th Ave.
Clerk—Tina Toefel, 15 6th Ave.
Clerk—Loretto G. Enright, 212 6th Ave.
**Precinct 4:**
Inspector—Chas. R. Bowie, 4120 Geary Blvd.
Judge—Mae E. Ebenritter, 179 Parker Ave.
Clerk—Hannah F. Driscoll, 4032 California.
Clerk—Alice Ross, 244 6th Ave.
**Precinct 5:**
Inspector—Will Rogers, 328 6th Ave.
Judge—Dora Roos Durphy, 8 Jordan Ave.
Clerk—Muriel R. Seidl, 92 Palm Ave.
Clerk—Hazel B. Cerf, 3775 California.
**Precinct 6:**
Inspector—Edw. H. Bolz Sr., 126 2nd Ave.
Judge—Florence E. Johnstone, 263 Parker Ave.
Clerk—Ceil Nagle, 259 Parker Ave.
Clerk—Wm. A. Van Horn, 315 5th Ave.
**Precinct 7:**
Inspector—D. Caro, 166 Palm Ave.
Judge—Miss Beth Hewlett, 410 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—Grace Cadd, 361A 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Lucille Nardi, 3508 Geary.
**Precinct 8:**
Inspector—A. L. Rosenberg, 289 Arguello Blvd.
Judge—Agnes Brown, 149 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Rose McCarthy, 3917A California.
Clerk—Mrs. Hanna F. Driscoll, 4032 California.
**Precinct 9:**
Inspector—C. H. Breslin, 135 3rd Ave.
Judge—I. Apple, 180 4th Ave.
Clerk—D. McLoone, 219 Lake.
Clerk—Mabel A. Searle, 335 5th Ave.
**Precinct 10:**
Inspector—Edw. V. McCarthy, 3917A California.
Judge—Mrs. Rose Kubli, 300 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Harry G. Lyman, 431 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—J. P. Kerwin, 252 3rd Ave.
**Precinct 11:**
Inspector—J. P. Kerwin, 252 3rd Ave.
Judge—Katherine Meyer, 125 Cornwall.
Clerk—Herriette E. Casey, 318A 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Anne E. A. Dineley, 238 4th Ave.
**Precinct 12:**
Inspector—C. J. Cain, 227A 9th Ave.
Judge—J. Welch, 343 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. May Standiford, 366 4th Ave.
Clerk—Gussie Millzner, 212 4th Ave.
**Precinct 13:**
Inspector—Geo. R. Pinnegan, 160 6th Ave.
Judge—Carrie D. Chisholm, 398 Cornwall.
Clerk—Henrietta Scheslinger, 303 Lake.
Clerk—N. B. Bailey, 368 7th Ave.
**Precinct 14:**
Inspector—St. Clair Barker, 438 Clement.
Judge—Mrs. Marguerite C. Gaffney, 317 Cornwall.
Clerk—Elizabeth E. Panzini, 248 6th Ave.
Clerk—F. A. Brown, 244 5th Ave.
**Precinct 15:**
Inspector—C. L. Bentley, 355 5th Ave.
Judge—Julia C. Breny, 335 4th Ave.
Clerk—Carrie Abraham, 343 5th Ave.
Clerk—M. L. Herman, 4044 Geary.
**Precinct 16:**
Inspector—Ed. Kendall, 4406 California.
Judge—W. B. Cousins, 144 7th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Mercedes Hallego, 142 7th Ave.
Clerk—Marie Wilkinson, 514A Clement.
**Precinct 17:**
Inspector—T. B. Weaver, 164 8th Ave.
Judge—Ada W. Neal, 111 7th Ave.
Clerk—Margaret O'Connell, 140 8th Ave.
Clerk—Maude W. Bailey, 368 7th Ave.
**Precinct 18:**
Inspector—M. L. McCrary, 308 8th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Ethel M. Boyd, 369 7th Ave.
Clerk—Emma Hacker, 220 8th Ave.
Clerk—Theo Metz, 251 9th Ave.
**Precinct 19:**
Inspector—F. Holland, 137 9th Ave.
Judge—Adeline Helms, 1630 10th Ave.
Clerk—Louise Martin, 136 9th Ave.
Clerk—Frank Metz, 251 9th Ave.
**Precinct 20:**
Inspector—E. J. Dellwig, 270 9th Ave.
Judge—Margaret J. Beggs, 268 9th Ave.
Clerk—Miss Katherine Welch, 234 9th Ave.
Clerk—R. C. Dellwig, 270 9th Ave.
**Precinct 21:**
Inspector—C. R. Brown, 111 9th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Sadie Jacobs, 195 9th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. A. Cronin, 155 9th Ave.
Clerk—Irene A. Muller, 334 11th Ave.
**Precinct 22:**
Inspector—Leland Rose, 139 10th Ave.
Judge—Ida Regli, 4816 California.
Clerk—Hilda Sherman, 947 Lake.
Clerk—Adelaide L. Fossey, 134 11th Ave.
**Precinct 23:**
Inspector—E. C. Iverson, 218 10th Ave.
Judge—Mabel J. Herbert, 241 10th Ave.
Clerk—Lee J. Beach, 948 Clement.
Clerk—W. P. Murray, 221 10th Ave.
**Precinct 24:**
Inspector—Herbert Hatch, 321 10th Ave.

Judge—Mrs. Anna F. Coen, 366 11th Ave.
Clerk—Nettie McNoble, 321 10th Ave.
Clerk—Marcelle E. Varnazza, 334 11th Ave.

**Precinct 25:**
Inspector—J. G. Greaney, 176 12th Ave.
Judge—Emily Rhoads, 4912 California.
Clerk—Thos. P. O'Brien, 149 11th Ave.
Clerk—Saidee R. Rhodes, 152 12th Ave.

**Precinct 26:**
Inspector—Thos. E. Reilly, 1145 Lake.
Judge—Agnes Telfer, 190 Funston Ave.
Clerk—Miss Emma Dieckoff, 279 9th Ave.
Clerk—H. H. Mensing, 337 10th Ave.

**Precinct 27:**
Inspector—Chas. L. Glick, 242 12th Ave.
Judge—Emily A. Jacobs, 225 11th Ave.
Clerk—Florence Caplan, 283 12th Ave.
Clerk—Edw. S. Briver, 229 11th Ave.

**Precinct 28:**
Inspector—W. R. Greenwood, 365A 11th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Lottie Kemp, 362 Funston Ave.
Clerk—Henry E. Farmer, 331 11th Ave.
Clerk—W. A. Granicher, 1119 Clement.

**Precinct 29:**
Inspector—Ben Lipston, 375 4th Ave.
Judge—Bertha Davis, 179 14th Ave.
Clerk—Alice P. Ward, 179 14th Ave.
Clerk—R. F. Koch, 119 16th Ave.

**Precinct 30:**
Inspector—Peter E. Williams, 230 15th Ave.
Judge—Eva G. Gignac, 262 15th Ave.
Clerk—Robt. Davis, 249 14th Ave.
Clerk—Mary E. Sulzbacher, 254 15th Ave.

**Precinct 31:**
Inspector—A. O. Davis, 249 14th Ave.
Judge—A. W. Pratt, 342 15th Ave.
Clerk—Dorothy Levy, 1345 Clement.
Clerk—Henry Levin, 1326 Clement.

**Precinct 32:**
Inspector—John O'Connor, 187 15th Ave.
Judge—Margaret M. Bielawski, 199 15th Ave.
Clerk—Mollie E. McPherson, 134 16th Ave.
Clerk—Lucille L. Heymans, 171 15th Ave.

**Precinct 33:**
Inspector—Milton Levy, 272 16th Ave.
Judge—Myron Springer, 272 16th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Marie Nelson, 5349 California.
Clerk—Irma M. Murphy, 235 15th Ave.

**Precinct 34:**
Inspector—Robt. F. Koch, 119 16th Ave.
Judge—Margaret Guerin, 344 16th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Annie L. Duffy, 644 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Louis Guerin, 344 16th Ave.

**Precinct 35:**
Inspector—C. E. Harvey, 146 17th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Armorel Magarian, 1537 Lake.
Clerk—I. M. Kochman, 121 16th Ave.
Clerk—J. Kullman, 102 17th Ave.

**Precinct 36:**
Inspector—Louis Weinberg, 5441 California.
Judge—Lillia Bespzzo, 245 16th Ave.
Clerk—Rose C. Licht, 284 17th Ave.
Clerk—W. J. Gabriel, 253 16th Ave.

**Precinct 37:**
Inspector—C. M. Giddens, 504 Clement.
Judge—Mrs. Ellen Donoghue, 274 18th Ave.
Clerk—Stella R. Hayes, 290 18th Ave.
Clerk—Miriam Sirbu, 5521 California.

**Precinct 38:**
Inspector—Sidney J. Keller, 1541 Clement.
Judge—Florence M. Hurst, 1619 Clement.
Clerk—Leon Habit, 322 18th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. Z. Mayerhofer, 318 18th Ave.

**Precinct 39:**
Inspector—J. E. O'Connor, 1727 Lake.
Judge—G. C. Willweber, 5626 California.
Clerk—Florence M. Norwood, 266 19th Ave.
Clerk—Eugene St. Germain Jr., 15 18th Ave.

**Precinct 40:**
Inspector—Theo. Cohn, 151 19th Ave.
Judge—Hanna Brown, 133 19th Ave.
Clerk—Ethel M. McKay, 162 20th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Anne Woods, 5720 California.

**Precinct 41:**
Inspector—F. A. Tanner, 145 19th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Jennie Samuels, 208 20th Ave.
Clerk—Tillie Harris, 229 19th Ave.
Clerk—E. M. Davis, 1835 Lake.

**Precinct 42:**
Inspector—Geo. A. Wattson, 5343 California.
Judge—Mary McGaffigan, 352 20th Ave.
Clerk—Alice Casassa, 339 19th Ave.
Clerk—Irene M. Barton, 343 19th Ave.

**Precinct 43:**
Inspector—Lawrence A. Herrgott, 159 20th Ave.
Judge—Harriet Lyons, 172 21st Ave.
Clerk—Maye G. Shine, 5844 California.
Clerk—Sue K. Earl, 317 19th Ave.

**Precinct 44:**
Inspector—B. J. Benjamin, 206 21st Ave.
Judge—Ann J. McHugh, 229 20th Ave.
Clerk—Claire Howard, 242 21st Ave.
Clerk—J. Rosen, 296 21st Ave.

**Precinct 45:**
Inspector—Jas. F. Rock, 220 22nd Ave.
Judge—Thos. Brady, 278 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Alferetta Kerner, 221 21st Ave.
Clerk—Oliver Chance, 185 21st Ave.

**Precinct 46:**
Inspector—J. H. Thomlinson, 437 25th Ave.
Judge—Kathleen Shanahan, 355 21st Ave.
Clerk—Edith Pettijohn, 379 20th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Fisher, 5746 Geary.

**Precinct 47:**
Inspector—F. H. Helbush, 190 25th Ave.
Judge—Hazel H. Heaney, 369 10th Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Twomey, 343 20th Ave.
Clerk—Pearl Cantrell, 346 22nd Ave.

**Precinct 48:**
Inspector—Harry Benjamin, 574 3rd Ave.
Judge—Emma Spaulding, 273 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Charlotte Tisne, 194 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Marie Cerf, 2201 Lake.

**Precinct 49:**
Inspector—Jos. B. Moloney, 153 23rd Ave.
Judge—Eleanore R. Mailly, 161 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Agnes Brower, 175 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Anna McDonald, 240 24th Ave.

**Precinct 50:**
Inspector—D. T. Convery, 345 23rd Ave.
Judge—T. J. Oliver, 355 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Amelia A. Butler, 356 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Jos. Landesbaum, 394 23rd Ave.

**Precinct 51:**
Inspector—F. H. Asch, 221 24th Ave.
Judge—Miss Dolores E. Filippis, 269 24th Ave.
Clerk—Cecile Wolfe, 221 24th Ave.
Clerk—Minnie Steinhart, 276 21st Ave.

**Precinct 52:**
Inspector—Harold T. Atkins, 329 24th Ave.
Judge—J. Doody, 305 24th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Lou Smithson, 6239 California.
Clerk—Benj. Scharff, 379 25th Ave.

**Precinct 53:**
Inspector—W. R. Cooper, 2329 Clement.
Judge—Julia Kearney, 462 26th Ave.
Clerk—Frances Walsh, 418 25th Ave.
Clerk—Mary E. Doody, 305 24th Ave.

**Precinct 54:**
Inspector—Henry E. Watkins, 2446 Lake.

Judge—Millie M. Anderson, 161 27th Ave.
Clerk—Charlotte M. Whiteside, 2610 Lake.
Clerk—Julia Lohneis, 390 26th Ave.

**Precinct 55:**
Inspector—J. Sanguinetti, 170 27th Ave.
Judge—J. Barren, 2534 Lake.
Clerk—May Roger, 233 El Camino Del Mar.
Clerk—Flora Hoffman, 276 21st Ave.

**Precinct 56:**
Inspector—H. A. Authes, 266 27th Ave.
Judge—Hannah O'Connell, 396 27th Ave.
Clerk—Florence Posner, 2533 Lake.
Clerk—Mary E. Loftus, 210 27th Ave.

**Precinct 57:**
Inspector—E. F. Hammitt, 6525 California.
Judge—S. C. Batt, 378 28th Ave.
Clerk—Ernest L. Mann, 6533 California.
Clerk—Anna E. Smith, 2617 Lake.

**Precinct 58:**
Inspector—Chas. A. Erb, 469 27th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Nana Rapheld, 479 27th Ave.
Clerk—Louella Arrington, 6210 Geary.
Clerk—Margaret Wertheim, 479 27th Ave.

**Precinct 59:**
Inspector—Thos. M. Guest, 310 6th Ave.
Judge—Elizabeth C. Heinz, 241 29th Ave
Clerk—Miss Martha P. Cohn, 248 30th Ave.
Clerk—Jennie Solomon, 454 29th Ave.

**Precinct 60:**
Inspector—Gus Conlan, 339 31st Ave.
Judge—Edith Worman, 378 29th Ave.
Clerk—Ella Roach, 390 29th Ave.
Clerk—Clara A. Vinter, 1731 Clement.

**Precinct 61:**
Inspector—E. I. Channell, 379 30th Ave.
Judge—Raymond V. Holloway, 330 31st Ave.
Clerk—Clara Jones, 6833 California.
Clerk—Annette G. Yoho, 364 30th Ave.

**Precinct 62:**
Inspector—David Kerr, 470 29th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Gertrude Haslam, 6442 Geary.
Clerk—Mrs. Mae Moore, 421 34th Ave.
Clerk—K. Colbert, 460 31st Ave.

**Precinct 63:**
Inspector—Eugene Dumesnil, 7555 Geary.
Judge—B. F. Hanlon, 276 32nd Ave.
Clerk—Noreen Hull, 502 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Isabell V. Smetzer, 6847 California.

**Precinct 64:**
Inspector—A. A. Robinson, 747 16th Ave.
Judge—Harriet Stacey, 623 4th Ave.
Clerk—Anna M. Hanlon, 276 32nd Ave.
Clerk—Rose H. Morris, 1715 Clement.

**Precinct 65:**
Inspector—Herbert Bartman, 479 32nd Ave.
Judge—Mary Kelly, 428 34th Ave.
Clerk—Edna Hammerslough, 467 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Eleanor I. Burchett, 328 19th Ave.

**Precinct 66:**
Inspector—Mack J. Koblick, 7026 Geary.
Judge—Mrs. Agnes McIntyre, 566 36th Ave.
Clerk—Roy A. Pratt, 7140 Geary.
Clerk—Mrs. Mae Moore, 421 34th Ave.

**Precinct 67:**
Inspector—R. C. Engelhardt, 4524 Anza.
Judge—Medora E. Mott, 564 37th Ave.
Clerk—Bertha Wietzke, 546 38th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Golda Ainsworth, 444 42nd Ave.

**Precinct 68:**
Inspector—Edw. C. Ray, 454 39th Ave.
Judge—Katherine O'Brien, 574 39th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Carrie Levy, 531 38th Ave.
Clerk—Maryalise Palmer, 425 40th Ave.

**Precinct 69:**
Inspector—Jerome M. Friedman, 438 41st Ave.
Judge—Henrietta Byron, 464 43rd Ave.
Clerk—Wm. H. Taylor, 428 40th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. Koch, 481 39th Ave.

**Precinct 70:**
Inspector—Claude Thompson, 429 23rd Ave.
Judge—Amalie M. Jakobs, 464 46th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. E. Williams, 445 43rd Ave.
Clerk—Mary E. Grace, 1515 Clement.

**Precinct 71:**
Inspector—Ira L. Altman, 533 Arguello Blvd.
Judge—A. C. Globes, 466 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Breen, 502 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Wm. F. Rumpf, 556 2nd Ave.

**Precinct 72:**
Inspector—John O'Keefe, 2749 Golden Gate Ave.
Judge—Rose Weder, 2678 McAllister.
Clerk—Catherine Moriarty, 766 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Alma E. Bussa, 1525 Clement.

**Precinct 73:**
Inspector—Wm. Dealtry, 2270 Fulton.
Judge—Tessie O'Brien, 2248 Fulton.
Clerk—Ella Eckstrom, 2340 Fulton.
Clerk—Leona Jacobsen, 5441 California.

**Precinct 74:**
Inspector—D. G. Gorman, 2520 Fulton.
Judge—Chas. H. Godfrey, 779 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—Marguerite Roddick, 2850 Golden Gate Ave.
Clerk—Henry Schonwetter, 2424 Fulton.

**Precinct 75:**
Inspector—Roy Broderick, 2422 Fulton.
Judge—Grace Davinner, 881 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—Nellie Lane, 819 Arguello Blvd.
Clerk—Carmelita Kelly, 2432 Fulton.

**Precinct 76:**
Inspector—David B. Dulberg, 425 2nd Ave.
Judge—J. O. Buck, 400 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Lulu Lyons, 444 4th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Van Heckeren, 597 2nd Ave.

**Precinct 77:**
Inspector—Paul A. Braun, 527 2nd Ave.
Judge—Miss Mary McElroy, 523 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Albena Tuchler, 507 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Jos. Reich, 125 Anza.

**Precinct 78:**
Inspector—J. P. White, 639 2nd Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Flora Flynn, 747 2nd Ave.
Clerk—J. B. Kenney, 743 2nd Ave.
Clerk—Rosaleen C. Dial, 595 3rd Ave.

**Precinct 79:**
Inspector—Geo. Sorensen, 664 4th Ave.
Judge—Virginia Donahoe, 2722 Fulton.
Clerk—Ella Murray, 685 3rd Ave.
Clerk—J. V. Brennan, 530 3rd Ave.

**Precinct 80:**
Inspector—H. Weiss, 557 4th Ave.
Judge—Dora Fanning, 461 4th Ave.
Clerk—Lisobelle Rohe, 427 4th Ave.
Clerk—J. F. Conens, 528 4th Ave.

**Precinct 81:**
Inspector—John J. Foley, 770 5th Ave.
Judge—Annie M. Pullis, 677 4th Ave.
Clerk—Laura M. Chaney, 650 5th Ave.
Clerk—Anna Martin, 326 Cabrillo.

**Precinct 82:**
Inspector—Harry G. Selig, 430 Balboa.
Judge—Frances Trubow, 649 7th Ave.
Clerk—Harriet Stacey, 623 4th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Selig, 430 Balboa.

**Precinct 83:**
Inspector—Geo. R. Meyerderks, 574 7th Ave.
Judge—Beatrice Bachelder, 515 Anza.
Clerk—Elizabeth Chalmers, 419 6th Ave.
Clerk—Tillie Green, 4221 Geary.

**Precinct 84:**
Inspector—S. R. Clifford, 612 6th Ave.
Judge—Catherine R. Delehanty, 685 5th Ave.

Clerk—Martha Lubliner, 686 6th Ave.
Clerk—J. H. Potasz, 677 5th Ave.

**Precinct 85:**
Inspector—Eugene Batholemy, 738 6th Ave.
Judge—Clemence F. Moss, 421 Cabrillo.
Clerk—Stella Catania, 708 6th Ave.
Clerk—T. A. O'Brien, 2922 Fulton.

**Precinct 86:**
Inspector—Arthur Joseph, 3010 Fulton.
Judge—Anna F. Eicholtz, 719 6th Ave.
Clerk—Annie Hogarty, 782 7th Ave.
Clerk—A. Blumenthal, 451 Cabrillo.

**Precinct 87:**
Inspector—Thos. C. Mitchell, 1625 Anza.
Judge—Winifred Conroy, 509 7th Ave.
Clerk—Margaret Ennis, 444 8th Ave.
Clerk—Edw. Delehanty, 685 5th Ave.

**Precinct 88:**
Inspector—Sol Peiser, 518 9th Ave.
Judge—D. V. Crowley, 430 9th Ave.
Clerk—S. S. Reed, 683 7th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Sprague, 635 4th Ave.

**Precinct 89:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Wollesen, 711 6th Ave.
Judge—Mayme Chvala Garlichs, 614 8th Ave.
Clerk—Hedwig Thane, 680 8th Ave.
Clerk—Dell De Soto, 681 6th Ave.

**Precinct 90:**
Inspector—Norbert Farrell, 677 15th Ave.
Judge—Winifred Richey, 753 9th Ave.
Clerk—Ray Levy, 653 8th Ave.
Clerk—Josephine Catz, 620 3rd Ave.

**Precinct 91:**
Inspector—J. J. Mahoney, 579 9th Ave.
Judge—Margaret Sheean, 766 3rd Ave.
Clerk—Marguerite Blaisdell, 514 11th Ave.
Clerk—Annie Reek, 932 Cabrillo.

**Precinct 92:**
Inspector—Royce Waters, 4525 Geary.
Judge—Elizabeth Fitzgerald, 1834 Anza.
Clerk—Lillian B. McClain, 1844 Anza.
Clerk—Wm. J. Carey, 586 11th Ave.

**Precinct 93:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Carey, 586 11th Ave.
Judge—Katherine McVey, 511 10th Ave.
Clerk—Florence Hickey, 1914 Anza.
Clerk—Lola A. Cooper, 409 10th Ave.

**Precinct 94:**
Inspector—H. B. Smith, 838 Cabrillo.
Judge—Abbie Fitzgerald, 635 9th Ave.
Clerk—Edmond Power, 615 9th Ave.
Clerk—J. N. Foley, 770 5th Ave.

**Precinct 95:**
Inspector—Joseph P. Halligan, 931 Balboa.
Judge—Helen Lawton, 624 11th Ave.
Clerk—John F. O'Connor, 681 10th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Leah Blum, 667 10th Ave.

**Precinct 96:**
Inspector—H. I. Seguine, 775 10th Ave.
Judge—Gustav Nelson, 750 11th Ave.
Clerk—N. C. Paddock, 714 10th Ave.
Clerk—Hannah Dhu, 765 9th Ave.

**Precinct 97:**
Inspector—John F. McCann, 483 11th Ave.
Judge—Lucy G. Laughrey, 2028 Anza.
Clerk—Mary F. Morris, 469 11th Ave.
Clerk—Pauline Caro, 742 10th Ave.

**Precinct 98:**
Inspector—A. J. Falvey, 409 10th Ave.
Judge—Rose Addlestone, 651 11th Ave.
Clerk—Etta Haugwont, 592 12th Ave.
Clerk—Eva Friedman, 597 11th Ave.

**Precinct 99:**
Inspector—Chas. B. Fenn, 539 12th Ave.
Judge—Dorothy Hill, 511 12th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. Ball, 527 12th Ave.
Clerk—Charlotte L. Meier, 475 12th Ave.

**Precinct 100:**
Inspector—R. J. Peckner, 575 12th Ave.
Judge—Angela E. Patterson, 458 15th Ave.
Clerk—Florence C. Haake, 461 14th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. Muir, 475 12th Ave.

**Precinct 101:**
Inspector—Andrew J. Hanratty, 518 15th Ave.
Judge—Louise Sjovall, 539 12th Ave.
Clerk—Belita Friede, 1325 Anza.
Clerk—Mrs. Belle Harris, 551 12th Ave.

**Precinct 102:**
Inspector—M. J. Mosseri, 685 12th Ave.
Judge—Catherine E. Lally, 626 15th Ave.
Clerk—May Hickey, 470 17th Ave.
Clerk—Wm. Baron, 316 Funston Ave.

**Precinct 103:**
Inspector—Alfred Berndt, 719 12th Ave.
Judge—Miss Clara Giller, 749 11th Ave.
Clerk—Florence Watson, 741 11th Ave.
Clerk—Winifred Turpin, 630 15th Ave.

**Precinct 104:**
Inspector—Thos. F. Murphy, 661 15th Ave.
Judge—Jeannette Batt, 738 15th Ave.
Clerk—Dorothy P. Vaughan, 3844 Fulton.
Clerk—Olga Campbell, 490 19th Ave.

**Precinct 105:**
Inspector—E. F. Glennon, 2448 Anza.
Judge—Mrs. Sadie Thomas, 467 16th Ave.
Clerk—Katherine J. Callahan, 433 15th Ave.
Clerk—Ida Dorn, 439 16th Ave.

**Precinct 106:**
Inspector—F. M. Oliver, 469 18th Ave.
Judge—A. Geckler, 494 18th Ave.
Clerk—Marie D. Myers, 434 18th Ave.
Clerk—R. J. Crowley, 427 18th Ave.

**Precinct 107:**
Inspector—J. A. Connor, 579 15th Ave.
Judge—Edw. Wm. Carrol, 568 16th Ave.
Clerk—Jessie W. Sheehan, 567 15th Ave.
Clerk—Helen Latz, 470 18th Ave.

**Precinct 108:**
Inspector—Gus Garson, 2648 Anza.
Judge—Laura B. Imhaus, 590 18th Ave.
Clerk—Harry Rimgliner, 550 18th Ave.
Clerk—A. W. Oliver, 469 18th Ave.

**Precinct 109:**
Inspector—Robert A. Brady, 626 19th Ave.
Judge—Edith W. Mertens, 571 18th Ave.
Clerk—Mildred Rutherford, 646 19th Ave.
Clerk—Ida E. White, 685 15th Ave.

**Precinct 110:**
Inspector—Arthur W. Dollard, 672 16th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Frances Collum, 679 15th Ave.
Clerk—James P. Butler, 627 15th Ave.
Clerk—Thos. Farrell, 677 15th Ave.

**Precinct 111:**
Inspector—F. L. Maino, 605 16th Ave.
Judge—Florence Beirne, 652 17th Ave.
Clerk—Geo. Bowman, 1546 Cabrillo.
Clerk—A. A. Robinson, 747 16th Ave.

**Precinct 112:**
Inspector—R. M. O'Neill, 754 17th Ave.
Judge—S. Silverstone, 1545 Cabrillo.
Clerk—Margaret Ferguson, 743 16th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Helene Novins, 780 17th Ave.

**Precinct 113:**
Inspector—J. J. Gibbons, 746 18th Ave.
Judge—Louis Gross, 740 18th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Dollard, 747 18th Ave.
Clerk—Isadore Goldstein, 1427 Balboa.

**Precinct 114:**
Inspector—Irving Berliner, 703 18th Ave.
Judge—Elizabeth B. Silva, 778 19th Ave.
Clerk—Catherine Sullivan, 751 18th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Ella S. Tuttle, 2236 Fulton.

**Precinct 115:**
Inspector—W. J. Ryan, 659 26th Ave.
Judge—Florine Geiselman, 739 19th Ave.
Clerk—Clara Isaacs, 2936 Anza.
Clerk—Pauline M. A. Maussang, 2284 Fulton.

**Precinct 116:**
Inspector—Alfred D. Geiger, 666 20th Ave.
Judge—Juanita Blaisdale, 2446 Anza.

Clerk—Edna M. Huston, 431 16th Ave.
Clerk—Paul T. Carroll, 418 22nd Ave.

**Precinct 117:**
Inspector—John F. McCormick, 554 21st Ave.
Judge—Mary T. Hall, 2923 Anza.
Clerk—Mrs. Susie Colbert, 645 20th Ave.
Clerk—Marie V. Bevil, 771 17th Ave.

**Precinct 118:**
Inspector—E. W. Schrader, 773 19th Ave.
Judge—Margaret M. Campbell, 706 20th Ave.
Clerk—Mary R. Cooper, 769 19th Ave.
Clerk—H. Pfaeffle, 4314 Fulton.

**Precinct 119:**
Inspector—H. E. Chick, 3008 Anza.
Judge—Catherine Feerick, 731 20th Ave.
Clerk—Mary Mulcare, 756 21st Ave.
Clerk—Blanche Schoenfeld, 729 21st Ave.

**Precinct 120:**
Inspector—R. F. Carroll, 418 22nd Ave.
Judge—Rae F. Hurwitz, 485 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Emma F. Kern, 431 22nd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Neva C. Hudson, 711 21st Ave.

**Precinct 121:**
Inspector—Geo. J. Williams, 3035 Anza.
Judge—Ed. Ring, 679 21st Ave.
Clerk—H. W. Kleinert, 3039 Anza.
Clerk—Daisy A. Garnett, 871 32nd Ave.

**Precinct 122:**
Inspector—O. Bloomfield, 687 22nd Ave.
Judge—Ida Crowell, 2133 Anza.
Clerk—Walter M. Murphy, 2128 Cabrillo.
Clerk—Maude Williams, 3035 Anza.

**Precinct 123:**
Inspector—A. C. Bennett, 528 22nd Ave.
Judge—Henrietta Des Barato, 643 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Emma Hannay, 720 24th Ave.
Clerk—Alfreda M. Due, 790 20th Ave.

**Precinct 124:**
Inspector—Ernest Ryder, 4738 Fulton.
Judge—Ella Hart, 2127 Cabrillo.
Clerk—Gertrude Bryan, 734 23rd Ave.
Clerk—Robt. C. Heaney, 455 25th Ave.

**Precinct 125:**
Inspector—A. M. Wolf, 473 23rd Ave.
Judge—Ellen M. Hanley, 586 25th Ave.
Clerk—L. N. Semorile, 578 24th Ave.
Clerk—Anna M. Staeglich, 582 25th Ave.

**Precinct 126:**
Inspector—Wm. J. Murphy, 578 27th Ave.
Judge—Josephine Molloy, 510 26th Ave.
Clerk—Elizabeth K. Beirne, 527 26th Ave.
Clerk—Norma L. Jewell, 570 26th Ave.

**Precinct 127:**
Inspector—Wm. P. Bowers, 649 25th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Rietta B. Hahn, 685 26th Ave.
Clerk—Nellie E. Hogan, 628 25th Ave.
Clerk—Aaron Sommer, 696 27th Ave.

**Precinct 128:**
Inspector—H. Lester Archer, 788 25th Ave.
Judge—Madeline Maber, 841 24th Ave.
Clerk—Delia Mulvihill, 779 24th Ave.
Clerk—Edwin W. Schrader, 773 19th Ave.

**Precinct 129:**
Inspector—Chas. Warden, 791 25th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Mary Brannigan, 709 25th Ave.
Clerk—Frances Vitali. 801 25th Ave.
Clerk—Helen Cohn, 879 26th Ave.

**Precinct 130:**
Inspector—Edwin A. Cummings, 2539 Balboa.
Judge—Irene A. Ellis, 738 27th Ave.
Clerk—Louise Roach, 727 26th Ave.
Clerk—Hattie C. Murphy, 578 27th Ave.

**Precinct 131:**
Inspector—Jesse A. Platt, 547 27th Ave.
Judge—Mary E. Nelson, 530 29th Ave.
Clerk—Adele Ehlers, 662 38th Ave.
Clerk—Josie Stern, 659 27th Ave.

**Precinct 132:**
Inspector—A. F. Rosslow, 670 28th Ave.
Judge—Leona Gerst, 637 27th Ave.
Clerk—Grace L. Ceschi, 633 27th Ave.
Clerk—Martin W. Law, 614 30th Ave.

**Precinct 133:**
Inspector—F. J. Kelly, 2727 Balboa.
Judge—M. J. Quinlan, 827 28th Ave.
Clerk—Robert Kelly, 2625 Balboa.
Clerk—Adelaide U. Blake, 5400 Fulton.

**Precinct 134:**
Inspector—H. Thurber, 2943 Balboa.
Judge—Chas. Prideaux, 786 31st Ave.
Clerk—F. Corrao Jr., 854 31st Ave.
Clerk—Blanche Elliott, 844 31st Ave.

**Precinct 135:**
Inspector—James P. Murphy, 661 15th Ave.
Judge—Alice P. Pingston, 4340 Anza.
Clerk—Margaret Walsh, 567 34th Ave.
Clerk—Ethlyye M. Cereghino, 2339 33rd Ave.

**Precinct 136:**
Inspector—J. P. Hart, 766 32nd Ave.
Judge—Marie McHugh, 770 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Clement O'Connor, 791 31st Ave.
Clerk—Max Blackfield, 647 32nd Ave.

**Precinct 137:**
Inspector—Nathan J. Silberstein, 5510 Fulton.
Judge—Miss Elizabeth Lapham, 817 32nd Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary Flanagan, 810 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Dorothea Maack, 819 31st Ave.

**Precinct 138:**
Inspector—Thos. P. Butterworth, 642 34th Ave.
Judge—Catherine Tryon, 683 38th Ave.
Clerk—Gertrude Mulvihill, 818 35th Ave.
Clerk—Frances Sumner, 1542 29th Ave.

**Precinct 139:**
Inspector—Paul W. Schwarz, 896 34th Ave.
Judge—Walter H. Lord, 851 33rd Ave.
Clerk—Ottilia Feeney, 890 34th Ave.
Clerk—Babette M. Alsop, 671 33rd Ave.

**Precinct 140:**
Inspector—John D. Hynes, 827 35th Ave.
Judge—Alice M. Cummins, 3430 Cabrillo.
Clerk—F. D. Bose, 850 35th Ave.
Clerk—Herbert W. Kleinert, 3039 Anza.

**Precinct 141:**
Inspector—E. J. Canepa, 647 41st Ave.
Judge—Alice Miche, 651 36th Ave.
Clerk—Isabella Ebner, 610 36th Ave.
Clerk—Blanche Ginocchio, 606 36th Ave.

**Precinct 142:**
Inspector—Edw. J. Perryman, 651 29th Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Estelle Hourigan, 326 29th Ave.
Clerk—Margaret Goodspeed, 792 37th Ave.
Clerk—Ben Berkowitz, 1845 Lake.

**Precinct 143:**
Inspector—Thos. Collier, 738 33rd Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Nettie W. Doyle, 3700 Cabrillo.
Clerk—Mrs. Sarah Cohen, 811 38th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Julian Mayerhofer, 205 16th Ave.

**Precinct 144:**
Inspector—Geo. E. Bew, 535 39th Ave.
Judge—Catherine E. Herlihy, 583 40th Ave.
Clerk—Agnes E. Russell, 554 42nd Ave.
Clerk—Frances B. Hilton, 7615 Geary Blvd.

**Precinct 145:**
Inspector—Edw. McCrudden, 611 39th Ave.
Judge—Jean Markel, 631 39th Ave.
Clerk—D. A. Hughes, 643 39th Ave.
Clerk—Pauline M. Regan, 175 10th Ave.

**Precinct 146:**
Inspector—E. P. Fewer Jr., 731 41st Ave.
Judge—Mrs. Cecelia Burns, 755 40th Ave.
Clerk—Irene C. Schlegel, 771 41st Ave.
Clerk—Jennie M. Walker, 730 43rd Ave.
**Precinct 147:**
Inspector—J. J. Flannery, 3822 Cabrillo.
Judge—Mrs. Madeleine Brennan, 814 40th Ave.
Clerk—Miss Beatrice V. Merle, 862 40th Ave.
Clerk—C. J. Armbruster, 770 40th Ave.
**Precinct 148:**
Inspector—F. K. Perry, 883 41st Ave.
Judge—Mabel E. Scally, 827 42nd Ave.
Clerk—Bessie F. Meyer, 819 42nd Ave.
Clerk—Ella Duke, 4414 California.
**Precinct 149:**
Inspector—Louis D. Marks, 526 44th Ave.
Judge—Bessie Stuhr, 567 44th Ave.
Clerk—Katherine Chapman, 542 44th Ave.
Clerk—Jennie Rosenbaum, 4927 California.
**Precinct 150:**
Inspector—C. H. Cassassa, 621 42nd Ave.
Judge—Clara B. Quinn, 5233 Anza.
Clerk—Robt. Johnson, 772 44th Ave.
Clerk—Marian J. Marks, 526 44th Ave.
**Precinct 151:**
Inspector—Aage Morch, 4326 Cabrillo.
Judge—Mrs. Helen Rehir, 656 44th Ave.
Clerk—Dorothy A. Baumberger, 725 44th Ave.
Clerk—Ethel M. Goodspeed, 792 37th Ave.
**Precinct 152:**
Inspector—Julian C. Day, 867 45th Ave.
Judge—Gertrude Thompson, 810 45th Ave.
Clerk—Annie A. Moore, 846 45th Ave.
Clerk—Louis J. Levin, 815 31st Ave.
**Precinct 153:**
Inspector—Adolph Rosenthal, 722 47th Ave.
Judge—Adelaide E. Baker, 706 48th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Edna Shepard, 711 46th Ave.
Clerk—Mrs. Mary C. Meyer, 125 Cornwall.
**Precinct 154:**
Inspector—H. A. Gladstone, 879 46th Ave.
Judge—Emma R. Nathan, 831 48th Ave.
Clerk—Agatha Jarless, 601 43rd Ave.
Clerk—T. Bresnahan, 771 48th Ave.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

No. _____
(Date)

No. ———— : Stamp crosses (X) on ballot ONLY WITH RUBBER STAMP; never with pen or pencil.

(Fold ballot to this Line, leaving Top Margin exposed.)

MUNICIPAL TICKET

To vote for the proposition and thereby incur a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes."

To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition, stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

All marks except the cross (X) are forbidden.

All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void.

If you wrongly stamp, tear, or deface this ballot, return it to the Inspector of Election and obtain another.

| COURTS BUILDING BONDS 1936: | | |
|---|---|---|
| Shall the City and County of San Francisco incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of $3,220,000, for the construction and furnishing of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein? | YES | |
| | NO | |

Section 6. All persons qualified to vote at municipal elections in said City and County of San Francisco upon the date of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote upon the proposition hereby submitted at said special election. Each voter to vote for said proposition hereby submitted and for incurring said bonded indebtedness shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "YES" on the ballot to the right of said proposition, and to vote against said proposition and against incurring said indebtedness shall stamp a cross (X) in the blank space opposite the word "NO" on the ballot to the right of said proposition. A cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after the word "YES" shall be counted as a vote in favor of said proposition, and to authorize the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of and for the purpose specified therein, and a cross (X) stamped in the voting square on the ballot after the word "NO" shall be counted as a vote against said proposition, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of any bonded debt for the purpose specified therein.

Section 7. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors and bonds in the amount specified in said proposition (or so much thereof as may be necessary pursuant to the conditions upon which said grant of the United States of America, or of any of its agencies, is made) may be issued and sold by said City and County for the purpose therein mentioned.

Section 8. Said bonds shall be in a form to be hereafter designated by the Board of Supervisors and shall be of the character known as serials and shall be dated November 1, 1936, and shall bear interest at the rate of not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum, payable semi-annually. The exact rate of interest shall be determined by said Board of Supervisors at the time of the sale of said bonds, in accordance with the bid of the successful bidder therefor, not exceeding the interest rate aforesaid. Such bonds shall mature and become payable in consecutive numerical order, $689,000 principal amount on November 1, 1937, $605,000 principal amount on November 1, 1938, $241,000 principal amount on November 1st in each of the years 1939 to 1944, both inclusive, and $240,000 principal amount on November 1st in each of the years 1945 and 1946.

Section 9. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 10. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the "San Francisco Chronicle," a newspaper published daily in said City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said City and County, and such publication shall constitute notice of said election. No other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt—2.

*Explanation of Vote.*

Supervisor Brown explained his vote substantially as follows:

"I feel the only reason that a special election should be called for this bond issue and the City put to the expense of approximately $40,000 is because of the 45 per cent grant from the Federal Government. If that grant is not forthcoming, I am not inclined to go along. Moreover, I feel that further study should be given to the plans and

equipment of the building. But we are told we haven't the time to make a study and complete the building within the two years required by the Government unless this bond issue election comes on in August. However, unless we have definite information by two weeks from last Monday when this matter is on final passage, I will certainly feel inclined to vote in the negative. I can't see any further reason for calling a special election at this time."

Supervisor Shannon: "We all feel pretty much the same about it."

Supervisor Uhl: "If I thought that the subvention from the Government would be only 30 per cent, I would not vote for the submission to the electors."

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, at the hour of 4:40 p. m., the Board of Supervisors adjourned, and reconvened as a Board of Equalization.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY, JULY 20, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 20, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors answered present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt on leave.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of July 9, 10, 13, 14 and 15, 1936, were laid over for approval until next meeting.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Hearing of Appeal to Rezone Southwest Corner of Sixteenth Avenue and Cabrillo Street.

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of Sixteenth avenue and Cabrillo street.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Lewis Klingman, appellant, Max Jackson and other property owners were heard in support of the rezoning application and in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Milton A. Meyer, City Planning Commissioner, was heard in support of the ruling of the Commission.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the hearing was continued until Monday, July 27, 1936, and made a *Special Order of Business for 2 P. M.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amendment to Building Fee Ordinance.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1033, Ordinance No. 3.04163, as follows:

Amending Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120 entitled: "An ordinance providing for the collection of fees by the Department of Public Works upon the issuance of building permits, and for permits for moving of building, or for construction or alteration of billboard or for the erection, installation or alteration of patent chimney," relating to flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 2 and 3 of Ordinance No. 3.04120, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The applicant or applicants for the following permits shall pay the Department of Public Works for expenses in investigation of conditions and inspection of operations in connection with the same, fees as follows:

Permit for moving building, $2; permit for construction or alteration of billboard, $2; permit for erection, installation or alteration of chimney, flue or vent, $2.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the erection, installation or alteration of chimneys, flues or vents may, as an option and in lieu of the above required fee and permit, pay an annual fee of three hundred ($300) dollars, payable quarterly in advance, to the Central Permit Bureau, which bureau shall then issue to the person, firm or corporation having paid such fee a quarterly permit for the erection, installation or alteration of such chimneys, flues or vents; provided, however, that the holder of such quarterly permit shall notify the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms supplied by said Bureau, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the erection, installation or alteration of any chimney, flue or vent of the intention to erect, install or alter such chimney, flue or vent; and, provided further, that failure to file such notice shall be sufficient grounds for canceling said permit.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Amendment to Building Law—Chimneys, Flues, Etc.**

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 1034, Ordinance No. 11.0814, as follows:

Amending Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series) entitled: "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings. Establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance", and adding a new section thereto to be numbered 245(A), relating to chimneys, flues and vents.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sections 242, 251 and 252(B) of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 242. All chimneys and flues hereafter constructed, except as provided in Sections 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 hereof referring to patent chimneys and chimneys, flues or vents for gas appliances, shall be of brick or stone, or may be of concrete when in concrete walls; their enclosing walls shall be not less than four (4) inches thick, and shall, if less than eight (8) inches thick, be lined on the inside with well-burnt clay or terra cotta pipe not less than ¾ of an inch thick

for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 3x7 inches, 3x11½ inches, 7x7 inches and 7x11½ inches, and one inch thick for flue linings of the following inside dimensions: 2½x15 inches, 6½x15 inches, 11x11 inches, 11x15 inches and 15x15 inches. Said lining shall start from the bottom of the flue or the throat of a fireplace, be continuous to the top of the flue, and shall be built in first and bricked around as carried up. Flues where lining is not required by this ordinance shall have the joints struck smooth on the inside, and, if less than eight (8) inches thick, shall be smoothly plastered for the entire height on the outside.

No smoke flue shall be less than 7 by 7 inches in the clear, and such sized flue shall have but one inlet; for two inlets the flue shall be not less than 7 by 11½ inches in the clear; for three inlets not less than 6½ by 15 inches in the clear, and for a larger number of inlets the size shall be increased in same proportion. Flues larger than two hundred square inches and less than five hundred square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than eight inches thick; flues larger than five hundred and less than one thousand square inches area shall be surrounded by walls not less than twelve inches thick to a height of fifteen feet above the inlet, and eight inches thick the remaining height; flues larger than one thousand square inches shall be proportionately increased in size and shall be lined with fire brick for at least twenty feet above the inlet.

Bakery oven flues shall be not less than 12 by 12 inches in the clear and shall be surrounded by brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick.

The inside four inches of all boiler flues for boilers of over twenty-five horsepower shall be of fire brick, laid in fire mortar, for a distance of twenty-five feet in any direction from the source of heat.

Chimneys and stacks connected with steam boilers shall extend not less than ten feet above the woodwork of the roof, or any adjacent roof, and if sawdust, shavings or wood are burned, shall extend twenty feet above such roofs and be provided with a spark arrester. Spark arresters shall be placed upon all chimneys and stacks whenever the Director of Public Works deems it necessary for the safety of property.

Chimneys and flues from boilers, restaurants and hotel ranges, bakers' ovens and similar unusually hot flues, shall have the outside exposed throughout the height of the room in which connection therewith is made, and if plastered shall be plastered directly upon the bricks.

All chimneys having a greater flue area than two hundred and sixty (260) square inches shall be carried up at least ten feet above the highest point of the roof of the building of which they form a part, and ten feet above the highest point of any roof within fifty feet of such chimney.

Where a smoke pipe is to enter a chimney or flue a tile thimble not less than ⅝ of an inch thick shall be placed as construction progresses. Thimbles shall be surrounded by four inches of brick work brought out flush with furring, and shall extend to the face of the plastering and not be nearer than six inches to any wood, lath and plaster. No tile pipe shall be used as a smoke pipe in connection with such thimbles.

Chimneys not part of a wall shall not be built upon any floor or beam of wood, but shall be built from the ground up and shall not increase in size from the foundation. No chimney shall be corbelled out more than eight inches from a wall, and corbelling shall consist of at least five courses of brick, but no corbelling shall be more than four inches in twelve-inch walls. Offsets for reducing the size of chimneys shall not be greater than one inch to each course.

Flues in party walls shall not extend within four inches of the center of the wall, and joint flues in party walls shall be separated across the wall by an eight-inch width of brick work for the entire length.

No joist or girder shall be supported on the walls of any chimney

or flue, and no woodwork shall be placed nearer than two inches to the outside face of, or within seven inches of the inside of any smoke, air or other flue.

All wood joists shall be trimmed away at least two inches from any smoke, air or other flue; the trimmer beam shall not be less than eight inches from the inside of the flue, and four inches from the outside of a chimney breast; except that for smoke flues the brick work of which is by this ordinance required to be eight inches thick or more, the trimmer beam shall not be less than twelve inches from the inside of the flue.

Chimneys built outside of frame structures, or in light wells thereof, shall be well anchored, at intervals of not less than ten feet to the stud walls.

All chimneys and flues shall be properly cleaned and all rubbish removed and same left smooth on the inside on completion of the building.

Section 251. (a) No gas grate, gas log, or other appliance using gas or electricity for producing heat, except as otherwise ordained, shall be placed in a fireplace or recess unless such fireplace or recess be constructed as required in Sections 243 and 246 of this ordinance for fireplaces, the sides, back and top of which shall be of brick work not less than eight (8) inches thick; all pipes supplying gas thereto shall be of iron and enter only at the sides of fireplaces or recesses, through brick work. Gas grates, gas logs, or other appliances using gas or electricity for producing heat, not placed in a fireplace or recess shall have a clear and unenclosed space of not less than ten (10) inches between them and any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material, or a similar space of four (4) inches between them and any woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material protected with terra cotta or tiles one inch thick or with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material.

No vent shall be permitted other than a brick patent chimney, flue or vent as required by Sections 242, 244, 245, 245(A) and 246 of this ordinance.

(b) No gas range, or gas water heater, shall be placed nearer than twelve (12) inches to any unprotected woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material, or nearer than six (6) inches thereto, if such woodwork, wood lath and plaster, or other combustible material is protected with metal with one (1) inch clear air space between the metal and the woodwork, wood lath and plaster or other combustible material. No gas range or gas water heater shall be placed in any recess unless the front of the recess is either open or freely vented at top and bottom.

(c) Every instantaneous gas water heater shall be provided with a vent pipe not less than three (3) inches in diameter, extending clear through and at least twelve (12) inches above the roof, with a "T" connection at the top; and around every such vent at all places not exposed there shall be a galvanized iron sleeve extending the full length of the concealed portion with a clear air space of not less than one (1) inch surrounding the vent. In every room fitted with an instantaneous gas water heater there shall be provided an air inlet independent of doors or windows.

(d) All low, portable gas stoves, gas plates, or heaters, shall be placed on iron stands or other incombustible bases, or the burners shall be at least six (6) inches above the base of the stove and metal guard plates placed four (4) inches below the burners; all woodwork under them shall be covered with metal or other incombustible material. All portable gas-heated stoves, ranges, kettles, gas plates or other gas-heated devices, shall be connected direct to their gas supply main only by iron pipe or flexible metal tubing.

Section 252(B). The provisions of Sections Nos. 244, 245 and 256

regulating and requiring chimneys, vents and flues shall not apply to the installation or maintenance of gas heat radiators, standing on the floor not less than five inches from any wooden or plaster wall.

All gas heat radiators shall be connected to a flue as provided for in Section 245(a), or to a brick or patent chimney or to a terra cotta flue of not less than 6 square inches in the clear, the walls of such flues to be not less than ½ inch in thickness and the joints to be made of galvanized iron sleeve not less than three inches wide with edges flanged outward at least ½ inch and the joints to be filled with cement; the entire flue encased in galvanized iron similar to the so-called patent flue with ½-inch air space all around between terra cotta and casing. This patent flue to be so sized that not less than six square inches in the clear will be permitted for four radiators or less, and in cases where more than four radiators are to be connected into the same flue the area is to be increased proportionately, said patent flue in all cases to extend to the outside and at least two feet above the roof of the building. Every gas heater of the radiator type shall be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe; the burner of said radiator shall be of the bunsen or atmospheric type; the radiator shall be made of cast iron, and when installed in any building shall not be closer than five inches to any wall or partition, and shall be connected to a flue, vent pipe or chimney of such size and material as is provided for herein, excepting where said radiator is so designed and constructed as to have a firebox or burner chamber containing the burner where combustion takes place, and also, having one or more super-heating chambers or secondary combustion chambers attached to or substantially surrounding said firebox containing said burner, and also having at the back of said radiator a retort for the purpose of purifying and humidifying the products of combustion before entering the room, said retort being attached to or made a part of said firebox and said super-heating or secondary combustion chambers.

Every gas heater of the fireplace or mantle type, having a bunsen or atmospheric burner, shall only be connected to the gas supply by iron pipe.

No gas heater of the portable stove type shall contain or be operated with bunsen or atmospheric burner, or be connected to the gas supply by flexible metal or rubber tubing, unless the stopcock is provided and placed back of said tubing at the point of the gas supply outlet.

Section 2. There is hereby added to Ordinance 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, a new section to be numbered 245(A), and to read as follows:

Section 245(A). In lieu of brick, stone, or patent chimney, as specified, in Sections 242, 244, 244-A and 245, there may be erected for venting gas appliances only chimneys, flues, or vents which are constructed as follows:

"1. Fire-clay vent pipe, either round or rectangular, having a shell thickness of not less than one-half (½) inch, may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of less than six (6) inches or an internal area of less than twenty-eight (28) square inches. Such fire-clay vent pipe having a shell thickness of not less than three-quarters (¾) inch may be installed for flues or vents which have an internal diameter of six (6) or more inches or an internal area of twenty-eight (28) or more square inches. The joints, between each length of pipe on other than the bell and spigot type of pipe, shall be covered with sheet metal sleeves or bands of galvanized iron or steel of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, held in place and well cemented to the ends of the pipe.

"2. *Transite* (a pipe composed of an intimate mixture of Portland cement and clean asbestos fibre, containing no grit, organic fibre, or other adulterants, and formed under pressure on a mandrel to provide a dense, homogeneous structure with a smooth interior surface having machine tapered ends and couplings which provide gas-tight

joints). Gas flue pipe of the type known as transite, or equal, may be installed provided that the thickness of the flue material shall conform to the requirements of the State Housing Act of California.

"3. *Metalbestos* (an aluminum flue pipe encased in aluminum or galvanized iron pipe). Gas flue pipe of the type known as metalbestos, or equal, may be installed provided that the aluminum inside pipe shall be not lighter than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron (or aluminum) casing be not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge, and there shall be a minimum air space of not less than one-half (½) of an inch between the aluminum inside pipe and the casing, spacing to be accomplished by means of vitrified asbestos spacer rings.

"4. *Payne 'A' Vent* (a flue pipe composed of an inner aluminum tube around which is wound two plies of one-quarter (¼) inch corrugated air-cell asbestos. An outer casing of galvanized iron surrounds the aluminum pipe and insulation). Gas flue pipe of the type known as Payne 'A' Vent, or equal, may be installed provided that for sizes of six (6) inches or less, inside diameter, the aluminum pipe shall be of not less than twenty-eight (28) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge, and for sizes in excess of six (6) inches, inside diameter, the aluminum inside pipe shall be of not less than twenty-six (26) gauge and the galvanized iron casing of not less than twenty-four (24) gauge.

"5. Any other durable flue pipe, approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, which will give an insulating value equal to the types as herein set forth and which will not disintegrate from the effects of gas fumes and other products of combustion.

"All flue pipes of the types as herein set forth shall rest upon an approved brick, tile, or concrete base or upon a substantial metal bracket securely fastened to the building. All such flue pipes shall be braced at least every five (5) feet of their height by means of substantial galvanized iron bands (not less than 16 gauge) securely fastened to the building and shall be so erected that the flue shall be not less than two (2) inches from any combustible material, except as hereinafter provided. Approved ventilated collars which will hold the flue pipe two (2) inches from any combustible material shall be installed at any point where the flue pipe passes through a wall, floor, or roof. All such flue pipes shall extend to a point not less than twenty-four (24) inches above any portion of the roof which is within fifteen (15) feet in a horizontal direction from the flue pipe. No flue shall terminate within a point three (3) feet above or ten (10) feet from any window or other opening designed to serve as a means of ventilation of any building or room therein, nor shall a flue terminate in any court or vent shaft which is designed or may be used to serve as a source of air for the ventilation of any building or room therein. All chimneys, flues, or vents to which gas appliances are connected shall be equipped with an approved top which will prevent back draft, keep out rain, and provide satisfactory draft for the proper operation of the appliances.

"Unless otherwise approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, any gas chimney, flue, or vent, as herein described, erected inside of a building, shall have placed around it a metal jacket of not lighter than twenty-six (26) gauge galvanized iron, and constructed as provided for patent chimneys, and the minimum air space between the flue material and the casing shall be not less than one-half (½) inch.

"Any gas chimney, flue, or vent installed in partition spaces shall not be closer than one (1) inch from the studding or any other combustible material, unless such studding or combustible material is covered by metal lath and plaster.

"No round chimney, flue, or vent shall have an internal diameter of less than four (4) inches, and no square, rectangular, or oval flue shall have an internal area of less than twelve (12) square inches,

nor shall any rectangular or oval flue have an internal dimension less than two (2) inches."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Confirming Lease to Pan American Airways Company of Portion of San Francisco Airport Property.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Also, Bill No. 1047, Ordinance No. 12.173543, as follows:

Confirming lease to Pan American Airways Company of portion of San Francisco Airport property.

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 1291 of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids would be received by him on June 22, 1936, for leasing a portion of the San Francisco Airport land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point distant south 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west 50 feet from the corner common to Sections 26, 27, 34 and 35, Township 3 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, which section corner is located south 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds east 3215.61 feet along the north line of Section 34 from the center line of the Bayshore Highway as it now exists; thence north 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds west, parallel to the line between said Sections 27 and 34, 850 feet; thence south 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds west 1785 feet; thence south 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds east 900 feet; thence north 0 degrees 02 minutes 30 seconds east 1785 feet; thence north 89 degrees 57 minutes 30 seconds west 50 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 36.880 acres.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from Pan American Airways Company to lease said property at a rental of $1,000.00 per year for the first five years, $5,000.00 per year for the second five years, with the right to extend the lease for an additional period of ten years at a rental of $10,000.00 per year; and

Whereas, no higher bids were made or received, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended that said lease be awarded to Pan American Airways Company; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That said lease be and is hereby awarded to Pan American Airways Company.

Section 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. The effective date of the commencement of the lease shall be on or about June 1, 1937, or earlier date, dependent upon the completion of the improvements to said acreage now contemplated. The lease shall be subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Commission and the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing the City Attorney to Settle for $600 the Pending Litigation of Martin and Claire Wagner for Account of Damages.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1049, Ordinance No. 6.022220, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Martin W. Wagner and Claire Wagner in the sum of six hundred dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Martin W. Wagner and Claire Wagner against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages as the result of personal injuries sustained by Claire Wagner on or about September 26, 1935, on the east sidewalk of Polk street in front of the premises known as 1840 Polk street as the result of an alleged hole and/or defect in the sidewalk near the curb by the payment of the sum of six hundred ($600) dollars in full settlement of all claims of said Martin Wagner and Claire Wagner, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum of six hundred ($600) dollars.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Salary Ordinance Amendment—Lecturer, Park Department.**
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1050, Ordinance No. 9.05380, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance 9.05380 by adding Item 24 to Section 21 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 21 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, is hereby amended to read as follows:

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Director | $ 591.66 |
| 2 | 1 | | Supervisor of Exhibits | 200 |
| 3 | 1 | | Recorder | 150 |
| 4 | 1 | | Secretary to Director | 175 |
| 5 | 2 | | Museum Instructor | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | | Museum Instructor and Special Expert (Curator of Decorative Arts) | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | | Assistant Museum Instructor | 110 |
| 8 | 1 | | Stenographer | 125 |
| 9 | 1 | | Head Gallery Man | 200 |
| 10 | 1 | | Labeller | 140 |
| 11 | 1 | | Clerk | 110 |
| 12 | 1 | | Mechanic | 190 |
| 13 | 1 | | Assistant Mechanic | 135 |
| 14 | 1 | | Janitor | 130 |
| 15 | 1 | | Assistant Janitor | 125 |
| 16 | 1 | | Head Caretaker | 100 |
| 17 | 4 | | Caretaker | 90 |
| 18 | 1 | | Secretary Board of Trustees | 240 |
| 19 | 10 | | Gallery Man | 125 |
| | 1 | | Carpenter, $5.50 per day | |
| 20 | 3 | | Watchman | 125 |
| 21 | 1 | | Curator of Prints | 125 |
| 22 | 1 | | Assistant Head Gallery Man | 150 |
| 23 | 1 | | Expert Repairman | 150 |
| 24 | 1 | | Lecturer, $10 per Sunday | |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Appropriating $125 from Emergency Reserve, Appropriation 602.900.00, for Burial of Mary Watson, Widow of Civil War Veteran.**
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1051, Ordinance No. 9.051284, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of $125 from Emergency Reserve, Appro-

priation No. 602.900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of Civil War veteran.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $125 is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the burial of Mary Watson, widow of Civil War veteran.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Establishing Grades on Conklin Street Between Silver Avenue and Its Northerly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendations of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1053, Ordinance No. 12.0719, as follows:

Establishing grades on Conklin street between Silver avenue and its northerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Conklin street between Silver avenue and its northerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office June 23, 1936.

*Conklin Street.*

Westerly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 100.50 feet (the same being the present official grade).

Easterly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 104.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 46.29 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 104.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 120 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 109.04 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 170 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 113.46 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 220 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 119.91 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 381.50 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 144.00 feet.

On Conklin street between Silver avenue and its northerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Grove Street Between Market and Larkin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1054, Ordinance No. 12.073180, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and seventy-eight (1178).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 23rd, 1936, by adding thereto a new section

to be numbered eleven hundred and seventy-eight (1178) to read as follows:

Section 1178: The width of sidewalks on Grove street between Market street and Larkin street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grove street, the southerly side of, between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue, shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grove street, the northerly side of, between Larkin street and Van Ness avenue, shall be 22 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Grove Street Between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1055, Ordinance No. 12.073181, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-Seven (1047) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 23, 1936, by amending Section Ten Hundred and Forty-Seven (1047) thereof to read as follows:

Section 1047. The width of sidewalks on Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Public Utilities Commission to Acquire or Construct Power Distribution System.**

(Code No. 15.032)

On recommendation of Joint Public Utilities and Special Hetch Hetchy Power Committees.

Bill No. 1056, Ordinance No. 15.0324, as follows:

Authorizing the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire by purchase, and/or by condemnation, or otherwise, and/or to construct, a public utility or any part thereof for the distribution of electric energy to the inhabitants and residents of the City and County of San Francisco or to the inhabitants and residents of other cities or of other counties, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of acquiring and/or constructing such utility to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations payable solely out of the revenue of such utility, and providing for the manner in which said bonds or other obligations payable solely out of revenue of said utility shall be issued, and for the payment of interest thereon and how the interest on, and the principal of said bonds or obligations shall be paid and for the procedure to be undertaken by said Public Utilities Commission and by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to authorize the issuance of said bonds or other obligations and for the payment of interest on and the principal of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whereas, it is provided in Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that the Board of Supervisors of said City and County may, by ordinance, confer on any officer, board or commission such other and additional powers as the Board of Super-

visors may deem advisable over and above and in addition to the powers conferred on said officer, board or commission by the Charter; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has, pursuant to the provisions of said Charter, charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of supplying any public utility service to said City and County and to its inhabitants and/or to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors deems it advisable to confer upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the right to acquire by purchase, condemnation or construction a public utility for the purpose of distributing to the City and County of San Francisco and/or to parts thereof, and/or to other cities, counties and political subdivisions and/or to parts thereof, and to the inhabitants and residents of said City and County of San Francisco and/or to the inhabitants and residents of parts thereof, and/or to the inhabitants and residents of other cities, counties or political subdivisions electric energy for all purposes, and to accomplish said purpose to borrow money and to issue bonds or other obligations evidencing said money so borrowed, which said money so borrowed and bonds or other obligations so issued, shall be repayable solely out of the revenue of said public utility.

Section 2. There is hereby conferred upon the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco the following powers in addition to the powers heretofore or hereafter granted to said Public Utilities Commission by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or by other ordinances of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

1. Said Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco may acquire by purchase, and/or by condemnation, and/or by construction, a public utility for the purpose of supplying to the inhabitants and residents of the City and County of San Francisco, and/or to any part thereof, and/or to the inhabitants and residents of any other city or county or political subdivision, or any part thereof, or to the City and County of San Francisco, or to any other city or county or political subdivision, the electric energy for all purposes.

2. That for the purpose of acquiring, condemning or constructing, and for the purpose of defraying the cost of such acquisition, condemnation or construction, said Public Utilities Commission may, as hereinafter in this ordinance set forth, enter into obligations, borrow money and issue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, and provide for the payment of such obligations, and for the repayment of money so borrowed, as well as for the payment of said bonds or other obligations, solely out of the revenue of said public utility so established, purchased, acquired, condemned or constructed.

3. For the accomplishment of the purposes hereinbefore set forth, that is, to establish, acquire, condemn or construct said public utility or part thereof for the distribution of said electric energy, as aforesaid, said Public Utilities Commission shall make a report to the Board of Supervisors of the size, character and kind of electric distribution system which it desires to establish, acquire, condemn or construct in whole or in part, and the city and county, city or county, or political subdivision, or particular parts thereof, which it will serve or tend to serve, together with an estimate of the cost thereof, as well as an estimate of the probable period of time which will be consumed in establishing, acquiring, condemning or constructing said utility, as well as the manner in which the same will be established, acquired or constructed, as well as the manner in which the cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility will be met. Said report shall also show in detail the estimated net annual revenue from said utility

after deducting the cost of operation and maintenance, repairs and reconstruction, depreciation, and all other charges including interest and redemption charges or any moneys expended for the establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility.

4. Upon receiving said report, the Board of Supervisors shall examine the same, and for said purpose may employ engineers, accountants and other experts to aid in said examination, and if after said examination and the report of said engineers, accountants or other experts, the said Board of Supervisors is satisfied that it will be for the benefit and best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that said utility should be established, acquired or constructed, as originally reported, or as amended by said Public Utilities Commission, said Board of Supervisors shall, by resolution, approve said report and recommend that said utility be established, acquired or constructed as set forth in said report.

5. Thereafter the said Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance authorize and empower said Public Utilities Commission to establish, and/or acquire, and/or construct said utility or part thereof as recommended or set forth in said report, and shall by said ordinance, or by a separate ordinance, or by separate ordinances, authorize said Public Utilities Commission to enter into such obligations, or to borrow such money, or moneys, or to issue such bonds or other obligations as may be necessary to defray the necessary cost of establishing, acquiring or constructing said utility, including the estimated amount necessary to pay the interest on any money so borrowed or bonds or other obligations so issued during the period of acquisition or construction of said utility and for twelve months thereafter. All ordinances provided for in this section shall be enacted by a three-fourths vote of all the members of the Board of Supervisors.

6. Said bonds or other obligations so authorized to be issued shall be executed by said Public Utilities Commission, by its president and secretary, and shall be in such form and of such denomination, shall be payable at such times, and shall contain such conditions as the said Board of Supervisors in said ordinance may provide, provided that said bonds or other obligations shall be so conditioned that they will not bear interest at a rate higher than six per cent per annum payable semi-annually. Any bonds or other obligations authorized as herein provided, as well as the interest thereon, shall be payable wholly and exclusively out of the net revenue arising from the operation of the utility for which they shall have been authorized after the payment of all operating expenses of said utility, including pension and retirement charges, all amounts necessary for the repair and maintenance of the property of said utility and all amounts necessary to provide for a proper fund to meet the depreciation on said utility. No part of the amount of said bonds or of the interest thereon shall be payable from moneys raised from taxes levied against property or from any other source whatsoever, save and except from the revenues of said utility for the establishment, acquisition or construction of which they may have been issued, and then only in the manner hereinbefore set forth, and said bonds or other obligations shall so state upon their face or by endorsement thereon.

7. The Public Utilities Commission shall have full power and authority to determine and provide for the times at which, and the manner in which, said bonds or other obligations shall be sold and disposed of, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues.

8. The proceeds of all bonds or other obligations sold by said Public Utilities Commission shall be forthwith placed in the Treasury of the City and County and shall only be used for the purposes for which they may have been sold and authorized.

Section 3. Any utility established, acquired or constructed by said Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to or under authority of this ordinance, as well as the income therefrom, shall be subject to all of

the provisions of the Charter governing the operation of existing utilities.

Section 4. At the time the Board of Supervisors authorizes the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the issuing of any bonds or other obligations, said Board may, in the ordinance or ordinances authorizing the incurring of said obligation, the borrowing of said money or the issuing of said bonds or obligations, add such additional provisions as it may deem proper relative to the incurring of any obligations or the borrowing of any money, or the issuance of any bonds or obligations, or as to the conduct, operation, establishment, acquisition or construction of said utility, save and except that no such provision shall be contrary to any Charter provision on the subject, nor shall any provision be made for the payment of any money, bond, or obligation except from the revenue of the utility authorized to be established.

Section 5. Any ordinance of the Board of Supervisors authorizing the borrowing of any money, or the issuance of any bonds or other obligations evidencing the amount or amounts of money so borrowed, shall provide that the Public Utilities Commission shall, at all times while said bonds are outstanding or any other indebtedness authorized by said ordinance remains unpaid, provide and maintain such rates for the service furnished by said utility, so that the said rates will be sufficient to provide for the payment of the principal sum of said indebtedness and the interest to accrue thereon, in accordance with the terms of said bonds or other obligations, in addition to all other expenses incident to the operation or maintenance of said utility, and said ordinance shall also provide that the Board of Supervisors shall approve such rates, and when said ordinance is so passed, the same shall constitute a binding contract between all holders of said bonds or other obligations and said Public Utilities Commission to so maintain said rate.

Section 6. Whenever the words "bond or bonds," "obligation or obligations" are used in this ordinance, the said words shall mean bonds or obligations payable solely, both as to principal and interest, out of the revenue of the said utility wherein or wherefor they may have been issued. The word "indebtedness," as used in this ordinance, shall mean indebtedness repayable solely out of the revenue of the said utility for which said indebtedness was created.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Requiring Labels on Flues and Vents.**
(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 1057, Ordinance No. 11.0815, as follows:

Amending Section 244, of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the Construction, Erection, Enlargement, Raising, Alteration, Repair, Removal, Maintenance, Use and Height of Buildings, Regulating Character and Use of Materials in and for Buildings; Establishing Fire Limits, and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict With This Ordinance," *by requiring labels to be placed on new or repaired vents by the Bureau of Building Inspection.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 244, of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, to read as follows:

Section 244. In lieu of the brick or stone chimney, as hereinbefore provided, there may be erected a chimney known as a Patent Chimney, for which a United States patent has been issued, and which has been approved by the Department of Public Works.

Every corporation, co-partnership or individual engaged in conducting the business of constructing, erecting, installing or repairing brick,

stone or patent chimneys or fireplaces in the City and County of San Francisco, shall appear in person or by duly authorized representative at the office of the Department of Public Works, and shall register with the said Department of Public Works, the name and place of business in said City and County of said corporation, co-partnership or individual, and the person so appearing shall make and file with said Department of Public Works, his affidavit that such name and place of business as thus registered are correctly stated. Upon filing of said affidavit said Department of Public Works shall forthwith issue to said corporation, co-partnership or individual a certificate of such registration provided that said certificate shall not be granted for more than the period of one fiscal year or portion thereof, in any case unexpired at the time of granting of the certificates. And no corporation, co-partnership or individual shall construct, install, erect or repair any patent chimney or fireplace connected with the patent chimney unless such certificate of registration shall have first been issued to such corporation, co-partnership or individual.

No heater, stove or range wherein coal, gas or coal oil or other fuel is consumed, shall be used unless the same be connected with a brick, stone or patent chimney except as provided for in Section 247 of the Building Ordinance.

Upon the completion or the erection or repair of any brick, stone or patent chimney or fireplace connected with a patent chimney, it shall be the duty of the corporation, co-partnership or individual who performs said work to notify the Department of Public Works of such completion, who shall at once cause the same to be inspected and if found in compliance with this ordinance shall make and deliver a certificate or report of such inspection to the corporation, co-partnership or individual that performed said work which certificate or report shall specify whether or not said work has been performed in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and if not in compliance therewith shall state wherein said work does not comply with the provisions of this ordinance. It shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works to keep on file in this office a duplicate of such certificate or report which shall at all times be subject and readily accessible to the inspection of the public.

*No gas flue pipe, gas vent, chimney, patent chimney, or fireplace connected with a patent chimney hereafter constructed, altered, or repaired shall be used until a label has been affixed to said gas flue pipe, gas vent, chimney, patent chimney, or fireplace connected with a patent chimney by said Department of Public Works certifying that said work has been done in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance; nor shall any gas flue pipe, gas vent, chimney, patent chimney, or fireplace connected with a patent chimney be plastered over or otherwise enclosed in any building until such label shall have been so affixed.*

All patent chimneys shall be built up from the floor on which they are used, and in no case shall a stove pipe enter the bottom of a patent chimney, and when erected on the inside of a building they shall rest on an iron plate not less than one quarter of an inch in thickness covered by not less than 8 inches of brickwork, and shall contain a smoke proof opening near the bottom for cleaning purposes. Patent chimneys built on the inside of a building shall have an opening in the partition enclosing the chimney to permit the cleaning of same. If a patented chimney be erected on the outside of a building, it shall rest on a substantial iron bracket, not less than 1/4 inch in thickness by 1 1/4 inches in width, and fastened to studding by two bolts not less than 5/16 inch in thickness, and nuts, screws, or lag screws shall not be allowed.

All patent chimneys shall be braced every five feet of their height by substantial iron bands securely fastened to the frame or studding or cross pieces of the building, which said bands shall not be less than 1/8 inch in thickness by 7/8 inch in width and so constructed that chimney does not come within 1 inch of any woodwork. In no case shall any patent chimney be suspended from any roof timber or floor beam. All

joints must be cemented together with cement mortar and the bands covering the joints shall be made of No. 24 gauge galvanized iron, and to be riveted with not less than 2 rivets and space between bands and terra cotta pipe to be filled with cement mortar to make them smoke and soot proof. Each band to have not less than 3 No. 24 gauge, galvanized iron lugs riveted thereto.

The covering or casing of all patent chimneys shall be of No. 24 gauge galvanized iron riveted together at the lengthwise joint with rivets not more than 3 inches apart or may be seamed, and top and bottom of seams secured by rivets, and shall be ventilated by six holes not less than 1 inch in diameter, made close to the top of the chimney above roof, also six holes not less than ½ inch in diameter near inlet. The base or starting joint of galvanized iron casing or covering of patent chimney from fireplace shall have not less than eight (8) holes of not less than ¾ inch in diameter, not less than three (3) inches from bottom of said starting joint. The casing or covering shall be at least 2 inches from the inside of terra cotta pipe.

Where chimney passes through the roof the flange or roof collar to be of such capacity as to allow the casing of patent chimney to pass through said roof collar or flange and to fit snugly. A short and tapering casing made to slip over outside casing of chimney and roof collar so that casing above roof will conform with sides of casing or covering of chimney below roof collar.

No patent chimney shall be erected so that it will be less than 1 inch from all woodwork, and the openings in the roof and through each floor and ceiling through which it passes shall be closed around said chimney with an iron plate or other fireproof material. All patent chimneys projecting through a pitched roof six (6) feet or more shall be braced with not less than one iron rod or gas pipe, not less than ⅝ inch in diameter and said chimney to be also tied with not less than two guide wires to roof.

All pipe used for patent chimneys shall be composed of pure calcined clay not less than 1 inch in thickness.

No patent chimney shall have more than one inlet except that patent chimneys used or designed as vents, for gas stoves, gas ranges, or gas heaters, may have one terra cotta inlet not over 4 inches in diameter, for each floor, provided that the sizes of patent chimneys with which such inlets are connected shall be as follows:

Chimneys, 3 stories or less in height shall not be less than six (6) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 4 stories in height shall not be less than seven (7) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 5 stories in height shall not be less than eight (8) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 6 stories in height shall not be less than ten (10) inches in diameter.

Chimneys 7 stories or over in height shall not be less than twelve (12) inches in diameter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Regulating Installation of Electrical Apparatus in Theatres, Moving Picture Hous and Places of Public Assembly.**

(Code No. 11.11.)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1059, Ordinance No. 11.113.

An ordinance regulating the installation and operation of all electric apparatus and appliances used in the conduction, operation and maintenanc of moving picture exhibitions and theatres, emergency lighting, aisle ligh

ing, emergency service, exit signs for such theatres, moving picture exhibitions, auditoriums, assembly halls, dance halls, banquet halls, skating rinks, lodge halls, hotels, schools and churches in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the registration and issuance and revocation of certificates of registration for motion picture projectionists; providing for renewal of certificate; providing for expiration of certificate and fee; prohibiting projectionist under certain age from working, and prohibiting employment of projectionist under certain age; authorizing the Department of Electricity to enforce the provisions of this ordinance; providing penalties for the violation thereof; providing for right of entry by employe of Department of Electricity; non-liability of City for damages; and repealing Ordinances No. 1510 (New Series) and No. 1543 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

SEC. 1. **Permit Required to Install Projectors.** It shall be unlawful to operate or install any portable type of motion picture equipment using film other than the slow burning type (cellulose, acetate or equivalent) unless said equipment is inspected by the Department of Electricity previous to its operation and a permit to operate said machine has been issued by the Chief of the Department.

SEC. 2. **Registration of Motion Picture Projectionists.** After October 1, 1936, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate and maintain any professional type of motion picture equipment using film other than of the slow burning type, as defined in Article 35 of the National Electric Code, unless the projectionist is registered with the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2a. **Registration Requirements.** Before any motion picture projectionist shall become registered he shall submit on forms furnished by the Department, sworn statements giving not less than six (6) months' experience in motion picture operation and machine maintenance.

SEC. 2b. **Issuance of Certificate.** When an applicant for registration as a motion picture projectionist has supplied sworn statements giving the necessary information required in Section 2a, a Certificate of Registration as a Motion Picture Projectionist shall be issued to him by the Chief.

SEC. 2c. **Renewal of Registration Certificates.** Certificates of registration issued to motion picture projectionists shall be renewed on or before the first day of each calendar year.

SEC. 2d. **Expiration of Registrations.** Unless certificates of registration as a motion picture projectionist are renewed within thirty (30) days after the date of expiration of said certificates, the holder of same shall be required to pay a fee of five dollars ($5.00) before a new certificate shall be issued to him. It shall be unlawful to operate or maintain any motion picture machines or equipment unless the operator is the holder of an uncancelled or unexpired certificate of registration.

SEC. 3. **Temporary Registration Certificates.**

The Department shall issue temporary registration certificates to competent motion picture projectionists working for or with any traveling picture exhibition, where the work of said projectionist is necessary for the successful operation of the traveling motion picture equipment. Said temporary registration certificate shall not be issued for a period exceeding thirty (30) days. The applicant shall show proof of his experience and ability to operate the

types of equipment specified in his application for said temporary registration certificate.

SEC. 4. **Emergency Registration Certificates.** If at any time due to circumstances beyond their control, it is impossible for an employer to secure the services of a registered motion picture projectionist, said employer shall submit in writing an application for a temporary registration certificate for the temporary employment of a motion picture projectionist. If said temporary employee shall pass an examination as shall test his ability to operate and maintain motion picture machines and equipment, to the satisfaction of the Chief of the Department, the Chief shall have the power to issue a temporary registration certificate for a period not exceeding thirty (30) days.

SEC. 5. **Employment of Minor Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to employ for the purpose of operating a motion picture machine in any place of public assemblage, any person who is under twenty-one (21) years of age.

SEC. 6. **Operation by Minor Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person, who is under 21 years of age, to operate any professional type motion picture machine or equipment in any place of public assemblage.

SEC. 7. **Wiring in Theatres and Moving Picture Houses.** On and after the passage of this ordinance, all electrical materials, appliances, and apparatus, installed, operated or maintained in, on or about any theatre or motion picture house shall conform to the requirements of the State Safety Orders of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California and the National Electric Code as approved by the American Standards Association, except as such orders and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided for in this ordinance.

SEC. 8. **Emergency Lighting.** Emergency lighting shall mean and include exit lights, aisle lights, and all lighting necessary to properly illuminate lobbies, stairways, corridors and other portions of the theatre, or other places of public assembly as herein classified, to which the public have access and which are normally kept lighted during a performance. Emergency lighting shall be arranged to assure continued illumination of the auditorium, and all exits leading therefrom in cases of emergency caused by failure of the general lighting source of energy supply.

SEC. 8a. **Emergency Lighting Intensities.** There shall be provided sufficient illumination to permit all persons to safely traverse the occupied areas. The minimum average intensity of emergency illumination, except for auditoriums, shall be not less than ¼ watt per square foot; for auditoriums, not less than a minimum of ⅛ watt per square foot of floor area shall be installed.

SEC. 8b. **Aisle Lighting Required.** In theatres and motion picture houses additional lights supplied by the emergency system shall be so placed within, or adjacent to, the main aisles as to thoroughly illuminate them.

SEC. 9. **Emergency Service Classes, Classifications.** The provisions of this section shall apply to all systems supplying emergency illumination. The classification of emergency services for occupancies described herein is as follows:

SEC. 9a. Theatres, motion picture houses, opera houses, and auditoriums having a seating capacity in excess of 1000 persons, shall be supplied by a "Class 1" emergency service.

SEC. 9b. Theatres, motion picture houses, opera houses, and auditoriums

ving a seating capacity not in excess of 1000 persons, shall be supplied a "Class 1" or "Class 2" emergency service.

SEC. 9c. Assembly halls, dance halls, banquet halls, skating rinks, lodge lls, churches, school auditoriums, any assembly hall used for religious serv-es, or for the purposes of instruction, or entertainment, and having a seating pacity in excess of 500 persons, shall have "Class 1" emergency service.

SEC. 9d. For the types of occupancies designated in Section 9c, and hav-g a seating capacity not in excess of 500 persons, "Class 1" or "Class 2" nergency service shall be installed.

SEC. 10. **Emergency Service Classes.** The different classes of emergency rvice shall be as follows:

SEC. 10a. "Class 1" shall mean the installation of emergency lighting an individual lighting service, electrically separated from the general light-g services. For metering purposes only, the emergency lighting feeder ay be connected to the general lighting bus, provided a double throw, selec-ve service type of automatic switch or circuit breaker is installed and so ranged that the emergency lighting feeder is automatically transferred to e emergency lighting service upon failure of the general lighting service.

SEC. 10b. "Class 2" emergency service shall mean the installation of the nergency service switch on the line side of the general lighting service witch. Under no condition can the emergency lighting feeders of "Class 2" nergency services be connected to the bus bars on the load side of the gen-al lighting meter.

SEC. 11. **Capacity of Emergency Service and Feeders.** The service, sub-rvice and feeder conductors supplying emergency lighting shall be installed provide for (100%) one hundred per cent of the total electrical load con-ected thereto.

SEC. 12. **Emergency System in Separate Raceways.** Emergency lighting rvice, sub-service feeder and circuits shall not be installed in the same nduit or raceway with any conductors supplied from the general lighting stem.

SEC. 12a. **Emergency lighting** and general lighting circuits may be installed the same outlet box with the general lighting circuits only when said out-t supplies both emergency and general lighting.

SEC. 13. **Emergency Feeder and Panel Installation.** From the emergency rvice switch location the emergency feeder shall run to an approved non-ised type externally operable disconnect switch and panel-board located in ie lobby or other convenient location in the front of the house. The emer-ency panel disconnect switch shall be installed as part of the emergency anel or may be installed adjacent thereto.

SEC. 14. **Control of Emergency Lighting Circuits and Outlets.** Lighting utlets installed on the exterior of a building for the purposes of illuminating utside stairways, fire escapes, and passageways, when there is not sufficient aylight, may be separately controlled from the emergency panel-board by a witch or by an automatic light actuated device approved for the purpose. o other switches shall be installed at any location on emergency circuits or the circuits of an emergency panel-board. Unless, as specified above, no ther switch or control device shall be inserted in the wiring of an emergency ystem other than a switch at the main service location, the panel-board dis-onnect switch, or the automatic switch on the control panel for special cur-ent source.

SEC. 14a. **No Portion of the Emergency Lighting System** shall be controlled from the projection room or stage location.

SEC. 15. **Exit Signs Required.** At each exit in every theatre, motion picture house, auditorium, dance hall or assembly hall there shall be installed an approved type of exit sign light. Each exit sign light shall be located not more than 24 inches above the top of the exit opening, said distance to be measured to the bottom of the exit light sign. All of the lamps in each exit light sign shall be illuminated before the public enters the building and shall be kept illuminated until after the public has left the building.

SEC. 16. **Construction of Exit Light Signs.** Exit light signs shall be constructed of not less than No. 16 U. S. standard gauge metal and shall have a green glass front. A metal mat or face having letters cut through such mat or face shall be installed in front or back of said glass panel.

SEC. 16a. Every exit sign shall show the word "Exit" thereon in plainly legible green letters not less than 6 inches high, with the principal strokes of such letters not less than ⅝-inch in width. Electric signs indicating directions of exits need not conform to the requirements of this section.

SEC. 16b. Each exit sign shall contain not less than two lamp receptacles. Each receptacle shall contain a lamp of not less than 15 watts. Both lamp receptacles shall be connected to the emergency system, or one lamp receptacle shall be connected to the emergency lighting circuit and the other lamp receptacle to the general lighting circuit.

SEC. 17. **Installation Requirements.** All electrical wiring shall be installed in accordance with the requirements of existing law and the supplementary electrical installation rules and orders of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 18. **House Light Control From Projection Room.** Where the general lighting for the house is controlled from the projection room an additional control arrangement shall be installed in a location in the front part of the house.

SEC. 18a. **Installation of Dimmers.** Where dimmers are installed and controlled from the projection room, the dimmers shall be so installed that the operator can easily control same without leaving the projection room. No dimmer plates or reactance dimmers shall be installed in the projection room.

SEC. 18b. **Dimmers Controlled From Projection Room.** Where dimmers are installed in house lighting circuits that are controlled from the projection room, the control arrangement located in the front of the house shall automatically by-pass the dimmer circuits when the control switch is actuated.

SEC. 18c. **Dimmers Mechanically Controlled.** Where dimmers are mechanically controlled from the projection room, the dimmer control rods shall pass through an opening so designed that the space through which flame or smoke can pass shall be a minimum and shall not exceed twice the cross-sectional area of the rod.

SEC. 18d. **No Fuses, Etc., Allowed in Projection Room.** No fuses or overcurrent protective devices for the house lighting circuits shall be installed in the projection room.

SEC. 19. **Projection Room Ventilating Fan.** Ventilating fan motors installed for the ventilating of the projection room shall be of a type that can be and shall be installed on the emergency lighting system. The control for

te projection room exhaust fan shall be installed outside of the projection rom, as near as possible to the entrance of the room. No switch or fuses for te projection room ventilating fan shall be installed in the projection room.

SEC 20. **Projection Room Equipment Wiring.** Each appliance or device stalled in the projection room that consumes or generates more than 1000 atts shall be placed upon a separate circuit.

SEC. 20a. **Motor Installation.** Each motor greater than ¼ h. p. shall connected to a separate circuit with approved overload protective devices.

SEC. 20b. **Arc Lamp Installation.** Each arc lamp shall be installed upon separate circuit and shall have the capacity of conductors and their approved erload protective devices installed for 50% in excess of the normal current the lamp.

SEC. 20c. **Motors, Etc., Separate Room.** Motor generators, arc rectifiers, rrent rectifiers, storage batteries, filters, impedances and resistances, etc., all be installed in a ventilated room adjoining the projection room with a lf-closing door between so that the apparatus is under the immediate supersion of the operator.

SEC. 20d. **Motor Control.** Motors installed either in the projection room on the stage that are greater in size than ¼ h. p. 110 volts or for any size 220-volt motor shall not be controlled by means of three-way and four-way witches carrying the motor current. Approved magnetic switches or equivalent eans shall be installed to interrupt the motor current and three-way or fouray switches may be installed in the magnetic circuit.

SEC. 20e. **Cords in Projection Room.** All cords installed within a projecon room shall be of armored cord or reinforced cord.

SEC. 20f. **Lamps in Projection Room.** All lamps in the projection room, nless installed within an enclosing globe, shall be equipped with guards.

SEC. 20g. **Protective Devices in Projection Room.** The circuits and approved overload protective devices for all equipment in the projection room all be installed in an approved dead front externally operated panel-board ocated in the said room. This does not apply to the individual disconnect witch mounted on the projector equipment.

SEC. 20h. **Protective Devices for Feeder Motors.** Where small carbon arc eeder motors are installed and connected to arc circuit conductors, each motor hall have its approved overload protective devices.

SEC. 21. **Switches and Fuses in Projection Room.** All switches in the rojection room shall be of approved enclosed, externally operated type. An pproved disconnecting means shall be installed ahead of each overload protective device. Double throw switches used to connect circuits to either of wo sources of supply shall be so installed that the blades of the switch shall e connected in the circuit on the line side of the overload protective devices nless an additional switch is installed directly ahead of the overload protecive devices on each source of supply.

SEC. 22. **Accessibility of Projection Room.** It shall be unlawful for any erson, firm or corporation to keep locked during the hours in which the theatre is open to the public, the door or entrance to the projection room.

SEC. 23. **Dressing Room Switches Required.** Wall switches shall be installed for the control of all lights in dressing rooms.

SEC. 23a. **Bracket, Etc., Lamps to Be Protected.** All bracket or cord pendant lamps shall be protected by approved lamp guards, sealed or locked in place.

SEC. 23b. **Installation of Bracket Lamps.** Where bracket lights are installed over dressing tables, one convenience outlet receptacle shall be installed for every four bracket lamps. The plug receptacle shall be connected to circuits that are separate from the lighting.

SEC. 24. **Enforcement of Ordinance.** The Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance.

SEC. 25. **Right of Entry.** Upon the display of his credentials at any time the representative of the Department of Electricity shall have the right to enter any building in the discharge of his official duties, or for the purpose of making any inspection or test of the installation of electrical wiring or equipment and devices contained therein.

SEC. 26. **Non-Liability of City for Damages.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to relieve from or lessen the liability of any person, firm or corporation owning, operating or installing any electrical work, or causing the same or any part thereof to be done for damages to anyone injured by any defect therein or any negligent doing or installation thereof, nor shall the City and County or any agent thereof be held liable therefor by reason of any inspection herein authorized and made by reason of such authority or by reason of the certificate of inspection and approval issued by the Department.

SEC. 27. **Penalty for Violation of Ordinance.** Any person, firm or corporation who violates, disobeys, omits, neglects or refuses to comply with, or resists or opposes the execution of, or violates any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500.00) dollars, or by imprisonment of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and every person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each day such violation shall continue, and shall be subject to the penalty imposed by this section for each and every separate offense.

SEC. 28. **Validity of Ordinance.** If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, paragraph, sentence clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

SEC. 29. Ordinances No. 1510 (New Series), No. 1543 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was on motion of Supervisor Colman *laid over two weeks*:

**An Ordinance Defining Public Parks, Board of Park Commissioners, Superintendent and Secretary; Establishing Rules and Regulations; Defining Particular Offenses; Providing for Permits and Procedure Therefor; Establishing Traffic Regulations; Providing a Penalty for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Orders and Ordinances or Parts of Orders and Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.**

(Code No. 23.011)

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113, as follows:

An ordinance defining public parks, Board of Park Commissioners, superintendent and secretary; establishing rules and regulations; defining particular offenses; providing for permits and procedure therefor; establishing traffic regulations; providing a penalty for a violation thereof; and repealing all orders and ordinances or parts of orders and ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

## CHAPTER I.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

### DEFINITIONS.

Sec. 1. Public Parks defined.
Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

SEC. 1. **Public Parks Defined.** When used in this code the words "Public Parks, Squares or Pleasure Grounds" shall include all parks, squares, all grounds surrounding public buildings, all roadways and avenues, and the Great Highway and all other territory within the jurisdiction and under the control of the Park Commission as set forth in Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this code the words "Board of Park Commissioners" or "Park Commissioners" shall refer to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 3. **Superintendent Defined.** When used in this code the word "Superintendent" shall refer to the Superintendent of Parks, as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 4. **Secretary Defined.** When used in this code the word "Secretary" shall refer to the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

### ARTICLE 2.

### RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Sec. 7. Observation of rules and regulations.
Sec. 8. Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.
Sec. 9. Regulating use on concert days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.
Sec. 10. Exclusion of public when necessary.
Sec. 11. Prohibiting use of bridle paths by pedestrians.
Sec. 12. Riding and driving animals and vehicles confined to designated roads.
Sec. 13. Restricting vehicles to certain areas.

Sec. 14. Performance of labor in parks.
Sec. 15. Regulating games, baseball, etc., throwing missiles.

SEC. 7. **Observation of Rules and Regulations.** No person shall disobey or violate any of the rules and regulations regulating the use and enjoyment of any of the buildings and structures in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, which rules and regulations at the time are posted in some conspicuous place in such building and structure; nor shall any person disobey any instruction or any sign in any of said public grounds; nor shall any person refuse any reasonable request of any police officer in any of the said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds; and the Superintendent of said park or manager or person in charge of said buildings or structures, may refuse admission to, and may expel therefrom, any person refusing to comply with said rules and regulations.

SEC. 8. **Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.** The building situated in Golden Gate Park and known as the "Sharon Building," and the grounds around and adjacent to the same, the swings, spring boards, merry-go-round, croquet games, tennis courts, and the grounds prepared for baseball and other sports and such places designated and set apart by the Board of Park Commissioners are hereby designated and set apart as quarters and playground for children who shall visit the park and shall be used and occupied exclusively by said children and the parents, guardians or other persons accompanying or having the said children in charge. The rules and regulations for the government of said children's quarters and playground, and the persons who shall be entitled to use and enjoy the same, and of the occupations and amusements which may be carried upon the same shall be designated from time to time hereafter by the Park Commissioners by printed notices, which shall be posted in some conspicuous point in or near the said buildings; and all persons visiting or using the said children's quarters and playgrounds shall conform to and obey such posted notices and regulations.

SEC. 9. **Regulating Use On Concert Days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.** All persons are forbidden to walk across or upon the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park between Seventh and Tenth avenues on concert days, between the hours of 1:30 and 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and during said hours must pass under the Granite Bridge in going to or leaving the concert grounds between these points; and provided further that during said above mentioned hours on concert days no pedestrians shall be allowed on the pedestrian path paralleling Main Drive, on the south side thereof, between Seventh and Eleventh avenues, or concourse and at said above mentioned periods, vehicles when going west in immediate vicinity of concourse must take the inner drive; the Museum Road between the Main Drive and Japanese Tea Garden is closed for vehicle traffic during said periods of time.

SEC. 10. **Exclusion of Public When Necessary.** In case of an emergency or where in the judgment of the Superintendent the public interest demands it, portions of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds may be closed to the public, and all persons thereby be excluded therefrom until permission is given to return.

SEC. 11. **Prohibiting Use of Bridle Paths by Pedestrians.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or drive, paralleling the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 12. **Riding and Driving Animals and Vehicles Confined to Designated Roads.** No person shall within any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle

of any kind elsewhere than on the roads or driveways provided and designated for such purpose.

SEC. 13. **Restricting Vehicles to Certain Areas.** No person shall place, propel, ride or drive any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle on any sidewalk or footpath in or around any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or in that portion of the Golden Gate Park known as "Conservatory Valley," or on that portion of said park adjacent to the Music Stand, or upon or over any of the walks or drive leading up, down or upon any part of Strawberry Hill in said park, excepting on the roads, driveways, avenues, and highways, especially provided and designated by the Park Commissioners for that purpose.

SEC. 14. **Performance of Labor in Parks.** Under the supervision of the Superintendent of Parks the park will perform any labor in or on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, such as taking up and replacing soil, ground and pavements, structures, trees, shrubs and the like, and for the performance of any work for which a permit is granted to any corporation or individual, all labor and material shall be charged against such corporation, firm or person.

SEC. 15. **Regulating Games, Baseball, Etc. Throwing Missiles.** No person shall play ball, fly kites, play football, or engage in any other game or games in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places therein as shall be especially designated and provided for such purpose; nor shall any person throw any stone or other missile in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. Baseball may be played at the children's ball grounds for children under twelve years, ball grounds in Golden Gate Park opposite Seventh avenue, and athletic games may be played at the Stadium and such places as set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners. A permit must first be obtained from the Park Commissioners when the Stadium is to be used for exhibition games.

## CHAPTER II.

## PARTICULAR OFFENSES.

### ARTICLE 1.

### ADVERTISING.

Sec. 21. Handbills, circulars, advertisements, etc.
Sec. 22. Advertising vehicles restricted to transverse roads.

SEC. 21. **Handbills, Circulars, Advertisements, Etc.** No person shall distribute, circulate or give away in or throw in or deposit in or on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tracts, dodgers or advertisements, or post or affix to any trees, shrubs, plants, fence, building, structure, dome, monument, chair or bench, roads or drives or any thing or place situate therein any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tracts, dodgers or advertisements.

SEC. 22. **Advertising Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads.** No vehicle having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name of owner, name of maker or name of vehicle, or sign shall be allowed within any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, provided, however, that drays, trucks, wagons and other vehicles, if not loaded to exceed six (6) tons gross, may use the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln Way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 2.

## ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 26. Animals.
Sec. 27. Dogs.
Sec. 28. Disturb or feed fish, birds, quadrupeds, etc.

SEC. 26. **Animals.** No person shall lead, turn in or let loose, or permit to run at large any cattle, horses, goats, sheep, swine or other animals, or fowl of any kind in or upon any such public parks, squares or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 27. **Dogs.** No person having the care and charge of any dog shall suffer, allow or permit any such dog to enter upon or remain in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, unless said dog is fastened to and led by a chain or line of suitable strength and not more than eight (8) feet long. Nor shall any person having the care or charge of any dog permit such dog to enter the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or any of the sand boxes, play apparatus or play areas normally reserved for children, or drink the water of any lake or pond in any of the parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such place or places as may be maintained or designated therefor.

SEC. 28. **Disturb or Feed Fish, Birds, Quadrupeds, Etc.** No person shall, in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, disturb the fish, annoy, strike, chase, snare or set snares for, catch, injure or destroy any rabbit, quail, bird or wild quadruped, nor injure or maltreat any domestic or other animal, quail or bird therein, nor shall any person rob, molest or interfere with any bird's nest therein, and no person shall give or offer or attempt to give to any animal within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds any tobacco or other known noxious article, or substance the giving of which shall be prohibited by posted notices. It shall be unlawful for any person to feed or offer food to any of the animals, birds or reptiles in the Zoological Park of the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield.

## ARTICLE 3.

## NUISANCES.

Sec. 34. Depositing refuse.

SEC. 34. **Depositing Refuse.** No person shall place, deposit or dump, or cause to be placed, deposited or dumped in or upon any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any garbage, refuse, dirt, ashes, broken glass, crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, or any dead animal or dead fowl; nor shall any person leave or scatter about on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of lunches, newspaper, or rubbish of any kind, and same shall be taken away or deposited in cans or other receptacles provided for the reception of waste matter.

## ARTICLE 4.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

Sec. 39. Indecent or disorderly conduct or language.
Sec. 40. Bathing restrictions—water pollution.
Sec. 41. Undressing on Ocean Beach.
Sec. 42. Athletic attire restricted.
Sec. 43. Bonfires.
Sec. 44. Camping or tarrying over night.
Sec. 45. Selling merchandise prohibited.

SEC. 39. **Indecent or Disorderly Conduct or Language.** No person shall ndulge in any brawl, riotous, boisterous or indecent conduct, or engage in any ighting or boxing contest, in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall use profane, indecent, threatening or violent anguage therein; and no intoxicated, noisy, disorderly or publicly offensive person shall enter or remain therein.

SEC. 40. **Bathing Restrictions, Water Pollution.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any pond, stream, lake or pool in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purposes; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard and north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park; and no person shall throw into or cause to be deposited any dirt, filth, or foreign matter in or near or in any manner pollute the waters of any of the ponds, streams, lakes or pools.

SEC. 41. **Undressing on Ocean Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard on the Ocean Beach north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 42. **Athletic Attire Restricted.** No person shall appear in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, clad in any athletic costume or attire in which any part of the legs, arms or trunk is exposed to view, except at the places therein as shall have been specially set apart for athletic sports for which such costume or attire is appropriate, such as the Stadium and ball grounds and such places set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners.

SEC. 43. **Bonfires.** No person shall kindle or cause to be kindled any bonfire or fire of any kind in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways thereof, except in the places set apart and designated therefor by the Park Commissioners, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

SEC. 44. **Camping or Tarrying Overnight.** No person shall camp, lodge, sleep, or tarry overnight in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 45. **Selling Merchandise Prohibited.** No person shall sell, vend, dispose of, or offer for sale, any goods, wares or merchandise in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. And no person shall erect or maintain any structure, counter, table, tent, or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, used or intended to be used in the selling or exposing or exposing for sale any goods, wares or merchandise.

## ARTICLE 5.

## GAMES OF CHANCE.

Sec. 50. Gambling, fortune telling.

SEC. 50. **Gambling, Fortune Telling.** No person shall maintain, conduct, expose, exhibit or play any game of chance, gambling table or contrivance or instrument of gaming or gambling in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and all and every manner of gaming and gambling is pro-

hibited. And no person shall engage in or practice fortune telling or any like practice therein.

ARTICLE 6.

GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS.

Sec. 53. Holding meetings, discussions.
Sec. 54. Parades, picnics, etc., permits for.
Sec. 55. Funeral procession, routes restricted.

SEC. 53. **Holding Meetings, Discussions.** No person or persons shall hold any public meeting of any kind or nature, nor hold any public discussion or debate, where five or more persons are gathered together for that purpose, in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 54. **Parades, Picnics, Etc. Permits for.** Any company, society, social club, or organization, when the number of members thereof shall exceed twenty-five (25) persons, desiring to resort to and use any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, for parade, picnicking or other pleasurable purposes, shall at least one day prior to the proposed parade, or picnic or use thereof, notify the Superintendent of said grounds or the Secretary of the Park Commissioners of their intention so to use said grounds, and obtain a permit in writing therefor.

SEC. 55. **Funeral Procession, Routes Restricted.** No person having the charge of any funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon or other vehicle designated for or carrying the body of a deceased person for burial or otherwise shall enter in or go upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting on or over the transverse roadway leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

ARTICLE 7.

MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 60. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.
Sec. 61. Removing trees, turf, soil, rock, etc.
Sec. 62. Removal of bathing apparel, towels, other personal property.
Sec. 63. Trespass on public grounds, turf, shrubbery.
Sec. 64. Restrictions and defacing of ladies' rest rooms.
Sec. 65. Destruction, mutilation, defacement, etc., of park buildings, property, furniture, fixtures, etc.

SEC. 60. **Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig up or in any manner injure any tree, shrub, plant, in or growing in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or in any building or structure therein situated.

SEC. 61. **Removing Trees, Turf, Soil, Rock, Etc.** No person shall remove any tree, wood, turf, grass, soil or rock or anything of like kind in or belonging to said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways or highways thereof.

SEC. 62. **Removal of Bathing Apparel, Towels, Other Personal Property.** No person shall take, carry away or remove from any of the parks or playgrounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners any towel, bathing cap, bathing suit, bathing apparatus, or other personal property under the control of or title to which is vested in the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 63. **Trespass on Public Grounds, Turf, Shrubbery.** No person shall go within any shrubbery or climb any tree in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, nor shall any person walk upon any ground therein where limbs or branches of trees or shrubs come within three feet of the ground; nor shall any person walk on the turf bordering walks or drives, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 64. **Restrictions and Defacing of Ladies' Restrooms.** No male person over the age of ten years shall enter any ladies' toilet, room or apartment within any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall cut or in any wise deface the walls, doors or any part of ladies' toilet, room or apartment; and no person shall write, print or paint on any part thereof any name, figure, picture, design, word, language, or sign of any kind.

SEC. 65. **Destruction, Multilation, Defacement, Etc., of Park Buildings, Property, Furniture, Fixtures, Etc.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, cut or otherwise mar or injure any building, structure, dome, monument, chair or other furniture, fixture, or fence in, or belonging to, any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall write, paint or cut any figure thereon, or place thereon any writing, painting or printing, or write upon, paint or cut any building, structure, dome, monument, chair, or other furniture, fixture or fence in any manner whatsoever; nor shall any person climb or go over any of said property.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERMITS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 69. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
Sec. 70. Injury or damage to walks, plots or roadways.
Sec. 71. Exposing, disconnecting, pipes, sewers, etc.
Sec. 72. Moving buildings across parks. Permits.
Sec. 73. Erecting structure or obstruction. Permit.
Sec. 74. Leaving building materials on public grounds.
Sec. 75. Permits and bonds.
Sec. 76. All permits to be issued by and fees paid to Secretary.
Sec. 77. Condition of grounds after repairs.
Sec. 78. Removal of buildings and penalty.
Sec. 79. Display of red lights during obstruction or excavation.
Sec. 80. Permit to transport or sell wares.
Sec. 81. Maintaining places of amusement—permit necessary.

SEC. 69. **Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** The space in Marina Park at the foot of Scott and Divisadero streets, known and designated as Yacht Harbor, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or craft of any character may moor or enter the aforesaid mooring grounds until after a permit in writing has been obtained from the Park Commissioners, in which event it will be permitted to enter and use only the mooring space designated in said permit.

SEC. 70. **Injury or Damage to Walks, Plots or Roadways.** No person shall injure, dig or tear up any pavement, sidewalk, cross walk, grass plot or road-

way or any part thereof, in any public park, square or pleasure ground, without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him, and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 71. **Exposing, Disconnecting Pipes, Sewers, Etc.** No person shall dig down toward or to, expose, tear up, disconnect or connect with any of the water pipes or sewers in or under any public park, square or pleasure ground, without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 72. **Moving Buildings Across Parks. Permits.** No person shall move along, across or upon any public park, square, pleasure ground or Great Highway any building or other structure, without having first obtained permission of the Board of Park Commissioners and a certificate thereof signed by the President and Secretary, and no such building or other structure shall remain on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, in any one part thereof, for more than five hours, nor upon any public park, square, or pleasure ground for a period of more than twenty-four hours.

SEC. 73. **Erecting Structure or Obstruction. Permit.** No person shall build, put up, place, erect, have, keep or maintain or cause to be built, put up, placed, erected, had, kept or maintained, any nuisance or any fence, building, structure, obstruction or other thing which shall in any manner obstruct any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or any part or portion thereof, or which shall in any way prevent, hinder or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public thereof. And no person shall build, erect, place, put up or maintain any building, structure, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, to be evidenced by a permit in writing, signed by the President, and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board.

SEC. 74. **Leaving Building Materials on Public Grounds.** No person shall encumber or obstruct or cause to be encumbered or obstructed any public park, square or pleasure ground, or part thereof, by placing or leaving therein or thereon any building materials or any article or thing whatsoever, without first having obtained a written permit signed by the Superintendent and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary.

SEC. 75. **Permits and Bonds.** When the permit is under Sections 70, 71, 72 and 73 of this article, the permittee shall give a bond with one or more sureties satisfactory to the President of the Park Commission, in such sum as the President may determine, that the conditions prescribed in said permit, including that as to time for which granted, shall in all respects be complied with, that no injury shall be done or caused or permitted to any public park, square, or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or to the trees, shrubs, grass, fences or anything thereon, and that the Park Commission and its officers shall be fully indemnified against and saved harmless from all damages and cost which may ensue from any act or thing done or purported to be done under such permit; whereupon the Secretary shall, if the Park Commission or President thereof or the Superintendent, as the case may be, shall direct, issue a permit wherein shall be stated all the conditions and circumstances, and limiting the time in which the act permitted must be done. Provided: That in granting any permit under Sections 70 and 71 of this Article the Park Commission may, in lieu of such bond, accept from the permittee, a cash deposit in such sum as may be designated by the President, as security that no public park, square or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or the trees, shrubs, grass, fences, or anything thereon shall suffer any injury in consequence of any act done or permitted, or omitted, under or purported to be under such

permit; but the acceptance of such cash deposit by said Park Commission shall in nowise impair any right, or claim, or demand, direct or indirect, against the permittee receiving such permit, by virtue of any bond the permittee has given or furnished to the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 76. **All Permits to Be Issued by and Fees Paid to Secretary.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall issue all permits authorized by the Park Commission, and shall sign the same, receive all fees, charges and deposits therefor, and keep a record of all proceedings and doings thereunder, or in connection therewith, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

SEC. 77. **Condition of Grounds After Repairs.** Every permittee to whom a permit is granted under Sections 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74 of this Article shall leave the ground, site or place within the public parks, squares and pleasure grounds, where work has been done pursuant to such permit, in as good condition in all respects when such permit shall have expired, as when the same was granted, and shall repair said ground, site or place to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of Parks.

SEC. 78. **Removal of Buildings and Penalty.** The owner or owners, or occupant or occupants of any building, or the contractor or other person in charge of or superintending or directing its removal, or either or all of them, who shall suffer the same to remain in any parkway for any time whatsoever longer than that specified in the permit issued by the Park Commission, or who shall place in or permit to remain in such parkway, any building or structure without an appropriate permit, shall, in addition to the penalty herein provided, forfeit, as a penalty, the amount whereof shall be specified in the permit, for every twenty-four hours or any part thereof the same shall continue.

SEC. 79. **Display of Red Lights During Obstruction or Excavation.** Any person having the use of any portion of any public park, square, or pleasure ground, shall cause red lights to be placed in conspicuous places in front of and at each end of the obstruction or excavation from sunset to sunrise each night such obstruction or excavation remains.

SEC. 80. **Permit to Transport or Sell Wares.** No person shall transport into, carry into, have or take into, or leave upon or over any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, any fruit, nuts, candy, vegetables, or other goods, wares or merchandise of any kind to be sold or offered for sale, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners therefor.

SEC. 81. **Maintaining Places of Amusement, Permit Necessary.** No person shall, within any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, show, show stand, performance, concert, concert hall, moving pictures or the taking of moving pictures, or any other kind of amusement, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 85. Breaking in of animals.
Sec. 86. Sulky and vehicle trailing prohibited.
Sec. 87. Trade and commercial vehicles restricted to tranverse roads—garbage transportation prohibited.

Sec. 88. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
Sec. 89. Pleasure vehicles only permitted to enter parks.
Sec. 90. Vehicles abreast of each other.
Sec. 91. Limiting speed of bicycles and similar vehicles.
Sec. 92. Transporting merchandise for sale prohibited.

SEC. 85. **Breaking in of Animals.** No person shall, within or on any o said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, ride, drive or leave thereo any vicious, unbroken or wild horse, mule or other animal, or use therein o thereon any breaking cart or other vehicle or apparatus or appliance used i breaking any of such animals.

SEC. 86. **Sulky and Vehicle Trailing Prohibited.** No person shall trai any sulky or other vehicle through any public park, square, or pleasure ground

SEC. 87. **Trade and Commercial Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads Garbage Transportation Prohibited.** No dray, truck, wagon, cart or othe vehicle carrying or employed in carrying goods, merchandise, manure, soil o other article of commerce or trade, shall travel upon any of the driveways o roads of any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, for any othe purpose than to cross the same immediately at the regular street intersections nor upon any of the roads or driveways of said public grounds, except th transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way a Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fift avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Vehicles havin deliveries in park shall use nearest entrance to point of delivery. Transportatio of garbage is prohibited upon any road or driveway in said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 88. **Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person own ing, driving or having charge of any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automo bile, or vehicle let or used for hire or anyone employed by such owner, o driver, shall within said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds stand for the purpose of, or in any way let or solicit custom or passengers for th same.

SEC. 89. **Pleasure Vehicles Only Permitted to Enter Parks.** No vehicl usually engaged in carrying baggage or merchandise other than the persona effects of the owner, driver, motorman or engineer thereof, and no vehicle use for advertising purposes, or with any name or designation exposed thereon fo advertising purposes, shall be or enter upon or use any part of the publi parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting when used exclusively for pleas ure purposes.

SEC. 90. **Vehicles Abreast of Each Other.** No more than two vehicles shal run or be propelled abreast of each other while used or operated within th public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. The said vehicles shall be run and propelled singly and not attached to each other, except in case of an acci dent, when one may assist the other.

SEC. 91. **Limiting Speed of Bicycles and Similar Vehicles.** No person shall ride, propel or operate any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle in or on any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, at a greater rate of speed than ten (10) miles per hour; and at the crossings or junctions of any roads or driveways, or at any place used as a crossing by persons on foot, at a speed to exceed six (6) miles per hour.

SEC. 92. **Transporting Merchandise for Sale Prohibited.** No person shall drive in or into or enter upon or pass over any part or portion of said public

arks, squares or pleasure grounds in any cart, wagon or other vehicle, having herein any goods, wares or merchandise intended for sale therein.

CHAPTER V.

PENAL PROVISIONS.

ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 97. Violation a misdemeanor—Penalty.
Sec. 98. Repeal.
Sec. 99. Saving Clause.

SEC. 97. **Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 98. **Repeal.** All Ordinances or orders or parts of Ordinances or orders in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SEC. 99. **Saving Clause.** If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Acceptance of California Street Cable Railroad Franchise Percentage for Calendar Year 1935.**
(Code No. 15.09)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2699, as follows:

Resolved, That the statement of the California Street Cable Railroad Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the calendar year 1935, upon which a percentage in the sum of $4,025.80 is due the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby accepted; and

Further Resolved, That the California Street Cable Railroad is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the above mentioned sum of $4,025.80, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Recommendation of the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Refunds of Duplicate Payments of Taxes.**
(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2700, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-37, to the following; being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate for taxes, to-wit:

1. Bridge Investment Co., per Vol. 10, Bill No. 1775, Lot 3A, Block 1499, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... $ 37.74
2. Mrs. Theresa Campus, per Vol. 37, Bill No. 475, Lot 15, Block 6174, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 2.01
3. Mrs. W. J. Hawkins, per Vol. 8, Bills Nos. 824-824A, Lot 22-24, Block 1236, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 210.97
4. S. Rubino, per Vol. 28, Bill 153, Lot 22, Block 4268, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 38.66

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for the Widening of Army Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2701, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Lawrence Poitz and Elin Poitz, his wife, Lot 7-C, Assessor's Block 4336, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sum of $8,100, payable from Appropriation No. 548.955.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

In order to facilitate a possible exchange, title to the portion of said lot outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2702, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Henry Doelger Builder, Incorporated, a corporation, a portion of Lot 3A, Assessor's Block 1864, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $3,675, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved by Director of Works.
Approved by Controller as to funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Lease to Standard Stations, Inc.**

(Code No. 12.1739)

Also, Resolution No. 2703, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17395, Bill No. 590, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by

him on July 8, 1936, for leasing the following described City-owned real property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Polk street with the northerly line of McAllister street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Polk street, 120 feet to the southerly line of Redwood street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Redwood street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 120 feet to the northerly line of McAllister street; thence easterly along last named line 137 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Polk street and the point of commencement.

Whereas, Standard Stations, Inc., a corporation, submitted an offer to lease said property for a period of five years, beginning August 11, 1939, at a rental of $250 per month plus an additional compensation of one cent for each gallon of gasoline delivered on the premises in excess of 25,000 gallons per month during said five-year period; and

Whereas, said offer was made subject to the condition that within six months after entering into the lease, the lessee shall, at its own expense, reconstruct and beautify the improvements now located on the property; and

Whereas, Standard Stations, Inc., now occupies the property under a lease which expires on August 10, 1939; and

Whereas, there were no other or higher bids and the Director of Property has recommended that said new lease be awarded to Standard Stations, Inc.; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of Standard Stations, Inc., be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. The lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $63,850 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco for Month of August, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1062, Ordinance No. 9.051287, as follows:

Appropriating $63,850 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of August, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,850 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of August, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Com-

mittee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented by Finance Committee and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $5,000 for Police Commission Expense Incidental to Investigation of Conduct of Certain Police Officers. An Emergency.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1063, Ordinance No. 9.051288, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.901.00 for the purpose of providing the Police Commission with funds to pay for expenses incidental to the investigation of the conduct of certain Police officers, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.901.00 for the purpose of providing the Police Commission with funds to pay for expenses incidental to the investigation of the conduct of certain Police officers.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Police Commission, as it is without funds to conduct the investigation referred to in Section 1 hereof.

Approved by the Mayor.
Funds available by the Controller.
Recommended by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Providing for the Reimbursement of City and County of San Francisco for Aid Granted Persons Either Directly or by Institution Care, Etc.**

(Code No. 18.01)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1014, Ordinance No. 18.013, as follows:

Providing for the reimbursement of the City and County of San Francisco for aid granted to persons, either directly or by institutional care, and directing the officer, board or commission giving or granting such aid, either directly or by institutional care, to provide for the reimbursement of the City and County for the amount of aid so granted and directing the taking of obligations from the person or persons receiving said aid for the reimbursement of the City and County for said aid so granted.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every person who is given or shall receive aid directly or indirectly from public moneys drawn through the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco shall be liable for the value of said aid so allowed, granted, or given, and the value thereof shall constitute a first lien on all property, real, personal, or mixed, that said person may possess, acquire, or have an interest in.

Section 2. The actual cost of direct or indirect aid shall constitute its value. The Board of Supervisors shall not later than the last Monday of August of each year establish by resolution a basic rate for evaluating institutional care allowed, granted, or given to persons at the Laguna Honda Home, and the rate so established shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said charge and the resulting amount which shall be due to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. As a consideration and as a condition precedent to the allowing, granting or giving of aid, the officer, board or commission charged with the duty of allowing, granting or giving of aid shall take from every person now receiving aid and from every person who may hereafter be allowed, granted, or given aid the following agreement:

"AGREEMENT TO REIMBURSE FOR MONEYS EXPENDED OR AID GRANTED OR GIVEN."

"In consideration of the granting of aid to me by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a condition precedent thereto, I hereby pledge, promise and agree to reimbuse and repay said City and County for all sums of money actually expended in my behalf or aid granted or given by the City and County of San Francisco for my care and maintenance, provided I am able to pay for the same in whole or in part, and I further agree that any claim for such moneys expended in my behalf or aid granted or given me by said City and County shall be a preferred claim against me and a first lien on all property now owned or possessed by me or that may hereafter be acquired by me or in my behalf.

"Statute of Limitations is hereby forever waived.

"This agreement is binding upon myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and assigns.

"Dated this.....day of....., 193....

.....

Signature of Applicant in Full Residing at:

"Witness:

..... ......"

Section 3a. Provided, however, that the form of the lien to be taken in connection with aid to the needy aged shall be as prescribed by the State Department of Social Welfare.

Section 4. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall prescribe the procedure governing the evaluation of institutional care at the Laguna Honda Home, the auditing, accounting, reporting and collecting of all obligations arising under this ordinance in accordance with the provisions of Sections 64 and 75 of the Charter.

Section 5. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently or designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his property or financial condition, means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly, or through any agency whatsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon respecting his property, or financial condition, means or ability to pay of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting for the purposes recited in this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $500, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such

person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be liable for the full value of the aid thus fraudulently obtained.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion the following bill, recommended by Committee on Streets and Traffic, was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for July* 27, 1936, *at* 3 *P. M.*:

**Parking Meter Ordinance.**
(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1065, Ordinance No. 11.0218, as follows:

Authorizing the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to install parking meters for the purpose of regulating the parking of vehicles on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and regulating the installation of the same, and providing for the deposit of money in said meters to defray the cost of regulation and traffic, and giving to said Police Commission the right to make regulation relative to parking and parking meters, as well as the manner in which said parking meters shall be acquired, defining certain terms used herein and fixing a penalty for the violation of the ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The word "vehicle" as used herein shall mean any device in, upon or by which any person or property is or may be transported upon a street or highway, except those operated upon rails or tracks, or vehicles operated by any special permit issued by the City and County of San Francisco, or any department thereof.

The word "park" or "parking" when used herein shall mean the standing of a vehicle, whether occupied or not, provided that vehicles stopping or stopped temporarily for the purpose of loading or unloading passengers or merchandise shall not be deemed to be parked in so long as the process of loading or unloading continues, provided that the Police Department may regulate the time that any vehicle shall remain temporarily stopped for the purpose of loading or unloading merchandise.

The words "parking meter" when used herein shall mean any device which, when the recording dial thereof is set in motion by the deposit of any coin or equivalent thereof, shall register the time that any vehicle is parked adjacent to said parking meter.

The words "loading zone" when used herein shall mean a space or section of the curb set aside for the exclusive use of loading and unloading persons, supplies and merchandise.

Section 2. The Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to establish parking meter zones, each of which zones shall be of sufficient size to permit the parking of only one vehicle, on any street on which time limitations have heretofore been, or may hereafter be, provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, and to place upon the sidewalk adjacent to said parking zone such device or devices as the Commission shall deem proper, which will, upon the deposit of a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, set the mechanical equipment of said device in motion so that said device will accurately measure, in minutes, the period of time during which said vehicle may park in said parking meter zone without violating the ordinance or ordinances regulating parking upon the street on which said parking meter zone is established. Said parking meter shall also be constructed and mechanically equipped so that the same will, upon the expiration of the period of time, commencing with the deposit of said five cent coin, and ending

with the expiration of the period of time that the parking of a vehicle is, by ordinance, permitted in the block in which said parking meter space is situated, display a flag, sign or signal which will indicate that the permitted time for the parking of said vehicle, as provided by the ordinance regulating parking in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated, has expired.

Section 3. No person shall park, or stop, any vehicle in any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing in the parking meter adjacent to said space a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain stopped or parked in any parking meter zone beyond the time permitted by ordinance for the parking of vehicles in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter an additional five cent coin, and each time that said person parking said vehicle shall deposit said coin in said parking meter, his right to continue to permit said vehicle to remain parked in said space shall be extended for the period during which the parking of vehicles may be permitted in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated.

Section 4. Whenever any person shall drive or conduct any vehicle into any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, or to which any parking meter is adjacent, or for which any parking meter has been set, erected or established, to remain stopped for any purpose except to receive or discharge passengers or merchandise as permitted by this ordinance, or within the hours during which free parking is permitted by this ordinance, he shall forthwith deposit in said meter a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, and on the deposit of said coin said vehicle may remain stopped or parked in said space for the period of time permitted by the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating the parking of vehicles in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

Section 5. The time allowed by ordinance of this Board for parking any vehicle in any parking meter zone may be extended by the deposit of an additional five cent coin in said parking meter, which said deposit shall entitle the owner, driver or operator of said vehicle to remain legally parked in said parking meter zone for an additional period not to exceed the parking limit fixed by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

No person shall stop or park any vehicle in said parking meter zone, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing said five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, in said parking meter immediately adjacent to said zone, and no person shall permit any vehicle to remain parked in said parking meter zone beyond the period of time permitted by the ordinance regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter the additional five cent coin as hereinbefore provided for.

Section 6. The Police Commission shall have full power and authority to allot and indicate by suitable lines, or other means of indication, the space within which any vehicle must be stopped or parked on any street or block on which parking meters are installed, as well as to select the particular part of the curb or sidewalk adjacent to said parking meter zone on which said parking meter shall be installed, and said Commission may provide for, and indicate by suitable signs, the hours when it will be permissible to stop or park vehicles in any block where parking meter zones are established without depositing said five cent coin in said parking meter adjacent to said parking space. Said Commission is also authorized to establish such loading zones for the loading or unloading of passengers or merchandise in any block as may be necessary for the convenience of the occupants of said block and to regulate the use of said loading zone.

Section 7. It shall be unlawful to deposit or cause to be deposited in any parking meter any slug, device or substitute for a five cent coin of the United States.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any unauthorized person to deface, injure, tamper with, open or wilfully break, destroy or impair the usefulness of any parking meter installed under the terms of this ordinance.

Section 9. The five cent coins required to be deposited as provided herein are hereby levied as police regulation and inspection fees to cover the cost of inspection and regulation involved in the inspection, installation, operation, control and use of the parking spaces and parking meters described herein and involved in checking up and regulating the parking of vehicles in the parking meter zones created hereby, as well as for the regulation of traffic.

Section 10. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished for the first offense by a fine not to exceed fifty ($50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than five (5) days; for a second offense within a period of one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed one hundred ($100.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment; for a third and each additional offense committed within one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed three hundred ($300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 11. If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Any ordinance inconsistent with any of the terms and provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed, provided, however, that such repeal shall be only to the extent of such inconsistency and in all other respects this ordinance shall be cumulative of other ordinances regulating and governing the subject matter covered by this ordinance.

Section 13. All coins deposited in any parking meter shall be collected each day by members of the Police Department, and shall be deposited in the treasury of the City and County as required by charter.

Section 14. It shall be proper for the Police Commission to enter into such contracts through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies for the furnishing and installation of such parking meters as it shall deem proper, whenever sufficient funds shall be provided for said purpose, or said Police Commission may through said Purchaser of Supplies enter into a contract or contracts with any person, firm or corporation for the furnishing or installation of said parking meters upon the basis that the person, firm or corporation installing or furnishing the same shall be paid the cost thereof from the coins deposited in said meters, in which latter event the title to said meters shall remain in the person, firm or corporation furnishing the same until the purchase price of said meters is paid.

Section 15. No parking meter shall be installed by said Police Department, or under its direction, unless the person, firm or corporation furnishing said parking meter shall furnish to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient bond executed by a surety company which shall guarantee the accurate working of said meters, and which shall also protect the City from any damage arising

by reason of any infringement of patent by reason of the use of said meters. Said bond shall be in such amount as the said Commission shall direct, but in no case less than ten thousand ($10,000.00) dollars, and shall be approved by the Controller.

Section 16. The Controller shall provide the method for accounting for all moneys taken from said parking meters.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2704, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the request of His Honor the Mayor, Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period not to exceed twenty (20) days from the 23rd day of July, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

By H. A. Van der Zee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director of Public Health.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2705, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director of Public Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from July 22nd to September 5th, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Committee of Three to Represent San Francisco at State Fair, September 5 to 14, 1936, Inclusive.**

Supervisor Ratto moved that a Committee of Three be appointed to represent the City of San Francisco at the Annual State Fair, to be held at Sacramento, September 5 to 14, 1936, inclusive.

Motion *carried*.

President Havenner thereupon appointed Supervisors Ratto, Meyer and Mead to attend.

**Operation of Street Cars by Motorman and Conductor.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. 1072, Ordinance No. 15.09116, as follows:

Providing for the operation of street railway cars on certain streets in the City and County of San Francisco by a motorman and by a conductor and specifying the age of employees in charge of said street railway cars; and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every street railway car operating over Ninth street, over Larkin street or over Polk street in the City and County of San Francisco, as well as every street railway car operating over Third street and over Kearny street in said City and County, while carrying passengers, shall be in charge of a motorman and a conductor. Each of said persons must be over the age of twenty-one (21) years and no such street railway car, while carrying passengers, shall be operated on or over the streets hereinabove mentioned unless said street railway car is in charge of a motorman and a conductor having the qualifications herein provided for.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined for each offense not less than fifty ($50) dollars or more than three hundred ($300) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

**Prohibiting Collection of Fares, Etc., by Persons in Charge of Mechanical Operation of Street Cars.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. 1039, Ordinance No. 15.09115, as follows:

Prohibiting any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car from collecting fares, issuing transfers or making change while said street car is in motion.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car shall not collect fares, issue transfers or make change while said street car is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Prohibiting Use of "Dead Man" Control on Street Cars.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of the "dead man" control in the operation of street cars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate, or cause to be operated, any street car by means of a "dead man" control.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment for each separate conviction thereof.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Supervisor Meyer announced that the Public Utilities Committee would meet to consider the foregoing matters on Wednesday at 2 p. m.

**Authorizing Temporary Lease of Yerba Buena Shoals and Property at Bush and Stockton Streets for Exposition Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

Supervisor Shannon presented the following recommendation of the Exposition Affairs and Industrial Development Committee:

Resolution No. 2707, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California by an act of the Legislature thereof, approved June 13, 1933, granted to the City and County of San Francisco and to its successors, all interest of the State of California in and to all those tide lands and submerged lands in the present City and County of San Francisco, lying to the north of Yerba Buena Island and more particularly bounded and described in said act, and hereinafter called the Yerba Buena Shoals, to be forever held by said City and County and its successors in trust for the public airport uses and purposes and upon the expressed conditions in said act particularly set forth; and

Whereas, said City and County of San Francisco accepted said Yerba Buena Shoals from the State of California for airport purposes subject to the conditions mentioned in said act by Resolution No. 965, Code No. 12.17154, of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County approved August 22, 1933; and

Whereas, said Legislature by an act approved May 9, 1935, did amend said act of 1933 by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 4 thereof, which reads as follows, to-wit:

"The City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted the right to permit the use of the land conveyed by this act for exposition or fair purposes upon such terms and by such persons, firms or corporations as it may determine"; and

Whereas, said Yerba Buena Shoals so granted to said City and County are now in process of reclamation and improvement, as authorized by said act of 1933, through the use of Federal PWA and WPA moneys, which are now being supplemented by private contributions made by the San Francisco Bay Exposition, a California non-profit corporation, hereinafter called the Exposition Company; and

Whereas, said Exposition Company is preparing to hold an international exposition on said Yerba Buena Shoals in 1939 to celebrate the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland and Golden Gate bridges; and

Whereas, with the use of said Federal moneys and said private contributions there is now in course of construction a certain building on that certain lot in the said City and County particularly described as follows:

"Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bush street with the easterly line of Stockton street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Bush street 137.5 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles westerly 137.5 feet to the easterly line of Stockton street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Stockton street 137.5 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 118," hereafter referred to as the Bush street lot, which lot and building is now in the charge of the Public Utilities Commission; and

Whereas, there is need for the use and occupation of said building so in course of construction on said Bush street lot from the time of its completion, until a reasonable time following the close of said proposed exposition, as a convenient headquarters in connection with said works of reclamation and improvement of said Yerba Buena Shoals for public airport purposes, for the preparation thereof for said exposition, for the administration of said exposition during 1939, and in connection with the necessary clearances and demolition of temporary structures following the close of said exposition and the necessary restorations incident thereto; and

Whereas, for the purpose of holding an exposition in 1939 on said Yerba Buena Shoals, there is need for the use and occupation of said

Yerba Buena Shoals, and all improvements thereon, for the preliminary preparation of said Yerba Buena Shoals for such an exposition, and for the maintenance thereon of such an exposition in 1939 and for the use and occupation thereof for a reasonable time after the close of such exposition to make the necessary clearances and demolitions and restorations aforesaid; and

Whereas, the total estimated costs of said works of reclamation and improvement of said Yerba Buena Shoals so far as the projects for which are to be financed in part from WPA Federal funds is the sum of $5,437,491, of which 80 per cent, not to exceed $4,349,992, is to be supplied out of said WPA funds, and for 20 per cent of which, not to exceed $1,087,499, said City and County stands as sponsor; and

Whereas, the total estimated cost of said works of reclamation and improvement of said Yerba Buena Shoals, including approaches thereto, facilities for which and the construction of said building on said Bush street lot, so far as the projects for which are to be financed in part from PWA Federal funds is the sum of $4,209,670, of which 45 per cent, not to exceed $1,894,324, is to be supplied out of said PWA funds and for 55 per cent, of which not to exceed $2,315,346, said City and County stands sponsor; and

Whereas, the contributions which said Exposition Company has been making and is prepared to make, to the cost of said works are the percentages thereof for which the City and County stands sponsor, as aforesaid; and

Whereas, in order to insure the continued progress of said works of reclamation and improvement of said Yerba Buena Shoals lands and to insure the necessary private contributions therefor supplementing said Federal funds, as aforesaid, no lease of said Yerba Buena Shoals or of said building on said Bush street lot should be made except as a single lease of both properties for a like term or should be made to any lessee or lessees, unless such lessee or lessees shall agree as part consideration therefor and in addition to any monthly cash rentals which may be stipulated in such lease to make contributions to the cost of said works of reclamation and improvement supplementing said Federal funds, as aforesaid, similar to those which said Exposition Company is prepared to make, as aforesaid; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission, under the circumstances, has and will have no use for said Bush street lot or said building thereon, or said Yerba Buena Shoals, prior to January 1, 1941; and

Whereas, in any temporary lease of said Yerba Buena Shoals for exposition or fair purposes such terms and conditions should be provided therein as in the judgment of the Public Utilities Commission may be necessary or advisable by reason of the ultimate use of said Yerba Buena Shoals as a public airport and its management and control by the said Public Utilities Commission; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That neither said Bush street lot, nor the building now in course of construction thereon, nor said Yerba Buena Shoals now in course of reclamation and improvement, nor the improvements thereon, or to be placed thereon, will be so required for the uses or purposes of said commission at any time prior to the first day of January, 1941, as to prevent or interfere in the meantime with the temporary use and occupation thereof for fair and exposition purposes and for making preparations for such a fair and exposition and for the subsequent maintaining thereof; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is in the public interest that said Bush street lot and said building now in course of construction thereon and said Yerba Buena Shoals with the improvements thereoen or to be placed thereon, be leased temporarily to some lessee or lessees for fair and exposition purposes, as aforesaid; and be it

Further Resolved, That authority is hereby given to enter into the aforesaid lease of Yerba Buena Shoals, with the improvements thereon or to be placed thereon, and said Bush street lot and said building now being constructed thereon, for use only for fair and exposition purposes to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent for a term

which shall not extend beyond the first day of January, 1941; and be it

Further Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, said lease so to be authorized contain such terms and conditions as said commission may recommend to the Director of Property of said City and County as necessary or proper with regard to the ultimate use of said Yerba Buena Shoals as a public airport; and be it

Further Resolved, That said lease impose on the lessee or lessees therein the obligation to contribute to the costs of reclaiming and improving said Yerba Buena Shoals the percentages thereof for which said City and County stands sponsor as hereinabove recited.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

### Director of Property to Lease Property for Exposition Purposes.

(Code No. 12.17354)

On motion of Supervisor Shannon.

Bill No. 1064, Ordinance No. 12.173544, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain real property in the City and County of San Francisco for fair and exposition purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California approved May 9, 1935 (Statutes 1935, chapter 162), amending that act of said Legislature approved June 13, 1933, hereinafter referred to and pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease (a) those certain tidelands and submerged lands in the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter referred to, now in course of reclamation and improvement, with the improvements thereon or to be placed thereon, for use only for fair and exposition purposes, and (b) in connection therewith and as a part thereof, that certain lot of land hereinafter described, with the improvements thereon, for the administration of said fair and exposition, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent, and for a term which shall not extend beyond the first day of January, 1941. The said lease shall include such terms and conditions as said Commission may recommend to the Director of Property as necessary or proper with regard to the ultimate use of said tidelands and submerged lands as a public airport.

The said lease shall also impose upon the lessee or lessees therein an obligation to contribute to the costs of the works of reclaiming and improving said tidelands and submerged lands in accordance with the recommendations of said Public Utilities Commission as set forth in the resolution of said Commission adopted on the 13th day of July, 1936, a duly certified copy whereof was on the 16th day of July, 1936, filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, to which reference is hereby made for the further particulars thereof.

Section 2. The tidelands and submerged lands, referred to in subdivision (a) of Section 1 hereof, the lease whereof is authorized as aforesaid, are those lands which are more particularly described in that act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An act granting certain tidelands and submerged lands of the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for development and use as a public airport, regulating the management, use, lease and

control thereof, authorizing the reclamation and certain improvements of said lands and the construction and maintenance of a bridge or causeway connecting said lands with Yerba Buena Island" approved June 13, 1933. The lot of land referred to in subdivision (b) of Section 1 hereof, the lease of which is authorized as aforesaid, is that certain lot in said City and County of San Francisco described as follows:

"Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bush street with the easterly line of Stockton street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Bush street 137.5 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles westerly 137.5 feet to the easterly line of Stockton street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Stockton street 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

"Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 118."

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Death of Captain David J. Harrison.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors adjourned out of respect to the memory of Captain David J. Harrison, retired member of the San Francisco Fire Department, and the Clerk was directed to prepare and send to the family of the deceased a suitable resolution of condolence.

### Broadway Tunnel.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication from Civic League of Improvement Clubs requesting that the Broadway Tunnel project be revived and that bond issue for its construction be submitted to the electors at the November election.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### County Superviors' Convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from San Francisco Convention and Tourists League to the County Supervisors Association of California, in convention in Eureka, inviting said association to hold its next convention in San Francisco and stating that he has been advised that San Francisco has been placed on the preferred list for 1937.

Supervisor Havenner thereupon moved that the Board direct the Clerk to address a letter urging the association on the part of the Board of Supervisors to hold its next annual convention in San Francisco.

*So ordered.*

### Announcement.

Supervisor Mead announced a meeting of Fire, Safety and Police Committee for Friday at 10 a. m.

### Redwood Empire Convention.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association inviting attendance at its meeting in Eureka August 7. Supervisor Ratto declared that Mr. Bowlay, representing the City Engineer's office, was going to attend representing that office.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Streets Committee be included and appointed to attend representing San Francisco.

No objection, it was *so ordered.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 5:50 p. m., adjourned to reconvene as a Board of Equalization to hear and consider the petition of the Palace Hotel Company for a reduction of assessment.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, August 3, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 31

Monday, July 27, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, JULY 27, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 27, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt on leave.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 9, 10 and 13, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

**Sixteenth Avenue and Cabrillo Street.**

Hearing of appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Sixteenth avenue and Cabrillo street.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

F. Jos. Tiesen, representing the Park Presidio Improvement Club; Edw. Kenny, representing the Geary Boulevard Merchants Association; Geo. Hoffman, 105 Montgomery street, and Mrs. C. J. Pierce, representing the Parent-Teachers' Association, were heard in support of the ruling of the City Planning Commission.

Max Jackson, representing the appellant, and Louis Klingerman, appellant, were heard in opposition to the ruling of the City Planning Commission.

Milton Meyer and W. W. Chapin, members of the City Planning Commission, were also heard.

**Resolution Refused Adoption.**

(Code No. 13.02.)

Whereupon, the following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 2710, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1454, dated June 9, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the southwesterly corner of Sixteenth avenue and

Cabrillo street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

### Parking Meter Ordinance.
(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1065, Ordinance No. 11.0218, as follows:

Authorizing the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to install parking meters for the purpose of regulating the parking of vehicles on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and regulating the installation of the same, and providing for the deposit of money in said meters to defray the cost of regulation and traffic, and giving to said Police Commission the right to make regulation relative to parking and parking meters, as well as the manner in which said parking meters shall be acquired, defining certain terms used herein and fixing a penalty for the violation of the ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The word "vehicle" as used herein shall mean any device in, upon or by which any person or property is or may be transported upon a street or highway, except those operated upon rails or tracks, or vehicles operated by any special permit issued by the City and County of San Francisco, or any department thereof.

The word "park" or "parking" when used herein shall mean the standing of a vehicle, whether occupied or not, provided that vehicles stopping or stopped temporarily for the purpose of loading or unloading passengers or merchandise shall not be deemed to be parkd in so long as the process of loading or unloading continues, provided that the Police Department may regulate the time that any vehicle shall remain temporarily stopped for the purpose of loading or unloading merchandise.

The words "parking meter" when used herein shall mean any device which, when the recording dial thereof is set in motion by the deposit of any coin or equivalent thereof, shall register the time that any vehicle is parked adjacent to said parking meter.

The words "loading zone" when used herein shall mean a space or section of the curb set aside for the exclusive use of loading and unloading persons, supplies and merchandise.

Section 2. The Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to establish parking meter zones, each of which zones shall be of sufficient size to permit the parking of only one vehicle, on any street on which time limitations have heretofore been, or may hereafter be, provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, and to place upon the sidewalk adjacent to said parking zone such device or devices as the Commission shall deem proper, which will, upon the deposit of a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, set the mechanical equipment of said device in motion so that said device will accurately measure, in minutes, the period of time during which said vehicle may park in said parking meter zone without violating the ordinance or ordinances regulating parking upon the street on which said parking meter zone is established. Said parking meter shall also be constructed and mechanically equipped so that the same will, upon the expiration of the period of time, commencing with the deposit of said five cent coin, and ending

with the expiration of the period of time that the parking of a vehicle is, by ordinance, permitted in the block in which said parking meter space is situated, display a flag, sign or signal which will indicate that the permitted time for the parking of said vehicle, as provided by the ordinance regulating parking in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated, has expired.

Section 3. No person shall park, or stop, any vehicle in any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing in the parking meter adjacent to said space a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain stopped or parked in any parking meter zone beyond the time permitted by ordinance for the parking of vehicles in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter an additional five cent coin, and each time that said person parking said vehicle shall deposit said coin in said parking meter, his right to continue to permit said vehicle to remain parked in said space shall be extended for the period during which the parking of vehicles may be permitted in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated.

Section 4. Whenever any person shall drive or conduct any vehicle into any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, or to which any parking meter is adjacent, or for which any parking meter has been set, erected or established, to remain stopped for any purpose except to receive or discharge passengers or merchandise as permitted by this ordinance, or within the hours during which free parking is permitted by this ordinance, he shall forthwith deposit in said meter a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, and on the deposit of said coin said vehicle may remain stopped or parked in said space for the period of time permitted by the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating the parking of vehicles in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

Section 5. The time allowed by ordinance of this Board for parking any vehicle in any parking meter zone may be extended by the deposit of an additional five cent coin in said parking meter, which said deposit shall entitle the owner, driver or operator of said vehicle to remain legally parked in said parking meter zone for an additional period not to exceed the parking limit fixed by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

No person shall stop or park any vehicle in said parking meter zone, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing said five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, in said parking meter immediately adjacent to said zone, and no person shall permit any vehicle to remain parked in said parking meter zone beyond the period of time permitted by the ordinance regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter the additional five cent coin as hereinbefore provided for.

Section 6. The Police Commission shall have full power and authority to allot and indicate by suitable lines, or other means of indication, the space within which any vehicle must be stopped or parked on any street or block on which parking meters are installed, as well as to select the particular part of the curb or sidewalk adjacent to said parking meter zone on which said parking meter shall be installed, and said Commission may provide for, and indicate by suitable signs, the hours when it will be permissible to stop or park vehicles in any block where parking meter zones are established without depositing said five cent coin in said parking meter adjacent to said parking space. Said Commission is also authorized to establish such loading zones for the loading or unloading of passengers or merchandise in any block as may be necessary for the convenience of the occupants of said block and to regulate the use of said loading zone.

Section 7. It shall be unlawful to deposit or cause to be deposited

in any parking meter any slug, device or substitute for a five cent coin of the United States.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any unauthorized person to deface, injure, tamper with, open or wilfully break, destroy or impair the usefulness of any parking meter installed under the terms of this ordinance.

Section 9. The five cent coins required to be deposited as provided herein are hereby levied as police regulation and inspection fees to cover the cost of inspection and regulation involved in the inspection, installation, operation, control and use of the parking spaces and parking meters described herein and involved in checking up and regulating the parking of vehicles in the parking meter zones created hereby, as well as for the regulation of traffic.

Section 10. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished for the first offense by a fine not to exceed fifty ($50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than five (5) days; for a second offense within a period of one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed one hundred ($100.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment; for a third and each additional offense committed within one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed three hundred ($300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 11. If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Any ordinance inconsistent with any of the terms and provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed, provided, however, that such repeal shall be only to the extent of such inconsistency and in all other respects this ordinance shall be cumulative of other ordinances regulating and governing the subject matter covered by this ordinance.

Section 13. All coins deposited in any parking meter shall be collected each day by members of the Police Department, and shall be deposited in the treasury of the City and County as required by charter.

Section 14. It shall be proper for the Police Commission to enter into such contracts through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies for the furnishing and installation of such parking meters as it shall deem proper, whenever sufficient funds shall be provided for said purpose, or said Police Commission may through said Purchaser of Supplies enter into a contract or contracts with any person, firm or corporation for the furnishing or installation of said parking meters upon the basis that the person, firm or corporation installing or furnishing the same shall be paid the cost thereof from the coins deposited in said meters, in which latter event the title to said meters shall remain in the person, firm or corporation furnishing the same until the purchase price of said meters is paid.

Section 15. No parking meter shall be installed by said Police Department, or under its direction, unless the person, firm or corporation furnishing said parking meter shall furnish to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient bond executed by a surety company which shall guarantee the accurate working of said meters, and which shall also protect the City from any damage arising

by reason of any infringement of patent by reason of the use of said meters. Said bond shall be in such amount as the said Commission shall direct, but in no case less than ten thousand ($10,000.00) dollars, and shall be approved by the Controller.

Section 16. The Controller shall provide the method for accounting for all moneys taken from said parking meters.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Geo. E. Sanford, representing the California State Automobile Association, was heard in opposition to the proposed legislation.

Thos. Maloney, representing the Parkrite Corporation, was heard in favor of the ordinance.

John O'Toole, City Attorney, was heard as to the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors and that of the Police Commission under Section 35 of the Charter.

Subsequently, he suggested certain amendments, which were offered by Supervisor Shannon and adopted.

**Amendments.**

Supervisor Shannon moved the following amendments:

Amend Section 15 by striking out all of said section after the word "shall" on line three thereof, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"shall agree to hold and save the City, its officers and employees harmless from all claims for damages of every kind and nature, arising from, or incident to, any claim or demand for any infringement of any patent or copyright covering or alleged to cover any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance and refund and pay on demand to the City or to any of its officers or employees any and all amounts which the said City or any of its officers and employees may expend in defending or prosecuting any litigation incident to any alleged patent or copyright infringement on any of said meters so installed.

Add a new section to said ordinance reading as follows:

"Section 17. The Board of Supervisors reserve the right to repeal or amend this ordinance at will, and no person, firm or corporation shall have or acquire any right to maintain on the streets of San Francisco any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance beyond the effective period of this ordinance.

Amendments *carried*.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing ordinance, as amended, *was passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee, heretofore *passed for second reading*, was taken up:

**Calling and Providing for Bond Election for Court House.**

Recommended by Finance Committee, July 15, 1936. Warren Shannon, Arthur M. Brown. Alfred Roncovieri, Chairman.

(Code No. 12.123)

Bill No. 1061, Ordinance No. 12.1231, entitled:

"Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 25th day of August, 1936,

for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of $3,220,000, for the construction and furnishing of the following municipal improvement, to-wit: A Courts Building, in the Civic Center of San Francisco on land owned by the said City and County, for the purpose of providing appropriate quarters for the Superior and for the Municipal Courts and for other courts that may be hereafter created and for the County Clerk and for the Clerk of the Municipal Court and for such other agencies or officers of the City and County of San Francisco as may be accommodated therein, and providing for the maximum rate of interest, the date and time of retirement of said bonds, and fixing the date of said special election, the matter of holding the same, establishing election precincts and polling places for said election, appointing boards of election therefor and providing for notice thereof."

**Communication from Hon. Mayor Rossi.**

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

July 27, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I attach hereto copies of my telegram to Hon. Horatio B. Hackett, Assistant Administrator, Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, dated July 15th, and his reply of the 20th instant; also copy of my telegram to Paul V. Betters dated July 15th and copy of his reply, dated July 23rd; also copy of telegram of July 15th from John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, to Edward Foley, General Counsel for the Public Works Administration and a telegram from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, to J. J. Madigan, Executive Officer for the Administrator, Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, dated July 16th. To the last two mentioned no replies have been received.

The enclosed are for your information and guidance.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

(Copy)

WESTERN UNION

July 15, 1936.

Hon. Horatio B. Hackett, Assistant Administrator, Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Washington, D. C.

Refer your letter July sixth nineteen thirty six re docket California Fourteen Hundred construction courts building civic center stop Board of Supervisors has approved necessary preliminary legislation calling special bond issue election for August twenty fifth in an amount of three million two hundred twenty thousand dollars stop This election will cost forty thousand dollars and its success is predicated on receiving forty five percent grant from the Federal Government as set forth in application now on file in Washington stop Final action of Board due July twenty seventh by which date it is hope we will have some authoritative statement re grant approval otherwise it will be extremely difficult to obtain final vote of Board of Supervisors to submit bond issue and more difficult to receive two thirds vote of people approving bonds stop Anything you may do to expedite will be greatly appreciated stop May we have an answer

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

(Copy)

WESTERN UNION

Washington, D. C., July 29, 1936.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City of San Francisco.

Projects your tel July sixteenth expediting examination amended

application docket California fourteen hundred San Francisco courthouse stop If found eligible no allotments can be considered until funds recently authorized by Congress are made available to Public Works Administration for allotment to nonfederal projects.

HORATIO B. HACKETT,
Assistant Administrator.

(Copy)

WESTERN UNION

San Francisco, July 15, 1936.

Mr. Paul V. Betters, Executive Director, United States Conference of Mayors, 730 Jackson Place N. W., Washington, D. C.

Herewith copy of telegram to Colonel Hackett quote refer your letter July sixth nineteen thirty six re docket California fourteen hundred construction courts building civic center stop Board of Supervisors has approved necessary preliminary legislation calling special bond issue election for August twenty fifth in an amount of three million two hundred twenty thousand dollars stop This election will cost forty thousand dollars and its success is predicated on receiving forty five percent grant from the Federal Government as set forth in application now on file in Washington stop Final action of Board due July twenty seventh by which date it is hope we have some authoritative statement re grant approval otherwise it will be extremely difficult to obtain final vote of Board of Supervisors to submit bond issue and more difficult to receive two thirds vote of people approving bonds stop Anything you may do to expedite will be greatly appreciated stop May we have an answer unquote Wire your reaction or call me by telephone so we may discuss more fully stop Best regards

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

(Copy)

UNITED STATES CONFERENCE OF MAYORS
730 Jackson Place
Washington, D. C.

July 23, 1936.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mayor: I talked today with Colonel Hackett regarding the San Francisco Courts Building for the Civic Center. As you probably already been advised, they do not look well upon making any definite commitment in advance of the referendum. The first batch of PWA projects was sent to the President by airmail yesterday, the total amount of the projects on this first list being around fifty million dollars.

Colonel Hackett indicated to me that if the vote is favorable on the Courts Building, there is every reason why it can be considered on the next or future lists. As you know, the time element is important and I am glad you are holding the election in August.

I merely send this information to you at this time in order that you may keep posted.

With best personal wishes, always, I am

Faithfully yours,
(Signed) PAUL V. BETTERS,
Executive Director.

(Copy)

WESTERN UNION

July 15, 1936.

Mr. Edward Foley, General Counsel, Public Works Administration, Department of Interior, Washington, D. C.

San Francisco has made application for grant on courts building to cost three million two hundred twenty thousand dollars stop News

dispatches from Washington have carried information that forty five percent grant on this project has been tentatively approved stop Deem it very important that bond issue on this matter be submitted to electors at primary election August twentyfifth stop Preliminary resolutions and ordinances have been adopted by Board of Supervisors with reservations of some members that fortyfive percent grant should be approved before bond issue finally submitted to people stop Final action must be taken by Board not later than July twentyseventh stop Definite commitment by PWA before twentyseventh is most important so we may obtain necessary vote from Board of Supervisors to submit bond issue stop Doubt very much even if issue is submitted for August twentyfifth if it will carry unless we have commitment as to grant stop Mayor has today wired Colonel Hackett placing these views before him stop You being familiar to large extent with affairs in San Francisco would you use your good offices with colonel to end that we may have commitment on this proposition.

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

(Copy)

WESTERN COPY

San Francisco, July 16, 1936.

Mr. J. J. Madigan, Executive Office for the Administrator, Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Washington, D. C.

San Francisco has made application for grant for construction of courts building to cost three million two hundred twenty thousand dollars refer docket California fourteen hundred stop Board of Supervisors has approved necessary preliminary legislation calling special bond issue election for August twentyfifth stop dispatches from Washington carry information that fortyfive percent grant on project has been tentatively approved stop Final action of Board of Supervisors must be taken not later than July twentyseventh therefore definite commitment by PWA before twentyseventh is most important stop Mayor wired Colonel Hackett placing these views before him stop You are familiar with fiscal necessities in San Francisco and we would ask that you use your good offices to the end that we may have commitment on this proposition.

LEONARD S. LEAVY,
Controller.

**Motion.**

Thereupon, after discussion, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing bill was *ordered dropped from the Calendar, to be revived at the proper time.*

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

**Final Passage.**

The following Bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Treasurer to Sell Registered State Warrants.**
(Code No. 9.029)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1060, Ordinance No. 9.0291, as follows:

Authorizing the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco to sell registered warrants received from the State of California, and providing the procedure for such sale.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco shall receive from the State of California warrants for moneys due to the City and County of San Francisco from the State of Califor-

nia and when there are not sufficient funds in the treasury of the State of California to pay the amount of said warrants and said warrants are registered for future payment by the said State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, whenever he shall deem it necessary or beneficial, shall offer said warrants for sale to the highest and best bidder therefor, provided that no bid shall be accepted by the Treasurer for said warrants which is less than the face value thereof.

Section 2. Whenever the Treasurer shall deem it necessary or beneficial to sell and dispose of said warrants, he shall cause to be published in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice stating the time and place when bids will be received for said warrants and a brief description of the character of said warrants as well as the total amount thereof to be sold, and at the time and place fixed for the receiving of bids, the said Treasurer shall accept the highest and best bid made for said warrants. The said Treasurer may add any other condition which he may deem proper to be complied with by the persons bidding for said warrants.

Section 3. Upon the Treasurer accepting any bid for said warrants made under the conditions herein provided for, said Treasurer is hereby given full power and authority to endorse and deliver said warrants to the purchaser or purchasers thereof and to deposit the proceeds of said sale in the proper fund or funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

### Sale of $344,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932.

(Code No. 15.031)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2708, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper notice of sale that on the third day of August, 1936, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco.

$344,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, dated June 1, 1932, comprising 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1939 to 1957, inclusive, and 11 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing each year from 1958 to 1971, inclusive.

Said Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6% per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6% per annum, interest payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $144,382.41 From Public Utilities Improvement Fund for Construction Provided Thereunder.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1066, Ordinance No. 9.051289, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $144,382.41 out of the

accrued surplus existing in the Public Utilities Improvement Fund, Docket 1132, to the credit of Appropriation No. 566.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $144,382.41 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the Public Utilities Improvement Fund, Docket 1132, to the credit of Appropriation No. 566.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by E. G. Cahill, Department Head.

Approved by Resolution No. 1474, Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $1,825,798 From Surplus Existing in PWA Docket No. 1427—Shoals Fund to Provide Funds for Purposes of Said Docket.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1067, Ordinance No. 9.051290, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $1,825,798 to the credit of Appropriation No. 954.000.00 out of the accrued surplus existing in PWA Docket 1427, Shoals Fund, to provide funds for the purposes of this docket; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,825,798 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in PWA Docket 1427, Shoals Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 954.000.00, to provide funds for the purposes of this docket.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Jno. J. Sharon, Public Utilities Commission, Resolution No. 1462.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $3,200 for Employment of One Veterinarian, Seven Market Inspectors, and Transportation for Two Months—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1068, Ordinance No. 9.051291, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $3,200 out of the surplus

existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund, $2,850 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.102.04 for the employment of one veterinarian for two months at $200 per month, and seven market inspectors for two months each at $175 per month, and $350 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.203.50-4 for transportation allowance for seven inspectors at $25 each per month for two months; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,200 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund, $2,850 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.102.04 for the employment of one veterinarian for two months at $200 per month, and seven market inspectors for two months each at $175 per month, and $350 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.203.50-4 for transportation allowance for seven inspectors at $25 each per month for two months.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Health, as this Department is without funds to perform the services enumerated in section 1 hereof.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $15,120 From Surplus in Emergency Reserve Fund for Employment of Four Food Inspectors and Transportation Expense—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1069, Ordinance No. 9.051292, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $15,120 out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund, as follows: $8,400 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.102.11 for the employment of four Food Inspectors of cooling stations and skimming plants, and $6,720 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.201.11 for transportation and expenses of four Food Inspectors; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $15,120 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund, as follows: $8,400 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.102.11 for the employment of four Food Inspectors of cooling stations and skimming plants, and $6,720 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.201.11 for transportation and expenses of four Food Inspectors.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Health, as this Department is without funds to perform the services enumerated in section 1 hereof.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $2,100 to Provide One Bookkeeper in Controller's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1070, Ordinance No. 9.051293, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $2,100 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 660.101.00 for the purpose of adding one bookkeeper to the Controller's staff; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 660.101.00 for the purpose of adding one bookkeeper to the Controller's staff, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this department because of the increase in audit work in connection with Old Age Security, Needy Blind, Widows' Pensions, Maintenance of Minors, Feeble-Minded, Criminal Insane, Narcotics and State Schools as a result of the revision of qualifications under the 1935 legislative enactments by the state, and the Federal Social Security Grants-in-Aid.

Recommended by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 67 of Salary Ordinance—Controller's Office.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 1071, Ordinance No. 9.05382, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the employments enumerated under Item 5 from four to five positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. **CONTROLLER**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Controller | $ 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 200 |
| 3 | 8 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 185 |
| 4 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 180 |
| 5 | 5 | B4 | Bookkeeper | 175 |
| 6 | 3 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 200 |
| 7 | 6 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 8 | 1 | B7 | Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements | 225 |
| 9 | 1 | B8 | Supervisor of Disbursements | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 210 |
| 11 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 325 |
| 12 | 2 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 285 |
| 13 | 1 | B21 | Chief Assistant Controller | 575 |

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13½ | 1 | B26 | Supervisor of Budget Statistics | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B28 | Supervisor of General Audits | 325 |
| 15 | 1 | B30 | Supervisor of Utilities Audits | 325 |
| 16 | 1 | B55 | Supervisor of Pay Rolls | 310 |
| 17 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 79.50 |
| 18 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 20 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 185 |
| 21 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 22 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 23 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | $ 250 |
| 24 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 25 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 185 |
| 26 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 27 | 2 | B234 | Head Clerk | 225 |
| 28 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 210 |
| 29 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 190 |
| 30 | 2 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 175 |
| 31 | 3 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 165 |
| 32 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 155 |
| 33 | 2 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 34 | 2 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 35 | 5 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 36 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 37 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 38 | 1 | B417 | Confidential Secretary to the Controller | 225 |
| 39 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator | 155 |
| 40 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 41 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 42 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 43 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney—Civil | 400 |
| 44 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services | 150 |
| 45 | | | Field Bookkeepers or Accountants, (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) | 200 |

Recommended by Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Refund of Duplicate Tax Payment.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 2709, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10.55 be and the same is authorized paid to C. T. Devereaux; being duplicate payment of tax, as per Vol. 2/16, pp. 163/113, line 11, fiscal year 1932.

Recommended by the Assessor.

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Prohibiting Any Person in Charge of the Mechanical Operation of a Street Car or Trackless Trolley Car From Collecting Fares, Issuing Transfers or Making Change While Said Street Car Is in Motion.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1039, Ordinance No. 15.09115, as follows:

Prohibiting any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car or trackless trolley car from collecting fares, issuing transfers or making change while said street car is in motion.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car, or trackless trolley bus upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, shall not collect fares, issue transfers or make change while said street car or trackless trolley bus is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Providing for the Operation of Street Railway Cars on Certain Streets in the City and County of San Francisco by a Motorman and by a Conductor and Specifying the Age of Employees in Charge of Said Street Railway Cars; and Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Ordinance.**

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1072, Ordinance No. 15.09116, as follows:

Providing for the operation of street railway cars on certain streets in the City and County of San Francisco by a motorman and by a conductor and specifying the age of employees in charge of said street railway cars; and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every street railway car operating over Ninth street, over Larkin street or over Polk street in the City and County of San Francisco as well as every street railway car operating over Third street and over Kearny street in said City and County, while carrying passengers, shall be in charge of a motorman and a conductor. Each of said persons must be over the age of twenty-one (21) years and no such street railway car, while carrying passengers, shall be operated on or over the streets hereinabove mentioned unless said street railway car is in charge of a motorman and a conductor having the qualifications herein provided for.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined for each offense not less than fifty ($50) dollars or more than three hundred ($300) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the bill be laid over one week in order that he might consult with his attorney.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Conveyance of Road Easement to County of San Mateo for San Francisco-San Bruno Lateral Highway.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Bill No. 1073, Ordinance No. 15.02423, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of road easement to County of San Mateo for San Francisco-San Bruno lateral highway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for conveying a right of way for county road purposes to the County of San Mateo over the following described parcel of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Beginning at a point in the northeasterly line of that certain strip of land designated as Parcel 25 in the deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, pages 1 to 106, inclusive, San Mateo County Records, said point bearing north 64 degrees 28 minutes 30 seconds east 24 feet and south 34 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east 81.71 feet from "Point B" as mentioned in the deed above referred to; and running thence from said point of beginning south 34 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east along the boundary of said strip of land, 110.01 feet; thence south 55 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds west 37.13 feet to the line dividing said strip of land from the right of way of the Market Street Railway; thence along said dividing line north 36 degrees 45 minutes 30 seconds west 110.12 feet; thence leaving said dividing line north 55 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east 40.61 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way shall be made subject to such terms and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary documents for the conveyance of said right of way to the County of San Mateo.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Conveyance of Road Easement to County of San Mateo for Ralston Avenue Cutoff.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Also, Bill No. 1074, Ordinance No. 15.02424, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of road easement to County of San Mateo for Ralston avenue cutoff.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for conveying a right of way for county road purposes to the County of San Mateo over the following described parcels of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "H" 81+21.71 B. C. tangent to a line which bears south 31 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west said point being distant north 59 degrees 38 minutes west 34.79 feet from a concrete monument 30 feet left of and at right angles to County Engineer's Station 152+72.49 P. O. T. equating with County Engineer's Station 153+22.49 B. C. on the present county road from Belmont to Crystal Springs Dam, formerly known as Route 5, and also distant north 76 degrees 22 minutes east 630.41 feet from the most southerly corner of the lands of the San Mateo County Relief Home, thence from said point of beginning on and along said center line in a southwesterly direction on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet and a central angle of 43 degrees 6 minutes an arc distance of 188.06 feet; thence south 74 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds west 281.12 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 85+90.89 P. O. T.; thence continuing on and along said center line south 74 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds west 596.45 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 500 feet and a central angle of 45 degrees 38 minutes an arc distance of 398.23 feet; thence north 60 degrees 11 minutes 30 seconds west 1189.69 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 107+75.26 P. O. T. equating with County Engineer's Station "H" 108+25.01 B. C.; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 1,500 feet and a central angle of 11 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds an arc distance of 311.32 feet; thence north 48 degrees 18 minutes west 1,118.08 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 350 feet and a central angle of 54 degrees 22 minutes 45 seconds an arc distance of 332.18 feet; thence south 77 degrees 19 minutes 15 seconds west 582.46 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 131+69.05 B. C.; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 300 feet and a central angle of 33 degrees 1 minute 30 seconds an arc distance of 172.92 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 133+41.97 E. C. equating with County Engineer's Station "L" 134+33.40 P. O. T.; thence south 44 degrees 17 minutes 45 seconds west 248.49 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 150 feet and a central angle of 32 degrees 24 minutes an arc distance of 84.82 feet to County Engineer's Station "L" 137+66.71 P. R. C. equating with Engineer's Station 240+71.46 P. O. C. State highway, known as the Skyline boulevard; saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying within the 80-foot right of way of said State highway; also excepting therefrom a right of way easement for a gas pipe line granted by the City to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by deed dated June 13, 1932, and recorded in Liber 570, page 294, Official Records of San Mateo County.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "H" 81+21.71 B. C. as described in Parcel No. 1 equating with County Engineer's Station "Y" 0+00 P. O. T.; thence from said point of beginning on and along said center line south 31 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west 478.56 feet to County Engineer's Station "Y" 4+78.56 P. O. T. equating with County Engineer's Station "W" 0+00 B. C., saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying within the 60-foot strip described in Parcel No. 1.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "W" 0+00 B. C. equating with County Engineer's Station "Y" 4+78.56 P. O. T. as described in Parcel No. 2, said point being tangent to a line which bears north 31 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east; thence from said point of beginning on and along said center line in a northerly direction on a curve to the left having a radius of 150 feet and a central angle of 136 degrees 54 minutes an arc distance of 358.40 feet to County Engineer's Station "W" 3+58.40 E. C. equating with County Engineer's Station "H" 85+90.89 P. O. T. as described in Parcel No. 1, saving and excepting therefrom those portions lying within the 60-foot strips as described in Parcels No. 1 and No. 2.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "H-2" 131+69.05 B. C., said point being identical with "H" 131+69.05 B. C. as described in Parcel No. 1 and tangent to a line which bears south 77 degrees 19 minutes 15 seconds west, thence from said point of beginning continuing on and along said center line in a northwesterly direction on a curve to the right, having a radius of 300 feet and a central angle of 33 degrees 01 minutes 30 seconds an arc distance of 172.92 feet; thence north 69 degrees 39 minutes 15 seconds west 127.15 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 150 feet and a central angle of 53 degrees 14 minutes 15 seconds an arc distance of 139.37 feet to County Engineer's Station "H-2" 136+08.49 P. R. C. equating with Engineer's Station 235+77.32 P. O. C. of the State highway, known as Skyline boulevard, saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying within the 80-foot right of way of said State highway and also that portion lying within the 60-foot strip as described in Parcel No. 1.

Excepting from said Parcels Nos. 1 and 4 a right of way easement for an electric transmission line granted by the Spring Valley Water Company to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by deed dated January 3, 1927, and recorded February 5, 1927, in Liber 281, page 148, Official Records of San Mateo County.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way shall be made subject to such terms and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary documents for the conveyance of said right of way to the County of San Mateo.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS, AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Member, Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2711, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing July 28, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto. Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Charles F. Traung.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2712, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 1, 1936, with premission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**San Francisco to Visit Oakland on Completion of Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2713, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby suggest and recommend that the people of San Francisco, in a spirit of neighborly friendship with the inhabitants of the East Bay District, shall, to the extent of their ability and convenience, arrange to visit our neighboring City of Oakland on November 12, 1936, when the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be opened for vehicular travel; and be it further

Resolved, That all officers and officials of the City and County Government be requested to join in a body in this great civic visit to the City of Oakland.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Re Parking Meter Ordinance.**

Supervisor Uhl requested, in connection with consideration of Parking Meter Ordinance, written opinion from the City Attorney on question regarding the renting of street or sidewalk space to individuals or firms, for parking meters or other purposes.

**Announcement.**

Supervisor Mead announced a meeting of Fire, Safety and Police Committee for Thursday, July 23, at 10 a. m.

**Death of M. Earl Cummings.**

Supervisor Havenner declared that he had learned with deep regret of the passing of a distinguished San Franciscan, artist of reputation and member of the Park Commission. He moved that the Clerk be directed to prepare suitable resolution in memoriam and send copy of same to relatives.

*So ordered.*

Board of Supervisors, July 27, 1936.

To the family of the late M. Earl Cummings:

Supervisor Franck R. Havenner moved that the Board of Supervisors adjourn on July 27, 1936, out of respect to the memory of the late M. Earl Cummings.

For thirty-two years Earl Cummings served the City as a member of the Park Commission. He gave unstintingly of his time and talent to the duties of his commissionership. He strove at all times to promote the beauties of San Francisco and enhance its attractions in art.

His passing is a distinct loss to the City and the Park Commission. The Board of Supervisors extends deepest sympathy to the relatives of Earl Cummings.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 6:50 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors ~~July 27, 1936.~~ August 3-1936

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 32

Monday, August 3, 1936
Tuesday, August 4, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



AUG 10 1936 Approved

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, AUGUST 3, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 3, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Supervisors Brown and Colman were noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt excused on leave.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of July 13, 14, 15, 20 and 27, considered read and approved.

### Sale of Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, August 3, 1936, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$344,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, dated June 1, 1932, comprising 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year, from 1939 to 1957, inclusive, and 11 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing each year, 1958 to 1971, inclusive. The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6 per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest

rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition. No alternate bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

**Bids.**

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) The Anglo California National Bank; Heller, Bruce & Co., per The Anglo California National Bank, by Alger J. Jacobs, representative. $170,000—$10,000 par value on June 1, in each of years 1939 to 1955, both years inclusive, and $174,000—$10,000 par value on June 1, in each of years 1956 and 1957; $11,000 par value on June 1, in each of years 1958 to 1971, both years inclusive. We hereby bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery, plus a premium of $29.50.

(2) Schwabacher & Co.; Wm. R. Staats Company; Shaw, Glover & Co., by Schwabacher & Co.—L. P. Sargent. For all but no part of the following described legally issued, properly executed, and direct general obligations of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, namely $344,000 principal amount of the City and County of San Francisco Hetch Hetchy bonds 1932 to bear interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum for the bonds maturing $10,000 each year June 1, 1939 to June 1, 1942, both inclusive, and 2¾ per cent per annum for the bonds maturing $10,000 each year June 1, 1943 to June 1, 1957, both inclusive, and 2¾ per cent per annum for the bonds maturing $11,000 each year June 1, 1958, to June 1, 1971, both inclusive, to be in coupon form and in the denomination of $1,000 as further described in the official notice of sale, we bid the sum of $344,000, being the par value thereof, plus a premium of $957.57 and accrued interest to date of delivery. (Bid made on the condition that we will be furnished, without expense to us, a satisfactory legal opinion by Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys of New York, proving the legality of this issue.)

(3) Bankamerica Company; Blyth & Co., Inc.; American Trust Company; R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by A. K. Browne. $20,000—$10,000 par value of June 1st in each of years 1939 to 1940, both years inclusive. Bearing interest at 4 per cent per annum. $324,000—$10,000 par value on June 1st in each of years 1941 to 1957, both years inclusive, at 2¾ per cent interest. $11,000 par value on June 1st in each of the years 1958 to 1971, both years inclusive. (Subject to being furnished, without expense to ourselves, with satisfactory legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman.) (And in addition thereto a premium of $21.)

(4) Edward B. Smith & Co.; Mitchum, Tully & Co., by John W. Ogden, vice-president. For all but no part of the following described, legally issued, properly executed, direct general obligations of the City and County of San Francisco, California, namely $344,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, to be dated June 1, 1932, to mature each June 1, $90,000 par value maturing $10,000 each year 1939 to 1947, inclusive, to bear interest as to rate of 2.25 per cent; 254 par value maturing $10,000 each year 1948 to 1957, inclusive, and $11,000 each year 1958 to 1971, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent without option of prior payment, to be in coupon form in the denomination of $1,000, principal and semi-annual interest (June 1 and December 1) to be payable in San Francisco, California, or in New York City, and as

further described in official notice of sale signed J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, we bid the sum of $344,206.40 or $100.06 for each $100 bonds and accrued interest as evidenced by coupons attached to bonds. (Subject to legal opinion being furnished, without expense to us, by Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, New York City, approving the validity of the Bonds, together with certified papers examined by them.)

(5) Brush, Slocumb & Co., by Waite H. Stephenson. For the $344,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds dated June 1, 1932, of a denomination of $1,000 maturing each year 1939 to 1971, inclusive, all as per your published notice of sale, we bid par and accrued interest to date of delivery for bonds bearing interest as follows: $70,000 par value, maturing $10,000 each year June 1, 1939, to June 1, 1945, both dates inclusive, at 3 per cent; $70,000 par value, maturing $10,000 each year June 1, 1946, to June 1, 1952, both dates inclusive, at 2¾ per cent. $204,000 par value maturing June 1, 1953, to June 1, 1971, both dates inclusive, at 3 per cent. (Subject to legal opinion by Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, without cost.)

(6) Brown, Harriman & Co., Incorporated; Weeden & Co., by Brown, Harriman & Co., Incorporated—Ivan W. Wing. For all but no part of $344,000 City and County of San Francisco Hetch Hetchy Bonds, dated June 1, 1932, in coupon form and in $1,000 pieces. Maturing without option of prior payment $10,000 each June 1, 1939 to 1957, inclusive and $11,000 each June 1, 1958 to 1971, inclusive. The first $40,000 maturing from 1939 to and including 1942 to bear interest at the rate of 6 per cent and the remaining $304,000 maturing from 1943 to and including 1971 to bear interest at the rate of 2¾ per cent we will pay $344,000, which is the par value thereof, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of $817. (Subject to approving opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York City, stating that these bonds are full and general obligations of the City and County of San Francisco, both principal and interest being payable from unlimited ad valorem taxes to be levied against all the taxable property within the City and County.)

(7) Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.; Union Bank & Trust Co., of Los Angeles, by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc. For all, but not for any part less than all, of Three Hundred Forty-Four Thousand Dollars ($344,000) principal amount of City and County of San Francisco Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, which bonds are a direct and general obligation of the entire City and County, to be sold at 3 p. m., August 3, 1936, dated June 1, 1932, maturing $10,000 each year June 1, 1939 to 1957, inclusive, and $11,000 each year June 1, 1958 to 1971, inclusive, all without option of prior payment, said bonds to bear interest at the rates as follows, to-wit: $278,000 par value being $10,000 each year maturing 1939 to 1957, inclusive, and $11,000 each year maturing 1958 to 1965, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of three per cent (3 per cent) per annum $68,000 par value being $11,000 each year maturing 1966 to 1971, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of two and three-quarters per cent (2¾) per annum, payable semi-annually June 1st and December 1st, all bonds in the denomination of $1,000, we bid the sum of par and accrued interest to the date of delivery, together with a premium of Four Hundred and Eighty-Two Dollars ($482). (Subject to being furnished final opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman approving legality of the issue, together with certified copy of transcript of proceedings upon which their opinion is based, and further subject to their being no litigation pending or threatened concerning the validity of the bonds at the time of delivery.)

(8) Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago; Northern Trust Company, Chicago, by Harris Trust & Savings Bank, George E. Springer, representative. For $344,000 (all or none of Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, date June 1, 1932; to constitute direct, general obligations of said City and County; denomina-

tion $1,000; maturing $10,000 annually on June 1st in each of the years 1939 to 1957, and $11,000 on June 1st in each of the years 1958 to 1971, inclusive, without option or prior payment; bearing 3 per cent interest for the years 1939 to 1946, inclusive, bearing 2¾ per cent interest for the years 1947 to 1956, inclusive, bearing 3 per cent interest for the years 1957 to 1971, inclusive, payable semi-annually; principal and interest payable at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County or at the fiscal agency in the city of New York; we will pay you the par value thereof and accrued interest to date of delivery, and a premium of $117. (Subject to legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, approving the legality of said bonds and the sale thereof, together with the certified papers examined by them, etc.)

(9) Lazard, Freres & Co,. Inc.; Dick & Merle-Smith; Donnellan & Co., by Donnellan & Co., Ivan B. Heflebower, Manager Municipal Department. For the $344,000 City and County of San Francisco, Hetch Hetchy bonds of 1932. Dated June 1, 1932. Denomination of $1,000 each. Maturing $10,000 June 1, 1939, and $5,000 June 1, 1940; bearing interest at the rate of five (5) per cent per annum and maturing $5,000 June 1, 1940, and $10,000 each year June 1, 1941 to 1957, inclusive, and $11,000 each year June 1, 1958 to 1971, inclusive, bearing interest at the rate of two and three-quarters (2¾) per cent per annum. Payable semi-annually on all bonds June 1st and December 1st. We will pay you on delivery to us the sum of par and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium thereover of $186. (Subject to being furnished without cost the legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman approving the legality of these bonds in all respects.)

(10) R. H. Moulton & Company; Dean Witter & Co., by R. H. Moulton & Company, by B. G. Lyon. For $344,000, par value City and County of San Francisco Hetch Hetchy Bonds, of the denomination of $1,000 each to be dated June 1, 1932. $30,000 par value to mature $10,000 June 1, 1939 to 1941, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 6 per cent. $314,000 par value to mature $10,000 June 1, 1942 to 1957, inclusive, $11,000 June 1, 1958 to 1971, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 2¾ per cent interest payable semi-annually June 1st and December 1st, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in New York City, we will pay you $344,000, being the par value of said bonds and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of $777. (Subject to being furnished, free of charge, with the final legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, approving the issuance of these bonds in all respects.

(11) Macey, Dunn & Co., Inc. (New York City). In accordance with notice of sale will pay Three Hundred Forty-Five Thousand Two Hundred Seven Dollars and Forty-Four Cents for Three Hundred Forty-Four Thousand Hetch Hetchy Bonds the first maturing Two Hundred Twelve Thousand to bear interest at Two and One-Half per cent and the last maturing One Hundred Thirty-Two Thousand to bear interest at Three per cent.

**Adopted.**

Subsequently, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

**Sale of $344,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds 1932.**

(Code No. 15.031.)

Resolution No. 2728, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday,

August 3, 1936, and opened and considered by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$344,000 Hetch Hetchy bonds 1932, dated June 1, 1932, comprising 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year, from 1939 to 1957, inclusive, and 11 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing each year, 1958 to 1971, inclusive. The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding six per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding six per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., American Trust Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., By Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, By A. K. Browne, as follows:

BANKAMERICA COMPANY
485 California Street

San Francisco, Calif., August 3, 1936.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: For the $344,000 par value Hetch Hetchy Bonds 1932, of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery, and in addition thereto a premium of $21.00.

$20,000 par value Hetch Hetchy Bonds 1932, of the City and County of San Francisco, California; dated June 1, 1932; of the denomination of $1,000 each; bearing interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, payable semi-annually and maturing:

$10,000 par value on June 1st in each of the years 1939 to 1940, both years inclusive.

$324,000 par value Hetch Hetchy Bonds 1932, of the City and County of San Francisco, California; dated June 1, 1932; of the denomination of $1,000 each; bearing interest at the rate of two and three-quarters per cent (2¾%) per annum, payable semi-annually and maturing:

$10,000 par value on June 1st in each of the years 1941 to 1957, both years inclusive, and

$11,000 par value on June 1st in each of the years 1958 to 1971, both years inclusive.

The above bid is made with the understanding that if successful we shall be furnished, without expense to ourselves, with the satisfactory legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood & Hoffman of New York City, New York, approving the legality of all of said $344,000 principal amount of bonds in all respects, as set forth in your regularly printed notice of sale.

Enclosed please find certified check for $10,000 drawn upon the Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., which is to be returned to us in the event this bid is not accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
BLYTH & CO., INC.,
AMERICAN TRUST COMPANY,
R. W. PRESSPRICH & CO.,
By Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by A. K. Browne.

be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., American Trust Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., By Bankamerica Com-

pany, Syndicate Manager, by A. K. Browne, in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following bills, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Providing for the Reimbursement of City and County of San Francisco for Aid Granted Persons Either Directly or by Institutional Care, Etc.**

(Code No. 18.01)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1014, Ordinance No. 18.013, as follows:

Providing for the reimbursement of the City and County of San Francisco for aid granted to persons, either directly or by institutional care, and directing the officer, board or commission giving or granting such aid, either directly or by institutional care, to provide for the reimbursement of the City and County for the amount of aid so granted and directing the taking of obligations from the person or persons receiving said aid for the reimbursement of the City and County for said aid so granted.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every person who is given or shall receive aid directly or indirectly from public moneys drawn through the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco shall be liable for the value of said aid so allowed, granted, or given, and the value thereof shall constitute a first lien on all property, real, personal, or mixed, that said person may possess, acquire, or have an interest in.

Section 2. The actual cost of direct or indirect aid shall constitute its value. The Board of Supervisors shall not later than the last Monday of August of each year establish by resolution a basic rate for evaluating institutional care allowed, granted, or given to persons at the Laguna Honda Home, and the rate so established shall constitute prima facie evidence of the reasonableness of said charge and the resulting amount which shall be due to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. As a consideration and as a condition precedent to the allowing, granting or giving of aid, the officer, board or commission charged with the duty of allowing, granting or giving of aid shall take from every person now receiving aid and from every person who may hereafter be allowed, granted, or given aid the following agreement:

"AGREEMENT TO REIMBURSE FOR MONEYS EXPENDED OR AID GRANTED OR GIVEN.

"In consideration of the granting of aid to me by the City and County of San Francisco, and as a condition precedent thereto, I hereby pledge, promise and agree to reimburse and repay said City and County for all sums of money actually expended in my behalf or aid granted or given by the City and County of San Francisco for my care and maintenance, provided I am able to

pay for the same in whole or in part, and I further agree that any claim for such moneys expended in my behalf or aid granted or given me by said City and County shall be a preferred claim against me and a first lien on all property now owned or possessed by me or that may hereafter be acquired by me or in my behalf.

"Statute of Limitations is hereby forever waived.

"This agreement is binding upon myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and assigns.

"Dated this.....day of....., 193....

.....

Signature of Applicant in Full Residing at:

"Witness:

..... ....."

Section 3a. Provided, however, that the form of the lien to be taken in connection with aid to the needy aged shall be as prescribed by the State Department of Social Welfare.

Section 4. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall prescribe the procedure governing the evaluation of institutional care at the Laguna Honda Home, the auditing, accounting, reporting and collecting of all obligations arising under this ordinance in accordance with the provisions of Sections 64 and 75 of the Charter.

Section 5. Every person who knowingly, fraudulently or designedly conceals or withholds any information concerning his property or financial condition, means or ability to pay, or who knowingly makes or causes to be made, either directly or indirectly, or through any agency whatsoever, any false statement in writing, with intent that it shall be relied upon respecting his property, or financial condition, means or ability to pay of himself or any other person in whom he is interested, or for whom he is acting for the purposes recited in this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than $500, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such person, in addition to the penalties hereinabove set forth, shall be liable for the full value of the aid thus fraudulently obtained.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Appropriating $63,850 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco for Month of August, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1062, Ordinance No. 9.051286, as follows:

Appropriating $63,850 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of August, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,850 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of August, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby

authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution 1942 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

**Director of Property to Lease Property for Exposition Purposes.**

(Code No. 12.17354)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 1064, Ordinance No. 12.173544, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease certain real property in the City and County of San Francisco for fair and exposition purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California approved May 9, 1935 (Statutes 1935, chapter 162), amending that act of said Legislature approved June 13, 1933, hereinafter referred to and pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease (a) those certain tidelands and submerged lands in the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter referred to, now in course of reclamation and improvement, with the improvements thereon or to be placed thereon, for use only for fair and exposition purposes, and (b) in connection therewith and as a part thereof, that certain lot of land hereinafter described, with the improvements thereon, for the administration of said fair and exposition, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent, and for a term which shall not extend beyond the first day of January, 1941. The said lease shall include such terms and conditions as said Commission may recommend to the Director of Property as necessary or proper with regard to the ultimate use of said tidelands and submerged lands as a public airport.

The said lease shall also impose upon the lessee or lessees therein an obligation to contribute to the costs of the works of reclaiming and improving said tidelands and submerged lands in accordance with the recommendations of said Public Utilities Commission as set forth in the resolution of said Commission adopted on the 13th day of July, 1936, a duly certified copy whereof was on the 16th day of July, 1936, filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, to which reference is hereby made for the further particulars thereof.

Section 2. The tidelands and submerged lands, referred to in subdivision (a) of Section 1 hereof, the lease whereof is authorized as aforesaid, are those lands which are more particularly described in that act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An act granting certain tidelands and submerged lands of the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for development and use as a public airport, regulating the management, use, lease and control thereof, authorizing the reclamation and certain improvements of said lands and the construction and maintenance of a bridge or causeway connecting said lands with Yerba Buena Island" approved June 13, 1933. The lot of land referred to in subdivision (b) of Section 1 hereof, the lease of which is authorized as aforesaid, is that certain lot in said City and County of San Francisco described as follows:

"Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bush street with the easterly line of Stockton street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Bush street 137.5 feet; thence at

right angles southerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles westerly 137.5 feet to the easterly line of Stockton street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Stockton street 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

"Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 118."

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was, on motion of Supervisor Colman, *re-referred to the Committee*:

**An Ordinance Defining Public Parks, Board of Park Commissioners, Superintendent and Secretary; Establishing Rules and Regulations; Defining Particular Offenses; Providing for Permits and Procedure Therefor; Establishing Traffic Regulations; Providing a Penalty for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Orders and Ordinances or Parts of Orders and Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.**

(Code No. 23.011)

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113, as follows:

An ordinance defining public parks, Board of Park Commissioners, superintendent and secretary; establishing rules and regulations; defining particular offenses; providing for permits and procedure therefor; establishing traffic regulations; providing a penalty for a violation thereof; and repealing all orders and ordinances or parts of orders and ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

## CHAPTER I.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

### DEFINITIONS.

Sec. 1. Public Parks defined.
Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

SEC. 1. **Public Parks Defined.** When used in this code the words "Public Parks, Squares or Pleasure Grounds" shall include all parks, squares, all grounds surrounding public buildings, all roadways and avenues, and the Great Highway and all other territory within the jurisdiction and under the control of the Park Commission as set forth in Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this code the words "Board of Park Commissioners" or "Park Commissioners" shall refer to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 3. **Superintendent Defined.** When used in this code the word "Superintendent" shall refer to the Superintendent of Parks, as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 4. **Secretary Defined.** When used in this code the word "Secretary" shall refer to the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

## ARTICLE 2.

## RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Sec. 7. Observation of rules and regulations.
Sec. 8. Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.
Sec. 9. Regulating use on concert days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.
Sec. 10. Exclusion of public when necessary.
Sec. 11. Prohibiting use of bridle paths by pedestrians.
Sec. 12. Riding and driving animals and vehicles confined to designated roads.
Sec. 13. Restricting vehicles to certain areas.
Sec. 14. Performance of labor in parks.
Sec. 15. Regulating games, baseball, etc., throwing missiles.

SEC. 7. **Observation of Rules and Regulations.** No person shall disobey or violate any of the rules and regulations regulating the use and enjoyment of any of the buildings and structures in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, which rules and regulations at the time are posted in some conspicuous place in such building and structure; nor shall any person disobey any instruction or any sign in any of said public grounds; nor shall any person refuse any reasonable request of any police officer in any of the said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds; and the Superintendent of said park or manager or person in charge of said buildings or structures, may refuse admission to, and may expel therefrom, any person refusing to comply with said rules and regulations.

SEC. 8. **Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.** The building situated in Golden Gate Park and known as the "Sharon Building," and the grounds around and adjacent to the same, the swings, spring boards, merry-go-round, croquet games, tennis courts, and the grounds prepared for baseball and other sports and such places designated and set apart by the Board of Park Commissioners are hereby designated and set apart as quarters and playground for children who shall visit the park and shall be used and occupied exclusively by said children and the parents, guardians or other persons accompanying or having the said children in charge. The rules and regulations for the government of said children's quarters and playground, and the persons who shall be entitled to use and enjoy the same, and of the occupations and amusements which may be carried upon the same shall be designated from time to time hereafter by the Park Commissioners by printed notices, which shall be posted in some conspicuous point in or near the said buildings; and all persons visiting or using the said children's quarters and playgrounds shall conform to and obey such posted notices and regulations.

SEC. 9. **Regulating Use On Concert Days of Main Drive and Museum Road in Golden Gate Park.** All persons are forbidden to walk across or upon the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park between Seventh and Tenth avenues on concert days, between the hours of 1:30 and 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and during said hours must pass under the Granite Bridge in going to or leaving the concert grounds between these points; and provided further that during said above mentioned hours on concert days no pedestrians shall be allowed on the pedestrian path paralleling Main Drive, on the south side thereof, between Seventh and Eleventh avenues, or concourse and at said above mentioned periods, vehicles when going west in immediate vicinity of concourse must take the

ıner drive; the Museum Road between the Main Drive and Japanese Tea arden is closed for vehicle traffic during said periods of time.

SEC. 10. **Exclusion of Public When Necessary.** In case of an emergency r where in the judgment of the Superintendent the public interest demands it, ortions of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds may be closed to the ublic, and all persons thereby be excluded therefrom until permission is given ɔ return.

SEC. 11. **Prohibiting Use of Bridle Paths by Pedestrians.** No person shall ralk upon any bridle path, or drive, paralleling the Main Drive in Golden ate Park, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 12. **Riding and Driving Animals and Vehicles Confined to Designated oads.** No person shall within any of said public parks, squares or pleasure rounds, ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle f any kind elsewhere than on the roads or driveways provided and designated or such purpose.

SEC. 13. **Restricting Vehicles to Certain Areas.** No person shall place, ropel, ride or drive any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle on any idewalk or footpath in or around any of the public parks, squares or pleasure rounds, or in that portion of the Golden Gate Park known as "Conservatory Valley," or on that portion of said park adjacent to the Music Stand, or upon r over any of the walks or drive leading up, down or upon any part of Strawerry Hill in said park, excepting on the roads, driveways, avenues, and highvays, especially provided and designated by the Park Commissioners for that urpose.

SEC. 14. **Performance of Labor in Parks.** Under the supervision of the Superintendent of Parks the park will perform any labor in or on any public ark, square, or pleasure ground, such as taking up and replacing soil, ground nd pavements, structures, trees, shrubs and the like, and for the performance f any work for which a permit is granted to any corporation or individual, all abor and material shall be charged against such corporation, firm or person.

SEC. 15. **Regulating Games, Baseball, Etc. Throwing Missiles.** No person hall play ball, fly kites, play football, or engage in any other game or games n or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at uch places therein as shall be especially designated and provided for such urpose; nor shall any person throw any stone or other missile in any of the ublic parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. Baseball may be played at the hildren's ball grounds for children under twelve years, ball grounds in Golden Gate Park opposite Seventh avenue, and athletic games may be played at the Stadium and such places as set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners. A permit must first be obtained from the Park Commissioners when the Stadium is to be used for exhibition games.

## CHAPTER II.

## PARTICULAR OFFENSES.

### ARTICLE 1.

### ADVERTISING.

Sec. 21. Handbills, circulars, advertisements, etc.

Sec. 22. Advertising vehicles restricted to transverse roads.

SEC. 21. **Handbills, Circulars, Advertisements, Etc.** No person shall distribute, circulate or give away in or throw in or deposit in or on any of said

public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any handbills, circulars, pamphlet tracts, dodgers or advertisements, or post or affix to any trees, shrubs, plant fence, building, structure, dome, monument, chair or bench, roads or drives c any thing or place situate therein any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tract dodgers or advertisements.

SEC. 22. **Advertising Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads.** No vehicl having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name c owner, name of maker or name of vehicle, or sign shall be allowed within an of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, provided, however, tha drays, trucks, wagons and other vehicles, if not loaded to exceed six (6) ton gross, may use the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park betwee Lincoln Way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue and/or Twenty-fifth avenue and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street

## ARTICLE 2.

## ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 26. Animals.
Sec. 27. Dogs.
Sec. 28. Disturb or feed fish, birds, quadrupeds, etc.

SEC. 26. **Animals.** No person shall lead, turn in or let loose, or permit to run at large any cattle, horses, goats, sheep, swine or other animals, or fow of any kind in or upon any such public parks, squares or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 27. **Dogs.** No person having the care and charge of any dog shall suffer, allow or permit any such dog to enter upon or remain in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, unless said dog is fastened to and led by a chain or line of suitable strength and not more than eight (8) feet long. Nor shall any person having the care or charge of any dog permit such dog to enter the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or any of the sand boxes, play apparatus or play areas normally reserved for children, or drink the water of any lake or pond in any of the parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such place or places as may be maintained or designated therefor.

SEC. 28. **Disturb or Feed Fish, Birds, Quadrupeds, Etc.** No person shall, in any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, disturb the fish, annoy, strike, chase, snare or set snares for, catch, injure or destroy any rabbit, quail, bird or wild quadruped, nor injure or maltreat any domestic or other animal, quail or bird therein, nor shall any person rob, molest or interfere with any bird's nest therein, and no person shall give or offer or attempt to give to any animal within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds any tobacco or other known noxious article, or substance the giving of which shall be prohibited by posted notices. It shall be unlawful for any person to feed or offer food to any of the animals, birds or reptiles in the Zoological Park of the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield.

## ARTICLE 3.

## NUISANCES.

Sec. 34. Depositing refuse.

SEC. 34. **Depositing Refuse.** No person shall place, deposit or dump, or cause to be placed, deposited or dumped in or upon any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any garbage, refuse, dirt, ashes, broken glass, crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, or any dead animal or dead fowl; nor shall any person leave or scatter about on any of said public parks, squares, or

leasure grounds, any empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of lunches, ewspaper, or rubbish of any kind, and same shall be taken away or deposited n cans or other receptacles provided for the reception of waste matter.

## ARTICLE 4.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

ec. 39. Indecent or disorderly conduct or language.
ec. 40. Bathing restrictions—water pollution.
ec. 41. Undressing on Ocean Beach.
ec. 42. Athletic attire restricted.
ec. 43. Bonfires.
ec. 44. Camping or tarrying over night.
ec. 45. Selling merchandise prohibited.

SEC. 39. **Indecent or Disorderly Conduct or Language.** No person shall ndulge in any brawl, riotous, boisterous or indecent conduct, or engage in any ighting or boxing contest, in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall use profane, indecent, threatening or violent anguage therein; and no intoxicated, noisy, disorderly or publicly offensive person shall enter or remain therein.

SEC. 40. **Bathing Restrictions, Water Pollution.** No person shall bathe in he waters of any pond, stream, lake or pool in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purposes; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard and north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park; and no person shall throw into or cause to be deposited any dirt, filth, or foreign matter in or near or in any manner pollute the waters of any of the ponds, streams, lakes or pools.

SEC. 41. **Undressing on Ocean Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard on the Ocean Beach north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 42. **Athletic Attire Restricted.** No person shall appear in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, clad in any athletic costume or attire in which any part of the legs, arms or trunk is exposed to view, except at the places therein as shall have been specially set apart for athletic sports for which such costume or attire is appropriate, such as the Stadium and ball grounds and such places set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners.

SEC. 43. **Bonfires.** No person shall kindle or cause to be kindled any bonfire or fire of any kind in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways thereof, except in the places set apart and designated therefor by the Park Commissioners, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

SEC. 44. **Camping or Tarrying Overnight.** No person shall camp, lodge, sleep, or tarry overnight in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 45. **Selling Merchandise Prohibited.** No person shall sell, vend, dis-

pose of, or offer for sale, any goods, wares or merchandise in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. And no person shall erect or maintain any structure, counter, table, tent, or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, used or intended to be used in the selling or exposing or exposing for sale any goods, wares or merchandise.

## ARTICLE 5.

## GAMES OF CHANCE.

Sec. 50. Gambling, fortune telling.

SEC. 50. **Gambling, Fortune Telling.** No person shall maintain, conduct, expose, exhibit or play any game of chance, gambling table or contrivance or instrument of gaming or gambling in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and all and every manner of gaming and gambling is prohibited. And no person shall engage in or practice fortune telling or any like practice therein.

## ARTICLE 6.

## GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS.

Sec. 53. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 54. Parades, picnics, etc., permits for.

Sec. 55. Funeral procession, routes restricted.

SEC. 53. **Holding Meetings, Discussions.** No person or persons shall hold any public meeting of any kind or nature, nor hold any public discussion or debate, where five or more persons are gathered together for that purpose, in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 54. **Parades, Picnics, Etc. Permits for.** Any company, society, social club, or organization, when the number of members thereof shall exceed twenty-five (25) persons, desiring to resort to and use any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, for parade, picnicking or other pleasurable purposes, shall at least one day prior to the proposed parade, or picnic or use thereof, notify the Superintendent of said grounds or the Secretary of the Park Commissioners of their intention so to use said grounds, and obtain a permit in writing therefor.

SEC. 55. **Funeral Procession, Routes Restricted.** No person having the charge of any funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon or other vehicle designated for or carrying the body of a deceased person for burial or otherwise shall enter in or go upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting on or over the transverse roadway leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 7.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 60. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.

Sec. 61. Removing trees, turf, soil, rock, etc.

ec. 62. Removal of bathing apparel, towels, other personal property.
ec. 63. Trespass on public grounds, turf, shrubbery.
ec. 64. Restrictions and defacing of ladies' rest rooms.
ec. 65. Destruction, mutilation, defacement, etc., of park buildings, property, furniture, fixtures, etc.

SEC. 60. **Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig p or in any manner injure any tree, shrub, plant, in or growing in any of the ublic parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or in any building or structure nerein situated.

SEC. 61. **Removing Trees, Turf, Soil, Rock, Etc.** No person shall remove ny tree, wood, turf, grass, soil or rock or anything of like kind in or belonging o said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways or highways nereof.

SEC. 62. **Removal of Bathing Apparel, Towels, Other Personal Property.** o person shall take, carry away or remove from any of the parks or playrounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners any towel, bathing ap, bathing suit, bathing apparatus, or other personal property under the ontrol of or title to which is vested in the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 63. **Trespass on Public Grounds, Turf, Shrubbery.** No person shall go ithin any shrubbery or climb any tree in any of said public parks, squares, r pleasure grounds, nor shall any person walk upon any ground therein where mbs or branches of trees or shrubs come within three feet of the ground; nor hall any person walk on the turf bordering walks or drives, except to immeiately cross the same.

SEC. 64. **Restrictions and Defacing of Ladies' Restrooms.** No male person ver the age of ten years shall enter any ladies' toilet, room or apartment within ny of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall cut r in any wise deface the walls, doors or any part of ladies' toilet, room or partment; and no person shall write, print or paint on any part thereof any ame, figure, picture, design, word, language, or sign of any kind.

SEC. 65. **Destruction, Multilation, Defacement, Etc., of Park Buildings, roperty, Furniture, Fixtures, Etc.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, ut or otherwise mar or injure any building, structure, dome, monument, chair r other furniture, fixture, or fence in, or belonging to, any of the public parks, quares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall write, paint or cut any igure thereon, or place thereon any writing, painting or printing, or write upon, aint or cut any building, structure, dome, monument, chair, or other furniture, ixture or fence in any manner whatsoever; nor shall any person climb or go ver any of said property.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERMITS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 69. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
Sec. 70. Injury or damage to walks, plots or roadways.
Sec. 71. Exposing, disconnecting, pipes, sewers, etc.
Sec. 72. Moving buildings across parks. Permits.
Sec. 73. Erecting structure or obstruction. Permit.
Sec. 74. Leaving building materials on public grounds.
Sec. 75. Permits and bonds.
Sec. 76. All permits to be issued by and fees paid to Secretary.

Sec. 77. Condition of grounds after repairs.
Sec. 78. Removal of buildings and penalty.
Sec. 79. Display of red lights during obstruction or excavation.
Sec. 80. Permit to transport or sell wares.
Sec. 81. Maintaining places of amusement—permit necessary.

SEC. 69. **Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** The space in Marina Park at the foot of Scott and Divisadero streets, known and designated as Yacht Harbor, is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft and boats; but no boat or craft of any character may moor or enter the aforesaid mooring grounds until after a permit in writing has been obtained from the Park Commissioners, in which event it will be permitted to enter and use only the mooring space designated in said permit.

SEC. 70. **Injury or Damage to Walks, Plots or Roadways.** No person shall injure, dig or tear up any pavement, sidewalk, cross walk, grass plot or roadway or any part thereof, in any public park, square or pleasure ground, without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him, and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 71. **Exposing, Disconnecting Pipes, Sewers, Etc.** No person shall dig down toward or to, expose, tear up, disconnect or connect with any of the water pipes or sewers in or under any public park, square or pleasure ground, without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 72. **Moving Buildings Across Parks. Permits.** No person shall move along, across or upon any public park, square, pleasure ground or Great Highway any building or other structure, without having first obtained permission of the Board of Park Commissioners and a certificate thereof signed by the President and Secretary, and no such building or other structure shall remain on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, in any one part thereof, for more than five hours, nor upon any public park, square, or pleasure ground for a period of more than twenty-four hours.

SEC. 73. **Erecting Structure or Obstruction. Permit.** No person shall build, put up, place, erect, have, keep or maintain or cause to be built, put up, placed, erected, had, kept or maintained, any nuisance or any fence, building, structure, obstruction or other thing which shall in any manner obstruct any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or any part or portion thereof, or which shall in any way prevent, hinder or impair the full and free use and enjoyment by the public thereof. And no person shall build, erect, place, put up or maintain any building, structure, monument, dome or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, to be evidenced by a permit in writing, signed by the President, and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary of the Board.

SEC. 74. **Leaving Building Materials on Public Grounds.** No person shall encumber or obstruct or cause to be encumbered or obstructed any public park, square or pleasure ground, or part thereof, by placing or leaving therein or thereon any building materials or any article or thing whatsoever, without first having obtained a written permit signed by the Superintendent and countersigned and recorded by the Secretary.

SEC. 75. **Permits and Bonds.** When the permit is under Sections 70, 71, 72 and 73 of this article, the permittee shall give a bond with one or more sureties satisfactory to the President of the Park Commission, in such sum as the President may determine, that the conditions prescribed in said permit,

including that as to time for which granted, shall in all respects be complied with, that no injury shall be done or caused or permitted to any public park, square, or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or to the trees, shrubs, grass, fences or anything thereon, and that the Park Commission and its officers shall be fully indemnified against and saved harmless from all damages and cost which may ensue from any act or thing done or purported to be done under such permit; whereupon the Secretary shall, if the Park Commission or President thereof or the Superintendent, as the case may be, shall direct, issue a permit wherein shall be stated all the conditions and circumstances, and limiting the time in which the act permitted must be done. Provided: That in granting any permit under Sections 70 and 71 of this Article the Park Commission may, in lieu of such bond, accept from the permittee, a cash deposit in such sum as may be designated by the President, as security that no public park, square or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or the trees, shrubs, grass, fences, or anything thereon shall suffer any injury in consequence of any act done or permitted, or omitted, under or purported to be under such permit; but the acceptance of such cash deposit by said Park Commission shall in nowise impair any right, or claim, or demand, direct or indirect, against the permittee receiving such permit, by virtue of any bond the permittee has given or furnished to the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 76. **All Permits to Be Issued by and Fees Paid to Secretary.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall issue all permits authorized by the Park Commission, and shall sign the same, receive all fees, charges and deposits therefor, and keep a record of all proceedings and doings thereunder, or in connection therewith, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

SEC. 77. **Condition of Grounds After Repairs.** Every permittee to whom a permit is granted under Sections 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74 of this Article shall leave the ground, site or place within the public parks, squares and pleasure grounds, where work has been done pursuant to such permit, in as good condition in all respects when such permit shall have expired, as when the same was granted, and shall repair said ground, site or place to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of Parks.

SEC. 78. **Removal of Buildings and Penalty.** The owner or owners, or occupant or occupants of any building, or the contractor or other person in charge of or superintending or directing its removal, or either or all of them, who shall suffer the same to remain in any parkway for any time whatsoever longer than that specified in the permit issued by the Park Commission, or who shall place in or permit to remain in such parkway, any building or structure without an appropriate permit, shall, in addition to the penalty herein provided, forfeit, as a penalty, the amount whereof shall be specified in the permit, for every twenty-four hours or any part thereof the same shall continue.

SEC. 79. **Display of Red Lights During Obstruction or Excavation.** Any person having the use of any portion of any public park, square, or pleasure ground, shall cause red lights to be placed in conspicuous places in front of and at each end of the obstruction or excavation from sunset to sunrise each night such obstruction or excavation remains.

SEC. 80. **Permit to Transport or Sell Wares.** No person shall transport into, carry into, have or take into, or leave upon or over any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, any fruit, nuts, candy, vegetables, or other goods, wares or merchandise of any kind to be sold or offered for sale, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners therefor.

SEC. 81. **Maintaining Places of Amusement, Permit Necessary.** No person

shall, within any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, show, show stand, performance concert, concert hall, moving pictures or the taking of moving pictures, or any other kind of amusement, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 85. Breaking in of animals.
Sec. 86. Sulky and vehicle trailing prohibited.
Sec. 87. Trade and commercial vehicles restricted to tranverse roads—garbage transportation prohibited.
Sec. 88. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
Sec. 89. Pleasure vehicles only permitted to enter parks.
Sec. 90. Vehicles abreast of each other.
Sec. 91. Limiting speed of bicycles and similar vehicles.
Sec. 92. Transporting merchandise for sale prohibited.

SEC. 85. **Breaking in of Animals.** No person shall, within or on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, ride, drive or leave thereon any vicious, unbroken or wild horse, mule or other animal, or use therein or thereon any breaking cart or other vehicle or apparatus or appliance used in breaking any of such animals.

SEC. 86. **Sulky and Vehicle Trailing Prohibited.** No person shall trail any sulky or other vehicle through any public park, square, or pleasure ground.

SEC. 87. **Trade and Commercial Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads. Garbage Transportation Prohibited.** No dray, truck, wagon, cart or other vehicle carrying or employed in carrying goods, merchandise, manure, soil or other article of commerce or trade, shall travel upon any of the driveways or roads of any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, for any other purpose than to cross the same immediately at the regular street intersections, nor upon any of the roads or driveways of said public grounds, except the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Vehicles having deliveries in park shall use nearest entrance to point of delivery. Transportation of garbage is prohibited upon any road or driveway in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 88. **Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person owning, driving or having charge of any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, or vehicle let or used for hire or anyone employed by such owner, or driver, shall within said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds stand for the purpose of, or in any way let or solicit custom or passengers for the same.

SEC. 89. **Pleasure Vehicles Only Permitted to Enter Parks.** No vehicle usually engaged in carrying baggage or merchandise other than the personal effects of the owner, driver, motorman or engineer thereof, and no vehicle used for advertising purposes, or with any name or designation exposed thereon for advertising purposes, shall be or enter upon or use any part of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting when used exclusively for pleasure purposes.

SEC. 90. **Vehicles Abreast of Each Other.** No more than two vehicles shall

un or be propelled abreast of each other while used or operated within the ublic parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. The said vehicles shall be run nd propelled singly and not attached to each other, except in case of an acci- ent, when one may assist the other.

SEC. 91. **Limiting Speed of Bicycles and Similar Vehicles.** No person shall ide, propel or operate any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle in or n any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, at a greater rate of peed than ten (10) miles per hour; and at the crossings or junctions of any oads or driveways, or at any place used as a crossing by persons on foot, at a peed to exceed six (6) miles per hour.

SEC. 92. **Transporting Merchandise for Sale Prohibited.** No person shall rive in or into or enter upon or pass over any part or portion of said public arks, squares or pleasure grounds in any cart, wagon or other vehicle, having herein any goods, wares or merchandise intended for sale therein.

## CHAPTER V.

## PENAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 97. Violation a misdemeanor—Penalty.
Sec. 98. Repeal.
Sec. 99. Saving Clause.

SEC. 97. **Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 98. **Repeal.** All Ordinances or orders or parts of Ordinances or orders in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SEC. 99. **Saving Clause.** If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**
(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2718, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Schley E. Huddleston, Lot 11, Assessor's Block 2109, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $700, payable from Appropriation No. 93.905.05-07. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Richardson Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2719, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 548.-914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

| | |
|---|---|
| The Hibernia Savings and Loan Society, Lot 5A, Assessor's Block 932 | $17,500 |
| Blanche Omizzolo and John P. Omizzolo, Lot 21, Assessor's Block 925 | 11,000 |
| G. B. Podesta and Amalia Podesta, Lot 5B, Assessor's Block 932 | 13,250 |
| J. B. Rothschild and Ethel D. Rothschild, Lot 9D, Assessor's Block 934 | 12,500 |
| St. George Holden and Betsy A. Holden, Lot 19, Assessor's Block 925 | 10,400 |

In order to facilitate possible exchanges, title to the portions of said lots lying outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2720, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Norris A. Hawkins a portion of Lot 4-B, Assessor's Block 2540, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $2,626.60, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Confirming Sale of Fire Department Lot on Funston Avenue and Santiago Street.**

(Code No. 12.1726)

Also, Resolution No. 2721, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17261, Bill No. 23, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco that bids or offers would be received by him on July 17, 1936, for the sale of certain Fire Department land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Funston avenue, 285 feet southerly from the southerly line of Santiago street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Funston avenue, 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the Director of Property received an offer from D. O. Marshall to purchase said land for the sum of $1,550, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, D. O. Marshall has deposited the sum of $100 with the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said sum of $1,550 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $1,550; and

Whereas, the Fire Department has recommended the sale of said parcel for the said sum of $1,550; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said land to D. O. Marshall. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the purchaser upon receipt of the total purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing the City Planning Commission to Become a Member of the California County Planning Commissioners.**

(Code No. 13.01)

Also, Resolution No. 2722, as follows:

The City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and empowered to become a member of the California County Planning Commissioners' Association and to pay the membership fee therein in the amount of $10 per annum.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Refunds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2723, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate and erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1—Butler Bros., per Vol. 7, page 150, line 15, of 1936 Supplemental Unsecured Personal Property Rolls; due to clerical error | $2,117.10 |
| 2—Nedra Lee, per Vol. 2, page 58, line 2, of 1935 Supplemental Personal Property Rolls | 12.52 |
| 3—J. E. Waite, per Vol. 18, page 101, line 10, of 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls | 9.66 |
| 4—Liberty Cherry & Fruit Co., Inc., per Vol. 6, page 7, line 14, 1936 Supplemental Personal Property Rolls, unsecured | 26.00 |
| 5—E. S. Zerga, c/o Geo. R. Oppie, per Vol. 33, Bill No. 1921, Lot 40, Block 5615, fiscal year 1935 | 122.06 |

6—E. S. Zerga, c/o Geo. R. Oppie, per Vol. 3, Bill No. 2737, Lot 2, Block 526, fiscal year 1935..... 188.70
7—E. S. Zerga, c/o Geo. R. Oppie, per Vol. 12, Bill No. 2714, Lot 1J, Block 1679, fiscal year 1935..... 45.66
8—E. S. Zerga, c/o Geo. R. Oppie, per Vol. 20, Bill No. 1282, Lot 2.12-13, Block 2880, fiscal year 1935..... 77.32
9—W. G. Moore, per Vol. 37, Bill No. 2075, Lot 22, Block 6273, fiscal year 1935 ..... 15.65
10—Joseph J. Soares, per Vol. 7, Bill No. 1073, Lot 26, Block 1086, fiscal year 1935..... 24.12
11—Marshall W. Meserve, per Vol. 15, Bill No. 2225, Lot 2, Block 2044, fiscal year 1935..... 7.00

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Re-referred.

The following matter was *re-referred to the Public Health Committee*:

San Francisco Hospital Fees.

(Code No. 18.01)

Resolution No. 2724, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to communication of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012) it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

General Departments .....$4.00 a day
Tubercular Division ..... 2.60 a day
Isolation Division ..... 5.60 a day

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $117,194.67 From PWA School Building Construction Fund to Credit of Appropriation No. 570.000.00.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1086, Ordinance No. 9.051295, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $117,194.67 out of the accrued surplus existing in the PWA School Building Construction Fund, PWA Docket No. 1148, to the credit of Appropriation No. 570.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $117,194.67 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the PWA School Building Construction Fund, PWA Docket No. 1148, to the credit of Appropriation No. 570.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action

is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $19,080 From Accrued Surplus in Reconstruction of Palace of Fine Arts Fund, PWA Docket No. 1147, to Credit of Appropriation No. 512.000.00 for Construction Thereunder.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1087, Ordinance No. 9.051294, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $19,080 out of the accrued surplus existing in the Reconstruction of Palace of Fine Arts Fund, PWA Docket No. 1147, to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows::

Section 1. The sum of $19,080 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the Reconstruction of Palace of Fine Arts Fund, PWA Docket No. 1147, to the credit of Appropriation No. 512.000.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by Park Commission.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $22,477.78 Out of Accrued Surplus in PWA Polytechnic High School Gymnasium Fund, PWA Docket No. 1186, to Credit of Appropriation No. 570.021.00 for the Providing of Funds for Construction Provided Thereunder.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1088, Ordinance No. 9.051296, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $22,477.78 out of the accrued surplus existing in the PWA Polytechnic High School Gymnasium Fund, PWA Docket No. 1186, to the credit of Appropriation No. 570.021.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $22,477.78 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the PWA Polytechnic High School Gymnasium Fund, PWA Docket No. 1186, to the credit of Appropriation No. 570.021.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and

the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Sale of City Land on Alemany Boulevard Near Ocean Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1089, Ordinance No. 12.17215, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City land on Alemany boulevard, near Ocean avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is under the control of said department:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Ocean avenue distant thereon 177.567 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Wattson place, said point of commencement being also the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of the property conveyed by the Ocean Shore R. R. Co. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded May 22, 1925, in Volume 1083, page 55, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and the southerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 61 degrees 21 minutes 06 seconds to the left from said line of Ocean avenue and running southwesterly, along said line of the property so conveyed, 27.130 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing southwesterly along said line of the property so conveyed 220.431 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 15, Block 13, West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 2A and B, page 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 87 degrees 14 minutes 34 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line, 20.269 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 95.473 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 5 degrees 06 minutes 34 seconds, a distance of 84.719 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continuing northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 50 feet, central angle 57 degrees 53 minutes 07 seconds, a distance of 50.514 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 15, 16 and 17 of said Block 13.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY—ELECTRICAL INSPECTION ORDINANCE

(Code No. 11.11)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1075, Ordinance No. 11.114, as follows:

Regulating the doing of electrical and fixture work in, on or about buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the enforcement of the ordinance; providing for the registration of all persons, firms or corporations doing such work and for the examination of Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians and the issuance of certificates of competency to them; fixing fees for registration and examinations; providing for the appointment of an Examining Board and for the compensation of said Board; fixing fees for renewal of registrations; giving power to the Chief of the Department of Electricity to cancel or suspend Certificates of Registration and competency; providing for the appeal therefrom; exempting Master Electricians, Specialty Electricians now registered, and Plant Owners from taking examinations; providing for the issuance of permits for doing electrical work and fixture work and fees therefor and for the issuance of certificates of approval; providing for the adoption and promulgation of rules and regulations by the Chief of the Department of Electricity; fixing a standard for the installation, construction and operation of electrical work and fixture work; providing for the condemnation of electrical work and fixture work not in conformity herewith; forbidding the furnishing of electrical current to said condemned or unapproved installations; fixing penalties for the violation of the ordinance and any rules and regulations adopted under authority hereof; and repealing any and all ordinances or parts of ordinance in conflict therewith, and particularly Ordinance No. 11.111, Ordinance No. 5192 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 5230 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

SEC. 1. **Definitions.** The following words or phrases, wherever used in this ordinance, unless the context clearly requires a different meaning, shall be given the meaning hereinafter in this section ascribed to them and shall be construed in accordance with such meaning and definition:

"Person" shall include firm, corporation or co-partnership. Masculine gender shall include feminine gender. Singular shall include plural.

"Electrical Work" shall mean and include the placing, installing, erecting, or connecting of any electrical wires, fixtures, appliances, apparatus, raceways, conduits or conductors, or any part thereof which shall operate at more than 25 volts or which generate, transmit, transform or utilize more than 50 watts; provided electrical work as herein defined shall not mean or include maintenance, repair or operation of electrical apparatus or minor repairs and replacement.

"Fixture Work" shall mean and include the hanging, placing or installing of any electrical lighting fixture or the connection of same to electrical circuits, or any part thereof, already done or installed, whether as original work, alterations or repairs.

"Maintenance Work" shall mean the keeping in repair and operation of any and all existing electrical installations, apparatus, and equipment, but does not include the installation of additional electrical work, electrical equipment, or electrical apparatus.

"Department" shall mean the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

"City and County" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.

"Chief" shall mean the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Enforcement of Ordinance.** The Inspection Bureau of the Department of Electricity shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance.

SEC. 3. **Registration Requirements.** Within thirty (30) days after the date of passage of this ordinance, every Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician and every Plant Owner, before doing or installing any electrical work or fixture work or causing the same to be done or installed in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, shall be registered with the Department and obtain a Certificate of Registration. Each registration shall be renewed on or before January 2, of each year, so long as he or it shall continue to do or install or cause to do or install any electrical work or fixture work.

3A. **Exemption From Registration.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as requiring the issuance of Certificates of Registration as Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner to any person, firm or corporation operating as a public utility as defined in the Public Utility Act of 1915, where the electrical work is incidental or necessary to the operation or conduct of the business of such public utility, or the issuance of such certificates to any public utility's affiliate, associate, subsidiary or employee thereof, engaged exclusively in such electrical work for such public utility.

SEC. 4. **Registration Classifications.** There shall be three classes of registration designated as follows:

4A. **Master Electrician.** Master Electrician shall include and mean every person, firm or corporation installing or causing to be installed or done under his or its supervision any electrical or fixture work as hereinafter defined, except as classified under Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner.

4B. **Specialty Electrician.** Specialty Electrician shall include and mean every person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed under his or its supervision, any special type of electrical or fixture work that is pertinent to the particular business that such person, firm or corporation is engaged in, except as classified under Master Electrician and Plant Owner. Specialty Electrician shall mean and include every person, firm or corporation that contracts for the installation of electrical or mechanical equipment, apparatus or electrical fixtures, and the electrical installation by said person, firm or corporation is necessary for the proper installation or functioning of said equipment, apparatus or electrical fixtures.

4C. **Plant Owner.** Plant Owner shall mean and include every person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed under his or its supervision, any electrical or fixture work, as hereinafter defined, in any apartment house, hotel building or office building by means of electricians directly and permanently employed by said person, firm or corporation, for the purpose of performing said electrical or fixture work in any apartment house, hotel building or office building which he or it operates as owner or lessee.

4D. **Plant Survey.** Before any Plant Owner shall be registered, as provided for in this ordinance, it shall be the duty of the Department to first make a survey of all existing electrical equipment and electrical installation in the premises occupied by said Plant Owner, to determine whether or not said Plant Owner is entitled to a Certificate of Registration. No such Certificate of Registration shall be granted by the Chief of the Department to any person, firm or corporation whose premises or electrical installation does not warrant a permanently employed maintenance electrician.

4E. **Plant Owner to Report Employees.** After a Plant Owner has become registered with the Department, said Plant Owner shall keep the Department

advised of the names and addresses of all employees doing or causing to be done, any electrical work or fixture work. Employees doing electrical work or fixture work for a Plant Owner are not required to pay a registration fee or pass an examination.

4F. **Unlawful Work—Plant Owners.** It shall be unlawful for a Plant Owner to do or cause to be done any electrical or fixture work except under the following conditions:

1. Such electrical or fixture work is installed by the employees registered as provided for in Section 4E.

2. Such electrical work or fixture work is installed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and all other rules and regulations promulgated under authority thereof.

3. Such electrical or fixture work done or installed for a tenant or lessee in a building owned or operated by a Plant Owner shall be done without cost to said tenant or lessee.

SEC. 5. **Certificates of Competency.** Before any Certificates of Registration shall be granted by the Chief to any person, firm or corporation as a Master Electrician, or Specialty Electrician, he shall as otherwise provided for in this ordinance, undergo and pass an examination as shall test his ability to do and install electrical work or specialty electrical work, as the case may be, and direct the doing and installation of said work by other persons.

5A. No person, firm or corporation holding an uncancelled or unexpired Certificate of Registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician at the time this ordinance takes effect, will be required to undergo or pass an examination.

In the event of the death of a person holding a Certificate of Registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, said Certificate of Registration shall immediately become cancelled.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, assign or transfer any Certificate of Registration as Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, to any person, firm or corporation other than the partner of the firm, or the director of the corporation to which the Certificate of Registration was originally issued.

5B. **Examination Procedure.** The examinations for Master Electricians and Specialty Electricians shall be conducted by an Examining Board and shall be held in the offices of the Department not more than thirty (30) days after date of filing of an application therefor, which application shall be accompanied by a fee of twenty dollars ($20.00) for a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician. Applications for examinations for other types of registrations of electrical equipment operators not covered in this section, but in other provisions of law pertaining thereto, shall have their applications accompanied by a fee of ten dollars ($10.00). The applicant shall be given notice of the time and place of the examination, to be held pursuant to his application by a written notice thereof mailed to him at the address given in his application, postage prepaid, at least five days before the date of examination. The Examining Board shall hold its meeting within thirty (30) days after the date said applicant takes his respective examination.

5C. **Issuance of Certificate.** When an applicant for an examination either as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall have taken and passed his respective examination to the satisfaction of the Chief, a Certificate of Competency as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, as the case may

be, shall be issued by the Chief. Provided that no person, firm or corporation holding an uncancelled and unexpired Certificate of Registration either as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician issued to him or it under any ordinance of the City and County before this ordinance takes effect, shall be required to undergo and pass such examination.

5D. In the event that the applicant for examination either as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall have taken and failed to pass his respective examination, the examination fee shall be forfeited, no moneys shall be refunded to him and said applicant will not be eligible to take another examination until ninety (90) days after the date of the last examination.

SEC. 6. **Personnel of Examining Board.** The Board of Examiners shall consist of the Chief of the Department and four other members appointed by said Chief, consisting of an electrical engineer of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, an electrical engineer of a public utility, a Master Electrician, Journeyman Electrician, or a Motion Picture Projectionist. The members of the Board, by their experience and training shall be qualified to pass upon the fitness of the applicants for registration as Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians. Members shall hold office for a period of one year, unless removed for cause. The Chief of the Department shall preside at all meetings. In case of the necessary absence of any one member of the Board of Examiners, the Chief of the Department may make a temporary appointment of a substitute. All vacancies occurring on the Board of Examiners shall be filled by the Chief of the Department. Any member of the Board of Examiners may be removed by the Chief for malfeasance in office, incapacity or neglect of duty.

6A. **Duties of Examining Board.** The duties of the Examining Board shall be to hold examinations at a stated time for the benefit of all applicants who desire to be registered as Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians; to correct such examination papers and check experience vouchers in order to determine the exact qualifications of the applicants.

6B. **Compensation of Examining Board Members.** For conducting the examinations of Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians, each member of the Examining Board, with the exception of the Chief of the Department, shall receive from the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco from the twenty dollar ($20.00) examination fee paid by each applicant for a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician's Examination, the following compensation: From the twenty dollar ($20.00) fee paid by the first applicant, the members of the board shall each receive four dollars ($4.00). From the twenty dollar ($20.00) fee received from each applicant in excess of one, each board member shall receive two dollars ($2.00). Such compensation shall cover the examination of applicants, the grading of papers, and all other work incidental to the examination of applicants for registration. The members of the Board of Examiners shall receive no pay for any meeting at which no examination is held, and no member of the Board of Examiners shall receive compensation for any meeting he fails to attend.

SEC. 7. **Registration Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in, conduct, contract for, or carry on the business of installing or altering electrical work or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, or advertise or display a sign or otherwise hold himself or itself out to the public as engaging in, conducting or carrying on such business, unless such person, firm or corporation is the holder of a Master Electrician's Certificate or Specialty Electrician's Certificate duly granted to him as provided for in this ordinance.

7A. **Renewal of Registration Certificates.** Certificates of Registration issued to Master Electricians, Specialty Electricians and Plant Owners shall be renewed on or before the first calendar day of each year.

7B. **Expiration of Registrations.** Unless Certificates of Registration are renewed within thirty days after the date of expiration of said certificates, said certificates shall become void and the holder of same shall be required to take a new examination and pay the same examination fees and registration fees as a new applicant.

7C. **Registration Fees.** Before any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner shall become registered as provided for in Section 7, he shall pay the following fees to cover the cost of handling said registrations, the cost of checking plans and specifications and the rendering of other services necessary for the correct installation and approval of electrical and fixture work:

| Classification of Registration | Fees for 1st Year | Fees for Annual Renewal |
|---|---|---|
| Master Electrician | $100.00 | $100.00 |
| Specialty Electrician | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Plant Owner | 10.00 | 10.00 |

7D. Where the Registration Certificate is applied for after the first day of July of each year, the fees for registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall be one-half (½) of the amount specified in Section 7C.

7E. **Payment of Registration Fees.** Within thirty days (30) after the date this ordinance takes effect, every Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician holding an uncancelled and unexpired Certificate of Registration shall pay a registration fee to the Department, said registration fee shall be one-twelfth of the amount specified in Section 7C, for each remaining month of the calendar year in which this ordinance takes effect.

7F. **Registration Bond.** Every person, firm or corporation obtaining a Certificate of Registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall upon issuance of said certificate, deposit with the Department the sum of one hundred dollars ($100.00), in cash as a guarantee for the payment of all fees for permits, inspections or penalties that may become due and be owed by such person, firm or corporation to the City and County of San Francisco and as a further guarantee that all electrical work, fixture work or specialty electrical work done under authority of said Certificate of Registration will be done in compliance with all the provisions and requirements of all ordinances, rules and regulations of the City and County of San Francisco applicable thereto.

7G. **"Electrical Deposit Fund".** The Department shall receive the deposits aforesaid and shall cause the same to be placed in the City and County Treasury in a special trust fund to be known as the "Electrical Deposit Fund", which fund is hereby established.

7H. **Return of Deposit.** When a holder of a Certificate of Registration either as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician having made a cash deposit as in this ordinance provided, shall have ceased to carry on all business and perform any and all work for which said Certificate of Registration is by this ordinance required, and when all work under Permits issued to such person, firm or corporation shall have been completely inspected and approved, and when all fees and other charges due and owing to the City and County of San Francisco by said person, firm or corporation shall have been paid, and if no charges shall be pending against said person, firm or corporation for any violation of this ordinance, or any ordinances, rules and regulations of the City and County of

San Francisco pertaining to electrical work, fixture work or specialty electrical work, then said person shall be entitled to have returned to him the said deposit of one hundred dollars ($100.00), or such portion thereof as may then remain to his credit, after deduction therefrom, as in this ordinance provided.

7I. **Issuance and Revocation of Registrations.** The Chief shall have the power to issue all Certificates of Registration provided for in this ordinance. When a Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner does or installs electrical work or fixture work not in accordance with the approved standards or ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco or rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority thereof and which is dangerous to life or property, and after receiving written notice from the Department to correct such complaints, continues to do or install electrical work not in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance and rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority thereof, he shall have his or its registration suspended, cancelled or annulled. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel, suspend or annul Certificates of Registration under the provisions of this ordinance.

7J. **Prohibiting Unlicensed Installations.** It shall be unlawful for any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner whose Registration Certificate has been suspended, cancelled or annulled to do or install electrical work or fixture work until he or it has been reinstated by the Chief, and a new Certificate of Registration issued to him.

7K. **Fee for Reinstatement.** If a certificate of registration is cancelled or annulled, the applicant for reinstatement shall pay the same fee for reinstatement as if not registered before.

7L. **Unlawful Uses of Registration.** It shall be unlawful for any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner to permit its name or Certificate of Registration to be used by any other person, firm or corporation for the purpose of obtaining a permit from the Department for the doing or installing of electrical or fixture work, which is not to be done or installed by the Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner obtaining such permit, and for the violation of the provisions of this section of this ordinance, in addition to any other penalties provided for, the Certificate of Registration of the Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner so violating such provisions shall be suspended, cancelled or annulled.

SEC. 8. **Permit Required.** Every person, firm or corporation, shall, before doing or installing or causing to be done or installed, any electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, file with the Department on a form to be furnished by it, a written application for a permit to do such work and obtain a permit therefor.

8A. **Form of Application.** The form of application in addition to other matters required by the Department shall contain a brief specification and plans showing the nature and kind of electrical work to be done or installed and the location and description of the premises wherein and whereon such electrical or fixture work is to be done or installed, provided that for buildings of residential occupancy, wherein the electrical load is not in excess of twenty-three kilowatts (23 K. W.) the filing of plans and specifications shall not be required.

8B. **Permit Fees to Be Paid in Advance.** At the time the application for a permit is filed, the estimated fees for making an inspection of the electrical or fixture work to be done or installed shall be paid. No permit shall be issued until such application is filed and payment made. Such permit shall be issued

by the Department only to a Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician, Plant Owner or Owner.

8C. Before a permit shall be issued to any Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician for the installation of electrical or fixture work, said Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall pay any and all permit fees charged to his or its account.

8D. **Permit Holder to Be Contractor in Fact.** No person, firm or corporation registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall file an application for a permit to do or install or cause to be done or installed, any electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, unless said person, firm or corporation is in fact the contractor for such work. The person, firm or corporation installing the electrical or fixture work shall contract directly with the person, firm or corporation owning, leasing or renting the building or structure in or on which the electrical or fixture work is done or installed. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be issued contrary to the provisions of this section.

8E. **Permit to Owner.** A permit for the installation of electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building used or occupied as a dwelling in the City and County of San Francisco shall be issued by the Department to the Owner of said building, provided said electrical or fixture work is done by such Owner, or husband, brother or son of such Owner.

8F. **Permit to Owner.** A permit for the installation of electrical or fixture work shall not be issued to an Owner unless the person who is to install the electrical work is named on the permit and said named person shall appear before the Department and show by answering such questions as may be asked by the Chief or his representative that he has sufficient knowledge to do or install such electrical or fixture work.

8G. **Correction of Defective Work.** If it is apparent from the character of the work installed under a permit to Owner, that the Owner or person named in the permit is not qualified or competent to do or install the electrical or fixture work, the Department may require such portion of the work that is in violation of this ordinance to be changed, altered or repaired by a Master Electrician.

8H. **Permit to Be Posted.** When a permit is issued by the Department, said permit shall be conspicuously posted on the premises wherein or whereon the electrical work therein described is to be done or installed.

8I. **Illegal Use of Permit.** No person, firm or corporation, registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall file an application for a permit to do or install any electrical or fixture work unless such person, firm or corporation has a contract to do or install the same, or intends to do or install such electrical work on his or its own behalf and for his or its account. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be contrary to the provisions of this section.

8J. **Rights of Public Utilities.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as requiring any person, firm or corporation operating as public utility to file any application for permits to do or have inspection made of, any electrical or fixture work necessary for the generation and supply of electrical energy to the public as a public utility, and for the generation and supply of electrical energy for street railway purposes, provided, however, that this exemption shall not relieve such public utility from the necessity of filing

applications for any other electrical work or having the same duly inspected and paying the fees therefor.

8K. **Right to Cancel Permits.** The Chief shall have the power to cancel permits where the electrical or fixture work therein has not been commenced within sixty (60) days from and after the date of issuance of the permit therefor, and in the event of such cancellation of such permit the fees paid therefor shall be credited to the account of the person, firm or corporation paying the same and may be treated as a payment equal to the estimated fees payable on any subsequent application or applications for a permit to do electrical or fixture work.

SEC. 9. **Unlawful to Conceal Electrical Work.** It shall be unlawful to conceal or cause to be concealed any electrical work in, on or about any building or structure before the same has been inspected by the Department and a tag indicating its approval posted on such building or structure wherein or whereon such electrical work has been done or installed.

SEC. 10. **Certificate of Inspection and Approval.** Every person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed, any electrical work or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco shall notify the Department when the same is completed. The Department shall then inspect the same and if done in compliance with all the State laws, and rules or regulations as promulgated under the authority of this ordinance, there shall be issued by the Department to said person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed, such electrical or fixture work, a certificate of inspection and approval, which shall contain the date of approval and in concise terms specify the electrical work thus approved. Said certificate shall be issued only for the electrical work for which a permit has been issued.

SEC. 11. **Unlawful Use of Current.** No current shall be supplied to any electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building or structures in the City and County of San Francisco unless a certificate of inspection and approval has been issued. When the electrical and fixture work has been completed to the satisfaction of the Department, the Department shall issue and post on the premises wherein or whereon the electrical or fixture work is done or installed, a Green Tag, Form A-22, which will permit the connection of said electrical or fixture work for which a permit has been issued.

11A. **Temporary Use of Current.** The Department is hereby empowered and authorized to permit and approve the temporary use of electrical current to any person, firm or corporation, provided that no temporary use of electrical current shall be permitted in any case where such use shall be a hazard to life or property, and further provided that no such temporary use of electrical current shall be permitted for a longer period than is reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of this ordinance, and such period of time may be definitely fixed by the Department at the time of granting said permission.

SEC. 12. **Unlawful to Disturb Existing Wiring.** In any building or structure within the City and County of San Francisco, wherein or whereon electrical work has been done or installed, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to change, alter, or extend any electrical work or part thereof after the inspection of same, without notifying the Department in writing and obtaining a permit therefor. Such changes, alterations or extensions shall be inspected and approved before any current shall be supplied thereto.

SEC. 13. **Time Limit a Penalty for Failure to Rectify Complaints.** The

failure and neglect of a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician to correct, obviate or remove any fault, error or deficiency in the doing or installing of any electrical or fixture work not in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance or rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority hereof, within ten (10) days after the receipt of a written notice from the Department describing said complaints, shall be deemed sufficient cause for the suspending or cancelling any Certificate of Registration issued by the Department and held by such person, firm or corporation. In addition to the above, the Department shall charge a fee of one dollar and fifty cents ($1.50) for each hour or fraction thereof that the Inspector of the Department spends in inspecting electrical or fixture work that is not corrected within the specified ten (10) day period.

SEC. 14. **Right of Entry.** Every person, firm or corporation or association or agent thereof, or agent acting for and in behalf thereof, owning, leasing, operating or in possession of any building or structure within the City and County of San Francisco shall permit the Inspector of the Department to enter such building or structure as often as it shall be deemed necessary by the Department for the purpose of inspecting the electrical or fixture work theretofore done or installed in, on or about such building or structure, and it shall be unlawful for any owner, occupant or lessee of any premises wherein or whereon any electrical work has been done or installed and is being used or is in use, to prevent or interfere with any such Inspector in the discharge of his duties under this ordinance, provided, however, that said Inspector shall upon request of the owner, occupant or lessee of said premises exhibit his authority to make such inspection.

SEC. 15. **Inspection of Existing Installations.** If the Chief or any Inspector of the Department find that any installation of electrical or fixture work is defective or not in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance or any rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority hereof or is dangerous to life or property, the same shall be condemned and the use thereof forbidden until the same has been corrected.

SEC. 16. **Right to Disconnect Condemned Installations.** Upon failure of any person, firm, corporation or association owning, leasing or in possession of and using any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco to correct or cause to be corrected such defective and condemned electrical or fixture work or any part thereof, for a period of ten (10) days after a receipt of a written notice thereof, from the Department to do so, which notice shall specify the corrections to be made, the Chief shall forthwith direct the person, firm, corporation or association or agent thereof, or person acting for and on behalf thereof, who shall be supplying electrical current or power to such electrical work or part thereof to disconnect the supply thereof. It shall be unlawful for any such person, firm, corporation or agent thereof, or agent acting for and in behalf of any of them and so supplying such current or power to furnish or renew said supply of current or power without permission of the Chief to do so.

SEC. 17. **Standards Required.** No electrical or fixture work shall be installed or constructed which is dangerous to life or property, and all electrical and fixture work within the City and County of San Francisco shall be done, installed and constructed in conformity with the rules and requirements of the National Electric Code as approved by the American Standards Association, and the Electrical Safety Orders, as approved by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, except as such rules and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided for in other sections of this ordinance.

SEC. 18. **Providing for the Adoption and Promulgation of Rules and**

**Regulations by the Chief of the Department and Fixing a Standard for the Installation, Construction and Operation of Electrical and Fixture Work.** The Chief is hereby given power and it shall be his duty as soon as practicable after this ordinance takes effect, to hold a hearing or hearings on ten (10) days notice of the time and place thereof, given by publication in the then official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, to determine generally accepted standards and methods governing electrical and fixture work, in modification of or supplementary to the rules and regulations of the National Electrical Code and the Electrical Safety Orders. As soon as he shall have ascertained the same and made his findings thereon, such modifications thereof and supplementary rules and regulations shall be set forth and adopted by him in a report in the form of rules and regulations governing electrical and fixture work, which rules and regulations shall not be contrary to or inconsistent with any law of the State of California or ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall forthwith upon adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption shall be published for a period of five (5) days in the then official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco. On the first day after the completion of the publication of the notice aforesaid, the said rules and regulations shall take effect as the standards for which all persons, firms or corporations doing, installing or constructing electrical or fixture work shall conform. No certificate of inspection and approval provided for in this ordinance shall be issued unless the electrical or fixture work therein described shall conform with the rules and regulations in effect at the time the permit therefor is issued. The Chief shall hold a hearing or hearings at such times as he may deem necessary, to ascertain and determine what changes have been made in the standards and methods adopted supplementary to the National Electric Code and the Electrical Safety Orders, which hearing or hearings shall be held only on the giving of a like notice as in hearings hereinabove provided for. If the Chief shall find that the accepted standards and methods adopted as supplementary thereto have been changed, he shall make and adopt such changes or modifications thereof as amendments, additions or repeals of the then existing rules and regulations, which amendments, additions or repeals shall forthwith upon their adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting be published in the then official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of five (5) days after and shall take effect on the first day after the completion of publication of notice as aforesaid.

SEC. 19. **Non-Liability of City for Damages.** This ordinance shall not be construed to relieve from or lessen the liability of any person, firm or corporation owning, operating or installing any electrical or fixture work, or causing the same or any part thereof to be done, for damages to anyone injured by any defect therein, or any negligent doing or installation thereof, nor shall the City and County of San Francisco or any agent thereof be held liable therefor by reason of any inspection herein authorized and made by reason of authority or by reason of the certificate of inspection and approval issued by the Department.

SEC. 20. **Exemptions.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed in any way to regulate the installation of wires, fixtures, appliances, construction or equipment of any telephone, telegraph, district messenger systems or otherwise protective signal systems operating at not more than 160 volts, and the same are hereby exempt from any of the provisions of this ordinance, except that approved protectors or fuses shall be provided and used where such wires enter or leave any building or structure where such circuits are so placed as to be liable to accidental contact with electric light, power or any other wires oper-

ating at potential exceeding two hundred fifty (250) volts, nor shall this ordinance be construed as in any way to regulate the connecting or disconnecting of any temporary current measuring device, which is hereby exempted from all the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 21. **Permit Fees.** Every person, firm or corporation shall pay the Department before the permit provided for in this ordinance is issued, the following fees or so much thereof as shall be applicable to the work done and inspected and approved, viz:

1. For each lighting outlet, switch, or convenience outlet receptacle.... $ .15
2. For each lighting outlet used for outline, coves, border lights or footlighting ..... .05
3. For each lighting outlet used for panelled lighting (lighting outlets installed behind glass panels)..... .15
4. For each transformer not over 500 volt-amperes used on high voltage decorative, neon outline, or interior neon display signs..... .25
   For each transformer over 500 volt-amperes used as stated above.. .50
5. For each lighting fixture connection..... .15
6. For each circuit, except lighting convenience receptacle circuits.... .50
7. For the connection of each motor (including control equipment), generator, transformer, or other devices consuming current up to and including 15 kilowatts, K.V.A., horsepower, or fraction thereof, per kilowatt, K.V.A., horsepower, or fraction thereof..... .25
   Over 15 kilowatts, K.V.A., horsepower, or fraction thereof, per connection ..... 4.00
8. For the connection of each heating device including protection equipment, per kilowatt or K.V.A. or fraction thereof..... .10
9. For each screw base lamp receptacle with terminal fittings inside of outlet box or fitting ..... .05
10. For temporary wiring and connecting equipment up to and including 10 kilowatt, K.V.A., or horsepower..... 1.00
    Over 10 kilowatts, K.V.A., horsepower..... 2.50
11. Providing, however, as a minimum the total amount of any bill of fees to be charged shall not be less than one ($1.00) dollar.
12. For the inspection of any electrical work for which no fee is herein prescribed, and for the inspection of temporary installation for decorative advertising, theatrical or similar purposes, there shall be charged to and paid by the person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or person acting for and on behalf of either thereof, who shall install and do such work or cause the same to be done or installed, a fee not to exceed one dollar and fifty ($1.50) an hour for the time actually consumed by each inspector making such inspection, before certificate of inspection and approval shall be issued.

21A. **Penalty for Installing Electrical Work Without a Permit.** If any person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or any person acting for and on behalf of either thereof, shall, after notice thereof has been given in writing by the Department and an opportunity to be heard, be found to have done or installed any electrical or fixture work without first applying for a permit therefor, in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such person, firm or corporation or agent thereof, or person acting for and on behalf of either thereof, shall pay to the Department, for the inspection of such electrical or fixture work, before a certificate of inspection and approval thereof shall be issued, fees equal to five times the amount designated in Section 38, as shall be applicable to the work done, inspected and approved, provided, however, that the minimum bill of fees to be charged and paid for any inspection under the provisions of this section shall be ten dollars ($10.00).

21B. **Deposits of Moneys Received.** It shall be the duty of the Chief to turn all moneys received under this ordinance in to the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. If payment made at the time of application for a permit shall be insufficient in amount to pay the fees for inspection, no certificate of inspection and approval shall be issued until the balance of such fees shall be paid in full.

SEC. 22. **Penalty for Violation of this Ordinance.** Any person, firm, or corporation who violates this ordinance or any section or part thereof, shall be guilty or a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall in addition to any other penalty herein provided for, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500.00) or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months in the County Jail, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each day such violation shall continue, and shall be subject to the penalty imposed in this section for each and every separate offense.

SEC. 23. **Repealing All Conflicting Ordinances and Parts of Ordinances.** All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance and particularly Ordinance Code No. 11.111, regulating the installation of electrical wiring, fixtures and apparatus, and Ordinance No. 5230 (New Series), "Regulating the business of doing electrical installation, fixture and connection work and providing for the registration and examination of persons engaged in the same, and creating an Examination Board, and providing a penalty for a violation thereof," approved September 17, 1920, and Ordinance No. 5192 (New Series), "Regulating the installation, construction, operation, and inspection of electrical wires, fixtures and appliances and apparatus in, on or about buildings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco, fixing a standard therefor, providing for the granting of certificates to Master Electricians and Fixture Men and for the revocation thereof, and providing for the condemnation of electrical work, installation, fixtures and apparatus not in conformity therewith and forbidding the furnishing of electrical current to said condemned electrical installation and fixing penalties therefor," approved July 2, 1920, are hereby repealed.

SEC. 24. **Validity of Ordinance.** In the event that any part, clause, sentence or section of this ordinance shall be judged void and of no effect, such decision shall not effect the validity of the rest and the remaining portion of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## ELECTRIC SIGNS.

(Code No. 11.11)

Also, Bill No. 1076, Ordinance No. 11.115, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the installation and maintenance of electric signs, sign devices, advertisements, transparencies, bulletin boards, barber poles, beauty shop poles, and sidewalk clocks, sign flashers, sign control devices, interior sign display equipment; providing for permit procedure and fixing fees for the permits for the installation of all exterior electric signs, interior electric signs, barber poles, beauty shop poles, sign flashers and sign control devices; fixing standards for the manufacture and installation of electric signs and equipment; providing for inspection; regulating current and current control equipment and devices; fixing penalties for the violation of this ordinance and any rules and regulations adopted under authority hereof; and repealing

all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, and particularly Ordinance No. 8962.

SEC. 1. **Definitions.** The following words or phrases, wherever used in this ordinance, unless the context clearly requires a different meaning, shall be given the meaning hereinafter in this section ascribed to them and shall be construed in accordance with such meaning and definition:

"Person" shall include firm, corporation or co-partnership. Masculine gender shall include feminine gender. Singular shall include plural.

"Department" shall mean the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Chief" shall mean the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

The word "sign" as used herein appearing alone, shall be understood to include all types of signs, sign devices, advertisements, transparencies, bulletin boards on or attached to any building, pole, structure or premises, and shall also include barber poles, beauty shop poles, and sidewalk clocks, provided, however, that the said word shall not include "billboards".

"Electric Sign" shall mean a sign whereon letters, figures or designs are formed or outlined by incandescent electric light globes, gas filled luminous tubes, or by a transparent medium illuminated by means of electric lights or gas filled luminous tubes contained within the sign. Electric lights or gas filled luminous tubes which are not an essential element of the sign proper are not classified as electric signs within the meaning of this ordinance, provided, however, that a sign or design installed or painted on the exterior of a building and illuminated or outlined by means of electric lights or gas filled tubes that are installed for the specific purpose of illuminating said sign or design shall be considered within the requirements of this ordinance.

"Interior Electric Sign" shall mean an electric sign installed within a building or structure.

"Exterior Electric Sign" shall mean an electric sign installed or attached to poles, to the exterior of a building or structure, or shall mean a sign installed or painted on the exterior walls of a building or structure and illuminated by means of electric lights or luminous gas filled tubes specifically installed for the purpose.

"Area of a Sign" is hereby defined as the product of the horizontal distance between vertical lines passing through the extremities of the sign and the vertical distance between a horizontal line passing through the highest point of a sign and a horizontal line passing through the lowest point of the sign.

SEC. 2. **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to install, place, or maintain an electric sign in, on or about any building or structure or on any pole in the City and County of San Francisco unless a permit for the installing, placing or maintaining of same is obtained from the Department of Electricity.

SEC. 3. **Construction Permit.** Every person, firm or corporation, before applying for a permit for the installing or placing of an electric sign as defined in Section 2, shall apply to the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works for a permit for the erection of the electric sign.

SEC. 4. **Number of Construction Permit to Be Given the Department of Electricity.** After the Central Permit Bureau has issued said permit, the Department of Electricity shall be advised of the number of same before a permit shall be issued by the Department of Electricity for the installation of the electrical work pertaining to the electric sign.

SEC. 5. **Permits Issued to Master and Specialty Electricians Only.** Permits

for the installation of the electric signs shall be issued only to Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians as provided for under the provisions of existing law.

SEC. 6. **Application for Permit and Electrical Data.** Application for permits for electrical signs together with all the necessary data regarding the proposed electrical construction shall be submitted to the Department of Electricity. It shall be the duty of the Department to examine such electrical data, and if the proposed sign is found to be in accordance with all existing law regarding electrical construction and installation requirements, then said Department shall issue a permit for the erection of such sign.

SEC. 7. **No Sign to Be Erected Without Permits. Exceptions.** No electric sign shall be erected unless permits therefor have been secured from both the Department of Public Works and the Department of Electricity, provided, however, that signs painted on the walls of buildings or structures and electrically illuminated or outlined shall require only a permit issued by the Department of Electricity.

SEC. 8. **Inspection and Certificate.** Upon the completion of the electrical construction and installation of an electric sign for which a permit is issued or required, as herein provided, the Department of Electricity shall inspect the sign and the installation of same, and if it is found to be in accordance with the requirements of existing laws, and the provisions of this article, a Certificate of Inspection and Approval shall be issued by the Department.

SEC. 9. **Fees for Permits.** Every person, firm or corporation shall pay the Department of Electricity before the permit provided for in this ordinance is issued, the following fees or so much thereof as shall be applicable to the work done and inspected and approved, viz.:

| | |
|---|---|
| The minimum charge for each exterior electric sign of twenty (20) lamps shall be | $1.50 |
| For each transformer in an exterior sign in excess of two (2) | .50 |
| For each group of ten (10) lamps or portion thereof in excess of twenty (20) in an exterior sign | .50 |
| For each sign flasher or automatic sign or outline control device | 1.00 |
| For each exterior sign or outline circuit | .50 |
| The minimum charge for a permit for any exterior sign or outline shall be | 1.00 |
| For each transformer in an interior sign installation in excess of two (2) | .50 |
| For each group of ten (10) lamps or portion thereof, in excess of twenty (20), in an interior electric sign | .50 |
| For each painted sign illuminated by bracket reflector lamps the minimum fee shall be | 1.50 |
| For each reflector bracket lamp in excess of five (5) | .20 |
| For each interior electric sign or outline circuit | .50 |
| Temporary electric signs not in excess of five (5) transformers or 50 lamp receptacles | 1.50 |
| For all signs in excess of the above load | 2.50 |

For the purpose of computing the fees on signs or outlines having combined transformer and lamp receptacles, each transformer shall be considered equal to ten lamp receptacles or portion thereof.

SEC. 10. **Penalty for Installing Electrical Sign or Outline Work Without a Permit.** If any person, firm, corporation, or agent thereof, or any person

acting for and on behalf of either thereof, shall, after notice thereof has been given in writing by the Department of Electricity, and an opportunity to be heard, be found to have done or installed any electrical sign or outline work without first applying for a permit thereof, in violation of the provisions of this ordinance appertaining thereto, such person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or person acting for, or on behalf of either thereof, shall pay to the Department, for the inspection of such electrical sign or outline installation, before a certificate of inspection and approval thereof shall be issued, fees equal to five (5) times the amount designated in Section 9, as shall be applicable to the work done, inspected and approved, provided, however, that the minimum bill of fees to be charged and paid for any inspection under the provisions of this section shall be ten (10) dollars.

SEC. 11. **Permit Holder to Be Contractor in Fact.** No person, firm or corporation registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, as defined in existing law, shall file an application for a permit to place, install or maintain an electric sign in the City and County of San Francisco unless said person, firm or corporation is in fact the contractor for such work. The person, firm or corporation placing, installing or maintaining the electric sign shall contract directly with the person, firm or corporation owning, leasing or renting the said electric sign. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be issued contrary to the requirements of the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 12. **Electrical Sign Maintenance.** Electrical sign maintenance shall mean and include the installation, replacement, or removing of gas filled illuminating tubes, gaseous tube transformers or other electrical materials used in the construction and installation of electric signs or electrical sign control devices.

SEC. 13. **Sign Maintenance Work to Be Done by Registered Contractor.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to do, or permit to be done under his or its supervision, any electrical sign maintenance work, as defined in Section 12 of this ordinance, unless said person, firm or corporation is registered with the Department of Electricity as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, as provided for under the provisions of existing law.

SEC. 14. **Responsibility of Contractor Installing Electric Sign.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation registered with the Department of Electricity to install an electric sign on any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, unless provisions have been made for the connection of the electric sign to an existing sign circuit or the installing of a new sign circuit shall be completed. It shall be unlawful to install any electric sign where provisions are not made for the installation of the sign circuit or feeder.

SEC. 15. **Sign Must Be Connected to Sign Circuit or Feeder.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to install an electric sign on any building or structure unless said electric sign is connected to a sign circuit or feeder installed under the installation provisions of existing law. Wherever an electric sign is installed, provisions shall be made for the illuminating of said sign. The Department of Electricity shall have the power to order the removal of an electric sign from any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, where said sign is installed contrary to the provisions of this section of the ordinance.

SEC. 16. **Electrical Sign Construction and Installation Requirements.** All electric signs, both swinging and stationary, including marquises, building

front and roof signs, must comply in every detail with the construction and installation requirements and rules of the San Francisco Construction Ordinances, the Electrical Safety Orders as issued by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, the National Electric Code as issued by American Standards Association, and Requirements Section, Subject 48, as issued by the Underwriters' Laboratories as a Standard for Electric Signs, with such exceptions as are outlined within the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 17. **Shop Inspection of Electric Signs.** All electric signs other than the portable types shall be inspected in the shops of the person, firm or corporation manufacturing said electric sign, in order to ascertain that all the provisions of Section 16 of this ordinance have been complied with. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to enclose or conceal any electrical work in any electric sign without first securing permission from the Department of Electricity to do so.

SEC. 18. **Inspection to Be Made Before Installation.** All electric signs other than the portable type, constructed elsewhere than in San Francisco, shall be inspected in the shop of said person, firm or corporation installing the electric sign, or can be inspected on the sidewalk of the premises where said electric sign is to be installed. Under no conditions can shop inspections be made after a sign has been installed on the building or structure. Signs installed without the said shop or sidewalk inspection shall be removed to the sidewalk in order that the shop inspection can be made.

SEC. 19. **Signs Constructed Elsewhere.** Signs constructed elsewhere than in San Francisco and bearing the label of "Underwriters' Laboratories Inspection" shall be inspected as required in Section 18 of this ordinance, in order to ascertain that the requirements of the San Francisco Construction Ordinances have been complied with.

SEC. 20. **Moving of Existing Signs From One Location to Another.** The Department of Electricity will permit the direct moving of existing signs from one location to another without the necessary shop inspection, providing no alterations or additions are made to the existing signs, and the signs have been previously approved for installation in the City and County of San Francisco, and the Department is immediately notified relative to its previous location and the electrical load of the signs.

SEC. 21. **Department to Make Inquiry of Inspection of Signs Not Made in the City and County.** Before a person, firm or corporation installs an electric sign that has been manufactured elsewhere than in the City and County of San Francisco, inquiry shall be made of the Department of Electricity concerning acceptance of certificates covering the inspection of the construction of said sign.

SEC. 22. **Portable Electric Signs.** Portable electric signs shall be installed or placed only in the interior of a building or structure and shall bear the label of the Underwriters' Laboratories, in order to insure that said portable electric sign has been constructed in accordance with the requirements of Section 48, of the Underwriters' Laboratory Standard for Electric Signs.

SEC. 23. **Minimum Size of Sign Circuit or Feeder.** When a sign circuit or feeder is installed, the total connected load of the sign shall govern the size of the feeder or circuit conductors, but in no case shall said conductors be smaller than No. 12 B. & S. Gauge.

SEC. 24. **Electric Signs on Exterior of Buildings, Connection, Etc.** Electric signs on the exterior of a building may be connected to an existing sign

circuit or feeder of No. 14 B. & S. Gauge, provided the total load connected thereto does not exceed the approved capacity of the conductors.

SEC. 25. **Sign Feeders for Future Use.** Where a sign feeder is installed for a future sign installation and the load of the sign is unknown, the sign feeder or circuit shall be computed as requiring not less than 10 amperes on the feeder and service switches and conductors.

SEC. 26. **Old Signs to Be Removed.** When a new sign is installed on a building or structure and the feeder or circuit to the existing sign is used to supply the new sign, the old sign shall be removed from the building.

SEC. 27. **Sign Circuit Provisions.** Each outline lighting installation and each exterior electric sign shall be installed on a separate circuit.

SEC. 28. **Number of Lamps on Single Circuit Sign.** Not more than 60 medium base or 100 intermediate base lamp receptacles shall be installed or connected to a single circuit in the body of a sign and not more than 100 medium base or 150 intermediate base lamp receptacles shall be installed on or connected to a single circuit in the border of a sign. The minimum wattage of 25 watts shall be figured for each medium base lamp receptacle in the body of a sign and 10 watts for each medium base lamp receptacle in the border of a sign. A minimum wattage of 15 watts shall be figured for each intermediate base lamp receptacle in the body of a sign and 10 watts for each intermediate lamp receptacle in the border of a sign.

SEC. 29. **Transformers for Single Circuit Signs.** Where transformers are installed for the illumination of gas filled tubes, not more than 1500 volt-amperes shall be connected to a single circuit.

SEC. 30. **Wattage for Single Circuit.** Not more than 1500 watts or 1500 volt-amperes shall be installed on a single circuit in a sign or for outline lighting.

SEC. 31. **Voltage Limitations.** Incandescent lamp signs and outline lighting or transformers for the illumination of gas filled tubes in signs and outline lighting shall not be connected to any single phase ungrounded system or any system wherein the voltage to ground exceeds 150 volts.

SEC. 32. **Transformers for Secondary Open Circuits.** Transformers used for the illumination of gas filled tubes shall have secondary open-circuit voltages not exceeding 15,000 volts, with an allowance on test of 1000 volts additional.

SEC. 33. **Switches.** Each outline lighting installation and each exterior electric sign shall be controlled by an externally operable switch which will open all ungrounded conductors of the feeder or circuit. When not within sight of the outline lighting or the sign, the switch shall be capable of being locked in the "off" position.

SEC. 34. **Neon, Etc., Signs, Disconnect Switch Required.** Neon or gas filled luminous tube outline, or an additional electric sign, may be installed and connected to an existing sign circuit and disconnect switch supplying a sign on the exterior of the building, provided a separate disconnect switch or dead-front type of fuse block is installed at each sign location, in order to disconnect each sign or outline at its respective location.

SEC. 35. **Switches, Flashers, Etc., to Have Current Rating Transformer.** Switches, flashers and similar devices controlling gaseous tube transformers shall be either of a type approved for the purpose, or have a current rating

not less than twice the current rating of the total current of the transformers connected thereto.

SEC. 36. **Switches in Signs, Etc., to Be Minimum Current Rating.** Switches installed in a sign or outline circuit or feeder and arranged to disconnect the total feeder or circuit current shall have a minimum current rating of twenty (20) amperes. Switches arranged for the operation of a control circuit of a magnetic type contactor shall have a minimum current rating of ten (10) amperes at 220 volts.

SEC. 37. **Door Switches.** Permanently installed gaseous tube transformer enclosures, where installed within eight (8) feet vertical of the floor or platform, shall have the access doors equipped with door switches, unless said doors are mechanically secured in the closed position, by means of Parker type sheet metal screws.

SEC. 38. **Disconnect Switches.** Where gaseous tube transformers are installed in locations that are remote from the feeder or circuit disconnect switches, an additional externally operable type disconnect switch shall be installed adjacent to or in the transformer cabinet, to disconnect said transformers from the source of electrical supply.

SEC. 39. **Roof Sign Disconnect Switches.** On all electrically illuminated roof signs a disconnect switch shall be installed for the purpose of disconnecting the current from the sign, motor, or other control equipment on the structure. This disconnect switch shall be located within six (6) feet, six (6) inches from the roof and be placed either on the supporting structure or within easy access and view of the supporting structure.

SEC. 40. **Sign Enclosures.** With the exception of indoor portable type signs, all signs and outline lighting enclosures shall be constructed entirely of metal or other approved noncombustible material. Wood may be used for external decoration if kept at least two inches from the nearest lamp holder or other current carrying part. The design shall afford ample strength and rigidity and shall provide for the enclosure of all terminals and wiring other than the supply conductors.

SEC. 41. **Gauge of Metals.** All sheet steel or iron used in the construction or installation of exterior signs or outline lighting shall be galvanized. If sheet copper is used, it shall be at least 20 ounce (0.028 inch). Sheet steel shall be not less than No. 28 USS gauge (0.156 inch) except that for gas-filled luminous tube-type signs and outline lighting troughs, boxes, and other enclosures shall be of No. 24 USS gauge (0.250 inch), unless ribbed, corrugated or embossed over its entire surface, when it may be of No. 26 USS gauge (0.188 inch).

SEC. 42. **Exterior Enclosures to Be Weather Proof.** Enclosures for exterior use shall be made weatherproof and shall have an ample number of drain holes, each not larger than ½ inch or smaller than ¼ inch.

SEC. 43. **Cutouts, Flashers, Etc., to Be Enclosed in Approved Metal Box.** Cutouts, flashers and similar devices placed on or within an enclosure or on or in a building shall be enclosed in approved metal boxes, the doors of which shall be arranged so that they can be opened without removing obstructions or finished parts of the enclosure. When exposed to the weather, these boxes shall be of the weatherproof type.

SEC. 44. **Standard Cutouts, Etc., to Be in Weatherproof Enclosure.** Standard cutout bases, panelboards, flashers, switches and other similar devices shall

be used when they are provided as part of the sign assembly. If on or within the body or structure of the sign, they shall be in a separate weatherproof enclosure of metal of not less than No. 28 USS gauge.

SEC. 45. **Sign and Outline Wiring, Minimum Wattage Requirements.** Each exterior electric sign shall be wired for and contain either incandescent light globes, luminous gaseous tubes and their supply equipment, or a combination of both incandescent light globes and luminous gaseous tubes. The amount of illumination supplied by an electric sign shall be not less than one lineal foot of tubing for each square foot area of the illuminated sides of the sign, but in no case, shall the wattage or volt-amperage required to supply sign illumination be less than 15 watts or 15 volt-amperes for each square foot of area of the illuminated side or sides of the sign.

SEC. 46. **Lampholders.** Lampholders for sign use shall be of the keyless porcelain or moulded composition type. Standard lampholders shall be used. Lampholders shall be so installed and of a type that will afford permanent and reliable means to prevent possible turning. Miniature type lamp holders shall not be employed for outside work.

SEC. 47. **Conductors to be Soldered, Etc., and Painted With Compound.** Conductors shall be fastened to lampholder terminals so as to be mechanically and electrically secure, and shall, in addition, be soldered. Terminals at lampholders and exposed parts of wires at the lampholder terminals shall be treated or painted with a suitable compound to prevent corrosion.

SEC. 48. **Conductors 600 Volt Circuits Shall Be Approved Rubber Covered.** The conductors for circuits within an electric sign operating at 600 volts or less, shall be approved rubber covered Type R "Flame Retarding" having an outer braid treated with flame retarding as well as moisture-proofing compound. No 600 volt conductor shall be smaller than No. 14 B. & S. Gauge.

SEC. 49. **Wireways.** Wireways within a sign enclosure shall be formed of metal not less than No. 24 USS Gauge.

SEC. 50. **Circuit Conductors to Be Identified.** Circuit conductors connected to the screw shell terminals of lampholders shall be identified by means of a white or natural gray braid. The braid of all other wires of the sign shall be of a contrasting color.

SEC. 51. **Insulated Conductors to Be Standard.** Insulated conductors for wiring above 600 volts shall be standard, suitable for the voltage involved, not smaller than No. 14 B. & S. Gauge and of the standard type. Uninsulated conductors used in signs shall be not less than No. 10 B. & S. Gauge.

SEC. 52. **High Voltage Conductors, How Supported.** The high voltage conductors shall be supported as close as practicable to the terminal connections, both at transformers and electrodes. When no support is provided at an electrode terminal, a support shall be provided within six (6) inches of the terminal or electrode connection.

SEC. 53. **High Voltage Conductors Not to Be Spliced.** Except at transformer connections, insulated high voltage conductors shall not be spliced. At transformers or electrodes, connections shall be mechanically secure. Standard connections shall be soldered before being fastened under clamps or binding screws. At gaseous tube electrodes, connections between high tension conductors and electrode leads shall be soldered or made with standard wire connectors suitable for this particular use.

SEC. 54. **No Condensers to Be Used in High Voltage Circuits.** There shall

be no condensers or current interrupting devices installed in the high voltage circuit.

SEC. 55. **Electrode Receptacles to Be Approved.** Electrode receptacles shall be of a type as approved by the Underwriters' Laboratories for sign installation.

SEC. 56. **High Voltage Conductors Not in Sign, How Installed.** High voltage conductors installed for outline or sign installation and not within the sign enclosure, shall be installed in conduit or electrical metallic tubing.

SEC. 57. **Neon Signs to Be Grounded.** Isolated non-current-carrying parts of outline lighting and skeleton letter type neon signs shall be grounded in accordance with approved wiring installation methods. All permanently installed signs shall be grounded.

SEC. 58. **Capacitors.** A capacitor intended for power factor correction shall be capable of withstanding without breakdown for a period of 15 minutes, a D. C. potential of five times its rated voltage.

SEC. 59. **Portable Electric Signs.** Portable electric signs shall be approved by the Underwriters' Laboratories and shall bear a label indicating the name of the manufacturer, the voltage, current and frequency necessary for the correct operation of the sign. Portable electric signs shall be installed only within the interior of a building or structure.

SEC. 60. **Portable Signs, Secondary Voltage.** Portable electric signs having a secondary voltage in excess of 7500 volts shall be provided with a three-conductor cord in order that said sign shall be grounded.

SEC. 61. **Grounding Permanent Signs.** The metal of all permanently installed signs shall be installed in an approved manner. The grounding conductor shall be installed as specified in Section 9 of the National Electric Code and the State Safety Orders. Where flexible metallic conduit is used for gaseous luminous tube installations, approved bonded fittings, arranged to provide the continuity of the conduit ground, shall be installed. The conduit grounding conductor may be installed in the same conduit with the sign circuit or feeder conductors, provided said conductor is of the insulated type.

SEC. 62. **Temporary Electric Signs.** This Department will permit the installation of temporary electric signs for advertising purposes provided that the sign is constructed of non-inflammable material, all wiring within eight feet of the ground or platform is suitably enclosed or protected and the electric work and installation of said sign is installed by a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician under a permit issued by the Department. Permits for temporary electric signs shall not be issued for a period exceeding thirty (30) days.

SEC. 63. **Department of Electricity to Make Rules and Regulations for Installation of Signs.** The rules and standards governing the installation of electric signs and the wiring and installation of same shall be in accordance with the requirements of this ordinance and all supplementary rules as issued under the authority of existing law.

SEC. 64. **Time Limit and Failure to Rectify Complaints.** The failure and neglect of any Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician to correct, obviate or remove any fault, error, or deficiency in the doing or installing of any electrical work or electrical sign or outline work not in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under the authority of existing law, within ten (10) days after the receipt of a written notice from the Department describing said complaints, shall

be deemed sufficient cause for the suspending or cancelling of any certificate of registration issued by the Department and held by the Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician doing said electrical work. In addition to the above, the Department shall charge a fee of One Dollar and Fifty Cents ($1.50) for each hour or fraction thereof that the Inspector of the Department spends in inspecting electrical work or fixture work that is not corrected within the specified ten (10) day period.

SEC. 65. **Illegal Use of Permit.** No person, firm or corporation registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall file an application for a permit to do or install any electrical work in connection with the installation of electrical signs and outlines unless such person, firm or corporation has a contract to do or install the same, or intends to do or install such electrical work on his or its own behalf and for his or its own account. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be contrary to the provisions of this section.

SEC. 66. **Non-Liability of City for Damages.** This ordinance shall not be construed to relieve from or lessen the liability of any person, firm or corporation owning, operating or installing any electrical sign or outline work, or causing the same or any part thereof to be done, for damages to anyone injured by any defect therein, or any negligent doing or installation thereof, nor shall the City and County or any agent thereof be held liable therefor by reason of any inspection herein authorized and made by reason of the certificate of inspection and approval issued by the Department.

SEC. 67. **Penalty for Violation of This Ordinance.** Any person, firm or corporation who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance or any section or part thereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall in addition to any other penalty herein provided for, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500.00) or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months in the County Jail, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each day such violation shall continue, and shall be subject to the penalty imposed in this section for each and every separate offense.

SEC. 68. **Repealing All Conflicting Ordinances and Parts of Ordinances.** All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, and particularly Ordinance No. 8962, New Series, regulating the installation, alteration and maintenance of signs, are hereby repealed.

SEC. 69. **Validity of Ordinance.** In the event that any part, clause, sentence or section of this ordinance shall be judged void and of no effect, such decision shall not affect the validity of the rest and remaining part of the ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**REGULATING ERECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLES.**

(Code No. 11.11)

Also, Bill No. 1077, Ordinance No. 11.116, as follows:

Regulating the placing, installing, operating and maintenance of poles and electrical wires, appliances, apparatus or construction, and traffic control devices in or on the streets and sidewalks in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, and repealing Ordinance Nos. 621, 1564 and 6200 (New Series) and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

SEC. 1. **Regulating the Erection of Poles on Streets and Sidewalks.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect or maintain any pole on the streets or sidewalks of the City and County of San Francisco, unless permission, in writing, is first given by the Department of Public Works.

SEC. 2. **Prohibiting Maintenance of Poles in Proximity to Light Poles, etc.** It shall be unlawful to erect or maintain any pole on the streets or sidewalks of San Francisco at a point which is situated nearer than ten (10) feet to a pole on which is supported a lamp maintained by the City and County for lighting the public streets, or any traffic signal, traffic sign or other traffic control device.

SEC. 3. **Poles to Be Painted, etc.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to maintain any pole on the streets or sidewalks in the City and County of San Francisco, unless it be painted with suitable material to the satisfaction of the Department of Electricity. Such poles shall not have attached thereto any cleat, ladder or projecting appliance to assist a person in ascending said poles, which cleat, ladder or projecting appliance shall be less than seven (7) feet above the sidewalk surface.

SEC. 4. **Providing for Filing of Plans With the Department of Electricity.** It shall be unlawful to place, install, operate or maintain any electrical wires, appliances, apparatus or construction in or on the streets or sidewalks of the City and County of San Francisco, unless executed in accordance with plans and specifications previously approved in writing by the Chief of the Department of Electricity of said City and County; provided, however, that a copy of said plans and specifications as approved shall be placed on file in the office of the Department of Electricity. The permit for the placing, installing, operating or maintaining of electrical wires, appliances, apparatus or construction must be shown to the inspector of the Department of Electricity upon request at the time and location of such construction.

SEC. 5. **Appliances in Proximity to Light Wires, etc.** It shall be unlawful to erect, construct, operate, or maintain any appliance, scaffold, elevator, derrick, or hoist which shall be in proximity to any light, power, trolley, feeder, telephone, telegraph, or fire alarm wire, or to erect, construct, operate, or maintain any appliance, scaffold, elevator, derrick, or hoist to which shall be attached any wire, guy or appliance which shall be liable to contact with any light, power, trolley, feeder, telephone, telegraph, or fire alarm wire, in or on streets or sidewalks in the City and County, unless executed in accordance with plans and specifications previously approved in writing by the Chief of the Department of Electricity of said City and County; provided, however, that a copy of said plans and specifications as approved shall be placed on file in the office of the Department of Electricity.

SEC. 6. **Unlawful to Advertise, etc., on Poles.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to paste, paint, affix or fasten, or cause to be pasted, painted, affixed or fastened on any telegraph, telephone, electric light or lamp pole, any advertisement, bill, notice, card, sign, or advertising device, excepting street or hospital signs for which permission must be obtained from the person, firm or corporation owning or controlling such poles, and from the Department of Public Works.

SEC. 7. **Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500.00) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 8. **Repealing Clause.** Ordinance Numbers 621, 1564 and 6200 (New Series) and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Intention to Close a Portion of Congo Street From Mangels Avenue Northerly 36.064 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2672, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Congo street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Congo street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Congo street with the northerly line of Mangels avenue; thence northerly along said line of Congo street 36.064 feet; thence deflecting 90 degrees 01 minute to the left and running westerly 19.50 feet; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes to the left and running southerly 36.058 feet to the northerly line of Mangels avenue; thence easterly along said line of Mangels avenue 19.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Congo street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Congo street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Grade Changes, Ortega Street and Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2714, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 4345 of the

Director of Public Works dated July 8, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board July 14, 1936, to-wit:

| *Ortega street*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Thirtieth avenue westerly line | 274 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Thirty-first avenue easterly line | 261 |
| Thirty-first avenue westerly line | 258 |
| Thirty-second avenue easterly line | 243 |
| Thirty-second avenue westerly line | 240 |
| Thirty-third avenue easterly line | 222 |
| Thirty-third avenue westerly line | 219 |
| Thirty-fourth avenue easterly line | 203 |
| Thirty-fourth avenue westerly line | 200 |
| Thirty-fifth avenue easterly line | 189 |
| Thirty-fifth avenue westerly line | 186 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-first avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 251.93 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 249.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 105 feet southerly from Noriega street | 252.06 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 257.98 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 261.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 258.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 262.83 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 276.17 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 281.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 278.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-second avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 238.93 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 236.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 500 feet northerly from Ortega street | 238.92 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 241.68 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 243.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 240.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 242.66 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 247.33 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 250.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 247.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-third avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 221.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 218.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 500 feet northerly from Ortega street | 221.39 |
| 300 feet northerly from Ortega street | 223.61 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 222.11 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 222.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 219.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 222.36 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 226.36 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 228.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 225.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

| *Thirty-fourth avenue*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 203.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 200.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 500 feet northerly from Ortega street | 203.31 |
| 300 feet northerly from Ortega street | 205.36 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 203.36 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 203.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 200.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 203.50 |
| 300 feet southerly from Ortega street | 207.50 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 205.36 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 205.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 202.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-fifth avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 185.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 182.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 500 feet northerly from Ortega street | 185.00 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 187.69 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 189.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 186.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 187.69 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 185.03 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 185.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 182.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

On Ortega street between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, and Thirty-fifth avenues between Noriaga and Pacheco streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Grade Change, Evans Avenue and Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 2715, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above City base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 4456 of the Director of Public Works dated July 20, 1936, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board July 25, 1936, to-wit:

| *Evans avenue*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Water Front street | Base |
| Ship street | 3.00 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Ship street | 4.50 |

| | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Alvord street | 3.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 5.50 |
| 200 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 7.19 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 7.25 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| Boalt street | 5.00 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 6.50 |
| Coleman street | 5.00 |
| 200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street | 7.00 |
| Donahue street | 6.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 6.50 |
| Earl street | 4.00 |
| 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street | 5.00 |
| Fitch street | 3.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street | 3.50 |
| Griffith street | 1.00 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Griffith street | 2.50 |
| Hawes street | 1.00 |
| 47.37 feet easterly from Ingalls street | 27.34 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Fairfax avenue*: | |
| Water Front street | Base |
| Ship street | 3.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 184 feet southeasterly from Alvord street | 6.00 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Alvord street | 6.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 84 feet southeasterly from Alvord street | 10.89 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Alvord street | 10.89 |
| Northeasterly line of, at Alvord street | 13.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line | 17.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 18.02 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 24.50 |
| Boalt street | 26.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 146.54 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 28.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Coleman street | 6.00 |
| 200 feet southeasterly from Donahue street | 8.00 |
| Donahue street | 7.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 7.50 |
| Earl street | 5.00 |
| 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street | 6.00 |
| Fitch street | 4.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street | 4.50 |
| Griffith street | 2.00 |
| Hawes street | 20.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Galvez avenue*: | |
| Water Front street | Base |
| Ship street | 3.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Alvord street | 48.84 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Alvord street | 48.84 |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street | 57.35 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street | 58.65 |

| | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line | 56.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line | 60.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 55.15 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 55.15 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street | 53.15 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street | 53.15 |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street. | 51.35 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street | 52.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 50.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 54.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 49.47 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 50 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 49.47 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 43.68 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 393.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 43.68 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 41.06 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 343.56 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 42.24 |
| 10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 116.83 feet southeasterly from Coleman street (The same being the present official grade.) | 33.50 |
| 10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Coleman street southeasterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 30.00 |
| 10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street southeasterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 30.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line | 30.00 |
| Northeasterly line of, 10 feet northwesterly from Coleman street southeasterly line | 29.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line | 29.00 |
| Northeasterly line of, 10 feet southeasterly from Coleman street northwesterly line | 28.00 |
| 10 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Coleman street northwesterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 28.00 |
| 10 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street northwesterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 28.00 |
| 80 feet southeasterly from Donahue street northwesterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 36.00 |
| Donahue street northwesterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 36.00 |
| 200 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 18.00 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 11.00 |
| 400 feet northwesterly from Donahue street (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | 8.00 |
| Earl street | 6.00 |

| | Feet |
|---|---|
| 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street | 7.00 |
| Fitch street | 5.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street | 5.50 |
| Griffith street | 3.00 |
| Hawes street | 20.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Hudson avenue*: | |
| Water Front street | Base |
| Ship street | 3.00 |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Alvord street | 105.35 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Alvord street | 106.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line | 104.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Alvord street center line | 108.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 104.00 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Alvord street | 104.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street | 96.00 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Boalt street | 96.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Boalt street | 93.35 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Boalt street | 94.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 92.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 96.00 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 92.73 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 220 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 91.00 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 82.27 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Coleman street | 74.35 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Coleman street | 75.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 73.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 77.00 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 73.77 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 354 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 72.29 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 404 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 70.06 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 454 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 63.95 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.) | |

| | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street southeasterly line | 43.00 |
| Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street | 42.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street | 44.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street northwesterly line | 43.00 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 28.60 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 20.54 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 350 feet northwesterly from Donahue street | 15.58 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.) | |
| Earl street | 7.00 |
| 200 feet northwesterly from Earl street | 8.00 |
| Fitch street | 6.00 |
| 100 feet northwesterly from Fitch street | 6.50 |
| Griffith street | 4.00 |
| Hawes street | 30.00 |
| (The curve being the present official grade.) | |

*Innes avenue*:

| | |
|---|---|
| Water Front street | Base |
| Ship street | 4.00 |
| Grades between Ship street and Boalt street abolished. | |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street | 135.35 |
| At points 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street | 136.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 134.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 138.00 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 100 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 134.50 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 131.91 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 130.56 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 127.53 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 131.91 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 140 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 130.70 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 128.08 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street | 122.35 |

| | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street | 123.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 121.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 125.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 121.77 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 119.99 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 116.22 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 122.51 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 120.18 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 116.22 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 89.92 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 83.36 |
| At points 13 feet northeasterly and southwesterly from the center line of, 430 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 75.63 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described lines.) | |
| 80 feet easterly from Donahue street westerly line | 50.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

*Jerrold avenue*:

| | |
|---|---|
| Water Front street | Base |
| Dock street intersection | Base |
| Ship street | 6.00 |
| Grades between Ship street and Boalt street abolished. | |
| Northeasterly line of, at Boalt street | 107.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at Boalt street | 103.00 |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street | 128.00 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street | 128.00 |
| Northeasterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 128.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, 13 feet northwesterly and 13 feet southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 128.00 |
| 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 75 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 127.40 |
| 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 125 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 125.76 |
| 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 175 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 121.65 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.) | |
| 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 265 feet northwesterly from Coleman street. | 112.00 |
| 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 135 feet southeasterly from Donahue street. | 96.00 |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street | 87.35 |

| | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street | 88.65 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line | 86.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and 22 feet southeasterly from Donahue street center line | 90.00 |
| Earl street | 44.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Kirkwood avenue*: | |
| Ship street | 8.00 |
| 300 feet northwesterly from Ship street | 9.50 |
| Alvord street | 8.00 |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street | 53.65 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street | 52.35 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 55.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Boalt street center line | 51.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 54.40 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 56.58 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 62.62 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 54.08 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 56.50 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 62.62 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 83.03 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 91.45 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 100.78 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 222 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 83.03 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 172 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 91.35 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 122 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 100.40 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Coleman street | 124.65 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Coleman street | 123.35 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 126.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 122.00 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 124.38 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street | 122.46 |

*Feet*

27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 30 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 123.63
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 122.28
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 110 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 117.50
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 111.00
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 101.10
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 97.43
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 111.00
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 230 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 101.07
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 310 feet northwesterly from Coleman street ..... 97.28
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Donahue street ..... 95.33
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Donahue street ..... 94.67
Northeasterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line ..... 96.00
Southwesterly line of, at points 22 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Donahue street center line ..... 94.00
Earl street ..... 64.00

(The same being the present official grade.)

*La Salle avenue*:

Abolish grades between Alvord and Boalt streets.
13 feet northeasterly from the center line of, at Boalt street 19.65
13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, at Boalt street 18.35
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ..... 23.56
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ..... 26.70
27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ..... 31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ..... 23.06
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ..... 26.50
27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Boalt street ..... 31.25
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 280 feet northwesterly from Boalt street .... 41.75
13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 330 feet northwesterly from Boalt street..... 47.27

| | Feet |
|---|---|
| 13 feet northeasterly and 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of, 380 feet northwesterly from Boalt street | 53.33 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.) | |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 59.67 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 65.73 |
| 27 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 71.27 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 170 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 59.67 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 120 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 65.60 |
| 27 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 70 feet southeasterly from Coleman street | 70.73 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| 13 feet northeasterly from the center line of Coleman street. | 78.65 |
| 13 feet southwesterly from the center line of Coleman street. | 77.35 |
| Northeasterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 80.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from Coleman street center line | 76.00 |
| Northeasterly line of, at Donahue street | 70.00 |
| Southwesterly line of, at Donahue street | 66.00 |
| Earl street | 84.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

*McKinnon avenue*:

Grades between Alvord street and Earl street abolished.

*Newcomb avenue*:

Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.

*Oakdale avenue*:

Grades between Alvord street and Fitch street abolished.

*Palou avenue*:

Grades between Boalt street and Fitch street abolished.

*Quesada avenue*:

Grades between Coleman street and Griffith street abolished

*Revere avenue*:

Grades between Donahue street and Griffith street abolished.

*Water Front street*:

| | |
|---|---|
| Evans avenue | Base |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Evans avenue | 0.50 |
| Fairfax avenue | Base |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Fairfax avenue | 0.50 |
| Galvez avenue | Base |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue | 0.50 |
| Hudson avenue | Base |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Hudson avenue | 0.50 |
| Innes avenue | Base |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 0.50 |
| Jerrold avenue | Base |

*Ship street*:

| | |
|---|---|
| Evans avenue | 3.00 |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Evans avenue | 3.50 |
| Fairfax avenue | 3.00 |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Fairfax avenue | 3.50 |
| Galvez avenue | 3.00 |
| 100 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue | 3.50 |

| | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Hudson avenue | 3.00 |
| Innes avenue | 4.00 |
| Jerrold avenue | 6.00 |
| Kirkwood avenue | 8.00 |
| *Alvord street:* | |
| Evans avenue | 3.00 |
| Fairfax avenue northeasterly line | 13.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Fairfax avenue southwesterly line | 17.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line | 56.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line | 60.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line | 104.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line | 108.00 |
| Abolish grades on Alvord street between Hudson avenue and Kirkwood avenue and between Kirkwood avenue and Oakdale avenue. | |
| *Boalt street:* | |
| Evans avenue | 5.00 |
| Fairfax avenue | 26.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line | 50.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line | 54.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 50 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue | 62.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue | 70.75 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 150 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue | 81.00 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line | 92.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line | 96.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 110 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue | 116.08 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue | 124.05 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 30 feet northeasterly from Innes avenue | 130.14 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line | 134.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line | 138.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 20 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 137.83 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 135.40 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 128.79 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three elevations.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line | 107.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line | 103.00 |

| | Feet |
|---|---|
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line | 55.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line | 51.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 70 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue | 35.25 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 120 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue | 26.34 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 170 feet southwesterly from Kirkwood avenue | 22.13 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line | 21.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line | 17.00 |
| Abolish grades on Boalt street between La Salle avenue and Palou avenue. | |
| *Coleman street*: | |
| Evans avenue | 5.00 |
| Fairfax avenue | 6.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line | 29.00 |
| 13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue northeasterly line | 28.00 |
| Northwesterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line | 28.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Southeasterly line of, 10 feet southwesterly from Galvez avenue northeasterly line | 30.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Northwesterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line | 28.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Southeasterly line of, 10 feet northeasterly from Galvez avenue southwesterly line | 30.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 13 feet southeasterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line | 30.00 |
| 13 feet northwesterly from the center line of, at Galvez avenue southwesterly line | 29.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue northeasterly line | 73.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Hudson avenue southwesterly line | 77.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue northeasterly line | 121.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Innes avenue southwesterly line | 125.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 128.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 129.30 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Innes avenue | 129.20 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue northeasterly line | 128.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Jerrold avenue southwesterly line | 128.00 |

| | Feet |
|---|---|
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 60 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue | 131.00 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 100 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue | 131.80 |
| 13 feet southeasterly and 13 feet northwesterly from center line of, 140 feet southwesterly from Jerrold avenue | 130.20 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described elevations.) | |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue northeasterly line | 126.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at Kirkwood avenue southwesterly line | 122.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue northeasterly line | 80.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at La Salle avenue southwesterly line | 76.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue northeasterly line | 34.00 |
| At points 13 feet northwesterly and southeasterly from center line of, at McKinnon avenue southwesterly line | 30.00 |
| Abolish grades on Coleman street between McKinnon avenue and Quesada avenue. | |
| *Donahue street*: | |
| Evans avenue | 6.00 |
| Fairfax avenue | 7.00 |
| Galvez avenue | 36.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Hudson avenue northeasterly line | 42.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Hudson avenue southwesterly line | 44.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Innes avenue | 50.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Jerrold avenue northeasterly line | 86.00 |
| Jerrold avenue southwesterly line | 90.00 |
| 90 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue | 96.29 |
| 60 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue | 97.32 |
| 30 feet northeasterly from Kirkwood avenue | 97.00 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| Kirkwood avenue, northeasterly line | 96.00 |
| Kirkwood avenue, southwesterly line | 94.00 |
| La Salle avenue, northeasterly line | 70.00 |
| La Salle avenue, southwesterly line | 66.00 |
| 20 feet southwesterly from La Salle avenue | 65.00 |
| McKinnon avenue, northeasterly line | 38.00 |
| McKinnon avenue, southwesterly line | 34.00 |
| 51.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue | 11.75 |
| 26.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue | 8.93 |
| 1.67 feet northeasterly from Newcomb avenue | 8.00 |
| (Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.) | |
| Newcomb avenue | 8.00 |
| Abolish grades between Newcomb and Revere avenues. | |
| *Earl street*: | |
| Evans avenue | 4.00 |
| Fairfax avenue | 5.00 |
| Galvez avenue | 6.00 |
| Hudson avenue | 7.00 |
| Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue | 51.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

| | Feet |
|---|---|
| Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue | 49.50 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Abolish grades between McKinnon and Revere avenues. | |
| *Fitch street*: | |
| Evans avenue | 3.00 |
| Fairfax avenue | 4.00 |
| Galvez avenue | 5.00 |
| Hudson avenue | 6.00 |
| Southeasterly line of, at Innes avenue | 31.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Northwesterly line of, at Innes avenue | 30.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Abolish grades between Palou and Shafter avenues. | |
| *Griffith street*: | |
| Evans avenue | 1.00 |
| Fairfax avenue | 2.00 |
| Galvez avenue | 3.00 |
| Hudson avenue | 4.00 |
| Innes avenue | 22.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

On Evans avenue between Water Front street and a point 47.37 feet southeasterly from Ingalls street on Fairfax avenue between Water Front and Hawes streets; on Galvez avenue between Water Front street and a line parallel with Coleman street and 343.56 feet southeasterly therefrom and between Donahue and Hawes streets; on Hudson street between Water Front and Hawes streets; on Innes avenue between Water Front street and Donahue street; on Jerrold avenue between Water Front street and Earl street; on Kirkwood avenue between Ship street and Earl street; on La Salle and McKinnon avenues between Alvord and Earl streets; on Newcomb and Oakdale avenues between Alvord and Fitch streets; on Palou avenue between Boalt and Fitch streets; on Quesada avenue between Coleman and Griffith streets; on Revere avenue between Donahue and Griffith streets; on Water Front street between Evans and Jerrold avenues; on Ship street between Evans and Kirkwood avenues; on Alvord street between Evans and Oakdale avenues; on Boalt street between Evans and Palou avenues; on Coleman street between Evans and Quesada avenues; on Donahue street between Evans and Galvez avenues and Innes and Revere avenues; on Earl street between Evans and Innes avenue and between McKinnon and Revere avenues; on Fitch street between Evans and Innes avenues and between Palou and Shafter avenues, and on Griffith street between Evans and Innes avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Approving List of Projects to Be Improved Out of "City Street ¼c Gas Tax Fund.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Also, Resolution No. 2716, as follows:

Resolved, That the attached list of projects (being Fifth street between Mission and Townsend streets and fourteen other items) proposed to be improved with funds allocated by Section 194 of Senate Bill No. 561, Statutes of 1935, known as "City Street One-Quarter Cent Gas Fund," for fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, be approved, to-wit:

Fifth street between Mission and Townsend streets.
Fremont street, Market to Harrison street.
First street, Market street to Harrison street.
Harrison street, Embarcadero to Fifth street.
Harrison street viaduct.
Folsom street,, Embarcadero to Tenth street.
Stuart street, Embarcadero to Mission street.
Beale street, Embarcadero to Mission street.
Spear street, Embarcadero to Howard street.
Battery street, Embarcadero to Market street.
Bryant street, Second street to Fifth street.
Sixth street, Townsend street to Mission street.
Eighth street, Townsend street to Market street.
Eighteenth street, Guerrero street to Danvers street.
Anza street, Parker avenue to Arguello boulevard.
Army street undergrade crossing.

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute said project statement for and in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and to transmit same to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Amending Resolution No. 2328 by Including Portion of San Jose Avenue as Street of Major Importance to Be Improved With Funds From Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.**

(Code No. 12.081)

Resolution No. 2717, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 2328, designating streets of major importance upon which moneys accruing to the credit of the "Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund" shall be expended, be amended by adding thereto portion of San Jose avenue as follows:

San Jose avenue, between Alemany boulevard and Sickles avenue.

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the State Department of Public Works and to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073175, Reducing Sidewalk Widths on San Carlos Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1078, Ordinance No. 12.073182, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073175, entitled "Amending Ordinance

No. 1061, entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139)." (San Carlos street.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.073175, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139)" is hereby repealed. (San Carlos street.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Carroll Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1079, Ordinance No. 12.073183, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Three Hundred and Fifty-three (353) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 25, 1936, by amending Section Three Hundred and Fifty-three (353) thereof to read as follows:

Section 353. The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue between Thornton avenue and the westerly boundary of the Hudson Garden Tract shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue between the westerly boundary of the Hudson Garden Tract and Newhall street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Newhall street and Shoup avenue, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Newhall street and Shoup avenue, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue between Shoup avenue and Third street shall be 15 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Columbus Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1080, Ordinance No. 12.073184, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections Seven Hundred and Seventy-one (771), Six Hundred and Twenty-nine (629), Seven Hundred and Seventy-two (772) and Four Hundred and Ninety-three (493) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 14, 1936, by amending Sections Seven Hundred and Seventy-one (771), Six Hundred and Twenty-nine (629), Seven Hundred and Seventy-two (772) and Four Hundred and Ninety-three (493) thereof to read as follows:

Section 771. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue between Washington and Lombard streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 629. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Lombard and Chestnut streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Lombard and Taylor streets, shall be 10 feet.

Section 772. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue between Chestnut and North Point streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 493. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the northeasterly side of, between North Point and Leavenworth streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Leavenworth and Beach streets, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Leavenworth and Beach streets, shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Gough Street.
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1081, Ordinance No. 12.073185, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Four Hundred and Forty-one (441) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 18, 1936, by amending Section Four Hundred and Forty-one (441) thereof to read as follows:

Section 441. The width of sidewalks on Gough street between Market and McAllister streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Fixing Sidewalks on Gough Street.
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1082, Ordinance No. 12.073186, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-nine (1179).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 18, 1936, by adding hereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-nine (1179) to read as follows:

Section 1179. The width of sidewalks on Gough street between McAllister and California streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Bush Street.
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1083, Ordinance No. 12.073187, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Eighty (1180).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 21, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Eighty (1180) to read as follows:

Section 1180. The width of sidewalks on Bush street between Grant avenue and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Improvement of Various Streets by Sidewalk Construction.
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1084, Ordinance No. 12.061165, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 14, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Cayuga avenue (S. ½) between Lyell street and 200 feet east.

Cayuga avenue (S. E. ½) between Oneida avenue and 25 feet northeast.

Chenery street (S. ½) between Diamond street and Brompton avenue.

Chenery street (S. ½) between Elk street and 231.46 feet east.

Geneva avenue (N. E. ½) between 112 feet and 137 feet southeast of Howth street.

Miguel street (S. E. ½) between Niagara avenue and 67 feet southwest.

Mt. Vernon avenue (S. W. ½) between 78 feet 5 inches and 103 feet 5 inches southeast of Louisburg street.

San Jose avenue (N. W. ½) between Mt. Vernon avenue and 100 feet southwest.

San Jose avenue (S. E. ½) between Foote avenue and 26.52 feet northeast.

San Jose avenue (S. E. ½) between 137.5 feet and 166.25 feet northeast of Naglee avenue.

San Jose avenue (S. E. ½) between 80.59 feet and 162.67 feet southwest of Foote avenue.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between Ortega street and 100 feet north.

Shrader street (E. ½) between 52 feet 7 inches and 178 feet south of Carmel street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of:

Alton avenue (N. ½) between 65.371 feet and 119.864 feet east of Ninth avenue.

Ashton avenue (E. ½) between 165 feet and 212 feet 6 inches south of Holloway avenue.

Byxbee street (W. ½) between 165 feet and 215 feet south of Holloway avenue.

Chenery street (N. ½) between Thor avenue and 27.68 feet west.

Edna street (W. ½) between 50 feet and 75 feet north of Staples avenue.

Flood avenue (S. ½) between Genesee street and 100 feet east.

Foerster street (E. ½) between Staples avenue and 125 feet south.

Forest Side avenue (W. ½) between 233.148 feet and 266.481 feet north of Vicente street.

Forty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 250 feet and 275 feet north of Kirkham street.

Garcia avenue (S. E. ½) between Idora avenue and 121.098 feet southwest.

Holloway avenue (S. ½) between 50 feet and 100 feet east of Head street.

Idora avenue (S. ½) between Garcia avenue and 132 feet east of Rock alley.

Judson avenue (N. ½) between 190 feet and 220 feet west of Detroit street.

Lunado way (E. ½) between Cerritos avenue and Lunado court.

Mangels avenue (S. ½) between 25 feet and 100 feet east of Genesee street.

Ocean avenue (S. ½) between 64.70 feet and 129.40 feet west of Lee avenue.

Ocean avenue (S. ½) between Mission street and Watson place.

Paloma avenue (S. ½) between Mercedes way and Junipero Serra boulevard.

Seventeenth street (S. ½) between 75 feet and 125 feet east of Corbin place.

Shrader street (E. ½) between 203 feet 1 inch and 228 feet 9⅜ inches south of Carmel street.

Staples avenue (S. ½) between 300 feet and 325 feet west of Foerster street.

Surrey street (N. W. ½) between the north corner of Surrey and Lippard streets and 104.14 feet northeast.

Surrey street (S. E. ½) between Thor avenue and 57.23 feet northeast.

Urbano drive (west of Borica street) fronting Lots 13 and 14 of Block 6917A.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Alvarado street (S. ½) between 165 feet and 202 feet 6 inches east of Hoffman avenue.

Castro street (W. ½) between 82 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches north of Twentieth street.

Castro street (W. ½) between 84 feet and 114 feet south of Jersey street.

Castro street (W. ½) between Twenty-sixth street and 26 feet 6 inches north.

Clayton street (W. ½) between Seventeenth street and 82.03 feet north.

Clayton street (W. ½) between 27 feet 11⅞ inches and 53 feet 8⅞ inches north of Carmel street.

Clipper street (S. ½) between 133 feet 4 inches and 160 feet east of Diamond street.

Clipper street (S. ½) between Diamond street and 80 feet west.

Diamond street (E. ½) between Twenty-third street and 53 feet south.

Diamond street (W. ½) between Twenty-fifth street and 35 feet north.

Diamond street (W. ½) between Clipper street and 22 feet south.

Diamond street (E. ½) between Surrey street and 25 feet north.

Douglass street (E. ½) between Twenty-fifth street and 31 feet 6 inches north.

Hoffman avenue (E. ½) between Elizabeth street and 85 feet south.

Hoffman avenue (W. ½) between 235 feet and 260 feet north of Twenty-fifth street.

Hoffman avenue (E. ½) between 150 feet and 183 feet south of Twenty-fourth street.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between 59 feet 9 inches and 95 feet southwest of Thirtieth street.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Kingston street and 30 feet southwest.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Brook and Randall streets.

Mission street (W. ½) between 125 feet and 200 feet north of Highland avenue.

Mission street (W. ½) between 56.37 feet and 81.67 feet north of College avenue.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Bosworth street and 14 feet 0⅛ inch northeast.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Silver avenue and 25 feet southwest.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between 73 feet 1⅜ inches and 123 feet 1⅜ inches southwest of Ocean avenue.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Leo street and 27 feet 1½ inches southwest.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between 206.36 feet and 283.745 feet northeast of Seneca avenue.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Naglee avenue and 81 feet southwest.

Ocean avenue (S. W. ½) between 165.41 feet and 215.41 feet southeast of Paloma avenue.

Ocean avenue (S. W. ½) between Victoria street and 103.86 feet southeast.

Roanoke street (S. W. ½) between 25 feet and 150 feet southeast of Bemis street.

Twentieth street (S. ½) between 25 feet and 50 feet east of Collingwood street.

Twenty-first street (N. ½) between 100 feet and 125 feet east of Eureka street.

Twenty-second street (S. ½) between 165 feet and 190 feet east of Hoffman avenue.

Twenty-third street (N. ½) between 77 feet 3 inches and 104 feet 6 inches west of Eureka street.

Twenty-fifth street (N. ½) between Diamond street and 105 feet west.

Twenty-fifth street (N. ½) between Douglass street and 80 feet east.

Twenty-fifth street (S. ½) between 190 feet and 215 feet east of Douglass street.

Twenty-sixth street (N. ½) between Castro street and 80 feet west.

Twenty-sixth street (S. ½) between 101 feet 9 inches and 128 feet 1½ inches west of Castro street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1294 | 17, 18, 21 |
| 2053 | 24 |
| 6733A | 25, 25A, 29, 30, 31, 32 |
| 6744 | 14, 15, 16, 17, 21 |
| 6786 | 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29 |
| 6948 | 11 |
| 6957 | 5 |
| 7026 | 10 |
| 7036 | 18 |
| 7038 | 1 |
| 7046 | 8 |
| 7063 | 11B, 15 |

---

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1294 | 15 |
| 1810 | 31 |
| 2128 | 4 |
| 2414 | 22 |
| 2652 | 23 |
| 2916 | 33 |
| 2936A | 1 |
| 3087 | 31, 32 |
| 3140 | 34 |
| 3141 | 7 |
| 3156 | 11 |
| 3157 | 28, 29 |
| 3158 | 38 |
| 6730 | 19 |
| 6739 | 6 |
| 6740 | 7 |
| 6905 | 1, 20 |
| 6912 | 25A |
| 6917A | 13, 14 |
| 6944 | 41, 42 |
| 6955 | 1, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42 |
| 6987 | 35 |
| 6990 | 47 |
| 6997 | 4, 5 |

---

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1279 | 41, 43 |
| 1292 | 8 |
| 2696 | 11, 12 |
| 2750 | 19 |
| 2752 | 28 |
| 2773 | 13 |
| 2775 | 36 |
| 2802 | 37 |
| 2804 | 30, 31 |
| 2829 | 15A |
| 6502 | 8 |
| 6503 | 25 |
| 6539 | 2A |
| 6540 | 8, 9, 10, 22 |
| 6545 | 28 |
| 6555 | 9, 37 |
| 6556 | 1 |
| 6562 | 35 |
| 6660 | 2, 8, 19, 20, 21, 23 |
| 6687 | 1, 2, 3 |
| 6692 | 6 |
| 6721 | 4 |
| 6728 | 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 |
| 6729 | 55 |
| 6800 | 44 |
| 6908 | 3 |
| 6915 | 17 |
| 6955 | 2, 3 |
| 6956 | 1 |
| 6968 | 8, 9, 10 |
| 7098 | 1 |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Establishing Grades on Carroll Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1085, Ordinance No. 12.072221, as follows:

Establishing grades on Carroll avenue east of Newhall street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Carroll avenue, east of Newhall street, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office July 6, 1936.

| *Carroll Avenue*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Northerly line of, produced easterly 483.96 feet from Newhall street | 25.00 |
| Southerly line of, produced easterly 513.29 feet from Newhall street | 25.00 |
| On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 184 feet easterly from Newhall street | 27.50 |
| On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Newhall street easterly line | 30.00 |

(The same being the present official grade.)

On Carroll avenue between the above-described lines be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member Board of Supervisors.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2725, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of four weeks, commencing August 4, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Conference Between Market Street Railway and Municipal Railway re Rapid Transit Situation.**

(Code No. 15.091.)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2726, as follows:

Whereas, rapid transit is of vital necessity to all street car patrons of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the recent report of the Public Utilities Commission provides rapid transit for only the patrons of the Municipal Railway, and

Whereas, due to changes in transportation conditions which will

be brought about when East Bay transportation moves over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, said change will necessitate re-routing much of the street car system; therefore be it

Resolved, That a conference be called at an early date between representatives of the Municipal and the Market Street Railways to discuss the following:

1. Best means of rapid transit of all street car patrons in San Francisco.
2. Elimination of duplicate service.
3. Universal transfer.
4. Possibility of joint operation.
5. Price and terms covering purchase of the Market Street Railway.
6. Price and terms covering purchase of the San Mateo Line.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing resolution be referred to the Board of Supervisors sitting in Committee of the Whole at 3 p. m. tomorrow.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Meyer—3.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed from Emily Sekol for Land Required for McLaren Park Roadway.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2727, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Emily Sekol, Lots 6, 7 and 8, Assessor's Block 6098, San Francisco, California, required for McLaren Park Roadway, for the sum of $4,500, payable from Appropriation No. 512.600.03.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer the sum of $1,523.71 from Appropriation No. 591.902.17 into Appropriation No. 512.600.03 for the purpose of acquiring the above property.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Care of Hernia Cases in San Francisco Hospital.**

Supervisor Uhl referred to statement that there are over fifty cases of hernia awaiting care in the San Francisco Hospital and that the hospital is without funds or money for doing it. Supervisor Uhl stated the Mayor should take money from the Emergency Fund, and requested that the matter be brought to the attention of the Mayor and the San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Shannon also referred to the number of hernia cases awaiting attention.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be directed to write City of St. Paul to get rates of interest paid by that city on its bond issues.

*So ordered.*

### Meeting Announcements.

Supervisor Colman called a meeting of Education, Parks and Recreation Committee for Thursday, August 6, 1936, at 3:30 p. m., to consider Huddart bequest and Park Code.

Supervisor Meyer called a meeting of Public Utilties Committee at 2 p. m. Wednesday, August 5, 1936, for consideration of Public Utilities Code, rapid transit situation, one-man-car ordinance, etc.

### Commendation of Police Department.

Supervisor Meyer commended Police Department for its efficiency in recent gangster killing affair and declared that certain officers are conducting a pistol range out at Fort Funston, maintaining and equipping it out of their own money. Some time ago they asked some members of the Board to assist them in buying equipment for it and were unsuccessful. I ask the Finance Committee to look into it to see if we could buy proper equipment.

### Ocean Beach Breakwater.

Supervisor Meyer submitted plat on suggested Ocean Beach Breakwater, neighborhood of Seal Rock.

*Referred to Industrial Development and Exposition Affairs Committee.*

### Enforcement of Ordinance Requiring Street Cars to Stop at Steam Railroad Crossings.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Board of Supervisors instruct or call upon the Chief of Police and the District Attorney to enforce the law of the City and County of San Francisco requiring street cars to stop within a specified distance of every railroad crossing and requiring the conductor or other operator of that street car to alight, proceed to the track and signal the street car across, in the interest of safety of passengers, in the event that the City Attorney advises them that they would not be violating the federal injunction by so doing.

*Laid over until special meeting tomorrow at 3 p. m.*

### City Attorney to Expedite Hearing on One-Man-Car Injunction.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be directed to use every effort and have the so-called one-man-car case reopened, and if the judge who originally heard the case is not available that application be made to have one of the other federal judges hear the application.

*So ordered.*

### In Memoriam, John Adams.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors by a rising vote expressed sympathy in the death of John Adams, August 3, 1936, stepson of Supervisor Adolph Uhl. Clerk was directed to prepare suitable resolution and send to the family of the deceased.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 3 p. m., Tuesday, August 4, 1936.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 1936, 3 P. M.

---

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to resolution presented by Supervisor Uhl at meeting of Monday, August 3, 1936, providing for a conference

between the officials of the Market Street Railway Company and those of the Municipal Railway relative to San Francisco's rapid transit problem.

Also, to give consideration to motion of Supervisor Havenner that the Chief of Police and the District Attorney enforce the provisions of Ordinance No. 581 requiring street cars to stop within specified distance of railroad crossings before moving on.

Also, City Attorney's opinion as to the specific provision of Ordinance No. 581 in this regard.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 4:10 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

## COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole and the following matters were taken up for consideration:

### Re Enforcement of Ordinance No. 581, Section 12, Requiring Street Cars to Stop Before Railroad Crossings.

The following motion, laid over from yesterday's meeting and made Special Order for 3 p. m. this day in Committee of the Whole, was taken up:

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Board of Supervisors instruct or call upon the Chief of Police and the District Attorney to enforce the law of the City and County of San Francisco requiring street cars to stop within a specified distance of every railroad crossing, and requiring the conductor or other operator of said street railway car to alight, proceed to the track and signal the street car across, in the interest of the safety of the passengers, in the event that the City Attorney advises that they would not be violating the federal injunction by so doing.

### Opinion of City Attorney Re Stopping of Street Cars at Steam Railroad Crossings.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

August 4, 1936.

Dear Sirs: You have directed my attention to Section 12 of Ordinance No. 581 (New Series) and ask if it is compulsory for street cars operated by one man to comply with the provisions of this section.

*Opinion.*

Section 12 of Ordinance No. 581 (New Series) reads as follows:

"Section 12. Whenever a car approaches the track of a steam railroad it must, within twenty-five (25) feet of the nearest rail of such steam railroad, come to a full stop. The conductor must leave the car, approach close to the steam railroad track, and stop and look and listen; and if satisfied that no engine, car or train is approaching in the direction of the street railroad track, he must signal the motorman, gripman or other person in charge of the car to go ahead. Under no circumstances shall the car proceed without such signal.

"In lieu of compliance with the provisions of this section, all persons, firms and corporations operating street railroads in said City and County may employ a watchman or flagman at the point of intersection of the street railroad tracks and the steam railroad tracks, and such

watchman or flagman shall give the necessary warning of the approach of any engine, train or car on such steam railroad track."

It is quite evident from the provisions of this section that, at the time the ordinance was adopted by the Board of Supervisors, said Board had in mind only street cars which would be operated by a motorman and a conductor, for you will note that it is provided in the ordinance that it shall be the conductor who leaves the car, approaches the railroad track and, if satisfied that no engine or train is approaching, signals the motorman or gripman to go ahead.

It would appear to me that it would not be possible for the operator of a one-man car to comply with this particular provision in the ordinance for the reason that he would have to leave his car unguarded, make the investigation at the railroad track and there would be no one on the car to whom he could signal to come ahead and safety conditions might entirely change between the time he left his place of view at the railroad track and returned to his car.

However, you will note from the second subdivision of the section that there is an alternative offered to persons, firms and corporations operating street railroads and that is that said person, firm or corporation may employ a watchman or flagman at the point of intersection of the street railroad tracks and the steam railroad tracks. Such watchman shall give approaching street cars warning of any engine or train approaching the crossing on the steam railroad track.

In my opinion there is no reason why a company operating a one-man car cannot comply with the provisions of the second subdivision of the above mentioned section. The mere fact that a company sees fit to operate a car with only one man does not relieve said company from the duty of complying with the ordinance and, as I have said, where it may be impossible to comply with the first subdivision of the section, there is no reason why the second subdivision should not be complied with.

This particular section of the ordinance was called to the attention of the court in the recent trial of one-man car case and I think it was understood by all that the operation of street cars by only one man would not relieve the company from the duty of complying with the second subdivision of the section.

You are therefore advised that, in my opinion, the Market Street Railway Company, in the operation of all its cars, must comply with either alternative as set forth in Section 12 of Ordinance No. 581 (New Series).

Yours very truly,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

**Motions.**

After discussion, the following motions were duly made and carried to-wit:

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that this Board of Supervisors address a letter to His Honor the Mayor, enclosing copy of the City Attorney's opinion rendered here today and directing his attention to the fact, which we believe to be a fact, that the sections of this Ordinance are not being enforced in San Francisco and requesting him to direct the Police Department to enforce this law.

Motion *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the City Attorney be requested to make investigation of all city ordinances and state laws that may be enforceable to protect the safety of the traveling public of San Francisco on the one-man street car, and to report any ordinances or laws other than those already considered which may be enforceable with respect to this problem.

There being no objection, the motion was declared *carried.*

### Conference Between Municipal Railway and Market Street Railway to Discuss Rapid Transit.

(Code No. 15.091)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 2726, as follows:

Whereas, rapid transit is of vital necessity to all street car patrons of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the recent report of the Public Utilities Commission provides rapid transit for only the patrons of the Municipal Railway; and

Whereas, due to changes in transportation conditions which will be brought about when East Bay transportation moves over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, said change will necessitate re-routing much of the street car system; therefore, be it

Resolved, That a conference be called at an early date between representatives of the Municipal and the Market Street Railways to discuss the following:

1. Best means of rapid transit of all street car patrons in San Francisco.
2. Elimination of duplicate service.
3. Universal transfer.
4. Possibility of joint operation.
5. Price and terms covering purchase of the Market Street Railway.
6. Price and terms covering purchase of the San Mateo Line.

### Rapid Transit Discussion Deferred.

After discussion Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Board of Supervisors meet on Monday evenings at 8 o'clock for the purpose of giving consideration to the problem of rapid transit in San Francisco.

There being no objection it was *so ordered.*

## COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ARISES.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 5:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, August 10, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.
No. 33

Monday, August 10, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 10, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt on leave.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 3 and 4, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

#### Amending Section 67 of Salary Ordinance—Controller's Office.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1071, Ordinance No. 9.05382, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the employments enumerated under Item 5 from four to five positions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67. **CONTROLLER**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | | Controller ..... | $ 833.33 |
| 2 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 200 |
| 3 | 8 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 185 |
| 4 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 180 |
| 5 | 5 | B4 | Bookkeeper ..... | 175 |
| 6 | 3 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 200 |
| 7 | 6 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 190 |
| 8 | 1 | B7 | Asst. Supervisor of Disbursements..... | 225 |

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9 | 1 | B8 | Supervisor of Disbursements | 250 |
| 10 | 1 | B10 | Accountant | 210 |
| 11 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 325 |
| 12 | 2 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 285 |
| 13 | 1 | B21 | Chief Assistant Controller | 575 |
| 13½ | 1 | B26 | Supervisor of Budget Statistics | 250 |
| 14 | 1 | B28 | Supervisor of General Audits | 325 |
| 15 | 1 | B30 | Supervisor of Utilities Audits | 325 |
| 16 | 1 | B55 | Supervisor of Pay Rolls | 310 |
| 17 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 79.50 |
| 18 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 19 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 20 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 185 |
| 21 | 2 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 22 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |
| 23 | 2 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 250 |
| 24 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 200 |
| 25 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 185 |
| 26 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 300 |
| 27 | 2 | B234 | Head Clerk | 225 |
| 28 | 1 | B234 | Head Clerk | 210 |
| 29 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 190 |
| 30 | 2 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 175 |
| 31 | 3 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 165 |
| 32 | 1 | B301 | Pay Roll Machine Operator | 155 |
| 33 | 2 | B302 | Addressing Machine Operator | 155 |
| 34 | 2 | B310 | Tabulating Machine Operator | 155 |
| 35 | 5 | B311 | Bookkeeping Machine Operator | 165 |
| 36 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 37 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 38 | 1 | B417 | Confidential Secretary to the Controller | 225 |
| 39 | 1 | B460 | Secretarial Telephone Operator | 155 |
| 40 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 41 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 42 | 2 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 43 | 1 | K6 | Senior Attorney—Civil | 400 |
| 44 | | | Seasonal Clerical Services | 150 |
| 45 | | | Field Bookkeepers or Accountants, (Construction Work outside S. F.) (as needed) | 200 |

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Providing for the Operation of Street Railway Cars on Certain Streets in the City and County of San Francisco by a Motorman and by a Conductor and Specifying the Age of Employees in Charge of Said Street Railway Cars; and Providing a Penalty for the Violation of This Ordinance.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1072, Ordinance No. 15.09116, as follows:

Providing for the operation of street railway cars on certain streets in the City and County of San Francisco by a motorman and by a conductor and specifying the age of employees in charge of said street railway cars; and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every street railway car operating over Ninth street, over

Larkin street or over Polk street in the City and County of San Francisco as well as every street railway car operating over Third street and over Kearny street in said City and County, while carrying passengers, shall be in charge of a motorman and a conductor. Each of said persons must be over the age of twenty-one (21) years and no such street railway car, while carrying passengers, shall be operated on or over the streets hereinabove mentioned unless said street railway car is in charge of a motorman and a conductor having the qualifications herein provided for.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined for each offense not less than fifty ($50) dollars or more than three hundred ($300) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Prohibiting Any Person in Charge of the Mechanical Operation of a Street Car or Trackless Trolley Car From Collecting Fares, Issuing Transfers or Making Change While Said Street Car Is in Motion.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Also, Bill No. 1039, Ordinance No. 15.09115, as follows:

Prohibiting any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car or trackless trolley car from collecting fares, issuing transfers or making change while said street car is in motion.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Any person in charge of the mechanical operation of a street car, or trackless trolley bus upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, shall not collect fares, issue transfers or make change while said street car or trackless trolley bus is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be punished by fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail not to exceed six (6) months.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Conveyance of Road Easement to County of San Mateo for San Francisco-San Bruno Lateral Highway.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1073, Ordinance No. 15.02423, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of road easement to County of San Mateo for San Francisco-San Bruno lateral highway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for conveying a right of way for county road purposes to the County of San Mateo over the following described parcel of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Beginning at a point in the northeasterly line of that certain strip of land designated as Parcel 25 in the deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 of Official Records, pages 1 to 106, inclusive, San Mateo County Records, said point bearing north 64 degrees 28 minutes 30

seconds east 24 feet and south 34 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east 81.71 feet from "Point B" as mentioned in the deed above referred to; and running thence from said point of beginning south 34 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east along the boundary of said strip of land, 110.01 feet; thence south 55 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds west 37.13 feet to the line dividing said strip of land from the right of way of the Market Street Railway; thence along said dividing line north 36 degrees 45 minutes 30 seconds west 110.12 feet; thence leaving said dividing line north 55 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds east 40.61 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way shall be made subject to such terms and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary documents for the conveyance of said right of way to the County of San Mateo.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Conveyance of Road Easement to County of San Mateo for Ralston Avenue Cutoff.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

Also, Bill No. 1074, Ordinance No. 15.02424, as follows:

Authorizing conveyance of road easement to County of San Mateo for Ralston avenue cutoff.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for conveying a right of way for county road purposes to the County of San Mateo over the following described parcels of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "H" 81+21.71 B. C. tangent to a line which bears south 31 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west said point being distant north 59 degrees 38 minutes west 34.79 feet from a concrete monument 30 feet left of and at right angles to County Engineer's Station 152+72.49 P. O. T. equating with County Engineer's Station 153+22.49 B. C. on the present county road from Belmont to Crystal Springs Dam, formerly known as Route 5, and also distant north 76 degrees 22 minutes east 630.41 feet from the most southerly corner of the lands of the San Mateo County Relief Home, thence from said point of beginning on and along said center line in a southwesterly direction on a curve to the right having a radius of 250 feet and a central angle of 43 degrees 6 minutes an arc distance of 188.06 feet; thence south 74 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds west 281.12 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 85+90.89 P. O. T.; thence continuing on and along said center line south 74 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds west 596.45 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 500 feet and a central angle of 45 degrees 38 minutes an arc distance of 398.23 feet; thence north 60 degrees 11 minutes 30 seconds west 1189.69 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 107+75.26 P. O. T. equating with County Engineer's Station "H" 108+25.01 B. C.; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 1,500 feet and a central angle of 11 degrees 53 minutes 30 seconds an arc distance of 311.32 feet; thence north 48 degrees 18 minutes west 1,118.08 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 350 feet and a central angle of 54 degrees 22 minutes 45 seconds an arc distance of 332.18 feet; thence south 77 degrees 19 minutes 15 seconds west 582.46 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 131+69.05

B. C.; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 300 feet and a central angle of 33 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds an arc distance of 172.92 feet to County Engineer's Station "H" 133+41.97 E. C. equating with County Engineer's Station "L" 134+33.40 P. O. T.; thence south 44 degrees 17 minutes 45 seconds west 248.49 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 150 feet and a central angle of 32 degrees 24 minutes an arc distance of 84.82 feet to County Engineer's Station "L" 137+66.71 P. R. C. equating with Engineer's Station 240+71.46 P. O. C. State highway, known as the Skyline boulevard; saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying within the 80-foot right of way of said State highway; also excepting therefrom a right of way easement for a gas pipe line granted by the City to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by deed dated June 13, 1932, and recorded in Liber 570, page 294, Official Records of San Mateo County.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "H" 81+21.71 B. C. as described in Parcel No. 1 equating with County Engineer's Station "Y" 0+00 P. O. T.; thence from said point of beginning on and along said center line south 31 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds west 478.56 feet to County Engineer's Station "Y" 4+78.56 P. O. T. equating with County Engineer's Station "W" 0+00 B. C., saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying within the 60-foot strip described in Parcel No. 1.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "W" 0+00 B. C. equating with County Engineer's Station "Y" 4+78.56 P. O. T. as described in Parcel No. 2, said point being tangent to a line which bears north 31 degrees 04 minutes 30 seconds east; thence from said point of beginning on and along said center line in a northerly direction on a curve to the left having a radius of 150 feet and a central angle of 136 degrees 54 minutes an arc distance of 358.40 feet to County Engineer's Station "W" 3+58.40 E. C. equating with County Engineer's Station "H" 85+90.89 P. O. T. as described in Parcel No. 1, saving and excepting therefrom those portions lying within the 60-foot strips as described in Parcels No. 1 and No. 2.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point known as County Engineer's Station "H-2" 131+69.05 B. C., said point being identical with "H" 131+69.05 B. C. as described in Parcel No. 1 and tangent to a line which bears south 77 degrees 19 minutes 15 seconds west, thence from said point of beginning continuing on and along said center line in a northwesterly direction on a curve to the right, having a radius of 300 feet and a central angle of 33 degrees 01 minute 30 seconds an arc distance of 172.92 feet; thence north 69 degrees 39 minutes 15 seconds west 127.15 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 150 feet and a central angle of 53 degrees 14 minutes 15 seconds an arc distance of 139.37 feet to County Engineer's Station "H-2" 136+08.49 P. R. C. equating with Engineer's Station 235+77.32 P. O. C. of the State highway, known as Skyline boulevard, saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying within the 80-foot right of way of said State highway and also that portion lying within the 60-foot strip as described in Parcel No. 1.

Excepting from said Parcels Nos. 1 and 4 a right of way easement for an electric transmission line granted by the Spring Valley Water Company to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company by deed dated January 3, 1927, and recorded February 5, 1927, in Liber 281, page 148, Official Records of San Mateo County.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way shall be made subject to such terms and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary documents for the conveyance of said right of way to the County of San Mateo.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Parking Meter Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1065, Ordinance No. 11.0218, as follows:

Authorizing the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to install parking meters for the purpose of regulating the parking of vehicles on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco, and regulating the installation of the same, and providing for the deposit of money in said meters to defray the cost of regulation and traffic, and giving to said Police Commission the right to make regulation relative to parking and parking meters, as well as the manner in which said parking meters shall be acquired, defining certain terms used herein and fixing a penalty for the violation of the ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The word "vehicle" as used herein shall mean any device in, upon or by which any person or property is or may be transported upon a street or highway, except those operated upon rails or tracks, or vehicles operated by any special permit issued by the City and County of San Francisco, or any department thereof.

The word "park" or "parking" when used herein shall mean the standing of a vehicle, whether occupied or not, provided that vehicles stopping or stopped temporarily for the purpose of loading or unloading passengers or merchandise shall not be deemed to be parked in so long as the process of loading or unloading continues, provided that the Police Department may regulate the time that any vehicle shall remain temporarily stopped for the purpose of loading or unloading merchandise.

The words "parking meter" when used herein shall mean any device which, when the recording dial thereof is set in motion by the deposit of any coin or equivalent thereof, shall register the time that any vehicle is parked adjacent to said parking meter.

The words "loading zone" when used herein shall mean a space or section of the curb set aside for the exclusive use of loading and unloading persons, supplies and merchandise.

Section 2. The Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to establish parking meter zones, each of which zones shall be of sufficient size to permit the parking of only one vehicle, on any street on which time limitations have heretofore been, or may hereafter be, provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, and to place upon the sidewalk adjacent to said parking zone such device or devices as the Commission shall deem proper, which will, upon the deposit of a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, set the mechanical equipment of said device in motion so that said device will accurately measure, in minutes, the period of time during which said vehicle may park in said parking meter zone without violating the ordinance or ordinances regulating parking upon the street on which said parking meter zone is established. Said parking meter shall also be constructed and mechanically equipped so that the same will, upon the expiration of the period of time, commencing with the deposit of said five cent coin, and ending with the expiration of the period of time that the parking of a vehicle is, by ordinance, permitted in the block in which said parking meter space is situated, display a flag, sign or signal which will indicate that the permitted time for the parking of said vehicle, as provided by the ordinance regulating parking in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated, has expired.

Section 3. No person shall park, or stop, any vehicle in any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing in the parking meter adjacent to said space a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain

stopped or parked in any parking meter zone beyond the time permitted by ordinance for the parking of vehicles in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter an additional five cent coin, and each time that said person parking said vehicle shall deposit said coin in said parking meter, his right to continue to permit said vehicle to remain parked in said space shall be extended for the period during which the parking of vehicles may be permitted in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated.

Section 4. Whenever any person shall drive or conduct any vehicle into any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, or to which any parking meter is adjacent, or for which any parking meter has been set, erected or established, to remain stopped for any purpose except to receive or discharge passengers or merchandise as permitted by this ordinance, or within the hours during which free parking is permitted by this ordinance, he shall forthwith deposit in said meter a five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, and on the deposit of said coin said vehicle may remain stopped or parked in said space for the period of time permitted by the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating the parking of vehicles in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

Section 5. The time allowed by ordinance of this Board for parking any vehicle in any parking meter zone may be extended by the deposit of an additional five cent coin in said parking meter, which said deposit shall entitle the owner, driver or operator of said vehicle to remain legally parked in said parking meter zone for an additional period not to exceed the parking limit fixed by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

No person shall stop or park any vehicle in said parking meter zone, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing said five cent coin, lawful money of the United States, in said parking meter immediately adjacent to said zone, and no person shall permit any vehicle to remain parked in said parking meter zone beyond the period of time permitted by the ordinance regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter the additional five cent coin as hereinbefore provided for.

Section 6. The Police Commission shall have full power and authority to allot and indicate by suitable lines, or other means of indication, the space within which any vehicle must be stopped or parked on any street or block on which parking meters are installed, as well as to select the particular part of the curb or sidewalk adjacent to said parking meter zone on which said parking meter shall be installed, and said Commission may provide for, and indicate by suitable signs, the hours when it will be permissible to stop or park vehicles in any block where parking meter zones are established without depositing said five cent coin in said parking meter adjacent to said parking space. Said Commission is also authorized to establish such loading zones for the loading or unloading of passengers or merchandise in any block as may be necessary for the convenience of the occupants of said block and to regulate the use of said loading zone.

Section 7. It shall be unlawful to deposit or cause to be deposited in any parking meter any slug, device or substitute for a five cent coin of the United States.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any unauthorized person to deface, injure, tamper with, open or wilfully break, destroy or impair the usefulness of any parking meter installed under the terms of this ordinance.

Section 9. The five cent coins required to be deposited as provided herein are hereby levied as police regulation and inspection fees to cover the cost of inspection and regulation involved in the inspection, installation, operation, control and use of the parking spaces and parking meters described herein and involved in checking up and

regulating the parking of vehicles in the parking meter zones created hereby, as well as for the regulation of traffic.

Section 10. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished for the first offense by a fine not to exceed fifty ($50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than five (5) days; for a second offense within a period of one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed one hundred ($100.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment; for a third and each additional offense committed within one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed three hundred ($300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 11. If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Any ordinance inconsistent with any of the terms and provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed, provided, however, that such repeal shall be only to the extent of such inconsistency and in all other respects this ordinance shall be cumulative of other ordinances regulating and governing the subject matter covered by this ordinance.

Section 13. All coins deposited in any parking meter shall be collected each day by members of the Police Department, and shall be deposited in the treasury of the City and County as required by charter.

Section 14. It shall be proper for the Police Commission to enter into such contracts through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies for the furnishing and installation of such parking meters as it shall deem proper, whenever sufficient funds shall be provided for said purpose, or said Police Commission may through said Purchaser of Supplies enter into a contract or contracts with any person, firm or corporation for the furnishing or installation of said parking meters upon the basis that the person, firm or corporation installing or furnishing the same shall be paid the cost thereof from the coins deposited in said meters, in which latter event the title to said meters shall remain in the person, firm or corporation furnishing the same until the purchase price of said meters is paid.

Section 15. No parking meter shall be installed by said Police Department, or under its direction, unless the person, firm or corporation furnishing said parking meter shall agree to hold and save the City, its officers and employees harmless from all claims for damages of every kind and nature, arising from, or incident to, any claims or demand for any infringement of any patent or copyright covering or alleged to cover any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance and refund and pay on demand to the City or to any of its officers or employees any and all amounts which the said City or any of its officers and employees may expend in defending or prosecuting any litigation incident to any alleged patent or copyright infringement on any of said meters so installed.

Section 16. The Controller shall provide the method for accounting for all moneys taken from said parking meters.

Section 17. The Board of Supervisors reserve the right to repeal or amend this ordinance at will, and no person, firm or corporation shall have or acquire any right to maintain on the streets of San Fran-

cisco any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance beyond the effective period of this ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—6.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**An Ordinance Defining Public Parks, Board of Park Commissioners, Superintendent and Secretary; Establishing Rules and Regulations; Defining Particular Offenses; Providing for Permits and Procedure Therefor; Establishing Traffic Regulations; Providing a Penalty for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Orders and Ordinances or Parts of Orders and Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.**

(Code No. 23.011)

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up:

Bill No. 1018, Ordinance No. 23.0113, as follows:

An ordinance defining public parks, Board of Park Commissioners, superintendent and secretary; establishing rules and regulations; defining particular offenses; providing for permits and procedure therefor; establishing traffic regulations; providing a penalty for a violation thereof; and repealing all orders and ordinances or parts of orders and ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

## CHAPTER I.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

### DEFINITIONS.

Sec. 1. Public Parks defined.
Sec. 2. Board of Park Commissioners defined.
Sec. 3. Superintendent defined.
Sec. 4. Secretary defined.

SEC. 1. **Public Parks Defined.** When used in this code the words "Public Parks, Squares or Pleasure Grounds" shall include all parks, squares, all grounds surrounding public buildings, all roadways and avenues, and the Great Highway and all other territory within the jurisdiction and under the control of the Park Commission as set forth in Section 41 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Board of Park Commissioners Defined.** When used in this code the words "Board of Park Commissioners" or "Park Commissioners" shall refer to the Board of Park Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 3. **Superintendent Defined.** When used in this code the word "Superintendent" shall refer to the Superintendent of Parks, as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 4. **Secretary Defined.** When used in this code the word "Secretary" shall refer to the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners as set forth in Section 40 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

ARTICLE 2.

RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Sec. 7. Observation of rules and regulations.
Sec. 8. Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.
Sec. 9. Regulating use on concert days of Main Drive and Museum Road i Golden Gate Park.
Sec. 10. Exclusion of public when necessary.
Sec. 11. Prohibiting use of bridle paths by pedestrians.
Sec. 12. Riding and driving animals and vehicles confined to designated roads.
Sec. 13. Restricting vehicles to certain areas.
Sec. 14. Performance of labor in parks.
Sec. 15. Regulating games, baseball, etc., throwing missiles.

SEC. 7. **Observation of Rules and Regulations.** No person shall disobe or violate any of the rules and regulations regulating the use and enjoymen of any of the buildings and structures in said public parks, squares, or pleasur grounds, which rules and regulations at the time are posted in some conspicuou place in such building and structure; nor shall any person disobey any instruc tion or any sign in any of said public grounds; nor shall any person refuse an reasonable request of any police officer in any of the said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds; and the Superintendent of said park or manager o person in charge of said buildings or structures, may refuse admission to, an may expel therefrom, any person refusing to comply with said rules and regu lations.

SEC. 8. **Use of Sharon Building and Children's Playground.** The buildin situated in Golden Gate Park and known as the "Sharon Building," and th grounds around and adjacent to the same, the swings, spring boards, merry-g round, croquet games, tennis courts, and the grounds prepared for baseball an other sports and such places designated and set apart by the Board of Par Commissioners are hereby designated and set apart as quarters and playgroun for children who shall visit the park and shall be used and occupied exclusivel by said children and the parents, guardians or other persons accompanying o having the said children in charge. The rules and regulations for the govern ment of said children's quarters and playground, and the persons who shall b entitled to use and enjoy the same, and of the occupations and amusement which may be carried upon the same shall be designated from time to tim hereafter by the Park Commissioners by printed notices, which shall be poste in some conspicuous point in or near the said buildings; and all persons visitin or using the said children's quarters and playgrounds shall conform to and obe such posted notices and regulations.

SEC. 9. **Regulating Use On Concert Days of Main Drive and Museum Roa in Golden Gate Park.** All persons are forbidden to walk across or upon th Main Drive in Golden Gate Park between Seventh and Tenth avenues on cor cert days, between the hours of 1:30 and 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and durin said hours must pass under the Granite Bridge in going to or leaving the cor cert grounds between these points; and provided further that during said abov mentioned hours on concert days no pedestrians shall be allowed on the pede trian path paralleling Main Drive, on the south side thereof, between Sevent and Eleventh avenues, or concourse and at said above mentioned period vehicles when going west in immediate vicinity of concourse must take th inner drive; the Museum Road between the Main Drive and Japanese Te Garden is closed for vehicle traffic during said periods of time.

SEC. 10. **Exclusion of Public When Necessary.** In case of an emergenc

where in the judgment of the Superintendent the public interest demands it, portions of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds may be closed to the public, and all persons thereby be excluded therefrom until permission is given return.

SEC. 11. **Prohibiting Use of Bridle Paths by Pedestrians.** No person shall walk upon any bridle path, or drive, paralleling the Main Drive in Golden Gate Park, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 12. **Riding and Driving Animals and Vehicles Confined to Designated Roads.** No person shall within any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, ride or drive any horse or other animal, or drive or propel any vehicle of any kind elsewhere than on the roads or driveways provided and designated for such purpose.

SEC. 13. **Restricting Vehicles to Certain Areas.** No person shall place, propel, ride or drive any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle on any sidewalk or footpath in or around any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or in that portion of the Golden Gate Park known as "Conservatory Valley," or on that portion of said park adjacent to the Music Stand, or upon or over any of the walks or drive leading up, down or upon any part of Strawberry Hill in said park, excepting on the roads, driveways, avenues, and highways, especially provided and designated by the Park Commissioners for that purpose.

SEC. 14. **Performance of Labor in Parks.** Under the supervision of the Superintendent of Parks the park will perform any labor in or on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, such as taking up and replacing soil, ground and pavements, structures, trees, shrubs and the like, and for the performance of any work for which a permit is granted to any corporation or individual, all labor and material shall be charged against such corporation, firm or person.

SEC. 15. **Regulating Games, Baseball, Etc. Throwing Missiles.** No person shall play ball, fly kites, play football, or engage in any other game or games in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places therein as shall be especially designated and provided for such purpose; nor shall any person throw any stone or other missile in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. Baseball may be played at the Children's ball grounds for children under twelve years, ball grounds in Golden Gate Park opposite Seventh avenue, and athletic games may be played at the Stadium and such places as set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners. A permit must first be obtained from the Park Commissioners when the Stadium is to be used for exhibition games.

## CHAPTER II.

## PARTICULAR OFFENSES.

### ARTICLE 1.

### ADVERTISING.

Sec. 21. Handbills, circulars, advertisements, etc.
Sec. 22. Advertising vehicles restricted to transverse roads.

SEC. 21. **Handbills, Circulars, Advertisements, Etc.** No person shall distribute, circulate or give away in or throw in or deposit in or on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tracts, dodgers or advertisements, or post or affix to any trees, shrubs, plants, fence, building, structure, dome, monument, chair or bench, roads or drives or any thing or place situate therein any handbills, circulars, pamphlets, tracts, dodgers or advertisements.

SEC. 22. **Advertising Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads.** No vehi having thereon or attached thereto any exposed advertising matter, name owner, name of maker or name of vehicle, or sign shall be allowed within a of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, provided, however, th drays, trucks, wagons and other vehicles, if not loaded to exceed six (6) to gross, may use the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park betwe Lincoln Way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth aven and/or Twenty-fifth avenue and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak stre

## ARTICLE 2.

## ANIMALS AND BIRDS.

Sec. 26. Animals.
Sec. 27. Dogs.
Sec. 28. Disturb or feed fish, birds, quadrupeds, etc.

SEC. 26. **Animals.** No person shall lead, turn in or let loose, or permit run at large any cattle, horses, goats, sheep, swine or other animals, or fo of any kind in or upon any such public parks, squares or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 27. **Dogs.** No person having the care and charge of any dog sh suffer, allow or permit any such dog to enter upon or remain in any of sa public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, unless said dog is fastened to a led by a chain or line of suitable strength and not more than eight (8) fe long. Nor shall any person having the care or charge of any dog permit su dog to enter the Zoological Park in the Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield, or a of the sand boxes, play apparatus or play areas normally reserved for childr or drink the water of any lake or pond in any of the parks, squares, or pleasu grounds, except at such place or places as may be maintained or designat therefor.

SEC. 28. **Disturb or Feed Fish, Birds, Quadrupeds, Etc.** No person shall, any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, disturb the fish, ann strike, chase, snare or set snares for, catch, injure or destroy any rabbit, qua bird or wild quadruped, nor injure or maltreat any domestic or other anim quail or bird therein, nor shall any person rob, molest or interfere with a bird's nest therein, and no person shall give or offer or attempt to give to a animal within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds any tobacco other known noxious article, or substance the giving of which shall be p hibited by posted notices. It shall be unlawful for any person to feed or of food to any of the animals, birds or reptiles in the Zoological Park of t Herbert Fleishhacker Playfield.

## ARTICLE 3.

## NUISANCES.

Sec. 34. Depositing refuse.

SEC. 34. **Depositing Refuse.** No person shall place, deposit or dump, cause to be placed, deposited or dumped in or upon any of said public par squares, or pleasure grounds, any garbage, refuse, dirt, ashes, broken gla crockery, bones, tin cans or like matter, or any dead animal or dead fowl; n shall any person leave or scatter about on any of said public parks, squares, pleasure grounds, any empty box, carton, waste paper, remains of lunch newspaper, or rubbish of any kind, and same shall be taken away or deposit in cans or other receptacles provided for the reception of waste matter.

## ARTICLE 4.

## DISORDERLY CONDUCT.

Sec. 39. Indecent or disorderly conduct or language.
Sec. 40. Bathing restrictions—water pollution.
Sec. 41. Undressing on Ocean Beach.
Sec. 42. Athletic attire restricted.
Sec. 43. Bonfires.
Sec. 44. Camping or tarrying over night.
Sec. 45. Selling merchandise prohibited.

SEC. 39. **Indecent or Disorderly Conduct or Language.** No person shall indulge in any brawl, riotous, boisterous or indecent conduct, or engage in any fighting or boxing contest, in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall use profane, indecent, threatening or violent language therein; and no intoxicated, noisy, disorderly or publicly offensive person shall enter or remain therein.

SEC. 40. **Bathing Restrictions, Water Pollution.** No person shall bathe in the waters of any pond, stream, lake or pool in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purposes; nor in the waters of the Pacific Ocean bordering the Ocean Beach west of the Great Highway and the Skyline boulevard and north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation, and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park; and no person shall throw into or cause to be deposited any dirt, filth, or foreign matter in or near or in any manner pollute the waters of any of the ponds, streams, lakes or pools.

SEC. 41. **Undressing on Ocean Beach.** No person shall undress on the Great Highway or the Skyline boulevard on the Ocean Beach north of the northerly line of Fort Funston Military Reservation and west of the easterly boundary line of Lincoln Park, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 42. **Athletic Attire Restricted.** No person shall appear in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, clad in any athletic costume or attire in which any part of the legs, arms or trunk is exposed to view, except at the places therein as shall have been specially set apart for athletic sports for which such costume or attire is appropriate, such as the Stadium and ball grounds and such places set apart and designated by the Park Commissioners.

SEC. 43. **Bonfires.** No person shall kindle or cause to be kindled any bonfire or fire of any kind in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways thereof, except in the places set apart and designated therefor by the Park Commissioners, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

SEC. 44. **Camping or Tarrying Overnight.** No person shall camp, lodge, sleep, or tarry overnight in any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 45. **Selling Merchandise Prohibited.** No person shall sell, vend, dispose of, or offer for sale, any goods, wares or merchandise in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. And no person shall erect or maintain any structure, counter, table, tent, or other thing in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, used or intended to be used in the selling or exposing or exposing for sale any goods, wares or merchandise.

## ARTICLE 5.

## GAMES OF CHANCE.

Sec. 50. Gambling, fortune telling.

SEC. 50. **Gambling, Fortune Telling.** No person shall maintain, conduct, expose, exhibit or play any game of chance, gambling table or contrivance or instrument of gaming or gambling in or upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, and all and every manner of gaming and gambling is prohibited. And no person shall engage in or practice fortune telling or any like practice therein.

## ARTICLE 6.

## GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS.

Sec. 53. Holding meetings, discussions.

Sec. 54. Parades, picnics, etc., permits for.

Sec. 55. Funeral procession, routes restricted.

SEC. 53. **Holding Meetings, Discussions.** No person or persons shall hold any public meeting of any kind or nature, nor hold any public discussion or debate, where five or more persons are gathered together for that purpose in or upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, except at such places as are set apart for such purpose by the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 54. **Parades, Picnics, Etc. Permits for.** Any company, society, social club, or organization, when the number of members thereof shall exceed twenty-five (25) persons, desiring to resort to and use any of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, for parade, picnicking or other pleasurable purposes, shall at least one day prior to the proposed parade, or picnic or use thereof, notify the Superintendent of said grounds or the Secretary of the Park Commissioners of their intention so to use said grounds, and obtain a permit in writing therefor.

SEC. 55. **Funeral Procession, Routes Restricted.** No person having the charge of any funeral procession, hearse, dead wagon or other vehicle designated for or carrying the body of a deceased person for burial or otherwise shall enter in or go upon any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting on or over the transverse roadway leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue and Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street.

## ARTICLE 7.

## MALICIOUS MISCHIEF.

Sec. 60. Injuring trees, shrubs, plants.
Sec. 61. Removing trees, turf, soil, rock, etc.
Sec. 62. Removal of bathing apparel, towels, other personal property.
Sec. 63. Trespass on public grounds, turf, shrubbery.
Sec. 64. Restrictions and defacing of ladies' rest rooms.
Sec. 65. Destruction, mutilation, defacement, etc., of park buildings, property, furniture, fixtures, etc.

SEC. 60. **Injuring Trees, Shrubs, Plants.** No person shall cut, break, dig

or in any manner injure any tree, shrub, plant, in or growing in any of the blic parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or in any building or structure erein situated.

SEC. 61. **Removing Trees, Turf, Soil, Rock, Etc.** No person shall remove y tree, wood, turf, grass, soil or rock or anything of like kind in or belonging said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, or driveways or highways ereof.

SEC. 62. **Removal of Bathing Apparel, Towels, Other Personal Property.** o person shall take, carry away or remove from any of the parks or play-rounds under the jurisdiction of the Park Commissioners any towel, bathing p, bathing suit, bathing apparatus, or other personal property under the ntrol of or title to which is vested in the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 63. **Trespass on Public Grounds, Turf, Shrubbery.** No person shall go ithin any shrubbery or climb any tree in any of said public parks, squares, pleasure grounds, nor shall any person walk upon any ground therein where mbs or branches of trees or shrubs come within three feet of the ground; nor hall any person walk on the turf bordering walks or drives, except to immediately cross the same.

SEC. 64. **Restrictions and Defacing of Ladies' Restrooms.** No male person ver the age of ten years shall enter any ladies' toilet, room or apartment within ny of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall cut r in any wise deface the walls, doors or any part of ladies' toilet, room or partment; and no person shall write, print or paint on any part thereof any ame, figure, picture, design, word, language, or sign of any kind.

SEC. 65. **Destruction, Multilation, Defacement, Etc., of Park Buildings, roperty, Furniture, Fixtures, Etc.** No person shall destroy, mutilate, deface, ut or otherwise mar or injure any building, structure, dome, monument, chair r other furniture, fixture, or fence in, or belonging to, any of the public parks, quares, or pleasure grounds, and no person shall write, paint or cut any gure thereon, or place thereon any writing, painting or printing, or write upon, aint or cut any building, structure, dome, monument, chair, or other furniture, xture or fence in any manner whatsoever; nor shall any person climb or go ver any of said property.

## CHAPTER III.

## PERMITS.

### ARTICLE 1.

ec. 69. Yacht Harbor mooring permits.
ec. 70. Injury or damage to walks, plots or roadways.
ec. 71. Exposing, disconnecting, pipes, sewers, etc.
ec. 72. Moving buildings across parks. Permits.
ec. 73. Erecting structure or obstruction. Permit.
ec. 74. Leaving building materials on public grounds.
ec. 75. Permits and bonds.
ec. 76. All permits to be issued by and fees paid to Secretary.
ec. 77. Condition of grounds after repairs.
ec. 78. Removal of buildings and penalty.
ec. 79. Display of red lights during obstruction or excavation.
ec. 80. Permit to transport or sell wares.
ec. 81. Maintaining places of amusement—permit necessary.

SEC. 69. **Yacht Harbor Mooring Permits.** The space in Marina Park at the oot of Scott and Divisadero streets, known and designated as Yacht Harbor,

is hereby reserved as mooring grounds for non-commercial water craft a boats; but no boat or craft of any character may moor or enter the afores mooring grounds until after a permit in writing has been obtained from t Park Commissioners, in which event it will be permitted to enter and use o the mooring space designated in said permit.

SEC. 70. **Injury or Damage to Walks, Plots or Roadways.** No person sh injure, dig or tear up any pavement, sidewalk, cross walk, grass plot or ro way or any part thereof, in any public park, square or pleasure ground, with a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him, and countersigned a recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 71. **Exposing, Disconnecting Pipes, Sewers, Etc.** No person shall down toward or to, expose, tear up, disconnect or connect with any of t water pipes or sewers in or under any public park, square or pleasure grou without a written permit by the Superintendent, signed by him and count signed and recorded by the Secretary of the Board of Park Commissioners.

SEC. 72. **Moving Buildings Across Parks. Permits.** No person shall mo along, across or upon any public park, square, pleasure ground or Great Hig way any building or other structure, without having first obtained permissi of the Board of Park Commissioners and a certificate thereof signed by t President and Secretary, and no such building or other structure shall rema on any public park, square, or pleasure ground, in any one part thereof, f more than five hours, nor upon any public park, square, or pleasure ground f a period of more than twenty-four hours.

SEC. 73. **Erecting Structure or Obstruction. Permit.** No person sh build, put up, place, erect, have, keep or maintain or cause to be built, put u placed, erected, had, kept or maintained, any nuisance or any fence, buildin structure, obstruction or other thing which shall in any manner obstruct any the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, or any part or portion there or which shall in any way prevent, hinder or impair the full and free use a enjoyment by the public thereof. And no person shall build, erect, place, p up or maintain any building, structure, monument, dome or other thing in upon any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds without first obtai ing the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto, to be evidenced by a perm in writing, signed by the President, and countersigned and recorded by t Secretary of the Board.

SEC. 74. **Leaving Building Materials on Public Grounds.** No person sha encumber or obstruct or cause to be encumbered or obstructed any public par square or pleasure ground, or part thereof, by placing or leaving therein thereon any building materials or any article or thing whatsoever, without fir having obtained a written permit signed by the Superintendent and counte signed and recorded by the Secretary.

SEC. 75. **Permits and Bonds.** When the permit is under Sections 70, 7 72 and 73 of this article, the permittee shall give a bond with one or mo sureties satisfactory to the President of the Park Commission, in such sum the President may determine, that the conditions prescribed in said permi including that as to time for which granted, shall in all respects be complie with, that no injury shall be done or caused or permitted to any public par square, or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or to the trees, shrubs, gras fences or anything thereon, and that the Park Commission and its officers sha be fully indemnified against and saved harmless from all damages and co which may ensue from any act or thing done or purported to be done unde such permit; whereupon the Secretary shall, if the Park Commission or Pres dent thereof or the Superintendent, as the case may be, shall direct, issue

permit wherein shall be stated all the conditions and circumstances, and limiting the time in which the act permitted must be done. Provided: That in granting any permit under Sections 70 and 71 of this Article the Park Commission may, in lieu of such bond, accept from the permittee, a cash deposit in such sum as may be designated by the President, as security that no public park, square or pleasure ground, or any part thereof, or the trees, shrubs, grass, fences, or anything thereon shall suffer any injury in consequence of any act done or permitted, or omitted, under or purported to be under such permit; but the acceptance of such cash deposit by said Park Commission shall in nowise impair any right, or claim, or demand, direct or indirect, against the permittee receiving such permit, by virtue of any bond the permittee has given or furnished to the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 76. **All Permits to Be Issued by and Fees Paid to Secretary.** The Secretary of the Park Commission shall issue all permits authorized by the Park Commission, and shall sign the same, receive all fees, charges and deposits therefor, and keep a record of all proceedings and doings thereunder, or in connection therewith, and, from time to time, shall make report in writing to the Park Commission of the permits issued.

SEC. 77. **Condition of Grounds After Repairs.** Every permittee to whom a permit is granted under Sections 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74 of this Article shall leave the ground, site or place within the public parks, squares and pleasure grounds, where work has been done pursuant to such permit, in as good condition in all respects when such permit shall have expired, as when the same was granted, and shall repair said ground, site or place to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of Parks.

SEC. 78. **Removal of Buildings and Penalty.** The owner or owners, or occupant or occupants of any building, or the contractor or other person in charge of or superintending or directing its removal, or either or all of them, who shall suffer the same to remain in any parkway for any time whatsoever longer than that specified in the permit issued by the Park Commission, or who shall place in or permit to remain in such parkway, any building or structure without an appropriate permit, shall, in addition to the penalty herein provided, forfeit, as a penalty, the amount whereof shall be specified in the permit, for every twenty-four hours or any part thereof the same shall continue.

SEC. 79. **Display of Red Lights During Obstruction or Excavation.** Any person having the use of any portion of any public park, square, or pleasure ground, shall cause red lights to be placed in conspicuous places in front of and at each end of the obstruction or excavation from sunset to sunrise each night such obstruction or excavation remains.

SEC. 80. **Permit to Transport or Sell Wares.** No person shall transport into, carry into, have or take into, or leave upon or over any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, any fruit, nuts, candy, vegetables, or other goods, wares or merchandise of any kind to be sold or offered for sale, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners therefor.

SEC. 81. **Maintaining Places of Amusement, Permit Necessary.** No person shall, within any of the public parks, squares or pleasure grounds, set up or maintain any exhibition, place of amusement, show, show stand, performance, concert, concert hall, moving pictures or the taking of moving pictures, or any other kind of amusement, without first obtaining the consent of the Park Commissioners thereto.

## CHAPTER IV.

## TRAFFIC REGULATIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 85. Breaking in of animals.
Sec. 86. Sulky and vehicle trailing prohibited.
Sec. 87. Trade and commercial vehicles restricted to tranverse roads—garbage transportation prohibited.
Sec. 88. Soliciting passengers for vehicles prohibited.
Sec. 89. Pleasure vehicles only permitted to enter parks.
Sec. 90. Vehicles abreast of each other.
Sec. 91. Limiting speed of bicycles and similar vehicles.
Sec. 92. Transporting merchandise for sale prohibited.

SEC. 85. **Breaking in of Animals.** No person shall, within or on any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, ride, drive or leave thereon any vicious, unbroken or wild horse, mule or other animal, or use therein or thereon any breaking cart or other vehicle or apparatus or appliance used in breaking any of such animals.

SEC. 86. **Sulky and Vehicle Trailing Prohibited.** No person shall trail any sulky or other vehicle through any public park, square, or pleasure ground.

SEC. 87. **Trade and Commercial Vehicles Restricted to Transverse Roads. Garbage Transportation Prohibited.** No dray, truck, wagon, cart or other vehicle carrying or employed in carrying goods, merchandise, manure, soil or other article of commerce or trade, shall travel upon any of the driveways or roads of any of said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, for any other purpose than to cross the same immediately at the regular street intersections, nor upon any of the roads or driveways of said public grounds, except the transverse road leading across Golden Gate Park between Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue to Fulton street at Twenty-fourth avenue, and/or Twenty-fifth avenue, and Stanyan street between Fell street and Oak street. Vehicles having deliveries in park shall use nearest entrance to point of delivery. Transportation of garbage is prohibited upon any road or driveway in said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds.

SEC. 88. **Soliciting Passengers for Vehicles Prohibited.** No person owning, driving or having charge of any carriage, coach, motor wagon, automobile, or vehicle let or used for hire or anyone employed by such owner, or driver, shall within said public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds stand for the purpose of, or in any way let or solicit custom or passengers for the same.

SEC. 89. **Pleasure Vehicles Only Permitted to Enter Parks.** No vehicle usually engaged in carrying baggage or merchandise other than the personal effects of the owner, driver, motorman or engineer thereof, and no vehicle used for advertising purposes, or with any name or designation exposed thereon for advertising purposes, shall be or enter upon or use any part of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, excepting when used exclusively for pleasure purposes.

SEC. 90. **Vehicles Abreast of Each Other.** No more than two vehicles shall run or be propelled abreast of each other while used or operated within the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds. The said vehicles shall be run and propelled singly and not attached to each other, except in case of an accident, when one may assist the other.

SEC. 91. **Limiting Speed of Bicycles and Similar Vehicles.** No person shall ride, propel or operate any bicycle, tricycle, velocipede or similar vehicle in or

on any of the public parks, squares, or pleasure grounds, at a greater rate of speed than ten (10) miles per hour; and at the crossings or junctions of any roads or driveways, or at any place used as a crossing by persons on foot, at a speed to exceed six (6) miles per hour.

SEC. 92. **Transporting Merchandise for Sale Prohibited.** No person shall drive in or into or enter upon or pass over any part or portion of said public parks, squares or pleasure grounds in any cart, wagon or other vehicle, having therein any goods, wares or merchandise intended for sale therein.

## CHAPTER V.

## PENAL PROVISIONS.

### ARTICLE 1.

Sec. 97. Violation a misdemeanor—Penalty.
Sec. 98. Repeal.
Sec. 99. Saving Clause.

SEC. 97. **Violation a Misdemeanor—Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 98. **Repeal.** All Ordinances or orders or parts of Ordinances or orders in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SEC. 99. **Saving Clause.** If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Andrew J. Gallagher was granted the privilege of the floor and pointed out several obsolete provisions in the ordinance which he said should be repealed.

### Refused Final Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the ordinance was *refused final passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—3.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Shannon—4.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt—4.

### Re-referred.

Subsequently, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing action was rescinded and the ordinance *re-referred to the Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.*

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

**Appropriating $19,431 Expenses of 1 Structural Engineer, 2 Structural Engineer Inspectors, 3 Building Inspectors and 1 General Clerk-Stenographer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1091, Ordinance No. 9.051298, as follows:

Appropriating $19,431 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the expenses of 1 structural engineer, 2 structural engineer inspectors, 3 building inspectors and 1 general clerk-stenographer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $19,431 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the payment of salaries of 1 structural engineer at $300 per month, 2 structural engineer inspectors at $250 per month, 3 building inspectors at $225 per month and 1 general clerk-stenographer at $150 per month; and transportation of 5 inspectors at $30 per month each in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-37 from August 15, 1936, to June 30, 1937; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and the sum of $19,431 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the General Fund collected by the Central Permit Bureau for fees accruing from building permits for the expenses under provisions of Ordinances Nos. 1008 (N. S.), 2107 (N. S.), 3361 (N. S.), 4059 (N. S.), 5136 (N. S.), and Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein provided for is necessary to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to make the necessary inspections compelled by law to be made by the said Bureau of Building Inspection, and therefore to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said department.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Recommended by Wm. Worden, Director of Public Works.

**Appropriating $4,840 From Emergency Reserve Appropriation for Services and Room Rent of Four Additional Nurses, S. F. Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1090, Ordinance No. 9.051297, as follows:

Appropriating $4,840 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at San Francisco Hospital, at $100 per month, and for room rent at $10 per month each; being for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,840 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital salaries, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at $100 a month each for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936, and for the payment of room rent at $10 per month each for said nurses.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2729, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 707 to Director of Public Works, for.....$334.05
No. 708 to Henry Windt, for ..... 687.25

payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 12.0241)

Also, Resolution No. 2730, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Spring Valley Company, Ltd., a strip of land 15 feet in varying widths extending along and near the County Road known as Crystal Springs Road, in San Mateo County, from lands of the City and County of San Francisco which were acquired by deed recorded in Vol. 491, Official Records, page 1, to the southwesterly limits of the Town of Hillsborough, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $150, payable from Appropriation No. 593.903.05-57.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2731, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Isabella L. Lawler, et al., Lot 1, Assessor's Block 2144, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $325, payable from Appropriation

No. 693-905-05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Providing for the Handling and Distribution of Documents and Providing for a Charge Therefor.**

(Code No. 9.081)

Also, Resolution No. 2732, as follows:

Providing for the handling and distribution of documents and providing a charge therefor.

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies shall have general supervision of the handling and distribution of all publications printed for any department, office, board or commission. The custody of all printed publications subject to public distribution, except as otherwise provided by law, shall be committed to the Purchaser of Supplies.

Further Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies shall dispose of or sell any of said documents or printed publications under such conditions and at such price as shall be fixed by the Purchaser of Supplies, with the concurrence of the Controller, in order to adequately cover the cost of printing, handling, and distribution thereof.

Further Resolved, That when funds have been provided, the Purchaser of Supplies may publish such manuals, documents, pamphlets, bulletins or other publications as may be deemed for the best interests of the City and County or for information, upon the recommendation of a department head, and with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer, Board or Commission.

The cost of handling and distribution by the Purchaser of Supplies in accordance herewith, shall be payable out of the departmental funds referred to in this section, subject to the provisions of Section 77 of the Charter.

Further Resolved, That all moneys received from the sale of documents or printed publications, shall be paid as revenue into the City and County Treasury to the credit of the fund from which the cost of the printing of the manuals, documents, pamphlets or other publications sold shall have been paid.

Further Resolved, That copies required for the sole use of a City and County department shall be furnished without charge. The Purchaser of Supplies, with the concurrence of the Controller, may authorize free distribution to other governmental agencies.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Recommended by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was on motion of Supervisor Havenner *laid over three weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

**Approving Application of Santa Fe Transportation Company for Bus Service Between San Francisco and Los Angeles and Authorizing the City Attorney to Intervene.**

(Code No. 15.093)

Resolution No. 2733, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the application of the Santa Fe Transportation Company, subsidiary of

the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, before the Railroad Commission of the State of California for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to engage in the transportation of passengers by stage upon the public highways of this State, between the City and County of San Francisco and Los Angeles and intermediate points and elsewhere, such stage service to be coordinated or integrated with the passenger train service of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California the intervening petition of this Board in support of said applications, and to appear in the proceedings before the Commission and present suitable and proper evidence as to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of said applications; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby further authorized and requested to petition the Railroad Commission, in the course of such intervention, to require the routing of two of the four bus schedules proposed to be established each way between the City and County of San Francisco and Los Angeles over the San Mateo bridge and the peninsula into and out of San Francisco.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Resolution for the Appointment of a Committee to Be Known as the Grand National Livestock Exposition Committee.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2734, as follows:

That whereas, the Legislature did in 1931 appropriate the sum of $250,000 for the erection of livestock exposition buildings under the direction of Agricultural District 1-A, comprising San Francisco and San Mateo counties; and

Whereas, said appropriation was contingent upon the appropriation of a like sum by San Francisco and the purchase of a site for the exposition; and

Whereas, San Francisco did appropriate $250,000; and

Whereas, a site has been purchased, and the work of grading and excavating has been going on until today the grading is approximately 90 per cent done; and

Whereas, the erection of foundations for Unit No. 2 of said buildings is now in progress and the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce intends to officiate at the laying of the cornerstone of this building on August 18 at 11 o'clock a. m. of said day; and

Whereas, San Francisco fully appreciates the importance of the livestock industry not only to this City but to the State as well, it being the second in importance in the State; and

Whereas, the livestock exposition buildings are not only being erected for the benefit of the livestock interests but for the commercial interests of San Francisco as well, because the Grand National Livestock Exposition will bring to San Francisco visitors, exhibitors and livestock from all parts of the world because San Francisco is the livestock center of the entire west; and

Whereas, Unit No. 2 of the Grand National Livestock Exposition is in process of erection, and will, when completed, seat 17,000 people, each one of whom will have a clear, unobstructed view of the main arena, which is 220 feet in length by 145 feet in width, the span of this building being 300 feet, forty feet longer than any other building of this kind and character ever erected in the world; and

Whereas, both P. W. A. and W. P. A. national organizations are cooperating with this City and the State of California in the erection of these splendid buildings; and

Whereas, San Francisco and San Mateo counties are desirous of having a Grand National Livestock and Horse Show held in the buildings to be erected in November of 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor appoint a committee of San Francisco citizens to act in conjunction with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce in the laying of the cornerstone and in aid and assistance of the Grand National Livestock Exposition and also of Agricultural District 1-A in its plans and efforts to complete the whole project.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Schmidt—3.

### Enforcement of Ordinance Requiring Street Cars to Stop Before Crossing Steam Railroad Tracks.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that Clerk be directed to address a letter to Chief of Police in connection with Order No. 151 of the Police Department re Section 12 of Ordinance No. 581 (New Series) as to rules to be observed by conductor of electric cars after approaching to within 25 feet of the nearest rail of a steam railroad, asking the Chief of Police to advise the Board of Supervisors what steps have been taken to provide for the enforcement of the ordinance.

*So ordered.*

### Moving Picture, "San Francisco."

Supervisor Uhl moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Merryman of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, who advised the Board that objectionable features of the film had been or would by this time have been taken out of the picture "San Francisco" by the producers and that no further harm could come to San Francisco through its showing.

### Meeting Announcement.

Supervisor Mead called meeting of Fire, Safety and Police Committee for Thursday, August 13, at 10 a. m.

### Ocean Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor Shannon presented letter dated August 8, 1936, signed by Mary R. Schwab, Secretary, Board of Directors, Joint Highway District No. 9, as well as Resolution No. 65, having for their purpose the allocation of funds by the City and County of San Francisco for its proportionate cost of construction of the Waddell Beach to Davenport Unit of the Ocean Shore boulevard.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Pension Lists.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors approve the report of the Director of Public Welfare for July 24 and July 31, 1936, covering blind aid, widows' pensions and old-age pensions.

Controller Leavy agreed to a conference with Supervisor Uhl, City Attorney O'Toole, Assemblyman Hornblower and Frank Fenton, attorney for Controller, as to the latter's interpretation of the Social Securities Act respecting the time pensions are to be paid after approval by the Board of Supervisors.

### In Memory of Chris Bauer of Firm of Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous rising vote, *adopted* the following:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the passing of Chris John Bauer in this City on August 8, 1936.

San Francisco and the State of California suffer, through the loss of Chris Bauer, a kindly, courteous and unassuming gentleman; a businessman of unimpeachable integrity; a respected and well-loved figure in brewing circles of the West and the nation; an enthusiastic supporter of collegiate sports; a member of the Naval Reserve Force, in which he served with distinction; one of the founders of the annual East-West Football Game for the benefit of crippled children, and finally a man whose private and unheralded charities marked him as worthy of a place high in the Book of Gold.

San Francisco was indeed fortunate to have embraced Chris Bauer in its citizenry.

Sadly, this Board of Supervisors conveys its expression of profound sympathy to the bereaved mother and family of Chris Bauer, and adjourns this meeting out of respect to his memory.

### Majority and Minority Reports on Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

#### Majority Report.

San Francisco, California,
August 7, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Special Committee to Study and Report Upon Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power at meeting Friday, August 7, 1936, at which were present Supervisors McSheehy (Chairman), Colman and Havenner, took the following action:

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Colman, recommended to the Board of Supervisors for submission to Secretary of the Interior, Plan No. 7 (being one of three plans submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission on July 15, 1936), a brief outline of which is given below:

Plan No. 7, submitted by Public Utilities Commission July 15, 1936, which has for its purpose the ownership and operation of entire San Francisco electric supply with a capital investment as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Total New Investment | $43,700,000 |
| Hetch Hetchy Capital | 8,500,000 |
| Total Capital | $52,200,000 |

This plan is more extensive than either of Plans 5 or 6 and less costly than Plan 6. In every way it is in conformity with Secretary of the Interior's interpretation of the Raker Act.

Contemplates the purchase of the entire San Francisco distribution system of the P. G. & E. Will be served with Hetch Hetchy power to fullest capacity of present plants, augmented by Red Mountain Bar Power House. City's own power will not fully meet demands of entire system, therefore, it is proposed to buy additional power and standby service from P. G. & E.

To keep initial investment to the minimum, proposed to lease transmission and step down service from P. G. & E. The only electric supply in San Francisco not to be taken over by the City is that of the former Sierra and San Francisco Power Company, now part of the P. G. & E., serving the Market Street Railway.

To which Supervisor McSheehy voted no, stating he would file minority report in support of his "Report to the Hetch Hetchy Power Committee in Relation to Application of City and County of San

Francisco for a Grant by the Federal Government of $46,785,000 for the Distribution of Electric Power in San Francisco, Known as PWA Docket No. 1548-R," dated July 24, 1936, which was in substance Plan No. 6 as submitted by Public Utilities Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO STUDY AND REPORT UPON DISPOSAL OF HETCH HETCHY POWER,

Supervisor COLMAN,
Supervisor HAVENNER,

---

Minority Report.

**Report in Relation to Application of City and County of San Francisco for a Grant by the Federal Government of $46,785,000 for the Distribution of Electric Power in San Francisco, Known as PWA Docket No. 1548-R.**

*Submitted by Supervisor James B. McSheehy, Chairman, Special Committee to Study and Report on Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.*

Dated this seventh day of August, 1936, at San Francisco, Calif.

July 24, 1936.

To the Honorable, Board of Supervisors, the Special Committee to Study and Report Upon Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On December 19, 1913, President Wilson signed the Raker Act, which granted to the City and County of San Francisco the right of way and use of public lands in Yosemite and Stanislaus National Parks, and elsewhere, for the purpose of constructing, maintaining and operating reservoirs, dams, conduits and other structures necessary for the use of water and power. The act was fought by the power companies, nature lovers and others for months, and the framers of the act knew that if there were any flaw in the act advantage would be taken and the intent of the act would not be carried out.

With that thought in mind the act was drafted with such care that three Secretaries of the Interior, under three different Presidents, have informed the Board of Supervisors of this City and County that we must distribute our own power generated at Hetch Hetchy, and if we do not live up to the act, which is a contract between the City and County of San Francisco and the Government of the United States, we would find ourselves in a very embarrassing position, and perhaps we might lose our rights to the lands in Yosemite and Stanislaus National Parks. This would mean the confiscation of the entire Hetch Hetchy project.

The responsibility lies in the hands of this committee and must be met. We have had a number of meetings and have received from the Manager of Utilities five different plans which have been submitted to the Secretary of the Interior. They all have been rejected on the ground that they did not comply with the Raker Act.

On April 27, 1936, Resolution No. 2541 was passed instructing the Controller and the Public Utilities Commission to prepare plans and estimates so that applications could be made to finance a portion of the Hetch Hetchy project according to the Act of Congress allowing the sum of $1,425,000,000 to the Works Progress Administration as an expenditure for the various kinds of public improvements.

An application was made on May 16, 1936, calling for an expenditure of $46,785,000 for an entirely new plan for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power to the City and County of San Francisco. On June 8, 1936, the Board of Supervisors passed a resolution authorizing me, while in Washington, to call on Secretary of the Interior Ickes and

request from him as large an amount as may be advisable toward the $46,785,000 estimated for the distributing system of the Hetch Hetchy power project. I carefully compiled the following statement of facts which I presented to the Secretary of the Interior, and to Solicitor E. I. Kirgis, as well as to Colonel Clark, who is in charge of the distribution of WPA funds.

STATEMENT OF FACTS
Submitted June 29, 1936

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
June 15, 1936

Board of Supervisors, Clerk's Office, Room 235, City Hall

Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir: For your perusal, I respectfully submit herewith a *Statement of Facts in relation to the application of the City and County of San Francisco for a grant by the Federal Government of thirty per cent (30%) of $46,785,000 for the distribution of electric power in San Francisco, known as PWA Docket No. 1548-R,* mailed to you at Washington, D. C., by Controller Leonard S. Leavy on May 16, 1936.

January 8, 1900—New Charter in effect.

March 26, 1900—Solicitation of offers of sale of water supplies to City.

July 29, 1901—Appropriations made on water at Hetch Hetchy and Lake Eleanor by Mayor Phelan, recorded August 6, 1901.

August 12, 1901—City Engineer recommends Tuolumne River as source of water for San Francisco.

October 16, 1901—Filings of same at Stockton Land Office.

January 20, 1903—Mayor Phelan's applications denied by Secretary of the Interior Hitchcock.

February, 1903—Petition for rehearing by Franklin K. Lane, City Attorney.

February 20, 1903—Filings assigned to City.

December 22, 1903—Application again denied by Secretary of the Interior.

May 11, 1908—Original applications approved by Secretary of the Interior Garfield.

November 12, 1908—Special election authorized construction of Tuolumne System and issue of $600,000 bonds to buy lands, etc. ..... $ 600,000

January 14, 1910—$45,000,000 bond issue authorized by vote of 20 to 1 ..... 45,000,000

December 19, 1913—Hetch Hetchy Grant, or Raker Act, signed by President Wilson.

October 7, 1924—$10,000,000 bonds authorized to construct Foothill Tunnels and begin Coast Range Tunnels, vote 20 to 1 ..... 10,000,000

May 1, 1928—$24,000,000 bonds authorized by vote of 7 to 1 to construct Coast Range Tunnels and San Joaquin Pipe Line ..... 24,000,000

May 1, 1928—$41,000,000 bonds voted 4 to 1 for purchase of Spring Valley Water Company System ..... 41,000,000

May 3, 1932—Bond issue of $6,500,000 for completion of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, authorized by vote of 14 to 1. 6,500,000

November 7, 1933—Bond issue of $3,500,000 for enlargement of O'Shaughnessy Dam, authorized by a vote of 3 to 1 ..... 3,500,000

Total ..... $130,600,000

*Results of Elections*: The question of retail power distribution by

the City and County of San Francisco was submitted for public vote on three occasions. The propositions submitted and the election results follow:

| | Amount of Bond Issue | Yes | No |
|---|---|---|---|
| November 8, 1927— | | | |
| Transmission line and step-down station bonds defeated | $ 2,000,000 | 52,216 | 50,727 |
| August 26, 1930— | | | |
| 1. Acquisition of P. G. & E. properties. | 44,600,000 | 25,383 | 63,638 |
| 2. Acquisition of Great Western Power Co. properties | 18,945,000 | 25,256 | 63,387 |
| 3. Construction of transmission lines from Newark to San Francisco | 3,525,000 | 25,788 | 61,972 |
| 4. Construction of power house at Red Mountain Bar | 1,045,000 | 26,001 | 61,422 |
| November 7, 1933— | | | |
| Construction of power house at Red Mountain Bar and a distribution system in San Francisco for electric energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy System, including necessary substations and standby plants, lands and rights of way | 6,308,000 | 71,746 | 73,010 |

The Pacific Gas & Electric Company's properties in the northern part of the State of California are valued by the State Railroad Commission for rate-making purposes at approximately $420,000,000. During the year 1935 their receipts were $54,174,468; in the City of San Francisco these receipts amounted to 29.2 per cent of the entire system, or $15,783,022. There are about 25,000 Pacific Gas & Electric Company stockholders in the City of San Francisco.

In San Francisco the revenue derived is divided into five (5) units, as follows:

Proportion of revenue derived from:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| (a) | Domestic and commercial lighting and appliances | 46.0% |
| (b) | General power | 37.0% |
| (c) | Street railroads, Market Street and Municipal | 10.5% |
| (d) | Street lighting | 5.0% |
| (e) | Miscellaneous municipal light and power | 1.5% |
| | Total | 100.0% |

Units a, b, d and e pay an average of about 3¾ cents a kilowatt; c pays about 1¼ cents a kilowatt, making a total average of about 3½ cents a kilowatt throughout the entire city. Two reductions of 10 per cent each have taken place during the past two years.

For the past ten years San Francisco has been selling to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company an average of about 400,000,000 kilowatts per year at a little less than ½ cent a kilowatt, amounting to approximately $2,000,000 per year. The Pacific Gas & Electric Company has re-sold this power to the consumers in San Francisco for about $10,000,000 per year.

During the entire construction work at Hetch Hetchy, from the years 1913 to 1934 (21 years), approximately $30,000,000 was spent in interest.

During the past year two separate delegations of City officials were sent to Washington, armed with five different sets of plans, asking your approval of one of them. All of which contemplated the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power in San Francisco in a co-partnership with the Pacific Gas & Electric Company.

You carefully considered these plans and reported on two different occasions in writing that they did not comply with Section 6 of the Raker Act, and you, therefore, could not approve any one of them.

We consume in San Francisco on our peak load, which is during the

Christmas week, about 165,000 kilowatts, and our present Hetch Hetchy Moccasin Creek plant generates approximately 400,000,000 kilowatts per year. This new plan submitted to you on May 16, 1936, known as PWA Docket No. 1548-R, calls for a distributing system of 600,000,000 kilowatts per year, at a cost of $46,785,000, made up of the following items:

ESTIMATE OF COST OF PROJECT

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| (a) | Preliminary expenses | | $ 100,000 |
| (b) | Cost of land, rights of way, easements | | 350,000 |
| (c) | Construction cost (list of items of breakdown, giving quantities and unit prices): | | |
| | Addition to Moccasin Power House | $ 2,091,000 | |
| | Distribution plant—purchase | 25,000,000 | |
| | Transmission and communication | 3,520,000 | |
| | Steam standby plant | 7,963,000 | |
| | Step-down station | 1,543,000 | |
| | Operating headquarters and garage | 865,000 | |
| | Sub-transmission | 2,275,000 | |
| | Adaptation of plant purchased | 194,000 | |
| | Contingencies included above | ..... | |
| | Total construction cost | | 43,451,000 |
| (d) | Engineering, architectural and other fees for technical service | | 788,000 |
| (e) | Legal, administrative and overhead costs. | | 196,000 |
| (f) | Interest during construction | | 1,000,000 |
| (g) | Miscellaneous costs in reasonable detail: | | |
| | 1. Service and office equipment | 250,000 | |
| | 2. Materials and supplies | 150,000 | |
| | 3. Working cash | 500,000 | |
| | 4. See supplemental data | ..... | |
| | Total miscellaneous charges | | 900,000 |
| | Total estimated cost of project | | $46,785,000 |

For details concerning above figures see "Data Supporting Grant Application" (PWA Form No. 175), in PWA Docket No. 1548-R.

In closing, may I make this brief statement, that San Francisco has invested in the Hetch Hetchy project some $130,600,000 and about $30,000,000 in interest. Seven bond elections were held and carried by votes running as high as 14 to 1. Three bond elections were held seeking to distribute power that cost millions of dollars to generate and they were defeated. Money was spent freely, propaganda was spread, and organizations were formed in all parts of our city, and the 25,000 Pacific Gas & Electric Company stockholders were told that it would be against their interests for these bonds to carry. The same thing, I am afraid, will happen again if we are not assisted by the Federal Government, through your department, allocating $14,035,500, which is 30 per cent of the amount requested in PWA Docket No. 1548-R.

The bonds that the City of San Francisco will be required to issue, so as to meet the obligation set forth in the application, in conjunction with the amount that I hope will be contributed by the Federal Government, will be self-liquidating, and the huge profits which the Pacific Gas & Electric Company are now making out of the sale of hydro electric power generated in Hetch Hetchy will not alone liquidate these bonds, but will reduce the rates charged to the consumer.

I have great confidence that if the Federal Government places its stamp of approval on the application and plan herein submitted by allocating the amounts set forth, that the voters of San Francisco will give the same overwhelming support that they gave to the bond

issue of 1910, for they know the huge investment will be lost to them for all time if they do not distribute the power generated at Hetch Hetchy as called for in the Raker Act.

Respectfully,
JAMES B. McSHEEHY,

Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco; Chairman, Special Committee to Study and Report on Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

---

I spent four days in Washington, D. C., and during that time, by appointment, I interviewed Secretary of the Interior Ickes one-half hour; Solicitor Kirgis an hour, and Colonel Clark a half hour. *Not one of these gentlemen made a commitment of any kind, as our application had not reached them and they knew nothing about it, but all three stated that they did not think any consideration would be given whatsoever to that part of our plan calling for an expenditure of $25,000,000 for the purchase of a portion of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company's distributing system in San Francisco, as the appropriation bill called for labor and material only.*

I pointed out to Secretary Ickes the position San Francisco is in; that three different bond issues were held and they were defeated; that the court issued an order restraining us from using Hetch Hetchy bond money for a distributing system in San Francisco and that we also were defeated when we tried to have revenue bonds adopted by a majority vote.

The Secretary is very sympathetic towards San Francisco and will help us if he can, and said our application would receive his immediate consideration as soon as he received reports from the various departments.

I tried hard to have him commit himself as to what attitude he would take in reference to the enforcement of the Raker Act as called for in Section 6. He refused to make any commitment whatsoever and stated that he had not changed his views as to the report he issued on April 22, 1936, in reference to the Hetch Hetchy power project; and it is up to San Francisco to do her part. Mr. Kirgis, the Solicitor, and Colonel Clark, carefully read my statement of facts and asked me a great many questions about the same. Both of these gentlemen, being subordinates, I did not make any attempt to seek a commitment.

The question is, gentlemen of the committee, what is San Francisco's part, in reference to this entire Hetch Hetchy project?

1. We have an investment of $130,600,000 and an interest charge during construction of $30,000,000, or a total of $160,600,000 invested in Hetch Hetchy Water and Power.

2. We have a contract with our government which three Secretaries of the Interior have told us we are not living up to and which has a penalty clause calling for cancellation, which would mean confiscation of our entire investment.

3. Seven bond issues were carried by an average of about a 10 to 1 vote, and three times, when requests were made for bond issues for distributing our power they were defeated.

4. In the annual report of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company to the California State Railroad Commission for the years 1930 and 1933 they set up an item of expenditure of $45,500 for the defeat of the bond issues dated August 26, 1930, and November 7, 1933. In their annual report for the year 1935 they also show an expenditure of $158,389 for donations, dues to fraternal organizations, etc., charged to operating expenses. They have 25,000 stockholders in San Francisco that they can always circularize, informing them how a bond issue might affect their interest.

5. The fact cannot be denied that we are selling our power generated in Hetch Hetchy to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company for about $2,000,000 and they in turn are selling it to the consumers in San Francisco for $10,000,000.

6. We pay more for power than any large city in the United States, and we have been doing this for the past 10 years, with an investment of $160,000,000 in power and water that cannot be separated.

7. Huge profits are being made by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company out of our investment. The report of 1935 to the Railroad Commission shows that 63 officers of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company receive $623,955, almost $10,000 per year for each officer. The president of the company receives $70,170, and our City of San Francisco represents almost 30 per cent of their entire holdings in California.

8. The Pacific Gas & Electric Company have no intention of cancelling the 24-hour contract entered into in July 1, 1925, and have so stated in their letter dated September 6, 1935.

---

With these facts before us, there is only one thing to do, as I see the problem. That is, to strike out the word "purchase" and insert in lieu thereof the words "erection by the City and County of San Francisco" wherever the word "purchase" occurs in application of May 16, 1936, sent to the Secretary of the Interior.

By making this change in the application we would comply with the terms of the Raker Act. Moreover, we would place ourselves in the position of becoming entitled to a part of the appropriation made by the Federal Government for the purpose of creating employment throughout the United States and, under such appropriation, would become entitled to a gift of $14,035,500 to serve as a nucleus to start our own distributing system. With that thought in mind, I will make the following motion:

Resolved, That this report, including my statement of facts presented to the Secretary of the Interior, be approved by this committee.

This motion, if adopted, would necessitate an amendment to the application of May 16, 1936, and would require the City and County to erect its own distributing system.

Respectfully yours,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,

Chairman, Special Committee to Study and Report Upon Disposal of Hetch Hetchy Power.

Statement for the Record.

After discussion participated in by City Attorney O'Toole, Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Uhl and Roncovieri, Supervisor Havenner made the following statement for the record:

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to make a statement now, in view of the various statements in the public press of San Francisco to the effect that I was on record as advocating the issuance of revenue bonds without any submission to the people at all. I can point to the record and deny that I have made any such statement. I have repeatedly stated here that I was not committing myself to the idea of issuing revenue bonds without a vote of the people, but I did desire to have this test made for this particular reason: That in the event some proposition was submitted to the people and through the usual political opposition which we have always encountered every time we have submitted an electrical distribution project to the people, should happen to fail to get the necessary number of votes for financial authority, in that event, if the government of the United States should then say, "You are violating the Raker Act and we will no longer tolerate it, you will have to discontinue the sale of power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; you will have to forego this revenue which you have been enjoying through that contract;" in that event then, if it is legal for the City and County of San Francisco now to exercise the financial authority that might be necessary in order to carry out the dictum of the government, then it might be valuable. So far as I am concerned, I have not had any intention at any time to force over a power distribution system in San

Francisco without submitting it to the people, and I want that to be made clear and part of the record. The statements that have appeared in the press to the effect that I did contemplate that have been entirely misleading and erroneous.

I would like, Mr. City Attorney, to ask you if, during the period that must elapse before the ordinance is effective and the Public Utilities Commission submits its recommendation, if you would consider the drafting of a letter which can then be submitted to the Board for its action, if you would draft a letter to the Secretary of the Interior advising him—and this may never be sent, of course, if the Board does not approve it, but I would like to have it for submission to the Board, advising him that the Board intends to submit this proposition to the people in the alternative form or with the proviso that in the event we can not buy we will be able to build?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Do you want that, Supervisor, to advise him also that we are submitting the matter, or intend to ask the Supreme Court for a very early hearing on the right of this Board to issue revenue bonds?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it might be desirable.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think to some extent, unless the Board desired it—of course, the Board could adopt an ordinance that revenue bonds could be submitted any way they saw fit.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think that would be advisable.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am a little surprised at the statement you just made, because my reaction to the matter was that you wanted to avoid a general bond issue and allow the Public Utilities Commission to request bids and sell a bond issue for the construction of a utility, and if nine Supervisors of this Board voted in favor of it, why, that would be the end, it would not go to the people. That was my reaction.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I never said so.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Wasn't that what you had in mind?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I wanted to find out whether we had the authority to do it if we wanted to do it, that is all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I see. Now, have you, or have the members of the Board in mind the submission of the distribution of power in the November election? The reason I ask you that——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: So far as I am concerned—I can not speak for the other members of the Board—but so far as I am concerned, if it is ready for submission, yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me make it clear why I ask the question. I can not vote for a proposal such as we have here unless you can show me that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company have agreed to lease us its lines for so much money. In other words, I want to know what we are stepping our people into.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am proposing now that if we can not buy that we shall build.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that subject matter lay over three weeks (August 31), and have L. Randall Ellis in the meantime give the Board an opinion next Monday as to various plans, including plan proposed by Supervisor McSheehy and Plans 5, 6, and 7, submitted by the Public Utilities Commission.

Motion *carried.*

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors recessed until 8 p. m. this evening to discuss rapid transit.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY EVENING, AUGUST 10, 1936, 8 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors Monday evening, August 10, 1936, at 8 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the problem of rapid transit in San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead—4.

Excused—Supervisors Havenner, Mead—2.

On Leave—Supervisors Colman, Brown—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding.

### Conference Between Municipal Railway and Market Street Railway to Discuss Rapid Transit.

(Code No. 15.091)

The following resolution, heretofore presented by Supervisor Uhl, was taken up:

Resolution No. 2726, as follows:

Whereas, rapid transit is of vital necessity to all street car patrons of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the recent report of the Public Utilities Commission provides rapid transit for only the patrons of the Municipal Railway; and

Whereas, due to changes in transportation conditions which will be brought about when East Bay transportation moves over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, said change will necessitate rerouting much of the street car system; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a conference be called at an early date between representatives of the Municipal and the Market Street railways to discuss the following:

1. Best means of rapid transit of all street car patrons in San Francisco.
2. Elimination of duplicate service.
3. Universal transfer.
4. Possibility of joint operation.
5. Price and terms covering purchase of the Market Street Railway.
6. Price and terms covering purchase of the San Mateo line.

### Communications.

Communications pending in the Public Utilities Committee on this subject were thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, brought into the hearing, made part of the record, and order made that all organizations be notified of subsequent meetings, to-wit:

From Ingleside Boosters Club, opposing Cahill's subway plan.

Favoring elevated rapid transit in lieu of Cahill subway plan: Northern Council of Civic Clubs, by Stephen Malatesta; Marina Home Owners' Protective Association; Central Council of Civic Clubs, by Douglas Kline; P. R. Thompson, chairman, Traffic Committee of Central Council of Civic Clubs; Owners' & Lessees' Apartment House Association, Inc., Earl J. Carroll, secretary; Mission Street Merchants Association, J. C. Marshall, secretary.

From Cleve F. Schaffer, submitting plan for extension of Southern Pacific Railroad to Plan X Terminal, affording rapid transit for the Peninsula.

### Rereferred.

An ordinance appropriating $50,000 out of Municipal Railway Operating Fund to defray cost of making plans for construction of rapid transit system for San Francisco, heretofore referred by the Finance Committee to the Committee of the Whole on Rapid Transit, was, on motion, ordered *rereferred to the Finance Committee.*

### Discussion.

Cleve Schaffer was granted the privilege of the floor and discussed at length his proposed plan for rapid transit in San Francisco and down the Peninsula.

Mr. Schultz, representing the Steuben Society, was also heard at length. He stressed the importance of transcontinental trains coming into San Francisco over the Bay Bridge.

P. R. Thompson, chairman of the Traffic Committee of the Central Council of Civic Clubs, was heard presenting the view of the Central Council.

Mr. Baker, representing the United Voters Council, was also heard as to the method of financing the proposed rapid transit system.

### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a transcript be made of meetings of Tuesday, August 4, Monday, August 10 (8 p. m.), with regard to rapid transit; that transcripts be made of all future meetings on that subject; that copies of the transcripts be sent to all members of the Board.

*So ordered.*

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 10:30 p. m., adjourned.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 17, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

...IC LIBRARY
...DICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 34

Monday, August 17, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 17, 1936, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl —6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of August 10, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing Sale of City Land on Alemany Boulevard Near Ocean Avenue.**

**(Code No. 12.1721)**

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1089, Ordinance No. 12.17215, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City land on Alemany boulevard, near Ocean avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is under the control of said department:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Ocean avenue distant thereon 177.567 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Wattson place, said point of commencement being also the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of the property conveyed by the Ocean Shore R. R. Co. to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded May 22, 1925, in Volume 1083, page 55, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and the southerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 61 degrees 21 minutes 06 seconds to the left from said line of Ocean avenue and running southwesterly, along said line of the property so conveyed, 27.130 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing southwesterly along

said line of the property so conveyed 220.431 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 15, Block 13, West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 2A and B, page 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 87 degrees 14 minutes 34 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line, 20.269 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 95.473 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 950 feet; central angle 5 degrees 06 minutes 34 seconds, a distance of 84.719 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continuing northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 50 feet, central angle 57 degrees 53 minutes 07 seconds, a distance of 50.514 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 15, 16 and 17 of said Block 13.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY—ELECTRICAL INSPECTION ORDINANCE

(Code No. 11.11)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1075, Ordinance No. 11.114, as follows:

Regulating the doing of electrical and fixture work in, on or about buil ings or structures in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for th enforcement of the ordinance; providing for the registration of all persons, firm or corporations doing such work and for the examination of Master Electrician or Specialty Electricians and the issuance of certificates of competency to them fixing fees for registration and examinations; providing for the appointmen of an Examining Board and for the compensation of said Board; fixing fe for renewal of registrations; giving power to the Chief of the Department Electricity to cancel or suspend Certificates of Registration and competency providing for the appeal therefrom; exempting Master Electricians, Special Electricians now registered, and Plant Owners from taking examination providing for the issuance of permits for doing electrical work and fixtu work and fees therefor and for the issuance of certificates of approval; providi for the adoption and promulgation of rules and regulations by the Chief of t Department of Electricity; fixing a standard for the installation, construction a operation of electrical work and fixture work; providing for the condemnation electrical work and fixture work not in conformity herewith; forbidding the fu nishing of electrical current to said condemned or unapproved installations; fixi penalties for the violation of the ordinance and any rules and regulations adopt under authority hereof; and repealing any and all ordinances or parts of or nance in conflict therewith, and particularly Ordinance No. 11.111, Ordinance N 5192 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 5230 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follow

SEC. 1. **Definitions.** The following words or phrases, wherever used this ordinance, unless the context clearly requires a different meaning, shall given the meaning hereinafter in this section ascribed to them and shall be co strued in accordance with such meaning and definition:

"Person" shall include firm, corporation or co-partnership. Masculine gend shall include feminine gender. Singular shall include plural.

"Electrical Work" shall mean and include the placing, installing, erecting, connecting of any electrical wires, fixtures, appliances, apparatus, raceways, co

nits or conductors, or any part thereof which shall operate at more than 25 volts which generate, transmit, transform or utilize more than 50 watts; provided ectrical work as herein defined shall not mean or include maintenance, repair or eration of electrical apparatus or minor repairs and replacement.

"Fixture Work" shall mean and include the hanging, placing or installing of any ectrical lighting fixture or the connection of same to electrical circuits, or any rt thereof, already done or installed, whether as original work, alterations or pairs.

"Maintenance Work" shall mean the keeping in repair and operation of any and l existing electrical installations, apparatus, and equipment, but does not include e installation of additional electrical work, electrical equipment, or electrical paratus.

"Department" shall mean the Department of Electricity of the City and County San Francisco.

"City and County" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.

"Chief" shall mean the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and unty of San Francisco.

SEC. 2. **Enforcement of Ordinance.** The Inspection Bureau of the Depart-ent of Electricity shall be responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance.

SEC. 3. **Registration Requirements.** Within thirty (30) days after the te of passage of this ordinance, every Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician d every Plant Owner, before doing or installing any electrical work or fixture ork or causing the same to be done or installed in, on or about any building or ructure in the City and County of San Francisco, shall be registered with the epartment and obtain a Certificate of Registration. Each registration shall be enewed on or before January 2, of each year, so long as he or it shall continue to or install or cause to do or install any electrical work or fixture work.

3A. **Exemption From Registration.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be con-rued as requiring the issuance of Certificates of Registration as Master Elec-ician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner to any person, firm or corpora-on operating as a public utility as defined in the Public Utility Act of 1915, here the electrical work is incidental or necessary to the operation or conduct the business of such public utility, or the issuance of such certificates to any ublic utility's affiliate, associate, subsidiary or employee thereof, engaged ex-usively in such electrical work for such public utility.

SEC. 4. **Registration Classifications.** There shall be three classes of regis-ration designated as follows:

4A. **Master Electrician.** Master Electrician shall include and mean every erson, firm or corporation installing or causing to be installed or done nder his or its supervision any electrical or fixture work as hereinafter defined, xcept as classified under Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner.

4B. **Specialty Electrician.** Specialty Electrician shall include and mean very person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done r installed under his or its supervision, any special type of electrical or fix-ire work that is pertinent to the particular business that such person, firm or rporation is engaged in, except as classified under Master Electrician and Plant wner. Specialty Electrician shall mean and include every person, firm or cor-oration that contracts for the installation of electrical or mechanical equipment, pparatus or electrical fixtures, and the electrical installation by said person, firm r corporation is necessary for the proper installation or functioning of said equip-ent, apparatus or electrical fixtures.

4C. **Plant Owner.** Plant Owner shall mean and include every person, rm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed

under his or its supervision, any electrical or fixture work, as hereinafter defined, in any apartment house, hotel building or office building by means of electricians directly and permanently employed by said person, firm or corporation for the purpose of performing said electrical or fixture work in any apartment house, hotel building or office building which he or it operates as owner or lessee.

4D. **Plant Survey.** Before any Plant Owner shall be registered, as provided for in this ordinance, it shall be the duty of the Department to first make a survey of all existing electrical equipment and electrical installation in the premises occupied by said Plant Owner, to determine whether or not said Plant Owner is entitled to a Certificate of Registration. No such Certificate of Registration shall be granted by the Chief of the Department to any person, firm or corporation whose premises or electrical installation does not warrant a permanently employed maintenance electrician.

4E. **Plant Owner to Report Employees.** After a Plant Owner has become registered with the Department, said Plant Owner shall keep the Department advised of the names and addresses of all employees doing or causing to be done, any electrical work or fixture work. Employees doing electrical work or fixture work for a Plant Owner are not required to pay a registration fee or pass an examination.

4F. **Unlawful Work—Plant Owners.** It shall be unlawful for a Plant Owner to do or cause to be done any electrical or fixture work except under the following conditions:

1. Such electrical or fixture work is installed by the employees registered as provided for in Section 4E.

2. Such electrical work or fixture work is installed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and all other rules and regulations promulgated under authority thereof.

3. Such electrical or fixture work done or installed for a tenant or lessee in a building owned or operated by a Plant Owner shall be done without cost to said tenant or lessee.

SEC. 5. **Certificates of Competency.** Before any Certificates of Registration shall be granted by the Chief to any person, firm or corporation as a Master Electrician, or Specialty Electrician, he shall as otherwise provided for in this ordinance, undergo and pass an examination as shall test his ability to do and install electrical work or specialty electrical work, as the case may be, and direct the doing and installation of said work by other persons.

5A. No person, firm or corporation holding an uncancelled or unexpired Certificate of Registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician at the time this ordinance takes effect, will be required to undergo or pass an examination.

In the event of the death of a person holding a Certificate of Registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, said Certificate of Registration shall immediately become cancelled.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell, assign or transfer any Certificate of Registration as Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, to any person, firm or corporation other than the partner of the firm or the director of the corporation to which the Certificate of Registration was originally issued.

5B. **Examination Procedure.** The examinations for Master Electricians and Specialty Electricians shall be conducted by an Examining Board and shall

e held in the offices of the Department not more than thirty (30) days after ate of filing of an application therefor, which application shall be accom- anied by a fee of twenty dollars ($20.00) for a Master Electrician or Specialty lectrician. Applications for examinations for other types of registrations of lectrical equipment operators not covered in this section, but in other pro- isions of law pertaining thereto, shall have their applications accompanied by a ee of ten dollars ($10.00). The applicant shall be given notice of the time and lace of the examination, to be held pursuant to his application by a written otice thereof mailed to him at the address given in his application, postage repaid, at least five days before the date of examination. The Examining oard shall hold its meeting within thirty (30) days after the date said pplicant takes his respective examination.

5C. **Issuance of Certificate.** When an applicant for an examination either s a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall have taken and passed is respective examination to the satisfaction of the Chief, a Certificate of ompetency as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, as the case may e, shall be issued by the Chief. Provided that no person, firm or corporation olding an uncancelled and unexpired Certificate of Registration either as a laster Electrician or Specialty Electrician issued to him or it under any rdinance of the City and County before this ordinance takes effect, shall be equired to undergo and pass such examination.

5D. In the event that the applicant for examination either as a Master lectrician or Specialty Electrician shall have taken and failed to pass his espective examination, the examination fee shall be forfeited, no moneys shall e refunded to him and said applicant will not be eligible to take another xamination until ninety (90) days after the date of the last examination.

SEC. 6. **Personnel of Examining Board.** The Board of Examiners shall onsist of the Chief of the Department and four other members appointed by aid Chief, consisting of an electrical engineer of the Industrial Accident Com- ission of the State of California, an electrical engineer of a public utility, Master Electrician, Journeyman Electrician, or a Motion Picture Projection- st. The members of the Board, by their experience and training shall be ualified to pass upon the fitness of the applicants for registration as Maste- lectricians or Specialty Electricians. Members shall hold office for a perio f one year, unless removed for cause. The Chief of the Department shall pre- ide at all meetings. In case of the necessary absence of any one member of he Board of Examiners, the Chief of the Department may make a temporary ppointment of a substitute. All vacancies occurring on the Board of Exam- ners shall be filled by the Chief of the Department. Any member of the oard of Examiners may be removed by the Chief for malfeasance in office, ncapacity or neglect of duty.

6A. **Duties of Examining Board.** The duties of the Examining Board shall e to hold examinations at a stated time for the benefit of all applicants who lesire to be registered as Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians; to cor- ect such examination papers and check experience vouchers in order to deter- nine the exact qualifications of the applicants.

6B. **Compensation of Examining Board Members.** For conducting the ex- minations of Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians, each member of he Examining Board, with the exception of the Chief of the Department, shall eceive from the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco from the wenty dollar ($20.00) examination fee paid by each applicant for a Master lectrician or Specialty Electrician's Examination, the following compensation: rom the twenty dollar ($20.00) fee paid by the first applicant, the members

of the board shall each receive four dollars ($4.00). From the twenty dollar ($20.00) fee received from each applicant in excess of one, each board member shall receive two dollars ($2.00). Such compensation shall cover the examination of applicants, the grading of papers, and all other work incidental to the examination of applicants for registration. The members of the Board of Examiners shall receive no pay for any meeting at which no examination is held, and no member of the Board of Examiners shall receive compensation for any meeting he fails to attend.

SEC. 7. **Registration Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in, conduct, contract for, or carry on the business of installing or altering electrical work or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, or advertise or display a sign or otherwise hold himself or itself out to the public as engaging in, conducting or carrying on such business, unless such person, firm or corporation is the holder of a Master Electrician's Certificate or Specialty Electrician's Certificate duly granted to him as provided for in this ordinance.

7A. **Renewal of Registration Certificates.** Certificates of Registration issued to Master Electricians, Specialty Electricians and Plant Owners shall be renewed on or before the first calendar day of each year.

7B. **Expiration of Registrations.** Unless Certificates of Registration are renewed within thirty days after the date of expiration of said certificates, said certificates shall become void and the holder of same shall be required to take a new examination and pay the same examination fees and registration fees as a new applicant.

7C. **Registration Fees.** Before any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner shall become registered as provided for in Section 7, he shall pay the following fees to cover the cost of handling said registrations, the cost of checking plans and specifications and the rendering of other services necessary for the correct installation and approval of electrical and fixture work:

| Classification of Registration | Fees for 1st Year | Fees for Annual Renewal |
|---|---|---|
| Master Electrician | $100.00 | $100.00 |
| Specialty Electrician | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Plant Owner | 10.00 | 10.00 |

7D. Where the Registration Certificate is applied for after the first day of July of each year, the fees for registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall be one-half (½) of the amount specified in Section 7C.

7E. **Payment of Registration Fees.** Within thirty days (30) after the date this ordinance takes effect, every Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician holding an uncancelled and unexpired Certificate of Registration shall pay a registration fee to the Department, said registration fee shall be one-twelfth of the amount specified in Section 7C, for each remaining month of the calendar year in which this ordinance takes effect.

7F. **Registration Bond.** Every person, firm or corporation obtaining a Certificate of Registration as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall upon issuance of said certificate, deposit with the Department the sum of one hundred dollars ($100.00), in cash as a guarantee for the payment of all fees for permits, inspections or penalties that may become due and be owed by such person, firm or corporation to the City and County of San Francisco and as a further guarantee that all electrical work, fixture work or specialty electrical work done under authority of said Certificate of Registration will be done in compliance with all the provisions and requirements of al

rdinances, rules and regulations of the City and County of San Francisco pplicable thereto.

7G. **"Electrical Deposit Fund".** The Department shall receive the deposits foresaid and shall cause the same to be placed in the City and County Treasury a special trust fund to be known as the "Electrical Deposit Fund", which und is hereby established.

7H. **Return of Deposit.** When a holder of a Certificate of Registration either s a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician having made a cash deposit as in his ordinance provided, shall have ceased to carry on all business and perform ny and all work for which said Certificate of Registration is by this ordinance equired, and when all work under Permits issued to such person, firm or orporation shall have been completely inspected and approved, and when all ees and other charges due and owing to the City and County of San Francisco y said person, firm or corporation shall have been paid, and if no charges shall e pending against said person, firm or corporation for any violation of this rdinance, or any ordinances, rules and regulations of the City and County of an Francisco pertaining to electrical work, fixture work or specialty electrical ork, then said person shall be entitled to have returned to him the said deposit f one hundred dollars ($100.00), or such portion thereof as may then remain o his credit, after deduction therefrom, as in this ordinance provided.

7I. **Issuance and Revocation of Registrations.** The Chief shall have the ower to issue all Certificates of Registration provided for in this ordinance. When a Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner does or installs electrical work or fixture work not in accordance with the approved standards or ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco or rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority thereof and which is dangerous to life or property, and after receiving written notice from the Department o correct such complaints, continues to do or install electrical work not in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance and rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority thereof, he shall have his or its registration suspended, cancelled or annulled. The Chief of the Department hall have the power to cancel, suspend or annul Certificates of Registration nder the provisions of this ordinance.

7J. **Prohibiting Unlicensed Installations.** It shall be unlawful for any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner whose Registration Certificate has been suspended, cancelled or annulled to do or install electrical work or fixture work until he or it has been reinstated by the Chief, and a new Certificate of Registration issued to him.

7K. **Fee for Reinstatement.** If a certificate of registration is cancelled or annulled, the applicant for reinstatement shall pay the same fee for reinstatement as if not registered before.

7L. **Unlawful Uses of Registration.** It shall be unlawful for any Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner to permit its name r Certificate of Registration to be used by any other person, firm or corporation for the purpose of obtaining a permit from the Department for the doing or installing of electrical or fixture work, which is not to be done or nstalled by the Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner obaining such permit, and for the violation of the provisions of this section of his ordinance, in addition to any other penalties provided for, the Certificate f Registration of the Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician or Plant Owner o violating such provisions shall be suspended, cancelled or annulled.

SEC. 8. **Permit Required.** Every person, firm or corporation, shall, before doing or installing or causing to be done or installed, any electrical

or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, file with the Department on a form to be furnished by it, a written application for a permit to do such work and obtain a permit therefor.

8A. **Form of Application.** The form of application in addition to other matters required by the Department shall contain a brief specification and plan showing the nature and kind of electrical work to be done or installed and the location and description of the premises wherein and whereon such electrical or fixture work is to be done or installed, provided that for buildings of residential occupancy, wherein the electrical load is not in excess of twenty-three kilowatts (23 K. W.) the filing of plans and specifications shall not be required.

8B. **Permit Fees to Be Paid in Advance.** At the time the application for a permit is filed, the estimated fees for making an inspection of the electrical or fixture work to be done or installed shall be paid. No permit shall be issued until such application is filed and payment made. Such permit shall be issued by the Department only to a Master Electrician, Specialty Electrician, Plan Owner or Owner.

8C. Before a permit shall be issued to any Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician for the installation of electrical or fixture work, said Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall pay any and all permit fees charged to his or its account.

8D. **Permit Holder to Be Contractor in Fact.** No person, firm or corporation registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall file an application for a permit to do or install or cause to be done or installed, any electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, unless said person, firm or corporation is in fact the contractor for such work. The person, firm or corporation installing the electrical or fixture work shall contract directly with the person firm or corporation owning, leasing or renting the building or structure in or on which the electrical or fixture work is done or installed. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be issued contrary to the provisions of this section.

8E. **Permit to Owner.** A permit for the installation of electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building used or occupied as a dwelling in the City and County of San Francisco shall be issued by the Department to the Owner of said building, provided said electrical or fixture work is done by such Owner or husband, brother or son of such Owner.

8F. **Permit to Owner.** A permit for the installation of electrical or fixture work shall not be issued to an Owner unless the person who is to install the electrical work is named on the permit and said named person shall appear before the Department and show by answering such questions as may be asked by the Chief or his representative that he has sufficient knowledge to do or install such electrical or fixture work.

8G. **Correction of Defective Work.** If it is apparent from the character of the work installed under a permit to Owner, that the Owner or person named in the permit is not qualified or competent to do or install the electrical or fixture work, the Department may require such portion of the work that is in violation of this ordinance to be changed, altered or repaired by a Master Electrician.

8H. **Permit to Be Posted.** When a permit is issued by the Department said permit shall be conspicuously posted on the premises wherein or whereon the electrical work therein described is to be done or installed.

8I. **Illegal Use of Permit.** No person, firm or corporation, registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall file an application for a permit to do or install any electrical or fixture work unless such person, firm or corporation has a contract to do or install the same, or intends to do or install such electrical work on his or its own behalf and for his or its account. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be contrary to the provisions of this section.

8J. **Rights of Public Utilities.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as requiring any person, firm or corporation operating as public utility to file any application for permits to do or have inspection made of, any electrical or fixture work necessary for the generation and supply of electrical energy to the public as a public utility, and for the generation and supply of electrical energy for street railway purposes, provided, however, that this exemption shall not relieve such public utility from the necessity of filing applications for any other electrical work or having the same duly inspected and paying the fees therefor.

8K. **Right to Cancel Permits.** The Chief shall have the power to cancel permits where the electrical or fixture work therein has not been commenced within sixty (60) days from and after the date of issuance of the permit therefor, and in the event of such cancellation of such permit the fees paid therefor shall be credited to the account of the person, firm or corporation paying the same and may be treated as a payment equal to the estimated fees payable on any subsequent application or applications for a permit to do electrical or fixture work.

SEC. 9. **Unlawful to Conceal Electrical Work.** It shall be unlawful to conceal or cause to be concealed any electrical work in, on or about any building or structure before the same has been inspected by the Department and a tag indicating its approval posted on such building or structure wherein or whereon such electrical work has been done or installed.

SEC. 10. **Certificate of Inspection and Approval.** Every person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed, any electrical work or fixture work in, on or about any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco shall notify the Department when the same is completed. The Department shall then inspect the same and if done in compliance with all the State laws, and rules or regulations as promulgated under the authority of this ordinance, there shall be issued by the Department to said person, firm or corporation doing or installing or causing to be done or installed, such electrical or fixture work, a certificate of inspection and approval, which shall contain the date of approval and in concise terms specify the electrical work thus approved. Said certificate shall be issued only for the electrical work for which a permit has been issued.

SEC. 11. **Unlawful Use of Current.** No current shall be supplied to any electrical or fixture work in, on or about any building or structures in the City and County of San Francisco unless a certificate of inspection and approval has been issued. When the electrical and fixture work has been completed to the satisfaction of the Department, the Department shall issue and post on the premises wherein or whereon the electrical or fixture work is done or installed, a Green Tag, Form A-22, which will permit the connection of said electrical or fixture work for which a permit has been issued.

11A. **Temporary Use of Current.** The Department is hereby empowered and authorized to permit and approve the temporary use of electrical current to any person, firm or corporation, provided that no temporary use of electrical current shall be permitted in any case where such use shall be a hazard to life

or property, and further provided that no such temporary use of electrical current shall be permitted for a longer period than is reasonably necessary to comply with the provisions of this ordinance, and such period of time may be definitely fixed by the Department at the time of granting said permission.

SEC. 12. **Unlawful to Disturb Existing Wiring.** In any building or structure within the City and County of San Francisco, wherein or whereon electrical work has been done or installed, it shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to change, alter, or extend any electrical work or part thereof after the inspection of same, without notifying the Department in writing and obtaining a permit therefor. Such changes, alterations or extensions shall be inspected and approved before any current shall be supplied thereto.

SEC. 13. **Time Limit a Penalty for Failure to Rectify Complaints.** The failure and neglect of a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician to correct, obviate or remove any fault, error or deficiency in the doing or installing of any electrical or fixture work not in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance or rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority hereof, within ten (10) days after the receipt of a written notice from the Department describing said complaints, shall be deemed sufficient cause for the suspending or cancelling any Certificate of Registration issued by the Department and held by such person, firm or corporation. In addition to the above, the Department shall charge a fee of one dollar and fifty cents ($1.50) for each hour or fraction thereof that the Inspector of the Department spends in inspecting electrical or fixture work that is not corrected within the specified ten (10) day period.

SEC. 14. **Right of Entry.** Every person, firm or corporation or association or agent thereof, or agent acting for and in behalf thereof, owning, leasing, operating or in possession of any building or structure within the City and County of San Francisco shall permit the Inspector of the Department to enter such building or structure as often as it shall be deemed necessary by the Department for the purpose of inspecting the electrical or fixture work theretofore done or installed in, on or about such building or structure, and it shall be unlawful for any owner, occupant or lessee of any premises wherein or whereon any electrical work has been done or installed and is being used or is in use, to prevent or interfere with any such Inspector in the discharge of his duties under this ordinance, provided, however, that said Inspector shall upon request of the owner, occupant or lessee of said premises exhibit his authority to make such inspection.

SEC. 15. **Inspection of Existing Installations.** If the Chief or any Inspector of the Department finds that any installation of electrical or fixture work is defective or not in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance or any rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under authority hereof or is dangerous to life or property, the same shall be condemned and the use thereof forbidden until the same has been corrected.

SEC. 16. **Right to Disconnect Condemned Installations.** Upon failure of any person, firm, corporation or association owning, leasing or in possession of and using any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco to correct or cause to be corrected such defective and condemned electrical or fixture work or any part thereof, for a period of ten (10) days after a receipt of a written notice thereof, from the Department to do so, which notice shall specify the corrections to be made, the Chief shall forthwith direct the person, firm, corporation or association or agent thereof, or person acting for and on behalf thereof, who shall be supplying electrical current or power to such electrical work or part thereof to disconnect the supply thereof. It shall be unlawful

for any such person, firm, corporation or agent thereof, or agent acting for and in behalf of any of them and so supplying such current or power to furnish or renew said supply of current or power without permission of the Chief to do so.

SEC. 17. **Standards Required.** No electrical or fixture work shall be installed or constructed which is dangerous to life or property, and all electrical and fixture work within the City and County of San Francisco shall be done, installed and constructed in conformity with the rules and requirements of the National Electric Code as approved by the American Standards Association, and the Electrical Safety Orders, as approved by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, except as such rules and requirements are modified or supplemented as provided for in other sections of this ordinance.

SEC. 18. **Providing for the Adoption and Promulgation of Rules and Regulations by the Chief of the Department and Fixing a Standard for the Installation, Construction and Operation of Electrical and Fixture Work.** The Chief is hereby given power and it shall be his duty as soon as practicable after this ordinance takes effect, to hold a hearing or hearings on ten (10) days notice of the time and place thereof, given by publication in the then official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, to determine generally accepted standards and methods governing electrical and fixture work, in modification of or supplementary to the rules and regulations of the National Electric Code and the Electrical Safety Orders. As soon as he shall have ascertained the same and made his findings thereon, such modifications thereof and supplementary rules and regulations shall be set forth and adopted by him in a report in the form of rules and regulations governing electrical and fixture work, which rules and regulations shall not be contrary to or inconsistent with any law of the State of California or ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall forthwith upon adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption shall be published for a period of five (5) days in the then official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco. On the first day after the completion of the publication of the notice aforesaid, the said rules and regulations shall take effect as the standards for which all persons, firms or corporations doing, installing or constructing electrical or fixture work shall conform. No certificate of inspection and approval provided for in this ordinance shall be issued unless the electrical or fixture work therein described shall conform with the rules and regulations in effect at the time the permit therefor is issued. The Chief shall hold a hearing or hearings at such times as he may deem necessary, to ascertain and determine what changes have been made in the standards and methods adopted supplementary to the National Electric Code and the Electrical Safety Orders, which hearing or hearings shall be held only on the giving of a like notice as in hearings hereinabove provided for. If the Chief shall find that the accepted standards and methods adopted as supplementary thereto have been changed, he shall make and adopt such changes or modifications thereof as amendments, additions or repeals of the then existing rules and regulations, which amendments, additions or repeals shall forthwith upon their adoption be posted in the office of the Department of Electricity, and notice indicating such adoption and posting be published in the then official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of five (5) days after and shall take effect on the first day after the completion of publication of notice as aforesaid.

SEC. 19. **Non-Liability of City for Damages.** This ordinance shall not be construed to relieve from or lessen the liability of any person, firm or corporation owning, operating or installing any electrical or fixture work, or causing the same or any part thereof to be done, for damages to anyone injured by any

defect therein, or any negligent doing or installation thereof, nor shall the City and County of San Francisco or any agent thereof be held liable therefor by reason of any inspection herein authorized and made by reason of authority or by reason of the certificate of inspection and approval issued by the Department.

SEC. 20. **Exemptions.** Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed in any way to regulate the installation of wires, fixtures, appliances, construction or equipment of any telephone, telegraph, district messenger systems or otherwise protective signal systems operating at not more than 160 volts, and the same are hereby exempt from any of the provisions of this ordinance, except that approved protectors or fuses shall be provided and used where such wires enter or leave any building or structure where such circuits are so placed as to be liable to accidental contact with electric light, power or any other wires operating at potential exceeding two hundred fifty (250) volts, nor shall this ordinance be construed as in any way to regulate the connecting or disconnecting of any temporary current measuring device, which is hereby exempted from all the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 21. **Permit Fees.** Every person, firm or corporation shall pay the Department before the permit provided for in this ordinance is issued, the following fees or so much thereof as shall be applicable to the work done and inspected and approved, viz:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. For each lighting outlet, switch, or convenience outlet receptacle | $ .15 |
| 2. For each lighting outlet used for outline, coves, border lights or footlighting | .05 |
| 3. For each lighting outlet used for panelled lighting (lighting outlets installed behind glass panels) | .15 |
| 4. For each transformer not over 500 volt-amperes used on high voltage decorative, neon outline, or interior neon display signs | .25 |
| For each transformer over 500 volt-amperes used as stated above | .50 |
| 5. For each lighting fixture connection | .15 |
| 6. For each circuit, except lighting convenience receptacle circuits | .50 |
| 7. For the connection of each motor (including control equipment), generator, transformer, or other devices consuming current up to and including 15 kilowatts, K.V.A., horsepower, or fraction thereof, per kilowatt, K.V.A., horsepower, or fraction thereof | .25 |
| Over 15 kilowatts, K.V.A., horsepower, or fraction thereof, per connection | 4.00 |
| 8. For the connection of each heating device including protection equipment, per kilowatt or K.V.A. or fraction thereof | .10 |
| 9. For each screw base lamp receptacle with terminal fittings inside of outlet box or fitting | .05 |
| 10. For temporary wiring and connecting equipment up to and including 10 kilowatt, K.V.A., or horsepower | 1.00 |
| Over 10 kilowatts, K.V.A., horsepower | 2.50 |

11. Providing, however, as a minimum the total amount of any bill of fees to be charged shall not be less than one ($1.00) dollar.

12. For the inspection of any electrical work for which no fee is herein prescribed, and for the inspection of temporary installation for decorative advertising, theatrical or similar purposes, there shall be charged to and paid by the person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or person acting for and on behalf of either thereof, who shall install and do such work or cause the same to be done or installed, a fee not to exceed one dollar and fifty ($1.50) an hour for the time actually consumed by each inspector making such inspection, before certificate of inspection and approval shall be issued.

21A. **Penalty for Installing Electrical Work Without a Permit.** If any erson, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or any person acting for and on ehalf of either thereof, shall, after notice thereof has been given in writing y the Department and an opportunity to be heard, be found to have done or nstalled any electrical or fixture work without first applying for a permit herefor, in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such person, firm or orporation or agent thereof, or person acting for and on behalf of either hereof, shall pay to the Department, for the inspection of such electrical or xture work, before a certificate of inspection and approval thereof shall be ssued, fees equal to five times the amount designated in Section 38, as shall be pplicable to the work done, inspected and approved, provided, however, that he minimum bill of fees to be charged and paid for any inspection under the rovisions of this section shall be ten dollars ($10.00).

21B. **Deposits of Moneys Received.** It shall be the duty of the Chief o turn all moneys received under this ordinance in to the Treasury of the ity and County of San Francisco. If payment made at the time of application or a permit shall be insufficient in amount to pay the fees for inspection, no ertificate of inspection and approval shall be issued until the balance of such ees shall be paid in full.

SEC. 22. **Penalty for Violation of this Ordinance.** Any person, firm, or orporation who violates this ordinance or any section or part thereof, shall e guilty or a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall in addition o any other penalty herein provided for, be punished by a fine not exceeding ive hundred dollars ($500.00) or by imprisonment for not more than six 6) months in the County Jail, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every erson, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for ach day such violation shall continue, and shall be subject to the penalty mposed in this section for each and every separate offense.

SEC. 23. **Repealing All Conflicting Ordinances and Parts of Ordinances.** All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this rdinance and particularly Ordinance Code No. 11.111, regulating the installaion of electrical wiring, fixtures and apparatus, and Ordinance No. 5230 New Series), "Regulating the business of doing electrical installation, fixture nd connection work and providing for the registration and examination of ersons engaged in the same, and creating an Examination Board, and providng a penalty for a violation thereof," approved September 17, 1920, and rdinance No. 5192 (New Series), "Regulating the installation, construction, peration, and inspection of electrical wires, fixtures and appliances and pparatus in, on or about buildings or structures in the City and County of San rancisco, fixing a standard therefor, providing for the granting of certificates o Master Electricians and Fixture Men and for the revocation thereof, and roviding for the condemnation of electrical work, installation, fixtures and pparatus not in conformity therewith and forbidding the furnishing of electrical current to said condemned electrical installation and fixing penalties herefor," approved July 2, 1920, are hereby repealed.

SEC. 24. **Validity of Ordinance.** In the event that any part, clause, sentence or section of this ordinance shall be judged void and of no effect, such decision shall not affect the validity of the rest and the remaining portion of his ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

## ELECTRIC SIGNS.

(Code No. 11.11)

Also, Bill No. 1076, Ordinance No. 11.115, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the installation and maintenance of electric signs sign devices, advertisements, transparencies, bulletin boards, barber poles beauty shop poles, and sidewalk clocks, sign flashers, sign control devices interior sign display equipment; providing for permit procedure and fixing fees for the permits for the installation of all exterior electric signs, interior electric signs, barber poles, beauty shop poles, sign flashers and sign control devices; fixing standards for the manufacture and installation of electric signs and equipment; providing for inspection; regulating current and current control equipment and devices; fixing penalties for the violation of this ordinance and any rules and regulations adopted under authority hereof; and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, and particularly Ordinance No. 8962.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

SEC. 1. **Definitions.** The following words or phrases, wherever used in this ordinance, unless the context clearly requires a different meaning, shall be given the meaning hereinafter in this section ascribed to them and shall be construed in accordance with such meaning and definition:

"Person" shall include firm, corporation or co-partnership. Masculine gender shall include feminine gender. Singular shall include plural.

"Department" shall mean the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Chief" shall mean the Chief of the Department of Electricity of the City and County of San Francisco.

The word "sign" as used herein appearing alone, shall be understood to include all types of signs, sign devices, advertisements, transparencies, bulletin boards on or attached to any building, pole, structure or premises, and shall also include barber poles, beauty shop poles, and sidewalk clocks, provided, however, that the said word shall not include "billboards".

"Electric Sign" shall mean a sign whereon letters, figures or designs are formed or outlined by incandescent electric light globes, gas filled luminous tubes, or by a transparent medium illuminated by means of electric lights or gas filled luminous tubes contained within the sign. Electric lights or gas filled luminous tubes which are not an essential element of the sign proper are not classified as electric signs within the meaning of this ordinance, provided, however, that a sign or design installed or painted on the exterior of a building and illuminated or outlined by means of electric lights or gas filled tubes that are installed for the specific purpose of illuminating said sign or design shall be considered within the requirements of this ordinance.

"Interior Electric Sign" shall mean an electric sign installed within a building or structure.

"Exterior Electric Sign" shall mean an electric sign installed or attached to poles, to the exterior of a building or structure, or shall mean a sign installed or painted on the exterior walls of a building or structure and illuminated by means of electric lights or luminous gas filled tubes specifically installed for the purpose.

"Area of a Sign" is hereby defined as the product of the horizontal distance between vertical lines passing through the extremities of the sign and the vertical distance between a horizontal line passing through the highest point of a sign and a horizontal line passing through the lowest point of the sign.

SEC. 2. **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to install, place, or maintain an electric sign in, on or about any building or structure or on any pole in the City and County of San Francisco unless a permit for the installing, placing or maintaining of same is obtained from the Department of Electricity.

SEC. 3. **Construction Permit.** Every person, firm or corporation, before applying for a permit for the installing or placing of an electric sign as defined in Section 2, shall apply to the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works for a permit for the erection of the electric sign.

SEC. 4. **Number of Construction Permit to Be Given the Department of Electricity.** After the Central Permit Bureau has issued said permit, the Department of Electricity shall be advised of the number of same before a permit shall be issued by the Department of Electricity for the installation of the electrical work pertaining to the electric sign.

SEC. 5. **Permits Issued to Master and Specialty Electricians Only.** Permits for the installation of the electric signs shall be issued only to Master Electricians or Specialty Electricians as provided for under the provisions of existing law.

SEC. 6. **Application for Permit and Electrical Data.** Application for permits for electrical signs together with all the necessary data regarding the proposed electrical construction shall be submitted to the Department of Electricity. It shall be the duty of the Department to examine such electrical data, and if the proposed sign is found to be in accordance with all existing law regarding electrical construction and installation requirements, then said Department shall issue a permit for the erection of such sign.

SEC. 7. **No Sign to Be Erected Without Permits. Exceptions.** No electric sign shall be erected unless permits therefor have been secured from both the Department of Public Works and the Department of Electricity, provided, however, that signs painted on the walls of buildings or structures and electrically illuminated or outlined shall require only a permit issued by the Department of Electricity.

SEC. 8. **Inspection and Certificate.** Upon the completion of the electrical construction and installation of an electric sign for which a permit is issued or required, as herein provided, the Department of Electricity shall inspect the sign and the installation of same, and if it is found to be in accordance with the requirements of existing laws, and the provisions of this article, a Certificate of Inspection and Approval shall be issued by the Department.

SEC. 9. **Fees for Permits.** Every person, firm or corporation shall pay the Department of Electricity before the permit provided for in this ordinance is issued, the following fees or so much thereof as shall be applicable to the work done and inspected and approved, viz.:

| | |
|---|---|
| The minimum charge for each exterior electric sign of twenty (20) lamps shall be | $1.50 |
| For each transformer in an exterior sign in excess of two (2) | .50 |
| For each group of ten (10) lamps or portion thereof in excess of twenty (20) in an exterior sign | .50 |
| For each sign flasher or automatic sign or outline control device | 1.00 |
| For each exterior sign or outline circuit | .50 |
| The minimum charge for a permit for any exterior sign or outline shall be | 1.00 |

For each transformer in an interior sign installation in excess of two (2) ..... .50
For each group of ten (10) lamps or portion thereof, in excess of twenty (20), in an interior electric sign ..... .50
For each painted sign illuminated by bracket reflector lamps the minimum fee shall be ..... 1.50
For each reflector bracket lamp in excess of five (5) ..... .20
For each interior electric sign or outline circuit ..... .50
Temporary electric signs not in excess of five (5) transformers or 50 lamp receptacles ..... 1.50
For all signs in excess of the above load ..... 2.50
For the purpose of computing the fees on signs or outlines having combined transformer and lamp receptacles, each transformer shall be considered equal to ten lamp receptacles or portion thereof.

SEC. 10. **Penalty for Installing Electrical Sign or Outline Work Without a Permit.** If any person, firm, corporation, or agent thereof, or any person acting for and on behalf of either thereof, shall, after notice thereof has been given in writing by the Department of Electricity, and an opportunity to be heard, be found to have done or installed any electrical sign or outline work without first applying for a permit thereof, in violation of the provisions of this ordinance appertaining thereto, such person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or person acting for, or on behalf of either thereof, shall pay to the Department, for the inspection of such electrical sign or outline installation, before a certificate of inspection and approval thereof shall be issued, fees equal to five (5) times the amount designated in Section 9, as shall be applicable to the work done, inspected and approved, provided, however, that the minimum bill of fees to be charged and paid for any inspection under the provisions of this section shall be ten (10) dollars.

SEC. 11. **Permit Holder to Be Contractor in Fact.** No person, firm or corporation registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, as defined in existing law, shall file an application for a permit to place, install or maintain an electric sign in the City and County of San Francisco unless said person, firm or corporation is in fact the contractor for such work. The person, firm or corporation placing, installing or maintaining the electric sign shall contract directly with the person, firm or corporation owning, leasing or renting the said electric sign. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be issued contrary to the requirements of the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 12. **Electrical Sign Maintenance.** Electrical sign maintenance shall mean and include the installation, replacement, or removing of gas filled illuminating tubes, gaseous tube transformers or other electrical materials used in the construction and installation of electric signs or electrical sign control devices.

SEC. 13. **Sign Maintenance Work to Be Done by Registered Contractor.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to do, or permit to be done under his or its supervision, any electrical sign maintenance work, as defined in Section 12 of this ordinance, unless said person, firm or corporation is registered with the Department of Electricity as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician, as provided for under the provisions of existing law.

SEC. 14. **Responsibility of Contractor Installing Electric Sign.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation registered with the Department of Electricity to install an electric sign on any building or structure in the

City and County of San Francisco, unless provisions have been made for the connection of the electric sign to an existing sign circuit or the installing of a new sign circuit shall be completed. It shall be unlawful to install any electric sign where provisions are not made for the installation of the sign circuit or feeder.

SEC. 15. **Sign Must Be Connected to Sign Circuit or Feeder.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to install an electric sign on any building or structure unless said electric sign is connected to a sign circuit or feeder installed under the installation provisions of existing law. Wherever an electric sign is installed, provisions shall be made for the illuminating of said sign. The Department of Electricity shall have the power to order the removal of an electric sign from any building or structure in the City and County of San Francisco, where said sign is installed contrary to the provisions of this section of the ordinance.

SEC. 16. **Electrical Sign Construction and Installation Requirements.** All electric signs, both swinging and stationary, including marquises, building front and roof signs, must comply in every detail with the construction and installation requirements and rules of the San Francisco Construction Ordinances, the Electrical Safety Orders as issued by the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California, the National Electric Code as issued by American Standards Association, and Requirements Section, Subject 48, as issued by the Underwriters' Laboratories as a Standard for Electric Signs, with such exceptions as are outlined within the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 17. **Shop Inspection of Electric Signs.** All electric signs other than the portable types shall be inspected in the shops of the person, firm or corporation manufacturing said electric sign, in order to ascertain that all the provisions of Section 16 of this ordinance have been complied with. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to enclose or conceal any electrical work in any electric sign without first securing permission from the Department of Electricity to do so.

SEC. 18. **Inspection to Be Made Before Installation.** All electric signs other than the portable type, constructed elsewhere than in San Francisco, shall be inspected in the shop of said person, firm or corporation installing the electric sign, or can be inspected on the sidewalk of the premises where said electric sign is to be installed. Under no conditions can shop inspections be made after a sign has been installed on the building or structure. Signs installed without the said shop or sidewalk inspection shall be removed to the sidewalk in order that the shop inspection can be made.

SEC. 19. **Signs Constructed Elsewhere.** Signs constructed elsewhere than in San Francisco and bearing the label of "Underwriters' Laboratories Inspection" shall be inspected as required in Section 18 of this ordinance, in order to ascertain that the requirements of the San Francisco Construction Ordinances have been complied with.

SEC. 20. **Moving of Existing Signs From One Location to Another.** The Department of Electricity will permit the direct moving of existing signs from one location to another without the necessary shop inspection, providing no alterations or additions are made to the existing signs, and the signs have been previously approved for installation in the City and County of San Francisco, and the Department is immediately notified relative to its previous location and the electrical load of the signs.

SEC. 21. **Department to Make Inquiry of Inspection of Signs Not Made in the City and County.** Before a person, firm or corporation installs an electric

sign that has been manufactured elsewhere than in the City and County of San Francisco, inquiry shall be made of the Department of Electricity concerning acceptance of certificates covering the inspection of the construction of said sign.

SEC. 22. **Portable Electric Signs.** Portable electric signs shall be installed or placed only in the interior of a building or structure and shall bear the label of the Underwriters' Laboratories, in order to insure that said portable electric sign has been constructed in accordance with the requirements of Section 48, of the Underwriters' Laboratory Standard for Electric Signs.

SEC. 23. **Minimum Size of Sign Circuit or Feeder.** When a sign circuit or feeder is installed, the total connected load of the sign shall govern the size of the feeder or circuit conductors, but in no case shall said conductors be smaller than No. 12 B. & S. Gauge.

SEC. 24. **Electric Signs on Exterior of Buildings, Connection, Etc.** Electric signs on the exterior of a building may be connected to an existing sign circuit or feeder of No. 14 B. & S. Gauge, provided the total load connected thereto does not exceed the approved capacity of the conductors.

SEC. 25. **Sign Feeders for Future Use.** Where a sign feeder is installed for a future sign installation and the load of the sign is unknown, the sign feeder or circuit shall be computed as requiring not less than 10 amperes on the feeder and service switches and conductors.

SEC. 26. **Old Signs to Be Removed.** When a new sign is installed on a building or structure and the feeder or circuit to the existing sign is used to supply the new sign, the old sign shall be removed from the building.

SEC. 27. **Sign Circuit Provisions.** Each outline lighting installation and each exterior electric sign shall be installed on a separate circuit.

SEC. 28. **Number of Lamps on Single Circuit Sign.** Not more than 60 medium base or 100 intermediate base lamp receptacles shall be installed or connected to a single circuit in the body of a sign and not more than 100 medium base or 150 intermediate base lamp receptacles shall be installed on or connected to a single circuit in the border of a sign. The minimum wattage of 25 watts shall be figured for each medium base lamp receptacle in the body of a sign and 10 watts for each medium base lamp receptacle in the border of a sign. A minimum wattage of 15 watts shall be figured for each intermediate base lamp receptacle in the body of a sign and 10 watts for each intermediate lamp receptacle in the border of a sign.

SEC. 29. **Transformers for Single Circuit Signs.** Where transformers are installed for the illumination of gas filled tubes, not more than 1500 volt-amperes shall be connected to a single circuit.

SEC. 30. **Wattage for Single Circuit.** Not more than 1500 watts or 1500 volt-amperes shall be installed on a single circuit in a sign or for outline lighting.

SEC. 31. **Voltage Limitations.** Incandescent lamp signs and outline lighting or transformers for the illumination of gas filled tubes in signs and outline lighting shall not be connected to any single phase ungrounded system or any system wherein the voltage to ground exceeds 150 volts.

SEC. 32. **Transformers for Secondary Open Circuits.** Transformers used for the illumination of gas filled tubes shall have secondary open-circuit volt-

ges not exceeding 15,000 volts, with an allowance on test of 1000 volts additional.

SEC. 33. **Switches.** Each outline lighting installation and each exterior electric sign shall be controlled by an externally operable switch which will open all ungrounded conductors of the feeder or circuit. When not within sight of the outline lighting or the sign, the switch shall be capable of being locked in the "off" position.

SEC. 34. **Neon, Etc., Signs, Disconnect Switch Required.** Neon or gas filled luminous tube outline, or an additional electric sign, may be installed and connected to an existing sign circuit and disconnect switch supplying a sign on the exterior of the building, provided a separate disconnect switch or dead-front type of fuse block is installed at each sign location, in order to disconnect each sign or outline at its respective location.

SEC. 35. **Switches, Flashers, Etc., to Have Current Rating Transformer.** Switches, flashers and similar devices controlling gaseous tube transformers shall be either of a type approved for the purpose, or have a current rating not less than twice the current rating of the total current of the transformers connected thereto.

SEC. 36. **Switches in Signs, Etc., to Be Minimum Current Rating.** Switches installed in a sign or outline circuit or feeder and arranged to disconnect the total feeder or circuit current shall have a minimum current rating of twenty (20) amperes. Switches arranged for the operation of a control circuit of a magnetic type contactor shall have a minimum current rating of ten (10) amperes at 220 volts.

SEC. 37. **Door Switches.** Permanently installed gaseous tube transformer enclosures, where installed within eight (8) feet vertical of the floor or platform, shall have the access doors equipped with door switches, unless said doors are mechanically secured in the closed position, by means of Parker type sheet metal screws.

SEC. 38. **Disconnect Switches.** Where gaseous tube transformers are installed in locations that are remote from the feeder or circuit disconnect switches, an additional externally operable type disconnect switch shall be installed adjacent to or in the transformer cabinet, to disconnect said transformers from the source of electrical supply.

SEC. 39. **Roof Sign Disconnect Switches.** On all electrically illuminated roof signs a disconnect switch shall be installed for the purpose of disconnecting the current from the sign, motor, or other control equipment on the structure. This disconnect switch shall be located within six (6) feet, six (6) inches from the roof and be placed either on the supporting structure or within easy access and view of the supporting structure.

SEC. 40. **Sign Enclosures.** With the exception of indoor portable type signs, all signs and outline lighting enclosures shall be constructed entirely of metal or other approved noncombustible material. Wood may be used for external decoration if kept at least two inches from the nearest lamp holder or other current carrying part. The design shall afford ample strength and rigidity and shall provide for the enclosure of all terminals and wiring other than the supply conductors.

SEC. 41. **Gauge of Metals.** All sheet steel or iron used in the construction or installation of exterior signs or outline lighting shall be galvanized. If sheet copper is used, it shall be at least 20 ounce (0.028 inch). Sheet steel

shall be not less than No. 28 USS gauge (0.156 inch) except that for gas-filled luminous tube-type signs and outline lighting troughs, boxes, and other enclosures shall be of No. 24 USS gauge (0.250 inch), unless ribbed, corrugated or embossed over its entire surface, when it may be of No. 26 USS gauge (0.188 inch).

SEC. 42. **Exterior Enclosures to Be Weather Proof.** Enclosures for exterior use shall be made weatherproof and shall have an ample number of drain holes, each not larger than ½ inch or smaller than ¼ inch.

SEC. 43. **Cutouts, Flashers, Etc., to Be Enclosed in Approved Metal Box.** Cutouts, flashers and similar devices placed on or within an enclosure or on or in a building shall be enclosed in approved metal boxes, the doors of which shall be arranged so that they can be opened without removing obstructions or finished parts of the enclosure. When exposed to the weather, these boxes shall be of the weatherproof type.

SEC. 44. **Standard Cutouts, Etc., to Be in Weatherproof Enclosure.** Standard cutout bases, panelboards, flashers, switches and other similar devices shall be used when they are provided as part of the sign assembly. If on or within the body or structure of the sign, they shall be in a separate weatherproof enclosure of metal of not less than No. 28 USS gauge.

SEC. 45. **Sign and Outline Wiring, Minimum Wattage Requirements.** Each exterior electric sign shall be wired for and contain either incandescent light globes, luminous gaseous tubes and their supply equipment, or a combination of both incandescent light globes and luminous gaseous tubes. The amount of illumination supplied by an electric sign shall be not less than one lineal foot of tubing for each square foot area of the illuminated sides of the sign, but in no case, shall the wattage or volt-amperage required to supply sign illumination be less than 15 watts or 15 volt-amperes for each square foot of area of the illuminated side or sides of the sign.

SEC. 46. **Lampholders.** Lampholders for sign use shall be of the keyless porcelain or moulded composition type. Standard lampholders shall be used. Lampholders shall be so installed and of a type that will afford permanent and reliable means to prevent possible turning. Miniature type lamp holders shall not be employed for outside work.

SEC. 47. **Conductors to be Soldered, Etc., and Painted With Compound.** Conductors shall be fastened to lampholder terminals so as to be mechanically and electrically secure, and shall, in addition, be soldered. Terminals at lampholders and exposed parts of wires at the lampholder terminals shall be treated or painted with a suitable compound to prevent corrosion.

SEC. 48. **Conductors 600 Volt Circuits Shall Be Approved Rubber Covered.** The conductors for circuits within an electric sign operating at 600 volts or less, shall be approved rubber covered Type R "Flame Retarding" having an outer braid treated with flame retarding as well as moisture-proofing compound. No 600 volt conductor shall be smaller than No. 14 B. & S. Gauge.

SEC. 49. **Wireways.** Wireways within a sign enclosure shall be formed of metal not less than No. 24 USS Gauge.

SEC. 50. **Circuit Conductors to Be Identified.** Circuit conductors connected to the screw shell terminals of lampholders shall be identified by means of a white or natural gray braid. The braid of all other wires of the sign shall be of a contrasting color.

SEC. 51. **Insulated Conductors to Be Standard.** Insulated conductors for wiring above 600 volts shall be standard, suitable for the voltage involved, not smaller than No. 14 B. & S. Gauge and of the standard type. Uninsulated conductors used in signs shall be not less than No. 10 B. & S. Gauge.

SEC. 52. **High Voltage Conductors, How Supported.** The high voltage conductors shall be supported as close as practicable to the terminal connections, both at transformers and electrodes. When no support is provided at an electrode terminal, a support shall be provided within six (6) inches of the terminal or electrode connection.

SEC. 53. **High Voltage Conductors Not to Be Spliced.** Except at transformer connections, insulated high voltage conductors shall not be spliced. At transformers or electrodes, connections shall be mechanically secure. Standard connections shall be soldered before being fastened under clamps or binding screws. At gaseous tube electrodes, connections between high tension conductors and electrode leads shall be soldered or made with standard wire connectors suitable for this particular use.

SEC. 54. **No Condensers to Be Used in High Voltage Circuits.** There shall be no condensers or current interrupting devices installed in the high voltage circuit.

SEC. 55. **Electrode Receptacles to Be Approved.** Electrode receptacles shall be of a type as approved by the Underwriters' Laboratories for sign installation.

SEC. 56. **High Voltage Conductors Not in Sign, How Installed.** High voltage conductors installed for outline or sign installation and not within the sign enclosure, shall be installed in conduit or electrical metallic tubing.

SEC. 57. **Neon Signs to Be Grounded.** Isolated non-current-carrying parts of outline lighting and skeleton letter type neon signs shall be grounded in accordance with approved wiring installation methods. All permanently installed signs shall be grounded.

SEC. 58. **Capacitors.** A capacitor intended for power factor correction shall be capable of withstanding without breakdown for a period of 15 minutes, a D. C. potential of five times its rated voltage.

SEC. 59. **Portable Electric Signs.** Portable electric signs shall be approved by the Underwriters' Laboratories and shall bear a label indicating the name of the manufacturer, the voltage, current and frequency necessary for the correct operation of the sign. Portable electric signs shall be installed only within the interior of a building or structure.

SEC. 60. **Portable Signs, Secondary Voltage.** Portable electric signs having a secondary voltage in excess of 7500 volts shall be provided with a three-conductor cord in order that said sign shall be grounded.

SEC. 61. **Grounding Permanent Signs.** The metal of all permanently installed signs shall be installed in an approved manner. The grounding conductor shall be installed as specified in Section 9 of the National Electric Code and the State Safety Orders. Where flexible metallic conduit is used for gaseous luminous tube installations, approved bonded fittings, arranged to provide the continuity of the conduit ground, shall be installed. The conduit grounding conductor may be installed in the same conduit with the sign circuit or feeder conductors, provided said conductor is of the insulated type.

SEC. 62. **Temporary Electric Signs.** This Department will permit the installation of temporary electric signs for advertising purposes provided that

the sign is constructed of non-inflammable material, all wiring within eight feet of the ground or platform is suitably enclosed or protected and the electric work and installation of said sign is installed by a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician under a permit issued by the Department. Permits for temporary electric signs shall not be issued for a period exceeding thirty (30) days.

SEC. 63. **Department of Electricity to Make Rules and Regulations for Installation of Signs.** The rules and standards governing the installation of electric signs and the wiring and installation of same shall be in accordance with the requirements of this ordinance and all supplementary rules as issued under the authority of existing law.

SEC. 64. **Time Limit and Failure to Rectify Complaints.** The failure and neglect of any Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician to correct, obviate or remove any fault, error, or deficiency in the doing or installing of any electrical work or electrical sign or outline work not in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the rules and regulations adopted and promulgated under the authority of existing law, within ten (10) days after the receipt of a written notice from the Department describing said complaints, shall be deemed sufficient cause for the suspending or cancelling of any certificate of registration issued by the Department and held by the Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician doing said electrical work. In addition to the above, the Department shall charge a fee of One Dollar and Fifty Cents ($1.50) for each hour or fraction thereof that the Inspector of the Department spends in inspecting electrical work or fixture work that is not corrected within the specified ten (10) day period.

SEC. 65. **Illegal Use of Permit.** No person, firm or corporation registered as a Master Electrician or Specialty Electrician shall file an application for a permit to do so or install any electrical work in connection with the installation of electrical signs and outlines unless such person, firm or corporation has a contract to do or install the same, or intends to do or install such electrical work on his or its own behalf and for his or its own account. The Chief of the Department shall have the power to cancel any permit found by him to be contrary to the provisions of this section.

SEC. 66. **Non-Liability of City for Damages.** This ordinance shall not be construed to relieve from or lessen the liability of any person, firm or corporation owning, operating or installing any electrical sign or outline work, or causing the same or any part thereof to be done, for damages to anyone injured by any defect therein, or any negligent doing or installation thereof, nor shall the City and County or any agent thereof be held liable therefor by reason of any inspection herein authorized and made by reason of the certificate of inspection and approval issued by the Department.

SEC. 67. **Penalty for Violation of This Ordinance.** Any person, firm or corporation who violates any of the provisions of this ordinance or any section or part thereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall in addition to any other penalty herein provided for, be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500.00) or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months in the County Jail, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every person, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each day such violation shall continue, and shall be subject to the penalty imposed in this section for each and every separate offense.

SEC. 68. **Repealing All Conflicting Ordinances and Parts of Ordinances.** All ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this

rdinance, and particularly Ordinance No. 8962, New Series, regulating the stallation, alteration and maintenance of signs, are hereby repealed.

SEC. 69. **Validity of Ordinance.** In the event that any part, clause, ntence or section of this ordinance shall be judged void and of no effect, ich decision shall not affect the validity of the rest and remaining part of ie ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**REGULATING ERECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLES.**

(Code No. 11.11)

Also, Bill No. 1077, Ordinance No. 11.116, as follows:

Regulating the placing, installing, operating and maintenance of poles and ectrical wires, appliances, apparatus or construction, and traffic control de- ices in or on the streets and sidewalks in the City and County of San Fran- sco, and repealing Ordinance Nos. 621, 1564 and 6200 (New Series) and all rdinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as ollows:

SEC. 1. **Regulating the Erection of Poles on Streets and Sidewalks.** It hall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect or maintain ny pole on the streets or sidewalks of the City and County of San Francisco, nless permission, in writing, is first given by the Department of Public Works.

SEC. 2. **Prohibiting Maintenance of Poles in Proximity to Light Poles, etc.** : shall be unlawful to erect or maintain any pole on the streets or sidewalks f San Francisco at a point which is situated nearer than ten (10) feet to a ole on which is supported a lamp maintained by the City and County for ghting the public streets, or any traffic signal, traffic sign or other traffic ontrol device.

SEC. 3. **Poles to Be Painted, etc.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm r corporation to maintain any pole on the streets or sidewalks in the City and ounty of San Francisco, unless it be painted with suitable material to the atisfaction of the Department of Electricity. Such poles shall not have attached hereto any cleat, ladder or projecting appliance to assist a person in ascend- ng said poles, which cleat, ladder or projecting appliance shall be less than even (7) feet above the sidewalk surface.

SEC. 4. **Providing for Filing of Plans With the Department of Electricity.** t shall be unlawful to place, install, operate or maintain any electrical wires, ppliances, apparatus or construction in or on the streets or sidewalks of the ity and County of San Francisco, unless executed in accordance with plans nd specifications previously approved in writing by the Chief of the Depart- ent of Electricity of said City and County; provided, however, that a copy f said plans and specifications as approved shall be placed on file in the office f the Department of Electricity. The permit for the placing, installing, oper- ting or maintaining of electrical wires, appliances, apparatus or construction ust be shown to the inspector of the Department of Electricity upon request t the time and location of such construction.

SEC. 5. **Appliances in Proximity to Light Wires, etc.** It shall be unlaw- ul to erect, construct, operate, or maintain any appliance, scaffold, elevator, errick, or hoist which shall be in proximity to any light, power, trolley,

feeder, telephone, telegraph, or fire alarm wire, or to erect, construct, operate, or maintain any appliance, scaffold, elevator, derrick, or hoist to which shall be attached any wire, guy or appliance which shall be liable to contact with any light, power, trolley, feeder, telephone, telegraph, or fire alarm wire, in or on streets or sidewalks in the City and County, unless executed in accordance with plans and specifications previously approved in writing by the Chief of the Department of Electricity of said City and County; provided, however, that a copy of said plans and specifications as approved shall be placed on file in the office of the Department of Electricity.

SEC. 6. **Unlawful to Advertise, etc., on Poles.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to paste, paint, affix or fasten, or cause to be pasted, painted, affixed or fastened on any telegraph, telephone, electric light or lamp pole, any advertisement, bill, notice, card, sign, or advertising device, excepting street or hospital signs for which permission must be obtained from the person, firm or corporation owning or controlling such poles, and from the Department of Public Works.

SEC. 7. **Penalty.** Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500.00) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 8. **Repealing Clause.** Ordinance Numbers 621, 1564 and 6200 (New Series) and all ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was, on motion, ordered *re-referred to the said committee*:

**Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073175, Reducing Sidewalk Widths on San Carlos Street.**
**(Code No. 12.0731)**

Bill No. 1078, Ordinance No. 12.073182, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073175, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139)." (San Carlos street.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.073175, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139)" is hereby repealed. (San Carlos street.)

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Carroll Avenue.**
**(Code No. 12.0731)**

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1079, Ordinance No. 12.073183, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Three Hundred and Fifty-three (353) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 25, 1936, by amending Section Three Hundred and Fifty-three (353) thereof to read as follows:

Section 353. The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue between Thornton avenue and the westerly boundary of the Hudson Garden Tract shall be 15 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue between the westerly boundary of the Hudson Garden Tract and Newhall street shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Newhall street and Shoup avenue, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Newhall street and Shoup avenue, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Carroll avenue between Shoup avenue and Third street shall be 15 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Columbus Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1080, Ordinance No. 12.073184, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections Seven Hundred and Seventy-one (771), Six Hundred and Twenty-nine (629), Seven Hundred and Seventy-two (772) and Four Hundred and Ninety-three (493) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 14, 1936, by amending Sections Seven Hundred and Seventy-one (771), Six Hundred and Twenty-nine (629), Seven Hundred and Seventy-two (772) and Four Hundred and Ninety-three (493) thereof to read as follows:

Section 771. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue between Washington and Lombard streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 629. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Lombard and Chestnut streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Lombard and Taylor streets, shall be 10 feet.

Section 772. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue between Chestnut and North Point streets shall be 10 feet.

Section 493. The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the northeasterly side of, between North Point and Leavenworth streets, shall be 10 feet.

The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the northeasterly side of, between Leavenworth and Beach streets, shall be abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Columbus avenue, the southwesterly side of, between Leavenworth and Beach streets, shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Gough Street.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1081, Ordinance No. 12.073185, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Four Hundred and Forty-one (441) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 18, 1936, by amending Section Four Hundred and Forty-one (441) thereof to read as follows:

Section 441. The width of sidewalks on Gough street between Market and McAllister streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Fixing Sidewalks on Gough Street.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1082, Ordinance No. 12.073186, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-nine (1179).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 18, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Seventy-nine (1179) to read as follows:

Section 1179. The width of sidewalks on Gough street between McAllister and California streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Bush Street.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1083, Ordinance No. 12.073187, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Eighty (1180).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 21, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Eighty (1180) to read as follows:

Section 1180. The width of sidewalks on Bush street between Grant avenue and Van Ness avenue shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Improvement of Various Streets by Sidewalk Construction.**
(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1084, Ordinance No. 12.061165, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 14, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Cayuga avenue (S. ½) between Lyell street and 200 feet east.

Cayuga avenue (S. E. ½) between Oneida avenue and 25 feet northeast.

Chenery street (S. ½) between Diamond street and Brompton avenue.

Chenery street (S. ½) between Elk street and 231.46 feet east.

Geneva avenue (N. E. ½) between 112 feet and 137 feet southeast of Howth street.

Miguel street (S. E. ½) between Niagara avenue and 67 feet southwest.

Mt. Vernon avenue (S. W. ½) between 78 feet 5 inches and 103 feet 5 inches southeast of Louisburg street.

San Jose avenue (N. W. ½) between Mt. Vernon avenue and 100 feet southwest.

San Jose avenue (S. E. ½) between Foote avenue and 26.52 feet northeast.

San Jose avenue (S. E. ½) between 137.5 feet and 166.25 feet northeast of Naglee avenue.

San Jose avenue (S. E. ½) between 80.59 feet and 162.67 feet southwest of Foote avenue.

Seventeenth avenue (E. ½) between Ortega street and 100 feet north.

Shrader street (E. ½) between 52 feet 7 inches and 178 feet south of Carmel street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of:

Alton avenue (N. ½) between 65.371 feet and 119.864 feet east of Ninth avenue.

Ashton avenue (E. ½) between 165 feet and 212 feet 6 inches south of Holloway avenue.

Byxbee street (W. ½) between 165 feet and 215 feet south of Holloway avenue.

Chenery street (N. ½) between Thor avenue and 27.68 feet west.

Edna street (W. ½) between 50 feet and 75 feet north of Staples avenue.

Flood avenue (S. ½) between Genesee street and 100 feet east.

Foerster street (E. ½) between Staples avenue and 125 feet south.

Forest Side avenue (W. ½) between 233.148 feet and 266.481 feet north of Vicente street.

Forty-fourth avenue (E. ½) between 250 feet and 275 feet north of Kirkham street.

Garcia avenue (S. E. ½) between Idora avenue and 121.098 feet southwest.

Holloway avenue (S. ½) between 50 feet and 100 feet east of Head street.

Idora avenue (S. ½) between Garcia avenue and 132 feet east of Rock alley.

Judson avenue (N. ½) between 190 feet and 220 feet west of Detroit street.

Lunado way (E. ½) between Cerritos avenue and Lunado court.

Mangels avenue (S. ½) between 25 feet and 100 feet east of Genesee street.

Ocean avenue (S. ½) between 64.70 feet and 129.40 feet west of Lee avenue.

Ocean avenue (S. ½) between Mission street and Watson place.

Paloma avenue (S. ½) between Mercedes way and Junipero Serra boulevard.

Seventeenth street (S. ½) between 75 feet and 125 feet east of Corbin place.

Shrader street (E. ½) between 203 feet 1 inch and 228 feet 9⅜ inches south of Carmel street.

Staples avenue (S. ½) between 300 feet and 325 feet west of Foerster street.

Surrey street (N. W. ½) between the north corner of Surrey and Lippard streets and 104.14 feet northeast.

Surrey street (S. E. ½) between Thor avenue and 57.23 feet northeast.

Urbano drive (west of Borica street) fronting Lots 13 and 14 of Block 6917A.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Alvarado street (S. ½) between 165 feet and 202 feet 6 inches east of Hoffman avenue.

Castro street (W. ½) between 82 feet 6 inches and 137 feet 6 inches north of Twentieth street.

Castro street (W. ½) between 84 feet and 114 feet south of Jersey street.

Castro street (W. ½) between Twenty-sixth street and 26 feet 6 inches north.

Clayton street (W. ½) between Seventeenth street and 82.03 feet north.

Clayton street (W. ½) between 27 feet 11⅞ inches and 53 feet 8⅞ inches north of Carmel street.

Clipper street (S. ½) between 133 feet 4 inches and 160 feet east of Diamond street.

Clipper street (S. ½) between Diamond street and 80 feet west.

Diamond street (E. ½) between Twenty-third street and 53 feet south.

Diamond street (W. ½) between Twenty-fifth street and 35 feet north.

Diamond street (W. ½) between Clipper street and 22 feet south.

Diamond street (E. ½) between Surrey street and 25 feet north.

Douglass street (E. ½) between Twenty-fifth street and 31 feet 6 inches north.

Hoffman avenue (E. ½) between Elizabeth street and 85 feet south.

Hoffman avenue (W. ½) between 235 feet and 260 feet north of Twenty-fifth street.

Hoffman avenue (E. ½) between 150 feet and 183 feet south of Twenty-fourth street.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between 59 feet 9 inches and 95 feet southwest of Thirtieth street.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Kingston street and 30 feet southwest.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Brook and Randall streets.

Mission street (W. ½) between 125 feet and 200 feet north of Highland avenue.

Mission street (W. ½) between 56.37 feet and 81.67 feet north of College avenue.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Bosworth street and 14 feet 0⅛ inch northeast.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Silver avenue and 25 feet southwest.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between 73 feet 1⅜ inches and 123 feet 1⅜ inches southwest of Ocean avenue.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Leo street and 27 feet 1½ inches southwest.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between 206.36 feet and 283.745 feet northeast of Seneca avenue.

Mission street (N. W. ½) between Naglee avenue and 81 feet southwest.

Ocean avenue (S. W. ½) between 165.41 feet and 215.41 feet southeast of Paloma avenue.

Ocean avenue (S. W. ½) between Victoria street and 103.86 feet southeast.

Roanoke street (S. W. ½) between 25 feet and 150 feet southeast of Bemis street.

Twentieth street (S. ½) between 25 feet and 50 feet east of Collingwood street.

Twenty-first street (N. ½) between 100 feet and 125 feet east of Eureka street.

Twenty-second street (S. ½) between 165 feet and 190 feet east of Hoffman avenue.

Twenty-third street (N. ½) between 77 feet 3 inches and 104 feet 6 inches west of Eureka street.

Twenty-fifth street (N. ½) between Diamond street and 105 feet west.

Twenty-fifth street (N. ½) between Douglass street and 80 feet east.

Twenty-fifth street (S. ½) between 190 feet and 215 feet east of Douglass street.

Twenty-sixth street (N. ½) between Castro street and 80 feet west.

Twenty-sixth street (S. ½) between 101 feet 9 inches and 128 feet 1½ inches west of Castro street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1294 | 17, 18, 21 |
| 2053 | 24 |
| 6733A | 25, 25A, 29, 30, 31, 32 |
| 6744 | 14, 15, 16, 17, 21 |
| 6786 | 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29 |
| 6948 | 11 |
| 6957 | 5 |
| 7026 | 10 |
| 7036 | 18 |
| 7038 | 1 |
| 7046 | 8 |
| 7063 | 11B, 15 |

---

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1294 | 15 |
| 1810 | 31 |
| 2128 | 4 |
| 2414 | 22 |
| 2652 | 23 |
| 2916 | 33 |
| 2936A | 1 |
| 3087 | 31, 32 |
| 3140 | 34 |
| 3141 | 7 |
| 3156 | 11 |
| 3157 | 28, 29 |
| 3158 | 38 |
| 6730 | 19 |
| 6739 | 6 |
| 6740 | 7 |
| 6905 | 1, 20 |
| 6912 | 25A |
| 6917A | 13, 14 |
| 6944 | 41, 42 |
| 6955 | 1, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42 |
| 6987 | 35 |
| 6990 | 47 |
| 6997 | 4, 5 |

---

| Block No. | Lot No. |
|---|---|
| 1279 | 41, 43 |
| 1292 | 8 |
| 2696 | 11, 12 |
| 2750 | 19 |
| 2752 | 28 |
| 2773 | 13 |
| 2775 | 36 |
| 2802 | 37 |
| 2804 | 30, 31 |
| 2829 | 15A |
| 6502 | 8 |
| 6503 | 25 |
| 6539 | 2A |
| 6540 | 8, 9, 10, 22 |
| 6545 | 28 |
| 6555 | 9, 37 |
| 6556 | 1 |
| 6562 | 35 |
| 6660 | 2, 8, 19, 20, 21, 23 |
| 6687 | 1, 2, 3 |
| 6692 | 6 |
| 6721 | 4 |
| 6728 | 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 |
| 6729 | 55 |
| 6800 | 44 |
| 6908 | 3 |
| 6915 | 17 |
| 6955 | 2, 3 |
| 6956 | 1 |
| 6968 | 8, 9, 10 |
| 7098 | 1 |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Establishing Grades on Carroll Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1085, Ordinance No. 12.072221, as follows:

Establishing grades on Carroll avenue east of Newhall street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Carroll avenue, east of Newhall street, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office July 6, 1936.

| *Carroll Avenue*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Northerly line of, produced easterly 483.96 feet from Newhall street | 25.00 |
| Southerly line of, produced easterly 513.29 feet from Newhall street | 25.00 |
| On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 184 feet easterly from Newhall street | 27.50 |
| On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Newhall street easterly line | 30.00 |

(The same being the present official grade.)

On Carroll avenue between the above-described lines be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Re-referred.

The following bills were, on motion, *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

**Appropriating $19,431, Expenses of 1 Structural Engineer, 2 Structural Engineer Inspectors, 3 Building Inspectors and 1 General Clerk-Stenographer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1100, Ordinance No. 9.051301, as follows:

Appropriating $19,431 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the expenses of 1 structural engineer, 2 structural engineer inspectors, 3 building inspectors and 1 general clerk-stenographer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $19,431 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the payment of salaries of 1 structural engineer at $300 per month, 2 structural engineer inspectors at $250 per month, 3 building inspectors at $225 per month and 1 general clerk-stenographer at $150 per month; and transportation of 5 inspectors at $30 per month each in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-37 from August 15, 1936, to June 30, 1937; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and the sum of $19,431 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the General Fund collected by the Central Permit Bureau for fees accruing from building permits for the expenses under provisions of Ordinances Nos. 1008 (N. S.), 2107 (N. S.), 3361 (N. S.), 4059 (N. S.), 5136 (N. S.), and Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein provided for is necessary to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to make the necessary inspections compelled by law to be made by the said Bureau of Build-

ing Inspection, and therefore to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said department.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Recommended by Wm. Worden, Director of Public Works.

**Appropriating $4,840 From Emergency Reserve Appropriation for Services and Room Rent of Four Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1090, Ordinance No. 9.051297, as follows:

Appropriating $4,840 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at San Francisco Hospital, at $100 per month, and for room rent at $10 per month each; being for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,840 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital salaries, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at $100 a month each for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936, and for the payment of room rent at $10 per month each for said nurses.

Recommended by the Mayor.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $63,627 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor for Month of September, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1096, Ordinance No. 9.051300, as follows:

Appropriating $63,627 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of September, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensation for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,627 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of September, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Authorizing Sale of City Property on Portola Drive.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 1099, Ordinance No. 12.17216, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City property on Portola drive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works:

*Parcel* 1—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Lot 42, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 94.265 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence northeasterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 57 degrees 47 minutes 48 seconds to the right from the easterly line of aforesaid Lot 42, radius 300 feet, central angle 11 degrees 20 minutes 47 seconds, 59.410 feet; thence southeasterly, radial to the preceding curve, 17.381 feet to the westerly line of Lot 39 of aforesaid Block 22; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 97 degrees 52 minutes 13 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 17 degrees 30 minutes 46 seconds, 64.188 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve, 1.878 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 42; thence deflecting 108 degrees 10 minutes to the right and running northerly along the last-named line, 5.721 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 2—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Lot 40, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 118.080 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street; thence deflecting 43 degrees 32 minutes 59 seconds to the left from said line of said Lot 40 and running northwesterly 17.381 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence northeasterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 90 degrees to the right from the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 5 degrees 30 minutes 31 seconds, 28.843 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 3 of aforesaid Block 22, produced northwesterly; thence deflecting 93 degrees 55 minutes 54 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said line of said Lot 3 produced northwesterly, 20.728 feet; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 91 degrees 24 minutes 32 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 8 degrees 02 minutes 18 seconds, 29.462 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 3—Beginning at a point distant 228 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Army street and 122.031 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, measured along the southerly line (and its production westerly) of Lot 7, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 66 degrees 23 minutes 53 seconds to the left from the westerly prolongation of the southerly line of aforesaid Lot 7, radius 300 feet, central angle 17 degrees 20 minutes 23 seconds, 90.791 feet to the northwesterly

prolongation of the northeasterly line of Lot 4, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 86 degrees 04 minutes 06 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along the last-named line 20.728 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 88 degrees 35 minutes 28 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 29 degrees 29 minutes 25 seconds, 108.088 feet to the southerly line of aforesaid Lot 7; thence deflecting 106 degrees 47 minutes 31 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running westerly along last-named line and its westerly production 22.309 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 4—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Lot 6, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C & D" at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 102.779 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 85 degrees 46 minutes 26 seconds to the left from said line of said Lot 6, radius 300 feet, central angle 16 degrees 47 minutes 30 seconds, 87.920 feet to the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of Lot 1, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of aforesaid City and County; thence deflecting 113 degrees 36 minutes 07 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running easterly along the northerly line of said Lot 1, and its westerly prolongation, 22.309 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 73 degrees 12 minutes 29 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 22 degrees 19 minutes 20 seconds, 81.815 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 3.338 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 6; thence deflecting 81 degrees 53 minutes 08 seconds to the left and running westerly along the last-named line 7.012 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 5—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lot 7, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C & D" at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 102.779 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, said point of beginning being on the proposed easterly line of Portola drive, thence northerly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 94 degrees 13 minutes 34 seconds to the right from said line of said Lot 7, radius 300 feet, central angle 12 degrees 20 minutes 26 seconds, 64.615 feet; thence southerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 65.103 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 7; thence deflecting 98 degrees 06 minutes 52 seconds to the right and running westerly along the last-named line 7.012 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described real property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Appropriation of $6,101.26 for Drygoods and Wearing Apparel for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1097, Ordinance No. 9.051298, as follows:

Appropriating $6,101.26 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Ap-

propriation No. 602.900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for San Francisco Hosital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,101.26 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.-900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for the San Francisco Hospital.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
Requested by Acting Director of Public Health.
Recommended by the Mayor.
Approved by the Controller as to funds.
Approved by the Director of Institutions.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

### Salaries of Stationary Steam Engineers While on Sick Leave.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1098, Ordinance No. 9.051299, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of nine hundred and forty-six dollars ($946) to the credit of Appropriation No. 646.102.00, out of the accrued surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.103.00, for payment of salaries of stationary steam engineers while on sick leave in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of nine hundred and forty-six dollars ($946) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.103.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 646.-102.00 for the payment of salaries to stationary steam engineers while on sick leave in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Streets.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

### Refunds of Duplicate Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2738, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of amounts paid in duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

(1) Kresteller Motor Co., per Vol. 4, Page 24, Line 21 of the 1935 Supplemental Unsecured Personal Property Roll..... $ 6.86
(2) Bruce Puffer, per Vol. 11, Bill 22, Lot 20, Block 1535, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 66.27
(3) Mrs. Alice Withoft, per Vol. 11, Bill 816, Lot 28, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 4.61
(4) Catherine Bingaman, per Vol. 41, Bill 391, Lot 17, Block 6710, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 12.70

(5) Angie E. Burris, per Vol. 36, Bill 1357, Lot 9, Block 6072, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 7.00
(6) S. Walter Burke, per Vol. 34, Bill 1491, Lot 8, Block 5703, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 4.60
(7) Nicholas Erceg, per Vol. 27, Bill 3692, Lot 3-D, Block 4212, Fiscal Year 1935 ..... 37.18

Verified and approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2739, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Cornelius Sullivan and Julia Sullivan, his wife, portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 7162, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $300, payable from Appropriation No. 548.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particclar description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.
Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Condemnation Proceedings Required for the Sunset Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2740, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Lawton street and the westerly line of Twenty-eighth avenue; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Lawton street 240 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-ninth avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line 600 feet to the northerly line of Moraga street; thence easterly along said northerly line 240 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-eighth avenue; thence northerly along last-named line 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for playground use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of a public playground. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.
Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Authorizing Sale of Old Hamilton School Building.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 2741, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the old Hamilton School Building, located on the Girls High School property at Geary street near Scott street, San Francisco, California.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Stricken From Calendar.**

On advice of City Attorney a recommendation of Streets Committee requesting Director of Public Works to expedite sidewalk narrowing on Valencia street was, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, ordered *stricken from the calendar.*

**Requesting State Highway Commission to Extend State Highway Route No. 55 Via Portola Drive and Market Street.**

(Code No. 12.05)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 2736, as follows:

Resolved, That the Highway Commission of the State of California be and is hereby respectfully requested to extend State Highway Route 55 (the Skyline boulevard) easterly from its intersection with State Highway Route 56 (Nineteenth avenue via Sloat boulevard, Portola drive, and Market street) to State Highway Route 2 (Tenth street); and be it

Further Resolved, That the Highway Commission of the State of California be and is hereby respectfully requested to include said Highway Route 55 as extended in its budget for the 1937-1939 biennium, or from any funds, State or Federal, which may be available, the disbursement or apportionment of which is under the direction of the State of California or any of its agencies.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend by inserting the following: "and be it Further Resolved, That the California Highway Commission request the inclusion of the aforesaid route in the Federal Highway System."

There being no objection, amendment was *adopted.*

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Referred.**

The following matter was *referred to the Special Tax Committee*:

**Endorsing Proposed Constitutional Amendment Prohibiting Gas Tax Diversion.**

(Code No. 12.052)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 2737, as follows:

Whereas, the Hayden-Cartwright Act of the Seventy-third United States Congress states: "It is unfair and unjust to tax motor vehicle transportation unless the proceeds of such taxation are applied to the construction, improvement or maintenance of highways"; and

Whereas, motor vehicle fees and gasoline taxes are levied on the users of our highways in proportionate measure to their use of those highways and paid for by them because of their reliance and full expectation that the proceeds will be applied in good faith to the maintenance and development of highways; and

Whereas, continuous development of adequate highways and rational highway planning require stabilized highway income without the constant threat of diversion; and

Whereas, an amendment to the Constitution designed to prevent the diversion of highway revenues to purposes other than the maintenance and development of highways will appear upon the ballot at the general election in November, 1936; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in regular meeting assembled this .... day of ....., 1936, do hereby place ourselves on record as unalterably opposed to the diversion of highway funds to purposes other than the maintenance and development of highways, and we do hereby favor the adoption of that proposed constitutional amendment designed to prevent the diversion of highway revenues which will appear as No. 10 upon the ballot at the general election in November, 1936, and we do hereby urge its adoption by the people of the State of California.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Valencia Street From Market to Mission Streets From 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1092, Ordinance No. 12.073188, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Sixty-seven (167) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 25th, 1936, by amending Section One Hundred and Sixty-seven thereof to read as follows:

Section 167. The width of sidewalks on Valencia street between Market and Mission streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Morris Street Between Harrison Street and Its Southeasterly Termination From 7 to 4 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1093, Ordinance No. 12.073189, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-one (1181).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 30th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-one (1181), to read as follows:

Section 1181. The width of sidewalks on Morris street between Harrison street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Reducing Walk Widths on Chesley Street Between Harrison and Bryant Streets, and on Harriet Street Between Folsom and Bryant Streets From 7 to 4 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1094, Ordinance No. 12.073190, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-three (1183) and eleven hundred and eighty-four (1184).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 8th, 1936, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-three (1183) and eleven hundred and eighty-four (1184), to read as follows:

Section 1183. The width of sidewalks on Chesley street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be 4 feet.

Section 1184. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street between Folsom and Bryant streets shall be 4 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Taylor Street Between Golden Gate Avenue and Post Street From 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1095, Ordinance No. 12.073191, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-two (1182).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works,

filed in this office July 31st, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-two (1182), to read as follows:

Section 1182. The width of sidewalks on Taylor street between Golden Gate avenue and Post street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Removal of Tracks on Sixth Street From Mission Street Northerly to Market Street, Across Market Street to Taylor Street, and Along Taylor Street to Post Street, and on Post Street From Leavenworth Street to Market Street.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Also, Bill No. 1091, Ordinance No. 15.09117, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to remove the railway tracks and to discontinue the operation of its railway lines on Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, across Market street to Taylor street, thence along Taylor street to Post street, and thence along Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco and Market Street Railway Company did, on August 8th, 1936, enter into an agreement in writing, under and by virtue of which the City and County of San Francisco did consent to the removal of the railway tracks and the discontinuance of the operation of street railway lines over and along Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, thence across Market street to Taylor street, thence along Taylor street to Post street, and thence along Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street, upon the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement, to which reference is hereby made; and

Whereas, public convenience will not be affected by the removal of said tracks and the discontinuance of said service as aforesaid; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Market Street Railway Company, dated August 8th, 1936, to which reference is hereby made, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said Company dated February 9th, 1931, and under Order No. 1890 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, dated December 27th, 1886, to remove said street car tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars along and upon Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, thence across Market street to Taylor street, thence along Taylor street to Post street, and thence along Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by the removal of said tracks or the discontinuance of said service, as provided in said agreement dated August 8th, 1936, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Philip L. Bush, Member, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2742, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Philip L. Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing August 12, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Leave of Absence—Milton S. Maxwell, Member of Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2743, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days, commencing August 14, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Leave of Absence—Frank J. Foran.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2744, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Frank J. Foran, a member of the Board of Police Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing August 15, 1936, with permission to leave the state.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Chief Ralph W. Wiley, Department of Electricity.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2745, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Ralph W. Wiley, Department of Electricity, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing August 28, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—5.

**Bay Bridges to Be Made Part of State Highway System and Toll Free.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2746, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge, will, in reality, become a link in the highway system of the State of California; and

Whereas, the State of California is spending millions of dollars constructing highways of no greater importance than the aforesaid bridges, for the benefit of the public, and on which highways no toll is collected; and

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and the Golden Gate Bridge should be recognized by both the State of California and the Federal Government as highway links as important as are other sections of highways in California; be it, therefore,

Resolved, That his Excellency, Frank F. Merriam, Governor of the State of California, and the members of the State Legislature be importuned to take the necessary legal steps to promulgate a plan whereby the aforesaid bridges become a unit of the State and Federal highways, free of toll, within the earliest possible time.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Estimates of Cost and Means of Financing Opening of New Streets. (Code No. ———)**

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2747, as follows:

Whereas, there now exists traffic congestion at peak hours on Market street between Third and Sixth streets; and

Whereas, it is inevitable that traffic over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, when thrown open to vehicular traffic, will add to the present congestion; and

Whereas, despite the fact that the figures submitted by the City Engineer indicate that the saturation point regarding automobile traffic on Third, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth streets will not take place over these streets for several years; and

Whereas, the afternoon peak hour on week days due to parking and otherwise, there is an absolute delay in traffic due to automobile congestion; and

Whereas, it is contemplated Mr. McClintock will arrive in San Francisco in sixty days to make a traffic check on the normal, future increase in vehicular traffic, which check will require at least several months; and

Whereas, it is possible that Mr. McClintock will agree with the figures of M. M. O'Shaughnessy's report of 1931, wherein he states additional streets leading from the south side of Market street between Third and Sixth streets should be opened, and in which Mr. Cahill, in his recent report likewise agreed; and

Whereas, it will be positively necessary in the very near future to open the aforesaid streets in order to relieve traffic congestion on Third, Fourth and Sixth streets; and

Whereas, time is the essence to provide these additional streets leading south from Market street, and in order that the cost and financing will be available; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to have an estimate of cost and means of financing the opening of the following streets, said estimate to cover each block of the several streets between Market and Harrison, a street between Third and Fourth to lead into Grant avenue and O'Farrell, between Fifth and Sixth streets to lead into Mission and Turk.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**In Memoriam—Ed. McDevitt.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that proper In Memoriam resolution be drawn and forwarded to the family of the late Ed. McDevitt of the Assessor's office.

The following was addressed to the family of the deceased:

August 18, 1936.

To the Family of the Late Edward J. McDevitt.

In the Board of Supervisors Monday, August 17, 1936, Supervisor

Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Edward J. McDevitt.

The Board extends to you its heartfelt sympathy in your bereavement.

Mr. Shannon and Mr. McSheehy referred feelingly to the long and faithful service that Ed McDevitt gave to the City of San Francisco since he became an employee of the Assessor's office in 1908.

Mr. McDevitt's example of fidelity and duty and faithful performance of trust is worthy of emulation of all city employees. He gained and held the respect of his associates, confidence of his superiors and the esteem of the public with whom he came in contact.

Sincerely yours,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

### Meeting Announcement.

Meeting of Fire, Safety and Police Committee, Wednesday, August 19, 1936, 2:30 p. m.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, at 6 p. m., took a recess until 8 p. m. this evening.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY EVENING, AUGUST 17, 1936, 8 P. M.

---

In Board of Supervisors, Monday evening, August 17, 1936, at 8 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the problem of rapid transit in San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Schmidt—6.

### Discussion.

P. R. Thompson, Chairman Traffic Committee of Central Council of Civic Clubs, presented his case for a rapid transit system, illustrating his talk with moving pictures.

W. A. Borden, representing himself, also addressed the Board.

M. Kane was also heard.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, the Board recessed until next Monday evening, all interested being invited to present their plans for rapid transit at that time.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 24, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 35

Monday, August 24, 1936
Wednesday, August 26, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, AUGUST 24, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 24, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 17, 1936, was approved.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

### NEW BUSINESS.

### SAN FRANCISCO TAX RATE ORDINANCE, 1936-1937.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented a report on the Tax Rate Ordinance for the fiscal year 1936-1937, of $3.784, an increase of $0.102083 over the tax rate for the preceding fiscal year, indicating that the Board of Supervisors had reduced the tax rate on those items over which it had any control.

A comparison of the tax rate of 3.784 submitted for 1936-1937 with the tax rate of 3.681917 for 1935-1936 reflects an increase of .102083.

The following major items of *increase* in the rate for 1936-1937 are mandates of State law, the Charter and of necessity:

| | |
|---|---|
| Emergency Reserve Fund | .027125 |
| Relief of the indigent sick and dependent poor | .023229 |
| State Emergency Relief Administration which represents the Sponsors' Contribution for the Works Progress Administration projects | .024826 |
| Bond interest and redemption | .067638 |
| Common School Fund | .020570 |

The major item of *decrease* is the aggregate of items which make up the General Fund expenditures.

This decrease is .076471, evidencing the fact that the general expenses of the City, in the aggregate, have been reduced.

#### Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri also read article by the Financial Editor of the San Francisco Examiner and moved that the Controller be authorized to print the article for distribution to improvement clubs, and wherever else he desired.

Supervisor Colman stated that the Financial Editor of the Examiner might have added that the excellent condition of the City and County of San Francisco was due to two things:

(1) The new Charter and the thoroughly cooperative and splendid way in which the City and County officials have observed the spirit and the letter of the new Charter; (2) That San Francisco votes bonds to go into debt only by a two-thirds majority of the people who vote on such issues.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor McSheehy requested information as to the exact amount of increase in the tax rate, in dollars and cents, and asked that action on the Tax Rate Ordinance be deferred until receipt of such information from the Controller.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the Board recess, at the conclusion of the day's business, to reconvene on Wednesday, August 26, 1936, at which time the Controller can have the figures requested for presentation to the Board.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

Action on ordinance authorizing Tax Anticipation Notes, and resolution providing for the sale of $3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes, was *deferred until August* 26, 1936, following enactment of Tax Rate Ordinance.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Rates of Pay—Board of Education.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1103, Ordinance No. 9.05383, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 81 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by changing the rate fixed for the employment enumerated under Item 208 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 81 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(Rates of pay fixed by Board of Education approved by the Board of Supervisors for convenience of Controller and Civil Service Commission.)

Section 81. **BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1 | A6 | Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs . . . . . | $ 375 |
| 201 | 4 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day | |
| 202 | 1 | A162 | Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day | |
| 203 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day | |
| 204 | 1 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 220 |
| 205 | | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper | 190 |
| 206 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant | 375 |
| 207 | | B14 | Senior Accountant | 275 |
| 208 | 1 | B58 | Secretary, Board of Education (exempt) | 425 |
| 209 | 1 | B180 | Law Clerk, Board of Education | 275 |
| 210 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (full time) | 85 |
| 211 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 212 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 200 |
| 213 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 190 |
| 214 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk | 175 |
| 215 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk | 155 |

## Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 216 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk | 190 |
| 218 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 175 |
| 219 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator | 155 |
| 220 | 2 | B308 | Comptometer Operators (as needed), at $5 per day | |
| 221 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper | 230 |
| 222 | 1 | B380 | Armorer, R. O. T. C. (part time) | 75 |
| 223 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 224 | 48 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 225 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 165 |
| 226 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 227 | 10 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 228 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 140 |
| 229 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $4.20 per evening | |
| 230 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.30 per evening | |
| 231 | 5 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.00 per evening | |
| 232 | 31 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer, at $6 per day | |
| 233 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 234 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 235 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 180 |
| 237 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 175 |
| 238 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 239 | | B454 | Telephone Operator (part time) for relief when needed, at $2 per day | |
| 240 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 241 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 242 | 5 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 243 | 3 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 244 | 95 | C102 | Janitress | 140 |
| 245 | 5 | C102 | Janitress | 130 |
| 245½ | 10 | C102 | Janitress (substitutes, inferior positions) at rate of | 130 |
| 246 | 127 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 247 | 37 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 248 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 16 |
| 249 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 10 |
| 250 | 18 | C105 | Special Janitor | 162.50 |
| 251 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 185 |
| 252 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 170 |
| 253 | 3 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 160 |
| 254 | 1 | C112 | Supervisor School Janitors | 275 |
| 255 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 140 |
| 256 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 130 |
| 257 | 1 | I12 | Cook (part time) | 75 |
| 258 | 2 | | Pantry Maid (part time) | 75 |
| 260 | 11 | J78 | Stockmen | 200 |
| 261 | 1 | J80 | Foreman Stockman | 205 |
| 262 | 1 | O2 | Chauffeur | 215 |
| 263 | 1 | O104 | Moving Picture Operator | 200 |
| 264 | 2 | O122 | Window Shade Worker | 200 |
| 265 | 12 | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 266 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines (part time) | 125 |
| 267 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, $3 per eve. as required | |
| 268 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Stm. Engines. | 310 |

Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Cont'd)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 269 | 1 | O61 | Foreman Gardener ..... | 175 |
| 270 | 8 | O58 | Gardener ..... | 145 |
| 271 | | | Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates fixed in salary ordinance . . . ..... | |
| | | | TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL | |
| 272 | | | 2½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract ..... | |
| 273 | | | 1-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract ..... | |
| 274 | | | 3½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract ..... | |
| 275 | | | 1½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract ..... | |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## Amending Salary Ordinance—County Welfare Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1104, Ordinance No. 9.05384, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 63 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the employments enumerated under Item 4 from one to five positions and under Item 9 from four to fourteen positions, and by adding Item 12 thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 63 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk .....$ | 185 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 185 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 4 | 5 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B510 | Braille Typist ..... | 150 |
| 6 | 3 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator..... | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator..... | 150 |
| 8 | 4 | T156 | Social Service Investigator..... | 180 |
| 9 | 14 | T156 | Social Service Investigator..... | 150 |
| 10 | 1 | T160 | Senior Social Service Investigator..... | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | T162 | Director of County Welfare Department | 300 |
| 12 | | T156 | Social Service Investigator (part time) | 80 |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## Final Passage.

The following ordinance was *finally passed* as an emergency measure:

**Supplemental Appropriation—County Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1105, Ordinance No. 9.051302, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $49,086 out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund for the uninterrupted operation of the County Welfare Department; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $49,086 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts indicated and for the purposes recited:

| | |
|---|---|
| 656.101.00—10 additional social service investigators and 4 additional general clerk-stenographers at $150 per month for 10 months, from September 1, 1936, to and including June 30, 1937 | $21,000 |
| 656.102.00—29 temporary employments—social service investigators and/or stenographers for 4 months, from September 1, 1936, to and including December 31, 1936, at $150 per month | 17,400 |
| 656.102.00—Additional temporary payrolls for July and August | 1,086 |
| 633.203.56—Contractual services for traveling expense | 2,100 |
| 656.328.56—Materials and supplies for additional postage, stationery, printing and forms and blanks procured from the State | 4,000 |
| 656.400.56—For equipment—additional necessary for added employments | 3,500 |
| | $49,086 |

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is required to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the County Welfare Department.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was taken up and discussed:

**Appropriating $10,000 for Traffic Studies Throughout the City.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, as follows:

Appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection

with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, and the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

Supervisor Uhl read telegrams sent by him to Marshall Hale and to Robert Bruce, concerning their acceptance of and recommendation on the findings of Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, together with their replies thereto.

Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary urged passage of bill.

Supervisor Uhl requested copy of City Engineer's Preliminary Report on Vehicular Traffic Survey, previously filed with Director of Public Works, Wm. H. Worden, stating that until he received copy of said report and studied same he must vote against any further appropriation for traffic survey.

Supervisor McSheehy requested postponement of further consideration of bill until Monday, August 31, whereupon action on foregoing bill was *postponed one week.*

Final Passage.

The following bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating $9,150 for Salaries and Expenses of Three Building Inspectors and One General Clerk-Stenographer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1100, Ordinance No. 9.051301, as follows:

Appropriating $9,150 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the salaries and expenses of 3 building inspectors and 1 general clerk-stenographer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $9,150 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the emergency reserve appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the payment of salaries of three building inspectors at $225 per month and one general clerk-stenographer at $150 per month, and transportation of three inspectors at $30 per month each in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from September 1st, 1936, to June 30, 1937; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and the sum of $9,150 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the General Fund collected by the Central Permit Bureau for fees accruing from building permits for the expenses under provisions of Ordinances Nos. 1008 (N. S.), 2107 (N. S.), 3361 (N. S.), 4059 (N. S.), 5136 (N. S.), and Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein provided for is necessary to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to make the necessary inspections compelled by law to be made by the said Bureau of Build-

ing Inspection, and therefore to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said department.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by Wm. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Canvass of State Primary Election August 25, 1936.**

(Code No. 3.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2749, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Thursday, August 27, 1936, at the hour of 1 p. m., to canvass the returns of the State Primary Election of Tuesday, August 25, 1936, by opening the same and estimating the vote of 1055 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and to be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by said Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California:

Joseph Dawson, E. R. Faucompre, Alex McCarthy, W. E. Monahan, E. Sheble, L. Stern, J. Bonney, J. B. Deasy, A. M. Dodge, S. A. Gazzano, E. Jones, J. E. Lucas, C. L. Mayhood, C. C. Meagher, D. P. O'Sullivan, S. Stasiowski, Roy D. Tyson, Abe Windreich, H. J. Charlton, Agnes Arnold, Myrtle R. Bloch, L. Carrasco, M. I. Dana, Elise Dwyer, Gladys Edmondson, Elsie M. Goff, Myra Harris, Mervilyn Knoth, Henryetta Levy, J. E. Livingston, Freda Loorz, M. Maxwell, Sally Osborn, B. R. Perkins, Alice Remley, M. Sexton, Gretchen Siemens, Carlotta Steele, Lillian Van Der Wal.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed to San Francisco Airport, Parcel 7.**

(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 2750, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mills Estate, Incorporated, to Parcel No. 7 of the San Francisco Airport lands in San Mateo County, California, as per agreement recorded September 4, 1930, Volume 559, page 1, Official Records of

San Mateo County, and that the sum of $105,000 be paid for said parcel from appropriation No. 664.600.00.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Authorizing Sale of School Land on Twenty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1107, Ordinance No. 12.17226, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described city-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is under the control of the Board of Education:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly along said line of Twenty-fifth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of 24th avenue; thence southerly along last named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Appropriating $4,840 From Emergency Reserve Appropriation for Services and Room Rent of Four Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1090, Ordinance No. 9.51297, as follows:

Appropriating $4,840 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at San Francisco Hospital, at $100 per month, and for room rent at $10 per month each; being for 11 months commencing August 1, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,840 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital salaries, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at $100 a month each for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936, and for the payment of room rent at $10 per month each for said nurses.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2751, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Harry C. McNally et al., a right of way easement over that certain tract of land situated in San Mateo County, California, more particularly described in decree of distribution recorded April 20, 1922, Book 37, Page 35, Official Records of San Mateo County required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2 for the sum of $1,668.66, payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Action No. 24781. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills *were passed for second reading*:

**Amending Salary Ordinance—Real Estate Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1108, Ordinance No. 9.05385, as follows:

Amending Section 38 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the number of employees under Item No. 7 thereof by four and fixing compensation at $300, and eliminating Item No. 8 and changing Item No. 9 to read Item No. 8.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 38 of Ordinance 9.05380 to read as follows:

Section 38. **REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .....$ | 175 |
| 2 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman... | 275 |
| 3 | 1 | G206 | Chief Right of Way Agent..... | 600 |

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

**The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .....$ | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman..... | 200 |
| 7 | 5 | G202 | Division Right of Way Agent..... | 300 |
| 8 | 1 | G204 | Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent.... | 375 |
| | | | Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation. | |

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 1109, Ordinance No. 12.172529, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Public Utilities Commission:

Beginning at the southwest corner of lot 1, block 7, as per "Map of School House Homestead Association, situate in the First Township, San Mateo County," recorded July 10, 1872, in Book 1 of Maps at page 9, San Mateo County Records; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Clara street 137 feet to a point distant southerly 113 feet from the northwest corner of lot 4 in said block 7; thence southeasterly in a straight line 225 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of lot 2 in said block 7, distant westerly 62 feet from the west line of Briggs street; thence westerly along the southerly line of lots 2 and 1, 178 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of lots 1, 2 and 4 of said block 7, as per said Map of School House Homestead Association.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Confirming Sale of Water Department Land on Ocean Avenue to Minnie M. Cook.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2752, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172528, Bill No. 1041, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on July 29, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Ocean avenue, distant thereon 81.33 feet southeasterly from the point of intersection of the said southwesterly line of Ocean avenue with the easterly line of Ashton avenue, and running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Ocean avenue 27.11 feet; thence southerly parallel with said easterly line of Ashton avenue 86.8 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; and thence at right angles northerly 97.3 feet to the southwesterly line of Ocean avenue and the point of commencement.

Being Lot Number 24 in Block Number 25, as shown upon a certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Map of Lakeview," recorded August 11, 1890, in Book E and F of Maps, at pages 138 and 139.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Minnie M. Cook offered to purchase said land for the sum of $3,500.00 no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $3,500.00 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $3,451.00; and

Whereas, Minnie M. Cook has paid the sum of $350.00 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $3,500.00; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to Minnie M. Cook. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within 30 days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2753, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days, commencing September 5th, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriation of $6,101.26 for Drygoods and Wearing Apparel for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1097, Ordinance No. 9.051298, as follows:

Appropriating $6,101.26 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,101.26 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.-900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for the San Francisco Hospital.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Celebration of Constitution Day.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2754, as follows:

Whereas, the 149th anniversary of the signing of the Constitution of the United States of America will be celebrated on September 17, 1936; and

Whereas, patriotic and civic organizations all over the nation are making appropriate arrangements for this celebration; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make arrangements for the celebration of Constitution Day on September 17, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, Member, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2755, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from September 25 to December 17, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Milton S. Maxwell, Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2756, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, be and he is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of three days, commencing August 24, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**President to Appoint Committee to Attend Redwood Empire Convention.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2757, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board of Supervisors be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee consisting of three mem-

bers of this Board to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the sixteenth annual convention of the Redwood Empire Association, to be held in Crescent City, October 9 and 10, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Appointments.

Whereupon, President Havenner appointed Supervisors Ratto, Brown and Mead to represent the City and County at the sixteenth annual convention of the Redwood Empire Association.

President to Appoint Committee to Attend League of California Municipalities Convention.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2758, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of this Board of Supervisors be and is hereby requested to appoint a committee consisting of three members of this Board to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the convention of the League of California Municipalities, to be held in Santa Monica, September 9, 10, 11 and 12, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Appointments.

Whereupon, President Havenner appointed Supervisors Shannon, Roncovieri and Colman to attend the convention, representing the Board.

Huddart Bequest.

Supervisor Colman reported that the Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation had considered the matter of the bequest to the City and County of San Francisco of 973½ acres of land at Woodside, San Mateo County, for public park purposes, but was withholding its recommendation of acceptance by the City until the question of water supply thereon has been satisfactorily settled.

San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Opening Celebration.

Supervisor Havenner reported that the application for W. P. A. aid in connection with the construction of grandstands and street decorations for the celebration of the opening of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge has been approved. He reported further that the Citizens' Committee, of which he was Chairman, had received a number of affirmative answers from other counties to invitation extended to participate in the celebration. He further stated that the design for the special coin, commemorating the completion of the bridge, had been approved by the Director of the Mint, and that the model from which the die for the coins will be made has been sent to Washington. The coins, Supervisor Havenner stated, will be sold in the Bay region for $1.50, and at out-of-town points probably at a slightly higher price.

Committee to Represent the Board at State Fair.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Chair appoint a committee of three members of the Board to attend the State Fair at Sacramento.

Motion *carried.*

Whereupon, pursuant to above motion, President Havenner appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Mead and Meyer to represent the Board at the State Fair.

### Transcripts of Proceedings in Police Investigation

Supervisor Uhl questioned Controller Leonard S. Leavy regarding bills for costs of transcripts of proceedings in police investigation, who replied that he was withholding payment of some of bills for transcripts, but such withholding of payment did not stop reporters from continuing their work.

### Old-Age Pensions.

In reply to questions by Supervisor Uhl, Miss Eugenie Schenk, Director of the County Welfare Department, stated that there were some 700 applications for old-age pensions now being invesitgated by the department, and about 700 more cases to be assigned for investigation. She expected the investigations to be completed by December 1, 1936.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit an answer to his letter of August 8, covering some twenty cases, to which the Chief Administrative Officer replied that the information requested would be furnished at the earliest possible date.

Supervisor Uhl also requested that the Chief Administrative Officer inform him as to the status of the case of Charles W. Frances, applicant for old-age pension.

### Pensions for Blind.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to instruct Miss Schenk to mail return post cards to blind pensioners that they might apply for increased pensions, to which they are entitled. Mr. Cleary agreed to comply therewith.

### San Francisco Day, San Diego Exposition.

The Clerk read communication from his Honor the Mayor suggesting that the Board appoint a committee of Supervisors to attend the Exposition at San Diego, on August 29, 1936, San Francisco Day.

### Appointments.

In compliance with the Mayor's suggestion, President Havenner appointed Supervisors McSheehy and Roncovieri to attend the Exposition.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, pursuant to motion previously made by Supervisor Shannon, the Board recessed at the hour of 4:45 p. m., to reconvene on Wednesday, August 26, 1936, at 2 p. m.

## MONDAY EVENING, AUGUST 24, 1936, 8 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Monday evening, August 24, 1936, 8 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to previous order for the purpose of giving consideration to the problem of rapid transit in San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner (excused), Schmidt—3.

### Discussion.

W. H. Borden, representing himself, concluded his discussion of August 17.

D. V. Delany, representing the Excelsior Improvement Club, addressed the Board.

J. P. Sweeney, representing the Marina Property Owners' Protective Association and the Northern Council of Improvement Clubs and Associations, also was heard.

Frederick Whitten, of the Building Owners' and Managers' Association, presented the following resolution, adopted by the San Francisco Real Estate Board:

"Whereas, there has been presented to the Directors of the San Francisco Real Estate Board a plan to provide rapid transit to and from the outlying districts of San Francisco, at an estimated cost of $52,000,000; and

"Whereas, while the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Real Estate Board fully recognizes the necessity of improving the present traffic situation in the City, the Board questions the advisability of exhausting the greater part of our bonding capacity for the plan submitted, especially in view of the fact that additional plans are now under way calling for the expenditure of many millions of dollars for the widening of streets, removal of useless street car tracks and improving parking facilities; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That we respectfully urge upon the Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors that the proposal involving the expenditure of so large a sum be not pressed until further study can be made of some plan for relieving the situation without the expenditure of such a tremendous sum of money."

Following the reading of the above, he presented at length the opposition of the group he represented to the rapid transit plan proposed by the Public Utilities Commission.

Also heard were Cornelius Murphy, representing the Owners' and Lessees' Apartment House Association; James McElroy, Upper Sunset and Golden Heights Districts; H. P. Coustette; John Ricketts, representing himself; Walter G. Remley, also representing himself; Mr. Shaffer, supplementing a previous presentation.

### Communications.

Communications received from Edwin J. Gabriel, 196 Fifteenth avenue, on the subject of expediting street car transportation, and from P. R. Thompson, chairman, Traffic Committee, Central Council, showing to what extent Market street would be relieved of traffic by

the operation of the proposed elevated rapid transit system, were received and ordered made part of the record.

### Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be authorized to order such transcripts of the records as are required.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Shannon moved that copies of statements made be sent to the Public Utilities Commission, and that the Public Utilities Commission be requested to make a digest thereof and report thereon to the Board.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board take the matter into its own hands and sit in a Committee of the Whole on Monday, August 31, at 4 p. m., to continue consideration thereof, whereupon Supervisor Shannon objected, stating that he wanted the report from the Public Utilities Commission.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl announced that he would present his own plan for discussion at the next meeting of the Board.

## RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, the Board, at the hour of 11:20 p. m., recessed, to reconvene at 2 p. m., Wednesday, August 26, 1934.

---

## WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Wednesday, August 26, 1936, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### Comparison of 1935-1936 and 1936-1937 Tax Rates.

In compliance with request by Supervisor McSheehy, the following statement was submitted by the Controller:

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
## COMPARISON OF 1935-1936 AND 1936-1937 TAX RATES.

| Fund | 1935-1936 Rate | 1936-1937 Rate | Increase Rate | Increase Amount | Decrease Rate | Decrease Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| General Fund | $1.582259 | $1.505788 | | | $.076471 | $577,003 |
| Emergency Reserve | .011688 | .038813 | $.027125 | $ 204,668 | | |
| County Road | .000015 | .000012 | | | .000003 | 23 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan, State of California | .018837 | .011956 | | | .006881 | 51,919 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan Interest, State of California | .001834 | .003990 | .002156 | 16,268 | | |
| Relief of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor | .088852 | .112081 | .023229 | 175,271 | | |
| State Emergency Relief Administration | .026074 | .050900 | .024826 | 187,321 | | |
| Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes | none | .000928 | .000928 | 7,002 | | |
| Library Fund | .050215 | .054191 | .003976 | 30,000 | | |
| Park Fund | .109072 | .115674 | .006602 | 49,814 | | |
| Recreation Fund | .079584 | .069886 | | | .009698 | 73,175 |
| War Memorial | .008802 | .010415 | .001613 | 12,171 | | |
| de Young Museum | .007553 | .008778 | .001225 | 9,243 | | |
| California Palace of Legion of Honor | .008584 | .009174 | .000590 | 4,452 | | |
| Employees' Retirement System | .190532 | .206928 | .016396 | 123,714 | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | .793233 | .860871 | .067638 | 510,354 | | |
| Tax Judgments | .011405 | .012941 | .001536 | 11,590 | | |
| Publicity and Advertising | .021566 | .024759 | .003193 | 24,092 | | |
| Workmen's Compensation | .004873 | .004906 | .000033 | 249 | | |
| Airport Fund | .020175 | .018429 | | | .001746 | 13,174 |
| Common School | .525827 | .546397 | .020570 | 155,209 | | |
| Special School Tax | .120937 | .116183 | | | .004754 | 35,870 |
| | $3.681917 | $3.784 | $.201636 | $1,521,418 | $.099553 | $751,164 |
| Net Increase | | | $.102083 | $ 770,254 | | |

The increases and decreases shown on this schedule represent increases or decreases in revenue requirements from taxation after deducting from the total amounts appropriated for expenditures, revenues from sources other than taxes, and the yield from the unsecured assessment roll. The yield from the unsecured assessment roll is based upon the tax rate of the previous fiscal year.

## San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance, 1936-1937.

### Finally Passed.

After reading and discussion of Comparison of Tax Rates as submitted by the Controller, the San Francisco Tax Rate Ordinance, 1936-1937, was *finally passed* as an emergency ordinance, by the following vote:

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 1101, Ordinance No. 9.0326, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1937, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and seven hundred eighty-four thousandths ($3.784) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and seven hundred eighty-four thousandths ($3.784) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| (a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit $1.65) the rate of | $1.095449 |
| Emergency Reserve | .038813 |
| County Road | .000012 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan State of California | .011956 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California | .003990 |
| Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor | .112081 |
| State Emergency Relief Administration (Sponsor's Contribution W. P. A.) | .050900 |
| | $1.313201 |
| (b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half ($.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half ($.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of | .410339 |
| For interest on tax anticipation notes | .000928 |
| For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of | .054191 |
| For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of | .115674 |
| For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of | .069886 |

| | |
|---|---|
| For maintenance and operation of War Memorial | .010415 |
| For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund | .008778 |
| For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund | .009174 |
| For Retirement System for City employees | .206928 |
| For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County | .860871 |
| To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California | .012941 |
| For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of | .024759 |
| For Workmen's Compensation Fund | .004906 |
| Airport Fund | .018429 |
| Total for Municipal Purposes | $3.121420 |

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX

| | |
|---|---|
| For the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving schools: | |
| For Junior College Fund (County) | .003313 |
| For Common School Fund | .543084 |
| For Special School Tax Fund | .116183 |
| Total School Tax | $ .662580 |
| Total Tax Rate | $3.784 |

This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the passage of said ordinance is required as a prerequisite to the issuance of tax anticipation warrants in accordance with the provision of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the police and fire departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Consolidated Budget, 1936-1937, as Adjusted After Tax Levy.**

The following Consolidated Budget, 1936-1937, as adjusted after tax levy, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, was *approved*:

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | | | | | | | | | |
| General—See Note E | 550,000 | ..... | 550,000 | 2,248,914 | ..... | 121,054 | 5,056,567 | 12,410,796 | 19,837,331 |
| General—Note A | 17,038,417 | 2,248,914 | 19,287,331 | | | | | | |
| Emergency Reserve | 300,000 | ..... | 300,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Library—Note B | 455,584 | ..... | 455,584 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,000 | 439,584 | 455,584 |
| Recreation—Note C | 613,012 | ..... | 613,012 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 37,050 | 575,962 | 613,012 |
| Park—Note D | 1,289,467 | ..... | 1,289,467 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 350,000 | 939,467 | 1,289,467 |
| de Young Memorial Museum | 71,100 | 11,980 | 83,080 | 11,980 | ..... | ..... | 250 | 70,850 | 83,080 |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | 74,966 | ..... | 74,966 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500 | 74,466 | 74,966 |
| War Memorial | 118,248 | ..... | 118,248 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 34,285 | 83,963 | 118,248 |
| Employees' Retirement | 1,677,809 | 641,104 | 2,318,913 | 641,104 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,677,809 | 2,318,913 |
| Workmen's Compensation | 40,000 | ..... | 40,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Tax Judgments | 104,616 | ..... | 104,616 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 104,616 | 104,616 |
| Publicity and Advertising | 200,000 | ..... | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor | 900,000 | ..... | 900,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| WPA Projects Fund | 400,000 | ..... | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| TOTAL CURRENT FUNDS (General City and County) | 23,833,219 | 2,901,998 | 26,735,217 | 2,901,998 | ..... | 121,054 | 5,494,652 | 18,217,513 | 26,735,217 |
| OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| County Roads | 1,119,377 | ..... | 1,119,377 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,119,277 | 100 | 1,119,377 |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | 7,336,398 | 7,198,806 | 14,535,204 | 7,198,806 | 355,962 | ..... | ..... | 6,980,436 | 14,535,204 |
| Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes | 7,000 | ..... | 7,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan | 101,727 | ..... | 101,727 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 101,727 | 101,727 |
| State of California Unemployment Relief Loan Interest | 31,224 | ..... | 31,224 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 31,224 | 31,224 |
| Installation Fund—Department of Electricity | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,000 | ..... | 3,000 |
| Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 500,000 | ..... | 500,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER CURRENT FUNDS | 9,098,726 | 7,198,806 | 16,297,532 | 7,198,806 | 355,962 | ..... | 1,622,277 | 7,120,487 | 16,297,532 |

(Consolidated Budget, continued on next page)

| Name of Fund | APPROPRIATIONS | | | REVENUES | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Other Than Taxes | | | |
| | Amount | By Transfer | Total | By Transfer | From Surplus | Appropriation | Fund | Taxes | Total |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES CURRENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Revenue | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,339,080 | ..... | 6,339,080 |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative—Note F | 85,000 | ..... | 85,000 | ..... | 85,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 85,000 |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | 2,438,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 | ..... | 175,000 | ..... | 2,263,900 | ..... | 2,438,900 |
| Municipal Railway Operating | 3,154,543 | ..... | 3,154,543 | ..... | Cr 31,054 | ..... | 3,185,597 | ..... | 3,154,543 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | 221,003 | 221,003 | 221,003 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 221,003 |
| Public Utilities Commission | ..... | 90,745 | 90,745 | 90,745 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90,745 |
| Airport | 186,388 | ..... | 186,388 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,000 | 151,388 | 186,388 |
| TOTAL PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES—CURR. | 12,203,911 | 311,748 | 12,515,659 | 311,748 | 228,946 | ..... | 11,823,577 | 151,388 | 12,515,659 |
| CAPITAL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1929 Sewer Bond Fund | 62,679 | ..... | 62,679 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 62,679 | ..... | 62,679 |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 250,000 | ..... | 250,000 |
| 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund—Grant | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bond Fund—Grant | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1933 Sewer Bond Fund—Grant | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund—Grant | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House Bond Fund—Grant | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | 344,251 |
| TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDS | 1,887,427 | ..... | 1,887,427 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,887,427 | ..... | 1,887,427 |
| TOTAL GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY | 47,023,283 | 10,412,552 | 57,435,835 | 10,412,552 | 584,908 | 121,054 | 20,827,933 | 25,489,388 | 57,435,835 |
| SCHOOL FUNDS | | | | | | | | | |
| Common School Fund | 9,519,165 | ..... | 9,519,165 | ..... | 227,082 | ..... | 4,794,172 | 4,497,911 | 9,519,165 |
| Special School Tax Fund | 977,000 | ..... | 977,000 | ..... | 26,434 | ..... | ..... | 950,566 | 977,000 |
| TOTAL SCHOOL FUNDS | 10,496,165 | ..... | 10,496,165 | ..... | 253,516 | ..... | 4,794,172 | 5,448,477 | 10,496,165 |
| TOTAL BUDGET | 57,519,448 | 10,412,552 | 67,932,000 | 10,412,552 | 838,424 | 121,054 | 25,622,105 | 30,937,865 | 67,932,000 |

Note A—That portion of the General Fund (Art Commission) appropriation # 616.200.02 for symphony concerts which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of ½ cent on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll.

Note B—That portion of the Library appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $31,755.

Note C—That portion of the Recreation appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $5,000.

Note D—That portion of the Park appropriations which is to be met from taxes, shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 10¼ cents on each $100 of the 1936-37 assessment roll, plus $103,416.

Note E—To be provided by supplemental appropriation for aid to needy aged.

Note F—To be provided by supplemental appropriation for bond interest and redemption.

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Licenses | | | | | | | |
| Business | 250,000 | | | | | | |
| Business (Badges and Tags) | 9,000 | | | | | | |
| Vehicle (Other than State) | 28,000 | | | | | | |
| Marriage | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Ferry | 4,800 | | | | | | |
| Dog | 14,500 | | | | | | |
| Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412) | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Contractors | 17,000 | | | | | | |
| Penalties | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 373,300 | | | | | | 373,300 |
| Permits | | | | | | | |
| Dept. of Public Works | | | | | | | |
| Building | 79,000 | | | | | | |
| Bill Board | 400 | | | | | | |
| House Numbers | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Street Space | 5,700 | | | | | | |
| Boiler | 2,500 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | | | | | | | |
| Public Passenger Carriers<br>Hand Bill Distributors<br>Second Hand Dealers | 5,500 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department | | | | | | | |
| Automobile Storage<br>Automobile Repair Shops<br>Automobile Supply Stations<br>Automobile Parking Stations<br>Oil Burners | 2,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 98,300 | | | | | | 98,300 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | General Fund | Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fines | | | | | | | |
| Municipal Courts | 78,000 | | | | | | |
| Superior Courts | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Traffic Courts | 65,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 147,000 | | | | | | 147,000 |
| Interest Revenue | 40,000 | | | | | | 40,000 |
| Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes | 70,000 | | | | | | 70,000 |
| Assessor's Supplemental Roll | 100,000 | | | | | | 100,000 |
| Franchises | | | | | | | |
| Telephone | 262,000 | | | | | | |
| California Street Railway | 3,700 | | | | | | |
| Market Street Railway | 48,000 | | | | | | |
| *American District Telegraph | 4,000 | | | | | | |
| Total | 317,700 | | | | | | 317,700 |
| State of California | | | | | | | |
| Maintenance of Minors | 140,000 | | | | | | |
| Widows' Pensions | 137,500 | | | | | | |
| Blind Pensions | 57,500 | | | | | | |
| Needy Aged | 1,050,000 | | | | | | |
| Tubercular Patients | 80,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Boats | 82,000 | | | | | | |
| County Roads | | | 1,119,277 | | | | |
| Special Gas Tax | | | 500,000 | | | | |
| Vehicle (in Lieu) | 500,000 | | | | | | |
| Beverage | 600,000 | | | | | | |
| Common Schools | | | 4,635,278 | | | | |
| Total | 2,647,000 | | 6,254,555 | | | | 8,901,555 |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Federal Government | | | | | | | |
| Aid to High Schools | ..... | ..... | 8,512 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Aid to Needy Aged | 461,150 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Board of U. S. Prisoners | ..... | 20,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Grant Docket No. 1534 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 61,202 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| " " No. 1531 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,538 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| " " No. 7942 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 344,251 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| " " No. 1510 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 807,262 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| " " No. 1429 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 255,495 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Total | 461,150 | 20,000 | 8,512 | 1,574,748 | ..... | ..... | 2,064,410 |
| Departmental Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenue Other Than Taxes | 36,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees | 3,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| —Excavation Fees | 15,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| —Special Inspection | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| —Tearing up Streets, Side Sewers | ..... | 35,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| —Advertising Fees | ..... | 600 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Department of Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Central Office | | | | | | | |
| Removal Permits | 8,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Death Certificates | 3,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Birth Certificates | 1,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| V. Clinic | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| *Meat Inspection | 61,606 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Milk Cooling Plants | 16,800 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Milk Inspection, Dairy | 22,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Pasteurization Inspection | 8,200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Restaurant Inspection | 51,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Food Wagon Permits | 200 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Massage Parlor Permits | 250 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| Sanitation Permits | 2,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... |

*Ordinance Pending.

| Classification | General Fund: Allocated to Fund | General Fund: Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Treasurer: General Fund | Receipts by Treasurer: Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Departmental Revenues—(Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Public Health (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Central Office (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Master Plumbers' Licenses | 3,600 | | | | | | |
| Plumbing Fixture Permits | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| Laundries, Stables, etc. | 300 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Dealer Registrations | 25 | | | | | | |
| Gas Appliance Permits | 20,000 | | | | | | |
| Fumigations | 50 | | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 60 | | | | | | |
| San Francisco Hospital | | | | | | | |
| Care of Patients | 2,800 | | | | | | |
| Other | 800 | | | | | | |
| Laguna Honda Home | | | | | | | |
| Care of Inmates | 3,200 | | | | | | |
| Sheriff Fees | 33,000 | | | | | | |
| Municipal Court Fees | 60,000 | | | | | | |
| County Clerk Fees | 93,000 | | | | | | |
| Coroner | 800 | | | | | | |
| Treasurer | 10,000 | | | | | | |
| Registrar of Voters | 2,126 | | | | | | |
| Police Department | 2,200 | | | | | | |
| Recorder | 125,000 | | | | | | |
| Fire Department, Sale of Power and Miscellaneous | 3,400 | | | | | | |
| Juvenile Court | | | | | | | |
| Reimbursement for Care in Sonoma State Home | 8,000 | | | | | | |
| City Collections | 30,000 | | | | | | |
| Public Administrator | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Art Commission—Symphony Concerts | | 10,000 | | | | | |
| Pound | 3,100 | | | | | | |
| Purchasing Department | 1,500 | | | | | | |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| City Planning Commission Fees | 600 | | | | | | |
| Agricultural (Horticultural) Inspection | | | | | | | |
| Export Inspection Fees | 7,000 | | | | | | |
| City Institutions | 800 | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| *Registration Fees | 18,000 | | | | | | |
| Electrical Inspection Fees | 55,000 | | | | | | |
| Electric Sign Inspection Fees | 5,000 | | | | | | |
| Installation Fund | | | 3,000 | | | | |
| Real Estate Department | | | | | | | |
| Rents, General | 13,000 | | | | | | |
| Rents, School | | | 103,750 | | | | |
| Rents, Auditorium | | 40,654 | | | | | |
| Commissions | | 1,800 | | | | | |
| War Memorial | | | | | | | |
| Rent, Opera House | | | 29,000 | | | | |
| Concessions, Opera House | | | 3,750 | | | | |
| Rent, Veterans' Auditorium | | | 1,500 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 35 | | | | |
| Board of Education | | | | | | | |
| Tuition—From Other Counties | | | 46,632 | | | | |

*Ordinance Pending

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | General Fund | Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Departmental Revenues (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Park | | | | | | | |
| Children's Quarters | | | 60,000 | | | | |
| Beach Chalet | | | 18,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Cafe | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Mother House | | | 2,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Playfield Booth | | | 44,000 | | | | |
| Harding Park Cafe | | | 20,000 | | | | |
| Lincoln Park Cafe | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Sharp Park Cafe | | | 11,000 | | | | |
| Kezar Stadium | | | 30,000 | | | | |
| Tennis Courts, P. F. A. | | | 14,000 | | | | |
| Fleishhacker Bathhouse | | | 7,000 | | | | |
| Harding Park Golf | | | 40,000 | | | | |
| Lincoln Park Golf | | | 34,000 | | | | |
| Sharp Park Golf | | | 17,000 | | | | |
| Yacht Harbor | | | 14,000 | | | | |
| Coit Tower | | | 13,000 | | | | |
| Recreation | | | | | | | |
| Camp | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Swimming | | | 1,600 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | 450 | | | | |
| Library—Fines, etc. | | | 16,000 | | | | |
| California Palace of the Legion of Honor | | | 500 | | | | |
| de Young Museum | | | 250 | | | | |
| Total | 802,117 | 101,054 | 591,467 | | | | 1,494,638 |
| Public Utilities | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | 2,263,900 | | | | |
| Municipal Railway | | | 3,185,597 | | | | |
| Water Department | | | 6,339,080 | | | | |
| Airport | | | 35,000 | | | | |
| Total | | | 11,823,577 | | | | 11,823,577 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts from Surplus | | | | | | | |
| Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | | | 260,000 | | | | |
| Municipal Railway | | | Cr. 31,054 | | | | |
| Common School Fund | | | 227,082 | | | | |
| Special School Tax | | | 26,434 | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption | | | 355,962 | | | | |
| Total | | | 838,424 | | | | 838,424 |
| Capital Funds | | | | | | | |
| 1929 Sewer Bond Fund (Premium) | | | | 62,679 | | | 62,679 |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bond Fund | | | | 250,000 | | | 250,000 |
| Total | | | | 312,679 | | | 312,679 |
| Receipts by Transfer | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| City Attorney | | | | | | | |
| From Public Utilities Commission Fund | | | | | 21,400 | | 21,400 |
| Purchasing Department | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (203) | | | | | 240 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (203) | | | | | 240 | | |
| From Recreation Fund (204) | | | | | 715 | | |
| From Library Fund (204) | | | | | 450 | | |
| From War Memorial Fund (204) | | | | | 383 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (217) | | | | | 200 | | |
| From Employees' Retirement Fund (225) | | | | | 42 | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund (233) | | | | | 60 | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum Fund (233) | | | | | 30 | | |
| From Airport Fund (400) | | | | | 5,500 | | |
| Total | | | | | 7,860 | | 7,860 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund | | | | Receipts by Transfer | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | General Fund | Other Current Funds | Total |
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Department of Electricity | | | | | | | |
| From County Road Fund.....(647.903.01) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... | 5,000 |
| Controller | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund...(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund...(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 900 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund .....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,950 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,400 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 975 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,150 | ..... | ..... |
| From Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor Fund.(101) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund.....(248) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 850 | ..... | ..... |
| From Employees' Retirement Fund.....(900) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,500 | ..... | ..... |
| Total ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 16,200 | ..... | 16,200 |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings | | | | | | | |
| From Park Fund ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 46,470 | ..... | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Library ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—General ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 14,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From War Memorial—Art Museum ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 3,600 | ..... | ..... |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

## ESTIMATED REVENUES 1936-1937 (Continued)

| Classification | General Fund | | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer | | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Allocated to Fund | Allocated to Appropriations | | | General Fund | Other Current Funds | |
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| General Fund (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| From de Young Memorial Museum | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Airport | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 8,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 425,000 | ..... | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 169,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 52,500 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—Street Repair | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,100 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—Bridges | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,800 | ..... | ..... |
| From County Road Fund—General | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 40,000 | ..... | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 790,470 | ..... | 790,470 |
| General Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Water Revenue | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,407,984 | ..... | 1,407,984 |
| de Young Memorial Museum Fund | | | | | | | |
| From California Palace of the Legion of Honor | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,980 | 11,980 |
| Employees' Retirement Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Library Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 6,500 | ..... |
| From Common School Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 423,000 | ..... |
| From Special School Tax Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 800 | ..... |
| From Park Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 35,500 | ..... |
| From Recreation Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 100,000 | ..... |
| From Airport Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,356 | ..... |
| From County Road Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 13,000 | ..... |
| From Water Revenue Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 43,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,948 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 641,104 | 641,104 |

(Estimated Revenues, 1936-1937, continued on next page)

| Classification | General Fund: Allocated to Fund | General Fund: Allocated to Appropriations | Other Current Funds | Capital Funds | Receipts by Transfer: General Fund | Receipts by Transfer: Other Current Funds | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Receipts by Transfer (Continued) | | | | | | | |
| Bond Interest and Redemption Fund | | | | | | | |
| From 1929 Sewer Bond Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | * 62,679 | ..... |
| From 1933 Sewer Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | * 61,202 | ..... |
| From 1933 High Pressure System Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *106,538 | ..... |
| From 1934 School House Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *344,251 | ..... |
| From 1933 Water Distribution Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *807,262 | ..... |
| From 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Grant | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | *255,495 | ..... |
| From County Road Fund | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 200,000 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,201,854 | ..... |
| From Water Revenue | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,977,025 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 182,500 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 7,198,806 | 7,198,806 |
| Public Utilities Commission Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Municipal Railway Operating | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,827 | ..... |
| From Municipal Railway Operating (Claims) | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 11,220 | ..... |
| From Water Revenue | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 47,372 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 15,326 | ..... |
| From Hetch Hetchy Water Supply | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,000 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 90,745 | 90,745 |
| Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Fund | | | | | | | |
| From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 114,442 | ..... |
| From Water Revenue | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 106,561 | ..... |
| Total | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 221,003 | 221,003 |
| Taxes | 12,410,796 | ..... | 18,527,069 | ..... | ..... | ..... | 30,937,865 |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 17,467,363 | 121,054 | 38,043,604 | 1,887,427 | 2,248,914 | 8,163,638 | 67,932,000 |

*From Capital Funds

## FUNDING STATEMENT—BOND INTEREST AND REDEMPTION—FISCAL YEAR 1936-1937

| | ESTIMATED REQUIREMENTS | | | ESTIMATED REVENUES | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Estimated Surplus Forward | | |
| | Interest | Redemption | Total | Interest | Redemption | Grant |
| GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY: | | | | | | |
| 1927 Boulevards | 327,128 | 469,000 | 796,128 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1929 Sewers | 83,250 | 100,000 | 183,250 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Sewers | 89,960 | 88,000 | 177,960 | 51,928 | ..... | 61,202 |
| 1933 High Pressure System | 55,500 | 100,000 | 155,500 | 48,961 | ..... | 106,538 |
| 1934 School House | 72,000 | 300,000 | 372,000 | 27,748 | ..... | 344,251 |
| Other | 1,815,733 | 2,642,100 | 4,457,833 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| | 2,443,571 | 3,699,100 | 6,142,671 | 128,637 | ..... | 511,991 |
| PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES: | | | | | | |
| 1928 Spring Valley | 1,530,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Water Distribution | 398,280 | 584,000 | 982,280 | 175,018 | ..... | 807,262 |
| 1910 Water | 1,260,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,260,000 | 158 | 47 | ..... |
| 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 406,250 | 250,000 | 656,250 | ..... | 14 | ..... |
| 1928 Hetch Hetchy | 1,080,000 | ..... | 1,080,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy | 346,803 | ..... | 346,803 | 6,999 | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam | 122,500 | 175,000 | 297,500 | 42,005 | ..... | 255,495 |
| 1913 Municipal Railway | 82,500 | 100,000 | 182,500 | ..... | ..... | ..... |
| 1933 Airport | 5,200 | 52,000 | 57,200 | 3,084 | ..... | ..... |
| | 5,231,533 | 3,161,000 | 8,392,533 | 227,264 | 61 | 1,062,757 |
| | 7,675,104 | 6,860,100 | 14,535,204 | 355,901 | 61 | 1,574,748 |

| Premium | County Road Fund | From Proceeds of Bond Fund Indicated | Hetch Hetchy Power Revenue | Water Revenue | Railway Revenue | Taxes | Total | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | GENERAL CITY AND COUNTY |
| ..... | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 796,128 | ..... | 1927 Boulevards |
| 62,679 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 183,250 | ..... | 1929 Sewers |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 177,960 | ..... | 1933 Sewers |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,239,364 | 155,500 | ..... | 1933 High Pressure System |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 372,000 | ..... | 1934 School House |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 4,457,833 | ..... | Other |
| 62,679 | 200,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 5,239,364 | 6,142,671 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,530,000 | ..... | ..... | 2,530,000 | ..... | 1928 Spring Valley |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 982,280 | ..... | 1933 Water Distribution |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | 1,044,548 | 400,000 | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1910 Water |
| | | | 1,157,306 | | | | | | |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 47,025 | ..... | 1,686,956 | 4,343,053 | ..... | 1928 Hetch Hetchy |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 1932 Hetch Hetchy |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 297,500 | ..... | 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 182,500 | ..... | 182,500 | ..... | 1913 Municipal Railway |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ..... | 54,116 | 57,200 | ..... | 1933 Airport |
| ..... | ..... | ..... | 2,201,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 1,741,072 | 8,392,533 | | |
| 62,679 | 200,000 | ..... | 2,201,854 | 2,977,025 | 182,500 | 6,980,436 | 14,535,204 | | |

Finally Passed.

The following ordinance was presented by the Finance Committee, read and *finally passed*:

Authorizing Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 1102, Ordinance No. 9.0338, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1936-37 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of $7,500,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1936-1937, providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of $7,500,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1936-1937, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1937, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of $7,500,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City an County for said fiscal year are $31,389,050, and that said sum of $7,500,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of $7,500,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed, payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of $7,500,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than $1,000 each, nor more than $100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County

shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1936-1937, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to June 30, 1937, shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1936-37, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1936-37; and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE
Fiscal Year 1936-1937

No..... $.....

San Francisco,....., 193..

On the .. day of ....., 193.., the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of ..... dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of.....per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of seven million five hundred thousand ($7,500,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1936-37, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1936-37, in which the money represented by said notes, respectively, shall be borrowed, and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1936-37 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in

strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California, and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same, and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to, and in the issuance of, this note, have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, and by the Controller of said City and County and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....
President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....
Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....
Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of the said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1937) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1936 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the first half of said fiscal year) or to May 15, 1937 (in the case of such notes as shall be sold in the second half of said fiscal year); provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1937, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Section 7. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is

passed specifically declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That said ordinance authorizes the issuance of tax anticipation warrants in accordance with the provisions of Section 81 of the Charter, and that the issuance of said notes immediately is necessary in order to provide funds for the operation of the Police and Fire Departments, and for the maintenance of hospitals and relief homes in San Francisco and for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County, and for the preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety of the people of said City and County, as well as for the uninterrupted operation of the various departments of the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Sale of $3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2748, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0338 heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1937, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of three million five hundred thousand ($3,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of three million five hundred thousand ($3,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of three million five hundred thousand ($3,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, the 1st day of September, 1936, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1936.

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of the above men-

tioned Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0338, the fee therefor to be $1,000.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Motion to Rescind.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board rescind its action taken on Monday, August 24, 1936, where it postponed action on Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation, until Monday, August 31, 1936.

### Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy rose to a point of order: (1) that this matter had been postponed for one week, and (2) that the Board recessed on Monday, August 24, for the purpose of considering the Tax Rate Ordinance and other specific matters.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

### Appeal From Decision of the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy appealed the decision of the Chair.

### Chair Sustained.

Whereupon, the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Excused—Supervisor Havenner—1.

### Action Rescinded.

The roll was called and previous action to postpone consideration of ordinance appropriating $10,000 for traffic study by Miller McClintock was *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Refused Passage for Second Reading.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the roll was called and the following bill was *refused passage for second reading* by the following vote:

### Appropriating $10,000 for Traffic Studies Throughout the City.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, as follows:

Appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby set aside from the Emer-

gency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, and the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Motion to Reconsider.

Before the above roll call was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from "Aye" to "No" and moved for reconsideration.

### Point of Order.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that a motion to reconsider was in order only upon final action by the Board.

Point of order *sustained.*

### Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that the matter be restored to the Calendar for consideration at the next meeting of the Board. Motion *carried.*

### Preliminary Report on Vehicular Traffic Survey.

Pursuant to request by Supervisor Shannon on Monday, August 24, Preliminary Report on Vehicular Traffic Survey, by the City Engineer, was presented to the Board.

### Subway and Rapid Transit Report.

Report on Subway and Rapid Transit System was presented by Supervisor Uhl.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, on motion of Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, at the hour of 4:40 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, August 31, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 36

Monday, August 31, 1936
Tuesday, September 1, 1936.
Thursday, September 3, 1936.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, AUGUST 31, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 31, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 24 and August 26, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Approving Application of Santa Fe Transportation Company for Bus Service Between San Francisco and Los Angeles and Authorizing the City Attorney to Intervene.**

(Code No. 15.093)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 2733, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the application of the Santa Fe Transportation Company, subsidiary of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, before the Railroad Commission of the State of California for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to engage in the transportation of passengers by stage upon the public highways of this State, between the City and County of San Francisco and Los Angeles and intermediate points and elsewhere, such stage service to be coordinated or integrated with the passenger train service of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California the intervening petition of this Board in support of said applications, and to appear in the proceedings before the Commission and present suitable and proper evidence as to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of said applications; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby further authorized and requested to petition the Railroad Commission, in the

course of such intervention, to require the routing of two of the four bus schedules proposed to be established each way between the City and County of San Francisco and Los Angeles over the San Mateo bridge and the peninsula into and out of San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved to lay on the table.

Supervisor Shannon raised point of order that motion was out of order because it was made while Supervisor Colman had the floor.

Chairman (Supervisor Havenner) ruled the point of order well taken.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, renewed the motion that the matter lay on the table.

Question being raised as to whether or not a motion to lay on the table was debatable, the Chair (Supervisor Havenner) ruled that the question is debatable.

After discussion the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion to lay on the table and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Colman moved privilege of the floor for the proponents, and that a limitation of thirty minutes be placed on speakers for each side.

Allen Mathews, attorney, representing the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway; George Gerhard, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, and Mr. Schultz, representing the Steuben Society, were heard at length in favor of the approval by the Board of Supervisors of the application of the Santa Fe Railway Company pending before the State Railroad Commission for permission to operate bus lines in and out of San Francisco.

Harry Young, attorney representing the Southern Pacific Company; E. A. McMillan, representing the Railroad Brotherhood, and Harry See, secretary of Railroad Brotherhood, were heard in opposition.

Allen Mathews, representing the Santa Fe, was then heard in rebuttal.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Meyer, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Appropriating $63,627 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor for Month of September, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1096, Ordinance No. 9.051300, as follows:

Appropriating $63,627 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting

the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of September, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensation for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,627 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of September, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

### Salaries of Stationary Steam Engineers While on Sick Leave.
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1098, Ordinance No. 9.051299, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of nine hundred and forty-six dollars ($946) to the credit of Appropriation No. 646.102.00, out of the accrued surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.103.00, for payment of salaries of stationary steam engineers while on sick leave in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of nine hundred and forty-six dollars ($946) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.103.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 646.-102.00 for the payment of salaries to stationary steam engineers while on sick leave in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

### Authorizing Sale of City Property on Portola Drive.
(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 1099, Ordinance No. 12.17216, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City property on Portola drive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works:

*Parcel* 1—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Lot 42, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 94.265 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence northeasterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 57 degrees 47 minutes

48 seconds to the right from the easterly line of aforesaid Lot 42, radius 300 feet, central angle 11 degrees 20 minutes 47 seconds, 59.410 feet; thence southeasterly, radial to the preceding curve, 17.381 feet to the westerly line of Lot 39 of aforesaid Block 22; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 97 degrees 52 minutes 13 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 17 degrees 30 minutes 46 seconds, 64.188 feet; thence southwesterly tangent to the preceding curve, 1.878 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 42; thence deflecting 108 degrees 10 minutes to the right and running northerly along the last-named line, 5.721 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 2—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Lot 40, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 118.080 feet northerly from the northerly line of Army street; thence deflecting 43 degrees 32 minutes 59 seconds to the left from said line of said Lot 40 and running northwesterly 17.381 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence northeasterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 90 degrees to the right from the preceding course, radius 300 feet, central angle 5 degrees 30 minutes 31 seconds, 28.843 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 3 of aforesaid Block 22, produced northwesterly; thence deflecting 93 degrees 55 minutes 54 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said line of said Lot 3 produced northwesterly, 20.728 feet; thence southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 91 degrees 24 minutes 32 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 8 degrees 02 minutes 18 seconds, 29.462 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 3—Beginning at a point distant 228 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Army street and 122.031 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, measured along the southerly line (and its production westerly) of Lot 7, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 66 degrees 23 minutes 53 seconds to the left from the westerly prolongation of the southerly line of aforesaid Lot 7, radius 300 feet, central angle 17 degrees 20 minutes 23 seconds, 90.791 feet to the northwesterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Lot 4, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 86 degrees 04 minutes 06 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along the last-named line 20.728 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 88 degrees 35 minutes 28 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 29 degrees 29 minutes 25 seconds, 108.088 feet to the southerly line of aforesaid Lot 7; thence deflecting 106 degrees 47 minutes 31 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running westerly along last-named line and its westerly production 22.309 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 4—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Lot 6, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C & D" at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 102.779 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 85 degrees 46 minutes 26 seconds to the left from said line of said Lot 6,

radius 300 feet, central angle 16 degrees 47 minutes 30 seconds, 87.920 feet to the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of Lot 1, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G", pages 44 and 45, Records of aforesaid City and County; thence deflecting 113 degrees 36 minutes 07 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running easterly along the northerly line of said Lot 1, and its westerly prolongation, 22.309 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 73 degrees 12 minutes 29 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 22 degrees 19 minutes 20 seconds, 81.815 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 3.338 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 6; thence deflecting 81 degrees 53 minutes 08 seconds to the left and running westerly along the last-named line 7.012 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 5—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lot 7, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C & D" at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 102.779 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, said point of beginning being on the proposed easterly line of Portola drive, thence northerly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 94 degrees 13 minutes 34 seconds to the right from said line of said Lot 7, radius 300 feet, central angle 12 degrees 20 minutes 26 seconds, 64.615 feet; thence southerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 65.103 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 7; thence deflecting 98 degrees 06 minutes 52 seconds to the right and running westerly along the last-named line 7.012 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described real property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Removal of Tracks on Sixth Street From Mission Street Northerly to Market Street, Across Market Street to Taylor Street, and Along Taylor Street to Post Street, and on Post Street From Leavenworth Street to Market Street.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1091, Ordinance No. 15.09117, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to remove the railway tracks and to discontinue the operation of its railway lines on Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, across Market street to Taylor street, thence along Taylor street to Post street, and thence along Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco and Market Street Railway Company did, on August 8th, 1936, enter into an agreement in writing, under and by virtue of which the City and County of San Francisco did consent to the removal of the railway tracks and the discontinuance of the operation of street railway lines over and along Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, thence across Market street to Taylor street, thence along Taylor street to Post street, and thence along Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street, upon the terms and conditions set forth in said agreement, to which reference is hereby made; and

Whereas, public convenience will not be affected by the removal of said tracks and the discontinuance of said service as aforesaid; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Market Street Railway Company, dated August 8th, 1936, to which reference is hereby made, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said Company dated February 9th, 1931, and under Order No. 1890 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, dated December 27th, 1886, to remove said street car tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars along and upon Sixth street from Mission street northerly to Market street, thence across Market street to Taylor street, thence along Taylor street to Post street, and thence along Post street from Leavenworth street to Market street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by the removal of said tracks or the discontinuance of said service, as provided in said agreement dated August 8th, 1936, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Valencia Street From Market to Mission Streets From 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1092, Ordinance No. 12.073188, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Sixty-seven (167) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 25th, 1936, by amending Section One Hundred and Sixty-seven thereof to read as follows:

Section 167. The width of sidewalks on Valencia street between Market and Mission streets shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Morris Street Between Harrison Street and Its Southeasterly Termination From 7 to 4 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1093, Ordinance No. 12.073189, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-one (1181).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 30th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-one (1181), to read as follows:

Section 1181. The width of sidewalks on Morris street between Harrison street and its southeasterly termination shall be 4 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Reducing Walk Widths on Chesley Street Between Harrison and Bryant Streets, and on Harriet Street Between Folsom and Bryant Streets From 7 to 4 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1094, Ordinance No. 12.073190, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-three (1183) and eleven hundred and eighty-four (1184).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office August 8th, 1936, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-three (1183) and eleven hundred and eighty-four (1184), to read as follows:

Section 1183. The width of sidewalks on Chesley street between Harrison and Bryant streets shall be 4 feet.

Section 1184. The width of sidewalks on Harriet street between Folsom and Bryant streets shall be 4 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Taylor Street Between Golden Gate Avenue and Post Street From 15 to 10 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

The following bill was taken up:

Bill No. 1095, Ordinance No. 12.073191, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-two (1182).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 31st, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-two (1182), to read as follows:

Section 1182. The width of sidewalks on Taylor street between Golden Gate avenue and Post street shall be 10 feet.

**Committee of the Whole.**

On motion, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole with Supervisor Havenner in the chair. All members heretofore noted being present.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

M. A. Thomas, representing the Taylor Street Property Owners, with the exception of the Golden Gate and Loew-Warfield Theatre buildings, was heard in favor of the proposed widening of Taylor street.

M. Moore, secretary of the Taylor Street Improvement Association, was heard as to his efforts to interest the theatres in this proposition.

S. Lay Landslug, representing Golden Gate Theatre Building, was also heard in opposition to the widening of Taylor street, and alleging insufficient notice and hearing of the proposed improvement.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the matter be heard next Thursday at 2 p. m.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the matter remain on the calendar, that in the meantime Committee hold meeting and report back next Monday.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as a substitute that we recess as a Committee of the Whole until Thursday at 2 p. m., when the matter will again be heard.

Motion *carried.*

Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported its action to the Board.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter relative to widening Taylor street was *laid over for further consideration* until Thursday, September 3, at 2 p. m.

Final Passage.

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073175, Reducing Sidewalk Widths on San Carlos Street.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1078, Ordinance No. 12.073182, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.073175, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139)." (San Carlos street.)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.073175, entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled 'Regulating the Width of Sidewalks,' approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-nine (1139)" is hereby repealed. (San Carlos street.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2759, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Amelie Ghirardelli and Reinaldo Ghirardelli, a portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 2138, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $750, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.-14.1, Project No. 5. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Release of San Francisco Lien Against Property Owned by Odin P. Kaurin, Contra Costa County.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2760, as follows:

This Board of Supervisors having heretofore caused a notice that aid had been granted to Odin P. Kaurin under the Old-Age Security Act of the State of California to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Contra Costa, and the Director of County Welfare Department having reported to this Board that said Odin P. Kaurin is desirous of reimbursing the City and County of San Francisco for all moneys received by him under said Old-Age Security Act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said real property owned by said Odin P. Kaurin in the City and County of Contra Costa, to-wit: S ½ of Lot 12, and N ½ of Lot 5 in Block 24, Boulevard Gardens Tract No. 1, El Cerrito, Contra Costa County, California, be and the same is hereby released from all liens and claims of the City and County of San Francisco by reason of aid furnished to said Odin P. Kaurin and by reason of the recording of said notice of the granting of said aid as aforesaid; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is directed to deliver a copy of this resolution to said Odin P. Kaurin upon the payment to the Director of County Welfare of the sum of eighty-three ($83) dollars, which is the amount of aid received by said Odin P. Kaurin under said Old-Age Security Act.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2761, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.-914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

William V. Musante and Verolina Musante, portion of Lot 9, Assessor's Block 934 .....$ 1,000
Jean Collin and Francoise Collin, Lot 9-C, Assessor's Block 934. 10,000

In order to facilitate possible exchanges, title to the portion of said lots lying outside the road right-of-way shall be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2762, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Madge E. Riordan, Leonie C. Heath and Maude E. Evatt, portion of Lot 28, Assessor's Block 7146, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $1,364, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements on said lot.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2763, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Peter B. Murphy and Anne Murphy, his wife, Lot 15, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $3,250, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matters were, on motion, *laid over until tomorrow*, when the Board is to meet to receive bids for Tax Anticipation Notes:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $550,000 From Accrued Surplus in General Fund to Meet Increased Expense of Old-Age Pensions Under Federal Social Security Act.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1114, Ordinance No. 9.051304, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $550,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.804.02 to meet the increased expenses in connection with old-age pensions under the Federal Social Security Act.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $550,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.804.02 to meet the increased expenses in connection with old-age pensions under the Federal Social Security Act.

**Transfer of $85,000 From Surplus in Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to General Fund.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 2764, as follows:

Whereas, there is an accumulation in the surplus funds of the

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative for the fiscal year 1936-37 amounting to the sum of $85,000, which exceeds 25 per cent of the total expenditures of such utility for operation, repairs and maintenance for the preceding fiscal year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, pursuant to Section 129 of the Charter, out of the said accumulation in the surplus funds of said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, there is hereby transferred to the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of $85,000.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $85,000 From Surplus in General Fund for Paying Bond Interest and Redemption of Hetch Hetchy Issues.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1115, Ordinance No. 9.051305, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $85,000 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 667.800.01 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the Hetch Hetchy issues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $85,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 667.800.01 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the Hetch Hetchy issues.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Membership of City and County of San Francisco in California League of Municipalities.**

(Code No. 5.3)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2765, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco continue its membership in the League of California Municipalities and pay its annual dues therein as the same may be covered by appropriation.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Approving Old-Age and Blind Pensions and Aid to Half-Orphans; Approving Denial of Aid to Certain Persons.**

(Code No. 19.02)

The following resolution was taken up:

Resolution No. 2766, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the payment of all pensions and allowances due from the City and County of San Francisco to all persons entitled to aid, pensions or allowances pursuant to the provisions of the Old-Age Security Act and the Half-Orphan Aid Act under authority of Section 22, Article IV, of the Constitution of the State of California, and Sections 2283 to 2289 of the Political Code, and under authority of the act approved May 28, 1929, for the relief of needy blind persons, as reported by the Director of the County Welfare Department due as of September 1, 1936, and the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of the County Welfare Department as to persons entitled to aid, pensions or allowances under the aforesaid acts as of September 1, 1936, and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and said reports shall stand approved as to persons and as to amounts due

under the aforesaid acts except as hereinafter supplemented by order of the Board of Supervisors or curtailed by order of said Board or by report of said County Welfare Department.

Further Resolved, That the recommendation of the Director of said County Welfare Department as to the denial of aid, pensions and allowances under any of the aforesaid acts be and the same is hereby approved.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the case of a blind man named Castanetto who was adjudged to be entitled to receive $50 per month pension, but whose money was being held up in the Controller's Office on letter from Mrs. Schenck, and requested that the Board of Supervisors notify the Controller to pay Mr. Castanetto $50, the amount due him.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to refer to Clerk to get City Attorney's opinion as to our status in the matter under Section 22 of the Charter.

*So ordered.*

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, the matter was *laid over until tomorrow's meeting.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Appropriating $10,000 for Traffic Studies Throughout the City.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, as follows:

Appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, and the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Establishing Grades on Patterson Street Between Oakdale Avenue and Its Northeasterly Termination.**
(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1111, Ordinance No. 12.07110, as follows:

Establishing grades on Patterson street between Oakdale avenue and its northeasterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Patterson street between Oakdale avenue and its northeasterly termination are hereby established at points

hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office August 21, 1936.

| *Patterson street*: | *feet* |
|---|---|
| Northeasterly termination | 9.70 |
| Southeasterly line of, at Oakdale avenue, northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 7.74 |
| Northwesterly line of, at Oakdale avenue, northeasterly line (The same being the present official grade.) | 8.26 |

On Patterson street between Oakdale avenue and its northeasterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Acceptance of Streets in Pinelake Park.**
(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1112, Ordinance No. 12.081123, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Crestlake drive between Sloat boulevard and El Mirasol place, Palos place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Vale avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Goleta avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Paraiso place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Gabilan way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Crestlake drive between Sloat boulevard and El Mirasol place, Palos place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Vale avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Goleta avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Paraiso place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Gabilan way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *P. M.*:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Limit Parking to Twenty Minutes on Montgomery Street Between California and Washington Streets.**
(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1113, Ordinance No. 11.0219, as follows:

Amending Section 38A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 38A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New

Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38A. Twenty-Minute Parking at Specified Hours. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than twenty (20) minutes between the hours of 7:00 a. m. and 6:00 p. m., on any of the following streets:

Battery street between California and Market streets;
Bush street between Kearny and Market streets;
California street between Kearny and Battery streets;
*Montgomery street between California and Washington streets;*
Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets;
Sansome street between California and Market streets;
Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Changing Grades on Bemis Street Between Castro Street and Mateo Street.**
(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1110, Ordinance No. 12.072224, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bemis street between Castro street and Mateo street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did, on the 22nd day of June, 1936, by Resolution No. 2644, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bemis street between Castro street and Mateo street;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Bemis Street Between Castro and Mateo Streets," approved by Director of Works Order No. 4004, May 6, 1936, and filed in this office May 8, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Transfer of Certain School Land to the Department of Public Works for Richardson Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.175)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2768, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, the Department of Public Works requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring certain school land to said Department for the Richardson Avenue Project, which land is a portion of lot 7, Assessor's Block 934, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the northeast corner of said lot 7, running thence southerly along the east line of lot 7, 53.713 feet; thence northwesterly 70.015 feet to the northerly line of lot 7; thence easterly along last named line 44.911 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the above described land can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works for street purposes; and

Whereas, the Board of Education by Resolution No. C-24 consented to the transfer of this property; and

Whereas, Richardson avenue is to be improved without any expense to the Board of Education; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer be made; and

Whereas, this Board has considered the proposed transfer and hereby determines that said land is no longer necessary for the Board of Education and can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the control of said land be and is hereby transferred to the Department of Public Works for street purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Authorizing Transfer of Certain School Property to the Department of Public Works for Widening Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.175)

Also, Resolution No. 2767, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 72.1751, Bill No. 589, the Department of Public Works requested the Mayor to arrange for transferring portions of certain school lands to said Department for widening 19th avenue, which lands are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL 1—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of 19th avenue, distant thereon 300.17 feet southerly from the southerly line of Irving street; thence southerly along said line of 19th avenue, 74.83 feet to the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Connell J. and Julia F. Furay; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line, 1.406 feet; thence deflecting 91° 52′ 45″ to the left and running northerly, 10.892 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 1950 feet, central angle 1° 52′ 45″, 63.955 feet to tangency with aforesaid line of 19th avenue at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 690.

PARCEL 2—The westerly 30 feet of lot 2, Assessor's Block 1864.

PARCEL 3—The westerly 30 feet of lot 4, Assessor's Block 2031.

PARCEL 4—The westerly 30 feet of lot 3, Assessor's Block 2116.

PARCEL 5—The westerly 30 feet of lot 3, Assessor's Block 2199.

PARCEL 6—The westerly 30 feet of lot 4, Assessor's Block 2347.

PARCEL 7—The westerly 21 feet of lot 4, Assessor's Block 2419.

Whereas, the Director of Property has reported to the Mayor that the above described parcels of land can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works for street purposes; and

Whereas, the Board of Education by Resolution No. B-1431 consented to the transfer of said lands for street purposes; and

Whereas, 19th avenue is to be improved without any expense to the Board of Education and such improvement will benefit and increase the value of the remaining portions of the school lands, which benefit will equal or exceed the value of the above described parcels of land; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended that said transfer be made; and

Whereas, this Board has considered the proposed transfer and hereby determines that the above described lands are no longer necessary for the Department of Education and can be advantageously used by the Department of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the control of the hereinbefore described seven parcels of land be and is hereby transferred to the Department of Public Works for street purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Leave of Absence—Hon. Franck R. Havenner, President, Board of Supervisors.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 2769, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Franck R. Havenner, President of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of eight days, commencing September 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

### Committee to Attend N. S. G. W. Celebration.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Chair be authorized to appoint a committee to represent San Francisco at the Admission Day Celebration in Oakland on September 9.

Motion *carried*—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon and Ratto were appointed.

### Municipal Finance Officers to Hold Next Convention in San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.93)

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. 2770, as follows:

Resolved, That Controller Leonard S. Leavy is hereby authorized to extend an invitation to the Municipal Finance Officers' Association of the United States and Canada, next month in Toronto, Canada, to hold their 1939 annual convention in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 5:30 p. m., recessed to Tuesday, September 1, 1936, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, Tuesday, September 1, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, August 31, 1936.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 3 p. m.

President Havenner presiding.

### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

#### Sale of $3,500,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0338, in the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, September 1, 1936, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1936, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0338 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1936-1937, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1936-1937, in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1936-1937, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1936. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars ($10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in

case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

**Bids.**

The following bids were received, opened, read by the Clerk and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago, Illinois; Northern Trust Company, Chicago, Illinois; Central Hanover Bank & Trust Company, New York, N. Y.; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., San Francisco, California, by Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co. For all, but not for any part less than all, of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) legally issued City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated as of the day of delivery thereof, and maturing December 21, 1936, we bid you the sum of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) face value, plus a premium of fifty-three and 70/100 dollars ($53.70), said notes to bear interest at the rate of twenty-four hundredths of one per cent (0.24%) per annum from the date of delivery to December 21, 1936. In the event that the notes are awarded to us, we are to receive without cost, certified copy of the approving opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist as to the legality of these notes. Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago, Illinois; Northern Trust Company, Chicago, Illinois; Central Hanover Bank & Trust Company, New York, N. Y.; Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., San Francisco, California, by Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co.

(2) The Anglo California National Bank, American Trust Company, Bankamerica Company, by The Anglo California National Bank. For the three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) par value legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we bid you par and in addition thereto a premium of twelve dollars ($12), said notes to bear interest at the rate of eighteen hundredths of one per centum (.18%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes. The Anglo California National Bank, American Trust Company, Bankamerica Company, by The Anglo California National Bank.

(3) The First Boston Corporation. In accordance with your published notice of sale dated August 26th, we will pay $3,500,000 for all but not for any part of $3,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, maturing December 21, 1936, to bear interest at the rate of seventeen one hundredths of one per cent (.17 of 1%) per annum. The First Boston Corporation.

(4) Donnellan & Co. In compliance with your notice dated August 26, 1936, inviting bids for the purchase of $3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, which notice is made part of this proposal and in accordance with the conditions and stipulations contained therein, the undersigned hereby agrees to purchase said $3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes for three million five hundred thousand one hundred seventy-five dollars ($3,500,175), said notes to bear interest at the rate of thirty hundredths per cent per annum (30/100% p. a.) payable at maturity. Donnellan & Co. Ivan B. Heflebower, Manager Municipal Dept.

**Adopted.**

Subsequently, during the meeting the Finance Committee reported the following resolution which was *adopted*:

**Sale of $3,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 2771, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0338 that sealed proposals for the purchase of three million five hundred thousand dollars ($3,500,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, September 1, 1936; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of The First Boston Corporation, by I. Gunderson, assistant manager, San Francisco, September 1, 1936, is hereby accepted as follows:

In accordance with your published notice of sale dated August 26, we will pay $3,500,000 for all but not for any part of $3,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, maturing December 21, 1936, to bear interest at the rate of seventeen one hundredths of one per cent (.17 of 1%) per annum.

We enclose our certified check in the amount of $10,000 which is evidence of good faith.

Very truly yours,
The First Boston Corporation,
By I. Gunderson, Assistant Manager.

That all other bids for said Tax Anticipation Notes be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters laid over from last meeting were taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $85,000 from Surplus in General Fund for Paying Bond Interest and Redemption of Hetch Hetchy Issues.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1115, Ordinance No. 9.051305, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $85,000 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation 667.800.01 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the Hetch Hetchy issues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $85,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 667.800.01 for the purpose of paying Bond Interest and Redemption of the Hetch Hetchy Issues.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $550,000 from Accrued Surplus in General Fund to Meet Increased Expense of Old Age Pensions Under the Federal Social Security Act.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1114, Ordinance No. 9.051304, as follows

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $550,000 out of the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.804.02 to meet the increased expenses in connection with old age pensions under the Federal Social Security Act.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $550,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.804.02 to meet the increased expenses in connection with old age pensions under the Federal Social Security Act.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Appropriating $10,000 for Traffic Studies Throughout the City.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, as follows:

Appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, traffic engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the city, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the city, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Approving Old-Age and Blind Pensions and Aid to Half-Orphans: Approving Denial of Aid to Certain Persons.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 2766, as follows

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the payment of all pensions and allowances due from the City and County of San Francisco to all persons entitled to aid, pensions or allowances pursuant to the provisions of the Old Age Security Act and the Half-Orphan Aid Act under authority of Section 22, Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, and Sections 2283 to 2289 of the Political Code, and under authority of the act approved May 28, 1929, for the relief of needy blind persons, as reported by the Director of the County Welfare Department due as of September 1, 1936, and the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board

upon the reports of said Director of the County Welfare Department as to persons entitled to aid, pensions or allowances under the aforesaid acts as of September 1, 1936, and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco and said reports shall stand approved as to persons and as to amounts due under the aforesaid acts except as hereinafter supplemented by order of the Board of Supervisors or curtailed by order of said Board or by report of said County Welfare Department.

Further Resolved, That the recommendation of the Director of said County Welfare Department as to the denial of aid, pensions and allowances under any of the aforesaid acts be and the same is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt—2.

**Transfer of $85,000 from Surplus in Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund to General Fund.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Also Resolution No. 2764, as follows:

Whereas, There is an accumulation in the surplus funds of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative for the fiscal year 1936-37 amounting to the sum of $85,000, which exceeds 25 per cent of the total expenditures of such utility for operation, repairs and maintenance for the preceding fiscal year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, pursuant to Section 129 of the Charter, out of the said accumulation in the surplus funds of said Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, there is hereby transferred to the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of $85,000.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Miss Margaret Ennis, Member Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2772, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Miss Margaret Ennis, member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing September 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adoptd* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—Carl L. Maritzen, Member Board of Permit Appeals.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2773, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Carl L. Maritzen, member of the Board of Permit Appeals,

is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing September 1, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

### Public Utilities Commission to Recommend Project for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the Board of Supervisors request the Public Utilities Commission, at its earliest convenience, to recommend one of the projects transmitted to us for the disposal of Hetch Hetchy power.

Motion *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt, Shannon—2.

### Subway and Rapid Transit.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Document outlining proposed subway and rapid transit system for San Francisco which was considered read and thereupon *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

### Statement by Supervisor Brown.

Under his name at roll call, Supervisor Brown rose to a question of personal privilege and read a communication from the Park Presidio Improvement Association addressed to himself criticizing him for failure to attend the Public Welfare Committee meeting on August 26 and voicing the indignation of said association at Supervisor Brown's alleged action in walking out of the meeting called for the removal of cemeteries on Wednesday, August 26, 1936, at 4 p. m., and stating further that a large delegation of citizens who had left their businesses and homes at considerable inconvenience to attend this meeting, were dismissed. That the ruling of City Attorney O'Toole for a legal meeting (Supervisor Schmidt in Europe on leave) required at least two members to be present, and that it was not fair that a public servant should act in this way and not respond to telephone calls to be present unless there is a very good reason of which the public had not been advised.

Supervisor Brown stated that he had been informed by a personal friend that Supervisor Uhl had declared to those assembled for the hearing that he (Supervisor Brown) was responsible that the meeting could not be held, and that Supervisor Brown had refused to come out and attend the meeting when requested by himself (Supervisor Uhl) over the 'phone.

Supervisor Brown, in explanation of this criticism, made the following recital of facts:

I was granted a leave of absence from the Board on August 4th for four weeks, expiring September 3. I was in Twin Falls, Idaho, on business, during my leave and in response to a telegram from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors stating that nine members were required to be here at a Board meeting in reference to the tax levy ordinance, and the McClintock matter regarding rapid transit. And at great inconvenience to myself I returned from Twin Falls, Idaho, for that meeting, and remained until the business of the Board was completed.

During this meeting of the Board on Wednesday, August 26, there was a scheduled meeting of the Streets Committee called for 3 p. m. Supervisor Ratto asked to be excused to hold that Streets Committee meeting, and the Board of Supervisors refused permission. When 4 o'clock came the Board of Supervisors was still in session, and Supervisor Uhl took no such action regarding the scheduled meeting of the Public Welfare Committee called to consider the cemetery question.

I informed Supervisor Uhl that I would be unable to remain if the Board meeting continued after 4:30 p. m., and still Supervisor Uhl took no action as far as the people in the auditorium were concerned, who were present to attend the Welfare Committee meeting. When 4:40 arrived and the Board adjourned, I left and went back to my office, after informing Mr. Uhl again that I would be unable to remain for the Welfare Committee meeting. (The other member of the committee, Dr. Schmidt, being on leave and in Europe.)

Shortly thereafter Mr. Uhl called me at my office about five minutes to five and urged me to return, to attend the Welfare Committee meeting, and I told him that I came back to the City only to attend the Board meeting, but that I had been here at 4 o'clock, at the time the Welfare Committee meeting had been called, and would have been glad to remain for half an hour to make the meeting possible, but in view of the circumstances concerning my presence in town at all, in view of the fact that I was still on leave of absence from the Board and that the Board meeting itself had interfered with the scheduled meeting of the Public Welfare Committee, I did not feel, at the necessary sacrifice of my business affairs or my plans, that I should be called upon to come back for a meeting which he (Supervisor Uhl) and I had already agreed would result only in passing to the Board of Supervisors as a whole the affair before the committee concerning the cemetery removal matter. I told him (Supervisor Uhl) that as far as I was concerned, that it was O. K. for him to consider me present and voting for such action, which I thought could be taken by one member of the committee.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the Clerk be instructed to write a letter to the Park Presidio Improvement Club confirming the facts as I stated them here (that he, Brown, was present in the Hall at 4 o'clock, at the time the meeting was called, that the Board was in session, that all the votes of the Board were required at the Board meeting, and that for that reason no members were permitted to be excused to go to the committee meetings at the time the meeting was called at 4 o'clock. Similar action had been in reference to the Streets Committee.)

Motion *carried unanimously.*

### Meeting on Cemetery Removal.

Supervisor Uhl announced that the Welfare Committee would meet tomorrow (Wednesday, September 2, 1936) to consider the Cemetery Removal Ordinances.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5:05 p. m., took a recess until Thursday, September 3, 1936, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1936, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled in Committee of the Whole, pursuant to motion made at meeting of August 31, 1936, for the purpose of giving consideration to the proposed ordinance providing for the widening of Taylor street by the reduction of sidewalks from Golden Gate avenue to Post street.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt—2.

Supervisor Havenner, at his request, was excused from this meeting at the last session of the Board.

Supervisor Ratto presiding.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved that before considering the order of the day the Board resolve itself into a Board of Supervisors for the purpose of giving consideration to the following communication from the Registrar of Voters:

Motion *carried.*

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors reassembled, all members heretofore noted being present, Supervisor Ratto in the Chair:

**Communication from Registrar of Voters.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 3, 1936.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sir: This is to certify that the official canvass of votes cast at the State Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 25th day of August, 1936, has been completed and votes cast for all candidates for all offices compiled, and the total vote cast, and the total vote cast for each candidate is set forth in Statement of Votes for said election, marked "A-S," as follows:

Total vote cast, 149,199.

Yours very truly,
C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

**Vote Cast, State Primary Election, August 25, 1936; Registrar of Voters to Transmit to Secretary of State Statement of Votes; Approving Statement of Votes, Record Book.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 2775, as follows:

Resolved, That the State Primary Election, held August 25, 1936, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the whole number of votes cast in the 1055 precincts was 149,199.

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State a certified copy of the statement of votes of State Primary Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, August 25, 1936;

Resolved, That the record book marked "A-S," statement of votes polled at Primary Election held in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, State of California, on Tuesday, August 25, 1936, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the State Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, August 25, 1936, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the persons voted for, the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco in each voting precinct to each of such persons.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Schmidt—3.

**Committee of the Whole.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors again resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of continuing the hearing on the widening of Taylor street.

All members heretofore noted being present, Supervisor Ratto in the Chair.

S. Laz Lansburgh, attorney representing Golden Gate Theater Building; A. A. Wilson, representing A. W. Wilson and himself, owners of the Grant Hotel; A. G. Curtis, representing the Musto Estate at 530 Post street, and the Post Taylor Garage; Miss Ruth L. Landgrebe, Secretary-Manager of the Market and Taylor Building Company, Loew Warfield Theater, were heard in opposition to the proposed improvement; Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association; A. W. Thomas, representing the Taylor Street Property Owners Association; Frank Barrett, property owner, were heard in favor of the proposed widening of Taylor street.

**Protests.**

The following were read by the Clerk:

Communication from S. Laz Lansburgh, President and attorney for corporation which owns the Golden Gate Building and the Golden Gate Theater, protesting proposed widening of Taylor street.

Communication from A. S. Newburgh, attorney, representing the Loew Warfield Theater, protesting the widening of Taylor street.

Communication from S. Joseph Thiesen, attorney, requesting additional time for consideration of proposed reduction of sidewalks on Taylor street.

Communication from Fox West Coast Theaters protesting proposed reduction of sidewalks on Taylor street.

Petition of Charles Schlessinger, owner of the Raford Hotel, protesting widening of Taylor street.

Petition of Market Taylor Company and numerous other storekeepers, hotel keepers, property owners on the east and west side of Taylor street, protesting reduction of sidewalks on Taylor street.

Communication from B. A. Scott, City Superintendent, Postal Telegraph Cable Company, advising that if sidewalk is cut down they will have to seek a new location.

Protest of J. Kugel against reducing sidewalk widths on Taylor street.

W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works, and John J. Casey, City Engineer, were heard at length regarding the pending question.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the subject matter was taken into the hands of the Board.

**Proposed Amendment.**

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy proposed to amend the pending ordinance by providing that the work of widening Taylor street shall be started at Post and Taylor streets on February 1, 1937. No second.

**Final Passage.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt—2.

**Committee of the Whole Arises.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board of Supervisors.

**Final Passage.**

Thereupon, the following ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Taylor Street Between Golden Gate Avenue and Post Street from 15 to 10 Feet.**
(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1095, Ordinance No. 12.073191, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-two (1182).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 31st, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and eighty-two (1182), to read as follows:

Section 1182: The width of sidewalks on Taylor street between Golden Gate avenue and Post street shall be 10 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt—2.

**Study of Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**
(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2774, as follows:

Resolved, That the State Highway Commission be requested to instruct its engineers to make necessary engineering studies and plans for a Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge for submission to the Federal Government.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens Committee for Observance of Pulaski Day, October 4, 1936.**
(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2776, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a citi-

zens' committee to arrange for the proper celebration of Pulaski Day, October 4, 1936.

Count Casimir Pulaski, the great Polish patriot, contributed much to American independence, and is worthy of the highest esteem of all our citizens. This celebration will be under the auspices of the United Slavonic Alliance of California and will draw visitors from all parts of the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Schmidt—2.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 5:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 37

Tuesday, September 8, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 8, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 31, September 1 and 3, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### Canvass of State Primary Election, August 25, 1936.

The following was *ordered printed in the Journal*:

The Board of Supervisors met Thursday, August 27, 1936, at 1 o'clock p. m. at the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall. Supervisor Havenner presiding.

The official returns of votes cast at the State Primary Election held Tuesday, August 25, 1936, were produced by the Registrar of Voters and official canvass of same proceeded with, commencing with returns from the first precinct, Twentieth Assembly District and proceeded in orderly fashion taking each precinct in numerical order.

Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, August 28, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor McSheehy presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Saturday, August 29, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Meyer presiding. Recess was taken at 12 o'clock noon.

Monday, August 31, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Roncovieri presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, September 1, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Shannon presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Wednesday, September 2, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor McSheehy presiding. Recess was taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, September 3, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. and completed. Supervisor Meyer presiding.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Limit Parking to Twenty Minutes on Montgomery Street Between California and Washington Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Bill No. 1113, Ordinance No. 11.0219, as follows:

Amending Section 38A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 38A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38A. Twenty-Minute Parking at Specified Hours. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than twenty (20) minutes between the hours of 7:00 a. m. and 6:00 p. m., on any of the following streets:

Battery street between California and Market streets;
Bush street between Kearny and Market streets;
California street between Kearny and Battery streets;
*Montgomery street between California and Washington streets;*
Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets;
Sansome street between California and Market streets;
Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were *laid over one week*:

**Appropriating $4,840 From Emergency Reserve Appropriation for Services and Room Rent of Four Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1090, Ordinance No. 9.51297, as follows:

Appropriating $4,840 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at San Francisco Hospital, at $100 per month, and for room rent at $10 per month each; being for 11 months commencing August 1, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,840 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital salaries, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at $100 a month each for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936, and for the payment of room rent at $10 per month each for said nurses.

**Appropriation of $6,101.26 for Drygoods and Wearing Apparel for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1097, Ordinance No. 9.051298, as follows:

Appropriating $6,101.26 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,101.26 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.-900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for the San Francisco Hospital.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Rates of Pay—Board of Education.
(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1103, Ordinance No. 9.05383, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 81 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by changing the rate fixed for the employment enumerated under Item 208 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 81 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(Rates of pay fixed by Board of Education approved by the Board of Supervisors for convenience of Controller and Civil Service Commission.)

Section 81. **BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1 | A6 | Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs . . . .....$ | 375 |
| 201 | 4 | A154 | Carpenter at $9 per day..... | |
| 202 | 1 | A162 | Foreman Carpenter at $10 per day..... | |
| 203 | 3 | A354 | Painter at $9 per day..... | |
| 204 | 1 | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 220 |
| 205 | | B6 | Senior Bookkeeper ..... | 190 |
| 206 | 1 | B14 | Senior Accountant ..... | 375 |
| 207 | | B14 | Senior Accountant ..... | 275 |
| 208 | 1 | B58 | Secretary, Board of Education (exempt) | 425 |
| 209 | 1 | B180 | Law Clerk, Board of Education..... | 275 |
| 210 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (full time)..... | 85 |
| 211 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time)..... | 75 |
| 212 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 200 |
| 213 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 190 |
| 214 | 3 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 175 |
| 215 | 1 | B222 | General Clerk ..... | 155 |
| 216 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk ..... | 190 |
| 218 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator ..... | 175 |
| 219 | 1 | B308 | Comptometer Operator ..... | 155 |
| 220 | 2 | B308 | Comptometer Operators (as needed), at $5 per day..... | |
| 221 | 1 | B354 | General Storekeeper ..... | 230 |
| 222 | 1 | B380 | Armorer, R. O. T. C. (part time)..... | 75 |
| 223 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer..... | 215 |
| 224 | 48 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer..... | 175 |
| 225 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer..... | 165 |
| 226 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer..... | 155 |
| 227 | 10 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer..... | 150 |
| 228 | 6 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer..... | 140 |
| 229 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $4.20 per evening..... | |
| 230 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.30 per evening..... | |

Section 81. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Per Month |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 231 | 5 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at $3.00 per evening | |
| 232 | 31 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer, at $6 per day | |
| 233 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 215 |
| 234 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 200 |
| 235 | 1 | B412 | Senior Clerk-Stenographer | 180 |
| 237 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 175 |
| 238 | 1 | B454 | Telephone Operator | 150 |
| 239 | | B454 | Telephone Operator (part time) for relief when needed, at $2 per day | |
| 240 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 215 |
| 241 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 190 |
| 242 | 5 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 243 | 3 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 155 |
| 244 | 95 | C102 | Janitress | 140 |
| 245 | 5 | C102 | Janitress | 130 |
| 245½ | 10 | C102 | Janitress (substitutes, inferior positions) at rate of | 130 |
| 246 | 127 | C104 | Janitor | 155 |
| 247 | 37 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 248 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 16 |
| 249 | 1 | C104 | Janitor (part time) | 10 |
| 250 | 18 | C105 | Special Janitor | 162.50 |
| 251 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 185 |
| 252 | 7 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 170 |
| 253 | 3 | C106 | Sub-Foreman Janitor | 160 |
| 254 | 1 | C112 | Supervisor School Janitors | 275 |
| 255 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 140 |
| 256 | 1 | I12 | Cook | 130 |
| 257 | 1 | I12 | Cook (part time) | 75 |
| 258 | 2 | | Pantry Maid (part time) | 75 |
| 260 | 11 | J78 | Stockmen | 200 |
| 261 | 1 | J80 | Foreman Stockman | 205 |
| 262 | 1 | O2 | Chauffeur | 215 |
| 263 | 1 | O104 | Moving Picture Operator | 200 |
| 264 | 2 | O122 | Window Shade Worker | 200 |
| 265 | 12 | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 266 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines (part time) | 125 |
| 267 | | O168 | Engineers, Stationary Steam Engines, $3 per eve. as required | |
| 268 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer Stationary Stm. Engines. | 310 |
| 269 | 1 | O61 | Foreman Gardener | 175 |
| 270 | 8 | O58 | Gardener | 145 |
| 271 | | | Temporary clerical employment and other help as needed at rates fixed in salary ordinance | |
| | | | TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL | |
| 272 | | | 2½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 273 | | | 1-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 274 | | | 3½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |
| 275 | | | 1½-ton truck at rates established by Purchaser's contract | |

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Amending Salary Ordinance—County Welfare Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1104, Ordinance No. 9.05384, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 63 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the employments enumerated under Item 4 from one to five positions and under Item 9 from four to fourteen positions, and by adding Item 12 thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 63 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B228 | Senior Clerk .....$ | 185 |
| 2 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 185 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 160 |
| 4 | 5 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer ..... | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B510 | Braille Typist ..... | 150 |
| 6 | 3 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator..... | 165 |
| 7 | 4 | T152 | Junior Social Service Investigator..... | 150 |
| 8 | 4 | T156 | Social Service Investigator..... | 180 |
| 9 | 14 | T156 | Social Service Investigator..... | 150 |
| 10 | 1 | T160 | Senior Social Service Investigator..... | 200 |
| 11 | 1 | T162 | Director of County Welfare Department | 300 |
| 12 | | T156 | Social Service Investigator (part time) | 80 |

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Sale of School Land on Twenty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1107, Ordinance No. 12.17226, as follows:

Authorizing sale of school land on Twenty-fifth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described city-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is under the control of the Board of Education:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly along said line of Twenty-fifth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence southerly along last named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

### Amending Salary Ordinance—Real Estate Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1108, Ordinance No. 9.05385, as follows:

Amending Section 38 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by increasing the number of employees under Item No. 7 thereof by four and fixing compensation at $300, and eliminating Item No. 8 and changing Item No. 9 to read Item No. 8.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 38 of Ordinance 9.05380 to read as follows:

**Section 38. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .....$ | 175 |
| 2 | 1 | F258 | Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman... | 275 |
| 3 | 1 | G206 | Chief Right of Way Agent..... | 600 |

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer .....$ | 150 |
| 5 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist ..... | 150 |
| 6 | 1 | F254 | Civil Engineering Draftsman..... | 200 |
| 7 | 5 | G202 | Division Right of Way Agent..... | 300 |
| 8 | 1 | G204 | Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent.... | 375 |
| | | | Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation. | |

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

### Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Bill No. 1109, Ordinance No. 12.172529, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Public Utilities Commission:

Beginning at the southwest corner of lot 1, block 7, as per "Map of School House Homestead Association, situate in the First Township, San Mateo County," recorded July 10, 1872, in Book 1 of Maps at page 9, San Mateo County Records; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Clara street 137 feet to a point distant southerly 113 feet from the northwest corner of lot 4 in said block 7; thence southeasterly in a straight line 225 feet, more or less, to a point on the

southerly line of lot 2 in said block 7, distant westerly 62 feet from the west line of Briggs street; thence westerly along the southerly line of lots 2 and 1, 178 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of lots 1, 2 and 4 of said block 7, as per said Map of School House Homestead Association.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Condemnation Proceedings Required for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2777, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 1: Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Church street with the northerly line of Dorland street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Church street, 130 feet to the southwest corner of the property now or formerly owned by Harry A. Centanaro and Adele Centanaro; thence along the boundaries of last-named property, easterly 34 feet 10 inches, northerly 13 feet 2 inches and easterly 19 feet 2 inches; thence easterly along the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by American Trust Company and the property now or formerly owned by John J. Welsh and Marie M. Welsh, 56 feet 2⅝ inches to the northwest corner of that certain parcel of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded June 2, 1936, Liber 2962, page 159, Official Records of San Francisco, thence southerly along the westerly line of said parcel of land, 158 feet 3 inches to the northerly line of Dorland street; thence westerly along last-named line, 114 feet 1⅜ inches to the point of commencement.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Dorland street, distant easterly thereon 186 feet ¾ inches from the easterly line of Church street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of property now or formerly owned by Richard Speckter, 165 feet; thence along the boundaries of property now or formerly owned by James H. C. Caley, George L. McCoy, Muriel Cauley, A. F. Rossum, Charles J. Kern, Fernand Domergue, respectively, easterly 5 feet; southerly 4 feet 1½ inches, easterly 113 feet, southerly 56 feet ⅛ inch, easterly 56 feet and southerly 127 feet 5½ inches to the northerly line of Dorland street; thence westerly along last-named line 174 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 3: Commencing at the southeast corner of that certain tract of land conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded June 2, 1936, Book 2962, page 158, Official Records of San Francisco, running thence northerly along the easterly line of said tract 100 feet; thence along the boundaries of lands now or formerly of W. E. Coulthard and Earl W. Wilson, respectively, easterly 50 feet and southerly 103 feet 2½ inches to the northerly line of Dorland street; thence westerly along last-named line 50 feet 2⅞ inches to the point of commencement.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for playground use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of a school playground in connection with the Mission High School. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said parcels of land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interest therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2778, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Richard Speckter et ux., Lot 14, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School for the sum of $9,000, payable from Appropriation No. 570.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Sale of $462,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.**

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Resolution No. 2779, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 21st day of September, 1936, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on said date, for the purchase of:

"$482,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 37 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 17 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, each year 1938 to 1962, inclusive; 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1963. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

**Authorizing Appropriation of $5,000 for Construction of Facilities at Central Point of Loading, Weighing, Inspecting and Reloading Truck Loads of Meat.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1116, Ordinance No. 9.051306, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of $5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.501.50-4, for the construction of facilities at a central point for loading, weighing, inspecting and reloading truck loads of meat; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.501.50-4, for the construction of facilities at a central point for loading, weighing, inspecting and reloading truck loads of meat.

Section 2. The moneys appropriated hereunder are to be returned to the Emergency Reserve Fund out of the revenue received in excess of operating requirements under the proposed meat inspection fee ordinance.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operations of the Meat Inspection Division of the Department of Public Health.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Easement for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 15.0241)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2780, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charles Templeton Crocker a right of way easement 40 feet wide over that certain property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and particularly described in the Decree of Distribution recorded in Liber 80 of Deeds, at page 145, San Mateo County Records, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $2,244, payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2781, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., a corporation, all of Assessor's Block 2147, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $15,600, $2,600 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $13,000 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2782, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Franklin T. Hittell and Charles J. Hittell, all of Assessor's Block 2145, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $15,600, $2,600 payable from Appropriation No. 693.903.05-57, and the balance of $13,000 pay-

able from the moneys on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**
(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2783, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from F. J. Brickwedel and Maye Brickwedel, Lot 22, in Assessor's Block 2146, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $7,800, $1,300 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $6,500 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing the Controller to Draw His Warrant for the Payment of Two Lost Coupons, School Bonds, 1918.**
(Code No. 9.07)

Also, Resolution No. 2784, as follows:

Whereas, proof having been made to the satisfaction of the Board of Supervisors that the Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association on or about March 3, 1936, through its Fresno Main Office, accepted from one of its regular depositors two coupons in the amount of $22.50 each, totaling $45, detached from City and County School Bonds, issue 1918, Nos. 2371 and 2372, each coupon being No. 36, and payable March 1, 1936; and

Whereas, diligent search has been made both at the San Francisco and Fresno Main Offices, but said coupons cannot be located, and therefore have been lost, destroyed or stolen; and

Whereas, since said day said coupons, or either of them have never been heard of or presented for payment and that a stop payment order has been placed against them by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, said coupons are hereby declared to have been lost or destroyed within the meaning of the Act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled: "An Act to provide for the issuance of duplicates of bonds, warrants and other evidences of indebtedness of counties and municipal and other corporations," Chapter 822, Statutes of 1933; and

Whereas, said Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association having made application to this Board of Supervisors for payment of said coupons without presentation thereof, it being a condition of such payment to the Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association that said bank will guarantee and indemnify the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller, the Treasurer and the Board of Supervisors against any loss or damage of any kind or character arising out of any subsequent presentation, demand or payment of said coupons; and

Whereas, in view of the small amount involved in comparison to the high cost of lithographing, it would be uneconomic to have duplicates made, and that the payment of said coupons under aforemen-

tioned warranty and without presentation thereof is well within the spirit and intent of the provision of said Chapter 822, Statutes of 1933; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for the payment of said coupons in favor of Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, upon condition that the said Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association deliver to the said Controller a satisfactory guaranty indemnifying the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller, the Treasurer and the Board of Supervisors against any loss or damages of any kind or character, arising out of any subsequent presentation, demand or payment of said coupons.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2785, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Sol Getz and Sons, Lots 1, 1a, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, in Assessor's Block 2146, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $6,300, $1,050 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $5,250 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $62,679 From Surplus in 1929 Sewer Bond Fund to Pay Bond Interest and Redemption of 1929 Sewer Bond Issue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1117, Ordinance No. 9.051307, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $62,679 out of the surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund (Premium) to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.901.67 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the 1929 Sewer Bond Issue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $62,679 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund (Premium) to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.901.67 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the 1929 Sewer Bond Issue.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was *laid over one week. Water Department to be asked as to practicability of use of property for Municipal Links*:

**Authorizing Lease of Crystal Springs Property.**
**(Code No. 12.17352)**

Resolution No. 2786, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17352, Bill No. 797, authorizing the leasing of that certain 172.5-acre tract of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, commonly known as the Crystal Springs Country Club, that the offer made by the Crystal Springs Country Club be accepted, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of Public Welfare Committee were *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

**Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**
**(Code No. 17.19)**

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits

of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said

mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

### Calvary Cemetery Removal.
(Code No. 17.19)

Also, Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commis-

sions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was *laid over one week for correction and for opinion of the Chief Administrative Officer and Fire Department as to its sufficiency*:

### Night Club Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1118, Ordinance No. 11.056, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, maintenance and conducting of night clubs in the City and County of San Francisco and providing for the safety of patrons and employees thereof and for the issuance of permits for the establishment, maintenance and conducting of night clubs and defining certain terms used in this ordinance and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The term "night club" as used in this ordinance is hereby defined to be any place, room, building or space where food and/or drink of any kind or character is prepared, sold, distributed or given away to be consumed upon the premises by human beings, and where entertainment of any kind or character or dancing is conducted or

permitted upon the premises in conjunction with the serving of said food and/or drink.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to conduct or maintain any night club within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Department of Public Health. No such permit shall be issued by the Central Permit Bureau until the issuance of the same has been approved by the Department of Public Health, the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

Section 3. Application for said permit shall be made to the Department of Public Health, which said application shall state the proposed location of said night club, the character of the building in which the same is proposed to be conducted or maintained, and the size of the premises contemplated to be occupied by the applicant, as well as the number of patrons to be accommodated at any one time in said night club. Upon receipt of said application the Central Permit Bureau shall forthwith send copies thereof to the Department of Public Health, the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. It shall be the duty of each of the said bureaus and departments, upon receipt of said application, to investigate the condition of the premises in which said night club is proposed to be maintained in so far as said conditions come under the jurisdiction of the said respective bureaus and departments and, upon the completion of said investigation, to approve or disapprove the granting of said permit. In the event of the disapproval of the application by any of said bureaus or departments, said application for said permit shall be denied.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation conducting or maintaining any night club in the City and County of San Francisco at the time this ordinance shall become effective shall make immediate application to the Department of Public Health for a permit to continue the maintenance and conduct of said night club.

Section 5. Every person, firm or corporation making application to maintain a night club shall pay to the Department of Public Health the sum of $10 for the purpose of defraying the cost of investigating and passing upon the application as hereinbefore referred to.

Section 6. The following regulations shall apply to all night clubs which may be in operation at the time this ordinance becomes effective as well as to all night clubs which may hereafter be established, maintained or conducted:

(a) All drapes, hangings, curtains and decorations, including curtains, drapes and hangings on or over windows shall be fire-proofed and kept permanently fire-proofed, to the satisfaction of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety; and whenever the said Bureau shall determine that any drape, hanging, curtain or decoration in any night club has ceased to be fire-proof, the said Bureau shall cause the same to be removed or to be refire-proofed to the satisfaction of said Bureau.

(b) No drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations shall be installed in any night club without approval therefor being first had and obtained from the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. In this connection a sample of the fire-proofed material from which said drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations are proposed to be made shall be furnished to the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety for its inspection.

(c) The doors serving as exits, or leading to exits, from any night club shall be arranged so as to open outwardly and shall be of such width as, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, shall be sufficient to provide a safe means of exit for all patrons and employees of said night club in case of fire or other panic. Said doors shall remain unlocked during the time said night club is open

to the public or shall be equipped with panic bolts approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. All doorways and passageways leading thereto shall be kept clear and unobstructed. Proper exit signs and lights shall be placed as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may direct. When permission is granted by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety to allow exits to open on an alley or open court, said alley or open court must be kept clear and unobstructed and well lighted at all times. In lieu of satisfactory means of egress from any night club the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may, as safety measures, order the installation of an approved automatic sprinkler system and/or the installation of metallic fire escapes with stairway extensions suspended from the first balcony of said escape which, when operated, shall reach the ground. The kind and location of said equipment shall be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety and the construction thereof shall be in accordance with the direction of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Board of Public Works.

(d) No windows shall be obstructed in any manner whatsoever and all windows opening onto a fire escape shall be of the French type window; provided that a door opening outward onto such fire escape may be permitted in lieu of a window if said door is so constructed as not to obstruct the said fire escape when said door is opened, and said door shall be maintained so as to provide clear and unobstructed access to said fire escape.

(e) Open flames shall not be permitted in any night club except where said flames are used for cooking purposes; provided, however, that a system of gas illumination for emergency purposes will be permitted when approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. The use of candles may be permitted if said candles, when lighted, are protected in such manner as may be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(f) In every night club where dressing rooms and/or storage rooms are provided for entertainers and/or storage of supplies and other materials, the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require an approved system of automatic sprinklers to be installed in such dressing rooms and/or storage rooms, in which case said rooms shall be subject to all rules and regulations as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(g) There shall be maintained in all night clubs at least two (2) fire extinguishers of a type approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, said extinguishers to be kept in good working order and to be recharged at least every six (6) months. Additional fire extinguishers shall be installed as may be required by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. All such extinguishers shall be placed in and about the premises as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may designate and the employees in and about said night clubs shall be instructed as to the proper handling of said extinguishers.

(h) All exits and fire escapes shall be properly marked and designated by signs and lights to be kept burning during all times while said night clubs are open for business. All artificial illumination shall be by electricity and where located in corridors, hallways and stairways said lighting shall be maintained on a separate circuit distinct from the normal lighting system of the premises.

Section 7. The following regulations together with the regulations hereinbefore set forth, except as the same may be modified by this section, shall apply to all night clubs not in existence at the time this ordinance becomes effective and which may be opened hereafter, which said last mentioned night clubs, for the purpose of reference, shall be designated as "new" night clubs:

(a) All exits from said new night clubs shall open upon a street or alley or upon an open court, corridor or vestibule leading to a street or alley. The width of such corridor, court, street or alley shall be

not less than seven (7) feet and the width of any such vestibule shall be not less than the required width of the exit which it serves and all such corridors, courts or alleys shall be well lighted at all times by either natural or electric light.

(b) All new night clubs conducted on a street level of any building shall have not less than two (2) exits, each of which said exits shall be at least five (5) feet in width; each of said exits shall open directly into an open street or into a lane, alley or court which opens directly into an open street. In any case where, in the judgment of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, it is not practical to provide two exits five (5) feet in width, said Bureau may permit the construction of one exit of not less than ten (10) feet in width.

(c) In all new night clubs conducted or maintained above the ground floor of any building there shall be at least two (2) stairways for the exit of patrons from said new night club, provided that a fire escape of approved construction equipped with an approved stairway extension suspended from the first balcony of said escape and which when operated shall reach the ground, may take the place of one stairway; said fire escape shall be of such width, and so located, as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require. And, provided, further, that said fire escape may take the place of a stairway beginning at the second floor level, not of a stairway required to ground level. The width of the stairway leading from said night club to the street shall be at least five (5) feet, provided said night club shall accommodate not more than one hundred (100) patrons, nor have an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet. In new night clubs accommodating more than one hundred (100) patrons or having an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet, one additional stairway not less than five (5) feet wide or an approved fire escape shall be provided for each additional five thousand (5000) square feet or portion thereof. Said stairways and/or fire escapes shall be as widely separated as possible. Elevators will not be accepted in lieu of stairways or fire escapes. When there may be conditions whereby the installation of additional means of egress is impracticable, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, an approved system of automatic sprinklers may be accepted in lieu thereof.

(d) In the case of a new night club located at street level with a street frontage of less than fifteen (15) feet, and in the case of all new night clubs located below the level of a street, from which there is but one exit leading to said street or alley, the kitchen of said night club shall be located in the rear half of said premises and patrons shall not be served in any portion of said premises which are located in the rear of the kitchen, provided, however, that the provisions relating to location of the kitchen shall not apply where an approved system of automatic sprinklers is installed and maintained.

(e) In all new night clubs all hallways leading to exits shall be not less than five (5) feet in width, but in any case the width of hallways shall not be less than the total width of all stairways, the lower end of which connects with said hallways. Said stairways and hallways shall, at all times while said night club is in operation, be well lighted by either natural or electric light. The ceiling and walls of said hallways shall be metal lined or plastered with one inch of cement plaster on metal lath.

(f) All new night clubs maintained in any part of any building below the level of the street shall be equipped with stairways leading from said night club directly to the street, which said stairways shall be of the width herein provided and of such number as required for night clubs maintained above the ground floor in any building, provided, however, that where it is structurally impracticable, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, to provide more than one such stairway, the width of such stairway shall be equal

to the total width of all stairways required for a night club located above the ground floor.

Section 8. Should any section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence of this ordinance be held unconstitutional or invalid by any court, the Board of Supervisors in passing this ordinance does hereby declare that it would have passed the remaining provisions in said ordinance irrespective of the unconstitutionality or invalidity of said section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence and said remaining portions of this ordinance shall remain and continue to be effective.

Section 9. Any violation of any law or ordinance shall constitute and shall be so construed as to be sufficient reason for the revocation of any permit. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Director of Public Health for cause, upon application of any one or more of the departments or bureaus whose approval was first necessary for the issuance of the permit, after due hearing shall be first had thereon; and reasonable notice shall be given to the person, firm or corporation charged with the said violation, and of the time, place and date set for the hearing on the revocation of said permit.

Section 10. Whenever any discretion as to the operation, construction or equipment of any night club is, by this ordinance, given to any officer, board, bureau, department or commission, the said officer, board, bureau, department or commission shall exercise said discretion only in so far as the same is necessary for the safety of the patrons and employees and other persons frequenting said night club.

Section 11. All ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and all rules and regulations of the Department of Public Health relative to the keeping, preparation and serving of food and drink in restaurants or other places open to the public shall apply to night clubs; and no night club shall permit dancing therein without a permit from the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 12. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Differential in Favor of Local Manufacturers.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the Chief Administrative Officer, asking him for the facts in the matter of award of contract for fire hose, one-half each to the Pioneer Rubber Mills of San Francisco, and to an outside firm.

### Welcome Committee to San Francisco Baseball Players.

Supervisor Colman moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a committee to arrange for a suitable welcome for three outstanding San Francisco boys, playing with the "Yankees," on their return to San Francisco some time in October. (Crosetti, Lazzeri, Di Maggio.)

### Prohibiting the Drinking of Intoxicating Liquor on Any Public Street, Highway, Road, Lane, Alley or Sidewalks.

(Code No. 11.00)

Supervisor Mead presented for Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 1119, Ordinance No. 11.0013, as follows:

Prohibiting the drinking of intoxicating liquor on any public street, highway, road, lane, alley or sidewalks.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to drink intoxicating liquor while said person is on any public street, highway, road, lane, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Referred to Public Welfare and Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

**Old-Age Pension Matters.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to advise the Board who is in charge of the County Welfare Department during Miss Schenk's absence on vacation, and with whom the Board can take up the matter of J. E. Maxwell's pension. Also, that Miss Shenk, or person in charge, appear before the Board at the next meeting, with the files of the Maxwell case.

**Charter Amendment for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

Supervisor Havenner, having raised the question of a bond issue for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power through one of the projects submitted by the Public Utilities Commission, requested that City Attorney O'Toole prepare a draft of Charter Amendment for submission to the electors and that it be presented at next meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

*So ordered.*

**Leave of Absence—Wm. J. Quinn, Chief of the Police Department.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of the Mayor was presented:

Resolution No. 2786, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Wm. J. Quinn, Chief of the Police Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty (30) days commencing September 17, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 14, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 38

PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, September 14, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 14, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Shannon, Schmidt—5. Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Brown excused—out of town.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 8, 1936, was approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL, STREET WORK, 2 P. M.

Hearing appeal of property owners against assessment for the costs and expenses of the work for improvement on Green street south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks by M. Bertolino, as described in declaration of intention, Order No. 3284 of the Department of Public Works.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Brown, representing Annie A. Doyle et al., protested acceptance of work at the northwest corner of McAllister and Buchanan streets on ground that contractor did not remove the debris, but dumped it into the basement of his client.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, the hearing was *continued for further consideration until September* 28, 1936, *at* 2 *p. m.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

#### Rapid Transit.

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

September 14, 1936—Supervisor Uhl read a criticism of Cahill's report comparing its recommendation with the report of O'Shaughnessy in 1929, and moved that Cahill's report be not accepted.

### Action Deferred.

Manager of Utilities Cahill was heard, and after discussion the subject was *laid over until September* 28, 1936, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

### Night Club Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1118, Ordinance No. 11.056, as follows:

Regulating the establishment, maintenance and conducting of night clubs in the City and County of San Francisco and providing for the safety of patrons and employees thereof and for the issuance of permits for the establishment, maintenance and conducting of night clubs and defining certain terms used in this ordinance and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The term "night club" as used in this ordinance is hereby defined to be any place, room, building or space where food and/or drink of any kind or character is prepared, sold, distributed or given away to be consumed upon the premises by human beings, and where entertainment of any kind or character or dancing is conducted or permitted upon the premises in conjunction with the serving of said food and/or drink, provided that an orchestra or music produced by mechanical means shall not be deemed entertainment as said term is used in this ordinance.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to conduct or maintain any night club within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Department of Public Health. No such permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Health until the issuance of the same has been approved by the Department of Public Health, the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

Section 3. Application for said permit shall be made to the Department of Public Health, which said application shall state the proposed location of said night club, the character of the building in which the same is proposed to be conducted or maintained, and the size of the premises contemplated to be occupied by the applicant, as well as the number of patrons to be accommodated at any one time in said night club. Upon receipt of said application the Department of Public Health shall forthwith send copies thereof to the Department of Public Health, the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. It shall be the duty of each of the said bureaus and departments, upon receipt of said application, to investigate the condition of the premises in which said night club is proposed to be maintained in so far as said conditions come under the jurisdiction of the said respective bureaus and departments and, upon the completion of said investigation, to approve or disapprove the granting of said permit. In the event of the disapproval of the application by any of said bureaus or departments, said application for said permit shall be denied.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation conducting or maintaining any night club in the City and County of San Francisco at the time this ordinance shall become effective shall make immediate application to the Department of Public Health for a permit to continue the maintenance and conduct of said night club.

Section 5. Every person, firm or corporation making application to maintain a night club shall pay to the Department of Public Health

the sum of $10 for the purpose of defraying the cost of investigating and passing upon the application as hereinbefore referred to.

Section 6. The following regulations shall apply to all night clubs which may be in operation at the time this ordinance becomes effective as well as to all night clubs which may hereafter be established, maintained or conducted:

(a) All drapes, hangings, curtains and decorations, including curtains, drapes and hangings on or over windows shall be fire-proofed and kept permanently fire-proofed, to the satisfaction of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety; and whenever the said Bureau shall determine that any drape, hanging, curtain or decoration in any night club has ceased to be fire-proof, the said Bureau shall cause the same to be removed or to be refire-proofed to the satisfaction of said Bureau.

(b) No drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations shall be installed in any night club without approval therefor being first had and obtained from the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. In this connection a sample of the fire-proofed material from which said drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations are proposed to be made shall be furnished to the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety for its inspection.

(c) The doors serving as exits, or leading to exits, from any night club shall be arranged so as to open outwardly and shall be of such width as, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, shall be sufficient to provide a safe means of exit for all patrons and employees of said night club in case of fire or other panic. Said doors shall remain unlocked during the time said night club is open to the public or shall be equipped with panic bolts approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. All doorways and passageways leading thereto shall be kept clear and unobstructed. Proper exit signs and lights shall be placed as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may direct. When permission is granted by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety to allow exits to open on an alley or open court, said alley or open court must be kept clear and unobstructed and well lighted at all times. In lieu of satisfactory means of egress from any night club the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may, as safety measures, order the installation of an approved automatic sprinkler system and/or the installation of metallic fire escapes with stairway extensions suspended from the first balcony of said escape which, when operated, shall reach the ground. The kind and location of said equipment shall be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety and the construction thereof shall be in accordance with the direction of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Board of Public Works.

(d) No windows shall be obstructed in any manner whatsoever and all windows opening onto a fire escape shall be of the French type window; provided that a door opening outward onto such fire escape may be permitted in lieu of a window if said door is so constructed as not to obstruct the said fire escape when said door is opened, and said door shall be maintained so as to provide clear and unobstructed access to said fire escape.

(e) Open flames shall not be permitted in any night club except where said flames are used for cooking purposes; provided, however, that a system of gas illumination for emergency purposes will be permitted when approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. The use of candles may be permitted if said candles, when lighted, are protected in such manner as may be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(f) In every night club where dressing rooms and/or storage rooms are provided for entertainers and/or storage of supplies and other materials, the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require an approved system of automatic sprinklers to be installed in such dress-

ing rooms and/or storage rooms, in which case said rooms shall be subject to all rules and regulations as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(g) There shall be maintained in all night clubs at least two (2) fire extinguishers of a type approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, said extinguishers to be kept in good working order and to be recharged at least every six (6) months. Additional fire extinguishers shall be installed as may be required by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. All such extinguishers shall be placed in and about the premises as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may designate and the employees in and about said night clubs shall be instructed as to the proper handling of said extinguishers.

(h) All exits and fire escapes shall be properly marked and designated by signs and lights to be kept burning during all times while said night clubs are open for business. All artificial illumination shall be by electricity and where located in corridors, hallways and stairways said lighting shall be maintained on a separate circuit distinct from the normal lighting system of the premises.

Section 7. The following regulations together with the regulations hereinbefore set forth, except as the same may be modified by this section, shall apply to all night clubs not in existence at the time this ordinance becomes effective and which may be opened hereafter, which said last mentioned night clubs, for the purpose of reference, shall be designated as "new" night clubs:

(a) All exits from said new night clubs shall open upon a street or alley or upon an open court, corridor or vestibule leading to a street or alley. The width of such corridor, court, street or alley shall be not less than seven (7) feet and the width of any such vestibule shall be not less than the required width of the exit which it serves and all such corridors, courts or alleys shall be well lighted at all times by either natural or electric light.

(b) All new night clubs conducted on a street level of any building shall have not less than two (2) exits, each of which said exits shall be at least five (5) feet in width; each of said exits shall open directly into an open street or into a lane, alley or court which opens directly into an open street. In any case where, in the judgment of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, it is not practical to provide two exits five (5) feet in width, said Bureau may permit the construction of one exit of not less than ten (10) feet in width.

(c) In all new night clubs conducted or maintained above the ground floor of any building there shall be at least two (2) stairways for the exit of patrons from said new night club, provided that a fire escape of approved construction equipped with an approved stairway extension suspended from the first balcony of said escape and which when operated shall reach the ground, may take the place of one stairway; said fire escape shall be of such width, and so located, as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require. And, provided, further, that said fire escape may take the place of a stairway beginning at the second floor level, not of a stairway required to ground level. The width of the stairway leading from said night club to the street shall be at least five (5) feet, provided said night club shall accommodate not more than one hundred (100) patrons, nor have an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet. In new night clubs accommodating more than one hundred (100) patrons or having an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet, one additional stairway not less than five (5) feet wide or an approved fire escape shall be provided for each additional five thousand (5000) square feet or portion thereof. Said stairways and/or fire escapes shall be as widely separated as possible. Elevators will

not be accepted in lieu of stairways or fire escapes. When there may be conditions whereby the installation of additional means of egress is impracticable, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, an approved system of automatic sprinklers may be accepted in lieu thereof.

(d) In the case of a new night club located at street level with a street frontage of less than fifteen (15) feet, and in the case of all new night clubs located below the level of a street, from which there is but one exit leading to said street or alley, the kitchen of said night club shall be located in the rear half of said premises and patrons shall not be served in any portion of said premises which are located in the rear of the kitchen, provided, however, that the provisions relating to location of the kitchen shall not apply where an approved system of automatic sprinklers is installed and maintained.

(e) In all new night clubs all hallways leading to exits shall be not less than five (5) feet in width, but in any case the width of hallways shall not be less than the total width of all stairways, the lower end of which connects with said hallways. Said stairways and hallways shall, at all times while said night club is in operation, be well lighted by either natural or electric light. The ceiling and walls of said hallways shall be metal lined or plastered with one inch of cement plaster on metal lath.

(f) All new night clubs maintained in any part of any building below the level of the street shall be equipped with stairways leading from said night club directly to the street, which said stairways shall be of the width herein provided and of such number as required for night clubs maintained above the ground floor in any building, provided, however, that where it is structurally impracticable, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, to provide more than one such stairway, the width of such stairway shall be equal to the total width of all stairways required for a night club located above the ground floor.

Section 8. Should any section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence of this ordinance be held unconstitutional or invalid by any court, the Board of Supervisors in passing this ordinance does hereby declare that it would have passed the remaining provisions in said ordinance irrespective of the unconstitutionality or invalidity of said section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence and said remaining portions of this ordinance shall remain and continue to be effective.

Section 9. Any violation of any law or ordinance shall constitute and shall be so construed as to be sufficient reason for the revocation of any permit. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Director of Public Health for cause, upon application of any one or more of the departments or bureaus whose approval was first necessary for the issuance of the permit, after due hearing shall be first had thereon; and reasonable notice shall be given to the person, firm or corporation charged with the said violation, and of the time, place and date set for the hearing on the revocation of said permit.

Section 10. Whenever any discretion as to the operation, construction or equipment of any night club is, by this ordinance, given to any officer, board, bureau, department or commission, the said officer, board, bureau, department or commission shall exercise said discretion only in so far as the same is necessary for the safety of the patrons and employees and other persons frequenting said night club.

Section 11. All ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and all rules and regulations of the Department of Public Health relative to the keeping, preparation and serving of food and drink in restaurants or other places open to the public shall apply to night clubs; and no night club shall permit dancing therein without a permit from the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 12. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Seconded by Supervisor Mead, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing interested parties on the pending question.

President Havenner presiding and all members heretofore noted being present.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Attorneys J. J. Schlaepfer and Mr. Hooey, representing Hotels and Restaurants, were granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the use of the words "Night Club" in the ordinance as applied to hotels and restaurants.

Mr. Newhall was also heard on the pending question.

Communication from Bureau of Hotels, Restaurants and Purveyors calling attention to objectionable features of the ordinance and especially to the classification of Hotels and Restaurants as "Night Clubs."

### Amendments Proposed.

Supervisor Mead offered the following amendment to Section 1:

"The term 'night club' as used in this ordinance is hereby defined to be any place, room, or space upon or within any building or structure where any alcoholic beverage and food of any kind or character is served and where a theatrical, operatic, vaudeville or dancing performance, or a combination of such performances, is conducted or permitted upon the floor, a platform or a stage upon or within said place, room or space."

Supervisor Shannon moved that Section 1 be amended by striking out the words: "The term 'Night Club' as used in this ordinance is defined to be," and adding at the end of the proposed amendment the words, "Shall obtain a permit as provided by the provisions of this ordinance."

Amendment to the amendment *carried.*

Supervisor Shannon moved that wherever the word "Night Club" appears in the ordinance that the words "such establishment" be substituted.

*Motion carried.*

Chief of the Fire Department Charles Brennan thereupon offered amendments to various subdivisions of Section 6, which were approved by the Board.

Subdivision d of Section 6 was referred to Chief Brennan and Building Inspector Cope for revamping.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported that the ordinance had been amended in various respects.

### Action Deferred.

Thereupon the ordinance as amended was *laid over one week for final correction.* Clerk directed to send copies to interested parties and notify them that the ordinance will be up for second reading next Monday.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *laid over one week for lack of sufficient votes to pass.*

### Appropriating $10,000 for Traffic Studies Throughout the City.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, as follows:

Appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the City, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

### Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $550,000 from Accrued Surplus in General Fund to Meet Increased Expense of Old Age Pensions Under the Federal Social Security Act.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1114, Ordinance No. 9.051304, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $550,000 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.804.02 to meet the increased expenses in connection with old age pensions under the Federal Social Security Act.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $550,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.804.02 to meet the increased expenses in connection with old age pensions under the Federal Social Security Act.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

### Supplemental Appropriation of $85,000 from Surplus in General Fund for Paying Bond Interest and Redemption of Hetch Hetchy Issues.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1115, Ordinance No. 9.051305, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $85,000 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation 667.800.01 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the Hetch Hetchy issues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $85,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 667.800.01 for the purpose of paying Bond Interest and Redemption of the Hetch Hetchy Issues.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were *laid over one week for lack of sufficient votes to pass*:

**Appropriating $4,840 From Emergency Reserve Appropriation for Services and Room Rent of Four Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1090, Ordinance No. 9.51297, as follows:

Appropriating $4,840 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at San Francisco Hospital, at $100 per month, and for room rent at $10 per month each; being for 11 months commencing August 1, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,840 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital salaries, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at $100 a month each for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936, and for the payment of room rent at $10 per month each for said nurses.

**Appropriation of $6,101.26 for Drygoods and Wearing Apparel for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1097, Ordinance No. 9.051298, as follows:

Appropriating $6,101.26 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,101.26 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.-900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for the San Francisco Hospital.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Changing Grades on Bemis Street Between Castro Street and Mateo Street.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Bill No. 1110, Ordinance No. 12.072224, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bemis street between Castro street and Mateo street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did, on the 22nd day of June, 1936, by Resolution No. 2644, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bemis street between Castro street and Mateo street;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Bemis Street Between Castro and Mateo Streets," approved by Director of Works Order No. 4004, May 6, 1936, and filed in this office May 8, 1936.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Establishing Grades on Patterson Street Between Oakdale Avenue and Its Northeasterly Termination.**

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 1111, Ordinance No. 12.07110, as follows:

Establishing grades on Patterson street between Oakdale avenue and its northeasterly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Patterson street between Oakdale avenue and its northeasterly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office August 21, 1936.

| *Patterson street*: | *feet* |
|---|---|
| Northeasterly termination | 9.70 |
| Southeasterly line of, at Oakdale avenue, northeasterly line | 7.74 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Northwesterly line of, at Oakdale avenue, northeasterly line | 8.26 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |

On Patterson street between Oakdale avenue and its northeasterly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Acceptance of Streets in Pinelake Park.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1112, Ordinance No. 12.081123, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Crestlake drive between Sloat boulevard and El Mirasol place, Palos place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Vale avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Goleta avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Paraiso place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Gabilan way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the

City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Crestlake drive between Sloat boulevard and El Mirasol place, Palos place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Vale avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Goleta avenue between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Paraiso place between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive, Gabilan way between Sloat boulevard and Crestlake drive.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

## NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was *re-referred to the Finance Committee for hearing Friday at 2:30 p. m.—Ray Williamson and L. Sloss to be notified*:

**Authorizing Appropriation of $5,000 for Construction of Facilities at Central Point of Loading, Weighing, Inspecting and Reloading Truck Loads of Meat.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1116, Ordinance No. 9.051306, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of $5,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.501.50-4, for the construction of facilities at a central point for loading, weighing, inspecting and reloading truck loads of meat; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $5,000 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.501.50-4, for the construction of facilities at a central point for loading, weighing, inspecting and reloading truck loads of meat.

Section 2. The moneys appropriated hereunder are to be returned to the Emergency Reserve Fund out of the revenue received in excess of operating requirements under the proposed meat inspection fee ordinance.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists as immediate action is necessary to provide funds for the uninterrupted operations of the Meat Inspection Division of the Department of Public Health.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were taken up:

**Authorizing Correction of Assessment.**

(Code No. 9.011)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2787, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor of the City and County advises of error in the 1936 Assessment Roll, and requests its correction; therefore, be it

Resolved, That assessments set forth, to-wit:

Block 1578, lot 15; land, $930; building, $400; total, $1,330.

Block 1578, lot 15a; land, $930; building, $400; total, $1,330.

Therefore, be it resolved, Pursuant to Sections 3881 and 3881b of the

Political Code, said assessment be and is authorized to read as follows, to-wit:

Block 1578, lot 15; land, $900; building, $3,400; total, $4,300.
Block 1578, lot 15a; land, $900; building, $3,400; total $4,300.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Funds of Duplicate and Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2788, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of amounts paid as duplicate or erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

(1) To J. L. Clarke, as per Vol. 2, page 138, line 12, of supplemental roll of unsecured personal property, 1935.....$3.76
(2) The Sweets Co. of America, Inc., as per Vol. 6, page 51, line 10, of 1936 unsecured personal property rolls..... 6.48
(3) Laurence Baalack, as per Vol. 1, page 2, line 17, of supplemental roll of unsecured personal property for 1935..... 1.16

Verified and approved by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Authorizing Agreement Between the City and Southern Pacific Railroad Company for Construction of Temporary Road at Palmetto Avenue and Alemany Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 2789, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, enter into a written agreement with the Southern Pacific Railroad Company whereby said company shall grant unto the City and County the right to construct and maintain a temporary road over certain land in the vicinity of Palmetto avenue and Alemany boulevard, San Francisco, California; and, be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Authorizing Lease of Crystal Springs Property.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2794, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17352, Bill No. 797, authorizing the leasing of that certain 172.5-acre tract of San Francisco Water Department land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, commonly known as the Crystal

Springs Country Club, that the offer made by the Crystal Springs Country Club be accepted, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed to Land Required for Wawona Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 2790, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated August 22, 1936, from William D. Stendebach to certain land required for the extension of Wawona street to Twenty-eighth avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Quitclaim Deed From the Bank of America to Certain Land Required for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2791, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept from the Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association that certain quitclaim deed dated August 20, 1936, covering the portions of Lots 5, 5a, 5b and 5c, Assessor's Block 932, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Richard E. Doyle, Member of Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of the Mayor was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 2793, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Richard E. Doyle, member of the Board of Education, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of sixty (60) days from and after September 11, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

**Statue of St. Francis of Assisi.**

(Code No. 2.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2795, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco was given its name by its founders in memory of St. Francis of Assisi, a man noted for his learning, for his broad charity to all, and for his kindness to all with whom he came in contact; and

Whereas, certain groups of citizens have signified a desire to aid in the financing of the erection of a statue to the memory of said St. Francis of Assisi; and

Whereas, if a sufficient amount can be obtained by private or other subscription, there is a possibility of the remainder of the cost of said statue being provided for by the Works Progress Administration of the Federal Government; and

Whereas, said Works Progress Administration, through its Federal Art Project, for the past four months has had a number of artists working on a model from which said statue can be constructed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the erection of said statue upon such property belonging to the City and County as may be available therefor, whenever said statue may be approved by the Art Commission as provided by Charter, and does hereby agree to accept such public contributions as may be made through a citizens' committee to aid in the erection of said statue, provided said erection of said statue is made a Works Progress Administration project; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized to make application to the proper authority that said statue be made a Works Progress Administration Project.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Meyer, Schmidt—3.

**Zone Change, South Side of Pacific Avenue Between Polk and Larkin Streets.**

(Code No. ———)

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

An ordinance changing the zoning classification of a certain part of the City and County of San Francisco from Commercial District to Light Industrial District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That part of the City and County of San Francisco bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing on the southerly side of Pacific avenue, distant thereon 139 feet 8 inches westerly from the westerly line of Larkin street; running thence westerly 89 feet; thence southerly 127 feet 7 inches; thence easterly 89 feet; thence northerly 127 feet 7 inches to the point of commencement, being all of Lots 28, 29, 30 and 31 in Block 596, now zoned and classified as in a Commercial District, be and the same is hereby rezoned and reclassified as in a Light Industrial District within the meaning of that certain ordinance entitled "an ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and which ordinance is numbered 5464 and is known and designated as Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Committee on Public Lands and Buildings.*

### Approval of County Welfare Recommendations.

(Code No. 19.02)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2792, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1936, are approved as follows:

Widows' Pensions: Decreases and cancellations;

Old-Age Security: Restoration, cancellations, decreases and other transactions;

Blind Pension: Cancellations and restoration.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Schmidt—4.

### Revenue Bonds for Power Distribution—Proposed Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner, respecting proposed ordinance to test authority of the Board of Supervisors to authorize issuance of Revenue Bonds, said that he had been informally advised that the Public Utilities Commission had not taken the action that the City Attorney said would be necessary to proceed with the court test at this time.

Obviously, if the report is correct, it will necessitate considerable delay and an amendment to the ordinance heretofore adopted by this Board upon which the test was to be based.

The City Attorney informed me that the Public Utilities Commission has refused to recommend any form of financing for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

I have asked the City Attorney to prepare for consideration at next meeting a charter amendment providing for authority for the issuance of revenue bonds sufficient in amount to finance the project that may be approved for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power. He has informed me that he will have it ready for Monday's meeting.

### Joint Meeting of State Supervisors' Association and League of California Municipalities.

Supervisor Shannon announced that there is going to be a joint meeting here next Thursday at 10 o'clock of State Supervisors' Association and the League of California Municipalities on the question of relief. Harry L. Hopkins will be heard relative to the situation and it will be a most important and interesting meeting and should be attended by every member of the Board. He regretted that the entire membership of the Board was not able to be present at the League of California Municipalities conference held at Santa Monica last week, and moved, seconded by Supervisor Colman, that the proceedings of that meeting be sent to each member of the Board for his information.

### Beach Conference.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to American Shore Beach Conference at Los Angeles, October 24 and 25, and the importance of having representation there from San Francisco. He moved that the President appoint a committee of three to attend.

Motion *carried* and Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon and Roncovieri appointed.

### Bus Transportation Over Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Uhl read a report on bus transportation over the Golden Gate Bridge by which it was indicated that such bus transportation would be unprofitable and therefore impracticable.

He moved that the Clerk be requested to ask the Public Utilities Commission to report to the Board of Supervisors as to the feasibility of municipal bus operation over the Golden Gate Bridge.

*So ordered.*

### P. T. A. Conference, Scott Key School.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication from Parent Teachers' Association, re conference at Scott Key School.

*Referred to Clerk.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at 6:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 21, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 39

Monday, September 21, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 21, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 14, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Hearing of appeal of property owners against the assessment for the cost and expenses of the work or improvement on Francisco street, between Kearny street and Montgomery street, including the crossing of Kearny and Francisco streets, by paving, etc., contractor, E. J. Treacy.

The Clerk at 2 p. m. announced the following matter, asked if there were any present who wished to be heard and received no response. Whereupon, on motion duly made and carried, the Clerk was directed to notify the Department of Public Works that no protest had been presented.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *continued one week*:

### HEARING OF APPEAL, STREET WORK—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal of property owners against assessment for the costs and expenses of the work for improvement on Green street south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks by M. Bertolino, as described in declaration of intention, Order No. 3284 of the Department of Public Works.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendations of Public Welfare Committee were taken up:

**Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**

**(Code No. 17.19)**

**Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:**

**Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of**

the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

## Calvary Cemetery Removal.

### (Code No. 17.19)

Also, Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare

of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from

which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion duly made and carried, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the consideration of the foregoing recommendations of the Public Welfare Committee.

All members heretofore noted being present, and President Havenner presiding.

### Privilege of the Floor.

George Skaller, City Attorney John J. O'Toole, Edward W. Bender, and Edward Kenny were heard at length on the pending question.

### Motion.

Whereupon, the following motion was made and *carried* unanimously:

Supervisor Uhl moved (seconded by Supervisor Mead), that the consideration of Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the people, and ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies from said cemetery; and also the consideration of Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, declaring that the further maintenance of Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the people, and ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies from said cemeteries be considered by the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on Monday, the 5th day of October, 1936, at 2:30 p. m. Said session of said Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole to be held in the Chambers of said Board of Supervisors in the City Hall in the City and County of San Francisco, at which time and place all persons interested either for or against the passage of said ordinances above mentioned or the disinterring and removal of human bodies from either of said cemeteries, will be given a hearing.

Further moved for the purpose of giving notice to all persons interested in the matter of said ordinances that the Clerk be directed to publish notice of the time and place of the aforesaid meeting and the purposes thereof.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the recommendations of the Public Welfare Committee, relative to cemetery removal, remain on the calendar until the date of hearing.

*So ordered.*

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported progress.

### Board of Supervisors Reassembles.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors reassembled, all members heretofore noted being present and President Havenner presiding.

## PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS—3 P. M.

### Sale of $482,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, September 21, 1936, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$482,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 37 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing 1937; 17 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1962, inclusive, and 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1963. Interest payable June 1 and December 1. No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

### Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Proposals for purchase of $482,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933:
37 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing December 1, 1936;
10 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing December 1, 1937;
17 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1962, inclusive.
10 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing December 1, 1963.
4 per cent interest.

(1) Bankamerica Company; Blyth & Co., Inc.; American Trust Company; R. W. Pressprich & Co. by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by W. F. McGrath..... $482,000.
With premium of ..... 66,279

(2) Brown Harriman & Co., Inc.; Weeden & Co.; By Brown Harriman & Co., Inc., by Ivan W. Wing..... 482,000
With premium of ..... 65,438

(3) The First Boston Corporation; Griffith, Wagenseller & Durst; submitted by The First Boston Corporation, by I. Gunderson, Assistant Treasurer ..... 482,000
With premium of ..... 66,074

(4) William R. Staats Co.; Kelley, Richardson & Co.; Shaw, Glover & Co.; Union Bank & Trust Co. of L. A..... 482,000
With premium of ..... 60,876.60

(5) R. H. Moulton & Company; Dean, Witter & Co.; by R. H. Moulton & Company, by Elmer Booth..... 482,000
With premium of ..... 65,701

(6) The Anglo California National Bank, per Alger J. Jacobs, representative ..... 482,000
With premium of ..... 65,739.50

(7) Goldman, Sachs & Co.; First of Michigan Corp.; Brush, Slocumb & Co., by Brush, Slocumb & Co., Joseph N. Caine . . . . . 482,000
With premium of . . . . . 59,710.16

(8) Harris Trust & Savings Bank, Chicago; Northern Trust Company, Chicago, by George E. Springer, representative . . . . . 482,000
With premium of . . . . . 61,681

(9) Wm. Cavalier & Co., by J. Fuller, Municipal Department; Joint Account, Wm. Cavalier & Co., S. F.; Banks, Huntley & Co., L. A.; Graham Parsons & Co., N. Y.; Wm. Cavalier & Co., Syndicate Managers . . . . . 482,000
With premium of . . . . . 61,341.70

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Sale of $482,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.
(Code No. 12.122)

Resolution No. 2807, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, September 21, 1936, and opened and considered by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$482,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 37 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing 1937; 17 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1938 to 1962, inclusive, and 10 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1963. Interest payable June 1 and December 1. No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company:

"San Francisco, Calif., September 21, 1936.

"Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, California.

"Gentlemen: For the $482,000 par value Sewer Bonds, 1933, of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery, and in addition thereto a premium of $66,279.

"The bonds above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

"$482,000 par value Sewer Bonds, 1933, of the City and County of San Francisco, California; dated December 1, 1933; of the denomination of $1,000 each; bearing interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, payable semi-annually and maturing:

"$37,000 par value on December 1, 1936,

"$10,000 par value on December 1, 1937,

"$17,000 par value on December 1st in each of the years 1938 to 1962, both years inclusive, and

$10,000 par value on December 1, 1963.

"The above bid is made with the understanding that if successful we shall be furnished, without expense to ourselves, with the satisfactory legal opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood and Hoffman of New

York City, New York, approving the legality of all of said $482,000 principal amount of bonds in all respects, as set forth in your regularly printed notice of sale.

"Enclosed please find certified check for $10,000 drawn upon the Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., which is to be returned to us in the event this bid is not accepted.

"Respectfully submitted,

"BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
"BLYTH & CO., INC.,
"AMERICAN TRUST COMPANY,
"R. W. PRESSPRICH & CO.,
"By BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
"Syndicate Manager.

"AKB:bh
"Enclosure W. F. McGRATH."

be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., American Trust Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by W. F. McGrath, in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Appropriating $10,000 for Traffic Studies Throughout the City.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1106, Ordinance No. 9.051303, as follows:

Appropriating $10,000 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, traffic engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the city, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,000 is hereby set aside from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purpose of engaging Mr. Miller McClintock, Traffic Engineer, in connection with traffic studies throughout the city, and specifically in connection with the downtown traffic situation.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to availability of funds by the Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

**Appropriating $4,840 From Emergency Reserve Appropriation for Services and Room Rent of Four Additional Nurses, San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1090, Ordinance No. 9.051297, as follows:

Appropriating $4,840 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at San Francisco Hospital, at $100 per month, and for room rent at $10 per month each; being for 11 months commencing August 1, 1936.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $4,840 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital salaries, for the payment of salaries of four nurses at $100 a month each for 11 months, commencing August 1, 1936, and for the payment of room rent at $10 per month each for said nurses.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

**Appropriation of $6,101.26 for Drygoods and Wearing Apparel for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1097, Ordinance No. 9.051298, as follows:

Appropriating $6,101.26 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for San Francisco Hospital.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,101.26 be and the same is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.-900.00, for the purchase of drygoods and wearing apparel for the San Francisco Hospital.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Limit Parking to Twenty Minutes on Montgomery Street Between California and Washington Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1113, Ordinance No. 11.0219, as follows:

Amending Section 38A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 38A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38A. Twenty-Minute Parking at Specified Hours. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than twenty (20) minutes between the hours of 7:00 a. m. and 6:00 p. m., on any of the following streets:

Battery street between California and Market streets;

Bush street between Kearny and Market streets;

California street between Kearny and Battery streets;

*Montgomery street between California and Washington streets;*

Pine street between Kearny and Battery streets;

Sansome street between California and Market streets;

Sutter street between Kearny and Market streets.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Schmidt—3.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $62,679 From Surplus in 1929 Sewer Bond Fund to Pay Bond Interest and Redemption of 1929 Sewer Bond Issue.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1117, Ordinance No. 9.051307, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $62,679 out of the surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund (Premium) to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.901.67 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the 1929 Sewer Bond Issue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $62,679 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the 1929 Sewer Bond Fund (Premium) to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.901.67 for the purpose of paying bond interest and redemption of the 1929 Sewer Bond Issue.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Schmidt—3.

## NEW BUSINESS

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriating $63,135 for Relief for October, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1120, Ordinance No. 9.051308, as follows:

Appropriating $63,135 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of October, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensation for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,135 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of October, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon, Schmidt—3.

**Confirming the Sale of City Land on Alemany Boulevard to M. Linehan.**
(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 2796, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17215, Bill No. 1089, the Director of Property advertised in the Official Newspaper that bids would be received by him on September 4, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Ocean avenue distant thereon 177.567 feet westerly from the northwesterly line of Wattson place, said point of commencement being also the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of the property conveyed by the Ocean Shore R. R. Co., to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded May 22, 1925, in Volume 1083, page 55, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and the southerly line of Ocean avenue; thence deflecting 61 degrees 21 minutes 06 seconds to the left from said line of Ocean avenue and running southwesterly, along said line of the property so conveyed, 27.130 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing southwesterly along said line of the property so conveyed 220.431 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 15, Block 13, West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 2A and B, page 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 87 degrees 14 minutes 34 seconds to the left and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line, 20.269 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 95.473 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 950 feet, central angle 5 degrees 06 minutes 34 seconds, a distance of 84.719 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continuing northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 50 feet, central angle 57 degrees 53 minutes 07 seconds, a distance of 50.514 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 15, 16 and 17 of said Block 13.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement M. Linehan offered to purchase said land for the sum of $4,150 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $4,150 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $4,000; and

Whereas, M. Linehan has paid the sum of $415 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $4,150; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and, be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to M. Linehan. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Property on Richardson Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 1121, Ordinance No. 12.17217, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City-owned property on Richardson avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works.

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street; said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife; thence northerly along the westerly line of said land of Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife, 83.787 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; running thence northerly along last named line 53.713 feet; thence westerly at right angles 44.911 feet to the northeasterly line of the proposed Richardson avenue; thence southeasterly along last named line 70.015 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Edition Block 553.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

**Authorizing Agreement With Veranus Ellinwood.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 2797, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated August 10, 1926, from Veranus Ellinwood, et ux., to an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 2238 extended said time limit to October 1, 1936; and

Whereas, said Veranus Ellinwood has requested a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1937, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with Veranus Ellinwood, et ux., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1937, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon—2.

**Requesting Tax Collector to Postpone Deeding Property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District.**

(Code No. 12.0332)

Also, Resolution No. 2798, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Tax Collector to postpone the deeding of property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District, scheduled and noticed for the 6th day of October, 1936, to and until the 6th day of January, 1937, and thereupon to deed the several parcels of property upon which the said tunnel tax has not been paid.

Approved orally by Controller and City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Islais Creek Reclamation Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 2799, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 709 to Director of Public Works for $334.05, No. 710 to Felice Del Carlo-Maria Del Carlo for $750, No. 711 to Clara B. Erdin for $9.93, No. 712 to The Islais Co., Ltd., for $933.84, No. 713 to The Islais Co., Ltd., for $1,275.50, No. 714 to Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co. for $684.59, No. 715 to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edward J. Taaffe, Page Taaffe for $319.47—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Minnie M. Bennett.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1122, Ordinance No. 6.022221, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Minnie M. Bennett.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Minnie M. Bennett against the City and County of San Francisco to recover the sum of $3,500 for injuries sustained by reason of falling, due to a depression in a sidewalk, by the payment of the sum of $400 in full settlement of all claims of said Minnie M. Bennett, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended for payment by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2800, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Edwin S. Tucker et ux., portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 1925..$1,250
Byron G. Mobbs et ux., portions of Lots 9 and 9-A, Assessor's Block 2419 ..... 6,228

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2801, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Jennie Rossi Lot 17, Assessor's Block 925, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of $10,500, payable from Appropriation No. 648.-914.14.1, Project No. 4½. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

In order to facilitate a possible exchange, title to the portion of said lot outside of the right of way shall be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2802, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Abbey Buckley, portion of Lot 27, Assessor's Block 7146, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the sum of $2,248, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property. The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements on said lot.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property for a particular description of said land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Construction of Water Pipe Line to Balboa Park Exposition Nursery Beneath Southern Pacific Company Tracks.**

(Code No. 15.024)

Also, Resolution No. 2803, as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized to enter into a written agreement with the Southern Pacific Company to construct a 2½-inch water pipe line beneath the company's tracks for the purpose of furnishing water to the Balboa Park Exposition Nursery Project. In consideration for the permit the City shall pay the Southern Pacific Company the sum of $5 from Appropriation No. 678.090.00.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Additional Employments, Etc., Juvenile Probation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1123, Ordinance No. 9.051309, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $9,480 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Juvenile Probation Department to provide funds for additional employments and necessary expenses to comply with the requirements of the Social Security Board to enable the City and County of San Francisco to be benefited by Federal Aid on Mothers' Aid Pension Cases handled through the Juvenile Court, and providing for the repayment of the moneys appropriated hereunder.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $9,480 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Appro. 623.102.00—2 T56 Probation Officers | | $3,240 |
| 1 B408 Gen. Clerk Sten | | 1,350 |
| 1 B4 Sr. Bookkeeper | | 1,710 |
| 1 Social Investigator | | 1,620 |
| Total Salaries | | $7,920 |
| Appro. 623.200.00—Contractual—Mileage for 2 privately owned autos at a maximum of $30 per month | $ | 540 |
| Appro. 623.400.00—Equipment: 5 desks, 5 chairs, 2 typewriters | | 500 |
| Appro. 623.300.00—Stationery and Stamps | | 300 |
| Alterations to building: Partitions | | 220 |
| Total | | $9,480 |

Section 2. When funds are received from Federal Aid on Mothers' Aid cases handled through the Juvenile Court for the fiscal year 1936-37 they shall first be applied to the repayment to the Emergency Reserve Fund of the moneys appropriated hereunder.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Juvenile Detention Department.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

**An Ordinance to Regulate Establishments Where Food and Alcoholic Beverages Are Served Upon the Premises and Where Entertainment Is Conducted or Permitted Thereon.**

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 118, Ordinance No. 11.056, as follows:

Regulating the operation, maintenance and conducting of certain establishments in the City and County of San Francisco and providing for the safety of patrons and employees thereof and for the issuance of permits for the operation, maintenance and conducting of such establishments and defining certain terms used in this ordinance and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The establishments referred to in this ordinance are hereby defined to be any place, room, or space, upon or within any building or structure, where any alcoholic beverage and food of any kind or character is served, and where a theatrical, operatic, vaudeville or dancing performance, or any combination of such performance, is conducted or permitted upon the floor, a platform, or a stage, upon or within said place, room or space.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to conduct or maintain any such establishment in the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Department of Public Health. No such permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Health until the issuance of the same has been approved by the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

Section 3. Application for said permit shall be made to the Department of Public Health, which said application shall state the proposed location of said establishment, the character of the building in which the same is proposed to be conducted or maintained, and a detailed plan of the premises contemplated to be occupied by the applicant, as well as the number of patrons to be accommodated at any one time in said establishment. Upon receipt of said application the Department of Public Health shall forthwith send copies thereof to the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. It shall be the duty of each of the said bureaus and departments, upon receipt of said application, to investigate the condition of the premises in which said establishment is proposed to be maintained in so far as said conditions come under the jurisdiction of the said respective bureaus and departments, and, upon the completion of said investigation, to approve or disapprove the granting of said permit. In the event of the disapproval of the application by any of said bureaus or departments, said application for said permit shall be denied.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation conducting or maintaining such establishment in the City and County of San Francisco at the time this ordinance shall become effective, shall make immediate application to the Department of Public Health for a permit to continue the maintenance and conduct of said establishment.

Section 5. The following regulations shall apply to all such establishments which may be in operation at the time this ordinance becomes effective as well as to all such establishments which may hereafter be operated, maintained or conducted.

(a) All drapes, hangings, curtains and decorations, including curtains, drapes and hangings on or over windows shall be fire-proofed and kept permanently fire-proofed, to the satisfaction of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety; and whenever the said Bureau

shall determine that any drape, hanging, curtain or decoration in any such establishment has ceased to be fire-proof, the said Bureau shall cause the same to be removed or to be refire-proofed to the satisfaction of said Bureau.

(b) No drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations shall be installed in any such establishment without approval therefor being first had and obtained from the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. In this connection a sample of the fire-proofed material from which said drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations are proposed to be made shall be furnished to the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety for its inspection.

(c) The doors serving as exits, or leading to exits, from any such establishment shall be arranged so as to open outwardly and shall be of such width as, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, shall be sufficient to provide a safe means of exit for all patrons and employees of said establishment in case of fire or other panic. Said doors shall remain unlocked during the time said establishment is open to the public or shall be equipped with panic bolts approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. All doorways and passageways leading thereto shall be kept clear and unobstructed. When permission is granted by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety to allow exits to open on an alley or open court, said alley or open court must be kept clear and unobstructed and well lighted at all times. In lieu of satisfactory means of egress from any such establishment the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may, as safety measures, order the installation of an approved automatic sprinkler system and/or the installation of metallic fire escapes with stairway extensions suspended from the first balcony of said escape which, when operated shall reach the ground. The kind and location of said equipment shall be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety and the construction thereof shall be in accordance with the direction of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works.

(d) No windows shall be obstructed in any manner. All windows opening onto a fire escape shall be of the French type windows opening outward, but so hung as not to obstruct when in an open position, said fire escape, provided, however, that windows of the double-hung type which, when in the open position, will provide a clear and unobstructed space not less than thirty (30) inches in width, nor less than thirty-six (36) inches in height, the top of the stool or sill of which shall not be more than thirty (30) inches in height above the floor level, or a door, opening outward onto said fire escape and so constructed as not to obstruct, when in the open position, the said fire escape, shall be permitted in lieu of said French-type windows.

(e) Open flames shall not be permitted in any such establishment except where said flames are used for cooking purposes, provided, however, that a system of gas illumination for emergency purposes will be permitted when approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. The use of candles on tables for purposes of decoration or/and illumination may be permitted if said candles, when lighted, are protected in such manner as may be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(f) In every such establishment where rooms are used as dressing rooms for entertainers or for storage purposes, the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require the installation therein of an approved system of automatic sprinklers, and the use and maintenance of such rooms shall be subject to such rules and regulations as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(g) There shall be maintained in all such establishments at least two (2) fire extinguishers of a type approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, said extinguishers to be kept in good working order and to be recharged at least every six (6) months. Additional fire extinguishers shall be installed as may be required by said Bureau. All such extinguishers shall be placed in and about the premises as

said Bureau may designate and the employees in and about said establishments shall be instructed in the proper care and operation of said extinguishers.

(h) All exits including fire escapes shall be indicated by electrically illuminated signs of such design and so located as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, and said signs shall be kept burning continuously while said establishments are open to the public. No material or substance of any character shall be so placed as to prevent a clear and unobstructed view of said signs by all of the patrons and employees of the establishment concerned. All artificial illumination, except as in this ordinance otherwise provided, shall be electrical and where installed in exit signs, corridors, hallways and stairways shall be maintained on an electrical circuit separate and distinct from the normal illuminating system of the premises.

Section 6. The following regulations, together with the regulations hereinbefore set forth, except as the same may be modified by this section, shall apply to all establishments not in existence at the time this ordinance becomes effective and which may be opened hereafter, which said last mentioned establishments, for the purpose of reference, shall be designated as "new" such establishments.

(a) All exits from said "new" such establishments shall open upon a street or alley or upon an open court, corridor or vestibule leading to a street or alley. The width of such corridor, court, street or alley shall be not less than seven (7) feet and the width of any such vestibule shall be not less than the required width of the exit which it serves and all such corridors, courts or alleys shall be well lighted at all times by either natural or electric light.

(b) All such "new" establishments conducted on a street level of any building shall have not less than two (2) exits each of which said exits shall be at least five (5) feet in width; each of said exits shall open directly into an open street or into a lane, alley or court which opens directly into an open street.

(c) In all such "new" establishments conducted or maintained above the ground floor of any building there shall be at least two (2) stairways for the exit of patrons from said "new" establishment, provided that a fire escape of approved construction equipped with an approved stairway extension suspended from the first balcony of said escape and which when operated shall reach the ground, may take the place of such required stairway; said fire escape shall be of such width, and so located, as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require. And, provided, further, that said fire escape may take the place of a stairway beginning at the second floor level, not of a stairway required to ground level. The width of the stairway leading from said establishment to the street shall be at least five (5) feet, provided said establishment shall accommodate not more than one hundred (100) patrons, nor have an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet. In such "new" establishments accommodating more than one hundred (100) patrons or having an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet, one additional stairway not less than five (5) feet wide or an approved fire escape shall be provided for each additional five thousand (5000) square feet or portion thereof. Said stairways and/or fire escapes shall be as widely separated as possible. Elevators will not be accepted in lieu of stairways or fire escapes. When there may be conditions whereby the installation of additional means of egress is impracticable, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, an approved system of automatic sprinklers may be accepted in lieu thereof.

(d) In the case of a "new" such establishment located at street level with a street frontage of less than fifteen (15) feet, and in the case of all "new" such establishments located below the level of a street, from which there is but one exit leading to said street or alley, the kitchen of said establishment shall be located in the rear half of said premises and patrons shall not be served in any portion of said premises which

are located in the rear of the kitchen, provided, however, that the provisions relating to location of the kitchen shall not apply where an approved system of automatic sprinklers is installed and maintained.

(e) In all such "new" establishments, all hallways or corridors, leading to exits or to stairways or to fire escapes accepted in lieu of stairways, shall not be less than five (5) feet in width. Provided, however, where such establishments are located upon the roof, an upper floor, or a basement, or cellar floor upon or within any building or structure, the width of the hallways or corridors into which such stairways may empty shall not be less than two-thirds (⅔) the combined width of said stairways. Said stairways, hallways and corridors shall, at all times while said establishments are in operation, be brightly lighted by electric lights, and the ceilings, walls and soffits of said stairways together with the ceiling and walls of all said hallways and corridors shall be plastered with not less than three-quarters (¾) of an inch of cement plaster on metal laths.

(f) All such "new" establishments conducted and maintained in any part of a building below the level of the adjoining street, shall be provided with at least two stairways each not less than six (6) feet in width and each said stairway shall lead to an exit equal in width to that of said stairway and said exit shall open directly into a street, lane, alley or court the width of which shall not be less than seven (7) feet.

Section 7. Should any section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence of this ordinance be held unconstitutional or invalid by any court, the Board of Supervisors in passing this ordinance does hereby declare that it would have passed the remaining provisions in said ordinance irrespective of the unconstitutionality or invalidity of said section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence and said remaining portions of this ordinance shall remain and continue to be effective.

Section 8. Any violation of any law or ordinance shall constitute and shall be so construed as to be sufficient reason for the revocation of any permit. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Director of Public Health for cause, upon application of any one or more of the Departments or Bureaus whose approval was first necessary for the issuance of the permit, after due hearing shall be first had thereon; and reasonable notice shall be given to the person, firm or corporation charged with the said violation, and of the time, place and date set for the hearing on the revocation of said permit.

Section 9. Whenever any discretion as to the operation, construction or equipment of any such establishment, by this ordinance, given to any officer, board, bureau, department or commission, the said officer, board, bureau, department or commission shall exercise said discretion only in so far as the same is necessary for the safety of the patrons and employees and other persons frequenting said establishment.

Section 10. All ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and all rules and regulations of the Department of Public Health, relative to the keeping, preparation and serving of food and alcoholic beverages in restaurants or other places open to the public shall apply to such establishments; and no such establishment shall permit dancing therein without a permit from the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 11. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Adopted.

The following, on recommendation of Streets Committee, was taken up:

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Congo Street From Mangels Avenue Northerly 36.064 Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 2804, as follows:

Whereas, on the 3rd day of August, 1936, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to His Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 4th day of August, 1936, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 2672, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Congo street be closed and abandoned; and, be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Congo street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Congo street with the northerly line of Mangels avenue; thence northerly along said line of Congo street 36.064 feet; thence deflecting 90 degrees 01 minute to the left and running westerly 19.50 feet; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes to left and running southerly 36.058 feet to the northerly line of Mangels avenue; thence easterly along said line of Mangels avenue 19.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Congo street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and, be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Congo street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 3, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon Uhl.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 4, 1936.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that the portion of Congo street from Mangels avenue northerly 36.064 feet described in Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Congo street specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 2672, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Rereferred.

The following recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare, and Fire, Safety and Police was *rereferred to Joint Committee*:

**Prohibiting the Drinking of Intoxicating Liquors on Any Public Street, Highway, Road, Lane, Alley or Sidewalk.**

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 1119, Ordinance No. 11.0013, as follows:

Prohibiting the drinking of intoxicating liquors on any public street, highway, road, lane, alley or sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person to drink intoxicating liquor while said person is in any public street, highway, road, lane, alley or sidewalk in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Thomas J. Lenehan, President Health Advisory Board.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2805, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Thomas J. Lenehan, President of the Health Advisory

Board, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing September 16th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Leave of Absence—Harry A. Milton, Member Board of Trustees, War Memorial.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2806, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Harry A. Milton, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 18, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Retirement Code.**

Discussion was had on revised ordinances for code purposes on Retirement System and same will have further consideration from the Finance Committee, which will report back to the Board.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Granting Permission to Community Chest of San Francisco to Advertise Its Annual Drive on Outside of Market Street Railway Company's Street Cars.**

(Code No. 1.06101)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2808, as follows:

Resolved, That the Community Chest of San Francisco be and is hereby granted permission to advertise its annual drive on the outside of street cars of the Market Street Railway Company, provided said cars, when used for said advertising purpose, are not to be used to carry passengers.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

**Dr. McClintock to Expedite Report on Traffic.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2809, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be opened to vehicular traffic on November 12th; and

Whereas, no provision has been made or definite plan developed by the City and County of San Francisco to provide a direct and practical connection from the Fifth and Harrison street terminal with the so-called triangle shopping district; and

Whereas, under an appropriation authorized by the Board of Supervisors factual traffic data has been compiled by the City Engineer

and other necessary data is available from the California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has now authorized an appropriation of $10,000 to retain the services of Dr. Miller McClintock, nationally known traffic expert, with the understanding that immediate and first consideration be given solution of this emergency problem; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that Dr. Miller McClintock be officially requested to submit his report and recommendations to this Board at the earliest possible moment and that copies of this resolution be sent to Dr. McClintock, the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Public Works, and the City Engineer.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Clerk to notify Don Nickelson, representing the Triangle District.

**In re Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

PRESIDENT HAVENNER: I would like to ask the Clerk what is the status at this time of the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission with respect to the disposition of Hetch Hetchy power?

THE CLERK: It is in the Public Utilities Committee folder.

THE PRESIDENT: By what authority?

THE CLERK: The rules of the Board—and the subject matter has been there—it can be easily brought here if you want it.

THE PRESIDENT: I am talking about——

THE CLERK: Plan 7.

THE PRESIDENT: The recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee.

THE CLERK: Yes, the subject matter has been in the Public Utilities Committee. When it came to my desk I referred it to that committee. We can get it very quickly if you want it here. These matters go automatically to committees, but if you want it I can get it.

THE PRESIDENT: I would direct the attention of the Board to the fact that if it is intended to submit any proposition with respect to the disposition of Hetch Hetchy power at this time, for the November election, it would be necessary to take some action immediately. If it is the purpose of the Board or the desire of the Board to defer that submission until some later date, I think that will automatically occur unless action is taken today or tomorrow. As far as I am concerned, my position has been that, in accordance with our agreement with the Secretary of the Interior, we would proceed to submit a proposition to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with his interpretation of the Raker Act as soon as we were ready to do so.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to say something on that point, Mr. Chairman. I intended to do it under my name on roll call, but as long as the President of the Board brought it up I would like to read an article that appeared in the press yesterday and a telegram from Washington:

"A conference to explore the possibility of providing cheap electricity, of pooling public and private power in the Southeast and, ultimately, in other regions, was called today by President Roosevelt for September 30. In a statement summoning the meeting of Federal power officials and representatives of private utilities, banking and electric equipment industries, the President said:

" 'The public interest demands that the power that is being or soon will be generated by the Tennessee Valley Authority and at the Bonneville dam and other public works projects should be made to serve the greatest number of our people at the lowest cost, and, as far as possible, without injury to existing actual investment.'

" 'It appears,' Mr. Roosevelt added, 'that pooling of power through the joint use of existing transmission line networks "will smooth out the peaks and valleys of separate system operations, reduce the amount of

necessary reserve capacity and postpone the need for investments in new generating facilities." '

" 'I am advised that by this means investment in transmission lines and generating facilities could be kept to a minimum, service strengthened and large economies in operation effected. If so, these great savings, based on fair contractual relations between the public and private agencies participating, should make it possible to bring cheap and abundant power to the gate of every community in the region at uniformly low rates.' "

Now, we have the President on record as saying that he wants to effect savings by the joint use of these power facilities in transmission. When the committee appointed by this Board visited Mr. Ickes in Washington in January of this year, the committee, consisting of Mr. Cahill, Mr. O'Toole, Mr. Havenner, and myself, appeared before Mr. Ickes and the presentations were being made by representatives of San Francisco we were interrupted incessantly by Mr. Margold, who was the solicitor for Mr. Ickes, a young man who, in my judgment, has nothing but a theoretical knowledge of the law, a Yale graduate, and finally Mr. Ickes had to stop him from his interruptions; and after our last interview, Mr. Ickes, who had said little during the interviews, said, "Mr. Margold, maybe we better treat this in a more practical manner." And when Mr. O'Toole called attention to Section 9 of the Raker Act, which calls for reasonable compliance, Mr. Margold insisted on the letter of the law being carried out with reference to Section 6 of the Raker Act, and we were under the impression from Mr. Ickes' words, and his general attitude, that he was willing to grant San Francisco a reasonable compliance. He even went so far as to say to Mr. Margold, and Mr. Ickes is, very likely you know, a Chicago attorney, and Mr. Margold is also an attorney—he said, "Why, Mr. Margold, since listening to the recent decisions of the United States Supreme Court I haven't such a high regard for attorneys' opinions." So, we thought we had our case won, and finally Mr. O'Toole was requested by Mr. Margold to send on a brief, and Mr. Ickes—Mr. O'Toole did so, and it was answered, supposedly by Mr. Ickes, but I feel that Mr. Ickes is such a busy man that he turned it over to Mr. Margold, his solicitor, and the opinion is Mr. Margold's and Mr. Margold was antagonistic to us all the time.

Now, I feel that we have not been militant enough in this matter. Now, looking at this matter from a practical standpoint, November 5th is drawing very, very close at hand, and those of us that have been in public office for a long time know that around election time we sometimes do some high and lofty tumbling, so it might be well if we got after Mr. Ickes through President Roosevelt or through Mr. Ickes to President Roosevelt, and try and obtain something at this time, when California is liable to be the pivotal state in the election for the President of the United States; and if Mr. Roosevelt means what he says in this article published in The Chronicle of yesterday—let us try to find out by having representatives of San Francisco attend this meeting in Washington on the 30th of September, and I have in my resolution that I will present the suggestion that Mr. O'Toole and Mr. Cahill be sent on to attend this conference. I feel that we have to handle this matter in a very diplomatic manner. Up to the present time we have permitted Mr. Ickes to be the dictator. Other administrations have let us go by over the years, distributing this power, and now it has come to a critical time, and if we don't take some action at this time and do something definite to bring to the attention of the administration incumbent that we are serious about this and if a delay of four years ensues we are liable to lose our whole grant. I feel that this is the time to have action in this matter and to that end, out of order, I would ask permission to introduce this resolution.

THE CLERK (reading): "President of the United States requested

to lend his support in obtaining approval of Plans 4 or 7 for distributing electric power.

"Resolution No. 2810.

"Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has submitted several proposals to the Secretary of the Interior Harold L. Ickes, providing for distribution of electrical power generated at Hetch Hetchy; and

"Whereas, recent statements made by His Excellency, the President of the United States, indicate that, in an effort to serve the greatest number of the people at the lowest cost without injury to existing actual investment, he will support a policy which will permit the joint use of existing transmission line networks by municipal and privately owned electrical distributing systems; and

"Whereas, Plan 4 as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission and this Board has not received the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, it is hereby declared to be the desire of the Board of Supervisors that the President of the United States be requested to give his personal attention to San Francisco's proposal to the end that Mr. Ickes' approval of Plan 4 be obtained; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully request his Excellency the President of the United States to personally assist in obtaining the approval of Plan 4 so that the citizens of this city and county may share in the benefits that obtain in municipal distribution of electrical energy; and be it

"Further Resolved, that the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the President of the United States; and be it

"Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor give consideration to ways and means of having the City and County of San Francisco represented at the conference, to be held on this subject in Washington, D. C., September 30th, by City Attorney O'Toole and Manager of Utilities Cahill."

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Are you recommending Number 4 there?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I am asking him to give consideration to Number 4. I specify Number 4 because in Plan 4 Mr. Cahill has evolved the scheme of having the power transmitted over the P. G. and E. transmission line to the San Francisco County Line, and sell the power to the P. G. and E. and buy it back from them at the County Line, exactly in line with what the President has stated, having the joint use of the line, right in line with his thought; and to that end I would like to move the suspension of the rules for the purpose of the consideration of the resolution.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Chairman. I think that matter should go to the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee which was appointed by this Board to consider such matters. There are too many points involved in the thing, too many questions involved that require our consideration. I certainly would not care to vote upon it without some further thought and some further discussion. I don't want any delay. I am available all this entire week, any morning or afternoon that the Chairman, Mr. McSheehy—I think he is the chairman—desires to call the meeting—I will be available, but I would object to the consideration of the matter now without reference to the Hetch Hetchy Committee or any other committee or joint committees that you may desire. I am not particularly set on that committee. As far as I am concerned, I am available every day this week.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, a matter of this kind cannot be decided as hastily, and I say this respectfully to Supervisor Shannon here this afternoon, by simply quoting a statement in a newspaper—And other statements have been made. I have carefully read a number of statements that the President has made in this particular matter. But I am not perhaps entirely in accord with Supervisor Colman, especially on this particular matter, or he with

me, but I think the Supervisor has expressed my view entirely when he stated that it ought to go to committee, to the special committee that has heard it, and has had it under advisement for over a year, so I am going to ask at this time that it be referred to the special Hetch Hetchy Committee. Supervisor Shannon, Supervisor Roncovieri, and myself will leave for Los Angeles on Thursday and if there is no objection to referring it to that committee, I can tell Supervisor Shannon now and authorize the clerk to send out notices that the committee will have a meeting at two o'clock on Wednesday of this week so that there is no lost time in reference to this particular matter.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I of course cannot raise any objection to having it sent to committee because I realize I must have unanimous consent. The great trouble with sending it to committee is due to the fact that the meeting will take place a week from Wednesday, this meeting in Washington, on September 30th, so by holding the meeting on Wednesday of this week it will have to come back to this Board, which will be the 28th of September. I realize fully, and the members of the Board do, that it calls, that part of the resolution, to have Mr. O'Toole and Mr. Cahill go there if in the judgment of the committee and the Board it was deemed advisable to send them. Of course, if that resolution is passed upon favorably by the committee and sent to the Board here, about all we can do is just to send the resolution itself to the President of the United States. If the members feel that they cannot digest the resolution by another reading of it and still insist on it going to committee, under the rules of this Board I am powerless to object.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the pleasure of the Board?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that the matter be sent to the Special Hetchy Hetchy Committee, and if the Board so desires I will immediately call a meeting for Wednesday at two o"clock.

THE PRESIDENT: The matter will be referred to the Hetchy Hetchy Committee.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will give the statement now that the meeting of this committee will be heard in reference to this resolution at two o'clock, and on the adjournment of the committee meeting I would suggest to Supervisor Meyer, who is a member of this committee and also of the Public Utilities Committee, and Supervisor Colman, that we will convene or I would suggest to Supervisor Meyer, the chairman of the Utilities Committee, that that committee meet immediately upon the adjournment of the special committee so the matters pertinent to the Utilities Committee can be heard. Is that satisfactory to you, Supervisor Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Is that satisfactory to you, Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes.

* * * * * * *

(Proceedings under Roll Call:)

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have a resolution I would like read:

"Mayor requested to name Lester S. Ready to Conference of Officials re: Plan 7 for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

"Resolution No. 2810.

"Whereas, President Roosevelt has called a conference for September 30th of Federal power officials and representatives of private utilities, banking and electrical equipment industries to explore the possibility of providing cheap electricity, of pooling public and private power in the southeast and ultimately in other regions,

"In a statement summoning the meeting of the interested parties the President said:

" 'The public interest demands that the power that is being or soon will be generated by the Tennessee Valley Authority and at the Bonne-

ville dam and other public works projects should be made to serve the greatest number of people at the lowest cost and, as far as possible, without injury to existing actual investment.'

"'It appears,' Mr. Roosevelt added, 'that pooling of power through the joint use of existing transmission line networks "will smooth out the peaks and valleys of separate system operations, reduce the amount of necessary reserve capacity and postpone the need for investments in new generating facilities."

"'I am advised that by this means investment in transmission lines and generating facilities could be kept to a minimum, service strengthened and large economies in operation effected. If so, these great savings, based on fair contractual relations between the public and private agencies participating, should make it possible to bring cheap and abundant power to the gate of every community in the region at uniformly low rates.'

"Whereas, it is contended the Raker Act requires San Francisco to municipally distribute the power generated at Moccasin Power Plant and which distribution will call for a bond issue amounting to millions of dollars and in one particular instance the construction of a steam standby plant necessary under Plan 7 to augment in case of need the 24,000 KW to be transmitted over Hetch Hetchy transmission lines between the Moccasin Creek Power House, proposed Red Bar Mountain Power House, and Newark, estimated to cost $8,500,000, and which standby plant will be a duplication of existing facilities of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company;

"Whereas, the fundamental advocated by the President is one of the fundamentals involved in the matter of distributing Hetch Hetchy power; and

"Whereas, it is also a matter of paramount importance that a check of Plan 7 submitted by the Public Utilities Commission involving a bond issue of $43,700,000 should be reviewed by an elecric expert, preferably Lester S. Ready; be it therefore

"Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to immediately call a conference of our municipal power officials, representatives of power utilities and bankers to explore the possibility of pooling public and private power consumed in San Francisco, and by means of pooling power as referred to by the President, it might be possible to keep investment in transmission lines and generating facilities to a minimum; service strengthened and large economies in operation effected, and to ascertain if great savings based on fair contractual relations between public and private agencies participating will make it possible to bring cheap and abundant power to San Francisco; and be it

"Further Resolved, That the Mayor request President Roosevelt to delegate a representative of the Federal power officials to attend said conference; and be it

"Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested (1) That Lester S. Ready be named by the Mayor as a member of the Conference Committee, and (2) that a suitable fee be paid Lester S. Ready for his services in giving the Board of Supervisors a detailed report covering Public Utilities Commission Plan No. 7."

THE PRESIDENT: It will be referred to the Special Hetch Hetchy Committee.

### Mayor Requested to Name Lester S. Ready to Conference of Officials re Plan for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

(Code No. 15.03)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 2811, as follows:

Whereas, President Roosevelt has called a conference for September 30th of Federal power officials and representatives of private utili-

ties, banking and electrical equipment industries to explore the possibility of providing cheap electricity, of pooling public and private power in the southeast and ultimately in other regions.

In a statement summoning the meeting of the interested parties, the President said:

"The public interest demands that the power that is being or soon will be generated by the Tennessee Valley Authority and at the Bonneville dam and other public works projects should be made to serve the greatest number of people at the lowest cost and, as far as possible, without injury to existing actual investment.

"It appears," Mr. Roosevelt added, "that pooling of power through the joint use of existing transmission line networks 'will smooth out the peaks and valleys of separate system operations, reduce the amount of necessary reserve capacity and postpone the need for investments in new generating facilities.'"

"I am advised that by this means investment in transmission lines and generating facilities could be kept to a minimum, service strengthened, and large economies in operation effected. If so, these great savings, based on fair contractural relations between the public and private agencies participating, should make it possible to bring cheap and abundant power to the gate of every community in the region at uniformly low rates."

Whereas, it is contended the Raker Act requires San Francisco to municipally distribute the power generated at Moccasin Power Plant and which distribution will call for a bond issue amounting to millions of dollars and in one particular instance the construction of a steam standby plant necessary under Plan 7 to augment in case of need the 84,000 K. W. to be transmitted over Hetch Hetchy transmission lines between the Moccasin Creek Power House, proposed Red Bar Mountain Power House, and Newark, estimated to cost $8,500,000, and which standby plant will be a duplication of existing facilities of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; and

Whereas the fundamentals advocated by the President is one of the fundamentals involved in the matter of distributing Hetch Hetchy power; and

Whereas, it is also a matter of paramount importance that a check of Plan 7, submitted by the Public Utilities Commission, involving a bond issue of $43,700,000, should be reviewed by an electric expert, preferably Lester S. Ready; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to immediately call a conference of our municipal power officials, representatives of power utilities and bankers to explore the possibility of pooling public and private power consumed in San Francisco, and by means of pooling power as referred to by the President, it might be possible to keep investment in transmission lines and generating facilities to a minimum; service strengthened and large economies in operation effected, and to ascertain if great savings based on fair constructural relations between public and private agencies participating will make it possible to bring cheap and abundant power to San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor request President Roosevelt to delegate a representative of the Federal power officials to attend said conference; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested (1) that Lester S. Ready be named by the Mayor as a member of the Conference Committee, and (2) that a suitable fee be paid Lester S. Ready for his services in giving the Board of Supervisors a detailed report covering Public Utilities Commission Plan No. 7.

*Referred to Special Hetch Hetchy Committee.*

### Bill Board Ordinance.

Supervvisor Ratto under his name at roll call declared that the proposed bill board ordinance regulating the erection of signs and bill boards at various locations had been considered during the week

in the Streets Committee, but that it was so drastically drawn he wished to suggest that it be presented before the entire Board of Supervisors and a hearing be had in Committee of the Whole of proponents and opponents.

Supervisor Shannon moved re-reference to the City Planning Commission. No second.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the ordinance be considered in Committee of the Whole next Monday at 3 p. m. and be made a special order of business for that time.

**Point of Order.**

Supervisor Shannon raised a point of order that the matter was tabled in Streets Committee and did not think it should be taken up now in the Board.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl declared that he would present the ordinance anew.

**Sign and Billboard Ordinance.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Thereupon Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. ....., Ordinance No. ....., as follows:

An ordinance regulating the location, erection or placing of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use solely for advertisement sign or billboard purposes, in certain localities in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, paint or in any manner place any sign or signboard, bill or billboards, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, and designed or intended for use solely for advertising, sign or billboard purposes or to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, handbill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind, excepting in the case of a public officer or a private person in giving a legal notice, upon any property within 800 feet of either side of the center line of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, and when visible from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; Provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained upon any property situated upon or within the area above named.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the owners, lessees, occupants, tenants, or agents of any real or personal property located within the limits defined in Section (1) hereof, to license or allow any such property to be leased or used in any manner whatsoever for any such advertising sign or billboard purposes; provided, however, that nothing in this section, or Section (1) hereof, shall be construed to prohibit the owner or occupant of any building within the limits prescribed in Section (1) hereof and conducting a business in such building, from having thereon a sign directing attention to the person occupying the premises in question or the business transacted therein, or advertising the property itself or any part thereof, as for sale, or to let, and which contains no other advertising matter, providing that such sign shall be of such size, design and location as may be approved by the City Planning Commission, as one that will reasonably serve one or more of said purposes,

without being a distraction to traffic upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding Five Hundred Dollars ($500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both fine and imprisonment.

Each day any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any other advertising device or structure is continued or maintained in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such continuance or maintenance shall be deemed a separate and distinct offense and shall be punishable accordingly.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

*Referred to Joint Police and Streets Committee.*

### Armistice Day Committee.

Supervisor Brown moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1936.

Motion *adopted.*

### Enforcement of One-Man Car Ordinance.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be directed respectfully to direct the attention of the Mayor to the fact that Bill No. 1039, Ordinance No. 15.09115, is now in effect, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, and inquire what steps have been taken by the Police Department for the enforcement of this ordinance.

Motion *carried.*

### Tree-Planting Committee, McLaren Park.

Supervisor Ratto moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for tree planting in McLaren Park, in conjunction with the Park Commission, on Sunday afternoon, October 18, 1936.

Motion *carried.*

### City Attorney to Be Represented at State Railroad Commission Hearing re Bus Franchises Over Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk request the City Attorney or one of his deputies to attend meeting of the State Railroad Commission, September 24, at hearing on bus franchises over Golden Gate Bridge, in order that the Board can know exactly what transpires at that meeting.

Motion *carried.*

### Meetings Announced.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, September 29, 3 p. m.

Special Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution Committee, September 23, 2 p. m.

Public Utilities Committee, September 23, 3 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, September 24, 2:30 p. m.

Joint Health and Finance Committee, September 22, 3 p. m.

Joint Fire, Safety and Police and Streets Committee, September 23, 10 a. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 28, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series · ...CISCO No. 40

...IC LIBRARY
...RIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, September 28, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



SAN FRANCISCO (8)

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 28, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisors McSheehy and Shannon were noted present at 2:30 p. m.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 21, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL, STREET WORK, 2 P. M.

Hearing appeal of property owners against assessment for the costs and expenses of the work for improvement on Green street south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks by M. Bertolino, as described in declaration of intention, Order No. 3284 of the Department of Public Works.

Protest filed by Ernest M. Doyle, et al.

#### Discussion.

Edmund M. Brown, representing protestant, Ernest Doyle, addressed the Board.

William Williams represented the Department of Public Works.

#### Further Consideration Continued.

Whereupon, further consideration was *continued for one week.*

#### Consideration of Cemetery Removal Continued.

The following bills were *continued on the Calendar until October 5, at 2:30 p. m.*:

#### Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.
(Code No. 17.19)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of

human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate of said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

*Continued to October* 5, 1936. *Special Order*, 2:30 *P. M.*

### Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare

of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from

which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

*Continued to October* 5, 1936. *Special Order at* 2:30 *P. M.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Rapid Transit.

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject matter would be delayed until October 12, 1936; whereupon, the matter was *continued until October* 12, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *P. M.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

## NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

### Additional Employments, Etc., Juvenile Probation.
(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1123, Ordinance No. 9.051309, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $9,480 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Juvenile Probation Department to provide funds for additional employments and necessary expenses to comply with the requirements of the Social Security Board to enable the City and County of San Francisco to be benefited by Federal Aid on Mothers' Aid Pension Cases handled through the Juvenile Court, and providing for the repayment of the moneys appropriated hereunder.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $9,480 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

| | |
|---|---|
| Appro. 623.102.00—2 T56 Probation Officers | $3,240 |
| 1 B408 Gen. Clerk Sten | 1,350 |
| 1 B4 Sr. Bookkeeper | 1,710 |
| 1 Social Investigator | 1,620 |
| Total Salaries | $7,920 |
| Appro. 623.200.00—Contractual—Mileage for 2 privately owned autos at a maximum of $30 per month | $ 540 |
| Appro. 623.400.00—Equipment: 5 desks, 5 chairs, 2 typewriters | 500 |
| Appro. 623.300.00—Stationery and Stamps | 300 |
| Alterations to building: Partitions | 220 |
| Total | $9,480 |

Section 2. When funds are received from Federal Aid on Mothers' Aid cases handled through the Juvenile Court for the fiscal year 1936-37 they shall first be applied to the repayment to the Emergency Reserve Fund of the moneys appropriated hereunder.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Juvenile Detention Department.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Application for P. W. A. Grant to Aid in Financing Construction of Buildings and Equipment for School System of City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 21.32)

Also, Resolution No. 2812, as follows:

A resolution authorizing the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and the Controller to file an application to the United States of America through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works for a grant to aid in financing the construction of additional buildings and equipment for the school system of the City and County of San Francisco designated as:

1. Auditorium and equipment for the Portola Junior High School.
2. Athletic field, gymnasium and equipment for the George Washington High School.
3. Boys' gymnasium and equipment for the Lowell High School.
4. Four-room addition to the Franklin Grammar School.
5. Buildings for Junior College Division of the San Francisco Unified School District.

and designating the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, to furnish such information as the Government may request.

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, be and they are authorized to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America for a grant to aid in financing the construction of additional buildings and equipment for the school system of the City and County of San Francisco, designated as:

1. Auditorium and equipment for the Portola Junior High School.
2. Athletic field, gymnasium and equipment for the George Washington High School.
3. Boys' gymnasium and equipment for the Lowell High School.
4. Four-room addition to the Franklin Grammar School.
5. Buildings for Junior College Division of the San Francisco Unified School District.

Section 2. That his Honor the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Subsequently during the proceedings, the privilege of the floor was granted Mrs. Thomas R. Best, representing the Francis Scott Key School, who protested the adoption of the foregoing resolution without the inclusion therein of request for Federal aid for improvements and additions to the Francis Scott Key School, Visitacion School and Lawton School.

**Action Rescinded.**

Whereupon Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the action of the Board, whereby Resolution No. 2812 had been adopted, be *rescinded.*

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Motion *carried.*

**Motions.**

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the matter remain on the Calendar for one week.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved further, that the Clerk of the Board send a communication to the Board of Education, asking why the Board of Education has not included the three schools, Francis Scott Key, Visitacion and Lawton, in its request for Federal aid, and if it is possible to have the request for the inclusion of these three schools complied with, so to inform the Board of Supervisors by Monday, October 5, 1936.

Motion *carried.*

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Resolution No. 2813, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from William Mohrman, et ux., all of Lot 21, in Assessor's Block 2146, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $1,500, $250 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $1,250 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2817, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Peter W. Feil, et ux., all of Lot 9, in Assessor's Block 2107, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $300, $50 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $250 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refund Erroneous and Duplicate Tax Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2814, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund 1936-1937, being refunds of amounts paid in erroneous and duplicate taxes:

1. T. D. Harney, Lots 46 and 63, Block 2709 (as assessed in 1932); Lot 38, Block 2721; Lot 2A, Block 2722.....$257.19
2. J. A. Tuohy, Assessor's Receipt No. 37738..... 3.88

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2815, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors September 25, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old-Age Security: Granting aid to the persons named in the amounts specified; denials; increases.

Blind Pensions: Granting aid to the persons named in the amounts specified; increases.

Widows' Pensions: Granting aid to the persons named in the amounts specified; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorization for Federal Grant for Financing Additional Replacements and Alterations at Palace of Fine Arts.**

(Code No. 24.052)

Also, Resolution No. 2816, as follows:

A resolution authorizing the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller to file an application to the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, for a grant to aid in financing additional replacements and alterations at the Palace of Fine Arts, designated as:

Restoration of Palace of Fine Arts

and designating the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, to furnish such information as the Federal Government may request.

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and Leonard S. Leavy, Con-

troller, be and they are authorized to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America for a grant to aid in financing additional replacements and alterations at the Palace of Fine Arts, designated as:

Restoration of Palace of Fine Arts.

Section 2. That his Honor, the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Releasing Lien on Property of Annie Pauler.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2818, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors having heretofore caused notice of lien to be filed on Lot 38, Block 4087, City and County of San Francisco, which property stands in the name of Annie Pauler, wife of Theodore Pauler; and

Whereas, Theodore Pauler, who signed the lien, having no interest in the property, it stands in the name of his wife. The City Attorney states the lien filed on August 1 should be lifted; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said property, Lot 38, Block 4087, City and County of San Francisco, owned by Annie Pauler, be and the same is hereby released from all liens and claims of the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that the lien was erroneously filed on statement of Theodore Pauler.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Sale of Certain Land on Portola Drive to J. D. Hart.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 2819, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17216, Bill No. 1099, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on September 21, 1936, for the sale of the following described City owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is described as Parcel No. 5 in said ordinance:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lot 7, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C & D" at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 102.779 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, said point of beginning being on the proposed easterly line of Portola drive; thence northerly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 90 degrees 13 minutes 34 seconds to the right from said line of said Lot 7, radius 300 feet, central angle 12 degrees 20 minutes 26 seconds, 64.615 feet; thence southerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 65.103 feet to aforesaid line of said lot 7; thence deflecting 98 degrees 06 minutes 52 seconds to the right and running westerly along the last named line 7.012 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement J. D. Hart offered to purchase said land for the sum of $30, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $30 is more than 90 per cent of the prelim-

inary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property. The amount of said appraisal being $30; and

Whereas, J. D. Hart has paid the sum of $30 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $30; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of the land to J. D. Hart.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Sale of Certain Land on Portola Drive to A. Witte.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 2822, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17216, Bill No. 1099, the Director of Property advertised in the Official Newspaper that bids would be received by him on September 21, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is described as Parcel No. 4 in said ordinance:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Lot 6, Block 25, Noe Garden Homestead Union, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C & D", at page 137, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 102.779 feet westerly from the westerly line of High street, said point of beginning being on the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly along said proposed line on the arc of a curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 85 degrees 46 minutes 26 seconds to the left from said line of said Lot 6, radius 300 feet, central angle 16 degrees 47 minutes 30 seconds, 87.920 feet to the westerly prolongation of the northerly line of Lot 1, Block 22 of Fairview Terrace, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "G," pages 44 and 45, Records of aforesaid City and County; thence deflecting 113 degrees 36 minutes 07 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running easterly along the northerly line of Lot 1, and its westerly prolongation, 22.309 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 73 degrees 12 minutes 29 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 210 feet, central angle 22 degrees 19 minutes 20 seconds, 81.815 feet; thence northerly, tangent to the preceding curve, 3.338 feet to aforesaid line of said Lot 6; thence deflecting 81 degrees 53 minutes 08 seconds to the left and running westerly along the last-named line 7.012 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, A. Witte offered to purchase said land for the sum of $85 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $85 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $85; and

Whereas, A. Witte has paid the sum of $50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $85; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to A. Witte. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver

said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Building Law—Standpipe Fire Hose.**

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1127, Ordinance No. 11.058, as follows:

Amending Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.), entitled, "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings; establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance," relating to standpipe fire hose.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 175. Standpipes, four inches in diameter, shall be provided with hose attachments on every floor and the gallery as follows, namely: One on each side of the auditorium in each tier, also one on each side of the stage on front of proscenium wall in each tier and at least one in the property room and one in the carpenter shop, if the same be contiguous to the building. All such standpipes shall be kept clear from obstruction. Said standpipes shall be separate and distinct, receiving their supply of water direct from the power of pumps or pumps installed and maintained by the owner or lessee of the building. They shall be fitted with the regulation couplings of the fire department, and shall be kept constantly filled with water by means of an automatic fire pump or pumps, of sufficient capacity to supply all the lines of hose when operated simultaneously; and said pump or pumps shall be supplied from the street main and be ready for immediate use at all times during a performance in said building.

In addition to the requirements contained in this section there shall be provided a four-inch standpipe, running from cellar to roof, with one two-way, three-inch siamese connection to be placed on street above the curb level, and with one two and one-half-inch outlet with hose attached thereto on each floor, placed as near the stairs as practicable.

All buildings shall be provided with an auxiliary fire apparatus and appliances, consisting of water tank on roof or in cellar, standpipes, hose, nozzles, wrenches, fire extinguishers, hooks, axes and other appliances as may be required by the Fire Department, all to be of the best material and of the sizes, pattern and regulation kinds used and required by the Fire Department.

A separate and distinct system of automatic sprinklers, with fusible plugs, approved by the Board of Public Works, supplied with water from a tank located on the roof over the stage, and not connected in any manner with standpipes, shall be placed on each side of the proscenium opening and on the ceiling or roof over the stage at such intervals as will protect every square foot of stage surface when said sprinklers are in operation. Automatic sprinklers shall be also placed wherever practicable, in the dressing rooms, under the stage, and in the carpenter shop, paint rooms, store rooms and property rooms. The entire installation of automatic sprinklers shall be in accordance with the rules of the Board of Fire Underwriters.

A proper and sufficient quantity of one and one-half-inch cotton, rubber-lined hose, not less than 100 feet, fitted with the regulation couplings of the Fire Department, and with regulation Fire Department shut-off nozzles attached thereto, and with hose spanners at each outlet, shall

always be kept attached to each hose attachment, as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may direct.

Such fire hose shall conform to the San Francisco Fire Department standard specifications for cotton, rubber-lined fire hose, and before installation, and as often thereafter, as the Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Fire Department may require, such hose shall be subjected to the regulation tests, and an affidavit shall be furnished to the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department setting forth the chemical analysis of the rubber contained therein, which must be in compliance with the requirements of said specifications.

There shall also be kept in readiness for immediate use on the stage at least two casks of water, and two buckets to each cask. The cask and buckets shall be painted red.

There shall also be provided hand pumps or other portable fire extinguishing apparatus, and at least four axes, and also two 25-foot hooks, two 15-foot hooks and two 10-foot hooks on each tier or floor of the stage.

**Re-referred to Committee.**

The foregoing resolution was *re-referred to Joint Committee on Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning, and Fire, Safety and Police.*

**Right of Entry by Fire Department.**

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1126, Ordinance No. 11.057, as follows:

Authorizing the officers and members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Department to enter buildings, structures or premises by direction of the Chief Engineer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The officers and members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Department shall have the right to enter, at any time when under the orders of the Chief Engineer of said department, any buildings, structure or premises, except private dwellings, wherever located, within the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of making inspections, and in the interest of public safety.

Section 2. Any person, firm, company or corporation that resists or opposes the execution of any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

**Action Deferred.**

Action on the foregoing bill was *deferred until October* 5, 1936.

Opinion from City Attorney as to its constitutionality was requested.

**Prohibiting "Dead Man" Control.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1124, Ordinance No. 15.09118, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of the "dead man" control in the operation of street cars and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate, or cause to be operated, any street car upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco by means of a "dead man" control to which is attached any foot lever or cut-off valve which will enable said street car to be operated without the operator's hand being kept

upon the controller bar or handle at all times while said street car is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment for each separate conviction.

*September* 23, 1936—*Public Utilities recommends.*

Supervisor Colman voting *no.*

**Discussion.**

L. V. Newton, vice-president in charge of operations, representing the Market Street Railway Company, protested against passage of legislation prohibiting the use of the "Dead Man Control," and filed petition with signatures of 290 operators of one-man cars, urging the retention of the "Dead Man Control."

Edward Vandeleur, president of San Francisco Labor Council, Assistant City Attorney Henry Heidelberg, and George William Schultz addressed the Board in favor of proposed legislation.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that action on the proposed legislation be postponed for one week.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.
Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto—5.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.
Motion *lost.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the bill, No. 1124, was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.
No—Supervisor Colman—1.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Petition for Removal of Single Track on Brannan Street From First Street to Second Street.**

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 1128, Ordinance No. 15.09119, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its single street railway track over and along Brannan street, from First street to Second street.

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has in writing petitioned the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for permission to abandon its single track and service on Brannan street, from First street to Second street; and

Whereas, public convenience will not be affected by the removal of said single track and the discontinuance of said service; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the petition of Market Street Railway Company set forth in the preamble of this ordinance, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove said single street car track and discontinue the operation of street cars on Brannan street, from First street to Second street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the removal of said track or the abandonment of said service, and the Board

of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Execute Memorandum of Agreement in Connection with Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 2821, as follows:

Resolved, That for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby authorized to execute a memorandum of agreement between the Department of Public Works of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco, covering construction or improvement of the following items with funds from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund:

| | |
|---|---|
| Seventh avenue, Lincoln to Noriega street | $ 16,900 |
| Ocean avenue, San Jose to Ninetenth avenue | 111,000 |
| Third and Channel street bridge | 1,545 |
| Sixth and Channel street bridge | 6,520 |
| Third and Islais Creek bridge | 40,195 |
| California street, Presidio to First avenue | 33,235 |

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Colonel John H. Skeggs, Division Engineer, Department of Public Works, State of California.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Requesting Early Report from Dr. McClintock on Traffic Survey.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2820, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge will be opened to vehicular traffic on November 12; and

Whereas, no provision has been made or definite plan developed by the City and County of San Francisco to provide a direct and practical connection from the Fifth and Harrison street terminal with the so-called triangle shopping district; and

Whereas, under an appropriation authorized by the Board of Supervisors, factual traffic data has been compiled by the City Engineer and other necessary data is available from the California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has now authorized an appropriation of $10,000 to retain the services of Dr. Miller McClintock, nationally known traffic expert, with the understanding that immediate and first consideration be given solution of this emergency problem; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Dr. Miller McClintock be officially requested to submit his report and recommendations at the earliest possible moment and that copies of this resolution be sent to Dr. McClintock, the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Public Works, and the City Engineer.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

D. V. Nicholsen, representing merchants in Triangular Square Shopping District, urged adoption of the foregoing resolution, and later asked that it be withdrawn.

Wm. H. Worden, Director of Public Works, and John J. Casey discussed the resolution.

**Withdrawn from Calendar.**

Whereupon Supervisor Shannon moved that the resolution be withdrawn as requested by the proponents.

*So ordered.*

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY COMMITTEE.

**Redwood Empire Association Annual Convention.**

President Franck R. Havenner announced appointment of Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri to attend annual convention of the Redwood Empire Association, at Crescent City, October 9 and 10, vice Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., who would be unable to attend.

**Nineteenth and Funston Avenues Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Supervisor Warren Shannon presented, for reference to the Streets Committee, the following resolution:

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2826, as follows:

Whereas, under the provisions of the Federal Aid Highway Authorization Act (Public Law No. 686) enacted by the Seventy-fourth Congress, the State of California has been allotted in excess of $15,000,000 to be expended on highways in the Federal Aid System, together with Grade Crossing Projects and Farm to Market Roads; and

Whereas, Nineteenth avenue from Sloat boulevard to Lincoln way and Funston avenue from Fulton street to Geary street have been heretofore included in the Federal Aid Highway System and designated as Federal Aid Route 21, thus making these particular projects eligible for Federal Aid Funds under the provisions of the Hayden-Cartwright Act and for which the State Department of Public Works (Division of Highways) is the duly authorized representative of the United States Department of Agriculture (U. S. Bureau of Public Roads) in the proper expenditure of these Federal Aid Funds; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore been unable to qualify for appropriations under the previous provisions of the Hayden-Cartwright Act, which was later amended to include various City streets; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has previously executed a contract agreement with the State of California (Division of Highways) which designated that the aforesaid Nineteenth avenue and Funston avenue be reconstructed and widened entirely out of ¼-cent Gas Tax Funds for State Highways Within Cities, without any Federal Aid contributions whatsoever; and

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District must have proper approach and feeder roads to make possible the greatest possible revenue to protect the 87 per cent responsibility of the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco and for which purpose Funston avenue within the Presidio is contemplated as one of the main traffic arteries to be financed by the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the State Department of Public Works (Division of Highways) be requested to arrange the necessary procedure to obtain Federal Aid Funds from the U. S. Government to be expended on the aforementioned Nineteenth and Funston avenues to insure full completion and in turn apply other Gas Tax Funds under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Public Works to the performance and completion of the Presidio portion of Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. J. P. Murphy, Member Public Utilities Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2823, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. P. Murphy, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 8, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2827, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing September 29th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Release of Lien, Richard Neghebon, San Francisco County.**

(Code No. 19.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2824, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having heretofore caused notice of lien to be filed on Lots 1-38-49 and 40, Block No. 6745, Assessor's Map, City and County of San Francisco, property of Richard Neghebon, said lien having been filed in connection with application for old-age pension; and

Whereas, Mr. Neghebon withdraws his application for aid and no aid has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said property, Lots 1-38-49 and 40, Block 6745, Assessor's Map, owned by Richard Neghebon, be and the same is hereby released from all claims and liens of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Release of Lien, Mrs. Mary Cooper, San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2825, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, having heretofore caused notice of lien to be filed against property of Mrs. Mary Cooper in San Mateo County, described as follows:

Southwest 59 feet of Lot 7, Block 26, Oak Park.

and the old-age pension allotment to Mrs. Cooper was cancelled on January 1, 1936, upon receipt by her of a war pension; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said property of Mrs. Mary Cooper in San Mateo County, State of California, described as follows:

Southwest 59 feet of Lot 7, Block 26, Oak Park,

be and the same is hereby released from all liens and claims of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### Protest Against Sale of City Property at the Southwest Corner of Chestnut and Kearny Streets.

Supervisor John M. Ratto presented petition of property owners protesting the sale of City-owned land at the southwest corner of Chestnut and Kearny streets and requesting that same be dedicated for park purposes.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

### Motion for Recessed Meeting of the Board.

Supervisor Adolph Uhl announced that the matter of erection of billboards along the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach would be heard before committee on Wednesday, September 30, at 10 a. m., and moved that the Board, at the conclusion of the day's business, recess until Thursday, October 1, at 10 a. m., to consider the question.

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Motion *lost.*

### Defective Sidewalks

Supervisor Adolph Uhl called attention to broken sidewalks in San Francisco, referring particularly to location 1861 Mission street, and moved that Clyde Healy, Assistant City Engineer, be requested to ascertain whether check-up of sidewalk conditions, and necessary repairs thereof, could be made a W. P. A. project.

Motion *carried.*

### Meeting Announced.

Fire, Safety and Police, September 30, 10 a. m.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 7 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 5, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 41

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, October 5, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

S.F. PUBLIC LIBRARY

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, OCTOBER 5, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 5, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy excused at 5 p. m.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 28, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

### HEARING OF APPEAL, STREET WORK—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal of property owners against assessment for the costs and expenses of the work for improvement on Green street south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks by M. Bertolino, as described in declaration of intention, Order No. 3284 of the Department of Public Works.

Protest filed by Ernest M. Doyle, et al.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendations of Public Welfare Committee were taken up:

**Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.**
**(Code No. 17.19)**

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and

removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the

City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body or said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

### Calvary Cemetery Removal.
(Code No. 17.19)

Also, Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective,

the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum,

columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area ten per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said board by resolution thereof.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion duly made and carried, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all persons interested in cemetery removal ordinances.

President Havenner in the chair and all members heretofore noted being present.

Thereupon, Edw. Kenny, representing Geary Boulevard Merchants Association; F. Joseph Tiesen, representing the Park-Presidio Improvement Association; E. W. Bender, 2248 O'Farrell street, representing Divisadero Street Merchants, Apartment House Owners and Lessees Association and himself as a taxpayer; Mrs. L. A. Shapiro, representing San Francisco Second District P. T. A.; J. W. Strangeloff, 28 Boyce street; Mrs. E. W. Bender, 2248 O'Farrell street; Louise Notemeier, 2888 Bush street; Ethel J. McDowell, 1608 Lyon street; A. H. Bergstom, 35 Collins street; Annie A. Scanlon, Women's Civic Center; C. L. Barry, 3729 Geary street; Jno. J. Eppler, 1007 Diamond street; and Geo. S. Hollis, representing San Francisco Typographical Union No. 21, were heard in favor of the removal of Laurel Hill Cemetery.

Frank Deering, director of Laurel Hill Cemetery; A. L. Bolton, plot owner in Laurel Hill Cemetery; Rev. Frederick Doane, 826 Tacoma avenue, Berkeley; and W. W. Chapin were heard in favor of making Laurel Hill Cemetery a memorial park.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reconvened as a Board of Supervisors and reported progress.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the hearing was *continued until Tuesday, October* 13, 1936, *Special Order at* 2:30 *p. m.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

### Appropriating $63,135 for Relief for October, 1936.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1120, Ordinance No. 9.051308, as follows:

Appropriating $63,135 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of October, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensation for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,135 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of October, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the ad-

ministration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Property on Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Bill No. 1121, Ordinance No. 12.17217, as follows:

Authorizing sale of City-owned property on Richardson avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Works.

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street; said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife; thence northerly along the westerly line of said land of Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife, 83.787 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; running thence northerly along last named line 53.713 feet; thence westerly at right angles 44.911 feet to the northeasterly line of the proposed Richardson avenue; thence southeasterly along last named line 70.015 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Edition Block 553.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Minnie M. Bennett.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1122, Ordinance No. 6.022221, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Minnie M. Bennett.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement of the action of Minnie M. Bennett against the City and County of San Francisco to recover the sum of $3,500 for injuries sustained by reason of falling, due to a depression in a sidewalk, by the payment of the sum of $400 in full settlement of all claims of said Minnie M. Bennett, said City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said pending litigation by the payment of said sum.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**An Ordinance to Regulate Establishments Where Food and Alcoholic Beverages Are Served Upon the Premises and Where Entertainment Is Conducted or Permitted Thereon.**

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1118, Ordinance No. 11.056, as follows:

Regulating the operation, maintenance and conducting of certain establishments in the City and County of San Francisco and providing for the safety of patrons and employees thereof and for the issuance of permits for the operation, maintenance and conducting of such establishments and defining certain terms used in this ordinance and providing a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The establishments referred to in this ordinance are hereby defined to be any place, room, or space, upon or within any building or structure, where any alcoholic beverage and food of any kind or character is served, and where a theatrical, operatic, vaudeville or dancing performance, or any combination of such performance, is conducted or permitted upon the floor, a platform, or a stage, upon or within said place, room or space.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to conduct or maintain any such establishment in the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Department of Public Health. No such permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Health until the issuance of the same has been approved by the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

Section 3. Application for said permit shall be made to the Department of Public Health, which said application shall state the proposed location of said establishment, the character of the building in which the same is proposed to be conducted or maintained, and a detailed plan of the premises contemplated to be occupied by the applicant, as well as the number of patrons to be accommodated at any one time in said establishment. Upon receipt of said application the Department of Public Health shall forthwith send copies thereof to the Department of Electricity, the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. It shall be the duty of each of the said bureaus and departments, upon receipt of said application, to investigate the condition of the premises in which said establishment is proposed to be maintained in so far as said conditions come under the jurisdiction of the said respective bureaus and departments, and, upon the completion of said investigation, to approve or disapprove the granting of said permit. In the event of the disapproval of the application by any of said bureaus or departments, said application for said permit shall be denied.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation conducting or maintaining such establishment in the City and County of San Francisco at the time this ordinance shall become effective, shall make immediate application to the Department of Public Health for a permit to continue the maintenance and conduct of said establishment.

Section 5. The following regulations shall apply to all such establishments which may be in operation at the time this ordinance becomes effective as well as to all such establishments which may hereafter be operated, maintained or conducted.

(a) All drapes, hangings, curtains and decorations, including curtains, drapes and hangings on or over windows shall be fire-proofed and kept permanently fire-proofed, to the satisfaction of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety; and whenever the said Bureau shall determine that any drape, hanging, curtain or decoration in any such establishment has ceased to be fire-proof, the said Bureau shall

cause the same to be removed or to be refire-proofed to the satisfaction of said Bureau.

(b) No drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations shall be installed in any such establishment without approval therefor being first had and obtained from the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. In this connection a sample of the fire-proofed material from which said drapes, hangings, curtains or decorations are proposed to be made shall be furnished to the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety for its inspection.

(c) The doors serving as exits, or leading to exits, from any such establishment shall be arranged so as to open outwardly and shall be of such width as, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, shall be sufficient to provide a safe means of exit for all patrons and employees of said establishment in case of fire or other panic. Said doors shall remain unlocked during the time said establishment is open to the public or shall be equipped with panic bolts approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. All doorways and passageways leading thereto shall be kept clear and unobstructed. When permission is granted by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety to allow exits to open on an alley or open court, said alley or open court must be kept clear and unobstructed and well lighted at all times. In lieu of satisfactory means of egress from any such establishment the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may, as safety measures, order the installation of an approved automatic sprinkler system and/or the installation of metallic fire escapes with stairway extensions suspended from the first balcony of said escape which, when operated shall reach the ground. The kind and location of said equipment shall be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety and the construction thereof shall be in accordance with the direction of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works.

(d) No windows shall be obstructed in any manner. All windows opening onto a fire escape shall be of the French type windows opening outward, but so hung as not to obstruct when in an open position, said fire escape, provided, however, that windows of the double-hung type which, when in the open position, will provide a clear and unobstructed space not less than thirty (30) inches in width, nor less than thirty-six (36) inches in height, the top of the stool or sill of which shall not be more than thirty (30) inches in height above the floor level, or a door, opening outward onto said fire escape and so constructed as not to obstruct, when in the open position, the said fire escape, shall be permitted in lieu of said French-type windows.

(e) Open flames shall not be permitted in any such establishment except where said flames are used for cooking purposes, provided, however, that a system of gas illumination for emergency purposes will be permitted when approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety. The use of candles on tables for purposes of decoration or/and illumination may be permitted if said candles, when lighted, are protected in such manner as may be approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(f) In every such establishment where rooms are used as dressing rooms for entertainers or for storage purposes, the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require the installation therein of an approved system of automatic sprinklers, and the use and maintenance of such rooms shall be subject to such rules and regulations as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety.

(g) There shall be maintained in all such establishments at least two (2) fire extinguishers of a type approved by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, said extinguishers to be kept in good working order and to be recharged at least every six (6) months. Additional fire extinguishers shall be installed as may be required by said Bureau. All such extinguishers shall be placed in and about the premises as said Bureau may designate and the employees in and about said estab-

lishments shall be instructed in the proper care and operation of said extinguishers.

(h) All exits including fire escapes shall be indicated by electrically illuminated signs of such design and so located as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, and said signs shall be kept burning continuously while said establishments are open to the public. No material or substance of any character shall be so placed as to prevent a clear and unobstructed view of said signs by all of the patrons and employees of the establishment concerned. All artificial illumination, except as in this ordinance otherwise provided, shall be electrical and where installed in exit signs, corridors, hallways and stairways shall be maintained on an electrical circuit separate and distinct from the normal illuminating system of the premises.

Section 6. The following regulations, together with the regulations hereinbefore set forth, except as the same may be modified by this section, shall apply to all establishments not in existence at the time this ordinance becomes effective and which may be opened hereafter, which said last mentioned establishments, for the purpose of reference, shall be designated as "new" such establishments.

(a) All exits from said "new" such establishments shall open upon a street or alley or upon an open court, corridor or vestibule leading to a street or alley. The width of such corridor, court, street or alley shall be not less than seven (7) feet and the width of any such vestibule shall be not less than the required width of the exit which it serves and all such corridors, courts or alleys shall be well lighted at all times by either natural or electric light.

(b) All such "new" establishments conducted on a street level of any building shall have not less than two (2) exits each of which said exits shall be at least five (5) feet in width; each of said exits shall open directly into an open street or into a lane, alley or court which opens directly into an open street.

(c) In all such "new" establishments conducted or maintained above the ground floor of any building there shall be at least two (2) stairways for the exit of patrons from said "new" establishment, provided that a fire escape of approved construction equipped with an approved stairway extension suspended from the first balcony of said escape and which when operated shall reach the ground, may take the place of such required stairway; said fire escape shall be of such width, and so located, as the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety may require. And, provided, further, that said fire escape may take the place of a stairway beginning at the second floor level, not of a stairway required to ground level. The width of the stairway leading from said establishment to the street shall be at least five (5) feet, provided said establishment shall accommodate not more than one hundred (100) patrons, nor have an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet. In such "new" establishments accommodating more than one hundred (100) patrons or having an area of more than twenty-five hundred (2500) square feet, one additional stairway not less than five (5) feet wide or an approved fire escape shall be provided for each additional five thousand (5000) square feet or portion thereof. Said stairways and/or fire escapes shall be as widely separated as possible. Elevators will not be accepted in lieu of stairways or fire escapes. When there may be conditions whereby the installation of additional means of egress is impracticable, in the opinion of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, an approved system of automatic sprinklers may be accepted in lieu thereof.

(d) In the case of a "new" such establishment located at street level with a street frontage of less than fifteen (15) feet, and in the case of all "new" such establishments located below the level of a street, from which there is but one exit leading to said street or alley, the kitchen of said establishment shall be located in the rear half of said premises and patrons shall not be served in any portion of said premises which are located in the rear of the kitchen, provided, however, that the pro-

visions relating to location of the kitchen shall not apply where an approved system of automatic sprinklers is installed and maintained.

(e) In all such "new" establishments, all hallways or corridors, leading to exits or to stairways or to fire escapes accepted in lieu of stairways, shall not be less than five (5) feet in width. Provided, however, where such establishments are located upon the roof, an upper floor, or a basement, or cellar floor upon or within any building or structure, the width of the hallways or corridors into which such stairways may empty shall not be less than two-thirds (⅔) the combined width of said stairways. Said stairways, hallways and corridors shall, at all times while said establishments are in operation, be brightly lighted by electric lights, and the ceilings, walls and soffits of said stairways together with the ceiling and walls of all said hallways and corridors shall be plastered with not less than three-quarters (¾) of an inch of cement plaster on metal laths.

(f) All such "new" establishments conducted and maintained in any part of a building below the level of the adjoining street, shall be provided with at least two stairways each not less than six (6) feet in width and each said stairway shall lead to an exit equal in width to that of said stairway and said exit shall open directly into a street, lane, alley or court the width of which shall not be less than seven (7) feet.

Section 7. Should any section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence of this ordinance be held unconstitutional or invalid by any court, the Board of Supervisors in passing this ordinance does hereby declare that it would have passed the remaining provisions in said ordinance irrespective of the unconstitutionality or invalidity of said section, subsection, clause, provision or sentence and said remaining portions of this ordinance shall remain and continue to be effective.

Section 8. Any violation of any law or ordinance shall constitute and shall be so construed as to be sufficient reason for the revocation of any permit. Any permit issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance may be revoked by the Director of Public Health for cause, upon application of any one or more of the Departments or Bureaus whose approval was first necessary for the issuance of the permit, after due hearing shall be first had thereon; and reasonable notice shall be given to the person, firm or corporation charged with the said violation, and of the time, place and date set for the hearing on the revocation of said permit.

Section 9. Whenever any discretion as to the operation, construction or equipment of any such establishment, by this ordinance, given to any officer, board, bureau, department or commission, the said officer, board, bureau, department or commission shall exercise said discretion only in so far as the same is necessary for the safety of the patrons and employees and other persons frequenting said establishment.

Section 10. All ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and all rules and regulations of the Department of Public Health, relative to the keeping, preparation and serving of food and alcoholic beverages in restaurants or other places open to the public shall apply to such establishments; and no such establishment shall permit dancing therein without a permit from the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 11. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not exceeding six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Application for P. W. A. Grant to Aid in Financing Construction of Buildings and Equipment for School System of City and County of San Francisco.**

(Code No. 21.32)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2812, as follows:

A resolution authorizing the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and the Controller to file an application to the United States of America through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works for a grant to aid in financing the construction of additional buildings and equipment for the school system of the City and County of San Francisco designated as:

1. Auditorium and equipment for the Portola Junior High School.
2. Athletic field, gymnasium and equipment for the George Washington High School.
3. Boys' gymnasium and equipment for the Lowell High School.
4. Four-room addition to the Franklin Grammar School.
5. Buildings for Junior College Division of the San Francisco Unified School District.

and designating the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, to furnish such information as the Government may request.

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, be and they are authorized to execute and file an application on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America for a grant to aid in financing the construction of additional buildings and equipment for the school system of the City and County of San Francisco, designated as:

1. Auditorium and equipment for the Portola Junior High School.
2. Athletic field, gymnasium and equipment for the George Washington High School.
3. Boys' gymnasium and equipment for the Lowell High School.
4. Four-room addition to the Franklin Grammar School.
5. Buildings for Junior College Division of the San Francisco Unified School District.

Section 2. That his Honor the Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Controller be and they are hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the United States of America, through the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, may reasonably request in connection with the application which is herein authorized to be filed.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Endorsing Proposed Constitutional Amendment Prohibiting Gas Tax Diversion.**

(Code No. 12.052)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2737, as follows:

Whereas, the Hayden-Cartwright Act of the 73rd United States Congress states: "It is unfair and unjust to tax motor vehicle trans-

portation unless the proceeds of such taxation are applied to the construction, improvement or maintenance of highways"; and

Whereas, motor vehicle fees and gasoline taxes are levied on the users of our highways in proportionate measure to their use of those highways and paid for by them because of their reliance and full expectation that the proceeds will be applied in good faith to the maintenance and development of highways; and

Whereas, continuous development of adequate highways and rational highway planning require stabilized highway income without the constant threat of diversion; and

Whereas, an amendment to the Constitution designed to prevent the diversion of highway revenues to purposes other than the maintenance and development of highways will appear upon the ballot at the general election in November, 1936; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled this 5th day of October, 1936, do hereby place ourselves on record as unalterably opposed to the diversion of highway funds to purposes other than the maintenance and development of highways, and we do hereby favor the adoption of that proposed constitutional amendment designed to prevent the diversion of highway revenues which will appear as No. 10 upon the ballot at the general election in November, 1936, and we do hereby urge its adoption by the people of the State of California.

Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. Merryman, representing the Chamber of Commerce, was heard in opposition to the adoption of the resolution.

M. Keaton, representing State Chamber of Commerce, was also heard in opposition.

Referred.

Whereupon the foregoing resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *referred to the Special Tax Committee.*

Adopted.

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Grattan School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2836, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from May Stellik Lot 25 in Assessor's Block 1283, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Grattan School Playground for the sum of $6,000, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Condemnation Proceedings Required for Castro-Divisadero Divisional Highway.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2837, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Divisadero street distant thereon 107 feet 6 inches southerly from the southerly line of Waller street; running thence southerly along the easterly line of Divisadero street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 116 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet and thence at a right angle westerly 116 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Divisadero street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 441.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for the construction, operation and maintenance of a public street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land Required for Corona Heights Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2838, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from John McGaw, attorney in fact for Pacific Realty Company, all of Lots 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34, Assessor's Block 2621, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sum of $1,800, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.12. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Confirming Sale of Water Department Land to Irma Sholz.**

(Code No. 12.17252)

Also, Resolution No. 2839, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.172529, Bill No. 1109, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on September 24, 1936, for the sale of the following described City owned land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Beginning at the southwest corner of Lot 1, Block 7, as per "Map of School House Homestead Association, situate in the First Township, San Mateo County," recorded July 10, 1872, in Book 1 of Maps at page 9, San Mateo County Records; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Clara street 137 feet to a point distant southerly 113 feet from the northwest corner of Lot 4 in said Block 7; thence southeasterly in a straight line 225 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly line of Lot 2, in said Block 7, distant westerly 62 feet from the west line of Briggs street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Lots 2 and 1, 178 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 1, 2 and 4 of said Block 7, as per said Map of School House Homestead Association.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Irma Sholz offered to

purchase said land for the sum of $1,800 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $1,800 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property; the amount of said appraisal being $1,800; and

Whereas, Irma Sholz has paid the sum of $180 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $1,800; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to Irma Sholz. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Settlement of Damages to Crops on Right of Way for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Resolution No. 2840, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the following sums be paid to the below-named parties in settlement of damages to crops on the right of way for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, caused or to be caused by the construction of said pipe line:

| *Name* | *Location* | *Amount* |
|---|---|---|
| B. Luchesi | 438+31 to 448+19 | $286.20 |
| J. B. Pilkington | 448+19 to 453+04 | 207.23 |
| G. Giusto | 453+04 to 457+87 | 707.00 |
| C. Repetto et al. | 521+41 to 559+05 | 252.00 |
| C. Repetto et al. | 559+05 to 562+85 | 475.00 |
| G. Piedemonte et ux. | 562+85 to 564+85 | 300.00 |
| H. A. Avansino | 564+85 to 571+66 | 485.00 |
| V. Castagnetto Co. | 571+66 to 584+79 | 250.00 |
| A. Azzaro & Co. | 584+79 to 598+68 | 450.00 |
| F. Giusti | 598+68 to 621+49 | 150.00 |
| Martinelli & Rossi Co. | 598+68 to 621+49 | 251.00 |
| Baden Crossing Veg. Co. | 598+68 to 621+49 | 365.00 |
| F. Martorella | 598+68 to 621+49 | 100.00 |
| A. Pera Co. | 621+49 to Highway | 110.00 |
| D. Farrari | 956+24 to 964+21 | 435.00 |
| | | $4,823.43 |

The above sums shall be paid from Appropriation No. 593.903.02.57-1. Reference is hereby made to the written agreements on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Confirming Sale of School Land on Twenty-Fifth Avenue to Carl Gellert.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 2841, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17226, Bill No. 1107, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on September 25, 1936, for the sale of the following described City land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fifth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly along said line of Twenty-fifth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence southerly along last-named line 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the point of beginning.

And, Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Carl Gellert offered to purchase said land for the sum of $12,350 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $12,350 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $12,000; and

Whereas, Carl Gellert has paid the sum of $1,250 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $12,350; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to Carl Gellert. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty (30) days after the approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Accepting Offer of U. S. A. to City and County of San Francisco to Aid by Way of Grant in Financing Construction of Sewers.**

(Code No. 12.101)

Also, resolution No. 2842, as follows:

A resolution accepting the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of sewers.

Be It Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That the offer of the United States of America to the City and County of San Francisco to aid by way of grant in financing the construction of sewers, a copy of which said offer reads as follows, towit:

"FEDERAL EMERGENCY ADMINISTRATION
OF PUBLIC WORKS P. W. 61769-3
Washington, D. C.,
Dated Sept. 24, 1936,
Docket No. Calif. 1491-R.

"City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

"Subject to the Rules and Regulations (PWA Form No. 179, as amended to date) which are made a part hereof, the United States

of America hereby offers to aid in financing the construction of a sewer designated as Section D-1 of Alemany Boulevard Sewers, including the acquisition of necessary lands and rights of way therefor (herein called the 'Project'), by making a grant to City and County of San Francisco in the amount of 45 per cent of the cost of the project upon completion, as determined by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works, but not to exceed, in any event, the sum of $76,500.

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
"Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works.
"By (Signed) E. W. Clark,
"For the Assistant Administrator."

be and the same is hereby in all respects accepted.

Section 2. That said City and County of San Francisco agrees to abide by all the rules and regulations relating to such grant, a copy of which said rules and regulations was annexed to the government's offer and made a part thereof.

Section 3. That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed forthwith to send to the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works three certified copies of this resolution and three certified copies of the proceedings of this Board of Supervisors in connection with the adoption of this resolution, and such further documents and/or proofs in connection with the acceptance of said offer as may be required by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works.

It is hereby covenanted that work on the project described in the offer will be commenced as early as possible, but in no event later than December 1, 1936; that the work will be planned so that it will reach a substantial peak before March 15, 1937; and that the project will be completed by November 15, 1937.

The foregoing resolution has been considered and approved by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and said Finance Committee recommends the adoption of said resolution.

ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
Chairman, Finance Committee.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $677 for Salaries of Two Watchmen and Stationary Fireman, Bureau of Building Repair.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1131, Ordinance No. 9.05386, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $677 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries to two watchmen and one stationary fireman on sick leave.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $677 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries to two watchmen and one stationary fireman on sick leave.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that it is necessary in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Works (Bureau of

Building Repair), of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that said emergency exists.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $202.83 From General Fund 1931-32 for Payment of Registered Obligations Applicable to That Fiscal Year.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1132, Ordinance No. 9.051310, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $202.83 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32, for the purpose of paying registered obligations applicable to that fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $202.83 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32 for the purpose of paying registered obligations applicable to that fiscal year.

Recommended by Michael Riordan, Acting Chief of Police.
Approved by Chas. H. Skelly, Secretary, Police Commission.
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $600 for Additional Employments at Opera House.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1133, Ordinance No. 9.051311, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $600 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 615.102.00, for the purpose of providing funds to pay for the services, as required, of opera house attendants and a supervisor of opera house attendants.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 615.102.00, for the purpose of providing funds to pay for the services, as required, of opera house attendants and a supervisor of opera house attendants.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 (War Memorial).**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1134, Ordinance No. 9.05387, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Items 13½ and 17 thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 18 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 18. **WAR MEMORIAL**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B59 | Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial | $ 250 |
| 2 | 1 | B96 | Managing Director, War Memorial | 500 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 4 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 5 | 1 | C106 | Janitor, Sub-Foreman | 170 |
| 6 | 14 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 7 | 5 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 8 | 1 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 160 |
| 9 | 1 | E108 | Electrician | 220 |
| 10 | 1 | E109 | Stage Electrician, $75 per week | |
| 11 | 1 | E130 | Elevator Mechanic | 220 |
| 12 | 3 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 13 | 1 | A165 | Stage Carpenter, $75 per week | |
| 13½ | 1 | C252 | Opera House Attendant (part time) | 75 |
| | | | ART MUSEUM | |
| 14 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 15 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| | | | AS NEEDED | |
| 16 | 1 | A179 | Stage Property Man, $12.50 per day | |
| 17 | | C251 | Supervisor of Opera House Attendants. (a performance) | 10 |

*Explanation.*

*To establish one permanent part-time position of Opera House Attendant and to create classifications from which attendants for interdepartmental service may be employed.*

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Amending Subdivision "J" and Repealing Subdivision "L" of Section 1 and Repealing Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.0923.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Bill No. 1135, Ordinance No. 9.0925, as follows:

Amending Subdivision "J" and repealing Subdivision "L" of Section 1, and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 556, Ordinance No. 9.0923, Code No. 9.092, entitled as follows: "Making and Providing for Regulations and Working Conditions Under Contracts for Public Work or Improvements Performed at the Expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or Paid for Out of Moneys Deposited in the Treasury of Said City and County, and Providing for the Fixing of the Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages, and Regulating the Hours of Labor in the Performance of Said Contracts, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Regulations Provided for by This Ordinance." Approved May 2, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision "J" of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9.0923, Bill No. 556, Code No. 9.092, entitled as above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Subdivision 'J'. For the purpose of meeting prevailing conditions and enabling employers to secure a sufficient number of satis-

factory workers and artisans, no person performing labor or rendering service in the performance of any contract or subcontract for any public work or improvement mentioned in Subdivision 'A' of this section shall perform labor for a longer period than forty (40) hours per week, or five (5) days of eight (8) hours each, except in those crafts in which a shorter work day now prevails by agreement in private employments. Any contractor or subcontractor who shall violate this subdivision of this section of this ordinance shall be liable for the same penalties and forfeit as those specified in Subdivision 'H' of this section, for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed for each calendar day or portion thereof whereon such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled or permitted to work more than the days and hours specified herein. The provision of this subdivision shall be made a part of all contracts and subcontracts for the construction of any public work or improvement."

Section 2. Subdivision "L" of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9.0923, Bill No. 556, Code No. 9.092, entitled as above, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. Section 2 of said above numbered ordinance is hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Resolution 2525, Fixing the Wage Scale, Private Employments on Public Contracts.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 2843, as follows:

Amending Resolution No. 2525, Code No. 9.092, entitled as follows: "Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts." Approved April 21, 1936.

Whereas, it has been determined that it is necessary that in certain crafts, and that certain artisans, perform their work within an eight (8) hour schedule, an amendment to Resolution No. 2525 is hereby adopted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the paragraph in Resolution No. 2525 relating to overtime payments for Building Trades be, and it hereby is, amended to read as follows:

For Building Trades overtime payments, except as otherwise specifically provided, shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after eight hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after eight hours: elevator constructors and helpers, all ironworkers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers; and except in those crafts in which a shorter work day now prevails by agreement in private employment.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $350 From Surplus in County Road Fund for Aid to Improvement of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1137, Ordinance No. 9.051312, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of $350 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.916.04 for City aid extended to the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue where not already improved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $350 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.916.04 for City aid extended to the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue where not already improved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall become effective on the passage of Ordinance No. 12.061166, Bill No. 1136, ordering the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue where not already so improved.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Joint Committee on Fire, Safety and Police, and Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning was taken up:

**Amending Building Law—Standpipe Fire Hose.**

(Code No. 11.05)

Bill No. 1127, Ordinance No. 11.0816, as follows:

Amending Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.), entitled, "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings; establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance," relating to standpipe fire hose.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 175. Standpipes, four inches in diameter, shall be provided with hose attachments on every floor and the gallery as follows, namely: One on each side of the auditorium in each tier, also one on each side of the stage on front of proscenium wall in each tier and at least one in the property room and one in the carpenter shop, if the same be contiguous to the building. All such standpipes shall be kept clear from obstruction. Said standpipes shall be separate and distinct, receiving their supply of water direct from the power of pumps or pumps installed and maintained by the owner or lessee of the building. They shall be fitted with the regulation couplings of the fire de-

partment, and shall be kept constantly filled with water by means of an automatic fire pump or pumps, of sufficient capacity to supply all the lines of hose when operated simultaneously; and said pump or pumps shall be supplied from the street main and be ready for immediate use at all times during a performance in said building.

In addition to the requirements contained in this section there shall be provided a four-inch standpipe, running from cellar to roof, with one two-way, three-inch siamese connection to be placed on street above the curb level, and with one two and one-half-inch outlet with hose attached thereto on each floor, placed as near the stairs as practicable.

All buildings shall be provided with an auxiliary fire apparatus and appliances, consisting of water tank on roof or in cellar, standpipes, hose, nozzles, wrenches, fire extinguishers, hooks, axes, fire alarm boxes and other appliances as may be required by the Fire Department, all to be of the best material and of the sizes, pattern and regulation kinds used and required by the Fire Department. All such equipment to be kept in good condition and ready for immediate use at all times.

A separate and distinct system of automatic sprinklers, with fusible plugs, approved by the Board of Public Works and Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, supplied with water from a tank located on the roof over the stage, and not connected in any manner with standpipes, shall be placed on each side of the proscenium opening and on the ceiling or roof over the stage at such intervals as will protect every square foot of stage surface when said sprinklers are in operation. Automatic sprinklers shall be also placed wherever practicable, in the dressing rooms, under the stage, and in the carpenter shop, paint rooms, store rooms and property rooms. The entire installation of automatic sprinklers shall be in accordance with the rules of the Board of Fire Underwriters.

A proper and sufficient quantity of one and one-half-inch cotton, rubber-lined hose, not less than 100 feet, fitted with the regulation couplings of the Fire Department, and with regulation Fire Department shut-off nozzles attached thereto, and with hose spanners at each outlet, shall always be kept attached to each hose attachment, as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may direct.

Such fire hose shall conform to the San Francisco Fire Department standard specifications for cotton, rubber-lined fire hose, and before installation, and as often thereafter, as the Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Fire Department may require, such hose shall be subjected to the regulation tests, and an affidavit shall be furnished to the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department setting forth the chemical analysis of the rubber contained therein, which must be in compliance with the requirements of said specifications.

There shall also be kept in readiness for immediate use on the stage at least two casks of water, and two buckets to each cask. The cask and buckets shall be painted red.

There shall also be provided hand pumps or other portable fire extinguishing apparatus, and at least four axes, and also two 25-foot hooks, two 15-foot hooks and two 10-foot hooks on each tier or floor of the stage.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### Right of Entry by Fire Department.

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1126, Ordinance No. 11.057, as follows:

Authorizing the officers and members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Department to enter buildings, structures or premises by direction of the Chief Engineer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The officers and members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Department shall have the right to enter, at any time when under the orders of the Chief Engineer of said department, any buildings, structure or premises, except private dwellings, wherever located, within the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of making inspections, and in the interest of public safety.

Section 2. Any person, firm, company or corporation that resists or opposes the execution of any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

## Sign Ordinance.

(Code 11.08)

On recommendation of Building Committee.

Bill No. 1130, Ordinance No. 11.0818, as follows:

Regulating the installation, alteration, and maintenance of signs, devices, barber poles, and sidewalk clocks, fixing a penalty for violation of the provisions thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), Ordinance No. 8962 (New Series), Ordinance No. 9083 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Title.* This ordinance shall be known as the "Sign Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. *Unlawful to Place or Maintain Any Sign Except in Compliance Herewith.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation hereafter to place or maintain upon or in front of, or attach to any building or premises, any sign, advertisement, transparency, bulletin board, barber pole, or sidewalk clock, projecting beyond the property line over any sidewalk or public thoroughfare, except such as are embraced within the classifications of Section 14 hereof and subject to the conditions stated herein; or to place or maintain upon or attach to any building or premises any sign, advertisement, transparency, bulletin board, barber pole or sidewalk clock wholly within the property lines except as shall be approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection; provided, that this ordinance shall not be deemed to apply to "billboards", as defined and regulated by Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series) nor to render unlawful the maintenance of any sign, advertisement, transparency, bulletin board, barber pole or sidewalk clock, erected and maintained under a lawful permit prior to the passage of this ordinance.

Section 3. *Permit from Central Permit Bureau Required.* No sign, transparency, advertisement, bulletin board, barber pole, or sidewalk clock shall hereafter be placed, maintained upon or in front of, or attached to any building, structure or premises, without a permit therefor from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works.

(a) *Application for Permit.* Application for such permit shall be made to the said Central Permit Bureau, by the owner, lessee, or agent thereof, of the property on which the proposed sign is to be located, on the form and in the manner required by the Department of Public Works. Such application shall specify whether such sign is to be used as an electric sign or a non-electric sign.

(b) *Drawings of Proposed Sign Required.* Said application shall be accompanied by duplicate scale or dimensioned drawings of the proposed sign, which shall show fully the location, dimensions, and construction thereof, together with such other information as may be required. When the proposed sign is to be attached to a building or other independent structure, the drawings shall show the position of the sign on the supporting structure, the method of attachment to such structure, and the character of the structural members to which such attachment is made.

Section 4. *Permit Issued if Application Approved by Bureau of Building Inspection.* It shall be the duty of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, upon the filing of application for permit and exhibits as provided in Section 3 hereof, to examine such drawings and other data and, if necessary, the premises upon which it is proposed to erect the sign, and if it shall appear that the proposed sign is in accordance with all legal requirements, and the application for permit for its erection has been approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the City Planning Commission and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works shall then issue a permit for the erection of the sign.

Section 5. *Inspection During Erection.* During the erection of the sign, the Bureau of Building Inspection shall make such inspections as are necessary to determine that the same is completed in conformance with all ordinances and approved application and plans for which permit was issued.

Section 6. *Signs Not Conforming to Application as Approved, Etc., May Be Removed.* In the event that any sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device, when completed, does not conform in every respect to the terms of this ordinance and the approved application and plans therefor, the Bureau of Building Inspection shall notify the permittee, who shall thereupon cause such alterations and changes to be made as may be necessary to make the same conform thereto.

In case of failure to comply with such notification the Department of Public Works is authorized to cause the removal forthwith of such sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device, at the expense of the permittee and without prejudice to the penalties herein imposed for violations of this ordinance.

If the application specifies that a sign is to be used as an electric sign such sign must be completed in accordance with the permit issued therefor by the Department of Electricity, and its use and maintenance otherwise than as an electric sign shall be unlawful.

In the event that any permittee shall maintain such sign as a non-electric sign the Bureau of Building Inspection shall notify the permittee to forthwith complete said sign in accordance with the application made and permits issued therefor.

In case of failure to comply with such notification for a period of thirty days from and after receipt thereof the Department of Public Works is authorized to cause the removal of such sign at the expense of the permittee and without prejudice to the penalties herein imposed for violation of this ordinance.

Section 7. *Date of Erection and Permit Number to Be Placed on Signs.* Every sign hereafter erected shall bear the date of erection, and the number of the permit issued for the sign by the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works shall be clearly painted on the face thereof in characters of not less than one inch in height and of such size and location as to be legible from the sidewalk level; provided, however, that electric signs may have attached thereto an approved metal tag in lieu of the painted characters.

Section 8. *Privileges Acquired Revocable at Any Time.* All rights and privileges acquired under the provisions of this ordinance or any

amendment thereto, permitting the erection or maintenance of signs, barber poles, or sidewalk clocks on or over sidewalks or public thoroughfares, are mere licenses revocable at any time by the Department of Public Works, and all such permits shall contain this proviso.

Section 9. *Removal of Signs Authorized Unless Placed and Secured as Directed by Bureau.* Any person, firm or corporation maintaining any sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device upon or in front of the premises of which such person, firm or corporation has control, shall, upon notice from the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, cause the same to be placed and secured in such manner as the said Bureau may direct. In case of failure to comply with such notification, the Department of Public Works is authorized to cause the removal forthwith of such sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device, at the expense of the permittee and without prejudice to the penalties herein imposed for violations of this ordinance.

Section 10. *Electric Signs—Permit Required from Department of Electricity After Permit Granted by Central Permit Bureau.* Before any sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device is erected which is intended to be used in connection with electricity, a permit shall be secured from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works as provided in Sections 3 and 4 hereof; and this permit, together with all necessary data regarding the proposed electrical construction, shall be submitted to the Department of Electricity. It shall be the duty of the Department of Electricity to examine such electrical data, and if the proposed sign is found to be in accordance with all ordinances regarding electrical construction, the said department shall issue a permit for the erection of such sign. No sign intended to be used in connection with electricity shall be erected until permits therefor have been secured from both the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works and the Department of Electricity.

Section 11. *Definitions.*

(a) The word "sign" as used herein when appearing alone, shall be understood to include all types of signs, sign devices, advertisements, transparencies, bulletin boards on or attached to any building, pole, structure, or premises, and shall also include barber poles and sidewalk clocks; provided, however, that the said word shall not include "billboards" as defined in Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series).

(b) The area of a sign is hereby defined as the product of the horizontal distanee between vertical lines passing through the extremities of the sign and the vertical distance between a horizontal line passing through the highest point of the sign and a horizontal line passing through the lowest point of the sign.

(c) The dimensions of a sign are hereby defined to be the extreme horizontal and extreme vertical dimension, including all fins, scrolls and trim.

(d) "*Electric Sign*" shall mean a sign whereon letters, figures or designs are formed or outlined by incandescent electric light globes, gas-filled luminous tubes, or by a transparent medium illuminated by means of electric lights or gas-filled luminous tubes contained within the sign. Electric lights or gas-filled luminous tubes, which are not an essential element of the sign proper are not classified as electric signs within the meaning of this ordinance; provided, however, that a sign or design installed or painted on the exterior of a building and illuminated or outlined by means of electric lights or gas-filled tubes that are installed for the specific purpose of illuminating said sign or design shall be considered within the requirements of this ordinance.

(e) "*Face of Building*" shall be construed to mean the general outer surface of the walls of the building facing the street, except where bay windows or pillars project beyond such walls, the outer surface of which windows or pillars shall be considered the face of the building at these points.

(f) Where the distance from the sign to sidewalk is specified, what is meant is the clear distance from the bottom of the sign or the frame thereof, to the highest point of the sidewalk immediately beneath such sign.

Section 12. *Required Wind Load Resistance.* All fixed signs except those lying flat against the surface of the building to which they are attached, shall be designed to withstand wind loadings as follows, the detail design to be in accordance with the provisions of the Building Law:

(a) *For solid signs*, twenty (20) pounds per square foot on one face of sign.

(b) *For skeleton signs*, thirty-six (36) pounds per square foot of the total face area of the letters or other sign surface, or ten (10) pounds per square foot on the gross area within the frame of the sign proper, whichever is the greater.

Section 13. *General Specifications.*

(a) *Metal shapes or flats used for supporting or bracing signs* shall not be less than one-eighth (⅛) inch thick, and shall be galvanized or porcelain enameled. Where wire is used to support or brace signs, it shall be galvanized or equal.

(b) *All cloth signs* shall have a rigid wood or metal frame and shall extend not more than six (6) inches from the face of the building and shall not be permitted to hang for a period exceeding sixty (60 days.

(c) *Signs projecting more than six (6) inches* from the face of the building shall be of metal or incombustible material. Provided, however, that within the fire limits all signs shall be constructed throughout of incombustible material.

(d) *All electric signs* shall be constructed throughout of incombustible materials.

(e) *No sign other than an electric sign* shall project more than twelve (12) inches from the face of the building, nor shall any electric sign project beyond the curb line of the sidewalk below such sign.

(f) *No double or triple face electric sign* shall be more than four (4) feet thick between sign faces.

(g) *No sign which projects more than eight (8) inches over a public sidewalk or thoroughfare* shall be less than eight (8) feet above the sidewalk; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to non-electric pole signs when the base thereof is placed at sidewalk elevation.

(h) *No sign other than a swinging electric or marquee* shall extend across or in front of any window or other exterior opening in any building above the first story thereof, nor across the end windows or the end three (3) feet of glass front at each side of a mezzanine floor.

(i) *No sign shall be attached to any fire escape or standpipe*, and none except marquee signs shall be placed in front thereof, nor shall any sign be so placed as to obstruct the means of ingress or egress of a building.

(j) *No sign which is placed parallel with or flat against the wall of a building* shall extend above the fire wall of such building.

Section 14. *Classification of Signs and Provisions Regarding Each Class.* The classification of signs referred to in Section 2, and the special conditions pertaining to each classification, shall be as follows:

(a) *Flat or curved signs*, plain or electric, the face of the sign being parallel for its whole length to the face of the building, and the sign fastened directly thereto. Such signs shall project not more than ten (10) inches from the face of the building unless the sign is ten (10) feet or more above the sidewalk, in which case they may project not to exceed eighteen (18) inches.

(b) *Drum signs* attached to the pillars or entrances of buildings, and projecting not more than eight (8) inches from the face of the building unless the sign is eight (8) feet or more above the sidewalk, in which case they may project not to exceed twelve (12) inches. Provided,

an electric drum sign ten (10) feet or more above the sidewalk may project not more than four (4) feet.

(c) *"V" signs*, attached at their ends to the face of the building, and with the apex projecting therefrom not more than eight (8) inches unless the sign is eight (8) feet or more above the sidewalk, in which case this projection may be made not to exceed twelve (12) inches. Provided, an electric "V" sign ten (10) feet or more above the sidewalk may project not more than four (4) feet.

(d) *Barber poles* and similar type signs, free from any separate signs attached thereto, and projecting not more than fourteen (14) inches from the face of the building.

(e) *Horizontal double face or triple face signs* illuminated and conforming to the following specifications:

(1) *Swinging electric signs* having a maximum height not to exceed seven (7) feet nor projecting beyond the outer edge of the sidewalk nor having their lowest point less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk. Provided, also, that such signs shall be erected in such manner as to permit their being swung back parallel with the face of the building and when so swung back the sign shall not project more than twenty (20) inches from the face of said building, or supporting element at property line. Further provided, that said signs shall be swung back parallel with the face of the building between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m.; and further provided, that this section shall not prohibit the erection of swinging electric signs, which are supported from framework constructed on the roofs of buildings not over fifteen (15) feet high, and complying in all other respects with the other requirements of this ordinance.

(2) *Horizontal electric signs* having a maximum height not to exceed five (5) feet, nor projecting from the face of the building more than seven (7) feet, nor beyond the outer edge of the sidewalk, nor having their lowest point less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk. Provided, also, that they shall be mounted in such a manner as to permit their being swung back parallel with the face of the building (in case of emergencies such as fire) and when so swung back the sign shall not project more than twenty (20) inches from the face of said building or supporting element at property line, but shall be fastened permanently with easily removable galvanized metal guys in their extended position for normal usage, the lateral guys to be kept not more than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk at their point of attachment to the building.

(f) *Double face vertical electric signs*, illuminated on both sides, the face of the sign set at right angles to the face of the building except that at a corner of the building which is also a street corner, the sign may be so set that the plane of the sign bisects the angle formed by the intersecting wall faces. The limiting dimensions pertaining to such signs shall be as follows:

| Height Above Sidewalk Feet | Maximum Projection from Face of Building Feet | Minimum Length of Sign Feet |
|---|---|---|
| 10 | 4 | 8 |
| 12 | 5 | 10 |
| 15 | 6 | 20 |
| 18 | 7 | 30 |
| 20 | 8 | 40 |
| 23 | 9 | 50 |
| 25 | 10 | 60 |

(g) *Single face vertical electric signs* having a maximum width of six (6) feet placed either flat against the building or at an angle of forty-five (45) degrees with the walls at a corner thereof which is also a street corner. Such signs shall be not less than twelve (12)

feet above the sidewalk, nor shall any portion project above the fire wall of the building.

(h) *Gas or electric lamp or lamp globes on which signs may be placed*, such lamps or globes to be suspended in front of the building or premises, not less than eight (8) feet above the sidewalk, nor projecting more than two and one-half (2½) feet from the face of the building. No inscription or sign other than the name of the person, firm or corporation at whose expense and in front of whose premises the lamp is erected shall be placed thereon, nor shall the size of such lamp or globe exceed that of those used in lighting the public streets.

(i) *Flat or Curved Mesh Signs and Raised Letters.* Such signs may be extended from the front of one bay window above the first story to an adjacent bay window, provided that the sign shall not project more than six (6) inches from the front of the bay window.

(j) *Bulletin boards*, which shall not project more than eight (8) inches from the face of the building.

(k) *Signs Supported Upon Metal Awnings or Marquees.* Such signs shall not be less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk, and unless electric signs, shall be not more than two (2) feet in vertical dimension; if electric signs their vertical dimension shall be not more than sixty-five (65) per cent of the projection of the marquee from the face of the building. When projecting above the roof of the marquee, the face of signs parallel with the building, or the apex of "V" signs, shall be distant from the face of the building not less than one and one-half (1½) times the height of the sign above the marquee. There shall be a clear space of not less than two (2) feet from the face of the building to any portion of any sign extending more than two (2) feet above the roof of the marquee, unless there is provided a walkway as herein specified. Such walkway shall extend along the face of the building for the full distance between signs, shall be at an elevation of not more than two (2) feet below the top of the sign at the face of the building, and shall be not less than two (2) feet wide. Such walkway shall be designed for its dead load plus a live load of sixty (60) pounds per square foot of area, and shall be of fireproof construction throughout. Where the walkway is located more than two (2) feet above the roof of the marquee, a hand-rail shall be provided along the outer edge of the walkway, and metal stairs or ladder, not less than eighteen (18) inches wide, shall be provided to give access from the walkway to the roof below. Signs extending above the roof of a marquee and placed other than along and flush with the edges thereof, shall be set back not less than two (2) feet from the side edges of the roof. No temporary sign of any kind shall be attached or supported on any marquee except as provided in Class L. All marquees shall be designed and constructed to sustain, in accordance with the provisions of the building law, their full dead load plus a live load of twenty (20) pounds per square foot of horizontal area, plus the weight of signs, and no sign shall be supported on any marquee which does not satisfy this requirement.

(l) *Temporary Signs, Advertisement, or Flags.* These may be suspended over the sidewalk in front of buildings or premises upon holidays, election days, and days of public parades or display, provided that such signs, advertisements, or flags shall be placed and secured as may be directed by the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and be removed immediately following the day or days for which the permit is granted.

(m) *Sidewalk clocks*, which shall be ornamental in character and construction, the design thereof to be subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works. Such clocks shall be placed just inside of and abutting on the curb line, shall be not less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk, and the clock face shall be not less than two (2) nor more than three (3) feet in diameter. No advertisement, notice, lettering, inscription, or name

shall be painted, placed or attached to the clock or to the pole or standard upon which it is mounted. After the erection thereof, all such clocks shall be maintained in good condition and shall correctly indicate the time; failure to comply with this condition shall be cause for revocation of permit by the Department of Public Works.

(n) *Roof Signs.* The extreme height of such signs above the lowest portion of the roof directly beneath the sign shall not exceed seventy-five (75) feet when the sign is supported on a Class A or Class B building, nor thirty (30) feet when supported on a Class C building. The face of the sign shall be set back not less than three (3) feet in the clear from the inside face of the parapet wall parallel or adjacent thereto, and the ends of the sign shall be not less than one (1) foot inside face of the parapet wall adjacent to such end. The bottom of such signs shall be not less than five (5) feet above the roof directly beneath, and the space between vertical support shall be not less than six (6) feet in the clear; not less than fifty (50) per cent of the spaces so defined shall be and remain clear of any obstructions whatever.

No advertising sign or electric sign shall hereafter be placed on any roof in such a position that any portion of the advertising surface, its supporting structure, posts or braces, will be closer than six (6) feet from the outlet connection of any standpipe or fire plug, nor interfere in any way with the free use of any fire escape or obstruct any ventilator door or stairway.

Any sign of any character, together with its foundation, framework and supports now or hereafter constructed or maintained on or above any roof shall be kept in safe repair at all times by the person, firm or corporation owning, operating or having the care or custody of the same.

All roof signs shall be constructed throughout of fireproof material except as hereafter provided, and shall be supported on and attached to the building by a suitable frame of galvanized metal, which shall be positively anchored to the main structural members of the building; sills, stringers, ornamental trim and foot walks supporting such frames on Class C and frame buildings may be of timber. All foot walks supported by the frame shall be provided with a secure metal railing.

The provisions of this section shall not prohibit the erection of signs on roofs of one-story buildings constructed of incombustible materials, advertising products sold on the premises when such sign is also constructed of incombustible materials and has an area and dimensions not greater than the following:

Such sign shall not be greater than sixty (60) square feet in area; and not longer than the roof of the building at the point of support, with a maximum length of twenty (20) feet; and the top of the sign shall not at any point be more than four (4) feet above the roof surface which is directly underneath such point.

Section 15. *Conflicting Ordinances Repealed.* Ordinances Nos. 1009 (New Series), 8962 (New Series), and 9083 (New Series), are hereby repealed, as are also any other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions herein.

Section 16. *Invalidity of Any Part of Ordinance Not to Affect Remaining Portions.* If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 18. *Penalty.* Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a

misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Execute Project Statements and Memoranda of Agreements in Connection With Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.**

(Code No. 5.31)

Resolution No. 2828, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has designated certain streets of major importance which are to be improved with funds from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund; and

Whereas, before actual improvement of those streets is commenced, a project statement must be executed and a memorandum of agreement entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California, covering the particular street or streets proposed to be improved; and

Whereas, the figures set up in the annual and other Appropriation Ordinances for the accomplishment of these projects are based on estimates only and in many cases must be revised after the receipt of bids; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has officially designated the Chief Administrative Officer as the official who, for the City and County of San Francisco, shall execute these project statements and memoranda of agreements; and

Whereas, much delay and confusion has resulted through the practice heretofore followed, whereby the Chief Administrative Officer receives authorization, only after resolution of this Board, to execute each project statement or amended project statement and memorandum of agreement or amended memorandum of agreement, as the necessity for each such execution or revision arises; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in connection with the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby authorized to execute, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, all project statements, amended project statements, memoranda of agreements and amended memoranda of agreements for streets which have been or shall be designated by this Board of Supervisors as Streets of Major Importance, the cost of improving which is to be paid out of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund; and, be it

Further Resolved, That nothing herein contained shall be construed as authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer to deviate in any manner whatsoever, other than as herein provided, from the full and complete prosecution of the projects designated for improvement with funds, in whole or in part, from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund as set up in each Annual or Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Ordering the Improvement of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Streets (Wherenot).

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1136, Ordinance No. 12.061166, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 26, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and the improvement of Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue, where not already improved. The improvement is to include the construction of the following items:

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Brick catchbasin, complete.
3. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
4. Armored concrete curb.
5. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
6. Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on a 6-inch class "F" concrete base.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as Lots 1, 1-A, 2, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5855, and Lots 1, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5856, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Requesting State Department of Public Works to Arrange for Federal Aid for Nineteenth Avenue and Funston Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2829, as follows:

Whereas, under the provisions of the Federal Aid Highway Authorization Act (Public Law No. 686), enacted by the Seventy-fourth Congress, the State of California has been allotted in excess of $15,000,000 to be expended on highways in the Federal Aid System, together with Grade Crossing Projects and Farm to Market Roads; and

Whereas, Nineteenth avenue from Sloat boulevard to Lincoln way and Funston avenue from Fulton street to Geary street have been heretofore included in the Federal Aid Highway System and designated as Federal Aid Route 21, thus making these particular projects eligible for Federal Aid Funds under the provisions of the Hayden-Cartwright Act and for which the State Department of Public Works (Division of Highways) is the duly authorized representative of the United States Department of Agriculture (U. S. Bureau of Public Roads) in the proper expenditure of these Federal Aid Funds; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore been unable to qualify for appropriations under the previous provisions of the Hayden-Cartwright Act, which was later amended to include various city streets; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has previously executed a contract agreement with the State of California (Division of Highways) which designated that the aforesaid Nineteenth avenue and Funston avenue be reconstructed and widened entirely out of ¼-cent Gas Tax Funds for State Highways Within Cities, without any Federal Aid contributions whatsoever; and

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District must have proper approach and feeder roads to make possible the greatest possible revenue to protect the 87 per cent responsibility of the taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco and for which purpose Funston avenue within the Presidio is contemplated as one of the main traffic arteries to be financed by the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the State Department of Public Works (Division of Highways) be requested to arrange the necessary procedure to obtain Federal Aid Funds from the U. S. Government to be expended on the aforementioned Nineteenth avenue and Funston avenues to insure full completion and in turn apply other Gas Tax Funds under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Public Works to the performance and completion of the Presidio portion of Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Approving Grade Map of Bemis Street.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 2830, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map of Bemis Street Between Castro and Mateo Streets," be and is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Land for Castro Street Extension in Exchange for Portions of Certain Streets to Be Closed.**

(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Resolution No. 2831, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Midland Realty Mortgage Company to the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the extension of Castro street.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the westerly line of Castro street; thence westerly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 51.923 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running southwesterly 292.141 feet to the easterly line of Newburg street; thence deflecting 46 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the left and running southerly along said line of Newburg street, 28.139 feet to the northerly line of Duncan street; thence at right angles easterly along said line of Duncan street, 43.086 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly parallel with and distant 50 feet at right angles southeasterly from the second course of this description, 304.257 feet to the westerly line of Castro street; thence deflecting 46 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the left and running northerly along said line of Castro street, 19.850 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Horner's Addition, Block 178.

In consideration for the above described land the City and County of San Francisco shall close the following described portions of Newburg, Duncan and Twenty-seventh streets, and deed said property to Midland Realty Mortgage Company; and shall construct a main sewer in and through the above described property from Duncan street to Twenty-seventh street, without cost to said company:

*Parcel* 1: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the westerly line of Newburg street; thence southerly along said line of Newburg street, 228 feet to the northerly line of Duncan street; thence deflecting 133 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly 41.132 feet to the easterly line of Newburg street; thence deflecting 46 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the left and running northerly along the easterly line of Newburg street, 199.861 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street; thence at right angles westerly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 30 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the easterly line of Diamond street; thence easterly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 508.077 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly 7.825 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 5 feet, central angle 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds, 11.941 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Twenty-seventh street; thence westerly along said parallel line 510.364 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street produced northerly; thence at right angles southerly along last named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street and the easterly line of Diamond street; thence easterly along said line of Duncan street, 265 feet to the westerly line of Newburg street; thence deflecting 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the right and running southwesterly 4.640 feet; thence southwesterly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 40 feet, central angle 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds, 30.136 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles southerly from aforesaid line of Duncan street; thence westerly along said parallel line, 234.250 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street produced southerly; thence at right

angles northerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel* 4. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street and the westerly line of Castro street; thence westerly along said line of Duncan street, 221.914 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, 7.825 feet; thence southwesterly, southerly and easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 5 feet, central angle 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds, 11.941 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles southerly from said line of Duncan street; thence easterly along said parallel line, 224.201 feet to the westerly line of Castro street produced southerly; thence at right angles northerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it further resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said parcels 1, 2, 3, and 4 to Midland Realty Mortgage Company, upon the final closing of said portions of Newburg, Duncan and Twenty-seventh streets.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Approving Map Showing the Extension of Darrell Place.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 2832, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled, "Map Showing the Extension of Darrell place," approved the 26th day of August, 1936, by Director of Public Works Order No. 4630, be and is hereby approved, and the hatched portion shown thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Darrell place.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Deed to Certain Land Required for Extension of Darrell Place and Sewer Easement.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2833, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated May 20, 1936, from Title Insurance and Guaranty Company to Lot 26-G, Assessor's Block 85, San Francisco, required for the extension of Darrell place, and that certain deed from James Teel et al., dated July 30, 1936, covering a sewer right of way over Lot 26-C in said Block 85.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.**

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor.

Resolution No. 2834, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman is hereby granted a

leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 9th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Charles F. Traung, Member Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2835, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Charles F. Traung, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing September 26, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT.**

(Code No. 4.07)

Bill No. 1125, Ordinance No. 4.073, as follows:

An ordinance carrying into effect sections 158 to 172, both inclusive, of the Charter, which provide, through a Retirement System for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of Retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of Death Benefits and Compensation Insurance Benefits; defining terms, providing for the procedure for the collection of benefits and the duties of the Retirement Board, creating the Retirement Fund, providing for membership in the Retirement System, providing for contributions by the members and by the City and County, providing for service and disability retirement and fixing allowances and conditions of payment providing for Death Benefits and the Administration of Benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Act, providing for the effect of Workmen's Compensation Benefits on other benefits, providing for the validity of this ordinance and repealing Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), Ordinance No. 9134 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 4.072 (Bill No. 529), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows

CHAPTER I.

**RETIREMENT SYSTEM.**

ARTICLE 1.

**GENERAL PROVISIONS.**

Sec. 1. Definitions.
Sec. 2. Citation.
Sec. 5. Benefits exempt from execution.

Sec. 7. Time to apply for benefits.
Sec. 8. Application for rehearing.
Sec. 9. Determination of application for rehearing.

ec. 11. Duties of Retirement Board.
ec. 13. Estimates of age and service.
ec. 15. Creation and control of Retirement Fund.
ec. 17. Transfer of records and funds.
ec. 18. Controller's Annual Audit.

SEC. 1. **Definitions.** The following words and phrases as used herein, nless a different meaning is plainly required by context, shall have the fol- wing meanings:

(a) "Actuarial equivalent" shall mean a benefit of equal value when mputed upon the basis of such mortality tables as shall be adopted by the etirement Board, and regular interest.

(b) "Annuity" shall mean equal monthly payments for life derived from con- ibutions made by a member.

(c) "Beneficiary" shall mean any person in receipt of a retirement allow- ice, a death benefit or any other benefit from the Retirement System.

(d) "Board" shall mean "Retirement Board" as created in Section 159 of e Charter.

(e) "City" shall mean "City and County of San Francisco", or the "School istrict of the City and County of San Francisco."

(f) "City-service" shall mean service rendered as an employee of the City r compensation, and for the purposes of the Retirement System a member shall be nsidered as being in the "city-service" only while he is receiving compensa- on from the City of such service; provided, however, that any person heretofore ppointed to the position of Fire Marshal, who at the time of his appointment, as a member of the uniform rank of the Fire Department and was at same time, titled to the benefits of the Firemen's Relief Fund as provided for in Chapter VII Article IX of the Charter, which has been superseded by the present Charter, id who did not avail himself of the privileges permitted by Subsection (f) of ction 169 of the present Charter, shall as long as he occupies the position of Fire arshal be deemed to be in City Service, and shall continue to be a member of e Retirement System as provided in Section 169 of the present Charter and to njoy the same rights, privileges and benefits from said system, as he would have njoyed had he not been appointed to the position of Fire Marshal and had con- nued to serve in the position in said Department which he was legally occupy- g at the time of his appointment to said position of Fire Marshal, and his depen- nts shall be entitled to all the rights, benefits and privileges provided for in id Section 169 of the present Charter. The amount of the benefit which said rson or his dependents shall be entitled to, shall be in accordance with the mpensation attached to the position which he occupied in the Fire Department the time of the appointment to the position of Fire Marshal.

(g) The various types of compensation are as follows:

(1) "Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's mpensation, Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California, shall mean e remuneration payable in cash by the City, plus the monetary value, as deter- ined by the Board of Supervisors, or if that Board shall not have so deter- ined, then by the Retirement Board, of board, lodging, fuel, laundry and other lvantages allowed as remuneration by the City; and the "compensation" dur- g any fiscal year received by a person as a teacher shall be taken as such an nount as shall bear the same proportion to the total remuneration paid to ch teacher by the San Francisco School Department during such fiscal year the amount contributed during the previous fiscal year by the City to the mmon School Fund bears to the total amount contributed to the Common hool Fund during the previous fiscal year by the City and by the State of alifornia. In no case, even including two classes of service, shall the "com-

pensation" as defined in this subdivision be taken to exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month.

(2) "Compensation earnable" by a member shall mean the compensation as determined by the Retirement Board, which would have been earned by the member had he worked, throughout the period under consideration, the average number of days ordinarily worked by persons in the same grade or class of positions as the positions held by him during such period, and at the rate of pay attached to such positions, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to entering city-service he was in the position first held by him in city-service. In the calculation of benefits, however, payable because of death or retirement of a member of the Fire or Police Department as a result of injuries incurred in performance of duty, "compensation earnable" shall be based on the assumption that prior to becoming a member of said department, the person so retired or dead was in the position first held by him in the department. "Compensation earnable" shall not be taken to exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month.

(3) "Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

(h) "Continuous service" shall mean uninterrupted city-service, except that discontinuance of city-service from any cause whatever followed by re-entrance into city-service within three years from the date of such discontinuance shall not be considered as a break in the continuity of service, and except that an absence from city-service by reason of service in the military or naval forces of the United States in any war in which the United States has engaged or may become engaged shall not be considered as a break in city-service and the period of such absence shall count as city-service; but time during which a person otherwise than because of such service has been or shall be absent, for any reason, from city-service shall not be included in calculating any benefit under the Retirement System or in determining whether a member qualifies for retirement.

(i) The various types of contributions are as follows:

(1) "Accumulated additional contributions" shall mean the sum of all the additional contributions, deducted from the compensation of a member, standing to the credit of his individual account, together with regular interest thereon.

(2) "Accumulated contributions" shall mean accumulated normal contributions plus accumulated additional contributions plus such contributions with interest, as may have been made at the rate of two dollars per month.

(3) "Accumulated normal contributions" shall mean the sum of all the normal contributions, deducted from the compensation of a member, standing to the credit of his individual account, together with regular interest thereon.

(4) "Additional contributions" shall mean contributions at the rates provided for in Section 36.

(5) "Normal contributions" shall mean contributions at the rates provided for in Section 32.

(j) "Death allowance" shall mean payments for life, or until remarriage or until the youngest child shall attain the age of sixteen years, as provided in Section 61.

(k) "Employee" shall mean any person who occupies a position created by or which is under the jurisdiction of the City, whose compensation is paid by the City, and who is under the control of the City as to employment, direction and discharge.

(l) "Member" shall mean any person included in the membership of the Retirement System as provided in Section 21.

(m) "Member of Police Department" or "member of Fire Department" shall mean any officer or employee of the San Francisco Police or Fire Department, respectively, whose employment therein began prior to January 1, 1900, or whose employment therein began or shall begin after that date and was or shall be subject to a Charter maximum age at the time of employment of thirty-five years.

(n) "Pension" shall mean equal monthly payments for life derived from contributions made by the City as provided herein.

(o) "Prior service" as applied to (1) persons employed as teachers at the time of their entry into the Retirement System, and persons, as former teachers, to whom or on account of whose death, payments are made by the Retirement System under Section 29, shall mean city-service excluding city-service as a member of the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, rendered before October 1, 1925.

(2) Persons who were members of the Police or Fire Department on January 8, 1932, persons employed by the Board of Trustees of the Police Relief and Pension Fund on such date, and former members of such departments, to whom or on account of whose death, payments by the Retirement System are made under Sections 167 and 170 of the Charter, shall mean city-service rendered as members of the San Francisco Police or Fire Department, or as employees of said Board, respectively, before January 8, 1932.

(3) Persons referred to in the last two sentences of the first paragraph of Section 158 of the Charter, shall mean city-service, excluding city-service rendered as lawful members of the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, rendered before January 9, 1932.

(4) All other members of the Retirement System and all other persons, as former members of the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, to whom or on account of whose death, payments by the Retirement System are made under Section 29 hereof, shall mean city-service rendered before April 1, 1922, except as provided in subsection (g), Section 22.

(p) "Regular interest" shall mean interest at four per centum per annum, compounded at each June 30th, subject to the provisions of Subsection (b), Section 11 hereof, plus such additional interest as the Retirement Board may declare from year to year as provided herein.

(q) "Retirement allowance" shall mean the pension plus the annuity.

(r) "Retirement Fund" shall mean "San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement Fund," as created and established in Section 15.

(s) "Retirement System" or "System" shall mean "San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System" as created in Section 158 of the Charter.

(t) "School Department" shall mean school district of the City and County of San Francisco.

(u) "Teacher" shall mean any person employed by the school district of the City and County of San Francisco, who is entitled also to retirement benefits provided by the State of California under the California Public School Teachers' Retirement Salary Fund or its successor.

(v) "The Charter" shall mean the charter adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco on March 26, 1931, and ratified by the Legislature on April 13, 1931.

(w) Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

SEC. 2. **Citation.** This ordinance shall be known and may be cited as the "Retirement Code."

SEC. 5. **Benefits Exempt From Execution.** The right of a person to a pension, an annuity or a retirement allowance, to the return of contributions, the pension, annuity or retirement allowance itself, any optional benefit, any other right or benefit accrued or accruing to any person under the provisions hereof and the monies in the fund created herein shall not be subject to execution garnishment, attachment, or any other process whatsoever, and shall be unassignable except as herein specifically provided.

SEC. 7. **Time to Apply for Benefits.** Proceedings before the Retirement Board for the collection of benefits provided under the Retirement System upon the death of a member or beneficiary, must be commenced within one year from the date of death, or from the date of appointment of a guardian or trustee of the person to whom the said benefits would be payable, if such person is incompetent or under twenty-one years of age.

SEC. 8. **Application for Rehearing.** Any applicant, or in the event of the death of the applicant, any child of the deceased member or beneficiary under sixteen years of age, may file an application for rehearing of any application whether for a benefit hereunder or retirement, within thirty (30) days after written notice of the determination by the Retirement Board has been sent by registered mail to the applicant or his attorney of record, upon any of the following grounds:

(a) That the Retirement Board acted without and in excess of its powers;

(b) That the order, decision or award was procured by fraud;

(c) That the evidence does not justify the determination of said Retirement Board;

(d) That the applicant has discovered new evidence material to him which he could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered or procured at the hearing.

SEC. 9. **Determination of Application for Rehearing.** The determination of the Retirement Board on any application for rehearing shall be made within sixty days after the filing thereof, or said application shall be deemed denied and such determination shall be final and conclusive and it shall have no jurisdiction to entertain any subsequent application regarding the same matter.

SEC. 11. **Duties of Retirement Board.** The management and control of the Retirement System shall be vested in the Retirement Board as provided in Section 159 of the Charter. The Board shall exercise the powers and perform the duties conferred on it by said Charter and by other sections hereof, and in addition thereto:

(a) Shall credit contributions of members, of beneficiaries and of the City with interest at the rate of four per centum per annum, compounded on June 30th of each year, subject to the provisions of subsection (b) of this section. The Board, however, at the end of each fiscal year, may credit to all contributions held in the Retirement Fund at the end of such fiscal year such additional interest as it may deem proper in the light of the earnings of the Retirement Fund during such fiscal year, provided that the total interest credited to contributions during any fiscal year shall not exceed the earnings on the Retirement Fund during that year; and provided, further, that interest at the rate of four per centum per annum, compounded annually, shall be used in the calculation of benefits under any mortality table adopted by the Board subject to the provisions of subsection (b) of this section, regardless of any additional interest allowed on contributions under this paragraph.

(b) Shall keep in convenient form such data as shall be necessary for the actuarial valuation of the Retirement System. As of June 30, 1933, and thereafter at intervals of not to exceed six years, the Board shall make an actuarial

ıvestigation into the mortality, service and compensation experience of the ıembers and beneficiaries, and further shall make an actuarial valuation of ıe assets and liabilities of the Retirement System. From time to time, the oard shall determine the rate of interest being earned on the Retirement Fund. pon the basis of all or any of such investigation, valuation and determination, ıe Board shall:

(1) Adopt for the Retirement System such interest rate and such mortality; ervice and other tables, or any of such items, as shall be deemed necessary.

(2) Make such revision in the rates of contribution under the Retirement ystem as shall be deemed necessary to comply with Section 32.

(c) In addition to other records and accounts, shall keep such records and ccounts as shall be necessary to show at any time:

(1) The total accumulated contributions of members;

(2) The total accumulated contributions of retired members less the annuy payments made to such members;

(3) The accumulated contributions of the City held for the benefit of mem-ers on account of service rendered as members of the Retirement System;

(4) All other accumulated contributions of the City, which shall include ıe amounts available to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits ıat have been granted and on account of prior service of members. A portion f the accumulated contributions of the City previously held for the benefit f members, excluding persons who are members under Sections 166 and 169 f the Charter, on account of service rendered as members of the Retirement ystem, equal to the accumulated normal contributions withdrawn by a mem-er, or paid to a beneficiary upon the death of a member or applied to purchase n annuity upon the retirement of a member, shall thereafter be included in ıe amounts available to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits ıat have been granted and on account of prior service of members. No trans-er of accumulated contributions of the City shall be made on account of ithdrawal of accumulated contributions by a person who is a member under ection 166 or 169 of the Charter, but upon the death or retirement of such a ıember, accumulated contributions of the City, previously held for the benefit f such member, actuarially equivalent to that portion of the benefit granted to im or to his beneficiary, which is chargeable to service rendered as a member f the Retirement System, shall thereafter be included in the amounts available o meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been granted nd on account of prior service of members.

(d) Shall determine the city-service rendered by members and shall fix nd may modify allowances for service and disability and fix other benefits. )ne year and proportionate parts thereof shall be credited on the basis of not nore than two hundred fifty nor less than two hundred twenty days of city-ervice rendered by per diem employees, on the basis of ten months or more of ity-service rendered by monthly employees and on the basis of the receipt of en-twelfths of the annual salary by teachers, but not more than one year shall e credited for all service in any fiscal year. Time during which a member was r shall be absent from city-service without pay shall not be allowed in com-uting service except as provided in subsection (h) of Section 1.

Credit for prior service shall be granted to each member who has rendered uch service as defined herein and who enters the Retirement System on Janu-ry 8 or 9, 1932, except as provided in Section 27 and Section 56, for re-entrants, nd except, further, that any such member who has failed to re-deposit accumu-ated contributions withdrawn by him from the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System shall receive credit for such prior service only if, upon eing notified by the Retirement Board, he make such re-deposit, in the same nanner as provided in Section 27, for persons re-entering city-service. However, rior service so credited shall be the basis for a retirement allowance or benefit

as provided herein only if membership continues unbroken until retirement on a retirement allowance or until the granting of such other benfit, provided that a termination of membership by the withdrawal of accumulated contributions followed by the re-deposit of such contributions upon re-entrance into city-service shall not constitute a break in membership.

The method heretofore used under the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System in calculating the amount of city-service to be credited to members, in fixing disability and service retirement allowances and other benefits, in determining effective dates of membership in the Retirement System and in calculating members' contributions to the Retirement System, based on the assumption that teachers, subsequent to first entering into their duties, are in city-service throughout that part of the interims between school terms during which they have been or shall be paid salary installments, is hereby approved solely for the purposes hereof and regardless of the status of said teachers under the State law. No adjustments affecting teachers under the Retirement System shall be made on the basis of payment of teachers' salaries in other than twelve monthly installments, provided, however, that this paragraph shall not prevent adjustments, prior to termination of membership in the Retirement System, in contributions because of under-payments or over-payments of salary, nor shall it prevent the Retirement Board from modifying the method referred to in the first sentence of this paragraph, in the event that teachers' salaries shall be paid in other than twelve monthly installments, but such modification shall apply only to city-service rendered thereafter.

SEC. 13. **Estimates of Age and Service.** If it shall be impracticable for the Retirement Board to determine from the records the length of service, the compensation or the age of any member, the said Board may estimate, for the purposes hereof, such length of service, compensation or age.

SEC. 15. **Creation and Control of Retirement Fund.** (a) A fund is hereby created and established to be known as the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement Fund and to consist of all the monies paid into it as herein provided, whether such monies shall take the form of cash, securities or other assets. The Retirement Board shall have exclusive control, as provided in Section 159 of the Charter, of the administration and investment of the said fund.

(b) The Retirement Board may deposit cash belonging to the Retirement Fund in any licensed national bank or banks in this State or in any bank or banks authorized or licensed to do a banking business, and organized under the laws of this State, subject to the provision of Section 82 of the Charter.

(c) The Treasurer shall be the custodian of the Retirement Fund under the provisions of Section 83 of the Charter, subject to the exclusive control of the Retirement Board as to the administration, deposit and investment of said fund. Payments from said fund shall be made by him only upon warrant drawn by the Controller and no such warrant shall be drawn except in payment of claims or payrolls prepared and signed by the Secretary of the Retirement Board.

(d) Interest on any cash and on any investments constituting a part of the said fund shall be paid into said fund as received.

(e) Except as herein provided, no member or employee of the Retirement Board shall have any interest, direct or indirect, in the making of any investment, or in the gains or profits accruing therefrom. No member of the Retirement System and no member or employee of the said Board, directly or indirectly, for himself or as an agent or partner of others, shall borrow any of its funds or in any manner use the same except to make such current and necessary payments as are authorized by said Board; nor shall any member or employee of the Board become an indorser or surety or become in any manner an obligor for monies invested by the Board.

SEC. 17. **Transfer of Records and Funds.** All assets and all records of e San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, the Police Relief and ension Fund and the Firemen's Relief Fund, transferred on January 8, 1932, to e Retirement System, shall be held for the same purpose as under the Retire- ent System or fund from which they were transferred, beneficiaries nominated such records to continue until changed as provided herein.

SEC. 18. **Controller's Annual Audit.** The Controller of the City and unty of San Francisco shall annually make an audit of the Retirement stem.

ARTICLE 2.

**MEMBERSHIP IN RETIREMENT SYSTEM.**

ec. 21. Persons included.
ec. 22. Persons excluded.

ec. 24. Duty of Department head.
ec. 25. Duty of member or beneficiary.
ec. 26. Membership ceases—when.
ec. 27. Withdrawals.
ec. 29. Benefits to former members continued.

SEC. 21. **Persons Included.** With the exception of those employees who e excluded from membership as provided in Section 22, all employees shall come members of the Retirement System as follows:

(a) Every employee who was a member of the San Francisco City Employees' etirement System, a member of the Police or Fire Department, at 12 o'clock oon on January 8, 1932, or an employee of the Board of Trustees of the Police elief and Pension Fund on such date, the date upon which the Retirement ystem became effective, shall be a member of the Retirement System from that ate.

(b) Every employee in city-service at 12 o'clock noon on January 8, 1932, nd not already a member under Subsection (a) of this section, became a mem- er of the Retirement System on January 9, 1932, provided such employee was ertified from a Civil Service list for permanent employment, was employed by ne of the offices referred to in the second sentence of Section 158 of the Charter r was a teacher; otherwise such employee became a member of the Retirement ystem upon the completion of six months of city-service, uninterrupted by a reak of more than one month.

(c) Every other employee who shall enter city-service after January 8, 932, shall become a member of the Retirement System upon such entry, pro- ided such employee is certified from a Civil Service list for permanent employ- ent or is a teacher; otherwise such employee shall become a member of the etirement System upon the completion of six months of city-service uninter- upted by a break of more than one month. If the medical examination required f employees included in the two classes set forth in the next preceding sen- nce shall be unsatisfactory to the Retirement Board, the said Board may equire such employees to complete six months of city-service uninterrupted y a break of more than one month as a requisite to membership in the etirement System.

SEC. 22. **Persons Excluded.** The following employees shall not be members f the Retirement System:

(a) Elective officers and members of Boards and Commissions;

(b) Employees, not then already members, certified from Civil Service lists or temporary employment;

(c) Inmates of city institutions who are allowed compensation for su service as they are able to perform;

(d) Persons in city institutions principally for the purpose of training, l who receive compensation;

(e) Persons employed under contract for a definite period and for t performance of specific duties requiring professional or high technical skill;

(f) Employees, not then already members, and not certified from Ci Service lists for permanent employment, serving on a part-time basis or substitutes; provided that attorneys employed in the office of the City Attorn District Attorney or Public Defender on monthly compensation, and surge employed in the Emergency Hospital Service, Department of Public Heal on a monthly compensation and required to keep regular hours at least ev day except holidays in offices maintained by the City shall not be preven under this subsection from being members;

(g) Any employee on the Hetch Hetchy project, who by ordinance has be excluded from membership in any Retirement System established by the C and County of San Francisco, and who, while so employed, has or shall beco a member of such a Retirement System through any change in status occasion by transfer or assignment to other employment or by amendment to a reti- ment law, shall receive credit for service with the City rendered prior to t date he enters the Retirement System, including service as an employee of t Hetch Hetchy project, in the same manner as credit for prior service is gran to other members;

(h) Employees, not then already members, engaged outside the City by t Public Utilities Commission on construction work; provided that employees such construction work, certified by the Public Utilities Commission as bei in a permanent status shall not be prevented under this subsection from bei members of the Retirement System;

(i) Persons in city-service on June 28, 1922, who had not at that ti affirmatively exercised the option of becoming members of the Retirement S tem as then provided and whose compensation then equaled or exceeded f hundred (500) dollars per month;

(j) Persons who are or shall be employed in places of employment creat by special appropriation to relieve any employment emergency declared by t Board of Supervisors, as set forth in Section 149 of the Charter.

SEC. 24. **Duty of Department Head.** It shall be the duty of the head each office or department to give immediate notice in writing to the Retireme Board of the change in status of any member in his office or department resu ing from transfer, promotion, leave of absence, resignation, reinstatement, d missal, death or other cause. The head of each office or department sh furnish such other information concerning any member as the Board m require.

SEC. 25. **Duty of Member or Beneficiary.** Each member and beneficia shall be subject to all the provisions hereof and to all the rules and regul tions adopted by the Retirement Board, and shall furnish to the Board su information affecting his status as a member or beneficiary of the system the Board may require.

SEC. 26. **Membership Ceases—When.** Should the city-service of any me ber, in any period of ten consecutive years, amount to less than five years, should he die or be retired, or should he be paid more than one-quarter of l accumulated normal contribution, or, if he be a member who does not co tribute as provided in Section 31, should he resign or be discharged, he sh thereupon cease to be a member.

SEC. 27. **Withdrawals.** Should the city-service of a member be discontinued, except by death or retirement, he shall be paid not less than six months after the date of discontinuance, such part of his accumulated contributions as he shall demand; provided that, if in the opinion of the Retirement Board, said member is permanently separated from city-service by reason of such discontinuance, he shall be paid forthwith all of his accumulated contributions, and provided also that the Retirement Board may in its discretion, withhold for not more than one year after a member last rendered city-service, all or part of his accumulated normal contributions if after a previous discontinuance of city-service he withdrew all or a part of his accumulated normal contributions and failed to redeposit such withdrawn amount in the Retirement Fund as provided in this section.

Any member may redeposit in the Retirement Fund, in one sum or in not to exceed six monthly or twelve semi-monthly payments, an amount equal to that which he withdrew therefrom or from its predecessor at the last termination of his membership. If a member upon re-entering the Retirement System after a termination of his membership shall not make such redeposit, he shall re-enter as a new member without credit for any service, and the rate of his contribution for future years shall be the normal rate provided for in Section 32 at his age of re-entrance, except that persons who are members under Section 166 of the Charter shall contribute as provided in Section 31; otherwise his rate of contribution for future years shall be the same as his rate prior to the last termination of his membership, subject to Section 32, and his membership shall be the same as if unbroken by such last termination and, if he never failed to redeposit accumulated contributions withdrawn from a San Francisco Retirement System, or, if he shall redeposit such accumulated contributions upon first re-entering hereafter, then he shall receive credit for prior service in the same manner as persons who became members on January 8, 1932, provided that members under Section 169 of the Charter shall receive credit for such prior service even though, having withdrawn no accumulated contributions, they shall make no redeposit. In the event such redeposit is made by a member, excluding persons who are members under Section 166 of the Charter, an amount equal to the accumulated normal contributions so redeposited shall again be held for the benefit of the said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts available to meet the obligations of the City on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

SEC. 29. **Benefits to Former Members Continued.** Any person who was receiving a retirement allowance or other benefit on January 8, 1932, under the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, the Police Relief and Pension Fund or the Firemen's Relief Fund, shall continue to receive such retirement allowance or other benefit, subject to the provisions hereof, to the provisions of Section 166 of the Charter or to the provisions of Section 169 of the Charter, respectively, governing the payment of retirement allowances or other benefits. Such retirement allowances or other benefits, however, shall be paid by the Retirement System beginning with January, 1932.

## ARTICLE 3.

## CONTRIBUTIONS.

Sec. 31. Firemen and policemen employed before January 8, 1932.
Sec. 32. Normal contributions of other members.
Sec. 33. Change of status.
Sec. 34. Rates for certain ages.
Sec. 35. Collection of members' contributions.
Sec. 36. Additional contributions.
Sec. 41. City contributions by funds.

Sec. 42. City contributions from former Police Fund.
Sec. 43. City contributions for prior service.
Sec. 44. Administrative costs.
Sec. 45. Required contributions certified to Controller.
Sec. 47. City contributions for workmen's compensation.
Sec. 49. Guaranty.

**SEC. 31. Firemen and Policemen Employed Before January 8, 1932.** (a) Each person who was a member of the Police Department on January 8, 1932, shall contribute two dollars to the Retirement System for each month beginning with January, 1932, during any part of which he is in city-service as such member. Persons who were members of the Fire Department on January 8, 1932, shall not be required to make any contribution to the Retirement System as such members. If, however, prior to July 1, 1932, any member of the Fire or Police Departments exercised affirmatively the option provided in Sections 166 and 169, respectively, of the Charter, of becoming members of the Retirement System under the provisions of Sections 168 and 171, of the Charter, respectively, then he shall contribute, effective January 8, 1932, and on the basis of his attained age to the nearest year upon said date, to the Retirement System in accordance with Section 32, any contributions made by him under the provisions of this subsection being credited on the contributions required of him under said Section 32. Likewise, each member of the Police Department who exercised affirmatively the option provided in Section 166 of the Charter as amended in 1935, shall contribute, on and after the effective date of such affirmative action and on the basis of his attained age to the nearest year upon said date, to the Retirement System in accordance with Section 32.

SEC. 32. **Normal Contributions of Other Members.** The normal rate of contribution of all other members shall be those adopted by the Retirement Board and shall be based on sex and nearest age at time of entry into the Retirement System, or nearest age at time of entry into the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, if members of that system, such normal rates to be such as will provide, on the basis of experience as interpreted by the actuary, an average annuity at age fifty-five for persons who are members under Section 171 of the Charter, equal to three-fourths of one per centum of the final compensation of such members, for each year of service as members, and an average annuity at age sixty-two for all other male members, equal to two-thirds of one per centum, and for all female members, equal to five hundred eighty-six thousandths of one per centum, of the final compensation of such members, for each year of service as members. The actual amount of annuity receivable, however, by a member upon retirement for service shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions as provided in Section 52 hereof. The rates so adopted shall remain in full force and effect until revised or changed by the Retirement Board in the manner provided in Section 11.

SEC. 33. **Change of Status.** If a person who is a member under Section 166, Section 168, Section 169 or Section 171 of the Charter shall cease to be a member of the Police or Fire Department and shall be a member under any other section of the Charter, or if the reverse be true, then the accumulated contributions standing to his credit or redeposited by him shall remain in his individual account, and the rate of his contribution thereafter shall be the normal rate provided for in this subdivision for persons in his new group or class of employment and at his age when he first became a member, subject to Section 27 hereof in the event he did not re-deposit accumulated contributions withdrawn from the System. In the case of such person who shall cease

o be a member under Section 166 or Section 169 of the Charter, the accumulated contributions of the city held for his benefit on account of city-service endered after January 8, 1932, shall continue to be held for his benefit and pplied at his retirement as provided herein.

SEC. 34. **Rates for Certain Ages.** The normal rate of contribution established for age sixty-one, or age fifty-five for members under Section 171 of he Charter, shall be the rate for any member who has attained a greater age before entrance into the Retirement System. In like manner the normal rate of contribution established for age twenty shall be the rate for any member who enters the Retirement System at a lesser age.

SEC. 35. **Collection of Members' Contributions.** The Retirement Board shall certify to the head of the proper office or department and the Controller he normal rate of contribution for each member provided for in Section 32 nd the amount of contributions provided for in Section 31. The head of such office or department or the Controller shall apply such rate of contribution o so much of the compensation of the member as does not exceed five hundred (500) dollars per month to determine the amount to be contributed by each member, and shall furnish immediately to the Retirement Board a copy of each and every such payroll; and each of said amounts shall be deducted by the Controller and shall be deposited by the Retirement Board in the Retirement Fund, herein provided for, and shall be credited by the Retirement Board, together with regular interest, to the individual account of the member for whom the contribution was made. The Retirement Board, however, may accept cash payments by any member of amounts necessary to correct or adjust the contribution account of such member, the amount so accepted to be deposited and credited in the same manner as if deducted on a payroll and the Controller to be notified of such payment. Every member shall be deemed to consent and agree to the contribution made and provided for herein, and shall receipt in full for his salary or compensation, and payment less said contribution shall be a full and complete discharge and acquittance of all claims and demands whatsoever for the services rendered by such person during the period covered by such payment, except his claims to the benefits to which he may be entitled under the provisions hereof.

SEC. 36. **Additional Contributions.** Any member, except persons who are members under Sections 166 and 169 of the Charter, may elect to contribute at rates in excess of those provided herein, for the purpose of providing additional benefits, but the exercise of this privilege by a member shall not place on the city any additional financial obligation. The provisions of Section 35 shall apply also to additional contributions. The Retirement Board, upon application, shall furnish to such member information concerning the nature and amount of additional benefits to be provided by such additional contribution.

SEC. 41. **City Contributions by Funds.** (a) After the close of each month the Retirement Board shall determine the aggregate amount of the normal contributions for such month, excluding contributions provided for in Section 31, and the portions of such aggregate amount deducted from compensation paid from:

(1) Funds of operating public utilities, which shall include units of the Hetch Hetchy project certified from time to time by the Public Utilities Commission as being completed and placed on a permanent operating basis;
(2) Bond funds not included under the preceding item (1);
(3) Funds of the San Francisco School Department;
(4) County roads fund;
(5) Other special funds;
(6) All other sources.

(b) There shall be paid to the Retirement Fund from each of the fund included under subsection (a) above an amount equal to the total normal con tributions deducted from compensation paid from such fund. The Board sha certify to the Controller the amount of normal contributions deducted fro compensation paid from all other sources as set forth in subdivision (6), sub section (a) of this section, and the Controller shall charge an equal amoun to the appropriation for the Retirement System in the budget for the then cu rent fiscal year. Nothing in this section, however, shall prevent the determina tion of the amount of normal contributions deducted from compensation pai from any fund, on payrolls carrying compensation from more than one fun as being the amount which bears the same ratio to the total normal contribu tions deducted on such roll as the compensation paid from such fund bears t the total compensation paid from all funds on such roll.

SEC. 42. **City Contributions from Former Police Fund.** The City sha pay to the Retirement System an amount equal to the contributions, improve with interest at the rate of four per centum per annum, compounded at Jun 30th of each year, from the first day of the month following that for whic the contribution was made to January 8, 1932, made to the Police Relief an Pension Fund by persons who were members of the Police Department on Jan ary 8, 1932. The individual account of each of such persons shall be credite with that portion of such accumulated contributions, which is made up of con tributions, with such interest, made by him to such fund. Any balance due und this paragraph from the City to the Retirement System on and after January 1932, shall be improved with regular interest on and after that date.

SEC. 43. **City Contributions for Prior Service.** There shall be paid int the Retirement Fund, by contributions of the City, the amounts necessary t pay all pensions and all other benefits allowable hereunder to members c account of prior service. Until the amount accumulated in the Retiremen Fund becomes not less than the present value of all amounts thereafter pa able from the Retirement Fund, the amount due in each fiscal year to the sa fund under this section shall be the amount payable from said fund in suc fiscal year on account of prior service. Such portion of the amount as is ann ally due to the Retirement Fund on account of prior service rendered by perso as employees of municipally owned operating public utilities, which shall i clude units of the Hetch Hetchy project certified from time to time by th Public Utilities Commission as being completed and placed on a permanent o erating basis, or on account of prior service rendered by persons as employe of the San Francisco School Department, shall be paid from the funds of suc public utilities or from the funds of the San Francisco School Department, r spectively, provided that no amount due to the Retirement Fund on accou of prior service rendered by persons receiving retirement allowances under th provisions of subsection (c), Section 165 of the Charter, shall be paid fro the funds of the San Francisco School Department. After the close of ea month, the Board shall certify to the Controller the amount due to the R tirement Fund under this subdivision on account of prior service rendered persons other than employees of such public utilities and the School Depar ment, and the Controller shall charge an equal amount to the appropriation f the Retirement System in the budget for the then current fiscal year.

SEC. 44. **Administrative Cost.** The administrative costs of the Retireme System shall be met by contributions of the City, such contributions to charged against the appropriation for the system in the budget for the th current fiscal year and paid from other funds as determined by the Controll on the basis of information furnished by the Retirement Board.

SEC. 45. **Required Contributions Certified to Controller.** During each fisc

rear the Retirement Board shall certify to the Controller the amount of the contribution required of the City for such year on account of service rendered during such year by persons who are members of the Retirement System under Sections 166 and 169 of the Charter, and the Controller shall charge an equal amount to the appropriation for the Retirement System in the budget for the then current fiscal year.

SEC. 47. **City Contributions for Wokmen's Compensation.** Commencing with the fiscal year which began July 1, 1932, and during each fiscal year thereafter, the Retirement Board shall determine, on the basis of payrolls, the amount of contributions required, during such fiscal year, of the City under the Compensation, Insurance and Safety Law of the State of California, as provided in this section, such contributions to be segregated as to funds in the manner required for normal contributions in Section 41. There shall be paid into the Retirement Fund from each of such funds an amount equal to the required contributions on account of compensation, as defined herein, paid from such fund. The Retirement Board shall certify to the Controller the amount of contributions required of the City under said law on account of compensation, as defined herein, other than that charged against such funds, and the Controller shall charge an equal amount to the appropriation for the Retirement System for the then current fiscal year. Nothing herein shall prevent the determination of contributions under this section by the use of average rates for groups or classes of employees, such average rates to be redetermined once during each fiscal year or at more frequent intervals if deemed necessary by the Retirement Board. Contributions required of the City during any fiscal year to make up deficits in contributions for fiscal years previous thereto, chargeable against funds no longer existing shall be paid from the general fund.

The Retirement Board shall pay from such amounts the premium required under risks reinsured with the State Compensation Fund and benefits under risks not reinsured. Amounts to be contributed by the City on account of risks assumed by it through the Retirement System may be based on the rates used by said State Fund, but such rates may be increased or decreased if a study of the practices and experience in any of the City's operations indicate such rates are not appropriate therefor, provided that such contributions if based on such rates shall be calculated to carry all claims to maturity and to produce a reasonable reserve against the catastrophe hazard; or such amounts to be contributed during each fiscal year by the City on risks assumed may be made equal to the benefits payable thereunder during said year. Any excess in the contributions of the City above the amount necessary to meet the purposes for which they were calculated shall be credited or paid to the City. Any deficit in such contributions shall be made up by extra amounts to be included in the contributions required of the City during the fiscal year next following the determination of such deficit. Reserve funds shall be improved with regular interest.

SEC. 49. **Guaranty.** The payments of the City into the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement Fund, as provided in Sections 41 to 47 hereof, are hereby made obligations of the City. There shall be appropriated, in the budget for each fiscal year, such amounts as are necessary to make such payments, less the portions to be paid from the several funds set forth in the said sections, and the amounts so appropriated shall be provided for in the tax levy. Provision shall be made for the payment from the said several funds of such amounts as shall be necessary to meet the obligations of the City under the Retirement System on account of employees whose compensation is or has been paid from such funds.

## ARTICLE 4.

## RETIREMENT.

Sec. 51. Service retirement.
Sec. 52. Allowance at service retirement.
Sec. 54. Disability retirement.
Sec. 55. Allowance at disability retirement.
Sec. 56. Safeguards.
Sec. 57. Optional modifications of allowances.
Sec. 58. Retirement allowances payable.
Sec. 59. Service after retirement prohibited.

SEC. 51. **Service Retirement.** Retirement of a member for service sha be made by the Retirement Board as follows:

(a) Each member, except persons who become members under Section 16 of the Charter, who has attained the age of 70 years at the time of his entranc into the Retirement System, shall be retired forthwith, and each member, ex cept persons who are members under Section 169 of the Charter, in city service, or within four months after discontinuance of city-service, or whil physically or mentally incapacitated for the performance of his duty, if suc incapacity has been continuous from discontinuance of city-service, who attain the age of 70 years, shall be retired on the first day of the calendar month nex succeeding that in which the said member shall have attained the age of 7 years.

(b) Any member in the city-service, or within four months after disco tinuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated for th performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from disco tinuance of city-service, may retire upon written application to the Retiremen Board, stating what time he desires to be retired, provided that said membe

(1) if he be a member under Section 166 of the Charter, shall be cre ited, at the time so specified for his retirement, with 30 years of continuou service as a member of the Police Department, and shall have attained th age of 62 years;

(2) if he be a member under Section 169 of the Charter, shall be credite at the time so specified for his retirement: with

(i) twenty-five years of continuous service as a member of the Fire Depar ment, regardless of his age or

(ii) twenty years of continuous service as a member of the Fire Departmen and shall have attained the age of 55 years;

(3) if he be a member under Section 168 of the Charter, shall be credite at the time so specified for his retirement, with

(i) twenty-five years of continuous service, and shall have attained the a of 62 years, or

(ii) thirty years of continuous service and shall have attained the age 57 years;

(4) if he be a member under Section 171 of the Charter, shall be credit at the time so specified for his retirement, with

(i) twenty years of continuous service and shall have attained the age 55 years, or

(ii) thirty years of continuous service and shall have attained the age of years;

(5) if he be a member under any other Charter provision, shall be cre ited, at the time so specified for his retirement, with

(i) ten years of continuous service and shall have attained the age of 62 years, or

(ii) thirty years of continuous service and shall have attained the age of 57 years.

SEC. 52. **Allowances at Service Retirement.** A member, upon retirement for service as provided in Section 51,

(a) if he be a member under Sections 166 or 169 of the Charter, shall receive a retirement allowance as provided in such sections for members, at January 8, 1932, of the Police and Fire Departments, respectively, upon service retirement as distinguished from disability retirement and death. Such retirement allowance shall be provided by the accumulated contributions credited to the member's individual account and by the City's contributions on account of prior service and on account of service as a member of the system, in proportions as determined by the Retirement Board;

(b) if he be a member under any other Charter provision, shall receive a retirement allowance, which shall consist of

(1) an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) a pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, equal to that portion of the annuity purchased by the accumulated normal contributions of the member, and if he be a member who did not affirmatively exercise the option in subsection (h) of Section 166 of the Charter, a pension which shall be the actuarial equivalent of the accumulated contributions of the City held for his benefit on account of city-service rendered after January 8, 1932, as a member under Section 166 or Section 169 of the Charter; and

(3) an additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, which shall be equal to one and one-third (1 1/3) per centum, or one and one-half (1½) per centum if he be a member under Section 171 of the Charter, of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of prior service credited to him, plus the number of years of city-service credited to him as having been rendered from January 8, 1932, to the effective date of his affirmative acceptance of the option in subsection (h) of Section 166 of the Charter, less an amount equal to the portion of the annuity purchased by accumulated contributions of the member made at the rate of $2.00 per month, except that if a member, other than a person who has affirmatively exercised the option in subsection (h) of Section 166 of the Charter, shall retire after thirty years of continuous service and before attaining the age of sixty-two years, or fifty-five years, if he be a member under Section 171 of the Charter, the additional pension shall be such as can be purchased at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of a pension, deferred to age sixty-two years, or fifty-five years, if he be a member under Section 171 of the Charter, equal to one and one-third (1⅓) per centum, or one and one-half (1½) per centum if he be a member under Section 171 of the Charter, of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of prior service credited to him; provided that, in the calculation of any additional pension under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one class of prior service, that is, prior service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools, or as an employee in any other position, separate additional pensions shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of prior service, the final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service;

(c) any member who receives credit for prior service, and who is retired by reason of attaining the age of 70 years, shall receive on account of prior service such additional pension, purchased by the contributions of the City, as will make his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, not less than four hundred and eighty

(480) dollars per year unless such four hundred and eighty (480) dollar exceeds one-half of his final compensation, in which event his total retiremen allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additiona contributions, shall be not less than one-half of his final compensation.

SEC. 54. **Disability Retirement.** (a) Retirement of a member for disabilit shall be made by the Retirement Board upon medical examination as follows Any member while in the city-service, or within four months after the dis continuance of city-service, or while physically or mentally incapacitated fo the performance of his duty, if such incapacity has been continuous from dis continuance of city-service, shall be examined by one or more physicians o surgeons selected by the Retirement Board, upon the Board's own motion, upo the application of the head of the office or department in which said member i employed, or upon the application of said member or of a person acting in hi behalf, stating that said member is physically or mentally incapacitated for th performance of duty and ought to be retired, provided

(1) if he be a member under Section 166 or 169 of the Charter, such dis ability is claimed to result from bodily injury received in the performance o duty;

(2) if he be a member under Section 168 or 171 of the Charter, he ha rendered ten or more years of continuous service or such disability is claimed t result from bodily injury received in the performance of duty;

(3) if he be a member under any other Charter provision, he has rendere ten or more years of continuous service;

(b) If such medical examination and other available evidence show, to th satisfaction of the Retirement Board, that the said member is physically o mentally incapacitated for the performance of duty and ought to be retire and, if he be a member under Sections 166 or 169 of the Charter, or a membe under Sections 168 or 171 of the Charter, and has not had ten or more years c continuous city-service, that the disability is the result of bodily injury receive in the performance of duty, then the Retirement Board shall retire the sai member for disability forthwith;

(c) The Retirement Board shall secure such medical services and advic as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this section and of Sectio 56, and shall pay for such medical services and advice such compensation as th Board shall deem reasonable.

SEC. 55. **Allowance at Disability Retirement.** Upon retirement for dis ability as provided in the next preceding Section 54, a member who has qualified fc retirement for service under the provision of Section 52, except the provisior of such section permitting retirement below the normal retirement age after 3 years of continuous service, shall receive a service retirement allowance as pr vided in said Section 52 and shall be considered as a service retirement, excep as provided in Subsection (b) of this section; otherwise said member

(a) if he be a member under Sections 166 or 169 of the Charter, shall r ceive a retirement allowance as provided in such sections for members, at Jar uary 8, 1932, of the Police and Fire Departments, respectively, upon disabilit retirement as distinguished from service retirement and death. Such retir ment allowance shall be provided by the accumulated contributions credite to the member's individual account and by the City's contributions on accou of prior service, and on account of service as a member of the System, i proportions as determined by the Retirement Board;

(b) if he be a member under Sections 168 or 171 of the Charter and his disability, in the opinion of the Retirement Board, is the result of bodil injury received in the performance of duty, shall receive

(1) an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) a pension purchased by contributions of the City, which, together with the annuity provided by his accumulated normal contributions, shall make his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, equal to one-half of his final compensation. If said member shall have been continuously incapacitated for the performance of any duties from the date of, and shall die as a result of said injury, while receiving said retirement allowance, then said retirement allowance shall be continued, after his death, to his surviving wife to whom said member was married prior to sustaining said injury, to continue as long as she shall live or until her remarriage; or if there be no widow, or if the widow die before any child of such deceased member shall have attained the age of 16 years, then to his child or children under said age collectively, to continue until every child dies or attains said age. A member qualifying for continuation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph shall not be subject to the provisions of this section referring to service retirement;

(c) if he be a member under Sections 168 or 171 of the Charter and if his disability, in the opinion of the Retirement Board, is not the result of bodily injury received in the performance of duty, or if he be a member under any other Charter provision, he shall receive

(1) an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) a pension purchased by the contributions of the City which, together with the annuity provided by his accumulated normal contributions shall make the retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, equal to

(i) one and one-fourth (1¼) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service credited to him, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-fourth of his final compensation; otherwise,

(ii) one and one-fourth (1¼) per centum of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service which would be creditable to him were his city-service to continue until attainment by him of age 62, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-fourth of such final compensation. In the calculation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one class of service, that is, service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools or as an employee in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

SEC. 56. **Safeguards.** (a) The Retirement Board may, at its pleasure, require any beneficiary who has been retired for disability and who has not attained the age of 62 years, to undergo medical examination, such examination to be made by a physician or surgeon, appointed by the Retirement Board, at the place of residence of said beneficiary or other place mutually agreed upon. Upon the basis of such examination the Board shall determine whether said disability beneficiary is still incapacitated, physically or mentally, for service in the office or department of the City where he was employed and in the position held by him when retired for disability. If the Retirement Board shall determine that said beneficiary is not so incapacitated, his retirement allowance shall

be cancelled forthwith, and he shall be reinstated to the position of the sar class as that held by him when retired for disability;

(b) Should a beneficiary after retirement for disability re-enter the cit service and be eligible for membership in the Retirement System in accordan with Section 21, his retirement allowance shall be cancelled and he shall imm diately become a member of the Retirement System, his rate of contributi for future years being that established for his age at the time of such re-ent except that, if he be a member under Sections 166 or 169 of the Charter, he sh contribute, as provided in Section 31. His individual account shall be credit with an amount which shall be the actuarial equivalent, at the time of such r entry, based on a disabled life, of his annuity, but such amount shall not exce the amount of his accumulated contributions as it was at the time of his reti ment, provided that, if such beneficiary be a person who received a retireme allowance under Section 167 or Section 170 of the Charter, then he shall be co sidered as entering the Retirement System under Section 166 or Section 169, r spectively, of the Charter, and his individual account shall be credited with amount which shall be the actuarial equivalent, at the time of such entry, bas on a disabled life, of the annuity which would have been purchased by his a cumulated contributions in the pension fund under which he was retired, applied as under the Retirement System, but such amount shall not exceed t amount of his accumulated contributions as it was at the time of his retireme and the City shall pay into the Retirement System an amount equal to su actuarial equivalent in the manner prescribed in Section 42 for similar payment

An amount equal to such actuarial equivalent shall again be held for t benefit of said member and shall no longer be included in the amounts availal to meet the obligation of the City on account of benefits that have been grant and on account of prior service of members, provided that the amount so ag held for the benefit of a person who is a member under Section 166 or Secti 169 of the Charter, shall be the actuarial equivalent, at the time of such re-ent based on a disabled life, of his pension granted on account of service as a me ber, but such amount shall not exceed the City's accumulated contribution h for his benefit on account of service as a member, at the time of his retireme Such member shall receive credit for prior service in the same manner as if had never been retired for disability;

(c) Should any retired person, except persons retired for service prior January 8, 1932, and persons retired because of disability incurred in the p formance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation, prior to attaining age the Retirement Board shall reduce the amount of his monthly pension as defin herein to an amount which, when added to the compensation earned mont by him in such occupation, shall not exceed the amount of the compensati on the basis of which his retirement allowance was determined. Should t earning capacity of such beneficiary be further altered, the Board may furth alter his said pension to an amount which shall not exceed its amount when was originally retired, but which, subject to such limitation, shall equal, wh added to the compensation earned by him, the amount of his compensation the basis of which his retirement allowance was determined. When said be ficiary reaches age 62, his retirement allowance shall be made equal to t amount upon which he was originally retired, and shall not again be modifi for any cause;

(d) Should any beneficiary retired for disability refuse, under age 62, submit to medical examination, his pension may be discontinued until his wi drawal of such refusal, and should such refusal continue for one year retirement allowance may be cancelled.

SEC. 57. **Optional Modifications of Allowances.** Until the first payment account of any retirement allowance is made, and subject to the condition th

the said retired person shall die within 30 days from the date upon which d election is made, then the said election is void and of no effect, and the ath shall be considered as that of a member before retirement, a member or retired person, except persons who are members under Section 169 of the arter, may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his retirement allowe as of the date of retirement in a lesser retirement allowance, payable oughout his life with the provision that:

Option 1. If he die before he receives in lesser annuity payments the ount of his accumulated contributions as it was at his retirement, the bal ce of such accumulated contributions shall be paid to his estate or to such rson, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written signation duly executed and filed with the Retirement Board at the time his said election, or

Option 2. Upon his death, his lesser retirement allowance shall be continued oughout the life of and paid to such person, having an insurable interest in life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed th the Retirement Board at the time of his said election, or

Option 3. Upon his death, one-half of his lesser retirement allowance shall continued throughout the life of and paid to such person, having an insurable interest in his life, as he shall nominate by written designation duly exeted and filed with the Retirement Board at the time of his said election.

SEC. 58. **Retirement Allowances Payable.** A pension, an annuity, retirent allowance or death benefit allowance granted under the provisions hereof all be payable in equal monthly installments or in smaller pro rata amounts en the pension, annuity, retirement allowance or death benefit allowance gins after the first day of the month or ends before the last day of the month, ovided that nothing herein shall prevent the payment at shorter intervals, of nefits under the Compensation, Insurance and Safety Law of the State of lifornia.

SEC. 59. **Service After Retirement Prohibited.** No person retired for rvice or disability and in receipt of a retirement allowance under the Retirent System shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city-rvice, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such rson receive any payment for service rendered to the City after retirement, ovided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this ction.

## ARTICLE 5.

## DEATH AND WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION BENEFITS.

ec. 61. Death benefits.
ec. 63. Administration of benefits under Workmen's Compensation Act.
ec. 65. Compensation records and accounts.
ec. 66. Medical and hospital service.
ec. 67. Effect of compensation benefits on other benefits.
(Bill No. 1125, Ordinance No. 4.073.)

SEC. 61. **Death Benefits.** (a) Upon the death of any person who is a ember under Sections 166 or 169 of the Charter, if such death shall result om the performance of duty as prescribed in such sections, respectively, or such death in the case of members under Section 169 of the Charter shall cur after qualification for service retirement, there shall be paid to the idow, children or parents of such member, the benefits provided in such secons for members, at January 8, 1932, of the Police and Fire Departments, on death resulting from the performance of duty and upon death occurring

after qualification for service retirement. If such death after qualificatic for service retirement shall not result from the performance of duty and there be no surviving wife, children or parents to whom benefits are payab under this subsection, the benefits provided in the next following subsectic shall be paid to the beneficiary nominated by him as prescribed therein.

(b) Upon the death before retirement, if such death be not included und subsection (a) of this section, of a member while in the city-service, or with four months after the discontinuance of city-service, or while physically mentally incapacitated for performance of his duty, if such incapacity has bee continuous from discontinuance of city-service, the Retirement System shall liable for a death benefit, which, if an amount be due under subdivision ( next following, and if there be a surviving wife or surviving children, shall paid in monthly installments and to the surviving wife and children as pr scribed therein, otherwise such death benefit shall be paid to his estate, or such person having an insurable interest in his life as he has nominated shall nominate by written designation duly executed and filed with the Retir ment Board, and such death benefit shall consist of

(1) his accumulated contributions, and in addition thereto,

(2) an amount equal to the compensation earnable by him during the s months immediately preceding his death, but such compensation earnable f any month shall not exceed five hundred dollars, and, if such member be member under Sections 168 or 171 of the Charter, and if such death, in the opi ion of the Retirement Board, be the result of bodily injury sustained while the performance of duty, in addition thereto,

(3) an amount sufficient, when added to the amounts provided in the ne preceding subdivisions (1) and (2), to provide, when applied according to mo tality tables adopted by the Board, a monthly death benefit allowance, equ to one-half of the monthly compensation earnable by such member during the t years immediately preceding his death, to be paid to the surviving wife to who said member was married prior to sustaining the said injury, to continue as long she shall live or until her remarriage; or if there be no widow, or if the wido die before any child of such deceased member shall have attained the age sixteen years, then to his child or children under said age collectively, to co tinue until every such child dies or attains said age. If payment of the allo ance be stopped because of remarriage of the widow or attainment of the a of sixteen years by a child, before the sum of the monthly payment made sh equal the sum of the amounts provided in the next preceding subdivisions (1) a (2), then an amount equal to the difference between said sums shall be pa in one amount to the remarried widow, or if there be no widow, to the su viving children of the deceased member, share and share alike;

(c) A member, or a beneficiary after the death of a member, may ele by written designation duly executed and filed with the Retirement Board, have the death benefit provided in this section paid in monthly or annual i stallments instead of in one lump sum, subject to such rules and regulatio as the said Board may adopt;

(d) Upon the death of any person, except persons referred to in Sectio 166, 167, 169 and 170 of the Charter, after retirement and while receiving retirement allowance from the Retirement System, there shall be paid to h estate, or to such person having an insurable interest in his life as he sh have nominated by written designation duly executed and filed with the R tirement Board, the sum of One Hundred (100) Dollars for each complet year of city-service, not to exceed five years, credited to him at the time his retirement, provided that the sum so paid upon the death of a person nc receiving a retirement allowance under the San Francisco City Employe Retirement System, or of a person who became a member of such syste

reason of his status as an employee of a public utility acquired by the y shall be Five Hundred (500) Dollars regardless of the city-service credited, e funds for payments under this subdivision to be derived from the accumu- ed contributions of the City held to meet the obligation of the City on account benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members;

(e) A person, while a member or after retirement, shall have the right revoke the nomination of a beneficiary made by him under the Retirement stem, and to nominate a beneficiary in lieu thereof, all by written designa- n duly executed and filed with the Retirement Board, provided that this right all not extend to beneficiaries nominated under Options 2 and 3, Section 57 reof, nor shall it extend to dependents designated as beneficiaries by State law, e Charter or this ordinance, to receive benefits payable on account of death disability incurred in the performance of duty.

SEC. 63. **Administration of Benefits Under Workmen's Compensation Act.** e Retirement Board shall administer cases of injuries to employees, received performance of duty, regardless of whether such employees are members of e Retirement System, as provided in Section 172 of the Charter. Administra- n of such cases shall include determination of the right to benefits, payment disability indemnity, furnishing medical service, adjusting and compromising ims and all other acts necessary to the liquidation of the liability of the City d County under the said law. Such legal services as may be required in said ministration shall be furnished by the City Attorney.

SEC. 65. **Compensation Records and Accounts.** Records and accounts shall kept on both assumed and reinsured risks, sufficient to permit a determina- n of which policy, to assume or reinsure, is for the best interests of the City. ch office and department shall furnish such information concerning em- oyees therein as the Board may require in carrying out the provisions hereof.

SEC. 66. **Medical and Hospital Service.** Hospitalization and medical and rgical treatment required under the said Compensation, Insurance and Safety w, shall be furnished at the San Francisco Hospital to every employee judged the Retirement Board to have been injured in the performance of duty, said spital to be reimbursed for such service and supplies by the Retirement Board m contributions by the City, provided that at all times the charges for such rvices and supplies shall be in accordance with an agreement to be made and vised from time to time between the Retirement Board and the Department of blic Health. The Retirement Board shall have authority, however, to arrange r hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment at other hospitals, and all have the sole authority and responsibility to provide medical and surgical atment for all injured employees, regardless of where hospitalized; provided at, if hospitalization be at the San Francisco Hospital, physicians and surgeons render such medical and surgical treatment shall be selected from the staff said hospital. Each employee shall have the right, however, to provide at his n expense, such consulting or attending physicians as he may desire, in addi- n to physicians furnished by the Retirement Board, provided that, if such nsulting or attending physicians are to render any service at the San Francisco spital, they shall be selected from the staff of said hospital.

SEC. 67. **Effect of Compensation Benefits on Other Benefits.** No modifi- tion of the benefits provided herein shall be made on account of any amounts yable to a beneficiary, as defined herein, under the said Compensation, Insur- ce and Safety Act, except that the portion of any retirement allowance or her benefit which is provided by contributions of the City, payable by the tirement System because of the death or retirement of any member of the re or Police Department, as a result of injury or illness received in perform- ce of duty, shall be reduced by the amount of any benefits, other than medical

benefits, payable to or on account of such member under the Workmen's Com pensation, Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, because of h death or the disability resulting in his retirement.

If said benefits under said Compensation Act shall run concurrently wit the allowance hereunder and shall be due the beneficiary in payments which a equal to or less than said portion of the retirement allowance, then said portic shall be reduced each month by the amount of such other benefits so due duri said month, and the beneficiary shall have no more right to such reduction tha if the Retirement System had never existed.

If said benefits under said Compensation Act shall run concurrently with t allowance hereunder and shall be due to the beneficiary in payments which a greater than said portion of the retirement allowance, then payment of sa portion shall be withheld until the total of the amounts so withheld shall equ the total of such other benefits paid, and the beneficiary shall have no mo right to such amounts withheld than if the Retirement System had never existe

It is the purpose of the preceding paragraphs of this section to reduce t pension portion of the retirement allowance payable from the Retirement Fun by the amount due to the beneficiary concurrently with said portion, under sa Compensation Act, and the payment before due date by the commutation throu compromise or otherwise of such other benefits shall not prevent the reducti of said portion, as provided in this section, in the amounts which would ha been payable concurrently with the retirement allowance in the absence of su commutation.

If any benefits, other than medical benefits, shall have been paid concurren with salary, to said beneficiary under said Compensation Act because of a p manent disability, then payment of said portion of the retirement allowar shall be withheld until the total of the amounts so withheld shall equal the to of such benefits paid because of the permanent disability, and the beneficia shall have no more right to such amounts withheld than if the Retirement S tem had never existed.

Such benefits which are payable for time during which salary is not paid a prior to the effective date of the allowance, shall not be considered hereund

SEC. 71. **Validity of Ordinance.** If any section, subsection, subdivisi paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, h to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the rema ing portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares t it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivisi paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact t any one or more other sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentenc clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

SEC. 72. **Repeal.** Ordinances Nos. 5561 (New Series), 9134 (New Seri and Ordinance No. 4.072 (Bill No. 529), and amendments thereto, and all otl ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are her repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovi Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Leave of Absence—Hon. John P. Murphy, Member of Public Utilities Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 2845, as follows:

Resolved, That, on the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable John P. Murphy, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days, commencing October 8, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

### Initiative Measure Prohibiting Tideland Drilling Endorsed.

(Code No. 5.35)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 2846, as follows:

Whereas, an initiative measure has been submitted to the People of the State of California to be voted on at the coming general election in November, 1936, which initiative measure has been titled by the Attorney-General of California, as follows:

"Prohibiting Tideland Surface Oil Drilling. Authorizing Slant Drilling from Uplands. Initiative. Prohibits drilling from surface of tide, submerged and overflowed lands not heretofore leased or allocated. Authorizes Director of Finance on behalf of State to execute thirty-year sub-surface leases upon fourteen and two-sevenths per cent royalty to State for extracting oil, gas or other hydrocarbons from beneath tide-submerged and overflowed lands by wells slanted from the uplands, prohibiting pollution of tide, ocean, bay or inlet waters. Directs one-half State revenue from such leases be used to acquire, improve and maintain beaches and parks. Repeals conflicting legislation;" and

Whereas, this initiative measure makes definite provision for the maintenance, development of and addition to the State Park System without necessity for another bond issue or appropriation by the legislature and without additional cost to the taxpayers; and

Whereas, the State Park Commission approves of this measure for the extension and maintenance of State Parks and Beaches and requests the voters of the State to endorse the measure; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, after a study of the various provisions of the measure, is of the opinion that this initiative measure affords a reasonable and desirable method of forever settling the tidelands oil-drilling question; reserves to the cities and counties the power to regulate all drilling within the same as in the past; that by prohibiting direct drilling in the ocean, will prevent pollution of the beaches and will, to a large extent, eliminate interference with recreational and other uses of the beaches; that it will bring in a substantial revenue to the State from oil which it might otherwise lose, and that one-half of this revenue will be used to reduce general taxes and the other half will be equally divided between the improvement and acquisition of additional public ocean beaches for State Parks, and for the further extension of the State Park System, and that since additional money for acquisition of State beaches is urgently required at this time before these beaches are subdivided and built upon and increased so in value that their

acquisition will be prohibitive, these funds so derived will enable the State to complete and maintain a State Park System which will be one of the finest Park Systems in the United States and thus will promote the welfare and add to the enjoyment of the people of the State and attract innumerable visitors to the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does unanimously endorse and recommend this initiative measure to the voters of the State for a favorable vote in November, and it further requests public spirited organizations throughout the State to cooperate in a campaign in order that the people of the State may be educated as to the desirable features of the measure and in order that it may carry at the polls by the largest possible vote.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Sale of $2,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 2844, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0338, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1937, and which may be paid in advance of receipts of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Tuesday, the 13th day of October, 1936, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1936.

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0338, the fee therefor to be $666.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Increased Pay for Election Officers.

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from Earl David Holzhauer calling attention to new article stating that at recent election in Los Angeles, election officers were paid $8 for the day, and urging same treatment for San Francisco election officers.

### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the salary of election officers be increased to $8 per day.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Clerk write the Mayor and ask him to give immediate and favorable consideration to have the necessary improvements carried out in three schools (Francis Scott Key, Visitacion and Lawton) for which federal aid should be requested.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

### Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that when we recess we do so to meet at 8 p. m. to consider motion relative to Proposition No. 1—Superior Judges, on November ballot, and that at 8:15 p. m. we taken up No. 21 on Calendar relative to "Signs and Billboards."

*So ordered.*

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, at 6:15 p. m., took a recess until 8 p. m. this evening.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8 p. m.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Excused—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 9:55 p. m.

Supervisor Colman was noted present at 8:25 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 8:30 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

### Registrar to Mail With Sample Ballot Arguments Favoring Proposition No. 1—Superior Judges.

Supervisor Shannon moved, seconded by Supervisor Mead, that the Board of Supervisors, without determining the merits of the proposition, authorize the Registrar of Voters to include a copy of argument in favor of Municipal Proposition No. 1, ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors and appearing on the ballot of the general election to be held Tuesday, November 3, 1936, with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion *carried* unanimously.

## Sign and Billboard Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.08)

Referred to Board by Joint Committee on Fire, Safety and Police, and Streets.

Committee recommends against passage; Supervisor Uhl dissenting.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 1129, Ordinance No. 11.0817, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the location, erection or placing of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use solely for advertisement sign or billboard purposes, in certain localities in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, paint or in any manner place any sign or signboard, bill or billboards, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, and designed or intended for use solely for advertising, sign or billboard purposes or to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, handbill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind, excepting in the case of a public officer or a private person in giving a legal notice, upon any property within three hundred eighty-two (382) feet of either side of the center line of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and/or bridge ramp, and one hundred and twenty (120) feet on an arc from the Fifth street approach thereto, and within four hundred (400) feet westerly from the easterly line of Fifth street between Harrison and Bryant streets, when visible from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained upon any property situated upon or within the area above named.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the owners, lessees, occupants, tenants, or agents of any real or personal property located within the limits defined in Section (1) hereof, to license or allow any such property to be leased or used in any manner whatsoever for any such advertising sign or billboard purposes; provided, however, that nothing in this section, or Section (1) hereof, shall be construed to prohibit the owner or occupant of any building within the limits prescribed in Section (1) hereof and conducting a business in such building, from having thereon a sign directing attention to the person occupying the premises in question or the business transacted therein, or advertising the property itself or any part thereof, as for sale, or to let, and which contains no other advertising matter, providing that such sign shall be of such size, design and location as may be approved by the City Planning Commission, as one that will reasonably serve one or more of said purposes, without being a distraction to traffic upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding Five Hundred Dollars ($500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both fine and imprisonment.

Each day any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any other advertising device or structure is continued or maintained in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such continuance or maintenance shall

be deemed a separate and distinct offense and shall be punishable accordingly.

**Committee of the Whole.**

On motion duly made and carried, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing persons interested in the sign and billboard ordinance.

All members heretofore noted being present, and Supervisor Shannon in the Chair.

The Clerk read a list of communications and protests on file in opposition to and in favor of the proposed legislation.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length on the pending question:

Mrs. Frank Panter, representing the City and County Federation of Women's Clubs, wished to correct statement that her organization was opposed to the ordinance and declared that it had gone on record in favor of the proposed legislation. Clerk announced that that was the fact.

S. J. Edenholm, 16 Joyce street, representing the Junior Chamber of Commerce; Dan V. Ryan, 1137 Green street, representing the Roadside Council as attorney; John J. Donovan, 580 Market street, representing the County Board of Architects of the Bay Bridge; William I. Garren, president of State Board of Architects, representing Roadside Council; William L. Gerstle, representing the San Francisco Art Association; George H. Allen, vice-president, Central Council of Civic Clubs; Joseph H. Dyer, Secretary of the Art Commission; John Bakewell, member of the Art Commission; Milton Meyer, member of City Planning; Arthur Brown, member of County Board of Architects, Bay Bridge; Mrs. Dr. Musante, member of the Art Commission, were all heard in favor of the proposed ordinance as amended by Supervisor Uhl.

John A. O'Connell, representing the San Francisco Labor Council; James V. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council; M. Leonard, representing Structural Iron Workers Local No. 61; J. A. Pardini, 410 Monticello street, representing the Peerless Laundry; Harold Crane, representing the San Francisco Real Estate Board; A. D. Shafter, representing H. L. Snyder property; Annie Huspadosky, property owner; George Barry, representing Foster & Kleiser; Robert McDonald, property owner; Andrew J. Gallagher, representing Southern Promotion Association; George O'Brien, representing the O'Brien Iron Works; Neal E. Larkin, 866 Faxon avenue, representing Delmo Manufacturing Co.; Philip A. Wooster, taxpayer, were all heard in vigorous opposition to the proposed legislation.

After discussion by the members of the Board, Supervisor Shannon moved the previous question.

There being no objection, *so ordered.*

Thereupon, the roll was called on the proposed bill and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

**Committee of the Whole Arises.**

Whereupon, on motion duly made and carried, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

### Ordinance Defeated.

Supervisor Shannon moved to call the roll on No. 21 on the Calendar, "Sign and Bill Board Ordinance."

*So ordered.*

Whereupon, the roll was called and the ordinance was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 12:20 a. m., October 6, 1936, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 13, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series

PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

No. 42

Tuesday, October 13, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

SAN FRANCISCO

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, October 13, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman (excused on leave), Havenner—2.

Quorum present.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

Supervisor Havenner was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 5, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

#### Sale $2,000,000 of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0338, in the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Tuesday, October 13, 1936.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars in denominations of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1936, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0338 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1936-1937, without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year, 1936-1937, in which said money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1936-1937, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 21, 1936. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest the Board of Supervisors shall de-

termine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars; which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

**Bid.**

The following and only proposal was presented, opened, read by the Clerk at the appointed hour and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, Anglo California National Bank. The notes bid for are more particularly described as follows: $2,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; of the denomination of $10,000 each; said notes to be dated as the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1936; said notes to bear interest at the rate of fifteen one-hundredths of 1 per cent (.15%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The above bid is made with the understanding that if successful, we shall be furnished, at no expense to ourselves, with the satisfactory opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, approving the legality of the above described notes in all respects.

Enclosed please find our certified check in the amount of $10,000, drawn upon the Bank of America N. T. & S. A., which is to be returned to us in the event this bid is not accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
AMERICAN TRUST COMPANY,
ANGLO CALIFORNIA NATIONAL BANK,
By BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
By A. K. Browne.

**Adopted.**

Subsequently during the proceedings the following resolution was presented by the Finance Committee:

**Sale of $2,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.633)

Resolution No. 2854, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0338 that sealed proposals for the purchase of two million ($2,000,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Tuesday, October 13, 1936; and

Whereas, one bid was received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore

Resolved, That the bid of the Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, Anglo California National Bank, by Bankamerica Company, by A. K. Browne, October 13, 1936, is hereby accepted as follows:

For the $2,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and

County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we hereby bid you par.

The notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

"$2,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; of the denomination of $10,000 each; said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 21, 1936; said notes to bear interest at the rate of fifteen one-hundredths of 1 per cent (.15%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The above bid is made with the understanding that if successful, we shall be furnished, at no expense to ourselves, with the satisfactory opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, approving the legality of the above described notes in all respects.

Inclosed please find our certified check in the amount of $10,000, drawn upon the Bank of America N. T. & S. A., which is to be returned to us in the event this bid is not accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
AMERICAN TRUST COMPANY,
ANGLO CALIFORNIA NATIONAL BANK,
By BANKAMERICA COMPANY,
By A. K. Browne.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

## HEARING OF APPEAL, STREET WORK, 2 P. M.

Hearing appeal of property owners against assessment for the costs and expenses of the work for improvement on Green street south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks by M. Bertolino, as described in declaration of intention, Order No. 3284 of the Department of Public Works.

Protest filed by Ernest M. Doyle, et al.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendations of Public Welfare Committee were taken up:

### Laurel Hill Cemetery Removal.
(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 937, Ordinance No. 17.194, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of Laurel Hill Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the city and county will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thou-

sand persons and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Laurel Hill Cemetery, bounded by Presidio avenue, California street, Parker avenue and a line drawn northwesterly from a point formed by the intersection of the north line of Post street, extended, with the west line of Presidio avenue, to a point on the east line of Parker avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the intersection of the south line of Euclid avenue, produced easterly, and the easterly line of Parker avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling such cemetery a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions

of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporations, or associations, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate of said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

## Calvary Cemetery Removal.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 938, Ordinance No. 17.195, as follows:

Declaring that the further maintenance of the Calvary Cemetery threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public; ordering and demanding the disinterring and removal of human bodies therefrom and fixing a time within which such disinterring and removal must be performed; declaring certain conditions under which the City and County will itself disinter and remove said bodies; requiring and empowering the Director of Public Health to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations for such disinterring and removal; providing for the reservation of lands for memorial mausoleums or columbariums, grounds, vaults and monuments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared that the cemetery hereinafter described contains an area of more than five acres and is situate in a city and county having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, and the right of burial in said cemetery has been prohibited by law for a period of more than fifteen years, and that the further maintenance of said cemetery as a burial place for the human dead threatens and endangers the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. The cemetery above referred to is situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and is commonly designated by the following name, and is situate within the following boundaries, to-wit:

Calvary Cemetery, bounded by Geary street, St. Joseph's avenue, Turk street, Parker avenue, St. Rose's avenue and Masonic avenue.

Section 2. It is hereby ordered and demanded that, within three years from the date upon which this ordinance shall become effective, the cemetery corporation, corporation sole, association or other person owning or controlling the cemetery hereinabove described, or the owners or holders of burial lots therein, or the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are interred therein, disinter all human bodies interred in said cemetery and remove the same outside of the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with and in the

manner provided for in that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act authorizing the Board of Supervisors or other governing body of any incorporated city, or city and county, having a population of more than one hundred thousand persons, to order the disinterment and removal of all human bodies interred in any cemetery of more than five acres in extent, or from a part thereof, situate within the boundaries of such city, or city and county, and directing the reinterment of such bodies in cemeteries outside of the limits of such city, or city and county, or the depositing of the same in a mausoleum or columbarium, whenever the further maintenance of such cemetery or part thereof as a burial place for the human dead threatens or endangers the health, safety, comfort or welfare of the public, and providing a mode of procedure under and by which such removals may, when so ordered, be made by the cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person governing or controlling such cemetery lands, or by the relatives or friends of those whose bodies are buried therein, and providing for the sale, mortgage or pledge of cemetery lands from which the human bodies are removed." Approved June 5, 1923.

Section 3. It is hereby declared and ordained that, unless the said bodies are disinterred and removed within the time and in the manner herein in Section 2 of this ordinance provided, the City and County of San Francisco, through its appropriate officers, boards and commissions, will itself proceed, upon the termination of said time, to disinter the bodies remaining in said cemetery and reinter them in another cemetery or cemeteries outside of the limits of the said city and county.

Section 4. It is hereby ordained that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco shall, within sixty days from the date upon which this ordinance becomes effective, adopt reasonable rules and regulations relative to the manner of disinterring, transporting and removing such bodies, and shall, upon adoption thereof, cause the same to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City and County of San Francisco for a period of sixty days, and shall forthwith forward by registered mail to the governing body owning or controlling said cemetery, a copy thereof. Said Director of Public Health shall, from time to time, adopt and promulgate, in the same manner, any reasonable and necessary modifications or changes in said rules and regulations. All disinterments, transportation and removal of human remains from said cemetery made under the provisions of this ordinance and under the provisions of the said act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, shall be made and performed subject to the rules and regulations adopted by the Director of Public Health as herein provided.

Section 5. It is hereby ordained that any cemetery corporation, association, corporation sole or other person owning or controlling any such cemetery lands from which the bodies interred therein are to be removed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance and the provisions of the act of the Legislature, herein in Section 2 referred to by title, may reserve sufficient land from such cemetery lands from which the human remains have been removed, to erect a memorial mausoleum or columbarium for the depositing therein of the bodies disinterred from such cemetery lands, and may provide sufficient grounds around the same for the beautification thereof, and may preserve such historical vaults or monuments as the board of directors or other governing body of said corporation or association, or the incumbent of said corporation sole, may determine to be proper or necessary.

Provided, however, that the land thus reserved for such mausoleum, columbarium, grounds, vaults and monuments shall not, in the aggregate for said cemetery, exceed in area 10 per centum of the existing area of said cemetery, and provided, further, that plans for said mausoleums, columbariums and grounds shall first be filed with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by said Board by resolution thereof.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing proponents and opponents of the foregoing measures.

Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside, and all members heretofore noted were present.

Mme. Grosjean, representing the Cemeteries Protective Association, and Jas. J. Roche, attorney, representing Society of California Pioneers and City Beautiful Association, and Art Nathanson were heard in opposition to the removal of cemeteries.

Mrs. Jas. H. Tucker asked for three months more time to consider the measures.

Dr. Jno. J. Kingwell, J. C. Berendsen, representing the Steuben Society and Scandinavian Societies; S. A. Bernstein, 3662 Clay street; Geo. S. Kaller, Annie Scanlon and Ed Kenny were heard favoring the proposed legislation.

Milton Meyer, representing the City Planning Commission, told of plans recently filed in his office for the removal of bodies and improvement of Calvary Cemetery and which the Commission is now studying.

W. W. Chappin, member of the City Planning Commission, spoke in favor of making Laurel Hill Cemetery a Pioneer Memorial Park in case the City is able to acquire the property.

Andrew Johnson, representing the Roman Catholic archbishop, was also heard.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that the question be taken in the hands of the Board.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to lay over two weeks.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the subject matter be postponed until January 11, 1937.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment to the amendment that the subject matter regarding Laurel Hill Cemetery removal be referred to the City Engineer and the City Planning Commission and reported back to Board on January 11, 1937.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the subject matter regarding Calvary Cemetery removal be referred to the City Engineer and the City Planning Commission and reported back to the Board of Supervisors, January 11, 1937.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

Supervisor Shannon in the chair and all members heretofore noted being present.

### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Ronco-

vieri, moved that the subject matter regarding Laurel Hill Cemetery removal be referred to the City Engineer and the City Planning Commission and reported back to the Board of Supervisors, January 11, 1937.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the subject matter regarding Calvary Cemetery removal be referred to the City Engineer and the City Planning Commission and reported back to the Board of Supervisors, January 11, 1937.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Mead, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Rapid Transit.

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

Supervisor Uhl read a communication from John L. Dobbins, consulting engineer, criticizing Cahill's rapid transit plan which was referred to Public Utilities Commission for consideration.

### Motion Lost.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that matter be heard at recessed meeting Thursday morning at 10 o'clock.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 P. M.* Mr. Cahill to be notified to attend.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

### Additional Employments, Etc., Juvenile Probation.
(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1123, Ordinance No. 9.051309, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $9,480 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the Juvenile Probation Department to provide funds for additional employments and necessary expenses to comply with the requirements of the Social Security Board to enable the City and County of San Francisco to be benefited by Federal Aid

on Mothers' Aid Pension Cases handled through the Juvenile Court, and providing for the repayment of the moneys appropriated hereunder.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $9,480 is hereby appropriated and set aside to the credit of the following appropriations for the purposes recited and in the amounts indicated:

| | |
|---|---|
| Appro. 623.102.00—2 T56 Probation Officers | $3,240 |
| 1 B408 Gen. Clerk Sten | 1,350 |
| 1 B4 Sr. Bookkeeper | 1,710 |
| 1 Social Investigator | 1,620 |
| Total Salaries | $7,920 |
| Appro. 623.200.00—Contractual—Mileage for 2 privately owned autos at a maximum of $30 per month | $ 540 |
| Appro. 623.400.00—Equipment: 5 desks, 5 chairs, 2 typewriters | 500 |
| Appro. 623.300.00—Stationery and Stamps | 300 |
| Alterations to building: Partitions | 220 |
| Total | $9,480 |

Section 2. When funds are received from Federal Aid on Mothers' Aid cases handled through the Juvenile Court for the fiscal year 1936-37 they shall first be applied to the repayment to the Emergency Reserve Fund of the moneys appropriated hereunder.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Juvenile Detention Department.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

## Prohibiting "Dead Man" Control.

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Commission.

Bill No. 1124, Ordinance No. 15.09118, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of the "dead man" control in the operation of street cars and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate, or cause to be operated, any street car upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco by means of a "dead man" control to which is attached any foot lever or cut-off valve which will enable said street car to be operated without the operator's hand being kept upon the controller bar or handle at all times while said street car is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment for each separate conviction.

## Communication.

The following communication from Samuel Kahn, manager of the Market Street Railway Company, was presented by Supervisor Shannon and read by the Clerk:

October 12, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco.

Dear Sirs: Tomorrow you will give final consideration to the ordi-

nance prohibiting the use of the so-called dead-man foot pedal control on the one-man safety cars. I do not believe that you appreciate the importance of having this safety device attached to the car, for if you understood its value you certainly, in good conscience, could not entertain for a moment any measure that prevents the use of a piece of apparatus designed to protect the public.

Only last week this control and nothing else saved the life of a little girl of 3 or 4 years of age. I am taking the liberty of quoting from a letter, dated October 9, written by Joseph F. Brechwald, operator of the one-man safety car that was involved in this near accident, as follows:

"On Tuesday, October 6, 1936, I was working as a one-man operator on run No. 7 of the No. 19 line. As I was crossing Washington and Polk streets, northbound, at 12:32 p. m., I noticed a little girl of 3 or 4 years old standing alone in the safety zone on the opposite side of the street. Just as I started the car on full power this little girl suddenly dashed toward the side of my street car. I jumped to pull the reverse handle to slug car, and in doing so my foot slipped off the dead-man control and before I could pull back the reverse handle the car came to a sudden stop, and it was just in time, for the rear wheel was just three inches from the little girl's head.

"It sure was lucky there was a dead-man's control on this car, as it saved the child's life, as the reverse or slug would have been too slow to stop in time.

"It was the quickest stop I ever made."

If due to your action this foot pedal control had been removed from this car and as a result the little girl in question had been horribly crushed to death beneath the wheels, I do not believe that you would have been proud of your achievement.

I hope that you will seriously reconsider your first vote in favor of the removal of this piece of apparatus, as I am certain that you did not have all the facts to enable you to vote intelligently.

Very truly yours,
SAMUEL KAHN,
President.

### Privilege of the Floor.

L. O. Newton, representing the Market Street Railway, and Carl J. Bogt, member of faculty, College of Engineering, University of California, were heard in opposition to the proposed legislation.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *laid over until Monday, October 19, 1936, and made a Special Order for that day at 2:30 P. M.*

### Final Passage.

The following matter was taken up:

### Petition for Removal of Single Track on Brannan Street From First Street to Second Street.

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1128, Ordinance No. 15.09119, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its single street railway track over and along Brannan street, from First street to Second street.

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has in writing petitioned the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for permission to abandon its single track and service on Brannan street, from First street to Second street; and

Whereas, public convenience will not be affected by the removal of said single track and the discontinuance of said service; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the petition of Market Street Railway Company set forth in the preamble of this ordinance, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove said single street car track and discontinue the operation of street cars on Brannan street, from First street to Second street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the removal of said track or the abandonment of said service, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bill was taken up:

### Amending Salary Ordinance—Juvenile Probation Office—Approved by Board September 21, 1936.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1138, Ordinance No. 9.05388, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 26 of Ordinance 9.05380 by increasing the number of positions under Item 6 from one to two, and under Item 11 from one to three, and by adding Item 7½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 26 of Ordinance 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. **JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper . . . . . | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B254 | Interpreter Clerk . . . . . | 210 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . . | 210 |
| 4 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . . | 185 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . . | 160 |
| 6 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . . | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist . . . . . | 175 |
| 7½ | 1 | T54 | Collector for the Juvenile Court . . . . . | 180 |
| 8 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer . . . . . | 225 |
| 9 | 8 | T56 | Probation Officer . . . . . | 210 |
| 10 | 2 | T56 | Probation Officer . . . . . | 195 |
| 11 | 3 | T56 | Probation Officer . . . . . | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer . . . . . | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer . . . . . | 235 |
| 14 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer . . . . . | 225 |
| 15 | 1 | T64 | Referee (part-time) . . . . . | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | T72 | Chief Juvenile Probation Officer . . . . . | 380 |
| 17 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $12.50 per day plus transcriptions. | |

EXPLANATION.

*Amendment of Salary Ordinance required in order to create four additional positions in the Juvenile Probation Office; the appropriation*

*ordinance covering same was approved by the Board of Supervisors on September* 21, 1936.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Widening Army Street..**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2847, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.955.17:

Moritz Ittig, Lot 7G, Assessor's Block 4336.....$12,500
Marsecl Lascurettes, et ux., part of Lot 14, Assessor's Block 4338 ..... 2,700

The above sum of $2,700 includes damages in full to the improvements now located on said Lot 14, which improvements are to be relocated by the grantor within 30 days after recording the deed to the City. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2848, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from James H. C. Caley et al., Lots 12 and 13, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School for the sum of $8,500 payable from Appropriation No. 570.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved as to form by Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney.

Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Appropriating $64,285 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco—Month of October, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1139, Ordinance No. 9.051313, as follows:

Appropriating $64,285 to the Citizens Relief Committee for the ex-

pense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of November, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $64,285 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of November, 1936.

Section 2. Said appopriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Sale of 4.9 Acres, City-Owned Land, in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Bill No. 1140, Ordinance No. 12.17275, as follows:

Authorizing the sale of certain City-owned land in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation.

Beginning at the southwest corner of the Chinese Christian Cemetery, running thence south 1 degree west 567 feet more or less to the southerly line of that certain 25-acre tract of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from the Estate of Patrick M. Brooks, deceased, by deed dated September 1, 1905, and recorded September 28th, 1905, in Liber 101 of Deeds at page 253, San Mateo County Records; thence along said southerly line, north 89 degrees 30 minutes east 250 feet more or less to a corner of said 25-acre tract; thence north 47 degrees east 219.12 feet to the most southerly corner of land conveyed to Edward Kelly by deed from Patrick Brooks dated December 9th, 1898, and recorded January 26th, 1899, in Liber 81 of Deeds at page 46, San Mateo County Records; thence along the westerly line of said property so conveyed, north 1 degree east 410.52 feet to a point on the southerly line of the Chinese Christian Cemetery; thence along last named line, north 89 degrees west 397.98 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 4.9 acres, more or less.

Reserving to the City and County of San Francisco, the right to construct, maintain, repair and/or use a road along the easterly 20 feet and the northerly 20 feet of the above described 4.9 acre parcel of land, which road shall extend along the westerly side of land conveyed to Kelly and the southerly side of the Chinese Christian Cemetery.

Section 2. Said land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land, on Hampshire Street.**
(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Bill No. 1141, Ordinance No. 12.17276, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City-owned land on Hampshire street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Hampshire street distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Hampshire street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land, 50x137.5 Feet, on Jefferson Street, Westerly From Taylor Street.**
(Code No. 12.17353)

Also, Bill No. 1142, Ordinance No. 12.173533, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain land on Jefferson street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson street distant thereon westerly 137.5 feet from the westerly line of Taylor street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jefferson street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The lease shall be made subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Adopted.

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of City Building on McLaren Park Roadway Project.**

(Code No. 12.1723)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2849, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the McLaren Park Roadway, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Board of Park Commissioners at regular meeting held September 3, 1936. B. P. LAMB, Secretary.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Release of Lien—Property of Jan Herman Van Wolbeck.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2850, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed upon the property of Jan Herman Van Wolbeck, described as Lot 14, Block 6540, San Francisco, be and the same is hereby released for the reason that said Jan Herman Van Wolbeck has requested transfer of lien from this property to another piece of property owned by him, said lien in re Old Age Security Act.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Establishing Set-Back Lines on Certain Streets by City Planning Commission.**

(Code No. 13.03)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2851, as follows:

Resolved, That actions of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolutions, establishing setback lines on certain streets, are approved as follows:

Reso. 1439: Westerly side of Nineteenth avenue.
Reso. 1496: Thirty-third avenue.
Reso. 1497: Easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue.
Reso. 1507: Thirty-first avenue.
Reso. 1508: Thirty-second avenue.
Reso. 1509: Thirty-fourth avenue.
Reso. 1510: Thirty-fifth avenue.
Reso. 1515: Twenty-third avenue.
Reso. 1516: Easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue.
Reso. 1517: Twenty-sixth avenue.
Reso. 1518: Twenty-eighth avenue.
Reso. 1519: Twenty-ninth avenue.
Reso. 1520: Thirtieth avenue.
Reso. 1521: Westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue.

Reso. 1522: Thirty-eighth avenue.
Reso. 1523: Thirty-ninth avenue.
Reso. 1524: Fortieth avenue.
Reso. 1525: Forty-first avenue.
Reso. 1539: Forty-second avenue.
Reso. 1540: Forty-third avenue.
Reso. 1541: Forty-fourth avenue.
Reso. 1542: Forty-fifth avenue.
Reso. 1543: Forty-sixth avenue.
Reso. 1544: Forty-seventh avenue.
Reso. 2479: Northerly side of Washington street.

(The foregoing resolutions are available at the office of the City Planning Commission.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Hon. Edgar Walter, Member Art Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2852, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon Edgar Walter, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety days, commencing October 12, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard M. McKinley, Member Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2853, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing October 12, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Leave of Absence—Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.**

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 2855, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, is hereby

granted a leave of absence for a period from October 14 to November 9, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Bay Bridge Billboard Ordinance.

The following was read by Supervisor Brown and made part of the record:

October 13, 1936.

To the Editor.

Dear Sir: There has been so much misinformation circulated about the so-called "Bay Bridge Billboard Ordinance" recently before the Board of Supervisors that I believe a short statement of the facts would be advantageous for those interested.

First, the purpose of the ordinance should be clearly understood. It proposed to prohibit the erection of billboards on property adjoining the bridge approaches and within 800 feet of any of these approaches. The regulation proposed also to start at Rincon Hill and to include the territory surrounding the main approach from Rincon Hill to Fifth street; also, the off-ramp for downtown automobile traffic, running from Rincon Hill to First street and likewise, the on-ramp, running from Beale street up to the bridge on Rincon Hill. Later the distance was modified to 382 feet, at which distance the ordinance finally came to a vote and was defeated, 8 to 3.

The following facts should be carefully noted by those interested: First, *no billboards can possibly be erected on the bridge itself or any of its approaches.* Many people have the mistaken impression that the failure of the ordinance to pass will permit billboards on the bridge structure itself. This is not correct; the bridge proper is under the jurisdiction of the State Toll Bridge Authority, which has already barred billboards from the bridge, its approaches and the property immediately adjoining the approaches, which is owned by the Toll Bridge Authority. This includes the area between Perry and Stillman streets, a stretch about 200 feet wide, running from First to Fifth streets, in the middle of which is situated the main approach structure, thus it will not be permissible to locate billboards within 100 feet of the center line of the main approach, regardless of any action taken by the Board of Supervisors.

Second, no attempt was made by the disputed ordinance to regulate in any way, shape or form all the billboards now visible from the ferry boats, which will, of course, still be visible from the main bridge structure after its completion. It is about 2½ miles from the west portal of Yerba Buena tunnel to the Rincon Hill terminal of the bridge; over all that distance there was proposed no regulation, the ordinance confining itself to about five blocks of the main approach and about five blocks jointly on the two downtown off and on ramps. This is certainly a case of the tail trying to wag the dog—leaving 2½ miles of bridge unregulated, and penalizing the property adjoining the five blocks of approach structure.

Third, it must be obvious even to those not engaged in the advertising business that the prohibition of signs within 400 feet of the bridge approach structure would merely have the effect of forcing the erection of larger signs outside of the prohibited zone. These would still be visible from the bridge structure, but in order to be legible, would have to be several times the size of the signs located closer. I leave to my readers the answer to the question as to which type of sign would be more damaging to the artistic sensibilities of those visiting San Francisco.

Copy of this letter is being sent to each of the editors of our San Francisco daily papers in the hope that they will feel constrained to publish it in full and to set these three facts before the public.

Let me remind you again: (1) There is no possibility of any billboards being erected on the bridge structure itself, or on any property belonging to the State Toll Bridge Authority; (2) The ordinance proposed to regulate merely a few blocks of approach structure and made no attempt to regulate billboards visible from the 2½ miles of main bridge structure; (3) Any attempt to regulate by distance only would merely have the effect of pushing the signs back from the bridge a few hundred feet and making them much larger and therefore much more objectionable.

There have been altogether too many ordinances introduced into the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of acquiring publicity for the Supervisor introducing them. When ordinances, properly conceived and drawn for a legitimate purpose, come before the Board of Supervisors, I am always happy to vote for them.

Yours very truly,

ARTHUR M. BROWN, JR.

Member, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

**Policy on Six-Hour Working Day.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 2856, as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Ordinance No. 9.0925 passed for second reading an ordinance amending subdivision "j" and repealing subdivision "i" of Section 1, and repealing Section 2, of Ordinance No. 9.0923, the purport of which amending ordinance is to permit the working of an eight-hour day for five days a week upon all construction contracts entered into by the City and County of San Francisco or by any of the Officers, Commissions or Departments thereof; and

Whereas, the alleged purpose for passing said amending ordinance for second reading is that at the present time it is not possible to secure a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans to perform labor under contracts for construction work entered into and to be entered into upon the basis of the six-hour day provided for in existing ordinances; and

Whereas, no assurance can be given at the present time that the conditions which exist at present making it impossible to obtain a sufficient number of workers and artisans to perform labor and render service on said contracts for said City and County will continue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that whenever existing conditions will so change that it will be possible to obtain a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans to perform labor and render service on contracts and subcontracts for public work and improvements to be undertaken by the City and County of San Francisco that the aforesaid Ordinance No. 9.0925 be repealed and that legislation be enacted by this Board of Supervisors providing for a six-hour working day on all contracts for public work or improvements undertaken by the City and County of San Francisco; and, be it

Further Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that if in the future it is possible to obtain a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans to perform the necessary labor and service on contracts for public work and improvements undertaken by the City and County of San Francisco that Resolution No. 2843, providing for the payment of overtime after eight hours in any one day be amended to provide for the payment of overtime at the same rates as are provided in said Resolution No. 2843 for all overtime after six hours' service in any one day.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Meeting Announcement.**

Supervisor Brown announced meeting of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee for October 22, at 11 a. m.

**Reports on Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from P. R. Thompson, chairman, Traffic Committee, Central Council of Civic Clubs, criticizing the Cahill report.

Also, Supervisor Uhl presented communication from J. L. Dobbins, consulting engineer, criticizing the Cahill report on the same subject.

Referred to Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 7:25 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 19, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 43

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, October 19, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 19, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 13, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### HEARING OF APPEAL, STREET WORK—2 P. M.

Hearing appeal of property owners against assessment for the costs and expenses of the work for improvement on Green street south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks by M. Bertolino, as described in declaration of intention, Order No. 3284 of the Department of Public Works.

Protest filed by Ernest M. Doyle, et al.

City Attorney O'Toole advised that it was up to the property owner to put in a retaining wall and contractor was not responsible for the removal of the debris in the basement of premises on McAllister and Buchanan streets.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Denying Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Sidewalks on Green Street and at Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 2869, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the assessment issued by the Department of Public Works on August 21, 1936, for the improvement of sidewalks on Green street, south one-half, between 100 feet and 137 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, and at certain other locations, be and the same is hereby denied, and the assessment confirmed.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Prohibiting the Use of the "Dead Man" Control in the Operation of Street Cars and Providing a Penalty for Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1124, Ordinance No. 15.09118, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of the "dead man" control in the operation of street cars and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate, or cause to be operated, any street car upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco by means of a "dead man" control to which is attached any foot lever or cut-off valve which will enable said street car to be operated without the operator's hand being kept upon the controller bar or handle at all times while said street car is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment for each separate conviction.

### Privilege of the Floor.

J. F. Brecknard, 927 Shotwell street, operator of one-man car on Polk street, was examined and testified as to case of young girl whom he alleged would have been killed but for the accidental operation of the foot lever of the "dead-man" control on his car at Polk and Washington streets.

### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the bill lay over one week and that Market Street Railway Company be requested to arrange for a time and place for a demonstration of the "dead-man" control device.

*So ordered.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week.*

### Rapid Transit.

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $677 for Salaries of Two Watchmen and Stationary Fireman, Bureau of Building Repair.**

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1131, Ordinance No. 9.05386, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $677 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries to two watchmen and one stationary fireman on sick leave.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $677 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.102.00 for the purpose of paying temporary salaries to two watchmen and one stationary fireman on sick leave.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that it is necessary in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Works (Bureau of Building Repair), of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that said emergency exists.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $202.83 From General Fund 1931-32 for Payment of Registered Obligations Applicable to That Fiscal Year.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1132, Ordinance No. 9.051310, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $202.83 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32, for the purpose of paying registered obligations applicable to that fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $202.83 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32 for the purpose of paying registered obligations applicable to that fiscal year.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $600 for Additional Employments at Opera House.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1133, Ordinance No. 9.051311, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $600 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 615.102.00, for the purpose of providing funds to pay for the services, as required, of opera house attendants and a supervisor of opera house attendants.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $600 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 615.102.00, for the purpose of providing funds to

pay for the services, as required, of opera house attendants and a supervisor of opera house attendants.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 (War Memorial).**
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1134, Ordinance No. 9.05387, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 18 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding Items 13½ and 17 thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 18 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 18. **WAR MEMORIAL**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B59 | Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial | $ 250 |
| 2 | 1 | B96 | Managing Director, War Memorial | 500 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 155 |
| 4 | 2 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 5 | 1 | C106 | Janitor, Sub-Foreman | 170 |
| 6 | 14 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| 7 | 5 | C152 | Watchman | 145 |
| 8 | 1 | C202 | Window Cleaner | 160 |
| 9 | 1 | E108 | Electrician | 220 |
| 10 | 1 | E109 | Stage Electrician, $75 per week | |
| 11 | 1 | E130 | Elevator Mechanic | 220 |
| 12 | 3 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines | 220 |
| 13 | 1 | A165 | Stage Carpenter, $75 per week | |
| 13½ | 1 | C252 | Opera House Attendant (part time) | 75 |
| | | | ART MUSEUM | |
| 14 | 1 | C52 | Elevator Operator | 145 |
| 15 | 2 | C104 | Janitor | 145 |
| | | | AS NEEDED | |
| 16 | 1 | A179 | Stage Property Man, $12.50 per day | |
| 17 | | C251 | Supervisor of Opera House Attendants. (a performance) | 10 |

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amending Subdivision "J" and Repealing Subdivision "L" of Section 1 and Repealing Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.0923.**
(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Bill No. 1135, Ordinance No. 9.0925, as follows:

Amending Subdivision "J" and repealing Subdivision "L" of Section 1, and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 556, Ordinance No. 9.0923, Code No. 9.092, entitled as follows: "Making and Providing for Regulations and Working Conditions Under Contracts for Public Work or Improvements Performed at the Expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or Paid for Out of Moneys Deposited in the Treasury of Said City and County, and Providing for the Fixing of the Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages, and Regulating the Hours of Labor in the Performance of Said Contracts, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Regulations Provided for by This Ordinance." Approved May 2, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision "J" of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9.0923, Bill No. 556, Code No. 9.092, entitled as above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Subdivision 'J'. For the purpose of meeting prevailing conditions and enabling employers to secure a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans, no person performing labor or rendering service in the performance of any contract or subcontract for any public work or improvement mentioned in Subdivision 'A' of this section shall perform labor for a longer period than forty (40) hours per week, or five (5) days of eight (8) hours each, except in those crafts in which a shorter work day now prevails by agreement in private employments. Any contractor or subcontractor who shall violate this subdivision of this section of this ordinance shall be liable for the same penalties and forfeit as those specified in Subdivision 'H' of this section, for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed for each calendar day or portion thereof whereon such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled or permitted to work more than the days and hours specified herein. The provision of this subdivision shall be made a part of all contracts and subcontracts for the construction of any public work or improvement."

Section 2. Subdivision "L" of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 9.0923, Bill No. 556, Code No. 9.092, entitled as above, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. Section 2 of said above numbered ordinance is hereby repealed.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $350 From Surplus in County Road Fund for Aid to Improvement of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1137, Ordinance No. 9.051312, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of $350 out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.916.04 for City aid extended to the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue where not already improved.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $350 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the County Road Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.916.04 for City aid extended to the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue where not already improved.

Section 2. This ordinance shall become effective on the passage of Ordinance No. 12.061166, Bill No. 1136, ordering the improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue where not already so improved.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Action Deferred.

The following entitled bill was *laid over one week*:

## EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT.

(Code No. 4.07)

Bill No. 1125, Ordinance No. 4.073, entitled:

"An ordinance carrying into effect sections 158 to 172, both inclusive, of the Charter, which provide. through a Retirement System for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of Retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of Death Benefits and Compensation Insurance Benefits; defining terms, providing for the procedure for the collection of benefits and the duties of the Retirement Board, creating the Retirement Fund, providing for membership in the Retirement System, providing for contributions by the members and by the City and County, providing for service and disability retirement and fixing allowances and conditions of payment, providing for Death Benefits and the Administration of Benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Act, providing for the effect of Workmen's Compensation Benefits on other benefits, providing for the validity of this ordinance and repealing Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), Ordinance No. 9134 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 4.072 (Bill No. 529), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith."

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

### Right of Entry by Fire Department.

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 1126, Ordinance No. 11.057, as follows:

Authorizing the officers and members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Department to enter buildings, structures or premises by direction of the Chief Engineer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The officers and members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Department shall have the right to enter, at any time when under the orders of the Chief Engineer of said department, any building, structure or premises, except private dwellings, wherever located, within the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of making inspections, and in the interest of public safety.

Section 2. Any person, firm, company or corporation that resists or opposes the execution of any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Amending Building Law—Standpipe Fire Hose.

(Code No. 11.05)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Fire, Safety and Police, and Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning.

Bill No. 1127, Ordinance No. 11.0816, as follows:

Amending Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.), entitled, "Regu-

lating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings; establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance," relating to standpipe fire hose.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 175 of Ordinance No. 1008 (N. S.), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 175. Standpipes, four inches in diameter, shall be provided with hose attachments on every floor and the gallery as follows, namely: One on each side of the auditorium in each tier, also one on each side of the stage on front of proscenium wall in each tier and at least one in the property room and one in the carpenter shop, if the same be contiguous to the building. All such standpipes shall be kept clear from obstruction. Said standpipes shall be separate and distinct, receiving their supply of water direct from the power of pumps or pumps installed and maintained by the owner or lessee of the building. They shall be fitted with the regulation couplings of the fire department, and shall be kept constantly filled with water by means of an automatic fire pump or pumps, of sufficient capacity to supply all the lines of hose when operated simultaneously; and said pump or pumps shall be supplied from the street main and be ready for immediate use at all times during a performance in said building.

In addition to the requirements contained in this section there shall be provided a four-inch standpipe, running from cellar to roof, with one two-way, three-inch siamese connection to be placed on street above the curb level, and with one two and one-half-inch outlet with hose attached thereto on each floor, placed as near the stairs as practicable.

All buildings shall be provided with an auxiliary fire apparatus and appliances, consisting of water tank on roof or in cellar, standpipes, hose, nozzles, wrenches, fire extinguishers, hooks, axes, fire alarm boxes and other appliances as may be required by the Fire Department, all to be of the best material and of the sizes, pattern and regulation kinds used and required by the Fire Department. All such equipment to be kept in good condition and ready for immediate use at all times.

A separate and distinct system of automatic sprinklers, with fusible plugs, approved by the Board of Public Works and Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, supplied with water from a tank located on the roof over the stage, and not connected in any manner with standpipes, shall be placed on each side of the proscenium opening and on the ceiling or roof over the stage at such intervals as will protect every square foot of stage surface when said sprinklers are in operation. Automatic sprinklers shall be also placed wherever practicable, in the dressing rooms, under the stage, and in the carpenter shop, paint rooms, store rooms and property rooms. The entire installation of automatic sprinklers shall be in accordance with the rules of the Board of Fire Underwriters.

A proper and sufficient quantity of one and one-half-inch cotton, rubber-lined hose, not less than 100 feet, fitted with the regulation couplings of the Fire Department, and with regulation Fire Department shut-off nozzles attached thereto, and with hose spanners at each outlet, shall always be kept attached to each hose attachment, as the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department may direct.

Such fire hose shall conform to the San Francisco Fire Department standard specifications for cotton, rubber-lined fire hose, and before installation, and as often thereafter, as the Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Fire Department may require, such hose shall be subjected to the regulation tests, and an affidavit shall be furnished to the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department setting forth the chemical analysis of the rubber contained therein, which must be in compliance with the requirements of said specifications.

There shall also be kept in readiness for immediate use on the stage

at least two casks of water, and two buckets to each cask. The cask and buckets shall be painted red.

There shall also be provided hand pumps or other portable fire extinguishing apparatus, and at least four axes, and also two 25-foot hooks, two 15-foot hooks and two 10-foot hooks on each tier or floor of the stage.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Sign Ordinance.**
(Code 11.08)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 1130, Ordinance No. 11.0818, as follows:

Regulating the installation, alteration, and maintenance of signs, devices, barber poles, and sidewalk clocks, fixing a penalty for violation of the provisions thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 1009 (New Series), Ordinance No. 8962 (New Series), Ordinance No. 9083 (New Series), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Title.* This ordinance shall be known as the "Sign Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. *Unlawful to Place or Maintain Any Sign Except in Compliance Herewith.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation hereafter to place or maintain upon or in front of, or attach to any building or premises, any sign, advertisement, transparency, bulletin board, barber pole, or sidewalk clock, projecting beyond the property line over any sidewalk or public thoroughfare, except such as are embraced within the classifications of Section 14 hereof and subject to the conditions stated herein; or to place or maintain upon or attach to any building or premises any sign, advertisement, transparency, bulletin board, barber pole or sidewalk clock wholly within the property lines except as shall be approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection; provided, that this ordinance shall not be deemed to apply to "billboards", as defined and regulated by Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series) nor to render unlawful the maintenance of any sign, advertisement, transparency, bulletin board, barber pole or sidewalk clock, erected and maintained under a lawful permit prior to the passage of this ordinance.

Section 3. *Permit from Central Permit Bureau Required.* No sign, transparency, advertisement, bulletin board, barber pole, or sidewalk clock shall hereafter be placed, maintained upon or in front of, or attached to any building, structure or premises, without a permit therefor from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works.

(a) *Application for Permit.* Application for such permit shall be made to the said Central Permit Bureau, by the owner, lessee, or agent thereof, of the property on which the proposed sign is to be located, on the form and in the manner required by the Department of Public Works. Such application shall specify whether such sign is to be used as an electric sign or a non-electric sign.

(b) *Drawings of Proposed Sign Required.* Said application shall be accompanied by duplicate scale or dimensioned drawings of the proposed sign, which shall show fully the location, dimensions, and construction thereof, together with such other information as may be required. When the proposed sign is to be attached to a building or other independent structure, the drawings shall show the position of

the sign on the supporting structure, the method of attachment to such structure, and the character of the structural members to which such attachment is made.

Section 4. *Permit Issued if Application Approved by Bureau of Building Inspection.* It shall be the duty of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, upon the filing of application for permit and exhibits as provided in Section 3 hereof, to examine such drawings and other data and, if necessary, the premises upon which it is proposed to erect the sign, and if it shall appear that the proposed sign is in accordance with all legal requirements, and the application for permit for its erection has been approved by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the City Planning Commission and the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works shall then issue a permit for the erection of the sign.

Section 5. *Inspection During Erection.* During the erection of the sign, the Bureau of Building Inspection shall make such inspections as are necessary to determine that the same is completed in conformance with all ordinances and approved application and plans for which permit was issued.

Section 6. *Signs Not Conforming to Application as Approved, Etc., May Be Removed.* In the event that any sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device, when completed, does not conform in every respect to the terms of this ordinance and the approved application plans therefor, the Bureau of Building Inspection shall notify the permittee, who shall thereupon cause such alterations and changes to be made as may be necessary to make the same conform thereto.

In case of failure to comply with such notification the Department of Public Works is authorized to cause the removal forthwith of such sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device, at the expense of the permittee and without prejudice to the penalties herein imposed for violations of this ordinance.

If the application specifies that a sign is to be used as an electric sign such sign must be completed in accordance with the permit issued therefor by the Department of Electricity, and its use and maintenance otherwise than as an electric sign shall be unlawful.

In the event that any permittee shall maintain such sign as a non-electric sign the Bureau of Building Inspection shall notify the permittee to forthwith complete said sign in accordance with the application made and permits issued therefor.

In case of failure to comply with such notification for a period of thirty days from and after receipt thereof the Department of Public Works is authorized to cause the removal of such sign at the expense of the permittee and without prejudice to the penalties herein imposed for violation of this ordinance.

Section 7. *Date of Erection and Permit Number to Be Placed on Signs.* Every sign hereafter erected shall bear the date of erection, and the number of the permit issued for the sign by the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works shall be clearly painted on the face thereof in characters of not less than one inch in height and of such size and location as to be legible from the sidewalk level; provided, however, that electric signs may have attached thereto an approved metal tag in lieu of the painted characters.

Section 8. *Privileges Acquired Revocable at Any Time.* All rights and privileges acquired under the provisions of this ordinance or any amendment thereto, permitting the erection or maintenance of signs, barber poles, or sidewalk clocks on or over sidewalks or public thoroughfares, are mere licenses revocable at any time by the Department of Public Works, and all such permits shall contain this proviso.

Section 9. *Removal of Signs Authorized Unless Placed and Secured as Directed by Bureau.* Any person, firm or corporation maintaining

any sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device upon or in front of the premises of which such person, firm or corporation has control, shall, upon notice from the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, cause the same to be placed and secured in such manner as the said Bureau may direct. In case of failure to comply with such notification, the Department of Public Works is authorized to cause the removal forthwith of such sign, electric sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device, at the expense of the permittee and without prejudice to the penalties herein imposed for violations of this ordinance.

Section 10. *Electric Signs—Permit Required from Department of Electricity After Permit Granted by Central Permit Bureau.* Before any sign, transparency, advertisement or sign device is erected which is intended to be used in connection with electricity, a permit shall be secured from the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works as provided in Sections 3 and 4 hereof; and this permit, together with all necessary data regarding the proposed electrical construction, shall be submitted to the Department of Electricity. It shall be the duty of the Department of Electricity to examine such electrical data, and if the proposed sign is found to be in accordance with all ordinances regarding electrical construction, the said department shall issue a permit for the erection of such sign. No sign intended to be used in connection with electricity shall be erected until permits therefor have been secured from both the Central Permit Bureau of the Department of Public Works and the Department of Electricity.

Section 11. *Definitions.*

(a) The word "sign" as used herein when appearing alone, shall be understood to include all types of signs, sign devices, advertisements, transparencies, bulletin boards on or attached to any building, pole, structure, or premises, and shall also include barber poles and sidewalk clocks; provided, however, that the said word shall not include "billboards" as defined in Ordinance No. 4059 (New Series).

(b) The area of a sign is hereby defined as the product of the horizontal distance between vertical lines passing through the extremities of the sign and the vertical distance between a horizontal line passing through the highest point of the sign and a horizontal line passing through the lowest point of the sign.

(c) The dimensions of a sign are hereby defined to be the extreme horizontal and extreme vertical dimension, including all fins, scrolls and trim.

(d) "*Electric Sign*" shall mean a sign whereon letters, figures or designs are formed or outlined by incandescent electric light globes, gas-filled luminous tubes, or by a transparent medium illuminated by means of electric lights or gas-filled luminous tubes contained within the sign. Electric lights or gas-filled luminous tubes, which are not an essential element of the sign proper are not classified as electric signs within the meaning of this ordinance; provided, however, that a sign or design installed or painted on the exterior of a building and illuminated or outlined by means of electric lights or gas-filled tubes that are installed for the specific purpose of illuminating said sign or design shall be considered within the requirements of this ordinance.

(e) "*Face of Building*" shall be construed to mean the general outer surface of the walls of the building facing the street, except where bay windows or pillars project beyond such walls, the outer surface of which windows or pillars shall be considered the face of the building at these points.

(f) Where the distance from the sign to sidewalk is specified, what is meant is the clear distance from the bottom of the sign or the frame thereof, to the highest point of the sidewalk immediately beneath such sign.

Section 12. *Required Wind Load Resistance.* All fixed signs except those lying flat against the surface of the building to which they are

attached, shall be designed to withstand wind loadings as follows, the detail design to be in accordance with the provisions of the Building Law:

(a) *For solid signs*, twenty (20) pounds per square foot on one face of sign.

(b) *For skeleton signs*, thirty-six (36) pounds per square foot of the total face area of the letters or other sign surface, or ten (10) pounds per square foot on the gross area within the frame of the sign proper, whichever is the greater.

Section 13. *General Specifications.*

(a) *Metal shapes or flats used for supporting or bracing signs* shall not be less than one-eighth (⅛) inch thick, and shall be galvanized or porcelain enameled. Where wire is used to support or brace signs, it shall be galvanized or equal.

(b) *All cloth signs* shall have a rigid wood or metal frame and shall extend not more than six (6) inches from the face of the building and shall not be permitted to hang for a period exceeding sixty (60) days.

(c) *Signs projecting more than six (6) inches* from the face of the building shall be of metal or incombustible material. Provided, however, that within the fire limits all signs shall be constructed throughout of incombustible material.

(d) *All electric signs* shall be constructed throughout of incombustible materials.

(e) *No sign other than an electric sign* shall project more than twelve (12) inches from the face of the building, nor shall any electric sign project beyond the curb line of the sidewalk below such sign.

(f) *No double or triple face electric sign* shall be more than four (4) feet thick between sign faces.

(g) *No sign which projects more than eight (8) inches over a public sidewalk or thoroughfare* shall be less than eight (8) feet above the sidewalk; provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to non-electric pole signs when the base thereof is placed at sidewalk elevation.

(h) *No sign other than a swinging electric or marquee* shall extend across or in front of any window or other exterior opening in any building above the first story thereof, nor across the end windows or the end three (3) feet of glass front at each side of a mezzanine floor.

(i) *No sign shall be attached to any fire escape or standpipe*, and none except marquee signs shall be placed in front thereof, nor shall any sign be so placed as to obstruct the means of ingress or egress of a building.

(j) *No sign which is placed parallel with or flat against the wall of a building* shall extend above the fire wall of such building.

Section 14. *Classification of Signs and Provisions Regarding Each Class.* The classification of signs referred to in Section 2, and the special conditions pertaining to each classification, shall be as follows:

(a) *Flat or curved signs*, plain or electric, the face of the sign being parallel for its whole length to the face of the building, and the sign fastened directly thereto. Such signs shall project not more than ten (10) inches from the face of the building unless the sign is ten (10) feet or more above the sidewalk, in which case they may project not to exceed eighteen (18) inches.

(b) *Drum signs* attached to the pillars or entrances of buildings, and projecting not more than eight (8) inches from the face of the building unless the sign is eight (8) feet or more above the sidewalk, in which case they may project not to exceed twelve (12) inches. Provided, an electric drum sign ten (10) feet or more above the sidewalk may project not more than four (4) feet.

(c) *"V" signs*, attached at their ends to the face of the building, and with the apex projecting therefrom not more than eight (8) inches unless the sign is eight (8) feet or more above the sidewalk, in which case this projection may be made not to exceed twelve (12) inches.

Provided, an electric "V" sign ten (10) feet or more above the sidewalk may project not more than four (4) feet.

(d) *Barber poles* and similar type signs, free from any separate signs attached thereto, and projecting not more than fourteen (14) inches from the face of the building.

(e) *Horizontal double face or triple face signs* illuminated and conforming to the following specifications:

(1) *Swinging electric signs* having a maximum height not to exceed seven (7) feet nor projecting beyond the outer edge of the sidewalk nor having their lowest point less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk. Provided, also, that such signs shall be erected in such manner as to permit their being swung back parallel with the face of the building and when so swung back the sign shall not project more than twenty (20) inches from the face of said building, or supporting element at property line. Further provided, that said signs shall be swung back parallel with the face of the building between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m.; and further provided, that this section shall not prohibit the erection of swinging electric signs, which are supported from framework constructed on the roofs of buildings not over fifteen (15) feet high, and complying in all other respects with the other requirements of this ordinance.

(2) *Horizontal electric signs* having a maximum height not to exceed five (5) feet, nor projecting from the face of the building more than seven (7) feet, nor beyond the outer edge of the sidewalk, nor having their lowest point less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk. Provided, also, that they shall be mounted in such a manner as to permit their being swung back parallel with the face of the building (in case of emergencies such as fire) and when so swung back the sign shall not project more than twenty (20) inches from the face of said building or supporting element at property line, but shall be fastened permanently with easily removable galvanized metal guys in their extended position for normal usage, the lateral guys to be kept not less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk at their point of attachment to the building.

(f) *Double face vertical electric signs*, illuminated on both sides, the face of the sign set at right angles to the face of the building except that at a corner of the building which is also a street corner, the sign may be so set that the plane of the sign bisects the angle formed by the intersecting wall faces. The limiting dimensions pertaining to such signs shall be as follows:

| Height Above Sidewalk Feet | Maximum Projection from Face of Building Feet | Minimum Length of Sign Feet |
|---|---|---|
| 10 | 4 | 8 |
| 12 | 5 | 10 |
| 15 | 6 | 20 |
| 18 | 7 | 30 |
| 20 | 8 | 40 |
| 23 | 9 | 50 |
| 25 | 10 | 60 |

(g) *Single face vertical electric signs* having a maximum width of six (6) feet placed either flat against the building or at an angle of forty-five (45) degrees with the walls at a corner thereof which is also a street corner. Such signs shall be not less than twelve (12) feet above the sidewalk, nor shall any portion project above the fire wall of the building.

(h) *Gas or electric lamp or lamp globes on which signs may be placed*, such lamps or globes to be suspended in front of the building or premises, not less than eight (8) feet above the sidewalk, nor projecting more than two and one-half (2½) feet from the face of the building. No inscription or sign other than the name of the person, firm or corporation at whose expense and in front of whose

premises the lamp is erected shall be placed thereon, nor shall the size of such lamp or globe exceed that of those used in lighting the public streets.

(i) *Flat or Curved Mesh Signs and Raised Letters.* Such signs may be extended from the front of one bay window above the first story to an adjacent bay window, provided that the sign shall not project more than six (6) inches from the front of the bay window.

(j) *Bulletin boards,* which shall not project more than eight (8) inches from the face of the building.

(k) *Signs Supported Upon Metal Awnings or Marquees.* Such signs shall not be less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk, and unless electric signs, shall be not more than two (2) feet in vertical dimen- also a street corner. Such signs shall not be less than twelve (12) sixty-five (65) per cent of the projection of the marquee from the face of the building. When projecting above the roof of the marquee, the face of signs parallel with the building, or the apex of "V" signs, shall be distant from the face of the building not less than one and one-half (1½) times the height of the sign above the marquee. There shall be a clear space of not less than two (2) feet from the face of the building to any portion of any sign extending more than two (2) feet above the roof of the marquee, unless there is provided a walkway as herein specified. Such walkway shall extend along the face of the building for the full distance between signs, shall be at an elevation of not more than two (2) feet below the top of the sign at the face of the building, and shall be not less than two (2) feet wide. Such walkway shall be designed for its dead load plus a live load of sixty (60) pounds per square foot of area, and shall be of fireproof construction throughout. Where the walkway is located more than two (2) feet above the roof of the marquee, a hand-rail shall be provided along the outer edge of the walkway, and metal stairs or ladder, not less than eighteen (18) inches wide, shall be provided to give access from the walkway to the roof below. Signs extending above the roof of a marquee and placed other than along and flush with the edges thereof, shall be set back not less than two (2) feet from the side edges of the roof. No temporary sign of any kind shall be attached or supported on any marquee except as provided in Class L. All marquees shall be designed and constructed to sustain, in accordance with the provisions of the building law, their full dead load plus a live load of twenty (20) pounds per square foot of horizontal area, plus the weight of signs, and no sign shall be supported on any marquee which does not satisfy this requirement.

(l) *Temporary Signs, Advertisement, or Flags.* These may be suspended over the sidewalk in front of buildings or premises upon holidays, election days, and days of public parades or display, provided that such signs, advertisements, or flags shall be placed and secured as may be directed by the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works, and be removed immediately following the day or days for which the permit is granted.

(m) *Sidewalk clocks,* which shall be ornamental in character and construction, the design thereof to be subject to the approval of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works. Such clocks shall be placed just inside of and abutting on the curb line, shall be not less than ten (10) feet above the sidewalk, and the clock face shall be not less than two (2) nor more than three (3) feet in diameter. No advertisement, notice, lettering, inscription, or name shall be painted, placed or attached to the clock or to the pole or standard upon which it is mounted. After the erection thereof, all such clocks shall be maintained in good condition and shall correctly indicate the time; failure to comply with this condition shall be cause for revocation of permit by the Department of Public Works.

(n) *Roof Signs.* The extreme height of such signs above the lowest portion of the roof directly beneath the sign shall not exceed seventy-five (75) feet when the sign is supported on a Class A or

Class B building, nor thirty (30) feet when supported on a Class C building. The face of the sign shall be set back not less than three (3) feet in the clear from the inside face of the parapet wall parallel or adjacent thereto, and the ends of the sign shall be not less than one (1) foot inside face of the parapet wall adjacent to such end. The bottom of such signs shall be not less than five (5) feet above the roof directly beneath, and the space between vertical support shall be not less than six (6) feet in the clear; not less than fifty (50) per cent of the spaces so defined shall be and remain clear of any obstructions whatever.

No advertising sign or electric sign shall hereafter be placed on any roof in such a position that any portion of the advertising surface, its supporting structure, posts or braces, will be closer than six (6) feet from the outlet connection of any standpipe or fire plug, nor interfere in any way with the free use of any fire escape or obstruct any ventilator door or stairway.

Any sign of any character, together with its foundation, framework and supports now or hereafter constructed or maintained on or above any roof shall be kept in safe repair at all times by the person, firm or corporation owning, operating or having the care or custody of the same.

All roof signs shall be constructed throughout of fireproof material except as hereafter provided, and shall be supported on and attached to the building by a suitable frame of galvanized metal, which shall be positively anchored to the main structural members of the building; sills, stringers, ornamental trim and foot walks supporting such frames on Class C and frame buildings may be of timber. All foot walks supported by the frame shall be provided with a secure metal railing.

The provisions of this section shall not prohibit the erection of signs on roofs of one-story buildings constructed of incombustible materials, advertising products sold on the premises when such sign is also constructed of incombustible materials and has an area and dimensions not greater than the following:

Such sign shall not be greater than sixty (60) square feet in area; and not longer than the roof of the building at the point of support, with a maximum length of twenty (20) feet; and the top of the sign shall not at any point be more than four (4) feet above the roof surface which is directly underneath such point.

Section 15. *Conflicting Ordinances Repealed.* Ordinances Nos. 1009 (New Series), 8962 (New Series), and 9083 (New Series), are hereby repealed, as are also any other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions herein.

Section 16. *Invalidity of Any Part of Ordinance Not to Affect Remaining Portions.* If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 18. *Penalty.* Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Ordering the Improvement of Barneveld Avenue and Rickard Street (Where Not).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1136, Ordinance No. 12.061166, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 26, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of the southerly intersection of Barneveld avenue and Rickard street and the improvement of Rickard street between Barneveld avenue and San Bruno avenue, where not already improved. The improvement is to include the construction of the following items:

*Item No.* *Item*

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Brick catchbasin, complete.
3. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert, in place.
4. Armored concrete curb.
5. Two-course concrete sidewalk.
6. Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on a 6-inch class "F" concrete base.

The Assessment District hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as Lots 1, 1-A, 2, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5855, and Lots 1, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5856, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

### Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2857, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Charlotte Waller and Bert Waller, portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 2407 ..... $1,622.10
John Vicin, et ux., portion of Lot 28A, Assessor's Block 2407.. 3,025.00
Jack W. Lowry, et ux., portion of Lot 28, Assessor's Block 2407 2,826.00
Ray B. Newman, et al., portion of Lot 27, Assessor's Block 2407 2,947.00
Thomas W. Toomey, et ux., portion of lot 29, Assessor's Block 2407 ..... 1,125.00

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Antone Zuellich, portion of Lot 34, Assessor's Block 2055..... $ 385.00
Henry R. Marcoux, Lot 10G, Assessor's Block 1925..... 4,600.00
Christian Science Benevolent Association, portion of lots 2 and 5, Assessor's Block 2540..... 7,491.60
V. P. Kiley and Frances E. Kiley, Lot 10E, Assessor's Block 1925 ..... 6,750.00
Florence Kimmel, Lot 10F, Assessor's Block 1925..... 4,500.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

In order to facilitate a possible exchange, title to the portions of said lots lying outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Bernard Kerns and Clara Jane Kerns, a portion of Lot 3-B, Assessor's Block 2419, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sum of $2,374.80, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5. The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2858, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

Felix Pedrini, et ux., Lot 18, Assessor's Block 925.....$7,000.00
Frank Ferrua, et ux., portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 934... 1,100.00

As a further consideration, Frank Ferrua, et ux., are to receive a deed from the City to a portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 934, San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Wm. M. Larner and Grace H. Larner, his wife, portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block 925, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of $2,056.80, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be relocated by the grantor within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the City.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named owner for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2859, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Home Mutual Deposit-Loan Company the northwesterly 20 feet of Lot 46, Assessor's Block 7159, San Francisco, required for the widening of San Jose avenue, for the total sum of $1,290. The sum of $1,190 is to be paid from the money being held in escrow by the Title Insurance & Guaranty Company under authority of Resolution No. 2293, adopted by this Board on December 9, 1935, and $100 is to be paid from the ¼ Cent Gas Tax Fund, Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 3. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

The above sum includes the cost of relocating the improvements now on said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Refunds of Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2860, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named, being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous payments of taxes, to-wit:

1—Bank of America N. T. & S. A., as per Vol. 21, Bills 471 and 472, Lot 34, Block 2981, Fiscal Year 1935.....$ 9.94
2—Urban Realty Improvement Company, as per Vol. 21, Bill 767, Lot 52, Block 2989, Fiscal Year 1935..... 74.74
3—Anglo Calif. Natl. Bank (Estate Harold W. Bool), per 1936 Supplemental Roll of Unsecured Property..... 39.96
4—Rodale Mfg. Co., per Vol. 12, Page 11, Line 8, 1936 Unsecured Personal Property Roll..... 26.03
5—Anderson Bros. Planing Mill, per receipts 37462 and 37522, Year 1936 (duplicate payment)..... 2.80

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2861, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57 and from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443, as follows:

Frank R. Nelson, Lots 14 and 15, Assessor's Block 2110; $100 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, $500 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.....$ 600
Cross Investment Company, Lots 4 and 5, Assessor's Block 2110; $100 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, $600 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443..... 700
Angelo Traina and Louisa Traina, Lot 1, Assessor's Block 2107; $1,910 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443..... 1,910

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2862, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57

and from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443, as follows:

Stella M. Bryant, Lots 6 and 7, Assessor's Block 2144; $150 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, $750 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.....$ 900

Frederika Fox, Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2144; $400 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, $2,075 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443..... 2,475

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was taken up:

Amending Item 61, Section 59—Salary Ordinance, Board of Health From Full to Part Time Position.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1144, Ordinance No. 9.05389, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, by changing Item 61 from a full time to a part time position and reducing the salary of same from $102.50 to $79.50 a month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 59. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 1 | L2 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 310 |
| 45 | 1 | L6 | Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance) | 733.33 |
| 46 | 1 | L70 | Physio-Therapist (part time) | 100 |
| 47 | 2 | L72 | Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) | 75 |
| 48 | 2 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 50 |
| 49 | 4 | L202 | Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 50 | 1 | L206 | Chief Dietitian | 175 |
| 51 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 225 |
| 52 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 200 |
| 53 | 2 | L304 | Pharmacist | 190 |
| 54 | 1 | L306 | Senior Pharmacist | 250 |
| 55 | 42 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 56 | 19 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 52.50 |
| 57 | 7 | L356 | Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 65 |
| 58 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 75 |
| 59 | 3 | L372 | Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 60 | 1 | L372 | Resident Physician | 175 |

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 61 | 1 | L452 | X-ray Technician (part-time) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 62 | 3 | L452 | X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 63 | 1 | L456 | Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 64 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 200 |
| 65 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 100 |
| 66 | 1 | M255 | Bracemaker | 77.50 |
| 67 | 1 | O60 | Head Gardener (deduct for R.) | 150 |
| 68 | 4 | O166 | Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine | 185 |
| 69 | 4 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine | 220 |
| 70 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) | 280 |

*Explanation.*

Upon the request of the Director of Health the Civil Service Commission approved the changing of one position of X-ray Technician from a full-time to a part-time position with a corresponding decrease of salary from $102.50 to $79.50 a month.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Corrections in the 1936 Assessment Roll.**

(Code No. 9.011)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2863, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco has advised of certain errors in the 1936 Assessment Roll, and which should be corrected pursuant to the provisions of Sections 3881 and 3881b of the Political Code; therefore, be it

Resolved, That corrections in the 1936 Assessment Roll, with the consent of the City Attorney, be and they are hereby authorized, to-wit:

| | Land |
|---|---|
| Block 670, Lot 2 | $ 3,530 |
| Should be | 13,530 |
| Block 793, Lot 20 | 1,190 |
| Should be | 6,190 |
| Block 2032, Lot 6 | 1,000 |
| Should be | 500 |

| | Improvements |
|---|---|
| Block 970, Lot 11 | $11,000 |
| Should be | 24,000 |
| Block 3705, Lot 22 | (nil) |
| Should be | 46,000 |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2864, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department filed with the Board of Supervisors October 16, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security: Cancellations; increases; and other transactions.

Blind Pensions: Cancellations; decrease.

Widows' Pensions: Cancellations; decreases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Confirming Sale of Certain Land Near Northwesterly Corner of Silver Avenue and Charter Oak Avenue to Eugene F. Berg, Et Ux.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 2865, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17211, Bill No. 94, the Director of Property advertised in the Official Newspaper that bids would be received by him on September 26, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue distant thereon 26.974 feet northerly from the northerly line of Silver avenue, said point of commencement being the point of tangency of 40 feet radius curve with 142.836 course, as shown on map of Charter Oak avenue recorded February 3, 1930, in Map Book M, page 23, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, also the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Florida and Eugene F. Berg with said line of Charter Oak avenue; thence running northwesterly, along last mentioned line, 84.122 feet to the southeasterly line of property now or formerly owned by Sophie Landau; thence deflecting 89 degrees 30 minutes 58 seconds to the right and running northeasterly, along last mentioned line, 29.395 feet to the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence deflecting 109 degrees 41 minutes 30 seconds to the right and running southerly along last mentioned line 89.346 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block 2, "Golden City Tract," recorded August 1, 1905, in Map Book "C", page 15, records of aforesaid City and County.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Eugene F. Berg and Florida Berg, his wife, offered to purchase said land for the sum of $500 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property; the amount of said appraisal being $500; and

Whereas, Eugene F. Berg, et ux., have paid the sum of $50 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the sale of said land for the sum of $500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in

behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, for the conveyance of said land to Eugene F. Berg and Florida Berg, his wife. The buyers must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Extending Time of Furnishing Water to Land of Annie E. Murphy.**
(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 2866, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 29551 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated October 23, 1928, from Annie E. Murphy, et al., to an easement for a portion of the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct through their land in Alameda County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of damage, if any, which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in any springs or wells on the land of the grantors as a result of the construction of said tunnel; and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 2307, adopted by this Board on December 16, 1935, said time limit was extended to November 1, 1936; and

Whereas, said Annie E. Murphy, et al., have requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to November 1, 1937, and have requested the City and County of San Francisco to furnish water to said land until November 1, 1937; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit and has recommended furnishing water to said property until November 1, 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said time limit be and is hereby extended to November 1, 1937, and that the City and County of San Francisco furnish water to said land until said time; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with Annie E. Murphy, et al., extending said time limit to November 1, 1937, and providing for the furnishing of water to said land until said time, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill was taken up:

**Appropriation of $6,880—Salaries for Structural Engineer and Two Structural Engineer Inspectors.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1145, Ordinance No. 9.051314, as follows:

Appropriating $6,880 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the salaries and expenses of one structural engineer and two structural engineer inspectors for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $6,880 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the payment of salaries of one structural engineer at $300 per month and two structural engineer inspectors at $250 per month each, and transportation of two structural engineer inspectors at $30 per month each in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from November 1, 1936, to June 30, 1937; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and the sum of $6,880 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the General Fund collected by the Central Permit Bureau for fees accruing from building permits for the expenses under provisions of Ordinances Nos. 1008 (N. S.), 2107 (N. S.), 4059 (N. S.), 3361 (N. S.), 5136 (N. S.), and Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to make the necessary inspections compelled by law to be made by the said Bureau of Building Inspection, and therefore to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said department.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard J. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Re-referred.

The following bill was *re-referred to Finance Committee*:

Amendment to Salary Ordinance — Bureau Building Inspection.
(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1146, Ordinance No. 9.05390, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding thereto Items 7 and 8.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 44. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | F560 | Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection | 500 |
| 6 | 1 | M158 | Boiler Inspector | 250 |
| | | | TEMPORARY SERVICES AS NEEDED | |
| 7 | 2 | F556 | Structural Engineering Inspector | $ 250 |
| 8 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |

*Explanation*

These positions are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bills were taken up:

**Appropriating $27,868 for Institutional Help; Department Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1147, Ordinance No. 9.051315, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $27,868 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of the San Francisco Hospital, the Laguna Honda Home, and the Hassler Health Farm for the payment of compensation to institutional help.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $27,868 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of the appropriations indicated for the purposes and in the amounts hereinafter recited:

651.101.02—Institutional Help, Laguna Honda Home.....$ 4,900
653.101.03—Institutional Help, San Francisco Hospital..... 21,978
655.101.01—Institutional Help, Hassler Health Home..... 990

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Recommended by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, Re Deductions to Be Made for Employees in Department of Public Health and Water Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1148, Ordinance No. 9.05391, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding to Section 2 thereof the schedule of deductions to be made for maintenance furnished employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensa-

tions issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

| | For those receiving $80 per month or less in cash | For those receiving more than $80 per month in cash |
|---|---|---|
| 1 meal per day | $ 6.00 per Mo. | $10.00 per Mo. |
| 2 meals per day | 9.00 per Mo. | 16.50 per Mo. |
| 3 meals per day | 12.00 per Mo. | 22.50 per Mo. |
| Room or house | 8.00 per Mo. | 10.00 per Mo. |
| Laundry | 2.00 per Mo. | 2.50 per Mo. |
| Board, room and laundry | 22.00 per Mo. | 35.00 per Mo. |
| Single meal | .25 | .35 |

| | Per Mo. |
|---|---|
| Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital | $150 |
| House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department | 25 |
| House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department | 50 |
| House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department | 25 |
| House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department | 15 |

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such accommodations.

*Explanation.*

Amendment made to salary ordinance in order to include the new schedule of deductions to be made for maintenance furnished employees receiving $80 or less per month, which schedule was approved by the Civil Service Commission on September 16, 1936.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up:

**Appropriating $6,450 for Construction of Sea Cliff Avenue Sewer.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1149, Ordinance No. 9.051316, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of six thousand four hundred and fifty ($6,450) dollars out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 640.214.02 for the reconstruction of the Sea Cliff avenue sewer between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of six thousand four hundred and fifty ($6,450) dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 640.214.02 for the reconstruction of the Sea Cliff avenue sewer between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is necessary to provide for the correction of insanitary conditions in the Sea Cliff avenue sewer and to safeguard the public health.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.
Approved by Wm. H. Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was taken up:

**Approving Map of University Terrace; Accepting Certain Streets Therein and Approving and Accepting Bond for Taxes in Connection Therewith.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

On recommendation of Streets and Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2867, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain map entitled "University Terrace, San Francisco, California, composed of two sheets," be and is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of University Terrace, San Francisco, California, and the portions of land designated thereon as Temescal Terrace, Chabot Terrace, Kittredge Terrace, Roselyn Terrace, Tamalpais Terrace and Annapolis Terrace are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets to be known by the aforementioned names as they appear on said map; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated the 30th day of September, 1936, from American Trust Company, to those parcels of land comprising the aforementioned streets as delineated upon said map; and, be it

Further Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of $2,729.92, executed the 7th day of October, 1936, between the American Trust

Company as principal, and the Massachusetts Bonding and Insurance Company, being bond No. 39440, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map, a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown upon said map, but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of $2,729.92 being an estimate by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco of the amount of taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map, and which are not yet payable.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was taken up:

**Spur Track, General Brewing Corporation, Carroll Avenue and Mendell Street.**
(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1143, Ordinance No. 12.2018, as follows:

Granting permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and Mendell street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Carroll avenue and Mendell street, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing spur track in Carroll avenue distant northwesterly 20 feet more or less from the northwesterly line of Shoup avenue produced and distant southwesterly 26 feet more or less from the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue; thence in a northerly direction on a curve concave to the right and crossing portion of Carroll avenue, also crossing parcel of private property at corner of Carroll avenue and Mendell street, and crossing Mendall street to a point in the northwesterly line of Mendell street approximately 132 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Provided that all work is to be done in accordance with blue print entitled "Southern Pacific Company, San Francisco, proposed spur for General Brewing Corporation at Newhall Street and Carroll Avenue," and that any interference with the natural drainage of the above mentioned streets caused by the installation of the spur track shall be corrected by the General Brewing Corporation to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Prohibiting Toy Balloons Containing Inflammable Gas.
(Code No. 11.00)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Bill No. 1151, Ordinance No. 11.0014, as follows:

Prohibiting the manufacture, use, sale, possession, disposal of toy balloons containing inflammable gas and prescribing a penalty for violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to manufacture, use, sell, dispose of or have possession of any toy balloon containing inflammable gas or any gas capable of being ignited.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred ($100) dollars and not more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

*Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.*

### Endorsing 50-Cent Toll for San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.
(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2868, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is approaching completion; and

Whereas, the California Toll Bridge Authority is about to fix the tolls and charges for vehicles travelling over said bridge; and

Whereas, privately owned and operated passenger automobiles will at all times constitute the greater number of vehicles using said bridge; and

Whereas, said bridge was constructed as a part of the State Highway System primarily for the accommodation of privately owned and operated passenger automobiles; and

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that if said bridge is to meet its obligations as contemplated, it must receive the patronage of the largest possible number of automobile owners; and

Whereas, it also appears to this Board of Supervisors that a flat charge of 50 cents per automobile, containing not more than four persons, will induce the greatest number of automobile owners to use said bridge and will produce to said bridge a larger amount of revenue than if a higher toll was charged; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby urge the California Toll Bridge Authority to initiate its service for privately owned passenger automobiles at a toll charge of 50 cents a single trip, and to maintain the same at said figure until it is shown that the said figure is insufficient to enable said Toll Bridge Authority to meet the obligation of said bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to said California Toll Bridge Authority.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Providing for 8-Hour Day, 5-Day Week, on City and County Construction Contracts.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 2856, as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Ordinance No. 9.0925 passed for second reading an ordinance amending Subdivision "j" and repealing Subdivision "i" of Section 1, and repealing Section 2, of Ordinance No. 9.0923, the purport of which amending ordinance is to permit the working of an eight-hour day for five days a week upon all construction contracts entered into by the City and County of San Francisco or by any of the officers, commissions or departments thereof;

Whereas, the alleged purpose for passing said amending ordinance for second reading is that at the present time it is not possible to secure a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans to perform labor under contracts for construction work entered into and to be entered into upon the basis of the six-hour day provided for in existing ordinances; and

Whereas, no assurance can be given at the present time that the conditions which exist at present making it impossible to obtain a sufficient number of workers and artisans to perform labor and render service on said contracts for said City and County will continue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that whenever existing conditions will so change that it will be possible to obtain a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans to perform labor and render service on contracts and subcontracts for public work and improvements to be undertaken by the City and County of San Francisco that the aforesaid Ordinance No. 9.0925 be repealed and that legislation be enacted by this Board of Supervisors providing for a six-hour working day on all contracts for public work or improvements undertaken by the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that if in the future it is possible to obtain a sufficient number of satisfactory workers and artisans to perform the necessary labor and service on contracts for public work and improvements undertaken by the City and County of San Fancisco that Resolution No. 2843, providing for the payment of overtime after eight hours in any one day be amended to provide for the payment of overtime at the same rates as are provided in said Resolution No. 2843 for all overtime after six hours' service in any one day.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Regulating Billboard Advertising at Bay Bridge Approach.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1150, Ordinance No. 11.0819, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the location, erection or placing of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use solely for advertisement sign or billboard purposes, in certain localities in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, paint or in any manner place any sign or signboard, bill or billboards, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, and designed or intended for use solely for advertising, sign or billboard purposes, or to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, handbill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind, excepting in the case of a public officer or a private person in giving a legal notice, upon any property within 350 feet of either side of the center line of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, and when visible from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereof, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained, or for the erection or maintenance of which advertising sign or advertising stucture a pemit has heretofore been issued, upon any property situated upon or within the area above named.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the owners, lessees, occupants, tenants or agents of any real or personal property located within the limits defined in Section (1) hereof, to license or allow any such property to be leased or used in any manner whatsoever for any such advertising sign or billboard purposes; provided, however, that nothing in this section, or Section (1) hereof, shall be construed to prohibit the owner or occupant of any building within the limits prescribed in Section (1) hereof and conducting a business in such building, from having thereon a sign directing attention to the person occupying the premises in question or the business transacted therein, or advertising the property itself or any part thereof, as for sale, or to let, and which contains no other advertising matter, providing that such sign shall be of such size, design and location as may be approved by the City Planning Commission, as one that will reasonably serve one or more of said purposes, without being a distraction to traffic upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Each day any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any other advertising device or structure is continued or maintained in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such continuance or maintenance shall be deemed a separate and distinct offense and shall be punishable accordingly.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Shannon moved suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of the bill.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing bill be considered in Committee of the Whole as a Special Order of Business at 3 p. m., Monday, October 26, 1936.

*So ordered.*

**Wm. H. Worden Appointed Acting Chief Administrative Officer.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

October 14, 1936.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I have appointed Mr. William H. Worden to act as Administrative Officer during my absence in Richmond and New York. I have authorized him to sign all official documents in my name during my absence.

Respectfully,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

**Roller Skating License.**

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Mike Fisher et al., complaining of excessive charge for roller skating license fee and requesting reduction to license fee for dancing.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Plan No. 7, Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.**

Supervisor Uhl called out from committee Plan No. 7 for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and requested same be made *Special Order of Business at 4 P. M., October 26, 1936.*

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Claude Brown, Chief Engineer of the State Railroad Commission, be invited to express his views on the matter of purchasing power and standby services as reported in Plan No. 7, and the State Railroad Commission be requested to permit Mr. Brown to appear before the Board at 4 p. m. Monday, October 26, when the matter will be made a special order of business.

Motion *carried.*

**Rapid Transit.**

Communication from P. R. Thompson, Chairman of Traffic Committee, Central Council of Civic Clubs, presented by Supervisor McSheehy, was ordered submitted to Manager of Utilities E. G. Cahill for examination and report.

**Meetings Announced.**

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, October 21, 10 a. m.

Health Committee, October 23, 10:15 a. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 4:20 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 26, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 44

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, October 26, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Hicks-Judd Company
Law Publishers
San Francisco

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 26, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:23 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 19, 1936, approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

#### Rapid Transit.

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Thompson, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, and Mr. Shafter, representing himself, were heard at length in criticism of Manager Cahill's report.

#### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject-matter was *laid over one week.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Prohibiting the Use of Foot Lever of the "Dead Man" Control in the Operation of Street Cars and Providing a Penalty for Violation Thereof.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 1124, Ordinance No. 15.09118, as follows:

Prohibiting the use of foot lever of the "dead man" control in the operation of street cars and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to operate, or cause to be operated, any street car upon the streets of the City and County of San Francisco by means of a "dead man" control to which is attached any foot lever or cut-off valve which will enable said street car to be operated without the operator's hand being kept upon the controller bar or handle at all times while said street car is in motion.

Section 2. Any person, firm or corporation violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred ($500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment for each separate conviction.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Browman, representing the Market Street Railway on behalf of Mr. Newton of the same company requested that matter lay over one week.

After discussion participated in by Supervisor Havenner, Assistant City Attorney Heidelberg and Mr. Browman and others the bill was taken in the hands of the Board.

### Final Passage.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

### Regulating Location of Billboards at Bridge Approach.

(Code No. 11.08)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1150, Ordinance No. 11.0819, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the location, erection or placing of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use solely for advertisement sign or billboard purposes, in certain localties in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, paint or in any manner place any sign or signboard, bill or billboards, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, and designed or intended for use solely for advertising, sign or billboard purposes or to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, handbill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind, excepting in the case of a public officer or a private person in giving a legal notice, upon any property within 350 feet of either side of the center line of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, and when visible from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereof, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising

purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained, or for the erection or maintenance of which advertising sign or advertising structure a permit has heretofore been issued, upon any property situated upon or within the area above named.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the owners, lessees, occupants, tenants, or agents of any real or personal property located within the limits defined in Section 1 hereof, to license or allow any such property to be leased or used in any manner whatsoever for any such advertising sign or billboard purposes; provided, however, that nothing in this section, or Section 1 hereof, shall be construed to prohibit the owner or occupant of any building within the limits prescribed in Section 1 hereof and conducting a business in such building, from having thereon a sign directing attention to the person occupying the premises in question or the business transacted therein, or advertising the property itself or any part thereof, as for sale, or to let, and which contains no other advertising matter, providing that such sign shall be of such size, design and location as may be approved by the City Planning Commission, as one that will reasonably serve one or more of said purposes, without being a distraction to traffic upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Each day any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any other advertising device or structure is continued or maintained in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such continuance or maintenance shall be deemed a separate and distinct offense and shall be punishable accordingly.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

## SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

### Plan No. 7 for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

Called out of Special Committee by Supervisor Uhl.

After discussion participated in by Claude Brown, consulting engineer, Supervisors Brown, Uhl, Roncovieri, McSheehy, the following motion was made by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt:

That Lester S. Ready be employed to check Plan No. 7.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

*Explanation of Vote*

Supervisor Brown: I would like to explain my vote, Mr. Chairman. I don't feel, in the first place, that the Board of Supervisors have any authority to employ outside experts. I think that authority is clearly vested in the administrative branch of this government, and that it should be accomplished by a recommendation of a properly constituted branch of the government before we make any such motion; and in the second place, I don't think, even if the Board is held to have that power—it would be justifiable to have Mr. O'Toole sent for if such a step should be taken, without due process, without reference to committee, so, I am going to vote against it.

### Communication.

A communication dated September 30, 1936, from the Department of Water and Power of Los Angeles, signed Ralph L. Criswell, on the

question of power distribution was presented by Supervisor Uhl and read into the record.

**Action Deferred.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl the hearing was *continued until Monday, November* 2, 1936, *at* 3:30 *p. m.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters were taken up:

**Appropriating $64,285 for the Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco—Month of November, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1139, Ordinance No. 9.051313, as follows:

Appropriating $64,285 to the Citizens Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of November, 1936, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $64,285 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of November, 1936.

Section 2. Said appopriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

**Authorizing Lease of City-Owned Land, 50x137.5 Feet, on Jefferson Street, Westerly From Taylor Street.**

(Code No. 12.17353)

Also, Bill No. 1142, Ordinance No. 12.173533, as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain land on Jefferson street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson street distant thereon westerly 137.5 feet from the westerly line of Taylor street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jefferson street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The lease shall be made subject to such reservations and

conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

**Authorizing Sale of City-Owned Land, on Hampshire Street.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Bill No. 1141, Ordinance No. 12.17276, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City-owned land on Hampshire street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Hampshire street distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Hampshire street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

**Authorizing Sale of 4.9 Acres, City-Owned Land, in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Bill No. 1140, Ordinance No. 12.17275, as follows:

Authorizing the sale of certain City-owned land in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation.

Beginning at the southwest corner of the Chinese Christian Cemetery, running thence south 1 degree west 567 feet more or less to the southerly line of that certain 25-acre tract of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from the Estate of Patrick M. Brooks, deceased, by deed dated September 1, 1905, and recorded September 28th, 1905, in Liber 101 of Deeds at page 253, San Mateo County Records; thence along said southerly line, north 89 degrees 30 minutes east 250 feet more or less to a corner of said 25-acre tract; thence north 47 degrees east 219.12 feet to the most southerly corner of land conveyed to Edward Kelly by deed from Patrick

Brooks dated December 9th, 1898, and recorded January 26th, 1899, in Liber 81 of Deeds at page 46, San Mateo County Records; thence along the westerly line of said property so conveyed, north 1 degree east 410.52 feet to a point on the southerly line of the Chinese Christian Cemetery; thence along last named line, north 89 degrees west 397.98 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 4.9 acres, more or less.

Reserving to the City and County of San Francisco, the right to construct, maintain, repair and/or use a road along the easterly 20 feet and the northerly 20 feet of the above described 4.9 acre parcel of land, which road shall extend along the westerly side of land conveyed to Kelly and the southerly side of the Chinese Christian Cemetery.

Section 2. Said land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance—Juvenile Probation Office—Approved by Board September 21, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1138, Ordinance No. 9.05388, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 26 of Ordinance 9.05380 by increasing the number of positions under Item 6 from one to two, and under Item 11 from one to three, and by adding Item 7½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 26 of Ordinance 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. **JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | B4 | Bookkeeper | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B254 | Interpreter Clerk | 210 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 210 |
| 4 | 3 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 185 |
| 5 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 160 |
| 6 | 2 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 150 |
| 7 | 1 | B512 | General Clerk-Typist | 175 |
| 7½ | 1 | T54 | Collector for the Juvenile Court | 180 |
| 8 | 1 | T56 | Probation Officer | 225 |
| 9 | 8 | T56 | Probation Officer | 210 |
| 10 | 2 | T56 | Probation Officer | 195 |
| 11 | 3 | T56 | Probation Officer | 180 |
| 12 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer | 240 |
| 13 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer | 235 |
| 14 | 1 | T60 | Senior Probation Officer | 225 |
| 15 | 1 | T64 | Referee (part-time) | 250 |
| 16 | 1 | T72 | Chief Juvenile Probation Officer | 380 |
| 17 | 1 | B420 | Phonographic Reporter (as needed), $12.50 per day plus transcriptions. | |

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

**Action Deferred.**

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

**Employees' Retirement.**

(Code No. 4.07)

Bill No. 1125, Ordinance No. 4.073, entitled:

"An ordinance carrying into effect sections 158 to 172, both inclusive, of the Charter, which provide, through a Retirement System for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of Retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of Death Benefits and Compensation Insurance Benefits; defining terms, providing for the procedure for the collection of benefits and the duties of the Retirement Board, creating the Retirement Fund, providing for membership in the Retirement System, providing for contributions by the members and by the City and County, providing for service and disability retirement and fixing allowances and conditions of payment, providing for Death Benefits and the Administration of Benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Act, providing for the effect of Workmen's Compensation Benefits on other benefits, providing for the validity of this ordinance and repealing Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), Ordinance No. 9134 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 4.072 (Bill No. 529), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith."

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was taken up:

**Sealed Proposals for the Purchase of $1,332,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.**

(Code No. 15.032)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2872, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 9th day of November, 1936, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of:

$1,332,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 119 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; 77 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 71 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, each year, 1938 to 1953, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2873, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on October 23, 1936, are approved, as follows:

OLD-AGE SECURITY: New applications; Increases.
WIDOWS' PENSIONS: New applications; Increases.
BLIND PENSIONS: New applications; Increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Buildings on Mission High School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 2874, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction all buildings now owned or hereafter acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the Mission High School Playground and not required for municipal purposes.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Army Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2875, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 548.955.17:

John A. Johnson, et ux., portion of Lot 7-F, Assessor's Block 4336, $2,615.

The above sum includes damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Property Required for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2876, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57 and from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443, as follows:

Byron A. Lundstrom et al., Lots 16, 16A, 16B, 16C, 16D and 17, in Block 2144, and Lot 11, in Block 2108.....$3,950

$550 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, $3,400 payable

from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

Phillip Rock, et al., Lots 12 and 13, in Block 2144.....$ 600

$100 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, $500 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Property Required for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2877, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from S. A. Tarantino, et al., Lots 6, 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D, 6E, 17, 17A, 19, 19A, 19B, 19C, 19D, 19E, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28, in Assessor's Block 2107, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $14,800, $450 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $14,350 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Land Required for the Mission High School Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2878, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain lots in San Francisco required for Mission High School, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 670.600.01:

Earl W. Wilson, Lot 32-C, Block 3579.....$9,000

Eugenie Domerque, Albert and Zoe Guibert, Lot 7, Block 3579.... 5,625

Muriel and Edward L. Cauley, Lot 10, Block 3579..... 3,750

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2879, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the

City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums sets forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

| | |
|---|---|
| James A. Arnott, et ux., portion of Lot 14, Assessor's Block 2406 | $ 304.20 |
| Alice P. Bowen, portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 2406 | 5.40 |
| Martha A. Solopok and S. T. Solopok, portion of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 2031 | 1,800.00 |

Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

### Corrections in the 1936 Assessment Roll.

(Code No. 9.011)

Also, Resolution No. 2880, as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco has advised of certain errors in the 1936 Assessment Roll, which should be corrected pursuant to the provisions of Sections 3881 and 3881b of the Political Code; therefore, be it

Resolved, That corrections in the 1936 Assessment Roll, with the consent of the City Attorney, be and they are hereby authorized, to-wit:

| | *Improvements* |
|---|---|
| Block 3800, Lot 1 | $3,800 |
| Should be | 600 |
| (Assessed to Louis Cohn.) | |
| Block 3800, Lot 1 | 3,800 |
| Should be | 2,400 |
| (Assessed to S. F. Gravel Co.) | |
| Block 3800, Lot 1 | 3,800 |
| Should be | 9,200 |
| (Assessed to S. F. Milling Co.) | |

| | *Land* | *Improvements* |
|---|---|---|
| Block 1392, Lot 11 | $18,840 | $4,500 |
| Should be | 34,970 | 8,700 |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were taken up:

### Appropriating $2,640, Salary and Expense of Structural Engineer, Bureau of Building Inspection.

(Code No. 5.91)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1145, Ordinance No. 9.051314, as follows:

Appropriating $2,640 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the salary and traveling expense of one structural engineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,640 be and is hereby set aside and

appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the payment of salary of one structural engineer at $300 per month in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, and traveling expense at $30 per month, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from November 1, 1936, to June 30, 1937; said sum of $2,640 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the General Fund collected by the Central Permit Bureau for fees accruing from building permits for the expenses under provisions of Ordinances Nos. 1008 (N. S.), 2107 (N. S.), 4059 (N. S.), 3361 (N. S.), 5136 (N. S.), and Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to make the necessary inspections compelled by law to be made by the said Bureau of Building Inspection and therefore to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said department.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance—Bureau Building Inspection.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1146, Ordinance No. 9.05390, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding thereto Item 7.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | F560 | Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection | 500 |
| 6 | 1 | M158 | Boiler Inspector | 250 |
| | | | TEMPORARY SERVICES AS NEEDED | |
| 7 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |

*Explanation*

This position is not established as a continuing position but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote::

**Appropriating $8,253 for Employment of Temporary Meat Inspectors, Veterinarians, Abattoir and Market Inspectors.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1152, Ordinance No. 9.051317, as follows:

Appropriating $8,253 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the expenses of temporary employees as follows:

1 Veterinarian and 7 Market Inspectors for 2 months; and permanent employees as follows: 1 Chief Market Inspector, 10 Veterinarians, 8 Abattoir Inspectors and 6 Market Inspectors for 1 month, for the Division of Meat Inspection, Department of Public Health; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $3,200 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, $2,850 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.102.04 for the employment of:

1 Temporary Veterinarian at $200 per month.

7 Temporary Market Inspectors at $175 per month each and $350 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.203.50-4 for the transportation allowance of 7 temporary Market Inspectors at $25 each per month, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from September 1, 1936, to October 31, 1936.

The sum of $5,053 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, $5,028 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.101.04 for the employment of:

1 Permanent Chief Market Inspector at $225 per month.

3 Permanent Veterinarians at $201 per month each.

7 Permanent Veterinarians at $200 per month each.

8 Permanent Abattoir Inspectors at $200 per month each.

6 Permanent Market Inspectors at $200 per month each and $25 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.203.50-4 for the transportation allowance of 1 Market Inspector at $25 per month, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from October 1, 1936, to October 31, 1936.

Section 2. The moneys appropriated hereunder are to be returned to the Emergency Reserve Fund out of revenue received in excess of operating requirements under the proposed meat inspection ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein provided for is necessary to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to provide for the uninterrupted operations of the Meat Inspection Division of the Department of Public Health.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were taken up:

**Authorizing Exchange of Lands in Connection with Richardson Avenue Project.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1153, Ordinance No. 9.051318, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands in connection with Richardson avenue project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property in lieu of sale is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter described as Parcel A to Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife, in exchange for certain other land hereinafter described as Parcel B.

Section 2. Said Parcel A is that certain tract of land which was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17217, Bill No. 1141, approved October 6, 1936.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisement of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel A to be $900, and the value of Parcel B to be $2,000.

Section 4. Said parcels of land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife; thence northerly along the westerly line of said land of Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife, 83.787 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; running thence northerly along last named line 53.713 feet; thence westerly at right angles 44.911 feet to the northeasterly line of the proposed Richardson avenue; thence northeasterly along last named line 70.015 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

Parcel B: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street, distant thereon 112.50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Antonio and Angelina Arata; thence westerly along said line of Lombard street 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles northerly along last named line, 83.787 feet; thence deflecting 140 degrees 06 minutes to the right and running southeasterly 15.743 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 200 feet, central angle 6 degrees 15 minutes 32 seconds, 21.848 feet to aforesaid line of the Arata property; thence deflecting 46 degrees 09 minutes 32 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southerly along last named line, 55.747 feet to the northerly line of Lombard street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to Frank Ferrua et ux. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to Frank Ferrua et ux., upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel B, and shall record the latter deed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $3,000, Ornamental Lights, Anza Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1154, Ordinance No. 9.051319, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of three thousand dollars ($3,000) out of the surplus existing in County Road Fund, appropriation 648.900.00, unallocated balance, to the credit of Appropriation

648.989.00 for the installation of ornamental lights on Anza street between Arguello boulevard and Parker avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of three thousand dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in County Road Fund, Appropriation 648.900.00, unallocated balance, to the credit of Appropriation 648.989.00 for the installation of ornamental lights on Anza street between Arguello boulevard and Parker avenue.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

Approved by W. H. Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill was taken up:

**Appropriation of $20,000, Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Litigation.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1155, Ordinance No. 9.051320, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the City Attorney's Fund for contractual services for the purpose of providing funds to defending pending litigation by the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company to recover taxes; declaring that an emergency exists and making this ordinance effective forthwith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, 1936-1937 Appropriation Ordinance, to the City Attorney's Contractual Service Fund, Appropriation No. 604.200.00, the sum of twenty thousand ($20,000) dollars, for the purpose of enabling the City Attorney to retain expert witnesses in the matter of the trial of the pending litigation of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company against the City and County of San Francisco to recover taxes alleged to have been illegally collected by the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1935-1936.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that an actual emergency exists which necessitates the increasing of the contractual service fund of the City Attorney in order to enable said City Attorney to properly defend certain litigation pending against the City and County of San Francisco to recover taxes paid by the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for the fiscal year 1935-1936, and said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the City Attorney, and this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reasons hereinabove set forth and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being as hereinbefore set forth.

Recommended by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were taken up:

**Appropriation of $1,500 from Emergency Reserve Fund for Payment of Salaries of Ten Temporary Clerks for One Month in Recorder's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1156, Ordinance No. 9.051321, as follows:

Appropriation of $1,500 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for payment of salaries of ten temporary clerks in the Recorder's Office, for period of one month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,500 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the payment of salaries of ten temporary clerks in the Recorder's Office, for a period of one month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that it is necessary in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Recorder's Office, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that said emergency exists, in that the recordations in the Recorder's Office have fallen behind, and it is necessary to have this work done.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of the Claim of Lillian C. Bence for Injuries Sustained by Defect in Sidewalk.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1157, Ordinance No. 6.022221, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Lillian C. Bence for injuries sustained by defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, entitled "Lillian C. Bence and Robert Bence v. City and County of San Francisco etc. et al.," be settled and compromised by the payment of seven hundred ($700) dollars to the plaintiff in said action, No. 261252, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which he has by reason thereof; and said City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of said Lillian C. Bence and Robert Bence by the payment of seven hundred ($700) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

Payment recommended: Wm. J. Worden, Director of Public Works.
Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were taken up:

**Wood-working Shop—Permit.**

(Code No. 1.06101)

On recommendation of Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Resolution No. 2870, as follows:

Resolved, That the S. Passer Furniture Manufacturing Company, Incorporated, is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a wood-working shop on the premises located at 1167 Mission street.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Fixing Hearing of Appeal, Northwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2871, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Santiago street, is hereby set for Monday, November 2, 1936, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**50c Rate on San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. ....., as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by resolution, has endorsed a flat rate of fifty cents (50c) per passenger car as a toll on the new San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, the bridge is of vast importance in the growth and development of San Francisco; and

Whereas, numerous civic, business and other organizations in San Francisco have also gone on record, by resolution, in favor of the above rate; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby requests the City Attorney to have the rate engineers attached to his office make a survey of the financial situation of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge with a view of obtaining facts and figures and other data to support a fifty cent (50c) per passenger car toll, not exceeding four passengers including driver; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed and instructed to appear in person, or by a deputy from the City Attorney's

Office, at all future meetings of the California Toll Bridge Authority in the interests of the City and County of San Francisco and the public welfare.

**Motion Lost.**

Supervisor Ratto, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of the resolution.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Mead, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Meyer, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

**Referred.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *referred to the Finance Committee.*

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance and Health Committee was presented, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *p. m., and ordered printed in the calendar of that day*:

**Meat Inspection Ordinance.**

(Code 17.04)

Bill No. 1158, Ordinance No. 17.044, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat and meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "local meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the carcass, or the part of any carcass, of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which has been killed within the City and County of San Francisco, including calves over four weeks of age which are killed outside of the City and County and brought into said City and County and inspected within said City and County as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance.

"Imported meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the carcass or part of any carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamp, goat or swine, or any of them, which have been killed or manufactured or processed outside of the limits of the City and County, and which is shipped, carried or brought into the City and County of San Francisco, excepting calves over four weeks of age which are killed outside of the City and County and inspected within said City and County as provided by Section 4 of this ordinance, and which have not been inspected and marked "Approved" by the Department.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Imported Meat Food Products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat food product described above when said article of food is manufactured or processed outside of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named is kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves Over Four Weeks of Age.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and over four weeks of age may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to inspection and if found unfit for human consumptiion to be condemned by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part of

parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processer thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 9. *Inspection of Imported Meat.* All meat of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance which are killed outside the City and County of San Francisco and all meat food products manufactured outside of the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to the inspection by the Department at their first place of rest in said City and County, for the purpose of ascertaining if said meat or said meat products bear an approved meat inspection service stamp, or brand, or mark, and also for the purpose of ascertaining if said meat has in any way deteriorated since said stamp or mark was affixed thereto. No person, firm or corporation shall sell or offer for sale in the City and County of San Francisco any imported meat or meat food product unless and until such meat or meat food product shall have been inspected by the Department as herein provided. The term "first place of rest" as herein used shall mean the point to which said imported meat or meat food product is delivered by the person transporting or carrying said meat into said City and County.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged, or who engages, in the business of selling, offering for sale, or distributing imported meat or imported meat food products in the City and County of San Francisco, other than a person purchasing said meat or meat products from one receiving the inspection service provided for in this section, shall apply to the Department of Public Health for the inauguration of an inspection service in such person's place of business. Such application shall be in writing, addressed to the Department on blanks furnished by said Department. In such application the applicant for inspection service shall agree to comply with the provisions of this ordinance and to maintain the place of business of said applicant in a clean and sanitary condition. Upon receipt of such application the Department shall make an inspection of the place of business of such applicant and if found clean and sanitary and properly equipped to conduct the business of the applicant in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department, said Department shall inaugurate an inspection service therein. The applicant shall pay a fee for such inspection service, as hereinafter in subdivision (b) of Section 11 provided. In the event the applicant shall fail to pay such inspection fees as they fall due, or within ten days thereafter, the said Department may discontinue such inspection service.

All imported meat and imported meat food products, the inspection of which is provided for in this section, shall be stamped or marked as re-inspected by the Department.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to sell or deliver to anyone not receiving the inspection service, provided for in this section, any imported meat or imported meat food products which have not been so re-inspected.

Section 10. *State Laws.* All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection,

keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

Section 11. *Fees and Charges.* For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of two (2c) cents per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed.

(b) All persons, firms or corporations receiving the inspection service provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance shall pay the sum on one and one-half (1½c) cents for each one hundred pounds of said imported meat or meat food products received by him.

(c) Any person, firm or corporation manufacturing or offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty ($50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

| *Exceeding* | *But Not Exceeding* | |
|---|---|---|
| 5,000 lbs. | 10,000 lbs. per month | $ 25.00 per year |
| 10,000 " | 20,000 " " " | 50.00 " " |
| 20,000 " | 30,000 " " " | 75.00 " " |
| 30,000 " | 40,000 " " " | 100.00 " " |
| 40,000 " | 50,000 " " " | 125.00 " " |
| 50,000 " | 60,000 " " " | 150.00 " " |
| 60,000 " | 70,000 " " " | 175.00 " " |
| 70,000 " | 80,000 " " " | 200.00 " " |
| 80,000 " | 90,000 " " " | 225.00 " " |
| 90,000 " | 100,000 " " " | 250.00 " " |

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or imported, as well as the amount of meat food products manufactured or imported, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed, dressed or imported, or the amount of meat food products manufactured during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 12. The Director shall make and enforce such rules and regulations as shall provide for the inspection of imported meat and imported meat food products as will enable him to properly inspect and stamp the same, in all cases where the person, firm or corporation bringing the same into the City and County, or offering the same for sale or delivery therein, has not arranged for the inspection service provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, and for the purpose of

accomplishing said inspection may provide places or depots where said imported meat or imported meat food products shall be inspected and stamped.

Section 13. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

No person, firm or corporation shall engage in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile without first obtaining a permit from the Department. Such person, firm or corporation shall pay an annual license fee of fifty ($50) dollars, payable quarterly for each such vehicle.

Section 14. *Penalties.* Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty ($50) dollars, nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

Section 15. *Repeals and Exemptions.* Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for in Section 18, Ordinance No. 3.0412.

## Meetings Announced.

Health Committee, Friday, October 30, 1936, 10:15 a. m.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning, Thursday, October 29, 1936, 10:30 a. m.

## State Supervisors Meet on Relief.

Communication from C. E. Grier, Chairman, Relief Committee, Supervisors' Association calling special meeting at Hotel Whitcomb, San Francisco, at 9 a. m., November 14, 1936, to receive program report of movement to prepare permanent relief legislation to be submitted to the 1937 Legislature.

President Havenner appointed Supervisors Shannon, McSheehy, Roncovieri and any other members who wished to attend.

## Photos Authorized.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk be authorized to issue an interdepartmental order for $8 to cover photographic work in connection with proposed ordinance regulating billboards in vicinity of bridge approach.

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

**Appointment of Ramsey Moran, War Memorial Trustee.**

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt the appointment of Ramsey Moran member of the War Memorial Board was confirmed without objection.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors at 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 2, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 45

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Monday, November 2, 1936

Thursday, November 5, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

Monday Morning, A. D. 1956
Tuesday Morning, A. D. 1956

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Hansell & Upham Law Publishing Company
120 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 2, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy—2.

Supervisor McSheehy was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 26, 1936, approved.

#### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

#### Rapid Transit.

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 P. M.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

#### Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.

Appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1558, dated October 1, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Santiago street.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Frank Meehan, 2275 Nineteenth avenue, appellant, was heard at length. He declared that he had been refused rezoning of the property twice before and that eight or nine permits had been granted in the interim. He declared that practically all his neighbors favored the change of zone.

#### Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning of Northwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2891, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1558, dated October 1, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the northwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Santiago street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Roncovieri—5.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

**Withdrawn.**

The following matter, upon request of Supervisor Shannon, the author of the resolution, was *withdrawn fram the Calendar*:

**Regulating Billboard Advertising at Bay Bridge Approach.**

(Code No. 11.08)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 1150, Ordinance No. 11.0819, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the location, erection or placing of any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, for advertisement sign or billboard business, or designed or intended for use solely for advertisement sign or billboard purposes, in certain localities in the City and County of San Francisco, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, paint or in any manner place any sign or signboard, bill or billboards, or any advertising device or structure, movable or immovable, temporary or permanent, and designed or intended for use solely for advertising, sign or billboard purposes, or to paste, post, paint, print, nail, tack or otherwise fasten any card, banner, handbill, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind, excepting in the case of a public officer or a private person in giving a legal notice, upon any property within 350 feet of either side of the center line of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto, and when visible from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereof, situated in the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be deemed to restrict or prohibit the use for advertising purposes of, or the changing of advertising copy on, any advertising sign or advertising structure now erected or maintained, or for the erection or maintenance of which advertising sign or advertising structure a permit has heretofore been issued, upon any property situated upon or within the area above named.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for the owners, lessees, occupants, tenants or agents of any real or personal property located within the limits defined in Section (1) hereof, to license or allow any such property to be leased or used in any manner whatsoever for any such advertising sign or billboard purposes; provided, however, that nothing in this section, or Section (1) hereof, shall be construed to prohibit the owner or occupant of any building within the limits pre-

scribed in Section (1) hereof and conducting a business in such building, from having thereon a sign directing attention to the person occupying the premises in question or the business transacted therein, or advertising the property itself or any part thereof, as for sale, or to let, and which contains no other advertising matter, providing that such sign shall be of such size, design and location as may be approved by the City Planning Commission, as one that will reasonably serve one or more of said purposes, without being a distraction to traffic upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and/or bridge ramp or approaches thereto.

Section 3. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not exceeding five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Each day any sign or signboard, bill or billboard, or any other advertising device or structure is continued or maintained in violation of the provisions of this ordinance, such continuance or maintenance shall be deemed a separate and distinct offense and shall be punishable accordingly.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

### Meat Inspection Ordinance.

(Code 17.04)

Bill No. 1158, Ordinance No. 17.044, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat ana meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "local meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the carcass, or the part of any carcass, of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which has been killed within the City and County of San Francisco, including calves over four weeks of age which are killed outside of the City and County and brought into said City and County and inspected within said City and County as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance.

"Imported meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the carcass or part of any carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamp, goat or swine, or any of them, which have been killed or manufactured or processed outside of the limits of the City and County, and which is shipped, carried or brought into the City and County of San Francisco, excepting calves over four weeks of age which are killed outside of the City and County and inspected within said City and County as provided by Sec-

tion 4 of this ordinance, and which have not been inspected and marked "Approved" by the Department.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Imported Meat Food Products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat food product described above when said article of food is manufactured or processed outside of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named or meat food products are kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves Weighing More Than Fifty-five Pounds for Smaller Breeds or Sixty-five Pounds for Larger Breeds, Exclusive of Head, Heart, Lungs and Liver.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or

offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to reinspection and condemnation by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part of parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processer thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 9. All meat of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance which are killed outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and all meat food products manufactured outside of the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to inspection by the Department at their first place of rest in said City and County for the purpose of ascertaining if said meat or said meat food product bears an approved meat inspection service stamp, or brand, or mark, and also for the purpose of ascertaining if said meat has in any way deteriorated since said stamp or mark was affixed thereto. The term "first place of rest," as herein used, shall mean the point to which said imported meat or meat food product is delivered by the person transporting or carrying said meat or meat food products into said City and County. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation transporting or carrying said imported meat or meat food products into said City and County to sell or deliver the same to anyone in said City and County not receiving the inspection service provided for in this section, but it shall be lawful for such person to sell or deliver to one receiving such service. Other than the sale to one receiving such inspection service as hereinabove provided, no person, firm or corporation shall sell or offer for sale in the City and County of San Francisco any imported meat or meat food products unless and until such person shall have applied for and obtained inspection service from the Department, as hereinafter provided, and then only during such period as said inspection service shall be extended to such person by said Department, or unless such person shall have purchased such meat or meat food products from one receiving the inspection service provided for in this section.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged, or who engages, in the business of selling, offering for sale, or distributing imported meat or imported meat food products in the City and County of San Francisco, other than a person purchasing said meat or meat food products from one receiving the inspection service provided for in this section, or one selling to one receiving such service, shall apply to the Department for the inauguration of an inspection service in such person's place of business. Such application shall be in writing, addressed to the Department on blanks furnished by said Department. In such application the applicant for inspection service shall agree to comply with the provisions of this ordinance and to maintain the place of business of said applicant in a clean and sanitary condition. Upon receipt of such application the Department shall make an inspection of the place of business of such applicant and if found clean

and sanitary and properly equipped to conduct the business of the applicant in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department, said Department shall inaugurate an inspection service therein. The applicant shall pay a fee for such inspection service, as hereinafter in subdivision (b) of Section 11 provided. In the event the applicant shall fail to pay such inspection fees as they fall due, or within ten days thereafter, the said Department may discontinue such inspection service.

Section 10. *State Laws.* All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

Section 11. *Fees and Charges.* For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of two (2c) cents per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed.

(b) All persons, firms or corporations receiving the inspection service provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one and one-half (1½c) cents for each one hundred pounds of said imported meat or meat food products received by him.

(c) Any person, firm or corporation manufacturing and offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty ($50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

| *Exceeding* | *But Not Exceeding* | |
|---|---|---|
| 5,000 lbs. | 10,000 lbs. per month | $ 25.00 per year |
| 10,000 " | 20,000 " " " | 50.00 " " |
| 20,000 " | 30,000 " " " | 75.00 " " |
| 30,000 " | 40,000 " " " | 100.00 " " |
| 40,000 " | 50,000 " " " | 125.00 " " |
| 50,000 " | 60,000 " " " | 150.00 " " |
| 60,000 " | 70,000 " " " | 175.00 " " |
| 70,000 " | 80,000 " " " | 200.00 " " |
| 80,000 " | 90,000 " " " | 225.00 " " |
| 90,000 " | 100,000 " " " | 250.00 " " |

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or imported, as well as the amount of meat food products manufactured or imported, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed, dressed or imported, or the amount of meat food products manufactured or imported during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 12. The Director shall make and enforce such rules and regulations as shall provide for the inspection of imported meat and imported meat food products as will enable him to properly inspect and stamp the same, in all cases where the person, firm or corporation bringing the same into the City and County, or offering the same for sale or delivery therein, has not arranged for the inspection service provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, and for the purpose of accomplishing said inspection may provide places or depots where said imported meat or imported meat food products shall be inspected and stamped.

Section 13. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

Any person, firm or corporation, without a fixed or established place of business within the City and County of San Francisco, engaging in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products, from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall first obtain a permit from the Department, and shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty dollars ($50), payable quarterly, in advance, for each such vehicle. All persons, firms or corporations processing meat food products, and paying the inspection fee provided for in Subdivision (c) of Section 11 herein, shall be exempt from the payment of the fee under this section.

Each such vehicle shall have printed conspicuously on both sides the firm name, address and Department permit number of the vehicle in letters and figures not less than three (3) inches in height.

Every person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided for in this ordinance shall be exempt from the provisions of Bill No. 141, Ordinance No. 3.04112, covering the same classification.

Section 14. *Penalties.* Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty ($50) dollars, nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

Section 15. *Repeals and Exemptions.* Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for in Section 18, Ordinance No. 3.0412.

Section 16. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any

one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

Section 17. This ordinance is passed by an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the inspection of meats as provided for in said ordinance is necessary for the preservation of the health of the people of the City and County, and that the collection of the fees provided for in said ordinance is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Health.

**Committee of the Whole.**

On motion, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole, with Supervisor Ratto in the chair.

City Attorney O'Toole explained the controversial points in sections 9, 11 and 13.

Ed. Turner, representing meat jobbers; Ray Williamson, representing the California Meat Council; D. N. Topplemeyer, representing the California Meat Council; Leon Morris, representing San Francisco abattoirs, and A. DeVeto, representing sausage companies, were heard at length on the pending ordinance.

Whereupon, the matter was taken in the hands of the Board.

**Committee Arises.**

Whereupon, on motion, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

**Amendments.**

Supervisor Shannon moved the following amendments:

That Section 11, Subdivision (c), be amended in first line thereof by adding after the word "corporation," the words "in the City and County of San Francisco."

Also, that Section 13, second paragraph, fourth line, after the word "automobile," insert the words "except when said meat or meat food products are delivered to one having inspection service as provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance."

Amendments *carried without objection.*

**Finally Passed.**

Whereupon, the following bill, as amended, was *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Meat Inspection Ordinance.**

(Code No. 17.04)

Bill No. 1158, Ordinance No. 17.044, as follows:

Providing for the inspection of meat and meat food products sold or delivered or offered for sale or delivery within the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing the Director of Public Health to adopt, promulgate and enforce regulations governing such inspection; providing for the payment and collection of fees to defray the cost of such inspection; defining certain terms used in this ordinance; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance; and repealing certain ordinances in conflict herewith. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. *Definitions.* "Department" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

"The Director" as used in this ordinance shall mean the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco.

The term "local meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the carcass, or the part of any carcass, of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine which has been killed within the City and County of San Francisco, including carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds, or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, which are killed outside of the City and County and brought into said City and County and inspected within said City and County as provided in Section 4 of this ordinance.

"Imported meat" as used in this ordinance shall mean the carcass or part of any carcass of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any of them, which have been killed or manufactured or processed outside of the limits of the City and County, and which is shipped, carried or brought into the City and County of San Francisco, excepting carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds, or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, which are killed outside of the City and County and inspected within said City and County as provided by Section 4 of this ordinance, and which have not been inspected and marked "Approved" by the Department.

"Federal inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the Government of the United States.

"State inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the State of California.

"Local inspection" as used in this ordinance shall mean any service for the inspection of meat maintained by the City and County of San Francisco under approval of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Other approved inspection service" as used in this ordinance shall mean any inspection service maintained by any city or county, which said service has been approved and continues to be approved by the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

"Meat food products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any article of food, or any article which enters into the composition of food for human consumption which is derived or prepared in whole or in part from any portion of the carcass of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, if such portion is all, or a considerable and definite portion of the article, except such articles as meat juices or meat extracts which are only for medical purposes and are advertised only to the medical profession.

"Imported Meat Food Products" as used in this ordinance shall mean any meat food product described above when said article of food is manufactured or processed outside of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. *Meat Inspection Brands.* No person, firm or corporation shall expose for sale or offer for sale, or sell or otherwise dispose of, or have in his possession, in the City and County of San Francisco, any meat of any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine or any meat food products thereof, which does not have thereon the inspection mark or brand and stamp of approval of either the federal inspection, state inspection, local inspection or other approved inspection service. If any carcass of any animal heretofore named or meat food products are kept, or offered for sale, or exposed within the City and County of San Francisco which does not bear one of the aforesaid stamps or brands, said Department shall take possession of and destroy said meat or meat food products.

Section 3. *No Meat Without Inspection to Be Shipped.* No person, firm or corporation shall ship, send, bring or cause to be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, the meat of any cattle, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, which does not bear the meat inspection brand or other mark of identification recognized by the Department, and/or the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 4. *Calves.* The carcasses of calves in good healthy condition and weighing more than 55 pounds for smaller breeds or 65 pounds for larger breeds, exclusive of head, heart, lungs and liver, may be brought into the City and County of San Francisco, and each of said carcasses of such calves must be inspected and stamped and marked by the Department at the point of arrival of said carcasses of such calves in the City and County of San Francisco, or at their first place of rest.

Section 5. *Unsound, Unhealthful, etc., Meats.* All meats or meat food products which are unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome or otherwise unfit for food, shall be stamped or otherwise marked by the Department "San Francisco Department of Public Health Inspected and Condemned" and shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of as provided by rule of the Department.

Section 6. *Reinspection.* All meats or meat food products sold or offered for sale in the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to reinspection and condemnation by the Department.

Section 7. *Unlawful to Forge, Alter, etc., Brands.* It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to forge, counterfeit, simulate or falsely represent, or without proper authority to use or detach or wrongfully alter, deface or destroy any of the stamps or marks or brands recognized by the Department, on any cattle, calf, sheep, lamb, goat or swine, or any meat food products thereof, or any carcass, or any part or parts of any carcass or carcasses named in Section 2 of this ordinance, except that the processer thereof may remove or destroy any stamp or mark before said carcass or portion thereof is processed, or any retail butcher may destroy said stamp or mark before any portion of said carcass is delivered to the ultimate consumer thereof.

Section 8. *Authority to Make Regulations.* The Department is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding the slaughter houses and places where meat food products are manufactured, as well as such rules and regulations relative to the inspection of meats and meat food products, as will enable the Department to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this ordinance, and to maintain the standard of meat inspection of the Department of Agriculture of the State of California.

Section 9. All meat of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance which are killed outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and all meat food products manufactured outside of the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to inspection by the Department at their first place of rest in said City and County for the purpose of ascertaining if said meat or said meat food product bears an approved meat inspection service stamp, or brand, or mark, and also for the purpose of ascertaining if said meat has in any way deteriorated since said stamp or mark was affixed thereto. The term "first place of rest," as herein used, shall mean the point to which said imported meat or meat food product is delivered by the person transporting or carrying said meat or meat food products into said City and County. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation transporting or carrying said imported meat or meat food products into said City and County to sell or deliver the same to anyone in said City and County not receiving the inspection service provided for in this section, but it shall be lawful for such person to sell or deliver to

one receiving such service. Other than the sale to one receiving such inspection service as hereinabove provided, no person, firm or corporation shall sell or offer for sale in the City and County of San Francisco any imported meat or meat food products unless and until such person shall have applied for and obtained inspection service from the Department, as hereinafter provided, and then only during such period as said inspection service shall be extended to such person by said Department, or unless such person shall have purchased such meat or meat food products from one receiving the inspection service provided for in this section.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged, or who engages, in the business of selling, offering for sale, or distributing imported meat or imported meat food products in the City and County of San Francisco, other than a person purchasing said meat or meat food products from one receiving the inspection service provided for in this section, or one selling to one receiving such service, shall apply to the Department for the inauguration of an inspection service in such person's place of business. Such application shall be in writing, addressed to the Department on blanks furnished by said Department. In such application the applicant for inspection service shall agree to comply with the provisions of this ordinance and to maintain the place of business of said applicant in a clean and sanitary condition. Upon receipt of such application the Department shall make an inspection of the place of business of such applicant and if found clean and sanitary and properly equipped to conduct the business of the applicant in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department, said Department shall inaugurate an inspection service therein. The applicant shall pay a fee for such inspection service, as hereinafter in subdivision (b) of Section 11 provided. In the event the applicant shall fail to pay such inspection fees as they fall due, or within ten days thereafter, the said Department may discontinue such inspection service.

Section 10. *State Laws.* All of the provisions of the Agricultural Code of the State of California, as well as the rules and regulations made under authority of said code, regarding the inspection and examination of any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, as well as regarding the killing of said animals and the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals, and meat food products thereof, except in so far as the same are changed or modified by this or other ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, or by rules made under authority of said ordinances, shall apply to the inspection and examination and killing of said animals mentioned in said Section 2 and to the inspection, keeping and handling of the meat of said animals.

Section 11. *Fees and Charges.* For the purpose of meeting and providing for the cost of the inspection and examinations provided for in this ordinance, the following fees shall be paid to the Tax Collector of the City and County:

(a) All persons, firms and corporations killing, dressing and making ready for market in the City and County of San Francisco any of the animals mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of two (2c) cents per each one hundred pounds of the meat of said animals as weighed after said animals have been killed and dressed.

(b) All persons, firms or corporations receiving the inspection service provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance shall pay the sum of one and one-half (1½c) cents for each one hundred pounds of said imported meat or meat food products received by him.

(c) Any person, firm or corporation in the City and County of San Francisco manufacturing and offering for sale any meat food products shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty ($50) dollars per year, payable semi-annually, in advance, and, in addition to the said annual inspection fee, shall pay the following amounts based upon the amount of meat food products manufactured per month, namely:

| *Exceeding* | | *But Not Exceeding* | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5,000 | lbs. | 10,000 | lbs. | per | month | $ 25.00 | per | year |
| 10,000 | " | 20,000 | " | " | " | 50.00 | " | " |
| 20,000 | " | 30,000 | " | " | " | 75.00 | " | " |
| 30,000 | " | 40,000 | " | " | " | 100.00 | " | " |
| 40,000 | " | 50,000 | " | " | " | 125.00 | " | " |
| 50,000 | " | 60,000 | " | " | " | 150.00 | " | " |
| 60,000 | " | 70,000 | " | " | " | 175.00 | " | " |
| 70,000 | " | 80,000 | " | " | " | 200.00 | " | " |
| 80,000 | " | 90,000 | " | " | " | 225.00 | " | " |
| 90,000 | " | 100,000 | " | " | " | 250.00 | " | " |

All of said persons, firms or corporations mentioned in Subdivisions (a), (b) and (c) of this section shall keep full, true and correct books of account showing in pounds the amount of meat killed or dressed, or imported, as well as the amount of meat food products manufactured or imported, and each of said persons shall on or before the 10th day of each calendar month file with the Department and with the Tax Collector a verified statement showing in pounds the amount of meat killed, dressed or imported, or the amount of meat food products manufactured or imported during the preceding calendar month, and shall thereupon pay to said Tax Collector the amount due for the inspection of the same, as in this section provided.

The books of account mentioned herein shall at all times be opened to the inspection of the Department or of the Controller or his representatives.

The Controller shall provide for the method of billing, collecting and accounting for all amounts to become due under this ordinance.

Section 12. The Director shall make and enforce such rules and regulations as shall provide for the inspection of imported meat and imported meat food products as will enable him to properly inspect and stamp the same, in all cases where the person, firm or corporation bringing the same into the City and County, or offering the same for sale or delivery therein, has not arranged for the inspection service provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, and for the purpose of accomplishing said inspection may provide places or depots where said imported meat or imported meat food products shall be inspected and stamped.

Section 13. All persons, firms or corporations selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, shall keep said vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile in a clean and sanitary condition, and the same shall be subject to inspection by the Department.

Any person, firm or corporation, without a fixed or established place of business within the City and County of San Francisco engaging in the business of selling, or offering for sale, any meat or meat food products from any vehicle, wagon, truck, cart or automobile, except when said meat or meat food products are delivered to one having inspection service as provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, shall first obtain a permit from the Department, and shall pay an annual inspection fee of fifty dollars ($50), payable quarterly in advance for each such vehicle. All persons, firms or corporations processing meat food products, and paying the inspection fee provided for in Subdivision (c) of Section 11 herein, shall be exempt from the payment of the fee under this section.

Each such vehicle shall have printed conspicuously on both sides the firm name, address and Department permit number of the vehicle in letters and figures not less than three (3) inches in height.

Every person, firm, or corporation paying the fees provided for in this ordinance shall be exempt from the provisions of Bill No. 141, Ordinance No. 3.04112, covering the same classification.

Section 14. *Penalties.* Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to

comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty ($50) dollars, nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and any violation of the provisions of this ordinance shall subject the violator thereof to revocation of any and all permits held.

Each day that the violation of this ordinance or the failure to comply with the directions of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, shall continue, shall constitute a new and separate offense and shall be punishable accordingly as herein provided.

Section 15. *Repeals and Exemptions.* Ordinance No. 1265 (New Series), and Section 67, Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), are hereby repealed, and any person, firm or corporation paying the fees provided in this ordinance shall be exempt from the payment of the fees provided for in Section 1, Ordinance No. 3.0412.

Section 16. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

Section 17. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the inspection of meats as provided for in said ordinance is necessary for the preservation of the health of the people of the City and County, and that the collection of the fees provided for in said ordinance is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Department of Public Health.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

### Consideration of Plan No. 7 for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

*October* 26, 1936—*On motion of Supervisor Uhl made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *P. M., Monday, November* 2, 1936.

### Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing matter was *made a Special Order of Business for Thursday, November* 5, 1936, *at* 10 *A. M.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matter heretofore passed for second reading was taken up:

### Amending Item 61, Section 59—Salary Ordinance, Board of Health, From Full to Part Time Position.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1144, Ordinance No. 9.05389, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.05380, by changing Item 61 from a full time to a part time position and reducing the salary of same from $102.50 to $79.50 a month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 59 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 59. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 44 | 1 | L2 | Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.) | $ 310 |
| 45 | 1 | L6 | Superintendent (deduct $150 for full family maintenance) | 733.33 |
| 46 | 1 | L70 | Physio-Therapist (part time) | 100 |
| 47 | 2 | L72 | Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time) | 75 |
| 48 | 2 | L156 | Dentist (part time) | 50 |
| 49 | 4 | L202 | Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.) | 137.50 |
| 50 | 1 | L206 | Chief Dietitian | 175 |
| 51 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 225 |
| 52 | 1 | L304 | Pharmacist | 200 |
| 53 | 2 | L304 | Pharmacist | 190 |
| 54 | 1 | L306 | Senior Pharmacist | 250 |
| 55 | 42 | L352 | Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 37.50 |
| 56 | 19 | L354 | House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 52.50 |
| 57 | 7 | L356 | Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 65 |
| 58 | 1 | L360 | Physician | 75 |
| 59 | 3 | L372 | Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 60 | 1 | L372 | Resident Physician | 175 |
| 61 | 1 | L452 | X-ray Technician (part-time) (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 79.50 |
| 62 | 3 | L452 | X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 135 |
| 63 | 1 | L456 | Senior X-ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.) | 210 |
| 64 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 200 |
| 65 | 1 | L458 | Radiologist | 100 |
| 66 | 1 | M255 | Bracemaker | 77.50 |
| 67 | 1 | O60 | Head Gardener (deduct for R.) | 150 |
| 68 | 4 | O166 | Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine | 185 |
| 69 | 4 | O168 | Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine | 220 |
| 70 | 1 | O172 | Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct for R.) | 280 |

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriating $27,868 for Institutional Help; Department Public Health.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1147, Ordinance No. 9.051315, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $27,868 out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit of the San Francisco Hospital, the Laguna Honda Home, and the Hassler Health Farm for the payment of compensation to institutional help.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $27,868 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the General Fund to the credit

of the appropriations indicated for the purposes and in the amounts hereinafter recited:

651.101.02—Institutional Help, Laguna Honda Home.....$ 4,900
653.101.03—Institutional Help, San Francisco Hospital..... 21,978
655.101.01—Institutional Help, Hassler Health Home..... 990

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, Re Deductions to Be Made for Employees in Department of Public Health and Water Department.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1148, Ordinance No. 9.05391, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding to Section 2 thereof the schedule of deductions to be made for maintenance furnished employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the entrance salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls and pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

| | For those receiving $80 per month or less in cash | For those receiving more than $80 per month in cash |
|---|---|---|
| 1 meal per day | $ 6.00 per Mo. | $10.00 per Mo. |
| 2 meals per day | 9.00 per Mo. | 16.50 per Mo. |
| 3 meals per day | 12.00 per Mo. | 22.50 per Mo. |
| Room or house | 8.00 per Mo. | 10.00 per Mo. |
| Laundry | 2.00 per Mo. | 2.50 per Mo. |
| Board, room and laundry | 22.00 per Mo. | 35.00 per Mo. |
| Single meal | .25 | .35 |

| | Per Mo. |
|---|---|
| Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital | $150 |
| House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department | 25 |
| House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department | 50 |
| House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department | 25 |
| House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department | 15 |

The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such accommodations.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over four weeks*:

## EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT.

(Code No. 4.07)

Bill No. 1125, Ordinance No. 4.073, entitled:

"An ordinance carrying into effect sections 158 to 172, both inclusive, of the Charter, which provide. through a Retirement System for officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, for the payment of Retirement allowances to aged and disabled employees and for the payment of Death Benefits and Compensation Insurance Benefits; defining terms, providing for the procedure for the collection of benefits and the duties of the Retirement Board, creating the Retirement Fund, providing for membership in the Retirement System, providing for contributions by the members and by the City and County, providing for service and disability retirement and fixing allowances and conditions of payment, providing for Death Benefits and the Administration of Benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Act, providing for the effect of Workmen's Compensation Benefits on other benefits, providing for the validity of this ordinance and repealing Ordinance No. 5561 (New Series), Ordinance No. 9134 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 4.072 (Bill No. 529), and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict therewith."

**Final Passage.**

The following bill was taken up:

### Spur Track, General Brewing Corporation, Carroll Avenue and Mendell Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1143, Ordinance No. 12.2018, as follows:

Granting permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track upon and along Carroll avenue and Mendell street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors,

is hereby granted to the General Brewing Corporation to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track along and upon Carroll avenue and Mendell street, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing spur track in Carroll avenue distant northwesterly 20 feet more or less from the northwesterly line of Shoup avenue produced and distant southwesterly 26 feet more or less from the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue; thence in a northerly direction on a curve concave to the right and crossing portion of Carroll avenue, also crossing parcel of private property at corner of Carroll avenue and Mendell street, and crossing Mendell street to a point in the northwesterly line of Mendell street approximately 132 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Bancroft avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Provided that all work is to be done in accordance with blue print entitled "Southern Pacific Company, San Francisco, proposed spur for General Brewing Corporation at Newhall Street and Carroll Avenue," and that any interference with the natural drainage of the above mentioned streets caused by the installation of the spur track shall be corrected by the General Brewing Corporation to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were taken up:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $2,075 for the Extension of Santa Ynez Avenue as an Approach to Alemany Boulevard.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1161, Ordinance No. 9.051318, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of two thousand and seventy-five dollars ($2,075) out of the Reserve for Land Purchase Fund, 1927 Boulevard Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 83.918.00 for the purpose of purchasing certain land for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of two thousand and seventy-five dollars ($2,075) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve for land purchase fund, 1927 Boulevard Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 83.918.00, for the purpose of purchasing certain land for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

Approved by W. H. Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $50 Official Bond, Edmond Godchaux.**
(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1162. Ordinance No. 9.051322, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32, for the purpose of paying the registered obligation for the premium on Official Bond No. 53369 of the American Bonding Company, covering Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for the fiscal year 1931-32.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $50 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32 for the purpose of paying the registered obligation for the premium on Official Bond No. 53369 of the American Bonding Company, covering Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for the fiscal year 1931-32.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by Edmond Godchaux, Recorder.
Approved by Wm. H. Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Recommended as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were taken up:

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for the Widening of Army Street.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2883, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sum set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.955.17:

Timothy J. Lynch, portion of Lot 13, Assessor's Block 4338....$1,743
Joseph Esola, portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 4338..... 1,000

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Gough Street Railroad Right of Way on Geneva Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2884, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the Gough Street Railroad Company the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Geneva avenue, for the sum of $20,000, payable from Appropriation No. 648.975.00:

All the property of the Gough Street Railroad Company in Geneva avenue and Walbridge street being a strip of land 22 feet in width and extending from the southeasterly line of Mission street to the boundary line between San Francisco and San Mateo counties, State of California.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2885, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.-14.1, Project No. 4½:

| | |
|---|---|
| Bertha G. West and S. A. West, Lots 9E and 9F, Assessor's Block 934 | $16,630 |
| Frank Podesta and Mamie Podesta, portion of Lot 8E, Assessor's Block 934 | 500 |
| Arnold Monaco and Anna Monaco, portion of Lot 9-B, Assessor's Block 934 | 3,500 |

As a further consideration, Bertha G. West is to receive a deed to a portion of Lot 8E, Assessor's Block 934, and Arnold Monaco, et ux., are to receive a deed to portions of Lots 9C and 9D, Assessor's Block 934.

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described land, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the City.

In order to facilitate a possible exchange, title to the portion of said Lot 9F lying outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named owners for a particular description of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Release of Lien, Louis K. Sheffer.**
(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2886, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco upon the property of Louis K. Sheffer, situated in the County of Marin, State of California, Lots 31, 32 and 35, Block D, Subdivision Fairfax Manor, be and the same is hereby released from all claims and liens by the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that said Louis K. Sheffer has reimbursed the Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco for old-age security aid received by him.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Release of Lien, Mrs. Elizabeth M. Owen.**
(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2887, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco upon the property of Mrs. Elizabeth M. Owen and recorded on August 12th, 1936, in Volume 305, Official Records, page 428, Records of Santa Cruz County, against the said property, described as follows:

Aptos Beach Country Club, Subdivision No. 1, Block 20, Range or Lots 25 and 31,

be and the same is hereby released from all claims and liens by the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that said Elizabeth M. Owen has reimbursed the Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco for old-age security aid received by her.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following bill was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $300 for Official Advertising.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1163, Ordinance No. 9.051323, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $300 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.229.61 to provide funds for official advertising of the City Planning Commission, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $300 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.229.61 to provide funds for official advertising of the City Planning Commission.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates said appropriation from said fund, and this ordinance taking effect immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the City Planning Commission is without funds to pay for the necessary publication of notices as required by law, and that said appropriation is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of said commission.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Recommended by Mark Jorgensen, Secretary, City Planning Commission.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolution was taken up:

**Canvass of General Election November 3d, 1936.**
(Code No. 3.02)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2889, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors

proceed on Monday, November 9th, 1936, at the hour of 9 a. m., to canvass the returns of the General Election of Tuesday, November 3d, 1936, by opening the same and estimating the vote of 1055 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and to be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by said Section 1280 of the Political Code of the State of California:

Agnes K. Arnold, Ellen Balk, Myrtle Block, F. L. Carrasco, Margaret I. Dana, Elise M. Dwyer, Gladys Edmondson, Olive Gardner, E. M. Goff, E. E. Haacke, M. K. Jansen, E. H. Leigh, H. J. Levy, J. E. Livingston, M. Richardson, A. B. Remley, G. Siemens, C. S. Steele, L. Van Der Wal, E. DeRocca, E. R. Faucompre, Alex McCarthy, Wm. E. Monahan, A. G. Knight, Earl Sheble, A. L. Crowe, H. J. Charlton, A. M. Dodge, R. W. Grumbine, C. L. Mayhood, C. C. Meagher, G. F. O'Hare, D. P. O'Sullivan, Otto Reimer, C. Schneider, R. D. Tyson, Abe Windreich, S. J. Stasiowski, Lester Stern.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Joint Committee on Health and Finance was *laid over one week*:

**San Francisco Hospital Fees.**
(Code No. 18.01)

Resolution No. 2724, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to communication of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012) it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| General Departments | $4.00 a day |
| Tubercular Division | 2.60 a day |
| Isolation Division | 5.60 a day |

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Balboa Street Near Third Avenue and at Certain Other Locations.**
(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1159, Ordinance No. 12.061167, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, October 14, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Balboa street (N. ½) between Third avenue and 120′ east.

Clayton street (W. ½) between Seventeenth street and 82.03′ north.

Clementina street (N. W. ½) between Fourth street and 105′ southwest.

Dolores street (E. ½) between 57′ and 88′ 8″ south of Fifteenth street.

Eighth avenue (W. ½) between 225′ and 275′ south of Geary boulevard.

Ellis street (N. ½) between 125′ and 150′ west of Laguna street.

Fourth street (S. W. ½) between Clementina street and 80′ northwest.

Francisco street (S. ½) between 90′ and 135′ west of Grant avenue.

Geary street (S. ½) between 65′ and 115′ east of Webster street.

Geary street (N. ½) between Lyon street and 100′ west.

Golden Gate avenue (S. ½) between Octavia street and 145′ west.

Green street (S. ½) between Grant avenue and Kearny street.

Greenwich street (S. ½) between Columbus avenue and 70′ 3⅝″ east.

Hickory street (N. ½) between Buchanan street and 55′ west.

Jackson street (S. ½) between Stone and Trenton streets.

Julian avenue (E. ½) between Fifteenth street and 246′ north.

Kearny street (E. ½) between 107′ 10¾″ and 129′ 10½″ north of Vallejo street.

Linden street (N. ½) between 27′ 6″ and 55′ west of Laguna street.

McAllister street (S. ½) between 130′ and 155′ west of Steiner street.

Mission street (E. ½) between 150′ and 350′ north of Fifteenth street.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 175′ and 200′ southwest of Persia avenue.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 250′ and 300′ northeast of France avenue.

Octavia street (W. ½) between Golden Gate avenue and 125′ south.

Octavia street (W. ½) between Rose street and 60′ north.

O'Farrell street (S. ½) between 160′ and 250′ east of Scott street.

Pacific avenue (N. ½) between 75′ and 100′ west of Polk street.

Pine street (N. ½) between Divisadero street and 100′ east.

Roosevelt way (S. E. ½) between 82.69′ and 172.24′ southwest of Levant street.

Rose street (N. ½) between Octavia street and 87′ 6″ west.

Scott street (E. ½) between 50′ and 75′ south of Chestnut street.

Scott street (E. ½) between 110′ and 137′ 6″ north of Vallejo street.

Seventeenth street (N. ½) between Clayton street and 90′ 3¼″ west.

Sixth avenue (W. ½) between 250′ and 350′ south of Cabrillo street.

Stockton street (W. ½) between 63' 6" and 84' 6" south of Lombard street.

Stone street (W. ½) between Washington and Jackson streets.

Stone street (E. ½) between Jackson street and 137' 6" south.

Sutter street (N. ½) between 24' and 47' 6" east of Baker street.

Tenth street (N. E. ½) between Stevenson street and 267' 6" southeast.

Third avenue (E. ½) between Balboa street and 25' north.

Trenton street between Jackson street and 137' 6" south.

Valencia street (E. ½) between 140' and 175' 6" south of Twenty-first street.

Vallejo street (N. ½) between 110' and 137' 6" east of Hyde street.

Vallejo street (S. ½) between 137' 6" and 229' 2" west of Stockton street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 50' and 100' southwest of Italy avenue.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 205' and 230' northeast of Lowell street.

Thirty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between Geary boulevard and 100' south.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

| *Block No.* | *Lot No.* | *Block No.* | *Lot No.* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 54 | 41 | 852 | 2 |
| 76 | 2 | 1027 | 6 |
| 90 | 29 | 1053 | 16 |
| 125 | 9 | 1082 | 7 |
| 132 | 31, 33, 42 | 1279 | 41, 42, 43 |
| 133 | 25D | 1536 | 5 |
| 147 | 24, 24A, 25 | 1545 | 26, 27 |
| 192 | 21, 25, 29, 31, 32 | 1649 | 9, 10 |
| | 33, 36, 37 | 2618 | 44C, 44D, 46 |
| 489 | 27 | 3508 | 27, 28, 29 |
| 560 | 18 | | 30, 31, 33 |
| 574 | 8 | 3547 | 4, 28, 30, 31 |
| 709 | 18A | 3548 | 33, 34, 35, 37 |
| 723 | 9 | 3556 | 36 |
| 728 | 25, 26, 27, 28 | 3616 | 23 |
| 770 | 1, 23, 24 | 3733 | 8, 9 |
| 778 | 19 | 6084 | 25 |
| 819 | 25 | 6272 | 17 |
| 829 | 3 | | |
| 1508 | 1 | 6469 | 7A |
| 6347 | 20 | | |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Moraga Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1160, Ordinance No. 12.081124, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Moraga street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Moraga street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were taken up:

**Accepting Sewer Easements in Golden Gate Heights.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2881, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain indenture made the second day of October, 1936, by Henry Doelger Builder, Inc., a corporation, granting two sewer easements to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in Golden Gate Heights Blocks 1927-A and 2033-A, be and are hereby accepted.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Intention to Close Certain Portions of Newburg, Duncan and Twenty-seventh Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 2882, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

*Parcel I. Newburg street.* Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the westerly line of Newburg street; thence southerly along said line of Newburg street, 228 feet to the northerly line of Duncan street; thence deflecting 133 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly, 41.132 feet to the easterly line of Newburg street; thence deflecting 46 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the left and running northerly along the easterly line of Newburg street, 199.861 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street; thence at right angles westerly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 30 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel II. Twenty-seventh street.* Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street and the easterly line of Diamond street; thence easterly along said line of Twenty-seventh street, 508.077 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running northeasterly, 7.825 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 5 feet, central angle 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds, 11.941 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Twenty-seventh street; thence westerly along said parallel line, 510.364 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street produced northerly; thence at right angles southerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel III. Duncan street.* Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street and the easterly line of Diamond street; thence easterly along said line of Duncan street, 265 feet to the westerly line of Newburg street; thence deflecting 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds to the right and running southwesterly, 4.640 feet; thence southwesterly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 40 feet, central angle 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds, 30.136 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles southerly from aforesaid line of Duncan street; thence westerly along said parallel line, 234.250 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street produced southerly; thence at right angles northerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

*Parcel IV. Duncan street.* Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street and the westerly line of Castro street; thence westerly along said line of Duncan street, 221.914 feet; thence deflecting 43 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, 7.825 feet; thence southwesterly, southerly and easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 5 feet, central angle 136 degrees 49 minutes 59 seconds, 11.941 feet to tangency with a line parallel with and 14 feet at right angles southerly from said line of Duncan street; thence easterly along said parallel line, 224.201 feet to the westerly line of Castro street produced southerly; thence at right angles northerly along last-named line, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Newburg, Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets, in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Fixing Date for Hearing Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Corner of California and Taylor Streets.**

(Code No. 18.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2888, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision

of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of California and Taylor streets, is hereby set for Monday, November 9, 1936, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Harold J. Boyd Authorized to Attend Assessor's Conference on Standardization of Assessment Practices.

(Code No. 9.011)

Resolution No. 2890, as follows:

Resolved, That the Chief Assistant Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, Harold J. Boyd, be and is hereby authorized to attend the meeting to be held in Los Angeles on November 5 and 6 of the Assessor's Committee on Standardization of Assessment Practices.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

### Renewal of Applications for Permits.

(Code No. ————)

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Bill No. ————, Ordinance, No. ————, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 3.0411, entitled, "An Ordinance Establishing Procedure by Departments and Offices for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Licenses and Permits and Appeals Based Thereon" by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 5A by providing that when the granting of any permit is finally denied by the Board of Permit Appeals the application for said permit shall not be renewed for the period of one year from the date of the action of said Board of Permit Appeals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 3.0411, entitled, "An Ordinance Establishing Procedure by Departments and Offices for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Licenses and Permits and Appeals Based Thereon" is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, which said section is designated as Section 5A and which shall be in the words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Section 5A. Whenever any person, firm or corporation shall make application for any permit, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3.0411, and the application for said permit shall be denied and no appeal from the denial of said permit shall be taken as provided in said Ordinance No. 3.0411, or when any appeal shall be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals from any order of any officer, board or commission denying any application for any permit provided to be issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance and said Board of Permit Appeals shall concur in the judgment or order of said officer, board or commission in denying said application for said permit, said application for said permit shall not be renewed nor shall the same be heard by the officer, board or commission to whom said original application was made until one year after the denial of said application

by said Board of Permit Appeals and there shall be no appeal to said Board of Permit Appeals for failure to hear any such application within said one-year period.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Romeo Flat License.

Supervisor Shannon presented, for reference to the Finance Committee, the following proposed amendment to ordinance licensing apartment houses, by exempting from license fee Romeo Flats:

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 812, Ordinance No. 3.04154, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled, "Imposing License Tax on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades, or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by reducing the license fee on apartment houses and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled, "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

### Death of Lieutenant Dan Cronin, Police Department.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the Clerk be requested to send a letter of condolence to the widow of the late Lieutenant Dan Cronin and that when the Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so out of respect to his memory.

### Tolls on Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Shannon presented copy of recommendation by Lieutenant-Governor George J. Hatfield, addressed to the Financial Advisory Committee, California Toll Bridge Authority, with respect to tolls on San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Purchase of Sears Toll Road.

Supervisor Uhl requested the Clerk to secure data involved in the question of the purchase of the Sears Toll road.

### Telephone Service Data Requested.

Supervisor Uhl requested the Clerk to ascertain the difference in cost between consolidated telephone service of all City departments, except Fire and Police Departments, and the present service. Also, the Clerk to request list of free telephones provided certain departments or persons connected with the City Government.

### RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy recessed until Thursday, November 5, at 10 a. m., when the matter of consideration of Plan No. 7, Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution, will be considered.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1936, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess from meeting of Monday, November 2, 1936, for the purpose of giving consideration to Plan No. 7 for Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown sent word that he was attending a business meeting at Del Monte and requested to be excused. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 11 a. m.

Supervisor Havenner was noted present at 11:40 a. m.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside in the absence of President Havenner.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

### Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 2892, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

| | |
|---|---|
| No. 716, to Director of Public Works, for | $ 334.05 |
| No. 717, to Coldwell, Cornwall and Banker, for | 42.50 |
| No. 718, to San Francisco Chronicle, for | 9.80 |
| No. 719, to Elizabeth Del Carlo-Frank Del Carlo, for | 3000.00 |
| No. 720, to E. J. Quistad, for | 226.25 |
| No. 721, to E. J. Quistad, for | 452.50 |
| No. 722, to D. Matheson, for | 4.54 |

payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be, and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

### Leave of Absence, Honorable John P. McLaughlin, Member of Public Utilities Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2893, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable John P. McLaughlin, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of

forty (40) days, beginning Friday, November 6, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

**Consideration of Plan No. 7 for Hetch Hetchy Power Distribution.**

Discussion participated in by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, Paul Ost, electrical engineer, and Supervisors Shannon, Roncovieri, McSheehy, Uhl, and others.

**Motion.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the subject matter was continued until Monday, November 9, 1936, when he will move for another recessed meeting to give further consideration of the subject.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, at the hour of 12:15 p. m. the meeting adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 9, 1936.

**Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.**

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 46

Monday, November 9, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 9, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 2 and 5, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### Action Deferred.

The following was laid over one week and made a *Special Order for 3 p. m.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of the Southwesterly Corner of California and Taylor Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1537, dated September 24, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of California and Taylor streets.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Rapid Transit.**

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

*November 9, 1936—Over one week.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

**Consideration of Plan No. 7 for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

*October 26, 1936—On motion of Supervisor Uhl made a Special Order of Business for 3:30 P. M., Monday, November 2, 1936.*

*November 2, 1936—Laid over until Thursday, November 5, 1936, 10 a. m.*

*November 9, 1936—Over one week.*

### Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that as a matter of policy the Board of Supervisors place before the people the Hetch Hetchy Power Plan of Distribution No. 7 at the next special election.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the Board of Supervisors recess until 10 a. m. tomorrow to give consideration to this question.

Motion *carried*. Notify everybody concerned.

Supervisor Uhl moved that we do not accept the Public Utilities' report on Rapid Transit prepared by Mr. Cahill.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board go on record in favor of a four-track subway on Market street.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri—7.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

### Explanation of Vote.

Explanation of vote by Supervisors Havenner and Colman with reference to the question as to whether a rapid transit system or power distribution should be submitted to the voters in case bond limitation permitted only one of them.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to rescind action heretofore taken for meeting tomorrow at 10 a. m. on the foregoing subject-matters.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—7.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Roncovieri—2.

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon the foregoing subject-matters of Rapid Transit and Power Distribution were *laid over for further consideration until 2 p. m., Monday, November 16th.*

## PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS—3 P. M.

### Sale of Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, November 9, 1936, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$1,332,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933. Said bonds bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 119 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; 77 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 71 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, each year, 1938 to 1953, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted October 26, 1936.

**Bids.**

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Proposals for the purchase of $1,332,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933, in denominations of $1,000 each, maturing each year December 1, 1936, to 1953, inclusive—four per cent (4%) per annum—Interest payable June 1 and December 1.

1. Wm. Cavalier & Co., by J. L. Fuller (manager), Graham Parsons & Co., Paine, Weber & Co., Geo. Gibbons & Co., F. S. Mosely, Brush Slocumb, Hitchum-Tully & Co. .....(Par plus) $ 157,172.94
2. Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., American Trust Company, R. W. Pressprich & Co., by Bankamerica Company, A. K. Browne..... 1,496,511.00
3. Brown, Harriman & Co., Incorporated; Weeden & Co., Wm. R. Staats Co., Brown, Harriman & Co., Incorporated; Ivan W. Wing ..... 1,495,407.00
4. Kean, Taylor & Co. (managers) Eldridge & Co., Inc.; L. F. Rothschild & Co..... 1,492,759.08
5. Harris Trust & Savings Bank, The Chase National Bank, Edward B. Smith & Company, The Northern Trust Company, by Harris Trust & Savings Bank; George E. Springer, representative .....(Par plus) 154,477.00
6. Lazard Freres & Company, Incorporated; Dick & Merle-Smith; B. J. Van Ingen & Co., Inc.; The Milwaukee Company, Milwaukee; Commerce Trust Company, Kansas City; Donnellan & Co., by Donnellan & Co., by Ivan B. Heflebower ..... 1,494,906.00
7. The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, Heller, Bruce & Co., San Francisco; Mercantile-Commerce Bank & Trust Company, St. Louis; The Boatmen's National Bank, St. Louis; Wells-Dickey Company, Minneapolis, by The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, per Alger J. Jacobs, representative.... 1,489,831.34
8. Lehman Brothers, Phelps, Fenn & Co., Schwabacher & Co., Shaw Glover & Co., Stone & Youngberg, by Lehman Bros. (account managers) ..... 1,492,719.12
9. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.; Bancamerica-Blair Corporation; Stone & Webster and Blodget, Inc.; First of Michigan Corporation, Union Bank & Trust Co.; Banks, Huntley & Co., by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc..... 1,495,542.96
10. R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co., The First Boston Corporation, Security-First National Bank, by R. H. Moulton & Company (syndicate manager), by B. G. Lyon..... 1,488,600.00

11. The National City Bank of New York, Estabrook & Co., C. F. Childs and Company; Mackey, Dunn and Co., by C. F. Childs and Company, by Benj. R. Brindley (assistant vice-president) ..... 1,499,307.00

Subsequently, during the meeting, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

Sale of $1,332,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.021)

Resolution No. 2905, as follows:

Whereas, due notice was given as provided by the charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County would be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, November 9, 1936, and opened and considered by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

$1,332,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933. Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, comprising 119 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; 77 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1937; 71 bonds of $1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, each year, 1938 to 1953, inclusive. Interest payable June 1 and December 1. No alternative bids will be considered by the Board of Supervisors.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of The National City Bank of New York, Estabrook & Co., C. F. Childs and Company; Mackey, Dunn and Co., by C. F. Childs and Company, by Benj. R. Brindley, assistant vice-president.

Bid for the Whole Amount.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of $1,499,307 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

A certified check (or deposit) in the sum of $10,000 payable to the order of J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, accompanies this proposal, which money or check will be forfeited by the undersigned in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted; otherwise to be returned to him.

Dated November 9, 1936.

(Bidder sign here) The National City Bank of New York, Estabrook & Co., C. F. Childs and Company; Mackey, Dunn and Co., by C. F. Childs and Company, by Benj. R. Brindley, assistant vice-president, be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said The National City Bank of New York, Estabrook & Co., C. F. Childs and Company; Mackey, Dunn and Co., by C. F. Childs and Company, by Benj. R. Brindley, assistant vice-president, in accordance with the foregoing bid.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating $2,640, Salary and Expense of Structural Engineer, Bureau of Building Inspection.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1145, Ordinance No. 9.051314, as follows:

Appropriating $2,640 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No 602.900.00 for the salary and traveling expense of one structural en gineer for the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $2,640 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for the payment of salary of one structural engineer at $300 per month in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, and traveling expense at $30 per month, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from November 1, 1936, to June 30, 1937; said sum of $2,640 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve Fund from moneys deposited in the General Fund collected by the Central Permit Bureau for fees accruing from building permits for the expenses under provisions of Ordinances Nos. 1008 (N. S.), 2107 (N. S.), 4059 (N. S.), 3361 (N. S.), 5136 (N. S.), and Bill No. 275, Ordinance No. 3.04120.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the employments of the various employees above mentioned in order to make the necessary inspections compelled by law to be made by the said Bureau of Building Inspection and therefore to provide for the uninterrupted operation of said department

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amendment to Salary Ordinance—Bureau Building Inspection.**
(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 1146, Ordinance No. 9.05390, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 by adding thereto Item 7.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 44 of Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Maximum Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | A106 | Building Inspector | $ 225 |
| 2 | 1 | B210 | Office Assistant (part time) | 75 |
| 3 | 1 | B408 | General Clerk-Stenographer | 175 |
| 4 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |
| 5 | 1 | F560 | Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection | 500 |
| 6 | 1 | M158 | Boiler Inspector | 250 |
| | | | TEMPORARY SERVICES AS NEEDED | |
| 7 | 1 | F558 | Structural Engineer | 300 |

*Explanation*

This position is not established as a continuing position but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Exchange of Lands in Connection with Richardson Avenue Project.**
(Code No. 12.1741)

Also, Bill No. 1153, Ordinance No. 12.17418, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of lands in connection with Richardson avenue project.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Director of Property in lieu of sale is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain City-owned land hereinafter described as Parcel A to Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife, in exchange for certain other land hereinafter described as Parcel B.

Section 2. Said Parcel A is that certain tract of land which was proposed to be sold under the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17217, Bill No. 1141, approved October 6, 1936.

Section 3. The Director of Property has made an appraisement of said real property and estimates the value of Parcel A to be $900, and the value of Parcel B to be $2,000.

Section 4. Said parcels of land are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

Parcel A: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street, distant thereon 137.5 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife; thence northerly along the westerly line of said land of Frank Ferrua and Anna Ferrua, his wife, 83.787 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; running thence northerly along last named line 53.713 feet; thence westerly at right angles 44.911 feet to the northeasterly line of the proposed Richardson avenue; thence northeasterly along last named line 70.015 feet to the true point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

Parcel B: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street, distant thereon 112.50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Antonio and Angelina Arata; thence westerly along said line of Lombard street 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles northerly along last named line, 83.787 feet; thence deflecting 140 degrees 06 minutes to the right and running southeasterly 15.743 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 200 feet, central angle 6 degrees 15 minutes 32 seconds, 21.848 feet to aforesaid line of the Arata property; thence deflecting 46 degrees 09 minutes 32 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southerly along last named line, 55.747 feet to the northerly line of Lombard street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

Section 5. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to Frank Ferrua et ux. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to Frank Ferrua et ux., upon receipt of the necessary deed to Parcel B, and shall record the latter deed.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $3,000, Ornamental Lights, Anza Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1154, Ordinance No. 9.051319, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of three thousand dollars ($3,000) out of the surplus existing in County Road Fund, appropriation 648.900.00, unallocated balance, to the credit of Appropriation 648.989.00 for the installation of ornamental lights on Anza street between Arguello boulevard and Parker avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of three thousand dollars is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in County Road Fund, Appropriation 648.900.00, unallocated balance, to the credit of Appropriation 648.989.00 for the installation of ornamental lights on Anza street between Arguello boulevard and Parker avenue.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Appropriation of $1,500 from Emergency Reserve Fund for Payment of Salaries of Ten Temporary Clerks for One Month in Recorder's Office.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1156, Ordinance No. 9.051321, as follows:

Appropriation of $1,500 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 for payment of salaries of ten temporary clerks in the Recorder's Office, for period of one month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,500 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the payment of salaries of ten temporary clerks in the Recorder's Office, for a period of one month.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that it is necessary in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Recorder's Office, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that said emergency exists, in that the recordations in the Recorder's Office have fallen behind, and it is necessary to have this work done.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Compromise of the Claim of Lillian C. Bence for Injuries Sustained by Defect in Sidewalk.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1157, Ordinance No. 6.022221, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Lillian C. Bence for injuries sustained by defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, entitled "Lillian C. Bence and Robert Bence v. City and County of San Francisco etc. et al.," be settled and compromised by the payment of seven hundred ($700) dollars to the plaintiff in said action, No. 261252, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which he has by reason thereof; and said City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of said Lillian C.

Bence and Robert Bence by the payment of seven hundred ($700) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Condemnation Proceedings Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 2901, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 301.054 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue produced northwesterly, said point of beginning being in the southwesterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Abbey Buckley; thence southwesterly along said line of San Jose avenue, 139.650 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 138 degrees 49 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 31.944 feet to tangency with the northerly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence easterly along said proposed line, 31.326 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right. tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 138 degrees 49 minutes 20 seconds, a distance of 31.944 feet to the tangency with a line parallel with and distant 20.625 feet at right angles southeasterly from aforesaid line of San Jose avenue; thence northeasterly along said parallel line, 114.343 feet to the aforesaid property line of Abbey Buckley; thence deflecting 85 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds to the left and running northwesterly along last named line 20.698 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 4 and 5, Block 7, West End Map No. 2, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2A and B," page 47, Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of San Jose avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said parcel of land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interest therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 for the City's Portion of the Cost of Removing the Market Street Railway Company's Turntable in Bay Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1164, Ordinance No. 9.051324, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 of the un-

appropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

Approved by W. H Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Resolution No. 2900, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

| | |
|---|---|
| Harold S. Treiber, et ux., portion of Lot 5-K, Assessor's Block 2419 | $4,400.00 |
| George Nederland, et ux., portion of Lot 5-N, Assessor's Block 2419 | 3,280.00 |
| Veterans' Welfare Board and Guido H. Clervi, et ux., portion of Lot 5-M, Assessor's Block 2419 | 3,312.50 |
| Veterans' Welfare Board and Roger E. McKenna, et ux., portion of Lot 5-L, Assessor's Block 2419 | 3,245.00 |

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acceptance of Certain Land for Richardson Avenue.**
(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2899, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Albino Besso, et al., portion of Lot 9-A, Assessor's Block 934, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sum of $3,750, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcel of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Bayview Playground.**
(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2898, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bayview Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Wm. P. Quirk, et ux., Lot 9A, Assessor's Block 5310....$4,500
Eric Thyssen, et ux., Lot 12B, Assessor's Block 5310... 4,250

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Upper Noe Valley Playground.**
(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2897, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Fanny A. McKinnon, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 6638, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sum of $3,250, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Corona Heights Playground.**
(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2896, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Corona Heights Playground, for the sums set forth opposite their names, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Chas. F. Kane, et ux., Lot 23, Assessor's Block 2614 and Lot 42, Assessor's Block 2621.....$325
Capitol Company, Lot 41, Assessor's Block 2621..... 250

G. S. Hayward, trustee of estate of Fred Clayton Bryan, Lot 22, Assessor's Block 2621..... 150

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Refunds of Erroneous and Duplicate Tax Payments.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2895, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes, to-wit:

1. Western Service Corporation, erroneous payment, as per Vol. 6, Bill 500, Lot 2-I, Block 918, both installments, fiscal year 1935 .....$ 211.72
2. A. Roth, erroneous payment, as per Vol. 2, Bill 2932, Lot 18, Block 305, both installments, fiscal year 1935..... 2,486.03
3. Herman Christensen, erroneous payment, as per Vol. 15, Bill 540, Lots 22A and 21B, Block 1917, second installment, fiscal year 1935 ..... 16.56
4. Harry J. Daniek, erroneous payment, as per Vol. 14, Bill 2743, Lot 17, Block 1861A, first installment, fiscal year 1935 ..... 4.97
5. Northern Counties Title Insurance Company, erroneous payment, as per Vol. 18, Bill 1223, Lot 8, Block 2478, both installments, fiscal year 1935..... 14.72
6. Alice Gau, erroneous payment, as per Vol. 24, Block 1085, Lot 17, Block 3595, second installment, fiscal year 1935.. 32.92
7. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., erroneous payment, as per Vol. 32, Bill 1237, Lot 25, Block 5360, second installment, fiscal year 1935..... 35.90
8. California Pacific Title & Trust Co., erroneous payment, 1935 unsecured personal property, Vol. 1, page 194, line 15 (paid on July 24, 1936)..... 4.90
9. Chas. Monson, president, Acme Mfg. Co., duplicate payment, unsecured personal property 1936, as per Vol. 9, page 2, line 19..... 163.35
10. Chas. Monson, duplicate payment, unsecured personal property 1936, as per Vol. 11, page 70, line 3..... 4.15
11. Dr. Leo Eloesser, duplicate payment, unsecured personal property 1936, as per Vol. 10, page 23, line 20..... 11.23
12. Mrs. Albert K. Disbrow, duplicate payment, personal property 1936, tax receipt 36819..... 2.07
13. P. K. Funke, duplicate payment, personal property 1936, tax receipt 37913 ..... 3.48

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Property Required for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 2894, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Constance Lacaze, et al., Lot 6, in Assessor's Block 2110, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $350, $50 payable from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $300 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Joint Committee on Health and Finance was taken up:

**San Francisco Hospital Fees.**
(Code No. 18.01)

Resolution No. 2724, as follows:

Resolved, Pursuant to communication of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Controller (Sections 7 and 8 of Ordinance No. 18.012) it is hereby determined and fixed that the proper and reasonable amount to be charged to persons legally obligated for institutional services rendered in San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and Hassler Health Home, shall be as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| General Departments | $4.00 a day |
| Tubercular Division | 2.60 a day |
| Isolation Division | 5.60 a day |

After discussion by Supervisor McSheehy looking to reduction in the rate set forth above, the roll was called on the adoption of a resolution and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

**Reconsideration.**

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor McSheehy changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor Brown moved suspension of the rules for immediate reconsideration.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Thereupon, the question was put, "shall the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted be rescinded?"

The roll was called with the following result:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Supervisor McSheehy requested transcript of the discussion and votes on the foregoing resolution.

**Fixing Hearing of Appeal, Southwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Quintara Street.**
(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2904, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision

of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street, is hereby set for Monday, November 16, 1936, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up and *laid over one week*. City Attorney to furnish statement for Supervisor Uhl as to specific obligations released.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement With Southern Pacific Company Regarding Williams Avenue and Shoup Avenue.**

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 2902, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Southern Pacific Company for widening Williams avenue between Newhall street and Third street, and to relocate Shoup avenue, between Yosemite avenue and Empire lane, and to close various street areas in the vicinity thereof, and to release said company from certain obligations under its Bayshore Franchise, all in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Reference is hereby made to the agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for further particulars contained therein.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing Appeal, Rezoning of Northwest Corner of Scott and Chestnut Streets.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2903, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Scott and Chestnut streets, is hereby set for Monday, November 16, 1936, at 2 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2907, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with request of his Honor, the Mayor, his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, be and is hereby granted a leave

of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing November 14, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Favoring Additional Appropriation in State Budget to Enable Railroad Commission to Evaluate Public Utility Properties.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2908, as follows:

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of California will soon adopt a 1937-1938 State budget; and

Whereas, it is in the public interest that public utility rates in San Francisco be reduced; and

Whereas, the California Railroad Commission is handicapped in ordering and enforcing rate reductions by a shortage of funds with which to evaluate public utility properties; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby declare itself in favor of a liberal additional appropriation for the Railroad Commission in the budget for the coming biennium to enable said commission to evaluate public utility properties; and be it

Further Resolved, That an additional appropriation be earmarked for the specific purpose of evaluating properties of gas, electricity, telephone and water companies to the end that said commission may order, and sustain in the courts, legitimate reductions in the rates charged consumers for gas, electricity, water and telephone service; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be instructed to send a copy of this resolution to the California Legislature.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Finance Committee to Provide for Rehabilitation and Clearing of Chambers of Board of Supervisors.**

Supervisor Mead presented:

Resolution No. ....., as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco will be a veritable Mecca for tourists and sightseers from now until the Exposition commemorating the completion of our two great birdges has ended, and

Whereas, the Civic Center and municipal buildings of the City and County of San Francisco are renowned throughout the world and will be one of the most popular points of interest for visiting guests, and

Whereas, the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors will, when cleaned and rehabilitated, present a scene of beauty and architectural charm; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee of this Board be and is hereby authorized and directed to devise ways and means of providing the money necessary to clean and rehabilitate these Chambers in the very near future, and to make report and recommendation thereon to this Board.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Recreation Commission to Have Control of Playgrounds, Swimming Pools, Recreation Centers, Etc.**

Supervisor Colman presented, for reference to committee, proposed ordinance conferring on Recreation Commission, complete manage-

ment and control of all playgrounds, swimming pools and recreation centers in City and County, and summer camps and other public recreational units now, or hereafter owned by the City (not under jurisdiction of Park Commission).

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

**Rates of Interest for Bonds.**

Supervisor McSheehy requested that the Clerk ascertain from ten of the largest cities in the United States, what rate of interest they have been selling their bonds for during the past three months.

**Water Department Information Requested.**

Supervisor Uhl requested the Clerk to obtain the following information from the Public Utilities Commission: Set-up as to investment in the Hetch Hetchy Water System, presuming that the sale of water to San Mateo County will be applied to the Hetch Hetchy part of the system. If not, is it to be applied to the San Francisco Water Department? Mr. Uhl wishes to know just the valuation placed on each system, and how the Commission arrives at the figures placed upon the system, regarding the sale of water to San Mateo County.

**Meeting.**

Education, Parks and Recreation Committee, November 18, at 4 p. m.

**Death of Mrs. Charles H. Sooy.**

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisors Shannon and Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 2906, as follows:

Whereas, our Heavenly Father has summoned Mrs. Charles Sooy to her eternal reward, and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco suffers through the loss of Mrs. Sooy; a lovable and charming character; a cherished companion and wife; a leader in society as well as civic affairs; a sportswoman of note; a true lover and friend of animals, and a sincere devotee of, and ardent worker for the promotion of all phases of scientific animal breeding and the advancement of the livestock industry, and

Whereas, those whose privilege it was to have known this dear lady now find themselves conscious of an empty place in their hearts; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors notes with sincere regret the passing of Mrs. Charles H. Sooy and extends to her bereaved husband its heartfelt sympathy; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of Mrs. Charles H. Sooy.

*Adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

**RECESS.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at 5:10 p. m., took a recess, to meet again tomorrow at 9 a. m., to canvass vote of the General Election of November 3, 1936.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 16, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

FRANCISCO
IC LIBRARY
DICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 47

Monday, November 9, 1936, to Friday, November 20, 1936

Canvassing Results of General Election, November 3, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, TO FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1936.

The Board of Supervisors met on Monday, November 9, 1936, at the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, for the purpose of canvassing results of the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 3, 1936.

Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

The official returns of votes cast at said General Election were produced by the Registrar of Voters and the official canvass of same proceeded with, commencing with returns from the first precinct of the Twentieth Assembly District and proceeding in orderly fashion, taking each precinct in numerical order.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, November 10, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, November 12, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, November 13, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Saturday, November 14, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Fred W. Meyer, presiding.

Recess taken at 12 o'clock noon.

Monday, November 16, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, November 17, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Wednesday, November 18, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, November 19, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Warren Shannon, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, November 20, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. and completed at 5 o'clock p. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 30, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

AN FRANCISCO
UBLIC LIBRARY
ERIODICAL DE

Vol. 31—New Series No. 48

Monday, November 16, 1936
Tuesday, November 17, 1936.
Wednesday, November 18, 1936.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 16, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 9, 1936, was considered read and approved.

**Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri Designated Acting Mayor.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

November 14, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On Sunday, November 15th, at 1:15 p. m., by United Airlines, I am leaving for Washington, D. C., in accordance with Section 25 of the Charter. I designate Honorable Alfred Roncovieri, Chairman of your Finance Committee, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Quintara Street.**

Appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1559, dated October 1, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street.

Milton Marks, attorney for appellant, and C. W. Riffe, No. 1537 Kirkham street, were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Byron Arnold, No. 1265 Twenty-second avenue, representing Park-

side Merchants and twenty-eight other property owners, was heard in opposition.

**City Planning Commission Overruled.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

**Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Quintara Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2918, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1559, dated October 1, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the following matters were *laid over for consideration at a recessed meeting tomorrow at* 10 *a. m.*:

**Rapid Transit.**

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject-matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

*October* 26, 1936—*Over one week.*

*November* 2, 1936—*Over one week.*

**Consideration of Plan No. 7 for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

*October* 26, 1936—*On motion of Supervisor Uhl made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *P. M., Monday, November* 2, 1936.

*November* 2, 1936—*Laid over until Thursday, November* 5, 1936, 10 *a. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Chestnut and Scott Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1538, dated September 24, 1936, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Chestnut and Scott streets.

Attorneys Lawrence Livingston and Jas. P. Sweeney, representing the appellant, were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Newhall J. Hooey, 1620 Russ Building, attorney, was heard in support of the decision.

**City Planning Commission Sustained.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning Northwest Corner of Chestnut and Scott Streets, Etc.**
(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2920, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1538, dated September 24, 1936, granting an application to rezone property located at the northwest corner of Chestnut and Scott streets, running thence westerly along Chestnut street 88 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 88 feet 9 inches; thence southerly along the northwesterly line of Scott street 75 feet to the point of commencement; from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—3.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of the Southwesterly Corner of California and Taylor Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1537, dated September 24, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of California and Taylor streets.

Harry Caulfield, attorney, and R. McGahey, attorney representing appellants, were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Eugene Fritz, property owner and president of Nicolas Corporation, Chas. J. Rosseau, 1990 California street, and Mrs. Albert W. Stokes, member of the City Planning Commission, were heard in support of the decision.

**City Planning Commission Overruled.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Rezoning of Southwest Corner of California and Taylor Streets.**
(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2919, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1537, dated September 24, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of California and Taylor streets, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $2,075 for the Extension of Santa Ynez Avenue as an Approach to Alemany Boulevard.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1161, Ordinance No. 9.051318, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of two thousand and seventy-five dollars ($2,075) out of the Reserve for Land Purchase Fund, 1927

Boulevard Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 83.918.00 for the purpose of purchasing certain land for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of two thousand and seventy-five dollars ($2,075) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve for land purchase fund, 1927 Boulevard Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 83.918.00, for the purpose of purchasing certain land for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $50 as Premium on Official Bond Covering Edmond Godchaux.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1162, Ordinance No. 9.051322, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32, for the purpose of paying the registered obligation for the premium on Official Bond No. 53369 of the American Bonding Company, covering Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for the fiscal year 1931-32.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $50 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32 for the purpose of paying the registered obligation for the premium on Official Bond No. 53369 of the American Bonding Company, covering Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for the fiscal year 1931-32.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Balboa Street Near Third Avenue and at Certain Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1159, Ordinance No. 12.061167, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, October 14, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Fran-

cisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Balboa street (N. ½) between Third avenue and 120' east.

Clayton street (W. ½) between Seventeenth street and 82.03' north.

Clementina street (N. W. ½) between Fourth street and 105' southwest.

Dolores street (E. ½) between 57' and 88' 8" south of Fifteenth street.

Eighth avenue (W. ½) between 225' and 275' south of Geary boulevard.

Ellis street (N. ½) between 125' and 150' west of Laguna street.

Fourth street (S. W. ½) between Clementina street and 80' northwest.

Francisco street (S. ½) between 90' and 135' west of Grant avenue.

Geary street (S. ½) between 65' and 115' east of Webster street.

Geary street (N. ½) between Lyon street and 100' west.

Golden Gate avenue (S. ½) between Octavia street and 145' west.

Green street (S. ½) between Grant avenue and Kearny street.

Greenwich street (S. ½) between Columbus avenue and 70' 3⅝" east.

Hickory street (N. ½) between Buchanan street and 55' west.

Jackson street (S. ½) between Stone and Trenton streets.

Julian avenue (E. ½) between Fifteenth street and 246' north.

Kearny street (E. ½) between 107' 10¾" and 129' 10½" north of Vallejo street.

Linden street (N. ½) between 27' 6" and 55' west of Laguna street.

McAllister street (S. ½) between 130' and 155' west of Steiner street.

Mission street (E. ½) between 150' and 350' north of Fifteenth street.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 175' and 200' southwest of Persia avenue.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 250' and 300' northeast of France avenue.

Octavia street (W. ½) between Golden Gate avenue and 125' south.

Octavia street (W. ½) between Rose street and 60' north.

O'Farrell street (S. ½) between 160' and 250' east of Scott street.

Pacific avenue (N. ½) between 75' and 100' west of Polk street.

Pine street (N. ½) between Divisadero street and 100' east.

Roosevelt way (S. E. ½) between 82.69' and 172.24' southwest of Levant street.

Rose street (N. ½) between Octavia street and 87' 6" west.

Scott street (E. ½) between 50' and 75' south of Chestnut street.

Scott street (E. ½) between 110' and 137' 6" north of Vallejo street.

Seventeenth street (N. ½) between Clayton street and 90' 3¼" west.

Sixth avenue (W. ½) between 250' and 350' south of Cabrillo street.

Stockton street (W. ½) between 63' 6" and 84' 6" south of Lombard street.

Stone street (W. ½) between Washington and Jackson streets.

Stone street (E. ½) between Jackson street and 137' 6" south.

Sutter street (N. ½) between 24' and 47' 6" east of Baker street.

Tenth street (N. E. ½) between Stevenson street and 267' 6" southeast.

Third avenue (E. ½) between Balboa street and 25' north.

Trenton street between Jackson street and 137' 6" south.

Valencia street (E. ½) between 140' and 175' 6" south of Twenty-first street.

Vallejo street (N. ½) between 110' and 137' 6" east of Hyde street.

Vallejo street (S. ½) between 137' 6" and 229' 2" west of Stockton street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full

official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 50' and 100' southwest of Italy avenue.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 205' and 230' northeast of Lowell street.

Thirty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between Geary boulevard and 100' south.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

| *Block No.* | *Lot No.* | *Block No.* | *Lot No.* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 54 | 41 | 852 | 2 |
| 76 | 2 | 1027 | 6 |
| 90 | 29 | 1053 | 16 |
| 125 | 9 | 1082 | 7 |
| 132 | 31, 33, 42 | 1279 | 41, 42, 43 |
| 133 | 25D | 1536 | 5 |
| 147 | 24, 24A, 25 | 1545 | 26, 27 |
| 192 | 21, 25, 29, 31, 32 | 1649 | 9, 10 |
| | 33, 36, 37 | 2618 | 44C, 44D, 46 |
| 489 | 27 | 3508 | 27, 28, 29 |
| 560 | 18 | | 30, 31, 33 |
| 574 | 8 | 3547 | 4, 28, 30, 31 |
| 709 | 18A | 3548 | 33, 34, 35, 37 |
| 723 | 9 | 3556 | 36 |
| 728 | 25, 26, 27, 28 | 3616 | 23 |
| 770 | 1, 23, 24 | 3733 | 8, 9 |
| 778 | 19 | 6084 | 25 |
| 819 | 25 | 6272 | 17 |
| 829 | 3 | | |
| 1508 | 1 | 6469 | 7A |
| 6347 | 20 | | |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Moraga Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1160, Ordinance No. 12.081124, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Moraga street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the

City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Moraga street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 for the City's Portion of the Cost of Removing the Market Street Railway Company's Turntable in Bay Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1164, Ordinance No. 9.051324, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.
Approved by W. H. Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S Leavy, Controller.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up:

**Appropriating $66,166 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco, Month of December, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1165, Ordinance No. 9.051325, as follows:

Appropriating $66,166 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of December, 1936, and

authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $66,166 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of December, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of the Claim of Gussie Eidelsheim for Injuries Sustained by Reason of Defect in Sidewalk.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1166, Ordinance No. 6.022222, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Gussie Eidelsheim for injuries sustained by reason of defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, number 86,793, entitled "Gussie Eidelsheim v. City and County of San Francisco, etc. et al.", be settled and compromised by the payment of one hundred seventy-five ($175) dollars to the plaintiff in the above entitled action, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which she has by reason thereof; and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of the said Gussie Eidelsheim by the payment of one hundred seventy-five ($175) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

Payment recommended: Wm. J. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2910, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the

widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

J. M. Reid et ux., portion of Lot 36, Block 2478.....$2,450
Daniel J. Collins et ux., portion of Lot 37, Block 2478..... 2,450
Veterans' Welfare Board and Benjamin N. Katz et ux., portion of Lot 35, Block 2478..... 2,600
Henry P. Munksgaard et ux., portion of Lot 34, Block 2478.. 2,915

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2911, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

Frank J. Dalgero et ux., portion of Lot 6-E, Assessor's Block 932 .....$ 2,415
Oliver W. Tuttle et ux., all of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 932... 14,500

In order to facilitate a possible exchange title to the portion of said Lot 2 outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said lands.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2912, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Selma Carlson, portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block 2347, and portion of Lot 20, Assessor's Block 2407.....$3,950
Veterans' Welfare Board and John J. Crofton, portion of Lot 22, Assessor's Block 2407..... 2,100
Helen Hubert, portion of Lot 26, Assessor's Block 2407.... 1,834.40

Marguerite Sheldon, portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block 2407 ..... 3,000
Wm. Schwyter et ux., portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2407 ..... 2,100

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2913, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Charlotte Bernhardt and Albert Bernhardt, portion of Lot 21-A, Assessor's Block 2407.....$2,100
Pearl Gordon, portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2031..... 1,755
Eva Mitchell, portion of Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2407..... 2,000

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2914, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Frederick E. Harmon et ux., portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 2419 .....$2,840.00
Leo Wolenik et ux., portion of Lot 40-C, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 4,866.50
Wyndham O. Barnes et ux., portion of Lot 40-A, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 2,647.50

Veterans' Welfare Board and A. H. Duggin et ux., portion of Lot 40-B, Assessor's Block 2055..... 2,197.50

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**
(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2915, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on November 13, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security—New applications; decreases; cancellations; denials.

Half Orphan Aid (Widows' Pensions)—New application; decreases; cancellations.

Blind Pension—New applications; decrease; cancellation.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement With Southern Pacific Company Regarding Williams Avenue and Shoup Avenue.**
(Code No. ———)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 2902, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Southern Pacific Company for widening Williams avenue between Newhall street and Third street, and to relocate Shoup avenue, between Yosemite avenue and Empire lane, and to close various street areas in the vicinity thereof, and to release said company from certain obligations under its Bayshore Franchise, all in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Reference is hereby made to the agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for further particulars contained therein.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, was heard in explanation of the obligations of which the Southern Pacific Company was released.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Leave of Absence, Honorable Frank J. Foran.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 2916, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Frank J. Foran, member of the Police Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of one week, commencing November 11, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate Sesquicentennial Anniversary of the Constitution.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2921, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to prepare for the proper observance on September 17, 1937, of the Sesquicentennial Anniversary of the Constitution.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Leave of Absence, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, Member of Art Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following was presented:

Resolution No. 2917, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing November 13, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### City Attorney to Advise on Zoning Law.

Supervisor Shannon requested an opinion from the City Attorney as to his interpretation of that portion of Section 117 of the Charter, page 63, headed "Zoning and Set-Back Lines," commencing with the 12th line, with the word "all", and ending six lines down, with the word "change", said portion reading ". . . all persons whose names and addresses are shown on the assessment roll as owners of property within three hundred feet of all exterior boundaries of the area affected by the proposed changes and the time and the place of hearing, which names, addresses and other information shall be furnished by the applicant in the form required by the commission; that the commission, after hearing shall, by resolution, approve or disapprove the proposed

change . . .". Supervisor Shannon requested opinion as to when that vote shall be taken and the resolution passed, and how much time the commission has in which to vote, and if that vote shall be in a public meeting.

*So ordered.*

**Committee to Attend Pasadena Tournament of Roses.**

Supervisor Mead moved that the President of the Board be requested to appoint a committee of three Supervisors to represent the City and County of San Francisco in the Tournament of Roses to be held in Pasadena on New Year's Day.

Motion *carried.*

**Relative to Special Election for Power Distribution or Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that when the Board recess, it does so to reconvene at 10 a. m., Tuesday, November 17, to consider whether there will be presented to the voters at a special election, the date to be fixed, proposal for rapid transit or for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

**Announcement.**

Meeting of Judiciary Committee announced for November 20, 4 p. m.

**RECESS.**

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 6:50 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow at 10 a. m., when consideration will be given to Plan No. 7 for the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and to the question of providing rapid transit for San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1936, 10:30 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of yesterday for the purpose of giving consideration of Plan No. 7 for the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power and to the question of Rapid Transit for San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Shannon excused to attend meeting of Board of Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

THE CLERK: There should be a motion for a temporary presiding officer.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I move Supervisor Ratto take the chair.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Are there any objections? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, I have here a motion that I have prepared in writing, and I would like the Clerk to read it, and I would like the attention of the entire Board. Read it rather slowly, so the entire Board can hear it.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy presents the following motion:

"I move that it be the policy and intention of this Board of Supervisors to submit to the people of San Francisco at the first appropriate election the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power; and further, that this question so far as it involves bonds or financing other public projects, shall be exclusive at the said election; also this motion shall include request of the City Attorney to prepare the appropriate proceedings for the submission of the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, legally, to the voters; said procedure to include such Charter amendments, bond ordinances, or other legislation as are necessary to carry out the intention and policy of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would ask that it be read again, please.

(The Clerk thereupon read the proposed motion.)

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman——

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to the motion?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I was going to say that I would second that motion for Mr. McSheehy if he would take the part out about it being exclusive, for this reason: Mr. McSheehy, as you know, it only requires four votes of the Board of Supervisors to place a matter on the ballot and a majority of the Board might well vote for this measure and then find it is powerless to present any other measures from going on the ballot because of the four votes favoring such submission. So, I would suggest you eliminate the exclusion clause. In any event, I think the Board should discuss both of the matters before they exclude one of the other. I am offering a second, with that condition.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Naturally that would destroy the intent of the entire motion if I was to accept the suggestion made by Supervisor Brown. Now, if someone will second it I will state my reasons for a reply to that. The supervisor qualified his seconding of my motion, but if I receive a second it will be before the Board.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Mr. Chairman, I would be glad to second the motion submitted by Supervisor McSheehy. However, before doing so I would like to have some assurance that the P. G. and E. would

be acceptable to a plan of that kind. We have no assurance, to the best of my knowledge, that they are agreeable to the proposed plan, and I don't know, to the best of my knowledge, whether that has been explained to the Board or not.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would ask Mr. McSheehy what he means by the word "appropriate election"?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, I mean the first appropriate election, naturally will be the election——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it ought to be more specific. I want it put at the "first election." I don't want any "Appropriate election." The first election is the appropriate election in my judgment. I think this is the time when this project has the best chances of success it has ever had. Therefore, the first election will be the most appropriate election for the submission of this proposition. I am in favor of the idea, Mr. McSheehy, but your language is so complicated that I think it could be simplified throughout. I want the Hetch Hetchy power disposal proposition to be submitted at the next election, which is tentatively recommended as a date in February.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Supervisor Havenner, or any other supervisor, I would ask you to second it, and of course we can strike out—you can second it with the intent of striking out or adding to any of the language, and then it will be before us, so that is what I will ask with that thought in mind, and then we can strike out any of the words you wish afterward if we so conclude, because, of course, it will have to meet with the approval of the majority membership of this Board, but I want to get it before you.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: With that understanding, I will second it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is the understanding, Supervisor Mead.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, who drafted the resolution?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I drafted it myself.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What do you mean by the exclusion in that first paragraph?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will go over any portion of it that you wish. I can't explain three portions at once. I think I will first explain the part Mr. Brown wanted. I think that is the fair thing. He asked the first question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What was the question, Mr. Brown. You said you would second it if I struck out certain words.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: About the exclusion part of it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I answer your question? When I say "The exclusion of all others," I simply mean this, members of the Board: I for one member, and I think every other member of the Board feels the same way, that we should not attempt to place a Charter amendment before the people that we feel within ourselves would not pass. Now, we must first sell the idea to ourselves. I know I have tried to, in my own way, sell the first thought entirely to myself. If I can't sell it to myself I am a poor man to sell it to anybody else. Now, what position do we find ourselves in? We find ourselves in this position: We know that at the present time there is outstanding, if my memory serves me right, something like 163 million dollars worth of bonds, and I think there is something like 60 odd millions as the entire limit. We can't go over that. Now, if we attempt to place other bonds besides this particular bond before the people they will be defeated. We must place just one particular bond if we are going to carry it. Now, it is a mandate, almost a mandate from the Secretary of the Interior that we do distribute our own hydroelectric power—almost a mandate—this part of the contract that we have with our government that we must distribute that power. If we don't distribute that power the government of the United States can come right in and take the investment that we have made in the

Hetch Hetchy project, practically 130 millions of dollars. Now, we must—so therefore, when I write that word in there I mean just what I have written, that I cannot personally myself strike that word out. I would like to see this resolution passed by the whole Board, but if we are going to pass it in a way that we are going to put Hetch Hetchy on the ballot, and we are going to put some other bond resolution on the ballot, we will find that they will both be defeated and we are not only fooling ourselves but we are fooling the people of the city we are representing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I have a copy of the resolution?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will have copies of the resolution made. That is the thought I have behind me on that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, may I ask, your thought is in the passage of this to exclude any debate on the rapid transit, is that it?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes—I want to be frank with you——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: To exclude any debate?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, not to exclude any debate.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You can't stop us from debating.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't do that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We won't be able to go into the details of the rapid transit?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, you will. My understanding of your motion is that you don't want to attempt to block any discussion the Board wishes to bring up?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I couldn't if I wanted to, but I have no such intention. Supervisor Havenner, you asked me a question?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't understand the necessity for all of this debate. All I want to see done here is that the simple provision and agreement that the Charter amendment under discussion for the financing of the municipal power distribution system shall be presented at the next election, and then I shall oppose the submission at the same time of any other bond proceeding.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't see the logic of your entire objection.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am not objecting to that, except they are so complicated that I don't exactly understand what they mean.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think you do, Supervisor. You and I have associated together for a long, long time——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, I would say so in just simple words that nobody could misunderstand it. I want to shut off the rapid transit, or anything else, from this next election, and that is what I am going to fight to do. I think I understand their strategy in this whole situation very thoroughly. If they can get something else on the ballot they will have a chance to kill Hetch Hetchy. I realize that. We might as well be completely frank about it. I want to have Hetch Hetchy power on this ballot alone, and nothing else. To my judgment, anybody who is trying to push anything else on this ballot is either consciously or unconsciously abetting in an effort to kill the Hetch Hetchy proposition.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My views entirely coincide with yours on that matter. I said to you a minute ago, and say it now—that is why I am in agreement with you on that. In placing this motion I have incorporated in it various parts of it so there would be no misunderstanding. If any member of the Board wishes to strike out any portion of it——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I can't do it until I get a copy of it, and when I do I will be glad to make suggestions.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We will get them through as fast as we can, and have a couple stenographers work on them.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask Mr. McSheehy, have

you given thought to this situation: That, let us for the sake of argument suppose that the vote on your measure is six to five and it carries; Supervisor Havenner has already made very clear the fact that he intends to vote for this matter—now, it is quite easy to understand that we are not going to have an election before next year, in any event—I believe it is on January 4th.

SUPERVISOR UHL: February——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Supervisor Havenner's connection with this Board terminates, and you don't know now who is going to take Supervisor Havenner's place, and looking forward a little bit maybe we are anticipating how the Board will feel when it finally comes to a vote to place this matter on the ballot next year—in other words, isn't all of this discussion more or less academic?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am still a member of the Board, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I answer that question?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We are getting nowhere and I would like to straighten this out if I can.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, I will sit down.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Will you permit me to suggest something?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, certainly.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I think Supervisor Havenner and Supervisor McSheehy see eye to eye in this matter, have the same thought, and I think Supervisor McSheehy has written his thoughts and we all know what he means, but it is a little, if you will permit the criticism, it is a little too verbose, too many words to express the same thought. I would suggest that we recess for five minutes, and allow these two gentlemen to get together in the room there and come out here with something that will say just what you mean in as few words as possible.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We can do that when it comes to the Board. I don't want to recess for that purpose. We can do that when it comes here.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Well, we are doing nothing here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Brown has just asked a question in reference to Supervisor Havenner. Supervisor Brown, might I say this: I happen to be a member of this Charter Provision Committee—I happen to be a member of the Legislative Committee of this Board and the last day, the Charter election will take place on February 16th; it can't be postponed after that date because our financial set-up on the tax anticipation notes would fall due and we would find ourselves without sufficient funds to meet our general obligations. We have put it back—we first agreed on January 12th, and then it was postponed and now it will be February 16th.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Can it not be postponed, for example, to February 25th?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: For what purpose? In order to allow me to get off the Board?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You will be gone long before that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, but the time limit will expire very shortly before I get off the Board.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Just a minute. That is not the object at all. I will ask you the question: Can the election be somewhere in the twenties of February?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, we figured that out, Mr. Leavy, your Controller——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And your Controller.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: And my Controller, figured that out, and it can not take place any later than that particular date because we can not—he will not have his tax anticipation notes sold so that he can meet the obligations that he has to meet. Now, that is on

February 16th. On January 4th is the last day that this Board must pass or act on it for the reason that it has to have 40 days for advertising. That is the statement made by our own City Attorney. And December the 14th is the last day we can set propositions to place on the ballot for the election which will take place on February 16th. Then it is so settled—you have this motion before you now, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman: I appreciate very much the unintentional compliment that some of my colleagues are paying me this morning by suggesting that the termination of this matter be delayed until after I am no longer a member of the Board. However, in order to allay any misapprehension they may have concerning my attitude toward this matter, I want to say to them that I hope to be able to support it much more effectively after I get to Washington than perhaps I can do even here. That is my intention, very frankly, and I want everybody to know it. I expect to be able, hope to be able to enlist some very valuable support in Washington for the passage of this proposition. So, if they have any idea that I am going to abate my support of it after I leave the Board they are entirely in error, but I think the thing might as well be decided before I leave the Board and if that is the issue, let it become public, let the press know it, and let the people know it. It is an obvious move by way of suggestion and we might as well have it threshed out publicly. However, I can say to you this, if you are interested in the attitude of our public utilities manager toward this proposition: He said to me yesterday, before he left the meeting, that he was thoroughly convinced that it would be fatal to both propositions if they were put on the ballot at the same time, that he was thoroughly convinced that only one bond proposition ought to go before the people at the next election, and he did not want to offer his suggestion as to which one should go. But, as I have stated here yesterday, I think that is a matter for the determination of this Board. The Charter clearly vests in this body the authority to determine when the public convenience and necessity requires a bonding proposition to be submitted to the people, and so I don't think we need any outside advice on that whatsoever. We are all thoroughly familiar with the problem that is before us, and we can all make up our own minds with the information that we have had in tremendous volume in the past as to what the decision ought to be on the part of this Board. Now, of course, if the majority of this Board wants to deliberately defer the decision until I am no longer a member of the Board, they have the authority by a majority vote to arrive at that decision, but so far as I am concerned, respectfully and modestly, I shall oppose any such proposition. I have been very much interested in this undertaking ever since I have been a member of the Board, and I desire to exercise my voice in the determination of this question before I retire from the Board, and it is my present intention not to retire from the Board until the first of the year, when my new term begins; unless something very unexpected should occur that will be my course. So, the question is before us and I think we ought to decide it now.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Chairman: I am assuming the question is definitely before us. The motion has been made, and I want to discuss the question just as it appears before us——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: May I say one word before you do that, Mr. Colman? The statement was made by Mr. Havenner——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am not going to discuss that——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I have no desire in the world, Mr. Havenner, of preventing you discussing this matter to your heart's content. I was just pointing out to the Board that all of this matter might all be nullified by the fact that you are leaving for a higher place in the future.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, that is true, and we might as

well make the issue clear to the people at this time. The gentleman is suggesting at any rate that perhaps the appointment of my successor may be determined by his attitude toward this question. I hope that it will be, very frankly, and I think very properly it should be. I hate to express my own political position but apparently half of the voters of this City, and I want to say I haven't the remotest doubt of what the attitude of the other voters would be on this particular question. In the past, when this question came up, the vote of the City has been divided more or less along the lines of the congressional districts, and the major part of the support for municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power has happened to be in the district which I do not represent at the present time, or which I will not represent when I become a member of Congress; so I don't think there need be any uncertainty in the mind of the appointing power as to the attitude of the rest of the City toward this question. Now, if that is going to be the issue, let us have it thoroughly understood so that the people may know when the determination is made as to the identity of my successor—though apparently a good many people think the appointment ought to be made on the issue of whether he favors or does not favor municipal distribution of power. That is a clear cut issue. Why not fight it out on those lines? Of course, we here in the Board will have no voice obviously in the selection of my successor, but if it is hoped by those who oppose the distribution of municipal power that my successor will be one who will vote against it, let us let the people know it in advance, and they won't be under any misapprehensions when the appointment takes place.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Chairman: The words that he flatters himself. I had no thought in my mind of importance when I suggested that the election be later in February than now—the reason for that had nothing in the world to do with the Congressman-elect. We are discussing the question of which question shall be submitted to the people at the next election, the question of rapid transit, or retail distribution of power. Now, that is the only question that really is before us, with its implied threats of attacking the honor of our colleague has no bearing whatsoever——

I am going to appeal to the common sense of the members of this Board and ask them which issue is more important to the people of San Francisco, the question of rapid transit or the question of retail distribution of power. On the answer to that question determines the way you will vote, if you vote on the issue entirely on its merits, and I am not concerned with an attempt being made to create a political issue or an issue that does not exist today. There is one thing the people of San Francisco need above all others, and that is rapid transit. If you gentlemen attempt by six, eight, ten or twelve, or all the votes in the world, to tell the people of San Francisco that the question of power is more important than the question of rapid transit, why, they will laugh at you, those who have common sense enough to think and see know the conditions that actually exists here in our City. Six votes, I realize, can put this matter on the ballot, and it may be quite likely that those six votes are here, but six votes cannot make the issue of power more important than the issue of rapid transit, nor can our Congressman-elect, and I say this with due respect, and with great admiration for him, nor can he make the issue of power more important than the issue of rapid transit. Everybody knows that we have an adequate supply of power for heat and light, that we have an adequate supply of gas for power, heat and light, the least use being in the last. There is no question in the world about that. Everyone knows that anyone can get all the power they need, industry or anyone else. Everyone knows that the rates charged to our people for power are comparable and comparative with the rates charged in any city on the Pacific Coast, and everyone knows that the power company is under the control of the Railroad Commission, so that no one can say with any degree of truth or common sense that there is need for the development of San Francisco, for the urgent

need of San Francisco, no one can truthfully say that that is a pressing issue for the people of San Francisco, and I challenge anyone to come up and make that remark, that there is any hardship being endured by our citizens, any inconvenience, any lack of protection, any lack of development that can be definitely charged to a lack of or a proper distribution of gas or electricity to our people.

Now, let us contrast the other situation of rapid transit. Since we spoke, the San Francisco Bay Bridge has been opened and now is in use by the citizens of both sides of the bay. No doubt every member of the Board has been over the bridge, not once, twice or three times—I don't know how many times, but you are all familiar with the conditions that exist and you must realize, and if you don't you simply don't see or won't see or have this issue so confused in your mind that for some reason or other you can only see one situation and can't see the pressing needs of your own citizens. You must admit that something must be done regarding rapid transit, and taking care of the traffic on the streets of San Francisco. Now, as I say, six of you can wisely get up and explain your vote and make it seem plausible if you like, and your vote would count, and that would be the official attitude of San Francisco under the law, but you can do that all day long and all night long, but still you can't change the fact that what you need is rapid transit. You cannot change it and it is idiotic to think you can change it. I will tell you this, in my opinion if, when the City is pressed by an issue so strong as this, which it needs so vitally, you getting up and supporting the view that power is more important must do more to defeat the power bonds than any action that you could possibly take because if the people of San Francisco know what they want, they know what they need, and they would laugh, they must laugh and ridicule anyone who would have the—well, I can't—I don't know what to call it—have the lack of knowledge to place the power bonds ahead of the rapid transit bonds.

Reference was made to the manager of the utilities by Mr. Havenner and his attitude. Now, the Board is not concerned with what Mr. Cahill thinks about whether two bond issues or one will carry or not. That is really a political question and not an expert question on public utilities, but, as I understand it, Mr. Cahill thinks that the rapid transit bonds should come first and in that regard his opinion should be taken with a great deal of respect because he is considering that from an engineering viewpoint and from the need of the entire City, with which he is very familiar. Mr. Cahill told me that it was not his concern, but he told me he would be glad to come here and in reply to a simple question, "Which do you think is the more important?", he is prepared to advise the Board that he thinks that the rapid transit is more important, and in reply to the question, "Which one should have precedence over the other?", he would say that the rapid transit should have precedence over the matter of distribution of power.

Now, the reason I asked Mr. McSheehy whether the election could be delayed until some date in the twenties was this reason: It is true to a degree that the Board at this moment is not of a mind on the question of rapid transit, that it has not come to a decision as to which—I think every member of the Board believes in the necessity of rapid transit. I have never heard anyone express any opinion contrary to that. We appear at the moment to have different views as to how this thing can be brought about, whether to take the $52,000,000 proposal of the Public Utilities Commission, whether possibly to divide it into units and submit the Market street unit first, or whether to combine some of the other suggestions may be, or whether—Mr. Uhl favors the four-track subway—as I say, at this minute our minds are not together, but this is November 17th, and if this Board of Supervisors between now and January the 4th, which was the date you stated, or I would hope a little bit longer—and that was the only reason I had for calling the election up in the twenties—that could be done so that forty days would carry us a little bit further along so that with half of November, and all of December, this Board, by

meeting day and night, in my opinion, could come to a mind, must come to a mind on the question of rapid transit, and must finally determine which proposal in our minds would be the best for the people, and submit it. Now, that is the only object I have, to give us a little more time. However, I accept Mr. McSheehy's word entirely, if January 4th is all the time we have, it is my opinion that we can still come to a mind on the question of rapid transit. It is not beyond human possibility. Working day and night that could be done.

Now, gentlemen, I have been a member of this Board a long time and I recall very few instances where the Board has asked thirty—about forty-five days ahead of time that it is required to take action to tie itself up by expressing a policy when they are not in a position to do it. Why should this Board go on record now when a great deal can happen in 45 days, when we possibly will know much more about rapid transit if we apply ourselves to it vigorously and properly and why should we go on record to do something when we don't know what we are doing? Now, those are my views.

I want to state this, too: That from what I have heard, though there is no proposal here and that is another matter, I don't like to tie myself up ahead to submit any proposal when I don't know in what form the proposal is, and neither do you. If you are going to make yourselves a lot of "yes men" and vote to go along, why, that is your privilege, too, but how do you know the form it is going to be in, how do you know you will like it when it comes and why should we by our vote state what we are going to do ahead of time? It is the last thing I will do, as a member of a legislative body. I am told indirectly, as I say, that there is another proposal here, I am told indirectly that another attempt is going to be made to tear down the safeguards that have existed in our Charter since the City was born, and which, in my opinion, have resulted in San Francisco's splendid credit condition today, I am informed. I am informed that this thing will come in such a way that although bond issues are safeguarded by the necessity of eight votes of this Board that this is going to appear as a Charter amendment which, in the first place, only requires six votes to submit to the people, and which, in the second place—to which I am unalterably opposed—may be passed by a majority vote of the people of San Francisco. The thing is very skillfully presented, in a way to circumvent safeguards that have meant a great deal to the people of San Francisco. Gentlemen, if that is true, and I speak now only from rumor because nothing has come before us and you don't know what you are going to be asked to vote on, I am opposed to it and I will vote against its presentation to the people in that form, and I will do all I possibly can, whatever that amounts to, in defeating such a proposal.

Now, you are asked here today to determine between the merits of two proposals. You don't even know one of the proposals. In fact, you don't know what form the other proposal is in. You are asked to declare a question of policy that you consider the retail distribution of power of more importance to the people of San Francisco than supplying them with rapid transportation. You know the manager of the utilities corporation has told the members of this Board, not once but repeatedly, that if something is not done to take care of the rapid transit San Francisco is threatened with the loss of a serious number of its population. This view is not only expressed to us by Mr. Cahill, but has been expressed to us by the members of practically every civic body in San Francisco, right down the line, improvement clubs, civic bodies, are unanimous in the thought that rapid transit is of vital importance. They may not agree as to the way of bringing it about That is another question. That is a question for us to determine after consultation with all parties, but there has never been a voice in San Francisco to say that we don't need rapid transit, and yet today, without knowing what form this rapid transit is, how much it is going to cost, in what shape it is going to be, you are asked to go on record and express yourselves in favor of the power

business, that that is of more importance than the other, and that that should be presented to the exclusion of all others. Well, gentlemen, in my opinion, you have reached a cross-roads here where you have to choose, in my opinion, on the one side for what is unquestionably and universally acknowledged to be a most urgent thing. I don't think any city in America today faces the urgent need for rapid transit that does San Francisco. You can see how it is working already. You can imagine, go ahead a couple years and visualize the lower deck of the bridge when it is running, with the two tracks taking the suburban people from Plan X over across that bridge. They can get on the car at Plan X, take a comfortable seat, and whisk over the bridge to their destination on the other side of the bay for five cents, or whatever the fare may be—I don't know, but in comfort and with an increase of speed that will certainly be most appealing; and what have we? Our people must still hang on the car straps, crowding in the cars—Market street with its one minute headway—the traffic congestion morning and night. Why, gentlemen, is that question of retail distribution of power of more importance than safeguarding the future of San Francisco? Do you realize what it would mean for a hundred thousand people to move to the other side of the bay? Do you realize the shrinkage in the property values in our city? Do you realize that we would lose our prominence as the metropolis of the coast? Do you realize that maybe the East Bay will take over everything we have got if you don't do something, if you don't take care of this condition which you cannot deny and no one else can deny? How, in God's name, can you represent the people of San Francisco and today, on November 17, say that in January you are going to put up the question of power to the people of San Francisco instead of what they really want and need, and that is the question of rapid transit?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to reply to Supervisor Colman. The supervisor has at length given us views of the comparative value of the Hetch Hetchy distribution of power to the rapid transit. He has omitted to tell us that the Secretary of the Interior is holding the sword of Damocles over our head. We don't know what will happen if we don't distribute our power. A method of distributing the power has been presented to us which, to all appearances at present, seems to be of remarkbale advantage to this city, and I will read to you what Mr. Cahill gave to us a few days ago.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What who?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Cahill, on the subject of the distribution of power, and ask you if you wouldn't rush into that business yourself as an individual if you had sufficient money to do it, and if we should not do it for the people of San Francisco.

"Plan 7 first pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then:

Pays off and retires bonds in amounts of $1,748,000 per year, and then

Pays into depreciation reserve fund $1,260,000 per year, and then

Pays into the General Fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year, and after all that, produces a

Surplus revenue of over $4,000,000 per year, and in addition to all this, Plan 7 reduces power rates to consumers in San Francisco by $1,721,000 per year."

If we had $4,000,000 surplus money we Supervisors could appropriate that to build the rapid transit with a very small bond issue, perhaps, to start the work. Four million a year in ten years would give you $40,000,000. It will take some years before your rapid transit is complete. You can't build it in a day. It is practically $53,000,000. You have only got $58,000,000 worth of bonding limit and you simply can't do it. Your arguments were good, they were excellent, and I endorse every word you said regarding the importance of rapid transit, but we have no money. You can get the money through the profits

of the distribution of electric power. Do you refuse it? You don't know the day, you don't know the minute we will be jacked up by the government of the United States. The government of the United States is strong today, the people have expressed their wishes, their will, regarding power all over the United States. We know the attitude of the President, and the attitude of the Department of the Interior which has expressed itself in writing, and we have their statement. Is it not wise to do something to bring into our treasury a clean $4,000,000 surplus profit that we can use to build the very subways that we need? I will agree with you that we need them, but you can't have both. That is impossible. I doubt if you could have one, that is the $53,000,000 for subways, when the people realize that they have got less than $60,000,000 bonding limit, I doubt if they would go that far. You can, if a proper Charter amendment is submitted to the people, ask the people specifically—a Charter amendment specifically to provide revenue bonds to build this Hetch Hetchy distribution system, specifically for that—not as you expressed a moment ago—submit a Charter amendment by a mere majority, adopt revenue bonds for all purposes—no, but specifically for building this Hetch Hetchy power distribution system. I believe the people, if this is given to them, if it is correct, and it is up to us yet to find out if it is correct—we have not had a discussion yet with Mr. Cahill, but if that is absolutely correct I believe the people will gladly vote overwhelmingly a Charter amendment which will give this Board the power to issue some $43,000,000 of revenue bonds for Hetch Hetchy purposes. I believe they will. Certainly, I would rush into that if it were my own business, and I am trying to do the business of the City as I would do my own.

We can't omit from consideration Hetch Hetchy power, and I don't believe that you need to do so, Supervisor Colman, but you are balancing both rapid transit and the Hetch Hetchy power distribution one against the other. From your remarks I take it you look upon rapid transit as the more important. Perhaps it is. It is very important. There is no doubt about that, but you haven't any money. With the other you might, according to some—you may build your rapid transit out of the profits of the Hetch Hetchy. You may build it at $4,000,000 a year. If you don't reduce the power rates to consumers we would have nearly 2,000,000 more, nearly 6,000,000 a year which we can throw into the rapid transit development. I think we are all trying to do our best for the City, for the people, and I think we all have the same views. We just differ in some, I will say, minor respects. I believe we should start with the Hetch Hetchy because that will bring into our treasury nearly $6,000,000, nearly 2,000,000 of which will go to reducing the rate, the electric rate to the taxpayers, and 4,000,000 of which will go into our treasury. It is a mighty fine proposition. I would hesitate to throw that up, and particularly if it can be built, and it should be built out of revenue bonds that are no obligation whatever upon the real estate of the people of San Francisco.

The other day at the dedication exercises, in Oakland, of the bridge I heard the Governor say: "The greatest achievement in the matter of this bridge is the fact that it has been built without any obligation whatever on any city, on any county, and not even the State of California." Now, I heard him say that. He took pride in the fact that revenue bonds built that bridge which we are proud of. Let revenue bonds build this, specifically, this only. I can't—no—I don't want to open the door by a Charter amendment that will permit revenue bonds for anything that six or eight members or eleven members of this Board might want. I am somewhat inclined to your view in that respect, but for a specific thing like this, that we know will pay, at least if Mr. Cahill has made no mistake—we can check, we have Mr. Ellis, and we have others to check if this is correct, and I believe it to be so. I have faith in Mr. Ost, who worked for so many years with

Mr. O'Shaughnessy, and is responsible for the O'Shaughnessy reports, and has been in this with Mr. Cahill. I have faith in this man. We have a brilliant proposal, financially speaking, and we can not overlook it lightly. It must be taken into consideration.

As to which shall be first, I am for the income paying project which will cost the citizens of San Francisco not a dime, and will be no obligation on their property, and will be a facsimile, an identical duplication of the Bay Bridge which is now operating and is a success; $77,000,000 has been borrowed, not on the face of the people of the State of California, as he said, not any city, not any county, not even the State of California, is obligated to pay one nickle of those bonds, that the profits of the bridge will pay the $77,000,000, and no other way shall be taken to pay those bonds. The same thing can apply exactly on all-fours to this Hetch Hetchy distribution system. Therefore, I regard this as the more important of the two. I trust I have made a little dent.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, I would like to take the floor again to answer Mr. Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to have a few words before the Supervisor speaks again.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: As he referred to me particularly——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is the Supervisor going to be allowed to violate all the rules of the Board this morning?

THE CHAIRMAN: I must consider you out of order. This morning you have spoken twice already.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is true, I have; but he has asked me certain questions and I would request the privilege of answering them.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think your request will be granted just as soon as Mr. Havenner——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Very briefly, Mr. Havenner, and then you will have all the opportunity——

THE CHAIRMAN: As soon as Supervisor Havenner yields to your request—I must consider Supervisor Havenner in order.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I thought you allowed me the floor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Supervisor never has very much regard to the rights of others. I will yield to him.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Accepting it, I do not admit the statement at all. I think I have a very keen regard to the rights of others.

Mr. Roncovieri brought up the attitude of the President of the United States. I want to call his attention to the fact that at one of our meetings the President of the United States—we heard that the President of the United States had called a conference of the Tennessee Valley Authorities to meet with the utility people to discuss the question that arose back there, and the President at that time stated that he favored a co-operation between the government and the public utilities companies, with the view of stopping duplications, and various other expenditures that did not appear to be justified, and he hoped to be able to work out some method together. It was suggested by a member of this Board that we send a representative of this Board there but the reason the committee, which is Mr. McSheehy's committee, did not act favorably was because it was for the consideration of a specific thing to which we were not invited, but we appointed Mr. Paul Vetters, and requested that he represent San Francisco at that meeting, and to advise the Board what transpired, and any view that the President of the United States might have with regard to the government and the utilities corporations getting together in a co-operative body. I would like to move now that we ask for a report from Mr. Vetters, as to what transpired at that meeting. I would like to have that information, if I can receive

a second, and I would like to move that we wire Mr. Vetters to inquire if he has any report to make regarding that conference.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will gladly second that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I want to call the attention of Mr. McSheehy to a paragraph in Plan 7 report, which is in there, and I am taking it at its face value. It is that it is the hope of the Public Utilities Commission that these negotiations with the P. G. & E., that the matter can be handled by negotiations which would not disturb the pleasant relations always existing between the City and the company, however, it was intimated in the report that in case the matter went to court that a long and expensive period of litigation might follow. Personally, I have no idea as to what the attitude of the company is with regard to the sale of its retail properties. I think that is a matter that might well be gone into by this Board if the Board is going to become involved in a long litigation, and costly litigation, which might well last over a period of years, I think the Board should have some intimation as to that. In fact, conditions were paragraphed in there which ought to have at least our consideration. That statement is made and, as I say, I have no means only as a member of the Board, of knowing what the attitude of the company will be, whether they will sell their properties at the price named by the Public Utilities Commission, or whether they will not. It is a fact, however, that should the company decide it will not sell its properties to the City for the price mentioned and take the matter into court, the fixing of the price no longer remains in the Public Utilities Commission, the fixing of the price would them go to the Railroad Commission, which might put a different valuation on the thing by the time it takes place.

Now, there is another point, Mr. Roncovieri. I don't know, the statement was not made—perhaps Mr. Ellis can enlighten us—the statement was not made that the City would receive in its general fund a profit of $4,000,000 cash which apparently Mr. Roncovieri thinks could be put aside for the relief of the tax payers. As I understand it—as I understand that, in bringing up the report, you took the figures of the Railroad Commission made before and eliminated from that the cost on which a separate charge was made, but that you found in the meantime, in the last few years that there had been comparatively little in the way of additions made due to the fact that business has been so quiet during the depression that those expenditures were not necessary, but I gather that of the $4,000,000 profit substantial additions and betterments would have to be made from time to time on our plant were the City to take over under Plan 7. Can you enlighten me on that? In other words, is it your statement that a $4,000,000 profit could be placed in the general fund which might, as Mr. Roncovieri expressed, after a period of years, ten years, be $40,000,000 which would be available for the City? I will ask Mr. Ellis.

MR. ELLIS: The $4,000,000 is the estimated annual surplus of the distribution system after taking care of all bond interest, operating expenses, retirements, and things of that kind. As to the disposition of the $4,000,000, there was no report made. In other words, any material extensions of the system would be made out of the $4,000,000, but there is no statement that there would be $4,000,000 turned over to the general fund. As to the disposition of that, it would be in the hands of the Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But is it not a fact that there would be material extensions necessary from time to time?

MR. ELLIS: Oh, there will be material extensions in any distribution system, of course, the normal extensions.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I understand that, but I was told, I understood that due to the fact that during the depression the normal rate of extensions were not made by the company, and that now that

the depression is over and that the power business is on the increase it will be necessary to make the extensions, alterations, and additions.

MR. ELLIS: Not any more than normal. In other words, during the depression the power sales fell off quite materially. In other words, the plant in San Francisco was very much overbuilt for the business that they had. After they hit a point as to where they were, say in 1931, in their sales, there will be the normal extension beyond that. I mean, there is no heavy deferred construction facing the company in San Francisco at the moment. In other words, you see, if they had kept on in 1932 and so on, at the rate they were going in 1930 and 1931 it would have necessitated the $750,000 every year, but the sales fell off very rapidly, the result not only in San Francisco, but all over their system, and they probably had 25 per cent of their plant that was of no practical use to them. They are now building up to that.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Roncovieri: I would say where you and I differ is you want to have a distribution system, assuming the success of your plan, to apply to a city of very much decreased population and not take care of the rapid transit. Now, if you can hope to take care of the rapid transit from the profits of the company—I can not quite share that view—I am not disputing the figures of Mr. Ost, but I don't think you have demonstrated the greater importance by a long shot of going into the power business as compared to going into the rapid transit business because you have not in any way taken care of the objections that I have attempted to point out to you, the great dangers to our City that would result from no rapid transit, namely a shrinkage in property value and the shrinkage in population which would be very startling, and would be inevitably more than to offset a financial gain—the success of the system with a decreasing population and decreasing property value—and from all the evidence submitted to the Board that certainly appears to substantiate that view.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to have a few words with the gentleman. Mr. Chairman: I want to brush aside this effort to sidetrack the real issue before us, and get back to the question here. Supervisor Colman, in his opening statement characteristically expressed a very profound conviction of his authority to interpret the political opinions of the people of San Francisco. He says that there is no question in the minds of the people of San Francisco that it is more important to submit at this time the rapid transit issue than it is to submit the Hetch Hetchy power issue. I seem to recall that only about two or three weeks ago Supervisor Colman asserted, and according to the press, he joined with them as loudly proclaiming their convictions, that it was far more important for the people of America to have Landon than it was to have Roosevelt. That is only about two and a half or three weeks ago.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: I think that is—I want the gentleman to know that I voted——

THE CHAIRMAN: Please, gentlemen.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: His statement must be corrected.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I can only judge him by his party activities in the political campaign. I know who his associates are.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: I cannot refute the statement that my political activity was in behalf of Mrs. Florence P. Kahn, but my vote was for Mr. Roosevelt. That resulted in an apparent inconsistency, but due to many other conditions for which I have no apology whatsoever to offer.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I do not yield any further.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think that statement should be withdrawn when I state to my gentleman that my vote went for the President.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am delighted to hear that, but the votes of his associates, and the votes of those people with whom he has been associated in the past in opposition to this issue, did not go to Mr. Roosevelt, or if they did then certainly their pre-election activities did not go to him. However, that was simply by way of illustration of the gentleman's authority to interpret the attitude of the people of San Francisco at this time.

Now, Mr. Chairman: I have been accused of a sentimental attitude when profusely I have expressed my belief that the City of San Francisco ought to be in honor bound to keep to its publicly and legally expressed promise, no matter whether it be in this case or in any other case. Some of my friends cynically say that is pure sentiment, that it is emotionalism, that sentiment and emotion cannot enter into public business. I respectfully and eternally disagree with them and as far as I am concerned I believe that the solemn word of the City, pledged by the vote of this Board, pledged by the vote of certain members sitting here today, although the action was taken more than a decade ago, ought to be a pledge of honor, ought to be a thing that supersedes all subsequent consideration and ought to bind us now that the opportunity has finally arrived which they said then did not exist, ought to bind us now to put this proposition ahead of any material consideration. And I will stand by that before any audience of American citizens. I want to read to you again—maybe I have read it to you in the past, but I want to read to you the preamble—I say preamble only in the sense of its precedence here to the rest of this ordinance, but a preamble to the ordinance whereby this City entered into the agreement for the sale of its power through the agency of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and I will read you those passages which I consider an obligation of honor to San Francisco regardless of who may be representing San Francisco now or in the future. I shall read to you from parts of the electric distribution agreement, Bill No. 7164, Ordinance No. 6684, adopted by the Board of Supervisors Monday, June 29, 1925. I will not read it all to you, and if anybody thinks I have omitted anything of importance they can call my attention to it, but here are the sections to which I have reference:

"Whereas, the City has not yet constructed or acquired a transmission line from the point near Newark to the City limits, and has not yet constructed or acquired a distribution system for utilizing the power produced at Moccasin plant and delivering the same for general municipal uses and for sale to consumers of electric energy within the limits of the City and County; and

Whereas, pursuant to resolutions of its Board of Supervisors looking to the acquisition of a municipally owned electrical distribution system, the City has commenced and there is now pending before the Railroad Commission of the State of California proceedings for the determination by the Commission of the compensation to be paid by the City for the local distribution systems and certain steam plants now owned and operated by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company of California, respectively, when the same shall be taken over by the City under eminent domain proceedings, or otherwise; and

Whereas, the City has not funds available at the present time with which to purchase or construct a distribution system of its own and it will be necessary to submit a proposition to the people to vote bonds to provide money for that purpose before a distribution system can be purchased or constructed, and the City cannot well determine whether to purchase one or both of the local distribution systems, or to construct a distribution system of its own until the Railroad Commission determines the amount of compensation to be paid by the City for the taking of either or both of said local distribution systems under the proceedings now pending before the Commission; and

Whereas, the City intends to complete its power transmission line

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, TO FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1936.

The Board of Supervisors met on Monday, November 9, 1936, at the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, for the purpose of canvassing results of the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 3, 1936.

Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

The official returns of votes cast at said General Election were produced by the Registrar of Voters and the official canvass of same proceeded with, commencing with returns from the first precinct of the Twentieth Assembly District and proceeding in orderly fashion, taking each precinct in numerical order.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, November 10, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, November 12, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, November 13, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Saturday, November 14, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Fred W. Meyer, presiding.

Recess taken at 12 o'clock noon.

Monday, November 16, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, November 17, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Wednesday, November 18, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, November 19, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Warren Shannon, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, November 20, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. and completed at 5 o'clock p. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 30, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 48

Monday, November 16, 1936
Tuesday, November 17, 1936.
Wednesday, November 18, 1936.

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 16, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 9, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri Designated Acting Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

November 14, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On Sunday, November 15th, at 1:15 p. m., by United Airlines, I am leaving for Washington, D. C., in accordance with Section 25 of the Charter. I designate Honorable Alfred Roncovieri, Chairman of your Finance Committee, to act as Mayor during my absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Quintara Street.

Appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1559, dated October 1, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street.

Milton Marks, attorney for appellant, and C. W. Riffe, No. 1537 Kirkham street, were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Byron Arnold, No. 1265 Twenty-second avenue, representing Park-

side Merchants and twenty-eight other property owners, was heard in opposition.

**City Planning Commission Overruled.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

**Rezoning of Southwest Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Quintara Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2918, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1559, dated October 1, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy—2.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Action Deferred.**

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the following matters were *laid over for consideration at a recessed meeting tomorrow at* 10 *a. m.*:

**Rapid Transit.**

September 3, 1936—Supervisor Uhl moved that Edw. G. Cahill's report on rapid transit be made a special order of business for 3 P. M., September 14, 1936.

The Clerk read a communication from Manager of Utilities Edward G. Cahill, stating that his report on the subject-matter would be delayed until October 13, 1936; whereupon, the matter was continued until October 13, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

*October* 26, 1936—*Over one week.*

*November* 2, 1936—*Over one week.*

**Consideration of Plan No. 7 for Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.**

*October* 26, 1936—*On motion of Supervisor Uhl made a Special Order of Business for* 3:30 *P. M., Monday, November* 2, 1936.

*November* 2, 1936—*Laid over until Thursday, November* 5, 1936, 10 *a. m.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Northwesterly Corner of Chestnut and Scott Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1538, dated September 24, 1936, granting application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northwesterly corner of Chestnut and Scott streets.

Attorneys Lawrence Livingston and Jas. P. Sweeney, representing the appellant, were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Newhall J. Hooey, 1620 Russ Building, attorney, was heard in support of the decision.

**City Planning Commission Sustained.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

**Rezoning Northwest Corner of Chestnut and Scott Streets, Etc.**
(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2920, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1538, dated September 24, 1936, granting an application to rezone property located at the northwest corner of Chestnut and Scott streets, running thence westerly along Chestnut street 88 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 88 feet 9 inches; thence southerly along the northwesterly line of Scott street 75 feet to the point of commencement; from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—3.
Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of the Southwesterly Corner of California and Taylor Streets.**

Appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1537, dated September 24, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwesterly corner of California and Taylor streets.

Harry Caulfield, attorney, and R. McGahey, attorney representing appellants, were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Eugene Fritz, property owner and president of Nicolas Corporation, Chas. J. Rosseau, 1990 California street, and Mrs. Albert W. Stokes, member of the City Planning Commission, were heard in support of the decision.

**City Planning Commission Overruled.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Rezoning of Southwest Corner of California and Taylor Streets.**
(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2919, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1537, dated September 24, 1936, in denying application to rezone property located at the southwest corner of California and Taylor streets, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.
Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Authorizing Supplemental Appropriation of $2,075 for the Extension of Santa Ynez Avenue as an Approach to Alemany Boulevard.**
(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1161, Ordinance No. 9.051318, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of two thousand and seventy-five dollars ($2,075) out of the Reserve for Land Purchase Fund, 1927

Boulevard Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 83.918.00 for the purpose of purchasing certain land for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of two thousand and seventy-five dollars ($2,075) is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the reserve for land purchase fund, 1927 Boulevard Bond Issue, to the credit of Appropriation No. 83.918.00, for the purpose of purchasing certain land for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Supplemental Appropriation of $50 as Premium on Official Bond Covering Edmond Godchaux.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1162, Ordinance No. 9.051322, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32, for the purpose of paying the registered obligation for the premium on Official Bond No. 53369 of the American Bonding Company, covering Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for the fiscal year 1931-32.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $50 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the General Fund of 1931-32 for the purpose of paying the registered obligation for the premium on Official Bond No. 53369 of the American Bonding Company, covering Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for the fiscal year 1931-32.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Ordering the Construction of Sidewalks on Balboa Street Near Third Avenue and at Certain Other Locations.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1159, Ordinance No. 12.061167, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, October 14, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Fran-

cisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of:

Balboa street (N. ½) between Third avenue and 120' east.

Clayton street (W. ½) between Seventeenth street and 82.03' north.

Clementina street (N. W. ½) between Fourth street and 105' southwest.

Dolores street (E. ½) between 57' and 88' 8" south of Fifteenth street.

Eighth avenue (W. ½) between 225' and 275' south of Geary boulevard.

Ellis street (N. ½) between 125' and 150' west of Laguna street.

Fourth street (S. W. ½) between Clementina street and 80' northwest.

Francisco street (S. ½) between 90' and 135' west of Grant avenue.

Geary street (S. ½) between 65' and 115' east of Webster street.

Geary street (N. ½) between Lyon street and 100' west.

Golden Gate avenue (S. ½) between Octavia street and 145' west.

Green street (S. ½) between Grant avenue and Kearny street.

Greenwich street (S. ½) between Columbus avenue and 70' 3⅝" east.

Hickory street (N. ½) between Buchanan street and 55' west.

Jackson street (S. ½) between Stone and Trenton streets.

Julian avenue (E. ½) between Fifteenth street and 246' north.

Kearny street (E. ½) between 107' 10¾" and 129' 10½" north of Vallejo street.

Linden street (N. ½) between 27' 6" and 55' west of Laguna street.

McAllister street (S. ½) between 130' and 155' west of Steiner street.

Mission street (E. ½) between 150' and 350' north of Fifteenth street.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 175' and 200' southwest of Persia avenue.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 250' and 300' northeast of France avenue.

Octavia street (W. ½) between Golden Gate avenue and 125' south.

Octavia street (W. ½) between Rose street and 60' north.

O'Farrell street (S. ½) between 160' and 250' east of Scott street.

Pacific avenue (N. ½) between 75' and 100' west of Polk street.

Pine street (N. ½) between Divisadero street and 100' east.

Roosevelt way (S. E. ½) between 82.69' and 172.24' southwest of Levant street.

Rose street (N. ½) between Octavia street and 87' 6" west.

Scott street (E. ½) between 50' and 75' south of Chestnut street.

Scott street (E. ½) between 110' and 137' 6" north of Vallejo street.

Seventeenth street (N. ½) between Clayton street and 90' 3¼" west.

Sixth avenue (W. ½) between 250' and 350' south of Cabrillo street.

Stockton street (W. ½) between 63' 6" and 84' 6" south of Lombard street.

Stone street (W. ½) between Washington and Jackson streets.

Stone street (E. ½) between Jackson street and 137' 6" south.

Sutter street (N. ½) between 24' and 47' 6" east of Baker street.

Tenth street (N. E. ½) between Stevenson street and 267' 6" southeast.

Third avenue (E. ½) between Balboa street and 25' north.

Trenton street between Jackson street and 137' 6" south.

Valencia street (E. ½) between 140' and 175' 6" south of Twenty-first street.

Vallejo street (N. ½) between 110' and 137' 6" east of Hyde street.

Vallejo street (S. ½) between 137' 6" and 229' 2" west of Stockton street.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full

official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of:

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 50′ and 100′ southwest of Italy avenue.

Mission street (S. E. ½) between 205′ and 230′ northeast of Lowell street.

Thirty-sixth avenue (W. ½) between Geary boulevard and 100′ south.

By the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not already constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

| *Block No.* | *Lot No.* | *Block No.* | *Lot No.* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 54 | 41 | 852 | 2 |
| 76 | 2 | 1027 | 6 |
| 90 | 29 | 1053 | 16 |
| 125 | 9 | 1082 | 7 |
| 132 | 31, 33, 42 | 1279 | 41, 42, 43 |
| 133 | 25D | 1536 | 5 |
| 147 | 24, 24A, 25 | 1545 | 26, 27 |
| 192 | 21, 25, 29, 31, 32 33, 36, 37 | 1649 | 9, 10 |
| | | 2618 | 44C, 44D, 46 |
| 489 | 27 | 3508 | 27, 28, 29 |
| 560 | 18 | | 30, 31, 33 |
| 574 | 8 | 3547 | 4, 28, 30, 31 |
| 709 | 18A | 3548 | 33, 34, 35, 37 |
| 723 | 9 | 3556 | 36 |
| 728 | 25, 26, 27, 28 | 3616 | 23 |
| 770 | 1, 23, 24 | 3733 | 8, 9 |
| 778 | 19 | 6084 | 25 |
| 819 | 25 | 6272 | 17 |
| 829 | 3 | | |
| 1508 | 1 | 6469 | 7A |
| 6347 | 20 | | |

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Moraga Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 1160, Ordinance No. 12.081124, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Moraga street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the

City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Moraga street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 for the City's Portion of the Cost of Removing the Market Street Railway Company's Turntable in Bay Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1164, Ordinance No. 9.051324, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.
Approved by W. H. Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.
Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.
Approved as to funds available by Leonard S Leavy, Controller.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up:

**Appropriating $66,166 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of San Francisco, Month of December, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1165, Ordinance No. 9.051325, as follows:

Appropriating $66,166 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of December, 1936, and

authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $66,166 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of December, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of the Claim of Gussie Eidelsheim for Injuries Sustained by Reason of Defect in Sidewalk.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1166, Ordinance No. 6.022222, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Gussie Eidelsheim for injuries sustained by reason of defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, number 86,793, entitled "Gussie Eidelsheim v. City and County of San Francisco, etc. et al.", be settled and compromised by the payment of one hundred seventy-five ($175) dollars to the plaintiff in the above entitled action, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which she has by reason thereof; and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of the said Gussie Eidelsheim by the payment of one hundred seventy-five ($175) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

Payment recommended: Wm. J. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Funds available: Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2910, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the

widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

J. M. Reid et ux., portion of Lot 36, Block 2478.....$2,450
Daniel J. Collins et ux., portion of Lot 37, Block 2478..... 2,450
Veterans' Welfare Board and Benjamin N. Katz et ux., portion of Lot 35, Block 2478..... 2,600
Henry P. Munksgaard et ux., portion of Lot 34, Block 2478.. 2,915

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Lands for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2911, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

Frank J. Dalgero et ux., portion of Lot 6-E, Assessor's Block 932 .....$ 2,415
Oliver W. Tuttle et ux., all of Lot 2, Assessor's Block 932... 14,500

In order to facilitate a possible exchange title to the portion of said Lot 2 outside the road right of way shall be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said lands.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2912, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Selma Carlson, portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block 2347, and portion of Lot 20, Assessor's Block 2407.....$3,950
Veterans' Welfare Board and John J. Crofton, portion of Lot 22, Assessor's Block 2407..... 2,100
Helen Hubert, portion of Lot 26, Assessor's Block 2407.... 1,834.40

Marguerite Sheldon, portion of Lot 23, Assessor's Block 2407 . . . . . 3,000
Wm. Schwyter et ux., portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2407 . . . . . 2,100

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2913, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Charlotte Bernhardt and Albert Bernhardt, portion of Lot 21-A, Assessor's Block 2407.....$2,100
Pearl Gordon, portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2031..... 1,755
Eva Mitchell, portion of Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2407..... 2,000

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2914, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Frederick E. Harmon et ux., portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 2419 .....$2,840.00
Leo Wolenik et ux., portion of Lot 40-C, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 4,866.50
Wyndham O. Barnes et ux., portion of Lot 40-A, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 2,647.50

Veterans' Welfare Board and A. H. Duggin et ux., portion of Lot 40-B, Assessor's Block 2055..... 2,197.50

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**
(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2915, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on November 13, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security—New applications; decreases; cancellations; denials.

Half Orphan Aid (Widows' Pensions)—New application; decreases; cancellations.

Blind Pension—New applications; decrease; cancellation.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Authorizing Execution of Agreement With Southern Pacific Company Regarding Williams Avenue and Shoup Avenue.**
(Code No. ———)

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 2902, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Southern Pacific Company for widening Williams avenue between Newhall street and Third street, and to relocate Shoup avenue, between Yosemite avenue and Empire lane, and to close various street areas in the vicinity thereof, and to release said company from certain obligations under its Bayshore Franchise, all in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Reference is hereby made to the agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for further particulars contained therein.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, was heard in explanation of the obligations of which the Southern Pacific Company was released.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

### Leave of Absence, Honorable Frank J. Foran.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Resolution No. 2916, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Frank J. Foran, member of the Police Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of one week, commencing November 11, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Celebrate Sesquicentennial Anniversary of the Constitution.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 2921, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to prepare for the proper observance on September 17, 1937, of the Sesquicentennial Anniversary of the Constitution.

*Adopted* under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Leave of Absence, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, Member of Art Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following was presented:

Resolution No. 2917, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing November 13, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### City Attorney to Advise on Zoning Law.

Supervisor Shannon requested an opinion from the City Attorney as to his interpretation of that portion of Section 117 of the Charter, page 63, headed "Zoning and Set-Back Lines," commencing with the 12th line, with the word "all", and ending six lines down, with the word "change", said portion reading ". . . all persons whose names and addresses are shown on the assessment roll as owners of property within three hundred feet of all exterior boundaries of the area affected by the proposed changes and the time and the place of hearing, which names, addresses and other information shall be furnished by the applicant in the form required by the commission; that the commission, after hearing shall, by resolution, approve or disapprove the proposed

change . . .". Supervisor Shannon requested opinion as to when that vote shall be taken and the resolution passed, and how much time the commission has in which to vote, and if that vote shall be in a public meeting.

*So ordered.*

**Committee to Attend Pasadena Tournament of Roses.**

Supervisor Mead moved that the President of the Board be requested to appoint a committee of three Supervisors to represent the City and County of San Francisco in the Tournament of Roses to be held in Pasadena on New Year's Day.

Motion *carried.*

**Relative to Special Election for Power Distribution or Rapid Transit.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that when the Board recess, it does so to reconvene at 10 a. m., Tuesday, November 17, to consider whether there will be presented to the voters at a special election, the date to be fixed, proposal for rapid transit or for distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

**Announcement.**

Meeting of Judiciary Committee announced for November 20, 4 p. m.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 6:50 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow at 10 a. m., when consideration will be given to Plan No. 7 for the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and to the question of providing rapid transit for San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1936, 10:30 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of yesterday for the purpose of giving consideration of Plan No. 7 for the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power and to the question of Rapid Transit for San Francisco.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Shannon excused to attend meeting of Board of Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

THE CLERK: There should be a motion for a temporary presiding officer.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I move Supervisor Ratto take the chair.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Are there any objections? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, I have here a motion that I have prepared in writing, and I would like the Clerk to read it, and I would like the attention of the entire Board. Read it rather slowly, so the entire Board can hear it.

THE CLERK: Supervisor McSheehy presents the following motion:

"I move that it be the policy and intention of this Board of Supervisors to submit to the people of San Francisco at the first appropriate election the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power; and further, that this question so far as it involves bonds or financing other public projects, shall be exclusive at the said election; also this motion shall include request of the City Attorney to prepare the appropriate proceedings for the submission of the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, legally, to the voters; said procedure to include such Charter amendments, bond ordinances, or other legislation as are necessary to carry out the intention and policy of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would ask that it be read again, please.

(The Clerk thereupon read the proposed motion.)

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman——

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to the motion?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I was going to say that I would second that motion for Mr. McSheehy if he would take the part out about it being exclusive, for this reason: Mr. McSheehy, as you know, it only requires four votes of the Board of Supervisors to place a matter on the ballot and a majority of the Board might well vote for this measure and then find it is powerless to present any other measures from going on the ballot because of the four votes favoring such submission. So, I would suggest you eliminate the exclusion clause. In any event, I think the Board should discuss both of the matters before they exclude one of the other. I am offering a second, with that condition.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Naturally that would destroy the intent of the entire motion if I was to accept the suggestion made by Supervisor Brown. Now, if someone will second it I will state my reasons for a reply to that. The supervisor qualified his seconding of my motion, but if I receive a second it will be before the Board.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Mr. Chairman, I would be glad to second the motion submitted by Supervisor McSheehy. However, before doing so I would like to have some assurance that the P. G. and E. would

be acceptable to a plan of that kind. We have no assurance, to the best of my knowledge, that they are agreeable to the proposed plan, and I don't know, to the best of my knowledge, whether that has been explained to the Board or not.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would ask Mr. McSheehy what he means by the word "appropriate election"?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, I mean the first appropriate election, naturally will be the election——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it ought to be more specific. I want it put at the "first election." I don't want any "Appropriate election." The first election is the appropriate election in my judgment. I think this is the time when this project has the best chances of success it has ever had. Therefore, the first election will be the most appropriate election for the submission of this proposition. I am in favor of the idea, Mr. McSheehy, but your language is so complicated that I think it could be simplified throughout. I want the Hetch Hetchy power disposal proposition to be submitted at the next election, which is tentatively recommended as a date in February.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Supervisor Havenner, or any other supervisor, I would ask you to second it, and of course we can strike out—you can second it with the intent of striking out or adding to any of the language, and then it will be before us, so that is what I will ask with that thought in mind, and then we can strike out any of the words you wish afterward if we so conclude, because, of course, it will have to meet with the approval of the majority membership of this Board, but I want to get it before you.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: With that understanding, I will second it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is the understanding, Supervisor Mead.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, who drafted the resolution?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I drafted it myself.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What do you mean by the exclusion in that first paragraph?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will go over any portion of it that you wish. I can't explain three portions at once. I think I will first explain the part Mr. Brown wanted. I think that is the fair thing. He asked the first question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What was the question, Mr. Brown. You said you would second it if I struck out certain words.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: About the exclusion part of it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I answer your question? When I say "The exclusion of all others," I simply mean this, members of the Board: I for one member, and I think every other member of the Board feels the same way, that we should not attempt to place a Charter amendment before the people that we feel within ourselves would not pass. Now, we must first sell the idea to ourselves. I know I have tried to, in my own way, sell the first thought entirely to myself. If I can't sell it to myself I am a poor man to sell it to anybody else. Now, what position do we find ourselves in? We find ourselves in this position: We know that at the present time there is outstanding, if my memory serves me right, something like 163 million dollars worth of bonds, and I think there is something like 60 odd millions as the entire limit. We can't go over that. Now, if we attempt to place other bonds besides this particular bond before the people they will be defeated. We must place just one particular bond if we are going to carry it. Now, it is a mandate, almost a mandate from the Secretary of the Interior that we do distribute our own hydro-electric power—almost a mandate—this part of the contract that we have with our government that we must distribute that power. If we don't distribute that power the government of the United States can come right in and take the investment that we have made in the

Hetch Hetchy project, practically 130 millions of dollars. Now, we must—so therefore, when I write that word in there I mean just what I have written, that I cannot personally myself strike that word out. I would like to see this resolution passed by the whole Board, but if we are going to pass it in a way that we are going to put Hetch Hetchy on the ballot, and we are going to put some other bond resolution on the ballot, we will find that they will both be defeated and we are not only fooling ourselves but we are fooling the people of the city we are representing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I have a copy of the resolution?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will have copies of the resolution made. That is the thought I have behind me on that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, may I ask, your thought is in the passage of this to exclude any debate on the rapid transit, is that it?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes—I want to be frank with you——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: To exclude any debate?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, not to exclude any debate.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You can't stop us from debating.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't do that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We won't be able to go into the details of the rapid transit?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, you will. My understanding of your motion is that you don't want to attempt to block any discussion the Board wishes to bring up?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I couldn't if I wanted to, but I have no such intention. Supervisor Havenner, you asked me a question?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't understand the necessity for all of this debate. All I want to see done here is that the simple provision and agreement that the Charter amendment under discussion for the financing of the municipal power distribution system shall be presented at the next election, and then I shall oppose the submission at the same time of any other bond proceeding.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't see the logic of your entire objection.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am not objecting to that, except they are so complicated that I don't exactly understand what they mean.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think you do, Supervisor. You and I have associated together for a long, long time——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, I would say so in just simple words that nobody could misunderstand it. I want to shut off the rapid transit, or anything else, from this next election, and that is what I am going to fight to do. I think I understand their strategy in this whole situation very thoroughly. If they can get something else on the ballot they will have a chance to kill Hetch Hetchy. I realize that. We might as well be completely frank about it. I want to have Hetch Hetchy power on this ballot alone, and nothing else. To my judgment, anybody who is trying to push anything else on this ballot is either consciously or unconsciously abetting in an effort to kill the Hetch Hetchy proposition.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My views entirely coincide with yours on that matter. I said to you a minute ago, and say it now—that is why I am in agreement with you on that. In placing this motion I have incorporated in it various parts of it so there would be no misunderstanding. If any member of the Board wishes to strike out any portion of it——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I can't do it until I get a copy of it, and when I do I will be glad to make suggestions.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We will get them through as fast as we can, and have a couple stenographers work on them.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask Mr. McSheehy, have

you given thought to this situation: That, let us for the sake of argument suppose that the vote on your measure is six to five and it carries; Supervisor Havenner has already made very clear the fact that he intends to vote for this matter—now, it is quite easy to understand that we are not going to have an election before next year, in any event—I believe it is on January 4th.

SUPERVISOR UHL: February——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Supervisor Havenner's connection with this Board terminates, and you don't know now who is going to take Supervisor Havenner's place, and looking forward a little bit maybe we are anticipating how the Board will feel when it finally comes to a vote to place this matter on the ballot next year—in other words, isn't all of this discussion more or less academic?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am still a member of the Board, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I answer that question?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We are getting nowhere and I would like to straighten this out if I can.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, I will sit down.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Will you permit me to suggest something?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, certainly.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I think Supervisor Havenner and Supervisor McSheehy see eye to eye in this matter, have the same thought, and I think Supervisor McSheehy has written his thoughts and we all know what he means, but it is a little, if you will permit the criticism, it is a little too verbose, too many words to express the same thought. I would suggest that we recess for five minutes, and allow these two gentlemen to get together in the room there and come out here with something that will say just what you mean in as few words as possible.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We can do that when it comes to the Board. I don't want to recess for that purpose. We can do that when it comes here.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Well, we are doing nothing here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Brown has just asked a question in reference to Supervisor Havenner. Supervisor Brown, might I say this: I happen to be a member of this Charter Provision Committee—I happen to be a member of the Legislative Committee of this Board and the last day, the Charter election will take place on February 16th; it can't be postponed after that date because our financial set-up on the tax anticipation notes would fall due and we would find ourselves without sufficient funds to meet our general obligations. We have put it back—we first agreed on January 12th, and then it was postponed and now it will be February 16th.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Can it not be postponed, for example, to February 25th?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: For what purpose? In order to allow me to get off the Board?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You will be gone long before that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, but the time limit will expire very shortly before I get off the Board.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Just a minute. That is not the object at all. I will ask you the question: Can the election be somewhere in the twenties of February?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, we figured that out, Mr. Leavy, your Controller——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And your Controller.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: And my Controller, figured that out, and it can not take place any later than that particular date because we can not—he will not have his tax anticipation notes sold so that he can meet the obligations that he has to meet. Now, that is on

February 16th. On January 4th is the last day that this Board must pass or act on it for the reason that it has to have 40 days for advertising. That is the statement made by our own City Attorney. And December the 14th is the last day we can set propositions to place on the ballot for the election which will take place on February 16th. Then it is so settled—you have this motion before you now, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman: I appreciate very much the unintentional compliment that some of my colleagues are paying me this morning by suggesting that the termination of this matter be delayed until after I am no longer a member of the Board. However, in order to allay any misapprehension they may have concerning my attitude toward this matter, I want to say to them that I hope to be able to support it much more effectively after I get to Washington than perhaps I can do even here. That is my intention, very frankly, and I want everybody to know it. I expect to be able, hope to be able to enlist some very valuable support in Washington for the passage of this proposition. So, if they have any idea that I am going to abate my support of it after I leave the Board they are entirely in error, but I think the thing might as well be decided before I leave the Board and if that is the issue, let it become public, let the press know it, and let the people know it. It is an obvious move by way of suggestion and we might as well have it threshed out publicly. However, I can say to you this, if you are interested in the attitude of our public utilities manager toward this proposition: He said to me yesterday, before he left the meeting, that he was thoroughly convinced that it would be fatal to both propositions if they were put on the ballot at the same time, that he was thoroughly convinced that only one bond proposition ought to go before the people at the next election, and he did not want to offer his suggestion as to which one should go. But, as I have stated here yesterday, I think that is a matter for the determination of this Board. The Charter clearly vests in this body the authority to determine when the public convenience and necessity requires a bonding proposition to be submitted to the people, and so I don't think we need any outside advice on that whatsoever. We are all thoroughly familiar with the problem that is before us, and we can all make up our own minds with the information that we have had in tremendous volume in the past as to what the decision ought to be on the part of this Board. Now, of course, if the majority of this Board wants to deliberately defer the decision until I am no longer a member of the Board, they have the authority by a majority vote to arrive at that decision, but so far as I am concerned, respectfully and modestly, I shall oppose any such proposition. I have been very much interested in this undertaking ever since I have been a member of the Board, and I desire to exercise my voice in the determination of this question before I retire from the Board, and it is my present intention not to retire from the Board until the first of the year, when my new term begins; unless something very unexpected should occur that will be my course. So, the question is before us and I think we ought to decide it now.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Chairman: I am assuming the question is definitely before us. The motion has been made, and I want to discuss the question just as it appears before us——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: May I say one word before you do that, Mr. Colman? The statement was made by Mr. Havenner——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am not going to discuss that——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I have no desire in the world, Mr. Havenner, of preventing you discussing this matter to your heart's content. I was just pointing out to the Board that all of this matter might all be nullified by the fact that you are leaving for a higher place in the future.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, that is true, and we might as

well make the issue clear to the people at this time. The gentleman is suggesting at any rate that perhaps the appointment of my successor may be determined by his attitude toward this question. I hope that it will be, very frankly, and I think very properly it should be. I hate to express my own political position but apparently half of the voters of this City, and I want to say I haven't the remotest doubt of what the attitude of the other voters would be on this particular question. In the past, when this question came up, the vote of the City has been divided more or less along the lines of the congressional districts, and the major part of the support for municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power has happened to be in the district which I do not represent at the present time, or which I will not represent when I become a member of Congress; so I don't think there need be any uncertainty in the mind of the appointing power as to the attitude of the rest of the City toward this question. Now, if that is going to be the issue, let us have it thoroughly understood so that the people may know when the determination is made as to the identity of my successor—though apparently a good many people think the appointment ought to be made on the issue of whether he favors or does not favor municipal distribution of power. That is a clear cut issue. Why not fight it out on those lines? Of course, we here in the Board will have no voice obviously in the selection of my successor, but if it is hoped by those who oppose the distribution of municipal power that my successor will be one who will vote against it, let us let the people know it in advance, and they won't be under any misapprehensions when the appointment takes place.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Chairman: The words that he flatters himself. I had no thought in my mind of importance when I suggested that the election be later in February than now—the reason for that had nothing in the world to do with the Congressman-elect. We are discussing the question of which question shall be submitted to the people at the next election, the question of rapid transit, or retail distribution of power. Now, that is the only question that really is before us, with its implied threats of attacking the honor of our colleague has no bearing whatsoever——

I am going to appeal to the common sense of the members of this Board and ask them which issue is more important to the people of San Francisco, the question of rapid transit or the question of retail distribution of power. On the answer to that question determines the way you will vote, if you vote on the issue entirely on its merits, and I am not concerned with an attempt being made to create a political issue or an issue that does not exist today. There is one thing the people of San Francisco need above all others, and that is rapid transit. If you gentlemen attempt by six, eight, ten or twelve, or all the votes in the world, to tell the people of San Francisco that the question of power is more important than the question of rapid transit, why, they will laugh at you, those who have common sense enough to think and see know the conditions that actually exists here in our City. Six votes, I realize, can put this matter on the ballot, and it may be quite likely that those six votes are here, but six votes cannot make the issue of power more important than the issue of rapid transit, nor can our Congressman-elect, and I say this with due respect, and with great admiration for him, nor can he make the issue of power more important than the issue of rapid transit. Everybody knows that we have an adequate supply of power for heat and light, that we have an adequate supply of gas for power, heat and light, the least use being in the last. There is no question in the world about that. Everyone knows that anyone can get all the power they need, industry or anyone else. Everyone knows that the rates charged to our people for power are comparable and comparative with the rates charged in any city on the Pacific Coast, and everyone knows that the power company is under the control of the Railroad Commission, so that no one can say with any degree of truth or common sense that there is need for the development of San Francisco, for the urgent

need of San Francisco, no one can truthfully say that that is a pressing issue for the people of San Francisco, and I challenge anyone to come up and make that remark, that there is any hardship being endured by our citizens, any inconvenience, any lack of protection, any lack of development that can be definitely charged to a lack of or a proper distribution of gas or electricity to our people.

Now, let us contrast the other situation of rapid transit. Since we spoke, the San Francisco Bay Bridge has been opened and now is in use by the citizens of both sides of the bay. No doubt every member of the Board has been over the bridge, not once, twice or three times—I don't know how many times, but you are all familiar with the conditions that exist and you must realize, and if you don't you simply don't see or won't see or have this issue so confused in your mind that for some reason or other you can only see one situation and can't see the pressing needs of your own citizens. You must admit that something must be done regarding rapid transit, and taking care of the traffic on the streets of San Francisco. Now, as I say, six of you can wisely get up and explain your vote and make it seem plausible if you like, and your vote would count, and that would be the official attitude of San Francisco under the law, but you can do that all day long and all night long, but still you can't change the fact that what you need is rapid transit. You cannot change it and it is idiotic to think you can change it. I will tell you this, in my opinion if, when the City is pressed by an issue so strong as this, which it needs so vitally, you getting up and supporting the view that power is more important must do more to defeat the power bonds than any action that you could possibly take because if the people of San Francisco know what they want, they know what they need, and they would laugh, they must laugh and ridicule anyone who would have the—well, I can't—I don't know what to call it—have the lack of knowledge to place the power bonds ahead of the rapid transit bonds.

Reference was made to the manager of the utilities by Mr. Havenner and his attitude. Now, the Board is not concerned with what Mr. Cahill thinks about whether two bond issues or one will carry or not. That is really a political question and not an expert question on public utilities, but, as I understand it, Mr. Cahill thinks that the rapid transit bonds should come first and in that regard his opinion should be taken with a great deal of respect because he is considering that from an engineering viewpoint and from the need of the entire City, with which he is very familiar. Mr. Cahill told me that it was not his concern, but he told me he would be glad to come here and in reply to a simple question, "Which do you think is the more important?", he is prepared to advise the Board that he thinks that the rapid transit is more important, and in reply to the question, "Which one should have precedence over the other?", he would say that the rapid transit should have precedence over the matter of distribution of power.

Now, the reason I asked Mr. McSheehy whether the election could be delayed until some date in the twenties was this reason: It is true to a degree that the Board at this moment is not of a mind on the question of rapid transit, that it has not come to a decision as to which—I think every member of the Board believes in the necessity of rapid transit. I have never heard anyone express any opinion contrary to that. We appear at the moment to have different views as to how this thing can be brought about, whether to take the $52,000,000 proposal of the Public Utilities Commission, whether possibly to divide it into units and submit the Market street unit first, or whether to combine some of the other suggestions may be, or whether—Mr. Uhl favors the four-track subway—as I say, at this minute our minds are not together, but this is November 17th, and if this Board of Supervisors between now and January the 4th, which was the date you stated, or I would hope a little bit longer—and that was the only reason I had for calling the election up in the twenties—that could be done so that forty days would carry us a little bit further along so that with half of November, and all of December, this Board, by

meeting day and night, in my opinion, could come to a mind, must come to a mind on the question of rapid transit, and must finally determine which proposal in our minds would be the best for the people, and submit it. Now, that is the only object I have, to give us a little more time. However, I accept Mr. McSheehy's word entirely, if January 4th is all the time we have, it is my opinion that we can still come to a mind on the question of rapid transit. It is not beyond human possibility. Working day and night that could be done.

Now, gentlemen, I have been a member of this Board a long time and I recall very few instances where the Board has asked thirty—about forty-five days ahead of time that it is required to take action to tie itself up by expressing a policy when they are not in a position to do it. Why should this Board go on record now when a great deal can happen in 45 days, when we possibly will know much more about rapid transit if we apply ourselves to it vigorously and properly and why should we go on record to do something when we don't know what we are doing? Now, those are my views.

I want to state this, too: That from what I have heard, though there is no proposal here and that is another matter, I don't like to tie myself up ahead to submit any proposal when I don't know in what form the proposal is, and neither do you. If you are going to make yourselves a lot of "yes men" and vote to go along, why, that is your privilege, too, but how do you know the form it is going to be in, how do you know you will like it when it comes and why should we by our vote state what we are going to do ahead of time? It is the last thing I will do, as a member of a legislative body. I am told indirectly, as I say, that there is another proposal here, I am told indirectly that another attempt is going to be made to tear down the safeguards that have existed in our Charter since the City was born, and which, in my opinion, have resulted in San Francisco's splendid credit condition today, I am informed. I am informed that this thing will come in such a way that although bond issues are safeguarded by the necessity of eight votes of this Board that this is going to appear as a Charter amendment which, in the first place, only requires six votes to submit to the people, and which, in the second place—to which I am unalterably opposed—may be passed by a majority vote of the people of San Francisco. The thing is very skillfully presented, in a way to circumvent safeguards that have meant a great deal to the people of San Francisco. Gentlemen, if that is true, and I speak now only from rumor because nothing has come before us and you don't know what you are going to be asked to vote on, I am opposed to it and I will vote against its presentation to the people in that form, and I will do all I possibly can, whatever that amounts to, in defeating such a proposal.

Now, you are asked here today to determine between the merits of two proposals. You don't even know one of the proposals. In fact, you don't know what form the other proposal is in. You are asked to declare a question of policy that you consider the retail distribution of power of more importance to the people of San Francisco than supplying them with rapid transportation. You know the manager of the utilities corporation has told the members of this Board, not once but repeatedly, that if something is not done to take care of the rapid transit San Francisco is threatened with the loss of a serious number of its population. This view is not only expressed to us by Mr. Cahill, but has been expressed to us by the members of practically every civic body in San Francisco, right down the line, improvement clubs, civic bodies, are unanimous in the thought that rapid transit is of vital importance. They may not agree as to the way of bringing it about That is another question. That is a question for us to determine after consultation with all parties, but there has never been a voice in San Francisco to say that we don't need rapid transit, and yet today, without knowing what form this rapid transit is, how much it is going to cost, in what shape it is going to be, you are asked to go on record and express yourselves in favor of the power

business, that that is of more importance than the other, and that that should be presented to the exclusion of all others. Well, gentlemen, in my opinion, you have reached a cross-roads here where you have to choose, in my opinion, on the one side for what is unquestionably and universally acknowledged to be a most urgent thing. I don't think any city in America today faces the urgent need for rapid transit that does San Francisco. You can see how it is working already. You can imagine, go ahead a couple years and visualize the lower deck of the bridge when it is running, with the two tracks taking the suburban people from Plan X over across that bridge. They can get on the car at Plan X, take a comfortable seat, and whisk over the bridge to their destination on the other side of the bay for five cents, or whatever the fare may be—I don't know, but in comfort and with an increase of speed that will certainly be most appealing; and what have we? Our people must still hang on the car straps, crowding in the cars—Market street with its one minute headway—the traffic congestion morning and night. Why, gentlemen, is that question of retail distribution of power of more importance than safeguarding the future of San Francisco? Do you realize what it would mean for a hundred thousand people to move to the other side of the bay? Do you realize the shrinkage in the property values in our city? Do you realize that we would lose our prominence as the metropolis of the coast? Do you realize that maybe the East Bay will take over everything we have got if you don't do something, if you don't take care of this condition which you cannot deny and no one else can deny? How, in God's name, can you represent the people of San Francisco and today, on November 17, say that in January you are going to put up the question of power to the people of San Francisco instead of what they really want and need, and that is the question of rapid transit?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to reply to Supervisor Colman. The supervisor has at length given us views of the comparative value of the Hetch Hetchy distribution of power to the rapid transit. He has omitted to tell us that the Secretary of the Interior is holding the sword of Damocles over our head. We don't know what will happen if we don't distribute our power. A method of distributing the power has been presented to us which, to all appearances at present, seems to be of remarkbale advantage to this city, and I will read to you what Mr. Cahill gave to us a few days ago.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What who?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Cahill, on the subject of the distribution of power, and ask you if you wouldn't rush into that business yourself as an individual if you had sufficient money to do it, and if we should not do it for the people of San Francisco.

"Plan 7 first pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then:

Pays off and retires bonds in amounts of $1,748,000 per year, and then

Pays into depreciation reserve fund $1,260,000 per year, and then

Pays into the General Fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year, and after all that, produces a

Surplus revenue of over $4,000,000 per year, and in addition to all this, Plan 7 reduces power rates to consumers in San Francisco by $1,721,000 per year."

If we had $4,000,000 surplus money we Supervisors could appropriate that to build the rapid transit with a very small bond issue, perhaps, to start the work. Four million a year in ten years would give you $40,000,000. It will take some years before your rapid transit is complete. You can't build it in a day. It is practically $53,000,000. You have only got $58,000,000 worth of bonding limit and you simply can't do it. Your arguments were good, they were excellent, and I endorse every word you said regarding the importance of rapid transit, but we have no money. You can get the money through the profits

of the distribution of electric power. Do you refuse it? You don't know the day, you don't know the minute we will be jacked up by the government of the United States. The government of the United States is strong today, the people have expressed their wishes, their will, regarding power all over the United States. We know the attitude of the President, and the attitude of the Department of the Interior which has expressed itself in writing, and we have their statement. Is it not wise to do something to bring into our treasury a clean $4,000,000 surplus profit that we can use to build the very subways that we need? I will agree with you that we need them, but you can't have both. That is impossible. I doubt if you could have one, that is the $53,000,000 for subways, when the people realize that they have got less than $60,000,000 bonding limit, I doubt if they would go that far. You can, if a proper Charter amendment is submitted to the people, ask the people specifically—a Charter amendment specifically to provide revenue bonds to build this Hetch Hetchy distribution system, specifically for that—not as you expressed a moment ago—submit a Charter amendment by a mere majority, adopt revenue bonds for all purposes—no, but specifically for building this Hetch Hetchy power distribution system. I believe the people, if this is given to them, if it is correct, and it is up to us yet to find out if it is correct—we have not had a discussion yet with Mr. Cahill, but if that is absolutely correct I believe the people will gladly vote overwhelmingly a Charter amendment which will give this Board the power to issue some $43,000,000 of revenue bonds for Hetch Hetchy purposes. I believe they will. Certainly, I would rush into that if it were my own business, and I am trying to do the business of the City as I would do my own.

We can't omit from consideration Hetch Hetchy power, and I don't believe that you need to do so, Supervisor Colman, but you are balancing both rapid transit and the Hetch Hetchy power distribution one against the other. From your remarks I take it you look upon rapid transit as the more important. Perhaps it is. It is very important. There is no doubt about that, but you haven't any money. With the other you might, according to some—you may build your rapid transit out of the profits of the Hetch Hetchy. You may build it at $4,000,000 a year. If you don't reduce the power rates to consumers we would have nearly 2,000,000 more, nearly 6,000,000 a year which we can throw into the rapid transit development. I think we are all trying to do our best for the City, for the people, and I think we all have the same views. We just differ in some, I will say, minor respects. I believe we should start with the Hetch Hetchy because that will bring into our treasury nearly $6,000,000, nearly 2,000,000 of which will go to reducing the rate, the electric rate to the taxpayers, and 4,000,000 of which will go into our treasury. It is a mighty fine proposition. I would hesitate to throw that up, and particularly if it can be built, and it should be built out of revenue bonds that are no obligation whatever upon the real estate of the people of San Francisco.

The other day at the dedication exercises, in Oakland, of the bridge I heard the Governor say: "The greatest achievement in the matter of this bridge is the fact that it has been built without any obligation whatever on any city, on any county, and not even the State of California." Now, I heard him say that. He took pride in the fact that revenue bonds built that bridge which we are proud of. Let revenue bonds build this, specifically, this only. I can't—no—I don't want to open the door by a Charter amendment that will permit revenue bonds for anything that six or eight members or eleven members of this Board might want. I am somewhat inclined to your view in that respect, but for a specific thing like this, that we know will pay, at least if Mr. Cahill has made no mistake—we can check, we have Mr. Ellis, and we have others to check if this is correct, and I believe it to be so. I have faith in Mr. Ost, who worked for so many years with

Mr. O'Shaughnessy, and is responsible for the O'Shaughnessy reports, and has been in this with Mr. Cahill. I have faith in this man. We have a brilliant proposal, financially speaking, and we can not overlook it lightly. It must be taken into consideration.

As to which shall be first, I am for the income paying project which will cost the citizens of San Francisco not a dime, and will be no obligation on their property, and will be a facsimile, an identical duplication of the Bay Bridge which is now operating and is a success; $77,000,000 has been borrowed, not on the face of the people of the State of California, as he said, not any city, not any county, not even the State of California, is obligated to pay one nickle of those bonds, that the profits of the bridge will pay the $77,000,000, and no other way shall be taken to pay those bonds. The same thing can apply exactly on all-fours to this Hetch Hetchy distribution system. Therefore, I regard this as the more important of the two. I trust I have made a little dent.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, I would like to take the floor again to answer Mr. Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to have a few words before the Supervisor speaks again.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: As he referred to me particularly——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is the Supervisor going to be allowed to violate all the rules of the Board this morning?

THE CHAIRMAN: I must consider you out of order. This morning you have spoken twice already.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is true, I have; but he has asked me certain questions and I would request the privilege of answering them.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think your request will be granted just as soon as Mr. Havenner——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Very briefly, Mr. Havenner, and then you will have all the opportunity——

THE CHAIRMAN: As soon as Supervisor Havenner yields to your request—I must consider Supervisor Havenner in order.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I thought you allowed me the floor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Supervisor never has very much regard to the rights of others. I will yield to him.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Accepting it, I do not admit the statement at all. I think I have a very keen regard to the rights of others.

Mr. Roncovieri brought up the attitude of the President of the United States. I want to call his attention to the fact that at one of our meetings the President of the United States—we heard that the President of the United States had called a conference of the Tennessee Valley Authorities to meet with the utility people to discuss the question that arose back there, and the President at that time stated that he favored a co-operation between the government and the public utilities companies, with the view of stopping duplications, and various other expenditures that did not appear to be justified, and he hoped to be able to work out some method together. It was suggested by a member of this Board that we send a representative of this Board there but the reason the committee, which is Mr. McSheehy's committee, did not act favorably was because it was for the consideration of a specific thing to which we were not invited, but we appointed Mr. Paul Vetters, and requested that he represent San Francisco at that meeting, and to advise the Board what transpired, and any view that the President of the United States might have with regard to the government and the utilities corporations getting together in a co-operative body. I would like to move now that we ask for a report from Mr. Vetters, as to what transpired at that meeting. I would like to have that information, if I can receive

a second, and I would like to move that we wire Mr. Vetters to inquire if he has any report to make regarding that conference.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will gladly second that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I want to call the attention of Mr. McSheehy to a paragraph in Plan 7 report, which is in there, and I am taking it at its face value. It is that it is the hope of the Public Utilities Commission that these negotiations with the P. G. & E., that the matter can be handled by negotiations which would not disturb the pleasant relations always existing between the City and the company, however, it was intimated in the report that in case the matter went to court that a long and expensive period of litigation might follow. Personally, I have no idea as to what the attitude of the company is with regard to the sale of its retail properties. I think that is a matter that might well be gone into by this Board if the Board is going to become involved in a long litigation, and costly litigation, which might well last over a period of years, I think the Board should have some intimation as to that. In fact, conditions were paragraphed in there which ought to have at least our consideration. That statement is made and, as I say, I have no means only as a member of the Board, of knowing what the attitude of the company will be, whether they will sell their properties at the price named by the Public Utilities Commission, or whether they will not. It is a fact, however, that should the company decide it will not sell its properties to the City for the price mentioned and take the matter into court, the fixing of the price no longer remains in the Public Utilities Commission, the fixing of the price would them go to the Railroad Commission, which might put a different valuation on the thing by the time it takes place.

Now, there is another point, Mr. Roncovieri. I don't know, the statement was not made—perhaps Mr. Ellis can enlighten us—the statement was not made that the City would receive in its general fund a profit of $4,000,000 cash which apparently Mr. Roncovieri thinks could be put aside for the relief of the tax payers. As I understand it—as I understand that, in bringing up the report, you took the figures of the Railroad Commission made before and eliminated from that the cost on which a separate charge was made, but that you found in the meantime, in the last few years that there had been comparatively little in the way of additions made due to the fact that business has been so quiet during the depression that those expenditures were not necessary, but I gather that of the $4,000,000 profit substantial additions and betterments would have to be made from time to time on our plant were the City to take over under Plan 7. Can you enlighten me on that? In other words, is it your statement that a $4,000,000 profit could be placed in the general fund which might, as Mr. Roncovieri expressed, after a period of years, ten years, be $40,000,000 which would be available for the City? I will ask Mr. Ellis.

MR. ELLIS: The $4,000,000 is the estimated annual surplus of the distribution system after taking care of all bond interest, operating expenses, retirements, and things of that kind. As to the disposition of the $4,000,000, there was no report made. In other words, any material extensions of the system would be made out of the $4,000,000, but there is no statement that there would be $4,000,000 turned over to the general fund. As to the disposition of that, it would be in the hands of the Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But is it not a fact that there would be material extensions necessary from time to time?

MR. ELLIS: Oh, there will be material extensions in any distribution system, of course, the normal extensions.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I understand that, but I was told, I understood that due to the fact that during the depression the normal rate of extensions were not made by the company, and that now that

the depression is over and that the power business is on the increase it will be necessary to make the extensions, alterations, and additions.

MR. ELLIS: Not any more than normal. In other words, during the depression the power sales fell off quite materially. In other words, the plant in San Francisco was very much overbuilt for the business that they had. After they hit a point as to where they were, say in 1931, in their sales, there will be the normal extension beyond that. I mean, there is no heavy deferred construction facing the company in San Francisco at the moment. In other words, you see, if they had kept on in 1932 and so on, at the rate they were going in 1930 and 1931 it would have necessitated the $750,000 every year, but the sales fell off very rapidly, the result not only in San Francisco, but all over their system, and they probably had 25 per cent of their plant that was of no practical use to them. They are now building up to that.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Roncovieri: I would say where you and I differ is you want to have a distribution system, assuming the success of your plan, to apply to a city of very much decreased population and not take care of the rapid transit. Now, if you can hope to take care of the rapid transit from the profits of the company—I can not quite share that view—I am not disputing the figures of Mr. Ost, but I don't think you have demonstrated the greater importance by a long shot of going into the power business as compared to going into the rapid transit business because you have not in any way taken care of the objections that I have attempted to point out to you, the great dangers to our City that would result from no rapid transit, namely a shrinkage in property value and the shrinkage in population which would be very startling, and would be inevitably more than to offset a financial gain—the success of the system with a decreasing population and decreasing property value—and from all the evidence submitted to the Board that certainly appears to substantiate that view.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to have a few words with the gentleman. Mr. Chairman: I want to brush aside this effort to sidetrack the real issue before us, and get back to the question here. Supervisor Colman, in his opening statement characteristically expressed a very profound conviction of his authority to interpret the political opinions of the people of San Francisco. He says that there is no question in the minds of the people of San Francisco that it is more important to submit at this time the rapid transit issue than it is to submit the Hetch Hetchy power issue. I seem to recall that only about two or three weeks ago Supervisor Colman asserted, and according to the press, he joined with them as loudly proclaiming their convictions, that it was far more important for the people of America to have Landon than it was to have Roosevelt. That is only about two and a half or three weeks ago.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: I think that is—I want the gentleman to know that I voted——

THE CHAIRMAN: Please, gentlemen.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: His statement must be corrected.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I can only judge him by his party activities in the political campaign. I know who his associates are.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: I cannot refute the statement that my political activity was in behalf of Mrs. Florence P. Kahn, but my vote was for Mr. Roosevelt. That resulted in an apparent inconsistency, but due to many other conditions for which I have no apology whatsoever to offer.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I do not yield any further.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think that statement should be withdrawn when I state to my gentleman that my vote went for the President.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am delighted to hear that, but the votes of his associates, and the votes of those people with whom he has been associated in the past in opposition to this issue, did not go to Mr. Roosevelt, or if they did then certainly their pre-election activities did not go to him. However, that was simply by way of illustration of the gentleman's authority to interpret the attitude of the people of San Francisco at this time.

Now, Mr. Chairman: I have been accused of a sentimental attitude when profusely I have expressed my belief that the City of San Francisco ought to be in honor bound to keep to its publicly and legally expressed promise, no matter whether it be in this case or in any other case. Some of my friends cynically say that is pure sentiment, that it is emotionalism, that sentiment and emotion cannot enter into public business. I respectfully and eternally disagree with them and as far as I am concerned I believe that the solemn word of the City, pledged by the vote of this Board, pledged by the vote of certain members sitting here today, although the action was taken more than a decade ago, ought to be a pledge of honor, ought to be a thing that supersedes all subsequent consideration and ought to bind us now that the opportunity has finally arrived which they said then did not exist, ought to bind us now to put this proposition ahead of any material consideration. And I will stand by that before any audience of American citizens. I want to read to you again—maybe I have read it to you in the past, but I want to read to you the preamble—I say preamble only in the sense of its precedence here to the rest of this ordinance, but a preamble to the ordinance whereby this City entered into the agreement for the sale of its power through the agency of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and I will read you those passages which I consider an obligation of honor to San Francisco regardless of who may be representing San Francisco now or in the future. I shall read to you from parts of the electric distribution agreement, Bill No. 7164, Ordinance No. 6684, adopted by the Board of Supervisors Monday, June 29, 1925. I will not read it all to you, and if anybody thinks I have omitted anything of importance they can call my attention to it, but here are the sections to which I have reference:

"Whereas, the City has not yet constructed or acquired a transmission line from the point near Newark to the City limits, and has not yet constructed or acquired a distribution system for utilizing the power produced at Moccasin plant and delivering the same for general municipal uses and for sale to consumers of electric energy within the limits of the City and County; and

Whereas, pursuant to resolutions of its Board of Supervisors looking to the acquisition of a municipally owned electrical distribution system, the City has commenced and there is now pending before the Railroad Commission of the State of California proceedings for the determination by the Commission of the compensation to be paid by the City for the local distribution systems and certain steam plants now owned and operated by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company of California, respectively, when the same shall be taken over by the City under eminent domain proceedings, or otherwise; and

Whereas, the City has not funds available at the present time with which to purchase or construct a distribution system of its own and it will be necessary to submit a proposition to the people to vote bonds to provide money for that purpose before a distribution system can be purchased or constructed, and the City cannot well determine whether to purchase one or both of the local distribution systems, or to construct a distribution system of its own until the Railroad Commission determines the amount of compensation to be paid by the City for the taking of either or both of said local distribution systems under the proceedings now pending before the Commission; and

Whereas, the City intends to complete its power transmission line

from Newark to San Francisco and to acquire or construct a distribution system of its own."

Those paragraphs which I have read to you are part and parcel of the law adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 1925 whereby the present agreement with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company was entered into. They constitute, in my opinion, a pledge of the honor of San Francisco, and in my opinion, anybody who says that we ought to ignore, after the lapse of more than ten years, to ignore that pledge of honor and put something else ahead of it, has no regard for the honor of San Francisco, and places, in my opinion, the interests of a private corporation above the interests of this City which we represent. I believe that very, very frankly. The Secretary of the Interior obviously agrees with that viewpoint, because in the hearings which we attended in Washington a year ago last May he repeatedly referred to the failure of San Francisco to live up to its sound pledge to fulfill the obligation which it had assumed, and he said very pointedly and with great justification that we have repeatedly used our credit during the past decade for other purposes, that you have always found excuses not to use your credit for the electrical distribution system but to use it for every other conceivable purpose and now, he said, you come before me again and plead financial inability to do the thing that you pledged to do ten years ago and it is the same old story today. I don't know how plausible or how sentimental the arguments may be that are advanced to you now. They are simply a repetition of any others that have been adopted in the past. The game of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company was, if necessary, to wreck the financial stability of San Francisco, to exhaust its credit before they would permit this pledge to be carried out.

Now, in my judgment, my friends, the people are in a temper today to carry out this pledge, and I hope that a majority of the members of this Board will place in them the opportunity to decide whether they believe that there is any honor or any sacredness in the pledged word of the City which they represent and which we represent. That argument, in my opinion, is of far more importance in the life of this City, and in the history of this City, than any spacious temporary argument that may be advanced to the contrary.

Now, Mr. Chairman, there is no doubt about the seriousness of the crisis which confronts San Francisco now. These same people who are making these arguments to you today used to deny in the past that there was any crisis confronting this City with respect to its flagrant violation of its agreement with the Federal government for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power. That denial has been deserted by the righteous action of the present Secretary of the Interior who has made it very, very plain that he intends to compel San Francisco, regardless of the attitude of certain of its misrepresentatives, he intends to compel San Francisco to live up to its agreement with the government and in that attitude I heartily agree with him for the reasons I have just tried to explain to you. I want to tell you that I know the man and I don't think you can have very much misapprehension in your own mind concerning the man because you have had an opportunity to observe him in these past few years, and, in my judgment, there has been no more courageous man in public life in my experience than the present Secretary of the Interior. Therefore, I don't think any of you who are at all intelligent can entertain any reasonable doubt as to what he is going to do if you don't do your part now. Now your part now consists solely of giving the people the opportunity to determine whether they want to go through with this agreement. If they do not, the responsibility will not be on your shoulders, my colleagues, but it will be on the shoulders of the people themselves, and I believe that is why there is this effort now somehow or other to defer or postpone submission of this proposition. I believe that the opponents of the electric distribution here in San

Francisco realize that the people are in a temper now to undertake a public enterprise of this kind. I think the last election demonstrated that beyond all possibility of a reasonable doubt, and that is why there is this desperate effort now to delay this thing and the effort is ridiculous on its face. The progress of rapid transit, and, I have no quarrel with rapid transit, I will probably vote for some proposition for rapid transit here if I am convinced that it is reasonable and sound, but they are at loggerheads, they are fighting each other, trying to knife each other's proposition, and they won't be in agreement for months and months, and there won't be any delay for which you and I are responsible for any rapid transit proposition which has a chance to carry.

I think I had an opportunity to contact a great deal of this community during the last campaign, and I have heard in various parts of town a very skeptical attitude toward any of the propositions submitted thus far, and my experience in these bond issues, and I have had as much experience as any member of the Board with bond issues, would make me very, very doubtful of the chances of success of any proposition submitted to us if it were placed before the people at this time. Furthermore, there is not going to be agreement enough to submit it. So, your submission of the Heach Hetchy proposition at this time is not going to delay a fair determination by the people of the question of providing rapid transit here in this City. All we are asking you is that at this time, in an election called for the primary purpose of a Charter revision, not for the primary purpose of submitting a rapid transit proposition at all, but for the primary purpose of submitting certain measures for Charter revision, that we may carry out our pledged word to the Secretary of the Interior and submit at the same time the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power. That is the whole question and, gentlemen, don't let anybody deceive you. These people who are against this thing are going to be against it forever. No matter under what circumstances it may be presented to you, they will still have some form of spacious argument to put up to you why you should not do it. So, gentlemen, I think you are bound by the sacred honor of San Francisco to submit this proposition now. If the people should not see fit to approve it, go ahead, you can call another election and you will be able to call another election just as soon as you decide amongst yourselves what kind of rapid transit proposition you should prepare to submit to the people. There is no doubt about that. You are not going to be rushed into telling something in the face of bitter opposition on the part of large groups in San Francisco here, and you would be fools if you did because bond issues do not succeed that way. Bond issues have to start out with the support of a substantial majority of the whole community before they have a chance to succeed, and you all know that—I know it. So I hope that—at this time the question is not of the technical aspects of the proposition, not the technical merits of this or that proposition of rapid transit which may be in the offing, the question is, will you at the next election carry out the pledged word of San Francisco and submit the question of municipal distribution of electric power to the people, and I hope you will.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you submit to a question?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you submit it if it were found——

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: He is asking a question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you be in favor of submitting Plan 7 regardless of the profit that has been set forth by Mr. Cahill?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Regardless of what?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Regardless of profit shown by Mr. Cahill.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If you mean in the event I become

convinced that Mr. Cahill's profit is slightly in error I would feel justified in advocating it, yes. But even though I didn't think—we have our pledge to the Federal Government which is not based on any consideration of profit but was based on the assumption that the municipal distribution would be for the benefit of the people.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Regardless of the financial outcome?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It was based on that assumption, that it would be. Just as the municipal distribution of water, the same argument used to be made here before we took over Spring Valley, the same identical argument, it is going to be unprofitable, it will not earn its way, and all of these arguments repeated almost identically were made to us then. I am convinced, sufficiently convinced in my own mind, that this particular project can not fail to be profitable to the people of San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman: A contract we have with our government, a contract that this City has expended the huge sum of $130,000,000, a feasible contract; that contract was signed on December 16, 1913, by the President of the United States. Now, in that contract there has been some differences of opinion. I don't know why, but they are. Now, I will read to you just two small portions of that contract. Section 6:

"That the grantee is prohibited from selling or letting to any corporation or individual except a municipality or municipal water district or irrigaton district the right to sell or sublet the water or the electric energy sold or given to it or by him by the said grantee."

Now, you know entirely what that means. It means that the United States government gave to the City of San Francisco the right to impound the Hetch Hetchy water supply, which was part of Yosemite Valley, and a portion of that property was the property of the United States government. It took us weeks, it took us months, yes, over a year to get that bill through Congress. Now, another section:

"That the rights hereby granted shall not be sold, assigned or transferred to any private person or corporation or association, and in case of any attempt to sell, assign or transfer or convey this grant, this grant shall revert to the government of the United States."

Now, if we attempt to—we have to in some degree—not to comply with that contract the government of the United States can take these properties from us and we will be left high and dry. Now, time after time we have gone along and we have visited the Department of the Interior, and Mr. Ickes in no uncertain words has told us exactly how he has felt in reference to this particular matter. So, on July 31st of this very year—this is a letter that I received from Mr. Sharron, assistant secretary to Mr. Cahill:

"Enclosed herewith for your information is a copy of a letter received today from the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, addressed to Mr. Cahill, relative to Plan 5, 6 and 7, for the retail distribution of Hetch Hetchy power by the City and County of San Francisco."

Now, this is Mr. Ickes' letter to Mr. Cahill:

"Mr. Edwin G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, Public Utilities Commission.

dated July 27, 1936.

"My dear Mr. Cahill. I received your letter of July 16th with which you enclosed copies of Plans 5, 6 and 7 for retail distribution of Hetch Hetchy power by the City and County of San Francisco. I sincerely hope that the Board of Supervisors may find one of those plans to be acceptable and feasible. May I request that as soon as the Board of Supervisors has taken action that I be advised of that fact so that I may evaluate the plan in accordance with the standard set up by the Raker Act and so that the action can be taken with as little delay as possible on the application. Your San Francisco has

filed with the Board of Public Works Administration for a grant of funds affecting the municipal distribution of electric power.

"Sincerely yours,

(Signed) "HAROLD L. ICKES."

Now, members of the Board, what problem have we before us here today? There is an effort on the part of some members of this Board to place two propositions on the ballot. There is an effort on the part of some members of the Board to place the rapid transit bond issue on the ballot exclusive of the Hetch Hetchy, or, in other words, they want to place both if possible, and, no one knows better than these members that they will defeat both propositions. We can not place both propositions, as I have stated before, on the ballot with any thought of success. We must succeed because if we do not succeed some time the government is not going to wait on forever, it will come in and develop our own power in her own way and perhaps she will develop a lot of that power to our adjacent cities and we will find that we are holding the bag after paying the bill. We don't know what will happen. During all the years, and I have had a great many years in the advocacy of distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, during all the years we have never received such a favorable report as we received from the manager of the utilities here a week ago. Supervisor Roncovieri has read to you that short report of his, of very few words, and I will not attempt to read it again. Every member of the Board has received a copy of it, every member has received a copy of that report showing the profit, and retiring of bonds, in the amount of $1,700,000, and then it goes on down the line showing each portion of the report. Now, I will simply say this to the membership of this Board: We have gone over this problem day in and day out, year in and year out, and I imagine that most of the members of this Board have their minds made up one way or another. My good friend, Supervisor Colman, has differed with us on this. When I say "us," because there have been a great many of us who have continuously, year in and year out, advocated the distributing of Hetch Hetchy power—he has been consistently opposed to it. That is his privilege. He has never hesitated a moment to oppose it in any manner or form that he could, and that is his right. We have differed—he has not changed his mind, and we have not changed ours. He has consistently at all times opposed the distributing of Hetch Hetchy power, but I will say this, it was his good fortune at one time not to have to run for the office of Supervisor, because at that time they were relegated to private citizenry and left us as members of this Board. The people at that time, in the most emphatic way, expressed themselves and they will do it again if we will give them a chance. They want the power. Why do they want the power? Because if they have the public distribution of power they will buy the power at a far less price than they are buying it today, far less. It will mean a great deal to the people of San Francisco to be able to heat their houses with electricity and they can do that if the power is sold to them at the price it should be sold. They can not do it at the price it is being sold to them today. It means a great deal to our people here in San Francisco to distribute our own power.

Now, we are told here by Mr. Cahill the profits that will come to this municipality, as I have stated here, and the report I showed you some time back. We spend here in San Francisco for power alone the huge sum of fifteen million two hundred and some odd thousand dollars. Why, they pay to 63 of the officials of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company the huge sum of $640,000 a year. Mr. Black comes here from the east and he didn't know one street from the other here in San Francisco and he receives $70,000 a year as president of that company. That company's receipts in the northern section of California amount to something list $54,000,000 a year. Of course, they

are going to do everything they can to stop us from distributing the power, but I want to say to you, Mr. Colman, and to all of the member of this Board, that today conditions are far different from what they were 12 years ago, and the people of this City will be told what profits that this company are making if we can ever get this before them in the form of a bond issue; and they will be told what it will mean to what I term the plain people of our City if we can ever get it before them because we will show them that it means a great deal to them as a whole if they can heat their homes by electricity and if they can use electricity as they should use it by paying what I term the proper price for it. So I hope you will allow this as an issue and adopt a policy here today, just simply adopt a policy, that is all we are asking for, a policy that will allow us to present a bond issue to the people of San Francisco on February 16th of next year. That is all we are asking for here today. After we have adopted that policy we will then go into the plan that we will adopt, and, of course, there is a great many other issues that we will go into, revenue bonds and so forth, but the first thing we want to do is adopt a policy, adopt a policy as to whether we shall put on the ballot the purchase of the hydro-electric distributing system or whether we shall attempt to bond the city for $52,000,000, or some other large sum, for rapid transit. That is the policy before us, and I think if it is presented to the people the people will vote, I am positive they will vote for the distribution of the hydro-electric power. Conditions have changed. We all know how they have changed. We know what the people did a few weeks ago, a new philosophy has gone over the entire people of the United States and the radio, thank God, is in such a condition today that some of us can take it up and tell the people of this City, and the Bay region, what it means to bond this City or issue revenue bonds for the distribution of this power, and show the report of Mr. Cahill showing that it will not mean any bond issue against their holdings, but simply against the utility that we hope to develop and which we own.

Now, I simply say this, membership of this Board: I hope we will come to a vote here within the next few minutes and agree upon this policy. I hope we will come to that vote because if we come to that vote we have started the first part of our work. The work is only started by establishing a policy here this morning, and that is all we are attempting to do at this time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: I desire to talk on this matter, but I want Mr. Cahill to be present when I do. I want to ask him some pertinent questions. Therefore, if any other members want to speak at this time, all right; if not, I move we recess until 2 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman: I would ask that Mr. Cahill, who left word with our Clerk that he would come over here on a minute's notice, so I think if the Clerk telephones to him, Mr. Cahill will come right over.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am agreeable to continue if he comes over.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Can you proceed before he arrives?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, I would like him to hear the remarks I have.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mead just—— just a second. Mr. Chairman: I have introduced here a motion, I have it in motion form—now, I have carefully, myself, outlined it in three sections, and if the Board wishes to take it up in three sections, if you want to change the verbiage of it, I am not wedded to any particular verbiage—I carefully went over it and covered everything I could cover in presenting it and I will take it up. If there is no objection by the members of the Board, I will read it in this form, and if you wish to vote on the first section, take it up like you would take up anything serriatum and then if you wish to change the verbiage of it,

as I said, I am not particularly wedded to any particular verbiage, I am only wedded to the entire intent of the motion.

"I move that it be the policy and intention of this Board of Supervisors to submit to the people of San Francisco at the first appropriate election, the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power."

Now, if there are any words you want to change in that?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, that is entirely—there is nothing whatsoever decisive about that at all, at the first appropriate election. I want it submitted at the next election, not the first appropriae one, but the next one.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Cut out the words and say, "at the next election."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Cut out the word "appropriate" and say "at the next."

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection to that change?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will ask that we go through it and then we will agree and we can propose it again—I am trying to get an opinion from the members in reference to the language. Is there any objection to that change?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Cut out the word "appropriate" and put in "next."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No objection by me.

"And further, that this question so far as it involves bonds or financing other public projects, shall be exclusive at the said election;"

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would suggest we change it by making it read, "That no other bond proposition shall be submitted at said election."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: And cut out all that language I have inserted.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have no objection to that. It is the intent I am looking for. The words don't mean anything to me. That is satisfactory to me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any objections to that?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: "Also, this motion shall include request of the City Attorney to prepare the appropriate proceedings for the submission of the question of the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, legally, to the voters;"

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, he has already been requested to do that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, this is covering this entirely; it takes it all in so there will be no mistake—it is all going to come—All right, if that is satisfactory.

"Said procedure to include such Charter amendments, bond ordinances, or other legislation as are necessary to carry out the intention and policy of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It says here it is the intention and policy——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If you wish to change that wording it is all right.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection to that?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman: The changes that have been made represent the motion that I have made, with the consent of the second those changes will stand as the motion that is before this body at this time.

THE CLERK: Mr. Cahill said he would come here in a few moments. He is over in Mr. Cleary's office.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I be permitted to say one word further? I would like the members of this Board to get this thought——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Supervisor Shannon left word that he could be called here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes. And he said, too, what time he would ring up.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No; he left word that were any action taken on this he would like to be called.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I was talking with Supervisor Shannon a short while ago and he wanted to know if we were about to come to a vote, and I told him we were not at that time, that particular time, and he told me he would call me a little later, and he specified the time and I think he will call me in a few minutes.

THE CLERK: He said, as I recall it, that he would call around twelve-thirty.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I say this to the membership of this Board: I say this in all good faith, I say that every one's vote here today would be a direct expression, which of course I think we all understand, a direct expression of whether you favor the placing of the Hetch Hetchy distributing system on the ballot or whether you favor the placing of the rapid transit on the ballot, and I think that if one attempts to vote with that thought in mind, that both should go on the ballot, I don't think people will misunderstand them. I think it will be a deliberate attempt to kill the bond issue for the distributing of Hetch Hetchy bonds. Here is Mr. Cahill now——

Mr. Cahill, I am going to sit down right now. Supervisor Uhl has a number of questions he wishes to ask you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, Mr. Cahill, the Board is deliberating here this morning whether Plan 7 should be placed upon the ballot exclusive of any other bond issue for rapid transit and the like. I believe it was last April that you expressed before this Board the importance of rapid transit for San Francisco. Is that correct? Was it about April?

MR. CAHILL: Some time along there.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And it is regrettable that the Board has failed to give the necessary attention to so important a matter as rapid transit. I remember evenings that we met here and there was not a quorum on one particular occasion. The Supervisors seemed to be so indifferent and to take such a little interest in that very important matter.

As you know, I feel—I am sold on the proposal of the then City Engineer, O'Shaughnessy, 1931 report, on the four-track subway. You still contend it should be a two-track subway for the Municipal lines? I interested Mr. John Dobbins in giving me the benefit of his views as an engineer and the letter which he wrote was submitted to you and you answered same. Without at this time going into great length, reading the correspondence covered by your letter of November 6, accompanied by a letter from you to the Board of Supervisors under date of November 7th which refers to your answer of the previous letter of Mr. John Dobbins, I feel that it would consume the time of the Board unnecessarily to read Mr. Dobbins' reply of November 14, much as I would like to read it, in which he still maintains his position contending it is sound and practical for a four-track subway and refuting some of the arguments that you make, and also excluding therefrom, for example, one item of $3,400,000 for the additional cost covering the cost necessary for the Market Street Railway if they used the subway. Now, it is just regrettable that we are not agreed on the matter of rapid transit because I feel just as Supervisor Colman has expressed himself that really of the two issues the more important of the two is rapid transit, and they should have gone to the people, should have been submitted, I believe, jointly to the citizenship, but rapid transit is not in a condition today to submit to the people. Positively not. I know from the sentiment that I have found from the improvement clubs, and persons that I have talked to, and also the representations that have been made here in the Board, that the two-track subway would positively not carry. I don't think there is any question about it. The suggestion was made today that when the

time comes the rapid transit may be submitted to the people in various units—Do you want this subway—Do you want this part of it or that part of it? We are not even ready today to go along on that line and I can't see that we would be ready to agree upon submission of rapid transit within the prescribed time of placing it on the ballot, on February 16. It is most regrettable, I feel, that we are not ready in this important matter. Supervisor Colman urged that we begin now, set each day until we do agree upon it. It is just regrettable that we did not urge that these many, many months that the matter has been before the Board of Supervisors. Therefore, I can only feel that the matter is not ready to submit to the voters for reasons which I have explained.

Now, then, I do feel on the other hand that rapid transit is so important a matter that we should follow the suggestion of Supervisor Colman and immediately take up the same and let this Board assume the responsibility for calling another special election for the very earliest possible date. If you do not do that rapid transit is going to be delayed until next November and in the meantime the interurban trains may be across the bay, may have completed their installation, or immediately thereafter, and begin operation. Now, I for one am not going to stand the criticism of our people in the procrastination that has taken place on this Board in the matter of rapid transit. It has been handled in a most unbusinesslike manner. When Mr. Cahill brought it up to this Board in a reasonable time and here it has been these many, many months. I regret, indeed, that the matter has been handled in so unbusinesslike a way so, as far as I am concerned, at this time, rapid transit is out of the picture. It is not possible to place it on the ballot, feeling as I do that we should have a four-track subway on Market street. I positively feel that it is not alone backed up by the report of Mr. O'Shaughnessy, but by Mr. Dobbins' judgment, and my own judgment, as I stated before. An engineer will be employed by the executive to bring in a report and in the final analysis the executives are the ones who will decide whether or not they will go ahead with the proposal.

I should like to ask Mr. Cahill this question: There has been a discussion here this morning of the profits of the distribution of power and the statement which you made regarding the financial results, and the $4,000,000 surplus which would go into the general fund. Will the $4,000,000 surplus profit be realized the first year, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I haven't the document before me, Mr. Uhl. I didn't know what you were going to ask me when I came over here. That is one of the difficulties I have been under here lately, because I never knew whether you were going to talk about rapid transit, or power, so I came prepared to discuss either one. I believe that is a proper statement. Yes, I am certain you will find it divided between the initial year situation and the average year situation, but I believe your statement or that the statement that $4,000,000 be realized the first year is very close to true, but I am not absolutely certain on it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is based on an 8 per cent increase?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, it is based on an increase. I was going to amend that. My income has been based on an average of 8 per cent increase in the year 1936, and an 8 per cent increase in the year 1937. Now, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company yesterday—I have it before me—a statement of their business for the twelve months ended September 30, 1936, and the 8 per cent increase which I expected to take place turns out to be, according to their own statement, in the electrical department, 12.42. Now, had I assumed that it was going to be more than 12 per cent, naturally my income would have been considerably greater because I assumed 8 per cent for each of the two years. I might have assumed 12 per cent, which, you will observe, is 50 per cent more than the increase that I had assumed. I just simply mention that to you gentlemen to emphasize the statement that I

hitherto made concerning the figures in this report. Mr. Ost and his engineers and I have leaned over backwards to be conservative to the best of our ability. We have not assumed 12 per cent, although we knew it was close to 12 per cent. We have added 8 per cent. We have added 30 per cent on an average for the cost of operation of the company to cover conditions as they might exist in civil service, and certainly it seems to me a large enough addition to the cost of the private corporation as they are reported by the private corporation. We have endeavored throughout to be conservative, to be on the safe side in our expenses and be on the safe side in our estimate of income. If there are mistakes, Mr. Uhl, they are probably on the safe side—in both directions—but if they are mistakes I want to point out to you that they are almost certain to compensate in their nature. One may be a little too much, and the other may be a little too low. I don't say they are all exact. That is impossible for any man to produce, but I do say it is an honest effort to arrive at the condition of affairs which exist. I think the actual conditions will be found to approximate very closely to these things.

There is just one thing in this which no man can tell. That is, how much will we have to pay for the part of the system that we propose to buy. Now, this matter of 39 odd million dollars that we have suggested is not a guess on our part at all. It is based on some actual estimates which have been made, and paid for by the City, and brought down to date by means of the engineers' estimates of increase in service, and decreases in valuation due to obsolescence and such.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Can you tell me what the cost was covering the installation for the lighting of the Trans-Bay Bridge?

MR. CAHILL: No, I don't know anything about that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Isn't that in San Francisco County?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, part of it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will that not come under our municipal distribution of power?

MR. CAHILL: We do not, as I understand it, contemplate that. That is a matter which the Toll Bridge Authority managed and probably they would take power from us.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In that case, what would be the installation cost?

MR. CAHILL: I can't tell you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What about the lighting of the Golden Gate Bridge, is that going to be to the P. G. & E.?

MR. CAHILL: The lighting of those two bridges are minor matters, they are not fundamental, they are not matters of importance, they are a matter of a few thousand dollars.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Only a few thousand?

MR. CAHILL: I would say yes, 50 or 75 thousand dollars would probably make all the necessary connections to those systems. The fundamental things are the cost of the system, the income that can be made—as I said, I under-estimated the income, the increase in income by 50 per cent over the actuality, and I probably have over-estimated some things, but the errors will almost certainly be compensating.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Cahill, that is where you and I differ. I said you have over-estimated the revenue based on figures I will refer to, and under-estimated the cost.

Now, on July 15, 1936, Plan 7 was filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission. The matter remained in committee until October 19th, on which date Plan 7 was called out of committee by me. I maintain there has been gross procrastination on the part of the committee in so important matter; despite the fact the matter has been in the hands of the Board since October 19th, the discussions have been limited to approximately two hours.

I renew my statement that revenues under Plan 7 have been over-

estimated and operating costs under-estimated. The only hearing on the matter thus far has been in the matter of cost covering standby service. Mr. Claude Brown, Chief Engineer of the Railroad Commission, informed this Board that under Schedule P-18, P. G. & E. Rates for Standby Service would approximate $84,000 per month, $1,000,000 per year. Mr. Cahill counters by stating the most standby service will cost is $75,000 per year. Who is right? No attempt has been made to ascertain what amount the Pacific Gas and Electric Company can legally charge for standby service.

Under Plan 7 the 84,000 k. w. generated at Moccasin Creek power house, and Red Mountain Bar power house, will be delivered to P. G. & E. lines at Newark for transmission to San Francisco." Will Mr. Cahill state that P. G. & E. have agreed to transport these 84,000 k. w. from Newark over their lines to San Francisco gratis?

MR. CAHILL: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will there be a charge made for that?

MR. CAHILL: Certainly. It is included in Plan 7, somewhere—transmission stepdown, table 5—$200,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Transmission stepdown, that is the Moccasin Power over the P. G. & E. lines?

MR. CAHILL: That is right.

SUPERVISOR UHL: On what do you base the $200,000?

MR. CAHILL: We base it on Mr. Ost's, and the other engineers' estimates of the value of the service.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The Public Utilities Commission fixes the price of the properties to be acquired from P. G. & E. under Plan 7 at $39,700,000. What assurance that the price will not exceed this figure by several million dollars?

MR. CAHILL: None.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It seems a strange procedure, to ask the voters to approve a bond issue of $43,700,000 before ascertaining the price that must be paid for P. G. & E. properties under Plan 7.

Now, Mr. Cahill, isn't it possible that the company will raise the demand for severance damages?

MR. CAHILL: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: They will not? Why not?

MR. CAHILL: Because you have included nothing upon which the Railroad Commission allowed them severance damages in the last examination which was made a few years ago. We are not buying now from them, not taking over anything upon which the Railroad Commission allowed them severance damages. Anyhow, the steam standby plant and the transmission line, we haven't that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would the Railroad Commission be the final judges in that matter, would they have the final say?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know. You would have to ask Mr. O'Toole as to that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Cahill bases his revenue on an 8 per cent annual increase in k. w. sales. Mr. Claude Brown, Chief Engineer of the Railroad Commission, in compliance to my request, furnished under date of September 28, 1936, the k. w. h. sales from 1920 to 1935, an average increase in k. w. h. sales covering that period, average 4.82 per cent.

I maintain you can not take any one particular year as you have taken for the 12 per cent now. I maintain you can not do that in a sound manner.

MR. CAHILL: Why can't I take an 8 per cent for a year, which is actually, according to the P. G. & E.'s own statement, 12.42?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Correct, but take it last year, what was the P. G. & E. last year?

MR. CAHILL: I am taking it for this year.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You are taking it for the future year. In order to be sound, I state, and Mr. Brown said, you have got to take

an average year which Mr. Brown advised me was 4.82 per cent in twenty years. Let us see how we got off on this——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to hear Mr. Cahill's reply to that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: There will be another one bearing on the same matter.

In December, 1923, the Commonwealth Club rendered a report on Hetch Hetchy power. On page 303 of said report there is set forth the following:

"A forecast of the future growth in power demand is given by the City Engineer in his report to the Supervisors. It is based on the conservative annual compounding rate of 5 per cent."

Wasn't Mr. Ost the electrical engineer with Mr. O'Shaughnessy in that year, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know, possibly he was.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am sure he was. The estimated demand deliveries to consumers for the year 1935 was set up at 772,000,000 kilowatt hours. Whereas kilowatt sales in San Francisco were 542,456,000 kilowatt hours. It is presumed the City Engineer did not include the Market Street Railway load in his estimated demand delivery to consumers. As a matter of fact, these figures were set up by Mr. Ellis for me in 1935. The kilowatt hour sales were 542,456,000 kilowatt hours as against what Mr. O'Shaughnessy or Mr. Ost, I take it, predicted would be 772,000,000 kilowatt hours. So you see what a danger there is in forecasting what the percentage of increase could be in this matter of power and if it turns out that 8 per cent is too high an average over a period of years—there may be a peak year in there like the 12 per cent referred to here by Mr. Cahill in the P. G. & E. report, but that is no assurance that the next year or the year after will be 12 per cent or even average 8 per cent, and I certainly would be more likely to be guided by the past than the future, and the past ten years, 1925 to 1935, shows only 5.1 per cent average increase, so how can you reasonably say that the next ten years will average eight?

MR. CAHILL: I did not say so. You just assumed I said so.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You have it in your report.

MR. CAHILL: That is one of your assumptions which you make without the slightest reason for making them. I have said for two years, one of which is past and which has made 12.42 per cent, according to the report of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, but Mr. Cahill——

MR. CAHILL: Wait until I get through, for a minute. For two years. In order to arrive at what we will get the first year, not for the ten years following, for two years, in order to arrive at what our first year's income would be I said there would be an 8 per cent increase the first of those years. According to the Pacific Gas and Electric, it shows 12.42 per cent. The next year only has to show less than 4 per cent to make me correct. I did not say anything about the next ten years or twenty years. Those two years only, up to 1938. In other words, the year 1936 and the year 1937, according to Plan 7, will produce an average excess of 8 per cent over the corresponding amount last year. Now, the first year has done 12.42 per cent, and the next year only has to do 3.6 per cent in order to bring me right; but I don't say anything about ten years or twenty years, or any other number of years.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You didn't say in that letter to the Board regarding paying the retirement of bonds, and so forth, and leaving a net surplus of $4,000,000, you don't say that is only estimated for the next two years, you don't know what it will be beyond that. You are leading the Board to believe in that—you led me to believe so, that there would be $4,000,000 surplus at least per year in the future.

MR. CAHILL: Don't say any such thing. I said, applying this figure to the estimated 1938 sales will produce a revenue of $15,000,000.

I don't say anything about 1939 or any other year. You just assumed that. If you would read this thing and give it careful thought maybe you would not make these statements.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Could I see that statement? Here is what this letter says: "Plan 7 pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then,—pays off and retires bonds in the amount of $1,748,000 per year, and then pays into depreciation reserve fund $1,260,000 per year, and then pays into the general fund an estimated tax loss of $645,000 per year, and after all that, produces a surplus revenue of over $4,000,000 per year, and, in addition to all this, Plan 7 reduces power rates to consumers in San Francisco by $1,721,000 per year."

If that does not lead me to believe that the future means $4,000,000 surplus per year, please, then I can stand to be corrected.

MR. CAHILL: You certainly can.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is all it says on here.

MR. CAHILL: All right, that was just a resume of this. Read this plan, get it in your head before you try to criticize it, and it would be far better for all of us. Here is a situation in which I definitely stated that I estimated in 1926 and again in 1937 there would be an 8 per cent increase in power sales over the year before, and that is as far as I went. In order to produce a gross income figure I made those estimates. Now, I am not wrong in making those estimates and I have only made them for two years, and not for ten or twenty years, I have only made them for two. I have not made one move further than that, and I am right because the Pacific Gas and Electric Company says, "Total sales of electricity during the 12 months ending September 30, 1936, increased 12.42 per cent compared with the same period a year ago." Now, that is not all of one year, but it is nine months of it. All it has to do next year is to get about 4 per cent increase, which even you admit is o. k., and they will have the two 8 per cents that I have forecast; and in my opinion they will have much more.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, Mr. Cahill, you wouldn't take a chance and go beyond the two years?

MR. CAHILL: I don't have to. I was trying to produce an income as of the initial year. Beyond that I have made no prophecies.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Beyond that it is questionable.

Operating costs under Plan 7 are estimated at $1,199,018, less than it costs the Los Angeles Water & Power Company despite the fact that the distribution of kilowatt hours under municipal distribution in San Francisco will be 9 per cent greater than by the Los Angeles Water & Power Company.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Where are you reading from?

SUPERVISOR UHL: From what I am stating now.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: It is your own statement?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes. I want to re-read that:

"Operating costs under Plan 7 are estimated at $1,199,018, less than it costs the Los Angeles Water & Power Company despite the fact that the distribution of kilowatt hours under municipal distribution in San Francisco will be 9 per cent greater than by the Los Angeles Water & Power Company."

Now, those are the matters that I wanted to discuss here in committee and be able to have a thorough check up on it so if I vote for this matter, I want to know exactly what I am voting for or submitting to the people, to know exactly what the facts are and, Mr. Cahill coming in here at this time and just disputing this statement or that statement does not clear it up entirely to my mind. That is where I feel the Board has procrastinated, in not having held meetings here and bringing in all the evidence so we know that the statements submitted here are sound. That is the way I feel about it. Now, I will say to you very frankly——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like——

SUPPERVISOR UHL: I have the floor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I know you have, but you have made a statement and I would like to hear Mr. Cahill's reply.

MR. CAHILL: Los Angeles covers an area of more than 400 square miles that is operated by that outfit. We operate something like 44 or 50 square miles and it is surprising the differential isn't much greater.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, the Los Angeles Municipal plant has a full coverage of 400 square miles?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, over that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Full coverage?

MR. CAHILL: I won't say full coverage, but they operate over that area.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, members of the Board, I can recall at the time that the municipal distribution of power was submitted to the people some time ago to vote on bonds, I have a very distinct recollection that a majority of the people voted for that, but a minority—that is, it did not reach the necessary two-thirds vote of a general bond issue.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Which one was that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That was the time they voted on the question of acquisition of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But you are mistaken. It was overwhelmingly beaten. There was one bond issue for $2,000,000, the bond issue to build a transmission line that carried by a majority, but not the necessary two-thirds, but the others I think did not receive a majority.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, be that as it may, my feeling in this matter is that I am going to vote to afford the people of San Francisco the opportunity to say whether or not they want municipal distribution of power. I feel that it is incumbent upon me to do that, but I want to emphasize this fact, that in voting in that way I do not obligate myself to the desirability at this time of the municipal distribution of power. I don't want to be put—that is why I want to emphasize, I don't want to be placed in the position of—well, Uhl has voted for submission and the others have—because I am not yet convinced that it is the right thing financially for us to do.

I would ask, through the Chair, of Supervisor Roncovieri, are you voting—will you vote for this, and I presume you will, on the basis of the revenue that can be made, or would you vote for it if there were no revenue to be made out of it, no profit?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would vote for it if there were no revenue, as was explained by Supervisor Havenner—we have an obligation, our word to the government of the United States, given some thirteen or fourteen or fifteen years ago under the Raker Act. We have given our word that we will do it. My word is my bond and I believe the people of San Francisco will feel the same way and will vote that way, but it will be a successful business and will be a double pleasure to vote for it.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I ask another question of the Supervisor? However, Supervisor, you are convinced in your own mind that there will be abundant profit in here for the benefit of San Francisco?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I added that it will be a double pleasure to vote for a profitable project such as this and to keep my faith with the people.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Naturally, if there is a tremendous profit to be made I am for that. There is no doubt about that, but I want to be assured of it between now and the time the matter will come up for attention on February 16th, and I will satisfy myself regarding that.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Mr. Uhl, is it not possible to put this thing on trial for a year to find out whether we are going to make a profit or not?

SUPERVISOR UHL: You can't do that.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: We have to take those chances.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I appreciate it, and as I said a moment ago, I feel the people should be able to say whether they want to fulfill their obligation or whether they want to vote these revenue bonds for that purpose. That is the way I feel about it and I am perfectly willing that it should be submitted to the people but I do regret at this time that the rapid transit matter has not been heard by the committee or the Board so that both matters could be submitted to the people, not with any idea of defeating one with the other, but with the idea that rapid transit is so positively necessary and I shall certainly do everything I can to see that the matter is given immediate consideration, and will move for a special election which will be no fault of mine if that happens, but it should have the earliest possible consideration.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, the hour is 1 o'clock, and I trust the Board will come to a vote.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I want to speak briefly.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I thought we ought to come to a vote. As the author of the motion I have a right to close, but I have no desire to close. I think we have all been heard—if Supervisor Colman wishes to speak—— Do you think five minutes?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think so. Don't try to stop someone. Mr. Uhl is apparently the only one that thinks as I do——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Would you permit the resolution to be read once? Mr. Cahill was not here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kindly read it.

THE CLERK: It is a motion: (Reading.)

"I move that it be the policy and intention of this Board of Supervisors to submit to the people of San Francisco at the next election, the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and that no other bond issue be submitted at said election; also this motion shall include request to the City Attorney to prepare the appropriate proceedings for the submission of the question of the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, legally, to the voters; said procedure to include such Charter amendments, bond ordinances or other legislation as are necessary to carry out the intention and policy of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: There hasn't been a reason advanced as to why the Board today, on the 17th of November, should declare a policy of something it is going to do in January. There is no edict of the people that has been called to ask us to state now what we are going to do, and, as I said before, in my experience as a member of the Board that is a most unusual circumstance, something personally I would not do on any question. I have seen too often conditions change in a period of time that will warrant us in changing our policy. Why must we today state what we are going to do? Of course, I say, it is not for the purpose of creating ill-feeling, but Mr. Uhl's attitude passes my comprehension. In the first instant, he cries to the procrastination of the question of rapid transit, and deliberately now that the matter is before us pays no attention to a proposition that would allow us, in my opinion, to come to a decision in ample time before the 4th of January if the Board were to begin to go in session. If Mr. Uhl takes the attitude that he has agreed on rapid transit, even then that does not excuse the Board. After all, Mr. Uhl is credited with two votes, but that does not control the Board of Supervisors, either, and he should be given every opportunity to express his views, but this to me is deliberate procrastination because it is my opinion that we can agree by meeting daily and nightly with the assistance and the cooperation of the Manager of the Utilities on the question of rapid transit, and we can come to a mind on the question and submit it. There is no question about that. I am not for the submission of both at once. I want to state myself clearly; I am

for the submission of the more important issue and the most important issue that faces the people of San Francisco and that has ever faced them in the entire history of the city, and no one can dispute the truth or accuracy of that statement, in all our lives we have never faced anything so important, having such a direct bearing on the future of the City, on its metropolitan standing, on its population, on the value of property, on the assessment roll, as we face today by the need of rapid transit, and nobody disputes that statement. Nobody disputes it. I repeat again, Mr. Havenner's appeal is entirely emotional. He points to 1925, you have a moral obligation—I don't care to speak on morals, but I think my own—I have observed them to the best of my ability, and as scrupulously as possible. We have submitted this question to the voters and the voters have turned us down on the matter. Now, we are not bound to allow ourselves to become a small city and not present the matter of rapid transit.

Mr. Ickes pointed out that we used our credit for other purposes, but proper purposes, on all of which every member of the Board voted for the bonds we were compelled to pass. Now, gentlemen, Mr. Havenner blames the P. G. and E. for all of these things that have transpired—these issues, these fictitious things, they are not real at all. The P. G. and E. is responsible for the congestion of traffic; the P. G. and E. built the bridge; the P. G. and E. is responsible because San Francisco needs a rapid transit system. If you gentlemen believe that, and that influences your vote, as I said before, it is your privilege to vote that way. I don't believe it. That is ridiculous. We are faced with a very real issue which you are positively ignoring, gentlemen, and as I stated, I think Mr. Cahill will agree. I might ask him to state which in his opinion is the more important issue to the people of San Francisco? Mr. Cahill, if that is a fair question, I respectfully ask it.

MR. CAHILL: Gentlemen of the Board: It is the power, it is the duty of the Board of Supervisors to make the policy for the City and County of San Francisco, it is not my function. However, as long as Supervisor Colman has asked me that question, I will say that in my opinion, inasmuch as we have power and we do not have rapid transit, and inasmuch as we have a bridge and we do not have rapid transit, that in my opinion the rapid transit is the more important and should have first place.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Now, gentlemen—I have the floor, Mr. Chairman—that opinion is the opinion of every civic body in the City of San Francisco. That must be the opinion of every thinking man in the City of San Francisco. Just consider the statement made by the Manager of the Utilities. You have a power system now, you have all the power necessary for the development of San Francisco. We can lose nothing by lack of power, but contrast that with the situation about rapid transit and what we can lose by not having rapid transit.

Now, again I say, you can vote this down, you can vote that power is more important, but you can't make it more important because it is not more important. Six votes of the Board can do a whole lot but that is not one thing it can not do, change the facts. The people of San Francisco are entitled from this Board, in my opinion, to the facts, and here, what are you about to do? You are about to state that although there are six weeks you won't take up the matter of rapid transit and solve it but you are going to go on record now stating what you are going to do in January, which is most dangerous, in my opinion, a most profitless action for a Board of Supervisors to take. So, for the reason that I know that rapid transit is more important than the power, and should have first place, for the reason that there is no logical argument why this Board should act today—it can well act when the time comes and can hold an open mind on the comparative value of these two things, if there is really any

doubt in your mind as to the comparative value and, I can't honestly, knowing you are all intelligent men, I can't see how you can feel any real doubt on the question. Keep yourselves open and receptive and vote this thing down, begin to have hearings on rapid transit, with the cooperation of the Manager of Utilities, and I am sure that we can come to a decision. Now, if there is procrastination on the question of rapid transit it certainly is not my fault. I can take it up now and stay with it until a meeting of the minds is obtained, and until a logical and proper proposition is submitted. That is the reason, the two reasons I am voting "No" on Mr. McSheehy's motion.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman, I should like——

THE CHAIRMAN: I recognize Supervisor Schmidt.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: I am going to be brief, and just make a couple statements. I agree with what most of the members of the Board have offered. I think that we should not becloud the issue at all. I think the people of San Francisco should be given the opportunity of voting on the power situation. Let us get that behind us one way or the other. I don't think we are in full agreement concerning the matter of rapid transit. I agree with Mr. Cahill that it is a very, very important proposition, and one that should be solved, and I daresay it will follow immediately upon the heels of the other. We are not in agreement at all as a Board as to all points of rapid transit. We have still got to work that problem out and I think we can be doing that in the meantime. If we put both problems on the ballot, as has been stated, they will doubtless be defeated and it will not clear the atmosphere one bit. The proponents of both measures will say that if we had only given them one they would only have to vote for one at a time. I think it is a good time to get the power issue settled; if the people of San Francisco want municipal distribution of power, let them have it. I am keeping an open mind about the proposition. There may be some slight discrepancies in the figures. I can not gainsay that but I think the one proposition that we have to find out is how the people feel as regards municipal distribution of power. We will have the answer so the sooner the better. Now, in the meantime. we can be working out the problem of rapid transit, the subway on Market street or any other subways, are going to be a matter of years. I think the people should be given to understand that that is the situation there. In the meantime regulatory measures can be taken to speed up traffic on the surface in various parts of our City, and I think, as far as I am personally concerned, that if we put both measures on the ballot the atmosphere will still be clouded, we will not know where we stand, the people will not know where they stand. Take one at a time. I am perfectly willing that the power issue should go on first. and then after we have that out of the way, if it is necessary to call a special election, let us call a special election. I don't want to be accused of procrastination as regards rapid transit. I realize its importance. I realize it is going to take several years to iron out the major parts of that proposition. I therefore submit that I think it is the best thing for this Board to put on the matter of power distribution first, get that out of the way, and if necessary call a special election concerning the matter of rapid transit.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, the hour is late. I was going to ask for roll call.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Roncovieri has asked the privilege of the floor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I simply wanted to call Supervisor Colman's attention that when he asked Mr. Cahill which should be submitted first, which should have the right of way, and when Mr. Cahill replied that the subway should take precedence, you did not ask him, and I would like now at this moment to ask Mr. Cahill, did you, when you made your reply, and you made it rather suddenly the question was put to you, did you consider or take into considera-

tion the attitude of Mr. Ickes—in other words, the government of the United States—regarding the enforcement of the Raker Act? With that before you, that consideration, considering what the government might do to us, and can do to us, would your answer remain the same?

MR. CAHILL: In making the answer, possibly I should have elaborated a little bit. I said we have power. I should have said we are paying much too much for that power. But as to Secretary Ickes I considered not his attitude but the attitude of the President of the United States as expressed during the last three or four months in various meetings in Washington, asking for a pooling of power resources between the Federal government and companies, and so forth. I don't believe, personally, that Mr. Ickes will cause San Francisco any trouble. That is my personal belief. And, as I said before, we have power, we pay too much for it. There is the question of the Raker Act. Mr. Ickes' attitude, and in the light of Mr. Roosevelt's recent actions, I don't believe it is a serious matter, that particular thing. We do not have rapid transit, and we do have a bridge. Therefore, I think the subway is——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Question.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would ask for a roll call.

SUPERVISOR RATTO (The Chairman): I want to just state my views on traffic. Since the Bay Bridge has been opened—last Sunday I drove around out from Tenth to Eleventh, around Third and Fourth, Fifth and Sixth, Bryant and Harrison, and Folsom, owing to the fact that there was quite a lot of traffic going on—I got on the bridge and it took me just 30 minutes to get to the toll gates, the congestion that was on the bridge. On Saturday night I understand that they were something like 35 or 40 thousand machines coming across from the East Bay, and I stood at Fifth and Mission, between Harrison and Bryant, and watched the traffic coming in, and then I drove along Fifth street to Mission, and on Market street down to Fourth, and I want to say that the proposition that has been submitted to us regarding the traffic in San Francisco is going to be changed entirely from the anticipated routing. We are going to vote on traffic and I think our traffic situation should be studied a great deal more before we submit it to the public to vote on. We have Mr. McClintock making a study, and I think his ideas are going to be considerably changed after the survey of this bridge traffic—So, I am in favor of having the power distribution go on the ballot.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Roll call?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Just one moment. I want to make an amendment here. There is nothing said in this motion of Mr. McSheehy's about revenue bonds, not a thing, and I believe it should be amended so as to make clear the fact that we wish to submit a bond issue but that it shall be a revenue bond issue. In other words, after the third line, that entire bond issue—no, at the beginning, "I move that it be the policy and intention of this Board of Supervisors to submit to the people of San Francisco at the next election, the question of municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power"—and insert, "by means of revenue bonds." I believe the question of revenue bonds should be straightened out.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It does not belong in here.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Where does it belong?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: When you get your proceedings—you have got to have a lot of legal proceedings.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You are asking the City Attorney to prepare the appropriate proceedings for the submission of the question for the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power legally to the voters, but you don't say how.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: When you get those proceedings you will determine. Will you submit to an interruption?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Certainly.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I want to point out to you that this Board of Supervisors is now on record and has been on record for months past by official action of the Board to the effect that when the question of Hetch Hetchy distribution is submitted it shall be submitted in the form of revenue bonds, so I don't think it is necessary to have any discussion over the amendment, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: If it is taken care of——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will call for the question.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to hear the resolution read.

(The motion was again read by the Clerk.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, a minor matter, but I think a correction should be made "also this motion shall include request of the City Attorney"—I move an amendment by striking out the word "of" and substituting the word "to". It is not a request of the City Attorney, it is a request to the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Question.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, Mr. Chairman, I would ask that we recess until tomorrow at the same time so as to take up the matter in reference to Plan No. 7. We have gone partly through Plan 7, about half way—now if you want to take it up——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Will you state a time?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Make it ten o'clock.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Ten o'clock. I make that as a motion.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Just a minute. I know that tomorrow morning the Golden Gate Bridge Directors meet and Mr. Brown and myself cannot be here.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I may have to ask to be excused.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I make that as a motion, that we recess to convene again tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just before we recess, I just wanted to make a certain statement for the benefit of the record. In view of the fact that Supervisor Colman in his objections to this proceeding just adopted has based it very largely on the statement that he had never voted in advance for any committment on a policy of comparable importance——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I said "rarely."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Hardly ever.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to call his attention to Resolution No. 2295, adopted December 10, 1935, whereas, the Board of Supervisors set up in its budget for 1935-1936 out of the gas tax moneys the improvement of Dearborn and Shakespeare streets—and among the "Ayes" at the head of the list is Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That must be taken, I presume, as a conclusive argument that the power is more important than rapid transit, and fully in accord with all the argument presented by you this morning in your demonstration that power was more important than rapid transit——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My motion is that we recess to reconvene tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is so ordered.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 10 a. m. tomorrow.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1936, 10:30 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of yesterday for the purpose of giving consideration of Plan No. 7 for the municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power and to the question of rapid transit for San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Mead, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Havenner was excused from attendance.

Quorum present.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

THE CLERK: As I recall, at the last meeting we were starting table 4.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: At the last meeting on Plan 7 we went perhaps one-half through the plan, the Clerk has the particular number where we recessed to, and I move we take up that portion we left off at at the last meeting. We went through it seriatim and took up to that portion——

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I thought this was rapid transit today.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, sir.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: What is the object of the meeting?

THE CLERK: Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I thought that was passed up to the people.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We have not adopted it, Supervisor Meyer. To date we have adopted no plan. I hope to see Plan 7 adopted.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I would second it if you made the motion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would gladly do that, but what we did was we went ahead and took up Plan 7 seriatim, and we passed about one-half of it. I move that the Clerk proceed and that we pass the rest of it, and then naturally pass all of it.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Is the Board of Supervisors going to have the Engineering Department here? Are we going to tell the Engineering Department how to build it? I can't understand that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: In reply to that last few words, I would say this: Plan 7 has been recommended by the Public Utilities Commission through their manager, Mr. Cahill, and that has been taken up, and Mr. Cahill was here a few minutes ago. I imagine Mr. Cahill will come right back if the Clerk will telephone to him, and we will have Mr. Cahill, and Mr. Ost and Mr. Ellis here to expain Plan 7 in detail as we go along. They were here the other day, and we took up one-half of it and passed that half of Plan 7. So, my thought is to continue where we left off and I so move, that we simply continue where we left off with Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Mr. Chairman: I feel this way about it, whether we go through the other half of Plan 7 or not, it is the recommendation of the Utilities Commission and when you get all through the Utilities Commission will tell us that they are going to have Plan 7 or nothing, and that is where we are left. Am I right?

THE CHAIRMAN: That is the recommendation.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Plan 7 is the Utilities Commission's plan. I would ask Mr. Dunnigan to kindly send for Mr. Cahill.

THE CLERK: He was here and Mr. Cahill said he would be back in a moment. He had some duty, I don't know what.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would ask Mr. Dunnigan to send for him.

MR. DUNNIGAN: Mr. Ost prepared it for him.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Ost can probably take care of it.

THE CLERK: Will you take Mr. Ost?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Certainly, if Mr. Cahill feels——

THE CLERK: The Board was discussing page 4. If you want to go on to Table 5——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Table 4. I would like to refer to that. The last sentence there on the top of the page which reads, "The 1938 sales have been computed from the 1935 sales on the basis of 8 per cent annual increase, in round numbers, 686,000,000 kilowatt hours."

Now, I take it from this report, and I still feel the same way about it, that the statements made there lead me to believe that 8 per cent would be the annual increase. Mr. Cahill said yesterday that would be definitely for two years. It does not definitely state it there, that it is for two years. Therefore, I would ask that statement be rendered the Board, what the estimated increase would be, as they have done in the past, as was done in the case of the report of the Commonwealth Club in 1933, the City Engineer then anticipated the increase or estimated demand at least to consumers from 1925 to 1945, over a period of 20 years. Now, in talking with, as I said to you yesterday, that is, talking with Mr. Brown of the Railroad Commission regarding that 8 per cent increase, annual increase, I said, "How about a 10-year average?" He said it would not be fair because the last three or four years have been decidedly poor ones. For example, if you go back to the years 1927, 1928 and 1929, in 1927 the kilowatt hour sales were 428,991,000, whereas in the previous agency report of 1927 was estimated at 523,000,000, nearly a 100,000,000 more than was actually sold. That was an 8 per cent increase that year. In 1928 there was an increase of 8 per cent, 458,100,000 kilowatt hours, whereas it was anticipated that it would be 549,000,000. Then, in 1929 there was 9.85 per cent increase. That was in 1929, 503,183,000 kilowatt hours, whereas, it was estimated it would be 576,000,000. So, therefore, only a difference of 75,000,000 in that year.

Now, let us come to the next year, 1930. It dropped to 6.3 per cent. Then in 1931 it dropped to 1 per cent; and then in 1932 it is minus 5 per cent. They lost 5 per cent. In 1933 they lost 2.3 per cent. In 1934 they got it up again with 3.8 per cent. In 1935 it is 5 per cent. I asked Mr. Dunnigan to secure from the company what their figures on the increase has been up to the first of this year. Mr. Cahill said yesterday it was 12 per cent, but I want to know definitely whether that is entirely sales of just San Francisco.

Now, you can see if we take one year or two years on the 1928, then following that it drops from 6 to 1, and then goes minus. You have got to take a period of 10 or 20 years. Mr. Brown said it should be 20 years, in the 20 years or 15 years from 1920 to 1935 the average was 4.82 per cent. That is to me a fair and correct way of getting at this. And by 1938 it is a question whether it is going to be 8 per cent, 10 per cent, or 7 per cent. Therefore, I feel that we should have set it up at least 15 years to show what the average would be in 15 years. Sure, at the present time we are getting out of this depression, and there is a demand, factories are opening, and there is a demand today. But, for this 12½ per cent—you don't mean to tell me you can say in lieu of what has gone in the past that there will be 12½ per cent in the next 10 years. It is not possible. I wrote to Mr. Ost in relation to that 8 per cent. I said to him, "Over a 3-year compound of that it would be 3.75 per cent." If that is only for two years, then I would like him to give me a statement anticipating what the increase will be over the period of 10 or 15 years in the future. That is what I want.

MR. CAHILL: Gentlemen, may I make a statement here? If Mr.

Uhl thinks our department is going to prophesy what is going to happen to the electric business in the next 15 years, he is mistaken. We have had to forecast what will happen in the next two years following this year, and I think the results published by the P. G. & E. amply bear out the prognostication we made. I will absolutely refuse to go beyond the date specified because I am not a prophet. No engineer is a prophet. We have not anticipated one single per cent of increase after 1938 and we will not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did I understand you to say yesterday that the increase in the P. G. and E. sales in San Francisco were 12½ per cent?

MR. CAHILL: No, as usual, Mr. Uhl——

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): I know, but that I think, misled the members of the Board. Will you tell us what the increase of sales of the P. G. and E. is in San Francisco?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know. The Pacific Gas and Electric does not publish figures like that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What was your statement?

MR. CAHILL: My statement was this: I read it from the report, and you can get a copy—total sales of electricity during 12 months ending September 30, 1936, increased 12.42 per cent compared with the same period a year ago. I did not say whether it was San Francisco, San Joaquin County or any other place. It is undoubtedly, in my opinion, that the total sales in San Francisco increased less than 12.42 per cent. I took Mr. Black's word as to what the total business must have been.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You believe San Francisco was less than that?

MR. CAHILL: Surely, I do, just as I believe the P. G. and E.'s purported reduction of 10 per cent last year was no reduction of 10 per cent for San Francisco; it was less than 6 per cent for San Francisco and something like 16 per cent for the outlying country, and that is the reason why the greater portion of this 12.42 per cent increase has taken place in the outlying country. Nevertheless, I am convinced my 8 per cent is not far wrong one way or the other in San Francisco. I am not saying that in San Francisco we had 12 per cent increase. Far from it. I am saying that we probably had very close to an 8 per cent. If you do as the Pacific Gas and Electric has done, and publish, broadcast that they have made a 10 per cent rate reduction, thereby leading the citizens of San Francisco to believe they got a 10 per cent rate reduction, you are doing the wrong thing, because it is not true, it never was true. The Pacific Gas and Electric published a voluntary rate reduction two or three days before my Plan 4 came before this Board, and the result was I had to revise the entire setup of that plan. They said a 10 per cent reduction, and I believe that covering the entire territory, that 10 per cent rate reduction was a correct statement, but they did not tell you that in San Francisco they had not cut 10 per cent or anything like 10 per cent, they had cut approximately $1,000,000 in San Francisco; and a 10 per cent rate reduction in San Francisco, if you will examine Plan 7, which shows clearly that a 10 per cent rate reduction is approximately $1,760,000, and they gave us approximately $1,000,000 in San Francisco, giving all the balance, which must have amounted to more than 10 per cent, possibly as much as 15 per cent, to the outlying territory of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: You have all heard of a thing called a process tax. The Supreme Court of the United States declared it unconstitutional. It is going to be renewed in some form, in my opinion, by the present Congress, because Mr. Roosevelt is not satisfied with it. That, in effect, was a tax on the urban communities to support the suburban communities. Only it happened to be a regulatory tax. The P. G. and E. is in fact imposing a processing tax, which was declared illegal by the Supreme Court of the United States, upon the citizens of the

City and County of San Francisco. They are charging them high rates where they charged, for similar service, for people in the outlying district, low rates. In other words, they are charging the urban communities for the support of the suburban communities and that is, in effect, exactly the same thing Mr. Roosevelt brought out with his processing tax, and it was declared illegal. The P. G. and E. gets away with it. The United States Government could not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I cannot see Mr. Cahill's position in not wanting to predict what the future sales would be predicated upon the O'Shaughnessy report which the Commonwealth Club have set up herein and also upon the past 10 years' record which Mr. Ellis gave me, which showed an average here of increase, 5.1 per cent. So, I think if I were in Mr. Cahill's position I would take the same position that he is taking in not wanting to predict what the future will be. It is simply a question of whether we can realize, is I presumed it was going to be realized here, 8 per cent annually.

MR. CAHILL: Gentlemen: May I say this: I have not refused to predict what is going to happen in the next 15 years. I have said and Mr. Ost has said that for the next two years, 1937 and 1938, we may expect an 8 per cent increase in sales in San Francisco. I believe that is a conservative figure but I have said by implication, if not in so many words, that there will be no increase at all and no decrease at all after 1938, that it will remain in statu quo. In other words, I have anticipated no increase in revenue after 1938. We have assumed 1938 will be the peak and that it would remain the peak, it would neither increase nor decrease for a period of time covered by these bonds. We have to make some assumptions. We have made, in my opinion, too conservative assumptions throughout this document.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You regard that as a very conservative assumption, to say that after two years there will be no increase because we all feel that our city is going to grow, during the period of the bonds at any rate, and that a greater consumption of electricity will occur.

MR. CAHILL: I have forgotten, Supervisor, what Supervisor Uhl said was the average rate of increase for the last 10 or 20 years, but I think he said it was between 4 and 5 per cent. I think so, I am not quite certain of that. Am I right in that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: 5.1 for the last 15 years.

MR. CAHILL: Then, gentlemen, if I assume there will be no increase——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is conservative?

MR. CAHILL: It seems to me I am conservative—that is, no increase after 1938—and if you are going to say there is a decrease, you are in the position of what the newspapers call selling America short.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am read to go on with Table 5.

THE CLERK (reading): "Operating Expenses

The cost of operating the entire plant has been prepared on the basis of the estimated sales of 1938. These costs are as follows:

TABLE V

*Annual Cost of Operation*

| | |
|---|---|
| Hetch Hetchy plants, including depreciation | $ 420,000 |
| Red Mountain Bar Power House maintenance and operation | 15,000 |
| Distribution and utilization | 1,482,000" |

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: May I ask what that means, "utilization"?

MR. CAHILL: Go along to the next page and you will find it defined.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: All right.

| | |
|---|---|
| THE CLERK (reading): "Commercial | $ 576,000 |
| New Business | 213,000 |
| General and Miscellaneous less depreciation | 659,000 |
| Transmission and Stepdown | 200,000 |
| Purchased energy | 3,134,000 |
| Depreciation, 3 per cent of $42,000,000—valuation of depreciable property | 1,260,000 |
| Total | $7,959,000 |

The operation of Hetch Hetchy plants is based on past experience and the estimated cost of operating the Red Mountain Bar plant is with remote control from the Moccasin power house.

Distribution, Utilization, Commercial, New Business."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Can't we stop occasionally to ask questions.

THE CLERK: Any time.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Under the words Purchased Energy of 3,000,000——

MR. CAHILL: That will be discussed as we come to it in more or less detail.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: All right, go ahead.

THE CLERK: This is on page 8. (Continuing reading):

"The total amount of money set up for distribution, utilization, commercial and new business expense is $2,271,000. The company's expense for the year 1935 for these same items is approximately $1,550,000. The estimate of costs for 1938 is 46½ per cent above the company's figure for the past year. The estimated increase in sales between 1935 and 1938 is 26½ per cent. All expenses will not increase at the same rate as sales, therefore, the above estimate will permit an increase of costs under City management of close to 30 per cent.

There is no reason for not combining the commercial work of the electric department with that of the water department, resulting in economy to both. This should make the City's costs for meter reading, billing, etc., comparable to those of the company whose gas and electric accounting is combined. The percentage of increase used is ample to offset the higher wages paid by the City."

MR. CAHILL: May I make a statement? We have assumed, as shown in that paragraph, an estimate of increase in cost under City management of close to 30 per cent. That also, gentlemen, is a conservative figure. I call to your attention——

SUPERVISOR UHL: You say conservative, do you expect it will be higher?

MR. CAHILL: We expect it will be lower. I expect all the expenses set forth in this document to be lower than they are. I want to say to you this, for the reason—I want to give you the reason why I expect the cost of operation mentioned there will be lower than 30 per cent increase over the cost of the private corporation. This is the reason: When the City and County of San Francisco took over the ownership and operation of the City's water supply on March 30, 1930, the old Spring Valley Water Company, which had formerly operated the system, had 486 employees. Today the San Francisco Water Department employs a total of 418 employees, 68 less, notwithstanding the fact that the number of consumers has increased during the past six years from approximately 108,000 to 114,000, that we are delivering to consumers in San Francisco and down the peninsula approximately 10 per cent more water than was delivered by the private company in 1930. The actual cost of water distribution when the system was in the hands of the Spring Valley Water Company in 1930 was 16.14 cents per 100 cubic feet, while the City is now distributing water through the same system at a cost of 14.82 cents per 100 cubic feet. That is an 8.25 per cent decrease in cost under municipal operation, not a 30 per cent increase. I want to particularly call to

the attention of the Board that this lower cost under City operation has been realized in spite of the fact that the wage scale for employees of the Water Department is materially higher than the wage scale paid by the Spring Valley Water Company. I want to also call to your attention the fact that this reduction in the cost of distributing water cannot be attributed to the fact that the San Francisco Water Department pays no taxes, because the Water Department gives free the water to other City departments equal to the amount of taxes which would be paid if the system were privately owned.

I also call to your attention the fact that on December 1, 1934, the Public Utilities Commission reduced water rates in San Francisco 10 per cent to all consumers.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just for the record, to get something concrete down in figures, can you sometime later on tell us about how much in money the City of San Francisco gives to various municipal departments in water?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, about $400,000 worth.

The reduction of 10 per cent in water rates constitutes the first lowering of water rates in San Francisco for more than 25 years during which, on the contrary, the private company found it necessary to increase rates. Thus we find that under public management the San Francisco Water Department is operating with fewer employees, at a lower distributing cost, at a higher wage scale, and with a substantial cost in water rates.

Now, then, gentlemen, why can't we do that in the electrical business? Why should I have added 30 per cent to the operating cost of the P. G. and E. to cover City operation? Simply because these figures are conservative figures.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Isn't it a fact, Mr. Cahill, that a number of years ago the Spring Valley Water Company went before the Railroad Commission and the City of San Francisco agreed to a 25 per cent increase in rates in order to build the San Andreas pipe line?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know what the reason was. They raised the rates 25 per cent, but the point is this: assuming here a capital cost of the old Spring Valley, adding many millions more in the last bond issue, we still operate, assuming all of those costs, 8 per cent cheaper than the Spring Valley Water Company under private operation. I assume that if you are going to take this P. G. & E. proposition and operate it and it is going to cost 30 per cent more, that is a conservative figure, to say the least.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The only reason I raise that point, in your statement there has been no reduction in water rates, and you have not reduced it 10 per cent.

MR. CAHILL: There has been a reduction of 10 per cent a year ago.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But there was an increase very few years ago of 25 per cent, and, therefore, the 10 per cent reduction still leaves it 15 per cent higher than the rate that existed prior to the agreement between the Spring Valley, the City and the Commission. I bring that out to show that our rates are still higher than they were at that time.

MR. CAHILL: That is true. When we were a village, of course, the rates were low. There was a time when they delivered water by donkeys and the rates were low. When the Spring Valley Water Company supplied the water from here, their rates were low, but when the Spring Valley had to go over to Calaveras, the rates were higher. They carried on those rates for years and years, and we inherited those rates, but after two years, or thereabouts, we were able to reduce them 10 per cent under municipal operation, we were able to carry along on a 10 per cent lower rate scale than the private company had done.

Gentlemen, I have assumed, and maybe I am wrong in making the assumption, that we are going to spend 30 per cent more money in the operation of the P. G. & E. than the P. G. & E. Company does. Now, that is possibly true for a year or two, as it was for a year or

two in the San Francisco Water Department, though the San Francisco Water Department increased nothing like that, but I certainly do not believe that in the long run we will spend any more money, but I have to put you gentlemen on the safe side of this proposition, and, therefore, I have assumed what apparently is almost an outrageous assumption, namely, that we will spend 30 per cent more for municipal operation of the gas and electric company than the electric company spends itself.

THE CLERK (Continuing reading): "Transmission and Stepdown. The Pacific Gas and Electric Company has six transmission lines between Newark and San Francisco, a stepdown station in Visitacion Valley, a number of transbay cables, and four steam plants in San Francisco. As it will be necessry to purchase a large block of power to carry the load in San Francisco, which can only come from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company system, it will be advantageous to both the City and the company to utilize existing company facilities. This will obviate the necessity for large capital expenditures by the City for transmission, stepdown and tie lines and allow the company to use plant which would otherwise be operated either inefficiently or not at all. It is proposed to pay the company an annual service charge for the use of all property employed for transporting the City's power between Newark Substation and San Francisco. The $200,000 shown is the estimated price of this service which must be secured by by negotiation with the company. If the City built its own transmission line and stepdown station, it is possible that in the purchase of the distribution system the company would claim severance damages on a part of their transmission and transformer station property. This would result in the City not only constructing new property but also reimbursing the company for loss of the use of property which, under the plan proposed, can be made to serve the City at minimum capital cost.

The Hetch Hetchy power used in San Francisco will be delivered into the Pacific Gas and Electric Company transmission lines at Newark through meters. The power losses between Newark and San Francisco can be determined. The company would be required to deliver to the City at San Francisco the amount of energy equivalent to that put into the Pacific Gas and Electric Company system at Newark less losses between Newark and San Francisco. This use of the company property for transmission is in accordance with one paragraph of Secretary Ickes' findings of April 22, 1936, in which he says:

'It seems clear that no violence can be done to the provisions of Section 6 by arranging for the sale of electric energy with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company at Newark and a repurchase of a similar amount of energy by the City within the territorial limits of San Francisco. In practical effect there would be only an arrangement for transmission of that energy which is actually distributed to the consumers by the City itself in accordance with the intent of the statute. Substantial and reasonable compliance with the requirements of the Raker Act would result; nor does it matter that the City might not receive in San Francisco the identical energy which it had delivered to the company at Newark. Electric energy cannot be identified or segregated when it becomes mixed with other electric energy as that energy produced by the City at Hetch Hetchy must become mixed with that purchased elsewhere by the company and carried through its Newark transmission lines. The City can no more expect to receive identified energy than can the purchaser of a warehouse receipt for grain expect to receive the identified grain, yet where a fungible commodity such as grain is involved, the situation is in contemplation of law, as though the identified thing had been delivered. Certainly no distinction can reasonably be taken because the commodity is electric energy rather than grain. Both are fungible.'

Under this plan it is not proposed to sell Hetch Hetchy electricity at Newark and buy it back at San Francisco, but deliver it to the

company for transmission to San Francisco, paying it an agreed price for the service."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask Mr. Cahill, supposing the plant did not exist between Newark and San Francisco, and we had to build the necessary transmission lines and so forth, how much would have been involved?

MR. CAHILL: I will have to ask Mr. Ost to answer that.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Will you kindly repeat the question?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Our power now comes to Newark, and suppose we had to build the transmission lines and stepdown station and everything to San Francisco, how much would it cost?

MR. OST: Under Plan 7 we propose to bring in the power from the present Hetch Hetchy plant to San Francisco, it means the extension of the transmission line from Newark to San Francisco at a cost of $1,120,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is under Plan 6, you mean?

MR. OST: Did I say Plan 7? Plan 5—I beg your pardon. Plan 6 contemplated an increased power house and the increased transmission line——

SUPERVISOR UHL: You understand my question, don't you?

MR. OST: Yes. I will follow through. In addition to that we would have to spend $1,210,000 for a stepdown station, and there were alterations and additions to be made, the larger part of which contemplates an extension of the 11,000-volt circuits from the stepdown stations to the City stations, totaling $1,735,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then the standby for 8,600,000.

MR. OST: No, $4,550,000. That covers only the Hetch Hetchy plant.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What was that in Plan 6, your 8,800,000 for a stepdown——

MR. CAHILL: That is the entire City.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, if we operate this from Newark to San Francisco independent of the P. G. & E., these are the investments we would have to make plus the 8,800,000 standby, is that so?

MR. OST: No. We are only bringing into San Francisco the power which is being developed by the existing Hetch Hetchy plant plus the Red Mountain Bar plant. That is all we have to take care of in San Francisco. The rest of the power under Plan 7 is to be provided by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then the total here would be $8,615,000, is that correct?

MR. OST: I don't know. I haven't figured it up that way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You gave me a figure of 1,120,000 for a transmission line.

MR. OST: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: $1,210,000 for a stepdown.

MR. OST: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: $1,735,000, what is that for?

MR. OST: Sub-transmission.

SUPERVISOR UHL: $4,500,000 for the——

MR. OST: Yes, your standby is taken care of, as we have already told you in our purchase contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric, so you can wipe out that 4,000,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will only concede that when the company says they will do that. You heard Mr. Brown—were you here the day Mr. Claude Brown addressed the Board?

MR. OST: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, he said the P. G. & E. have a standby schedule here, P-6, I think it is, that although they have the right under the Railroad Commission ruling, they have a right to make a special arrangement or price with the City of San Francisco, but under the schedules that exist now for standby service, it would be

$84,000 a month, in his judgment. Now, therefore, I am still of the opinion that there must be a charge here in the operating cost for standby service of 1,000,000 or less, according to what the company will do for us. Now, am I to eliminate this $4,550,000 that you mention if we do not use their transmission lines, if we were building it?

MR. OST: Yes. In fact, you can take it all out because the power is coming in over the plant that exists.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Suppose the company would say, "We don't care for that arrangement, if you are going into the distribution of power, we will simply remove our equipment." They have a right to do that, I take it. That point has never been raised. Suppose they say, "We don't care for the business. We will remove our lines, vacate San Francisco." Then what would it cost us to step in and take care of that transmission? May I ask you, in the first place, Mr. Cahill, is that a possibility, that the company could do that?

MR. CAHILL: It is a possibility, but it is so very improbable that it does not enter into it. The proposition is this: No corporation, in my opinion, will deliberately allow duplication of existing facilities. It will run them rather than have them put out of business.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What is the investment then of that property?

MR. CAHILL: About two and a half or two million eight hundred thousand dollars.

SUPERVISOR UHL: He has given me 4,000,000 so far.

MR. CAHILL: The 4,000,000's did not enter into it. They must give us that standby service whether you agree to it or not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is outside of the standby. Mr. Ost told me 1,120,000 for transmission lines.

MR. CAHILL: Yes. If the Pacific Gas & Electric is so stupid that they want us to duplicate their $4,000,000 worth of property, put it out of business, practically eliminate it from business, they can do it, but they won't.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All I am trying to get at is this——

MR. CAHILL: You are trying to make up a lot of bugaboo.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If there is $4,500,000 in the stepdown station, which Mr. Ost says will be unnecessary, there is 400,000 standby —you are allowing $400,000 for that—what percentage of that is $400,000?

MR. CAHILL: About 5.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The Railroad Commission allows them 7. There is a 2,000,000 depreciation on that, so I would say your $4,500,000 is about right.

MR. OST: It may be. There is another service rendered in there that you don't want to forget, and that is that the stepdown station and some of this line, transmission line, is used to take steam power from San Francisco out to the system, that is, the P. G. & E. system, and they claimed severance when we talked of taking that property away from them, so it has some value to them which should be given consideration in setting up any figure such as you have spoken of.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

THE CLERK: (Continuing reading): "Purchased Energy. It has already been pointed out that the estimated peak demand in San Francisco in 1938 will be 216,000 kws. of which the City plants can supply 84,000 kws. It will be necessary to purchase 132,000 kws. of peak capacity and over 300,000,000 kw-hrs. of energy. Estimates have been made of the demand and energy required by months. This service has been priced under published schedule P-6 resulting in an annual cost of $3,134,000, or 9.855 mills per kw-hr. It is possible that a better price can be obtained when the City is ready to sign a contract for the power which it must purchase."

MR. CAHILL: May I talk at that point? Mr. Uhl asked me something about Los Angeles. On Plan 7 we figured about 300,000,000

kilowatt hours of energy, and we have set that up at $3,134,000. In Los Angeles they bought 528,000,000 kilowatt hours for $2,814,000. In other words, what actually happened was that in rates approved by the Railroad Commission of California the City of Los Angeles bought two-thirds more power than we are asking to buy for 90 per cent of the price. My statement is perfectly reasonable that a better price can be obtained when the City is ready to sign a contract for the power which must be purchased. About this $1,000,000—there is to be a $1,000,000 involved there, and this $1,000,000 possible saving is not considered by me as a possible saving in this document—it is assumed by me that we can't get any better price. Now, then, suppose the $1,200,000 price on transmission stepdown, and what have you, is too low—there are, as I have shown to you, several possibilities of picking up a $1,000,000 in this figure because the figures in this document are conservative. Mr. Uhl is raising here a lot of bugaboos that do not exist, and if they do exist, they are minor compared to the savings that can be made on the conservative estimate presented to you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Can you tell me from which point the Edison delivered the power to Los Angeles?

MR. CAHILL: No, I can't tell you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Was it out of the Long Beach plant?

MR. OST: Their steam came from Long Beach—part of it from Long Beach, yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Won't you say there is an entirely different cost whether it is delivered from the P. G. & E. plants in the mountains or whether it will be delivered from Long Beach steam plant closely adjacent?

MR. CAHILL: You are talking about the total amount of power Los Angeles bought?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am talking in order to justify the low price —is it not because of the close proximity of the plants?

MR. CAHILL: Absolutely not. It is further from the Big Creek plant of the Southern California Edison into Los Angeles than it is from the large plants of the Pacific Gas and Electric into San Francisco. Also, it is considerably farther and more expensive to transmit from the Long Beach plants, 20 miles, possibly, into Los Angeles, than it is to transmit from the steam plant located in San Francisco into the sub-stations.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I simply asked the question because I know that the company has that enormous steam plant at Long Beach, and the claim is made that they are producing power, generating power there by steam at a less cost than hydro—is that correct?

MR. OST: There is in many cases a possibility of generating electricity cheaper by steam than by water and the power companies have been balancing their plants so as to have a portion steam and a portion hydro, and as the difficulties of hydro generation increase, due to the fact that the cheap plants have largely been developed already, your differential may grow less and less.

MR. CAHILL: Appropos of that particular item, I would like to call your attention that while we have heard many claims in San Francisco that steam power was cheaper to make than hydro-electric power, actions speak louder than words, and the act of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company not more than 60 days ago in asking the United States Government to permit them to go into the Sierra Nevada Mountains and produce a new hydro-electric power in the quantity of 400,000 kilowatts belies the entire statement that steam is cheaper than hydro-electric, because if it were, they would not ask the United States Government for that privilege, they would come to the Railroad Commission and say, "We want about 400,000 kilowatts of steam in San Francisco."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Answering that, Mr. Cahill, doesn't it make a difference that the power plant is built right where the oil is? San Francisco has not that advantage. They would have to import the oil from Southern California. It makes all the difference in the picture, of course. I am talking about the difference between the Edison production cost with their plant located immediately adjacent to the oil supply as compared with what it would be in San Francisco. Now, right here I want to say this point: To me it seems most unbusinesslike not to find out just where we are at with the company. Is there going to be a standby charge for bringing this 84,000 kilowatts from the Moccasin Power House down to Newark? As a layman, it would be reasonable to suppose so. Mr. Cahill says "Well, $75,000 is the most it can be." I can't help but feel if the company is called upon at any time to pick up our load of 84,000 kilowatts, that is the standby service, that you are not going to get it for $75,000. I don't know. It seems to me that way. Mr. Cahill raises the point of what price it can be had for. Why aren't those things arranged for in advance, to know where we stand?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Uhl, in answer to your last question I would say this: We have to act first, the laboring oar is on us, I mean the Board of Supervisors. We have to submit to the Secretary of the Interior a plan showing that we can distribute our own hydroelectric power. After we have shown the Secretary of the Interior, we are then in a position to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric. I am trying to carry this entire matter—I say I—just as one member of the Board. I am trying to see if we can't carry the entire matter on so one will follow the other, and we know just exactly where we are going; and that is all. So when you ask one question, I would say to you to please go through one portion of it, and then you will have to come back. In other words, using the old expression that is used day in and day out: Don't put the cart before the horse.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me understand you. You want to approve this plan in order to send it back to Mr. Ickes?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, then. Leave the amounts out and ask Mr. Ickes if it meets with his approval, and then if it meets with his approval, we can take it up with the company and get down to the cost.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have read you a letter, if you want me to read it again—I have read to you a letter Mr. Ickes wrote to Mr. Cahill and Mr. Cahill sent me a copy of that letter, asking us—and now, we have got to do this. This is Mr. Ickes' request. I don't want to get into a controversy with you—I have no desire——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will go along with you to send Plan 7 to Mr. Ickes without the figures in it, and say to Mr. Ickes "If we can work out this plan, will it be satisfactory to you?" Then I will vote that far with you, ask Mr. Ickes if the general outline of the plan, leaving out all the figures on the revenue and operating cost, say, and when it comes back and Mr. Ickes says, "Yes," that would be satisfactory, and then at that time I say we should find out from the company just where we stand. If it shows that Mr. Cahill's figures are substantiated by the company, I will go along with it, but I am not going to vote for the approval of this plan to go to Mr. Ickes and say, "Yes, this is what the operating costs and revenues are." I won't do that.

MR. CAHILL: It is probable that if you vote for this plan Mr. Ickes should be consulted. Mr. Uhl has finally hit on one thing among all of these small items, which is deserving at least of your consideration, and that is whether it is the proper course to authorize some person—and it must be authorized by this Board, because you are the policy-making department of this government—as to whether or not you desire to authorize a contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric

Company to get a formal figure as to what they will sell their distributing system in San Francisco for. I have hitherto called to your attention that the Railroad Commission, if you go to the Railroad Commission, may not agree with our engineers' determination of $39,000,000, though we believe it is conservative, just as we believe the other figures are conservative. I had occasion recently to observe that in Los Angeles there is a forthcoming special election to pass some $46,340,000 worth of bonds for the purchase by the municipality of the Los Angeles Gas and Electric distributing system, which is some 35 per cent of the total area of San Francisco, and is the only important item left for the City to make a complete distribution in Los Angeles. But I was considerably interested to see the terms of the agreement. May I read to you, gentlemen, the terms of this agreement? And please follow me as closely as you can and interrupt me if I go too fast:

"In order to bring to an end litigation and negotiations of several years standing between the City of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company, relative to (a) the purchase of the electric properties of the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company by the Los Angeles Bureau of Power and Light, (b) the right of the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company and its affiliates to use the streets for the distribution of gas for other than 'illuminating purposes,' as provided in its constitutional franchise, the negotiating parties have agreed on a charter amendment to be submitted to the voters at a special election to be held December 8, embodying the following provisions:

(1) The Company grants a three-year option to the City to purchase the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company electric properties for the sum of $46,340,000.

(2) The City will grant a thirty-five-year franchise to the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company and its affiliates to supply gas for 'heat and power' within the City boundaries.

(3) The Los Angeles Gas and its affiliates will pay the City as a minimum fee of 1 per cent of their gross receipts for gas, as a franchise tax.

(4) The Los Angeles Gas and affiliates will further pay to the City the sum of $505,000 for past use of the streets for purposes of 'heat and power' from natural gas.

(5) The Bureau of Light and Power will offer for sale 'revenue bonds' in the amount of $46,340,000, to pay for the electric properties.

(6) The Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company will use the purchase price to retire $40,000,000 of outstanding bonds on its gas and electric properties plus a 'calling' premium of 5 per cent or $2,000,000, a total of $42,000,000.

The $46,340,000 is a compromise from the original asking price of the Company, $60,000,000, and the offered price of the City, $40,000,000.

The Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company operates two steam plants, having a total installed capacity of 148,700 kw. Total kilowatt-hour output in 1935 was 437,156,431 kw.h.

On January 1, 1935, the Company was serving 163,888 customers, which represented approximately 35 per cent of the load within the City.

The option to purchase expires September 30, 1939." The intricate part of that, the thing that caught my eye is this, gentlemen: The Los Angeles Gas and Electric asked $60,000,000. Undoubtedly the Pacific Gas and Electric will ask a large sum. The Los Angeles Electric—I mean the municipality discovered that Los Angeles Electric Company had no constitutional franchise to distribute gas through their streets for the purpose of power and heat, and, naturally, there is almost no illumination business left. It is my opinion that the San Francisco franchise of the Pacific Gas and Electric is exactly the same type of franchise, necessarily a State constitutional franchise which gives them simply the power to distribute illuminating gas in this City, not the power to distribute gas for heat and gas for power.

They are in exactly the same fix that the Los Angeles Gas and Electric found themselves to be in when they asked $60,000,000 for that property and compromised for $46,000,000. I have therefore asked Mr. John O'Toole for a determination as to whether the Pacific Gas and Electric Corporation has a franchise to deliver gas through the streets of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of supplying gas for heat and gas for power. It is my opinion, though he has not yet rendered his decision, that Mr. O'Toole will say that the two franchises, both being State constitutional franchises, so-called, are identical.

Now, then, gentlemen, this matter is in litigation but before the court decision has come down an agreement has been reached whereby the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company reduced its $60,000,000 demand for its system to 46,000,000 and something, plus $505,000 for back franchise tax for heat and power gas, agreed to pay 1 per cent of all that it gets in the future out of its gas business for the purposes of the franchise tax and asks, and is granted by the City a 35-year franchise to distribute gas through the City of Los Angeles for the purpose of heat and power. I am quite sure that Mr. O'Toole will find that this Board has never granted the Pacific Gas and Electric any gas franchise, that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, in my opinion, and that is not yet backed up by the City Attorney, is operating its gas franchise through San Francisco almost exclusively for the purpose of heat and power, and it has no right to do so unless this Board grants them a franchise.

It may be well, as Mr. Uhl suggests, that this Board authorize some person or persons, or Commission, to take up with Mr. Ickes as to whether Plan 7 satisfies the Raker Act, though I am under no misapprehensions, I think the thing is absolutely in accordance with the Raker Act. I think he will immediately grant it, though, that, of course, is always subject to question until he does grant it. And it may be the better part of wisdom for this Board to request or authorize some person, some department in their behalf to ask the Pacific Gas and Electric Company if we can arrive at a formal fixed price which can be put up to the voters to say yes or no to.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Members of the Board: That was a very interesting statement of Mr. Cahill's regarding what Los Angeles is doing down there, and it shows they are on their toes and watching every point, and it rather indicates we have been asleep at the switch here not to have found that same condition regarding the franchise matter. Now, it is going to be a rather interesting thing to see what the court decision will be in that particular matter. I can't help but feel that the Public Utilities Commission should have—it is within their power to have long before this taken up negotiations with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to ascertain just exactly what price could be arrived at and what they would charge us, what the figure would be on the transmission of the power to the county or standby service. I can't understand—really, it strikes me as most unbusinesslike to come in here with a plan and simply assume that we get standby service for nothing, and assume we might save a $1,000,000 on the schedule P-6, and assume that $2,000 will be the price for bringing the—for the use of their transmission line. I can't help but feel right now that the Board of Supervisors might request—although that might be looked upon as a violation of Section 22—I don't believe it would. As one of the framers of the Charter, I know that is not what we had in mind—I feel that the Public Utilities Commission should have before this and should now take up with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company what price they can get for these various items, and then we know where we stand.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Mr. Chairman, I quite agree with Supervisor Uhl, but I don't think you could get any information you wanted until we decided to go into the light and power business ourselves.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I don't agree with you.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: You wouldn't expect them to give you an answer to it when they still have a possibility of calling this thing off. Now, if we go on record you can get that information and you can get different information now than you would day before yesterday.

SUPERVISOR UHL: At any rate, isn't it worth trying?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: They wouldn't give you an answer day before yesterday. Now, they will; they have got to show their hand now.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Have they been asked? You say they wouldn't until day before yesterday——

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Until we decided to go into the light and power business ourselves.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You have not decided it yet. We only voted a policy. That is not a decision to go into it.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: You will get a better answer from them today than you would day before yesterday.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, then, let us have the answer.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman: You spoke about a gas franchise; have they no franchise at all?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, Los Angeles has what is called a State constitutional franchise, and, in my opinion, though I have not yet received Mr. O'Toole's reply to my request, in my opinion the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has also in San Francisco a State constitutional franchise.

MR. DUNNIGAN: May I correct you or make a suggestion?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

MR. DUNNIGAN: They operate on a franchise derived from the old Broden Act.

MR. CAHILL: A State law, wasn't it?

MR. DUNNIGAN: Yes. All those franchises in early days were under the Broden Act. They had no legal franchise, they never had to get one.

MR. CAHILL: I believe their franchise called simply for illuminating gas. That is a question Mr. O'Toole has been asked to determine. He says on a curbstone sort of judgment, that he believes that is true, but he won't make an opinion until he has investigated.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: But that matter is settled in Los Angeles?

MR. CAHILL: It is still in court, but before the decision comes down the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company says "We need a franchise, and we will pay you for a franchise. We will pay you for back taxes for the franchise we were using." So it looks as though deeds are stronger than words.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman: I think a properly worded resolution requesting the Public Utilities Commission to do the very thing that Mr. Uhl has asked should be adopted here today.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What is that?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I say, I believe a properly worded resolution requesting the Public Utilities Commission to do the very things you mentioned and requested, should be adopted by this Board. That resolution should be properly prepared. I am not in a position to do it. I am not in a position to do it myself at this time, but I would say if we can meet again this afternoon at 2:00 o'clock——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I cannot meet this afternoon.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Well, the next time this Board meets we then can adopt that resolution. It would take time to prepare it. Mr. Cahill might assist in preparing the resolution.

MR. CAHILL:: I think it would be better to get the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: All right, the City Attorney, to prepare a resolution requesting the Public Utilities Commission to render to this body the points you have made. I would ask you now to repeat those points so the stenographer may get them, so we may agree upon the points that may go into that resolution. You asked a

few pertinent questions awhile ago. I cannot repeat them now, but if you can, I would ask that you do so.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My statement was that I feel that we should before voting for Plan 7, as set up here, that we should know what the price of the distributing system which we want to purchase from the P. G. and E. is, what price they are asking, on what price we can negotiate. If we feel that that price is too high and the people of San Francisco vote the bonds, and the money is available, then, of course, under eminent domain we can go in and condemn that system. Then it remains for the courts to decide what we will pay for it. I feel it is business-like, in the first place, to say, "What will you sell your distributing system for?" That is clear, isn't it?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Number 2. I want to know if $200,000 will be sufficient for the transmission stepdown. I want to know that. I want to know if they will give us at cost or at the most $75,000 a year standby service covering our 84,000 kilowatts transmitted from the Moccasin Power House down to Newark. Those are my points. And also I would ask to ascertain the lowest price that they will sell us the power we require under Schedule P-6. Those are my questions that I would like answered.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would ask Mr. Cahill if he can add anything to that.

MR. CAHILL: That covers it. Of course, I won't disguise from this Board that these negotiations will take several months, to say the least. The last question that Mr. Uhl put up must go to the Railroad Commission to see whether or not they will give San Francisco a rate comparable to that Los Angeles gets from the Southern California Edison and adjacent companies. All of those things take time. I don't disguise from you it is going to take a long time. I want you to understand that.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Does that mean we are all at sea now, and that we should not go ahead?

MR. CAHILL: No.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You say it will take a long time.

MR. CAHILL: Let me read to you again the first statement I made here: "In order to bring to an end litigation and negotiations of several years standing between the City of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company——"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, I realize it will take time.

MR. CAHILL: All right. I am not a miracle man and this is going to take time. Now, you gentlemen have to make up your minds if you want to take the time, do the thing Los Angeles did, or do it your own way.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: But that does not satisfy my mind at all. Does this last statement that you made mean that we should stop all proceedings as to the revenue bonds to be submitted to the people?

MR. CAHILL: No.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The Charter amendment that we have in view of $43,000,000, does that mean that we must stop everything until you are through?

MR. CAHILL: Well, if you authorize, Mr. Roncovieri, some person or some organization to represent this Board in negotiations with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as to a $40,000,000 purchase more or less, as to the negotiations for a rental of transmission and stepdown, and then at the same time go to the Railroad Commission for a certain determination besides, it is obvious that it is not only going to take time, but it is going to require an appropriation from this Board to enable us to do it. I cannot tell you how much that appropriation is because I have not thought along those lines sufficiently, but we cannot do these things without certain appropriations. They won't be very great, but we have to have them. We cannot do them in time —if you pass the resolution which you propose, you cannot possibly

get your bond issue on the November election. It is not possible and I cannot tell you when you will be able to do it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I believe you were here yesterday and heard the discussion as to which should come first for submission to the people, the rapid transit, or the distribution of power. Yesterday, by an almost unanimous vote we decided to submit the power first to the people and everyone had in mind, I believe, that it would be at the very next election, which would be a month, or two, or three from now. Then, I would take it from your last remarks that we are at sea. We don't know whether we can submit it.

MR. CAHILL: No, you are not at sea. You have one of two propositions in your mind which you must adopt, one course of procedure is to go to the people for financial authority to do the thing, then negotiate with the P. G. & E. and Railroad Commission afterwards. The other course of procedure is the one Los Angeles adopted. They went to the P. G. & E. first and then to the people afterwards. You have one of two ways open, to the Board of Supervisors which must determine the policy, must determine what they want to do. There are only those two questions in your minds at this time.

MR. RONCOVIERI: If we undertake to ask the people for a certain sum of money first, before going to the P. G. & E., we must have enough money.

MR. CAHILL: You will unquestionably have to add a safety figure of 10 per cent, because the Railroad Commission—I don't know what they will do, they will do as they please. They won't do exactly what the engineers of San Francisco want, and you can't tell whether it will be more or less than 39,000,000 as presented.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Then the 39,000,000, to that you would add 10 per cent?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, at least.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: And you think that would be——

MR. CAHILL: Those funds not to be expended, of course, if they were not necessary.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: To then go to the P. G. & E. with the knowledge we have that the people have approved, assuming that they do, the 39,000,000 plus 10 per cent, and say, "Now, we have that money, we are authorized to issue revenue bonds in that amount." We go to the P. G. & E. and negotiate with them, that is your recommendation, is it?

MR. CAHILL: No. I make no recommendation on that. My recommendation——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You recommend, rather, that we start in by negotiating with the P. G. & E. and wait until later to submit to the people?

MR. CAHILL: I would rather have a definite concrete proposition to put up to the people than to put up to them a nebulous proposition. In other words, we might go past the 10 per cent. How do I know? How do you know? Los Angeles assumed that attitude, and Los Angeles went to the Los Angeles Gas and Electric first and established the price, and then went to the people for the financial authority.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question right there? If this was your private affair, not the City affair, which would you do, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I would go to the P. G. & E. first. I have made that recommendation.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You would go to them and get exactly what the cost would be?

MR. CAHILL: Certainly.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: But only after you determined to go into the power business, you would not go and ask them before they knew you were going into the business?

MR. CAHILL: Oh, no.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: That is the position I am trying to show.

MR. CAHILL: I have showed you the two courses, I have showed you that Los Angeles did the one that I think is right, and you gentlemen have to make your decision as to which one you desire to do.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: As I said day before yesterday, the P. G. & E. would give a price which was exorbitant, feeling that we would call off the project here. Now, we are determined to enter the project. Now, again my opening statement is this, after we get all through going into this thing Mr. McSheehy wants, we have one plan and that is Plan 7, which is acceptable for your engineers and all that. Plan 7 is the one you suggest?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Then we have to do one of two things: go before Mr. Ickes and say we are ready to shoot, here is the plan. Am I right that far?

MR. CAHILL: That is one way of doing it.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Before you do all of this, you cannot go before the people or anyone else until this Board finally decides to adopt some plan, and that plan is Plan 7. Then we can go to Mr. Ickes and say we are ready to shoot, here is our plan, and that is always subject to adjustment afterwards, isn't it?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: All right, but we are killing a lot of time.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: But the important thing is to ask the people for so many million dollars. Then go to the people and ask for a few million more—I don't know——

SUPERVISOR MEYER: We have experts to tell us what to do.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I want to say that we are in a different position from Los Angeles to this extent: Los Angeles is not burdened by decisions from Washington or the Federal Government has nothing to say about Los Angeles. That is their private business, between the municipality and the Los Angeles Electric Company, but here we have a third party who may overrule everything.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Correct; but I say let us send the plan on to Mr. Ickes without any figures in it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Uhl, talk sense.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am talking sense.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, you are not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Of course, I am. I am talking dollars; I am talking millions instead of cents. Will Mr. Ickes accept this plan? In other words, it resolves itself down to this: wlil we deliver our power at Newark to the P. G. & E. to transmit to San Francisco? If Mr. Ickes says no to that, that is all there is to it. Mr. Ickes is not interested at all in the question of dollars and cents in this set-up, so you don't have to send it on to Mr. Ickes.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: This Board will have to send word to Mr. Ickes that we have adopted it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am only one member of this Board—Mr. Uhl, I can't understand your line of reasoning at all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You can't? Well, it is too bad. Mr. Cahill agrees with it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Will you allow me to go on? I can not understand—I am talking now to the Chair and my colleagues here at a regular meeting—I can not understand a colleague of mine receiving a report from Mr. Cahill, the manager of the Utilities, and having the nerve to ask us to send that report to the Secretary of the Interior without giving him any figures, a report that calls for approximately a bond issue of 43,000,000's of dollars. I can't see how a sane man could make such a statement and mean it, and he said it about three times. Now, let us be right in this entire matter. I have tried—we are all trying—we have a problem before us, gentlemen, I don't think we should get mad, one with another. It is our

problem. Mr. Roncovieri—I know how Supervisor Roncovieri sometimes looks one way and then another, looking for what light he can get, which he is entitled to.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Indeed, I will get it before I vote.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I don't want to see any of us make a mistake but the problem is before us. I trust and hope you will allow the Clerk to finish his reading, and when he has finished his reading I will offer a motion, but will not ask you to vote on the motion here today. I will not ask you to vote on its simply because I feel you should take it under consideration. Think over all the knowledge we can gather on this particular matter, but I hope we will be allowed to proceed and after it is over, I will offer this motion so we can bring the whole thing up, and see it as it should be—because it is a problem that we cannot go one one way, and one, another way; we must go through with it. Perhaps, if we do not, we will lose our properties entirely. We are in an entirely different position than Los Angeles, and yet we can learn to some degree from what has happened down there, and we must be just as strategic as they were in dealing with the Edison. So, I will ask the Clerk to proceed and read the entire Plan 7, and take it up seriatim. There is only a couple more pages, and then I will offer a motion.

THE CLERK: The last paragraph of page 10. (Reading):

"In making this purchase of additional energy from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and in employing it to deliver the City's power from Newark into San Francisco, it will be possible for the company to continue to utilize its full system to the same advantage as at present. True it will lose the retail business within the City. It will, however, retain a wholesale market equivalent to its present retail market except for such electricity as comes from the Red Mountain Bar power house. This, however, is inconsiderable in view of the fact that the consummation of this plan is projected forward to the year 1938 which is the earliest date at which it is possible to get the Red Mountain Bar plant into production. It is also probable that the year 1937 will pass during the time of negotiating the sale of the distribution property. Depreciation.

Under Section 128 of the Charter, the Public Utilities Commission must maintain a depreciation reserve for each utility. To comply with this requirement there has been included in the estimated operating expenses an annual charge of 3 per cent of the wearing value of the plant for depreciation. This amounts to $1,260,000 annually. This figure is reasonable as the plant being purchased is a seasoned one requiring replacements from the day of its acquisition.

METHOD OF FINANCING

The financing of municipally owned public utilities through revenue bonds has been in use sufficiently long to demonstrate its desirability. Revenue bonds have been issued by Los Angeles, Seattle and Tacoma for power projects. In every case the rate of interest required has been satisfactorily low. With San Francisco's financial reputation equally good rates should be obtained for this project. An interest rate of 5 per cent on revenue bonds has been used in preparing this report. This was done after consulting with Mr. L. S. Leavy, Controller of the City and other competent financial men. The interest rate of 4 per cent on general obligation bonds has been used on advice from the Controller. It is probable that interest rates below these may be had at the time of issuing bonds.

CONCLUSION

Plan Seven has been devised as a means of meeting the Raker Act in a manner which will give the City maximum service from its present power investment and once and for all time settle the question of electric distribution in San Francisco. The total investment is

more than twice that of Plan Four which Mr. Ickes does not feel complies with the Raker Act. The financial results are, however, even better than those of Plan Four and any other plan which has so far been devised for a lower capital expenditure.

In approving this proposal the people of San Francisco must definitely assume the responsibility for the entire power supply of the City. They will have developed all of the cheaper power sites of the Hetch Hetchy Project. Other plants may be economically built coincident with additional water development at some indeterminate future date.

San Francisco's electric load can be expected to increase at a rate of not less than 8 per cent a year. During the early years of municipal operation this means that the City will have to find an additional 50,000 or 75,000 kw. each year. Under the arrangement of this plan this energy would be purchased from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. With the growth of less favorable types of load the peak demand may grow at a faster rate than the consumption. This condition would raise the price of energy purchased.

The surplus should be ample to care for normal additions and betterments to the distribution system. Additional generating and transmission plant would have to be purchased by bonds.

Respectfully submitted,

E. G. Cahill,
Manager of Utilities."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, I have here and I would like Mr. Uhl's attention to the document—in fact, all the members of the Board—a letter, just a short letter—I have here a letter. First, a letter was addressed to me by Mr. Sharon, Assistant Secretary. I read it yesterday and again today. I won't read that, but I will read this. It is addressed by the Secretary of the Interior to Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of the Utilities, Public Utilities Commission, San Francisco, California.

"My dear Mr. Cahill:

I have received your letter of July 16th with which you enclosed copies of Plans 5, 6 and 7 for retail distribution of Hetch Hetchy power by the City and County of San Francisco. I sincerely hope that the Board of Supervisors may find one of those plans to be acceptable and feasible. May I request that as soon as the Board of Supervisors has taken action, I be advised of that fact, so that I may evaluate the plan in accordance with the standards set by the Raker Act, and so that action can be taken with as little delay as possible on the application which San Francisco has filed with the Public Works Administration for a grant of funds to assist in effecting municipal distribution of electric power.

Sincerely yours,

Harold L. Ickes."

SUPERVISOR MEYER: May I call to your attention that this letter is dated July 27th, and we talk about procrastination. It ought to have been on its way long ago.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board: A great many statements were made here this morning about requesting an estimate of the property from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company before we agreed on a plan. I trust that there will be no effort on the part of any member of this Board to carry through such a program, because, as I stated, it is not working in sequence, the way we should, and, using an old phrase which I stated before, it is placing the cart before the horse.

Now, I have carefully prepared in writing—I don't even want to make a motion, I have prepared it in writing, and I will move at

this time, Mr. Clerk—this is a motion by me—I move that Plan 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply, as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior at Washington, D. C. I make that as a motion.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will ask that the Clerk kindly pass these around to the members.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor McSheehy, I was wondering, owing to the fact that this is such a problem, should we have a full Board on a motion of that kind?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If the membership of this Board wishes to defer action until Monday, I have no particular objection because I feel—of course, I would like to see it passed today, but if you feel that way, Mr. Chairman, and other members feel that they want to give it further consideration, I will not attempt to force it, because I realize one thing, that after we have done our work the people of San Francisco will have to pass on it, and I realize if we possibly can we should have unity in this Board to show the people of San Francisco that they are making no mistake when they vote the Hetch Hetchy bonds to be placed before them on February 16th of this year. So, once in a while, if you and I get a little mad—I don't mean anything by it. I know it is going to take united action to get the people to pass these bonds, so if any member wants this matter to go over until Monday——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would request it go over until Monday.

MR. CAHILL: May I say, it would be impossible for me to be here Monday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I say this as respectfully as I can to you, I think if Mr. Ost is here and Mr. Ellis, and this is a matter of policy—I think we have received from you a lot of things here today—I say that respectfully as I can, if Mr. Ost and Mr. Ellis will be here Monday, I think we can get the information we want from them, so we can go into this.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Supervisor McSheehy, inasmuch as there have been some very new things brought up and very important, I would suggest that we have a transcript made in order that Mr. Shannon, Mr. Brown and Mr. Colman, and Supervisor Havenner, who was not here during the discussion, should have copies of it. I would like a copy of it also.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would make that, if you wish, I would make that as a motion, that the transcript be made for the entire Board.

MR. DUNNIGAN: Please. If you want a transcript, I will have it printed from the reporter's transcript so you can get as many as you want.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that a transcript be printed and that the Clerk of this Board be authorized to have 25 printed.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Including yesterday's meeting?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Including yesterday's meeting.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move this matter be made a special order of business by the Board of Supervisors for 2:30 o'clock next Monday.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, then, Supervisor McSheehy, why can't we then at this time say we will take up the matter of rapid transit—Mr. Cahill cannot be here on Monday—I feel he should be at the next meeting. Mr. Cahill, by the way, I will send you today a copy of Mr. Dobbins' letter, which he sent me.

MR. CAHILL: I have received it already.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Cahill, how long do you think it would take to get a report from the P. G. & E?

Mr. CAHILL: I haven't the remotest idea.

MR. DUNNIGAN: May I answer that?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection to this being a special order for 2:30 next Monday? So——

MR. DUNNIGAN: The Board has already addressed two communications to the P. G. & E. on the subject matter and they did not answer.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: How long ago?

MR. DUNNIGAN: Last year.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My motion is that this be made a special order of business for 2:30 o'clock next Monday.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move we adjourn.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 12:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 23, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

...IC LIBRARY
...ODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 49

Monday, November 23, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 1936—2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors San Francisco, Monday, November 23, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

#### Correction.

Supervisor Brown called attention to meeting of November 18, that it had not been noted that Supervisor Shannon and himself had not been recorded as being excused from said meeting to attend meeting of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and requested that the correction be made.

*So ordered.*

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 16, 17 and 18, 1936, were considered read and approved.

#### Canvass of General Election of November 3, 1936.

The following were presented, read and *ordered filed*:

The Board of Supervisors met on Monday, November 9, 1936, at the office of the Registrar of Voters, City Hall, for the purpose of canvassing results of the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 3, 1936.

Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

The official returns of votes cast at said General Election were produced by the Registrar of Voters and the official canvass of same proceeded with, commencing with returns from the first precinct of the Twentieth Assembly District and proceeding in orderly fashion, taking each precinct in numerical order.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, November 10, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, November 12, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, November 13, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Saturday, November 14, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Fred W. Meyer, presiding.

Recess taken at 12 o'clock noon.

Monday, November 16, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Tuesday, November 17, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Wednesday, November 18, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Thursday, November 19, 1936. Official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. Supervisor Warren Shannon, presiding.

Recess taken at 5 o'clock p. m.

Friday, November 20, 1936, official canvass resumed at 8:30 o'clock a. m. and completed at 5 o'clock p. m. Supervisor Dewey Mead, presiding.

November 20, 1936.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Dear Sir: This is to certify that the official canvass of votes cast at General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 3d day of November, 1936, has been completed and the total vote cast, and the total vote cast for each candidate, and for or against every proposition submitted thereat, is set forth in full in book marked "A-T" Statement of Votes for said election. Such record shows that the total vote cast at said election is 269,387.

Very truly yours,
C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

| | |
|---|---|
| Electors: | |
| Democratic | 196,197 |
| Republican | 65,436 |
| Socialist | 726 |
| Communist | 1,366 |
| Prohibition | 1,227 |
| Representative in Congress—Fourth District: | |
| Florence P. Kahn | 43,805 |
| Franck R. Havenner | 64,063 |
| Whitney | 1,711 |
| Representative in Congress—Fifth District: | |
| Richard J. Welch | 82,910 |
| Lawrence Ross | 4,545 |
| Member of Assembly—Twenty-first District: | |
| Joseph P. Gilmore | 5,869 |
| Joseph Francis Sheehan | 10,691 |
| Member of Assembly—Twenty-fourth District: | |
| Patrick J. McMurray | 13,131 |
| Franklyn M. O'Brien | 7,138 |
| Member of Assembly—Twentieth District: | |
| Maloney | 10,154 |
| Member of Assembly—Twenty-second District: | |
| Dawson | 24,950 |
| Member of Assembly—Twenty-third District: | |
| Hornblower | 15,147 |

Member of Assembly—Twenty-fifth District:
Cronin ..... 18,793
Member of Assembly—Twenty-sixth District:
Williamson ..... 14,992
Member of Assembly—Twenty-seventh District:
Peyser ..... 15,387
Member of Assembly—Twenty-eighth District:
Levey ..... 17,124
Questions, Propositions, Constitutional Amendments, etc.
State:

| | *Yes* | *No* |
|---|---|---|
| 2—Personal Income Taxes | 96,509 | 101,519 |
| 3—Liquor Control | 108,222 | 101,543 |
| 4—Tideland Oil Drilling | 90,230 | 101,708 |
| 5—Los Angeles Exposition Bonds | 57,288 | 107,188 |
| 6—Amending Los Angeles City Charter | 58,513 | 104,483 |
| 7—Civil Service | 58,461 | 124,111 |
| 8—Registration of Voters | 33,783 | 138,645 |
| 9—Local Option | 35,811 | 176,468 |
| 10—Motor Vehicle Fuel Taxes | 60,776 | 121,189 |
| 11—Instructors' Tenure | 40,656 | 130,040 |
| 12—Court of Criminal Appeals | 32,182 | 139,725 |
| 13—Eminent Domain | 25,153 | 139,059 |
| 14—Consolidated City and County Government | 97,391 | 73,058 |
| 15—Relief to Assessment Districts | 87,922 | 78,912 |
| 16—Water Conservation Districts | 74,716 | 81,467 |
| 17—Computing Taxes on Unsecured Property | 88,526 | 74,110 |
| 18—Oleomargarine Tax | 41,124 | 144,095 |
| 19—Legislative Printing | 81,433 | 83,231 |
| 20—Publicly Owned Museums and Art Galleries | 71,768 | 93,121 |
| 21—Penal Institution for Female Felons | 89,823 | 82,378 |
| 22—Retail Store License | 135,652 | 101,130 |
| 23—Public Service Commission | 26,641 | 138,897 |
| 24—Charters of Cities and Cities and Counties | 69,850 | 92,493 |
| Municipal— | | |
| 1—Election of Judges | 58,263 | 109,975 |
| Judges: | | |
| A. C. Edmonds | 95,399 | 31,515 |
| Sturtevant | 103,751 | 30,857 |
| Board of Education: | | |
| Derry | 107,203 | 25,787 |
| Dinkelspiel | 100,988 | 34,786 |

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Votes Cast at General Election, November 3, 1936.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 2934, as follows:

Resolved, That at the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 3, 1936, the whole number of votes cast in the 1055 voting precincts in said City and County was 269,387.

Resolved, That the Record Book marked "A-T" Statement of Votes polled at General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, November 3, 1936, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the General Election held in said City and County on said day, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 3, 1936, and in each

voting precinct therein; the names of the persons voted for, and the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County to each of such persons; also, such statement shows the number of votes given in each voting precinct for and against each question and proposition submitted, and the total vote given in said City and County for and against each of said questions and propositions.

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State of the State of California a certified copy of the Statement of Votes of the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on November 3, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Action Deferred.

The following matter was *made a Special Order of Business for 10 a. m. at a recessed meeting of the Board of Supervisors, Tuesday, December* 1, 1936:

**Consideration of Plan No. 7 for the Municipal Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power and Rapid Transit.**

### Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved as follows:

That Plan No. 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Hon. Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following bill was *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 for the City's Portion of the Cost of Removing the Market Street Railway Company's Turntable in Bay Street.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1164, Ordinance No. 9.051324, as follows:

Authorizing a Supplemental Appropriation of $10,500 of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the unappropriated surplus in the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, $5,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.907.17 for the purchase of land in Bay street at Laguna street, said land being necessary for the widening of Bay street, which physical work

is to be prosecuted by the WPA forces, and $5,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 677.908.00 for the City's portion of the cost of removing the Market Street Railway Company's turntable in Bay street at Taylor street necessary in the prosecution of the widening of Bay street by the WPA forces.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by S. J. Hester, Acting Director of Public Works.

Approved by W. H Worden, Acting Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation Tax Sales and Assessments—State Property.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Resolution No. 2922, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following property now recorded in the name of the State of California was sold to the State for unpaid taxes. Being State property these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

| Lot | Block | Assessed to— | Sale | Year | Tax | Del. Penalty | Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 3765 | J. W. Welch, et al... | 4069 | 1933 | $ 71.86 | $ 2.16 | $ .50 |
| | | | | 1934 | 159.56 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | 1935 | 152.06 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | | $ 383.48 | $ 2.16 | $ .50 |
| 52 | 3736 | American Forge Co.. | 2128 | 1935 | $ 935.90 | $100.74 | $ 1.00 |
| 32 | 3749 | Hoefler's Cent. Chocolates, Inc.... | 2135 | 1935 | 812.99 | 66.47 | 1.00 |
| 33 | 3749 | A. E. Hoefler ..... | 2136 | 1935 | 36.82 | 2.57 | .50 |
| 40A | 3749 | R. M. & R. Frazier.. | 2137 | 1935 | 128.14 | 8.97 | .50 |
| 83 | 3762 | M. E. Murphy..... | 2154 | 1935 | 35.34 | 2.47 | .50 |
| 84 | 3762 | M. E. Murphy..... | 2155 | 1935 | 22.10 | 1.54 | .50 |
| 86 | 3762 | M. E. Murphy..... | 2156 | 1936 | 88.00 | 6.16 | .50 |
| 4 & 5 | 3764 | St. Mary's Hospital. | 2159 | 1935 | 934.18 | 68.89 | .50 |
| 47 | 3764 | Sisters of Mercy.... | 2160 | 1935 | 51.54 | 3.60 | .50 |
| | | | | | $3,045.01 | $261.41 | $ 5.50 |
| 2 | 2414 | State Property ..... | 1485 | 1935 | $ 1.48 | $ .10 | $ .50 |
| 31 | 6970 | Baldwin & Howell.. (Sold to Veterans Welfare Board) | | 1935 | 5.15 | ..... | ..... |
| 40 | 6235 | W. H. Grist ..... (Veteran's exemption filed for, but through clerical error not noted on assessment roll.) | | 1933 | 4.52 | ..... | ..... |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Cancellation Tax Sales and Assessments—City Property.**

(Code Nos. 9.0411 and 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 2923, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the following property now recorded in the name of the City and County was sold for unpaid taxes. Being City property these sales and assessments should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

| Lot | Block | Assessed to— | Sale | Year | Tax | Del. Penalty | Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | 6547 | H. & A. Buer | 2582 | 1930 | $ 38.38 | $ 3.84 | $ .50 |
| | | | | 1931 | 38.38 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | 1932 | 37.62 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | 1933 | 33.06 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | 1934 | 36.70 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | 1935 | 34.98 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | | $ 219.12 | $ 3.84 | $ .50 |
| 20 | 2513 | Marie Fanning | 2603 | 1933 | $ 11.31 | $ .34 | $ .50 |
| | | | | 1934 | 25.12 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | 1935 | 23.94 | ..... | ..... |
| | | | | | $ 60.37 | $ .34 | $ .50 |

| | | | Year 1934 | | | | Year 1935 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 19 | 2108 | F. G. Quevada..1935 | .... | $ 13.14 | $ .93 | $ .50 | $ 12.52 |
| 1 | 2110 | Jacob Held ....1977 | .... | 6.57 | .20 | .50 | 12.52 |
| 2A | 2114 | C. M. & D. Hansen .....2024 | .... | 2.90 | .09 | .50 | 5.52 |
| 3 | 2114 | J. R. Mitchell..2025 | .... | 17.38 | 1.22 | .50 | 16.56 |
| 14 | 2518 | Sol Getz & Sons.2337 | .... | 9.66 | .29 | .50 | 18.40 |
| 11 | 4029 | J. & E. Russ...31.57 | .... | 59.50 | 1.79 | .50 | 113.40 |
| 6 | 4273 | C. & R. Behnke.3267 | .... | 37.86 | 1.13 | .50 | 72.16 |
| 7 | 4273 | T. Anstad .....3307 | .... | 41.73 | 1.25 | .50 | 79.52 |
| 20 | 4273 | M. A. Hansen..3268 | .... | 19.90 | .60 | .50 | 37.92 |
| 21 | 4273 | M. Locecito ...3269 | .... | 19.90 | .60 | .50 | 37.92 |
| 39 to 41 | 5547 | F. Meyer, et al.3601 | .... | 2.70 | .08 | .50 | 5.16 |
| 25-26 | 5613 | F. Meyer, et al.3623 | .... | 1.55 | .05 | .50 | 2.94 |
| | | | | $232.89 | $8.23 | $6.00 | $414.54 |

| Lot | Block | Assessed to— | Sale | 1935 Tax | Del. Penalty | Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21 | 1260 | Selma Carlson | 569 | $ 56.33 | $ 1.69 | $ .50 |
| 42 | 1260 | Matilda Lorenzen | 572 | 46.39 | 1.39 | .50 |
| 47 | 1260 | L. E. Brady, et al. | 573 | 65.54 | 1.97 | .50 |
| 49 | 1260 | Marion Green (Portion) | 574 | 61.49 | 1.84 | .50 |
| 6F | 2107 | L. R. Jackson | 1297 | 14.72 | 1.03 | .50 |
| 8 | 2107 | Effie Johnson | 1298 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 5 | 2108 | Annie Leone | 1300 | 14.72 | 1.03 | .50 |
| 14 | 2108 | Sarah Elvidge | 1301 | 5.52 | .17 | .50 |
| 31 | 2108 | L. C. and F. Bremmerer | 1302 | 7.36 | .51 | .50 |
| 5 | 2109 | E. F. Roxney | 1303 | 3.68 | .11 | .50 |
| 26 | 2109 | M. Glaser | 1304 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |

| Lot | Block | Assessed to— | Sale | 1935 Tax | Del. Penalty | Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 2110 | Waterhouse & Lester | 1306 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 3 | 2110 | The Kreig Tanning Co. | 1307 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 8 | 2110 | A. B. Dyment | 1308 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 22 | 2110 | Tista McPartland | 1310 | 7.36 | .51 | .50 |
| 12A | 2126 | Carl A. Larsen | 1318 | 43.81 | 1.31 | .50 |
| 2B | 2144 | C. L. Smith | 1328 | 2.76 | .08 | .50 |
| 5 | 2144 | F. and H. Marx | 1329 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 8 | 2144 | J. S. Hennessy | 1330 | 22.10 | 1.54 | .50 |
| 10 | 2144 | J. S. Hennessy | 1331 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 11 | 2144 | J. S. Hennessy | 1332 | 22.10 | 1.54 | .50 |
| 15 | 2144 | J. S. Hennessy | 1333 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 13 | 2518 | City Title Ins. Co. | 1539 | 5.52 | .38 | .50 |
| 31 | 2919 | Fernando Nelson & Sons. | 1712 | 20.62 | .62 | .50 |
| 32 | 2919 | Fernando Nelson & Sons. | 1713 | 19.15 | .57 | .50 |
| 21-22 | 4162-4197 | C. & M. Bologna | 2255 | 4.42 | .13 | .50 |
| 52 | 41-62-4197 | Anna Milucky | 2256 | 4.60 | .14 | .50 |
| 6 | 5614 | Minnie Matthews | 2668 | 2.22 | .15 | .50 |
| 10 | 5614 | Alfred O. Dassell, et al. | 2669 | 2.20 | .15 | .50 |
| 31 | 5625 | Mrs. E. T. Harvey, et al. | 2679 | 2.94 | .20 | .50 |
| 7 | 5626 | Catherine Becker | 2681 | 1.47 | .04 | .50 |
| 35 | 5628 | D. Samuels Realty Co. | 2683 | 1.48 | .10 | .50 |
| 1 | 6265 | E. M. Sink | 3042 | 1.47 | .04 | .50 |
| 3 | 6265 | P. S. Hollingsworth | 3043 | 1.47 | .04 | .50 |
| 6 | 6265 | A. G. Hardenburgh | 3044 | 1.47 | .04 | .50 |
| 7 | 6265 | John Kleiner | 3045 | 1.84 | .06 | .50 |
| 14 | 6265 | Patrick Barron | 3046 | 1.29 | .04 | .50 |
| 1 | 6266 | Annie Elliott | 3047 | 10.68 | .32 | .50 |
| 2-3 | 6266 | S. V. Gilligan | 3048 | 18.78 | .56 | .50 |
| | | | | $519.66 | $21.34 | $19.50 |

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Purchase of Land for Upper Noe Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2924, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary A. Murrin, Lot 39, Assessor's Block 6638, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Upper Noe Valley Playground, for the sum of $3875, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.02; the City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Purchase of Land Required for the Bayview Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 2925, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Louise Bousquet and Edward Bousquet, Lot 14, Assessor's Block 5310, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Bayview Playground, for the sum of $3750,

payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Settlement of Damage Claim, Richmond Sewer Tunnel.**

(Code No. 6.05)

Also, Resolution No. 2926, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the sum of $50 be paid to Marie Siek in settlement of all claim of damage to the improvements on Lot 17, Assessor's Block 1460, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, caused or to be caused by the construction of the Richmond Sewer Tunnel. Said sum shall be paid from Appropriation No. 95.916.17.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Lease From George G. Molema, Et Ux., for Water Department Pump Station.**

(Code No. 12.17352)

Also, Resolution No. 2927, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute that certain lease dated October 8, 1936, with George G. Molema et ux., as lessors, covering that certain tract of land situated on the west side of Lane street, 92 feet north of Newcomb avenue, size 8′ x 10′, San Francisco, California. The lease shall be for a period of five years, at an annual rental of $90, payable from Appropriation No. 666.200.00.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Agreement With Thomas M. Hughes.**

(Code No. 15.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2928, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25094 (New Series) of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated February 16, 1926, from Thomas M. Hughes, et ux., to certain property including an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may

be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1936, by Resolution No. 2237 of this Board; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1937; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a written agreement with Thomas M. Hughes, dated November 5, 1936, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1937, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land on El Camino Real, Hillsborough, by Condemnation Proceedings, Required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 2929, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 4 as said lot is shown on map of "Hillsborough Gate, Hillsborough, California," filed May 16, 1928, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in Volume 17 of Maps at page 2; said northeasterly boundary line being also the southwesterly line of the State Highway known as the El Camino Real and said point of beginning being distant along said line north 72 degrees 08 minutes 30 seconds west 12.00 feet from the most easterly corner of said Lot 4; running thence from said point of beginning at right angles southwesterly 50 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly along a line parallel to and distant 50 feet measured at right angles southwesterly from said northeasterly boundary line 90 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 50 feet to a point on said northeasterly boundary line; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line 90 feet to the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for the construction, operation and maintenance of a pipe line or lines and appurtenances thereto for the purpose of conveying water to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants for municipal and domestic purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was taken up:

**Sale of City Lot, Corner of Vicksburg and Jersey Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1167, Ordinance No. 12.17296, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Jersey street with the westerly line of Vicksburg street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jersey street, 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 34 feet; thence at right angles easterly 75 feet to the westerly line of Vicksburg street; thence northerly along last-named line 34 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 89.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Confirming Sale of 4.9 Acres of Land in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Resolution No. 2930, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17275, Bill No. 1140, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on November 17, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Beginning at the southwest corner of the Chinese Christian Cemetery, running thence south 1 degree west 567 feet more or less to the southerly line of that certain 25-acre tract of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from the estate of Patrick M. Brooks, deceased, by deed dated September 1, 1905, and recorded September 28, 1905, in Liber 101 of Deeds at page 253, San Mateo County Records; thence along said southerly line, north 89 degrees 30 minutes east 250 feet more or less to a corner of said 25-acre tract; thence north 47 degrees east 219.12 feet to the most southerly corner of land conveyed to Edward Kelly by deed from Patrick Brooks dated December 9, 1898, and recorded January 26, 1899, in Liber 81 of Deeds at page 46, San Mateo County Records; thence along the westerly line of said property so conveyed, north 1 degree east 410.52 feet to a point on the southerly line of the Chinese Christian Cemetery; thence along last named line, north 89 degrees west 397.98 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 4.9 acres, more or less.

Reserving to the Ctiy and County of San Francisco the right to construct, maintain, repair and/or use a road along the easterly 20 feet and the northerly 20 feet of the above described 4.9-acre parcel of land, which road shall extend along the westerly side of land conveyed to Kelly and the southerly side of the Chinese Christian Cemetery.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Tung Sen Association,

a corporation, offered to purchase said land for the sum of $4900 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $4900 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $4900; and

Whereas, Tung Sen Association has paid the sum of $600 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Health has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Tung Sen Association, a corporation. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Sale of City Land on Hampshire Street.**

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Resolution No. 2931, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.17276, Bill No. 1141, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on November 17, 1936, for the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Hampshire street, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Hampshire street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Angelo Costa offered to purchase said land for the sum of $2800 cash, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, said sum of $2800 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being $2800; and

Whereas, Angelo Costa has paid the sum of $280 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Health has recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Angelo Costa. The buyer must pay the balance of the purchase price within thirty days after approval of this resolution. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up:

### Appropriation $76,500 Alemany Sewer Construction.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1168, Ordinance No. 9.051326, as follows:

Authorizing a supplemental appropriation of $76,500 out of the accrued surplus existing in the PWA Docket 1491, Alemany Sewer Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.020.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $76,500 is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the accrued surplus existing in the PWA Docket 1491, Alemany Sewer Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 86.020.00 for the purpose of providing funds for the construction provided thereunder.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to comply with the time limitations as established under PWA regulations.

Approved as to form by Jno. J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor; Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

### Leasing Portion of Laguna Honda Home Property.

(Code No. 12.1737)

Bill No. 1169, Ordinance No. 12.17372, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental, the following described City owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Portola drive with the northeasterly line of Woodside avenue; running thence easterly along said line of Portola drive, 105 feet; thence deflecting 128 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly 115 feet; thence running westerly and parallel to the northerly line of Portola drive and the westerly extension thereof, 104 feet; thence deflecting to the left and running southwesterly 42 feet more or less to a point on the northeasterly line of Woodside avenue, distant thereon northwesterly 95 feet from the point of commencement; thence southeasterly along said line of Woodside avenue, 95 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. Said lease shall be for a term to be fixed by the Director

of Property and shall be subject to such covenants and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and approved by the City Attorney.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Fixing Time for Hearing Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Rezoning of South Side of Pacific Avenue, 139 Feet 8 Inches Westerly From Westerly Side of Larkin Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2937, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from the Commercial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the southerly side of Pacific avenue, 139 feet 8 inches westerly from the westerly line of Larkin street, is hereby set for Monday, November 30, 1936, at 4 o'clock p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Approving Map Showing the Opening of Normandie Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 2932, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map Showing the Opening of Normandie Terrace from Broadway to Vallejo Street," approved the 6th day of November, 1936, by Director of Public Works Order No. 5073, be and is hereby approved, and the hatched portion shown thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Normandie Terrace; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated October 3, 1936, from R. E. Sbarboro and Lucie Sbarboro, his wife, and M. P. Jorgensen and Hannah Jorgensen, his wife, to the land shown by hatched line of said map, required for the opening of Normandie Terrace.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain City Land Near Henry Street.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Bill No. 1170, Ordinance No. 12.17218, as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following de-

scribed City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. A right of way easement to construct, maintain, repair and use a road or driveway over the following described land:

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Henry street; thence northerly along the westerly termination of Henry street, 18 feet; thence deflecting 111 degrees 13 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, 4.620 feet to the northeasterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Lot 9, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 44 degrees 30 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along said northeasterly prolongation, 17.910 feet to the southerly line of Henry street produced westerly; thence deflecting 114 degrees 16 minutes to the left and running easterly along said line of Henry street so produced, 11.667 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 9, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 32 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot 8 of aforesaid Block 8; thence at right angles northeasterly along last named line produced northeasterly 14 feet; thence deflecting 55 degrees 42 minutes 47 seconds to the right and running northeasterly 26.627 feet; thence deflecting 11 degrees 12 minutes 17 seconds to the left and running northeasterly, 14.265 feet to the southeasterly line of aforesaid Lot 9 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 135 degrees 29 minutes 30 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced, 39.173 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 8, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 32 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot 7 of aforesaid Block 8; thence at right angles northeasterly along last named line produced northeasterly, 7 feet; thence deflecting 77 degrees 39 minutes 39 seconds to the right and running easterly, 32.758 feet to the southeasterly line of aforesaid Lot 8 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 102 degrees 20 minutes 21 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 7, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 12 feet; thence deflecting 149 degrees 44 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running northeasterly, 13.892 feet to the southeasterly line of said Lot 7 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 120 degrees 15 minutes twenty-three seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced seven feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Milton A. Maxwell, Member of Civil Service Commission.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2933, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Milton A. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of twenty days, commencing November 20, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up:

**Powers of Recreation Commission.**

(Code No. 23.041)

Bill No. 1171, Ordinance No. 23.0411, as follows:

Conferring additional powers on the Recreation Commission by giving said Commission complete management and control of all playgrounds, swimming pools and recreation centers in the City and County of San Francisco, exclusive of those located in public parks or delegated to the Park Commission and including summer camps and other public recreational units now or hereafter owned, controlled or established by the City and County of San Francisco, together with recreational units heretofore or hereafter granted to or licensed to the Recreation Commission by the Park Commission or Board of Education; and authorizing and empowering said Recreation Commission to lay out, grade, equip, supervise and control said playgrounds, swimming pools, recreation centers, summer camps and public recreational units and to construct buildings thereon and to enter into contracts therefor and incident thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors of said City and County does, by this ordinance, confer the following powers on the Recreation Commission of said City and County in addition to those conferred on said Commission by Section 42 of said Charter:

(a) The Recreation Commission shall have exclusive jurisdiction and control over all playgrounds, swimming pools, community centers, summer camps, and all other public recreational units now or hereafter owned, controlled or established by the City and County of San Francisco, either within or without the City limits, with the exception of such parks and recreational units, the jurisdiction of which has been, or shall be, expressly delegated exclusively to the Park Commission or to the Board of Education, unless the same have been or hereafter shall be, transferred, leased, licensed or deeded, by the Park Commission or Board of Education to the Recreation Commission for recreational purposes.

(b) The Recreation Commission shall have complete and exclusive control, management and direction of the aforesaid playgrounds, swimming pools, camps and recreation centers, and the exclusive right to lay out, grade, equip and control the same, as well as the exclusive

right to erect and supervise the erection of buildings and structures thereon, and to that end, said Commission may employ superintendents, surveyors, engineers, architects, laborers and other employees and assistants and prescribe and fix their duties and compensation, subject to the Civil Service Provisions of the Charter, and shall have full power and authority to enter into contracts for doing or accomplishing any, or all of the aforementioned things; and, pursuant to the provisions of the Charter governing the appropriation and expenditure of moneys, said Commission shall have the exclusive management and disbursement of all funds legally appropriated to said Recreation Commission for the acquisition, support, equipping and maintenance of the aforesaid playgrounds, swimming pools, camps and recreation centers.

Section 2. The exercise of any of the powers, duties or authority conferred by this ordinance on said Recreation Commission shall be subject to all Charter provisions applicable thereto.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Initiative Ordinance.

The following recommendation of the Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service Committee was taken up:

**Prohibiting Loitering, Picketing, Carrying or Displaying Banners, Badges, Signs or Transparencies, or Speaking in Public Streets, Sidewalks, Alleys or Other Public Places in a Loud or Unusual Tone, for Certain Purposes Therein Named, and Providing a Penalty for Any Violation Thereof.**

Referring to the voters to be voted on at the special election to be held on the 16th day of February, 1937, the repeal of an initiative ordinance entitled: "Prohibiting Loitering, Picketing, Carrying or Displaying Banners, Badges, Signs or Transparencies, or Speaking in Public Streets, Sidewalks, Alleys or Other Public Places in a Loud or Unusual Tone, for Certain Purposes Therein Named, and Providing a Penalty for Any Violation Thereof." Adopted by the voters as an initiative measure at an election held November 7, 1916.

Repealing initiative measure adopted at an election held November 7th, 1916, entitled: "Prohibiting Loitering, Picketing, Carrying or Displaying Banners, Badges, Signs or Transparencies, or Speaking in Public Streets, Sidewalks, Alleys or Other Public Places in a Loud or Unusual Tone, for Certain Purposes Therein Named, and Providing a Penalty for Any Violation Thereof."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Initiative ordinance entitled: "Prohibiting Loitering, Picketing, Carrying or Displaying Banners, Badges, Signs or Transparencies, or Speaking in Public Streets, Sidewalks, Alleys or Other Public Places in a Loud or Unusual Tone, for Certain Purposes Therein Named, and Providing a Penalty for Any Violation Thereof," adopted at an election held November 7th, 1916, is hereby repealed.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that inasmuch as all parties in interest had not been heard, that the matter be re-referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved as amendment that that matter be considered in Committee of the Whole and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Monday, November 30, 1936.

Amendment *carried.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

**Renewal of Applications for Permits That Have Been Denied.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1172, Ordinance No. 3.04165, as follows:

Amending ordinance No. 3.0411 entitled, "An Ordinance Establishing Procedure by Departments and Offices for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Licenses and Permits and Appeals Based Thereon," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 5a by providing that when the granting of any permit is finally denied by the Board of Permit Appeals the application for said permit shall not be renewed for the period of one year from the date of the action of said Board of Permit Appeals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 3.0411, entitled "An Ordinance Establishing Procedure by Departments and Offices for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Licenses and Permits and Appeals Based Thereon" is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, which said section is designated as Section 5a and which shall be in the words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Section 5a. Whenever any person, firm or corporation shall make application for any permit, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3.0411, and the application for said permit shall be denied and no appeal from the denial of said permit shall be taken as provided in said Ordinance No. 3.0411, or when any appeal shall be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals from any order of any officer, board or commission denying any application for any permit provided to be issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance and said Board of Permit Appeals shall concur in the judgment or order of said officer, board or commission in denying said application for said permit, said application for said permit shall not be renewed nor shall the same be heard by the officer, board or commission to whom said original application was made until one year after the denial of said application by said Board of Permit Appeals and there shall be no appeal to said Board of Permit Appeals for failure to hear any such application within said one-year period.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Regulating the Business of Spray Coating.**

(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Mead presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Regulating the application or use of paints, stains, varnishes, lacquers, enamels and similar substances through "spray guns" or by means of compressed air; providing for the health and safety of persons operating "spray guns" or compressed-air devices in connection with the above-mentioned substances; defining certain terms used in this ordinance, and fixing a penalty for the violation of said ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Definitions. "Approved" shall mean approved by the Director of

Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, or his authorized representative.

The term "Spray Coating" shall mean the application of paints, stains, varnishes, lacquers, enamels and any and all materials or substances except water, by the spray method.

The term "Spray Method" shall mean the application of atomized paints, stains, varnishes, lacquers, enamels, and any and all materials or substances except water, delivered through or applied by a spray gun or similar device by compressed air or other means.

The term "Structure" shall include buildings, walls, bridges, ships (when not under maritime jurisdiction), or other fabricated units.

The term "Air Helmet" or "Hose Mask" shall mean a device so designed and equipped as to enable the wearer to breathe air obtained from an unpolluted source.

The term "Respirator" shall mean a device designed to be worn over the nose and mouth and so equipped as to prevent the wearer from inhaling solid particles contained in the surrounding air.

The term "Gas Mask" shall mean a device to be worn over the nose and mouth (and may include the eyes) and equipped with materials which will absorb or neutralize the fumes, gases or vapors contained in the air being breathed by the wearer.

The phrase "place of employment" shall mean and include any and every place, whether indoors or out or underground or elsewhere, and the premises appurtenant thereto, where either temporarily or permanently, any enterprise, project, industry, trade, work or business is carried on, or where any process or operation directly or indirectly related to any enterprise, project, industry, trade, work or business is carried on, including all excavation, demolition and construction work, and where any person is employed by another, or suffered or permitted to work for hire but shall not include any place where persons are employed solely in household domestic service.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall govern the use and control of all spray-coating apparatus, in every place of employment; provided, however, that they shall not prohibit or regulate any farmer, horticulturist, fruit grower, or other person engaged in farming, or fruit or vegetable growing from using a spray machine for the purpose of spraying trees, shrubs, plants, flowers and vines with approved chemicals to protect the same from disease; or prohibit or regulate any dairyman, creamery owner or operator or other person from using any spraying machine to spray any building or part thereof with approved solutions composed of water and chemicals of recognized medical value, when used for the purpose of keeping said building in a sanitary condition; provided further, that the provisions of this ordinance shall have no application to "cabinet booths" or "room booths" as those terms are commonly understood in the trade.

Section 3. On interior and exterior spray coating of buildings or other structures, each operator must be provided with and shall wear while spraying is being done, an approved type of respirator, gas mask or air helmet and hood, jacket and gauntlets made of rubber or other material impervious to paint or other spray solutions and in addition, on interior work, where, in the opinion of the Director of Public Health, there is not sufficient natural ventilation, approved exhaust systems shall be installed and operated continuously while spraying operations are being carried on. The spray coating of interiors shall be so performed that neither the spray operator, nor any other worker, shall come between the spray gun and exhaust openings during spraying operations.

Section 4. Where spray coating is conducted in the interior of a building or structure, no person other than the operator of the spray equipment shall be permitted to work or remain in the same room or compartment unless he be provided with an approved type of respirator, gas mask or air helmet. Where spray coating is conducted on the exterior of a building or structure no person shall be permitted to

work or remain within 30 feet of the spray-coating operator unless such person be provided with an approved type of respirator, gas mask or air helmet.

Section 5. During spray-coating operations the nozzle of the spray gun shall not at any time be more than thirteen (13) inches from the surface being spray coated. When necessary, scaffolding or other approved support shall be used so that the maximum allowable distance between the gun nozzle and surface being spray coated is not exceeded.

Section 6. Where workers are engaged in the spray coating of automobiles, trucks, railroad cars and similar or other objects placed in sheds, or in the open, a space of at least six (6) feet shall intervene between each two vehicles or objects being so coated.

Section 7. Every person, firm or corporation employing any person to engage in the occupation, wholly or incidentally, of spray coating shall, at said person's, firm's or corporation's own expense, provide the spray paint operator with an approved type of respirator, gas mask or air helmet and hood, jacket and gauntlets as required herein. Every person, firm or corporation employing any worker who, although not actually engaged in spray coating, is required under the terms of this ordinance to be provided with an approved type of respirator, gas mask or air helmet, shall at said person's, firm's or corporation's own expense provide said worker with said equipment.

Section 8. It shall be the duty of every person, firm or corporation who, under the terms of this ordinance is required to furnish any other person or worker with a respirator or similar device, to see that such respirator or similar device is kept in working order; cleaned every two hours, and that the filtering material therein shall be renewed as often as the Director of Public Health shall, by rule, require. It shall be the duty of every person engaged in spray-coating operations in a capacity other than that of employee to see that such respirator or similar device as is used by him, is kept in working order; cleaned every two hours, and that the filtering material shall be renewed as often as the Director of Public Health shall, by rule, require.

Section 9. Any person, firm or corporation, or their agents, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to comply with any direction or order of the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, given pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, or any authorized agent of said Director, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine not less than fifty ($50) dollars, nor more than five hundred ($500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for a period of not less than ten (10) days nor more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 10. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases is declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason.

*Referred to Health and Police Committee.*

**Commending Chinese Citizens for Their Participation in San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Celebration.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2936, as follows:

Whereas, in the day parade of November 13, and the electrical night parade of November 14, 1936, celebrating the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, our Chinese citizens of San Francisco signally distinguished themselves for a most splendid demon-

stration providing a spectacle unique and artistic in the highest degree; and

Whereas, the people of San Francisco are sincerely proud of their Chinese fellow citizens who, on every such occasion of public significance, have proven themselves most generous in their co-operation and most devotedly patriotic in everything that makes for the good of our well-beloved San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends to the Chinese Chamber of Commerce, to other participating Chinese organizations, and to our Chinese fellow citizens of San Francisco in general, our most sincere thanks for their wonderful presentation in our recent celebration, and our appreciation of their gracious and effective co-operation.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### City Attorney to Report on Franchises of the Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the City Attorney be requested to make a report to the Board, in the immediate future upon the following questions:

Has the Pacific Gas and Electric Company a franchise which authorizes it to sell gas in San Francisco for power, heating and cooking purposes?

Has the Pacific Gas and Electric Company a franchise which authorizes it to sell electricity in San Francisco for power, heating and cooking purposes?

Supervisor Havenner requested that the Clerk ask the City Attorney to investigate this matter immediately and make his report to the Board, if possible by next Monday, November 30, 1936.

Motion *carried*.

### Appreciation of Trevor Wignall's Article on San Francisco.

Supervisor Colman called attention to article "Where would you want to live?" by Trevor Wignall, and moved that the Board of Supervisors acknowledge this article and express its appreciation of same, and that the Clerk be directed to send proper letter to the writer.

*So ordered.*

### Death of Madam Schumann-Heink.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of the late Madam Schumann-Heink and that the Clerk send message of condolence to her surviving relatives.

Motion *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

### Death of John F. Kelly.

Supervisor Ratto moved that proper message of condolence be sent to the Southern Council of Civic Clubs and to the Central Council of Civic Clubs, on the death of the late John F. Kelly.

Motion *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

### Death of Prentiss Cobb Hale.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Clerk be instructed to write the proper letter or resolution in memory of the late Prentiss Cobb Hale.

Motion *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

### Increase in Pay for Election Officers.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the

Mayor be requested to advise the Board if it would be possible for him, out of his Emergency Fund, to pay the difference between $5 and $8, which election clerks should receive per day.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Registrar of Voters come into the Board of Supervisors next Monday in order that he be asked what can be done to increase pay of election officers from $5 to $8 per day.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that he be authorized to counsel with the City Attorney as to his (McSheehy's) right to confer with the Registrar of Voters in the matter of having the Registrar place in his next budget a sum sufficient to permit an increase from $5 to $8 a day for services rendered by election officers.

*So ordered.*

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors adjourned at 5:45 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 30, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 50

Monday, November 30, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 30, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 9 to 20 and 23, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of the Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service Committee was taken up:

#### Repeal of Anti-Picketing Ordinance.

It is hereby ordered that the following ordinance is, pursuant to the provisions of Section 179 of the Charter, submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco:

THE REPEAL OF AN INITIATIVE ORDINANCE ENTITLED: "PROHIBITING THE LOITERING, PICKETING, CARRYING OR DISPLAYING BANNERS, BADGES, SIGNS OR TRANSPARENCIES, OR SPEAKING IN PUBLIC STREETS, SIDEWALKS, ALLEYS OR OTHER PUBLIC PLACES IN A LOUD OR UNUSUAL TONE, FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES THEREIN NAMED, AND PROVIDING A PENALTY FOR ANY VIOLATION THEREOF." ADOPTED BY THE VOTERS AS AN INITIATIVE MEASURE AT AN ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 1916.

#### Committee of the Whole.

On motion the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all concerned, President Havenner presiding and all members heretofore noted being present.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and heard in support of proposed legislation submitting to the people the question of the repeal of the anti-picketing ordinance, to-wit:

Henry Robinson, representing Northern California Newspaper Guild; Reverend Don Chase, minister, Calvary Methodist Church; Hugo Ernst, representing the culinary workers; J. A. Duggan, representing the Maritime Federation; Redfern Mason, representing the Inter-professional Society; Ernest Besig, Director, American Civil Liberties Union; Bert Leach, representing American League Against War and Fascism;

Miss Bella Silverman, representing Builders' Peace Foundation; Walter Thomas Troy, representing the public; Lawrence Ross, representing the Communist Party; Jennie Matyas, representing the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union; Henry Shrimp, representing the Longshoremen's Union; Charles Lyon, representing District Council of Iron Workers; M. Leonard, representing laborers.

The following were heard in opposition to the submission of the foregoing ordinance:

William Merryman, representing San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Joseph Cummings, representing the Down Town Association; Hugh McKevitt, representing Northern California Hotel Men's Association, especially a majority of the hotels in San Francisco; Wesley Peoples, representing the Negro Chamber of Commerce; Frank Royston, executive secretary, San Francisco Hotel Association; Edward J. Lynch, attorney, representing San Francisco Hotel Association.

### Communications.

Communications were read by the Clerk favoring submission of the proposed ordinance from the San Francisco Labor Council and a telegram favoring submission from the Warehouse and Cereal Workmen's Organization.

### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the matter be postponed one week in order to get City Attorney's opinion on points raised by Mr. Merryman as to the right of the Board of Supervisors to submit matter that had already been passed upon by the electors.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Whereupon, the roll was called on submission of the ordinance and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported the proposed legislation favorably to the Board of Supervisors.

### Ordered Submitted.

Whereupon, the following measure was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

It is hereby ordered that the following ordinance is, pursuant to the provisions of Section 179 of the Charter, submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco:

THE REPEAL OF AN INITIATIVE ORDINANCE ENTITLED: "PROHIBITING THE LOITERING, PICKETING, CARRYING OR DISPLAYING BANNERS, BADGES, SIGNS OR TRANSPARENCIES, OR SPEAKING IN PUBLIC STREETS, SIDEWALKS, ALLEYS OR OTHER PUBLIC PLACES IN A LOUD OR UNUSUAL TONE, FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES THEREIN NAMED, AND PROVIDING A PENALTY FOR ANY VIOLATION THEREOF." ADOPTED BY THE VOTERS AS AN INITIATIVE MEASURE AT AN ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 7, 1916.

*Ordered submitted*, Board of Supervisors, November 30, 1936, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Explanation of Votes—Anti-Picketing Ordinance.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman: I consider that the only issue before the Board of Supervisors today is not the question of whether there shall or shall not be legal picketing in San Francisco, but whether that question shall be submitted to the electorate of San Francisco for repeal. I think that that is a question that affects vitally large numbers of San Francisco citizens, some adversely, some, according to what we have heard here today, favorably. I believe it would be ill-advised for the Board of Supervisors, an elected body of the people of San Francisco, to presume to dictate to the people of San Francisco whether they should or should not have the right to express themselves on this question. I was not a member of the Board of Supervisors, nor, in fact, had I any part in San Francisco's civic life at the time that this measure was first considered. Personally, therefore, I can see no course for myself to follow but to submit the matter to the people of the city. I am not doing that for any other reason than I think the people should have the right to express themselves. It does not necessarily reflect any opinion on my part as to my views on picketing or anti-picketing; it is simply on the issue before you, as to whether there shall be submission or nonsubmission, that I favor giving the people that right to express themselves and I shall so vote.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I should like to explain my vote. Ever since I have been a member of this Board I have always followed the practice of giving the people an opportunity to vote on any issue if that opportunity could be brought about. I think here we have an opportunity to get an expression from the public as to whether or not they want this ordinance to continue in force. Therefore, I am going to vote for submission.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: I have listened very carefully to the remarks made by both sides of the question and whereas, frankly, I was in doubt as to what action I would take in the matter, I, like my colleagues have expressed, I cannot feel that there has been sufficient reason advanced why the matter should not be submitted to the public. Mr. McSheehy does not present the question properly. We are not voting on appeal here, and his views are immaterial to the question, of just submitting this question, if we have the legal right to do so, to the people for their determination.

Well, in a sense, too, I was thinking about the time of it—it has been said here it was passed in a time of hysteria. Today we are in a time of hysteria, and I can't help saying this, in the matter of the attitude of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco in all matters having to do with labor disputes, it has been one of splendid neutrality and it has taken no part on either side of the question. And I know as one member of the Board I do express the fervent hope that these matters will be straightened out just as soon as they possibly can be with dignity and honor to both sides because, reading in the press, particularly articles in the "Daily News", where this strike is now causing distress to sections of the State that are not directly involved in the matter between the parties in dispute. We read where firms are forced to curtail their operations due to the lack of raw material which cannot be transported, and also due to the fact that when their product is manufactured they are unable to place it on the market. That is causing people to lose their jobs, and loss of payroll, and general distress, so I know I probably speak for the Board, and I feel I do for the entire city, in hoping sincerely that the questions will be settled just as soon as possible for the good of everybody concerned.

I would prefer another time to have this matter come before us, but I see the attitude of my colleagues, and after all the principle involved is a strong one in my heart, that the people have a right to express their views. In view of this feeling, I am going to vote for submission of the matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: (Before the Committee of the Whole.) I would like to explain my vote. I was elected by the people here to represent them, elected by a very large number of the citizenship. I believe in a democratic role of government. If the Chamber of Commerce, or the Down Town Association, or any other group would come before this Board desiring us to place upon the ballot an initiative ordinance I would certainly favor it. Here comes today a very large representative group of citizens of San Francisco who request that this matter be placed upon the ballot. I believe it is positively my duty, therefore, to vote for the same.

## SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

**Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of South Side of Pacific Avenue, 139 Feet 8 Inches Westerly From Westerly Side of Larkin Street.**

Appeal from the decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1565, dated November 5, 1936, denying application to rezone from Commercial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the south side of Pacific avenue, 139 feet 8 inches westerly from the westerly side of Larkin street.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Wm. Hornblower, attorney representing J. Barbe & Co., appellant, and Robt. Sullivan were heard in opposition to the decision of the City Planning Commission.

Mrs. E. E. Lundstedt, property owner, stated that she had no objection to the zone change provided the tanks on roof of laundry were removed.

Milton Meyer, City Planning Commission, was heard in support of Commission's decision.

**Adopted.**

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

**Disapproving Decision of City Planning Commission, Denying Application to Rezone South Side of Pacific Avenue, 139 Feet 8 Inches Westerly From Westerly Line of Larkin Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 2956, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1565, dated November 5, 1936, denying application to rezone from Commercial District to Heavy Industrial District, property located at the southerly side of Pacific avenue, 139 feet 8 inches westerly from the westerly side of Larkin street, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating $66,166 Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, December, 1936.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1165, Ordinance No. 9.051325, as follows:

Appropriating $66,166 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of December, 1936, and

authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $66,166 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of December, 1936.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Compromise of the Claim of Gussie Eidelsheim for Injuries by Reason of Defect in Sidewalk.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1166, Ordinance No. 6.022222, as follows:

Authorizing compromise of the claim of Gussie Eidelsheim for injuries sustained by reason of defect in sidewalk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended that the action pending in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, number 86,793, entitled "Gussie Eidelsheim v. City and County of San Francisco, etc. et al.", be settled and compromised by the payment of one hundred seventy-five ($175) dollars to the plaintiff in the above entitled action, in full payment and satisfaction of all claims which she has by reason thereof; and the City Attorney is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the said claim of the said Gussie Eidelsheim by the payment of one hundred seventy-five ($175) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all demands arising on account of said accident.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following resolutions were taken up:

### Tax Refunds.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2938, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the following named; being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

1—Charles Witt, erroneous assessment $300 intangible personal property, assessed as tangible personal property at rate of $3.784, instead of at rate of $0.001, Tax Receipt No. 1614, the

difference between erroneous assessment and correct assessment being ..... $11.05

2—Anthony Navarra, erroneous duplicate payment taxes, Personal Property, Assessor's Receipt No. 28876, 1932..... 2.02

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contract.**

(Code No. 9.092)

Also, Resolution No. 2939, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts in the City and County of San Francisco, including wages paid on holidays and for overtime work, is hereby determined and declared to be as herein set forth. Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, the wages herein fixed are based on an eight-hour day, five days per week.

DIVISION 1—BUILDING TRADES

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Asbestos Workers | $1.12½ | Double time after 8 hours. |
| Bricklayers (6-hour day) | 1.50 | Double time after 6 hours. |
| Bricklayers' tenders and hod carriers (6-hour day) | 1.12½ | Double time after 6 hours. |
| Carpenters & cabinet makers | 1.12½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Cement Finishers | 1.12½ | Double time after 8 hours. |
| Concrete Laborers | .79 | Time and one-half first 4 hours, after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Electrical Workers | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours. |
| Electrical Workers: Fixture Hangers | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Elevator Constructors | 1.40 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Elevator Constructors' Helpers | .98 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Engineers: Compressor operators (on steel erection) | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Derricks and Asphalt Plant | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Building Material Hoists | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Pile Drivers, Locomotive Cranes | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Roller Engineers, Dragline and Clamshell Operators, Concrete Mixers and Mechanical Finishers, Asphalt Burners and Concrete Busters | 1.43 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Trench Machine | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Firemen (Asphalt Plants) | 1.10 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Truck Crane Engineers | 1.66⅔ | Double time after 8 hours |
| Caterpillar Engineer, 50 h.p. and Over | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Operators of Power Shovels and/or Other Excavating Equipment with Power Shovel Control When Used on Excavating Operations (6-hour day) | 1.66⅔ | Double time after 6 hours |
| Shovel Firemen, Watchman and Oiler (6-hour day) | 1.16⅔ | Double time after 6 hours |
| Glass Workers, Including Art Glass | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 2 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Gunite Workers: | | |
| Nozzle Men | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Rod Men | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Finishers | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Ground Wire Men | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Gun Men | .90 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Mixer Men | .87½ | Double time after 8 hours |
| Rebound Men | .75 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Foremen ($1 per day above highest craftsman) | | Double time after 8 hours |
| Hardwood Floormen | 1.12½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Housesmiths: | | |
| Reinforced Concrete | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Iron Workers: | | |
| Bridge, Structural, Rigger | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Derrick Engineers | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Bronze and Ornamental (including erection of steel and iron fences) | 1.25* | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Laborers: | | |
| | | Overtime after 8 hours; and Saturday morning time and one-half after the first 4 hours; double thereafter |
| Construction and General | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Mixer Operators | .87½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Lathers (6-hour day) wood and/or metal | 1.45 | Double time after 6 hours |
| Linoleum and Carpet Layers | 1.12½ | Double time after 8 hours |
| Marble Trades: | | |
| Shopmen and Helpers | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Polishers | .78¾ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Bed Rubbers | .84⅜ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Cutters, Copers, Carborundum Men | .90 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Carvers | 1.02½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Masons and Setters | 1.12½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Mosaic and Terazzo Workers | 1.12½ | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Mosaic and Terazzo Workers' Helpers | .75 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Painters | 1.10 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Painters, Structural Iron Work | 1.37½ | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Painters, Varnishers and Polishers | 1.10 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Pile Drivers | 1.33⅓ | Double time after 8 hours |
| Plasterers (6-hour day) | 1.50 | Double time after 6 hours |
| Plasters' Tenders and Hod Carriers (6-hour day) | 1.25 | Double time after 6 hours |
| Plumbers (including pipe calking) | 1.25 | Double time after 8 hours |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Ornamental Plasterers: | | |
| Casters (6-hour day) | 1.50* | Double time after 6 hours |
| Model Makers (6-hour day) | 1.50* | Double time after 6 hours |
| Modelers (6-hour day) | 2.00 | Double time after 6 hours |
| Roofers and Waterproofers | 1.12½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Sheet Metal Workers | 1.12½ | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Sprinkler Fitters | 1.12½ | Double time after 8 hours |
| Steam Fitters | 1.25** | Double time after 8 hours |
| Stone Cutters: | | |
| Soft and Granite | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Stone Setters: | | |
| Soft and Granite, including granite curbs | 1.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Stone Derrickmen | 1.12½ | Double time after 8 hours |
| Tile Setters (32-hour week) | 1.25 | Time and one-half first 4 hours double thereafter |
| Tile Setter Helpers (32-hour week) | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours double thereafter |
| Upholsterers | 1.00 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Truck Drivers: | | |
| 2 yards or less, 7-hour day | 6.00 | Time and one-half after 7 hours |
| 3 yards or less, 7-hour day | 6.50 | Time and one-half after 7 hours |
| 4 yards or less, 7-hour day | 7.00 | Time and one-half after 7 hours |
| 5 yards or less, 7-hour day | 7.00 | Time and one-half after 7 hours |
| 6 yards or more, 7-hour day | 7.50 | Time and one-half after 7 hours |
| Tractor Driver (up to 35 h.p.) (6-hour day) | 8.00 | Time and one-half after 6 hours |
| Tractor Driver (over 35 h.p. to 50 h.p.) (6-hour day) | 9.00 | Time and one-half after 6 hours |

(Working time for truck drivers, tractor drivers and all engineers shall be reckoned by half day and full day.)

## DIVISION 2—BUILDING TRADE—SHOP RATES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Cabinet Workers, Millmen, Machine and Bench Hands (shop) | 1.10 | |
| Varnishers and Polishers (shop) | 1.10 | |

*New rate effective January 1, 1937.

**All overtime, including holidays, shall be paid for at the rate of double time, provided, however, that emergency work be permitted on Saturdays between the hours of 8:00 a. m. and 12:00 noon for straight time. An "emergency" is defined as a condition in which life or property is endangered.

## DIVISION 3—FURNITURE TRADES

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Carpet Layers, Cutters and Measurers | 9.00 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Carpet Seamstresses (large machines) | 6.00 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Carpet Seamstresses (small machines) | 5.50 | Double time after 8 hours |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Carpet Layers' Apprentices: | | |
| 2 months out | 4.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| 1st 6 months out | 5.00 | Double time after 8 hours |
| 2d 6 months out | 5.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| 3d 6 months out | 6.00 | Double time after 8 hours |
| 4th 6 months out | 6.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| 5th 6 months out | 7.00 | Double time after 8 hours |
| 6th 6 months out | 7.50 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Shade and Drapery Makers and Hangers (including Venetian blinds) | 8.00 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Upholsterers | 8.00 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Furniture Handlers, Packers and Strippers | 6.00 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Drapery Seamstresses | 4.65 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |

DIVISION 4—METAL TRADES—FIELD RATES

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Blacksmiths | 1.10 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Boilermakers | 1.37½ | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Boilermakers' Helpers | 1.10 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Machinists | 1.10 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Machinists' Helpers | .75 | Time and one-half after 8 hours |
| Machinist (maintenance) | 1.12½ | Time and one-half after 8 hours |

DIVISION 5—METAL TRADES—SHOP RATES

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Pattern Makers (based on 7-hour day) | 1.25 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 7 hours; double thereafter |
| Molders and Coremakers | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Blacksmiths | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Blacksmiths' Helpers | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Boilermakers | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Boilermakers' Helpers | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Machinists | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Machinists' Helpers | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers (shop) | .90 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Toolmaker | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |

DIVISION 6—STREET WORK

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Timberman (tunnel) | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Mucker | .90 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Asphalt Rakers and Ironers | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Asphalt Shovelers | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Cribbers | 1.10 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Laborers, street work | .75 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |
| Jackhammer Men | .90 | Time and one-half first 4 hours after 8 hours; double thereafter |

DIVISION 7—MISCELLANEOUS TRADES

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Well Drillers | 1.10 | |
| Well Drillers (hand tool foremen) | 1.10 | |
| Diamond Drillers | 1.10 | |
| Powderman | 1.10 | |
| Washers, Polishers and Greasers (garagemen) | .75 | |

DIVISION 8—DREDGE BOATS

(8-hour day—4-hour Saturday)

*Per Month*

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Dredge Captain | $215 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Head Leverman | 215 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Leverman | 190 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Fireman | 150 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Deckhand | 150 | Double time after 8 hours |
| Bargeman | 150 | Double time after 8 hours |

DIVISION 9—CULINARY WORKERS

(8-hour day—5-day week)

| *Craft* | *Rate* | *Overtime Rate* |
|---|---|---|
| Head Cook | $37.50 | $1.50 hour |
| Other Cooks | 32.50 | $1.50 hour |
| Cooks' Helpers | 25.00 | $1.50 hour (Short shifts, not less than 3 hours, $1 hour) |
| Waiters | 21.00 | $0.75 hour |
| Waitresses | 21.00 | $0.75 hour |
| Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (straight shift) | 17.50 | $0.50 hour |
| Dishwasher and Vegetable Man (broken shift) | 20.00 | $0.50 hour |

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturdays and holiday work at double time. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Purchase of Land, Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2940, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from A. F. Rossum, Lot 9, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of $3,750, payable from Appropriation No.

670.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Agreement with Edwin T. Jasper, et al.**

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 2941, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 24746 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated November 21, 1925, from Edwin T. Jasper, et al., to a sub-surface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1936, by Resolution No. 2255 of this Board,; and

Whereas, said Edwin T. Jasper, et al., have requested an extension of said time limit to October 1, 1937, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement dated November 18, 1936, with Edwin T. Jasper, et al., extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1937, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following emergency bill was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation, $8,000, Increased Work, Golden Gate Bridge Approach.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1173, Ordinance No. 9.051327, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriation of eight thousand dollars ($8,000) out of surplus existing in Appropriation No. 648.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.982.00, for the purpose of paying costs of increased work incidental to the Golden Gate Bridge approach structure in the Presidio. An emergency measure.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of eight thousand dollars ($8,000) is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 648.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.982.00, for the

purpose of paying the costs of increased work incidental to the Golden Gate Bridge approach structure in the Presidio.

Section 2. In accordance with provisions of Sections 97 and 80 of the Charter, approval is hereby given of said supplemental appropriation of eight thousand dollars ($8,000), and said supplemental appropriation is made for the reason that the revised estimates of the costs of piling for the Golden Gate Bridge approach structure as called for in the contract awarded to M. B. McGowan, Inc., October 14, 1936, will actually exceed the original contract price of $18,513.25 by more than ten (10%) per cent.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors, does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists that necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately. The nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the Golden Gate Bridge will be completed by May 1, 1937, and the Golden Gate Bridge approach structure in the Presidio must also be completed by that time in order that there may be an uninterrupted flow of vehicular traffic over said approach structure and said Golden Gate Bridge.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by W. H. Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were taken up:

**Purchase Land, Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2942, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Henry J. Bellmer and Peggy Bellmer, Lot 5, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $4,250, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2943, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on November 27, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New applications; increases.
Blind Pensions—New applications; increases.
Widows' Pensions—New applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of

this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Accepting Deed to Land Necessary for Widening of Villa Terrace.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 2944, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated November 9, 1936, from Fernando Nelson & Sons, Inc., granting to the City and County of San Francisco a strip of land, five feet in width, to be used for the widening of the northeasterly side of Villa terrace from Pemberton place southerly; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the property described in said deed be and is hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Villa terrace.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Forty-first Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2945, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1554, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Wawona street and running thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly 120.11 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Wawona street and running thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly 117 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Thirty-ninth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2946, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1552, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the easterly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Easterly and Westerly Side of Thirty-eighth Avenue Northerly from Yorba Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2947, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1551, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9

feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Thirty-seventh Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2948, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1550, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Forty-third Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2949, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1555, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Wawona street and running thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly 86.3 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence southerly 232.4 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith

be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Forty-fourth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2950, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1556, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Forty-fifth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2951, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1557, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Easterly and Westerly Side of Twenty-fifth Avenue, Wawona Street to Vicente Street.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2952, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1548, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly to Vicente street, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly to Vicente street, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Forty-second Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2953, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1554-A, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly line of Forty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Wawona street and running thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly 103.7 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the westerly line of Forty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Wawona street and running thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southerly 99.8 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; and

"Along the easterly side of Forty-second avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Forty-second avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Set-back Lines, Various Locations on Fortieth Avenue.**

(Code No. 13.03)

Also, Resolution No. 2954, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1553, passed October 1, 1936, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the following building set-back lines be, and the same are hereby established:

"Along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Yorba street and running thence northerly 50 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set-back line to be 3 feet; and

"Resolved Further, That a copy of this resolution shall forthwith be transmitted to said Board of Supervisors for approval as aforesaid," is hereby approved.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Extending Leave of Absence of Mrs. Edwin Sheldon.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2958, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the leave of absence formerly granted to Mrs. Edwin Sheldon, member of the Board of Education, be, and is hereby, extended from December 17 to January 15, 1937, with permission to remain absent from the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Death of Bruce Yates.**

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of Bruce Yates, and that suitable message of condolence be sent to his family.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT



### Death of Mrs. Mary Hood.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of Mrs. Mary Hood, and that the Clerk send proper message of condolence to her family.

### Use of Chambers of Board for Some Evening in Week of December 7.

Supervisor Havenner requested use of the Chambers of the Board for some evening in the week of December 7, for an initial meeting of a citizens' committee to promote the enforcement of the laws restricting the operation of one-man street cars in San Francisco. Clerk to notify all members of Board when date of proposed meeting has been fixed.

### Ventilation of Chambers of Board.

Supervisor Schmidt called attention to ventilation of the Chambers of the Board, whereupon Supervisor McSheehy moved that Dr. Schmidt be appointed to confer with Dr. Geiger and that they report to the Board thereon.

### Water Rate Changes.

From Public Utilities Commission, copy of their Resolution No. 1680, dealing with water rate changes.

*Referred to Joint Committee of Utilities and Finance.*

### Mayor's Committee on Charter Amendment and Conflicting Legislation.

From Mayor's Committee on Charter Amendment and Conflicting Legislation, copies of proposed charter amendments: Amendment to Section 81 of the Charter, re Tax Anticipation Notes; Amendment to Section 21 re punishment for contempt of subpoena.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Meetings.

Board, recessed meeting Thursday, December 3, 10 a. m. Plan No. 7, Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

Board, Monday, December 7, 10 a. m., to consider rapid transit.

Education, Parks and Recreation Committee, December 3, 9:30 a. m., to consider acceptance Huddart Bequest.

Fire, Safety and Police Committee, December 3, 4 p. m.

Public Welfare Committee, December 4, 10 a. m.

### Proposed Charter Amendments.

Supervisor Uhl presented proposed charter amendments, as follows:

Amending Section 179, relating to Initiative, Referendum and Recall.

Amending Section 177, to specify compensation to election officers.

Amending Section 41, to place under the Park Commission all trees, their planting and subsequent care, between property line and curbs.

*Referred to Special Charter Revision Committee.*

### In Re: Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

(*Meeting Deferred*)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Did you wish to bring up something with respect to the Hetch Hetchy meeting?

THE PRESIDENT: I can not be here tomorrow morning at ten o'clock.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, our President tells us it would be impossible for him to attend the meeting tomorrow morning at ten o'clock in respect to the Hetch Hetchy power distribution which is before this Board. In other words, with reference to the adoption of Plan No. 7. As the Chairman of the committee I wouldn't care to attempt to meet here tomorrow morning without our

President being present, and if I can receive a second I would like to move that the meeting be postponed from tomorrow morning until Wednesday morning at ten o'clock, when our President assures me he can be here.

I move that the meeting be made Wednesday morning at ten o'clock instead of tomorrow morning at ten o'clock.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I can not be here Wednesday morning, on account of a meeting with the Controller, but I can be here any other morning of the week.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, I make the motion then for ten o'clock Thursday morning.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: In other words, that when we recess tonight we recess to meet at ten o'clock on Thursday morning for the purpose of the consideration of Plan No. 7 on Hetch Hetchy power distribution, and that the prior order fixing tomorrow morning at ten o'clock be vacated. Is there any objection to that motion? Hearing none, such will be the order.

* * * * *

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Mr. President, may I have the privilege of addressing the Board?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. O'Toole.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Mr. President, you made a motion a week ago, before the Board, that the City Attorney be requested to advise the Board as to the franchises possessed by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; first, for the distribution of gas for heat and power; and secondly, for the distribution of electricity for heat and power.

Now, we have made a partial investigation, and if you want to hear that now, all right; and if not, let it go.

THE PRESIDENT: I would be very glad to hear it now, for one.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, I would like to finish with the roll call and the business of the Board first.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Can't we hear it Thursday morning? You said it was only a partial investigation, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I don't object to hearing it today.

THE PRESIDENT: It is of considerable importance, and I don't see why anyone should object to hearing a report on the question of whether one of our large corporations has been operating in our City without franchises or authority or not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, is it in condition where it is complete and you can make a recommendation?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I can make no recommendation, Supervisor, at this time.

* * * * *

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: As I started to say, gentlemen, a moment ago, I received from the Board, on the motion of Supervisor Havenner, a letter requesting that I advise this Board as to what franchises the Pacific Gas and Electric Company had for the distribution of gas for heat and power, and for the distribution of electricity for the same purposes.

My office has looked into the matter, and, while the decisions are not entirely free from doubt, we are of the opinion that the only franchises that we can find the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has are what are called constitutional franchises under what is known as the Braughton Act, Section 19 of Article II of the State Constitution as it existed prior to 1911, which gave to any person, company, or corporation, the right, with the permission of the proper department of the municipality, to lay pipes or conduits or wires for the furnishing of gas or electricity for illuminating purposes. Now the question as to whether or not that included the right to deliver gas or electric

current for heat and power purposes has been before the court on more than one occasion. The leading case, I think, is that of Hanford versus the City of Hanford, isn't it, Mr. Holm?

MR. DION HOLM: Hanford versus the Hanford Gas and Electric Company.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, Hanford versus the Hanford Gas and Electric Company, and there they held that the company in Hanford might have a right to deliver gas for power for illuminating purposes, but that did not carry with it the right to deliver gas or electricity for heat and power purposes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What court decided that?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The Supreme Court of the State of California. Then the matter came up very recently down in Los Angeles, and the Superior Court of Los Angeles County entered a decision to the same effect, basing it practically on the Hanford case, and that is on appeal now by the City of Los Angeles. The Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company in that case cross-appealed on some other matters that arose in the litigation, so the matter is pending in the court today.

From the best knowledge obtainable at the present time we are of the opinion that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has not a franchise for the purpose of distributing either gas or electricity for any other than illuminating purposes.

Now we had a conference with members of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company endeavoring to ascertain to some extent what their views were on the question of franchises, or if they had any franchises unknown to the City, because, as you know, all of ours were destroyed in the fire in 1906. As I say, we had a conference with them and while they did not admit that they did not have the requisite franchises they did infer that if they did not have them they would be very glad to make application for whatever was considered necessary and advisable, and their representatives said that if this Board of Supervisors would authorize the City Attorney, or anybody else, to confer with them and determine just what the exact condition regarding these franchises is, or endeavor to agree on what the exact condition of the franchises is, and how far the franchises which they held went; that they would be very glad to discuss the matter to the fullest extent. That after they determined that they desired to get a franchise they would endeavor to agree on the terms of such franchise or franchises under the provisions of the Charter.

The matter of the granting of a franchise is usually initiated by the legislative body itself, which in this instance would be this Board of Supervisors, and they advise that a certain franchise is for sale, under such conditions as they see fit, and then it is sold to the highest bidder. So, therefore, it is rather necessary that there should be some preliminary discussion with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; first, to clear up the situation and see if we can come to any understanding with them as to just what franchises they desire to get; and, second, whether they now have any franchises other than those set forth; and, third, if they are desirous of obtaining a franchise or franchises what the terms and conditions would be, how much they would pay for it, how long it should run, and so on.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you repeat who you called upon?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I called upon the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and we had a conference with them—Mr. John P. Coghlan, their attorney, Mr. Holm and myself.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And what was their statement?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Their statement was that they did not admit that they did not have the proper franchises, or that they did not have the right to distribute gas and electricity for heat and power purposes under their constitutional franchise because that matter was now on appeal from the Superior Court of Los Angeles. They feel that possibly they don't need any franchises. However, their statement was that if they did determine that franchises were

necessary they would be very glad to discuss the matter with the representatives of the City thereafter. For that reason I feel that it is desirable that the authority be given to the City Attorney, and such others, including members of the Board as this Board of Supervisors may see fit, to confer with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and report back to this Board the results of that conference.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I so move.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I have here a resolution setting forth the members of the committee to confer with the gas company.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And I move that the President of the Board be added to the list——

THE PRESIDENT: Before we consider that resolution I would like to ask the City Attorney a question. When the authorities of Los Angeles concluded that the utility company there was operating without the franchise authority here under discussion, what action did they take?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Well, they commenced an action against them; and, first, they negotiated for quite a period of years, and at the present time there is the Charter amendment before the people which will be voted on at the election on the 8th of December, which carries with it the right to purchase certain portions of their property, and the issuance of franchises under the Charter. Now just what the terms of those franchises are, I don't know, because it is a very long amendment and you would have to give time to the study of it, but this is a matter which will be voted on by the people of Los Angeles on December 8th, the issuance of revenue bonds for the purpose of purchasing certain parts of the property of the Los Angeles company, and the agreement that that would be sold and that a franchise would be issued authorizing them to operate the remainder of the property, and providing that such franchise not be taken into consideration as a thing of value in the event of any condemnation proceeding.

THE PRESIDENT: What kind of an action was brought by the City of Los Angeles?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You see, Dion Holm handled most of that and he could probably tell you better than I.

MR. HOLM: One phase in the Los Angeles case was for condemnation, that was one part of the prayer, and the rest of the prayer was to have it declared that they were illegally operated, and it sought to compel them to obtain a franchise. It was a case that was tried for some fifty-two weeks, and the case has gone no further than the completion of the transcript for filing on appeal. Los Angeles to date has received nothing in the way of returns from the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company, it is in a similar situation in Los Angeles as the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is conducted here.

THE PRESIDENT: What did they seek in return?

MR. HOLM: What did who seek in return?

THE PRESIDENT: The City of Los Angeles.

MR. HOLM: Los Angeles, under the Board of Supervisors proposition that is to be voted on December 8th, in that they set, if my memory serves me correctly, a 2 per cent charge under the Braughton Act, and never to be less than 1 per cent of the gross income of the business transacted. Now it is also my belief they eliminated the figures for illumination, and in arriving at what is used for power and heat it amounts to roughly about 45 per cent of the gross income.

I think that is a matter of negotiation, and the full amount set out in the agreement is about $500,000, and that goes back—hard to estimate. That is part of the settlement, that if the bond issue goes over that $500,000 will be more or less used as a part of the purchase price to obtain the franchise from the City.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, I think we are making a mistake here in this matter; we are about to negotiate on Plan No. 7, and this matter came up. It has been going on for years, and they are in the same position today that they were in two weeks ago

today when the matter was brought to our attention. Now if we allow ourselves to go ahead and put ourselves in a position so we can place Plan No. 7 before the people and the people should see fit to vote in favor of Plan No. 7, I think we would be in a very fine position to negotiate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, because, as has been said here before, we would have something to work on, something to talk on, and something that means something, no question about it. I am not questioning anything from a legal standpoint, but just from a question of a pure business standpoint. I think if we attempt to enter into negotiations at this time ways and means may be found to prevent our placing Plan No. 7 before the people, which means so much to our people. Now, we received an order from the Secretary of the Interior, Secretary Ickes told us——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Please don't go into that again. It is getting late.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I won't; I am sorry some of these gentlemen——

SUPERVISOR RATTO: A point of order, Mr. Chairman.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I trust that you will not attempt to pass this here this afternoon. Let's—I know it is getting late, but we should not attempt to pass this here this afternoon, I am sorry if I am preventing any of the Supervisors from going home, but this is an important matter, a very, very important matter, and we have to be very, very careful just what we do, and especially when we are dealing with Greeks bearing gifts, and we are dealing here with a Greek with a horse with very long ears. Three million dollars they tell you that they are going to rebate to the consumers of San Francisco. They are becoming very generous at this particular time, and I say this very respectfully, and especially to you, Mr. City Attorney, that I think it would be very imprudent for us to pass this matter here this afternoon, and I ask this afternoon that this entire matter be postponed until Thursday when we can take it up, and in the meantime we can think it over. But don't attempt here this afternoon to pass this, or perhaps you might be sorry, and especially under the conditions we are working under here. Here these people are offering $3,000,000 that they are going to give to the rate payers, and we find one of their highest priced attorneys coming here and telling us that they——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I asked Mr. O'Toole that question, you remember, and he said he went to their company.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The only purpose of the resolution was that when this question came up about dealing for the purchase of their plant, or any portion of it, is in order that they might know what the exact franchise conditions were.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Frankly, I like you, but I am afraid, and I would like you to think this over until Thursday and not attempt to pass it here this afternoon. I can't see any harm in thinking it over before you attempt to jump to a conclusion. We are all tired, I know, and God knows I have talked enough here today——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have no objection.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then I move that Mr. O'Toole present this matter to us some time Thursday, and if he can clear my mind at that time I will have no objection——

THE PRESIDENT: I will introduce the resolution presented by our City Attorney, and I have no objection to having the matter deferred until Thursday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then I move that the matter be re-referred to——

THE PRESIDENT: No, I will introduce the resolution now about to be considered on Thursday.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I offer the motion.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, may I——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, you may.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to make a motion that the meeting on Thursday, which we decided to recess to, be not exclusive. If you recall we made it specifically for the consideration of Plan No. 7. Now if you want this matter considered, we will have to rescind our action making it specifically for the consideration of Plan No. 7, and so I make that recommendation.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you any other matter in mind?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Possibly one other matter, but absolutely foreign from anything we are considering now; it won't take very long I think.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, I suppose it will be necessary to rescind the previous motion.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: That is my intent.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to rescinding the previous motion, Hearing none, such will be the order.

The motion now is that when the Board does recess today we recess until Thursday——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I so move, that when we do recess we recess to reconvene on Thursday morning at 10 o'clock a. m., to take up Plan No. 7, and also the resolution as called for by Supervisor Havenner.

**Directing the City Attorney, the Chairman of the Finance Committee, the Manager of Utilities and the Controller to Confer With Representatives of Pacific Gas and Electric Company Concerning a Franchise.**

(Code No. ——)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 2959, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to confer with legal representatives and executives of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the purposes of preparing a form of franchise to issue to that company, under the terms of which Pacific Gas and Electric Company may distribute electric energy, gas and steam, for cooking, heating, industrial and all power purposes within the City and County of San Francisco, and conferring upon said company the right to use the streets of the City and County for said purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri, as chairman of the Finance Committee; E. G. Cahill, manager of Utilities; Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, and John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, act as a committee to confer with the executives and legal representatives of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the purposes of determining the rates to be provided for under such franchise, as described in the preceding paragraph hereof, the duration of said franchise, and other provisions to be made therein that in the opinion of the officers designated may be necessary for the protection of the City's interests and a proper operation of the utilities described. The officers herein designated shall report to this Board as soon as possible after conferences have been held.

*Laid over until next meeting, Thursday, December* 3, 1936.

**Endorsing the San Francisco News Plan of Settlement of Maritime Strike.**

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2957, as follows:

Whereas, the prolonged maritime strike involving some 40,000 men in the shipping industry on the Pacific Coast spells social and

economic disaster for San Francisco and the Bay Region, paralyzing the business of this coast; and

Whereas, the principal question between the ship owners and the men is that of the "Hiring Hall," the manner in which seagoing personnel shall be hired and fired; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance to the welfare of this city that this question be settled at once in order that these intolerable conditions may cease; and

Whereas, the San Francisco News has offered a plan for a port committee to be established at each port, consisting of one or more representatives from each side, and in any case where they fail to agree, one outside member to be designated in any mannr that the two sides may agree upon; and

Whereas, this plan seems eminently fair to both sides and offers a basis for bringing about a cessation of the hostilities between the ship owners and the men; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors heartily endorses the plan of the San Francisco News as a fair and reasonable effort to end this disastrous and paralyzing strife that is fast throttling the business of this city and coast; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, to all the Maritime Labor Organizations, and to all the shipping firms involved.

*Referred to Public Welfare Committee, Meeting Friday, December 4, 1936, 10 a. m.*

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until Thursday, December 3, 1936, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 7, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT

No. 51

Thursday, December 3, 1936

Monday, December 7, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1936—10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, December 3, 1936, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, November 30, 1936.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown phoned and asked to be excused as he had been subpoenaed to attend court.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 10:35 a. m.

Supervisor Havenner was noted present at 10:55 a. m.

President Havenner being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

### In Re: Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

* * * * *

THE CLERK: Motion by Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Meyer: Moved as follows:

"That Plan No. 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable H. L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C."

THE CHAIRMAN: That was the motion, and it was seconded by Supervisor Meyer a week ago Monday.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Now, Mr. President, since then I have transmitted to the Controller, Mr. Leavy, some questions that I wanted him to answer.

THE CLERK: Pardon me, Mr. Leavy asked me to inform him when the Board was in session.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just a moment. I want to ask the Chairman in regard to the full membership of the Board, particularly having in mind that this meeting was postponed——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Supervisor Havenner just came in.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And Supervisor Brown asked to be excused?

THE CLERK: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I see Supervisor Roncovieri here. Do you want to go ahead?

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Havenner will be here in a minute, and then, of course, I will step down. I can proceed with the matter, because it is an important one. As I understand at the last meeting

there were a number of questions Supervisors Shannon and Uhl wished to propound to Mr. Cahill, and Controller Leavy, and Mr. Cahill is present and I imagine he will be able to answer those questions. Supervisor Shannon, do you wish to ask Mr. Cahill——

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: ——some questions?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Uhl, have you some questions?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, he has them.

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen, I believe the questions proposed by Supervisor Uhl and Supervisor Shannon were addressed to the Controller, Mr. Leavy, who, I believe, is able, ready and willing to answer them.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Pardon me, I did not understand Mr. Leavy has my questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: You say Mr. Leavy is coming, Mr. Clerk?

THE CLERK: Yes; I phoned him—he asked to be informed when the Board was in session, and I have done so.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: At the end of the meeting on Monday there was another motion made of a matter to be taken up at this time, I believe.

THE CHAIRMAN: The City Attorney's resolution to appoint a committee to negotiate with the P. G. & E.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Oh, yes. Could we consider that motion at this time, that was a motion to have a committee appointed, and Supervisor Uhl asked that it be put over until today.

THE CHAIRMAN: I personally think we should hear Plan No. 7 first.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I have no objection. And the Clerk tells me that Supervisor Havenner should be here when it is considered.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would just merely—it might be repetition—might I just say this to the Board: I trust and hope that today—this is just my opinion—that we will adopt Plan No. 7, and for this particular reason: Mr. Ickes, the Secretary of a Department of the Interior, one of our most important departments of the Federal Government, whom you see in the motion we have to notify. Now, we all know that perhaps he represents one of the most important departments in the United States Government today, and that he is a very, very busy man. Now this cantankerous question has been before that department for years, and I imagine he will take some time deliberating as to just what he will do with the entire matter after we have passed it up to him, so that I think time is the essence of almost everything in this matter. Personally, I would like to see the thing finished today, if possible, on account of the fact we have to confer with Secretary Ickes, and, as you all know, we are trying to have the special election take place on February 16th of this coming year, and I think you will find it is almost impossible to postpone action in reference to that date, because if we do we will find that we can not dispose of our tax anticipation notes, and if we do not, Mr. Leavy, our Controller, is in a very embarrassing position in the transaction of the City's business. I am just merely making the statement to act at once in this matter. Now here is Mr. Leavy.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Did Supervisor Havenner say how much longer he would be away from the meeting?

THE CHAIRMAN: Just to telephone. I understand there are a number of questions propounded by Supervisor Shannon, and Supervisor Uhl, to you, and the Board is awaiting your answer to those questions, if possible, Mr. Leavy.

MR. LEAVY: Do you want me to proceed now, Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, proceed.

MR. LEAVY: I will start with the questions by Supervisor Uhl as of November 25, 1936.

Question One: Kindly advise total construction cost of the Hetch Hetchy system, including the cost of completing the erection of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Answer: Total costs incurred and estimated to be incurred under present authorizations in connection with the construction of the Hetch Hetchy project, including the cost of completing the erection of O'Shaughnessy Dam, $108,529,343.64.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That includes the power unit?

MR. LEAVY: That includes everything in the cost of construction.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

MR. LEAVY: Question number two: Kindly advise interest on outstanding Hetch Hetchy bonds, including the interest on the bonds voted for the completion of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Answer: Requirements for interest on outstanding Hetch Hetchy bonds, including those for completing O'Shaughnessy Dam, as detailed on page 19 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Budget) for 1936-1937, as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1910 Water | $1,260,000 | |
| 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 406,250 | |
| 1928 Hetch Hetchy | 1,080,000 | |
| 1932 Hetch Hetchy | 346,803 | |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam | 122,500 | $3,215,553 |

Question number three: Kindly advise amount of bond redemption covering outstanding bonds, including redemption of the bonds for the completion of the O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Answer: Requirements for redemption of Hetch Hetchy bonds, including those for completing O'Shaughnessy Dam, as detailed on page 19 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1910 Water | $1,000,000 | |
| 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water | 250,000 | |
| 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam | 175,000 | $1,425,000 |

Other redemptions are as follows: 1928 Hetch Hetchy, $600,000 annually, 1937-38 to 1976-77.

1932 Hetch Hetchy, $200,000 annually, 1938-39 to 1969-70; $100,000 annually to 1970-71.

Questions number four, five and six are so closely co-related that we brought them together, and I will read them in order:

Question number four: To what funds are the earnings from Hetch Hetchy power credited?

Number five: What amount derived from power is used to pay interest on Hetch Hetchy bonds?

Six: What amount, if any, to pay bond redemption?

Answer: Earnings from Hetch Hetchy power are credited to Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and are expended in accordance with the provisions of Section 127 of the Charter. The detail of the budgeted expenditures is shown on page 77 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-37.

Now if there is any comment you care to make, or if you care to have those read, we can read them from the annual budget.

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, I can get them.

MR. LEAVY: Question number seven: In event that revenues for power under Plan 7 are diverted from paying interest and bond redemption of Hetch Hetchy bonds in excess of $8,500,000, what amount must be raised by taxation to meet the interest and bond redemption on outstanding Hetch Hetchy bonds in excess of the $8,500,000?

Answer: The Supreme Court of the State of California, in its decision, S. F. No. 12161 in Bank, August 27, 1936, in re Adolph Uhl versus John B. Badaracco, et al., holds that earnings from power

shall not be diverted until interest and redemption on Hetch Hetchy bonds have been provided for. Sections 127, 128 and 129 of the Charter regulate the disposition of utility revenues. The Controller is not in a position to verify the sum of $8,500,000 as being the total cost of the power development.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask right there, who is going to determine that——

MR. LEAVY: If you will permit me to go on, and just hold the question until we reach some more of these questions by you and Supervisor Shannon, I think it will appear.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Very well.

MR. LEAVY: Question number eight: In event upon completion of Hetch Hetchy Dam, Hetch Hetchy system absorbs the Water Department, what will be the increase in water rates?

Answer: Section 122 of the Charter provides—this is simply an excerpt: "The Hetch Hetchy project until completion thereof, when it shall be merged with the Water Department."

Now this is the Controller's comment and the pleasure of your Board should be—without attempting to discuss the province of the Board—to clarify these four questions I am going to put to you, because the day will come when it will need very definite clarification.

First, as a prerequisite to cost determination for water and power purposes, at the time of completion of the Hetch Hetchy project there must necessarily be a cost evaluation of the project, segregated as to water supply and power production.

You had asked, Supervisor Uhl, what effect it would have on rates, and that is why it is here stated, and I think to that Mr. Cahill, Mr. Ost and Mr. Ellis would agree:

It is suggested that the matter be referred to the City Attorney, with these queries:

1. Has the Public Utilities Commission the authority to determine and segregate the assets of the Hetch Hetchy project as between water and power and establish a rate base therefrom?

2. Has the Board of Supervisors, on recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, authority to authorize same by ordinance?

3. Has the Controller, under Section 64 of the Charter, the authority to so determine?

4. Would a Charter amendment be required to authorize this segregation?

Question number nine, Mr. Uhl, appeared to me to be rather a statement and I have reserved any answer on that, as you will note. That appeared to me to be a statement which was somewhat involved, or ambiguous, and I so answered. If there is a point in there you want to have answered later, if you will put the query I will answer it. I hold that the Board has no power to approve any rate until reported to it by the Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Except if they come with a lower rate we must then put the amount of the deficit in the tax rate.

MR. LEAVY: But the rate must emanate from the Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Oh, I agree with that.

MR. LEAVY: Question number ten: In Plan 7 Mr. Cahill fails to set forth——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I should have said there "Plan 6."

MR. LEAVY: I omitted that because it was in Plan 6, so I made no reference to it.

In Plan 7 Mr. Cahill fails to set forth under "Operating Costs" any item for standby service covering the transmission of 84,000 k. w. by the City from the power houses to Newark. Mr. Charles Browne, chief engineer of the Railroad Commission, has informed the Board of Supervisors under Schedule P-6 of the P. G. & E. the company could charge $84,000 per month. The Railroad Commission, however, allows the private company to make a lower charge for standby service. A

standby station, costing $8,800,000, was provided to cover standby service for any portion of the Hetch Hetchy system, including that portion of the system between Newark and the power houses. My contention, therefore, is that standby service must be provided to take that part of the Hetch Hetchy system between Newark and the power houses. Mr. Cahill counters by saying, "The cost cannot be over $75,000." Mr. Cahill maintains San Francisco can draw upon P. G. & E. to take care of any break in the system between Newark and the power houses.

Will you therefore kindly contact P. G. & E. on this important point and ascertain if the company considers "Ready to serve" in case of a break between Newark and the power houses calls for a standby service charge, and if so, what would be the charge covering standby service for 84,000 k. w.?

Answer: We did not contact the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. See answer to Question 14.

And in making the statement to Supervisor Uhl, and to the Board, that we did not contact the Pacific Gas and Electric Company on this, or two other points, one of which came to me yesterday by telegram from Supervisor Uhl, I had this in mind: It is almost impossible to get from a corporation, irrespective of what corporation it may be, the internal records of the corporation unless it is ready and willing to serve. My personal opinion has been that were the Board definitely in earnest now in setting forth some consideration for a power project, whether it be by revenue bonds or obligation bonds, or some other manner of acquisition, a special committee ought to be appointed by the Board to contact the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the purpose of evaluating its properties properly, and in receiving an offer, or counter offer, from the company as to what price it was willing to sell for, and then make a substantial offer for the City, even though it may be in rebuttal.

Now it is very important to have accurate information, and it is equally important to get such information from the right channels, and it has appeared up to date that it cannot be obtained if it is for the purpose of purchasing the plant of the P. G. & E. So, therefore, we did not contact them.

Question number eleven: Plan 7, page 7, table V, item, "Transmission and stepdown, $200,000." Mr. Cahill estimates that $200,000 is for use of transmitting Hetch Hetchy power over the P. G. & E. transmission lines from Newark to San Francisco. Mr. Ost approximates the cost of duplicating the P. G. & E. system for transmitting Hetch Hetchy power from Newark to San Francisco at $4,000,000. I, therefore, raise the point that $200,000 per annum seems an insufficient amount. Mr. Cahill concedes the amount might be low. Will you, therefore, ascertain what charge the P. G. & E. will make for this service?

Answer: We did not contact the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

However, in discussing this $4,000,000 figure with Mr. Ost I was informed that that was not a correct statement; and I would ask that my record might be corrected along with your questions.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, did you read the transcript?

MR. LEAVY: I read most of it, I think the figure was—— What was that figure? May I ask, through the Chair, or Mr. Ost or Mr. Cahill, if they will correct that figure.

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Ost.

MR. LEAVY: Did you say——

MR. OST: Plan 7, sheet 7, table V.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I suggest that go over.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: When you say Plan 7, sheet 7, table V, is that the typewritten Plan 7 that we have?

MR. LEAVY: Yes, the cost of transmission and stepdown, the $200,000 item.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Where is that?

MR. LEAVY: Page 7, table V: "Annual Cost of Operation." You want to pass that, Mr. Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, temporarily.

MR. LEAVY: Question number twelve: Plan 7, page 7, "Revenue." Mr. Cahill states: "The 1938 sales have been computed from the 1935 sales on the basis of 8 per cent annual increase." My contention is that Mr. Cahill has overestimated the revenue. I base my statement upon the k. w. h. sales of P. G. & E., Great Western Power Company in San Francisco territory upon figures furnished by Mr. L. Randall Ellis, and which statement I enclose herewith. Take note that Mr. Ellis sets forth the 1935 k. w. h. sales as 542,456,000, an annual increase of 5 per cent. Whereas, Mr. Caylor, of the San Francisco News, quotes Mr. Black, president of the P. G. & E., as saying the increase for the first nine months of 1936 is 4.93 per cent. I also enclose herewith a statement from Mr. Claude Browne, Chief Engineer of the Railroad Commission of California, under date of September 28, 1936, covering k. w. h. electric sales from the years 1920 to 1935, inclusive, showing an average of 4.82 per cent. I also call your attention to the report of the Board of Water Commissioners of Los Angeles covering fiscal year ending June 30, 1935, page 106, which report sets forth the increase in k. w. h. sales for the fiscal year 1934, 3.3 per cent, and for the fiscal year 1935, 5.1 per cent. Taking the foregoing figures into consideration, will you say Mr. Cahill's increase of 8 per cent for the year 1935 and the increase of 8 per cent for 1939 is a conservative estimate?

Answer: The 10-year period on which you base your conclusions with respect to power revenues includes four depression years. In two of these years there was an actual loss and in two others only a small gain. The first five years of this period, that is, 1925-30, show an 8 per cent increase; 1935 shows a substantial increase over 1934 and the commercial power sales of the P. G. & E. for the first ten months of 1936 show an increase over the first ten months of 1935, equivalent to more than 8 per cent. This statement is based upon figures reported to the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce by the P. G. & E. (See Survey of Business Conditions in San Francisco, Chamber of Commerce Issue, November 25, 1936, Table A.)

I have a copy of it here. Now, in addition to that answer, I would like to interpolate that we have been endeavoring to get information from various electrical associations which could be used by us, and who could give us more concrete information as to sales and as to what might take place in the future. I have no way of knowing whether we have reached the saturation point in the sale of energy in and around San Francisco, and there may be possible means of acquiring that information from those dealing in electrical specialties, the architects, those building apartment houses, men who are building big industrial plants, as to what type of power or fuel is going to be used, but naturally we haven't had it from the records we had. We have developed the answer given, and if there is more to be said on the subject, naturally I would have to——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did you read the statement by Mr. Black, president of the P. G. & E., that the increase for the first nine months of 1936 is 4.93 per cent?

MR. LEAVY: You referred to the statement in the Daily News, but I have the report of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce that it is over 8 per cent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In the San Francisco territory?

MR. LEAVY: There is no way we can break down the territory.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is one of the things I wanted you to do.

MR. LEAVY: And our inability to go into the records of the P. G. & E. makes that almost impossible.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Do you mean to say that the P. G. & E. would refuse to give an official of the City the kilowatt hours consumed in the San Francisco territory?

MR. LEAVY: I haven't attempted to get it, personally.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to go into that further with you.

MR. LEAVY: Here is a report of the—— Where is that? These are changes in San Francisco business conditions, October, 1936. Survey of business conditions in San Francisco, the table A that I referred to a moment ago.

SUPERVISOR UHL: By who is that report made?

MR. LEAVY: The report of the Chamber of Commerce from reports gathered from the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, energy sales, kilowatt hours. Electric energy sales, percentage, this is dated October, 1936, percentage change from previous month, 10 per cent increase over same month a year ago. Per cent over first ten months of last year, 8 per cent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Read that again, please.

MR. LEAVY: Electric energy sales, percentage change—this is dated October, 1936—percentage change over previous months, 10 per cent increase, and over same month a year ago, 23 per cent. Over first 10 months of last year, 8 per cent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did you ascertain from that that is the entire system or locally, for San Francisco?

MR. LEAVY: The heading is, it says, "Changes in San Francisco business conditions." It cannot be anything else. If it is applicable to San Francisco, a survey of business conditions in San Francisco, and it does not appear to take in anything other than San Francisco, according to the Chamber of Commerce. That change is applicable to our own City.

MR. CAHILL: May I interrupt you, Mr. Leavy, and if you will read the statement on page three of that same document I think you will have it.

MR. LEAVY: On page three,—I have the statement on page nine.

MR. CAHILL: Look on page three for this year, the first 10 months of this year as compared with last year.

MR. LEAVY: Under the heading of Utilities, in this same report, electric energy sales during October—this is 1936—an increase of 10 per cent over September—I just read that from the schedule, and 23 per cent over October, bringing the average eight per cent over the same period last year. Well, that is what I read a moment ago, the same statement.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I interrupt just a moment, to ask the clerk——

MR. LEAVY: Yes, certainly.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Some four months ago I asked you, John, to contact the P. G. & E. and ask them what the kilowatt hour sales were in San Francisco.

THE CLERK: Well, whatever answer I got you got.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I never received it. Remember I asked you about it?

THE CLERK: And you were at my desk when I phoned to Mr. Downing and confirmed to him what I had written.

MR. LEAVY: Question number 13: Plan 7, page 4. I do not find where Mr. Cahill takes into consideration the item of $654,000 loss in taxes. It is my understanding, under the charter, the item of taxes should be set forth for comparison purposes, and that, therefore, the $654,000 should be deducted from the $4,000,000 surplus set forth in said Plan 7. Am I not correct in this contention?

Answer: $654,000 estimated loss in taxes has been deducted in arriving at the amounts under surpluses shown under Table 3.

Now I would like to say to you, Mr. Chairman, and to the members of the Board, Mr. Cahill and Mr. Ost are here, and I have not discussed my statements or answers with them, and if there are any corrections to be made in my statement I would like to have them corrected as we go along.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where does that appear in Table No. 3?

MR. LEAVY: Table 3, page 4 of Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I don't see it set up there.

MR. LEAVY: "In taking over the company's property in San Francisco it is estimated that there will be an annual loss in tax revenue of $654,000. This, however, will be more than offset by the estimated annual saving of $1,721,000 to consumers because of lower rates. After deducting bond retirement and estimated loss in tax revenue, there will still remain the following surpluses:"—

Have you those tables?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Doesn't our charter provide that there shall be a comparable charge set up on the books of the city and it is not here.

MR. LEAVY: It is included in arriving at the $4,000,000, and we worked it out the other day, and we got a figure something like $682,000 instead of $654,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And you say this positively conforms with the charter requirement of a comparable set-up on the books of the City?

MR. LEAVY: It does if it were a question of impressing or recording on the books the positive statement as to the expense and revenue the $654,000 would be shown as a specific item.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now that the company is making a reduction in the rate which will offset that amount, how will that be taken care of?

MR. LFAVY: I would rather discuss that in another question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

MR. LEAVY: If you will look at page three, table I,—"Estimated financial results," Supervisor Uhl, you will find, under five per cent revenue bonds, you will find a surplus of $6,409,000, and in arriving at the $4,007,000 there has been deducted $1,748,000, estimated annual bond retirement, and this $654,000——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where does that show in the plan No. 7?

MR. LEAVY: Table III showed a surplus of $4,007,000, and in arriving at that the statement was made that the $654,000 had been deducted, and we prove it the other way, by taking the surplus and deducting the $1,748,000 for bond interest and redemption, and the $654,000, which left the $4,007,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My feeling is this, Mr. Controller, in Table No. 3: "This, however, will be more than offset by the estimated annual saving of $1,721,000 to consumers because of lower rates." I take it now that statement is set aside by the reduction made by the company at this time. If that is so, the item of taxation doesn't come into the picture.

MR. LEAVY: Oh, yes, that comes into the picture, your taxes now being collected, or a comparable charge, has no bearing on the rates.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I appreciate that.

MR. LEAVY: We are attempting to evaluate to you the correctness of the statement made by Mr. Cahill. You have asked a single question regarding this $654,000, you haven't asked anything pertaining to a reduction of rates. However, Supervisor Shannon in his questions has asked us in reference to that, and rather than discuss it now I would prefer to discuss that when we come to his questions. In fact, I think we discussed it in part in your own.

Question number 14: Mr. Cahill contends San Francisco is entitled to a much lower price per k.w.h. than the amount set up in Schedule P-6 of the P. G. & E. Kindly ascertain from the Railroad Commission if such is the case, or must any possible reduction from Schedule P-6 be through negotiations between the City and the company?

Answer: The Plan proposes to purchase 300,000,000 k.w. hours under Schedule P-6 at 9.855 mills per k.w. hour. It is possible that we may be able to secure reduction through contract entered into between the P. G. & E. and the City and County of San Francisco. We note in the report of the Board of Water & Power Commissioners

of the City of Los Angeles, pages 90 and 148, that the unit cost is 5.3 mills per k.w. hour, which evidently includes charges, i. e., standby service.

City of Los Angeles, Power purchased. Page 90, 528,471,763 k.w. hours. Page 148, $2,814,335, 5.3 mills.

We note also that the Market Street Railway purchased from the P. G. & E. in 1935, 125,340,290 k. w. hours at a total cost of $1,047,760 or an average of 8.36 mills per k. w. hour. It appears that this includes standby service, and the contract between the purchase of 125,340,290 k.w. hours at 8.36 mills as against the proposed purchase by the City of 300,000,000 k.w. hours at 9.855 mills indicates the possibility of a privately negotiated contract at a more advantageous rate.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Shannon, did Mr. Leavy answer your questions?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Not yet.

MR. LEAVY: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: This thought occurs to me: Mr. Leavy says that Los Angeles purchased power for 5.3 mills. Mr. Ost, what price are we receiving for the bulk of the power we sell to the P. G. & E.?

MR. OST: .004878144.

SUPERVISOR UHL: How many kilowatt hours do we turn over to them for that price?

MR. OST: It varies every year; between 450 and 500 million.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then we sell the entire block in round figures at 4.78 mills?

MR. OST: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And Los Angeles is able to buy it for 5.3 mills?

MR. OST: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My conclusion then is that we are receiving a fairly good price for our power. It has been stated that we sell it for half a cent, and buy it back for 12; but as evidenced by the Los Angeles price I would say we have a pretty good contract.

MR. LEAVY: Are you ready to proceed?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. LEAVY: Hetch Hetchy project. Including Hetch Hetchy water supply and Hetch Hetchy power development—this is——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You haven't a copy of that?

MR. LEAVY: No. I gave Supervisor Shannon a copy.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I state that they will be printed in the Journal and sent to every member of the Board.

MR. LEAVY: In re questions submitted by Supervisor Shannon November 27, 1936.

Question One: In 1930 practically the same properties of the P. G. & E. that are covered by Plan 7 were submitted to the people for purchase. These proposals fixed the value of P. G. & E. properties at $44,600,000 and the Great Western properties at $18,945,000, a total of $63,545,000. Another proposal was for $1,045,000 for Red Mountain Bar power plant. How do the figures in Plan 7 compare with these proposals, and why do they differ so widely? Chief O'Shaughnessy made a report in April, 1930, on the cost of acquiring these properties, in which he said an additional bond issue of $21,500,000 would be necessary to complete the job. He said "Without this second bond issue, it will be impossible to make the plant to be acquired capable of functioning." This makes a total of $85,045,000. Does Plan 7 undertake to provide for substantially the same power service for $43,700,000? If so, how can the two estimates be reconciled?

Answer: The proposal of 1930 set forth the valuations: Pacific Gas & Electric properties $44,600,000——

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Just a minute. This starts in, "In 1930 practically the same properties of the P. G. & E.——"

MR. LEAVY: No, that is the question.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: O. K. Proceed.

MR. LEAVY: All right. Answer: The proposal of 1930 set forth the valuations:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Pacific Gas & Electric properties | $44,600,000 | |
| Great Western Power Co. properties | 18,945,000 | $63,545,000 |
| Red Mountain Bar power plant | | 1,045,000 |
| | | $64,590,000 |

In accordance with a letter of November 18, 1936, addressed to Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, by Mr. P. J. Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer, Plan 7 contemplates the purchase of distribution property alone and omits the property on which the Railroad Commission allowed severance damage. The value of these omissions is ..... $21,850,000

This letter states that a further modification has been made to reflect reproduction cost less depreciation and an estimated amount of additions and betterments ..... 1,995,000

$23,845,000

Plan 7 includes items not included in the proposal of 1930 as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Office, shop, warehouse and equipment | $2,000,000 | |
| Materials and supplies | 175,000 | |
| Working cash | 700,000 | |
| Increase in cost of Red Mountain Bar power plant | 80,000 | |
| Net deduction from Proposal of 1930 | | $20,890,000 |
| Plan 7 | | $43,700,000 |

Note—and this is a very important factor. When that was discussed very hurriedly on a week ago Monday, when Supervisor Roncovieri very graciously said go and hire an engineer, I deferred doing anything like that at this time, but here is my answer to Supervisor Shannon's question:

A determination of these factors cannot be concluded without engaging the special services of a valuation engineer.

And I refer to that a little later on in answer to some of the other questions.

Question Two: The Railroad Commission previously allowed severance damages in the amount of $6,500,000. What is the amount provided for severance damages in Plan 7?

Answer: As far as we can ascertain, no provision for severance damages has been made in Plan 7.

Unless it be a part of that which has been deducted in Mr. Ost's letter.

Question Three: If the cost should turn out to be $60,000,000 instead of $39,700,000 as estimated in Plan 7, how would the "surplus earnings" of Plan 7 be affected. The difference is $20,300,000. If that sum were obtained by the issuance of 5 per cent revenue bonds, what would be the annual added charges?

Answer: At the request of your Board, Mr. Randall Ellis, Chief Valuation and Rate Engineer of the City Attorney's office for some years past——

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Isn't there a paragraph just above that?

MR. LEAVY: Where?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Question three?

MR. LEAVY: I just read that. I read question three.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: You read question three, but you didn't read the first paragraph of your answer to question three.

MR. LEAVY: Yes, I am starting that now.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: If the cost should turn out to be——

MR. LEAVY: Yes, I read that question, and now I am reading the answer.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Oh, pardon me. Yes.

MR. LEAVY: At the request of your Board, Mr. Randall Ellis, Chief Valuation and Rate Engineer of the City Attorney's office for some years past, in a report to Mr. O'Toole, dated August 28, 1936, reviewed plans 5, 6 and 7. Mr. Ellis states: "I firmly believe that the three acquisition costs for the distributing system as set forth in the alternative plans are fair and reasonable." Time does not permit a report beyond the recommendation submitted. As previously stated, it would require the services of a valuation engineer other than one regularly employed by the city. We are not certain that a report could be completed prior to the date that may be fixed by your Board for a bond election.

And as very definitely stated a week ago yesterday, the matter of evaluation does belong in engineering departments and not necessarily to financial department, but we are willing to cooperate in any activity, but we would have to require the proper services of those who would be competent to evaluate the properties.

Question Four: There is no provision in Plan 7 to pay for steam standby service. Engineer Brown of the Railroad Commission stated before our Board that standby service from the company would cost $1,000,000 or more a year. How would this million dollars be provided?

Answer: Any added cost for standby service would have to be met out of operating expenses. Please refer to Supervisor Uhl's question 14, which was answered a few minutes ago, wherein we referred to the Los Angeles report, and the Market Street Railway purchase of power—Would you care to have that referred to again?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No, that is not necessary.

MR. LEAVY: Question Five: If a reduction in rates of $1,000,000, or $1,500,000, was made by the gas company, as reported in the papers, what effect would that have on the surplus estimated in Plan 7?

This also answers a question of Mr. Uhl's.

Answer: We are not informed as to the application of the reductions reported to have been made by the P. G. & E. A reduction in rates by the P. G. & E. would not necessarily affect the surpluses estimated in Plan 7. Under charter provisions the Public Utilities Commission may recommend reductions as surpluses are reported.

It was a very broad question which could not be answered without definite investigation as to the application of rate reductions to either businesses or industrial plants——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you permit a question? If the surplus shown is $4,000,000, shouldn't that reduction be made from the $4,000,000?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Will you repeat that? I didn't get the question.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let's assume, then, there will be a reduction of $1,500,000. Shouldn't that reduction be made from the $4,000,000?

MR. LEAVY: It necessarily would have to be.

THE CLERK: Where is it going to apply is what he wants to know, I think.

MR. LEAVY: We have no way of knowing as to where the application of these reductions are going to be made, if made by the P. G. & E. If the application of reductions is made, as has been reported by Mr. Cahill, that they might be made in the water department, to the big industries, and it would increase the sales at no added cost of operation, it would automatically increase the $4,000,000—how much I don't know. However, any reductions in rates, or any loss in revenue, naturally would affect the $4,000,000.

MR. CAHILL: May I interpolate here—this Plan 7 is based on a primary reduction in rates of $1,720,000. None of the figures can be affected until after the P. G. & E. has made a rate reduction in the

City of San Francisco which equals the $1,720,000. They have stated they are going to make a $3,000,000 rate reduction applicable to their entire system. In order for them to meet the primary premise of this document, they must give to San Francisco 60 per cent of the rate reduction they propose.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Pardon me, I didn't get that. Will you repeat that?

MR. CAHILL: In order to arrive at the premise from which this document—Plan 7—Starts—in other words, until after they have made a rate reduction applicable to San Francisco alone of $1,720,000, no figure in this document is affected at all.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to make this observation: That if the P. G. & E. does make this suggested reduction, it will be entirely due to Plan 7, and Plan 7 will be entitled to all the credit for this rate reduction, whether it is made before or after Plan 7 goes into effect.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There is no doubt about that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Pardon me, I will ask Mr. Cahill, through the Chair, if I may, if the reduction turns out to be in round figures $1,000,000 then would that not change your surplus to $3,000,000?

MR. CAHILL: No, Supervisor Uhl, until they have made a rate reduction of $1,720,000 applicable solely to San Francisco, not a figure changes, because I predicated the entire figures in the document, Plan 7, based on a rate reduction of $1,720,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And when it is $1,720,000, what would be your surplus?

MR. CAHILL: $4,000,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Still $4,000,000?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, I have stated it in the document itself there.

MR. LEAVY: Are you ready to proceed?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. LEAVY: Question six: Wouldn't these three items; (1) the cost of additional capital; (2) $1,000,000 per year for standby, and (3) the rate reduction reported in the newspapers, wipe out all the surplus estimated under Plan 7?

Answer: The answer to this question is given in the answers to questions four and five. We have just been discussing that, and the further discussion between Supervisor Uhl and Mr. Cahill.

Question Seven: The city is now getting about $2,250,000 a year from the Hetch Hetchy contract, $1,830,000 of which is net. Does Plan 7 make allowance for this?

Answer: The surplus shown under Table 3 in the report on Plan 7 does not indicate other than that the surplus which now obtains in Hetch Hetchy power operative is included in the total.

In other words, the $4,000,000, plus or minus, it is shown is inclusive of whatever revenue is now obtained under the Hetch Hetchy power operation.

Question Eight: How is the present Hetch Hetchy net revenue of $1,830,000 used by the city?

Answer: Answered in Supervisor Uhl's questionnaire, under questions four, five and six, which were answered: Earnings from Hetch Hetchy power are credited to Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund and are expended in accordance with the provisions of Section 127 of the Charter. The detail of the budgeted expenditures is shown on page 77 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937.

I have a copy with me, if you want the detail of that.

Question 9-A: ——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Pardon me, before you go to the next question. Does the revenue from the power today go beyond the interest and bond redemption on the basis of $8,500,000 power investment?

MR. LEAVY: It does.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then what becomes of that money?

MR. LEAVY: It goes to pay for some of the original construction of the 1932 bond issue.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Of the Hetch Hetchy?

MR. LEAVY: Of the Hetch Hetchy project. Whatever has been allocated up to date by Mr. Cahill or Mr. Ost, the $8,500,000 referred to in the report is an integral part of the Hetch Hetchy, and in answer to that question, it is broken down by proper evaluation. Supervisor Shannon, do you want to hear the break down of that? You have it in the report?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: You say it is in the report?

MR. LEAVY: In your budget, page 77, in your Annual Appropriation Ordinance. I think the thing perhaps you are interested in are the items of bond interest and redemption, and that is answered in 9-A; but we have on page 77 a complete break down of the total appropriation based on the estimated revenues of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund itself, showing a total estimated amount of revenue and expenditure of $2,438,000 transfers for bond issues and redemption; and then the other items, such as permanent salary, temporary, contractual service, and the other things that appear.

Question 9-A: How much does this mean in the tax rate?

Answer: In answer to question eight above, and to Supervisor Uhl's questions, reference has been made to page 77 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1936-1937. There was provided therein for bond interest and redemption $2,116,854, which is the equivalent of twenty-eight plus cents in the tax rate based on the assessment roll for 1936-1937.

The $1,800,000 was an average figure, but we never like to step back, and we looked at the last available information we have, and we referred you to the present budget.

Question 9-B: What does it cost per year to meet interest at five per cent and retirement charges on 25-year revenue bonds, say in units of $1,000,000 each?

Answer: Assuming a bond issue of $25,000, five per cent, 25-year bonds, to be retired beginning one year after authorized date, at the rate of $1,000,000 per year:

First year:

| | |
|---|---|
| Interest | $1,250,000 |
| Retirement | 1,000,000 |
| | $2,250,000 |

Each year thereafter, until all bonds has been retired, this charge would be reduced in the amount of $50,000, which is five per cent of $1,000,000.

I have the tables, if you care to have them, and I will file them with the report.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: All right.

MR. LEAVY: Question 10: The same for 40-year general obligation bonds at four per cent and 3½ per cent?

Answer: Assuming a bond issue of $40,000,000 forty-year bonds to be retired beginning one year after authorized date, at the rate of $1,000,000 per year:

Four per cent: First year, interest, $1,600,000; retirement, $1,000,000. $2,600,000.

Three and one-half per cent. First year, interest, $1,400,000, retirement, $1,000,000. $2,400,000.

Each year thereafter, until all bonds had been retired, these charges would be reduced $40,000 or $35,000, which amounts are respectively 4 per cent and 3½ per cent of $1,000,000.

Question 11: What would be the saving in money on $60,000,000 if the city issued 40-year general obligation bonds at 3½ per cent as against 25-year revenue bonds at five per cent?

Answer: $60,000,000, 3½ per cent 40-year general obligation bonds. Annual retirement beginning one year after authorized date, $1,500,000. Total retirements, $60,000,000. Total interest, $43,050,000. Total cost, $103,050,000.

$60,000,000, five per cent 25-year revenue bonds. Annual retirement beginning one year after authorized date: $2,400,000. Total retirements, $60,000,000. Total interest, $39,000,000. Total cost, $99,000,000.

Excess cost of 3½ per cent 40-year bonds. Total interest, $4,050,000. Total cost, $4,050,000.

That answers all your questions.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill, this question: Mr. Leavy refers, in his answer to question number one, as follows: "In accordance with a letter of November 18, 1936, addressed to Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, by Mr. P. J. Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer, Plan 7 contemplates the purchase of distribution property alone and omits the property on which the Railroad Commission allowed severance damage." Would we be able to get away from a severance damage charge in the event that we follow this plan?

MR. CAHILL: I believe, Supervisor Shannon, that we have allowed sufficient to take care of all of the severance damages which are involved, and I think that your question number one is an important one. It was a matter which I should have mentioned before, and I would like to make a statement on the subject of question number one now, if it is satisfactory to the Board—and it is this: The fundamental difference between the plan for connecting and completing the San Francisco electric generator and distribution system made by M. M. O'Shaughnessy in his report dated April, 1930, and Plan 7, is that Mr. O'Shaughnessy's plan contemplated cutting loose from the Pacific Gas and Electric and Great Western Companies completely. His plan, therefore, required long distance transmission systems, step-down stations and steam plant property to be acquired and operated by the city. Plan 7 does not require these costly items.

Inasmuch as the total capacity of the Moccasin and Early Intake plants in 1930 was not sufficient to take care of the load in the city, not only did Mr. O'Shaughnessy's plan contemplate the purchase of all the company's steam standby plants in San Francisco, but it contemplated, also, the construction of further steam plants for the purpose of supplying the extra energy necessary to supply the city and which the Moccasin and Early Intake plants could not furnish.

Furthermore, the figures presented by Mr. O'Shaughnessy contained an item of over $9,500,000 for additions, betterments, and contingencies. With this money, Mr. O'Shaughnessy proposed to build additional steam plants and distribution systems during the two or three years following the acquisition of the distribution system and which would be required on account of the city's growth.

Plan 7 does not contemplate acquiring transmission systems, step-down stations or steam plant property, either at the present time or in the future, until such time as the total capacity of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to supply San Francisco with wholesale energy is completely exhausted.

The purchase price for Pacific Gas and Electric distribution properties required to effect distribution proposed in Plan 7 was arrived at as follows: The Public Utilities Commission's engineers started with the evaluation of $63,345,000 laid down in the April, 1930, valuation by the California Railroad Commission. Briefly, these valuations of the California Railroad Commission included the cost of land, property going concern value, and severance damage less depreciation. Plan 7 contemplates the purchase of distribution property, alone.

And appropos of that, you just asked me whether we could do that, and the City Attorney has assured me that the City and County of San Francisco can condemn any portion of any system whatever.

LIC LIBRARY
MEDICAL DEPT



SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Yes, we have had that statement before.

MR. CAHILL: Plan 7 states that it omits to buy the property on which the Railroad Commission allowed severance damage, nor does it sever any electric load from the steam generating property, the stepdown station property, or the transmission line property now owned by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, with exception of the relatively small amount of power to be developed at Red Mountain Bar.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, will you interrupt right there. You make the very definite statement that we do not sever any of their equipment; but do you think that we can make that stand up before the Railroad Commission in the event that the company wanted severance damage?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know how far—of course, I can't tell what a court will do. I am confident that those figures will stand up, but I don't know what a court will do.

The purchase price for property as set up in Plan 7 has been further modified to reflect reproduction cost, less depreciation, together with additions and betterments which have taken place since 1930. On account of the depression, these additions and betterments have been small.

In addition to the cost of the items to be purchased as set up in the Railroad Commission's 1930 valuation, certain other costs suggested by M. M. O'Shaughnessy in his report of April, 1930, have been included in the capital costs of Plan 7, these are: Office building, shops, et cetera; Red Mountain Bar power house; working cash; materials and supplies. All these items are set up in Plan 7 at the same price, or at a higher price than was named in M. M. O'Shaughnessy's report dated April, 1930.

Plan 7, in effect, proposes the same thing recently suggested by the President of the United States, viz.: A pooling of the facilities of the publicly owned, together with those of the privately owned utilities corporations, to the end that the people may enjoy the lowest possible electric power rates without suffering the waste which is produced by duplication of existing plant.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Through the Chair, I would like to ask the same question I just asked of Mr. Cahill, of Mr. Ellis. I notice he shakes his head "Yes" when I asked that question.

MR. ELLIS: I was somewhat familiar, of course, with the condemnation proceedings that led up—and also with the development of the severance, the severance allowance of the Railroad Commission, which were in two categories, one for the Great Western Power Company, the larger amount, and the second to the P. G. & E. The severance in the case of the Great Western Power was due to this—it amounted to some $3,400,000 as I recall it—The Great Western Power were supplying all of their load in San Francisco through trans-bay cables, and with the facilities, stepdown stations on the other side of the bay. In buying out the Great Western Power we were not buying out those cables, or the stepdown facilities, and so on, it was simply left as junk, with a certain amount of salvage. That was one thing that ran up the cost in the case of the Great Western Power. In the P. G. & E., that condition, of course, does not exist, under Plan 7, because the P. G. & E. are bringing in and reselling just the same amount of power in San Francisco, presumably, as they are doing now, with a slight modification in the case of the Red Mountain Bar. In this case the two major considerations in the severance were these: Number one, we did not take over the transmission line between Newark and San Francisco. We contemplate those in our own set up. Consequently that left that 40 miles of transmission line into San Francisco which belongs to the P. G. & E. with only a fraction of the load on it, the Peninsula, and South San Francisco. In other words, the tower line, two of them, with large capacity wires of copper and so on that was simply standing idle and for which we had to pay. In the case of

Plan 7 that condition does not exist, as you can readily see. There was a third consideration, which was this: In taking over the existing steam plants of the P. G. & E., which the O'Shaughnessy plan contemplated, it meant that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company had to go down the Peninsula, and they had to erect at a considerable cost to the load they would get a steam plant down there. The reason for the steam plants—they serve two purposes, they are a standby—they really serve three purposes, they are a standby, they are an off-peak, and they help to control voltage. Put on the end of the line they are very similar to condensers, and that is another idea—they had to have some sort of a standby on the line, if for nothing except for the condenser effect. The aggregate of those items brought up the $3,000,000 of the severance. Now none of those items enter into Plan 7. In other words, Plan 7 contemplates no severance for rendering any property inoperative, except a slight modification which does not effect the total, of the Red Mountain Bar coming in; with the facilities they have, the Red Mountain Bar would be provided for.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to make this statement to the members of the Board, so we might get a short cut on the matter. In answer to question number three, Mr. Leavy says—The question is: "If the cost should turn out to be $60,000,000 instead of $39,700,000, as estimated in Plan 7, how would the surplus earnings of Plan 7 be affected? The difference is $20,300,000." And Mr. Leavy answers—part of his answer: "Time does not permit a report beyond the recommendation submitted. As previously stated, it would require the services of a valuation engineer other than one regularly employed by the city. We are not certain that a report could be completed prior to the date that may be fixed by your Board for a bond election." My position is this: I don't want to go to the voters with a statement of a certain valuation and then have to change it possibly for $5,000,000 or $10,000,000 or $20,000,000 as the case may be. I would suggest, and it is a suggestion that was made by Supervisor Havenner last Monday when he was stating that in Washington we had people that were not 100 per cent in our favor, and they might attack our figures, and why can't the plan be sent to Washington irrespective of the figures, and then let's thresh these figures out in the meantime?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it can be. I don't think the Secretary is going to inquire into the finances. He proposed himself a plan that would be much more costly than this.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Then I would like to read Supervisor McSheehy's motion: I move that Plan No. 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, District of Columbia—and I will amend by adding, "without the financial set up." I think that will cover it—or if you have any other suggestion to cover the point that I am getting at.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: You mean you don't want to send the financial set up? Well, I think we might just make the statement to the Secretary that the Board has not yet committed itself as to the details of the financial estimate. But I don't thnik he is concerned with that at all, Supervisor Shannon.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I don't either, but after listening to you last Monday—although I did read in the Chronicle since then, it was an article on Thanksgiving Day, it stated, "Renewed hope of Federal aid towards construction of a rapid transit system in San Francisco was raised yesterdy when Utilities Manager Cahill was asked by the P. W. A. to submit more details of the $52,750,000 subway and rapid transit plan. Colonel Horatio B. Hackett, Deputy P. W. A. Administrator, also asked for a break down of cost estimates in the mu-

nicipal power distribution proposal now before the Board of Supervisors; but as most of the cost of the power plant would be to purchase existing facilities, little P. W. A. aid could be expected. The request from Colonel Hackett followed a conference in Washington between Mayor Rossi and other San Francisco officials with P. W. A. executives."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, that might be important, of course, if the city were making application for P. W. A. aid, but the Secretary in his capacity as Secretary of the Interior, and the responsible official for the enforcement of the Raker Act, would not look into that at all.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, couldn't we send the plan on approved by the Board, and send the figures on not yet approved?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, figures not yet approved. I think that is all right, don't you, Mr. Cahill? I don't see any objection to that.

MR. CAHILL: I don't see how you can send the plan on without including the figures.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think the figures should be sent, but merely with a statement, in order to carry out Supervisor Shannon's wish—I personally am ready to approve the plan—

MR. CAHILL: The Secretary, gentlemen, already has a copy of the plan. If you gentlemen want to ask him if he approves it as being in conformity with the Raker Act, you can do so.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think that is the sole question we want to ask the Secretary: Mr. Secretary, does Plan 7 conform to the provisions of the Raker Act?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That was my suggestion last Monday; but I would like to carry forward this plan of Supervisor Shannon's nevertheless. We approve the plan, reserving to ourselves further inquiry into the financial set-up. I would like to do that very much. I will second the motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I want to state, if it is the purpose of the meeting to send the plan east, to find out whether it is in conformity with the Raker Act, or for general discussion by the Secretary of the Interior, if that is your purpose, I have no objection to that being done. But if you include in the motion an approval of the plan which would then be binding on me to submit that plan at the coming election, I would oppose it—simply as one member, I would oppose it; but if you want information as to what the Secretary thinks about it, then send on the plan for his opinion. No one can possibly have any objection to that,—to get his views on that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't think the Secretary is going to devote a lot of time discussing this matter with the Board of Supervisors. I think he is probably interested to know whether this Board is prepared to approve this plan. So far as I am concerned, I am. I have no objection to Supervisor Shannon's motion that we notify the Secretary that the Board has not yet agreed on the details of the financial estimates.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I again read—I hate to do this, but I just want to familiarize you with it again—I want to read to you the Secretary's letter to this Board—to Mr. Cahill, of which I have a copy. This is dated July 27, 1936.

"I have received your letter of July 16th with which you enclose copy of Plans 5, 6 and 7 for retail distribution of Hetch Hetchy power by the City and County of San Francisco. I sincerely hope that the Board of Supervisors may find one of these plans to be acceptable and feasible. May I request that as soon as the Board of Supervisors has taken action, I be advised of the fact so that I may evaluate the plan in accordance with the standard set up by the Raker Act and so that action can be taken with as little delay as possible on the application which San Francisco has filed with the Public Works Administration

for a grant of funds to assist in effectuating municipal distribution of electric power."

Now may I call the attention of the Board to the fact that if we approve Plan 7 Secretary Ickes will examine the plan and he naturally will send us back his findings. Now can we very well approve the plan without giving him some details as to the plan in the set-up of the figures?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We will give him the whole details, the whole thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is the thought I have, and he will come back then with a letter to us, or his department will come back and tell us exactly their findings in reference to Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then, of course, we will know just what we are doing. Of course, the thought that we all have is to have whatever we submit to the voters of San Francisco on the bond election that I trust will be held on February 17th of this year.

Doctor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then I am given to understand that the Secretary of the Interior has not approved Plan 7?

THE CHAIRMAN: He has not?—No, he has not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: We don't know whether he will approve it or not?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We feel morally certain that he will, because we believe that it is in conformity with the provisions of the Raker Act.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Well, at this point I would like to inquire: Has Mr. Ickes received this plan forwarded from the Board of Supervisors, or merely as Mr. Cahill has stated, a letter from him?

MR. CAHILL: That is all.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Has he received it official from this Board?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Then he cannot approve it until he receives it officially from this Board?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: It appears to me that there are not two things—there is not a plan and a set of figures, but the figures are closely interwoven—the figures are part of the plan and just as important as the general theory. Now to approve of the plan without approving of the figures, it seems to me that is a rather frivolous action. The plan is predicated on a set of figures, the set of figures are advantageous to the people of San Francisco, or they are not. Now to just say that our plan is to approve the plan without approving the figures is to me no approval at all, and I don't see how you can definitely approve a plan until you have approved the figures. That seems common sense to me, unless you just take the attitude, which perhaps you may take, that regardless of how the figures would come out you would favor this sort of thing, even at a loss to the city. Then in that case figures mean nothing, and would not have to be present; but certainly Plan 7 means the plan and the figures. That is the way it strikes me. If you don't approve the figures you don't approve the plan.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, Mr. Chairman, with all due respect to my colleague, that is not common sense. The Secretary is not concerned with the financial set-up—I will make that statement without reservation—the Secretary is concerned only with the legal aspects of this plan; he is concerned only with the question whether this plan will carry out the provisions of the Raker Act. I make that statement without any fear of contradiction.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is not the quotation here on page nine (indicating document).

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Let me differentiate between a statement of the Secretary in his capacity as Secretary of the Interior, and his capacity as Public Works Administrator. We are not making application for a grant—

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is not a question of making application for funds—Would you like that read?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't remember that (examining document).

SUPERVISOR UHL: Page nine.

THE CHAIRMAN: Page nine of Plan 7?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, that was Plan 4 that they were—that we took back east.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Doesn't that apply to Plan 7 as well?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Plan 7 wasn't in existence at that time was it, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: At the time the Secretary made this remark?—No, but his remark is applicable to Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is my point. All that remains for us to do is to fight out the question of the financial set-up and the bond issue.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think our submission of this to the Secretary is merely more or less perfunctory. We don't want to go ahead with it until we are certain that he will approve it. As far as I am concerned, I am certain that he will, but I will be glad to second Supervisor Shannon's motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would you mind, Supervisor,—I see your point,—would you mind if we incorporate that in the motion—Mr. Clerk, will you kindly read the amendment?

THE CLERK (reading): "I move that Plan No. 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C."

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I made an amendment to that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Will you kindly repeat that, Mr. Clerk? Just read that motion, as amended,—without the financial set-up?

THE CLERK: Well, it would read the same as this, and added, "without the financial set-up."

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: That was the way I made it, but I think that should be worded a little differently; that it is without the approval of the financial set-up at the present time—something of that sort.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Why don't you make the statement that the Board reserves the right to make certain changes in the financial set-up?

THE CLERK: May I make a suggestion?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

THE CLERK: "The figures herein are estimates, subject to revision and amendment."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That is all right.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: What was that, again?

THE CLERK: "The figures herein submitted are estimates, subject to revision and amendment by the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Or, "subject to adoption by the Board of Supervisors."

THE CLERK: "Subject to revision and amendment," because you have already used the word approval" in the opening sentence.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think that is all right.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: "The figures herein are estimates, subject to revision and amendment."

THE CHAIRMAN: "By the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, if the Clerk just meant what he said, that it is "hereby approved," and you merely bring that in at the end, I would say it is more or less a continuation.

THE CLERK: It is.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Instead of saying that, why don't you say "It is hereby submitted for your consideration."

THE CLERK: That is not the motion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to vote for the question of approval.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl, I am not concerned with these proceedings. I am concerned with the rhetoric. The motion approves the plan, and then you add the qualification that the figures are estimates, and subject to revision.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aren't we asking the Secretary to approve the plan?

THE CLERK: He has asked in his letter to you that you approve something and send it to him for him to approve or disapprove.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The question?

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Cahill, as the author of Plan 7, do you wish to comment on that?

MR. CAHILL: May I hear the resolution read again?

(Motion again read by the Clerk.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I want to make a suggestion, that that sentence should follow the words "San Francisco," in the fourth line.

THE CLERK: You are right about that,—I was going to make that suggestion. It should read:

"I move that Plan No. 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. The figures herein are estimates and subject to revision and amendment by the Board of Supervisors. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C."

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I offer that amendment, and move its adoption.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I ask, Mr. Cahill,—I asked you that question,—have you any comment in reference to that, as you have a right to comment on it, you being the author of the plan?

MR. CAHILL: Well, gentlemen, I don't want to throw any monkey wrench in this machinery, or stop it at all, but I notice you have written in there "by the Board of Supervisors." I am not quite sure—you could ask the City Attorney—whether the Board of Supervisors can change a plan of this type recommended by the Public Utilities Commission. If it is possible, it is all right with me. That is the only comment I have to make. I don't know whether it is possible or not.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't think there is any doubt about the Board of Supervisors' right to submit a bond issue that might be at variance with the recommendations of any commission.

MR. CAHILL: That is quite true, but that is not what it says, Mr. Havenner.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: What difference does it make if we say "by the Board of Supervisors"?

THE CLERK: I suggest that you cut out the words "by the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Oh, I think we are the ones that are going to make the changes.

THE CLERK: That is inferred.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, if it is inferred I want to have the words in there. I think that we have the right under the—Plan 7 is before us. May I ask this question: We will take Plan 7, and suppose the Board of Supervisors determined that the total new invest-

ment, instead of being $43,000,000—suppose the Board of Supervisors determined that the total new investment, instead of being $43,700,000, should be $44,700,000? Haven't we the right to make that change?

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Shannon, I don't know. That is why I suggested you ask the City Attorney.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Krelling, will you ask the City Attorney to come over?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: While we are waiting, I would like to have an answer to this question: Can any one tell the Board what amount of money we may expect from the government on the P. W. A. basis on this job? In other words, we approve $43,700,000, but we expect something from the government. Now what is the amount expected?

MR. CAHILL: You can expect very little help from the government on this project. The total amount of new construction is just a little over $3,000,000, consequently the maximum you could expect in any event would be 45 per cent of $3,000,000. That probably will be cut down, if they continue the P. W. A.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Is Mr. O'Toole coming over?

THE SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Mr. Dion Holm is coming over.

### Relating to Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Develop Additional Power Sites.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: While we are waiting for the City Attorney, may I call your attention to this: The Pacific Gas and Electric Company had made an application to the Federal Power Commission for a permit to develop additional power sites in—I am not exactly sure, I won't be certain of my memory, where these plants are to be located, but they contemplate a number of additional power sites in California. I was notified that the State Water Authority—is that the correct title?— Whatever the title is—is to hold a meeting tomorrow at the request of the Federal Power Commission. The Federal Power Commission has asked the attitude of the State of California, and of the interested subdivisions of the State, towards this application. I was informed by the gentleman who called me up yesterday that the State Water Authority—whatever that title may be—had made a recommendation against the granting of the permit; and that Mr. Kelly, who is the chairman of the Authority, had been asked to hold a public hearing tomorrow in Sacramento, and this gentleman called me, as Chairman of the Board, and asked whether we could be represented at this hearing tomorrow morning at ten o'clock at Sacramento.

I think we ought to be represented. I remember that when I was a member of the Public Works Board here in California, the Federal Public Works Board, representatives of the Pacific Gas and Electric appeared before our Board, and the representatives of other power companies appeared before our Board, on numerous occasions to oppose the approval of application for Federal loans and grants to municipal and publicly owned power projects on the ground that there was then a surplus of available power, marketable power in California market, that there would be an additional surplus after the completion of the Boulder Dam power project; that there was too much power in California, and that these public subdivisions ought to be denied any Federal aid in the development of additional power. My recollection is that the company, in some kind of a semi-official reply to the proposal, I think made by Supervisor Colman here a year or so ago, when asked whether they would buy the output of the Red Mountain Bar—the contemplated output of the Red Mountain Bar plant, my recollection is that they then said that there was too much power in California market, they did not need any more.

Now they are giving the lie to those statements that they then made officially, before official bodies of the United States Government, and the subdivisions of California, when they made this application, and I think that in the interest of our own power project we ought to

oppose it, and I think, if you want to ask the advice of anybody who is perhaps better qualified to speak on that subject than I am they will tell you that they think that we ought to oppose it. I would be glad to hear any discussion of this. It is a very important matter. It comes up tomorrow morning at ten o'clock, and I think this Board ought to take action now, and substantially for the reasons I have indicated, ought to oppose the application of the P. G. & E. to develop additional power in California.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Wouldn't it be better to have this Board represented at this hearing in Sacramento, and to let them report back to us?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: What good will it do to be represented there? We know what is coming up. The question is, what is the attitude of the public? Now, the State Water Authority is opposing this on the ground that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company took exactly the same attitude with respect to the Central Water Project—they did everything they could to defeat the Central Water Project, and they advanced exactly that argument then with respect to the provisions of that plan—there was too much power in California, they didn't want this publicly developed plant. The State of California has had the courage thus far to go on record as opposed to this application, that they did not want this additional power to compete with the power developed by the Central Water Project.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Isn't it a fact that the more competition, the more power you have, the lower rate the public will enjoy?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That isn't the question. We know if they get this they are going to use them as an argument against our project, they will say we are developing additional power, there is no reason why you should go ahead with this public project. In any event, until we reach the point where we are able to get a clear cut decision from our people on our public project, I think we ought to oppose it, and I so move.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, we have a motion before us.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, we have the City Attorney, Mr. O'Toole, with us, and would you propound the question, Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: The point is, Mr. O'Toole, I moved that Plan 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco, is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. The figures herein are estimates and subject to revision and amendment by the Board of Supervisors. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C.

THE CHAIRMAN: I, as the author of the motion, will accept the amendment—Supervisor Meyer, you seconded the motion. Will you accept the amendment of Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I do.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: The question that the Board of Supervisors are in conflict on is in the fourth line, Mr. Cahill raised some question about that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: He asked the question whether the Board of Supervisors had the right to revise the figures, and Supervisor Shannon raised the question that we had.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I asked this specific question, Mr. O'Toole: Supposing in the consideration of Plan 7, submitted by the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors determined that $43,700,000 was not enough, could we change that figure to $44,700,000?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Well, I think you could. Suppose when you came to figure the thing right down you found out the plan you wanted to put over could not be put over for $43,700,000. I think you have a right to provide for an additional appropriation by bond issue, or whatever method you use to finance it.

THE CHAIRMAN: You have heard the motion as now amended. Is there any objection to the motion?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, I want to explain my vote in the matter. As I read this motion, it obligates me, when the Board finally decides on any changes in the estimate and so on, I would be obligated to go along with this, despite the fact that I may still feel that the results are not as set forth in the Plan 7. Therefore, while I am perfectly willing to vote to let it go to Secretary Ickes, I want to be on record, and I want it made a part of the Journal that I am not at this time obligating myself in the matter of approval when the Board makes its changes on this item, or that item, in the financial set up. In other words, I may come in here and still contend that the 8 per cent, or rather that the revenues are overestimated, and that the cost is underestimated. I may still feel that I am correct in that. Therefore, in voting to send this to Secretary Ickes, I want it definitely understood that I am not voting later on that what changes the Board makes has my approval.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is your explanation.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, and I want it made a part of the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Is there any other Supervisor wants to explain his vote—I will recognize Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: If the Board feels in approving the plan, the fact that they do not approve the figures with it—if they really think that that is approval of the plan, I presume that is the way you are going to vote. However, to approve this carries with it the obligation to vote for the submission of it to the voters at the next election, in February, and to that I am opposed for two excellent reasons. I want to say that Plan 7 is the best plan so far submitted. I have not the ability to discuss the figures, and I accept them as they are written, unless I am shown to the contrary, so that is not the point; but I am opposed to it for two other reasons. The first one is, that the most important thing that faces the people of San Francisco is not power, but rapid transit. That is a statement that cannot be denied. The crisis is here on rapid transit, it is before us, and that is the thing we must take up. Anyone running their own private business, or a public business, takes up the most important thing first, and concentrates with all their ability, and all their power, on the solution of the thing that faces them of most importance, and power is secondary in my opinion to the matter of rapid transit. Even assuming that we may be paying too much for the power that we are receiving, yet no one can dispute that there is adequate power to meet every possible need for now and for the immediate future, and that the progress of San Francisco cannot be retarded in any way by the lack of power, because we have it. As to transit, you know just as well as I do, you recognize the truth, that is something we need and need right now. You have seen the result of the completion of the Bay Bridge. You have seen how closely we are now allied to the district across the Bay. You have seen the Big Game crowd—— May I have silence, please, while I am speaking? You have seen the Big Game with thousands and thousands of automobiles carried to and from San Francisco without any confusion, and in a remarkably short time. Now vision the time when Plan X will have been completed, and trains will draw the commuters across this bridge, without waiting, they will get in a car at Plan X and be taken to their destination 15 or 20 minutes faster than they are reaching there now. Contrast that situation with the situation that faces the car riders of San Francisco, who are crowded in the cars, having to wait a long time, as car after car passes by too full for anyone else to get on, people hanging on to straps, and taking a very long time to reach their homes in the outlying districts. Now think of the result on the future development of San Francisco? Think of the temptation. And can you blame anyone in San Francisco for thinking of moving across the Bay, where he can, in much more comfort, in superior facilities, come to and from his work? That is a con-

dition the Manager of Utilities pointed out in his preliminary remarks on the subject of rapid transit, and it has been concurred in by real estate experts of San Francisco, that we are facing a grave danger of the loss of population, and naturally a loss of population results in a shrinkage in assessed valuation, and a shrinkage in the tax rolls. It means disaster for San Francisco, and its prestige is seriously threatened, because people will not endure discomfort, and a long trip, when they can go elsewhere in comfort and speed, and enjoy superior facilities. There is no question about that, and the losses resulting from that condition are not in any measure offset by a saving in electric rates by the users of San Francisco.

Now, gentlemen, you are going at the thing wrong. The first thing to take up is rapid transit. You all say, "Yes, we recognize its importance," but that is not enough. In the comparative importance between the two things, as far as the future of San Francisco is concerned, there is no reasonable argument, and no one can stand up and state that this power situation means more to San Francisco than does the immediate development of rapid transit.

Now for that reason, and for the further reason that I know the submission of the power bonds will be passed by a two-thirds—by a majority vote of the people instead of a two-thirds vote, which I claim it should carry, when the city goes into debt to that degree—for those two reasons, first the greater importance of rapid transit, and secondary because the method of financing by having this approved by a majority, instead of the safeguard of a two-thirds vote, I am going to vote "No."

I want to state, Mr. Chairman, however, that if the Board of Supervisors want just information from the Secretary of the Interior, of course, I have no objection to sending it on without approval, but I want my vote against approval.

THE CHAIRMAN: Doctor Schmidt.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I want to explain my vote. I am perfectly willing to send this on to Secretary Ickes to see if he would approve this Plan 7; but I don't want it to bind my future action as regards submission to the people. I don't want to feel that I am obligated to later on submit the plan, as it may work out at that time, because I have got this feeling in the matter: Personally, I don't want to see a repetition of the Hetch Hetchy episode, which we started with a certain figure and found we have had to add a few million more, and the people later on are required to add a few million more. If I can be satisfied at the time that the figures given out represent the actual cost, I may feel differently about it. I know a lot of people are asking me, "What are they trying to do? Slip over another Hetch Hetchy project?" So I don't know what the figures will be at the time this is entirely worked out, but certainly if we are giving a set of figures, and we find that later on we need to increase those figures, need additional money, I don't feel very kindly toward a plan of that kind. So I want it distinctly understood in my voting to send this on to Secretary Ickes it doesn't bind me as regards my future action, because I want to be sure about the figures, not for my own sake but because I know the people of San Francisco want to know the absolute cost before they embark in this major project.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

THE CHAIRMAN: Carried.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Before we leave this matter. I hope when we submit this matter to the people it will be under the head of "Buy or Build." I asked Mr. Cahill how much of P. W. A. money might be expected on the buy method, and you heard the answer, that

it is about $3,000,000 new work, and 45 per cent of that would be the amount we might expect. Now Mr. Cahill, through the Chair, laying the foundation for the question to you, in the case of build—we are going to submit it in all probability buy or build, but should it be submitted to the people and the people vote for the full amount, what then might we expect from the P. W. A. if it is on the build system—May I have a little attention, my question is of importance—to me, if not to you.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I was discussing it, Supervisor Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What may be expected from the Federal Government in W. P. A. money if we build the project?

MR. CAHILL: I believe that you should put it on a buy or build basis, and if we were to be forced into the build situation we might expect as much as $20,000,000 in the way of P. W. A. subvention, provided the P. W. A. continues on the old basis.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, Mr. Cahill, what would be your capital expenditure then?

MR. CAHILL: The capital expenditure does not change. In other words, these figures have been adjusted on a reproduction basis.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then you anticipate the cost of $39,000,000, and you would receive $20,000,000?

MR. CAHILL: No, there are some other costs. We would receive approximately $20,000,000 out of the present basis of $43,000,000—we might receive $18,000,000 or $19,000,000 or $20,000,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: A number of questions are not answered to my satisfaction, Mr. Cahill——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Will you permit me to finish?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, pardon me. I was just asking a question of Mr. Cahill. I would ask then, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill, if I send you an additional list of questions, will you kindly answer them at your earliest convenience?

MR. CAHILL: I will try to. I have plenty of other things to do.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I don't want to take the time today to argue them.

MR. CAHILL: All right, Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: From the remarks just made, I would assume that it would be cheaper for the city to build. If it cost $43,000,000, plus, to build, and we might get $20,000,000 from the Federal Government, it might be cheaper for us—for San Francisco to build rather than buy. To buy means $43,000,000 at least, and of the 43,000,000 we would only get a small percentage of $3,000,000 new construction. That means that the people of San Francisco have to put up the entire amount, less a small fraction. If we build, why, we build for almost half the money—half price. There is something there on the financial side, to be worked out very carefully.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would that mean leaving a competing company?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It would mean that they would run their business and we would run ours.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Better wait and see what the people tell us to do.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is understood the Journal will contain the proceedings, that every word is being taken down, and will be printed in the Journal.

THE CLERK: Just the Controller's report?

THE CHAIRMAN: Right up to the time the motion was carried.

THE CLERK: But do you want all these comments?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move there be a transcript made, and published in the Journal.

THE CHAIRMAN: What do you want?

THE CLERK: Right straight through the meeting?
SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: And published in the Journal. All right, any objection? (No response.) So ordered.

**Correction.**

The following communication was subsequently presented for inclusion in the record:

"December 3, 1936.

"Subject: Plan No. 7—Municipal Power Distribution.

"To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco.

"Gentlemen: At your meeting today during your discussion of the subject of municipal distribution of power I was inadvertently in error in responding to a question propounded by Supervisor Roncovieri. As my inaccurate reply to Supervisor Roncovieri's question was due to a misunderstanding as to the question asked, I respectfully request that your records be corrected in accordance with the following data:

"Without examination of the record, I recall that Supervisor Roncovieri asked whether the cost of constructing a new electric system in San Francisco would be the same as the cost of purchasing the present system of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. In response I stated that I believed the $39,000,000 estimate given in Plan No. 7 would cover the cost of a distribution system on either a 'buy or build' basis. This is obviously incorrect.

"The $39,000,000 estimate in Plan 7 is based upon the estimated reproduction cost of the present Pacific Gas and Electric Company system minus the amount it has depreciated since its construction. In order to reproduce the Pacific Gas and Electric Company electric distribution system it would be necessary to pay more than the amount necessary to buy a system at its reproduction cost less depreciation. It would therefore cost more to build a new electric system duplicating the Pacific Gas and Electric Company system than it would to buy the existing facilities.

"This correction is not to be construed, however, as a disapproval of the proposal to issue any bonds for electric distribution in a form which would permit the City and County of San Francisco either to buy the existing plant or to build its own plant as I am heartily in favor of the submission of a bond proposal in this form.

"Very truly yours,
"E. G. Cahill,
"Manager of Utilities.")

**Appointment of Warren Shannon as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 2955, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect Warren Shannon as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

(Signed) FRED W. MEYER,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY.

Supervisor Colman raised the question that there had been no meeting of the Public Utilities Committee to which the foregoing resolution had been previously referred.

**Motion to Re-refer Lost.**

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the matter be re-referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Motion to Adjourn Lost.**

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to adjourn.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Resolution Adopted.**

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Shannon had requested that he be excused from voting on foregoing resolution, but was refused the privilege by the Chair, whereupon he voted *Aye*.

**Explanation and Change of Vote.**

PRESIDENT HAVENNER: The Chair would like to make this explanation. I voted inadvertently—I think Supervisor Shannon understands my position, as I have stated it here repeatedly on the Board. I voted against this from the very beginning, for members of the Board to be members of the Golden Gate Bridge Commission, and, in order to be consistent, I am going to change my vote at this time from *Aye* to *No*, without any disparagement on Supervisor Shannon, but in order to maintain my position all the way through.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner's vote was changed from *Aye* to *No*.

**Motion to Reconsider.**

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

**Appointment of Frank C. Sykes as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 2968, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect Frank C. Sykes as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

**Motion to Substitute.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to amend by substituting the name of Francis V. Keesling for the name of Frank C. Sykes.

**Motion to Adjourn.**

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Board of Supervisors now adjourn.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Motion to Substitute Lost.**

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor Colman's motion to substitute the name of Francis V. Keesling for the name of Frank C. Sykes, and the motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the name of Louis Lury be substituted for the name of Frank C. Sykes.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution naming Frank C. Sykes and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

**San Francisco to Be Represented at Sacramento Meeting to Consider Pacific Gas and Electric Company Application for Additional Power Development Permits.**

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board of Supervisors accept invitation of the State Water Authority to be represented in Sacramento, said representatives to report that the City and County of San Francisco is opposed to the application of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company made to the Federal Power Commission for permission to develop additional power sites.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that this Board of Supervisors be represented at the hearing and that the committee report back to this Board, and that it be authorized to purchase a transcript of the proceedings.

*So ordered.* Supervisors Meyer, Havenner, McSheehy were appointed to represent San Francisco.

### Leave of Absence, Hon. Charles T. Traung, Recreation Commissioner.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following recommendation of the Mayor was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 2690, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Hon. Charles F. Traung, Recreation Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing December 5, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Mrown—1.

### Curtailment of Employment on WPA Projects Protested.

Supervisor Mead said he had reliable information that wholesale layoffs are contemplated and already taking place in San Francisco without opportunity of reassignment on W. P. A. projects, and suggested that the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor protest this curtailment of employment until such time as Congress had an opportunity to meet.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Clerk be authorized to forward a telegram to President Roosevelt protesting this action and ask that same be deferred until Congress meets.

Supervisor Uhl suggested that the Mayor be requested to send similar telegram.

Whereupon, the motion *carried unanimously.*

### Transcript Authorized.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Clerk be authorized to procure a transcript of the proceedings regarding the rezoning of property at Nineteenth avenue and Quintara street, heard in the Board of Supervisors on November 18, 1936.

*So ordered.*

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until Monday, December 7, 1936, at 10 a. m., when the matter of Rapid Transit will be taken up for discussion.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1936, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 7, 1936, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met, pursuant to recess of December 3, 1936, for the purpose of discussing the question of rapid transit.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Mead, Meyer—3.

President Havenner phoned that he would be late and appeared and was noted present at 11:05 a. m.

### Rapid Transit Discussion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In order to start the ball rolling, I think that it would be advisable to take up right now the question of park-

ing at peak hours. Parking from 4:30 to 6 o'clock is absolutely tending to congestion in the downtown district. Mr. Chairman, some five years ago we passed an ordinance prohibiting parking on Geary street, and I remember that so well, prohibiting parking on the north side in the morning and on the south side from 4:30 in the afternoon, and there is no question about the congestion which exists, particularly on Geary street, and I would like also to include Market street, because of the automobile traffic in the afternoon, and it is so bad that only one car can cause a tie-up, and I would like to make a motion now that we request from the Public Utilities Commission what their viewpoint would be regarding this parking during the peak hours on the particular streets that we have in mind. I would like to make that as a motion. I feel that the sooner we pass on that point—and it must come up in the rapid transit, Mr. President and members of the Board, here in discussing rapid transit during the next month or so.

THE CHAIRMAN: May the Chair ask if it is necessary to go to the Public Utilities Commission to ask about a matter of traffic when that matter is more or less in our hands, and in the hands of the traffic advisory committee?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Is it your judgment a motion could be made that there be no parking on streets where there are street cars, that is, between certain hours?

THE CHAIRMAN: That it is the sense of this Board that there be no parking, and so on, and send that motion to the traffic advisory committee, that is the Chair's idea.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then I would be very glad to make that as a motion and send it on its way.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been regularly moved and seconded that it is the sense of this Board——

SUPERVISOR UHL: And that the motion be sent to the advisory committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: That there be no parking—if you will name the streets?

SUPERVISOR UHL: On the streets on which there are street cars between the hours of 4:30 and 6 p. m.

THE CHAIRMAN: In what particular district?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I think if we cover that in the fire district we would be thoroughly in line. May I ask, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill or Mr. Ost, through the Chair, what their ideas are on that?

MR. CAHILL: It is not within the powers of the Public Utilities Commission to regulate traffic, it is entirely a Police Commission matter. However, I do agree that the abolition of parking in the congested areas downtown, such as Market street and Sutter street, and through there, would materially help the traffic situation. That is, not that it would entirely relieve it, but it would help. I don't know what the fire limits are.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Clerk, can you tell us that?

MR. CAHILL: Supervisor, you already have an ordinance covering lower Geary street, but it is not enforced.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, this brings in Market street, which is not covered.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Why not say from Van Ness avenue, east.

SUPERVISOR UHL: From Van Ness avenue, east, all right.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: And south of California street.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, Mr. President, then it would be from Van Ness avenue, and Van Ness avenue South, and Howard street, to the east, that would take in Mission and Howard streets where there are car lines.

THE CHAIRMAN: Have you discussed this matter with Captain Goff, of the Police Department?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CHAIRMAN: Don't you think it would be advisable to get his advice?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I want to say that I think our action here this morning is a little bit premature, owing to the fact we should find out from Captain Goff first the result of the study he has been making in the last month. He and his department are making an investigation, and they have already stated numerous ideas along the line of left hand turns, and the parking situation, and they are making a study of it, and I think before this Board should take any action we should hear what Captain Goff and his associates have done. Maybe they have a plan already worked out, they know the streets that are congested, and I think we might let them go ahead, and I think we should have their report first——

SUPERVISOR UHL: No——

THE CHAIRMAN: Just a minute. I don't like to have two members have the floor at the same time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I allowed him to make a statement——

THE CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that. But I would like to have one of you take a seat.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Although it is well to check up, I don't think we should interfere with the work that is already going on by merely directing certain instructions to them which might interfere with the work. Let's find out exactly how far they have gone, and what they are doing.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have a traffic advisory committee——

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Let's find out before injecting ourselves into it. Now if they are doing these things already I don't think it is exactly right for us to interfere with them. While this is up, I want to bring up the question of the bus line already started from across the bridge. All these buses, we haven't a terminal building——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I object to Supervisor Ratto asking about buses now. That is not before us.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Well, it is traffic, and left hand turns——

SUPERVISOR UHL: This is a traffic matter. We are not going into this, as far as left hand turns are concerned at all, what I am urging here is immediate action by the Board on the question of no parking after 4:30 where there are street cars on the street. Now that is different, and I don't care what Captain Goff might have to say on that, it is vitally important, and, as Mr. Cahill just mentioned, he is favorably impressed with the idea, and I think I have read the same thing in the newspapers. However, I don't want to say, "No parking" on Polk street, for instance, or "No parking" on Ellis street, except perhaps that first block—perhaps I should bring in a motion that would absolutely outline the district. I have traveled all through there by automobile, and I know positively that after you leave Mason street, on the left, that there is no need of saying "No parking," because there is no particular congestion there, and you might carry it on Mission street, and the same thing applies to Turk street in the first block. However, I shall be very glad to hold it in abeyance, and I will also ask that Captain Goff be present. I feel this is one of the essential things that should be done, because no one can say just how much congestion may be relieved by eliminating that parking. For example, I was coming along Kearny street the other night, and a couple of cars were parked between Post and Sutter, and they stopped the entire flow of two lines of traffic, just that one line of traffic congested things clear from Market back to Sutter street, and I feel that we should make as much open space for automobiles as possible.

THE CHAIRMAN: I suggest that you have your motion in the form of a resolution outlining the streets definitely.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will bring that in.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to offer a suggestion to Supervisor Uhl: I am in receipt of a letter signed by Mr. Cottrell, of the San Francisco Traffic Law Board, informing me that the next

meeting of the San Francisco Traffic Law Board will be held in the Directors' Room of the California Automobile Association at 150 Van Ness avenue, commencing at the hour of 2:15 o'clock, Thursday, December 10th. I think possibly you ought to go to that meeting; I am going, of course. It is the San Francisco Traffic Law Enforcement Board, and they are undoubtedly giving attention to this, and you can learn a great deal there, and you can offer some suggestions yourself, possibly.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will try and get there, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: And here next Monday you will have a good resolution.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am not going to put this off a week. It is my understanding we are going to meet right along on this matter until we get it cleaned up.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: So you can bring a motion in that will perhaps cover some point you are not thinking of now. You can get considerable information there Thursday. I make that as a suggestion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Let's get down to the meat of the thing we have to consider, which is Mr. Cahill's report. So far we have come to this point, we have had hearings here and heard various groups of citizens who had plans to suggest to the Board of Supervisors which they thought were superior in some respects or other to the Cahill plan. These plans were all referred to the Public Utilities Commission with the request that they make a report back to the Board explaining the viewpoint of the commission in deciding upon the plan they did present in preference to various plans suggested by the outside groups. Now that has been done, that is in our hands, and I suggest that we proceed from that point on, and if any member has any questions to ask Mr. Cahill concerning his plan with which he disagrees, I think that would be in order. Now in other words, the plan before us is the Cahill Plan, and I would move that we take up the Cahill Plan for our consideration, if I could receive a second.

Supervisor Uhl seconded the motion, and there being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

* * * * *

Thereupon, Manager of Utilities Cahill explained the plan of Rapid Transit submitted by him in May, 1936, and called attention to his request for an appropriation of $50,000 for the preparation of plans for a two-track subway station under the Plan X terminal which he said Secretary Ickes requires in case we make an application for a grant. Moreover, the terminal will be constructed next year, and if our plans are not made at once, it will cost much more than $50,000.

After further discussion covering our bond limitation, Market Street Railway Company's willingness to go underground and assessment districts for paying the cost of the work, the possibility of a government grant, etc.

### Privilege of the Floor.

William Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He said:

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board: I just want to touch on one item; that is, subvention granted to the City and County of San Francisco by the State of California. You have approximately in round figures now about $2,000,000 per year, in other words about a half a million dollars on the one-quarter-cent gas tax, and approximately one million dollars from the one-cent fund. Now all of the gas tax funds are paid to the City on the basis of population. In other words, the quarter-cent gas tax is paid on a population basis entirely. In

other words what the population of the City bears to the population of the State. The one-cent gas tax is on the basis of motor vehicle registration, so if you lose in population you are cutting right away from the one-quarter-cent tax; and if you lose automobile registration you are going to deduct from the one-cent fund. For instance, this year the State of California has increased the gas tax revenue to $45,000,000, rather that gas tax revenue is increased from $45,000,000 to $56,000,000 this year. Now instead of you being given an increase of approximately 20 per cent if your population drops you are going to have a decrease, so you will not only lose your gas tax fund, but——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would debate that with you as not being correct.

MR. COUGHLIN: Do you want me to read the law on that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: If you gain 20 per cent you do not know what it will be.

MR. COUGHLIN: If you keep the population it would increase.

MR. UHL: Yes.

MR. COUGHLIN: And if you lose your population you lose your gas tax fund.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 p. m., when it was agreed to discuss further the question of Rapid Transit after the conclusion of the Calendar.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 7, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 30, 1936, was considered read and approved.

### Writ of Superior Court.

The following were read by the Clerk:

Writ of Superior Court, temporarily enjoining the Board of Supervisors from taking any further action in the matter of appointment of Frank C. Sykes as director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and ordering the defendants named therein, to-wit: J. M. Ratto, Warren Shannon, Franck R. Havenner, Fred W. Meyer, James B. McSheehy, Dewey Mead and John S. Dunnigan, to appear before the court, Department No. 4, at 10 a. m., December 15, 1936.

### Resignation of Frank C. Sykes.

The resignation of Frank C. Sykes from position as director of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

**Appointment of Frank C. Sykes as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

The following matter was taken up:

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2968, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect Frank C. Sykes as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

*December* 3, 1936—*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from "no" to "aye" and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting.

Supervisor Uhl withdrew his motion for reconsideration in the case of Frank C. Sykes in view of his resignation.

Supervisor Brown moved to reconsider the Board of Supervisors vote on Frank C. Sykes and said he would vote *no* and explained by saying that he did not consider it good public policy to change directors at a time so close to the completion of the bridge. Keesling, like Shannon, he said, has done a good job and should be retained.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved the resignation of Frank C. Sykes be accepted.

After advice by the City Attorney that acceptance of Mr. Sykes' resignation would not be in conflict with the court order, the motion was *carried*.

**Appointment of Warren Shannon as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

The following resolution, *adopted* on December 3, 1936, but final determination of which was postponed on motion by Supervisor Uhl, was taken up:

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2955, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect Warren Shannon as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

*December* 3, 1936—*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Schmidt—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Before the result of the foregoing ballot was announced, Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

Supervisor Uhl announced that he would not press his previous motion for reconsideration.

**Motion.**

Whereupon Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved

that the Board reconsider its action whereby Supervisor Warren Shannon had been elected as director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, both mover and seconder announcing they would vote "no" on the motion.

Motion *lost by unanimous vote.*

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Question of Personal Privilege.

Supervisor Shannon: I rise to question of personal privilege. First of all, I want to thank the members of the Board of Supervisors for their vote. Ordinarily, I pay little attention to mistakes made by a newspaper, even when they effect me personally. However, there was a mistake in the Chronicle of Friday last that I desire to correct, as it puts me in a very compromising position, with a gentleman with whom I have been acquainted nearly all my life, and for whom I have the greatest esteem. I discussed the subject of the Golden Gate Bridge directors with him early last week and I want it known to the world and to this gentleman particularly, that I did not nominate Frank C. Sykes in the meeting of the Public Utilities Committee as a Golden Gate Bridge and Highway director, as the article written by Ben Kline and appearing in the San Francisco Chronicle on Friday, December 4, 1936, would indicate.

At the end of the article regarding the matter of the appointment of directors this statement appears: "An attempt by Colman to substitute the name of Keesling was defeated, an objection was raised that Sykes had not even been nominated." But Meyer said "Shannon had made the nomination to the committee."

I did not nominate Mr. Sykes and had nothing to do with his selection. While I have known Mr. Sykes well and favorably, I have not seen him, talked to him, written to him, or in any way communicated with him in over three or four months, and at no time in my life have I ever discussed the subject of Golden Gate Bridge or its directors with him.

I desire to have my statement made part of the record and request that I be given three copies of the statement when transcribed, and to be perfectly fair in the matter I am going to move the privilege of the floor to Mr. Ben Kline if he desires to make any correction in this statement I have just made.

There was no response on the part of Mr. Kline to this invitation, which was subsequently renewed.

### Appointment of W. D. Hadeler as Golden Gate Bridge Director.

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Resolution No. 2971, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect W. D. Hadeler, vice Francis V. Keesling, as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

(Signed) FRED W. MEYER.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

### Committee Meeting.

Supervisor Meyer, Chairman, announced meeting of Public Utilities Committee on Tuesday, December 8, at 4 p. m., to consider the foregoing resolution.

**Nomination of Francis V. Keesling as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 2970, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect Francis V. Keesling as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, to succeed himself, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

(Signed) JESSE COLMAN,
ADOLPH SCHMIDT,
ADOLPH UHL,
ARTHUR BROWN, JR.,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI,

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Sale of City Lot, Corner of Vicksburg and Jersey Streets.**

(Code No. 12.1729)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1167, Ordinance No. 12.17296, as follows:

Sale of City lot, corner of Vicksburg and Jersey streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Jersey street with the westerly line of Vicksburg street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jersey street, 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 34 feet; thence at right angles easterly 75 feet to the westerly line of Vicksburg street; thence northerly along last-named line 34 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 89.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leasing Portion of Laguna Honda Home Property.**

(Code No. 12.1737)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 1169, Ordinance No. 12.17372, as follows:

Leasing portion of Laguna Home Property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the

Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental, the following described City owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Portola drive with the northeasterly line of Woodside avenue; running thence easterly along said line of Portola drive, 105 feet; thence deflecting 128 degrees 30 minutes to the left and running northwesterly 115 feet; thence running westerly and parallel to the northerly line of Portola drive and the westerly extension thereof, 104 feet; thence deflecting to the left and running southwesterly 42 feet more or less to a point on the northeasterly line of Woodside avenue, distant thereon northwesterly 95 feet from the point of commencement; thence southeasterly along said line of Woodside avenue, 95 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. Said lease shall be for a term to be fixed by the Director of Property and shall be subject to such covenants and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and approved by the City Attorney.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Authorizing Sale of Certain City Land Near Henry Street.

(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1170, Ordinance No. 12.17218, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City land near Henry street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. A right of way easement to construct, maintain, repair and use a road or driveway over the following described land:

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Henry street; thence northerly along the westerly termination of Henry street, 18 feet; thence deflecting 111 degrees 13 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running southwesterly, 4.620 feet to the northeasterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Lot 9, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 44 degrees 30 minutes 30 seconds to the left and running southwesterly along said northeasterly prolongation, 17.910 feet to the southerly line of Henry street produced westerly; thence deflecting 114 degrees 16 minutes to the left and running easterly along said line of Henry street so produced, 11.667 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 9, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 32 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot 8 of aforesaid Block 8; thence at right angles northeasterly along last named line produced northeasterly 14 feet; thence deflecting 55 degrees 42 minutes 47 seconds to the right and running northeasterly 26.627 feet; thence deflecting 11 degrees 12 minutes 17 seconds to the left and running northeasterly, 14.265 feet to the southeasterly line of aforesaid Lot 9 produced northeasterly;

thence deflecting 135 degrees 29 minutes 30 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced, 39.173 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 8, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 32 feet to the southeasterly line of Lot 7 of aforesaid Block 8; thence at right angles northeasterly along last named line produced northeasterly, 7 feet; thence deflecting 77 degrees 39 minutes 39 seconds to the right and running easterly, 32.758 feet to the southeasterly line of aforesaid Lot 8 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 102 degrees 20 minutes 21 seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced, 14 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Lot 7, Block 8 of Flint Tract Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book 1 at page 148, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of aforesaid Block 8, parallel with and distant 100 feet at right angles northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Fifteenth street, 12 feet; thence deflecting 149 degrees 44 minutes 37 seconds to the right and running northeasterly, 13.892 feet to the southeasterly line of said Lot 7 produced northeasterly; thence deflecting 120 degrees 15 minutes twenty-three seconds to the right and running southwesterly along last named line so produced seven feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be deemed necessary by the City Attorney and the Director of Property.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## Powers of Recreation Commission.

(Code No. 23.041)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Bill No. 1171, Ordinance No. 23.0411, as follows:

Conferring additional powers on the Recreation Commission by giving said Commission complete management and control of all playgrounds, swimming pools and recreation centers in the City and County of San Francisco, exclusive of those located in public parks or delegated to the Park Commission and including summer camps and other public recreational units now or hereafter owned, controlled or established by the City and County of San Francisco, together with recreational units heretofore or hereafter granted to or licensed to the Recreation Commission by the Park Commission or Board of Education; and authorizing and empowering said Recreation Commission to lay out, grade, equip, supervise and control said playgrounds, swimming pools, recreation centers, summer camps and public recreational units and to construct buildings thereon and to enter into contracts therefor and incident thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors of said City and County does, by this ordinance, confer the following powers on the Recreation Commission of said City and County in

addition to those conferred on said Commission by Section 42 of said Charter:

(a) The Recreation Commission shall have exclusive jurisdiction and control over all playgrounds, swimming pools, community centers, summer camps, and all other public recreational units now or hereafter owned, controlled or established by the City and County of San Francisco, either within or without the City limits, with the exception of such parks and recreational units, the jurisdiction of which has been, or shall be, expressly delegated exclusively to the Park Commission or to the Board of Education, unless the same have been or hereafter shall be, transferred, leased, licensed or deeded, by the Park Commission or Board of Education to the Recreation Commission for recreational purposes.

(b) The Recreation Commission shall have complete and exclusive control, management and direction of the aforesaid playgrounds, swimming pools, camps and recreation centers, and the exclusive right to lay out, grade, equip and control the same, as well as the exclusive right to erect and supervise the erection of buildings and structures thereon, and to that end, said Commission may employ superintendents, surveyors, engineers, architects, laborers and other employees and assistants and prescribe and fix their duties and compensation, subject to the Civil Service Provisions of the Charter, and shall have full power and authority to enter into contracts for doing or accomplishing any, or all of the aforementioned things; and, pursuant to the provisions of the Charter governing the appropriation and expenditure of moneys, said Commission shall have the exclusive management and disbursement of all funds legally appropriated to said Recreation Commission for the acquisition, support, equipping and maintenance of the aforesaid playgrounds, swimming pools, camps and recreation centers.

Section 2. The exercise of any of the powers, duties or authority conferred by this ordinance on said Recreation Commission shall be subject to all Charter provisions applicable thereto.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Renewal of Applications for Permits That Have Been Denied.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Bill No. 1172, Ordinance No. 3.04165, as follows:

Amending ordinance No. 3.0411 entitled, "An Ordinance Establishing Procedure by Departments and Offices for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Licenses and Permits and Appeals Based Thereon," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 5a by providing that when the granting of any permit is finally denied by the Board of Permit Appeals the application for said permit shall not be renewed for the period of one year from the date of the action of said Board of Permit Appeals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 3.0411, entitled "An Ordinance Establishing Procedure by Departments and Offices for the Issuance, Transfer and Revocation of Licenses and Permits and Appeals Based Thereon" is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, which said section is designated as Section 5a and which shall be in the words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Section 5a. Whenever any person, firm or corporation shall make application for any permit, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 3.0411, and the application for said permit shall be denied and

no appeal from the denial of said permit shall be taken as provided in said Ordinance No. 3.0411, or when any appeal shall be taken to the Board of Permit Appeals from any order of any officer, board or commission denying any application for any permit provided to be issued pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance and said Board of Permit Appeals shall concur in the judgment or order of said officer, board or commission in denying said application for said permit, said application for said permit shall not be renewed nor shall the same be heard by the officer, board or commission to whom said original application was made until one year after the denial of said application by said Board of Permit Appeals and there shall be no appeal to said Board of Permit Appeals for failure to hear any such application within said one-year period.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following matters were taken up:

### Approving County Road Fund Report.

(Code No. 9.062)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2961, as follows:

Resolved, That the annual report of the receipts and expenditures of the County Road Fund for the year ended June 30, 1936, is hereby approved. It is further directed that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, through the Controller, transmit a copy of this report to the California Highway Commission, Sacramento, California, together with a certified copy of this resolution.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Purchase of Land for Extension of Santa Ynez Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2962, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from T. Cerruti a portion of Assessor's Blocks 3208 and 3208A, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the extension of Santa Ynez avenue as an approach to Alemany boulevard, for the sum of $2,000, payable from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 83.918.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property. Reference is hereby made to the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named party for a particular description of said land.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Purchase of Land for Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2963, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widen-

ing of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

Joseph F. Silva and Lola M. Silva, portion of Lots 35 and 36, Assessor's Block 2055.....$2,725.00
Zada Walker and Courtland Walker, portion of Lot 8, Assessor's Block 2406 ..... 43.20
Elsie M. Rosa, portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 2406.. 30.60

The above sum of $2,725 includes damages in full to the improvements now located on Lots 35 and 36, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Purchase of Land for Army Street.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2964, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Army street, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.955.17:

Joseph A. Philipp, et ux., Lot 7-D, Assessor's Block 4336..$11,580
Charles R. Denike, et ux., portion of Lot 7-E, Assessor's Block 4336 ..... 1,800

As a further consideration, Charles R. Denike, et ux., shall be permitted to remove the building from Lot 7-C, Assessor's Block 4336, at their own expense, within thirty (30) days after recording the deed to the City.

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on said Lots 7-D and 7-E. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

### Approving Traffic Safety Plan.

(Code No. 11.02)

Resolution No. 2965, as follows:

Resolved, That the Traffic Safety Plan submitted to this Board by the Insurance Brokers Exchange be and is hereby approved and referred to the Street Traffic Advisory Board with the recommendation that it be adopted and put into effect in the City and County of San Francisco.

Hugo Meyer, secretary of the Insurance Brokers' Exchange of San Francisco, was heard in favor of the proposed resolution.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Colman moved to substitute the following:

Resolved, That the Traffic Safety Plan submitted to this Board by the Insurance Brokers' Exchange is hereby referred to the Streets Traffic Advisory Board, with the request to consider and report thereon to this Board.

*So ordered.*

**Adopted.**

Whereupon the resolution as amended was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

**Accepted Deed to Sewer Easement From Fernando Nelson and Sons, Southerly From Deming Street.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Resolution No. 2966, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain indenture made the 17th day of November, 1936, between Fernando Nelson and Sons, Inc., and the City and County of San Francisco, granting to said City and County a five-foot sewer easement extending southerly from Deming street approximately 50 feet westerly from the easterly termination of said street, be and is hereby accepted.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Intention to Close Certain Portions of Sloat Boulevard and Junipero Serra Boulevard at the Intersection, for the Purpose of Realignment**

(Code No. 12.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 2967, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards be closed and abandoned; and, be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 656.30 feet easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence easterly on an arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the southerly line of Sloat boulevard, radius 250 feet, central angle 7 degrees 15 minutes 08 seconds, a distance of 31.644 feet to the proposed southerly line of Sloat boulevard; said proposed southerly line being 2 feet at right angles southerly and parallel with the southerly line of Sloat boulevard, and the true point of beginning of this description; thence easterly and southeasterly on the same 250-foot radius curve, central angle 51 degrees 57 minutes 41 seconds, a distance of 226.724 feet; thence northwesterly and westerly on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 159 degrees 57 minutes 22 seconds to the left from the tangent of the preceding curve, radius 150 feet, central angle 69 degrees 53 minutes 27 seconds, a distance of 182.974 feet to the proposed southerly line of Sloat boulevard; thence deflecting 9 degrees 22 minutes 00 seconds to the left from the tangent of the preceding curve

and running westerly, a distance of 60.252 feet to the true point of beginning of this description.

Excepting therefrom a 32-foot Municipal Railway easement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of the Streets Committee were taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on the Westerly Side of Lyon Street, Between Lombard and Bay Streets, From Nineteen to Five Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Bill No. 1174, Ordinance No. 12.073192, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred eighty-six (1186).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 30th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred eighty-six (1185), to read as follows:

Section 1186. The width of sidewalks on the westerly side of Lyon street, between Lombard street and Bay street, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on the easterly side of Lyon street, between Lombard street and Bay street, shall be nineteen (19) feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Lombard Street, Between Broderick and Lyon Streets, From Twelve to Ten Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1175, Ordinance No. 12.073193, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ninety-four (94) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 30, 1936, by amending Section Ninety-four (94) thereof to read as follows:

Section 94. The width of sidewalks on Lombard street, between Polk street and Broderick street, shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Lombard street, between Broderick street and Lyon street, shall be ten (10) feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street, Between Divisadero and St. Joseph's Avenue, From Fifteen to Ten Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1176, Ordinance No. 12.073194, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1185.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 25, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1185, to read as follows:

Section 1185. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street, between Divisadero street and St. Joseph's avenue, shall be ten (10) feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street, Between Franklin and Divisadero Streets, From Twelve to Ten Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1177, Ordinance No. 12.073195, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section No. 944 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 25, 1936, by amending Section No. 944 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 944. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street, between Franklin street and Divisadero street, shall be ten (10) feet.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Sycamore Avenue, Between Mission and Valencia Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1178, Ordinance No. 11.0220, as follows:

Amending Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto, the following words: "South side of Sycamore avenue, between Mission and Valencia streets."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Re-referred.**

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

**Endorsing the San Francisco News Plan of Settlement of Maritime Strike.**

(Code No. 5.49)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2957, as follows:

Whereas, the prolonged maritime strike involving some 40,000 men in the shipping industry on the Pacific Coast spells social and economic disaster for San Francisco and the Bay Region, paralyzing the business of this Coast; and

Whereas, the principal question between the ship owners and the men is that of the "Hiring Hall," the manner in which sea-going personnel shall be hired and fired; and

Whereas, it is of vital importance to the welfare of this City that this question be settled at once in order that these intolerable conditions may cease; and

Whereas, the San Francisco News has offered a plan for a port committee to be established at each port, consisting of one or more representatives from each side, and in any case where they fail to agree, one outside member to be designated in any manner that the two sides may agree upon; and

Whereas, this plan seems eminently fair to both sides and offers a basis for bringing about a cessation of the hostilities between the ship owners and the men; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors heartily endorses the plan of the San Francisco News as a fair and reasonable effort to end this disastrous and paralyzing strife that is fast throttling the business of this City and Coast; and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, to all the maritime labor organizations and to all the shipping firms involved.

C. Negstad, representing the International Longshoremen's Association, was heard, saying that his organization endorses the San Francisco News plan generally speaking, but that the plan is vague and urged that the committee hearing be had where all interested may be heard.

**Re-referred.**

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the resolution was *re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee for hearing at its meeting Wednesday, December* 9, 1936, *at* 4:30 *p. m.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation was taken up:

**Accepting Bequest of James M. Huddart and Dedicating Same for Park Purposes.**

(Code No. 23.01)

Resolution No. 2968, as follows:

Whereas, James M. Huddart died on or about the 31st day of March, 1935, leaving a last will and testament wherein and whereby he devised unto the City and County of San Francisco nine hundred seventy-three and one-half (973½) acres, more or less, of land located at Woodside, in the County of San Mateo, the said devise being in the words and figures following, to-wit:

"I give and devise unto the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be dedicated and maintained by said City and County as a public park, those parcels of land containing 973½ acres, more or less, located at Woodside, in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and described as follows:

"All of Lot No. 1 of Tract No. 1 as said lot and tract of land are marked and numbered on a certain map entitled 'Map of the Greer Lands, situated in San Mateo County, which said map was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County on the 22nd day of March, 1889.

"Also all of Lot No. 2 in Tract No. 1 as said lot and tract are marked and numbered on said map of the Greer Lands, except that portion thereof conveyed by J. F. Greer to Earnest Litcheu et al. by deed dated March 15, 1892, and recorded in Book 58 of deeds in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County.

"Should the said City and County of San Francisco fail to accept said devise within three years from and after the admission of this my will to probate, or fail or cease to maintain said property as a public park for at least twenty years from and after the date of a decree of distribution to it of said property, then and in either of such events said property shall go to the State of California, upon the conditions and for the same purposes as provided in the case of the City and County of San Francisco, and should said State of California also fail to accept said devise within four years from and after the admission of this my will to probate, or fail or cease to maintain said property as a public park for at least twenty years from and after a decree of distribution to it of said property, then and in either of such events, said property shall go to the County of San Mateo, State of California, for the same purposes."

And whereas, it appears that the said devise mentioned in said paragraph Second of said will was and is subject to the following conditions:

"In the event that my real property in San Mateo County adjoining the real property described in paragraph Second of this my said will shall be distributed to my said sister, Mary Huddart, I further direct that said property described in said paragraph Second shall be distributed upon the condition and subject to the agreement on the part of the distributee thereof that water will be furnished to said adjoining lands distributed to said Mary Huddart to the extent and in the manner in which water is now being furnished from said lands described in paragraph Second to said adjoining real property, and without cost to said Mary Huddart and to her successors in interest in said land, it being my intent that said water right shall be appurtenant to said land."

And whereas, the real property adjoining the said real property described in paragraph Second of said will has heretofore been distributed to Mary Huddart, and that therefore said real property described in said paragraph Second of said will must be distributed to the City and County of San Francisco subject to the agreement upon

the part of said City and County that water will be furnished to said adjoining lands distributed to said Mary Huddart to the extent and in the manner in which water was, on the 22d day of April, 1931, being furnished from said lands described in paragraph Second of said will to said Mary Huddart.

Now, Therefore, be it Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the devise of real property described in said paragraph Second of the last will and testament of James M. Huddart, deceased, and which said real property is described in said paragraph Second hereinbefore contained, upon the express understanding and condition that the City and County of San Francisco shall dedicate the aforesaid real property as a public park and maintain the same as such for at least twenty years from and after the date of the decree of distribution distributing the aforesaid real property to the City and County of San Francisco; and that, upon the failure of the City and County of San Francisco to continue to maintain said real property as a public park for at least twenty years from the date of the decree of distribution made in the matter of the Estate of James M. Huddart, deceased, distributing said property to the said City and County, said property shall revert to the State of California; and in the event that the State of California shall fail or cease to maintain said property as a public park for at least twenty years from the date of said decree of distribution, then said real property shall vest in the County of San Mateo; and the said real property which is hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco is accepted subject to the terms and conditions specified in paragraph Ninth of said will of said James M. Huddart, deceased, to-wit: that the said City and County will agree that water from the property accepted by the said City and County will be furnished to the adjoining lands heretofore distributed to Mary Huddart under the will of said deceased, to the extent and in the manner in which said water was being so furnished at the date of the will of said deceased, all without cost to said Mary Huddart, and said waters shall be appurtenant to the land of said Mary Huddart and to her successors.

And be it Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof are hereby authorized and empowered to enter into such agreements, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as may be necessary for the carrying out of the purposes of paragraph Second and paragraph Ninth of said last will according to the terms and conditions therein set forth;

And be it Further Resolved, That when the aforesaid mentioned lands described in paragraph Second of said last will and testament of said deceased are accepted by the City and County of San Francisco, they be, and they are hereby, dedicated as a public park and that the City and County of San Francisco shall maintain said lands as such for at least twenty years from and after the date of the decree of distribution distributing said lands to said City and County to be hereafter made in the Matter of the Estate of James M. Huddart, deceased.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Re Conference With P. G. and E. Regarding Status of Its Franchises.**

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Havenner in lieu of one on Calendar prepared by City Attorney:

(Code No. 15.09)

Resolution No. 2959, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors, the Chairman of the Finance Committee, the Manager of Utilities, the Con-

troller and the City and Attorney be and they are hereby authorized to confer with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company relative to the status of the franchises under which they are distributing gas and electricity in the City and County of San Francisco at the present time.

Privilege of the Floor.

City Attorney O'Toole was heard at length on the foregoing resolution.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Excused.

At 5:45 p. m. Supervisor Meyer was excused on account of illness.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing the Re-issuance of Certain Mutilated Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco and Designated as Sewer Bonds, 1929 Issue.**

(Code No. 9.07)

Resolution No. 2969, as follows:

Whereas, Bankamerica Company did heretofore, to-wit, on the 23rd day of November, 1936, file with the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors its application that this Board of Supervisors, by resolution, authorize the re-issuance of the hereinafter described bonds heretofore issued and sold by the City and County of San Francisco for the reason and upon the grounds that said bonds, without any bad faith upon the part of the owner thereof, have been so mutilated and defaced as to impair their value to the owner; and

Whereas, it appears that on the 6th day of November, 1928, after due and proper proceedings had in that behalf, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, by more than two-thirds of the persons voting on said proposition to issue the hereinafter mentioned bonds, authorized the incurring by the City and County of San Francisco of a bonded indebtedness in the sum of two million two hundred thousand ($2,200,000) dollars for the purpose of constructing new and additional sewers and additions to certain existing sewers in the City and County of San Francisco; and thereafter all of said bonds so authorized were duly issued and signed by the duly authorized officials of the City and County of San Francisco; and that on the 25th day of May, 1936, said City and County of San Francisco offered for sale and sold to the highest and best bidder therefor, three hundred ninety-one thousand ($391,000) dollars par value of said sewer bonds above mentioned, said Bankamerica Company being the purchaser of said bonds; and

Whereas, it appears that of the said bonds so issued as aforesaid and sold and delivered on the said 25th day of May, 1936, the hereinafter described bonds have, without the bad faith upon the part of the owner thereof, been so mutilated and defaced as to impair their value, and the value of each of them, to the owner thereof; and that each of said bonds so mutilated and defaced is capable of being identified by number and description; and that said Bankamerica Company is now the owner and holder of said bonds; and that the following is the description of the aforesaid bonds so mutilated and defaced, to-wit:

11 bonds due January 1, 1940, numbered 679, 680, 690, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698 and 700.

9 bonds due January 1, 1941, numbered 782, 787, 790, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796 and 800.
11 bonds due January 1, 1942, numbered 887, 888, 891, 892, 893, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899 and 900.
8 bonds due January 1, 1943, numbered 978, 981, 986, 989, 997, 998, 999 and 1000.
15 bonds due January 1, 1944, numbered 1078, 1080, 1083, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1089, 1090, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1097, 1098, 1099 and 1100.
11 bonds due January 1, 1945, numbered 1181, 1189, 1178, 1192, 1193, 1195, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199 and 1200.
14 bonds due January 1, 1946, numbered 1278, 1279, 1281, 1282, 1283, 1284, 1285, 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290, 1291, 1292 and 1294.
13 bonds due January 1, 1947, numbered 1378, 1379, 1380, 1382, 1385, 1384, 1383, 1386, 1387, 1389, 1397, 1398 and 1399.
11 bonds due January 1, 1948, numbered 1478, 1483, 1485, 1486, 1488, 1489, 1490, 1491, 1492, 1493 and 1499.
15 bonds due January 1, 1949, numbered 1578, 1579, 1580, 1581, 1583, 1584, 1586, 1587, 1588, 1589, 1591, 1594, 1596, 1597 and 1600.
8 bonds due January 1, 1950, numbered 1679, 1681, 1682, 1685, 1689, 1690, 1696 and 1698.
15 bonds due January 1, 1951, numbered 1783, 1784, 1786, 1787, 1788, 1789, 1790, 1791, 1792, 1793, 1794, 1795, 1796, 1797 and 1798.
15 bonds due January 1, 1953, numbered 1979, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1997, 1999 and 2000.
12 bonds due January 1, 1954, numbered 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2086, 2087, 2090, 2092 and 2094.
10 bonds due January 1, 1955, numbered 2178, 2179, 2180, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2188, 2190, 2191 and 2198.

And Whereas, it has been made to appear to this Board of Supervisors that each of the bonds above referred to has, without bad faith upon the part of Bankamerica Company, the owner of said bonds, been so mutilated and defaced as to impair the value of each of said bonds to the owner thereof, and that each of the aforesaid mentioned bonds is capable, as well as the coupons attached thereto, of being identified by number and description, and that said bonds and all of the interest coupons attached thereto can be surrendered to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for cancellation and that therefore there is no necessity of taking or retaining any security upon the issuance of duplicate bonds; and

Whereas, all of the aforesaid bonds above referred to have, pursuant to the ordinances and resolutions authorizing the issuance thereof, been signed by the following officers of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of said City and County; Duncan Matheson, Treasurer thereof, and John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and by Benning Wentworth, who was, at the time of the signing of said bonds, the Auditor of said City and County; that there is affixed to each of the interest coupons attached to said bonds the facsimile signature of John H. Thieler as Treasurer of said City and County who, at the time said two million two hundred thousand ($2,200,000) dollars of bonds was ordered issued, was the Treasurer of said City and County; and

Whereas, said Benning Wentworth who signed said bonds as Auditor as aforesaid has died and the duties of the office of Auditor have, pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, been merged and consolidated with the office of Controller of said City and County; and Leonard S. Leavy is the duly appointed, qualified and acting Controller and Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco; and that said John H. Thieler has resigned from the

office of Treasurer and Duncan Matheson is the duly elected, qualified and acting Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said Bankamerica Company, the owner of the aforesaid enumerated bonds, has complied with all and singular the provisions and requirements of an act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act to Provide for the Issuance of Duplicates of Bonds, Warrants and Other Municipal Securities Which Have Become Defaced or Mutilated," approved February 23, 1907, as well as with all the provisions and requirements of the act amendatory thereto, relative to the issuance of the aforesaid bonds; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby order and direct that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors who was the officer who had charge in the first instance of preparing and lithographing the aforesaid bonds, to cause a duplicate of each of the hereinbefore numbered and described bonds to be printed or lithographed, and to cause the same to be signed by Angelo J. Rossi as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, Duncan Matheson as Treasurer thereof, and by John S. Dunnigan as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and by Leonard S. Leavy as Controller thereof, and that the interest coupons heretofore attached to each of said mutilated bonds, to-wit, coupons maturing January 1, 1937, and subsequent thereto to the date of maturity of each of said bonds, be attached to each of said duplicate bonds so printed or lithographed, signed or issued; and be it

Resolved, That said duplicate bonds shall be issued in all respects as nearly as possible as said original bonds mutilated and defaced as aforesaid, and that each of said duplicate bonds when issued shall be given the same number as the respective originals of said bonds, and each of said bonds shall have the respective dates of maturity as had the original bond replaced by said duplicate;

That when said duplicate bonds are so printed or lithographed and signed by the aforesaid officers, they be delivered to the owners of said original bonds mutilated and defaced as aforesaid, upon the same, together with the coupons attached thereto, being surrendered to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for cancellation; and be it

Further Resolved, That when said mutilated bonds are delivered and cancelled, and said duplicates are signed and delivered as herein directed, a record be made by the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors of the cancellation of said bonds so surrendered and that thereafter said duplicate bonds shall have the same force and effect and validity as said original bonds.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Meyer—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Leave of Absence of Hon. Jesse C. Colman.

(Code No. 4.053)

On recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor:

Resolution No. 2972, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days, commencing December 9, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

The Clerk read a communication from Wm. P. Filmer, president of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, requesting that the Board of Supervisors take up the matter of Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge with the State Highway Commission.

### Motion.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that a committee be appointed to take this matter up with the State Highway Commission, said committee to be composed of three members of the Board, together with the Controller, City Attorney and the Chief Administrative Officer.

*So ordered.*

### Appointment of Committee.

Supervisor Havenner, President, appointed Supervisors Shannon, Brown and Uhl to represent the Board on the committee.

### Traffic Survey.

Privelege of the floor was extended Frederick Whitten on motion of Supervisor Brown, who presented a petition urging that the scope of contract with Mr. McClintock be extended to include all phases of traffic study.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Completion of Skyline Boulevard to Woodwardia.

Supervisor Ratto moved that the Board re-introduce resolution adopted August 27, 1934 (Resolution No. 1546) calling upon meeting of representatives of various counties and organizations to promote the completion of the Skyline Boulevard to the town of Woodwardia, Santa Cruz County.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Golden Gate Bridge Bus Line Application.

The Clerk read communication from Southern Promotion Association, Andrew J. Gallagher, industrial director, relative to application of Bridge Bus Lines, Inc., for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate buses over Golden Gate Bridge and along San Francisco streets.

### Motions.

Supervisor Mead moved that the Traffic Advisory Board should be requested, in any matters such as the application of Bridge Bus Lines, Inc., to refer such matter to the Board of Supervisors so that the Board can take any necessary action.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Uhl moved the application of Bridge Bus Lines, Inc., for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate buses over the Golden Gate Bridge, and on streets of San Francisco, that any petition which the Police Commission might grant be modified and that a franchise, if determined necessary, will be applied for from the Board of Supervisors.

Motion *carried.*

### Protest of Pacific Gas and Electric Application for Development of Additional Power Sites.

President Franck R. Havenner reported that pursuant to order of the Board, Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer and Havenner attended meeting of State Water Authority at Sacramento, at which a protest against

granting permit to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company to erect power plant on Feather River.

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Board go on record as opposing the application of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for permit to erect power plant on the Feather River.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisors Brown and Havenner excused from tomorrow's meeting.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until tomorrow at 10 a. m., when the question of rapid transit will be taken up for discussion.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, December 14, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 52

Tuesday, December 8, 1936

Monday, December 14, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# Journal of Proceedings
# Board of Supervisors

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## TUESDAY, DECEMBER 8, 1936, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, December 8, 1936, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Monday, December 7, 1936, for the purpose of giving consideration to the question of Rapid Transit for San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—5.

Excused from attendance—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Supervisor Meyer reported ill and excused.

### ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy at 10:45 a. m. moved adjournment for lack of a quorum.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 14, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 3 and 7, 1936, were considered read and approved.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of protests against costs and expenses for improvement on south side of Lincoln way, between 82′ 6″ and 157′ 6″ west of Forty-sixth avenue. Work by M. Bertolino.

Minnie Hanson was heard in protest declaring that the city should pay for her street work inasmuch as the sidewalks had been broken by Water Department trucks.

Ellen Fuller declared her sidewalks were good enough as there were no people living there. She said the sidewalks were constructed many years ago but have become undermined.

### Action Deferred.

Thereupon, further action was *deferred three weeks and protests referred to the Streets Committee. Minnie Hanson's protest was directed sent to the Public Utilities Commission.*

### Rapid Transit Hearing.

*No action.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriating $50,000 Out of Municipal Railway Operative Fund for Cost of Making Plans for Construction of Rapid Transit System for San Francisco.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1179, Ordinance No. 9.051328, as follows:

Appropriating $50,000 from the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to defray the cost of making plans and specifications for the construction of a rapid transit system for San Francisco that will be an extension and improvement to the existing Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of $50,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made, and the Mayor having concurred in the act of the Public Utilities Commission and recommended the appropriation, for the purpose of defraying the cost of making plans and specifications for the construction of a rapid transit system of San Francisco to be used as an extension and improvement of the existing Municipal Railway, there is hereby appropriated the sum of $50,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purposes hereinabove stated.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Approved by Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 1437 of July 6, 1936, J. W. Sharon, Assistant Secretary.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

### Discussion.

THE CLERK: Number one on the calendar, appropriating $50,000 out of municipal railway operative fund for cost of making plans for construction of rapid transit system for San Francisco, on passage for second reading.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, through the Chair, is this appropriation intended for a two-track subway?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Perhaps I can explain part at least why the committee has recommended this appropriation. This is a letter from Mr. Ost, representing Mr. Cahill: (Reading):

"By its Resolution No. 1437 the Public Utilities Commission has requested that you recommend to the Board of Supervisors the appropriation of $50,000 out of Municipal Railway Operative Fund for subway plans and specifications. To acquaint you with the conditions

prompting this request there is set forth herein the necessity for immediate action on the subway program and an estimate of proposed expenditures.

"The making of plans and specifications preparatory to entering into contracts for the construction of the subways covered by the Public Utilities report of May, 1936, will consume a number of months and should be commenced at once for the following reasons:

"1. An application for a grant of $6,775,000 has been filed with the P. W. A. to be used in the construction of the Market street subway structure. Current P. W. A. rules necessitate the completion of work covered by a grant before July, 1938. To meet this requirement the City must be allowed to award construction contracts as soon as the grant is allowed.

"2. The engineers of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge are preparing contracts for the San Francisco terminal of rail service over the bridge. The Public Utilities Commission should develop its plans for the subway station under this terminal immediately so the construction of the two structures can be co-ordinated. To wait until after the approval of bonds before designing this station will either materially increase its ultimate cost or delay the building of the bridge terminal station.

"3. The original appropriation for rapid transit study would not permit the commission to work out in full detail the most effective method of utilizing the surface street railway lines in conjunction with the subways. This study should be made at once so the proposed subways may be arranged to serve most effectively.

"4. As stated in the subway report, feeder lines are necessary to give fast service to the maximum area. These rapid transit extensions must be studied and planned to use most modern methods of transportation. As these lines will affect subway traffic they should be given immediate consideration.

"The appropriation of $50,000 requested is only sufficient to do the most urgent preliminary work. The amount requested is based on the following estimated expenditures:

"Plans for Market street subway structure.....$20,000
"Plans for Fremont street subway and station..... 15,000
"Studies of surface car and feeder service..... 7,500
"Consulting engineers' fees and expenses..... 7,500

"Respectfully yours, Paul J. Ost, Chief Electrical Engineer."

Now since it will take from six to eight months to accomplish this work your committee thought it wise to recommend to the Board to proceed immediately, so that we will be able—we probably will be able, if we are through in six to eight months, to obtain from the federal government a gift of $6,700,000, almost $7,000,000. That is the reason why, in discussing the matter of a two-rail subway or a four-rail subway, my personal view at first was that a four-rail subway should be provided; but it was explained by Mr. Cahill, and I think he will explain it again here to you, that a two-rail subway with two, three, four, five or even more cars coupled together will take our people from the lower district of the City out to their homes en masse, and that they would make up for—largely make up for what I thought, and I believe Mr. Uhl thinks, should be both a local subway track and an express—having both. Mr. Cahill also stated that it would cost about $20,000,000 more to give us the four tracks.

Now for further information along that line, Mr. Cahill is with us, and he will explain the plan, any question that may be asked.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, Mr. President, from the statement that has been made, this is tantamount to approving a two-track subway, is it not?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Of course it is because you read there your-

self "the construction of subways as per Public Utilities Plan of May, 1936." Will you kindly read that again?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No—— Well, you are right about that, but Mr. Cahill stated that the change can be made at any time regarding the four tracks. However, the principal object of Mr. Cahill, as I understand it, is the Fremont subway, in order to get under that terminal building of Plan X. The terminal building will require piles, and those piles will be driven quite a distance into the earth. Now if the piles are all driven before this plan is made, it will be absolutely impossible later—well, maybe anything would be possible, but it will cost millions for our subway if we build one, to get under that building, and we should get under that building, drive right in under the building, and with elevators take the passengers up to the Oakland cars.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: May I ask a question there?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In this appropriation—at least in appropriating this money, is it also understood that they will consider the four-track subway under Market?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That was not definitely answered. I will submit that question to Mr. Cahill.

MR. CAHILL: The four-track subway will not be considered in that appropriation. The statement was made to the Finance Committee that at any time you gentlemen wanted to make a four-track subway the extra two tracks can be added without losing money; but this appropriation does not consider—Mr. Uhl's statement is perfectly correct, this appropriation considers starting the plan as shown in the Public Utilities report of May, 1936.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill, what study was made of the subway along First street to the Terminal Building, Plan X terminal, and why did you select Fremont instead of First?

MR. CAHILL: We selected Fremont instead of First because it is advisable to get to the station as far east on Market street as is possible, and the furthest possible we could go, without getting into the mud, is Fremont; and therefore the furthest east point at which we could make a reasonable—a station of reasonable cost was at Fremont street. Therefore, we went to Fremont street, because that is directly under Plan X terminal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, have you given consideration to taking First street, and subsequently by tunneling through First street, in order to get over to the Southern Pacific depot?

MR. CAHILL: No, sir, we have gone up Fremont street for the reason I have stated—for the reason that it is the furthest east we can get without going into the mud, and the furthest east you go on Market street is better for the people who have to use it. We did consider possibly later going from the end of Fremont over to the Southern Pacific, rather than the end of First street. That is only a short distance apart.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, Mr. President, I am not prepared to vote today $50,000 just for an exclusive study of a two-track subway. I would be perfectly agreeable if included in that study were the question of four tracks on Market, following closely the O'Shaughnessy plan, but with slight modifications in the report; and if a motion is in order now I would move to amend it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What is the amendment?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The amendment is that the study shall include the four-track subway on Market, with—and that in bringing in the report each one of the units shall be set up separately as to the cost. I offer that as an amendment.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: May I ask Mr. Cahill to give us his views on that amendment?

MR. CAHILL: Gentlemen, this recommendation by the Public Utilities Commission and the Mayor to your Board is not a matter of a study. It is a matter of paying for plans and specifications for certain things which are definitely set down before you, both as to what they are, and what their cost shall be. There is $7,500 set aside for study of surface car and feeder service. If you gentlemen desire to have a part of that taken for further examination of four-track, or two-track, subway on Market street I would have no objection, provided you allow the other parts of the appropriation to go for the purpose for which they are requested.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Cahill, do you consider this a commitment on our part in reference to agreeing on the subway on Market street, in making this appropriation?

MR. CAHILL: I beg your pardon, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I say, do you consider this a commitment—I don't know how the Board is going to vote, but if the Board did vote for the appropriation, would it be a commitment for the plan as outlined?

MR. CAHILL: Well, it is a curious thing, Supervisor, the situation here calls rather urgently for a $50,000 expenditure. Now that $50,000 expenditure will never be necessary if you consider that never will there be necessary a subway under Market street, either two-track or four-track; but if you gentlemen consider that now, or at some time in the future, a subway, be it two-track or be it four-track, under Market street will be necessary, then you should vote this appropriation. If you do not consider that we will ever need such a thing, then you should vote against it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Then you place that interpretation upon it, that it really is a commitment?

MR. CAHILL: It commits this to you, Supervisor, that you are taking time by the forelock, and you are trying to avoid a much greater expenditure than $50,000 at some future time, if, as and when it may be necessary for San Francisco to have a subway under Market street. Now there isn't any doubt in my mind, and there wasn't any doubt in Mr. O'Shaughnessy's mind, and that of many other men, that there should be, and must be eventually, a subway under Market street. If you don't vote this money, then you are going to spend many times the $50,000 later on if a subway is required along that street. You have the advice of many engineers; not only myself, Mr. Ost, Mr. O'Shaughnessy, Mr. Ridgeway, that Market street will have a subway some day. Now if you don't vote this you will cause the City at a later date to spend much more money trying to get under Plan X terminal. I want to point out to you that every such terminal as Plan X, in large eastern cities, take the Pennsylvania Station, take the Grand Central Station; those stations all have subways adjacent and underneath them. I have no doubt that eventually—maybe not now, but some time it will be necessary to have a subway under Plan X terminal. If you don't prepare for it while the foundations are going to be put in you are going to cost the City, in the future, many times the $50,000.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask the committee—I don't know whether Supervisor Shannon was there or not—Supervisor Roncovieri, did the committee have knowledge—Supervisor Roncovieri as the chairman—does he understand this as a commitment?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It is not a commitment.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It is a commitment, as I understand it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It is making preparation for something that eventually must take place; whether we do it now or five or ten years from now, a subway has been recommended by every engineer that has ever made a study of this matter in the past ten or fifteen years. But no plan has ever been prepared. I feel if financially we are able to do it, within a year or two we are going to go ahead. If we cannot, why, the plan will be there for the day when

the subway will—when the funds will be provided for a subway. Another street below the present Market street, not only from my point of view, but from the point of view of everyone who has studied this matter, another street below Market will be necessary. However, whether the street shall be wide enough to take four tracks, or two tracks, is only a matter of expense, only a matter of money. They can make four tracks if they get enough money; but the plans will be there for two or four, as I understand it. The four tracks—the plans and specifications which you intend to prepare, Mr. Cahill, is for two tracks immediately, but the third and fourth track can be added without much trouble at a later date?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Without any great expenditure?

MR. CAHILL: No.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: In other words, these plans form the basis of a two or four-track subway?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Now to repeat that: These plans form the basis of a subway system, having two tracks, or a four-track system, whenever we want the four track; but the same plans will have to be prepared later, unless you prepare them now, and we have a chance of getting some six or seven million dollars from the government, and if we make the application—and the application will be made, but they will not grant the money unless they are certain—the government—that the job can be completed by—I think it is 1938? —I think July, 1938. So time is of the essence. We should have the plans. If we never use them—that is, we will use them, any one with any foresight will see that in time a subway is bound to come on Market street—Market street is one of the most difficult streets for traffic, probably, in the United States; and a subway—another street below the present level is a necessity. That is the reason your committee, Mr. Brown and myself—we didn't have the good fortune of Supervisor Shannon being present and his advice—but we decided that something ought to be done, and done immediately to get the thing started.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, I don't want—I feel this is so important—May I ask Supervisors Shannon and Brown—I have some little question in my mind—Supervisor Shannon, may I ask you this question: Do you take this appropriation as a commitment on the part of the Board of Supervisors?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Through the Chair, I regret that I was not at the meeting of the finance committee when this matter was considered, because there were definite questions I desired to have answered. I was in Los Angeles attending to legislation connected with the unemployment situation, the fifth meeting that the committee on finance and revenue have had, and preparing definite legislation to present to the Legislature; but as I see it, I consider that it is a definite commitment for plans and specifications for the construction of a rapid transit system; and as Mr. Cahill has always spoken about the subway I feel that we are committing ourselves to a subway.

Had I been at the committee I would have liked to have asked if any consideration were ever given, in any of these sections of San Francisco, to the Strauss Plan, the overhead mono-rail, which can be erected for about $700,000 a mile, as against $7,000,000 a mile for the subway; and on some of the streets other than Market street I feel that this system might be placed in in connection with the possible subway on Market street. So when you are through with the floor I was going to ask Mr. Cahill about that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You go ahead, I will sit down, gladly.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Through the Chair, I would like to ask Mr. Cahill, what chance this Board of Supervisors, or the Public Utilities Committee, has of giving consideration to the Strauss Plan?

I don't know that it has ever been presented to the Board of Supervisors, or the Utilities Commission?

MR. CAHILL: It was presented to the Public Utilities Commission, and when we again asked Mr. Strauss for definite statements as to how, for example, you would switch a car off one of his monorails he withdrew his plan from our consideration, and asked us to take no further action. So, therefore, acting upon his request I didn't take it into consideration futher. However, I did have Mr. Ridgeway look into it quite thoroughly. He made a report on it, unfavorable to the Strauss plan. I can't see that the Strauss plan has anything, it is half-baked, has not been worked out, it has many engineering features for which the engineer, Mr. Strauss, either can not or will not give us a definite, straightforward answer. Therefore, we can not consider such a plan.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Thank you. I have never had the plan explained to me. I have read pamphlets about it, and it rather appealed to me, especially as to the cost; but after listening to your statement I daresay it is impracticable.

MR. CAHILL: I may say that Mr. Strauss, I believe, asked the citizens' committee on rapid transit for $25,000 wherewith to complete his plan; but of course the citizens' committee had no such money, and the Public Utilities Commission had no such money, so therefore we were not able to give it to him.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Answering Supervisor McSheehy's question, I don't feel that this is a commitment whereby the entire plan, as laid down by the Public Utilities Commission, is approved. If we make this appropriation I take it it will be for the plans and specifications for the complete plan as submitted to us by the Public Utilities Commission—is that correct, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I beg your pardon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I take it that this appropriation, if we make it, is for the complete plan as presented to us by the Public Utilities Commission; the plans and specifications for the subway on Market, out Geary, and so forth?

MR. CAHILL: No, of course, that is impossible; $50,000 wouldn't be nearly enough. This is merely for the purpose of getting ready one short, first section of subway plans and specifications, on Fremont street, and Market street, so that if, as and when the P. W. A. goes ahead with its program, which we hope it will do, and it has shown considerable interest in this subway plan; so that if they go ahead we will be ready to let a short piece of subway contract.

Our failure to get P. W. A. funds has been occasioned quite often because they give us a definitely limited time in which to expend the funds, and when it takes six or eight months to make the plans and specifications for a half or three-quarters of a mile of this subway, and they give us 24 months in toto to perform the whole job—and as I say, it takes six to eight months to make the plans and specifications, why, we have to refuse the appropriation because we are not ready.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Then this appropriation will be for plans and specifications along Fremont street and out Market as far as Church?

MR. CAHILL: Oh, no, it wouldn't go nearly that far.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Then if we decided to go all the way out to Church, would we have to make an additional appropriation, or would that be taken care of in your bond issue?

MR. CAHILL: Yes. In other words, this is a part of the system. It is there for several purposes: Number one, if we don't prepare the plans so we can drive the tunnel under the Fremont station, called Plan X station, when we later do it our tunnel will interfere with their foundations and we will have many times $50,000 on that item alone; and number two, I want to get ready so that if the P. W. A. want to take a two or three million dollar bite at these things, they won't find us unprepared, and number three, I want to take some of the

money and determine where we can put these cross-town bus lines which you referred to the other day, Supervisor Shannon.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Through the Chair, your idea, then, in getting this money is to have the money—at least have the plans ready for the terminal under Plan X?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, that is the chief thing.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Then it doesn't make any difference how far you plan going up Market street?

MR. CAHILL: As far as that is concerned, no; but the point is this: I happen to think that P. W. A. is going to go on, and I believe we will get some further appropriations. The Mayor learned on his recent trip to Washington that San Francisco is definitely in line for further P. W. A. grants. Now, unless I have a chance to make some plans and specifications now, when the time comes that the Washington government may offer us benefit of a 45 per cent grant, I will not be able to advise you gentlemen that we can take advantage of such proposition by the federal government. It just can't be done when you have to take, out of a possible 24 months, eight months to make plans and specifications.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Now can we have plans that will contemplate the four-track subway as well as the two? For instance, in your report you are inclined to the two-track subway. Now there may be some difference of opinion in the Board as to the two-track and four-track subway. Now if it doesn't make any difference how far that goes up Market with this original plan, if the chief concern is the terminal near Plan X, why can't we also have the plans and specifications for the four-track subway?

MR. CAHILL: You could have, but you would have to pay considerably more money.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: How much more would it be?

MR. CAHILL: I suppose it would cost about double. If you put in double the amount of work you will double the cost of the engineering.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, we couldn't shorten the length of the subway as regards the first plan and take in four tracks?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, that could be done. The Board has to decide what they want.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, and members of the Board: It is evident from the last conversation between Supervisor Schmidt and Mr. Cahill that what we should decide on first is whether we want a four-track or two-track subway. I think Mr. Cahill will positively agree if you make this appropriation it is a commitment for a two-track subway as far as he may want to go on Fremont, and on up Market, and you eliminate the four-track subway. Am I correct in that, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: You don't eliminate the four tracks. You may at any time add two tracks.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am not talking about the future; but it eliminates it at this time?

MR. CAHILL: The appropriation recommended here is set up on two tracks.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me read this to the Board—this is from Mr. Ost's statement which Supervisor Roncovieri read, the second paragraph: "The making of plans and specifications preparatory to entering into contracts for the construction of the subways covered by the Public Utilities report of May, 1936, will consume a number of months and should be commenced at once for the following reasons"—Now it is evident what that money is for, and it is a commitment for a two-track subway. No question about it. The four-track subway is not in the picture at all; and I feel that we should first decide whether we are going to have a two-track subway, or four-track subway, and the sooner we do that the better, because we will avoid delay in making request for the appropriation. I certainly won't vote for

the matter as before us today, because, if I do, it positively commits me to two tracks, a two-track subway, as per Public Utilities Commission plan May, 1936; and I also call your attention that that covers the entire plans and specifications, it does not just cover Fremont and Market, that paragraph certainly covers plans preparatory to entering into a contract for the construction of the subways covered by Public Utilities report of May, 1936.

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Ost's letter reads: "—should be commenced at once,"—not completed.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But didn't you just say the $50,000 is only for the study of Fremont and up a certain distance on Market street?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, but you didn't read the document in toto. You just read a piece of it. It says—I will just quote the thing you just now read:—"Covered by the Public Utilities report of May, 1936, will consume a number of months and should be commenced at once."—this doesn't mean that you can make plans for $50,000,000 worth of work for $50,000. That is only one per cent, and it can't be done. Also I want to call your attention to this, that in the Public Utilities Commission report it says this two-track subway may at any time be converted to a four-track subway. There is nothing to stop us from doing that, and nothing to stop you gentlemen from ordering us to do that, after we have done the first one.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I call for the previous question.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, I would like to ask Supervisor Brown if he understands this to be a commitment?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, if you had been before the committee meeting, you would appreciate that at least as far as I am concerned I do not consider this a commitment to any form of rapid transit plan. I do not even consider it a commitment to the construction of a subway, regardless of how many tracks on it.

However, I think it is advisable to spend this money at this time, for two reasons: The first of which is to have available a plan for an underground station in connection with the Plan X terminal. If that plan is not available at the time the architects start drawing the Plan X details it may well result in a situation where it would either be impossible, or very expensive, to build a subway terminal at Plan X later on, the reason being that these underground terminals have to be very carefully laid out, and especially where a large structure rests over the subway terminal, the calculation of underpinning, footing, and underground spans, is very important, as you, as a builder can appreciate. That is item number one. Item number two, is this: We have been given to understand by Mr. Cahill that the P. W. A. authorities will not consider our application for P. W. A. funds unless there is available this plan which he proposes to draw—I mean the plans, I should say, which he proposes to draw. The question of availability, or non-availability, of P. W. A. funds seems to me to be a very real part of whether we should build a subway. In other words, if we get $7,000,000 from the government, as Mr. Cahill hopes, then that will go quite a ways toward paying the cost of the subway installation and may very well be a determining argument. We can't know whether we will get that $7,000,000 or not unless we spend the money to get the plans drawn up in detail.

For those two reasons I feel—I felt it was advisable to go this far along the program outlined by Mr. Cahill at this time; but I do not consider it in any way or form, so far as I am personally concerned, a commitment to any plan of rapid transit.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I again address myself to Supervisor Brown: You heard the statement of Mr. Cahill that he considers it a commitment to some degree—am I wrong or right, Mr. Cahill? There seems to be a great difference of opinion between Supervisor Brown and yourself in reference to this being a commitment, and I would like to have this explained, because I realize as a member of the Board——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: May I ask Mr. Cahill a question?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Cahill, do you consider by my attitude in committee, or in voting for this, that I am irrevocably committed to a two-track subway?

MR. CAHILL: Not at all. I will say you are not committed to anything, because one can get a set of plans drawn up by an architect or engineer, and never build the building for which he gets the plan, nor is he committed to build it merely because he spends the money to have the plans drawn up.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I feel this way in reference to this entire problem—as I have said before, it is a problem, and one we have with us, whether we like it or not—we have to solve it. Every day the traffic conditions are increasing here in our city. If you drive downtown today, as I did, around Fifth and Mission, you can hardly pass over the streets.

I do know you must prepare plans, and I do know you must prepare studies, and I do know it costs money to prepare plans, and make studies. The only thing that rests in my mind, is whether I as one member—I should not make a direct commitment. I have no objection to this appropriation with that understanding, and it has been made very clear, especially the last few words of Mr. Cahill, and Supervisor Brown, a member of the committee, that it is not a commitment, it is simply the setting aside of a sum of money to make studies of the problem that is confronting this Board. Therefore, as one member of the Board, I have no objection because I believe that studies should be made, we must look into this problem in a scientific way, and the only way to make those studies is to employ men who can make the studies, and I believe Mr. Cahill will employ men who can make those studies, and when those studies are made, we, as members of the Board, will act on the studies that we receive from his department. For that reason, I am going to support the report of the committee, but it is particularly understood, and I want the record to show that as one member of this Board that it is not a commitment on my part.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, this question: Are you going to hold hearings here on the four-track subway?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I don't know. If you want to hold hearings, you can hold hearings, and if the Board votes to hold hearings, as one member, I will attend.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And supposing the Board votes for a four-track subway instead of a two-track subway, then where are we at?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I don't think, as one member of this Board, I could decide what was best for our City until I had received the information that I hope we shall all get by this appropriation of $50,000. I realize, as a man engaged along construction lines, that studies must be made, and I realize you often draw plans and destroy them, and drawn up another set, and finally you get a plan which you feel is the right plan. I don't know whether we are premature at this time in attempting to hold hearings until we do receive this report, because we are employing our engineering department to make a report, and we are placing a sum of money at their disposal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What kind of a report do you expect?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I expect a report in reference to the entire traffic conditions.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We have that in the May, 1936, report, the cost and everything, the report of the Commission. Now they are coming to you and asking you to endorse, or are asking you to draw plans and specifications which when finished will be ready to call for bids, ready to send them on to Washington, and calling for two tracks.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't see how you can place that interpretation on it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is the picture.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It was just stated clearly in words,

by Supervisor Brown and Mr. Cahill, that this is not a commitment, and I don't consider it one.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right. I want to explain my vote.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the question is on the amendment proposed by Supervisor Uhl.

THE CLERK: May I make a request for information? Where does that go, and what is the language; what is the amendment, and where does it go in this particular ordinance?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The fifth line.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: After what word?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Defraying the cost,—what would be the third paragraph, it starts, "Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of $50,000 out of the Municipal Railway operative fund be made, and the Mayor having concurred in the act of the Public Utilities Commission and recommended the appropriation, for the purpose of defraying the cost of making plans and specifications for the construction of a rapid transit system"—for the construction of a two-track versus a four-track rapid transit system in San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Repeat that, please?

SUPERVISOR UHL: For the construction of a two-track versus a four-track subway on Market street in San Francisco.

THE CLERK: I never heard of any such language, versus, in an ordinance—I am only trying to get some kind of definitive language.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, anything you want to make it clear, I am willing to go with that, if you will draw it up to make it clear, if you can explain the change.

THE CLERK: If you will pardon a suggestion, the languge doesn't belong in this form of an ordinance, and Mr. Cahill has told you that if you want a portion of this fund devoted to the study of a four-track proposition that he is willing to use it, but you haven't set up any of the budgetary expenditures in this ordinance. You haven't itemized it at all—I am only making suggestions here now—if you want to recommend that the Public Utilities Commission or the Mayor give consideration to a four-track subway then it is up to you and to him to give it consideration, but the language you suggest—I say this very respectfully, Supervisor Uhl—doesn't belong in this ordinance that way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, it is difficult to put it in language offhanded, but the purpose of my amendment is to include at the same time the question of the four-track subway.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Well, if you want a four-track subway it will cost twice as much money.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Not as I see it, as a layman. However, that is the thought; this Board, I believe, should first decide do you want a two-track or four-track subway. Then, after you decide which one you want, then pass this resolution for the appropriation, whichever one you decide upon. That is what I had in mind.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. President, and Members: Since that question has come up, and we haven't definitely decided whether we should have two tracks or four tracks, why not defer the appropriation of this money until after we have had our hearings. I imagine this week we will be having more hearings, and a few days won't make any difference in the appropriation.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: You aren't going to complete your hearings in two or three days.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to ask Mr. Cahill to state to the Board where two-track subways are in existence, the population they are serving, so we will get an idea. We have heard from Mr. Cahill on that and he has satisfied me that San Francisco for many years to come will be adequately served with a two-track subway.

MR. CAHILL: Well, I can cite you Boston, 900,000 population, with a two-track subway; Philadelphia, approximately 2,000,000, hav-

ing two-track subways; and Chicago, with approximately 3,000,000 or more, having two-track elevated.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: All satisfactorily working?

MR. CAHILL: All working satisfactorily, and I will further say there is only one city in the United States where there is a four-track subway, and that is New York, where there is a population of 7,000,000. We are approximately 700,000 here. I think it is a waste of money to put a four-track subway under Market street.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I call for the previous question.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And with the addition of this fact, that you have a condition in Manhattan Island where everybody travels in the same direction, north and south, it is only a very narrow section ten or twelve miles long, and with the situation here in San Francisco, with approximately 20 per cent of the people using the Market street subway, the thing doesn't stand up.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you, are the conditions the same as where the most of the traffic has to go on Market street?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN—

MR. CAHILL: That is not so, you are going to have a subway going up Geary street, and up Mission; but you only take care of 20 per cent of the people on Market, and as Mr. Cahill pointed out, why should you put another burden of $20,000,000 on the entire people when it only serves approximately 20 per cent of them? Goodness knows, it may be hard enough to build a $50,000,000 subway let alone increasing it to $70,000,000, when the additional $20,000,000 are unnecessary.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You are giving me your idea that only 20 per cent of the street-car riders are on Market street?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The statement was made here that this additional $20,000,000 should not be put on the rest of the people of San Francisco because it won't serve but 20 per cent of the car riders.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Didn't you make the statement a moment ago that only 20 per cent of the street-car riders used Market street?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The subway—that was my understanding. Let's see if I am quoting Mr. Cahill correctly. Did I make a correct statement, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I believe more than 20 per cent of the car riders of San Francisco use the Market street lines, but however it definitely does not serve—you cannot serve through the Market street lines the Mission district, the financial district, the North Beach district, the Marina district, nor the Richmond. You can only serve certain parts of the Western Addition, the Sunset, the West of Twin Peaks district, and a very small part on Valencia street, and on Church street. There is more than half of the city that is not served by means of the Market street car lines, and it is not fair to people who live in those districts and who will go on the mortgage, to build these four tracks to serve merely the Market street users, and leave the rest out entirely.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask this question, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill: When you have completed what you have in mind in that letter of Mr. Ost's under date of July 27th—when you have completed what you have in mind there, what is your next step?

MR. CAHILL: Long before I have completed that, Mr. Uhl, I hope that this Board will have made its mind up whether or not rapid transit is required in San Francisco, and either vote it one way or another.

SUPERVISOR UHL: And then follows a bond issue?

MR. CAHILL: Then follows whatever you gentlemen order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then follows a bond issue for the two-track subway.

MR. CAHILL: For whatever you gentlemen order; and if you want a four-track subway—although it will have to be referred to the City

Attorney for a decision—I believe that you can have it, but I don't know.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I can see the step we are taking, leading to a bond issue for a two-track subway, and I wish to explain my vote when it comes to the ordinance itself. I can't vote for it under these conditions. I am not going to obligate myself to follow along that program.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Question.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on the amendment.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Will you kindly read the amendment?

MR. SHAFFER: May I have the privilege of the floor?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move the privilege of the floor for five minutes to Mr. Shaffer.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: On what matter?

MR. SHAFFER: On the matter of the connection of the subway with Plan X.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move it be granted.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't think this matter is before us. The whole matter of rapid transit is before us on a different heading, and at that time this gentleman should be given the right to address us, but not on the subject of whether we shall appropriate $50,000 by this ordinance or not.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman——

THE PRESIDENT: The objection of one member precludes the privilege.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I just say one word in reference to this to Supervisor Brown. Mr. Brown, there are men here—Perhaps you have not attended these meetings as often as I have, but I feel that there are two citizens here that have given a lot of time to this matter, and they should be given the privilege of the floor for five minutes, each, and I ask our president to look at his watch and see that they are allowed five minutes and no more. They should have that right, because we are going to make an appropriation of the people's money, and I will ask for five minutes and no more to be allowed these two men who have given a lot of time to this matter, I think they are entitled as public citizens to be allowed that privilege.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, Supervisor McSheehy, the matter of rapid transit is on the calendar, and as I understand the situation we will proceed with the rapid transit when the other business of the Board is completed, and I think at that time the gentlemen should be given the privilege of the floor, and I won't object to it then; but at the present time we are not discussing the whole question of rapid transit, we are discussing the matter of an appropriation of $50,000, and at this time I think remarks from the outside are not in order.

THE PRESIDENT: You object, do you, Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I just wish to say to the gentlemen, our rules will not permit the privilege of the floor if one member objects.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is on the amendment.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I would like to have it read.

THE CLERK: All I can say is, Supervisor Uhl has proposed a four-track subway.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

THE PRESIDENT: The amendment is lost.

The question?

THE CLERK: The question is on passage for second reading.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I just want to explain my vote. That I am going to vote for the appropriation, but with this distinct understanding: That I am not binding myself, or committing myself to

just a two-track subway on Market street. In voting for this I feel that what is wanted is along the line of the plan for the terminal in the vicinity of Plan X which must be looked into at the present time, and that a certain amount of subway plan will be given us.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, so there will be no misunderstanding, and I want the record to show this: I am voting for this with the understanding that it is no commitment on my part as a member of this Board to a subway in any manner, shape or form, for a subway on Market, and I am voting this merely for the engineers at this time, and I am going to ask, if there is no objection, that a transcript be made of these entire proceedings from the start to the finish today, showing the attitude of the Board, and Mr. Cahill.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Well, I think we are wasting a lot of time making statements of this kind, for the reason that when we vote for a bond issue the items are specifically mentioned, and it takes eight members of this Board to submit a bond issue, and every item is enumerated in the bond issue, and it is no commitment at all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Nevertheless, I want to make an explanation of my vote. I am voting for this with the full understanding that I am not voting for a two-track subway.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Coghlan has asked for the privilege of the floor.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: In order to be consistent, I am going to object to Mr. Coghlan having the floor.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt Shannon, Uhl—11.

THE PRESIDENT: Carried.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to ask the President that the proceedings here be printed in our journal as a record; I think Supervisor McSheehy has made a motion for a transcript. I will second it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think the journal forms the same function as a transcript.

THE CLERK: May I ask, what you want in the journal is the record of the action, not all this discussion?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want my explanation of vote.

THE CLERK: All right.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending the Purchaser's Revolving Fund No. 9.0811 by Adding Section 6A.**

(Code No. 9.081)

Bill No. 1180, Ordinance No. 9.0812, as follows:

Amending the Purchaser's Revolving Fund Ordinance, Bill No. 38, Ordinance No. 9.0811, by adding a new section to be known as Section 6A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby added a new section to the Purchaser's Revolving Fund Ordinance No. 9.0811 to be known as Section 6A: Balances of materials and supplies, which have been purchased or acquired out of annual appropriations made to General Fund departments and which may remain in the custody of the Purchaser of Supplies at the end of any fiscal year, shall be transferred to the Purchaser's Revolving Fund. Unused balances, which may have been encumbered by definite quantity contracts, out of funds provided by

annual appropriations to General Fund departments, shall, at the end of any fiscal year, be transferred to the Purchaser's Revolving Fund.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.
Recommended by H. Ross, Acting Controller.
Recommended by T. A. Brooks, Purchaser of Supplies.
Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain Buildings on Greenwich Street.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Resolution No. 2974, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the improvements now located on Lot 31, Assessor's Block 515, San Francisco. The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Certain School Land.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Bill No. 1181, Ordinance No. 12.17227, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain school land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the northerly line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said parallel line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly, 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of Outside Land Block No. 1120.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said land may be sold as a whole or in parcels.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Agreement With Southern Pacific Company for Road Easements at San Jose Avenue and Sickles Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0824)

Resolution No. 2975, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written agreement with Southern Pacific Company, et al., granting roadway easements to the City over three parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at San Jose avenue and Sickles avenue. Reference is hereby made to the agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property for particular descriptions of said parcels of land.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2976, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on December 11, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—Denials; cancellations; decreases; other transactions.

Blind Pensions—Cancellations.

Widows' Pensions—Cancellations; decreases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to endorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance, recommended by the Finance Committee, was taken up:

**Appropriation of $12,800 for Temporary Employees, Meat Inspection Department.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1182, Ordinance No. 9.051329, as follows:

Appropriating $12,800 from the Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for the expenses of temporary employees, covering the period November 1, 1936, to and including June 30, 1937, as follows: 1 Veterinarian at $200 per month and 7 Market Inspectors at $175 per month, and for automobile allowance for 7 Market Inspectors at $25 per month, for the Division of Meat Inspection, Department of Public Health; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $12,800 be and is hereby set aside and appropriated from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, $11,400 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.102.04 for the employment of:

1 Temporary Veterinarian at $200 per month,

7 Temporary Market Inspectors at $175 per month each,

and $1,400 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.203.50-4 for the automobile allowance of 7 temporary Market Inspectors at $25 each per month, for the portion of the fiscal year 1936-1937 from November 1, 1936, to and including June 30, 1937.

Section 2. The moneys appropriated hereunder are to be returned to the Emergency Reserve Fund out of revenue received in excess of operating requirements under the meat inspection ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective at once; that the nature of said emergency is as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein provided for is necessary to provide funds for the employment of the various employees above mentioned and their transportation, in order to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Meat Inspection Division of the Department of Public Health.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of $1,614 for Room Allowance for Laguna Honda Home.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1183, Ordinance No. 9.051330, as follows:

Appropriating $1,614 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 651.108.00, for room allowance for Laguna Honda Home Institutional Help and Registered Nurses, for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,614 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 651.108.00, for room allowance for Laguna Honda Home Institutional Help and Registered Nurses.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Laguna Honda Home, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to a clerical error at budget time in calculating the number of employees receiving room allowance and, as a result, the Department of Public Health is with-

out sufficient funds to meet the expenses of room allowance for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $20,000 for Materials and Supplies for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1184, Ordinance No. 9.051331, as follows:

Appropriating $20,000 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.300.00 for the purchase of materials and supplies for the San Francisco Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $20,000 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.300.00 for the purchase of materials and supplies for the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that there has been an increase in the price of drugs and storeroom supplies and that the department is without sufficient funds to make the necessary purchases of materials and supplies for the year 1936-1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $1,200 for Automobile Repairs for the Emergency Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1185, Ordinance No. 9.051332, as follows:

Appropriating $1,200 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.209.54, for automobile repairs for the Emergency Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,200 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.209.54, for automobile repair for the Emergency Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that the Department of Public Health is wtihout sufficient funds to make the necessary automobile repairs.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $620 for Contractual Services of the Emergency Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1186, Ordinance No. 9.051333, as follows:

Appropriating $620 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 654.200.00 for contractual services of the Emergency Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $620 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 654.200.00 for contractual services of the Emergency Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to provide for laundry services for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $50,000 for the Purchase of Foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1187, Ordinance No. 9.051334, as follows:

Appropriating $50,000 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.350.00 for the purchase of foodstuffs for the San Francisco Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $50,000 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropria-

tion No. 653.350.00, for the purchase of foodstuffs for the San Francisco Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to an increase in the cost of foodstuffs and an increase of approximately 5 per cent in the number of patients being cared for, which factors make the funds heretofore provided for the purchase of foodstuffs insufficient therefor.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $630 for Gasoline and Oil for the Emergency Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1188, Ordinance No. 9.051335, as follows:

Appropriating $630 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.318.54 for gasoline and oil for the Emergency Hospital, for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $630 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.318.54, for the purchase of gasoline and oil for the Emergency Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that one additional ambulance has been placed in service, and the Department of Public Health requires funds for gasoline and oil necessary for its operation for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $1,800 for Food and Milk for School Children.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1189, Ordinance No. 9.051336, as follows:

Appropriating $1,800 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.350.21 of the Central Office, Department of Public Health, for the purchase of food and milk for school children, for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,800 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.350.21 of the Central Office, Department of Public Health, for the purchase of food and milk for school children in the Buena Vista, Hancock, Franklin, Le Conte, Raphael Weill and Grattan schools.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Central Office of the Department of Public Health, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, as the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to make the purchases of food and milk above referred to for the year 1936-1937.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health.

Recommended by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Settlement and Adjustment of Claims of Columbia Casualty Co. et al.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1191, Ordinance No. 6.022223, as follows:

Authorizing the settlement and adjustment in favor of the City and County of San Francisco of two certain actions against Columbia Casualty Company, et al., by the acceptance of $7,000 in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of the City and County of San Francisco against the defendants in said actions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having, in writing, recommended the settlement and adjustment of action No. 245765 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled City and County of San Francisco, etc., plaintiff, vs. Columbia Casualty Company, et al., defendants, and action No. 260048 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, also entitled City and County of San Francisco, etc., plaintiff, vs. Columbia Casualty Company, et al., defendants, by the acceptance of the sum of seven thousand ($7,000) dollars to be paid by said Columbia Casualty Company to the City and County of San Francisco in full of all demands arising in either of said actions. And it appearing that the said offer of compromise of settlement made by the said defendants in said actions is fair and reasonable and that the same should be accepted, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to accept the sum of seven thousand ($7,000) dollars in full settlement and satisfaction of all demands arising in favor of the City and County of San Francisco and against said defendants in either of said actions, and upon payment of said sum, cause a dismissal of said actions to be entered.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

**Appropriation of $63,931 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, January, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1190, Ordinance No. 9.051337, as follows:

Appropriating $63,931 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of January, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,931 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of January, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Appointment of W. D. Hadeler as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2971, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect W. D. Hadeler, vice Francis V. Keesling, as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

(The Public Utilities Committee recommended the adoption of the foregoing resolution by the following vote: Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Meyer—2. No—Supervisor Colman—1. Supervisor Colman served notice that he would file a minority report.)

**Appointment of Francis V. Keesling as Golden Gate Bridge Director.**

Supervisor Colman presented the following resolution as a minority report of the Public Utilities Committee and moved that it be substituted for the majority:

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2970, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect Francis V. Keesling as a director of the Golden Gate

Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, to succeed himself, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

Signed: JESSE C. COLMAN,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,
ADOLPH UHL,
ARTHUR M. BROWN, Jr.,
ALFRED RONCOVIERI.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Dwight Merriam, president of the Junior Chamber of Commerce; Paul Bessinger, representing the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; George Skaller, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, and Supervisors Colman, Brown, Schmidt and Uhl urged the appointment of Francis V. Keesling.

F. Fishhere, representing himself and Leo Shapiro, and speaking for retail trade groups in San Francisco, wholesale and retail tobacco and retail liquor dealers; Leroy Smith, representing California retail hardware dealers, and Al Brandhoffer were heard in favor of the majority report.

**Minority Report Defeated.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the minority report and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—6.

**Majority Report Adopted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution appointing W. D. Hadeler, the same being the majority report of the Public Utilities Committee and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

**Motion to Reconsider.**

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

**Endorsing the San Francisco News' Plan of Settlement of Maritime Strike.**

(Code No. 5.49)

Resolution No. 2957, as follows:

Whereas, the prolonged maritime strike involving some 40,000 men in the shipping industry on the Pacific Coast spells social and economic disaster for San Francisco and the Bay Region, paralyzing the business of this Coast; and

Whereas, on Thursday, December 3, 1936, the San Francisco News offered a plan for a port committee to be established at each port, as part of the conditions of the agreement to be entered into, consisting of one or more representatives from each side, and in any case where they fail to agree, one outside member to be designated in any manner that the two sides may agree upon; and

Whereas, this plan seems eminently fair to both sides; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors heartily endorses the plan of the San Francisco News and urges its immediate adoption as a fair and reasonable effort to end this disastrous and paralyzing strife that is fast throttling the business of this City and Coast; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, to all the maritime labor organizations, and to all the shipping firms involved.

Henry Smith, representing International Longshoremen's Association, urged the Board of Supervisors to adopt the resolution as he felt that it would help toward ending the strike.

Whereupon, the resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence for Honorable Philip L. Bush, Member of Board of Education.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2977, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Philip L. Bush, member of the Board of Education, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of two weeks from and after December 23, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Leave of Absence, Honorable Alfred J. Cleary.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Also, Resolution No. 2978, as follows:

Resolved, That, upon the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of two days, commencing December 12th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

**Free Use of Polk Hall.**

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication requesting free use of Polk Hall for mass meeting in connection with maritime strike or for some reduction in price in view of the public nature of the meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved reference to the Chief Administrative Officer for his consideration with statement from Board of Supervisors as to public nature of this meeting.

*So ordered.*

### Amendment to Traffic Ordinance.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill amending Section 37-A, Ordinance No. 7691, known as the "Traffic Ordinance," by prohibiting parking on certain streets at specified hours.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

### Death of Edward J. Lynch.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk prepare suitable In Memoriam Resolution to be forwarded to the family of the late Edward J. Lynch.

The following was presented and *adopted* unanimously by a rising vote:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the passing of Edward J. Lynch, well-known sports promoter and popular citizen of San Francisco.

His sudden death was a distinct shock to his many friends in public and private life.

The Board of Supervisors extends its sympathy to the family of the deceased.

### Death of Police Sergeant Patrick McGee.

Supervisor Shannon moved that proper resolution be prepared and forwarded to the family of the late Sergeant Patrick McGee of the Police Department.

The following was presented and *adopted* unanimously by a rising vote:

The sudden passing of Sergeant Patrick H. McGee of the San Francisco Police Department has meant a distinct loss to his bereaved family, his many friends and the department in which he served for 41 years. The records of the Police Department give testimony of the bravery and courage with which he met the problems of his hazardous position, while thousands of friends and admirers who mourn his death indicate that his faithfulness to duty was tempered with a kindliness resulting in his marked popularity.

The Board of Supervisors hereby publicly acknowledges Sergeant McGee's devotion to service and loyalty to the interests of San Francisco, and deeply regrets his untimely death. To his grief-stricken family the Board extends its sincere sympathy.

### Charter Amendment Giving Jurisdiction Over Civic Auditorium to Some Person or Body Responsible to the People.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk direct a letter to the City Attorney requesting information from him as to charter amendment giving jurisdiction over the Civic Auditorium to some person or body directly responsible to the people.

*So ordered.*

### Supervisor McSheehy to Confer With Clerk Re Telegram to Secretary Ickes Urging Prompt Decision on Plan No. 7.

Supervisor McSheehy read letter drafted by himself and directed to Secretary of Interior Ickes urging prompt decision on Plan No. 7 for municipal power distribution and requested that he be authorized, as a member of the Board, to confer with the Clerk and send a telegram in lieu thereof to the Secretary of the Interior, urging a prompt decision as to Plan No. 7 for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

*So ordered.*

### Assessment District for Subway Construction.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented resolution authorizing and direct-

ing Director of Public Works to prepare city-wide assessment district plan for the financing of subway as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Charter Amendment—Park Department, Etc.

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from Park Commission requesting that a charter amendment be put on the ballot increasing the Park allowance of 10 cents on each hundred dollars of assessed value to 12 cents on the $100. Also ten other proposed charter amendments.

*Referred to the Judiciary Committee.*

### Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power.

PRESIDENT HAVENNER: I would like to ask Supervisor McSheehy, as chairman of the Special Hetch Hetchy Power Committee, have you made arrangements for a meeting this week for the consideration of the proposed revenue bond amendment for the municipal distribution of power?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, no, I have not, but I have prepared a letter. I will follow up your suggestion, and I trust the Board will do something. I will read the letter I have prepared—I have not sent this letter, but I would like the advice and attention of this Board—I feel I should have their attention. This is a letter I have prepared. It is dated December 12th, but I have not yet sent it: "Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

"Dear Mr. Secretary: On December 4, 1936, our clerk, Mr. John S. Dunnigan, sent to you a copy of Plan 7 recommended by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco and approved by the Board of Supervisors; also two motions that I introduced, and which were adopted by the Board and which are now awaiting your approval.

"On February 16, 1937, a Charter election will be held to determine the rights of the Board of Supervisors to permit the sale of revenue bonds. Enclosed you will find a digest in the form of a statement made by Mr. Cahill, Manager of the Public Utilities Commission of our city, which is self-explanatory. January the 4th, 1937, is the dead line for the passing of these Charter amendments, in order that the election may be held on February 16, 1937, as forty days must be allowed, according to law, for legal advertising.

"Therefore, Mr. Secretary, I trust you will see the importance of this letter, calling your attention to the fact that time is the essence of placing this Charter amendment before the voters of San Francisco. Efforts are being made by those opposed to public ownership to try and stop this amendment from going on the ballot, and the easiest way they can stop it is to mark time. Therefore, may I ask you, in the most humble way, if you would kindly approve Plan 7 so that the Judiciary Committee, of which I am chairman, may recommend it to the Board of Supervisors, and thereby have it placed on the ballot to have it voted on in February. The State Legislature will convene in Sacramento on January 4, 1937, and all Charter amendments have to be approved by that body. Their practice has been for the past twenty years to approve all amendments that have been approved by the people; and Mr. Secretary, once more, may I state that I sincerely believe that the people will pass this amendment, which will mean the distributing of our power and the fulfilling of our contract with the government."

I prepared this letter, and I thought at first I would send it to the Secretary, and then I felt I would be perhaps presuming something as a Supervisor in sending this, and I felt I should advise with a few of my colleagues, because the time is running on—the Secretary was notified two weeks ago by our Clerk, I have his letter here, calling his attention to the passing of these two motions, and therefore I feel

that we should do something because we do—some of us on this Board —a majority of the members of this Board do want to place before the people of our city this amendment in reference to revenue bonds.

So I am going to ask you, if you feel like endorsing this letter, to do so. It would really serve its purpose much better if we sent a wire, with the purport of this letter being expressed. I don't care what we do, so long as we do something that will hasten the matter in reference to this entire Hetch Hetchy affair; because we ought to get some amendment before the people—at least we want to get some amendment before the people at this election which will be held in February. I may not have it in the proper form so as to place it in an orderly way, so I will make a motion that either the President of this Board, or myself—I happen to be the chairman of this special Hetch Hetchy committee—be authorized to send this letter to the Secretary of the Interior either in the form of a letter, or in the form of a wire. I think the wire would perhaps get action faster, and be considered faster. We all know what a busy man the Secretary of the Interior is, and therefore we must contact him as much as we can to try to get results, and try to get a result from the communication.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If you had made the explanation first I would not have asked you to read it again, but will you kindly read it again?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Have you sent this letter?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I thought you had sent it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, I have not. I wrote the letter last Saturday at home, and then I thought, no, it would not be fair for me —at least I would not have accomplished the result I wanted by first having it——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Would you object to boiling that down to a telegram?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have no objection to that.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would suggest that that be done by our literary clerk.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have no objection to conferring with our clerk. All I want is results. Do you want me to read it again?

SUPERVISOR UHL: As I understand it, the import is you want hasty action on his part?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Exactly.

Then I make this motion, if I can receive a second—that I confer as a member of the Board with the Clerk of this Board, and send a telegram to the Secretary of the Interior outlining the purport of this letter.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is the motion again?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My motion is, Supervisor Colman, that I be instructed, as a member of this Board, to confer with our Clerk and send a telegram to the Secretary of the Interior to the purport expressed in this letter.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, you are not asking me to approve anything except your asking the Secretary of the Interior to approve the plan, is that it? I do not approve the plan, as you know.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is all right.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Now I don't want to cast any ballot today that would cloud my record in opposing it. If you want to ask information from the Secretary of the Interior I have no objection to that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: He is asking for an immediate answer to Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am asking for action on Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Whether Plan 7 is in violation of the Raker Act.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No; he has already received Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What are you doing then?

THE PRESIDENT: Asking for a prompt statement of his opinion as to whether Plan 7 is in violation of the Raker Act.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is what I asked him. I have no objection to asking the Secretary of the Interior that question, no.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to say to the members of the Board that I think that the Board, in view of the fact that the special election has been tentatively set for February 16th, that the Board should meet some time before the end of this week for the purpose—in order to consider the submission of Plan 7 to the people. The City Attorney has advised me that he will have the Charter amendment which I requested in readiness probably by tomorrow, and I think that the Board ought to meet and take action on it one way or another before the end of the week, if possible.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why not next Monday, Supervisor? Any good and substantial reason?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, no good and substantial reason, but——

SUPERVISOR UHL: What is the dead line—let me put it that way?

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to state very frankly my own interest in this situation. I will probably be obliged to leave here before the end of the month, and I would like to have the privilege of voting on this proposition before I go. If the matter should be deferred until next Monday, and some member of this Board should for instance serve notice of reconsideration, I think that would be—deny me the opportunity of a final vote on the submission. I am speaking very frankly, now. I wouldn't have any objection to a consideration of it next Monday if the members of the Board—if there could be a gentleman's agreement that there would be no technical motion for a reconsideration raised against it; but if there could not be any such agreement then I would ask that the Board meet some time this week.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: As one of those who is opposed to the submission in the form that I am led to believe that it will be presented, namely, by revenue bonds, passed by a majority, I would not resort to a reconsideration motion myself. Now—the majority will rule, so far as I am concerned. I will simply voice my protest, and give my reasons, but I will not attempt to keep something off the ballot by any tactics of any kind or character.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am going to suggest that I feel that we owe it to you, as an old colleague, as our President, and a man that has been elevated to a high position, and if you want to call a meeting, or even suggest a meeting, I would call a meeting. Otherwise, I would suggest next Monday. I know you will have the reconsideration on the director of the Golden Gate Bridge, but I don't think it will take very long. If we met Thursday of this week—we will only have you here a few more weeks. I know the great interest you have taken in this matter, and I know how important it is, and I know how you feel about it. I would move at this time, if I could receive a second—I want to comply with your wishes—I would suggest Thursday of this week, if you don't feel you want it to run over next week. Your wishes are my wishes.

THE PRESIDENT: I wish to accommodate the convenience of the Board in this matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL May I say a word, there, Supervisor Havenner?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You just said that if there was a gentleman's agreement reached it would not be necessary to call another meeting. I would rather see it go over until next Monday, and I am not going to resort to any such tactics, and I would ask you not to call a meeting this week if it is not absolutely necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to ask the members of the Board, and I am perfectly willing to be guided, if the members of the Board

don't feel—if there is no member of the Board who feels he would want to delay the submission of this proposition by moving for reconsideration, I have no objection whatever of it going over until next Monday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. President, in this connection I want to say that I am not convinced at all that we will have an election that will cost $50,000, a special election. The main reasons given by Mr. Leavy are that there may not be sufficient money in the treasury to pay all expenses. I don't know until we find out, and I would like to know something further, see how much money has been turned in from the first installment of taxes, and if there is sufficient money to carry on the business of the City without holding a special election for a few Charter amendments, I think we better go slowly. I am not going to say anything until we know the estimates, but I do know there is $50,000 that may be saved if we don't hold a special election. Now as to everything else, it can wait.

THE PRESIDENT: Members of the Board, I hope that the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power is not going to be deferred again on the plea of economy, and I suggest to the members of the Board that they read again the transcript of the hearing before Secretary of the Interior Ickes a year ago last May, in which he indicated he would not accept any other pleas of economy, so far as the necessity for meeting the requirements of the Raker Act are concerned. I hadn't heard before that anybody had raised the question. Mr. Leavy has been acting, well, in a sort of an executive capacity, in connection with the Charter Revision Committee, and Mr. Leavy certainly did not indicate at any of those meetings that there was any problem of economy that might prevent the holding of a Charter amendment election this year.

I want to say that there might be very grave reasons to look upon any plea by this Board of Supervisors at the eleventh hour as not a plea in good faith, and I don't see any necessity for any such action at this time. There must be an election in the immediate future for the submission of the Hetch Hetchy power distribution Charter amendment, if that is not going to be deferred for two years, and that would be the result if you don't do it at this time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Next fall, the election——

THE PRESIDENT: It will be two years, because a Charter amendment could not be ratified until the next meeting of the Legislature, which will be in two years, and I hope that submission is not going to be deferred on any question of economy at the present time.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, where did you get the statement—I only heard a part of it—What was the statement you just made about economy?

THE PRESIDENT: I said that the proposed election for the submission of the Hetch Hetchy power distribution should not be deferred under any plea of economy at the present time, and I said that I had not heard any mention of any such necessity, I had not sat in at all of the meetings, the Charter amendment meetings, with Mr. Leavy, but only occasionally, but Mr. Leavy had indicated and said he expected a Charter amendment election to be held in February, and that I had not heard anybody now suggesting there wouldn't be money enough available to hold that election.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Excuse me; I thought you meant it was not sound grounds to reject one measure in favor of another of much greater importance.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to clear up any misunderstanding. Mr. Leavy in no way indicated there wouldn't be enough money for an election, and the objection I raised to it is not on that ground, that there wouldn't be enough, but we shouldn't waste that money; we shouldn't throw $50,000 into a special election if we can

do whatever we have to do later at some other election. I don't want to spend $50,000 for a special election unless it is absolutely necessary, and our backs are against the wall, and that will have to be shown, that will have to be shown to me.

THE PRESIDENT: I can assure you there is very urgent need for it at this time.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I hope and trust that Supervisor Roncovieri, who has gone along with us so well so many years on this Hetch Hetchy power propositions will not at this time——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: He might be seeing the light at last.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Oh, no, Supervisor——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Pardon me; I wasn't thinking of Hetch Hetchy. I was thinking of these Charter amendments, I think we can wait until November for all these Charter amendments, I don't think there is any special amendment of such great importance that we have to spend $50,000 in such a rush.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: In the first place, you are not going to spend $50,000. It runs around forty, and even then you are not spending it all for Charter amendments. This Hetch Hetchy plan must be submitted.

In reference to the Charter amendments, there are certain sections that should be amended, and there are certain amendments that mean a great deal to San Francisco, and to all of our citizens. There is no instrument that is perfect, and that is never going to be amended in any shape or form, and you must realize that if we don't amend it now it cannot be done for two years. It is impossible, because the Legislature will meet in January of this year, and February, and, of course, they have to ratify any amendments we make. I trust no effort will be made, and I hate to see any member of this Board, and especially an old member such as you, place us in an embarrassing position such as we would be placed in under the conditions. There are people who don't wish us to attempt to issue revenue bonds so that we can acquire the necessary system to distribute Hetch Hetchy power; they don't want it to go before the people. Now you have read Mr. Cahill's report, and especially the report showing the wonderful profits that will accrue to the people of San Francisco. I just say this to you.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I wish to either introduce a resolution, or if that meets objection, that I be permitted to ask this information:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be, and he is hereby requested and authorized to prepare a City-wide assessment district plan for the financing of the $52,700,000 estimated cost of building the subway as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission, as reported to the Board of Supervisors last May.

The desire here is that the Director of Public Works, and our City Engineer, will do exactly what they did some years ago in preparing assessment districts to build our two tunnels, I mean the Twin Peaks tunnel especially.

This involves, I hope, no expense—well, we will hear from that if it does——

SUPERVISOR UHL: $10,000.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No, no, I don't want any expense at this time. They have the experience, they have done that same work before, and I think they have the equipment, the knowledge, and the experience, which will give to this Board an idea how to finance the subway without a bond issue. I think it is a very important thing that we should have that information; it is only information, how can we finance the subway without submitting a bond issue of nearly $53,000,000 to the people. Personally, I believe, I know what will happen to the $53,000,000 bond issue on top of the $43,000,000 bond issue, whether it be revenue bonds or not, with a limit of only $58,000,-

000 in the treasury, so I ask either that this resolution be adopted as being the expression of this Board asking for this information, or if I am refused may I go——

SUPERVISOR RATTO: You are asking for an assessment district covering the entire city?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, yes. So far as I am concerned I am not going to vote on a $15,000,000 bond issue; I am taking the entire unit——

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Pardon me; you are asking for information on the entire setup, and should we vote on only the Market street subway, then your setup would be out of order.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No; it would not be out of order to ask the engineer's office to cover that by an assessment district plan, covering 52,000,000, or 20,000,000.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You want to pass this now?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Then I ask that it be referred to the Finance Committee, you know, you would have to contact practically every man in San Francisco——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I don't want the expense of another bond issue——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: ——because it would be worthless unless it was done properly.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What is your idea?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: If you want my idea, and want it looked into, have it referred to your committee to have it looked into.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will do that.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now then, gentlemen, what about rapid transit? What about these meetings? It is regrettable last week only four members were here and we adjourned at a quarter of eleven, we were to meet at ten o'clock.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Right there I want to make a correction. I was excused for one hour, I think you realize that, Supervisor Uhl——

SUPERVISOR UHL: You came in, yes.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: And I am criticizing your action. I don't think you should have adjourned at a quarter of eleven.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: And at that point, Mr. President, I want to call up my situation. I stated I would not be able to be here before eleven o'clock, and I was here at a quarter of eleven myself, and Mrs. Kumerford can verify that, and my name was given in the press—when they enumerated the names of the members arriving late, my name wasn't even mentioned. Now I arrived here fifteen minutes earlier than I had expected to, and last Monday it was stated by a majority of the members that they would not be able to be here, and that is the time the meeting hour should have been changed. Now I don't mind being here through the noon hour, or any time. I have never missed a meeting, but I don't want to be quoted in the press as not being here at all, because I was here at a quarter of eleven.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The statement I made, what are we going to do about giving consideration to the subway plan?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What about a meeting Thursday morning at ten o'clock?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: All right with me.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Okay.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move we meet Thursday morning at ten o'clock on the subject matter of rapid transit.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move we recess until Thursday morning at ten o'clock.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

## Meetings Announced.

Board of Supervisors, recessed meeting, December 17, 10 a. m. (Rapid Transit).

Judiciary Committee, every Thursday at 4 p. m.

Fire, Safety and Police, December 17 at 3 p. m.

Health Committee, December 18 at 10:15 a. m.

Streets Committee, December 16 at 2:30 p. m.

Traffic Advisory Committee, December 17, at 2:15 p. m., in Room 251.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until Thursday, December 17, 1936, to consider the question of Rapid Transit.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, December 21, 1936.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.
No. 53

Thursday December 17, 1936

Friday, December 18, 1936

Monday, December 21, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## THURSDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1936, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, December 17, 1936, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the question of rapid transit for San Francisco.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 10:35 p. m.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

### Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that we proceed to discuss four-track subway on Market street as against a two-track subway recommended by the Public Utilities Commission.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor Colman thereupon suggested that Manager Cahill give his reasons for recommending two-track subway instead of a four-track subway.

Whereupon, Manager Cahill proceeded and read the following statement:

In the Public Utilities Commission report on subways dated May 25, 1936, plans are presented for serving all quarters of the City of San Francisco with rapid transit utilizing the existing Municipal Railway lines and some additions thereto. This plan contemplates among other things the construction of two tracks under Market street.

In submitting this report the requirements of San Francisco were very carefully considered. The construction proposed in the report will provide fast, convenient and comfortable transportation over direct lines between all important outlying residential districts and the downtown area including the Transbay Bridge train terminal.

In 1931 the late M. M. O'Shaughnessy, at that time City Engineer, submitted a tentative report for rapid transit in which he proposed to put four tracks under Market street with the expressed intention of primarily relieving traffic congestion on Market street. At the time his report was prepared, neither the location of the bridge across the Bay, its terminal, nor the possible effect of the bridge on San Francisco population was known. Mr. O'Shaughnessy states in the body of the report that

"There is no definite period at which it can be stated that a subway should be constructed; that it is rather a matter of opinion to be decided by all interested parties. However, it usually happens that the construction of rapid transit systems is postponed until traffic

conditions become intolerable; in the meantime the community has suffered quite a loss that cannot be measured directly."

More than five years have elapsed since this report was made public and the intolerable traffic condition mentioned above is now being experienced. The automobile traffic being brought into the City over the newly completed bridge is having a marked effect. Only those with automobiles who can afford bridge tolls and the cost of operation are able to enjoy this service. Early in 1938 the East Bay trains will be in operation to a location much more convenient than the present ferries terminal.

Automobile drivers report the travel time between San Francisco and Oakland via the Bridge as appreciably less than the time formerly spent on the ferry boat alone. With the trains in operation passengers will be provided with seats from San Francisco to their destination and a reduction in travel time of between ten to sixteen minutes, the weighted average being twelve and a half minutes.

If the use of the bridge by a limited number using automobiles is having an appreciable effect on San Francisco, a much greater change can be expected when mass public transportation at high speed is available between the two sides of the Bay.

It is my sincere belief that San Francisco may lose a considerable population through train operation over the bridge. Serious as this may be, I consider the loss of future potential population more to be feared. Therefore at this time I urge upon you the necessity of quickly reaching a reasonable solution of our local transportation problems in order that all of our efforts can be given to carry out such a plan with the utmost dispatch.

The loss of population to the east side of the Bay is not, however, the thing of greatest importance. We must at this time provide traffic relief to all sections of the City by providing rapid local transit. This can only be done by separating rails from the surface, thus increasing the capacity of the downtown streets.

Automatically the separation of rail and vehicular traffic increases both capacity and speed of operation. Market street has already reached the saturation point and relief is urgently demanded. With the present tracks, cars cannot be operated at closer headways than at present and we have no capacity for future growth.

The question now blocking progress is whether a double-track subway on Market street with other lines on Montgomery, Geary and Mission streets is preferable to the construction of a four-track subway on Market street with no betterment of transportation to the Financial, North Beach, Marina, Richmond and Mission districts. The Public Utilities report is based on the City-wide program for good reasons, and in light of many years' study of San Francisco's traffic problems, after the determination of the location of the East Bay Bridge train terminal and with the advice of eminent engineers.

In the United States, New York, Boston, Philadelphia and Chicago have rapid transit lines, all but Chicago having both elevated and subway, Chicago having elevated only. Of these cities New York only has four-track subways and all of these are on Manhattan Island. This island and the peninsula adjacent to it, is many miles long and only a few blocks wide, thus the traffic is almost exclusively north and south over long distances. The Buenos Aires subways have two tracks and Sydney, Australia, with a population twice that of San Francisco, has but two tracks in its subways.

The matter of putting four tracks under Market street was discussed with Messrs. Robert Ridgway and Alfred Brahdy and these —gentlemen recognized authorities on the subject of rapid transit— were most emphatic in their statement that they did not believe a four-track subway should be constructed under Market street at this time and that they doubted if four tracks would ever be required in San Francisco. They suggested, however, that on account of the peculiar configuration of the downtown streets, it would be well to

arrange the two tracks under Market street so that additional ones might be added later if and when found necessary.

Experience has demonstrated conclusively that with the train operation in a two-track subway 60,000 to 90,000 passengers per hour can be handled in each direction. From the data included in the 1931 rapid transit report it can be seen that less than 75,000 passengers are carried daily on the most heavily loaded section of Market street, between Taylor and McAllister streets. This is the combined traffic of the Market Street and Municipal Railways. Approximately 15,000 of these people travel between 5:00 and 6:00 p. m. With trains, a two-track subway could handle from four to six times this many people.

The Public Utilities plan contemplates a continuous subway without the interruption of a branch from the Bridge terminal to Van Ness avenue, where for the time being it is proposed to take off the line into the Mission district. Later this Mission street branch can be removed from Market street and operated east under Mission street; this will furnish a high-speed route without branch connections east of Church street.

The expression "rapid transit" connotes high speed which necessitates the safe separation of individual operating units. Additional capacity will be obtainable by increasing the number of cars in a unit.

The present bonding limit of the City is under $60,000,000. The Public Utilities plan spreads out the $52,700,000 proposed to be expended in such a way as to give excellent service to practically all parts of the City. Careful study has not disclosed a more effective method of spending the funds which can be made available for rapid transit.

Thirty-four and three-tenths per cent of the street car riders of San Francisco use the routes which operate on Market street. This comprises both the Market Street Railway Company lines and the Municipal Railway lines. The proposal which has been made to put four tracks under Market street would, therefore, benefit only 34.3 per cent of the street car riding population. The other 65.7 per cent will get no benefit from a four-track subway system on Market street. As I have pointed out, to build such a four-track subway on Market street would utilize all of the money available for rapid transit purposes for all of the City. The 65.7 per cent of the poeple who would not be benefited by a four-track Market street subway would nevertheless have a burden imposed upon them equal to that which would be assumed by the 34.3 per cent of the riders who would be benefited. It is unfair and palpably unjust to spend more than $50,000,000 for the benefit of approximately one-third of the people of San Francisco, to the exclusion from any benefit of the other two-thirds who must, nevertheless, assume two-thirds of the burden.

As developed in my letter to the Honorable Board of Supervisors under date of November 7, 1936, construction of the four tracks on Market street will preclude the construction of the Geary-Montgomery route and the Mission street route at this time. As a part of the service on Market street would be operated by the Market Street Railway, it would be necessary for them to provide the additional track and equipment required to handle their traffic. The preliminary estimate of this cost is $4,900,000 which does not include interest during construction or the value of the company's investment which will be extinguished. In view of the company's financial showings it is improbable that it can be induced to invest another $5,000,000 in new plant and retire the investment no longer required.

If the Public Utilities plan is to be augmented by two additional tracks under Market street the total initial expenditure will be increased by $30,000,000. The two tracks additional will require the City to invest $22,500,000 in subway structures to be used exclusively by the Market Street Railway Company.

New York City, Boston and Philadelphia have built subways which

they have leased under contract for operation by private organizations. In no case have the results been satisfactory. The Boston Metropolitan District transportation is under the control of the State. The fare is 10 cents and during the depression additional money has been secured from taxation. In New York the operating companies have failed to pay the contract rentals. In Philadelphia the fare is eight cents (2 for 15 cents) with a charge of three cents for transfers between rapid transit lines and street cars or buses and the company has been unable to meet its financial obligations.

In view of the experience elsewhere, it is very unwise at this time to enter into any entangling agreements with the private corporation. Joint use of the two-track subway under Market street is not practicable because it will not have sufficient capacity with single car units and because operation by two organizations on the same tracks is difficult and unsatisfactory where service is rendered at high speed. The service resulting from spending $50,000,000 for four tracks on Market street will neither serve as many patrons nor as many sections of the City as the Public Utilities plan. It will also necessitate the company's consent to the expenditure of over $5,000,000.

For the reasons given above I again commend to you the Public Utilities plan and urge upon you the necessity for immediately settling on a rapid transit program for the purpose of shortening the running time between the outlying residential and downtown districts, retaining our present population, increasing the value of local property, preventing the decentralization of our business, stabilizing downtown real estate values, improving vehicular traffic flow downtown by taking two car tracks off Market street.

Time is of the essence of this matter. Bridge trains will be operating into San Francisco within fifteen months. Under the best of conditions the entire rapid transit program proposed cannot be placed in service under forty-eight months. For this reason precious time should not be lost in quibbling over questionable benefits compared to what has already been fully stated as the probable results of carrying out the program recommended by the Public Utilities Commission.

Construction costs are rising rapidly and there is no assurance that the present favorable financial market will continue indefinitely. These two items have a direct bearing on the ultimate cost of the project and either is of sufficient moment to demand immediate action.

---

Manager Cahill thereupon referred to his report of October 8, page 15, as to his reasons for recommending a two-track subway instead of a four-track subway in Market street.

He referred to his report of November 7, page 9, as to the cost of the O'Shaughnessy plan.

Statement of Supervisor Meyer.

Supervisor Meyer thereupon read the following statement:

Mr. Chairman: This Board has been considering Rapid Transit for a long time. Every phase of the problem has been brought out, I believe, and discussed by Board members and by representatives of the public before this Board. The one thing this Board has not done is to adopt a plan of procedure to bring the whole matter to a head. I propose to suggest such a plan.

But before doing so, I would like to call attention to one factor in the situation that has not as yet been clearly faced by this Board. That is that the new charter of the City and County sets forth clearly the respective powers and responsibilities of the Board of Supervisors and the Public Utilities Commission with regard to this problem.

Rapid Transit, if provided by the City, will be the extension of an old utility, namely the Municipal Railway.

Section 121 of the Charter says "The Public Utilities Commission

shall have charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities. . . ."

It further states: "The Commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all construction and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extensions from the public funds under its jurisdiction. . . ."

There is more to the section, but the rest is either concerned with matters not before us now or with procedure within the commission itself: for instance, "in each such case (involving a utility extension or betterment), it (the commission) shall secure the recommendation of the manager of utilities, which shall be presented in writing and shall include analysis of cost," etc.

Now this states clearly that the type and character of a new utility, or extension of an existing one, is the responsibility of the Public Utilities Commission. It is not ours, except to an incidental degree as we decide whether to pass along a proposal for the votes of the people, and as we provide for the financing of what the voters decide upon.

When we ascertain that the Utilities Commission has gone into the problem thoroughly before coming to a conclusion in the form of a recommendation, when we find that the commission has not relied entirely upon the judgment of its own engineers, who themselves are eminently able, but has engaged the services of the outstanding rapid transit experts of the nation, we must be very presumptuous indeed to put our own judgment or that of non-qualified representatives of the public, ahead of those who formulated the proposal before us.

Granting that some of our own engineers, and some of the representatives of the public who have appeared before us, are influenced by the highest motives, still it remains that the job of planning a rapid transit system is a technical one that only the most highly qualified experts should do.

So we have the charter saying who should plan rapid transit, and we have a well-considered proposal, supported by outside experts, from those who legally should plan such a system.

It is our task to decide whether or not San Francisco needs rapid transit, particularly in view of the completion of the Bay Bridge. If, even, we are not convinced that San Francisco needs, or can afford, an adequate transportation system, it still must be decided by us whether we should let the people decide if they want rapid transit.

Now I don't think this Board, owing to the many controversies that have been opened up, is ready to decide the final question at once. Only when we have decided various phases of the problem can we reach unanimity on the question as a whole.

I therefore wish to announce a series of motions I intend to make. Taken all together, I believe these motions will constitute a logical plan of procedure. It is not my intention to force anyone into line, but merely to end arguments that will lead nowhere. If a logical procedure is followed I do not believe much more time will be required to mark up real progress on rapid transit, and by making progress this Board will relieve its members of much grief and of ever mounting and perhaps justified public criticism.

The motions I intend to make, to bring this matter to a head, are as follows: (I am not making them now, but intend to make them one at a time).

1. I move that this Board go on record as favoring the provision of an adequate system of rapid transit for the citizens of San Francisco.

2. I move that this Board go on record as favoring subways, as against elevated railways, for the trunk lines of a rapid transit system.

3. I move that this Board go on record as favoring a two-track subway as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission, rather than a four-track subway on Market street.

4. I move that this Board go on record as favoring a general obliga-

tion bond issue, which would in the final analysis be paid off by increases in property values brought about by the improvement in transportation, to finance rapid transit construction.

5. I move you that the Clerk of the Board be directed to inquire of the Public Utilities Commission as to two things: (1) Whether the possibilities of the City purchasing the Market Street Railway should be permitted to delay submission of a rapid transit bond issue to the people, and (2) When the commission would advise submitting the transit proposal to the voters.

6. I move that the Public Utilities Commission's subway and rapid transit proposal be submitted to the voters in the form of a bond proposition, and that the Board go on record favoring its ratification by the voters.

At this time I will formally make the first of the proposed list of clarifying motions:

Motions of Supervisor Meyer.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that this Board go on record as favoring the provision of an adequate system of rapid transit for the citizens of San Francisco.

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that this Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring subways as against elevated railways for the trunk lines of a rapid transit system.

(Transcript of discussion on file in Clerk's office.)

## RECESS.

At the hour of 12:55 p. m. the Board of Supervisors recessed until tomorrow, Friday, December 18, 1936, at 10 a. m. Supervisors Brown and Shannon excused from tomorrow's meeting.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## FRIDAY, DECEMBER 18, 1936, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, December 18, 1936, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Thursday, December 17, 1936, for the purpose of continuing discussion of the question of rapid transit for San Francisco.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors McSheehy, Mead, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Meyer, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Meyer was noted present at 11:30 a. m.

Supervisors Brown and Colman excused.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

Question: Supervisor Meyer's motion that this Board go on record as favoring subways as against elevated railways for the trunk lines of a rapid transit system.

Privilege of the Floor.

P. R. Thompson, chairman of Traffic Committee of the Central Council of Civic Clubs, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length.

Hugh R. Pomeroy, planning advisor for San Mateo County, was heard with respect to furnishing rapid transit into San Mateo County.

Cleve Schaffer read statement in explanation of his plan for rapid transit for San Francisco.

Cornealius Murphy, representing Owners and Lesses Apartment House Association, was also heard at length on the subject.

**Motion.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that we request Mr. Pomeroy to take this message to Supervisors of San Mateo that we are desirous of cooperating in urging the Southern Pacific to bring their interurban trains into the heart of San Francisco.

(Transcript of discussion on file in Clerk's office.)

**Letter from P. R. Thompson, Chairman, Traffic Committee, Central Council of Civic Clubs, San Francisco, California.**

December 18, 1936.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The present street car transportation of San Francisco can be speeded up by the following method:

All of the local traffic on Mission street between McCoppin and the Ferry to be removed. All of the local traffic on Valencia street from McCoppin to Mission or connection with San Jose avenue that will terminate in Bernal Cut to be removed. This would establish a surface route for rapid transit service via Mission to McCoppin, over McCoppin to Market, and through the Sunset Tunnel and the Twin Peaks Tunnel to the outlying districts. The Valencia street would provide a rapid transit route from McCoppin to Bernal Cut. This latter route to serve the southern districts via surface connection, Bernal Cut, and also the "40" line of the Market Street Railway. Traffic signals on these routes to be synchronized and be automatic, controlled by the movement of the trains or cars.

In my opinion the traffic could be speeded up via these routes and create a saving of ten minutes to the outlying districts of San Francisco, and perhaps more.

This would provide a rapid transit service on Mission street just below and convenient to the Market street business district, and on Valencia street just west of and convenient to the Mission district.

The local traffic would remain on Mission street from McCoppin south and west and be turned into Market street at Twelfth or Eleventh where the tracks run up to Market, thereby increasing the downtown Market street travel, and leaving the Mission business districts its local service on Mission street just as it is today.

The North Beach section should be connected via First and Battery streets to Columbus avenue and the outlying surface lines by the same method.

The present charter provides that this may be done by joint agreement and certainly an effort should be made to negotiate such agreements until and if the Market street is acquired by the City. The joint agreement might well include conditions leading up to the acquisition if a reasonable and fair proposition can be accepted to become a part of the agreement.

The two systems should be unified, and the people afforded the service that unification would bring about. But any proposal, in my opinion, to acquire the Market Street system should also include the "40" line running to San Mateo which is the most profitable line that the system has and has the greatest revenue possibilities of any of its units. It has been rumored that there is some new arrangement going to take place that will turn this "40" line over to the Southern Pacific

Company which would detract materially and seriously from any proposal to acquire the Market Street system.

A proposal to improve the service by making the two inner tracks on Market street rapid tracks and synchronize the traffic signals so that the traffic on the inner track could make two or three blocks at a time, is, in my opinion, inferior to the above proposals.

Yours very truly,

P. R. THOMPSON,
Chairman, Traffic Committee,
Central Council of Civic Clubs.

### Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that this matter be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, December 21, 1936, at 2:30 p. m., and that Mr. Cahill be requested to be present. *So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Supervisor Meyer's prevailing motion be *carried* on the Calendar. *So ordered.*

### Death of Battalion Chief Thomas Murphy.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, the following was *adopted* unanimously by a rising vote:

"It is with feelings of the most profound sorrow that the members of the Board of Supervisors learn of the death of First Assistant Chief Thomas J. Murphy of the San Francisco Fire Department.

Because of his warm heart, his interest in the welfare of his fellows, his love for the department in which he faithfully served, and all engaged therein, Chief Murphy had formed a close personal friendship with a host of San Franciscans, many such friendships bearing the test of long years.

But whether the relationship extended over one year or forty, there is not an acquaintance or friend who does not feel a deep sense of personal loss in the death of Chief Thomas J. Murphy.

The members of the Board of Supervisors extend heartfelt sympathy to the family of this courageous fireman who labored for 41 years in the service of the city he loved."

## ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 1:40 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 21, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 8 and 14, 1936, were considered read and approved.

## MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

### Appointment of W. D. Hadeler as Golden Gate Bridge Director.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 2971, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of this City and County does hereby elect W. D. Hadeler, vice Francis V. Keesling, as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for a term of four years.

This appointment to become effective from and after December 24, 1936.

*December* 14, 1936—*Adopted by the following vote*:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *No* to *Aye* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

### Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved that the question of reconsideration of the above matter be postponed one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—6.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the question "Shall the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted be reconsidered" and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Shannon—6.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

### Rapid Transit.

December 17, 1936—Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that this Board go on record as favoring a subway as against an elevated for trunk lines for a rapid transit system for San Francisco.

Supervisor Meyer moved the adoption of the pending motion, to-wit:

That the Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring a subway rather than an elevated system for trunk lines for rapid transit in San Francisco.

*So ordered.*

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that action on the foregoing motion be deferred temporarily.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that this Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring a two-track subway in lieu of a four-track subway on Market street.

After discussion, Supervisor McSheehy moved the previous question.

The Chair put the question, "Shall the previous question now be put?" which *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1. (Excused to attend meeting in the Controller's office.)

Upon his return from the Controller's office, Supervisor Brown moved to rescind the action above taken in order to permit him to vote on the question.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion was again *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl presented a resolution providing for a bond issue of $19,875,000 for a subway as an alternative plan to that presented by Manager of Utilities Cahill, which was, on motion, *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Supervisor Meyer moved that this Board go on record as in favor of a subway as against an elevated on the routes recommended by the Utilities Commission in its report of May 25, 1936.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

(At 5 p. m. Supervisor Brown again asked to be excused to attend meeting in Controller's office.) *So ordered.*

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Board of Supervisors go on record as favoring general obligation bond issue which would in the final analysis be paid off by increase in property values brought about by the improvement in transportation to finance rapid transit construction.

Supervisor Shannon moved that all rapid transit matters referred to in Supervisor Meyer's report, from and including No. 4 to the end, be made a special order of business for discussion at the next meeting of the Board.

*So ordered.*

(Transcript of Rapid Transit discussion on file in Clerk's office.)

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on the Westerly Side of Lyon Street, Between Lombard and Bay Streets, From Nineteen to Five Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 1174, Ordinance No. 12.073192, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred eighty-six (1186).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 30th, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred eighty-six (1186), to read as follows:

Section 1186. The width of sidewalks on the westerly side of Lyon street, between Lombard street and Bay street, shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on the easterly side of Lyon street, between Lombard street and Bay street, shall be nineteen (19) feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Lombard Street, Between Broderick and Lyon Streets, From Twelve to Ten Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1175, Ordinance No. 12.073193, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Ninety-four (94) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 30, 1936, by amending Section Ninety-four (94) thereof to read as follows:

Section 94. The width of sidewalks on Lombard street, between Polk street and Broderick street, shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Lombard street, between Broderick street and Lyon street, shall be ten (10) feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street, Between Divisadero and St. Joseph's Avenue, From Fifteen to Ten Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1176, Ordinance No. 12.073194, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1185.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 25, 1936, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1185, to read as follows:

Section 1185. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street, between Divisadero street and St. Joseph's avenue, shall be ten (10) feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street, Between Franklin and Divisadero Streets, From Twelve to Ten Feet.**

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 1177, Ordinance No. 12.073195, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section No. 944 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended

in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office November 25, 1936, by amending Section No. 944 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 944. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street, between Franklin street and Divisadero street, shall be ten (10) feet.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on Sycamore Avenue, Between Mission and Valencia Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1178, Ordinance No. 11.0220, as follows:

Amending Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto, the following words: "South side of Sycamore avenue, between Mission and Valencia streets."

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Issuance and Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.**

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 2979, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0338, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain tax anticipation notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1937, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of the said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid tax anticipation notes, to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said tax anticipation notes to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or

offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 4th day of January, 1937, and that said tax anticipation notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1937.

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of tax anticipation notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9, the fee therefor to be $666.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by Duncan Mathewson, Treasurer.

Recommended by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Confirming Lease of Jefferson Street Property to Di Maggio.**

(Code No. 12.17353)

Also, Resolution No. 2980, as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 12.173533, Bill No. 1142, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him on November 20, 1936, for leasing the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson street distant thereon westerly 137.5 feet from the westerly line of Taylor street; running thence westerly along the southerly line of Jefferson street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Thomas Di Maggio & Company offered to lease said land for a period of ten years at a rental of $75 per month, beginning January 15, 1937, no higher bids having been made or received; and

Whereas, Thomas Di Maggio & Company have paid the sum of $75 to the Director of Property as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended said lease; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to Thomas Di Maggio & Company. Said lease shall be made subject to the following conditions, and such other conditions and reservations as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the City Attorney and the Director of Property: Any building and equipment erected on the land by the lessee shall be limited to a cost of $5,000, the investment to be amortized at 10 per cent per year. The City reserves the right to cancel the lease after 30 days' notice upon payment to the lessee of the unamortized value of the building. At the expiration of the lease, the building shall revert to the City. The building shall be insured by the lessee.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriation of $10,500 for Truck Hire for the Department of Public Works.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1193, Ordinance No. 9.051338, as follows:

Appropriating $10,500 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for truck hire for the Department of Public Works; $9,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 643.203.00, Bureau of Sewer Repair, and $1,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 642.203.00, Bureau of Street Cleaning.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $10,500 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund Appropriation No. 602.900.00, for truck hire for the Department of Public Works; $9,500 to the credit of Appropriation No. 643.203.00, Bureau of Sewer Repair, and $1,000 to the credit of Appropriation No. 642.203.00, Bureau of Street Cleaning.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Bureaus of Sewer Repair and Street Cleaning, Department of Public Works, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to an increase in rates for truck hire established by the California Railroad Commission, which makes the funds heretofore appropriated insufficient for the purpose.

Approved as to form by John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

Recommended by William Worden, Director of Public Works.

Approved by Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

**Cancellation of Erroneous Assessments.**

(Code No. 9.0411)

Resolution No. 2981, as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that the taxes on Lot 12, Block 2415, have been delinquent for several years, and that the owner thereof now wishes to redeem his property; and

Whereas, Lot 12, Block 2415, was erroneously assessed for the year 1932 for $920, but prior to 1932 and for the years following 1932, this lot has been assessed for $460; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Tax Collector is hereby authorized to cancel the excess valuation of $460, on Lot 12, Block 2415, for the year 1932, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Tax Refunds.**

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 2982, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, 1936-1937, to the fol-

lowing named, being refunds of amounts paid as erroneous and duplicate payments of taxes:

1. Nina Tassinari, erroneous assessment, Vol. 17, page 58, line 10, 1935 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.....$ .77
2. Katharine C. Felton, duplicate payment, Vol. 10, page 32, line 21, 1936 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 10.47
3. G. W. Brainard, erroneous payment, Vol. 11, page 121, line 20, 1936 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 121.35
4. Samuel L. Hyman, duplicate payment, Vol. 10, page 88, line 5, 1936 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 3.00
5. Helena Condon, erroneous payment, Vol. 42, Bill No. 1391, Lot 23, Block 6944, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1935..... 9.76
6. Adolph G. Kessler, duplicate payment, Vol. 37, Bill No. 621, Lot 43, Block 6179, both installments, fiscal year 1935..... 1.48
7. James Twomey, erroneous payment, Vol. 27, Bill No. 1453½, Lot 14, Block 4106-4125, 2d Installment, fiscal year 1935.. 47.86
8. John G. and J. L. Evans, Lot 23, Block 2363, erroneous payment due to erroneous assessment of $1,250 instead of $450 ..... 30.27

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land for Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2983, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

James J. Sullivan, portion of Lot 8, Assessor's Block 1731 .....$2,796.00
George Napris, et ux., portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 1731 ..... 2,628.70
Ursula E. Kane, et al., portion of Lot 38, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 2,475.00
Giovanni Ratto, portion of Lot 9, Assessor's Block 1731 ..... 2,747.00
Lily O'Connor, et al., portion of Lot 11, Assessor's Block 1925 ..... 1,575.00
David H. Bright, et ux., portion of Lot 5-S, Assessor's Block 2419 ..... 2,300.00
Jennie Thompson, portion of Lot 30, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 2,455.00
Rosita Lamont Panol, portion of Lots 14 and 15, Assessor's Block 1731 ..... 3,860.00
James J. Mullany, et ux., portion of Lot 5-Q, Assessor's Block 2419 ..... 2,115.00
Florence M. Krauskopf, portion of Lots 32 and 32A, Assessor's Block 2055 ..... 4,635.00
Leoline Amos, et ux., portion of Lot 5, Assessor's Block 1731 ..... 1,913.00
Elbert C. Harrell, et ux., portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 1731 ..... 1,665.00
Mary E. Painter, et ux., portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 1731 ..... 1,945.00
Veterans' Welfare Board and V. F. Ramus, portion of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 1925 ..... 3,270.00

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now

located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for a particular description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for Mission High School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 2984, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charles J. and Emilia I. Kern, his wife, Lot 8, Assessor's Block 3579, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Mission High School, for the sum of $2,750 payable from Appropriation No. 670.600.01. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was *laid over one week*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Lot on Greenwich Street for the Fire Department.**

(Code No. 12.1716)

Resolution No. 2985, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Margherita Bacchi, Lot 31, in Assessor's Block 515, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Fire Department, for the sum of $12,250, payable from the Fire Department Reserve for Land Purchases. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for the Eureka Valley Playground.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Resolution No. 2986, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Mary F. Meyers, et al., Lot 20, Assessor's Block 2694, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Eureka Valley Playground, for the sum of $3,150, payable from Appropriation No. 613.600.04. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 2987, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Richardson avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 4½:

Claire Steinmann, two portions of Lot 16, Assessor's Block 925 ..... $ 400

H. A. Wilhelmsen, et ux., all of Lot 20, Assessor's Block 925 ..... 11,000

In order to facilitate possible exchanges, title to the portions of said lots outside the road right of way may be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title & Trust Company.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property. Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above named parties for particular descriptions of said lands.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Re-referred.**

The following matter was *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

**Authorizing Purchase of Land Required for Alemany Sewer.**

(Code No. 12.1010)

Resolution No. 2988, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Charles O. Leege and Anna Elizabeth Leege, portion of Lot 1, Assessor's Block 4347-B, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Alemany sewer, for the sum of $10,030, payable from Appropriation No. 86.030.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Land by Condemnation Proceedings for Richardson Avenue.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

Resolution No. 2989, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

PARCEL 1: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Lyon street, distant thereon 111.577 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street, said point being in the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Blanche Omizzolo; thence northerly along said line of Lyon street 26.018 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by William M. and Grace H. Larner; thence deflecting 87 degrees 54 minutes to the right and running east-

erly along last named line, 12.110 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 50 degrees 30 minutes 28 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 36 feet, central angle 0 degree 24 minutes 28 seconds, 0.256 foot; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve, 33.637 feet to the northerly line of the aforesaid Omizzolo property; thence deflecting 129 degrees 54 minutes to the right and running westerly along last named line 33.775 feet to the easterly line of Lyon street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 566.

PARCEL 2: Beginning at a point distant 33.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Francisco street and 201.50 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Baker street, said point being the southeasterly corner of the property now or formerly owned by Frank J. and Carmelita Dalgero; thence southerly, parallel with said line of Baker street and along the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Carl E. and Ruth L. Widing, 5.249 feet; thence deflecting 140 degrees 06 minutes to the right and running northwesterly 6.842 feet to the southerly line of the aforesaid Dalgero property; thence deflecting 129 degrees 54 minutes to the right and running easterly along last named line 4.389 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 567.

PARCEL 3: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 169 feet and 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Baker street, and running thence westerly along said line of Francisco street 32 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 32 feet; and thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being part of Western Addition Block Number 567.

PARCEL 4: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 132 feet and 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Baker street; running thence westerly along said line of Francisco street 37 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 32 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 103 feet and 1½ inches; thence at a right angle easterly 5 feet; and thence at a right angle northerly 34 feet and 4½ inches to the point of beginning.

Being part of Western Addition Block Number 567.

PARCEL 5: Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 82.153 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baker street; thence westerly along said line of Francisco street, 50.347 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Rinaldo J. and Carmelita Dalgero; thence at right angles southerly along last named line, 34.375 feet to the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Oliver W. and Marie H. Tuttle; thence at right angles easterly along last named line, 57.693 feet; thence deflecting 129 degrees 54 minutes to the left and running northwesterly 23.412 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 10 feet, central angle 129 degrees 54 minutes, 22.517 feet to tangency with aforesaid line of Francisco street at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 567.

PARCEL 6: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Baker street, distant thereon 68 feet and 9 inches southerly from the southerly line of Francisco street; and running thence southerly along said line of Baker street 34 feet and 4½ inches; thence at a right angle

westerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 34 feet and 4½ inches; and thence at a right angle easterly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being part of Western Addition Block Number 567.

PARCEL 7: Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Baker street, distant thereon 103 feet and 1½ inches southerly from the southerly line of Francisco street; running thence southerly along said line of Baker street 34 feet and 4½ inches; thence at a right angle westerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 34 feet and 4½ inches; and thence at a right angle easterly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being part of Western Addition Block Number 567.

PARCEL 8: Beginning at a point distant 61.359 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Baker street and 100 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Chestnut street, said point being in the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Ida Biancalana; thence westerly along last named line and parallel with aforesaid line of Chestnut street, 26.218 feet; thence deflecting 129 degrees 54 minutes to the left and running southeasterly, 40.873 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by G. B. and Amalia Podesta; thence deflecting 140 degrees 06 minutes to the left and running northerly along last named line, 31.356 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 567.

PARCEL 9: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Baker street, distant thereon 59.50 feet northerly from the northerly line of Chestnut street, said point being in the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Natale Cereghino; thence northerly along said line of Baker street, 21.774 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to said line of Baker street, radius 34 feet, central angle 39 degrees 50 minutes, 23.637 feet to aforesaid line of the Cereghino property; thence deflecting 129 degrees 50 minutes to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running westerly along last named line, 7.891 feet to the easterly line of Baker street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 554.

PARCEL 10: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Chestnut street and the easterly line of Baker street; thence northerly along said line of Baker street, 59.50 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Luigia Barbagelata; thence at right angles easterly along last named line, 7.891 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 50 degrees 10 minutes to the right from the preceding course, radius 34 feet, central angle 0 degree 04 minutes, 0.040 foot; thence southeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, 62.090 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 33 feet, central angle 50 degrees 06 minutes, 28.856 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Chestnut street; thence westerly along said line of Chestnut street, 73.060 feet to the easterly line of Baker street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 554.

PARCEL 11: Beginning at a point distant 100 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Lombard street and 137.50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Baker street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence southerly along last named line and parallel with said line of Baker street, 7.664 feet; thence deflecting 140 degrees 06 minutes to the right and running northwesterly, 9.990 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank and Mamie Podesta; thence deflecting

129 degrees 54 minutes to the right and running easterly along last named line, 6.408 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

PARCEL 12: Beginning at a point distant 137.50 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Chestnut street and 137.50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Baker street, said point being in the northerly line of the property now or formerly owned by the City and County of San Francisco; thence easterly along last named line, and parallel with said line of Chestnut street, 92.589 feet; thence deflecting 129 degrees 54 minutes to the left and running northwesterly, 144.343 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Bertha G. West; thence deflecting 140 degrees 06 minutes to the left and running southerly along last named line, and the easterly lines of the property now or formerly owned by Albino Besso and Alfred Torre, and by William V. and Verolina Musante, respectively, 110.713 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

PARCEL 13: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street, distant thereon 32.50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Broderick street, said point being in the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by John Allard, Jr., and Albina Wilson; thence westerly along said line of Lombard street, 80 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank Ferrua; thence at right angles northerly along last named line, 55.747 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 133 degrees 50 minutes 28 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 200 feet, central angle 26 degrees 49 minutes 26 seconds, 93.633 feet to aforesaid line of the Allard and Wilson property; thence deflecting 72 degrees 58 minutes 58 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 8.757 feet to the northerly line of Lombard street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

PARCEL 14: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Lombard street and the westerly line of Broderick street; thence northerly along said line of Broderick street, 13.448 feet; thence southerly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the said line of Broderick street, radius 10 feet, central angle 100 degrees 55 minutes 55 seconds, 17.616 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 200 feet, central angle 6 degrees 05 minutes 07 seconds, 21.242 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Antonio and Angelina Arata; thence deflecting 107 degrees 01 minute 02 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 8.757 feet to the northerly line of Lombard street; thence at right angles easterly along said line of Lombard street, 32.50 feet to the westerly line of Broderick street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Western Addition Block 553.

Be it Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of a public street as a connection from Lombard street to the Golden Gate Bridge, which street is to be known as Richardson avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the con-

demnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Renewal of Pipe Yard Lease.**

(Code No. 12.173)

Also, Resolution No. 2990, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and are hereby authorized and directed to execute a renewal of lease with Southern Pacific Company, as lessor, covering the municipal pipe yard located at the northwest corner of Sixth and Daggett streets, San Francisco, for another year beginning July 1, 1936.

As rental for said premises, the City shall reimburse the lessor for all taxes and assessments paid upon or which may become a lien upon said property during the term of said lease. Said rental shall be paid from Appropriation No. 696.926.00. The lease may be terminated by either party upon thirty (30) days notice.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Board of Education.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 1194, Ordinance No. 9.05392, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.05380, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Section No. 81½ thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.05380 is hereby amended to include Section No. 81½, to read as follows:

Section 81½. BOARD OF EDUCATION NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

| Item No. | No. of Employees | Class No. | Class Title | Monthly Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | Referees and Umpires @ $1 to $3 per game, as required. | |

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Controller and Treasurer to Deliver to California Toll Bridge $95,000 Worth of Bonds.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 2991, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under and pursuant to Resolution No. 1470, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on

the 18th day of June, 1934, agreed with the California Toll Bridge Authority that, in consideration of the change of the location of the westerly terminus of the transbay bridge, it would deliver to the said California Toll Bridge Authority $390,000 worth of bonds issued by said California Toll Bridge Authority and which the said City and County of San Francisco had heretofore agreed to purchase, the said bonds to be delivered as the same were acquired by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has acquired $95,000 worth of bonds of said California Toll Bridge Authority; and

Whereas, the said California Toll Bridge Authority has complied with all and singular the terms and conditions of the aforesaid agreement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby directed to deliver to said California Toll Bridge Authority the said $95,000 worth of bonds of said California Toll Bridge Authority now in the custody of said officers of said City and County, taking such receipt therefor as will show the delivery of said bonds to said California Toll Bridge Authority in conformity with the terms of said agreement.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Authorizing Temporary Transfer of Funds by Treasurer.**

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 2992, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 21 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make after the 1st day of January, 1937, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of January, 1937, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1936-1937 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfers of said funds shall not exceed eighty-five (85%) per cent of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before June 30, 1937, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Reducing License Fee on Apartment Houses.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 1195, Ordinance No. 3.04166, as follows:

Amending Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, by reducing the license fee on apartment houses and repealing Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and repealing all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 12 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12. Every person, firm, partnership or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating an apartment house shall pay an annual license fee as follows, to defray the cost of inspection and regulation by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health, Fire Prevention Bureau and Bureau of Licenses, and no permits of occupancy shall be issued by the Division of Housing Inspection therefor without said license first having been had and obtained.

Apartment houses of less than 20 rooms, $4 per annum;
Apartment houses of 20 to 29 rooms, $6 per annum;
Apartment houses of 30 to 39 rooms, $8 per annum;
Apartment houses of 40 to 49 rooms, $10 per annum;
Apartment houses of 50 rooms or over, $12 per annum.

Said license fee for the fiscal year 1935-1936, shall be due and payable on the effective date of this ordinance and subsequent fiscal years shall be due and payable on the first day of October of each year. No deduction in the amount of said license fee herein provided for shall be allowed although the time for which it is paid is less than a full year.

This inspection and regulation shall be made by the Division of Housing Inspection of the Department of Public Health and the Fire Prevention Bureau at least once a year and as often thereafter as may be deemed necessary.

For the purpose of this ordinance an apartment house shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, more than one story in height, which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home or residence of four (4) or more families living independently of each other and doing their cooking in the said building (expressly excluding from the said definition of an apartment house and the operation of this ordinance four (4) to six (6) flat dwellings).

An apartment is a kitchen and one or more contiguous rooms which are occupied or intended or designed to be occupied by one family for living and sleeping purposes in an apartment house.

A kitchen is any room used or intended or designed to be used for cooking and preparation of food.

A room is any legal, habitable space, between two floors, and a floor and a roof, of an apartment house, and divided and separated by proper partitions and doors.

A family is one person living alone or a group of two or more persons living together in an apartment, whether related to each other by birth or not.

Section 2. Section 2 of Bill No. 13, Ordinance No. 3.0412, entitled "Inspection Fee Ordinance," and all ordinances and parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Release of Lien on Israel L. Pascal.**
(Code No. 19.02)

Resolution No. 2993, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco upon the property of

Israel L. Pascal on Lot 12, Block 8, and Lots 17, 18 and 19, Block 7, be and the same is hereby released from all claims and liens by the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that said lien was recorded in error, occurring in the Welfare Department.

(Old Age Pension.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Release of Lien on Mrs. Annie Emmett.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 2994, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco upon the property of Mrs. Annie Emmett on N ½ of W ½, Lot 2, Phillips Subdivision, Township 6, Range 8 B. D. 390-256, be and the same is hereby released from all claims and liens by the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that the grandson of Mrs. Emmett holds a gift deed to this property and has done so since January, 1935.

(Old Age Pension.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Action Deferred.**

The following resolution was *laid over until Thursday morning, December* 24, 1936:

**Water Rates Recommended by Public Utilities Commission to Be Charged for Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses.**

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 2995, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Resolution No. 1680, passed by the Public Utilities Commission after due publication of notice of hearings by the said Commission and public hearings having been held in accordance with the said notice of intention to revise water rates, the following schedule of rates for water service applying to domestic, commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission, be, and the same is hereby adopted:

*General Uses—Metered Service.*

Applying to Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses in San Francisco and Suburban Districts.

*First*—A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

⅝" (Standard residential and small commercial service) $0.70 per month.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ¾"—$1.10 | 1½"—$2.70 | 3"—$ 8.65 | 6"—$27.00 |
| 1 "— 1.60 | 2 "— 4.85 | 4"— 13.50 | 8"— 43.20 |

*Second*—For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first 3,300 cubic feet—25.9 cents per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet—22.7 cents per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet—19.4 cents per 100

*Dock and Shipping Supply.*

For regularly metered service—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.
For Special Shipping Service—including hose cart and other special

service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first 1,300 cubic feet—$1.08 per 100
For the next 2,000 cubic feet— .259 per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet— .227 per 100
For all over 33,000 cubic feet— .194 per 100

Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use, $2.70.

*Except*, that applicable only to all usage in excess of 33,300 cubic feet in any month, by new consumers, applying for service after the effective date of this schedule, and to that portion only of the metered delivery made in any monthly period to any consumer of one year or more standing which is in excess of the metered delivery made to such consumer in the corresponding full monthly period of the preceding year, the following "Special Rates" shall apply:

For the next 300,000 cubic feet (above 33,300)—18 cents per 100.
For all over 333,300 cubic feet —10 cents per 100.

Service charges and usage charges for the first 33,300 cubic feet shall be applied to all water service in accordance with the Schedule for General Uses and Dock & Shipping Supply, as hereinbefore set forth.

These "Special Rates" for consumption in excess of 33,300 cubic feet per month are established as an inducement to promote the sale of "surplus water" now available through the San Francisco Water Department to consumers in San Francisco and the Suburban Districts. Said "Special Rates" shall apply only to those cases where an actual increased usage of water is involved, and shall not apply to those cases where services have been consolidated, or to new accounts where a change of name or ownership of a going business has occurred except to such extent as an actual increase of total usage has taken place.

These "Special Rates" shall be continued in effect as long as such "surplus water" is available, or until this schedule of rates shall be duly modified in accordance with the requirements of law.

*Builders' and Contractors' Supply.*

Metered Service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation is to be paid by the contractors—"GENERAL USE" charges to apply.

Service through fire hydrants and other unmetered services—(The contractor to obtain permission for use of fire hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard, 11 cents.

For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose, 11 cents.

For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 square feet, 8.6 cents.

For water required for settling earth fills, grading and backfilling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth, 4.3 cents.

For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hour day, 43 cents.

*Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service.*

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler service to which no connections for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said department and are protected against theft, leakage or waste of water, first, a monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, $3 per month, and second, for water delivered, based on monthly readings, "General Use" charges to apply.

*Public Uses.*

Fire Hydrants—Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, $3.

Public Buildings, Parks and Other Metered Service, "General Use" charges to apply.

Street Sprinkling and Flushing—Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "General Use" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Meurice N. Swim, representing the Town of Bay Shore, was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor Colman, and presented resolution and petition for water service from the Municipal Water System of San Francisco, which petition was on motion of Supervisor Uhl *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

**Appointment of Representatives to Convention of American Road Builders' Association.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 2973, as follows:

Whereas, the American Road Builders' Association, representing all divisions of the highway industry and profession, will hold its 1937 convention and highway exhibit in New Orleans, during the week of January 11, 1937; and

Whereas, the convention program will include discussions of all major phases of highway and street construction and maintenance, together with discussions of latest developments in highway financing, administration, traffic safety and allied subjects; and

Whereas, the past year has brought important new developments in highway operations, including authorization of federal aid for low cost roads; and

Whereas, continuation of an adequate highway program requires the united support of members of the industry and profession; and

Whereas, the highway exhibit offers the most economic method for engineers and officials to inspect and compare the latest developments in equipment and materials used in constructing and maintaining roads and streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of this Board be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint representation from this Board to attend the convention and exhibit of the American Road Builders' Association; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby requested to delegate the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer to be in attendance at said convention; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the American Road Builders' Association, National Press Building, Washington, D. C.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of Meade Avenue, Between Third and Jennings, by Sewering (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 1196, Ordinance No. 12.061168, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifica-

tions therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 25, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Meade avenue, between Third and Jennings streets, by the construction of the following items:

Item No. Item

1. 8-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
2. 8x6-inch "Wye" Branches, in place.
3. Brick Manholes, complete.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Block No. 5017, Lot Nos. 1, 15, 16 and 17, and Block No. 5016, Lot Nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8, all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Ordering the Improvement of Bemis Street, Between Castro and Mateo Streets, by Grading and Sewering (Protest).**

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 1197, Ordinance No. 12.061169, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisers November 23, 1936, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director

of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bemis street, between Castro and Mateo streets, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following:

Item No. Item

1. Grading (excavation).
2. Grading (embankment).
3. Brick manholes, complete.
4. 12-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
5. 8-inch V. C. P. Sewer, in place.
6. Brick Catchbasins, complete.
7. 10-inch V. C. P. Culvert, in place.
8. 8x6-inch "Y" Branches, in place.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Block 6715, Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 13A, 14, 14A, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23; Block 6716, Lots 1, 19, 19A, 19B, 20, 20A, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26, and Block 6728, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Grade Changes, Ortega Street and Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 1198, Ordinance No. 12.072225, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Ortega street, between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-four and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Noriega and Pacheco streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works did on the 3rd day of August, 1936, by Resolution No. 2714, declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Ortega street, between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-fifth avenues, between Noriega and Pacheco streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

| *Ortega street*: | *Feet* |
|---|---|
| Thirtieth avenue westerly line | 274 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Thirty-first avenue easterly line | 261 |
| Thirty-first avenue westerly line | 258 |
| Thirty-second avenue easterly line | 243 |
| Thirty-second avenue westerly line | 240 |
| Thirty-third avenue easterly line | 222 |
| Thirty-third avenue westerly line | 219 |
| Thirty-fourth avenue easterly line | 203 |
| Thirty-fourth avenue westerly line | 200 |
| Thirty-fifth avenue easterly line | 189 |
| Thirty-fifth avenue westerly line | 186 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-first avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 251.93 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 249.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 105 feet southerly from Noriega street | 252.06 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 257.98 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 261.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 258.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 262.83 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 276.17 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 281.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 278.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-second avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 238.93 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 236.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 500 feet northerly from Ortega street | 238.92 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 241.68 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 243.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 240.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 242.66 |
| 100 feet northerly from Pacheco street | 247.33 |
| Easterly line of, at Pacheco street | 250.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Pacheco street | 247.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| *Thirty-third avenue*: | |
| Easterly line of, at Noriega street | 221.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| Westerly line of, at Noriega street | 218.00 |
| (The same being the present official grade.) | |
| 500 feet northerly from Ortega street | 221.39 |
| 300 feet northerly from Ortega street | 223.61 |
| 100 feet northerly from Ortega street | 222.11 |
| Easterly line of, at Ortega street | 222.00 |
| Westerly line of, at Ortega street | 219.00 |
| 100 feet southerly from Ortega street | 222.36 |

100 feet northerly from Pacheco street.....226.36
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....228.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....225.00
(The same being the present official grade.)

*Thirty-fourth avenue*:

Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....203.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....200.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
500 feet northerly from Ortega street .....203.31
300 feet northerly from Ortega street .....205.36
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....203.36
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....203.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....200.00
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....203.50
300 feet southerly from Ortega street .....207.50
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....205.36
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....205.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....202.00
(The same being the present official grade.)

*Thirty-fifth avenue*:

Easterly line of, at Noriega street .....185.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Noriega street .....182.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
500 feet northerly from Ortega street .....185.00
100 feet northerly from Ortega street .....187.69
Easterly line of, at Ortega street .....189.00
Westerly line of, at Ortega street .....186.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
100 feet southerly from Ortega street .....187.69
100 feet northerly from Pacheco street .....185.03
Easterly line of, at Pacheco street .....185.00
(The same being the present official grade.)
Westerly line of, at Pacheco street .....182.00
(The same being the present official grade.)

On Ortega street between the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue, and on Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-third, Thirty-fourth, and Thirty-fifth avenues between Noriega and Pacheco streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking at Certain Hours on the East Side of Battery Street, Between Bush and California Streets, Between 4:30 P. M. and 6 P. M.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1199, Ordinance No. 11.0221, as follows:

Amending Section 37B, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37B, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "From 4:30 p. m. to 6 p. m. on

the east side of Battery street, between Bush and California streets, Sundays and holidays excepted."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Prohibit Parking on the West Side of Presidio Avenue, From Post Street to Geary Boulevard.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1200, Ordinance No. 11.0222, as follows:

Amending Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37A, Article V, of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following words: "West side of Presidio avenue, from Post street to Geary boulevard."

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Traffic Ordinance to Make Twenty-fifth Avenue an Arterial Street, Instead of Twenty-fourth Avenue.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 1201, Ordinance No. 11.0223, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets and Repealing All Ordinances Inconsistent Herewith," by deleting, in Section 24 thereof, *Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue*, and substituting therefor *Twenty-fifth avenue from the center line of Camino Del Mar to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets*—The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemany boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemany boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van

Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary boulevard from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary boulevard to the Great Highway;

Howard street from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, then on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemany boulevard, and from the east line of Alemany boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Twenty-fifth avenue from the center line of Camino Del Mar to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue south from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue south from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets

the prolongation of the nearest property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP".

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Extension of Skyline Boulevard.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 2996, as follows:

Whereas, in the original bond issue for highways of the State of California, the people of the State were pledged that the boulevard known as the Skyline boulevard would be constructed from the County line of San Francisco to the town of Woodwardia in the County of Santa Cruz; and

Whereas, while a lateral has been built leading to Woodwardia, it is the opinion of the proponents of the Skyline boulevard that the width is not sufficient nor is the type of roadway conformable to that which was contemplated when the bond issue was voted; and

Whereas, it is of vital interest to the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Peninsula that the Skyline boulevard be completed to full width, not only to Woodwardia but to Burrell in Santa Cruz County to form a connection with the old San Jose road leading into Capitola and Soquel and with Highland way leading into Corralitos and Watsonville, thus facilitating vehicular traffic from the various cities and towns affected, to and from San Francisco; and

Whereas, recent conferences indicate that unless a specific demand is made for the completion of this boulevard and unless San Francisco takes the lead, the work will not be finished; on the contrary, it will be long delayed or abandoned by the actions of certain selfish county interests who are attempting to consummate their local projects at the expense of the Skyline boulevard project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the chairmen of the Streets and Finance Committees of this Board of Supervisors, in the interest of the completion of the Skyline boulevard, are hereby authorized and directed to call for a meeting of representatives from San Mateo County, Pajaro Valley, Soquel Valley and San Jose Chambers of Commerce, Highland Center, the Santa Clara County Farm Bureau, Representatives Richard J. Welch and John J. McGrath and all other interested parties, to meet, promote and insist upon the redemption of the pledge made to the people in 1919 and to take such steps as are necessary to procure the proper completion of the Skyline boulevard; and be it

Further Resolved, That steps be immediately taken to secure unanimity of action by all the persons and bodies interested so that an appeal may be made for Federal funds or for State funds during the next biennium, at the next meeting of the State Board of Public Works to be held in the near future.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until next meeting tf the Board*:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ———

The following matter was *laid over until next meeting of the Board*:

## CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend Section 81 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the .... day of ....., 1937, a proposal to amend as herein set forth Section 81 of the Charter relating to cash reserve fund and temporary loans.

## CASH RESERVE FUND AND TEMPORARY LOANS.

Section 81. The Board of Supervisors, by annual tax levy, may gradually build up the cash reserve fund authorized and created by the provisions of Section 80 of this Charter. Said fund shall be used exclusively (1) for the payment in any fiscal year of legally budgeted expenditures for such year in anticipation of the collection, after the close of such fiscal year, of legally collectible taxes and other revenues, as set forth in the budget and the appropriation ordinance for such fiscal year, and (2) for paying that portion of the authorized expenses of the City and County for any fiscal year, which, as certified to said Board by the Controller, becomes due and payable and must be paid prior to the receipt of tax payments for such fiscal year; provided, that such cash reserve fund shall not at any time exceed the estimated expenditures for the first five months of the then current fiscal year, less the amount of estimated revenues and receipts from sources other than tax rate revenues.

In the event that funds are not available in such a cash reserve fund to meet authorized expenditures of any fiscal year, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of the Controller and the Mayor, and the written approval of the officer, board or commission responsible for the management and control of the fund from which it is proposed that the temporarily idle balances be transferred or loaned may, by ordinance, authorize the Treasurer to make temporary transfers or loans for specified periods of idle unencumbered balances in any fund in his custody, except a pension fund, at not less than the then current rate of interest paid by the banks to the City and County on City and County funds deposited with such banks. Such approval by the officer, board or commission concerned shall specify that the amount proposed to be transferred or loaned from such fund will not be needed for the purpose of such fund prior to the date specified for its return. The fund from which such transfer or loan is made shall be charged or encumbered with the amount of such transfer or loan and such amount shall not be considered as available in such fund for any other appropriation or encumbrance for which any expenditures or payments must be made

rior to the date on which the transfer or loan is repaid. Any transfer r loan made as herein authorized during the first half of any fiscal year hall be repaid prior to the first day of January of said year, and any ransfer or loan made during the remaining one-half of said fiscal year hall be repaid prior to the 15th day of May of said year. Such loans hall be secured by and made solely in anticipation of the collection of axes levied or to be levied for the current fiscal year, and such loans hall constitute the first demand on and shall be repaid from the first ax collections for such current fiscal year; provided, however, that tax nticipation loans made as hereinafter in this section authorized, shall onstitute a prior lien on said taxes levied or to be levied or collected.

When funds shall be needed for the immediate requirements of the ity and County in any fiscal year in accordance with appropriations nade as authorized by this Charter for such fiscal year, which payments nay be made in advance of the receipt of income for such fiscal year, and vhen funds therefor cannot be made available as hereinbefore in this ection authorized, the Board of Supervisors, on the recommendation of he Controller and the approval of such recommendation by the Mayor, hall have power by ordinance, to borrow money on notes or other vidences of indebtedness on behalf of the City and County. Said amount r amounts which may be borrowed shall not, during any half of the iscal year, exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of the estimated tax eceipts for the entire current fiscal year. All such notes or other evi- lences of indebtedness shall be offered at public sale by the Board of Supervisors after not less than two days of advertising, not less than hree days after the last day on which such advertising is published. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering the lowest rate of nterest or whose bid represents the lowest net cost to the City and County; provided, however, that the rate of interest to be paid shall not exceed the sum of six (6) per centum per annum, and full authority is nereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix, by resolution, the rate of interest on said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the times nd places where the principal sum of said notes or other evidences of ndebtedness shall be paid. The principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this section shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year during which the same are issued, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes or other evidences of indebted- ness, or any of them, become due and payable the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes or other evidences of indebtedness then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise.

Any of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness not paid prior to June 30 of the fiscal year during which the same are issued shall, nevertheless, be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the said fiscal year, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this section to provide for the payment of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section out of the taxes levied for the fiscal year during which said notes or other evidences of indebtedness are issued irrespective of the actual date of the collection of said taxes.

The Board of Supervisors shall have full power and authority to provide for the form of all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued by authority of this section, as well as to fix the time and place for the payment of both the principal amount of said notes or other evidences of indebtedness and the interest to become due thereon; provided that all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the first half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than December 31 of said year; and all notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued for money borrowed during the second half of any fiscal year shall be payable not later than May 15 of such year, it being the intent and purpose of this section that the borrowing of money under authority hereof shall be solely for the purpose of anticipating receipts of income. The Mayor, in preparing the consolidated budget estimate as provided by this Charter, shall include therein a separate amount sufficient to meet the interest to be paid on any moneys borrowed under authority of this section.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

### Controller to Estimate Probable Tax Rate in case of $52,700,000 Bond Issue for Rapid Transit.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Controller be requested to prepare an estimate of probable tax rate, including interest and redemptions each year, for the amortization of the suggested $52,700,000 bond issue, estimated cost of the proposed San Francisco Rapid Transit Subway System.

The Controller is also requested to suggest the appropriate maturities for these bonds so that the burden upon the taxpayer may be minimized.

The Controller is also requested to suggest what, in his judgment, would be the proper interest rate for these bonds, and base his statement on the interest that he suggests.

Motion *carried*.

### Resignation of Supervisor Franck R. Havenner.

The following was presented, read and *ordered filed*:

December 21, 1936.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: Having been elected a representative in Congress from the Fourth Congressional District of the State of California, I hereby tender my resignation as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, said resignation to be effective on January 1, 1937.

Respectfully,

FRANCK R. HAVENNER.

Rapid Transit Plan.

(Code No. ——)

Resolution No. ————, as follows:

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Whereas, Rapid Transit is of paramount importance to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has submitted to the Board of Supervisors under date of May .., 1936, a Rapid Transit Plan and which plan calls for a two-track subway on a section of the following streets: Market, Mission, Geary, Montgomery, estimated to cost $52,700,000; and

Whereas. in 1931 the then City Engineer, Mr. M. M. O'Shaughnessy, submitted to the Board of Supervisors, a plan of Rapid Transit, the first unit of which he recommended be built on Market street. His conclusions set forth on page 52 of said report are as follows:

"After careful consideration of all local conditions it seems that the initial plan of the subway on Market street between First and Gough streets, best meets all the requirements of San Francisco's present needs."

"The initial investment required is relatively small for a system that will really improve downtown traffic conditions for a considerable period of time and through the use of the present street car equipment heavy expenditures for new equipment, new storage barns and new shops are saved."

"As shown by the plan for ultimate rapid transit construction, the proposed subway fits into the plan for future development in so far as it is possible to predict at this time. The construction under Market street will be built with a view to ultimate utilization with rapid transit trains. *Any less costly undertaking would either not render satisfactory service, would fail to accomplish the desired results, or would necessitate again opening up Market street and expending large sums of money at a later date.*"

On page 67 of said O'Shaughnessy's report of 1931, the cost of constructing the subway on Market street, exclusive of the extension on O'Farrell and McAllister, is set forth as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Market Street, Battery to McAllister—4 tracks | $ 9,450,000 |
| Market Street, McAllister to Gough—2 tracks | 4,300,000 |
| Subway tracks, signals, dist. system | 1,000,000 |
| Changes on cars for subway operation | 500,000 |
| Mr. Cahill advises today's cost, 10 per cent higher | 1,625,000 |
| Interest during construction | 2,000,000 |
| | $18,875,000 |

Whereas, it is important that certain lines should be extended at this time and also most important, that busses should be provided as feeders, as for example: Extending the H line to Third and Oakdale, with bus feeders from there to Visitacion Valley, bus feeders connecting from the J line to the outlying sections in the Mission. Very important bus feeders in the Sunset district between Lincoln Way and Sloat boulevard. Desirability of changing the F line into a trackless trolley and allowing for these items the sum of $1,000,000, making a grand total of $19,875,000.

Whereas, the O'Shaughnessy report, made only five years ago, takes into consideration not alone present traffic conditions but also sets forth unit which should be constructed at some future date; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission furnishes no estimate of receipts or expenditures under subway operations—it would merely be a guess—whereas the fact is indisputable that an interest charge that will be the burden of the taxpayers, upon completion of the $52,700,000 subway proposed by the Public Utilities Commission will amount to $2,108,000 the first year as compared to an interest pay-

ment of approximately $800,000 the first year covering the O'Shaughnessy Plan, extensions and bus feeders outlined above; and

Whereas, the bonding limit of San Francisco at the present time is $58,000,000, which amount will be reduced to $5,300,000 in event the voters approve the proposed $52,700,000 bond issue covering construction of the Rapid Transit Plan proposed by the Public Utilities Commission, and which approval by the voters is doubtful, it therefore behooves us to do only the most essential thing that will relieve present traffic congestion on Market street; therefore, be it

Resolved, The Supervisors favor submitting to the voters a bond issue of $19,875,000 covering the foregoing subway, extensions and bus feeders plus the cost, when ascertained, of the extension to the Terminal Plan X, as an alternate proposal to the $52,700,000 bond issue necessary to construct the Rapid Transit Plan outlined by the Public Utilities Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be respectfully asked to give the foregoing proposal their careful consideration.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Leave of Absence, Hon. Franck R. Havenner.**

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was presented and read by the Clerk:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 2997, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Franck R. Havenner, President of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of seven days, commencing December 26, 1936, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supervisor McSheehy presented and subsequently withdrew the following resolution, the subject matter having been covered previously by motion on December 3, 1936:

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. ——, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve Plan No. 7 for the distribution of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy system to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, which said plan was prepared by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, and submitted to this Board by the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco by resolution of said commission dated September 14, 1936.

**Draft of Proposed Charter Amendment Providing for Revenue Bonds.**

Supervisor Havenner presented draft of proposed charter amendment providing for issue of revenue bonds for acquisition of electric distribution system.

*Laid over for consideration in Committee of the Whole, December 24, 9 a. m. Printed copies of the Charter Amendment to be furnished the members of the Board and the public.*

**Discussion Re Charter Amendment—Revenue Bonds for Electrical System.**

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a moment. On roll call I desire to introduce a Charter amendment providing for an issue of revenue bonds for the acquisition of an electrical distribution system, and I would——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did you say a resolution?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: A Charter amendment. And I would like to ask the members of the Board whether they would agree to recess, when we do recess tonight, until Wednesday, and allow this Charter amendment to be referred to a Committee of the Whole on that day, and if the Committee of the Whole places its recommendation thereon to have that recommendation submitted to the Board on Wednesday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would move that we recess to meet on Wednesday at ten o'clock, and that this matter be taken up at that particular time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: By the Committee of the Whole?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: As a Committee of the Whole, if this Board——

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: May I ask Supervisor McSheehy to change his motion to two o'clock Wednesday, due to the fact that Supervisor Brown and myself will be in attendance at the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge District.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I particularly set it for ten o'clock, Wednesday, because Supervisor Schmidt came forward——

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: How is Thursday morning?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Havenner, what date would suit you?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Either day, I would merely like to point out that Thursday is Christmas eve——

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Thursday morning then. Is there any objection to that?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that the date be made Thursday morning at 10 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: What is the matter to be considered at that time?

THE PRESIDENT: A Charter amendment for revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why not leave it open for any business?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, I was going to ask that number 26 on the calendar be taken up after the revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move that be taken up the same day.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: We could pass that now, I think.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Why not any matters we have pending?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think Supervisor Roncovieri is right, that we recess to take up any matters pending on Thursday; the first matter to be the revenue bonds.

THE PRESIDENT: It will be understood the first matter will be the revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, and any other matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, second the motion.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to know what the motion is?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My motion is that we recess, when we do, to meet at ten o'clock on Thursday morning, the first order of business to be revenue bonds as per the resolution introduced by our President, and any other unfinished business of the Board.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I second that motion.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? Hearing none, such will be the order.

* * * * * * * *

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I want to read two telegrams, and then I have a resolution. Last week I was authorized by the Board to send the following telegram:

"San Francisco, December 15, 1936.

"Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D. C.

"San Francisco Board of Supervisors respectfully requests early decision by you on Plan 7 for distribution of Hetch Hetchy Electric Power. This plan with approval thereof by Supervisors was sent you December 4th. It is proposed to hold charter amendment election February 16th. At this election it is desired to submit an amendment authorizing issuance of revenue bonds to finance Plan 7. The law requires all amendments shall be published 40 days before election. It is desired to get immediate action by Board of Supervisors on the revenue bond amendment. Therefore the Board desires to know if Plan 7 in your judgment complies with the Raker Act and does it meet with your approval?

"JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman, Hetch Hetchy Power Committee,
San Francisco Board of Supervisors."

On December 18th I received this telegram:

"James B. McSheehy, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.
"Re tel 15th. Plan 7 acceptable under Raker Act.

"Secretary of the Interior."

So the Secretary of the Interior has accepted Plan 7.

THE CLERK: Will you permit me, I have a letter here that came today on the same subject, from Secretary Ickes. (Reading):

"The Secretary of the Interior, Washington, December 16, 1936.

"The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, care of Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

"Gentlemen: I have received your letter of December 4th in which you advise me of the formal action of the Board of Supervisors in approving Plan No. 7 for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy electrical energy, and of your tentative agreement to submit the plan to the voters at a special charter election to be held on or about February 16, 1937.

"I have carefully examined the plan to determine whether it satisfies the requirements contained in the Raker Act. As I understand the plan it calls for distribution direct to consumers by the City and County of all electrical energy used in San Francisco, except that needed by the Market Street Railway. All energy generated on the Hetch Hetchy project will be distributed to consumers by the City and County with the necessary supplemental supply and standby service being acquired by purchase from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. It is also proposed to utilize the transmission and step down facilities of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company between Newark and San Francisco for the carrying of the Hetch Hetchy energy. The company will be compensated for that use of its facilities by mutually agreeable payments to be fixed by contract.

"If my understanding of Plan No. 7 is correct, it, if adopted, will be in compliance with the requirements of the Raker Act. Its feasibility is, of course, a matter wholly for determination by you and the voters of San Francisco, and a matter into which I have not inquired.

"I appreciate very much the fact that you have shown such splendid spirit in moving toward lawful operation of the electrical features of the Hetch Hetchy project. It is my earnest hope that a legal method of distribution may be soon placed in operation.

"Sincerely yours,

"(Signed) HAROLD L. ICKES,
"Secretary of the Interior."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, members of the Board, I would like to introduce this resolution:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, do hereby approve Plan No. 7 for the distribution of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy system to the People of the City and County of San Francisco, which said plan was prepared by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, and submitted to this Board by the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco by resolution of said Commission dated September 14, 1936."

Now, may I ask at this time for the suspension of the rules?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you read that again, please?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: "Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby approve Plan No. 7 for the distribution of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy system to the People of the City and County of San Francisco, which said plan was prepared by E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, and submitted to this Board by the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco by resolution of said Commission dated September 14, 1936."

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I answer that? You still have the floor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would say, members of the Board, we have approved Plan 7 in a general way, but we did so with certain conditions written into it, and I have taken this up with the City Attorney and I want to say that through him this resolution has been very carefully drawn and the language carefully compiled. On November 17th we passed a resolution——

THE PRESIDENT: While you are looking for that, could I make just one motion? Would you yield just a moment in order that I may make a motion?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, certainly.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to move that the Clerk be instructed to immediately have the revenue bond charter amendment printed so the members can have it to examine.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And that it be mailed to each member of the Board immediately.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order.

### Citizens Meeting—One-Man Street Car Legislation.

Supervisor Havenner announced that permission had been granted for the use of the chambers for a meeting of a Citizens' Committee organized for the purpose of bringing about a prompt enforcement of the laws regulating the operation of one-man street cars, and asked that Supervisor Mead act as temporary chairman of the meeting in his stead when ever said meeting is called.

### Bridge Tolls.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication from Lieutenant Governor Hatfield re bridge tolls.

Read and *ordered filed.*

### In re Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the matter of Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge be made a Special Order of Business for Thursday, December 24th. (To be considered immediately after Supervisor Havenner's Electric Distribution Revenue Bonds Charter Amendment and Supervisor McSheehy's resolution for approval of Plan No. 7.)

Motion *carried.*

**Proposed Charter Amendment Providing for Municipal Employees' Health Service System.**

Supervisor Mead presented proposed charter amendment providing for Municipal Employees' Health Service System.

*Referred to Special Charter Committee.*

**Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Observance of Washington's Birthday.**

Supervisor Mead moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Washington's Birthday.

Motion *carried.*

**Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Observance of Lincoln's Birthday.**

Supervisor Meyer moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of Lincoln's Birthday.

Motion *carried.*

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 6:50 p. m. recessed until Thursday, December 24, 1936, at 9 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 4, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 31—New Series No. 54

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
PERIODICAL DEPT.

Thursday, December 24, 1936
Saturday, December 26, 1936
Monday, December 28, 1936

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
140 Montgomery Street, S. F.

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## THURSDAY, DECEMBER 24, 1936, 9 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, December 24, 1936, 9 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, December 21, 1936, for the purpose of considering proposed Charter Amendment providing for revenue bonds for municipal distribution of Hetch Hetchy power, and other Unfinished Business.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Schmidt—3.

Supervisor Brown noted present at 9:30 a. m.

Supervisor McSheehy noted present at 10 a. m.

Supervisor Schmidt noted present at 10:10 a. m.

Quorum present.

President Havenner presiding.

### Resignation of Supervisor Franck R. Havenner as President.

President Havenner announced that as his resignation from the Board of Supervisors, effective January 1, 1937, had been previously accepted, and as he was leaving for the east on Saturday, December 26, he desired to tender his resignation as President of the Board so that a presiding officer could be elected for the coming year.

### Resignation Accepted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the resignation of Supervisor Havenner as President of the Board be accepted.

*No objection.*

### Election of President.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that Supervisor Shannon be elected as President of the Board for the coming year.

### Nominations Closed.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, nominations for President were closed.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon was elected unanimously as President of the Board of Supervisors and assumed the chair.

### Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole. President Shannon presiding as Chairman of the Committee.

### Presentation of W. D. Hadeler, Golden Gate Bridge Director.

President Shannon presented to the Board, Mr. W. D. Hadeler, newly elected Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, who thanked the Board for electing him to the position.

### Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

President Shannon requested that the Board allow Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, who had entered the Chambers, to report to the Board what had transpired at meeting with State Highway Commission in Sacramento relative to the Funston avenue approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, which he did briefly and thereupon said matter was laid over for discussion until meeting Monday.

### Motion to Postpone Consideration of Proposed Revenue Bonds Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Brown stated that inasmuch as each member of the Board should have a copy of proposed charter amendment for study, before voting thereon, and that he did not think a vote could be had during the day, moved that consideration of proposed amendment be postponed to Monday, December 28.

### Objection.

Supervisor Havenner objected to postponement, explaining his reason therefor.

### Amendment to Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown, with consent of his second, moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and recommend to the Board to recess to meet Saturday at 10 a. m.

### Further Objection.

Supervisor Havenner objected further to any postponement of consideration of charter amendment, stating that the Board itself had set the time for hearing. Supervisor McSheehy objected to postponement.

### Motion Withdrawn.

Whereupon, Supervisor Brown withdrew his motion for postponement with announcement that he would renew it at 1 p. m.

### Charter Amendment No. 1.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the amendment to provide for electric power distribution revenue bonds be known as Charter Amendment No. 1.

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

### Motion to Submit.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that Charter Amendment No. 1 be ordered submitted to the electors.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Colman moved the privilege of the floor to anyone who desired to be heard on the question, first the proponents of the measure then the opponents.

### Re Discussion Distribution of Hetch Hetchy Power (Revenue Bonds).

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the matter before us is the charter amendment on revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move that it be read, Mr. President.

* * * * * * * *

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I move that the Board resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And I move that the President of the Board be appointed Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order (gavel).

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Now I move that the floor be thrown open to any citizens who desire to be heard on this particular matter before the Board discusses it, and that first the proponents of the measure be heard, and then the opponents, and then after that the Board can discuss the matter itself.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, such will be the order (gavel).

SUPERVISOR UHL: You said "no objection", and I have an objection. You said that you are going to be strict in enforcing the rules, and then you said, "No objection", and rapped the gavel before I could be heard, and I have an objection.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, would you like to rescind the motion?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Have you any objection, Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I have no objection, no.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if there is no objection, the motion will be rescinded. Hearing none, such will be the order (gavel).

Supervisor Uhl, you have the floor.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I feel this way, that some of our citizens here perhaps have not read the charter amendment, and I feel that it should be read and made a part of the record and then throw the meeting open to those who desire to address the meeting on that matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection to that procedure?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No objection.

THE CHAIRMAN: Such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Could I have a copy of it? I have not received one.

THE CLERK: It was mailed to you.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Where?

THE CLERK: At your home.

THE CHAIRMAN: The clerk will proceed with the reading of the amendment.

THE CLERK (reading): "Adding a new section to be designated Section 121-A, which shall read as follows:

Section 121-A. The Public Utilities Commission may for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring or constructing, or of acquiring and constructing, a system for the distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco of the hydroelectric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy system, or for the distribution of any other electric power necessary or incident for the purpose of furnishing the people of said City and County with heat, light and power, borrow money and/or issue revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, payable wholly out of revenue, in such amount or amounts as may in the judgment of said Public Utilities Commission be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring and/or constructing said system for the distribution of said electric power, provided that the total amount of said money to be so borrowed, or bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued, shall not exceed in the aggregate the sum of $50,000,000."——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a minute. I understand the City Attorney has a new draft, having made certain changes in this amendment since it was presented here the other day, so I would suggest we might as well have the new draft here. Certain changes have been

made at the suggestion of the Public Utilities Commission, I believe, or representatives of the Utilities Commission, who went over the charter amendment, at my request, so perhaps for the information of the Board it is better to get the new draft.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think it is necessary to consider the amendment we are going to vote on, of course.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Did you send for the City Attorney?

THE CLERK: I sent a notice to him this morning and told him we were meeting at nine o'clock. Here he is now.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Have you the new draft, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Are there many changes in it?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes; since I submitted it to the Board I considered it with Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, and it does contain material changes.

* * * * * * * *

THE CHAIRMAN: The Clerk will now proceed with the revised copy, as presented by the City Attorney. I think you better start with the beginning, Mr. Clerk.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Just a minute, until I ask the City Attorney a question. Does this new document vary much from this (indicating)?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It doesn't vary in effect, but in detail it does.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I was going to ask one of the clerks to make the changes——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, they couldn't do it, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: They could not?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: As I have gone through this, this morning, it was only completed late last night, I have noticed some typographical errors, and it may be necessary before the final draft is made, it may be necessary to correct those words. I noticed one at the top of the first page that is left out: "The Public Utilities Commission may, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method, a system for the generation and distribution"——in other words the words "generation and" go in there before the word "distribution."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you kindly repeat that, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: On line three of the typewritten copy, the next to the last word, where it reads: "for the distribution," and the correction will read "for the generation and distribution."

THE CHAIRMAN: Read it, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK (reading): "Section 121a. The Public Utilities Commission may, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method a system for the generation and distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the people of any territory adjacent to or adjoining said City and County, of the hydroelectric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy project, or for the generation or distribution of any other electric power necessary or convenient for the purpose of furnishing said City and County, or the people thereof, or any territory adjacent to said City and County, or to the people thereof, with heat, light and power, borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, payable wholly out of revenue, in such amount or amounts, as may, in the judgment of said Public Utilities Commission, be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring said system for the generation and/or distribution of said electric power, provided that the total amount of said money to be so borrowed, or bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued, shall not exceed in the aggregate the sum of $50,000,000. Said system to be acquired shall hereafter be referred to as 'The System.'

"'The System' to be acquired shall, in so far as practical, be the

system submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission on September 14, 1936, and designated by said Public Utilities Commission as Plan No. 7, which said plan was approved by the Board of Supervisors by resolution adopted by said Board of Supervisors on December 'blank', 1936."——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why shouldn't the date be filled in now?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Supervisor McSheehy has it there, I think.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You passed a resolution on December 3d.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Are you sure of the date?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, I have it right here before me.

THE CLERK (reading): "——provided, however, that said Public Utilities Commission is hereby given full power and authority to deviate from said plan to such extent as it shall deem proper in order to carry out the purposes of said plan, but any change made in said plan, or in the execution of the same, shall not render invalid or have any effect upon the validity of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued to meet the cost of 'The System,' and no purchaser or holder of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section shall be required to see to the existence of any of the facts, or to the performance of any of the conditions, or the taking of any of the proceedings required prior to the issue of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, or to the application of the purchase price thereof."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: "or to the application of the purchase price thereof"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What is that, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is that language proper?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I don't know,—it seems to read all right to me. In other words, the bondholders never want to be charged with the duty of ascertaining that the moneys have been used for the purpose for which the bonds were issued.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I was just thinking of the final phrasing, whether the language there as you have it, "or to the application of the purchase price thereof," whether that is the way you wanted to phrase it.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "or to the application of the proceeds thereof."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: "of the proceeds thereof."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Instead of "the purchase price."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Go ahead.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is that, Mr. O'Toole? If you will pardon me, Mr. President? "No purchaser or holder of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section shall be required to see to the existence of any of the facts, or to the performance of any of the conditions,"—What is that?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The purpose of that, Supervisor, is this: That if you do not have a provision of that kind in there the bonds would not be as marketable as otherwise, because a purchaser might feel there was some obligation resting on the holder of the bonds to see that the money was applied to the purpose for which the bonds are issued. Of course, as between the Board and the Public Utilities Commission there is nothing to prevent them using the money for which the bonds were actually issued, but the bondholders don't want to have that obligation placed upon themselves. That is just simply for the purpose of making the bonds more salable.

THE CLERK (reading): "Any and all money borrowed, or bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section, as well as the interest to accrue thereon, shall be payable

solely and only out of the revenue from 'The System' to be acquired with said moneys so borrowed, or received for said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

"The Public Utilities Commission shall, except as herein provided, determine the form, conditions and denominations of all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under the provisions of this section, and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall bear, which rate of interest shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. The payment of the principal of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall commence not later than five years from the date of issuance, and shall be completed in not more than 40 years from date of issuance."——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: One minute there. 40, of course, is the outside limit, and within that limit the Board can put any life they want as the life of the bonds. Of course, the commission, when it comes to issuing the bonds, they don't have to issue them for 40 years, 40 is the maximum.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me ask a question right there, through the Chair: And that is this, I did not bring Plan 7 with me, but isn't that figured on a 25-year basis?

MR. CAHILL: 25 years.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: This merely places a maximum.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, all right.

THE CLERK (reading): "Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall mature and shall be payable each year during the period over which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are payable in such amounts as may be fixed by the commission. All of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued may, at the option of the owner thereof, be registered, as to either principal or interest or both, with the Treasurer of the City and County in such manner as may be provided by the Commission. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be sold at such times and in such amounts as the Public Utilities Commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than their par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be offered for sale either on the basis of a fixed rate of interest or on the basis of the lowest net interest cost and power is hereby given to the Commission to fix said rate of interest either prior or subsequent to the date of the issuance of said bonds."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: How can that be done, fix the rate of interest?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think you do that now, Supervisor, on your general obligation bonds. You issue your bonds on a fixed rate of interest.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: How is that?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: For instance, when you sell general obligation bonds you advertise them on an interest-bearing rate, and then take the lowest rate of interest, and, for instance, some of your bonds have been issued for a long time and some for a short time, and you sell them fixing the cost when you offer them for sale.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: All right. Go ahead.

THE CLERK (reading): "All bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued as herein provided shall be signed by the president of the Public Utilities Commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the secretary of the Public Utilities Commission, and countersigned by the Treasurer of the City and County. The signature of the president of the Public Utilities Commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile. Any coupon attached to said bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile signature of the said Treasurer. The official seal of the Public Utilities Commission shall be affixed to

said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness and full authority is hereby given to said commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper. Each of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of the"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Just a minute, right there. Cut out the words "said electric distribution" and put in the words "The System," and it will then read "—out of the revenues of The System."

THE CLERK (reading): "—only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The System and that it does not constitute a general indebtedness against the City and County of San Francisco. All revenue bonds issued under authority of this charter shall be negotiable instruments under the law merchant and shall have all of the attributes of negotiability under the laws relating to negotiable instruments of this state.'

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What does that mean, "law merchant"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I don't know whether that is necessary or not, Supervisor; it simply means in accordance with the customs of commerce and commercial law,—I don't know as it is necessary, and you can cut it out if you want to.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I don't want to cut it out. I wanted to know the meaning of it, that is all.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Leave it in, then.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, leave it in.

THE CLERK (reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall have power to determine the method of acquiring 'The System,' and the determination of said method by said Public Utilities Commission shall be binding and conclusive."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I notice all the way through you have quoted "The System." If you intended to do that I merely wanted to go back to the bottom of page three and change it there.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, it is quoted there.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: At the bottom of page three.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, quote "The System."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just as it has been done elsewhere.

THE CLERK (reading): "Should said Commission determine that 'The System,' or any part thereof, should be acquired other than by construction, the same may be acquired by purchase or by any other lawful means. If said Public Utilities Commission shall determine that 'The System,' or any part thereof, should be constructed, it shall have full power to proceed with the said construction. When said Public Utilities Commission shall have determined the manner of acquiring 'The System' it shall adopt a resolution specifying the method and manner of acquiring the same and shall, in said resolution, fix and determine the estimated cost thereof, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as said Commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness during the actual period of construction of 'The System' and for six months after the same is in operation by said Commission."——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Now from there on down to the end, practically, page eight, that is new absolutely, it is not in the original draft, and it was placed there after conferring with Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, for the reason that there has been some difficulty in the bonds by reason of the fact that those stipulations, or similar stipulations, were not in the bond act. They were taken over by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation—there was considerable comment by reason of the Board of Light and Power in Los Angeles did not have the power to enter into those stipulations, therefore he suggested that they be included.

THE CLERK (Reading): ". . . and if 'The System' is to be acquired by any method other than by construction, then said commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition including the cost of all legal proceedings, incident thereto, and add the amount of said estimate to the cost of said acquisition, as well as the amount of interest on all of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness which may be outstanding during the period of said acquisition, and interest on any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of the operation of 'The System' by said Commission, and said Commission shall include in the cost of 'The System,' whether acquired by construction or otherwise, an amount sufficient to provide working capital as estimated by the Commission to be sufficient to pay the operating expenses of 'The System' for a period not exceeding six months after the operation of 'The System' shall commence. Not more than fifteen (15%) per cent of the cost of the acquisition or construction of said system shall be applied to interest and working capital.

"When said Public Utilities Commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of said distribution system, as well as the total estimated cost thereof, said Commission shall adopt an additional resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of the revenue of said system necessary to pay the said estimated cost of acquiring or constructing said system, not to exceed the sum of $50,000,000; provided that if said resolution, in fixing the amount of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be isuued, fixes said amount at less than $50,000,000, said Commission may adopt a subsequent resolution or resolutions authorizing the issuance of an additional amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness not to exceed the difference between the amount theretofore issued and said $50,000,000.

"Said resolution shall fix the amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued and state generally the purpose thereof, but no purchaser of said bonds shall be required to look to the application of the funds derived from the sale of said bonds. Said resolution shall also state the number and denomination and maturities of said bonds or other evidence of indebtedness, and may provide, all and singular, the conditions to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness as well as the interest thereon if said interest is to be fixed, or it may defer the fixing of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds, and full power and authority is hereby given to said Commission to cause to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness the fact that the same are payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of 'The System.'

"Said resolution may also provide, and the Public Utilities Commission is hereby authorized and empowered in and by the terms of said resolution to agree with the holders of any of the revenue bonds issued thereunder, so long as the same shall be outstanding, as follows:

"(a) That the proceedings"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That should be "proceeds."

THE CLERK (Reading): "That the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds shall be deposted in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of the City and County and shall, with any interest entered thereon"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That should be "accrued."

THE CLERK (Reading): ——"accrued thereon, be applied solely and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which such revenue bonds are authorized to be issued, and that any proceeds of the sale of such bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system

shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, as the case may be, and that none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of the City and County of San Francisco;

"(b) That the Public Utilities Commission will maintain and operate 'The System' constructed from the proceeds of said revenue bonds"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you want to cut out that "The System" again?

THE CLERK: When it comes to the type I will change it.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It doesn't change the substance in any way.

THE CLERK (Reading): ——"in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and will continuously operate the same;

"(c) That the Commission will (subject to all applicable laws of the State of California and the rules and orders of all regulatory bodies of the State of California which may hereafter have jurisdiction over the Commission or the project constructed from the proceeds of said revenue bonds) establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through such system and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable the Commission to pay the principal and interest of said revenue bonds and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of the system constructed from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds;

"(d) That accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by the Commission from the operation of the system constructed from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds, which records shall be open at all times for inspection, while any of the revenue bonds are outstanding and unpaid, to the holders of any one or more of such revenue bonds or their duly authorized representatives;

"(e) That if any part of 'The System' shall be taken from the Commission or from the City and County of San Francisco by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said City and County or by said Commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said revenue bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;

"(f) That neither the Commission nor the City and County shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a moment. In subsection D, the third line, Mr. O'Toole, you said "from the operation of the system constructed." Do you want to put it "constructed" or "acquired"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "the system acquired," it should be.

THE CLERK: "Constructed or otherwise acquired"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, "acquired" is enough, from the language of the Supreme Court—it doesn't make any difference.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Strike out the word "constructed"?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Make it "acquired" instead of "constructed." I understand the same change should be made in C, in the next to the last line of paragraph C.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: What is the change, now?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: "Acquired" instead of "constructed", next to the last line of Section C. You don't have to say "acquired or constructed."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, the Supreme Court has held that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Also line five, the same thing, in Section C.

THE CLERK: Change that, too?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: "Acquired" is enough?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Acquired" is plenty.

THE CLERK: Subsection F. (Reading): "That neither the Commission or the City and County shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of 'The System' acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or decrease the revenues arising or to arise therefrom or otherwise impair the right of the holders of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal or interest of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature:"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a moment. Is that satisfactory to the Commission? What about this, "or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or decrease the revenues arising or to arise therefrom"—or do you intend to do that? Might it not be possible that there would be an essential contract at some time that might have a slight effect on the revenues?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What Mr. Herrington is trying to accomplish is this: They insist on all these revenue bonds issued by municipalities that the City should not mark them or dispose of them and, we will say they have a thousand dollars' worth and they come to the bondholder and say, "You are payable out of revenues and there isn't any more revenue." I don't know whether that is too strong or not—"that neither the Commission nor the City and County shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of 'The System' acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds or any substantial part thereof"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Ost says that in the preamble you provide that the Commission is authorized to enter into an agreement with the purchaser of the bonds as to the conditions thereof. Do you think that would be sufficient to prevent this from being a destructive provision? In other words, you don't want to say that they shall never enter into any contract which might affect the revenues of the utility; all you want to provide is that the revenue shall be kept sufficient at all times to meet the bond obligations, don't you?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think it will be sufficient if we don't use the latter part of that subsection F at all:

"That neither the Commission nor the City and County shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of 'The System' acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system." Now, I think it can stop right there. I think the rest could go out. Don't you think so, Mr. Ost?

MR. OST: I would say so. It might be found feasible at some time to enter into a contract with a big user at a very low rate in order to get the benefit of the power and, if it was advantageous it would not impair the value of the bonds. I think we can cut that out. You might at some time have to enter into a contract which for the time being decreased the revenue. May I ask this—under the terms of the Raker Act we are required to deliver power to the irrigation districts. We might be forced to do that at some time at a low rate which might decrease the revenue we are getting in San Francisco.

THE CLERK: That provision says at cost to the producer.

MR. OST: But that might reduce the revenue.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think after the word "system" at the top of page eight, if you will eliminate——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: You could eliminate this by striking out those words "or decrease the revenues"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Cut out the words "or decrease the revenues arising or to arise therefrom".

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: And leave the rest of it in?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: "Or otherwise impair the right of the holders of any of said revenue bonds in part or in full."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: After the word "system" on the top

of page eight, strike out the words "or decrease the revenues arising or to arise therefrom." That is the remainder of the top line and the first word on the second line.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, and leave the rest of it in?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: The word "otherwise," is that necessary there?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think the word "otherwise" is all right, yes, I think that is all right.

THE CLERK: Subdivision G (Reading): "That the Commission shall maintain insurance on the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, of the kind and character and in the amount which is usual and customarily carried by private companies engaged in the operation of a system of works similar to that to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Did you discuss that with Cahill at all, Mr. Ost?

MR. OST: No, I have not.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Jack, did you?

MR. SHERRON: No, I haven't had an opportunity.

MR. OST: I would say this——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It is one of Mr. Herrington's suggestions.

MR. OST: Going back to the preamble before this subsection, it is permissible with the Public Utilities Commission.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It is only permissive, but I don't suppose it is customary for similar companies such as the P. G. & E. to carry any insurance except on their power house.

MR. OST: On their power house—I don't know whether they carry liability or not.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: For instance, a bond purchaser might want us to carry some insurance, and this might not be very specific. It might be a good thing—of course, it is only optional.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you maintain insurance on the water system?

MR. OST: No, the only insurance we have is in connection with the liability on the automobile operation.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You carry some on the Moccasin—the power house at Moccasin?

MR. OST: No, sir, none whatsoever.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is Mr. Cahill available?

MR. OST: He will be back.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Let us pass it, and when Mr. Cahill comes back I would like to get his opinion on it.

THE CLERK: Subdivision H. (Reading): That none of the facilities of "The System" shall be furnished free. In the event that the City and County of San Francisco, or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof shall avail itself of the facilities offered by the system constructed from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said City and County, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue:

"(i) That any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made a part of any bond issued under authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between the Commission and the holders of at least eighty (80%) per cent of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a moment. Subsection H, is that the same provision that is in the ordinance covering the operation of the water department?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Los Angeles has particularly set up in their bonds, in the last bonds, they were to issue, no—the 1935

bonds. I don't know whether it was in the new ones or for, but in the 1935 bonds they have a rather involved method of refunding.

MR. OST: Mr. O'Toole, he is talking about Subsection H, now.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Prohibiting any free service.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Oh, the free service.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is that same provision in the water bonds?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't think so. I don't know if it would be absolutely necessary, but Mr. Herrington thought it would be a good thing as a sales talk. Of course, I presume the Commission would not give you any service unless you paid for it anyhow.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: What about the water department?—Are they prohibited from giving away water?

MR. OST: They give water to the City departments in lieu of taxes.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: On the water it is a little different, Supervisor. It might be necessary because when we took over the Water Department they were paying taxes in the amount of about $350,000 a year to the City, and in the original ordinance, which I presume has been long since superseded by the Public Utility Act in the new charter, but in the original ordinance it provided that water would be furnished free to the City to the extent of the amount of the taxes that were theretofore paid by the Spring Valley Water Company, but I think excepted from that free water was the School Department, and departments that get a set amount in the tax rate for the purpose of conducting the affairs of their departments. The School Department pays, doesn't it?

MR. OST: I think so.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think the playground does; I think the parks just chalk it up.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Are you satisfied with this provision?

MR. OST: It is in accordance with what they are doing in Los Angeles.

THE CLERK: Subdivision J.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Just a minute, I—I think Mr. O'Toole has something to say about that.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That makes the terms and conditions flexible so if there is a necessity of waiving them——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Taking in the consent of the bondholders?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, and the consent of the Commission.

THE CLERK: (Subdivision J. (reading): "Said Commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of the Commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said revenue bonds; provided, however, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said Commission or the City and County of San Francisco to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of the system to be constructed from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That should be "acquired" instead of "constructed."

THE CLERK: ——"and under no circumstances"——

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, before you go ahead on that, Mr. City Attorney, does this conflict with the previous part here? Previously you set up just what the Commission can do, and now you say the Commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions whether herein referred to or not.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That is rather a blanket—

SUPERVISOR UHL: I don't want to blanket anything in there

after we agree on one thing and then follow it with a blanket condition.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Of course, it may be that we left something out.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But doesn't this change the foregoing conditions set forth?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, it can't change the foregoing conditions. Mr. Herrington and I debated that to some length, because we have it in there——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Somewhere previously the terms are set up where they can operate——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: On the top of page six, the first paragraph: "And full power and authority is hereby given to said Commission to cause to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper," and so forth. Now, Mr. Herrington thought that no general power—there might be some confusion from a bond purchaser, but still, on the other hand I called to his attention the fact that no matter how much you itemize your various conditions, you are very apt not to foresee every condition which may arise, and are very apt to leave some very much needed power out, and that was the reason for putting that in again.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: You don't think it will affect the salability of the bonds?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, it wouldn't affect the salability. If anything, it would add to the salability of them because some purchaser might want a certain condition in there which could be very well put in and you might contend that under your general power—that you could specify it under the general grant.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You specify previously that the bonds should not be sold for less than par?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Under this condition could they sell them at 95 or 98?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, sir. "Said Commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of the Commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said revenue bonds."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I think Mr. Uhl is right.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Right there, "but the same shall not be sold at less than par."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No. "Said Commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of the Commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said revenue bonds; provided the same are not"——

SUPERVISOR UHL: "Provided the said bonds are not sold below par."

THE CLERK: "Provided no bonds shall be sold at less than par."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: All right, "provided that no such"——

MR. OST: Mr. O'Toole, would it be advisable to insert right after the conditions there, "Said Commission shall also agree to the terms and conditions not to increase the maximum interest rate."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let's get rid of the bonds before.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than the par value thereof or increase the interest thereon above six (6%) per cent." I think that will cover your point, Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR MEAD: The fifth line in Subsection J?

THE CLERK: After the word "provided": "That such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than the par value thereof or increase the interest above six per cent."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Continue.

THE CLERK (Reading): "And provided, further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said Commission or the City and County of San Francisco to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of the system to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, and under no circumstances shall the City and County be obligated to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions, and all obligations assumed by said Commission which shall require the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "arising from the operation of said system." Cut out the rest of it. Cut out "constructed from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: A period after "system"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

THE CLERK (Reading): "When said resolution is adopted, said Public Utilities Commission shall have full power and authority to issue the revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of $50,000,000."

SUPERVISOR UHL: "When said resolution is adopted."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "When said last mentioned resolution is adopted." Write in "last mentioned" in there.

THE CLERK (Reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall regulate the time of the issuance of any bonds or other evidence of indebtedness authorized by this section, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall be sold by said Commission at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor, after notice of said sale has been given by the publication of notice of said sale for a period of two days in the official newspaper."

May I ask, should this "evidence" be plural in the second line of this paragraph?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It should be plural. It is, in my copy.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Said notice of sale shall not be less than five days after the last publication of said notice."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Cut out after the word "said" that which follows, "notice of". "The said sale shall be held not less than five days after the last publication of said notice." You have got that transposed there.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Strike out "notice" in that same line?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Strike out "notice of" in that line. and "be" in the following line, and insert in place thereof "Said sale shall be held not less than five days after the last publication of said notice."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Let's go back just a moment to this provision in the previous paragraph: "When said last mentioned resolution is adopted"—— To what does that refer, that said last mentioned resolution?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It refers to the resolution—go back to page five: "When said Public Utilities Commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of said distribution system, as well as the total estimated cost thereof, said Commission shall adopt an additional resolution"—— Now, that is the last mentioned resolution there.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you think that reference is definite enough?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think it is.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Okay.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Said sale shall be held not less than five days after the last publication of said notice. The Commission shall require a bond or other security in such amount as it may deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds or obligations. When any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are sold, the proceeds of said sale shall be deposited in the Treasury of the City and County and shall be used only for the purposes for which the same were approved. If a bid acceptable to the Commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale the Commission may direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco at private sale, at a price not less than par and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to purchaser."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You may limit the interest in that paragraph?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The interest is limited all through.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That would apply to this paragraph as well?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The issuance of bonds provides they can not be beyond six per cent, Supervisor. I don't think it is necessary. Mr. Herrington and I discussed that for a moment, but I think they will fix the interest before that—the bonds would be offered at private sale—more than likely they are sold by the Treasurer—the Treasurer will have to be advised of the interest rate. They can't fix an interest rate beyond six per cent, and they can't sell below par. I think you are in the clear on that.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized or issued under the authority of this section shall not be subject to the Charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of the City and County, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What is that 104?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That contains the bond limitation, whatever it is.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Nothing in this section contained shall in any way abridge, control or revoke the power of the electors of the City and County to vote for and caused to be issued bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of said distribution system"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: ——"of the system"——

SUPERVISOR MEAD: Just scrape out "said"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Said distribution" and insert the word "the".

THE CLERK (Reading): ——"completion or extension of the system, but the manner provided in this section for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the same shall be deemed to be supplemental and additional manner for providing funds for said purpose."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: ——"shall be deemed to be a supplemental and additional manner for providing funds for said purpose."

SUPERVISOR UHL: What does that section mean?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It might be said that if this amendment should pass and be written into our Charter, that that sets up the exclusive way to finance this distribution system, and it might happen that the bonds might not be salable or the revenue bonds for some reason could not be financed that way and we don't want anybody to say that we had no right to an obligation bond to finance this——

SUPERVISOR UHL: You mean by that then that after they are voted nobody can upset the vote of the electorate, is that the idea?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What is that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That nobody can affect the control or power of the electorate of San Francisco. In other words, if they vote for it, is that final?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, no, nothing in this section contained shall in any way affect or revoke the—and so forth——

SUPERVISOR UHL: You mean it is an injunction against the voting power?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Nothing in this section contained shall in any way abridge, control or revoke the power of the electors of the City and County to vote for and cause to be issued general obligation bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the system," —on the third line of the second paragraph on page ten, after the word "issued" insert "general obligation." That, Mr. Uhl, would permit, if this were not found to be a feasible way to finance this Plan 7, you could ignore this entirely and the people could finance it by issuing general obligation bonds, by a two-thirds vote.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a minute, now, that Mr. Cahill is here, let's go back to page eight, Mr. Cahill, Subsection G, near the top of the page. Are you familiar with that?

MR. CAHILL: If I understand that, Mr. Supervisor, it is a permissive clause, giving the Public Utilities Commission power to carry insurance if they so desire. I am in favor of insurance of all kinds. I believe that a proper clause, if I am informed properly.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It is put in there simply to satisfy your bond holders because I think you would have the right anyway to carry insurance if you wanted it.

MR. CAHILL: I am in favor of it.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The thing I wanted to call your attention to is, I don't think that section is permissive, I think it is mandatory.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, go back to just before A. "Said resolution may also provide." I think those are all permissive.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: All right.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Public Utilities Commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bonds at the time of the issuance thereof.

"The Commission is hereby authorized, from time to time, to provide for the refunding, extension or renewal of any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section and remaining outstanding and unpaid, at or prior to maturity thereof, by the issuance, sale or exchange of new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness in the same aggregate principal amount as the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be of such denomination and maturity at such times"——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "And maturing at such times."

THE CLERK (Reading): ——"and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as said Commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds or evidences of indebtedness shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of 'the System' and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than 40 years from the date of said new issue."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Of course, that 40 years is optional. I think you want to write in there, at the end of that paragraph, "and the interest thereon shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: After the word "new issue"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: How does it read now?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "shall not be more than 40 years from the date of said new issue, and the interest thereon shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum."

THE CLERK (Reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by 'The System,' which said rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the following:

"(a) All amounts necessary to pay the interest and principal on all bonds or evidences of indebtedness issued and sold, as provided in this section, and remaining unpaid.

"(b) All amounts necessary to provide for the repairs and maintenance of 'The System.'

"(c) All the operating expenses of 'The System.'

"(d) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of 'The System,' as the same may occur."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Now, that is a departure from the original copy submitted in this, that the bond interest and redemption is made the first charge upon the revenues of the system. Mr. Herrington first, when I discussed it with him last week, thought we were perfectly safe in making it the second or the third, but not later than the third; but he said he took it up with various bankers, with their New York correspondents, and found that all these revenue bonds, if they were not payable out of gross earnings they were very difficult to sell. Now, he says it doesn't make any difference, he said, still they have the first claim. The bond holders realize that if they can't keep the plant running that they don't get anything at all, and he said there has never been any difficulty on that.

MR. CAHILL: May I suggest, Mr. O'Toole, that there may be a typographical error in the positions of B and C? I think they should be reversed. In other words, the operating expenses should be first.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, that is right. C should be B, and B become C.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Before any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized under authority of this section are issued and sold, the Board of Supervisors shall, by ordinance, agree that while any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section are unpaid said Board will, at all times, approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission for service from 'The System' which will be sufficient to pay, as the same become due, all and singular, the obligations mentioned in Schedules (a), (b), (c) and (d), abose set forth."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why do you bring the Board of Supervisors in this matter at all?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It is the first time we are brought in.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why should we be brought in here?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: It is nice to know that they haven't forgotten us.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I will tell you, there is a reason for that. Your bonds will not be salable if you don't put that in, because the Public Utilities Commission might present any rates they see fit, and this Board might not approve them or might by a two-thirds vote disapprove them. I have written it this way, "shall approve," which means they shall not disapprove.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Haven't you set up in the Charter Amendment they must be made the body fixing the established, just and reasonable rate to govern all of that?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, but this is the first time we have brought the Board into this, and they have to do that because they had to amend the Los Angeles charter before the Reconstruction Finance Corporation would take any of their bonds. That was writ-

ten in there for the express purpose and the language of the Supreme Court held in a case of that kind, I think Los Angeles against Romane, they held there that the ordinance of the Council would bind their successors in Los Angeles County, and it would be obligatory at all times for the Los Angeles Council to approve rates to meet the obligation of the bonds.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why don't you add to it that the Public Utilities Commission shall be bound by said rate?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: They have to fix the rates first.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You say, "Before any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized under authority of this section are issued and sold, the Board of Supervisors shall, by ordinance, agree that while any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section are unpaid said Board will, at all times, approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission" and so forth.

MR. CAHILL: Go back to the paragraph before A, and it says the Public Utilities Commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates, so they must do it, and this says the Board of Supervisors must agree to not upset those rates.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It simply binds us not to make a change in the rate, is that it?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Not to change the rate below that figure. In other words, if the Utilities Commission came in with a high rate to produce much more than that, there is nothing to prevent you from changing it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, then, the Board of Supervisors shall agree—how can we bind our successors?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It was held in the Los Angeles case that you can.

SUPERVISOR UHL: When do we pass this ordinance? You wouldn't make the ordinance then a part of this amendment?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Not the ordinance, because you don't know what the terms of the ordinance would be.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is why I am wondering if you get six members on the Board who are not in accord with it how are you going to obligate them to pass it?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I don't know, but you are going to do it. Of course, if the Board want to take the responsibility of holding it up, that is up to the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am raising that point. You are saying something here that may not happen——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think possibly——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, this Board or any subsequent Board can we put that in there?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What is that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That the Board of Supervisors or any subsequent body.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The Board of Supervisors is a continuing body, it is the Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. O'Toole, we agree not to change the rate fixed under this?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, you don't agree not to change the rates at all: "The Board of Supervisors shall, by ordinance, agree that while any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section are unpaid said Board will, at all times, approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission for service from The System which will be sufficient to pay."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Suppose, if such a thing is possible, that the Public Utilities Commission—I don't mean these gentlemen, but a Public Utilities Commission in the future, should fix a rate so

low that it will bankrupt the enterprise, the Board of Supervisors can not raise it?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It says, "The Public Utilities Commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The System, which said rate shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay." I think in the paragraph below it should read "approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission for service from The System which shall be sufficient to pay," instead of "will."

MR. CAHILL: Mr. O'Toole, I believe if you go back up to the top of the page, "The Public Utilities Commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates for any service"—— I think that should be changed to "all service."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think "any" covers all things, but if it is more agreeable we can change it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What do you want?

MR. CAHILL: "All" is more inclusive.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Could the Board of Supervisors, since they are mentioned here, "and agree to do a certain thing," go beyond this agreement? In other words, could they do something else that is not mentioned here?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What do you mean, Supervisor? Let me put this example to you: Suppose the Utilities Commission should come in with a rate for power that would be far more than sufficient to pay all the obligations you have mentioned above, that is the interest and the operation and the depreciation, and the Board of Supervisors would not be prohibited from cutting those rates down to as such a figure as will produce that.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We would have that right?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Go ahead.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: There is a word to be changed from "will" to "shall," the third line from the bottom of the next to the last paragraph on page 11. "The System which shall be sufficient to pay."

THE CLERK (Reading): "Said Board of Supervisors shall likewise, by ordinance, confirm any and all agreements, covenants or conditions contained in any resolution of the Public Utilities Commission providing for the issuance of any of said revenue bonds."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to add thereto, "As heretofore prescribed or heretofore provided."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What is that for?

SUPERVISOR UHL: So when they come in with a resolution it means the bonds are for sale at par, the interest rate not to exceed six per cent, and so on—that is a blanket statement you are making there. All right, let them conform to this Charter amendment.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: After the word "bonds" you would add "provided said agreements, covenants and conditions are not in conflict with this section?"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: "Provided said agreements, covenants and conditions are not in conflict with this section."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Go ahead.

THE CLERK (Reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall cause all moneys accruing from the electrical distribution system to be paid into the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco daily"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you want to use those words, "electrical distribution system?"

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Make it "system."

THE CLERK (Reading): "——from the system to be paid into the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco daily, and said moneys, together with any interest earned thereon, shall be used only

for the following purposes and in the order herein set forth, to-wit:"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I ask this question: Is it necessary for the Board of Supervisors to confirm any and all agreements, covenants and conditions in any resolution of the Public Utilities Commission?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That was expressly requested by Mr. Herrington as one of the necessary conditions which adds to the salability of your bonds. I don't know that it is.

MR. CAHILL: You are putting the responsibility on the Public Utilities Commission and then you say that the Board of Supervisors, who do not have the responsibility, must approve this whole thing. It does seem to me that inasmuch as you have already given the power to the Public Utilities Commission it should not be a duty and power of the Board of Supervisors to confirm what has already been stated the Public Utilities Commission has power to do.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think maybe you are right, although it is one of Mr. Herrington's suggestions; I have no objection to cutting it out.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Maybe Mr. Herrington thought there may be some law or something hidden away there that would give the Board of Supervisors power to upset what the Commission has done.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, I don't think they have any power to do that. The only control which the Board has on the Public Utilities Commission is the matter of fixing the rates. I quite agree with Mr. Cahill there, because really there is no necessity for that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Strike that out?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Strike it out.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Why not ask Mr. Herrington what he has in his mind?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I can't get Mr. Herrington now.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What are you striking out?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The bottom of page 11.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: "Said Board of Supervisors shall likewise"—strike all that out?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The entire paragraph?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: "The Public Utilities Commission shall cause all moneys accruing from The System"—that stays in?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

THE CLERK (Reading): Subdivision A. "(a) To pay the principal sum and the interest thereon on all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

"(b) To pay all of the operating expenses of said system;

"(c) To pay all amounts to become due for the repair and maintenance of said system;

"(d) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of 'The System,' as the same may occur;

"(e) To pay for extensions and betterments to said system, or to establish a reserve to pay for said extensions and betterments;

"(f) To establish a surplus fund."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to ask Mr. Cahill, is this the logical sequence, in your opinion?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, that is all right.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You say in C, "to pay all amounts to become due for the repair and maintenance of said system." Shouldn't that be the first?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, your operating expenses.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Operating requirements first, and maintenance second.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Suppose lightning should hit The System, shouldn't you install The System before you pay any interest?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Your bond holders would undoubtedly, if your System was damaged, would consent that the interest might take second or third place.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: All right. You are satisfied, Mr. Cahill, with that sequence?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, the sequence is all right.

THE CLERK (Reading): "Said Commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, for the current, as well as for the next succeeding year, and at any time the revenues of 'The System' are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Don't you mean to say "and if at any time?"

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, an "if" should be in there.

THE CLERK (Reading): ——"and if at any time the revenues of 'The System' are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into said fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund. Said Commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (d) and (e) above set forth and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes."

MR. CAHILL: Mr. O'Toole, may I call your attention to something here: We have A here, "To pay the principal sum and the interest thereon on all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable." We have down below here a statement that out of A should not only be paid interest and amortization of bonds for the first year, but that out of A before you pay operation and maintenance we must also set up a fund sufficient to pay interest and amortization of bonds for the second year. In that initial year that would work quite a hardship.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I know it does.

MR. CAHILL: May it not be done with that second year's interest and redemption may be set up in F, the surplus fund, and taken out of that, that second year, and that fund be maintained there all the time? In other words, I don't want to establish in A two years' interest and two years' redemption. One year's interest and one year's redemption is quite proper. In F I would like to establish the fund for the other year's interest and redemption.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The trouble with that is you may not get sufficient in F, in your surplus fund, because you see you could take it for extensions and betterments, and possibly leaving a succeeding year which might be a lean year, without sufficient amount of money—I get your objection to that, but I don't know.

MR. CAHILL: I would like to have your thought on it, please.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: All right. One page 12, where you note there Schedules A, B and C, add another one to be known as D, and we will change that subsequent D, which will read as follows:——

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I interrupt you to ask why not stop at the bottom of page 12, at the period "surplus fund." And leave the rest out?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: We are coming to that. I will answer your question as soon as we get this started. We have to change this lower section here.

MR. CAHILL: That should come between D and E.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You have got D in here.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: This is going to be a new one.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That will go back, then, to the previous paragraph—he is changing the symbols, changing the initials.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Supervisor Uhl, here it is—set up a new D which shall read as follows:

"A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds."

Then D becomes E, and E becomes F.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. City Attorney, why can't that go in the first sentence of the paragraph and leave the last part of the paragraph out and clarify all of that statement so it doesn't conflict with D in the previous set up?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That won't make any difference, because they are different matters.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You will have two conditions in the set up.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, change the symbols.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then what are you going to call the other symbols?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: In other words, you just set up a new fund there that is to be set up in the Treasury, Supervisor, to take care of the interest for the succeeding year.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why wouldn't that follow F then, and make it G?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I will tell you why: I just discussed that with Mr. Cahill. Now, if we put it below D or E or F your bond holders are going to say, all right, if you get enough interest—you are going to have enough for the current year's interest, but if you are going to have a lean year you are not going to have any interest to take care of the succeeding year which may be a lean year and if you are permitted to go ahead and construct an extension to your system it might be that we won't have interest at all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then you wouldn't have any surplus anyhow?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, you won't have any surplus, but if it is going to be all spent there won't be any surplus, but it is not all going to be spent.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right. Do you think, then, that the remaining part of that last paragraph should go in outside of this you have mentioned?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Said Commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, for the current year"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Cut out "as well as for the next succeeding"?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

THE CLERK (Reading): ——"and if at any time the revenues of 'The System' "——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That has got to all go out in view of that new provision put in there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen of the Board: Mr. Cleary is not going to be available this afternoon, and I would like to break in on this matter at this time to explain just what transpired at our meeting of the Highway Commission in Sacramento last Thursday. I think after the explanation has been made by Mr. Cleary, and Mr. Brown and myself, the proper legislation can be prepared for consideration at a later date. I recognize Mr. Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman: I think it is becoming increasingly evident to the members of the Board that not only is the document that was purported to be—the matter is not near its final form as yet, but that even if it is eventually——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It will be in its final form in five minutes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Even if it is completed today, after all the changes made in it, I would not be inclined to act on it without a complete review of the matter in its final draft, and, not only that, but giving the Board of Supervisors a chance likewise to make a complete review of the completed draft. I think it is essential in a matter of this importance that we be given the Charter amendment in the form complete that we are expected to vote on and in the form that it will be eventually submitted to the people of the City and County of San Francisco. I don't think we are going to get that done today and I can't see any good reason why, the day before Christmas, this Board should be asked to sit here for hours while petty changes are made in the draft of this important piece of legislation. So, I think, Mr. Chairman, that it is entirely proper that this matter should go over until next Monday, and that the City Attorney should be asked to submit a complete and final draft of the proposed Charter amendment next Monday for our consideration and, I am going to move that the matter go over until next Monday.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to the motion?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will second it, and hear what Mr. Havenner has to say, though not indicating how I am going to vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman: I certainly hope the motion will not prevail. I would like to point out to the members of this Board that as the sponsor of this Charter amendment I have been trying for a long, long time to get this matter to acceptable form to submit it. The City Attorney has been working on it, not only for weeks, but for months; he has been studying the Los Angeles Charter, he has given a tremendous amount of attention to it; and the members of the Utilities Commission, the representatives of the Public Utilities Commission, Mr. Cahill and Mr. Ost, have gone over this thing very, very carefully, and from the standpoint of the Public Utilities Commission they tell me they are satisfied with the draft of the amendment as it will be presented to us in a few minutes, in its completed form. It will always be necessary, I would like to point out to the members of the Board, in any matter of this importance, to go over any draft of any amendment submitted, in detail, with you, as we have submitted this one this morning, and have the members of the Board satisfy themselves as the thing is explained to them that the proposed Charter language is satisfactory. Now, we have done that here and you would have to do it again if you asked the City Attorney to take it out here and study it, you would have to do the same thing again.

Now, I asked the members of this Board, and I understood they agreed—I don't think there is any uncertainty in the minds of the members of the Board here as to why this meeting was to be held this morning—I asked them as the author of this Charter amendment to permit me to put this amendment to a vote before I leave the Board and the Board voted on last Monday to meet here for this purpose.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Is this your last day?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will be obliged to leave for Washington on Saturday. The amendment is not hastily prepared, it has been most carefully prepared, after a great deal of study and discussion with the authorities of Los Angeles. It follows substantially previous Charter amendments that have been submitted for the issuance of revenue bonds except that in this it provides for a specific issue for a specific purpose. Now, all we are asking is that you allow this issue to go to the people. And I can say to you very frankly that I can not in good faith tell the Secretary of the Interior when I see him in Washington, as I will be obliged to see him, it is part of my duty to myself and part of my duty to the City of San Francisco—I can not in good faith tell him that this matter had been un-

avoidably postponed because I don't think that would be the truth. All we are asking you to do is allow the people of San Francisco to decide whether they want to carry this provision out. You made the same argument here last week with respect to a proposed repealer—two weeks ago with respect to a proposed repeal of the anti-picketing law, and some of you gentlemen voted to submit it although I believe you indicated that perhaps you were not in favor of it, but you voted to submit it so the people could decide. That is all we are asking you to do here, and if the people should again—I say again—this will be the first time that a proposition of this kind, in this form, has been submitted to them, but if they should reject it the responsibility would be the responsibility of the people, but if the Board refuses to act on this after all the labor and time that has been expended on it and puts it over until after I am obliged to leave the Board, I will be obliged to go away from here feeling that the last thing in which I have been interested, the thing which I have been interested in for many, many years has been purposely set over until after my departure in the hope that whoever may be my successor may not share my views on this subject. I was elected when I first came into this Board on this issue. I pledged myself then to the people that as soon as it were possible we would present to them a practical plan for the distribution of the Hetch Hetchy power under municipal ownership. The people at that time indicated that they were in favor of that promise. The mere fact that they have not seen fit to approve certain blanket propositions that have been submitted to them heretofore does not in my judgment indicate that they might not be willing to approve this proposition specifically for the purpose of doing the thing that they indicated years ago they wanted to do. So I hope the members of the Board will not see fit to postpone this.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask the Chairman, are we meeting as a committee of the whole at the present time?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Is a motion being made to postpone?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would rise to a point of order, which is that you can not make a motion to postpone when you are meeting as a committee of the whole on a question of this kind.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If the gentleman questions the point of order, I think it is in order to move that we rise and report to the Board that we recommend postponement. I will amend the motion to that effect. Before I do so I would like to say a few words to Mr. Havenner.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I just wanted to know.

THE CHAIRMAN: Your point of order is well taken. There is no motion before the Board at this time.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: If I can make a statement without any motion before us——

THE CHAIRMAN: Make your statement.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I might say to Mr. Havenner that he is mistaken in the assumption that this motion on my part was motivated by any desire to remove him from the debate in connection with this issue, but I would like Mr. Havenner to think this over, to ask him to think what he is asking the Board to do. Now, we have been here since nine o'clock this morning and we haven't even completed the reading of this ordinance. This is a matter which involves $50,000,000 of the City and County's money; it involves a very material departure from public policy heretofore followed by the City and County, and he now asks us to rush this legislation through on the ground that he is leaving for Washington. This is the day before Christmas. Tomorrow is Christmas. I have a family, and I feel that my family is entitled to my time before Christmas, just as the other members of this Board who are similarly situated must feel. While I regret that

Mr. Havenner has seen any implications in this motion that do not exist, and while I would be perfectly willing to come back here Saturday morning and continue the discussion, or at any other time Mr. Havenner might see fit, I don't think it is right of Mr. Havenner's colleagues on this Board to demand that we proceed with the debate that is unquestionably going to take all afternoon and maybe run into the evening. We have gentlemen here in the audience that expect to address this Board at length on this subject. We haven't even gotten around to them, and, as a matter of fact, we don't even have a final draft of the Charter amendment as proposed—we are still making changes in it.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It would be completed in five minutes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It would be finished in three minutes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The debate would not be finished.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I can not think it is right for Mr. Havenner to have us sit here all afternoon on the debate of this. Because of the time element I will amend my motion in this manner, out of respect to Mr. Havenner: That we rise as a committee of the whole and report to the Board of Supervisors that this matter be postponed to a recessed meeting to be held Saturday morning at 10 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman: I would like to point out to Mr. Brown—he seems to think I am taking an undue advantage of the other members of the Board—I would like to point out to him that I asked that this meeting be held yesterday, or Tuesday, and that the members of the Board themselves, to suit their own convenience, fixed it for today. I am not responsible for the choice of today as the time. I asked in order to avoid the situation which I foresaw that this matter be considered at an earlier time. Then the Board members themselves asked that it be fixed this morning. They said their convenience could not be suited at an earlier time.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Do you have any objection to postponing it until Saturday?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, it is a matter of great inconvenience to me, and possibly a matter of some loss to me. I have certain reservations made to go on Saturday afternoon which I don't know that I can cancel without being obliged to pay the cost of them. I could meet, I think, Saturday morning. I am not sure what time my train leaves. I believe it leaves in the middle of the afternoon. Will you find out for me what time the streamline leaves on Saturday afternoon?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, may I at this time—of course there is a motion to adjourn—I realize Supervisor Brown made the proper motion, that we rise and report—I realize that—I realize his rights. Therefore, we will have to act on that motion sitting as a committee of the whole, but may I say to the membership of this Board, we gave Supervisor Havenner our word—we gave him our word that we would raise no technicality in reference to passing this matter here today, and we have met as a committee of the whole—if we vote down the motion made by Supervisor Brown and continue, the clerk will read the small part of the last page, and I think he will read it in two minutes and therefore the entire matter, the resolution is before us, properly drawn up by our City Attorney, and we can act on it and I am sure if there is no attempt to filibuster—filibustering can be done by outsiders just as well as it can be done by members of this Board—we can come to a vote by one o'clock. I realize that this is Christmas Eve, and I realize that through all of the years I have been on this Board I never remember meeting the day before Christmas, never, but on account of Supervisor Havenner, on account of the interest he has taken in this matter and, as he said, he fought this thing in season and out of season for ten years—now, members of the Board, let us come to a vote and I am sure we can vote by one

o'clock, and I positively will rise every time any member of this Board, or any outsider, attempts to go over the time specified in our rules. I will tolerate no filibustering here this afternoon, gentlemen, if you will allow this matter to continue on its way—and I have that right as one member of the Board.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will withdraw the motion, with the announcement that I intend to renew it at one o'clock.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: As the seconder I am willing to stay here all afternoon, but I do not in any way agree with the statement made by Mr. McSheehy. Any member or any citizen who wants to be heard should be allowed all the time that he requires, and there are some citizens here representing important interests no doubt, on both sides of the question, and that is not filibustering to allow the citizens to speak. If he wants to take up 10 or 15 or 20 minutes, he should have the right to do it. I certainly should not agree to curtailing anybody's discussion on this subject.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move we proceed.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to suggest that we meet at two o'clock this afternoon, and go on with it. I think we should stay here until half past twelve and then return at two o'clock.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I agree with you.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Let us put ourselves out a little bit today and then if we cannot get through today we will still have Saturday morning.

THE CHAIRMAN: Proceed, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK: At the top of page 13 (reading):

"—schedules (c), (d)"—there is a change here, "(e) and (f)"—F is added—"—above set forth and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes. Said estimates may be changed from time to time. Said Commission shall also pay into said fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies upon any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter which may be in conflict with the provisions of this section, the provisions of this section shall prevail in so far as the same pertain to the financing and acquisition of 'The System' by the sale of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue;"——

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would ask the City Attorney this question: Now, you have a D on page 12, about the middle of the page, which provides "An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of 'The System' as the same may occur." Now you follow it with a D in that next paragraph, in the bottom of the next paragraph. Should not one be a D-2?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, they are different matters entirely.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You have got two D's. Which are you referring to at the top of page 13?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Please let me explain: As it reads now it reads, "A, B, C, D", and then the present D or the D you have there becomes E, and E becomes F, and F becomes G.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Are you going to change them then on page 12, the previous set up?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: That D is E, and E becomes F.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now then, let us go back to the middle of page 12 where you set up A, B, C, D, E and F. Do they remain the same?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: No, you have got a new D there.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is what I am saying, calling your attention to it, on page 12, you make the same changes?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That has not been done yet.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: It has been done by the Clerk.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, you are also inserting the new D in that section and then D becomes E, and E becomes F, and so on?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Members of the Board: I feel that it is useless to hold Mr. Cleary here any longer, and as we want to devote every minute to the consideration of this matter, I think it might be necessary that we hear the report of the committee that went to Sacramento regarding the Funston avenue approach next Monday at two o'clock, and let us agree, although we are in a committee of the whole—the motion will have to be made when we sit as a Board of Supervisors—that we take it up as a Special Order of Business at two o'clock.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move that that be the sense of the meeting.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: One of us will make that motion at the proper time so the record will show it did not come in now when we are sitting as a committee of the whole. It would be out of order.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Before Mr. Cleary leaves, I was in hopes of bringing up this afternoon the question of that McClintock report, that we should have, the diagonal extending from Fifth street into Mason and Eddy. I appreciate the importance of that and that every day is important and we should have a report this afternoon as to just what the Supervisors might expect from the engineer. I presume the recommendation must come from the engineer.

THE CLERK: May I interrupt?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.

THE CLERK: The report is here. The procedure is that it will be presented to the Board at the proper time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: At the proper time—I would move that we take it up this afternoon when we finish with this—if it is Saturday morning—then Monday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I don't like to rise to a point of order, but this is out of order.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I move we continue with our work.

MR. CLEARY: Gentlemen, I will be available if you need me this afternoon, and if you don't reach that matter I will be available on Saturday morning and likewise on Monday, and may I take this occasion to wish to the new President of the Board, and to each member, a very Happy Christmas.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Proceed with the reading, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK: (Reading) "—provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing or acquisition of 'The System' or any additions thereto by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

"The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon said Public Utilities Commission such additional powers not in conflict with section as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of said section."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That finishes it, does it? May I suggest that you make the proper motion, Supervisor Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would ask the City Attorney, is this Charter amendment now in the final form in which it would be voted on by this Board for submission?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: With the exception of some clerical corrections in it, it is——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I mean right here——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: But we have to write the requisite head, that is all. The heading is always left to the clerk to write the requisite heading.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Will it be in the form of an ordinance or resolution?

THE CLERK: A Charter amendment. The procedure is to order it

submitted. First, give it a number—if you want it number one, make it number one of Charter amendments.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will so move.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will second that, that it be known as number one.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is regularly moved and seconded that this be known as "Charter Amendment No. 1." Are you ready for the question?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would ask the privilege of the floor, Mr. President, to any one who desires to be heard on the motion, first asking as our custom is, the privilege of the floor for the proponent of the measure.

THE CLERK: That has nothing to do with this particular matter of identification.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't think that they want to be heard on the question of whether it shall be number one or number two. I think that is a Board matter.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, I mean on the matter of whether we present it or not.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, the first motion is that the Charter amendment be known as "Charter Amendment No. 1."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: There is no objection.

THE CHAIRMAN: If there is no objection, such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: In order to bring the matter before the committee, I move that Charter amendment number one be ordered submitted.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the Charter amendment number one be ordered submitted. Mr. Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I move the privilege of the floor to any citizen or citizens who desire to be heard on the matter. First, as is our custom, to the proponents of the measure.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any proponents of the measure, other than the members of the Board of Supervisors, who would like to be heard? Mr. Skaller.

MR. GEORGE SKALLER: Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen of the Board: I speak as one of those representative organizations that supported the last revenue amendment that was presented in 1934. This amendment as submitted now, as prepared to print and pass, is at such variance that we haven't had occasion to study it in any details, but we note some fundamentals in this that I would like to bring to your attention—and it is fundmental in this respect: That you are about to vest all the powers involved in the business of expending $50,000,000 in an appointive commission. I do believe that such power should not be entirely vested in an appointive commission because an appointed commission is always under control of the administration and the appointment itself is in issue when the appointment is made.

Now, I am inclined to believe, gentlemen of the Board, that you have considerable determination of amendment in relation to this Board—that it may possibly be those who are favorably inclined to the issuing of revenue bonds—and I would suggest to this Board of Supervisors for that amendment to incorporate an issue whereby any such determination by the Public Utilities Commission must be made subject to the approval by the majority of the elective officials, that is the Board of Supervisors. This is a fundamental weakness in this because the people, I don't believe, will at any time support an amendment that grants power to spend $50,000,000 to an appointed commission which will be itself the administration office. The power is too great, and the power is too much to be granted to any particular person who has got the control of the appointment of the commission. I think you might—and I suggest this to the sponsors of the amend-

ment—it might be wise that the initiative of any such important policy should emanate from the elected officials, that the utilities commission shall be authorized to act only after the elective officials or the Board of Supervisors has acted by a majority vote, then the engagement and conduct of the Public Utilities Commission. But if you don't agree with me that viewpoint, I suggest that you provide in this amendment that first the Public Utilities Commission must at least pass such resolution by four out of five of its members instead of by a majority, which would be three; secondly, that such amendment before becoming valid and legal must find the approval of majority members of the Board of Supervisors. By doing so you will gain the support of those who are favorably inclined in the support of this Charter amendment. After all, the sponsors of this amendment must realize that the final action does not lie with this Board, it lies with the people. Submit it to the people—and the people are not enirely controlled by the viewpoint of the body which you are here—by the viewpoint of the many organizations which take a vital interest in these things.

Now, I can only speak for myself. I do not represent an organization but I have taken a considerable interest in revenue bonds. I personally believe that many of our enterprises, revenue bearing enterprises, should not be financed by general bonds in the city and county, but I do say to you, gentlemen, particularly to the sponsors, that unless you make any such policy subject to approval of at least the majority of the elected Supervisors you have weakened your own case to such an extent that it is highly doubtful whether the support will be found that you otherwise need.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: At this time I would like to say in response to what Mr. Skaller has said that this proposed Charter amendment does not confer any blanket authority upon the Public Utilities Commission for the expenditure of this money. It asks the people to authorize the expenditure of this money for this specific purpose and it simply vests in the Public Utilities Commission, a charter body, the right to administer the expenditure of the funds for this particular purpose and for no other purpose. I would ask Mr. Ost if he knows whether the Los Angeles bond issue for electricity do not vest in the Water and Power Commission down there the same kind of authority we are proposing to invest in our Public Utilities Commission up here—and the people of Los Angeles have granted that authority willingly. They granted it on the revenue bond issue last week by a vote of two to one. There is no such hesitancy down there. I don't know whether there is going to be an effort to discredit this proposition by trying to impugn the responsibility and the reputation of our Public Utilities Commission or not. If that be true, we ought to have a new Public Utilities Commission. Yet the people created a new Public Utilities Commission by their vote and they have vested in that Commission the exclusive authority over the management and regulation of the public utilities and all we are doing here is to give that body the official right to do the things the people wanted to do, to carry out the terms of the people's mandate. Now, the people of Los Angeles have not shown any such hesitancy as Mr. Skaller is suggesting.

MR. SKALLER: May I say this to you: There is no possible objection to giving to the Public Utilities Commission the absolute power to conduct and manage the utility in which they are engaged, but my point of argument was as to the initial determination whether to engage in the conduct of that policy shall not be vested, in other words, in a one-man power. That means in the one-man power that appoints the five commissioners, and which is the most important power vested in anybody—will be the fundamental power when the appointment is made. I say this to you: You are doing an injustice to yourself if you can come to any such determination, not to get at least a

majority support of the elective officials who have to account to the people; then, of course, the project is not worthy of engaging in. You certainly will not have a majority, and I will tell you this, Mr. Havenner, you provide in your Charter amendment that the Public Utilities Commission shall have public hearings. Now, I am one of those who have had experience in hearings before the body appointed and elected. The hearing before an appointed body is mostly autocratic, it is held in a way where the people haven't got the same right to assert themselves as they have before an elective body. I say to you right here in this Board under your own rules you see that autocratic policy because by the vote of one man you may deny the right of any citizen to appear before the Board and express himself. So I say this to you, Mr. Havenner, in all friendship and I say it to you in the spirit of help, that you do an injustice to yourself by not incorporating at least a provision in this amendment whereby the elected officials of the City and County of San Francisco will have something to say by at least a majority vote—some of them may claim they should have a two-thirds, but I think the majority vote is sufficient because it means a great deal to the city at large in all its future consequences whether the city shall engage in the conduct of public utilities. I don't express myself as to that particular utility, but I do subscribe to the theory that the city shall have the power to issue revenue bonds if they ever desire to engage in revenue bearing propositions. That is as far as I am committed, and from that point of view we supported your amendment in 1934. So I say to you, gentlemen, by making that change you can certainly gain support instead of losing support, which you must have. Another point strikes me, and that is only incidental. We have had no occasion to study these different provisions because the printed copy is different. Mr. Cahill mentioned something about insurance. Now, people buying bonds in an enterprise like this, and only secured by the enterprise itself, should be absolutely protected. Now, the insurance, while he may favor it, somebody else may not favor it. It ought to be mandatory to carry insurance for the full amount, because nobody knows what the elements of nature, or an earthquake, may possibly do to such an enterprise, and the bondholders ought to be protected to that extent.

The other provisions I have not had occasion to study, but as a whole I wanted to submit to you my viewpoint, particularly so as one of those who assisted you in trying to carry the amendment you had in 1934.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Could we send for Mr. O'Toole? I think it is extremely important if we agree to it—

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any other proponents here?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I have not asked anybody to come here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Pardon me. I can't think of the name of the former assemblyman, Mr. Feigenbaum—but I would move the privilege of the floor, whether a proponent or opponent.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to have the members of the Board rule on this point at this time: That is, our rules provide that when we sit as a committee of the whole that the privilege of the floor does not have to be asked, so, if any citizen rises, I think it is in the province of the Chair to recognize him without a member of the Board proposing his name. I think when we have hearings where a member of the Board is proposing some legislation or a report in committee and he knows who is going to be called, it is quite proper that the proponents and opponents be called, but I think in hearings of this kind the Chair should be permitted to recognize any citizen that rises for the privilege of the floor, without the privilege of the floor being moved for him. If that is agreeable to the members of the Board we will proceed along those lines. I will recognize Mr. Feigenbaum.

MR. B. J. FEIGENBAUM: Mr. Chairman, and members of the committee of the whole of the Board of Supervisors:

THE CHAIRMAN: Would the members of the Board like to suspend the rule, number 17, to other than members of the Board?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I so move.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What do you mean by that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Ten minutes is the rule.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think we ought to keep to that on account of the time.

MR. FEIGENBAUM: I won't take ten minutes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Feigenbaum says he is not going to take ten minutes.

MR. FEIGENBAUM: I represent the Investment Bankers Association of America, the California Group; and also the California Security Dealers Association, in appearing before you today in opposition to this proposed measure. In opposing the purchase of a distributing system, not proposing Plan 7, not discussing public ownership as against private ownership, but rather solely the question of revenue bonds as against general obligation bonds. In the first place, the experience of San Francisco, if you gentlemen want to buy this distributing system, will show you the people of this city do not favor revenue bonds. Revenue bond amendments were presented to the people of San Francisco in 1928, 1932, and 1935; they were general revenue bond measures. In 1932 it was a specific measure, I think, after the fashion of this, limited it to $5,000,000 of five-year bonds for water improvement, but that was voted down.

My first point on behalf of the people whom I act as counsel for is that the revenue bonds are not wanted in San Francisco by the electorate, and therefore the way to put this on, if you want to do it, is through general obligation bonds. Secondly, to point out that there is no necessity for revenue bond in San Francisco. You have not reached your bond limit of 12 per cent, and that, of course, could be changed, but so far as the 12 per cent limit, it is a sound one to protect the credit of San Francisco, there is plenty of leeway for this. Although somebody might say to me, "We have a traction problem," but the answer to that is if you try to get on the outside of issuing revenue bonds you affect the credit of San Francisco just as much as if they were general obligation bonds—you are going to increase the cost of financing the transit plan at this time. Further, on behalf of the people whom I represent, you are flying in the face of the requirement in the Charter, and which has been there for years, of a two-thirds vote. The credit of San Francisco is behind a revenue bond whether they constitute a tax lien on the property or not, and the credit of San Francisco should not be treated lightly. The people are entitled to vote on these bonds from time to time, the same as any other measure, and the purpose of a two-third vote is very salutary as far as the credit of San Francisco is concerned. In addition to that, I might point out that the people have not turned down the bond issues that have been offered to them by the Board. In nine cases out of ten they have passed general obligation bonds and certainly in all instances in which they were necessary.

The people whom I represent are the people who would be buying these bonds and selling them to the general customers or the general public. They are the people who bought the bonds in the past, who were interested in preserving the credit, the financial standing of San Francisco, and San Francisco today has a very, very high credit rating. Take a 4 per cent coupon bond, about 20-year maturity: those bonds are now selling to yield approximately 2.55 per cent, but the most that Los Angeles—and Los Angeles was mentioned—in Los Angeles you would get a greater per cent, a higher rate on obligation bonds and proportionately higher on revenue bonds. Take Seattle, the other ranking city on the Coast, in the north: their bonds are selling at 3 per cent against 2.55 in San Francisco, and the revenue bonds selling at 3.70 possibly.

On this matter of expense, in Plan 7 it is pointed out to you what the cost would be on a 4 per cent general obligation basis and a 5 per cent revenue obligation basis. Now, the manager of the utilities did not suggest that you can not sell a revenue bond for less than 5 per cent, or a general obligation bond for less than 4. I am not suggesting that either. You could unquestionably sell the general obligations for less than four, and a revenue bond is something of an unknown quantity to a certain extent, but it would not be, in my judgment, 5 per cent, but there is still a substantial distinction in yield between a general and revenue bond—and that comes out of the taxpayer's pocket, whether you call him a rate payer or not. On those figures in Plan 7, if there is a .1 difference—I might say this, in Seattle there is a .70, almost 1 per cent difference, .70—assuming they are right in their figures in Plan 7, it would cost San Francisco $227,000 a year more in interest alone to finance this on a revenue bond than it would under general obligation bonds. And, as is also worked out in Plan 7—do not mistake me, gentlemen, I am not speaking against Plan 7 at all—the cost as shown in there would be a difference of about $5,675,000 in interest charges alone. Gentlemen, I am suggesting to you that it is quite important that this be considered from a general obligation basis, something that is tried and true, and the people of San Francisco are familiar with, rather than a revenue bond which they have turned down in the past regularly and which is an unknown quantity to a certain extent. It is true these Los Angeles bonds Mr. Havenner referred to, and a few other revenue bond issues—very few outside of water issues—they are very, very few outside of the water bonds where they have a fixed noncompetitive utility, so far as revenue bonds are concerned.

There is another thing I will call to your attention. I shall not take more at the outside than two or three minutes more. The federal government, as you know, much after the same fashion as the state government, is looking for revenue whereby it can get it quickly. There is a question now that has come up through Mr. F. Bliss, the Federal Commissioner of Internal Revenue, concerning the tax exemption of bonds of this sort. He wrote a letter within the last 60 days to the New York Marine Parkway advising them that their issue of bonds was not exempt although they thought that was a governmental function. There is hardly any question but that a direct obligation—if the City and County of San Francisco borrows this money that the people to whom it pays the interest need not pay income tax on that interest. On the other hand, there is a question that can not be sloughed over as to whether or not that same tax exemption will be granted to holders of revenue bonds issued for the purpose of acquiring an existing utility because that resolves itself into the fundamental question of whether or not that is an essential governmental function rather than a private function conducted by the municipality. If it is the latter and you have revenue bonds you have no tax exemption, and I don't need to tell you that I can speak for all the members of the association which I represent, that they would pay far less, not only less for revenue bonds than general obligation bonds, but far less for revenue bonds that did not have the tax exemption from federal income taxes that is contained in the general obligation bonds. I give you that thought as a further argument against revenue bonds at this time.

The other point I wanted to bring out was that so far as revenue bonds are concerned, to try and say that this does not affect the taxpayer is sheer nonsense. It affects the taxpayer as much as a general obligation. It would be a different thing if you were building with revenue bonds an incinerator that, if you gave that up, you could build another one, but where you are buying a distributing system that will be the sole one in San Francisco for electricity that is needed in the city, you can never let that go by default if the rates fail to produce sufficient revenue. Under their set up, and I do not question their

figures, but it is possible that the utilities commission could be wrong. If through obsolescence beyond that expected, advances in electrical equipment, and the like, they have to go on and spend a lot more than they contemplate, they have to raise the rates or put it into the tax rate sufficient to take care of the operating cost. If they raise the rate to everybody in San Francisco using electricity they are doing exactly the same as if it were in the tax rate under comparable conditions. Now, if it pays its way it makes no difference if it is a general obligation, because the revenue is sufficient to take care of any charge and there would be nothing in the tax rate. On the other hand, if it does not pay its way it comes out of the same pocket whether you put it in the tax bill or on another bill.

In conclusion, the only reason, I can say frankly, for you gentlemen want to face the facts, the only possible reason for suggesting a revenue bond against general obligation bonds is just to get out from under the 12 per cent bond limit. That is put there to protect San Francisco's credit. I don't think you should legally circumvent it. The second is, to get away from the necessity of the two-thirds vote for bond issues. Gentlemen, again, I don't think you should do it because that is very important for the credit of the City and County of San Francisco. And third, that perhaps some of the voters believe that voting for revenue bonds it does not come out of their pocket. Gentlemen, with my appreciation for your permitting me to be heard today, I want to say I do not think you should approach it from that angle either. I therefore would suggest to you that you give serious consideration towards a proper approach to this, namely, through a direct obligation of the city. If the people want to bond the city for that amount they are in no different position from revenue bonds—let them do it in the way you are accustomed to handle it, the way you are supposed to do it by the Charter, and not by a back door amendment. I thank you.

MR. SYLVESTER J. McATEE: I realize your limitation of time, and I will try to keep that in mind. . Upon behalf of the Downtown Association, I am appearing in opposition to this proposed Charter amendment. The Downtown Association has heretofore registered its objection to the revenue bonds. The voters, as Mr. Feigenbaum has said, have on three occasions registered their objections, and I think perhaps our own are the same as the majority of the voters on the previous occasions, and that is namely that we are opposed to a policy that will make the issuance of bonds easier. There after all was a very sound reason in the constitutional provision of the State of California that provides that general obligation indebtedness in municipalities shall not be issued excepting upon a vote of two-thirds of the people. Now then, an effort, as these previous efforts have been made, to circumvent and to defeat the provision in your Charter that indebtedness shall be incurred only upon a vote of two-thirds of the voters voting at that election, but this amendment here goes even further than some of those that have heretofore been submitted because in the amendment of 1935 it was provided that the revenue bonds might be issued only upon the people voting upon the question of revenue bonds and approving them by a majority vote. This does no such thing. It gives to the Board, it gives to the Public Utility Commission an unlimited authority to spend up to $50,000,000 for the construction or acquisition of an electrical distribution system. That power—gives it without any control by the voters whatsoever, without any control by the Board of Supervisors, the right to determine whether or not it shall construct an entire new system or whether it shall acquire a system already constructed and no matter which one is the cheapest; it gives to that commission the power to determine whether a particular system shall be constructed and so it gives to that board a power that has heretofore not been given to any board or body of this city.

Now then, further than that—I shall refer to my notes only briefly

in order to save time—by such system the city will be committed to an indefinite form of electrical distribution. It is true this provides for only $50,000,000, but if the Public Utilities Commission should provide a system of electrical distribution that shall be only partial and incomplete then it will indubitably follow as a certainty that the City of San Francisco must incur further indebtedness in a number of millions of which we can not even guess in order to carry on the initial start that has been made by your Public Utilities Commission. And this Plan No. 7 which is mentioned in your amendment here specifically does not provide—it specifically contemplates an intent that you shall construct only a partial and incomplete system. Plan No. 7 does not provide for any standby plant, it does not provide for any step down stations, nor transmission line from Newark, and further and of more significance than that it is admitted that the city's plant, if when the Red Mountain Bar is completed, will provide only 84,000 kilowatts whereas this system under Plan 7 contemplates 216,000 kilowatts capacity, and the report says you will have to buy 142,000 kilowatts from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, so this system does not provide for a complete system. And so once embarked upon this plan of a distribution system of electricity, the citizens thus involved will have to go on to any further lengths that it may be necessary in order to save and safeguard the expenditure that has already been made, and all of that will follow from this power which you are giving to the Public Utilities Commission to spend $50,000,000 of the city's money for this distribution system.

Now, the effect upon San Francisco of this method of increasing the indebtedness of the city, of course, it is obvious. It is argued obviously that these are revenue bonds, that they are not general obligation bonds, and that they are exempt from the Charter provision which limits the amount of bonds that you may have, and that is true. Nevertheless, it is also certain that this will create an indebtedness to the City of San Francisco. Your bond purchasers, as Mr. Feigenbaum has said, will take into consideration, will charge these bonds against the total indebtedness of San Francisco. San Francisco, as Mr. Feigenbaum has said, has an enviable reputation, I suppose it stands as high as any municipality in the United States, that it secures as low a rate upon its bonds as any municipality in the United States, and that is because San Francisco has met its obligations promptly and upon the date of their maturity. Now, any purchaser of bonds, even though he purchase these bonds and even though he be told that these bonds are payable out of revenue and are not general obligation bonds, nevertheless, is going to look to the City of San Francisco for the payment of these bonds, and if the revenues of this utility are not sufficient to pay that interest then the City of San Francisco must make good or else its credit will be absolutely destroyed. There can be no question about that in the mind of anyone who is concerned with financial matters. Now then, if the revenues should not be sufficient San Francisco in some other fashion, and that other fashion is only by the tax rate, must make good any deficiency, because San Francisco can not permit any bond, whether a revenue bond or general obligation bond, to go into default, and the result of this will be—or, I will say this, we are deceiving ourselves if we think that the purchasers of bonds are not going to charge these particular bonds against the indebtedness of San Francisco.

Now, we have same $60,000,000, I believe it is—I am not fully informed—but I think about $60,000,000 of margin between our present indebtedness and our bonding limit. That indebtedness—that limit, rather, is necessary, as you gentlemen well know, for your rapid transit system, and for the opening up of new streets, and the widening of existing streets, in order to take care of the commuter traffic and vehicular traffic coming over the Bay bridge. Those are matters that will brook no delay, and is vital to San Francisco. You are obliged to provide rapid transit system that is going to bring the people in your outlying

districts, the Sunset, Richmond, and Mission districts, down into the business district of San Francisco in at least the same time the commuters from Berkeley and Alameda can be landed across that bay bridge here in San Francisco, and that is an important problem because in a year or year and a half, whatever the time is, you are going to have commuters' trains running over that bay bridge and that thing cannot be denied. Now then, there is an urgent need for rapid transit. There is no need, either urgent or otherwise, for a development of an electrical distribution system. You have no rapid transit system. You have adequate facilities for electrical distribution in San Francisco at this time. The only reason that has been advanced for the furthering of this measure here is to meet the wishes of Mr. Ickes, but this measure goes far beyond what Mr. Ickes could possibly require under any interpretation of the Raker Act because the most that he could ask would be that San Francisco could see to the distribution of electricity by the Hetch Hetchy plant, and your Hetch Hetchy plant, even after Red Mountain Bar power plant has been completed, will generate only 84,000 kilowatts and this takes 216,000 kilowatts, which is much more than Mr. Ickes could demand from you. And besides, it has not been determined as yet what Mr. Ickes' wishes in this regard are, or that they must be complied with by San Francisco. There has been no action started to compel the City of San Francisco to embark on any such plan as this in order to comply with Mr. Ickes' decision in the matter, or with his ideas of what this City should do, and I do not think that those practical realities are going to be brushed aside by those who are in power in Washington, by the federal government, and to this extent, that you are now deriving a revenue of $2,000,000, and have been for five years in the past.

In fact, I believe that the President of the United States himself has called in for conference various officials and representatives of the private power companies and the T. V. A., with the idea that there should be cooperation and unity of purpose and effort so that there need not be a needless duplication of power plants in a given locality, and that is exactly what this means, you are either going to buy out the existing plants of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company or you are going in and build an entirely new one which will duplicate the already existing system of the P. G. and E.

Let me also call your attention to this fact, that the Public Utilities Commission is not even required to follow Plan 7. You have a plan set up and then you have an amendment which says that that plan may be departed from, and that it may be departed from to any extent the Public Utilities Commission may see proper. So you don't know what kind of a plant you are going to get, and the utilities commission may decide to construct an entirely new system.

I say to you again, that I think the practical reality of the San Francisco situation will be and must be considered by the officials at Washington. There is no threat of the loss of Hetch Hetchy or of the loss of any of the rights of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the interpretation placed on the Raker Act by Secretary Ickes, and the practicalities of the situation are that we are now gaining $2,000,000 a year from the power developed, which is interest at 5 per cent on $40,000,000, from the power now being produced from the Hetch Hetchy system, and we have a bond limit which must be totally exhausted to meet the necessity for the development of rapid transit, and for the widening of present streets and the opening of new ones, a situation which confronts us now and is important and must be solved within the immediate future.

And another practicality is this, that this system goes farther than necessary, far beyond any requirement by the Raker Act. It provides for a system that is only partial and incomplete, and in the second place it contemplates going far beyond the requirements of the Raker Act as suggested by Secretary Ickes.

So, gentlemen, with these practical realities before you it does not

seem to me there is any reason or any sound argument why this amendment should be placed before the voters of San Francisco to give this power to the Public Utilities Commission, and this gives your Board no powers whatsoever. I had read the original draft of this amendment, before it came in here and was revised—I have not had an opportunty to see the last one, but this, gentlemen, gives to the Board of Supervisors no power whatsoever except it says that you have got to approve the rates that will be submitted by the Public Utilities Commission—or, at least, you can disapprove a rate. So it seems to us, and it is our suggestion to you, that this measure should not be submitted to the voters of San Francisco because it is contrary to the policy adopted by the City of San Francisco throughout its entire past history of requiring the approval of a two-thirds majority of the voters in order to pass any bond issue, and the very sound reason for that is the integrity and financial standing of San Francisco, and because upon these bonds we are now enjoying a very high credit. While the payment for revenue bonds comes only out of the revenues of the utility, they are, in the last analysis, still an obligation of the City of San Francisco, and San Francisco, in order to maintain its credit, must make good herself and if the revenues returned by the utility are not sufficient to meet the requirements we come right back to where we were, and they become in fact general obligations of the City and County of San Francisco, and there is only one place that you can meet the payments, and that is out of the tax rate of this City and County. Thank you, gentlemen.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Bert Levit, representing the Chamber of Commerce, I believe.

MR. BERT W. LEVIT: May I suggest, that I should be very glad to come back this afternoon, if desired, Mr. Chairman.

THE PRESIDENT: There are no members who have left, or none have been excused, and I feel with the conditions that exist at present that possibly the members will take the matter in their own hands when the citizens have been heard, and close it up without having to come back here this afternoon, so perhaps you better proceed.

MR. LEVIT: Very well.

THE PRESIDENT: Will the sergeant-at-arms call the members, please. Proceed, Mr. Levit.

MR. LEVIT: Mr. President, and members of the Board of Supervisors: I consider it a particular privilege to be permitted to address the Board on a subject of this importance, and I consider it a particular privilege to be here representing the Chamber of Commerce, and the Junior Chamber of Commerce. I think probably I was chosen to do that because of the fact that I happen to be at the moment the chairman of the Municipal Finance Section of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

I can see, in my mind's eye, meetings of this kind being held time after time after time, right from the very dawn of the history of recorded government, where you have political bodies on the one hand—and I use the term "political" in the very highest sense—political bodies such as this Board of Supervisors, seeking, for one reason or another, to make the spending of public moneys easier, and on the other hand representatives of the people who pay the taxes and who pay the bills seeking to maintain or safeguard those expenditures, which experience has shown advisable in order to protect the credit of the governmental unit involved.

I think that if there is any one principle of public affairs or of government that has impressed itself on the minds of all of us in the past five years, it has been that we must stand for economy in government, we must not break down those safeguards which have grown up around the spending of public money, but must on the contrary seek to strengthen them wherever possible. Now I have the highest respect for the gentlemen who are urging that the City go into the distribution of electrical power in San Francisco, and I have the highest regard for Mr. Havenner, and I know that he urges it in good

faith. But I do say this, that when Supervisor Havenner asks the Board to approve this measure, simply because that—after all, it is not adopting the measure, it is simply passing it on to the people to vote upon, and after all that does seem to me to be passing the buck. Supervisor Havenner is the proponent of this particular measure, these revenue bonds, and I believe that the people are entitled to assume that when this Board of Supervisors passes this measure to their vote it does so with their approval.

After all, the idea of putting up before the people measure after measure so that they can pass upon them rather than have them passed upon by the elected representatives of the people has been proved bad by past experience, and I do not believe that any of you gentlemen on the Board of Supervisors should pass this measure to a vote of the people merely with the idea that by so doing you are passing the buck; you shouldn't do it unless you approve of it.

Now finally, I do not propose to go over all of these arguments that have been made in great detail and far better than I could make them, by Mr. Feigenbaum and by Judge McAtee, I simply want to say this final word, the Chamber of Commerce hopes to and proposes to study this particular measure. As I sat here this morning and heard this amendment read and reread, and heard the changes and amendments take place in the measure, which was in the form it was when I originally read it, I was surprised to think that a measure of this importance could be rushed through and passed and submitted to the people without further consideration. Now I have great respect for Mr. O'Toole, and I know that he is a very able attorney, but I think that even the ability of Mr. O'Toole to prepare a measure on which bonds are to be issued, a measure involving $50,000,000 in bonds, if you will, in the running fashion as he was forced to do this morning, I believe is asking a little too much and overtaxing the ability of our very able City Attorney. I believe that this Board of Supervisors owes it to itself to have this measure before it in final form, and to give it final consideration in the final form, and give to the people of San Francisco, and particularly to the various civic organizations, especially those interested in this, an opportunity to consider the matter in its final form and to present specific reactions to it and arguments on it before it is finally passed to the people for their vote. I am very sure there is a niche in the Hall of Fame awaiting the public official who will take a stand on the issue of economy and on the issue of safeguarding the spending of public funds. Thank you, gentlemen.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there anyone else who desires to be heard? If not, then we will take the matter in the hands of the committee.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, now, Mr. Chairman, I do not desire to impose, from a personal standpoint, any great inconvenience on the members of the Board, and if the members of the Board would prefer to meet here on Saturday morning, and could agree that the discussion in the Board might be concluded on Saturday morning, I would have no objection. I realize that it is Christmas Eve and I have a great many things to do myself, including a very essential visit to my dentist, which must be done today some time, so if they would prefer that, so far as I am concerned, I would appreciate the courtesy, I would be content to come back Saturday morning and conclude the matter. Would that suit the Board?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, I would much prefer that, and I will be here at nine o'clock or ten o'clock, and sit here until the matter is finished.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Nine o'clock?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: What hour?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Nine o'clock.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: The same hour as this morning.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I was here this morning at nine o'clock.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I know it, I was here, too.

THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, gentlemen (gavel). Supervisor

Colman, before you make your motion may I suggest there is one very important point that was made here this morning, I think by Mr. Skaller, that I am heartily in agreement with, I want to know the value placed on there by the Public Utilities Commission, and I feel that it should come back to the Board with a verification of those figures, and unless that is done I don't know as I would care to vote in favor of it. I am not going to delegate my powers as a member of the Board in a matter as important as this.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I might say to you, Supervisor, that the City Attorney has just gone over to discuss with the Manager of Utilities that very question. I am inclined to be in agreement with you, and if he can prepare another section of this Charter amendment that would provide that in the event of the purchase of this utility,—I personally think that is the thing that will eventually occur,—that the agreed prices should be subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors, I personally believe that. I believe that between now and Saturday such a provision can be prepared by our City Attorney, and I think that should be done.

I want to state, however, that the statement that this thing has been hastily prepared is not correct, this has not been hastily prepared.

SUPERVISOR UHL: A good many changes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: There have been some changes, and that would occur any time, certain changes made by the City Attorney, and you were still suggesting some changes be made here on the floor. I have no apologies to make in the essentials of the amendment, I think it is in pretty good shape. I know that the representatives of the Public Utilities Commission have been working day and night wtih Mr. O'Toole since he completed his first draft, in order to make the thing workable and satisfactory, and they say it is in pretty good shape.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I say this to you, and to the other members of the Board, there is just one thing I want the opinion of the City Attorney on. I would not be willing to vote on this matter unless I have it, and that is this: Are you divorcing the finances of the power from the parent Hetch Hetchy company? That is what I am concerned in.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think you are setting up a new utility, of which all the power units will be a part.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am not in agreement with that, and especially not willing that the people should vote on it. I am not going to pass it for submission, not that way, and I want to know, I feel that the original Hetch Hetchy proposition is the parent company, and these are all part of it, and I don't want to divorce a power system from it which might be very profitable and go to the benefit of the ratepayers instead of going to the benefit of the taxpayers.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I also agree with the thought you stated was brought to us by Mr. Skaller. I believe that the Board of Supervisors should have something to say before any bond issue or anything is submitted to the people, and I also would like to call your attention to another recommendation made by Mr. Skaller, and that is that at least four out of the five members of the Public Utilities Commission should vote affirmatively before it is brought to us. I don't know but what you should make it unanimous. To take only three commissioners and upon that alone go to the people for $50,000,000 didn't strike me as being a very light weight method of submitting to the people until Mr. Skaller mentioned it.

Furthermore, Mr. Skaller called our attention to the insurance clause, which would guarantee to the bondholders their money in the event of a great calamity.

I think those three points should be brought to the attention of our City Attorney, and that he should be requested to form amendments to this present document and we can vote upon them when he presents them to us next Saturday morning, and I would request that.

Request that our City Attorney prepare and insert, in the proper place of course, that four out of five of the Public Utilities Commissioners—that it shall take four out of five to bring the matter to the attention of the Board, and further, that it shall take a vote of at least two-thirds of the Board before any action is taken. At least two-thirds. We must protect the credit of the City. I am for revenue bonds, but I want it considered in a very careful way. At least two-thirds of the Board should approve what at least four of the members of the Public Utilities Commission have presented, and then present it to the people.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I want to call attention to a few things. As you know, I am going to vote against submission. Some of the things are these. If the Board is going to submit this, you are providing for no redemption in five years. I don't think there is any objection to beginning to redeem right away. I think that is quite a saving there.

Now I am calling the attention of the Board to these facts, and Mr. Shannon in particular if he will listen. This Board of Supervisors approved Plan No. 7, so-called. Now there is very little said about Plan No. 7. I wonder if the Board realizes this doesn't provide for Plan No. 7 at all——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Oh, yes, it does.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, it does not.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, it does.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: It gives full and complete permission to the Commission to adopt any other plan, or do anything further they see fit.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: On the first page of the amendment, the second paragraph, there is the provision made that "The System to be acquired shall, in so far as practical, be the system submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Public Utilities Commission."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And then if you look at the second proviso, the Commission is given full power and authority to deviate from said plan to such extent as it shall deem proper——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: To carry out the purposes of said plan.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, but certainly you are giving them tremendous power. Now there is another thing I want to call attention to, and that is the word "construct". Now it is stated that this is to be used as a club. You are giving them power to construct. Has this Board ever received any plan from the Public Utilities Commission on the construct basis? Should we construct a utility to go into competition with the P. G. & E.? Should the Board provide to duplicate such system? Has the Board any such figures? We don't know where we are going. The $50,000,000 that may be required to cover the construction, where will your revenues be? What will the result of the construction be if we go into competition with them. Now there is no picture, we have no picture of that whatsoever, the Board simply adopted Plan No. 7 which calls for an expenditure of $43,700,000, but with this amendment we are allowing them $50,000,000 under which they can do anything they want, with the power to construct. Now the Board went very carefully into the details with Plan No. 7, in order to find out all of the details, but we don't know anything about the construction.

Now I move that we recess until Saturday morning at nine o'clock.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Are we still as a committee of the whole?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I move that we rise and report progress.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Board, I trust that this motion to rise and report will be voted

down, and for this reason: I feel that every member of this Board has his mind made up in reference to this resolution before us, and a vote can be taken now within the next 10 or 15 minutes.

THE PRESIDENT: Were you out of the room when Supervisor Havenner said there were being changes made by Mr. Cahill and Mr. O'Toole, who are now working together on it, and Supervisor Havenner said that he didn't want to impose any hardship on the members of the Board and was perfectly satisfied to meet here Saturday morning? I think you were out of the room.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, I was out of the room. And in order to understand it, how does the motion stand?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That we rise and report.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That we rise and report as a committee of the whole and recess to convene on Saturday morning at nine o'clock, is that the motion?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: If that is the motion, may I say this at this time, before the motion is put. May I ask that this particular suggestion of Mr. Skaller, and it strikes me that it has merit—Mr. Skaller and I often do not agree on various matters that come before the Board, but I believe this has merit.

THE PRESIDENT: What is that?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The gentleman is clearly out of order, the motion before the Baord is to rise and report.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I want this to be considered, that is all I want.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the matter that is being considered by Mr. O'Toole and Mr. Cahill now, I believe, relative to having the valuation.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Let me read it, that is all, just allow me to read it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I rise to a point of order.

THE PRESIDENT: What is your point of order?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That the gentleman is out of order; the motion before the Board is to rise and report.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I rise to a question of personal privilege.

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot make that, Supervisor. You are not being attacked. And I suggest that you wait until we sit as a Board of Supervisors, and then you can read that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Question.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is that we rise and report progress, and that we recess until nine o'clock Saturday, this week. Are you ready for the question?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Question.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move that we recess until nine o'clock Saturday morning.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Second the motion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have the floor, I was standing at the time, I was recognized.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we might permit Supervisor McSheehy to read this.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Provided, however, that no resolution or determination by the Public Utilities Commission to negotiate the purchase, construct or conduct any public utility shall be effectuated until approved by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the matter that Mr. Cahill and Mr. O'Toole are considering, and I have already expressed myself on it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, then, all right.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to make the further statement

that the Chair has not had a copy of this amendment to follow, and unless I have a copy to follow Saturday morning I will not be in a position to rule intelligently. I would like a copy.

The Board will stand recessed until Saturday morning at nine o'clock.

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour 1:20 p. m. took a recess until Saturday, December 26, 1936, at 9 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## SATURDAY, DECEMBER 26, 1936, 9 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Saturday, December 26, 1936, 9 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Thursday, December 24, 1936, for the purpose of giving consideration to the question of Charter Amendment No. 1, Revenue Bonds for Electrical Distribution System.

### Discussion.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, there is a quorum present. Let's proceed.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Roll call?

THE PRESIDENT: No roll call.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I don't know how long this is going to last, but I have a business meeting between 11 and 12:30, and I will have to be excused after 11 o'clock.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to Supervisor Ratto being excused?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I certainly don't want to object from any personal reason. I have to postpone my trip to the East if I can't dispose of that——

SUPERVISOR RATTO: All right. Never mind then. There was one question I was going to ask relative to the Public Utilities Commission, they were going to submit—if they were going to submit any financial proposition—

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We have such an amendment, I believe, to be suggested this morning.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: And also insurance to take care of the bondholders.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think so.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I was wondering, I have an appointment to take that 11:40 boat.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I have an appointment to take the streamline train, and I will have to cut it out and lose money on it in addition, but I don't intend to be jockeyed out of this thing, and my colleagues might as well know that now, and if they want me to spend the weekend with them, I will be here. This thing has been argued so many times, there is nothing new to be argued.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is definitely not true. I certainly cannot agree for one minute to that. There is more to argue today against this thing than there ever has been before.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: It is just regrettable that we have such an important thing as this coming up today. Last Monday we should have set the meeting for Tuesday—I don't know whether the meetings of the Golden Gate Bridge Directors are more important than the interests of the citizens, and the next day, on account of somebody else not being here, we put it over that day, and then comes Christmas Eve, and now I should like to know whose fault this is. This should have come up the fore part of the week. Some of them can make a motion, "I can't be here," and everybody goes along, and then somebody else comes along and wants to be excused, and it can't be done.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't want to criticize you, I want to be——

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on Supervisor Ratto's request to be excused at 11 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I don't want to jeopardize your position on this Charter amendment. I know you have been more than interested in it. You are the one who submitted it, and I certainly would not want to be the one that would cause—well, I wouldn't want to say throwing it overboard—so I will see how the argument goes, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: You withdraw your request to be excused temporarily?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I withdraw the request, yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Temporarily?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Yes, temporarily.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed with the consideration of the Charter amendment.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman, day before yesterday we agreed to take this matter into the hands of the Board, and now, I believe it is in the hands of the Board, and the City Attorney at this time has completed his suggested provision with respect to the vote of the Board on the action of the Public Utilities Commission.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair has no recollection of the matter having been agreed to, that is, to take it into the hands of the Board, and I have no recollection of that, my recollection is that Supervisor Brown moved that we rise and report, and then Mr. Uhl seconded the motion and said that he moved to adjourn until 10 o'clock Monday morning.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I would like to call for the reporter's record on that.

(Thereupon the record was read by the reporter.)

THE PRESIDENT: Therefore, we are still sitting as a committee of the whole. Now, if you want to rise and report, and take it into the hands of the Board, that can be done.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, if that is proper, before doing it, I would suggest you might ask if anyone here desires to be heard either pro or con, and if not, I will make the motion that we rise and report.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there anyone present who desires to be heard on this matter?

(No response.)

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I second the motion.

MR. SKALLER: Wouldn't it be advisable, if any amendments are to be made, that such amendments be brought in first to the committee instead of to the Board, I understand the committee has been hearing this matter.

THE PRESIDENT: We can consider the amendments in the Board, sitting as a Board of Supervisors. The idea, principally, in sitting as a committee of the whole, is to give the public an opportunity to be heard.

MR. SKALLER: The public is not given any opportunity to express themselves if the matter is in the hands of the Board.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, I won't object unless it is prolonged and unreasonable, and I move that we rise and report.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion has been made that we rise and report. Is there any objection? Hearing none, such will be the order. (Gavel.)

Now, the motion is that we sit as a Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I so move.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (Gavel.)

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move that we take it up seriatim and debate each paragraph as we go along.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? If not, such will be the order. Proceed. The Clerk will read it.

THE CLERK: (Reading) "Charter Amendment Number 1.

*Electrical System—Revenue Bonds*

"Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 121-A, relating to Revenue Bonds.

"The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at a special election to be held on the (blank) day of (blank), 1937, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 121-A, relating to Revenue Bonds.

*Electrical System—Revenue Bonds*

"Section 121a. The public utilities commission may, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method, a system for the generation and distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the people of any territory adjacent to or adjoining said City and County,"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: (Interrupting) May I ask this question of the City Attorney: is the term, "adjacent to" broad enough to cover any claims which might be made by irrigationists who have certain rights under the Raker Act?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Outside of the City" might be better wording in that. What do you think, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: The City and County of San Francisco is not obligated to distribute, it is merely obligated to sell to them on a wholesale basis, and they are obligated to come and get it. I think this is all right.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you think so, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: If we are going into the business, "adjacent" or "adjoining" would give us the right to supply the cities just over the County line: San Mateo County; but if the idea is to serve any other place, we should probably use the term "outside of the City."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I was merely thinking—Mr. Cahill may be right—I was merely thinking that the irrigationists who are covered by the Raker Act might have some rights.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Of course, they can take it off the existing plant, and they could, under the Raker Act, also continue to take it, we would have to sell it to them if they need it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: (Reading:) "The public utilities commission may, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method, a system for the generation and distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the people of any territory adjacent to or adjoining said City and County, of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy Project."

Now, I take it to mean that if they do not purchase the electric generating system of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, as contemplated in Plan Number 7, that they then will be enabled to construct a system. I would like to ask through the Chair of Mr. Cahill, to outline the units that would be necessary in the construction of the system as set forth in this—in these first eight or nine lines.

MR. CAHILL: We would duplicate the distribution system which is mentioned in Plan Number 7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, what do you mean by distribution sys-

tem? How far do you go in that? Does that include the steam stand-by system?

MR. CAHILL: The steam stand-by, you know very well that steam stand-by is not included in Plan Number 7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If you go into the construction, you naturally are becoming a competitor of the private company, and in that case how far would we have to go in the construction of a plant?

MR. CAHILL: The same as we have set forth, and you would buy what surplus you needed from the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, just as they do in Southern California, and just the same as Palo Alto, for instance, does from the Pacific Gas & Electric Company.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then I would like to make it clear, have it set forth, a description of just what it is to be.

MR. CAHILL: It has already been set forth quite clearly in Plan Number 7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then I shall insist that Plan Number 7 be made definite, not changed, this is to do anything they may see fit.

MR. CAHILL: It is made definite in the next paragraph, Supervisor.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Mr. Clerk.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) —"of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy Project, or for the generation or distribution of any other electric power necessary or convenient for the purpose of furnishing said city and county, or the people thereof, or any territory adjacent to said city and county, or to the people thereof, with heat, light and power, borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, payable wholly out of revenue, in such amount or amounts, as may, in the judgment of said public utilities commission, be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring said system for the generation and/or distribution of said electric power, provided that the total amount of said money to be so borrowed, or bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued, shall not exceed in the aggregate the sum of $50,000,000. Said system to be acquired shall hereafter be referred to as The System."

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: In that first paragraph, 121-A, taking the section we have just read, the Board has approved Plan Number 7, which calls for the acquisition, by purchase, or negotiations first for the purchase of a part of the P. G. & E. system as enumerated in Plan Number 7 at a cost of $43,700,000. That action has been taken. Now, let's contrast that action with what the Board of Supervisors would be passing to the people if Section 121-A is passed by the Board. In the first place, the sum of $43,700,000 becomes possibly $50,000,000, or may become $50,000,000. If that were the case, it is quite evident that the difference would be $6,300,000, which, at 4 per cent interest, and 4 per cent amortization, would change that original plan by some $504,000 annually, for a number of years, if my figures are correct, and I think they are approximately, number 1. But the second thing, this Board has never approved the construction of a power plant to go into competition with the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, and yet this would authorize just such a step. It doesn't matter whether Supervisor Havenner tells you this is a club to be used on the P. G. & E., and I do not dispute that statement, but what we are actually doing is to allow that situation to take place, to construct another power plant, and go into competition with the P. G. & E. Well, now, this Board never passed any such policy. In fact, the statements of the members was that they were opposed, as a matter of fact. And, as a matter of fact, we do not know, and Plan Number 7 gives us no information as to the result of the construction of a plant to go into competition with the P. G. & E. The figures as to the cost, of course, were gone into in Plan Number 7, but it does not give us any revenue, or how the voters are going to come out in case a power plant were constructed, and we were to go into competition with the P. G. & E. Naturally, they would have a very difficult time in trying to tell us how much business we would get if we were to go into competition with the private company,

which is now in control of the present field, and which is well financed and in a position to do battle with us. Now, therefore, to present this to the people in this form is going way beyond any action taken by this Board. You can do it, I understand that, it takes but six votes. I call your attention to this fact, you are going way beyond any action taken by this Board. We have never discussed even the possibility of constructing a competing utility. Now, those facts developed, and without in any way going into the figures, or assuming that the figures of Plan Number 7 are not correct, because I am not competent to present any other figures that are more reliable and better thought out, I do, however, point out those very important facts to just bring them to your attention. First, is the apparent difference of some $500,000 in bond interest and redemption, should the price be $50,000,000 instead of $43,700,000, and, secondly, in approving this, you cannot stand up today and give us any information as to how we would come out, or how the taxpayers would come out if another plant were constructed to go into competition with the P. G. & E.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to point out to the members of the Board, and I think their memories will uphold me, that I, as a member of this Board, repeatedly discussed the possibility of presenting this to the people in an alternative form, "Buy or Build." I think the members of the Board all heard me recommend that plan of presentation, which has been the one adopted by various other units of government. I would like to say further, that the primary purpose of submitting this proposition to the people is to comply with the decision of the Secretary of the Interior that the present method of disposing of Hetch Hetchy power is in violation of Federal law, and I think it is entirely proper, and I would like to point out to the members of the Board this is the method normally adopted by other municipalities, in fact, practically all of the revenue bond issues presented in recent years in California cities, and elsewhere, for the acquisition of distribution systems, have been submitted in the form of "Buy or Build." And the purpose of it is obvious. We will not satisfy the demands of the Secretary of the Interior if we merely put ourselves at the mercy of the private company, and submit a proposition solely to buy, and thereby put them in a position to refuse, but if we put it in the alternative, we will comply with his demands, which we have said would be complied with.

I think if you will consult any advocate of municipal ownership and distribution of power anywhere, the advice will be that this is the proper form in which to submit the proposal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you yield, Supervisor Havenner, to a question through the Chair?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You say, "Buy or Build." May I ask just how far you would go in the "Build"?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Uhl, as a practical proposition after the people have approved anything, undoubtedly a proposal to acquire a distribution system, if there was a distribution system there, the people would be able to negotiate a purchase, and solely, or very largely, due to the fact it had the alternate power to build if the company refused to sell.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, you appreciate that any corporation might refuse to sell, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If the private company flies in the face of the will of the people, it might then be justifiable to take some other action——

SUPERVISOR UHL: And then undoubtedly you would be put to the cost of building a complete system, from the power house to San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, you would have to build a transmission system, I suppose.

MR. CAHILL: They would have to sell power to the City and

County of San Francisco under Schedule P-6, they haven't any way out of that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Of course, the thought I have in mind, Supervisor Havenner, is this, if I might explain to the Board, that if we are going to build, we are right in competition with the private company, although Mr. Cahill just said that we can buy under Schedule P-6, but I feel we would be handicapped in every possible manner, and I want it definitely understood that the necessary construction, in case we build, will positively come within the $50,000,000, and I want the breakdown of that from the Public Utilities Commission, and I think I am justified, because we are going into an unknown question.

THE PRESIDENT: What is that question of the Public Utilities Commission?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want the breakdown of the items necessary if we build the system, and the cost of the items if we build.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, that may be necessary.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, may I make this statement, knowing, and I presume you do, that I am one of those not in accord with the presentation of this, if I take the floor more than the rules allow——

THE PRESIDENT: I feel in this particular matter we will have to allow plenty of latitude, because thoughts will occur to the members as this goes on.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Without a vote of the Board, I will just feel that Rule Number 17 has been suspended for the moment, as explained by the Chair just now.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I don't want to be understood as making these statements that the probabilities of presenting this amendment on the basis of "Buy or Build" had not been discussed. My statement was that no one had given us a set of figures to guide us, to tell us how we were coming out if we were to build. That is my statement, and that statement cannot be controverted. The Public Utilities Commission has presented Plan Number 7 for our consideration, and there is no estimate there as to how the taxpayers would come out if negotiations fell through and we were to go into business competing with the P. G. & E. That is the point I make.

Now, gentlemen, again comes up the mysterious, and to me, thoroughly illogical arguments with which every question is met, and that is Mr. Ickes. Now, I had proposed to discuss that later on, but already today apparently comes some kind of a threat that if you don't do something, Mr. Ickes is going to either put you into jail, cut your head off, or, I don't know what terrible things will happen to us if we mind our own business and attend to the affairs of the taxpayers of this City and County in a logical, sensible and sane way. Well, as to myself, I am going to take a chance on what Mr. Ickes will do to me, and I am going to present the matter as clearly and logically as I can, and again I call your attention to the fact that we are going far afield in this very first paragraph, of anything discussed or apparently even contemplated by this Board of Supervisors. We went into Plan Number 7 carefully, and particularly asked Mr. Cahill many, many questions, all of which he answered, and answered in a satisfactory manner, and, as I stated before, I am not in a position to dispute any one of the statements made. But when I show you $50,000,000—the plan does call for that, and Plan Number 7 calls for $43,700,000, and there is a difference of over $500,000 a year in interest and redemption, and now, while it is true it does not tie up all of the surplus, as the plan would indicate. That is important, but not nearly as important as the possibility of $50,000,000 invested in unnecessary competition and uneconomical equipment and facilities that exist today, nor does Plan 7 show the results, the financial results to the City if we started out on such a construction program as this, which would put us in competition with the P. G. & E.

Now, again, gentlemen, referring to the Honorable—and I have great

respect for the gentleman—please don't misunderstand—the Honorable Secretary of Interior, I cannot understand what it is, but mere reference to his name calms all your fears, and answers all your arguments. Well and good, that is one method of procedure, but to me that is not the case, there is a new policy today. I did not intend to bring this up now, but since Mr. Ickes' name has come up, it is good, I guess, I do take and clear it away. Our colleague who goes to Congress from the Fourth District was elected on the theory he would support the President, and even today I see signs in San Francisco reading "Elect Havenner He Will Support the President of the United States." Now, I didn't hear many of his speeches, but I am told, however, that 99 9/10ths of his plea was on that very good and wise platform, and therefore, if he is true to his pledge to the people who put him in office, he will support the President of the United States. A new policy has come from the President of the United States regarding this very thing that we are discussing here, and he called for a conference with the officials of the TVA, the Tennessee Valley Authority, and the power magnates of the private companies to discuss a form of co-operation whereby ruinous and unnecessary expenditures of money for the duplication of power development plants could be avoided, and pleaded with them for some method whereby private power companies would cooperate with the public production of power in such a way as would benefit the stockholders of those companies and the public generally, and not cause the heavy losses such a policy of competition would bring about. That is the policy of the President of the United States. Supervisor Havenner must support that policy, and so must, in the last analysis, the Secretary of the Interior.

I never considered San Francisco a foreign country at war with the United States. On the contrary, I have always considered San Francisco a very good friend to the Administration, and more particularly as it gave him a very flattering and unheard of Democratic majority of 175,000, due to some of my old friends and colleagues. So I don't think there is any fear that the citizens of San Francisco will be kicked out and hurt simply because they conduct the affairs of their own city in a logical, proper way, and because, and which I think in my opinion should be the policy of the Board, the Board of Supervisors should take up the more important problem that faces them instead of the less important problem that faces them.

Now, I will have more, of course, to say as we go along, but these things seem pertinent to me because of the two points involved in paragraph 121-A.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, if I may address you as such, I have to differ with you here your first day in your interpretation of our rules, and I do so in the kindest way, but circumstances alter cases, and circumstances, I am afraid, here today should prompt you to adhere to the rules. You just made the statement here that you would be rather tolerant with the previous speaker——

THE PRESIDENT: With the Board, Mr. McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, with the Board. Now, the previous speaker has gone along with all temerity, and says, "I am unalterably opposed to public ownership,"——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Just a moment, Mr. President.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, I have not finished, I have the floor.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But you made a misstatement.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: When I have finished, you can correct it.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman will be recognized.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: His standing, I might alter my words a little, his standing on this particular question—perhaps I will. I will withdraw that statement and change it. There was a statement made of his standing on the present question that, "I am opposed to the submission of Plan Number 7," or "I am opposed to the submission of the proposition to the people of this City acquiring the existing

system," and he has made no bones about it. All right, now, he has also told you, "I am going to take the floor from time to time." Now, we all promised Supervisor Havenner, our newly elected Congressman, we would not attempt at any time to present any technicalities in reference to the presentation of this matter. Now, we have gone along, and I can see this, I have had some experience on this Board, and I can see this is bound to go along for the next two hours, and it will come to noontime, and we will be just about where we started, and there will be a motion made to recess, and then the members will be tired, and the first thing you know we will recess, and we will not pass the particular project that is before us here today. We must pass it, and we must keep faith with our colleague who has been here for 11 years. We will not keep faith, there will be and there is an effort on the part of certain members of this Board to stop our people from passing on whether they should have the right to distribute our own power or not. They will ask all kinds of questions. They will ask Mr. Cahill to provide certain things that Mr. Cahill must have advice upon, and once he takes the matter under advisement, we will find ourselves going today without a vote, and the Supervisor will naturally leave, and he will not be entitled to vote on this all-important matter that he and some of us have worked for for the past 11 years. The previous speaker for the last 11 years at all times has been opposed to the resolution, and I trust the members of the Board today—and I understand in meeting as a committee of the whole, not as a Board, in this committee our meeting as a committee of the whole we tried last Thursday—I say we should—oh, I see the smile on your face, Supervisor, and I say to you frankly, to stop any filibustering. I know what filibuster means. I know how one or two men can go along and filibuster for one or two hours, and not come to a vote, and I hope we will go right along and end this thing. I said the other day, "We can end this today if we are honest with one another," but we did not end it last Thursday, and we are back here this morning. Supervisor Havenner has to leave for Washington, so I hope and trust, members of the Board, there will be no attempt on the part of any of us to filibuster or to attempt to prevent this vote, and that we come to a vote as soon as possible.

I think every man on this Board has his mind made up, we know the questions before us, and let us not attempt to create a condition where we will not keep our promise to our colleagues.

I have often differed with Supervisor Havenner, but I nevertheless respect him greatly, and I gave him my word, and we all gave him our word that we would allow this matter to come to a vote and not attempt in any technical manner to stop it from coming to a vote. I say the attempt of some members——

THE PRESIDENT: (Interrupting) The Chair takes the remarks of Supervisor McSheehy to indicate that he would prefer to have strict adherence to Rule 17.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair is simply your servant, and I made the suggestion that I would be willing to allow plenty of latitude in the discussion of this matter, and there was no objection. Now, that there is objection, I will endeavor, unless a motion is made that the rules be suspended, that is, regarding Rule 17, I will endeavor, as nearly as possible, to observe Rule 17.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I move the suspension of Rule Number 17. We want a free and open discussion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The gentleman just talked himself for 5 minutes. Let's get down to business.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is on the suspension of Rule Number 17 of our procedure.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I hope and trust you will not suspend Rule 17. Supervisor Roncovieri has a matter he wants to bring before the Board, and he will bring it before the

Board under the 10-minute rule, and I will be perfectly willing to allow him sufficient time to present it. I do not wish to aggravate the Supervisor, and I am in accord with him, to a great degree, on the particular point he is trying to cover. And I say this respectfully, he is honest in his endeavor, in what he is trying to present, but there are other members of this Board, who have but one thought, and that is to stop this amendment from going on to the ballot, and who are going to try to stop it, and I hope and trust that Rule Number 17 will not be suspended, because if it is, we might just as well leave here right now, because we will carry on, and carry on, and not come to any conclusion here today, so I hope and trust the members of the Board will not attempt to suspend Rule Number 17 at this particular time. I won't object to any particular member if I feel he is honest in his endeavors to go along on certain points pertinent to the resolution, but when a supervisor takes the floor, and tries to read into the record the life of Mr. Ickes, and in the next breath says that he is right, that Supervisor, after a few hours will keep on talking, and we will get no place here today.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Don't misunderstand me, Supervisor, I am not trying to filibuster. My remarks seem to have more logic than those of my colleague, but it would not be right to leave to me or to him whether somebody was complying with the rules or not. I would rather go back to the committee of the whole. I told the gentleman, I made a gentleman's agreement that I would not use any technicalities in trying to avoid a vote on this matter. We have several hours before us yet, and while I don't believe, of course, we are under any obligation to Supervisor Havenner to present this matter, we are not representing Supervisor Havenner, we are representing the people of San Francisco, Supervisor Havenner is merely connected with us as one member of the Board.

Now, Supervisor McSheehy's accusations as applied to me are absolutely false. I am opposed, not to the matter of public ownership, but revenue bonds. That has been my attitude and I have voted in the past for the presentation of power projects when financed on general obligation bonds, and again I would do that today, I would vote for this proposal if financed by general—or rather, if voted by a two-thirds majority. That has been my constant position, supported on three occasions by the voters of San Francisco. Remember that, gentlemen, I have not been turned down yet in my stand, which has been a consistent one throughout the years, but the attitude of the majority of this Board who favor revenue bonds by a vote of the majority has been turned down, so obviously I represent a majority at least of the voters, and certainly as one of the opponents of this proposition, I am entitled to be heard as often as I wish, that is the fair way to do this, but I am not trying to filibuster, and I am not trying to avoid this matter coming to a vote.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I just make this statement. I assume the statement just made was in good faith and there will be no attempts made to prevent this matter coming to a vote, and I would respectfully suggest to the Board that this amendment be taken and the Board decide to go over this seriatim. It seems to me we ought to do this, starting on the assumption that if this is to be submitted, it ought to be in the form most advantageous to San Francisco, so can we not discuss the provisions of the amendment from that standpoint as we go along, and then make our arguments on the main question when we have concluded our seriatim study. If that could be done, then there can be no accusation.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to ask Supervisor McSheehy if his thought is with reference to each section as it is submitted, that we adhere to Rule Number 17. We are now discussing Section 121-A, the first paragraph of it. It is going to be a difficult thing to hold the members down to Rule 17, if you mean on just one paragraph or one sentence, or—I don't know just exactly how the rule would apply, if

we adhere strictly to Rule 17, and if you will explain that to the Chair, I will know how to rule on the question that is before the Board.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I make this suggestion, and I make this for time's sake only, that the Clerk be authorized to read the entire resolution from A to Z, and with the understanding not one interruption is to be made, and as he reads, the various members of the Board can check off the various portions they wish to amend, and in that way we will get over the work quickly.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I thought we were going to take it up seriatim.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, that has already been passed by the Board.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman, if I may——

THE PRESIDENT: The Board has already voted to take the amendment up seriatim before you came in.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Meyer said something about changing that, but you cannot change it without a suspension of the rules, because our rules allow any member of the Board to take up any resolution that contains various parts, to take up the various parts separately, and all I can say is this, Mr. President, I know that you have a very difficult task, and I hope that the members of the Board, and I say that again, will not attempt to filibuster so that we cannot come to a vote.

I have just been informed that Supervisor Ratto told the members of the Board that he is compelled to leave at 11:30. I can see how easily we can consume all of the time and still not do anything—I don't want to say any more, but I hope you vote down the suspension of the rules in reference to Rule 17, because we are never coming to a vote if you don't vote that down to start with.

THE PRESIDENT: May I say further that two members of the Board have exhausted their right to speak on this. May I ask who is the author of the Charter Amendment Number 1, so that I may know who will be permitted to close?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Havenner is the author of Charter Amendment Number 1. You are not going to get an opportunity to speak on it—Mr. Colman cannot take the floor on another paragraph of this amendment if the motion just made is defeated.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, I would be allowed to take the floor. You have got to take it up seriatim.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are taking it up seriatim now, we have got to the first paragraph, and Mr. Colman has spoken twice. This is on the amendment—not the amendment as passed—so if I adhere to the Rule 17, Mr. Colman cannot take the floor again. Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I hope and trust the members will not vote to suspend the Rule 17 or you will not come to a vote.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown? Absent. Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No. After we get through I will explain what I mean by it.

THE CLERK: McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Ratto? Absent. Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is lost.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If I may make this explanation: I have no desire to deprive the members of a free expression. I would like to adhere to the rule we made a while ago that we discuss as we go along the merits of the provisions, but the argument on the main question, and I say this with all due respect to the supervisor, his arguments were on the main question.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: So were yours.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: As the author of the amendment, I was certainly entitled to make an introductory statement.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: But I would prefer to defer any further argument on the main question until we have passed on the merits of the provisions of this amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair would like to have a little enlightenment. Is Mr. Colman going to be denied the privilege of the floor again? He has had it twice.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am just as well—I have never heard any such procedure. The biggest faker and the biggest filibuster on this Board of Supervisors is Mr. McSheehy. He does that, as everybody knows. I have never in my life tried to do that. It is true, I express myself—it may take me a little longer, as he says, to express myself, but I do it the best I know how, but the idea of him standing up here and accusing me, it is just outrageous——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I rise to a point of order. I ask that the previous speaker withdraw the word "faker." I am not a faker.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I withdraw it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I never was.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But you are a filibuster. I am now going to move that the Board resolve itself into a committee of the whole and hear the amendment, discuss the matter as a committee of the whole.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the Board resolve itself into a committee of the whole.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I trust and hope the Board will not resolve itself into a committee of the whole. The motion is not made but for one purpose, and that purpose is to filibuster and nothing else. I will continue to use that word—simply to stop the members of this Board from coming to a vote. There is no need of it. You have already met as a committee of the whole last week. Everyone was heard. It is now really in the hands of the Board, and there is an effort being made to stop this particular resolution from coming to a vote, but I trust and hope the members of this Board will not vote to go into a committee of the whole at this particular time. It takes six votes, but I hope to God certain members will see to it that we do not go into the committee of the whole.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, I think Supervisor McSheehy is most unreasonable. He has used the word "filibuster"—this is one of the most important matters that has come before the Board of Supervisors, and every latitude should be given a member to present his argument. I am opposed to filibustering, too, but when pertinent things are brought before the Board, there should be no time limit of 10 minutes, 15 or 20 minutes, if it is essential and direct to the subject matter, and I certainly feel it is rather unreasonable of Supervisor McSheehy to jam the thing in that way. If he does, and this carries, I have got something up my sleeve that I promised I wouldn't do that I will hand you before the meeting is over.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Hand me anything you want at any time. Mr. McSheehy will take care of himself.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.
THE CLERK: Brown?
SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Colman?
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Havenner?
SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.
THE CLERK: McSheehy?
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.
THE CLERK: Mead?
SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.
THE CLERK: Meyer?
SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.
THE CLERK: Ratto? Absent. Roncovieri?
SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.
THE CLERK: Schmidt? Absent. Shannon?
SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.
THE CLERK: Uhl?
SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.
THE CLERK: Five ayes, four noes.
SUPERVISOR BROWN: Just a minute, I move——
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: (Interrupting)—The announcement has been made.
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That carries it.
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It takes six votes.
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, I think the majority.
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, sir, it takes six votes.
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Let us send for Doctor Schmidt.
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You cannot send for him, the vote has been taken.
SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move reconsideration.
THE PRESIDENT: The Chair has not announced the vote yet. I would like to see the rules. The rules do not state.
SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Nanery calls my attention, Mr. President, that it is in the Charter—that requires a majority vote.
THE PRESIDENT: The motion to go into committee of the whole is the same as a committee.
SUPERVISOR BROWN: It takes six votes, it is under Rule 5. Mr. Chairman——
THE PRESIDENT: On what page?
SUPERVISOR BROWN: On page 8 of the little rule book.
THE PRESIDENT: Whenever it shall be ruled and carried by six members that the Board go into the committee of the whole——
SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will move reconsideration of the vote by which that motion was lost.
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think it would be better to move now that the Board resolve itself into a committee of the whole.
THE PRESIDENT: The motion has been lost.
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I can make it again.
SUPERVISOR BROWN: I can make the motion, Mr. Chairman. I move the Board now resolve itself into a committee of the whole for the purpose of taking up the Charter amendment.
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is a frivolous motion with a frivolous intent. The motion has been defeated. It is so ruled in Roberts Rules of Order, where a contending member or one who voted in the affirmative, as Supervisor Brown did in this particular case, then renews the motion, it is considered a frivolous motion for the purpose of retarding the business of the body.
SUPERVISOR BROWN: May I speak on that? In the first place, I won't quibble over terms because I don't think Mr. McSheehy's intention was to reflect on my honesty. In the second place, we have already consumed a great deal of time by quibbling over a matter that could have been gotten over in a very few minutes. It seems to me

if there is any frivolous matter here, that is it. Going into a committee of the whole is for the express purpose of hearing the public if there are any here desiring to address us on the subject—and I don't feel that they should be deprived of that privilege. I renew my motion to resolve ourselves into a committee of the whole.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If the motion is to give the public a hearing, I don't think the Supervisor can say we did not give the public a hearing. We sat here all day Thursday in a public hearing. I have no desire, I would say to the Chair, if we can go along in this matter and consider it seriatim, and if the arguments on each proposition will be devoted to the merits of the particular proposition, I won't object—I wouldn't say that I would not let any member express himself——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, I have no desire, as I understand it—I might differ with the Chair, but I won't speak on account of the time—and I did not take the Chair's rulings on the seriatim—any member has a right to take any motion up seriatim where it contains one or more parts, and every member has a right to talk on that particular part, and it becomes an individual motion in reference to the Board. Therefore, I can see your ruling. Supervisor, I say this as friendly as I can to you, I think Supervisor Colman has a right to take up every part that comes up—if there are 50 different parts, he has a right to take the floor 50 different times, and I will ask Supervisor Colman that he confine himself to the subject at issue on the particular part, and not go into generalities.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: The situation has changed since the vote was taken—something we never knew—we usually wait a minute to allow a member who had gone into the anteroom to come in. That was not done because inadvertently we did not ask a call of the Board when the roll was called. Now, I don't want to be beholden to my colleagues for the right to speak. I think I have a right to speak the same as any member of the Board, and I think it is wise to allow us now in discussing a $50,000,000 project to talk on the question. I assure you I will not, to the best of my ability, use one unnecessary word to convey my thought. I try not to do it. Maybe I do not succeed, but I try very hard. I am not trying to filibuster at all. But I think it is better that I have my rights here, otherwise what is the good of my sitting here. I have been elected the same as you all, four times. I am entitled to this seat, I am entitled to be heard. You don't need to agree with me, but I have the same right to sit as you have. Now, I would like to ask—I can't see—a motion only because one member was absent, was out of the room, that might have voted with it—that is the point in remaking the motion now. Do you rule that motion out of order?

THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't had an opportunity yet. I am going to rule that your motion is in order, it is not a frivolous motion due to the fact that it does not tend to delay the proceedings. The motion is made due to the fact that the membership of the Board is now complete and I am going to vote for the motion, due to the fact that I am in a quandary as to how to rule if it is necessary that I have to rule regarding Rule 17—I say again that I cannot let Mr. Colman have the privilege of the floor.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Or Mr. McSheehy either.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. McSheehy has not even spoken on the amendment yet. He is entitled to the floor twice before Mr. Havenner takes it to close. Mr. McSheehy has been talking on matters that have come up in connection with the amendment, but Mr. McSheehy has not spoken on the first paragraph, and you have spoken twice on the first paragraph, and are denied the privilege of speaking again. So, I feel that the motion to go into the committee of the whole is to permit the members to speak as often as possible, and that is why I am voting for it. Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Colman?
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Havenner?
SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.
THE CLERK: McSheehy?
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.
THE CLERK: Mead?
SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.
THE CLERK: Meyer?
SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.
THE CLERK: Ratto?
SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.
THE CLERK: Roncovieri?
SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.
THE CLERK: Schmidt?
SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.
THE CLERK: Shannon?
SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.
THE CLERK: Uhl?
SUPERVISOR UHL:—Aye.
THE CLERK—Six Ayes, five Noes.
THE PRESIDENT—The motion is carried.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I move we continue with our subject.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We are now sitting as a committee of the whole.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you elect a chairman?
SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I move the same chairman preside.
THE PRESIDENT: Any objection? Such is the order. I recognize Supervisor Uhl.
SUPERVISOR UHL: I desire to offer an amendment to the first paragraph, to strike out the words "construction or other lawful method" in the second line—"The Public Utilities Commission may, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method"—eliminating the words "construction or other lawful method," and the reason that I am offering that——
THE PRESIDENT: Just a minute. I haven't heard a second.
SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I second the motion.
THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the first paragraph, the second line, be amended by striking out the words "construction or other lawful method." Are you ready for the question?
SUPERVISOR UHL: The reason, Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Board, why I am offering that amendment is that it is outside of the set-up in Plan No. 7, that no figures have been given regarding such a proposal, and furthermore, and most important of all to me is that it happens to be the business—not the business but the absolute cut-throat competition between the two plants; it should be handled by one or the other, and if we consider any such proposition as constructing a plant here and getting into competition with the private company, God help us! That is the way I see the matter. Therefore, let us stay to the original proposal of Plan No. 7.
SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Before the amendment is voted on, Mr. Chairman——
THE CHAIRMAN: Pardon me, is Mr. Cahill here?
MR. OST: No, he is not. I will see that he comes, though.
THE CHAIRMAN: I would like to have Mr. Cahill sent for.
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, that is a very important point.
SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to say, Mr. President, that the amendment proposed by Mr. Uhl, in my judgment would nullify the possibility of any purchase within a reasonable period of time.
SUPERVISOR UHL: May I interrupt you to say to the Chair that I haven't that in mind at all, to nullify it.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Uhl, with all due respects to you, in my judgment, and I have based my judgment on the advice of every man whom I know in other cities where municipal distribution of power has been in operation, and where propositions have been submitted to the people for new projects of this kind, every single one of them has advised me, above everything else to have the proposition submitted in this form.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask through the Chair a question?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then why should not there be submitted to me the financial prospectus to show me what the results of that sort of move would be? That is reasonable.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am not denying that you should have it, and certainly I presume that the opponents of this measure will go before the people and try to argue against that provision as they have argued against it elsewhere. All I am asking is that the people be allowed to decide the question. The question here is obviously to prevent this matter being submitted to the people and it can be discussed on its merits after this gets to the people. The whole matter is to present it on its merits. It will surely be discussed thoroughly before the people, and if the people do not want it, they shall reject it. The people have not rejected it elsewhere. The people should decide.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask through the Chair this question: Isn't it a fact that this is foreign to the original proposal that came to us?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, sir.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where can you show me in Plan No. 7 that it was suggested that we construct. You brought it in within the last two or three weeks, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, obviously Plan No. 7 has nothing to do with this question at all.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, I would say this to Supervisor Havenner, that the very next paragraph states that Plan No. 7 has been approved by the Board.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We will admit that Plan No. 7 has not yet been approved in toto by the Board. It has been approved tentatively by the Board subject to revision with respect to the financial set-up.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President: It has really been approved except with regard to the all-important feature of it, namely, the figures. I think everyone will admit that, that that is the all-important part of any plan, the figures. The Board has not approved the figures, the financial set-up.

Mr. President, and Members of the Board: A point came up in the discussion there that I think is worthy of just a very brief discussion, and that is the point made by Mr. Havenner that all he is asking to do is that we submit it to the people and let the people decide. In my opinion the Board of Supervisors have a very grave responsibility with regard to what they submit to the people of San Francisco, and if in the opinion of any member of the Board of Supervisors there are weaknesses in any proposal, as I look at my duty, we should not submit such a proposal to the City, if we feel it is not to the best interests of the City. That is why we are given that power, and the people of San Francisco look to this Board for guidance and we cannot say, "Let us let it go to the people, let them decide it. It is their business, they pay the bill." I think it is our duty, it is incumbent upon us to believe that what we are submitting has real merit before we submit it, and it is surely within our right to deny to submit any point which, in our opinion, is not for the best interests of any of the people.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Question.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman: I would like to ask that the Clerk read to Mr. Cahill the question propounded by Mr. Uhl.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Uhl has moved that we amend the second line by striking the words "construction or other lawful method," stating that that is not in Plan No. 7.

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Uhl is right that that is not in Plan No. 7. Plan No. 7 says on page 5: "Every effort should be made to effect the purchase by direct negotiation with the company. This should permit of quick acquisition without needless loss to either the company or the City, and without interfering with the harmonious relations which have always prevailed between the City and the company. As a court of last resort the law provides for taking over the property through condemnation procedure." And if this thing were to be left to the Public Utilities Commission, there is no doubt in my mind that first we would try to acquire the property by negotiation, and, failing that, we certainly would go to condemnation. I believe the Board has hitherto been told by the City Attorney that we can condemn any part of any public utility's system, so probably it would never come to the third alternative, namely, construction. It is simply a precautionary measure and I think a proper one to include. It is a thing which I would not like to see done but nevertheless I think that inasmuch as every other city which has done the type of thing which is contemplated in this amendment has included it, I think it is a proper one.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What do you think is proper, that this should remain?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, sir. I want to say this, that the Pacific Gas & Electric Company in my opinion, and, I believe, in that of the City Attorney, does not have at the present time a franchise to distribute electricity for the purpose of power in the City and County of San Francisco. Therefore, if it were to come to the last resort and I say it would be the last resort as far as the present Public Utilities Commission are concerned, that we should undertake to build a system in competition with the one which now exists, a thing which I certainly hope never will happen, you can readily see that if I am right in my statement that the Pacific Gas & Electric Company has no franchise to deliver power, that practically every customer they have would be cut off from their system because there is hardly a house in San Francisco that does not have one motor in it, a washing machine, a refrigerator, or sweeping machine. All of that will be cut off besides every power plant of any kind in all industrial plants. So, you see, this is quite a thing to put in here. I believe it should be included—I believe it will not be necessary to take it out.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. Cahill, would you admit that it would be ruinous from a business standpoint to enter into competition with the private company at the present time?

MR. CAHILL: No, I won't admit that. I have just told you, sir, that if we had to build it that practically every load which the Pacific Gas & Electric Company now supplies, they would be barred from supplying it under their present franchise if the City wanted to do it. Therefore, it might be ruinous for the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, but it would not be ruinous to the publicly-owned utility.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is to have a competing company and not get the desired revenues—we wouldn't get——

MR. CAHILL: You cannot tell that we wouldn't get it, and neither can I tell you that we would get it, but at the same time the point is this, practically every load that the Pacific Gas & Electric Company supplies today is supplied, in my opinion without proper legal authority to do so. Now, then, if that is the case, and if we are forced into the bad position of having to duplicate the present facilities, I believe the Pacific Gas & Electric Company would lose practically every business connection it has in the City and County of San Francisco and would have a dead system. Now, I do not approve of that.

That is evident from Plan No. 7, but I think it is a proper precaution to put into this amendment. If you are going to do this thing, then put the system into as strong a position as you can.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Well, my impression is from what you say that you are not inclined——you don't look kindly on going into the competition with the private company.

MR. CAHILL: Certainly not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: And I feel personally——

MR. CAHILL: Doctor Schmidt, the distribution of electricity is a natural monopoly. It should be a monopoly for one system or the other. There is no question about it, the results of competition are always bad in that field, and I am against it, and that is quite clearly shown in this Plan No. 7. But I am quite sure we would never come to that point because the City Attorney has assured us that we can condemn, and before we went to the point of duplication, we would try to condemn. However, it does give us another out, and I think it is a proper thing to put in. It has been done by other cities, and it has done none of the other cities any harm.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I say this: We may some time in the future have at least another membership on the Public Utilities Commission who probably would not look with friendly—in the business-like fashion as the present one, and I see more or less of a danger of putting this in. What is there to prevent some future Public Utilities Commission from constructing some system, going into ruinous competition and the City would not be better off for it that I could see.

MR. CAHILL: Last week, or the last meeting, I think, there was an amendment proposed to this, and the City Attorney has written the amendment thus: "When said Public Utilities Commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of the system" and then interpolate, "and when the method and cost of the acquisition of the system have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors." I am thoroughly in accord with that condition and that amendment, and the Board of Supervisors could stop that kind of thing if that amendment is voted.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where is that amendment?

MR. O'TOOLE: We have not reached that paragraph.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Question.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Speaking on the amendment, the question of the word "construction," which I gather is about the only matter of issue, as I take it to mean that the words "or other lawful method" have to do with the proceedings necessary for the taking over by legal action, condemnation; is that correct; is that your idea?

MR. CAHILL: I don't know. You will have to ask Mr. O'Toole.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, that is it, any legal method.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't suppose the Board has any objection to including that in the ordinance.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: You mean just eliminate the word "construction"?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, that there be some modification of that language, because obviously all other legal method includes the word "construction."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am willing to strike out the word "construction."

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Or other legal method includes the word "construction."

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is why I put it in there. You say to strike it out?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will propose the amendment as follows: "The Public Utilities Commission shall, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase,"—then insert the words: "or by condemnation proceedings," and eliminate the words: "construction or other lawful method."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am willing to accept that.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Speaking to that—I will be brief, Mr. McSheehy—I don't believe, either as a matter of good government or good business, duplication of existing public utility facilities, whatever this may be—I think all of us are familiar, for example, with the railroad history of the United States, and what happened in many areas of this country when for uneconomical reasons certain railroads sought to compete with other existing systems. The best example we have is in the Northwest, where we have three transcontinental systems all competing with each other and all starving to death slowly. I agree with Mr. Cahill that the distribution of electric power is a naturally non-competitive business and one which cannot be subjected to competition regardless of whether that business is conducted by a private corporation or by the City itself. Now, I cannot, therefore, go along with any proposal which will give to the City the right to duplicate the existing facilities of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company before we exhaust all other possibilities, and I think this Board has a remedy at its disposal if it should eventuate that condemnation proceedings are not possible—it is always then possible to again initiate legislation such as this which will permit the construction of a duplication of the existing facilities if that seems advisable, although I would probably be opposed to it then as I am now if it came before the Board at that time.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman: it is apparent to me from the very determined opposition to this proposal that it is realized that if this proposition is retained in the question to be submitted to the people, that it will be disadvantageous to the existing power company. That is in a sense the argument that is made, that if the City should go into competition, which I do not think would ever be a likely eventuality, but if it should, it would be bad for business. In other words, it would be bad for the business of the existing utility. Conversely, therefore, it seems to me it is obvious this proposal would be a good thing for the people of San Francisco in the event that they decide upon the question which will be submitted to them. That question is, do you desire to take over and operate the distribution of electricity in San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Why don't you submit it on that basis?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We are submitting it on that basis and we are giving the people every opportunity if they desire to take it over to accomplish their will. Now, the effort here is to make it as difficult as possible if the people do vote to go into the electrical distribution system, to make it as difficult as possible for them to go into it. I repeat, the argument in favor of this has been most strongly urged upon me by every man experienced in the operation of municipal electric systems in America whom I have met; they all urged it. Therefore, from the standpoint of municipal ownership I submit it is a good thing, it is a desirable thing for the interests of the people, and the elimination of it will curtail the rights of the people to do what they want to do if they decide to do it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR UHL: To close the debate on that, I want to say to the Chair, Supervisor Havenner, that it is my judgment that the amendment can be more easily beaten with that question of construction and the question of going into competition with the private company—it can be more easily beaten with that in than out. You have got in there the right to go into it—as Mr. Cahill said, it should be a monopoly. As I stated when I made the motion, I feel one or the other companies should operate 100 per cent the distribution of electricity in San Francisco to avoid that cutthroat competition. Now, then, the City wants to go into the distribuion of power, and you want the City to go into the municipal distribution of power, and the only way to do that and to carry out Mr. Cahill's judgment of the monopolistic feature—why, you have got it in there to condemn the com-

pany by eminent domain if they do not make a price that is satisfactory—what more do you want?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That occupies 10 years, postpone the will of the people 10 years by every legal obstacle that the company can throw in its way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then it is just regrettable.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We are trying to do the thing every other city has tried to do. If the people decide they want to take over this business, give them the opportunity to do it expeditiously.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am perfectly agreeable if they will submit the figures here what the cost will be under that construction plan. I am not going blindly into anything of that sort.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I will ask the City Attorney—my mind is not set on this thing, I will ask the City Attorney, inasmuch as Plan 7 is referred to as the system to be acquired, and in Plan 7 not a word about the cost of construction, would this be a legal document?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think it would, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There is not a word in it. We have nothing before us on construction.

MR. O'TOOLE: That would be—Plan 7 is your general plan—it was your general plan. In other words, you would get a certain area of distribution, you would develop a certain amount of power, and you would get your power in a certain way. Now, the mere fact that you might have to acquire the system in some other way, I don't think would change it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, that is not quite an accurate statement, it is more than a general plan, it is a very concrete plan allowing for the purchase by negotiation for certain specifically stated units of the P. G. & E., taking over the business that they now have, which takes over all the electric business of San Francisco, save, I believe, the Market Street Railroad unit. That is a very specific and definite thing, to keep faith with respect to every detail. As Mr. Roncovieri said, there is nothing there to give us any idea as to what we are going into by construction. I wouldn't want this Board of Supervisors to have the right to say by six votes that the City could go into the power construction business. I want Mr. Cahill to know that I trust him in any matter to do with the Public Utilities, but I do not accept his statement as to the franchise because, after all, that is not a utility question, that is a legal question, I assume. On that point—I am not, however, in any way, spoiling a pretty good record, I don't know anything about it—I would take the City Attorney's opinion on that point rather than the Manager of the Utilities, but, gentlemen, the further we go in this thing, the more obvious it must be that we are opening up a door, and if the door is opened, we don't know what way that road is going to go and where that door leads to, no idea in the world. Now, I want to take exception right now again, and I want to state again that I am representing the people of San Francisco in this matter. They are the people that elected me, and they are the people whose interest I have at heart, and they are the people who you are taking again into something about which you know nothing yourselves, and about which you have nothing to tell them, and I think it is a very dangerous proceeding.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.

THE CLERK: McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Mead?
SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.
THE CLERK: Meyer?
SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.
THE CLERK: Ratto?
SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.
THE CLERK: Roncovieri?
SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.
THE CLERK: Schmidt?
SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.
THE CLERK: Shannon?
SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.
THE CLERK: Uhl?
SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.
THE CLERK: Four ayes, seven noes.
THE CHAIRMAN: Motion lost.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) "The System to be acquired shall, in so far as practical, be the system submitted to the board of supervisors by the public utilities commission on September 14, 1936, and designated by said public utilities commission as Plan Number 7, which said plan was approved by the board of supervisors by resolution adopted by said board of supervisors on December 3rd, 1936; provided, however, that said public utilities commission is hereby given full power and authority to deviate from said plan to such extent as it shall deem proper in order to carry out the purposes of said plan, but any change made in said plan, or in the execution of the same, shall not render invalid or have any effect upon the validity of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued to meet the cost of The System, and no purchaser or holder of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section shall be required to see to the existence of any of the facts, or to the performance of any of the conditions, or the taking of any of the proceedings required prior to the issue of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, or to the application of the proceeds thereof."

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask the City Attorney what is meant by the first line: "The System to be acquired shall, in so far as practical," what does that mean? How far does it go?

MR. O'TOOLE: It means the system you would acquire would be the system submitted by Plan 7, but if there was any deviation from it at all, necessary deviation, possibly it might have an effect upon the validity of the bonds,—not the validity of the bonds but the right to use them for that plan for the reason that the law is fairly well settled that when you vote bonds, or authorize the issuance of bonds for a particular project, you must hew very close to the line as far as that project is concerned, and in putting that provision in there, it has been done solely with the intention that if there was just a slight deviation from the plan as submitted which might become necessary at the time of the acquisition of the system, why, the bonds would not be held to be invalid.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I would ask, Mr. President, why is it necessary to deviate from said plan—providing, however, it says here —well, you have the wording.

MR. CAHILL: Mr. Colman, I will try to answer that. Let us assume that the bond issue is voted today and we immediately went into negotiation with the P. G. & E., and it took us a year to arrive at an agreement. During that year there will undoubtedly be a number of new loads connected with the system, which are not now connected with it, and we could not possibly go along with the situation and not contemplate the connection of that new power load which is not specified in Plan 7 and which, of course, cannot be because we don't know what it is going to be. On the other hand, it may be necessary to take a

little more of the system adjacent to San Francisco and in San Mateo County, which is not contemplated in Plan 7. In other words, we have to be given, if we are going to do anything at all, more or less a free hand on details, but not on fundamentals.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is a perfectly satisfactory explanation, but I would like to ask the City Attorney about that wording, will the Public Utilities have power to extend or deviate from the plan to any greater extent than intimated by the manager of the Utilities?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't think it would. In other words, he is bound by his plan. For instance, they cannot under the plan sell us—for instance, a steam plant is not contemplated by the plan—I don't know if he could spend a $1,000,000 for the steam plant.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You don't know if they could?

MR. O'TOOLE: They could not unless it was provided for in the plan.

THE CHAIRMAN: There is no objection to this section, it is temporarily passed.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) "Any and all money borrowed, or bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section, as well as the interest to accrue thereon, shall be payable solely and only out of the revenue from The System to be acquired with said moneys so borrowed, or received for said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness."

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection? Temporarily passed.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) "The public utilities commission shall, except as herein provided, determine the form, conditions and denominations of all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under the provisions of this section, and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall bear, which rate of interest shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. The payment of the principal of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall commence not later than five years from the date of issuance, and shall be completed in not more than forty years from date of issuance. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall mature and shall be payable each year during the period over which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are payable in such amounts as may be fixed by the commission. All of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued may, at the option of the owner thereof, be registered, as to either principal or interest or both, with the treasurer of the city and county in such manner as may be provided by the commission. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be sold at such times and in such amounts as the public utilities commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than their par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be offered for sale either on the basis of a fixed rate of interest or on the basis of the lowest net interest cost and power is hereby given to the commission to fix said rate of interest either prior or subsequent to the date of the issuance of said bonds. All bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued as herein provided shall be signed by the president of the public utilities commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the secretary of the public utilities commission, and countersigned by the treasurer of the city and county. The signature of the president of the public utilities commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile. Any coupon attached to said bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile signature of the said treasurer. The official seal of the public utilities commission shall be affixed to said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness and full authority is hereby given to said commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper. Each of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The System and that it does not constitute a general indebtedness against the City and

County of San Francisco. All revenue bonds issued under authority of this Charter shall be negotiable instruments under the law merchant and shall have all of the attributes of negotiability under the laws relating to negotiable instruments of this State."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a minute. Are these commas in here important? You have a comma in front of the word "out of the revenues of the System." Is that comma properly placed?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, leave the comma there as it is.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I was going to rise and ask that we proceed.

THE CHAIRMAN: I was under the impression that Mr. Havenner was asking a question of Mr. O'Toole.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I was, but it is all right.

THE CHAIRMAN: I wanted to ask Mr. O'Toole, next to the last line, what does that mean, "under the law merchant"?

MR. O'TOOLE: Under the law of commerce—cut out the words "under the law merchant".

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you think that will not weaken it?

MR. O'TOOLE: No.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask of Mr. Cahill through the Chair, on Page 3 of Plan 7 you show on the 5 per cent revenue bonds on the 25 year basis, you show that the surplus on the average year is $6,409,000 surplus, but on the other initial year, it is $5,360,000. Do I understand that would be the surplus profit the first year, and from that, of course, would be the bond reduction?

MR. CAHILL: It means on the first year the surplus would be $5,360,000, and the average year the surplus would be $6,409,000 for a period of 25 years.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then I should like to ask why we should not start bond redemption after the first year, after beginning operation of the distribution of municipal power.

MR. CAHILL: It is permissive, but under this document it doesn't state it should be.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, I feel it should not be simply permissible but mandatory that bond redemption begin, therefore I move to amend on the eighth line to strike out that "not later than five years from the date of issuance," and insert therefor, "at the time the City and County of San Francisco begins municipal distribution of power."

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Why don't you just change it from five to one, and then you will have it?

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, five to one year.

MR. O'TOOLE: The danger of that, Supervisor Uhl, would be if we were delayed a long time on condemnation suits, the bonds might be outstanding, even in a small amount, and you wouldn't have any money.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Cahill says it will take 10 years on an eminent domain proceeding, so that argument does not hold.

MR. O'TOOLE: I doubt if it would take 10 years, but three or four years.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, then, it is important to cover it from the time the City and County of San Francisco acquires the municipal distribution system and begins operation of the same.

MR. O'TOOLE: You won't have any money as soon as you commence, will you, Mr. Cahill?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, that is the language, the first year—put it in any language you want, but my thought is from the commencement of the operation we should positively begin the retirement of the bonds.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I hope the members of the Board will not make this provision. You have never made any similar provision to this with respect to general obligation bond issues. You have repeatedly allowed the amortization to be deferred for five years. It has been done over and over again. Let us assume—I know you are not in agreement with this, but the Board has voted to leave the con-

struction provision in here, and let us assume it might become necessary to construct. I think it is a very remote possibility, but suppose we should——

SUPERVISOR UHL: (Interrupting) Didn't I say, when we begin municipal distribution? There is no question saying the profit is there, from Mr. Cahill's own statement.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: This Board of Supervisors is going to have a check on that if the amendment to be proposed here later is adopted—you may decide at the time on the issue. I think it would be a very dangerous handicap to strike this out, Mr. Uhl.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Question—there is the question of Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: A call of the Board.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is the motion?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The motion is I asked the City Attorney to form the proper language that in place of commencing the bond retirement at the end of five years, that it shall be commenced at the end of the first year's operation, because they set up under Plan 7, under one plan they have $5,360,000 surplus, and under the other plan, $6,409,000 surplus after one year's operation. Then why postpone for five years the redemption of the bonds?

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there objection?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, sir, Supervisor Havenner has just stated his objection very clearly. All the bond issues we have issued for years have always had this provision in it, five years, and not one year, on account of the construction, and the City Attorney has gone on to state, too, his reasons why this provision should remain as is, so I trust and hope the members of the Board will let it remain as is, because we have gone on with all of our bond work under this particular provision as described in this resolution.

THE CHAIRMAN: That would not be the answer to me. I would ask Mr. Cahill why he provided five years if these figures as stated are correct, why we should be paying interest for five years when we have the surplus lying there?

MR. CAHILL: In the event the thing is to be built, which the Board has voted to allow to remain in, Mr. Shannon, you would not have a large sum like that available, because it would take several years to build it, and you would only have the income from that portion which you built. Now, it is permissive, it is not mandatory, the Public Utilities Commission may delay the payment of the bonds for five years, but it is not necessary and if we get the thing on any other basis than construction, obviously, with this kind of surplus, we would pay off the first year.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll. Mr. Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The difference between this and any other bond issue that has ever been proposed and carried by the people of San Francisco, this is a revenue bond issue, so it is an entirely different proposal. You are getting revenues right away, which, in my opinion, is the reason why I think that interest should be saved and amortization should begin right away. It is not comparable with any other bond issue ever passed by the people of San Francisco, and it is a net saving of that amount of interest. Now, on the theory—if the amendment is going to carry, I would rather have it go in the best possible form. I am going to vote for the amendment. I think it is a wise thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: One minute. I just asked Mr. Cahill if this would not be satisfactory, "after the first year of full operation." I don't see the necessity of paying interest on the $50,000,000 after the project is in full operation. I think that that language could be put in there, "after the first year of full operation." That is the time to begin to pay off your debt, it seems to me.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have no objection.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: We could have full operation under a

competitive system. Suppose a future Public Utilities Commission goes into the building of a competitive system, and that system is finished—I mean full operation.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Can't we have a clause in there where if the revenues amounted to such an amount after accumulation of a certain percentage of the receipts to take care of the redemption, couldn't we make it that way so it would only be on a financial set-up that we would receive these bonds regardless of the time—it might be a year or year and a half, or two years. Could we insert something like that to take care of it, when our financial situation was such——

MR. O'TOOLE: You would want to have the matter open because you would not know when you would have the money on hand.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to point out to the members of the Board, and I think Mr. Cahill is in agreement with me here, that a proviso of this kind would be so indefinite, it might affect the saleability of the bonds, but I don't think there is any danger with the provision as it stands in there, because this Board will have—if we adopt this amendment—will have a right to pass on the bond issue and you can decide when the question comes before you. If you make it so indefinite as this, you certainly will affect the saleability of the bonds. I take it the members of the Board do not want to do that, even though they are opposed to the general proposition.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: But Mr. Uhl's amendment is a definite one. Mr. Roncovieri's is indefinite, but Mr. Uhl's is not.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If you want to take a vote on it, I am opposed to it definitely. I think it injures the saleability.

THE CHAIRMAN: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER. No.

THE CLERK: McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Four ayes, seven noes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Motion lost.

THE CLERK: (Reading) "The Public Utilities Commission shall have power to determine the method of acquiring The System, and the determination of said method by said Public Utilities Commission shall be binding and conclusive. Should said commission determine that The System, or any part thereof, should be acquired other than by construction, the same may be acquired by purchase or by any other lawful means. If said Public Utilities Commission should determine that The System, or any part thereof, should be constructed, it shall have full power to proceed with the said construction. When said Public Utilities Commission shall have determined the manner of acquiring The System it shall adopt a resolution specifying the method and manner of acquiring the same and shall, in said resolution, fix

and determine the estimated cost thereof, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as said commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness during the actual period of construction of The System and for six months after the same is in operation by said commission, and if The System is to be acquired by any method other than by construction, then said commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition including the cost of all legal proceedings incident thereto and add the amount of said estimate to the cost of said acquisition, as well as the amount of interest on all of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness which may be outstanding during the period of said acquisition, and interest on any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of the operation of The System by said commission, and said commission shall include in the cost of The System, whether acquired by construction or otherwise, an amount sufficient to provide working capital as estimated by the commission to be sufficient to pay the operating expenses of The System for a period not exceeding six months after the operation of The System shall commence. Not more than fifteen (15%) per cent of the cost of the acquisition or construction of said system shall be applied to interest and working capital."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Through the Chair, Mr. President, I would like to ask the City Attorney, Mr. O'Toole, if it would not be desirable in that particular paragraph somewhere, following, for example, The System, if it would not be desirable somewhere, "But in no event shall the total cost of construction exceed the sum of $50,000,000." I know we have got it in the first paragraph, but does that positively bind all the way through?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think it does because you cannot issue any more bonds.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is in the next paragraph.

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) "When said Public Utilities Commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner"——

MR. O'TOOLE: Just a minute. I think the amendment Supervisor Havenner mentioned a little while ago, I think we could add in there. I am reading now the last paragraph on the first page of the printed copy.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But he has not read the last one yet.

MR. O'TOOLE: No, he has just started in to read it.

"When said Public Utilities Commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of said distribution system." Now, I think if we added this language in there—cut out "distribution system" there and leave just "The System." Now, after the word "System," add, "and when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors"—I think, Mr. Skaller, that is just exactly the same as your language, I mean would have the same effect as your language.

MR. SKALLER: Would you give me the privilege of the floor?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you let him read that once more, and slowly.

MR. O'TOOLE: " . . . and when the method and cost of the acquisition of the system have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right.

MR. SKALLER: Now, Mr. Chairman, Mr. President, and gentlemen of the Board, under this amendment offered by the City Attorney, the only power vested in the Board is for you to approve the method.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: And the cost.

MR. SKALLER: You divest yourselves entirely of the power over

the last expenditure, because under the Constitution of the State the legislature cannot appropriate any large sum of money, and it cannot delegate that power to any appointed power, which you do under this amendment. In other words, the only right you are given is the approval of the method and the cost, and you will be faced, of course, with the technical advice coming to you from the engineers that that is the cost and that is the method, and you have no way of determining except through your official agencies. Now, I say to you that you should not divest yourselves of this right, as the representatives of the people, in the determination of this matter once it is approved by the elected representatives of the people, constituting the Board of Supervisors. Supervisor McSheehy, at the last session, unfortunately after the Board had adjourned, introduced this amendment to be added to the first paragraph of Section 121-A, reading as follows:

"However, no resolution or determination by the Public Utilities Commission to acquire, purchase, construct, or conduct any public utility shall be effectuated until approved by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors."

This, gentlemen, makes you the final tribunal in the issue before it is concluded. Now, why should you, yourselves, as the legislature, try to divest yourselves of the power that is inherent in this body, inherent in any legislative body. The amendment offered by the City Attorney does not cover the matter whatever. It gives you the right only to approve the method and cost, and you have to depend on the advice of engineers, and we claim that it should be subject to the approval of the legislative body. Why, some of the gentlemen here say that it should go to the people for their approval. This is not the case, it is delegated entirely to the Public Utilities Commission, it makes one man, a man who is appointed to office, and this is the main power that will be vested in that appointed board and that one man, and you can see for yourselves on an appointed committee how he stands on that question, and I don't think this right should be vested, this power vested in one man.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Will you kindly read that suggested amendment again, Mr. Skaller?

MR. SKALLER: This is to be added to the first paragraph of Section 121-A:

"However, no resolution or determination by the Public Utilities Commission to acquire, purchase, construct or conduct any public utility shall be effectuated until approved by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Why don't you put it a two-thirds vote?

MR. SKALLER: That is up to you to determine, I don't know as I want to inject that question, put that in in a question of this kind, but under this it makes you the final tribunal to determine whether it shall be submitted, and it makes you the final tribunal of the huge expenditure of money, and that determination on the expending of public moneys is an inherent right of all legislative bodies, and you cannot, and you should not delegate that power to any appointed body. Now, by adding this to paragraph one, you shall have the final say whether the City shall purchase, acquire, construct or conduct, and it is my suggestion that it should take only six votes, and if you cannot get six votes on a determination of that issue, it is not worth it, and it should not be left to any legislative body.

THE PRESIDENT: I should like to ask the City Attorney, that is the point which was raised, and you said you were going to prepare a provision to take care of that.

MR. O'TOOLE: That language I just gave you now is my way of covering it, and if that is not satisfactory, why, it can be changed.

MR. SKALLER: This simply is giving you the power to approve

the method of procedure and the cost, and it gives you no power whatsoever.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think that the language submitted by our City Attorney is proper language, and I am entirely in accord with the idea of Mr. Skaller's in the first place.

THE PRESIDENT: Would you read it, please, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: "When said Public Utilities Commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of The System, as well as the total estimated cost thereof, and when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors."

Now, that places you in a position, after they have passed it, that you have to agree. In other words, you are a check on the particular resolution.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: And when the method—oh, I have got it here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: "And when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors." It seems to me, Mr. Skaller, that is the exact language and the proper place, and gives us a check, and we do not lose any of our power and standing, in checking the matter as brought up by the Public Utilities Commission.

MR. SKALLER: Mr. Supervisor, if I——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't see anything wrong.

MR. SKALLER: Supervisor, the language as furnished by the City Attorney gives you only that check, to approve the method of procedure and the cost. In this case, naturally, you have to follow your technical advisers, who are the city engineers, but the point that I am making is that the final determination shall not be in an appointed body but shall be in the legislative body, and I am asking only for a majority vote, not for any more. Now, this amendment, Supervisor McSheehy, amounts to nothing. It divests you of all power which you now have, but if you add the proposition I have added to the first paragraph of 121-A, that before the Public Utilities Commission passes the matter, it must have first your approval by a majority vote. Now, if you do not incorporate that, you divest yourselves of all power, and the only power you then have is to determine whether the procedure is right and the cost is right, and in doing that, you have to take the advice of your technical experts.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I want to say this respectfully to Mr. Skaller, he has offered an amendment, and Mr. O'Toole has suggested a section where it should be incorporated. Now, I feel as one member of the Board that the suggestion of our City Attorney is proper and covers the detail suggested by Mr. Skaller in reference to this particular matter, and therefore, I feel, as one member of the Board, that I would rather follow the suggestion by our City Attorney than that of Mr. Skaller.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I desire, Mr. Skaller—I am writing one myself now.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Now, Mr. Chairman, if I understand the purpose of the amendment suggested by Mr. Skaller, it would be to vest in this Board of Supervisors a veto power over the question which is to be determined by the people when this is submitted, over the question whether there shall or shall not be acquired such a utility.

MR. SKALLER: A veto power over the Public Utilities Commission's determination.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We are putting up to the people the question whether they desire us to acquire this utility, and when we put it up to the people, we do divest ourselves of our Charter right to a determination of the public's necessity and convenience in acquiring such a utility. Are you going then to say that if the people should vote that they want this utility that this Board of Supervisors, on the question of policy, might say, "No, you cannot have it"? I understand

that to be the purpose of your amendment, and if so, I am, of course, against it.

MR. SKALLER: This amendment you submit is nothing more nor less than a revenue amendment, and which we supported in 1934. We subscribed to the idea that whenever the City determined to engage in the purchase, construction, or acquisition of a public utility, general credit bonds shall not be used and that revenue bonds shall be used, the interest and capital to be paid out of its own revenue. That is the purpose of this amendment, but we have never subscribed to the idea that an appointive board, which amounts to one man, because one man controls that appointive board, that this appointive board shall determine the policy in the expenditure of such a huge amount of money, and by this amendment you eliminate yourselves, you divest yourselves of all power, and I believe that the legislative body of the City is the proper body to determine the policy.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I believe the people are the proper ones to determine this matter, and that is the reason for the submission of this Charter amendment. Do you suppose for a minute that I would be fool enough to submit a concrete proposition like this to the people, and then say that anybody, whether elected or otherwise, should have the right to say, "No, you shall not do this."

MR. SKALLER: In this amendment you only give to the people the right to say whether they want revenue bonds or not, and if they are in favor of the revenue bonds, then the Public Utilities Commission can proceed——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Oh, no.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I interrupt you to say that the first statement, in accordance with my understanding, we are submitting revenue bonds for the construction or acquisition under Plan Number 7.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: For this particular purpose.

MR. SKALLER: You vest the power, I think, in the Public Utilities Commission, and if they should say, "No," that they don't want to pass the resolution, that would be final.

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, I think we could go into court and mandamus them to carry out the will of the people.

MR. SKALLER: I think the legislative body ought to have the right to determine the policy in the expenditure of such a huge amount of money.

SUPERVISER HAVENNER: If it is not mandatory, I want to make it mandatory, I want that question to be decided by the people and not by the Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR UHL: How about the Public Utilities Commission nullifying it?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is it mandatory for the Public Utilities Commission to do this? If it is not, let's make it mandatory.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY. Question. Question.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Wait a minute. Let's make this mandatory. How about making it show in here, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: Suppose they cannot come to any agreement. Suppose they cannot get the price they think they ought to do it on?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I understood that that word "may" was interpreted by the courts to be mandatory.

MR. SKALLER: "May" is always permissive.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is always mandatory.

THE CLERK: "May" means "Must".

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Question. Question.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, if I may, then I would like to ask the permission of the Board to move an amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: To amend Mr. O'Toole's amendment?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, to meet this whole question, I think it will obviate the necessity of any such amendment, we will obviate the necessity of all of this, in the first line strike out the word "may" and substitute the word "shall".

MR. O'TOOLE: The first line on the first page.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Where do you mean, please?

MR. O'TOOLE: In the first line of Section 121-A, on the first page.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Oh, well, this is different, I don't know what you are talking about.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is there any objection to it? The Utilities Commission has no objection.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Wait a minute. "Shall" what? Give me the words that go with it.

MR. SKALLER: That doesn't confine you to anything, "shall", that doesn't make it mandatory——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Shall what? What is the rest of it?

MR. SKALLER: (Continuing) ". . . on the utilities commission," and this "shall" is not a mandatory power, but I am not interested in the question. I am not interested in the question whether they shall say whether to engage in the conduct of any utilities, this is simply a revenue amendment, and we hold, as a matter of protection, if that revenue amendment is carried, that this Board shall have the control.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think you are in error there, Mr. Skaller.

MR. SKALLER: No, I am not. It is a revenue measure.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That is not the purpose of this amendment at all.

MR. SKALLER: Well, it provides for revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER. Of course, and provides for the acquisition of this utility at the same time.

MR. SKALLER: You put "shall" in there, "for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method, a system for the generation and distribution," and so on.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Nobody answers my questions, "shall" what, or "may" what? "Shall" what?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Shall issue revenue bonds for the purpose of acquiring a distribution system for the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Read it. Read it.

MR. SKALLER: The only difference, Supervisor Havenner, between us is this: you do not desire the Board of Supervisors to have any determination in the matter——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, I want to put it in the hands of the people.

MR. SKALLER: You want to put it in the hands of an appointed body.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, I do not.

MR. SKALLER: That is only dependent on the technical advice from your officials.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am not giving the right to vest in this Board, or any other Board, a veto power over the decision of the people. I am not going to do it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask, for time's sake, Supervisor Havenner——

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy has the floor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask what your amendment is?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am merely substituting the word "shall" for the word "may" in the first line of the first paragraph.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You make that as an amendment, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I do.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What would be the result, Mr. O'Toole, if the Public Utilities Commission could not sell the bonds?

MR. O'TOOLE: Of course, they don't get the money, and they don't get The System. I think you would all agree with that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No money, no system.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: They wouldn't be in contempt?

MR. O'TOOLE: Contempt of whom?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The Board of Supervisors.

MR. O'TOOLE: No, I think it is all right.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Question. Question.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to the changing of the word "may" to "shall" in the first line of the first paragraph?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Call the roll.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order. Is there any objection? (Gavel.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No objection.

May I ask you another question, "Place the amendment before the Board,"—way down, that I read a few minutes ago,——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Why not proceed in an orderly manner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would move the City Attorney's amendment at this time, and ask the Clerk to read it.

THE CLERK: Starting with the third line of the last paragraph, after the word "thereof," "and when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Question.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: In order to bring the matter before the Board, I will move as a further amendment of that language by the addition of the words "by a two-thirds vote."

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second to the motion?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the additional words "by a two-thirds vote" be added, and it will then read, "approved by resolution by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors." Are you ready for the question?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Members of the Board, I hope that the amendment to the amendment, I hope that it will not carry, because you really know what a two-thirds vote means. When the Utilities Commission comes in here with a bona fide plan, or a bona fide resolution, all well thought out and in an orderly manner and asks for the approval of this Board, and when it should have that approval by a majority of the membership of this Board, you all know what it means, but if conditions are created on this Board that there are three or four members, and which there are very likely to be who are unalterably opposed, they will vote against the will of the people, and against the will of the majority of this Board, and the will of the people and the will of the majority of this Board is destroyed. I sincerely hope you won't becloud the issue by placing a two-thirds vote in there. You have a fine check with a majority, and we all know what a few members of this Board can do in reference to a matter of this importance and which is of so much interest to the people.

SUPERVISOR UHL: For the Supervisor's information, while you were East a few months ago, this matter was debated in the Board as to what the number should be, and the members went along with eight, and I amended to make it nine, and even Supervisor Havenner and Supervisor Roncovieri, in fact, they all agreed to nine, and when you came home you tried to amend it to bring it back to six, and the other members who advocated nine stood with the nine. However, that resolution was not carried through the Board. I at that time insisted that it be nine. However, I am perfectly agreeable, in order to get somewhere, to go along with two-thirds.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The members of the Board should remember that we are asking the people to give up their present power, their rights to a two-thirds vote of themselves, it requires two-thirds of the people. Will you say that a simple majority of this Board has the influence—we had an example of it a short while ago, so that most anything can happen. Why, that is a matter of safety for the people. Two-thirds, I would go for nine, I would make it unanimous. I don't know, I don't believe in taking the power away from

the people, and you are asking the people to give up their power. I believe in revenue bonds, but I want it protected from every possible outside influence, and from this Board, and it is not so easy to get votes. We don't know what may happen. Give the people some protection, let them feel you want them to vote. I don't think they will vote for it if you don't make it two-thirds, they will want at least the opinion of two-thirds of the men of this Board. I am for two-thirds at least.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I quite agree with Supervisor Roncovieri, because the people are going to feel that very keenly, that matter of a two-thirds vote on the bonds, they are giving that up, and, as you say, Supervisor, I think a two-thirds safeguard should be put in some place, and if this is the proper place to put it——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I hope that the members of this Board will not adopt this proposed amendment. This would enable the interests opposed to the acquisition of the municipally owned electric system, by securing three votes on this Board——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: (Interrupting) Four.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, four votes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Four members.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, by securing four votes to defeat the proposition on which the people are now being asked to express their will.

Mr. Skaller has an entirely incorrect conception of this amendment, as I say, it is not proposing merely the purchase of a power distribution plant by the use of municipal bonds at all, this amendment puts up to the people, first: do they want a municipal electrical distribution system, and secondly, in my judgment, do you want to acquire this on a revenue bond basis. The primary question in submitting the question is to have the people decide whether they want a municipally owned distribution system for electricity.

Now, to say that you are going to invest in four members of this Board the political power to defeat the will of the people seems to me a vicious proposition, and I say that with all due respect to the Supervisor who proposes it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What was that, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I say, it is a vicious proposal, and it has not been adopted in any one of the many places where the people have authorized the Utilities Commission to issue revenue bonds. In fact, in many places, the people have authorized the Utilities Commission to issue revenue bonds without any vote of the people at all, they have made it a purely administrative function, and that method has been successful. But here, in submitting it first to the people, and then putting the initiative power in the Public Utilities Commission to determine the method and cost, and then giving to the Supervisors a check on that power, so far as the Utilities Commission is concerned, there are all kinds of safeguards, many more safeguards than in most cities where revenue bonds are in use today in connection with the operation of public utilities.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am going to read a short digest a statement as to Plan Number 7, by Mr. Edward G. Cahill, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors on November 5, 1936:

"Plan 7 first pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then pays off and retires bonds in amount of $1,748,000 per year; and then pays into Depreciation Reserve Fund $1,260,000 per year; and then pays into the General Fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year; and, after all that, produces a surplus revenue of over $4,000,000 per year; and, in addition to all this, Plan 7 reduces power rates to consumers in San Francisco by $1,721,000 per year."

Now, members of the Board, just think of those financial figures, and think what it would mean to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company

where they are selling to the consumers here, $15,542,000 every year. That is the amount of revenue they receive from the consumers here in our City, and think how easy it would be to have four members of this Board destroy the will of the majority of the people, and the will of the majority of this Board and create a condition there of chaos that would go on just as we have gone on for the last 11 years. You remember well, Mr. President, and there are several members of this Board, what happened here 11 years ago when Supervisor Havenner first became a member of this Board, and how a condition was created, and how the City has spent $380,000 in revaluation of their properties, and where we are today. So I trust, members of the Board, you will see the wisdom of not attempting to becloud the entire issue by placing this obnoxious amendment in here.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What is the first item there, Supervisor, again?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will read it.

"Plan 7 first pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then pays off and retires bonds in amount of $1,748,000 per year."

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, will you read the one about taxes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: "Pays into the General Fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year."

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill, where you pay into the General Fund the $654,000 in taxes Mr. McSheehy has just read.

MR. CAHILL: I have it set up in Plan 7.

SUPERVISOR UHL: On what page does it show?

MR. CAHILL: Page four.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you kindly read it where it shows that it pays into the General Fund $654,000 per year?

MR. CAHILL: It doesn't state what is done with the money, but it says, "after deducting bond retirement and estimated loss in tax revenue, there will still remain the following surpluses."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where is that again?

MR. CAHILL: On page four of Plan 7, "after deducting bond retirement and estimated loss in tax revenue, there will still remain the following surpluses."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you say now this is a correct statement, that it pays into the General Fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year?

MR. CAHILL: Certainly, because under the present law covering our utilities, not only the $654,000, but also the $4,007,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You don't say that here you set up any surpluses, and I would like that very point decided by our City Attorney. There is no mandate that you put that in, and because, if you don't put that in there, the tax load will be that much more—

MR. CAHILL: The Charter says very clearly all surpluses.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, but you are voting something here subsequent to the Charter, and you can't tell me the previous law will govern in that case.

MR. CAHILL: It certainly will.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I want the opinion of the City Attorney on that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That has nothing to do with this amendment.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, it has, Mr. Cahill says the surplus will be turned over to the General Fund.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: What has that to do with this amendment?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Plan Number 7, of course, is an independent utility operation, it is not—does anything that the people—the people,

in voting this Charter amendment, if they do, would that supersede anything prior in the Charter?

MR. O'TOOLE: Why, yes, surely.

MR. CAHILL: That is not the question.

MR. O'TOOLE: Perhaps I didn't understand it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I am leading up to the question.

MR. CAHILL: Well, then, lead up to it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The question is, must the surplus which is accumulated under Plan Number 7 be transferred to the General Fund, and if so, where does it say so?

MR. O'TOOLE: It only supersedes in so far as there is a conflict, but you have a general section of the Charter which provides that all surpluses, after 25 per cent, are subject to appropriation by the Board of Supervisors from the General Fund.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then that can be transferred to the General Fund any time?

THE PRESIDENT: Can we legally perform a legislative act after 12 o'clock?

MR. O'TOOLE: I have just sent Mr. Heidelberg to look up that question, Supervisor.

THE PRESIDENT: I imagine they can be.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Frankly, there is very little said here about surpluses from Plan Number 7. I didn't want to take it up and discuss it right now, as they have nothing to do with the surpluses as I see it, but I want to bring up later figures before the Board to show those surpluses may not be available.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The criticism has been made here that four members of this Board would have the veto power over any matter of this kind. Well, the people have given the Mayor, one man, power to veto most anything. I think that four members of this Board should have the right to veto anything they think is not right, where it involves $50,000,000, and if you say to the people there are four of us, it will take eight votes to put this through, and they will come before us during the hearings being held, and it may be they will be for it, and it may be they will defeat it. I think it is perfectly right to have that veto power.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I just make this reply to Supervisor Shannon, our new President, and Supervisor Roncovieri. The people themselves are going to decide in reference to submitting, when this is submitted today, whether this is good or bad, and I would not want, if it is going to be approved by the people, to give the Board of Supervisors the right to say to the people, "You are wrong, and four of us don't think this should pass." I don't want that at all.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That statement of yours assumes the people will vote for the amendment.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, if they don't vote for it, for the amendment, it makes no difference.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Supervisor Havenner, as I said, before I ask the people to vote, I want to show the people there still remains a vote in this Board to offset any error they will make, and I don't think they will vote for this unless you do put it in.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You are leaving it to the people to decide whether they want four supervisors to decide. You are not leaving it to the Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, I don't want this to rise or fall on the question of whether four supervisors, members of this Board, may veto the will of the people on a question of this nature.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Their will would be whether they want to allow four members of this Board to veto their will, and they are determining that in this amendment to the amendment.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Now, let's be fair, and I have used this quotation many, many times, "Beware of Greeks bearing gifts."

I don't like to disagree with Supervisor Roncovieri, we have known each other a great many years, but there are many members of the Board who have told you that they are opposed to this resolution, and, of course, if they can create a condition in the resolution that is detrimental to it, they are going to create it, and I feel that is what is going to happen. You are simply placing us in a position where four members of this Board can defeat it. Suppose, for argument's sake, after voting for it and it comes up and comes before the Public Utilities Commission, and they adopt a proper resolution and it is then presented to us for sanction, and we attempt to check it, and then we find four members of the Board disagreeing, don't you think it will remain as an idle act? Of course, that is what will happen, and I read you this report showing the great loss that will accrue to the people. You know also, there are thousands of stockholders right here in our city, and you know what has happened in the past. We all know what occurred in connection with the water and power act, and you all know the particular conditions pertaining to this power corporation. Now, if you are going to create a condition—and I feel this as an honest-to-God advocate of public ownership, and I will continue to advocate it in season and out of season—I feel you are handicapping the Charter amendment we are about to place before the people of our City if we place those words "two-thirds" in there, and that is why I am unalterably opposed to it, and it is being advocated by members of the Board, who told you out and out they are opposed to the resolution and opposed to public ownership, and that is why I came back and told you again to beware of Greeks bearing gifts.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We are still sitting as a committee of the whole, are we not?

THE PRESIDENT: Correct.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I don't think I have a right to introduce another resolution, but I would like to have something to introduce along this line.

THE PRESIDENT: Of a two-thirds vote?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, that and other things, before you vote for it, and perhaps you can vote on this then.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown——

THE PRESIDENT: On the amendment to the amendment offered by Supervisor McSheehy, and reading: ". . . and when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors.

Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown?
SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Colman?
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Havenner?
SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.
THE CLERK: McSheehy?
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.
THE CLERK: Mead?
SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.
THE CLERK: Meyer?
SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.
THE CLERK: Ratto?
SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.
THE CLERK: Roncovieri?
SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.
THE CLERK: Schmidt?
SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.
THE CLERK: Shannon?
SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Five ayes and six noes.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion is lost. Now, on the amendment offered by Supervisor McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: By Supervisor Havenner.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the amendment, adding after the word "thereof," in the third line of the last paragraph on the first page, "and when the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That means six votes.

THE PRESIDENT: That means six votes. Question. Mr. Clerk, call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown?
SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Colman?
SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.
THE CLERK: Havenner?
SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Aye.
THE CLERK: McSheehy?
SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Aye.
THE CLERK: Mead?
SUPERVISOR MEAD: Aye.
THE CLERK: Meyer?
SUPERVISOR MEYER: Aye.
THE CLERK: Ratto?
SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.
THE CLERK: Roncovieri?
SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.
THE CLERK: Schmidt?
SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.
THE CLERK: Shannon?
SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.
THE CLERK: Uhl?
SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.
THE CLERK: 10 Ayes and one No.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, I would like to ask again of the City Attorney if he has been able to determine whether we can legally pass legislation after noon on Saturday.

MR. O'TOOLE: Mr. Heidelberg and Mr. Holm are looking that matter up. I think you can, I understand that the State Legislature frequently holds sessions on Saturday afternoon, very frequently. Personally, I don't know of any decision directly on the matter, but, as I say, Mr. Heidelberg and Mr. Holm are looking that matter up now.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Before we leave the matter of a two-thirds vote, which has been disposed of, I realize that, but I have been writing here under great difficulty, some thoughts, and I would ask you to be charitable as to the language, but the thoughts are here. Where to get this thing in, I don't know, but it all relates to the matter we are discussing. You can comment.

Before any revenue bond proposal is submitted for the final approval of the Board of Supervisors, such revenue bond proposal shall be first adopted and approved by an affirmative vote of not less than four-fifths of the membership of the Public Utilities Commission, after which such revenue bond proposal shall be submitted for the consideration, approval and recommendation of the following officers and departments of the City and County in the following sequence:

(A) To the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer, who shall study and check all engineering features of the proposal, including estimates of cost and all other matters pertinent to the proposal; after which the director of Public Works and the City Engineer shall in turn submit all their findings, conclusions and recommendations to

(B) The Controller of the City and County for verification of all

financial obligations contained in, and involved in the revenue bond proposal as received by him from the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer; and the Controller shall in turn submit his findings, conclusions and recommendations to

(C) The Chief Administrative Officer, who shall call into consultation all officials who have studied the proposal and made recommendations thereon, and such other persons as he may desire to consult, after which the Chief Administrative Officer shall submit his findings, conclusions and recommendations to

(D) The Board of Supervisors, who may employ such additional experts as the Board may deem necessary in order to completely clarify any and all matters involved in the revenue bond proposal as it was received from the Chief Administrative Officer, after which public hearings shall be held by the Board of Supervisors.

Now, I was talking about the two-thirds vote. I will leave that out.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What is that?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That after the public hearings are held, it shall take an affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the membership of the Board of Supervisors, with the approval of the Mayor, before the revenue bond proposal shall be finally adopted and approved.

Now, I would hedge this revenue bond proposal about with every possible safeguard to guarantee to the people that this revenue bond measure will be protected by every public official of the City and County of San Francisco who is competent, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Mayor, the Engineering Department, and the Controller especially as to finances, because, with all due respect to those who present this, I don't know anything about these figures. I believe they may be correct, and I believe in the honesty of those who have presented it, but they have not been verified by any expert. Now, the Controller is our expert, and he should go over them and verify them, and say it is perfectly safe for you to issue the bonds under those circumstances.

In order to bring the matter before the Board, I move that this be adopted. Mr. Chairman, I say, purely for the purpose of consideration, I move the adoption of this amendment, and, as I said before, I don't know where to insert it, I will leave that problem to others.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: My reaction to your statement is you are not only protecting it, I think you are choking it to death.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I don't think so. I do not so intend it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I think the two-thirds majority of the Board would be the thing to put in, I agree with that absolutely, but then I take it that naturally the Public Utilities Commission would take various other department heads into consultation, and I take that into consideration. In fact, I know they do.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Could the Board of Supervisors, before voting approval of anything of this kind, have the right to call on the Controller and all those officials if we think that better, Mr. O'Toole, would you answer that?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think you have a right to call on the Controller and request a check-up of the financial set-up which will be provided by the Utilities Commission, but I don't think there is any way that would allow you to ask for the approval of the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer, or the Chief Administrative Officer, for the reason that their duties are entirely different. I don't think you would be accomplishing anything. I don't want to dictate or suggest a policy to you——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I wish you would, that is what I want.

MR. O'TOOLE: You want to put this in, you can write anything into a Charter amendment you want, you can put anything in you like.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Before we submit a matter of this kind to the people, I want to see that it is protected. I don't want to choke it by any means. In New York they issue bonds without a vote

of the people, but 30 or 40 people pass upon those things, and there is safety in numbers, and there is safety in the experience of our city employees, such men as our Controller, and such men as are at the head of our different departments, whom I have mentioned here. There is safety in numbers, and if these men say to pass it on to the next one, all right, it finally comes to us, and we can pass on it after all the others have. Now, do you think I am wrong, that some other policy should be adopted? Can I get an expression from somebody on the Board on it?

THE PRESIDENT: I was going to suggest, Supervisor Roncovieri, that as long as you don't know exactly where to insert it, suppose we just lay it on the table for future consideration in the event yourself or the committee finds a place it would fit in.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It has not been seconded, and with all due respect to Supervisor Roncovieri, I say that the motion has not been seconded.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is your motion?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I merely want to bring it before the Board. I have been writing this here, and you know how hard it is to think up anything with all this talk around here. My first thought is that it should go to the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer as to the engineering, and we should get their figures, and then it should come to the Board of Supervisors, and they will pass on it, these other officials of the City Government should tell us everything is all right or all wrong, and make a recommendation on it, and it would then go to the Controller who examines into the financial matters, and it would be all right or all wrong, that is, the proposition presented by the Commission, and the Chief Administrative Officer will have a hand in it. Now, after all of those officials have examined the proposal and find it worthy, I doubt if this Board —I think we would vote unanimously for it if all these officials tell us it is correct.

THE PRESIDENT: Haven't we the machinery to do that now?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I don't know.

THE PRESIDENT: "When the method and cost of the acquisition of The System have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors."

When we are determining the method and the cost of acquisition, we will get the several departments to give us the information you have just outlined.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Do you think, Mr. O'Toole, the statement made by Mr. Shannon is correct?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think it is correct, I think this Board has the right to call on any department for information.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Let me give you this thought: It would be against the spirit of the new Charter to mix this up—well, I will withdraw it.

THE CLERK: (Reading) ". . . said commission shall adopt an additional resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of the revenue of said system necessary to pay the said estimated cost of acquiring or constructing said system, not to exceed the sum of $50,000,000.00; provided that if said resolution, in fixing the amount of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued, fixes said amount at less than $50,000,000.00, said commission may adopt a subsequent resolution or resolutions authorizing the issuance of an additional amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness not to exceed the difference between the amount theretofore issued and said $50,000,000.00."

THE CHAIRMAN: We will take up Galley Number 2 now, gentlemen.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) "Said resolution shall fix the amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued and state

generally the purpose thereof, but no purchaser of said bonds shall be required to look to the application of the funds derived from the sale of said bonds. Said resolution shall also state the number and denomination and maturities of said bonds or other evidence of indebtedness, and may provide, all and singular, the conditions to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness as well as the interest thereon if said interest is to be fixed, or it may defer the fixing of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds, and full power and authority is hereby given to said commission to cause to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness the fact that the same are payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of The System."

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, except I want to call your attention here to revenue bonds are payable only from revenues, and theoretically, at least, the theory of the majority members of the Board, they are no direct lien on the property of the people. Now, we are proposing something to do—namely, the construction of a utility of which there is no revenue stated at all. The manager of the utilities states that he can't tell us any revenue, or give us any idea of the revenue that might come from competition with the P. G. & E. Doesn't it seem—how can you sell a revenue bond under a condition like that, when you have nothing to tell the buyer where the revenue is going to come from, providing one of the permissives is taken up? I am just advancing that. It seems to me you have not got a revenue bond, you haven't got a saleable proposition here. I was saying, Mr. Havenner, that revenue bonds, the interest and redemption are predicated entirely on the revenue from the utility, and that it is theoretically the opinion of the majority of the Board that it does not constitute a lien on the general properties of the public. Now, one of the things that this amendment allows is the construction of a utility, and the manager of the utility states very frankly he doesn't know anything about the revenues that might come, he can't give you any idea about it. Well, how can a bond be sold when the revenue is not provided, where there is no scheme of revenue, and no amount to tell the men who are to buy the bonds?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That question would be decided, Mr. Colman, at the time the specific proposal for the issuance of the revenue bonds was proposed to the Board of Supervisors. Now, I take it the intention of this provision in here does not invalidate—am I right, Mr. O'Toole—the inclusion of the right to build would not invalidate the right to do the other things, even if it were found, as Mr. Colman suggests, that in some particular instance the revenue might not be stated?

MR. O'TOOLE: I didn't hear it.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: He is assuming that the inclusion of the right to build might invalidate the saleability of any revenue bonds——

SUPERVISOR COLMAN (interrupting): Reduce the saleability.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think that would be determined in the specific proposition to come before the Board. If the revenue was not there you could not sell the bonds.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: The bankers buying the bonds would tell you how good they are.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is not my point at all. We are making a decision ahead of time. I understand the bankers will tell us that. I am trying to forestall such a possibility here when it is perfectly obvious that if you only have a proposition which you will have authorization to build or construct, then you will have no revenue. Now, that is the point.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: May I answer that?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: You never can until you build.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is it?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: The revenues will never appear until you do build it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: You could estimate them.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Not at all. You don't answer the question at all. Plan 7 means the acquisition by negotiation of a utility which will bring in revenues and from the figures presented will pay the bond interest and redemption, and show a handsome balance or surplus to the City.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It shows that, doesn't it?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, the figure shows it. I am not disputing the figures of the plan, Mr. McSheehy, but if we do not get it by acquisition, and we get it by construction, where is the revenue? That is the point I am bringing up. As long as that possibility exists, it appears to me nobody would buy those bonds. Now, you say, "Let us wait and find out," but I say it is something to consider before that, before we act.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Under the amendment just adopted by this Board, any proposition to build would come back to this Board for approval, and the Board would then determine whether it wanted to approve it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Colman, I think your question is answered in the tenth line of the paragraph that was just read: ". . . full power and authority is hereby given to said commission to cause to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper." So, if they build a power plant, they would insert in the bonds that were issued the terms and would naturally make them apply to the revenues that would be received based on the revenues they anticipated in the years to come. If they acquired the P. G. & E., they would be shorter term bonds, or rather the payment of bond interest would be shorter than if the plant was built.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: We know if it was done by acquisition—let us admit for argument's sake there is no question about the bond interest and redemption, assuming the plan is correct——

THE PRESIDENT: They could make it one year, or two years, or three years.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: No, but Mr. Shannon, you don't know anything about your revenue, whether you will ever be able to take in enough—you may raise rates then to make up a deficit, because you are in competition with another company.

THE PRESIDENT: Your argument is on a lack of revenue?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, which would apply to the whole point because that power will be given to build a utility—even though the safeguards are there, the power is granted to build a utility on a revenue bond, and I claim you are hurting the original sale of revenue bonds when that authorization is given to the commission and the Board with the safeguards only—in the case of the build there is no story of the revenue that we are considering.

THE PRESIDENT: What do you want inserted?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am just pointing it out. Of course, the point occurred to me, and I am bringing it up. It is really an argument that would have been better said when we were arguing about the elimination of the acquisition. That is when that point should have been brought out, but I did not think of it at the time, but it is there just the same, and it is a very important point. That is all.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I move we continue.

THE CHAIRMAN: The next section.

THE CLERK (reading): "Said resolution may also provide, and the Public Utilities Commission is hereby authorized and empowered in and by the terms of said resolution to agree with the holders of any of the revenue bonds issued thereunder, so long as the same shall be outstanding, as follows:

"(a) That the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds shall be deposited in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of the City and County and shall, with any interest accrued thereon, be applied solely and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which such revenue bonds are authorized to be issued, and that any proceeds of the sale of such bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, as the case may be, and that none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of the City and County of San Francisco;"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Does that mean they may be callable bonds?

MR. O'TOOLE: It is provided in there—it is not in that particular section, but there is a particular section providing for regulating and revising.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes.

THE CLERK (reading): "Subsection (b) That the Public Utilities Commission will maintain and operate the system constructed from the proceeds of said revenue bonds, in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and will continuously operate the same;"

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

THE CLERK: "Subsection (c). That the commission will (subject to all applicable laws of the State of California and the rules and orders of all regulatory bodies of the State of California which may hereafter have jurisdiction over the Commission or the project acquired from the proceeds of said revenue bonds) establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through such system and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable the Commission to pay the principal and interest of said revenue bonds and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds;"

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: You intended to use parentheses there instead of a comma at the opening of the paragraph, Mr. O'Toole? I don't know that it makes any difference.

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't know either.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is the parentheses all right?

MR. O'TOOLE: It is all right.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: O. K.

THE CLERK: "Paragraph (d). That accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by the commission from the operation of the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds, which records shall be open at all times for inspection, while any of the revenue bonds are outstanding and unpaid, to the holders of any one or more of such revenue bonds or their duly authorized representatives;"

SUPERVISOR UHL: I understand that is the law, it would give any citizen the right as a taxpayer, would it not, or does this exclude him?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, nobody, I think, would have the right, but they want that written into the bonds, though. The bonds sell themselves. That was Mr. Harrington's suggestion.

THE CLERK (reading): "Subsection (e). That if any part of the system shall be taken from the commission or from the City and

County of San Francisco by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said City and County or by said commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said revenue bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;"

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

THE CLERK (reading): "Subsection (f). "That neither the commission nor the City and County shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or otherwise impair the right of the holders of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal or interest of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature;"

MR. O'TOOLE: Just a second, did we cut some of that out?

MR. CAHILL: This is the revised form.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. O'Toole, just for information, in (e) what eminent domain proceedings could be considered against the City and County of San Francisco?

MR. O'TOOLE: In other words, only if the property was being put to a superior use or something—I really don't see any importance. It is very far fetched. For instance, if the State would take it for superior use—for instance, in connection with a valley project, or anything of that kind, and the State should determine that is superior use——

THE PRESIDENT: All right.

THE CLERK (reading): "Subsection (g). "That the commission shall maintain insurance on the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, of the kind and character and in the amount which is usual and customarily carried by private companies engaged in the operation of a system of works similar to that to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds;"

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just for information, may I ask through the Chair, Mr. Cahill, do you carry compensation insurance on any of the utilities?

MR. CAHILL: No, the City carries its own compensation.

THE CLERK: "Subsection (h)——"

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Does this mean you will go outside of the City, does the City carry its own?

MR. CAHILL: The City carries its own and would under this, probably. It is cheaper to carry its own.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The City does not carry its own on the construction jobs, though.

MR. CAHILL: No, but on the operation.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: They have the authority to carry private insurance?

THE CLERK: No, the reason for that was it was cheaper for the City to hire insurance from the State than to carry its own, but in all the other things the City is paying 2 per cent as against a much higher percentage.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

THE CLERK: "Subsection (h). That none of the facilities of the system shall be furnished free. In the event that the City and County of San Francisco, or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof shall avail itself of the facilities afforded by the system constructed from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said City and County, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue;"

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

THE CLERK: "Subsection (i). That any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made a part of any bond issued under

authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between the commission and the holders of at least eighty (80%) per cent of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding;"

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection? Passed.

THE CLERK: "Subsection (j). Said commission may also agree"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a moment, in (i) do you want to use the word "revenue bonds," instead of just "bonds"?

MR. O'TOOLE: "Bonds" is enough.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Would you read (i) again, please?

THE CLERK: (Subsection (i) was re-read by the Clerk.)

THE CHAIRMAN: What does that mean, "80 per cent of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding"? What does that mean?

MR. O'TOOLE: For instance, if there was a $1,000,000 worth of bonds outstanding, and we wanted to come to any such agreement, we would not take into consideration the interest or anything—you could put in 80 per cent of the bonds outstanding—it wouldn't make any difference.

THE PRESIDENT: All right, the next section.

THE CLERK: "Subsection (j). Said commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of the commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said revenue bonds; provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than the par value thereof or increase the interest above six (6%) per cent and provided further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said commission or the City and County of San Francisco to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of the system to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, and under no circumstances shall the City and County be obligated to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions, and all obligations assumed by said commission which shall require the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system."

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: One second, could I revert back to Section (h)?

THE CLERK: Subdivision (a) up at the top of the page?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes, it says, "or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof shall avail itself of the facilities afforded by The System constructed from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds"—don't you think there should be inserted there, instead of "constructed," that should be "acquired."

MR. O'TOOLE: What section is that?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Section (h).

MR. O'TOOLE: "Acquired" instead of "constructed"?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that as a motion so the record will show.

THE CLERK: It does not require a motion, Mr. McSheehy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Should it be "acquired or constructed"?

MR. O'TOOLE: "Acquired" is enough.

THE CLERK (reading): "When said last mentioned resolution is adopted, said Public Utilities Commission shall have full power and authority to issue the revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of $50,000,000.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection?

THE CLERK: The next paragraph (reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall regulate the time of the issuance of any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized by this section, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall be sold by said commission at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor, after notice of said sale has been given by the publication of notice of said sale for a period of two days in the official newspaper. Said sale shall be held not less than five days after the last publication of said notice. The commission shall require a bond or other security in such amount as it may deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds or obligations. When any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are sold, the proceeds of said sale shall be deposited in the treasury of the City and County and shall be used only for the purposes for which the same were approved. If a bid acceptable to the commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale the commission may direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by the treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco at private sale, at a price not less than par and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to purchaser."

MR. O'TOOLE: I think that it would be better—this is the only place I see the word "obligations" used in this—I think if we cut out the word "obligations" and put in there "evidences of indebtedness," such as we always have, as we have used all the way through.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask a couple questions in connection with that paragraph.

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The first is, "said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall be sold by said commission at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor"—is that language customary, "highest and best bidder"? Isn't it conceivable that the highest bidder might not be the best bidder? I mean a man might offer for the bonds par plus a premium, which would not be so attractive as par with a lower rate of interest. Take, for example, a $1,000,000 worth of bonds and the highest bid might be a $1,000,400 with interest at 4¼ per cent, whereas someone else might bid a $1,000,000 with interest at 4 per cent.

MR. O'TOOLE: Mr. Dunnigan, in advertising bonds, in your general obligation bonds, don't you use that language?

THE CLERK: Yes, and that best bidder is the old time term. That goes to the reliability of the person making the bid, but the computation as to the interest rate is simply a matter of computation by the experts. Just, for example, for the sake of illustration, we had once in San Francisco a man come in and bid for bonds, but he wasn't able to buy one bond. That is what that is for.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: All right. The last phrase in that paragraph provides for the City to sell the bonds over the counter. I would like to ask the City Attorney if he believes that under the language that exists there the limitation of interest set forth in paragraph (j) also applies.

MR. O'TOOLE: It applies to every bond. You cannot issue them. You cannot write those bonds to exceed 6 per cent interest. That provision is in the old Charter, too.

THE PRESIDENT: The next paragraph.

THE CLERK (reading): "Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized or issued under the authority of this section shall not be subject to the Charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of the City and County, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter."

THE PRESIDENT: Did you read the section just above the section you have now read, "bonds or other evidences of indebtedness"?

THE CLERK: I have just read that.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is Section 104?

THE CLERK: That is the bonded debt limit.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: What is the bond limit, 12 per cent?

THE CLERK: 12 per cent on certain bonds.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will move the elimination of that paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will second it to bring it before us, but I don't know what the object is.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: My purpose in moving the elimination of this paragraph is—I do not expect it to carry, but I simply want to go on record as being opposed to the issuance of any bonds by the City without coming under the bonded debt limit.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Brown?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I ask for a call of the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: Call the Board.

A motion has been made for the elimination of the third paragraph from the end of galley two, "Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness" and so forth. Call the roll.

THE CLERK: Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No.

THE CLERK: McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No.

THE CLERK: Mead?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: No.

THE CLERK: Meyer?

SUPERVISOR MEYER: No.

THE CLERK: Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: No.

THE CLERK: Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No.

THE CLERK: Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: No.

THE CLERK: Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: No.

THE CLERK: Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No.

THE CLERK: Two ayes, nine noes.

THE PRESIDENT: Motion lost. Next section.

THE CLERK (reading): "Nothing in this section contained shall in any way abridge, control or revoke the power of the electors of the City and County to vote for and cause to be issued general obligation bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the system, but the manner provided in this section for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the same shall be deemed to be a supplemental and additional manner for providing funds for said purpose."

THE PRESIDENT: Any objection?

THE CLERK (reading): "Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the public utilities commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bonds at the time of the issuance thereof."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask

the City Attorney—I may have overlooked it—is there any provision in this document that prohibits the people of the City and County of San Francisco from paying—redeeming the bonds out of the tax rate?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Is it called—that these are really revenue bonds payable out of the revenues only, say, that the purchaser of the bonds will have printed on his bond, "The City and County of San Francisco will not redeem this bond"?

THE CLERK: That was read a moment ago, that they shall never go to the tax rate for these bonds. There is a negative in there.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: In which paragraph is that?

THE CLERK: The last sentence of the paragraph at the top of page two.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: ". . . provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness the fact that the same are payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of The System." "And shall never be paid out of any other fund." I would like that to be made very clear, "shall never be paid"—I don't want Mr. Bondholder to come back to this City and County and plead the baby act, and say, "I bought these and because your revenue hasn't paid, you cannot pay us now. Put that on the people of San Francisco. San Francisco is getting the benefit of my money"—no baby act about it at all. When you buy the bonds, you buy them with your eyes open, and put the language good and strong.

MR. O'TOOLE: I think you have got it good and strong.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me raise this point: Suppose we go into competition with the private company and the money is not there, can they then under the Charter come to the Board of Supervisors and say, "We have got to make a rate much lower to get the business, and there will be a deficit, and you have got to put it in the tax rate to make up the difference"?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, sir, each bond shall state plainly on its face that it is payable solely out of the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The System, and that it does not constitute a general indebtedness against the City and County of San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Let me ask a question. You have brought up something that gave me the thought. Who fixes the rate? Does the Railroad Commission fix the rate?

MR. O'TOOLE: The Public Utilities Commission.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I mean the rates at which this power would be sold.

MR. O'TOOLE: No, the Public Utilities Commission fixes it subject to the approval of the Board.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: But the Railroad Commission has no authority over these rates?

MR. O'TOOLE: None whatever.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. City Attorney, I am inclined to agree there with Mr. Roncovieri's point. You have this situation, that you should not——

MR. O'TOOLE: We have it stronger some place here.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: If we constructed a system, and then they could not come to the taxpayer and say, "Here, we need money in addition to what we are getting out of the revenues of The System"——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move we proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

THE CLERK: Galley three.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Wait a minute, now, since this has been asked I would like an answer.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The only point is, should any stronger

language be added to what we have got, if it is understood—I know what it is.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: So do I. We know what it means, but we don't know what the bondholder will think.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move we proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

THE CLERK (reading): "The Commission is hereby authorized, from time to time, to provide for the refunding, extension or renewal of any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section and remaining outstanding and unpaid, at or prior to maturity thereof, by the issuance, sale or exchange of new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness in the same aggregate principal amount as the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be of such denomination and maturing at such times, and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as said Commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds or evidences of indebtedness shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of The System and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than forty years from the date of said new issue and the issuance thereon shall not exceed six per cent (6%) per annum."

Shouldn't that be "interest thereon" instead of "issuance thereon"?

MR. O'TOOLE: Oh, yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Chairman, I would like to rise at this time, if I am in order, I would like to ask through the Chair of Mr. Cahill why in Plan 7 he set up 25-year amortization, and now the Charter amendment sets up 40 years? Aren't we at a great disadvantage to make it 40 years?

MR. CAHILL: You asked that yesterday, Mr. Uhl, and I answered it by saying it is simply permissive, and makes it a possibility to set it up on a 40-year basis. The plan as set up on a 25-year basis will probably be carried out that way.

THE CHAIRMAN: Proceed.

THE CLERK (reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The System, which said rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the following:

"(a) All amounts necessary to pay the interest and principal on all bonds or evidences of indebtedness issued and sold, as provided in this section, and remaining unpaid.

"(b) All the operating expenses of The System.

"(c) All amounts necessary to provide for the repairs and maintenance of The System."

MR. O'TOOLE: Right there, if you will notice, we have the same letters again covering practically the same thing, and (d) "A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds." That same (d) should be put up there and (d) here should become (e).

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Just reverse the two (d's)?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, leave them in both places, and it will read now:

"(c) All amounts necessary to provide for the repairs and maintenance of The System.

"(d) A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds." Then this (d) becomes (e). That is just to make them coincide.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move we proceed.

THE CLERK (reading): "(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur."

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask the City Attorney in connection with the foregoing if the Public Utilities Commission has, in fact, the right to fix and establish the rates in this matter, or if the establishing of those rates is not vested in the Railroad Commission?

THE CLERK: No, if you want me to answer it, the State Railroad Commission does not fix public utility rates. They do not fix your water rates.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think he answered the question, he said the Railroad Commission had nothing to do with the rates.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Can Mr. Cahill tell us about it?

MR. CAHILL: Not over our rates, not over the municipal rates.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The City Attorney answered the question just a few minutes ago.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I move we proceed.

THE CLERK (reading): "Before any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized under authority of this section are issued and sold, the Board of Supervisors shall, by ordinance, agree that while any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section are unpaid said Board will, at all times, approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission for service from The System which shall be sufficient to pay, as the same become due, all and singular, the obligations mentioned in Schedules (a), (b), (c) and (d) above set forth."

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask why, if the Public Utilities Commission fixes that rate, why do they require any approval of the Board of Supervisors?

MR. CAHILL: The Board of Supervisors has the power to reject any rates set up by the Public Utilities Commission by a two-thirds vote. If you abrogate that power, you cannot sell the revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Am I not correct in the belief that we cannot advance a rate without your approval?

MR. CAHILL: Not in connection with a publicly owned public utility.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. O'Toole, if the Public Utilities Commission wants to raise the rate, can they do that without the Board of Supervisors?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, sir. All the rates must be approved by the Board, that is, by two-thirds—that is, they may be overridden by two-thirds vote, disapproved.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Is there anything in here—as I remember, the previous revenue bond provided first for operating expenses, and then various things, and finally for bond interest and redemption, and now you provide in (a) the amount necessary to pay the interest and principal on all bonds or evidences of indebtedness—the question was asked you then whether any bondholder would have any redress to the City, and you answered no. How about the other items there, are they protected against any taxes, any declaration of any taxes?

MR. O'TOOLE: That is a matter that will always be up to the Board. Under a recent case in the Supreme Court, it may be possible—under the Toll Bridge Authority, it might be possible that the revenues could be made up out of taxes, but I don't think it ever came up again. In the Supreme Court of Washington, in a railroad case there, they said you cannot do indirectly what you were prohibited from doing directly, and they refused to permit the appropriation for the purpose of mending the ways. They said it was to be paid out of revenue, and you could not take it out of taxes.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You say in all circumstances——

MR. O'TOOLE: Have to come out of your revenues.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: As well as local expenses—and then if condemnation proceedings become necessary——

MR. O'TOOLE: It is provided in there that these are all payable out of the bonds themselves.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: There is a provision here against including that in the tax rate?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, not directly. If, for instance, the Board of Supervisors were to direct the City Attorney to commence condemnation proceedings, they could make appropriation for it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask the City Attorney——

MR. O'TOOLE: (Interrupting) It is provided to be paid out of bonds, it is to be added to the price and paid out of the bonds.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to ask the City Attorney about the paragraph we were just discussing—in effect, as I have read the paragraph, it provides this, that the Board of Supervisors shall pass an ordinance—why the ordinance? I don't know, but it says an ordinance by which the Board of Supervisors shall agree that while any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under authority of this section are unpaid, said Board will, at all times, approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission for service from The System, and so on. That means then that the Board of Supervisors sitting in solemn conclave agree to bind not only themselves but their successors?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: It seems to me that is an idle act if the successors can at any time, if they want to, refuse to be bound.

MR. O'TOOLE: The Supreme Court has expressed views on that, a very recent case, a case that came up when they were selling the Los Angeles bonds to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, Los Angeles against Romaine, I think is the title. The case is 218 California; they had to amend their Charter and write that provision in, and the Supreme Court held in a case of that kind where there was a real consideration for it, where they were getting money for their bonds, that it was perfectly proper for the then existing city council of Los Angeles to bind their successors that they would always do a certain thing in order to keep faith with the owners of the bonds.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Let us take the Supreme Court case, let us suppose that prices in this country rise as a result of, say, a certain amount of inflationary activity, and by the rising of those prices you increase the cost of operating The System which is included in these items, increase them very materially, and that will invariably mean a very material increase in the rate schedule; do you mean that this Board of Supervisors can in all solemnity commit its successors?

MR. O'TOOLE: The State law says so.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Didn't they do the same thing with Hetch Hetchy?

MR. O'TOOLE: No.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It is not a question of the Board committing its successors, the people are committing the successors.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No, this requires an action by the Board of Supervisors for the passage of this matter and before the issuance of the bonds.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The people mandate the Board to do that by the adoption of the Charter amendment. The mandate is from the people and not from the Board.

MR. O'TOOLE: They had the same trouble in Los Angeles, Supervisor Brown, and the attorneys for the Reconstruction Finance Corporation raised the point. In other words, their rates are also subject to the approval by the City Council, and the Reconstruction Finance Corporation raised the point, "Well, all right, Mr. Board of Water and Power Commissioners"—whatever they call them down there—"that may be quite true, you agree you will always fix rates which will produce enough money to pay the interest and principal sum of these bonds as they become due, but what about your City Council? They

have not entered into any agreement that they will approve the rates, and the result might be that you would go ahead and keep your part of the bargain, but the City Council would not approve the rates, and you would not be able to collect them, and we would be out."

SUPERVISOR BROWN: And it is your advice to us that that is a perfectly legal proposition?

MR. O'TOOLE: The Supreme Court has said it is perfectly proper to do it. You don't have to do it. You don't have to pass the amendment at all, but you are going to put that in to make your bond saleable because the Court has held that.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Suppose the Board refuses to pass any rates?

MR. O'TOOLE: I suppose they have the right to do it. If you agree on a bond issue, you practically promise in there that you bind your successors on a general obligation bond, that your Board, as long as the bonds are outstanding will levy sufficient amount of taxes to pay the interest and principal on the bond. That is binding on a succeeding board.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: But in the event they do not do so, the rate schedule is illegal and requires a court action to bring it about.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did I understand you can levy a sufficient amount in taxes?

THE CLERK: No, he said on general obligation bonds.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed.

MR. O'TOOLE: Wait a minute.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I just want to point out one more item along the lines I have been talking about, which is this: that under that set-up there is no limit to the amount of expense which could be incurred by the Public Utilities Commission except their own judgment.

MR. O'TOOLE: Your annual appropriation ordinance.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: No, we are required to pass the rates which will produce the revenues necessary.

MR. O'TOOLE: And, Supervisor, maybe I don't get you straight on that—you say there is no limit to the expense that they could incur?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Not and have it paid for by this set-up.

MR. O'TOOLE: Well, for instance, you say they pay a $1,000,000—expend a $1,000,000, which forces you to put the rates very high—how are they going to spend that $1,000,000 without the approval of the Board?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Well, let us say they decide to spend a lot of money in improvements and betterments to their system which they claim is absolutely necessary.

MR. O'TOOLE: But you are budgeted, aren't you, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: Absolutely.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: It requires six votes of the Board. I don't think there is any limitation on capital expenditure. I would like to think about that before I come to a conclusion.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: You cannot make a capital expenditure without the approval of this Board.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: But suppose they have six votes on the Board.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Then they will get it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Then the rates would be boosted accordingly instead of having the matter go through the proper procedure and have the capital approved by a bond issue.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Board can block it. But the provision is in here that they cannot impair the integrity of the bonds—that would give the Board that right.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, but if six members of the Board make up their minds to do that, that can be done.

THE PRESIDENT: We are waiting for the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Let us go ahead and come back to that paragraph.

THE CLERK: (Reading:) "The Public Utilities Commission shall cause all moneys accruing from The System to be paid into the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco daily, and said moneys, together with any interest earned thereon, shall be used only for the following purposes and in the order herein set forth, to-wit:

(a) To pay the principal sum and the interest thereon on all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

(b) To pay all of the operating expenses of said system;

(c) To pay all amounts to become due for the repair and maintenance of said system;

(d) A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds.

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur;

(f) To pay for extensions and betterments to said system or to establish a reserve to pay for said extensions and betterments;

(g) To establish a surplus fund.

Said commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, for the current year, and if at any time the revenues of The System are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into said fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund. Said commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (e), and (f) above set forth and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Through the Chair, where does the depreciation set-up come in that has been in previously?

MR. O'TOOLE: It is there in subdivision (e): "An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur."

SUPERVISOR UHL: Why don't we use the same language we have heretofore, and simplify it? "An amount sufficient to cover depreciation."

MR. O'TOOLE: In discussing that with the Controller, it might build up a very large fund, and there that will just provide enough to take care of the depreciation as it occurs.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I want to tell you, gentlemen, that is changing from the rule set down by the State Railroad Commission for all public utilities corporations, that is what they are trying to get away from here. Some day there is going to be a day of reckoning on it. Now, there is no reason for freezing any more in that fund than will meet the depreciation, and the Railroad Commission has pretty accurately set down what the depreciation shall be in these properties for years. I forget now—I am a little surprised to see that this is juggled around in such a manner that it does not cover just the depreciation fund. I want to tell you the Railroad Commission, what may be a proper depreciation fund——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I move we proceed.

THE CLERK: "Said estimates may be changed from time to time. Said commission shall also pay into said interest and redemption fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies upon any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter which may

be in conflict with the provisions of this section, the provisions of this section shall prevail in so far as the same pertain to the financing and acquisition of The System by the sale of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing or acquisition of The System or any additions thereto by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

"The board of supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon said Public Utilities Commission such additional powers not in conflict with this section as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section."

MR. O'TOOLE: I have one more amendment, Mr. Chairman, along the lines suggested by Mr. Brown. Mr. Cahill just made a suggestion. Under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors do not approve rates, they have the right to disapprove them, and that is all, and before we could amend that section you are discussing there, Supervisor Brown, by changing the language and placing instead of the adoption of an ordinance—because the Charter mandate—I would suggest the following language.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What section, please?

MR. O'TOOLE: It goes in on the—it is about the fourth paragraph on the last page. "Before any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness," and so forth, I would suggest the substitution of the following language.

THE CHAIRMAN: Cut out that whole paragraph?

MR. O'TOOLE: "The Board of Supervisors shall never approve rates to be charged by said Public Utilities Commission for services from The System which will not be sufficient to pay, as the same become due, all and singular, the obligations mentioned in Schedules (a), (b), (c), and (d)."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move the elimination of the paragraph, "Before any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness," and so forth, and the insertion of the paragraph as suggested by our City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been regularly moved and seconded to cut out the paragraph starting with "Before any bonds" and the substitution of the paragraph offered by Mr. O'Toole. Are you ready for the question? No objection? Such will be the order.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. President, may I at this time ask that we rise and recommend the Charter Amendment Number 1 to be submitted.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: There is just one other matter I will bring up before you recommend that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: There is one other paragraph of that which has occurred to me.

THE PRESIDENT: Just a minute now, are you relinquishing the floor, Mr. McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, I will, with that understanding.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That we are establishing here a power distribution system——

THE PRESIDENT: What section are you referring to?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I just want to bring up a thought that I don't think is in here at all. The Public Utilities Commission may—I am just reading now from the third paragraph below the preamble, I guess it is, "The Public Utilities Commission may, for the purpose"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That has been changed.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes, "for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction, or other lawful method, a system for the generation and distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the people of any territory adjacent to or adjoining said City and County, of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy project,"—and down in (j) on the second sheet: "And all obligations assumed by said commission which shall

require the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system." Now, does that refer to the hydro-electric system, or at least the distribution system we are going to have under this particular amendment, or does it bring this question up: In the case of a deficit in the payment of these revenue bonds, can they take money from the other public utilities to pay off these revenue bonds? For instance, surplus money, money acquired from the water part of this utility, it seems to me they are interwoven—now, I think that we should be sure that money cannot be taken from a surplus of the water part of the Hetch Hetchy project and used to pay off the revenue bonds of the electric part. I think there should be a distinct provision there that the surplus from any other part of this utility cannot be used to pay off the revenue bonds which relate simply to the power.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: This was a separate utility, wasn't it?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is the question.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: I think it is a separate utility altogether.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My suggestion would be to set forth that this power utility shall be operated independently of any other utility of the City and County of San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: And that no fund of any other utility can be used in this, this must stand on its own feet, not somebody else's feet.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Very good.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: That is the joke, it doesn't make any difference.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In what way?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: As long as the utilities commission can divide it between the water and power, however they desire, you don't charge it against the income of the power project investment, but continue to charge an amount of interest and principal on the Hetch Hetchy project out of the tax rate. You don't get the true picture of the situation here, that is what we are up against in this.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is why I feel we should have some provision that these particular revenue bonds are standing on their own feet, you see my point, if there is a surplus from the Water Department, we don't want to use it to pay off this, we want to give it to the people, especially in reduction of their rates.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: Both the City Attorney and Mr. Cahill tell us this particular project would stand on its own feet.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I think it should be set up in here some place.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: The City Attorney said it is stronger this way.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I don't think that particular point has ever been covered, to the extent it should be, it has been stated——

SUPERVISOR MEYER: On page 2, and it is in the center of the page.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr. O'Toole, can't that be put in here definitely?

THE PRESIDENT: Did you hear the question of the Doctor, Mr. O'Toole?

MR. O'TOOLE: Just generally, would you mind telling me generally what your point is?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: My point is that in this entire set-up of revenue bonds, we are going on the supposition, and the people will go on the supposition that they are standing on their own feet, and the interest is being paid, and the amortization of the bonds, by the amortization from the revenues from the distribution of electric power. Now, then, that is a part of the Hetch Hetchy system, and we also have the other side of the picture, the water project. Now, suppose that there should be, the income should not be sufficient to pay the interest and amortization on these bonds from the distribution of power, could the Public Utilities Commission then take part of the

surplus from the water part of the project and use that to pay off bond redemption and interest?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, because it is stated throughout the whole amendment that the bond interest and redemption will be paid solely out of the revenues of the system.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Out of the revenues of the system?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, and we first define the system as the project Mr. Cahill has described in Plan Number 7.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, it is thoroughly divorced from the Hetch Hetchy system?

MR. O'TOOLE: I think so, yes, Supervisor. The plan does call for the utilization of power from Moccasin Dam, I think, is that correct, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, but it is quite distinct.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Do you think it would do any harm to embody a sentence or two to be sure that it is clear?

MR. CAHILL: As I understand, you want to be sure that any revenues from the San Francisco Water Department, which is one department, if it has any income, net profit, shall not be used to pay any possible deficits from these revenue bonds. If you want to say that none of the revenues of the Water Department shall be used for this, that might be done.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I think that should be done.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That the revenues from any other utility shall not be used for this utility.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Supposing there is a deficit from the power, I would much rather it be made up out of another utility than to make a deficit and place it on the backs of the taxpayers, in the tax rate.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: It is the same thing.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: No, it is not.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, it doesn't make a particle of difference, it is exactly the same thing in the end.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Not exactly.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: It is exactly the same. You may fool them but it is exactly the same.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would you permit a question, Supervisor Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Certainly.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Is it your idea that in case of default on these bonds——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Not a default, no.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Well, say there is not enough money to meet these bonds, that is, the interest and redemption on them, would you say then we should go to the other half—or we will say, do you believe that particular utility or some other utility should pay the difference? I thought we were giving the bondholders to understand they are buying these bonds at their own risk, that these are revenue bonds backed up solely by the income from the distribution of power?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The last few words of the Doctor cover exactly what I was going to say.

THE PRESIDENT: That's fine.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The holders of these bonds will know that the revenues from the bonds come out of this utility, absolutely.

THE PRESIDENT: Absolutely, yes, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The City Attorney has just stated that he would incorporate that amendment, and Mr. Cahill says that he has no objection, and I think if you want to put a selling point in there, and these bonds have got to be sold——

THE PRESIDENT: Is Mr. O'Toole preparing something before we conclude?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I didn't get that.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, Mr. President, he is.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, he is working on it now.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Along the lines outlined by Mr. Cahill.

MR. O'TOOLE: Doctor, I think this covers your point: "The System shall be considered a separate utility and revenues from any other utility shall not be applied to The System, unless otherwise provided by Charter."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Where is that?

MR. O'TOOLE: Just put it in the last part.

THE PRESIDENT: Where will that be inserted, at the end of the first paragraph?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, I would put it at the end of the amendment, or maybe just before the last paragraph.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Would you kindly read it again, now?

MR. O'TOOLE: Just before the last paragraph: "The System shall be considered a separate utility and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to The System, unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter."

THE PRESIDENT. Does the Charter provide for it?

MR. O'TOOLE: Mr. Cahill had some idea the surplus from one utility might, with the consent of the Board of Supervisors, be applied to another utility. Of course, I don't know if that will have to be written into the Charter. It is not, in any way, before us now, we were just giving that consideration to see if it did.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move the inclusion of the amendment just read by our City Attorney at the place indicated by the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? Hearing none, so ordered.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: At this time, Mr. President, I move that we rise and recommend that the Charter amendment be submitted.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just a minute, I want to talk to the amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: The proper motion is that we rise and report, is that your motion?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, I do so move.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You said "and approve the amendment."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that we rise and report.

THE PRESIDENT: All right, if there is no objection, such will be the order. (Gavel.)

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Before Supervisor Uhl begins, I want to ask the City Attorney a question which may be of very considerable importance.

SUPERVISOR UHL: First, I move that we sit as the Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR BROWN. Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? So ordered.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I ask whether you are now prepared to advise us whether any action taken by us this afternoon on this Charter amendment would be perfectly safe legally?

MR. O'TOOLE: I don't know, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: What is that?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Whether he is prepared to advise us whether any action taken by the Board of Supervisors this afternoon would or would not be safe and legal?

MR. O'TOOLE: Just one second. The best I can say on that, Supervisor, there is some doubt. Mr. Heidelberg and Mr. Holm, as I told you a short time ago, looked very carefully into the matter, and there is only one case in the State of California, coming from Eureka, and decided by the Appellate Court of the Sacramento District in 1932, wherein the court goes on to say:

"The next contention of appellants is that the City Council had no authority to adopt the ordinance on a legal holiday. Section 25 of the

said Charter provides that if at any time a regular meeting falls on a holiday, such regular meeting shall be held on the following day, and provides that special meetings shall be called by the Mayor," and so forth, but does not provide that such special meetings shall not be held on a holiday.

And then a little further on it states:

"There is no provision in the Charter of the City of Eureka that the public offices of said city shall be closed on holidays. Nor can it be successfully contended that the act of the City Council in passing the ordinance removing appellants from their offices was a judicial act. Having made up their minds that the removal of appellants from office was necessary for the improvement of the public service, they held a special meeting and passed the ordinances resulting in said removal. The passing of this ordinance under these conditions was purely executive; nor was it in any manner a judicial act.

In this state a municipality possesses powers, both legislative and executive. Such executive powers are frequently committed to the City Council, and they are sometimes exercised by declarations in the form of an ordinance or resolution."

Then they cite a case wherein an act provided that certain days should be considered holidays, and they go on to state:

"Appellants have cited no authority sustaining their position that the act of the City Council in merely passing the ordinance on Saturday afternoon was illegal."

And they sustain this act of removing this officer, and they go off on the question that this is an executive act. Now, just where or what the ruling would be on a legislative act, there is no law in California covering it, evidently. We have our own Charter, Section Number 220, which states:

"Except where otherwise provided by law, all public offices shall be open for business every day, except legal holidays, from 8:30 o'clock a. m. until 5:00 o'clock p. m. The Supervisors by ordinance may provide that any office shall be kept open for a longer time, when necessary for the accommodation of the public."

Personally, I am of the opinion that it is a valid act, but I cannot guarantee it.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Could you advise us as to whether or not such an act on the part of the Board would be subject to court dispute by those who might be opposed to the act?

MR. O'TOOLE: What is that?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will put it differently. It is quite possible, is it not, anyone who did not like this act could go to court and question the validity of it?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, absolutely, that is the danger.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Could our actions of this afternoon be confirmed on Monday?

MR. O'TOOLE: Certainly.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: That would take care of it.

THE PRESIDENT: May I state that during this discussion by the Board I phoned to Mr. Edgar Levy, who was the speaker of the Assembly, and he said that oftentimes the Legislature met on Saturday afternoons and passed legislation. He said it is like passing a contract, which is recognized by the Courts whether passed on Saturday or Sunday.

MR. O'TOOLE: Personally I am of that opinion, but I cannot find any law on the subject.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Do the banks close under any law?

MR. O'TOOLE: No, the banks could keep open Sunday.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the pleasure of the Board?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to ask whether the members of the Board would agree to meet here Monday morning?

THE PRESIDENT: I would not be able to be here Monday morning.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I will.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes, I will be here.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will lose two days, anyway.

THE PRESIDENT: Couldn't we make it a special order for 2 o'clock?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I can say that as far as I am concerned, I have no desire to resort to any technicalities, and I think that goes for the others.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Do you feel, Mr. O'Toole, that in passing this this afternoon we might take a chance of being enjoined by someone who is interested in opposing it?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am a little afraid of that.

MR. O'TOOLE: That would be the only opportunity of raising any question, and I cannot guarantee it now.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Havenner——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I express myself first?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Certainly, go ahead.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: In view of the fact that the amendment is now before the Board, would those parties opposing it consent to make their arguments now, and let it come to a vote on Monday, and let it come to a vote without further discussion?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, I would consent to that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You say to vote now?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Would you consent to make your arguments now, and let it come to a vote on Monday without further argument?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think we ought to be allowed, each one allowed to have the floor twice on a vital question of this nature, I think we ought to be allowed a few minutes. In other words, I would like the right to speak twice.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Of course, there will be no objection to our explaining our votes.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What time do you have to go away Monday?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The only thing, very frankly, if I cancel my reservation, which was due at 3:30 this afternoon, I will have to postpone any further reservation until Monday, and I should like to make my reservation to go out Monday night, if I could.

SUPERVISOR UHL: They will make the reservation all right.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will go if I can.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, if Supervisor McSheehy will agree not to delay it.

A special order of business for Monday at 2:00 o'clock, and close the debate, and take a vote at 2:15, and that will settle the matter definitely.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: What I was getting at, your train doesn't leave until what time is it—3:00 o'clock?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: What I don't want to do is sit here and not have it finished, and still miss leaving.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am perfectly willing to agree to close the debate on the subject at 4:00 o'clock, and suggest we give each member of the Board about 15 minutes.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I was going to suggest a compromise. You suggested 2:15, make it 3:00 o'clock, there are several members who won't debate. The entire debate will be carried on by three or four, and if we can agree on that and make it a special order for 3:00 o'clock, a special order for 2:00 o'clock, and close it at 3:00 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: We have the matter of the Funston Avenue Approach as a special order at 2:00 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Couldn't we meet at 10:00 o'clock and be through by noon?

THE PRESIDENT: I can't be here at 10:00 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: 1:00 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You could put off the vote until 2:00 o'clock, if you want to argue, we could take the vote at 2:00 o'clock——

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I don't see any necessity for that.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think that is a good suggestion, and I am getting awfully hungry now, and would like to go out to lunch; that is a normal, healthy condition, and I think it would be a good idea to meet here and have each man explain his vote at 10:00 o'clock, and at 2:00 o'clock, vote, just allowing Supervisor Shannon and myself to explain our votes.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think you will be able to influence me anyway, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I should be able to, but I have not been always.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Would that be agreeable to you, Mr. Shannon?

THE PRESIDENT: That is agreeable to me.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I doubt very much if I can come here Monday morning, I have one or two things I must attend to, but it will only take about ten minutes, either now or Monday.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Take ten minutes now.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I am going to ask to be excused, I have already agreed with your suggestion.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I move that Supervisor Colman be excused.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: With the understanding we vote Monday at 2:00 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: And that we meet Monday morning, and at this time I move that when we recess, we recess until Monday morning at 10:00 o'clock, and I would be very grateful to the members if they will accord me this last courtesy of being here on time on Monday morning.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to Supervisor Colman being excused? Hearing none, such will be the order.

Is there any objection to recessing when we do recess until 10:00 o'clock Monday morning?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is there a second to that motion?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I will second it, yes, Supervisor.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order. (Gavel.)

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I will be as brief as I can, and first I would like to be excused on Monday morning. Is there any objection to that?

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection Supervisor Brown will be excused Monday morning.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Speaking briefly to the subject matter before us, what we are considering is a Charter amendment providing for the issuance of revenue bonds for the purpose of the acquisition or construction of an electrical system by the City and County of San Francisco. This is a very radical departure from previously conceived and executed systems of financing public improvements in San Francisco. It brings forward several very new and very different ideas in connection with that finance. It provides, as its makers have seemed to feel necessary, for a rate of interest considerably higher than has been paid heretofore on San Francisco's obligations within the last several years. It proposes the purchase of this electrical system with that higher rate of interest inevitably linked to the operation of The System, and without any effort being made to ascertain whether or not the electors of San Francisco would vote for the already available general obligation bonds, which, as we all know from our experience of the last several months, will bring a very materially lower cost to the City over a period of years. It is conceivable, at least arguable, that the general obligation bonds of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco could be sold at the interest rate of 3½ per cent, or even lower, and even the proponents of the revenue bonds indicate that the best rate of interest that can be expected is about 5 per cent.

So much for the interest rate. In my opinion on that one score alone, this Board would be warranted in rejecting this proposal, because no effort has been made to ascertain how the people would vote on a general obligation bond issue, which is admittedly of lower cost.

Now, the second point, we are proposing here a deviation from the age-old time-tried policy of requiring a two-thirds vote on the bonded debt of the City and County. Since the City and County's inception it has always been necessary to secure a two-thirds vote of the electorate to further bond the city. It is entirely fitting and proper that that two-thirds margin should be required for one very good reason, which is that the bonded debt of the city falls upon the property owners, it is a charge against the property owners. The property owners pay that debt, and not only the debt itself, but interest, by means of taxation on property, and the tax rate is directly affected by a bonded debt, and by the interest rate that that bonded debt carries.

The taxpayer in San Francisco—the owner of real property, I should say, in San Francisco pays by far the largest amount of taxes into the City Treasury. The owner of real property in San Francisco is in the minority in our population, because, obviously, there are not enough lots in San Francisco to admit of a majority of our population actually, physically owning real property, and it is an old axiom that a man is always more liberal with someone else's money than with his own. I believe it is also axiomatic here that the voter who does not own real property is inclined to be more lenient in the incurring of bonded debt when he feels, whether justifiably or not, that the load of debt will be carried by the property owners, of which he does not happen to be one. I believe that is the fundamental argument on behalf of this two-thirds majority requirement, in order that there may be a very definite protection to the people who own real property in our City. Now, that is just what this proposed Charter amendment strives to overcome, it strives to eliminate the necessity of submitting the further increase of bonded debt to a vote of the people, and the approval of that added debt by a two-thirds vote. Furthermore, and even more unjust, this proposed elimination is suggested to be carried out by a mere 50 per cent vote of the people, which is all that is necessary to pass a Charter amendment, so that you have a very carefully worked out plan here whereby, in effect, 50 per cent of the people may make it possible to carry this Charter amendment, and this same 50 per cent of the people may make it possible to issue a bonded debt of the City and County which will be a direct charge against the income of the City and County, and this will have a material effect on the tax rate.

Now, so much for the fact that we are, by passing, or by submitting this Charter amendment to the people, clearing the way for the elimination of the requirements of a two-thirds vote on the bonded debt, let's look for a few minutes at Plan Number 7. What this bonded debt is supposed to acquire is a distribution system for the City and County of San Francisco for electric power, and we are supposed to acquire that system along the lines of Plan 7, as outlined by Mr. Cahill. Now, the first report—may I say, in passing, that I have a very high regard for Mr. Cahill's ability, and I believe some of the criticisms I have against the set-up of Plan Number 7 can be met, and they are only because Mr. Cahill's sources of information, perhaps, were not as complete, or, let us say, as closely brought up to date as might have been desirable. My remarks have no reflection on Mr. Cahill's ability or integrity. Mr. Cahill's plan Number 7 shows a very handsome return to the City and County of San Francisco, yet it makes no mention of a number of vital points. First, and I think most important, under an agency contract with the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, we are

receiving a revenue of approximately $2,000,000 a year. Obviously, should the City undertake to sell its own power under its own distribution system, that revenue of $2,000,000 a year will be lost. One of the important portions of Plan Number 7 is the building of the Red Mountain Power House, costing about $1,600,000, which construction is estimated to add to the revenues of the City and County nearly one-half million dollars. In other words, by the expenditure of $1,600,000 we gain a revenue of approximately half a million dollars a year. That is set forth as an argument for Plan 7. My own feeling is, and let us get this clear as applied to the present situation and the building of the Red Mountain Bar Power House, and as we were told in fact by the Public Utilities Commission some years ago when we were discussing that very project, the same results could be obtained by the disposal of that additional power under the already existing agency contract, and that the returns would be equally as lucrative under that basis as they would be under Plan 7.

While this cannot be construed as detracting any from Plan Number 7, yet I feel that it can be considered as adding nothing to Plan 7 that could not be obtained under other methods.

In addition, the raising of the O'Shaughnessy Dam at Hetch Hetchy will result in about a 10 per cent increase, perhaps even more, in the revenue from the agency contract. Without raising the dam we are getting $2,000,000 a year revenue from the agency contract, and this will, in all probability, be increased to $2,250,000, or perhaps even more, before Plan Number 7 ever goes into effect.

Now, there is another point in connection with the financial set-up under Plan Number 7 which materially affects this proposition, Plan Number 7 means a $650,000 yearly loss to San Francisco, estimated revenue from the Pacific Gas & Electric Company. Now, I was quoted a figure here the other day which indicates a loss from that source will be nearer $2,000,000 than the $650,000 set up in the plan, and the first installment of taxes paid by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is in excess of $650,000.

MR. CAHILL: May I correct that, and while it is possible that the Pacific Gas & Electric Company pays a million and a half or $2,000,000 taxes for their property in San Francisco, Plan Number 7 distinctly sets up that it does not propose to take all of the electrical property of the P. G. & E. It leaves out the $12,000,000 steam plant. It leaves out all of the administrative equipment, and I would also point out to you that it leaves out the tremendous office building, the head of their system, down on Market Street, worth millions, and it also leaves out gas manufacture and reservoirs, and the tremendous system of gas piping. As a matter of fact, we have left out more than we have taken in. In fact, my figure is approximately correct, in fact when you stop and figure out what we left out, it is possibly too high.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I was told about and made allowance for some of those things, but I was also told that the first tax payment of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company was approximately one and one-half millions, indicating a total tax revenue from that source of approximately $3,000,000. I will check on that.

MR. CAHILL: Just one more statement: I want to show where I got the $654,000, we took the statement, and the tax bills for the items that we wanted, leaving out the rest.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Now, the statement Mr. Cahill just made brings up another point I will touch on in a few minutes, but suffice it to say there will be a substantial loss of tax revenue to the City and County of San Francisco by virtue of this transaction, and that loss of tax revenue is at least disputable as to the amount.

Now, one other thing not provided for in Plan Number 7, on which there are at least very different opinions, is the question of a stand-by system. One authority tells us a stand-by system will cost nothing, and another equal authority testified a stand-by system will be re-

quired costing $1,000,000, so there is at least some question on that, and the amount of the cost. And finally, on the subject of Plan Number 7 there has occurred the question in my mind as to whether in fact the Pacific Gas & Electric properties which Mr. Cahill desires to acquire can be acquired for anything like the price that has been set up. In the first place, we have had evidence there is a very material rise in the price level going on, and perhaps the best evidence of that is the tremendous increase in cost of some of the units for the Golden Gate Bridge recently contracted for. We had one case recently in which a toll platform building, as finally contracted for, cost about 50 per cent in excess of the original architectural estimate, and the architect defended that by saying it was mainly caused by the increased cost of materials and labor, and it has a direct bearing on any condemnation proceedings where values must be fixed by existing costs of materials and labor. So that I say that the price as quoted in Plan Number 7 is at least subject to material argument, and in my humble opinion, does not represent the price the City eventually will be called on to pay for the Pacific Gas & Electric Company's properties if they buy them.

Now, one other point. Mr. Cahill said that he does not propose to take over certain materials and properties of the P. G. & E., for example, the $12,000,000 steam plant here, and the very large office building, and so forth. And the history of such transactions has been that where material portions of the property are divorced from that property in the course of condemnation proceedings, it is quite usual for the Court to allow what are known as severance damages in such cases, and certainly it cannot be soundly argued that the $12,000,000 stand-by steam plant is not an integral part of the P. G. & E. system in San Francisco.

Now, that is the situation about as I see it, we do not have a unanimous opinion on the cost of Plan Number 7, and certainly do not have a unanimous opinion on the revenues, less expenses, we may expect, or net results of Plan Number 7, and we have before us an amendment that proposes to put Plan Number 7 into execution by a new, and at least locally, that is for San Francisco, a method of new and untried revenue bond financing. We have a very certain increase in the cost of those bonds, cost in interest on those bonds as compared to the parallel cost in interest which could be obtained by General bond obligations. For these reasons, because of all these points, and because of a lot of other questions I know will be touched upon by other members of the Board, and which I don't want to go into now, I feel very definitely that it would be a mistake to submit this amendment to the people of San Francisco, and I base that feeling primarily on the fact it is proposed by a 50 per cent vote to accomplish what heretofore a two-thirds vote was necessary for. It seems to me that the taxpayers, the property owners of this City, are entitled to that two-thirds protection that the Charter has given them, and that any deviation from that is in fact a breach of faith with those same property owners who have bought property and built buildings under that safeguard and others granted them by our Charter.

I thank you for your attention, and I intend to vote against this measure.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have had typed, I spent my time yesterday under the dome of this City Hall from 10:00 o'clock a. m. until 10:00 o'clock p. m., and as a result, I have set forth an analysis of what my thoughts are in this matter. I don't want to read all of this now, simply try to pass some of these things to Mr. O'Toole, some of these points, because I raised some points in there that I think are pertinent, and I will ask Mr. Cahill if he can reply, and number 1 is, at the present time power is an integral part of the Hetch Hetchy system, and earning $1,750,000 a year, and now, this Charter amendment will purloin the power unit from the Hetch Hetchy system, and not pay

a dollar for it. The divorcing of the power unit from the Hetch Hetchy, I maintain, will cost the City over $2,000,000, and I maintain that taking the revenues from Hetch Hetchy and the water system, and applying them to paying for the redemption of those bonds is entitled to consideration, that is one of the stronger points I want to make.

Mr. Cahill sets up, and I only repeat what Mr. Brown said, and although I disagree with Mr. Cahill, I respect him as a man who is square, and wants to do the fair thing, however, $8,500,000 is set up in the capitalization as the value of the power unit, and yet it doesn't show in the report it earns a dollar, and I want to tell you that when you put money into anything, you expect a reasonable return, and the people of San Francisco will feel that the rates should be reduced. Mr. Cahill takes the attitude that we have put so much into Hetch Hetchy and that this power unit we can take that over, and it doesn't cost the City anything. Now, you might say the same thing about the water, I feel that there should be some consideration for that. Is the power department going to take all of that water and no consideration for it? Those are things you want to think over, and I will argue them on Monday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You submitted that under your name, it is not signed.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will authorize it under my name, and it may be put in the record.

"ANALYSES OF PLAN 7

REVENUES OVER-ESTIMATED, OPERATING COSTS UNDER-ESTIMATED IS MY CONTENTION.

Mr. Cahill estimates revenues at $15,504,000. My estimate $14,148,337. Operating costs he estimates at $7,959,000. My estimate $9,039,000. My estimate includes standby service estimated at $1,080,000. Mr. C. Brown of the Railroad Commission personally advised the Board of Supervisors of the necessity of a standby service charge, that the schedule of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company fixed the cost at $1.00 per kilowatt, that it might be possible for San Francisco through negotiation with the company to secure a lower rate. Inconceivable why the Public Utilities Commission have not ascertained what charge will be made by the company.

INTEREST ON $50,000,000.

Mr. Cahill estimates first year's interest $2,185,000. Will be $2,500,000. No specific item covering Compensation, Fire or Earthquake Insurance set up in Plan 7.

OBJECTION TO DIVORCING THE POWER UNIT FROM HETCH HETCHY WITHOUT PROPER COMPENSATION TO HETCH HETCHY WATER SYSTEM FOR SAME.

Mr. O'Shaughnessy placed the value of the power unit at $19,000,000. No definite figure was arrived at. Mr. Cahill contends the power unit should be fixed at $8,500,000 which amount covers only the units necessary for development of power. Does Mr. Cahill overlook the fact that without Hetch Hetchy water power could not be generated, it is therefore reasonable that the power unit should stand a portion of the cost of the dam and aqueducts. I will so contend. Under Plan 7 not $1.00 is earned on the Capital Investment of the Power Unit!

REVENUE:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company rate in 1935 was 2.7 cents per kilowatt, rate reduction in January brought the rate down to a little more than 2.5 cents per kilowatt. Present reduction effective in January 1937 will again reduce the rate to approximately 2.3 cents per kilowatt. Now, regarding the kilowatts to be sold. Mr. Cahill estimated the annual increase for 1936 and 1937 at 8 per cent annually, and sets up in Plan 7, page 7 the figure 686,000,000 kilowatt sales for revenue producing. Pacific Gas and Electric Company announced that the increase in sales in San Francisco territory was 4.3 per cent, and

therefore in place of an 8 per cent increase there will be only a 5 per cent increase; accepting, though not agreeing, that the increase for 1937 will be 8 per cent, the total sales for 1936-1937 will be 615,145,104 kilowatts. 70,854,896 less kilowatts than estimated by Mr. Cahill. 615,145,104 kilowatts at 2.3 cents per kilowatt, $14,148,337 which is $1,355,663 less than the revenue set up in Plan 7.

STANDBY SERVICE: Plan 7 page 1 we read:

"The City's own power will not fully meet the demands of the entire system, therefore, it is proposed to buy additional power and STANDBY SERVICE from the Pacific Gas and Electric System."

Despite stating: "It is proposed to buy standby service," no allowance is made for standby service in Plan 7. Mr. Cahill contends the Pacific Gas and Electric Company will make no charge for same, then WHY is it stated in Plan 7 Standby Service would be purchased?

Mr. Claude Brown, Chief Engineer of the State Railroad Commission, personally appeared before the Board of Supervisors and advised the Board the standby schedule of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company fixed the price of standby service at $1.00 per kilowatt per month. He did advise the Board that it might be possible for the City to negotiate with the company for a lower rate. 84,000 kilowatts at $1.00 per kilowatt per month amounts to $1,080,000 per year. Standby service must most certainly be provided and such being the case why has not the Public Utilities Commission ascertained what price can be obtained from the Company?

Mr. Cahill concedes $200,000 might be too low for transmission and step down. It is undoubtedly too low as it will not pay 3 per cent on the Company's investment, not to mention the standby service plant in connection with same. Why has not the Public Utilities Commission ascertained the figure the Company will furnish this item of transmission and step down. After all the Public Utilities Commission is not empowered to fix the price. What excuse has the Public Utilities Commission for having failed to negotiate with the Company on these several items?

Herewith revision of estimates covering Plan 7 as I see it:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| REVENUE | | $14,148,337 |
| Interest on $50,000,000 at 5% | $2,500,000 | |
| The power unit of Hetch Hetchy System earns $1,750,000 yearly certainly divorcing the power unit from Hetch Hetchy a payment should be made annually to the Hetch Hetchy System of at least one-half the net earnings. If not it means PURLOINING the power properties which rightfully belong to Hetch Hetchy system one-half the earnings | 950,000 | |
| Annual operating cost | 7,959,000 | |
| Standby Service | 1,080,000 | 12,489,000 |
| Surplus..... | | $ 1,659,337 |

Bond Redemption 40 years $1,250,000 annually.

Mr. Cahill states in taking over the company's property in San Francisco there will be annual loss in tax revenue of $654,000. This figure is less than half the taxes paid by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in San Francisco. Accuracy of the amount set up in Plan 7, $654,000, questioned.

If the power unit is divorced from Hetch Hetchy System the loss in revenue to Hetch Hetchy will be $1,750,000 annually. Presuming the voters will approve a bond issue of $500,000 for building the Red Mountain Power Plant, it is reasonable to presume the voters will vote bonds, if properly explained, whereby the Hetch Hetchy System can build the Red Mountain Power Plant, and increase the earnings of Hetch Hetchy $500,000 annually.

SUMMARY OF LOSS TO HETCH HETCHY WATER SYSTEM IF POWER UNIT IS DIVORCED FROM SYSTEM.

(Does not include possible revenue from Red Mountain Power Unit.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Loss in Taxes | $ 654,000 |
| Loss in Power Revenue | 1,750,000 |
| Total Loss | $2,404,000 |

Loss of $2,404,000 annual revenue to the Hetch Hetchy System and a portion of the amount covering taxes paid into the General Fund it will be necessary to increase the tax rate approximately 30 cents. In event that the Public Utilities Commission fails to transfer said $2,404,000 to the General Fund. In event that the power unit pays the Hetch Hetchy System $950,000 annually as a consideration for divorcing the power unit it will still necessitate an increase of approximately 20 cents in the tax rate to cover the loss in revenue in event the Public Utilities Commission fails to pay $1,454,000 into the General Fund. The power unit should positively be made to pay the Hetch Hetchy System the value of the power unit."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask this question, Supervisor, perhaps it is impertinent, but you may answer it if you can?

SUPERVISOR UHL: If I can.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask you, Supervisor Uhl, you did not unhesitatingly state, "I am going to vote no," when this arose in reference to submission. May I ask you that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will answer on Monday morning, you have asked——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I asked you the question so I could get some kind of an estimate——

SUPERVISOR UHL: You can get it Monday morning.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I wanted to get if I could, your state of mind.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me ask you this question: do you think it is right to take away from and purloin from the Hetch Hetchy System the power unit and start this utility on its own legs, without any consideration to the Hetch Hetchy Water System?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Will you allow me to answer?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It is a matter of policy to be determined by the Board of Supervisors in the future, and the utilities are going to earn $4,000,000 annually on these properties.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Maybe, maybe.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That is the estimate, but I have not finished answering you. Out of the $4,000,000, if the City determines that policy, there could be diverted annually $2,000,000 out of this project.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask a question to emphasize and make it clear, instead of $4,000,000, I show an estimate on the face of it of 1,059,000-odd dollars, there is a difference, so if you took $4,000,000 I wouldn't say a word. It is all an estimate. You know how figures can be jockeyed one way or another, that is why I ask you to read that carefully.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I move that we recess until Monday.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a minute.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That we recess until Monday morning at 10:00 o'clock.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: All right, I have no objection.

THE PRESIDENT: Such will be the order, if there is no objection, and Supervisors Brown and Shannon have been excused. Such will be the order. (Gavel.)

## RECESS.

(Thereupon an adjournment was taken to Monday, December 28, 1936, at 10:00 o'clock a. m.)

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 28, 1936, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 28, 1936, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess for the purpose of giving consideration to the question of distribution of Hetch Hetchy power (Charter Amendment No. 1, Revenue Bonds).

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon, Roncovieri—4.

Excused—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Roncovieri was noted present at 10:35 a. m.

There is a quorum present. If you will appoint a Chairman, please?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I move Supervisor Ratto act as Chairman.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Second the motion.

(Thereupon Supervisor John M. Ratto acted as Chairman of the meeting.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Colman asked to be excused this morning, said it was impossible for him to be here, and that he was leaving for Los Angeles tomorrow, so I will ask that the record show he is excused.

### Discussion Re Charter Amendment No. 1.

THE CLERK: That has already been done, Supervisor McSheehy. If the Board please, you have a reprint of the proposed Charter amendment on your desks, and if you will throw away the old one you will not be confused.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: The reprint, I understand, and all been agreed upon?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to have the Clerk read, if you please, that redemption again, on the redemption of the bonds.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just that one portion of it?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like that read, yes. Can you put your finger on that, Mr. Clerk? The question you, Mr. Holm, or Mr. Ost, made, where the redemption of bonds is covered.

MR. OST: What feature of it?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The time when the redemption begins.

MR. OST: It is in the fourth paragraph of galley one:

"The Public Utilities Commission shall, except as herein provided"—— and on down eight or ten lines.

THE CLERK (reading): "The Public Utilities Commission shall, except as herein provided, determine the form, conditions and denominations of all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under the provisions of this section, and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall bear, which rate of interest shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. The payment of the principal of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall commence not later than five years from the date of issuance,"——

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is what I want.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is Mr. O'Toole coming over here?

THE CLERK: I sent for him. Mr. Holm is here.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Holm, is John coming over?

MR. HOLM: He was called out to a funeral, and he will be here in about an hour or an hour and a half.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just before we begin, I would like to ask this question: Is it necessary to incorporate in this Charter amendment a positive declaration, that is as to the purpose and intention of this section, to bring about the acquisition of this utility? In other words, up here at the beginning it says, "The Public Utilities Commission shall, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring,"

and so forth, borrow money, and now is there any uncertainty or any indefiniteness in the amendment as it now stands as to the question that this shall be a positive declaration by the people of intention to acquire and the delegation of authority to acquire?

MR. HOLM: Your whole amendment is drawn on that assumption.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, I know that.

MR. HOLM: You state—You have not limited it to the mere acquiring, and you have given the direct alternative by which the Public Utilities Commission may do it. You cannot, in my opinion, make it any more definite.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I was wondering whether we ought to include in here, to avoid any possibility of misunderstanding or misinterpretation, a declarative statement that public convenience and necessity require and demand the acquisition of a utility, to-wit:

MR. HOLM: I don't think that adds to your amendment at all.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: In other words, you don't think anybody could say this merely authorizes them to borrow the money without providing for the acquisition of the utility?

MR. HOLM: Directs them to borrow the money and to acquire the utility.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: To borrow the money——

MR. HOLM: You remember that was your debate the other day, where you struck out the word "may" and substituted the word "shall" at the very inception.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That is why I brought this up again, Mr. Skaller suggested that this was merely authority for the issuance of revenue bonds and not for the acquisition of the utility.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It seems to me, Supervisor Havenner, the fact the people, if they vote the $50,000,000, it seems to me that is a mandate, they are voting to do that thing. Wouldn't you interpret it that way?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I certainly would so interpret it, and I would not want any technical loophole. You think it is proper, Mr. Holm?

MR. HOLM: I think so, yes, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. O'Toole is coming here later?

MR. HOLM: Yes. In the event you had a Public Utilities Commission which was in the frame of mind to not carry out the terms of this amendment, in my opinion any taxpayer could compel them to carry out the mandate under the way the amendment is prepared.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: All right.

MR. HOLM: However, I will give some thought to it while you are debating, and if necessary I can prepare three lines to cover it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Do I understand, Mr. Holm, that you can prepare three lines—We want to be dead positive, and if there is anything that has a negative intent we want to correct it; this is a case where we must be positive, and when three lines will put this in a positive form, let's put it in, because you know, and I know, if there is any possible way of obviating the enforcement of this amendment that technicality will be resorted to.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it is unnecessary to prepare one.

MR. HOLM: I feel fairly certain.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We want to be positive.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It wouldn't do any harm to be positive about it.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: My thought was to add those few words in there to be positive.

MR. HOLM: There is one other important change in there.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Mr. Cahill, what rate did you say the municipal power plant of Los Angeles was paying the Southern Edison Company? Mr. Cahill, I think you said 5¼?

MR. CAHILL: 5.32, something like that.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you kindly let me know the exact figures when you return to your office?

Now, do you recall the question I asked you, if there was municipal distribution of power, and we owned the present distributing system, what the cost would be to light the Transbay Bridge, and if my memory serves me correctly, you said about $75,000.

MR. CAHILL: I said, and it was purely a guess, I did say about $75,000.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In the P. G. and E. *Progress*, I see "To date the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has spent approximately $1,250,000 on such work," referring to the lighting of the bridge, "$850,000 in San Francisco and $400,000 in the East Bay cities."

One of the things that I am fearful of here is the question of capital expenditures that come up unexpectedly.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask the question: Does that $850,000 represent cost——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let me read this to you:

"The San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge project is much more comprehensive than most persons realize. It covers not only the construction of the gigantic span and its approaches, but also the relocation and rebuilding of electric lines and gas mains in at least 20 streets on each side of the bay, some of them several miles from the bridge itself. To date the Pacific Gas and Electric Company has spent approximately $1,250,000 on such work—$850,000 in San Francisco and $400,000 in the East Bay cities. The final total will be substantially larger, because relocation operations still are going on and more are scheduled for this winter and next spring. The company has done, or is doing, these jobs as essential preliminaries to the construction of approaches to the bridge, the widening and repaving of adjacent streets, and the improving of several across city thoroughfares that will serve as traffic arteries to and from each end of the giant structure. In San Francisco electric lines have been reconstructed in 15 or more important streets and dismantled in others. The list includes: Harrison street, from Fifth to Tenth; Bryant, from Fifth to Tenth;" and so forth and so on.

In other words, we are asked now to vote, instead of $43,000,000, a $50,000,000 bond issue, and a revenue bond issue, and even the distribution of power will be confined in there, to that $50,000,000 unless a subsequent bond issue is voted. It isn't as though they can go to the general fund in this particular matter, and I feel perhaps that is one reason why Mr. Cahill has postponed the first bond redemption five years, in order to accumulate a sum of money.

Now, if we were to take as the basis of profit $4,000,000, then my understanding is $3,000,000 would go to the general fund, and only $1,000,000 would be accumulated for possible extensions, betterments, and so forth. I don't know how much the company has spent where it has had to go underground, but I know what the feeling of the citizens of this City is on that question, but the Board has more requests to put lines underground, and the Board will be flooded with more requests to put electric lines underground when some of these streets are straightened, and widened, as Twenty-fifth avenue, and I imagine that a tremendous sum of money is going to be required for that purpose. I just call your attention to those things now, in connection with possible capital expenditures in the future.

Now, the people of San Francisco, a majority in my judgment, have been made to believe there is a tremendous fortune to be made in this, to enlarge this, and so on. I remember the number of times Supervisor McSheehy has stated what we are selling our power to the P. G. and E. for, and after we sell it to them they sell it back at the rate of, as Supervisor McSheehy said, an average of 4½ cents, or 5 cents. As a matter of fact, you can see by Oakland we are paying an average of 2.7 cents, and it is coming down. I merely mention that to show you, and to recall what the newspapers said some

years ago, and they undoubtedly found they were in error and they have not repeated it.

However, the public are of a mind that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company rates are entirely too high, and that they want the opportunity to vote on this matter of municipal distribution of power, and therefore at this time I am going to say to you right now that I know so many people of that frame of mind I shall certainly vote to let this go on the ballot and vote whether they want to or not, but I am not committing myself to the municipal distribution of power under these revenue bonds for several reasons.

Number One: And I would like—I am very sorry the City Attorney is not here to give us an opinion on this—in case the people vote the bonds, they voted bonds for the Hetch Hetchy system, and it has always been the Hetch Hetchy, and the power is a by-product of Hetch Hetchy, and in my opinion it should remain in there, and whatever benefits come in the distribution of power should remain in that Hetch Hetchy system. Now my thought is this, some eastern owner of Hetch Hetchy bonds can tie this whole thing up. My personal belief is they can tie it up, because under this amendment they are attempting to purloin the power unit, and which has a value and which is contributing to lower the tax rate. That is my opinion, just as a layman, I haven't talked to anybody, but this flashed through my mind. You are taking something from it, and I want the opinion of the City Attorney on whether or not he believes that my judgment there is sound, so that would be number one, whether or not we can do it.

Number Two: When you first submitted this amendment you did not have in there "construction." I want to say that I think you are making a mistake in putting in there "construction," because you know, and I know, you are not going to construct this thing, and if we attempt to do it there is no telling what the citizens, the taxpayers generally, will be led into. Why, the company has all the advantage in the question of rates if it becomes a cut-throat proposition and, as Mr. Cahill informed you, the distribution of power must be a monopoly, no question about it. Furthermore, if you attempt construction here, which will be by revenue bonds, when we know there is going to be such competition, I don't believe you can sell those revenue bonds at all——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If that is true, why so much concern about it?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am concerned because I believe if you are going to do a thing you should do the practical thing, you will have more chance of putting the thing over. I am merely calling your attention to that, you slipped in there the word "construction"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, I said from the very beginning I wanted it this way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, the first draft didn't have it in.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't care, I told him I wanted it that way.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now I should like to read my analysis of Plan No. 7.

Mr. Cahill estimates revenues at $15,504,000. My estimate, $14,148,-337. Operating costs he estimates at $7,959,000. My estimate, $9,039,-000. My estimate includes standby service estimated at $1,080,000. Mr. Claude Brown of the Railroad Commission personally advised the Board of Supervisors of the necessity of a standby service charge, that the schedule of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company fixed the cost at $1 per kilowatt, that it might be possible for San Francisco through negotiation with the company to secure a lower rate. Inconceivable why the Public Utilities Commission have not ascertained what charge will be made by the company. Now I cannot understand why on two or three of these matters the Public Utilities Commission have not negotiated and endeavored to see what price can be secured in order that we may know what the cost will be.

Interest on $50,000,000. Mr. Cahill estimates first year's interest, $2,185,000. Will be $2,500,000. No specific item covering compensation, fire or earthquake insurance set up in Plan No. 7. Now it is a question whether the City should carry all or a part of that insurance. There is no question about fires, my firm had one of the most disastrous fires here not so long ago due to a switchboard shorting, or whatever it may have been, and no doubt about it, fire insurance should be carried, and earthquake insurance, and compensation. After all, we had a sample of a large scale fire, and what it cost the City on one occasion.

Objection to divorcing the power unit from Hetch Hetchy without proper compensation to Hetch Hetchy water system for same, and this is, I believe, one of the important points that should have your consideration. Mr. O'Shaughnessy placed the value of the power unit at $19,000,000. No definite figure was arrived at—looks as though I left my Hetch Hetchy—I will read you from the Raker Act where they say the price you are to charge includes the question of the cost of the dam and the aqueduct, but Mr. Cahill sets up no price representing that cost, which I contend should be set up, and is set up in the Raker Act. Mr. Cahill contends that the power unit should be fixed at $8,500,000, which amount covers only the units necessary for development of power. Does Mr. Cahill overlook the fact that without Hetch Hetchy water power could not be generated? It is therefore reasonable that the power unit should stand a portion of the cost of the dam and aqueducts. I will so contend. Under Plan 7 not one dollar is earned on the capital investment of the power unit.

Revenue: Pacific Gas and Electric Company rate in 1935 was 2.7 cents per kilowatt hour; rate reduction in January brought the rate down to a little more than 2.5 cents per kilowatt hour. Present reduction effective in January, 1937, will again reduce the rate to approximately 2.3 cents per kilowatt hour. Now regarding the kilowatt hours to be sold. Mr. Cahill estimated the annual increase for 1936 and 1937 at 8 per cent annually, and sets up in Plan 7, page 7, the figure 686,000,000 kilowatt hours sales for revenue producing. Pacific Gas and Electric Company announced that the increase in sales in San Francisco territory was 4.3 per cent—am I correct in that, Mr. Cahill, or was it 4.9, do you recall?

MR. CAHILL: I cannot recall now.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, I will stand a correction on that, it is 4.3 or 4.9, and therefore in place of an 8 per cent increase there will be only a 5 per cent increase; accepting, though not agreeing that the increase for 1937 will be 8 per cent, the total sales for 1936-1937 will be 615,145,104 kilowatt hours. 70,854,896 less kilowatt hours than estimated by Mr. Cahill. 615,145,104 kilowatt hours at 2.3 cents per kilowatt hour, $14,148,337, which is $1,355,663 less than the revenue set up in Plan 7.

Standby service, Plan 7, page 1, we read: "The City's own power will not fully meet the demands of the entire system, therefore, it is proposed to buy additional power and standby service from the Pacific Gas and Electric system." In mentioning the standby service and that 3 per cent is based on what Mr. Cahill and Mr. Ost thought might be required for transmission and step-down.

And this reading from the Utilities Committee report of Mr. Cahill: Despite stating, "It is proposed to buy standby service," no allowance is made for standby service in Plan 7. Mr. Cahill contends the Pacific Gas and Electric Company will make no charge for same, then why is it stated in Plan 7 standby service would be purchased? The power unit should best be made to pay the Hetch Hetchy system what the power unit uses. Now, as I said before, I would like an opinion from Mr. O'Toole whether or not the owner of a Hetch Hetchy bond can bring a suit to enjoin the City, or rather this Charter amendment, from purloining the power unit from the Hetch Hetchy system, of which it is an integral part, and which has contributed

to Hetch Hetchy funds. What is your judgment on that, Supervisor Havenner?

MR. HOLM: Eventually, the situation is this: You have not only pledged the credit of the Hetch Hetchy system as such in the issuance of the Hetch Hetchy bonds, they were not a lien merely against Hetch Hetchy itself, but they are a general obligation of the City and County of San Francisco, everything that we own is collateral to secure the payment of that obligation. Here you have created quite a distinct fund, out of which these revenue bonds are to be paid, and they in no wise conflict. Then you could be inhibited from issuing any further bonds of the City on the ground the bonds heretofore issued did not have the collateral, the bond limit having been exceeded, and so forth, but so long as you kept within your bond limit it is all right, but I can't see you have any difficulty here at all.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Isn't this purely a matter of bookkeeping?

MR. HOLM: I think it is merely based upon the theory I have just explained.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Unfortunately, in this Raker Act I have marked the passage in my book, I can't understand how I overlooked it—Oh, here it is. I will find that and come back to it a little later.

I want to call the attention of the Board to something that came to me in the mail several days ago, but I didn't look at it until yesterday, when I was going over all my papers, and I was amazed to find that Los Angeles is setting up a new rate effective February 1st of this year, and that rate, compared with the previous rate, is certainly a surprise. For example, they set up a rate of 4 cents for the first 60 kilowatts, as compared with their previous rate of 4.4 for the first 35; and next a rate of 4.4 for 3100, compared to 2.3 for 7500; and then for the next thousand 2.2 in place of 1.5; and the next 1½ instead of 1¼; and in comparison of their rates there, their example, which is for light construction and small electrical appliances, a total consumption of 2,057, the total bill under the previous existing rate was $4.69, and the new rate raises that to $6.70. That is one of the reasons I give on account of the—on account of Boulder Dam, and that is one of the reasons I believe they will have to buy the Los Angeles Gas and Electric Company, in order to make these rates effective, otherwise, they would be in a very, very precarious position.

I merely mention that because Los Angeles has heretofore boasted about her lower rates than San Francisco.

Now, as I said before, I believe this should go on the ballot, and because of Secretary Ickes' demand, I think we should give the people the opportunity to vote and say whether or not they feel at this time there is a moral necessity instead of waiting to see if Secretary Ickes would refer it to the Attorney-General, who would then have to take it into court. The Ickes' decision that we are violating the Raker Act doesn't change conditions as far as San Francisco and its present contract is concerned, and the old criticism of selling the power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for too low a price, 4.7, is certainly dissipated by the statement that the Southern Edison Company is selling to Los Angeles at 5.32. Further, in our contract, the company must take all the Hetch Hetchy can generate, and down there they buy what they need. That certainly is different. I think at all events we have made a good contract, 4.7, I don't think you can argue that.

Now, as I said before, I am going to vote to place this on the ballot, but I am qualifying my vote by saying that I am not committing myself to the municipal distribution of power under the conditions set forth in this Charter amendment.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to ask Mr. Cahill if he received a copy of this analysis of Plan No. 7?

MR. CAHILL: Yes, I received one.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There are several things on which Mr. Uhl differs—Oh, here—well, I will read this:

"Plan 7 first pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then pays off and retires bonds in amount of $1,748,000 per year, and then pays into depreciation reserve fund $1,260,000 per year, and then pays into the general fund, an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year, and, after all that, produces a surplus revenue of over $4,000,000 per year; and in addition to all this, Plan 7 reduces power rates to consumers in San Francisco by $1,721,000 per year."

SUPERVISOR UHL: The power unit earns $1,750,000 a year, and that is certainly divorcing the power unit from Hetch Hetchy, and payment should be made annually to the Hetch Hetchy system of at least one-half of the net earnings, if not it means purloining the power proposition, which rightfully belonged to the Hetch Hetchy system——

MR. CAHILL: You said it, Supervisor, it is a matter of bookkeeping. Now it all goes into the general fund, after a certain amount is retained, and you can apply it all to paying off of the Hetch Hetchy bonds if your Board of Supervisors wants to do so.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I thought myself it was a matter of bookkeeping, but Mr. Uhl——

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I say, through the Chair——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Did you hear that, Supervisor Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That it is all a matter of bookkeeping?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Bookkeeping, yes.

MR. CAHILL: The general Charter provisions on bonds covers that, under the Charter, when the surplus exceeds 25 per cent—rather surplus over 25 per cent goes to the general fund.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Yes, it goes to the general fund.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, of Mr. Holm, one week ago, I think it was, I requested an opinion from the City Attorney on these points:

1. Has the Public Utilities Commission the authority to determine and segregate the assets of the Hetch Hetchy project as between water and power?

Did that come to your attention?

MR. HOLM: I know that is a question you asked an opinion about, and it has been in our office a long time and we have had many meetings concerning this matter, but there has just been a rough, tentative segregation made up to date; it has not been completed, as to where the authority vests in setting up the capital investment in each of the utilities, and that I am not prepared to answer at this time.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I have in mind this, that when the Hetch Hetchy system is completed, that the Hetch Hetchy proper, which operates this, and these are only by-products, the San Francisco Water Company or the power project, that after all it is Hetch Hetchy water that is being used, and sooner or later there will be a court determination——

MR. HOLM: If I may interrupt you, Mr. Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Suppose the court should disagree on this, about the Hetch Hetchy units, where would we stand on the revenue bond issue?

MR. HOLM: On the revenue bond proposition, your bondholders of general obligations are in no wise affected, for the reason that when you issue revenue bonds you are acquiring additional assets, those assets were never owned by the City, and, again, when the general obligation bonds were issued they were not in existence, so therefore the holders of general obligation bonds can in no wise be affected by the issuance of revenue bonds which cover, and can only affect, those additionally acquired assets, which they had no interest in when the general bonds were issued.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What additional assets do you mean?

MR. HOLM: The distribution system, everything that goes with it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes, but suppose the courts should rule that the Hetch Hetchy water is being used, and therefore the power unit

is part of it? Do you mean to tell me the revenue bondholders would only have the security subsequently acquired, like the distributing system?

MR. HOLM: The revenue bondholders would just merely have, as I understand, the right to acquire what may be developed from the investment of this money to acquire a distribution system. Now, how you are going to set it up on your books before you actually start the distribution of power, how much you are going to allocate to Red Mountain Bar power house, how much is going to be allocated to the Water Department, that is a question of bookkeeping purely. And the Charter states that this cannot be determined until the completion of the entire Hetch Hetchy project, and we all know that is still under construction, quite obviously, we are spending $5,000,000 now for the extension of O'Shaughnessy Dam.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Does that aply when that is completed?

MR. HOLM: I don't think so. We have been debating that for years, we contend it is still distinct until full completion, everything has come to a conclusion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: When do you think that opinion might be rendered?

MR. HOLM: I don't think, Mr. Uhl—I will take it up with Mr. O'Toole; that is something that has been given very serious consideration from time to time, but we cannot come to a conclusion on it until such time as it is determined that it is fully completed; I don't know just when that will be.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will read you this from the Raker Act: "The said grantee shall develop and use hydroelectric power for the use of its people and shall, at prices to be fixed under the laws of California"—and I want to emphasize that fact, and whether that brings it under the Railroad Commission.

MR. HOLM: Under the Utilities Act, it specifically exempts any utility from the Railroad Commission.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It does.

"——or, in the absence of such laws, at prices approved by the Secretary of the Interior, sell or supply such power for irrigation, pumping, or other beneficial use, said prices not to be less than will return to said grantee the actual total costs of providing and supplying said power, which costs shall be computed in accordance with the currently accepted practice of public cost accounting, as shall be determined by the Secretary of the Interior, including, however, a fair proportion of cost of conduits, lands, dams, and water supply system."

Now Mr. Cahill is leaving that entirely out of the picture when he sets up a cost of $8,500,000.

MR. CAHILL: That only applies to the sales of power to irrigation districts.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I agree, but nevertheless it indicates that the power unit shall be charged with these proportions.

MR. CAHILL: In that case.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If it remained in the Hetch Hetchy that point would not be raised, but you are taking it out and making it an independent unit and are going to supply power to the people of San Francisco, and therefore I contend that should be taken into consideration when you set up 8½ million as the share of the power, they are taking the water back of the dam, and it certainly should carry some of the cost there if it is going to be used for the generation of power.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Are there any other members of the Board who desire to discuss the proposed submission?

THE PRESIDENT: We are here this morning to discuss your views, and the sooner you get started the sooner we will adjourn.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Is there anyone else?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I want to close, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I just want to read a statement here

I have prepared. There are certain questions which I have in my mind which I feel must be answered to remove certain doubts and set at rest certain fears that one has on a question of this kind. We have got to be honest and above board in considering this so-called revenue bonds Charter amendment. Honesty is the best policy. The people expect us to be honest, and I, for one, do not intend to send this proposed amendment to the people unless I believe that it is honestly just what it says it is.

We are assured by our legal adviser, City Attorney O'Toole, that in its present form this amendment, if in force, could not be twisted around to make these $50,000,000 revenue bonds a lien or mortgage on the City in the sense that general obligation bonds are. We are assured by our legal adviser that if there were to be a deficit, the deficit could not be laid on the backs of the taxpayers. In other words, having discarded the two-thirds majority safeguard which surrounds the general obligation bonds of the City, and having declared that these bonds will not be subject to the 12 per cent limitation of the Charter, I feel obliged to make sure of that before I tell the people it is a fact. As I said last Saturday, so I say now: that these revenue bonds issued on the credit of expected revenue from the system—the Hetch Hetchy power distribution system, proposed to be purchased or constructed—must look only to that revenue. Buyers of those bonds, who will collect a much higher rate of interest than if the bonds were general obligation bonds, must never be permitted to demand payment out of taxes. That is, they can't eat their high rate of interest cake and have it, too.

Is it honestly true there will be no taxes for deficits? I want this question answered without any ifs, ands or buts. Last Saturday it came to my mind that—quite innocently, I assume—I mean innocently, of course, in reference to the framing of this proposed amendment—because we did it "While U Wait" like one of those signs that invite you to come in and have rubber heels put on—well, I noted that quite innocently there was no provision against transferring profits from other utilities to make up any deficits that might arise. I proposed that this be prohibited—and that provision was inserted. But—please observe—one Supervisor—McSheehy—put on a rider reading "unless otherwise provided by Charter." Questioning developed that such an amendment might be proposed. But it won't be in this amendment. This amendment will say "it can't be done"—but after this amendment should pass and if deficits should arise, another amendment would be urged, just to transfer profits, thats all. Then what happens? You give these revenue bonds with their higher rate of interest all the force and effect of general obligation bonds and thereby perpetrate a fraud on the 12 per cent Charter limitation. You put revenue bonds on the taxpayers' backs. Not openly and above board, at that, but by indirection. You and I know—all of us Supervisors know—that profits from the Water Department by millions of dollars have been transferred to the City general fund, thereby reducing taxation. Well, if those profits were transferred to the system, in the event of deficits, don't you see the general fund would not get the money and it would be necessary to provide just that much more by taxes. To my way of thinking it would be more honest if right now somebody would propose to strike out the provision against putting deficits, if they arise, in the tax rate. I don't walk from my house to next door by going around the block. I walk from my door straight to my neighbor's door. I think maybe nobody is to blame—just there has been too much haste. The more I have thought about this amendment the more doubt I have had in my mind. If the next step is to be another amendment permitting deficits to go into the tax rate by the side entrance as transfers of profits of other utilities, why, that will be creating bonds of a general obligation nature all the way through by a mere majority vote. To safeguard against that, I propose a provision in this amendment requiring a two-thirds majority vote to amend out of this amendment the prohibitive

provisions against: (a) Transferring profits of other utilities to the system for any purpose; and (b) imposing taxes to make up any deficits that might arise—either direct or indirect amendments.

I propose an added provision that the system be limited to the distribution only of power generated and produced by the power division of the Hetch Hetchy. I propose that it be honestly just that, nothing less, nothing more.

I am in agreement with Supervisor Uhl in his contention that some sort of fair capitalization should be placed on the power producing plants of the Hetch Hetchy system if they are to be made a part of the system as it is called in this proposed amendment. And I agree the Hetch Hetchy system is entitled to pay for water used in generating power—or some other equitable arrangement.

However, all that is very involved and I think there is a simpler way out. Speaking in surgical language, I don't think we need to perform such a capital operation. The municipality is producing the power. That's fine with both the Raker Act and Mr. Ickes. What he doesn't like is this selling to or through the P. G. and E. He wants the municipality to distribute its power direct. Well, let's make this revenue bond amendment very plain. Let's make it a revenue bond authorization for distribution alone—not a thing to do with production. Let the distributing system operate on its own feet and leave Hetch Hetchy sysem, our Siamese twins of water and power, together—not try to cut them asunder. Let us handle our power as we do our water, in two parts. I propose that the revenue bond distributing system buy the Hetch Hetchy power, just as P. G. and E. is buying it now, and at the same rate. If that is done it will greatly simplify matters. None of the management or bookkeeping machinery will have to be changed. Hetch Hetchy power will continue to earn $2,000,000 a year for the benefit of the general obligation bonds that built it, and the only worry for anybody will be to operate the distributing system so as to make enough profit out of distribution to pay the bond interest and redemption charges as they fall due for the revenue bonds issued to provide a distributing system. Let us not confuse the issue. Surely the revenue bond financed municipal distributing system will be able and willing to take over the P. G. and E. contract. And that will satisfy Mr. Ickes and the Raker Act—and the City will go on getting its $2,000,000 a year to meet Hetch Hetchy general obligation bonds, principal and interest—the equitable, right and proper thing to do, in my humble opinion.

More study is needed—and there's rapid transit right ahead. I must confess I have a feeling that we have in this proposed Charter amendment a half-baked pie which it is proposed to rush to the voters. We have most certainly potted it together helter-skelter. One version last Monday, a week ago. A new version the day before Christmas. Two drafts in one week. And $50,000,000 to be spent. As a medical man I certainly wouldn't want to study a patient's symptoms that fast. As a legislator I certainly don't fancy the haste we have been driven to—so I most certainly am not satisfied with this amendment as it is. I have just referred to rapid transit. Many citizens have spoken to me about the need to make progress. They are worried. And rightly so. You are aware, from reading the papers, that even the municipal ownership editors are putting rapid transit ahead of power distribution by the City on the ground that rapid transit is an emergency—right now.

Now, my judgment tells me to pledge anew my willingness to submit a revenue bond amendment to the people for power distribution. But not this half-baked amendment that had two different forms inside of 72 hours and was amended and amended in a feverish rush. I would like to accommodate our distinguished member who is stepping on to Congress, and let him give Mr. Ickes the gladsome news—but with $50,000,000 in the balance to be borrowed on a new and different kind of bond—to send on to the people this hurried, harried, hectic amendment—I hesitate. I have pointed out certain drastic

changes that I sincerely believe ought to be made. I think the Board ought to give more consideration to this amendment—a lot more consideration—so that when it goes to the people it will be an amendment carefully and painstakingly thought out. There are too many loopholes and faults in this proposed amendment to suit me. Some of them I have pointed out. I may discover more later. I am no lawyer. Yet even our own legal adviser changed last Monday's draft between Monday and Thursday. I repeat, I don't exactly like this hurried, harried, hectic amendment.

Likewise, my judgment tells me certain things—my judgment tells me that the Investment Bankers of America, to whom we must look for the money from any revenue bonds, and the California Security Dealers Association, and the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, and the Junior Chamber of Commerce, and the Downtown Association, and the many citizens who have spoken to me can't all be wrong when they urge us to go slow and be certain. My judgment tells me, too, that when the municipal ownership editors urge rapid transit at once they have good and sufficient reason for their request—the welfare of San Francisco. I am inclined to believe with them,—and for an additional reason I do not recall having seen in their editorials, namely, that the city can save many millions of dollars by putting the rapid transit bond proposal to a vote before opening the door to revenue bonds. My reason for this: We are told that revenue bonds will,—as they have in other municipalities,—adversely affect the rate of interest on general obligation bonds. Well, we can avoid that misfortune if we act at once—or as soon as possible—and get the rapid transit bonds issued and sold,—and save millions in interest by doing so. And then immediately afterwards we can submit a revenue bond amendment,—not this one, but one carefully, cautiously, painstakingly and thoroughly prepared and pledge ourselves now to do just that. I stand ready to make such a pledge now.

I feel sure if our distinguished colleague will carry with him to Washington the different printed drafts of this amendment we have had before us since last Monday,—just one short week ago,—and show them to Mr. Ickes, he, Mr. Ickes, will be filled with admiration of our efforts, and will overflow with patience and forbearance and willingly permit us to proceed in a safe, sane and businesslike manner in this very important matter involving an expenditure of $50,000,000 and in the inauguration of a new system of bond obligations. I believe the President, himself, would so counsel Mr. Ickes. I believe if our distinguished colleague will explain to Mr. Ickes that he, our distinguished colleague, was elected to Congress in the first week of November, and rushing at full speed was able to submit his proposed revenue bond amendment only in the third week of December,—and that it didn't stand up despite all his hard work but had to be redrafted,—Mr. Ickes will have great and understanding patience with us if we go slow and cautiously—as business men would go—in providing for the expenditure of this great sum of $50,000,000. That's the way I feel about it.

For the reasons I have stated, my vote on submission of this, in my opinion, hurried, harried, uncertain and incomplete proposed revenue bonds Charter amendment to the voters at the coming election on February 16th puts me in a quandry. In principle I am in favor of the amendment. In the form in which it is here, hurriedly and hectically submitted, I can not but feel it is dangerously incomplete. If I am denied the privilege of offering the amendments I have suggested, there is, I submit, a most difficult choice open to me.

I think maybe nobody is to blame, just too much haste, in spite of what has been said to the contrary, and the more that has been said about the amendment the more doubt I have in my mind, and if the next step is to be another amendment, by which they may transfer the profits of other utilities to use in payment of these bonds by a majority vote——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: May I say to you, as I said a minute ago, that as the author of this amendment I had no such intention, and

I personally would be opposed to it; I want the utility to stand on its own feet.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: I never suggested that I would be in favor of any such procedure, and I am not.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I am afraid the majority of the Board might want——

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Would you permit a question?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Certainly.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Why not amend it before we come to a vote?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Now, I would at this time like to offer this amendment, that it will take a two-thirds majority vote of the electorate to amend—probably our City Attorney can redraft this in some technical and legal language—to amend out of this amendment to prohibitive provisions against first, transferring profits of other utilities to the system for any purposes; secondly, imposing taxes to make up any deficit that might arise either direct or indirect.

MR. HOLM: As to your first point I think your amendment can not be more specific in its terms than it is as presented to you, that this whole project has been conceived under the amendment as you are stating it, and conceiving it now, on the theory that there will be no contribution from any source and the bonds will only be redeemed and the interest paid solely and only out of the revenues produced from the utility described in your amendment. Naturally, you are prohibited from going any further than that in taking care of the funds. I can not see under what theory anybody could have any apprehension that this Board, or any other agency of the city government, could increase the revenues of the proposed utility that you are distributing here, from any source other than the revenues of that utility.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Looking into the future, a statement was made at the last meeting and I said that in the future another amendment will be proposed allowing a transfer—in other words, get this over first and then we will see what else we can do with it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, a transfer from one utility to another.

MR. HOLM: Your point is you think you should have in here—would the effect then, Doctor, of your proposed amendment be to stop some future action of the voters? Of course, wouldn't that be well provided for in any subsequent Charter amendment you may suggest? Why put it in here?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: It is here now, it will take a two-thirds vote of the people to change that part which will allow transfer of funds from one utility to another—I don't think that is in here.

MR. HOLM: There is nothing in here that I know of that would permit this Board or the utilities commission or anybody else to transfer any amount from any utility to this.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes, but if the Charter amendment is passed they can do it. Can we put in this that it will take a two-thirds vote of the electorate of San Francisco——

MR. HOLM: First of all you see in a Charter amendment that would be—as has been suggested to me by Mr. Dunnigan, it would be a rather peculiar situation. You can pass a Charter amendment by a majority vote of the people—then you would have to go back and say you would want a two-thirds vote of the people. You would be in a peculiar position.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: What I had in mind in the first place, this is being presented to the people by just a majority vote to start with, revenue bonds, and we have no two-thirds protection here on the Board, nor have we a two-thirds safeguard as regards the consent of the people. In other words, it will take just a mere majority of the Board to present it and take just a mere majority of the people to pass these revenue bonds.

MR. HOLM: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That was one thing I don't like. I was going to offer something after a while. Now, the other point I have in mind, I want personally—I feel, and I think probably the majority of the Board feel that in the future—well, I want to express myself in this way—I want a safeguard against the transferring of funds or income from other utilities to make up deficits in this one under the revenue bond issue. I want this one to stand alone.

MR. HOLM: It does as it is now drawn. There isn't a chance, a legal chance, for any transfer of any funds from any other source of revenue of the City and County of San Francisco to this.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I want it to be a two-thirds here somewhere. I will tell you why. I want to protect the general obligation bonds, is what I have in mind, or the income that comes as a result of our general obligation bonds.

MR. HOLM: As I have explained to you, or rather to Supervisor Uhl, this is a separate thing. Now, anybody that takes their money and invests in the revenue bonds that are issued to acquire this particular distribution system, they are getting new assets and from those assets alone may they look to receive revenue to pay for the indebtedness or for the advances in money that they have given to the City and that they have received bonds in receipt for. Then the general obligation holders are in no way affected because this is an entirely new thing that was acquired subsequent to their purchasing the original general obligation bonds of the city and under the terms of this act you can not dip into any other funds of the City except the revenues produced by this utility itself.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Can a Charter amendment be enacted in the future by a mere majority vote of the people which will authorize the transfer of funds of one utility to cover those of another?

MR. HOLM: Yes, I believe it could, Doctor. There isn't any constitutional way you could prohibit that. A Charter amendment may be passed in one fashion, and that is by a majority vote of the people, and that is sufficient for it to take effect, and if the will of the majority of the people say you shall do thus and so, that is the end of it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: If I get the Doctor's idea of this, that the people will be asked to approve that if it is necessary at any time to transfer funds from one utility to another, that it will not be allowed in here unless by two-thirds vote of the supervisors.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, he said a two-thirds vote of the people. That could not be done.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Can't we do that by two-thirds vote of the supervisors?

MR. HOLM: You have got that in here already, you have some kind of a two-thirds vote. You can not tie the will of the people by a provision in this Charter amendment that will inflict on them a greater necessity, by their expression of will, than is provided by the constitution. They can pass any Charter amendment that they see fit. If they pass a Charter amendment in the future that their will is that you should take the funds from some utility that, of course, would be their will and you would have to be guided by it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Would that be a legal manner of taking money——

MR. HOLM: I see your point, as it is now. No, absolutely, no, without an entire reformation of this Charter amendment before you—that would have to be entirely changed. You can not effectively change it because you—I get your point, but you can not do it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: We are offering these bonds without a two-thirds check of the Board, and without the two-thirds check by the people.

MR. HOLM: That is customary, and has been done by the cities who have issued revenue bonds.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The only way I can see to protect the

people all the way around is that this should only be passed by two-thirds majority of the people.

MR. HOLM: You can not do that under the Constitution; the Constitution provides that the Charter amendment may become effective by a majority vote.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, this then legally can not be done?

MR. HOLM: It can not be done.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In other words, there is no way we can get in here the transfer of funds from one utility supported by general obligation bonds, we can not prevent the transfer of that money covering the revenue bonds?

MR. HOLM: No, you can not anticipate what the future course of the people might be on that.

THE CLERK: The people can amend the charter any time they want to, by a majority vote.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I don't see much protection, then.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I just read that last five or six words of this, and you yourself were the instigator of this:

"The system shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to the system unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter."

I think that is as clear as you can make it, the very last paragraph of the galley of the last sheet. That was the last word, the very last amendment we made Saturday afternoon.

MR. HOLM: There has been a good thought suggested here that might ease your mind in the thought that you are not performing your full duty toward the people. Supposing the constitution of the State of California were to be amended and instead of requiring a two-thirds vote of the electorate on the bonded indebtedness it was suggested that an amendment be made whereby a majority of the voters of a municipality could issue a general obligation bond, all that would be required to make effective that constitutional amendment would be a majority vote so there is no way you can anticipate what the will of the people might be and impose upon them a greater obligation than has already been provided.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: "Unless otherwise expressly provided by the charter." Does that have to remain in there?

THE CLERK: You put it in the other day to save your water fund from going to the power fund.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: "The system shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to the system." Why not stop there?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Unless by two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That would not be as good a safeguard as the vote of the people.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Leave the supervisors out of it.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: The only fear I had in my mind was some future Charter amendment.

MR. HOLM: Of course you would have to be bound by what the will of the people is.

THE CHAIRMAN: The next supervisor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Does anybody on this side of the house desire to make their talk now?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Supervisor Colman told me that he would this afternoon—he requested that he be allowed to make about a 10 minute talk. He said this to me over the telephone.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The only thing I thought was that we are in session now and if any of the members who intend to support this amendment desire to make their statement at this time, it would be a saving of time.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have never fought the amendment.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You are all agreed on it, what is the use of wasting time on it?

MR. HOLM: There is one thing, Mr. McSheehy, you asked me. You say at the outset here you were a bit apprehensive as to the way the amendment is now formed that the Public Utilities Commission might be derelict in their duty and not put into effect right away—so if you care to at the very end of the amendment not to delay too long—and adding at the very end——

"Upon the taking effect of this amendment the Public Utilities Commission shall immediately proceed by purchase, construction or other lawful method to carry out the terms, intentions and conditions herein provided, and to perform all acts required of them as set forth herein."

Something to that effect.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is an excellent amendment.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If you are going to put it in there make it a little bit more specific, "Shall immediately proceed to acquire said system."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Will you read that again?

MR. HOLM: This is what I suggested and I will amplify it as you see fit: "Upon taking effect of this amendment the Public Utilities Commission shall immediately proceed by purchase, construction or other lawful method." That, Mr. Havenner, you wanted to amplify to "acquire and distribute any hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy project or for the generation or distribution of any other electric power necessary or convenient for the purpose of furnishing said City and County or the people thereof or any territory adjacent to said City and County or the people thereof with heat, light and power."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't think you need to repeat all that language with respect to that. The only thing I was thinking about was to have a very brief declaration, a very brief mandate from the people that upon the adoption of this Charter amendment the Public Utilities Commission shall proceed forthwith to acquire the system herein described.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Where do you want it?

MR. HOLM: Just at the very end. They had some doubt in their minds at the outset of the discussion this morning——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think, Mr. City Attorney, there has been new copy provided, I think you will get one from our Clerk. Supervisor Havenner made this suggestion some time ago, and Mr. Holm constructed the words you have just heard him read, and we feel that it would be an extra safeguard in there, because, as Mr. Holm stated, the Utilities Commission would come and go and this would be positive language.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Don't you want to give George Skaller the credit for having opened the discussion on that?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I don't care who gets the credit, or gives the credit to anybody for anything; if we can only erect a distributing system.

SUPERVISOR UHL: You don't get my thought.

MR. HOLM: You could epitomize the language, "The Public Utilities Commission shall immediately proceed to put in effective operation the terms and conditions herein provided."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What is that?

MR. HOLM: "Upon the taking effect of this amendment the Public Utilities Commission shall immediately proceed to put in effective operation the terms and conditions herein provided."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That is not it. I want to put in a declarative statement that this is a mandate from the people to acquire this system.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Ost, while we are waiting, might I ask some questions? How far is that power transmitted from the Southern Edison to the City of Los Angeles? About what distance?

MR. OST: It comes over one of the first 220,000 kilowatt transmission lines from the Victory plant which is in the neighborhood of 250 miles from Los Angeles.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It comes from a plant from that distance?

MR. OST: Yes.

SUPERVISOR MEYER: From Merced County to Los Angeles?

MR. OST: Yes, east of Fresno, to Los Angeles.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, we are getting 4⅞ for ours transmitted about how far?

MR. OST: About a hundred miles, it is 98.9 miles to Newark.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then if the distance is 250 miles, as you say, that figures a greater loss, doesn't it?

MR. OST: No, I don't think there is; I think the loss is about the same.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In the transmission of 250 miles?

MR. OST: At 220,000 volts—we are working about 110—it economically works out that you have got to keep your loss in the neighborhood of five or six per cent regardless of the transmission.

MR. HOLM: "Upon the taking effect of this amendment the Public Utilities Commission shall immediately proceed to acquire the system and promptly perform all acts required of it."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, may I ask our City Attorney if he has prepared the amendment as suggested by Supervisor Havenner?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I will ask the reporter to read it. (Record read by the reporter.)

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: At what particular section would you suggest——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: At the very end.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That language is satisfactory to you, is it?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think it is.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Upon the taking effect of this amendment——

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I mean it can not go into effect unless it is passed.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Is that good legal language?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I think it is. "Upon the taking effect of this amendment"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will so move.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I second the motion.

THE CLERK: The amendment to be inserted reads as follows: "Upon the taking effect of this amendment the Public Utilities Commission shall immediately proceed to acquire the system and promptly perform all acts required of it."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask, Mr. City Attorney, if you mean at the very end, or if you mean just after the word "Charter" on the third page of the galley? It seems to me that after the word "Charter" then that last paragraph can stand by itself.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: What were you saying?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Well, before the very end is "the system shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to the system unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter." And then this paragraph would come in there?

MR. HOLM: I think at the very end.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, put in another paragraph, make it the very last paragraph.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any objections? (No response.) So ordered.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Mr. Chairman, may I just say a few words so as to not take up our time this afternoon. I want to say to my colleagues on this Board that we are about to pass an amendment——

THE CHAIRMAN: A little order, please.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I want to say, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board: That we are about to pass an amendment, and in doing so, in my opinion, we are doing a piece of legislative work that will mean perhaps more to the citizens of San Francisco than any piece of legislation that we have enacted in a great many years.

SUPERVISOR UHL: "Proposed" instead of enacted.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Proposed, all right, in a great many years. I trust and hope that all the members of this Board will vote in favor of this proposed legislation because I realize what it means to San Francisco. We are keeping our word with the federal government in passing this legislation, and we should keep our word. Our word means a great deal, a contract means a great deal, and this will mean a great deal to San Francisco. There will be people opposed to this legislation, and we can point to what has happened in the past—we made a great mistake that we did not purchase the Spring Valley Water Company when it was offered to the people for $36,000,000, all of the properties, and we didn't. I don't think there is a member on this Board today who was a member at that particular time. That happened some 25 years ago when Mayor McCarthy was Mayor of our city.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Wasn't the price then $28,000,000?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I think it was $28,000,000. We made a great mistake. I remember well the great controversies that arose in our city in reference to the purchase of the Geary Street Railroad, and you know what the Municipal Railroad has meant to San Francisco. The same thing will happen with reference to this particular utility. We have a financial set-up here in a very short digest given to us by our engineer—our manager of public utilities—showing the great profits that will accrue to the people of San Francisco. And, I hope, and I particularly speak to all the members of the Board who are here present—because there are two members who are not present here today who have positively stated that they do not approve of this amendment, that they are unalterably opposed to public ownership—and therefore they are taking a stand—but I trust and hope that all that are present will vote for this amendment as submitted by our colleague who is about to leave us; and that he can go to Washington and that he can meet Secretary Ickes and tell the Secretary that we have a Board of Supervisors who favor the submission of this amendment to the people.

Now, the people are the judges, and we have gone over this time in and time out and we have been somewhat—I won't say criticized—in the last letter written by the Doctor—the Doctor wrote that statement in good faith. I am not going to attempt to criticize his language. Some parts of it are rather harsh, but the Doctor is an outspoken man, he does not hesitate and mince his words, but he did use remarks there—but, however, I will say that this amendment has been very, very carefully drawn, the City Attorney, naturally, drew it up in a rough form that was submitted and we have gone over it and during the number of years I have been a member of this Board I do not remember any amendment that was so carefully gone over as this particular amendment has been by the members of this Board. We went over it, not once, we went over it three or four times. We met here, you might say, on Christmas Eve, we met here the day after Christmas, we met here morning after morning—for what purpose? To pass this amendment. So we can submit an amendment that will stand and stand firm and one we can go out and ask our fellow citizens to vote for. I realize there is going to be a real fight against

this particular amendment, I realize that certain interests do not want this amendment enacted; I realize that there are 25,000 stockholders of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company that reside here in San Francisco, and I don't know whether they will all be influenced one way or the other. I think there are a great many stockholders who realize and who feel that the city should be considered first, perhaps, before their personal interests, but there are others who are selfish, and I realize what a fight is going to be made, and I have great hopes, gentlemen, and I am particularly looking straight at Supervisor Uhl, and Doctor Schmidt, and saying directly to them that I hope to God that they will vote for this amendment. I say that because I realize the effect it will have.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did you hear me say I am going to vote for it, but I am not committing myself? Now you say you hope to God I will.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I am sorry to bring in the Deity, but if I did bring it in, I brought it in reverently.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am going to vote for it, but I am not committing myself.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is all right, Supervisor. There may be parts of it you don't like. I have often done likewise and will continue to do so as long as we are members of this Board. But I say this because I feel, gentlemen of the Board, that our task is only started. Our task is to convert the people, or the majority of the people, of this city what this amendment means to them because those that will be opposed to it naturally they will have on their side, you might say—I know they will have funds to foster their opposition, and conditions are such that they can spend these funds. They have been doing it in the past and the record will show, and I showed in a report of mine, where they spent $45,000 and charged that up, you might say, to the rate payers, and it became part of the rate in which the Railroad Commission placed the rates that should be charged to the consumers. I know that has been done in the past.

I am very, very sorry that Supervisor Havenner—this might be a little selfish on my part—is leaving us, but that can not be helped. He is going on to serve the people in another legislative body, and I know his record there will be similar to what his record has been here, he will give a good account of himself. I wish he was here for the next couple months, because I realize what a great help he would be in fostering this amendment, but we all have a task and I am more than pleased now to think that we are almost going to be united as a body in placing this amendment, this Charter amendment before the people of San Francisco, and I feel that with this body almost united, and with the individual efforts we will all make, that the people of this city will vote in favor of the amendment. And, I want to say in closing—perhaps I won't have anything to say this afternoon on this line—that we are ending this year in passing perhaps the most vital amendment that this Board has submitted to the people in years, and I trust and hope it will carry.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, what is your judgment on the importance of rapid transit?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have already expressed my judgment on that, Supervisor, and I have told you before that I will come here every day. I think that of the two amendments this is far the most important, and this Board has gone on record unanimously in that expression.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then let me ask you this: As long as you feel that way, that it is almost as important and we are going ahead with it immediately to get it in shape, when do you expect to submit that to the people?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I can't say; I don't know.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Are you in favor of a special election for it?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That I can't say at this particular

time. I don't know, because the first thing we will have to do, we will have to unite on a plan. We have not united on a plan yet. We have to unite on a plan, and when we have united on a plan—I realize that rapid transit requires our immediate attention, and I feel that when we are united on a plan we will submit it to the people. I will come here any day or any evening.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In other words, you would not favor waiting until the next general election to do that thing?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: We might have a special election before that. I think conditions might warrant it. Gentlemen: I have nothing more to say, only that I am more than pleased that we have agreed on the amendment as outlined here. I think our City Attorney should be congratulated in the masterly way he has assisted us, and I think the amendment has been so well drawn that we can go before our people and tell the citizens of San Francisco that the enactment of this amendment will mean the keeping of our word with our government and will give the people of our city cheap electric rates, something they have not had for years.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman: When I became a Supervisor I took an oath to support the Constitution, the Charter, and all of the laws of the State of California. I am going to read what the Charter that we all swore to uphold says—and this was written by such an outstanding, really good man, as Senator Phelan, who was then Mayor—Franklin K. Lane, the City Attorney, and others whose names at this moment I do not recall, but they were honest to God men—this is nearly 40 years ago—and the clause that I am about to read was thought so good that it was re-enacted in this Charter, the new Charter, so this law has existed for a long time. It is the public utility policy, Section 119:

"It is the declared purpose and intention of the people of the City and County, when public interest and necessity demand, that public utilities shall be gradually acquired and ultimately owned by the City and County."

For nearly 40 years this has stared you in the face, and you took your oaths to support public ownership. Even if a man does not believe in public ownership,—and I want to say here that I do,—I would say he should feel bound by his oath. Now that ought to be sufficient reason for a unanimous vote, and then submit to the people, who after all will pass upon it. Vox populi vox dei. When they have spoken that will be the end.

Mr. Ickes is not the only Secretary of the Interior who has urged us to carry out the provisions of the Raker Act. And by the way, it was Senator Phelan, and Franklin K. Lane, and Governor Pinchot, and other good men who wrote that Raker Act with Mr. Raker, of course, and they inserted that famous clause that the City of San Francisco should never sell to any corporation or any individual or anybody for the purposes of resale. Now, they knew what they were doing. They were outstanding scholars, they knew the meaning of the English language. And, as I was about to say, Secretary Ickes is not the first to urge us to do our duty respecting the distribution of Hetch Hetchy power. Mr. Wilbur, and several other secretaries, in fact ever since the Raker Act was assigned by President Wilson, every one, has urged the city to carry out the provisions of the Raker Act. They have closed their eyes more or less to the fact, in the early part of this matter, due to the fact that San Francisco was just recuperating only a few years after the earthquake and graciously, through a kindly feeling for San Francisco, these men have permitted the matter to go along without forcing the issue, but we have this background—Mr. Ickes, who knows all that has happened in the past, knows how smypathetic his predecessors have been to San Francisco, knows that we have not sufficient money to carry on, have reached a point—he has—that seems to me to close the door to any argument against the distribution of power. He has practically said to us, "The Raker Act is not a scrap of paper. You signed it with

your eyes wide open many years ago. You came to the government and asked for this grant and it was given to you. You made the agreement and we expect you to fulfill your promise." That is practically Mr. Ickes' position.

Now, I believe the proposition of Mr. Cahill to be sound financially. I believe it to be sound in every way. I believe it is on all fours with the provisions of the Raker Act. Mr. Ickes has confirmed that. There is nothing left for us to do but to vote to submit it to the people, and the people will speak. They will be informed by this Board—living up to the Raker Act—and by those who do not favor or look upon the Raker Act as a scrap of paper. And for those reasons, and because I believe that San Francisco will profit very largely to the tune of over $9,383,000 by the building of this distribution system, I am going to vote to submit it to the people.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other member?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: There are several other members—we are meeting as a Board of Supervisors, we never closed our calendar last week, we never adjourned——

THE CHAIRMAN: We are acting now on Charter Amendment No. 1, and I think we should complete that before we take up any other matter. Any other member of the Board wants to be heard?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: My understanding is we vote this afternoon, proceed to vote. Will we be given an opportunity to explain our vote at that time?

THE CHAIRMAN: My understanding was we recessed to ten o'clock this morning to present arguments, and we were to vote this afternoon without any further argument.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes, argument, but when it comes to an explanation of vote.——

THE CHAIRMAN: I think that was the understanding.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Make it now.

THE CHAIRMAN: Those who are present should be given an opportunity. Supervisor Havenner did request at the last meeting, and Supervisor Colman requested to close, and Supervisor Havenner requested to close, and that was agreed to.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: And Supervisor Brown spoke.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The record will show that I stated when the vote comes on Monday afternoon I will be entitled to explain my vote. I am not going to go into any lengthy matter, but I certainly am entitled to that.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: That is what I had in mind. And my explanation will be under 10 minutes, probably five, I don't know.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Will you make it now, Doctor?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I make this suggestion to the Board; if either of the supervisors—I would suggest they make their explanation now, but if they wish to make it this afternoon, I don't think there is anything in our rules that can stop it, because a man has a right to explain his vote, merely an explanation, but purely as a gentleman's agreement if they wish to make it now I suggest they make it now, but if they don't want to——

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I thought the proper time was when the vote comes, not with the idea of delaying——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, no, Doctor, you have that right under our rules.

THE CHAIRMAN: What is the pleasure of the Board? Are there going to be any arguments this afternoon?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: May I ask the gentleman now, Supervisor Uhl and Supervisor Schmidt, do you wish to make your explanation of vote this afternoon or right now?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, I want to make it when I vote.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is their privilege, and Doctor,——

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: This afternoon, but it won't be lengthy.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: That is their privilege.

Now, if there is nothing else I would like to bring up this other matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We recessed for a special purpose.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: You recessed originally, and I think the calendar will show, and I want to bring up the water matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Water?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Water, yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I think the full membership should be here.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: When we adjourned originally last Monday I did not wish to delay, but I have it all here in writing, and when we adjourned on Monday we adjourned to take up what was necessary, the Charter Amendment No. 1, and other matters, because we never closed our calendar last Monday, and I have taken particular pains to see that every motion to adjourn was made in that way, and I also have a letter from our clerk showing the conditions in reference to this particular matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What is the use to present it without the Supervisors present, you will only have to do it over again.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I have no desire, except for the record, and I want to show—Supervisor, just be patient, we have certain rights on this Board in this particular matter pertaining to the water, and in a newspaper statement the newspaper claims the water rate, you understand, that was presented to us a month ago, that we slept on our rights without bringing the matter up until last Monday, and when we adjourned on Monday we agreed to take it up, and since then we have been in debate all the time on Charter Amendment No. 1, so I have prepared these statements. Now you might technically state they are not in order, but I claim they are in order, and I claim they have a great bearing. Now I will pass these around to the members of the Board, and in due time this afternoon I will take it up, and I do want the record to show we are taking this matter up today. That is all I desire. It will give me the opportunity to present this matter on the water rates, and now the water rates are a very important matter.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will it be on the calendar today?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: No, it won't.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then how are you going to bring it up, on roll call?

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: It will come up. I am not going to make any argument. I will present it in writing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I move we adjourn.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Just a moment. I am going to present this and then you can adjourn.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Before we adjourn——

THE CLERK: This is still a regular meeting of the Board, and you recess.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Whether it be a matter of recessing or adjournment, consideration of Plan No. 7 and Charter Amendment No. 1 will be the first thing on the calendar?

THE CLERK: If you please, gentlemen, you have already made a two o'clock order, and I mentioned it to Supervisor Shannon, you have the Funston Avenue thing at two o'clock, and that is a matter for the Board to determine.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Would the Board agree that this be taken up at two o'clock?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I think that is correct; I think the Board will move unanimously to take this matter up first.

THE CLERK: That is the Board's jurisdiction.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: This may be copied in the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, and if there is no objection, we will recess until two o'clock. (Gavel).

"San Francisco, California, December 24, 1936.

"To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco. Gentlemen:

"During the fiscal year of 1935-36, the San Francisco Water Department delivered to the consumers here in our city an average of 54,810,665 gallons of water daily, of which 52,276,000 were delivered to 110,000 San Francisco consumers, and the balance was used by suburban consumers. From the sale of this water the Department received $6,513,507. After paying all operating expenses, including interest on outstanding bonds, the Department showed a net profit for the year of $2,422,982.66. Of this amount $1,000,000 was applied to redemption of bonds, $167,535 was used for additions and betterments to the system and transferred to surplus, $1,253,477 was transferred to the general fund for reduction of taxes.

"On May 1, 1928, we purchased the Spring Valley Water Company's properties for $41,000,000 and in doing so we promised to reduce the water rates as we were and are at present paying today the highest rate of any city in the United States according to our population. On December 1, 1934, we made a reduction of 10 per cent. We have in storage today 120 billion gallons of water, five years' supply. From Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley we are receiving 128 million gallons daily. Of this amount 70 billion gallons run into the Pacific Ocean.

"San Francisco is sometimes called a bald city on account of the few trees we have on our streets. We were never in a position to plant trees until about a year ago on account of the great shortage of water. A change has taken place, we now have an abundance of water. Arrangements are to be made to sell water to a number of small cities in San Mateo and Santa Clara counties before the price is quoted and deliveries are made. An entire new set of rates should be made to the consumers here in our own city cancelling the present meter charges and placing flat rates similar to what we had in 1921 which would allow the small home owner sufficient water to properly plant a garden. Of the 110,000 consumers 77,000 are in the 3300 cubic feet a month class, paying about 34½ cents per thousand gallons for water, plus a meter charge of 70 cents a month.

"The entire rate to the small consumer and the large could be cut 10 per cent, abolishing all meter charges.

"The following is a quotation of the last paragraph of Section 130 of the Charter, on page 69 thereof:

" 'Paragraph 4, Section 130. Rates for each utility shall be so fixed that the revenue therefrom shall be sufficient to pay, for at least the succeeding fiscal year, all expenses of every kind and nature incident to the operation and maintenance of said utility, together with the interest and sinking fund for any bonds issued for the acquisition, construction or extension of said utility; provided that, should the commission propose a schedule of rates, charges or fares for said utility which shall not produce such revenue, it may do so with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, by a two-thirds vote and it shall thereupon be incumbent to provide by tax levy for the additional amount necessary to meet such deficit. All other changes in rates, charges or fares as proposed by the commission shall be submitted by the commission to the Board of Supervisors for approval, and, except as this section otherwise provided, it shall require a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors to reject the rate changes as proposed by the commission, and if so rejected, such proposed changes in schedules of rates, charges or fares shall be returned to the commission for revision. If the Supervisors shall fail to act on any such proposed schedule within 30 days, the schedule shall thereupon become effective.'

"According to this section of the Charter we can send back to the

Public Utilities Commission No. 26 on the calendar of Monday, December 21, 1936, Resolution No. 2995, Code No. 15.022, entitled 'Water Rates Recommended by Public Utilities Commission to be Charged for Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses.'

"In doing so we will keep our promise made in May, 1928.

"I so move, gentlemen.

"James B. McSheehy, Supervisor."

## RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 p. m., this day.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

---

## MONDAY, DECEMBER 28, 1936, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 28, 1936, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

President Shannon presiding.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 17, 18, 21, 24 and 26, 1936, laid over for approval until next meeting.

### Re Discussion Charter Amendment No. 1—Revenue Bonds—Electrical System.

THE PRESIDENT: It was suggested that we send for Mr. Cleary so as to discuss the Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: And you were to have Colonel Skeggs here also to make the presentation for the State.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, call Colonel Skeggs and Mr. Cleary.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It was agreed this morning—Perhaps you don't know of the agreement—that a motion would be made to rescind the two o'clock special order on the Funston Avenue Approach and take the vote on this Charter amendment number one, due to the fact that Supervisor Havenner must leave today, and that should be disposed of within 15 to 20 minutes, and that we then take up the matter of the Funston Avenue Approach, and I felt that the members would all be agreeable to that in respect to Supervisor Havenner's request.

THE PRESIDENT: Where is Supervisor Havenner?

SUPERVISOR UHL: He is outside. I would request that he come in.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion then would be that the Funston Avenue Approach could be set aside for 30 minutes——

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I so move, Mr. President, so as to get rid of it now.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, so ordered. (Gavel.) I would like to have a copy of the Charter amendment.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would like to say a few words with respect to what happened this morning. What happened was this:

We did not come to a vote. Every one was heard, and Supervisor Uhl and Supervisor Doctor Schmidt both stated that they wished to explain their votes, and they both promised they would consume perhaps 10 minutes, and that was agreed to all around, and Supervisor Colman telephoned to me and stated to me that he wished to make a statement in reference to the entire matter. The rest of the Board, I don't know what the others' views may be, the rest of the members. We all felt we had all made our statements, and then it was agreed that Supervisor Havenner would close. That was tentatively agreed to by all.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Yes. You were not present, and I can state what——

THE PRESIDENT: I believe Supervisor Brown made his statement Saturday morning.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Yes, you did, I remember that.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, we will proceed, and any supervisors desiring to explain their votes can do so at the present time.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would just like to say this: The Board was very generous in remaining in session about 20 minutes longer on Saturday than they had figured on, in order to permit me to explain my vote, and I won't go into any detail at this time, but I would like to say this, though, for the benefit of those who were not here on Saturday.

I am in opposition to the reference of this matter to the public for three reasons. The first reason is I believe that it would be better and cheaper to finance this proposal, if found desirable, by means of a bond issue regularly submitted to the people and passed by two-thirds vote, as bond issues should be passed, and as they have always been passed in the past history of our City.

Secondly, I am in opposition to this matter because I do not feel that Plan No. 7 has had sufficient confirmation to warrant our accepting the figures as submitted by the Public Utilities Commission, and as several discrepancies have been pointed out in the figures as submitted, and no proper explanation of those discrepancies has been forthcoming.

And thirdly, I believe that there are certain revenues which are contemplated under Plan No. 7 which could be realized by the City of San Francisco without the extensive outlay Plan No. 7 involves; notably the Red Mountain Bar Power House. Revenue which by a small expenditure could be made available, and which I am led to believe plays a considerable part in the picture that has been advanced to us on Plan No. 7 as a whole.

So, without going into further detail, there are a number of reasons I could bring out which time will not permit, I feel that this matter, being such a radical departure from our previous method of incurring bonded indebtedness should not be, and the information before us does not warrant its submission to the people.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, and members of the Board: I, too, am going to vote against the submission of Charter Amendment No. 1. The principal reason is that no one can dispute the fact that when various problems face the City, that the most important problem should be taken care of first, and every energy should be bent towards the solution of the most important problem facing the City. Everybody knows, including every member of this Board of Supervisors, that the most important problem facing this Board, and facing the people of San Francisco, and therefore facing this Board, is the question of rapid transit. In addition to that this Board hired, at a cost of $10,000, the services of Mr. Miller McClintock to survey San Francisco and make recommendations as to internal San Francisco for the method of handling our traffic, and today there is on the desk of each one of us what I believe to be his report. It was placed on our desks only a few minutes ago, and, of course, no

member of the Board has had occasion, or has had opportunity, as yet, to read and digest it. This places before us another problem infinitely more important and more pressing and more urgent than this problem of municipal ownership. No member of this Board, or citizen, can truly deny that statement. It simply is a fact, that the City is in prompt need of a solution of our traffic conditions and particularly conditions downtown which threaten the very existence of the City. It is something which confronts us that must have our prompt attention, and by a comparison of these two problems the problem of the municipal distribution of power becomes a minor matter.

Granted, for the sake of argument, that we are paying too much for power. That is a detail, a minor matter as contrasted with the necessity for getting our people from their homes to their places of business, and from their places of business back to their homes in some comfort and with more speed so as to enable us to compete with the superior facilities offered by cities across the Bay, in active opposition to San Francisco. This view is not only held by me, but the San Francisco Examiner, The Chronicle, and the Call, have all stated editorially, repeatedly, that the pressing problem before the people of San Francisco is the problem of rapid transit, and then in addition comes this matter of Doctor Miller McClintock's recommendation, which is another problem facing us.

The Daily News, in a very fine editorial the other night, in speaking about the City of Oakland and its splendid rapid transit facilities, said that San Francisco had to provide a rapid transit system to take care of our people or they would go to Oakland. A tremendous advantage they have that can work eventually a great hardship on San Francisco.

Now how any thoughtful people, considering all of the people, and considering the jobs they are holding, can say that the distribution of power should take precedence over these two matters quite passes my comprehension, I cannot understand it at all, because even though six votes of this Board may state that the municipal distribution of power is more important, that doesn't make it more important, and everyone knows, including every member of this Board, that the question of rapid transit is the most important situation facing San Francisco today. Why, gentlemen, it is a crisis. If you, like I, had been downtown during the Christmas rush and seen the conditions on Market street, Geary street, every place in the downtown district, you could not possibly feel that the matter of municipal distribution of power should be given preference over this matter of transportation and the clearing up of this congestion which is right here in our City right now. The Manager of Utilities made the statement on this Board, some little time ago, when asked which question he considered most important, and he answered that the matter was one for the determination of this Board, but that the City had power but did not have rapid transit, and that therefore rapid transit was the more important and should be attended to first, and that all of our engineers should be put to the solution of that problem. That, to me, is the more important reason for voting against the submission of this proposition now.

Now as to Plan No. 7, I want to call your attention to the fact that this Board of Supervisors has never approved Plan No. 7. The statement by City Attorney O'Toole is not quite correct in the amendment, because the action of this Board has put an amendment in there stating that the figures were not approved by the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Is that correct?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: If I am in error, I would like to be corrected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk, have you the Journal?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN (Reading): "I move that Plan No. 7 for the ownership and operation of the entire San Francisco electric supply as reported by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco,

is hereby approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. The figures herein are estimates and subject to revision and amendment by the Board of Supervisors. This action by the Board shall be transmitted forthwith to the Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior, at Washington, D. C."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The only error I see in the amendment, I thought it had been done by resolution, and I see it was done by motion. It was called to my attention just a few minutes previous to the adjournment of the Board, but this amendment provides absolutely for no hard and fast rule as to figures, and I had that in mind when I drafted it, to buy or to build a system, if it should be necessary to do it, and you can not hold them down on estimates to the very dollar, there has got to be some leeway. So that resolution, or that motion, made by Supervisor McSheehy does not mitigate against it at all, except I am in error, I thought it had been done by resolution of the Board, and I suggested at the conclusion of the previous session that instead of "resolution adopted by said Board of Supervisors", it should be "which said plan was approved by the Board of Supervisors on December 3, 1936."

Now this amendment doesn't tie anybody down to any specific amount. In fact, I have been very careful because I realized that if you tie it down to the very dollar of the estimate it might be difficult to apply the proceeds of your bonds, if the amount went over or under, and I provide in the amendment that they may depart from the plan to such an extent as might be deemed necessary to carry out the purposes of the plan.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will make that amendment by motion, Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: These figures have never been approved by this Board.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: This amendment does not approve any figures.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: It is not Plan No. 7, in the final analysis, we are presenting to the people, but it is Plan No. 7 subject to any revision or changes that can be made by the Public Utilities Commission, and a new feature added on which no set-up figures have ever been given to the Board of Supervisors at all, namely, the power to construct a utility. That is a question on which the Board has asked no questions except the question, "Can any estimate of revenues be furnished as to how the city will come out should we decide to build this utility?" And the answer of our manager of utilities to that was, "Of course not." He cannot give an estimate, he doesn't know, so really we are not presenting to the people Plan No. 7, in any manner, shape nor form, but an entirely foreign issue this Board knows nothing about.

Now it has been stated that this might be used as a club. Now, gentlemen, we are not children. Everything said here is said in public, and everyone knows the object of that being put in there, and I do not believe it should be left to the power of this Board of Supervisors, or anyone else, to place us in a position of having to construct a utility. You might say that the Board of Supervisors would not do it. My answer to that is, and I say that in all good faith, that if this Board of Supervisors carefully passes a motion that the power matter is more important than the rapid transit, then it is quite comprehensible that this Board of Supervisors might decide, under the proper influences, to embark into the business of constructing a utility, and the power should not be here.

How it will affect the salability of revenue bonds I don't know, but it is certain if we should construct a utility, or have the power to construct a utility, when we can not make a presentation as to what revenues will result, certainly in my opinion would make such utility bonds unsalable.

Gentlemen, a lot has been said here about what other cities have done, Los Angeles and other cities. If San Francisco's history had been full of errors, if it had been necessary for us, if we had gotten into financial difficulties, and it had been necessary for us to go to extremities, and if our financial standing had not been bright, the experience of the other cities would interest me a great deal more than it does in view of San Francisco's financial history, it has been so outstanding and bright and clean that the conviction must come to us that we have been doing the right thing throughout our history. And the two things that have safeguarded us have been the bonding limit, first; and the necessity of having bond elections carried by a two-thirds vote of the people on that particular issue. This amendment circumvents both of those, it gets around both of those phohibitions. It would not be within the bonded debt, actually, and I mean although according to the viewpoints of others on the Board it does, and it makes it easier to go into debt. Two steps that in my opinion might lead to financial ruin and to financial chaos. It is my opinion further that those safeguards should be kept in our law, and we should have the benefit of those protections.

You all heard the very fine argument presented by Mr. Feigenbaum, representing various American bankers. I had no idea the other day that he was coming, or who he would represent, and that gentleman, in a very dignified, and in my opinion a very powerful argument, pointed out the dangers of this, and how it would hurt the credit of San Francisco, and he spoke entirely from the viewpoint of his clients, the people whom he represents, and they are the men who buy and sell San Francisco's securities. He made a contention, one I have made for many years, that actually it becomes the general obligation of the City and County of San Francisco, although it is a revenue bond, because, as he pointed out, if by any chance the revenues were not there it would be necessary to raise the rates to meet expenses, which would increase the rate and cost to the rate payers, as distinguished from the tax payers, but in the final analysis that it all comes from the same source, namely, the people of San Francisco. Pointing out, and conclusively pointing out also, the fact that there was no need for revenue bonds, that San Francisco had always voted by a two-thirds vote for any worthy project.

Now, for those three reasons, firstly, the lack of necessity, and meaning by that the lack of importance in comparison with rapid transit; the unsoundness of revenue bonds as a method of financing for San Francisco in comparison with general obligation bonds, or any bonds passed by a two-thirds majority; and thirdly, because of the inclusion in the plan of the power to build and construct a utility, without figures, without knowing where we are going and what we are going to do; I am opposed to the presentation. And in conclusion I look at my position here, that if a project to me is unsound, if the method of financing seems unsound, and if its importance, comparative importance, does not warrant its presentation in preference to the presentation of something infinitely more important, I consider it my duty as a supervisor to vote against its presentation to the people.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Call the roll.

THE PRESIDENT: Have we any others who wish to speak?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I desire to explain my vote.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Do you want to explain before I close?

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, I would rather you would close first.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, members of the Board: Repeating at the outset what Supervisor Roncovieri said this morning, I desire to call the attention of the members of the Board again to the fact that the charter of this City and County has for the past three and one-half decades contained a declaration of policy, a basic declaration of policy so far as this charter is concerned, that this City and County shall gradually acquire, own and operate its public utili-

ties. That declaration of policy has been there for three and one-half decades, and the City has, to a certain extent, complied with it. The problem before us has been before us for more than a decade, and the City has not thus far performed any act to carry out that declaration of policy with respect to the last remaining public utility in this City and County, to-wit, the utility which distributes electricity.

When the City and County of San Francisco sought and obtained from the federal government the contract enabling us to make use of the Hetch Hetchy water shed, the City entered into a solemn covenant with the federal government, first, to produce power as a by-product of the water project; and, second, never to permit any of the power so developed to be sold to any private individual or corporation for purposes of resale. Every Secretary of the Interior since that act was passed has served notice, in some fashion or other, either by official communication or by word of mouth, to the representatives of this City and County that he considered that San Francisco was not complying with the provisions of the Raker Act, which forbade the sale of the power to private individuals or corporations for purposes of resale. The only difference between the attitude of the present Secretary of the Interior and his predecessors is that he has officially served notice on the City and County of San Francisco that he considers we are violating the terms of the Raker Act, and has called upon this city to comply with the terms of that act. That action by the present Secretary of the Interior is one of the important reasons why it is necessary, without further delay, to submit to the people of San Francisco a proposal to do the thing that would be in compliance with the provisions of the Raker act, and the Hetch Hetchy grant.

There is an additional solemn covenant made by the City and County of San Francisco, and subscribed to by some of the present members of this Board of Supervisors. It is contained in the ordinance, a law of the City and County of San Francisco, which confirmed the so-called agency agreement between the City and County and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the disposal of the Hetch Hetchy power. I have repeatedly called the attention of the members of this Board to the very explicit and binding language contained all through that ordinance which set up the agency agreement. The Board of Supervisors, speaking for the City and County of San Francisco, stated specifically that it was the purpose and intention of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire an electric distribution system and to distribute the power generated on the Hetch Hetchy project under municipal ownership to its own people.

The members of the Board who were then members of the Board of Supervisors and who subscribed to that agreement can not possibly evade or disclaim the solemn responsibility which they took upon themselves when they voted for that ordinance and made it a law of this commonwealth.

So we have three solemn pledges, ranging in age from 23 years, I think it was when the Raker Act was adopted,—am I right in that, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: '14,—1914.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: 22 years.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: 23 years.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: 22 years; and about 11 or 12 years when the contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company was entered into. All of those covenants and pledges are almost hoary with age and the City and County has not fulfilled them, and the present Secretary of the Interior, and with a great deal of justice, repeatedly pointed to that fact when he heard the pleas made by the committee of city officials who visited him after he enunciated his opinion as to the violation of the Raker act. The plea was then made on behalf of this City and County as it had been years before, that the city was financially unable, and had been continuously financially unable, to do the things that he says the Raker act contemplates, and to do the

things that the ordinance setting up the P. G. & E. agency contract solemnly pledged the city to do. And so it seems to me that the arguments made by those gentlemen who are opposed to the submission of this proposition to the people, have very little weight of logic or even—and I say this without personal derogation—or even of good faith behind them, and the present Secretary of the Interior said that he would not longer consider the age old argument that the city was financially unable to do this thing. He pointed out that during the years which have intervened the city had repeatedly used its credit for a large number of other projects, and the city is now proposing, on the score of immediate urgency, practically to exhaust its remaining credit in the construction of a rapid transit system. To that I have no objection, but I want to point out that the submission of this proposition to the people at this time will not in any way interfere with or delay the submission of a properly considered rapid transit project to the people. Of course, the rapid transit project could be submitted, if it were ready, now, and the only objection to that would be the objection which I feel sure the Public Utilities Commission itself would make, and which I think you gentlemen who are proponents—or let's put it the other way, who are opponents of municipal distribution of power would make, that the submission at the same time of these two very large financial projects would injure the possibility of success of either or both, and I agree to that from a political standpoint; I think it would. But the fact remains that the electrical distribution project is now ready for submission, and the rapid transit project will not be ready for submission for some little time to come, and if it is as important as all of its proponents urge, then the mere trifling cost of another special election ought not for a moment to be considered as a bar to its submission as soon as it is ready to be submitted.

I think that very effectually answers that argument. If the people don't want an electrical distribution system, that is their responsibility, and they can decide that long before you are ready to submit your rapid transit project.

I would like again to point out to the members of this Board, and I hope that the proponents of municipal distribution of power will point it out to every citizen of San Francisco so that they will become thoroughly aware of this vitally important situation. I want to point out to you that in the judgment of every legal authority with whom I have consulted the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is now and has always been, so long as it has been engaged in this business, selling electric power in San Francisco without any legal or franchise authority, and I want to say to you that the officials of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, by their very attitude when this problem was put up to them, indicated a tacit admission that what I say is true. The City of Los Angeles recently brought an action against the local power and gas utility on that very ground, that the franchises down there did not give those utilities the authority to sell electricity or gas—we are concerned with electricity at this moment—did not give those utilities any legal authority to sell electricity for power purposes, or for hearing purposes, or for cooking purposes, but merely for lighting purposes. The——

THE PRESIDENT: Would the members of the Board like to extend the Supervisor's time?

SUPERVISOR MEAD: I so move.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: So ordered.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Los Angeles private utilities gave tacit consent to the contention made on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, they gave it by offering the City of Los Angeles a greatly reduced price on the distribution system which that city proposed to buy. It was a situation almost identical, except in some minor details, with the situation which I hope will confront the people of San Fran-

cisco in the immediate future, and the people of Los Angeles ratified that agreement, and only gave new franchises—am I right, Mr. O'Toole —to the local utilities authorizing them to do the things that they had been doing illegally for years in exchange for the very important concessions which the local utilities made to the City of Los Angeles.

I am going to say to you, my friends, that I think it would be tantamount to a civic crime, and that I think you would be causing a public scandal which would reverberate through the history of this city and county for many, many years to come, if you grant new franchises to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company without exacting from that company important considerations in the public interests. That is before you. You have the authority now, by action of this Board, to negotiate with—to take up this question with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and ask them why they are selling electricity and gas in this community for purposes of heating, cooking and for the major purpose of power use without any legal authority, and to ask them what they are going to do about it, and they have already said, so I understand, that they would be very glad to negotiate for new franchises. Well, that is almost naive, but it would not be naive for the officials of the City and County to hand them a new franchise without doing just what the City of Los Angeles did, and obtaining important considerations in the public interests before such new franchises are granted.

Now this situation has a rather important bearing on the problems before us, because—and you must remember that any franchise that you vote here is subject to the referendum, and if you—I don't want to adopt a threatening tone, because that is not my purpose, but if any Board of Supervisors were to grant a new franchise authorizing this company to do legally the thing which it is now doing illegally, without first protecting the public interests, I make the prediction, without any hesitation whatever, that it will be carried to the referendum and that no such franchise will be issued unless the public interest is safeguarded. And so it may be, it may be at this moment that the people of San Francisco have no legal assurance whatever that any power will be available for them in the near future, and the proposition of issuing a franchise to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the sale of electric power ought not, under any circumstances, to be attempted or initiated by this Board of Supervisors until the people have had a right, a chance, to say whether they prefer to distribute their own power to themselves. I hope that that particular set of facts will be made known to the entire citizenship of San Francisco; it is of the utmost importance.

Plan No. 7, which is embodied in the Charter amendment—whose terms and provisions are embodied in the Charter amendment which we hope to submit to the people here today, offers to the people of San Francisco a large increase in net revenue over the amount which they are now receiving from the sale—which has been declared illegal —of the Hetch Hetchy power to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. These figures were read in the session of the committee of the whole. I will read them again so that they may be made a part of the record, and this is a statement prepared at my request by the manager of utilities:

"Plan 7 first pays all operation, maintenance and interest on outstanding bonds on the power distribution system, and then—pays off and retires bonds in the amount of $1,748,000 per year, and then, pays into depreciation reserve fund $1,260,000 per year; and then, pays into the general fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000 per year; and, after all that, produces a surplus revenue of over $4,000,000 per year; and, in addition to all this, plan 7 reduces power rates to consumers in San Francisco by $1,720,000 per year."

An annual reduction on the power bills now paid, or electric bills now paid by the people of San Francisco.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask you, there, annual reduction, you say?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, it will be annual in the future, that much lower than it is now, yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. Havenner, that was the fact before the rate cut was put into effect.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, that is not correct.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The rate was cut.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you answer Supervisor Colman's question?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: It was not a question.

THE PRESIDENT: He didn't ask a question. That is what I am trying to find out.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: He did ask a question by making a statement which was in error; there has been no rate reduction since this was made, so this is true with respect to the present estimate.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: That is true.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: There has been a threat, or a suggestion, that the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, fearing that the people might take over the distribution system, might do again what they did a year and a half ago, might again throw a new rate reduction to the people themselves as a sort of a bribe not to take their property away from them, but that has not been done.

After the reduction of $1,721,000 per year, number two, pays off and retires bonds in the amount of $1,748,000 a year, and, three, pays into the depreciation reserve fund in cash $1,260,000 annually, and, four, pays into the general fund an estimated tax loss of $654,000, and after the payment of all that there remains a surplus of over $4,000,000 per year. Now all the city is now receiving from the disposal of its Hetch Hetchy power is a gross amount averaging about $2,000,000 a year, ranging, over the period in which it has been in operation from say $1,800,000,—is that about right, Mr. Cahill?

MR. OST: $1,100,000.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, it has been even less than I thought; and out of that gross amount there is deducted, of course, the operating expenses of the present plant,—I am correct in that, am I not?

MR. CAHILL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes. And now——

SUPERVISOR UHL: Interest,—how much?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I didn't give the interest figure. I said that this accumulation of surplus would accrue after the payment of interest.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Where is the interest then?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I said that it does all of these things after the payment of interest. I am telling what it does after interest has been paid.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That figure on interest was changed in plan 7, and this figure here don't show——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The figure, in my judgment, has not been changed at all, and in my judgment the interest is too high all the way through, because the city of Los Angeles, Seattle, and all of the other cities are selling revenue bonds at around four per cent.

MR. CAHILL: 3¼ per cent.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: 3¼. Well, I gladly confess to a misstatement. Mr. Cahill tells me 3¼. I knew that Seattle was selling at four, and Los Angeles made a very advantageous deal on their last sale of bonds. 3¼, is that correct, Mr. Cahill?

MR. CAHILL: I have not the figure.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Then that would make an annual difference, if we could get such a deal as that, at 1¾ per cent under the estimate made here on a five per cent basis. And I want to say these archaic arguments, which come right from the tombs, remind me of every previous submission of revenue bonds to the people of

San Francisco, and they are so archaic, so outworn and so utterly unsupported by any present day facts that they ought to be disregarded entirely. The idea, an outfit we never hear anything about except when there is a matter of this nature before us, and which calls itself the Investment Bankers Association of San Francisco, or California, and the only public activity in which it ever engages, so far as I am aware, politically at least, is to come forward and oppose revenue bonds in San Francisco, but down in Los Angeles the Investment Bankers gobbled up the revenue bonds offered for sale by the City of Los Angeles. They gobbled them up in the City of Seattle, and gobbled them up all over the State of California, and they reduced the interest rate further on the Bay Bridge bonds, and in Sacramento, and everywhere else, but these prophets of evil come up here and tell us for some unsupportable reason, that revenue bonds in San Francisco will never be salable. My friends, I ask you to just consult your own common sense and intelligence, remembering what the facts are elsewhere.

The charge has been made here that this Charter amendment has been hastily drawn, without due consideration, without proper knowledge of facts. I submit that charge is utterly without foundation. The subject of revenue bond legislation in northern California has been given more attention right here in this City Hall, and by our City Attorney and his associates, than by any other set of officials in this state. The revenue bond legislation whereby the Bay Bridge was built, the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, was initiated right here in this City Hall, and all of the preliminary legislation was drafted in Mr. O'Toole's office by himself, Mr. John Daily, then assistant city attorney, and the attorneys for the State Board of Public Works. Mr. O'Toole has also prepared, with the assistance of his associates, several other bond propositions, all of which have been submitted to the test of experts, one or two I think to Thompson, Wood and Hoffman; and one or two to Mr. Orrick, the authority here on the Pacific Coast; and so far as the legal aspect of those propositions was concerned they have had the approval of those eminent authorities—and I am not committing them as to whether they are in favor of revenue bonds or not—but so far as the legal aspect of those revenue bonds is concerned, they have been approved, and this Charter amendment has been submitted to a member of the firm of Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, and he has advised Mr. O'Toole that he believes it to be in a workable shape.

The Charter amendment has been studied with a fine tooth comb by the attorneys, and the officials of the Public Utilities Commission, and I am not violating any confidence when I say that the manager of utilities told me that he considers this a workable document. The members of the Board have gone over it, and over Plan 7, just as intently and in just as much detail as they ever went over any proposition that was ever submitted to this Board of Supervisors in the 11 years in which I have been a member of this Board, so that statement will not hold water.

I think, Members of the Board, that after all the major purpose of asking an immediate submission of this question to the people is based upon the decision of the Secretary of the Interior and his official request, which was acceded to by the representatives of San Francisco, his official request that we proceed forthwith to submit, at the earliest possible time, a proposition to the people which would be in compliance with the provisions of the Raker act, and that, all of the representatives of San Francisco who attended that conference promised him solemnly would be done. And, of course, in my judgment a public official would be a fool who would accept, after a lapse of a year and a half—it was a year and a half ago, more than a year and a half ago when we appeared in Washington in response to the Secretary's request that we discuss the provisions of the Raker act and the disposal of Hetch Hetchy power. I think it was a year ago last May, was it not, Mr. O'Toole?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Last January, I believe the last time.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Last January—the last meeting was in January, and the first meeting was in May of the year before. And to expect that official, one of the ranking officials of the federal government, meekly to accept a new plea, not this time, strangely enough, not this time based upon financial inability, but this time based upon the superior importance of some other project which a year and a half ago I do not believe we even mentioned to him. That would be too much of a tax on the credulity and common sense of a cabinet officer of the United States of America. I hope to be able to tell the Secretary of the Interior, when I arrive in Washington some time next week, that the City of San Francisco has kept its promise, that it has done the things which we pledged ourselves to him to do, and that it has again submitted to the people a proposition which would carry out the pledge which I believe that we made when we accepted the Raker act, and the pledge which I know we made when we entered into the so-called agency contract with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Therefore, Mr. President, for the purpose of averting a very serious emergency which might curtail the annual income of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of $2,000,000 per annum, and which might jeopardize our rights in the Hetch Hetchy Valley under the covenants, and for the purpose of enabling the people to decide whether they want to engage in a new public utility enterprise which would be vastly profitable to them, vastly more profitable than the arrangement which they now have for the disposal of their power, and which would enable and assure a gradual reduction of power rates in the future far below the present level, far below the level which we promised to effectuate immediately after Plan No. 7 is adopted, through the amortization of the bonds and the retirement of the debt, and that will never happen so long as this utility remains in private control and under private financing, for those reasons, Mr. President, I hope the Board will submit Charter amendment No. 1 to the people. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: To those present who have not been here before, I desire to announce that applause in these chambers is prohibited.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, may I, as the author of this amendment, move certain minor amendments. Here in the preamble, the description, the second paragraph, the third line of the second paragraph, after the words "at a special election" before the word "special" insert the word "the next", and then after the words "held on the 'blank' day of 'blank' 1937," and insert in lieu thereof "in the City and County of San Francisco". I would move that as an amendment.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order. (Gavel).

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I would like to move as an amendment, Mr. Chairman, in the second paragraph, of Section 121a, in the fifth line, after the words "Board of Supervisors" strike out the words "by resolution adopted by said Board of Supervisors,"—I move that as an amendment, and it will then read, "which said plan was approved by the Board of Supervisors."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, such will be the order. (Gavel).

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: So I may get this straight, this amendment has carried.

THE CLERK: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Supervisor Havenner, if I understood him correctly as using the words "certain prophets of evil on this Board"——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, I did not.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Let's get that straight.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am sure I did not use that particular expression in connection with the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Then I ask that those words "prophets of evil" be expunged from the record.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, I won't do that. I was referring to certain statements made in this Board by others. I don't think that is a correct statement of what I said.

SUPERVISOR UHL: No, I don't think you treated it in that way.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Well, that is the way it was intended.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Well, if you intended it in that way——

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I did not intend it to apply to you, Mr. Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Thank you. Mr. President, and members of the Board: Where certain members on this Board are sincere and set on the matter of municipal distribution of power I am just as sincere in differing as to the beneficial results of the municipal distribution of power. I want to repeat that, that I am just as sincere in differing with them as to the beneficial results of municipal distribution, and that refers specifically to the increases read off by Supervisor Havenner.

Unfortunately, we agreed that we would argue this matter out this morning, and that we would only be permitted to explain our votes this afternoon; but certainly Supervisor Havenner has gone rather outside of my thought of what he would in the matter; for example, by also bringing in the matter of franchises to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and what should be done there. Anything you would put on in the way of franchise payments they would be agreeable to, no doubt, and just pass them on to the people, so that the people would pay after all. However, the answers I would like to make, in answer to Supervisor Havenner, I am not allowed to under the ruling which we passed this morning. It was brought out in these hearings that the City of Los Angeles is purchasing the power that they require only, and the power that they require at 5.32 miles compared to the 4.78 which this city receives for the entire output of power, regardless of what the output is, and therefore it would indicate that the price which the City and County of San Francisco has been receiving on that power, compared with that figure which Mr. Cahill gave us of 5.32, turns out to be what I consider a very favorable contract.

I object to the amendment on the ground that it projects to acquire or construct, purchase or construct, and the word "construct" would mean disastrous competition. Now I asked that that matter be straightened out, and I still feel that to go into construction and bring about competition with a private company would only result in a disastrous loss, and that is called for in the motion. Plan No. 7 was submitted on the basis of a cost of $43,700,000, but it has since been raised to $50,000,000 due to the fact that Mr. Cahill says that he wants at least a 10 per cent leeway. There is no assurance now that even $50,000,000 is the price at which it can be acquired, and I submit we should know the exact amount.

Furthermore, I submit that the Public Utilities Commission did not carry on in a businesslike manner when, before everything, in the first place they have not ascertained at what price it could be negotiated for, by contacting the private company.

Regarding taxes, in the event the earnings do not exceed in the surplus $1,750,000—and I certainly contend that there will be no $4,000,000 surplus—then under the charter, the limitation of the charter, the provision that when the surplus exceeds 25 per cent it shall be transferred to the general fund, if there is not over $2,000,000 surplus in the power utility, then it must be raised by taxes to pay that interest or cover that loss of $1,750,000 which the Hetch Hetchy water

system now earns. I object to the fact that no definite consideration is paid to Hetch Hetchy for the power property, and a fair proportion set up, a fair proportion of the cost of the dam and conduit, not to mention the fact that Hetch Hetchy will receive not one dollar for the 475,000,000 gallons of water that were used last year to generate power. In other words, the Hetch Hetchy system receives nothing, the power unit takes the water for nothing. The power unit is set up at a capitalization of $8,500,000, and does not earn a dollar in the financial set-up here. Now if the dam were not there, and the conduits to bring the water to the plant, there would be no water to generate the power, and Hetch Hetchy certainly is entitled to a fair return for the water the power unit takes from Hetch Hetchy. That would assure the taxpayers they are not going to be caught in a jam here as to what the real surplus will be.

The Secretary of the Interior insists that San Francisco is violating the Raker Act. That is for a court to decide. If the Attorney General believes he is right he would order a suit to be brought, but until that suit is brought and determined by a court there is no penalty to be exacted from the City.

Be that as it may, the City is not in a position to plunge, and I say that advisedly, the City is not in a position to plunge into the municipal distribution. The supervisors could not do it if they wanted to, the people must decide.

There is more that could be said in relation to revenue bonds. I have felt generally that these bonds should be excluded from the bond limit, because it becomes a money-making utility, and that would take from the voters the power to decide. However, it is in the minds of many of our citizens that the municipal distribution of power is a tremendously profitable undertaking. I don't think so, but I am willing to submit the matter to the people. I am a servant of the people, and many people believe that the municipal distribution of power will bring the tremendous profits they have been told. I am willing to take my chances,—and people don't believe it can be done, well and good. However, as I say, I am willing to accept the situation and submit this matter to the people with the full understanding that I am not committing myself to the municipal distribution of power, but will go further into the matter and will have my say later on about it.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: In making my statements this morning I purposely refrained from saying just the manner in which I would vote, because I wanted to get as many views concerning this matter as possible. I have more or less consistently followed the policy of submitting any question to the people for their decision when that matter was properly presented, and it is at this time. I feel that that principle of allowing the people to decide is a proper one, but I want it distinctly understood that I am not putting my stamp of approval on the methods followed in this particular case.

I have no quarrel with, and I am in sympathy with the provisions of the Charter, wherein we are obligated to in time acquire a public utility for the distribution of our electric power, and I also recognize that we owe an obligation to the federal government under the Raker Act, and believe that we should show good faith and present it to the people. I think that we would not be showing good faith if we were to deny presenting this to the people, but I think we should be fair with the people, and I think they should be given distinctly to understand that this bond issue is a revenue bond issue, and it is a revenue bond issue. They should also be made to realize that there are no protective provisions, and that this is being presented, not by a two-thirds majority of the Board, but the chances are will be presented by a majority, or can be presented by a majority, and that it is going to take a mere majority of the people to put these bonds into full force and effect, if you will, not two-thirds, that provision has been

broken down, and that is something I am not putting my stamp of approval on, because one man came here, a man more acquainted with the financial set-up of the situation than I am, came here and told you that we are here doing away with the two-thirds majority safeguard we have put around our bond issues heretofore, and that we are getting around the bond limitation of the Charter in presenting revenue bonds. Let's be honest about it, and let the people know we are getting around the bond limitation. They should know that,—and that a revenue bond issue will weaken the credit of the City and County of San Francisco, or at least it is very apt to. Let me say I am also very fearful, because we have been given various figures, we were first told that the figure would be somewhere in the neighborhood of $43,000,000, and now it is $50,000,000, to only purchase this system, but the word "construct" has been put in. You, or the people, are giving permission to the Public Utilities Commission to construct, to purchase or to construct, if necessary, a competing system, and where will your revenues stand then? What will become of these revenue bonds in the future if that should come to pass? We cannot be given any certainty as to those figures, and the people should know that.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: You are going to vote for it?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: And I told you my reasons, because in the past, Supervisor Colman, I have allowed to go to the vote of the people, I have given the people an opportunity to vote on other measures I am not agreeing with. I thought I made myself clear, and I want to make myself clear on that, and that is what I am trying to do. In other words, we are getting down to easy financing. Now we have seen editorials in the newspapers, in all of our press, pointing out the dangers that lurk in revenues not being hedged about with the proper safeguards, but the people of San Francisco know all of that and I am not going to dwell thereon. I am fearful of another Hetch Hetchy episode, where a few millions are voted now, and in a few years more after we have began construction, none of us know where we are going to end up, and that is the question that the people should have in their minds.

I am very sorry that we did not have in this particular amendment a provision that it should take a two-thirds vote, either of the Board of Supervisors, or of the people, to submit this amendment in the Charter, so as not to allow the divorcing of surpluses from other utilities, and this diversion of surpluses being used to pay off deficits from these revenue bonds. That is something I presented this morning, and I could not get it in. If that were in I would have no objection at all, the two-thirds provision, but I can't get it in, and therefore I am making these objections merely to safeguard the people.

Again, and something I mentioned also this morning, and I would like to say it again, that any power that is taken from the Hetch Hetchy system, which was built by general obligation bonds, that power should be bought under the same conditions that we are selling it to the P. G. & E. at the present time. Let this distributing system buy from the Hetch Hetchy system, which was constructed under general obligation bonds, the power used and pay into the Hetch Hetchy system the $2,000,000, which will then find its way into the general fund, and so forth, but apparently it is impossible to get that in. But I have a certain amount of faith in future boards of supervisors and in the discretion that the people of San Francisco will show in the choice of this amendment, and I have faith that they will do the right thing, or try to do the right thing, to prevent the diversion of surpluses from other public utility units to pay revenue bonds. I have that much faith. And above all, I have that much faith in the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco that they will prevent that thing. I hope that the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, should that ever be attempted, will so harass any governmental agency that would attempt to break that faith with the people, and to divert cer-

tain funds which have been provided by general obligation bonds and to use such funds to pay off revenue bonds, will fight against it. As far as I am personally concerned, as long as I am able to watch that I will certainly watch it, but it takes the Board of Supervisors to protect that, and I know that they will, and for the reasons already stated, and merely as a matter of principle and policy, I will cast my vote to allow the people to decide, because I have confidence in the judgment of the people as regards this entire matter.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I would like to ask Mr. O'Toole, if this passes, that out of the estimated profits of some $4,000,000 net we should purchase and pay for it to the City and County of San Francisco, a sum equal to what the P. G. & E. is now paying for the power, couldn't that be done anyhow? In other words, isn't it a matter of simple bookkeeping, if we take in $4,000,000 as against $2,000,000 at the present time, can't we put away $2,000,000?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Transfer to the general fund?

THE CLERK: I am going to give Mr. O'Toole some information.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Please let me ask. We are now getting $2,000,000 from the P. G. & E.?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: We will probably receive $4,000,000, or more, under this set-up. Now the Doctor believes that that $2,000,000 we are now receiving should be turned over to the Treasurer to reduce the tax rate as it has been in the past—and I think you are right.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I think it is purely a matter of bookkeeping and we can take $2,000,000 of the $4,000,000 and put in the City Treasury to reduce the tax rate as we have in the past, it will be this utility that will pay the $2,000,000 instead of the P. G. & E. paying it.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Well, I presume that is in Plan No. 7 as contemplated by Mr. Cahill, Supervisor. As far as I understand the plan, the plan provides that whatever the cost of our electrical production system is, that a certain amount will be allocated to that cost as a part of the fund.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It doesn't say so in Plan 7.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I understood so. I don't know much about these plans, of course, and if it is not in the arrangement any arrangement can be made between the two utilities that is necessary. I think you contemplate capitalizing your electrical production, do you not?

MR. CAHILL: The expenses are all set up, and there isn't any question but what all of the surplus, under the present set-up, would go into the general fund, and if they want to appropriate $2,000,000, or three, towards the payment of bond interest and redemption on Hetch Hetchy bonds, that is their privilege.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Then I understand that instead of $2,000,000 you are willing that $4,000,000 go into the Treasury and then we can use $4,000,000 to reduce taxes?

SUPERVISOR UHL: You are safeguarding it in this way, by the thought expressed here, in the event that that surplus is only $2,000,000, get that, why then there is no necessity for that to be turned over, and it takes 25 per cent of the—under the Charter in excess of 25 per cent goes over. Now if it is not in excess nothing goes over. Whereas this way you provide that $2,000,000 goes over.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That 25 per cent is about $1,721,000 to pay off bonds; in fact, it is $9,000,000 that we take in. I think it is $4,000,000 instead of $2,000,000.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Question.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to ask one question. In the first draft of this Charter amendment, if you will just look at the heading, it says, "Charter Amendment No. 1—Revenue Bonds." In the first draft it just said, "Revenue Bonds," and the one I have got here "Electrical System" is added, here it is given the preference.

THE CLERK: I took that up with the City Attorney, and it is simply the sub-head, and not a part of the Charter amendment. It is simply identifying the bonds instead of making it general revenue bonds for all purposes—I put a designation in there to identify them as distribution system bonds.

THE PRESIDENT: Will it go to the voter that way?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: The matter of sub-heads is a matter for the Registrar.

THE PRESIDENT: The Registrar?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: And the caption on the ballot.

THE PRESIDENT: I think they should give preference to the revenue bonds, and make it "Revenue Bonds—Electrical System," rather than "Electrical System—Revenue Bonds."

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: You can put it in "Revenue Bonds for Electrical System," you could put it in that way.

THE PRESIDENT: It is liable to be misleading this way.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Or "Revenue Bonds for Electrical System."

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: Would you suggest that change?

THE PRESIDENT: I would.

SUPERVISOR McSHEEHY: I would make that as a motion.

THE CLERK: It doesn't require a motion, Supervisor.

THE PRESIDENT: All right, "Revenue Bonds—Electrical System" in two places. Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Just a moment. "Revenue Bonds for Electrical System Only."

THE CLERK: It is not necessary.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: It may not be necessary for you, but these are revenue bonds for a specific purpose only.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: They can write it any way they wish.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Why not say "Revenue Bonds for an Electrical System Only"—I think it makes it very clear, it is only for this purpose. Is there any objection?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I get your point, but I don't think it is necessary. The Board can do whatever it wants.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: The average voter won't wade all through this.

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: "Revenue Bonds for Electrical System."

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Only there have been a great many subjects brought up for which revenue bonds were proposed. However, if I don't get a second——

THE PRESIDENT: Call the roll on submission, Mr. Clerk.

**Ordered Submitted.**

Whereupon, the roll was called and the proposed Charter amendment was *ordered submitted* by the following vote:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1

## REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 121-A, relating to Revenue Bonds.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County at the next special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend as herein set forth the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 121-A, relating to Revenue Bonds.

### REVENUE BONDS—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

Section 121a. The public utilities commission shall, for the purpose of meeting the cost of acquiring by purchase, construction or other lawful method, a system for the generation and distribution to the people of the City and County of San Francisco, or to the people of any territory adjacent to or adjoining said City and County, of the hydro-electric power generated in the Hetch Hetchy Project, or for the generation or distribution of any other electric power necessary or convenient for the purpose of furnishing said city and county, or the people thereof, or any territory adjacent to said city and county, or to the people thereof, with heat, light and power, borrow money by the issuance of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, payable wholly out of revenue, in such amount or amounts, as may, in the judgment of said public utilities commission, be necessary to meet the cost of acquiring said system for the generation and/or distribution of said electric power, provided that the total amount of said money to be so borrowed, or bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued, shall not exceed in the aggregate the sum of $50,000,000.00. Said system to be acquired shall hereafter be referred to as The System.

The System to be acquired shall, in so far as practical, be the system submitted to the board of supervisors by the public utilities commission on September 14, 1936, and designated by said public utilities commission as Plan No. 7, which said plan was approved by the board of supervisors on December 3rd, 1936; provided, however, that said public utilities commission is hereby given full power and authority to deviate from said plan to such extent as it shall deem proper in order to carry out the purposes of said plan, but any change made in said plan, or in the execution of the same, shall not render invalid or have any effect upon the validity of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued to meet the cost of The System, and no purchaser or holder of any bond or other evidence of indebtedness authorized or issued pursuant to this section shall be required to see to the existence of any of the facts, or to the performance of any of the conditions, or the taking of any of the proceedings required prior to the issue of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, or to the application of the proceeds thereof.

Any and all money borrowed, or bond or other evidence of indebted-

ness authorized or issued pursuant to this section, as well as the interest to accrue thereon, shall be payable solely and only out of the revenue from The System to be acquired with said moneys so borrowed, or received for said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

The public utilities commission shall, except as herein provided, determine the form, conditions and denominations of all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under the provisions of this section, and shall fix the maximum rate of interest which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall bear, which rate of interest shall not exceed six (6%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. The payment of the principal of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall commence not later than five years from the date of issuance, and shall be completed in not more than forty years from date of issuance. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness shall mature and shall be payable each year during the period over which said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are payable in such amounts as may be fixed by the commission. All of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued may, at the option of the owner thereof, be registered, as to either principal or interest or both, with the treasurer of the city and county in such manner as may be provided by the commission. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be sold at such times and in such amounts as the public utilities commission shall deem proper, provided that said bonds shall not be sold at less than their par value and accrued interest at date of delivery. Said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may be offered for sale either on the basis of a fixed rate of interest or on the basis of the lowest net interest cost and power is hereby given to the commission to fix said rate of interest either prior or subsequent to the date of the issuance of said bonds. All bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued as herein provided shall be signed by the president of the public utilities commission, or by the manager of utilities, and by the secretary of the public utilities commission, and countersigned by the treasurer of the city and county. The signature of the president of the public utilities commission or of the manager of utilities may be by facsimile. Any coupon attached to said bonds shall have affixed thereto the facsimile signature of the said treasurer. The official seal of the public utilities commission shall be affixed to said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness and full authority is hereby given to said commission to adopt a seal in such form and device as it shall deem proper. Each of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness so issued shall state plainly on its face that it is payable, both as to principal and interest, only from the special fund created for that purpose, out of the revenues of The System and that it does not constitute a general indebtedness against the City and County of San Francisco. All revenue bonds issued under authority of this Charter shall be negotiable instruments and shall have all of the attributes of negotiability under the laws relating to negotiable instruments of this State.

The public utilities commission shall have power to determine the method of acquiring The System, and the determination of said method by said public utilities commission shall be binding and conclusive. Should said commission determine that The System, or any part thereof,

should be acquired other than by construction, the same may be acquired by purchase or by any other lawful means. If said public utilities commission should determine that The System, or any part thereof, should be constructed, it shall have full power to proceed with the said construction. When said public utilities commission shall have determined the manner of acquiring The System it shall adopt a resolution specifying the method and manner of acquiring the same and shall, in said resolution, fix and determine the estimated cost thereof, and shall include in said estimate of cost such amount as said commission shall estimate to be sufficient to pay the interest on the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness during the actual period of construction of The System and for six months after the same is in operation by said commission, and if The System is to be acquired by any method other than by construction, then said commission shall estimate the cost of said acquisition including the cost of all legal proceedings incident thereto and add the amount of said estimate to the cost of said acquisition, as well as the amount of interest on all of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness which may be outstanding during the period of said acquisition, and interest on any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued or sold to defray the cost of said acquisition for a period of six months after the commencement of the operation of The System by said commission, and said commission shall include in the cost of The System, whether acquired by construction or otherwise, an amount sufficient to provide working capital as estimated by the commission to be sufficient to pay the operating expenses of The System for a period not exceeding six months after the operation of The System shall commence. Not more than fifteen (15%) per cent of the cost of the acquisition or construction of said system shall be applied to interest and working capital.

When said public utilities commission shall have adopted said resolution specifying the manner of the acquisition or construction of the system, as well as the total estimated cost thereof, and when the method and cost of the acquisition of the system have been approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, said commission shall adopt an additional resolution authorizing the issuance of the amount of revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of the revenue of said system necessary to pay the said estimated cost of acquiring or constructing said system, not to exceed the sum of $50,000,000; provided that if said resolution, in fixing the amount of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued, fixes said amount at less than $50,000,000, said commission may adopt a subsequent resolution or resolutions authorizing the issuance of an additional amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness not to exceed the difference between the amount theretofore issued and said $50,000,000.

Said resolution shall fix the amount of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be issued and state generally the purpose thereof, but no purchaser of said bonds shall be required to look to the application of the funds derived from the sale of said bonds. Said resolution shall also state the number and denomination and maturities of said bonds or other evidence of indebtedness, and may provide, all and singular, the

conditions to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness as well as the interest thereon if said interest is to be fixed, or it may defer the fixing of interest until the time of the sale of said bonds, and full power and authority is hereby given to said commission to cause to be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness such terms and conditions as it shall deem proper, provided that there shall always be inserted in said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness the fact that the same are payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of The System.

Said resolution may also provide, and the public utilities commission is hereby authorized and empowered in and by the terms of said resolution to agree with the holders of any of the revenue bonds issued thereunder, so long as the same shall be outstanding, as follows:

(a) that the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds shall be deposited in a fund separate and apart from all other funds of the city and county and shall, with any interest accrued thereon, be applied solely and exclusively to the objects and purposes for which such revenue bonds are authorized to be issued, and that any proceeds of the sale of such bonds remaining unused upon the acquisition of such system shall be applied to the retirement of the revenue bonds outstanding, by purchase in the open market or by call and redemption, as the case may be, and that none of such moneys shall be transferred to any other fund of the City and County of San Francisco;

(b) that the public utilities commission will maintain and operate The System constructed from the proceeds of said revenue bonds, in good repair, working order and condition and will, from time to time, make all needful and proper repairs, renewals and replacements, and will continuously operate the same;

(c) that the commission will (subject to all applicable laws of the State of California and the rules and orders of all regulatory bodies of the State of California which may hereafter have jurisdiction over the Commission or the project acquired from the proceeds of said revenue bonds) establish reasonable rates for the sale of electric energy distributed through such system and that such rates in the aggregate shall be adequate to enable the commission to pay the principal and interest of said revenue bonds and all costs of maintenance, operation and repairs of the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds;

(d) that accurate books and records of account will be kept and maintained, showing all payments received by the commission from the operation of the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of such revenue bonds, which records shall be open at all times for inspection, while any of the revenue bonds are outstanding and unpaid, to the holders of any one or more of such revenue bonds or their duly authorized representatives;

(e) that if any part of The System shall be taken from the commission or from the City and County of San Francisco by eminent domain proceedings, or other proceedings authorized by law, the proceeds realized by said City and County or by said commission shall be applied solely and exclusively to the payment of the principal and interest of said revenue bonds until the same shall have been paid in full;

(f) That neither the commission nor the City and County shall mortgage or otherwise encumber, sell, or lease or dispose of The System acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds or any substantial part thereof, or enter into any lease or contract which shall impair the operation of said system or otherwise impair the right of the holders of any of said revenue bonds to secure payment in full of the principal or interest of said revenue bonds as the same shall mature;

(g) that the commission shall maintain insurance on the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, of the kind and character and in the amount which is usual and customarily carried by private companies engaged in the operation of a system of works similar to that to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds;

(h) that none of the facilities of The System shall be furnished free. In the event that the City and County of San Francisco, or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof shall avail itself of the facilities afforded by the system acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, a reasonable charge shall be made against said city and county, agency or instrumentality and shall be paid as the services shall accrue;

(i) that any terms, covenants or conditions herein contained or made a part of any bond issued under authority of this section shall be subject to alteration, amendment or modification by agreement between the commission and the holders of at least eighty (80%) per cent of the principal of the amount of the said bonds then outstanding;

(j) said commission may also agree to any other terms or conditions, whether hereinabove referred to or not, which, in the judgment of the commission, shall be necessary, advisable or convenient in effecting the sale of said revenue bonds; provided, that such conditions do not permit said bonds to be sold at less than the par value thereof or increase the interest above six (6%) per cent and provided further, that none of said covenants, agreements or conditions, nor anything herein provided shall obligate said commission or the City and County of San Francisco to do or perform any of said terms, covenants or conditions by the expenditure of any funds other than those arising from the operation of the system to be acquired from the proceeds of the sale of said revenue bonds, and under no circumstances shall the City and County be obligated to levy or collect taxes to provide moneys to perform any of the terms or conditions contained in any of such resolutions, and all obligations assumed by said commission which shall require the expenditure of any funds shall be limited solely and exclusively to the funds arising from the operation of said system.

When said last mentioned resolution is adopted, said public utilities commission shall have full power and authority to issue the revenue bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue to the amount specified in said resolution, but not to exceed the sum of $50,000,000.

The public utilities commission shall regulate the time of the issuance of any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized by this section, and may cause the same to be issued as one issue or in successive issues under the same authorization. Said bonds or other evidences of indebted-

ness shall be sold by said commission at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor, after notice of said sale has been given by the publication of notice of said sale for a period of two days in the official newspaper. Said sale shall be held not less than five days after the last publication of said notice. The commission shall require a bond or other security in such amount as it may deem proper from all bidders to insure the fulfilling of any bid made for said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness. When any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness are sold, the proceeds of said sale shall be deposited in the treasury of the City and County and shall be used only for the purposes for which the same were approved. If a bid acceptable to the commission should not be received for said bonds offered for sale the commission may direct that said bonds, or any part thereof, be sold by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco at private sale, at a price not less than par and accrued interest at the date of delivery of said bonds to purchaser.

Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness authorized or issued under the authority of this section shall not be subject to the charter limitations as to the bonded indebtedness of the city and county, nor shall they be taken into consideration in determining the amount of bonded indebtedness as provided in Section 104 of the Charter.

Nothing in this section contained shall in any way abridge, control or revoke the power of the electors of the city and county to vote for and cause to be issued general obligation bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of the city and county for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the system, but the manner provided in this section for the acquisition, construction, completion or extension of the same shall be deemed to be a supplemental and additional manner for providing funds for said purpose.

Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section may be called and paid before maturity upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the public utilities commission, provided that said conditions are inserted in said bonds at the time of the issuance thereof.

The commission is hereby authorized, from time to time, to provide for the refunding, extension or renewal of any bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued pursuant to this section and remaining outstanding and unpaid, at or prior to maturity thereof, by the issuance, sale or exchange of new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness in the same aggregate principal amount as the bonds or other evidences of indebtedness outstanding or unpaid, said new bonds or other evidences of indebtedness to be of such denomination and maturing at such times, and bearing such rates of interest, and otherwise modified as said commission shall deem proper, provided that such new bonds or evidences of indebtedness shall be payable, both as to principal and interest, solely out of the revenue of The System and the latest maturity of said new issue shall not be more than forty years from the date of said new issue and the interest thereon shall not exceed six per cent (6%) per annum.

The public utilities commission shall fix and establish just and reasonable rates for any service furnished by The System, which said rates shall not be less than will provide an amount sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the following:

(a) All amounts necessary to pay the interest and principal on all bonds or evidences of indebtedness issued and sold, as provided in this section, and remaining unpaid.

(b) All the operating expenses of The System.

(c) All amounts necessary to provide for the repairs and maintenance of The System.

(d) A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds.

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur.

The board of supervisors shall never approve rates to be charged by said public utilities commission for service from The System which will not be sufficient to pay, as the same becomes due all and singular the obligations mentioned in schedules (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) above set forth.

The public utilities commission shall cause all moneys accruing from the system to be paid into the treasury of the city and county of San Francisco daily, and said moneys, together with any interest earned thereon, shall be used only for the following purposes and in the order herein set forth, to-wit:

(a) To pay the principal sum and the interest thereon on all bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued under this section and remaining unpaid, as said principal and interest become due and payable;

(b) To pay all of the operating expenses of said system;

(c) To pay all amounts to become due for the repair and maintenance of said system;

(d) A surplus fund equal to the amount required to meet the interest and principal payments which will become due in the next succeeding year on outstanding bonds.

(e) An amount which shall be sufficient to meet the cost of reconstruction and replacements made necessary by the physical and functional depreciation of The System, as the same may occur;

(f) To pay for extensions and betterments to said system, or to establish a reserve to pay for said extensions and betterments;

(g) To establish a surplus fund.

Said commission shall cause to be set up a bond interest and redemption fund into which shall be paid an amount at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal and interest upon the outstanding unpaid bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, for the current year, and if at any time the revenues of The System are not sufficient to permit the payment of said sum into said fund, any deficiency shall be paid into said fund from the surplus fund. Said commission shall estimate the proper amounts to be applied to the purposes mentioned in schedules (c), (e), and (f) above set forth and shall cause said estimated amounts to be set aside in proper funds established to meet said purposes. Said estimates may be changed from time to time. Said com-

mission shall also pay into said interest and redemption fund an amount sufficient to meet all existing delinquencies upon any of said bonds or other evidences of indebtedness.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter which may be in conflict with the provisions of this section, the provisions of this section shall prevail in so far as the same pertain to the financing and acquisition of The System by the sale of bonds or other evidences of indebtedness payable solely out of revenue; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the financing or acquisition of The System or any additions thereto by general obligation bonds issued as provided by law.

The System shall be considered a separate utility, and revenue from any other utility shall not be applied to The System unless otherwise expressly provided by Charter.

The board of supervisors may, by ordinance, confer upon said public utilities commission such additional powers not in conflict with this section as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

Upon the taking effect of this amendment the public utilities commission shall immediately proceed to acquire The System and promptly perform all acts required of said public utilities commission.

**Ordered Submitted**—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 28, 1936.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

### Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.

The Board debated at length the proposed agreement reported by Committee sent to Sacramento to confer with State Highway Commission, whereby the City would pay one-third of the cost of the Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge and the State Highway Commission would provide the other two-thirds.

The discussion was participated in by Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, State Engineer John H. Skeggs, Wm. J. Worden, Director of Public Works; President Shannon, Supervisors Brown, Uhl and McSheehy.

The question as to how allocations of moneys can be made from gas tax funds was *referred to Finance and Streets Committee.*

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, the City Attorney was asked to give this Board the answer to this question: Has the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District any obligation to build any part of the approach south of the south bridgehead?

### Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the subject-matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m. in Committee of the Whole.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating $50,000 Out of Municipal Railway Operative Fund for Cost of Making Plans for Construction of Rapid Transit System for San Francisco.**

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 1179, Ordinance No. 9.051328, as follows:

Appropriating $50,000 from the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to defray the cost of making plans and specifications for the construction of a rapid transit system for San Francisco that will be an extension and improvement to the existing Municipal Railway system.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of $50,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made, and the Mayor having concurred in the act of the Public Utilities Commission and recommended the appropriation, for the purpose of defraying the cost of making plans and specifications for the construction of a rapid transit system of San Francisco to be used as an extension and improvement of the existing Municipal Railway, there is hereby appropriated the sum of $50,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purposes hereinabove stated.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Amending the Purchaser's Revolving Fund No. 9.0811 by Adding Section 6A.**

(Code No. 9.081)

Also, Bill No. 1180, Ordinance No. 9.0812, as follows:

Amending the Purchaser's Revolving Fund Ordinance, Bill No. 38, Ordinance No. 9.0811, by adding a new section to be known as Section 6A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby added a new section to the Purchaser's Revolving Fund Ordinance No. 9.0811 to be known as Section 6A:
Balances of materials and supplies, which have been purchased or acquired out of annual appropriations made to General Fund departments and which may remain in the custody of the Purchaser of Supplies at the end of any fiscal year, shall be transferred to the Purchaser's Revolving Fund. Unused balances, which may have been encumbered by definite quantity contracts, out of funds provided by annual appropriations to General Fund departments, shall, at the end of any fiscal year, be transferred to the Purchaser's Revolving Fund.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

### Authorizing Sale of Certain School Land.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Bill No. 1181, Ordinance No. 12.17227, as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain school land.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, distant northerly thereon 225 feet from the northerly line of Taraval street; running thence northerly along the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 210 feet to a line parallel with and distant 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said parallel line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly, 210 feet to the westerly line of Eighteenth avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of Outside Land Block No. 1120.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Said land may be sold as a whole or in parcels.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

### Appropriation of $1,614 for Room Allowance for Laguna Honda Home.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1183, Ordinance No. 9.051330, as follows:

Appropriating $1,614 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 651.108.00, for room allowance for Laguna Honda Home Institutional Help and Registered Nurses, for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,614 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 651.108.00, for room allowance for Laguna Honda Home Institutional Help and Registered Nurses.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Laguna Honda Home, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to a clerical error at budget time in calculating the number of employees receiving room allowance and, as a result, the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to meet the expense of room allowance for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $20,000 for Materials and Supplies for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1184, Ordinance No. 9.051331, as follows:

Appropriating $20,000 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.300.00 for the purchase of materials and supplies for the San Francisco Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $20,000 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.300.00 for the purchase of materials and supplies for the San Francisco Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that there has been an increase in the price of drugs and storeroom supplies and that the department is without sufficient funds to make the necessary purchase of materials and supplies for the year 1936-1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $1,200 for Automobile Repairs for the Emergency Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1185, Ordinance No. 9.051332, as follows:

Appropriating $1,200 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.209.54, for automobile repairs for the Emergency Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,200 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.209.54, for automobile repair for the Emergency Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to make the necessary automobile repairs.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $620 for Contractual Services of the Emergency Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1186, Ordinance No. 9.051333, as follows:

Appropriating $620 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 654.200.00 for contractual services of the Emergency Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $620 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 654.200.00 for contractual services of the Emergency Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to provide for laundry services for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $50,000 for the Purchase of Foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1187, Ordinance No. 9.051334, as follows:

Appropriating $50,000 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.350.00 for the purchase of foodstuffs for the San Francisco Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $50,000 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.350.00, for the purchase of foodstuffs for the San Francisco Hospital for the year 1936-1937.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the San Francisco Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to an increase in the cost of foodstuffs and an increase of approximately 5 per cent in the number of patients being cared for, which factors make the funds heretofore provided for the purchase of foodstuffs insufficient therefor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $630 for Gasoline and Oil for the Emergency Hospital.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1188, Ordinance No. 9.051335, as follows:

Appropriating $630 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.318.54 for gasoline and oil for the Emergency Hospital, for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $630 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.318.54, for the purchase of gasoline and oil for the Emergency Hospital.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Emergency Hospital, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, due to the fact that one additional ambulance has been placed in service, and the Department of

Public Health requires funds for gasoline and oil necessary for its operation for the fiscal year 1936-1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $1,800 for Food and Milk for School Children.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1189, Ordinance No. 9.051336, as follows:

Appropriating $1,800 from Emergency Reserve Appropriation No. 602.900.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.350.21 of the Central Office, Department of Public Health, for the purchase of food and milk for school children, for the year 1936-1937.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $1,800 is hereby set aside from Emergency Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 602.900.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.350.21 of the Central Office, Department of Public Health, for the purchase of food and milk for school children in the Buena Vista, Hancock, Franklin, Le Conte, Raphael Weill and Grattan schools.

Section 2. This appropriation is made from the Emergency Reserve Fund, as it is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Central Office of the Department of Public Health, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an emergency exists, as the Department of Public Health is without sufficient funds to make the purchases of food and milk above referred to for the year 1936-1937.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Appropriation of $63,931 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor, January, 1937.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 1190, Ordinance No. 9.051337, as follows:

Appropriating $63,931 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of January, 1937, and authorizing a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of $63,931 is hereby appropriated out of such funds as may be available for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco for the month of January, 1937.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County of San Francisco and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations are fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 2519 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Settlement and Adjustment of Claims of Columbia Casualty Co. et al.**

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 1191, Ordinance No. 6.022223, as follows:

Authorizing the settlement and adjustment in favor of the City and County of San Francisco of two certain actions against Columbia Casualty Company, et al., by the acceptance of $7,000 in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of the City and County of San Francisco against the defendants in said actions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having, in writing, recommended the settlement and adjustment of action No. 254765 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled City and County of San Francisco, etc., plaintiff, vs. Columbia Casualty Company, et al., defendants, and action No. 260048 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, also entitled City and County of San Francisco, etc., plaintiff, vs. Columbia Casualty Company, et al., defendants, by the acceptance of the sum of seven thousand ($7,000) dollars to be paid by said Columbia Casualty Company to the City and County of San Francisco in full of all demands arising in either of said actions. And it appearing that the said offer of compromise of settlement made by the said defendants in said actions is fair and reasonable and that the same should be accepted, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to accept the sum of seven thousand ($7,000) dollars in full settlement and satisfaction of all demands arising in favor of the City and County of San Francisco and against said defendants in either of said actions, and upon payment of said sum, cause a dismissal of said actions to be entered.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

**Adopted.**

The following matters were taken up:

**Authorizing Purchase of Lot on Greenwich Street for the Fire Department.**

(Code No. 12.1716)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 2985, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, that the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Margherita Bacchi, Lot 31, in Assessor's Block 515, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the Fire Department, for the sum of $12,250, payable from the Fire Department Reserve for Land Purchases. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of South Side of Chestnut Street 137 Feet 6 Inches East of Easterly Line of Webster Street.**

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 2998, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 1574, dated November 19, 1936, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the south side of Chestnut street 137 feet 6 inches east of the easterly line of Webster street, is hereby set for Monday, January 4, 1937, at 2 p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Action Deferred.**

The following proposed Charter amendments were *laid over one week*:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. .....

Recommended by Judiciary Committee.

## POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of the City and County by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 21, relating to the power of hearing, inquiry, and subpoena.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 16th day of February, 1937, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, as follows:

## POWER OF HEARING, INQUIRY AND SUBPOENA

"Section 21. The Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Controller, or any board or commission appointed by the Mayor relative solely to the affairs under its control, may require such periodic or special reports of departmental costs, operation and expenditures, examine the books, papers, records, and accounts of, and inquire into matters affecting the conduct of any department or office of the City and County, and for that purpose may hold hearings, subpoena witnesses, administer oaths and compel the production of books, papers, testimony and other evidence. It shall be the duty of the Chief of Police to designate a police officer to serve subpoenas. Any person refusing to obey such subpoena and other requirements hereof, or to produce such books, shall be deemed in contempt and subject to proceedings and penalties as provided by general law in such instances.

"Whenever any person subpoenaed to appear and give testimony or produce such books, papers or documents as required by such sub-

poena, shall refuse to appear or testify before any of said officers, boards or commissions, or to answer any questions which any of said officers or the majority of any of said boards or commissions shall decide to be proper and pertinent, he shall be deemed in contempt of said officer, board or commission, and it shall be the duty of said officer, or the president of said board or commission to report the fact to the presiding judge of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, who shall thereupon issue an attachment in the form usual in the Superior Court, directed to the Sheriff of said City and County, commanding said Sheriff to attach such person and forthwith bring him before said presiding judge of said Superior Court. On the return of said attachment and the production of the person attached the said presiding judge shall have jurisdiction of the matter, and the person charged may purge himself of the contempt in the same way, and the same proceedings shall be had, and the same penalties may be imposed and the same punishment inflicted as in the case of a witness subpoenaed to appear and give evidence on the trial of a civil cause before a Superior Court of the State of California. Any of said officers or any member of said boards or commissions shall have power to issue any subpoena herein provided for."

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. .....

Without recommendation from Judiciary Committee.

## OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 127 and 128, relating to Operating Expenses and Reserves, and Depreciation, and to add a new section known as 128-1, Reconstruction and Replacements.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the ..... day of ....., 1937, a proposal to amend Sections 127 and 128 and to add a new section known as 128-1 of the Charter of said City and County, as follows:

## OPERATING EXPENSES AND RESERVES

Section 127. Receipts from each utility operated by the commission shall be paid into the City and County Treasury and maintained in a separate fund for each such utility. Appropriations from such funds shall be made for the following purposes for each such utility in the order named, viz.: (a) for the payment of operating expenses, pension charges, and proportionate payments to such compensation and other insurance and accident reserve funds as the commission may establish or the Board of Supervisors may require; (b) for repairs and maintenance; (c) for reconstruction and replacements as hereinafter described; (d) for the payment of interest and sinking funds on the bonds issued for acquisition, construction or extensions; (e) for extensions and improvements, and (f) for a surplus fund.

## DEPRECIATION

Section 128. For the purpose of computing net income, the commission, on the basis of an appraisal of the estimated life and the then current depreciated value of the several classes of property in each utility, shall determine the amount of reasonable annual depreciation for each utility. At least every five years thereafter, the commission shall make an appraisal or may revise the last preceding appraisal of the value and probable useful life of each of the several classes of property of each utility, and shall, on the basis of said appraisal, redetermine the amount of the reasonable annual depreciation for each utility.

## RECONSTRUCTION AND REPLACEMENTS

Section 128-1. For the purpose of providing funds for reconstruction and replacements due to physical and functional depreciation of each of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the commission, the commission must create and maintain a reconstruction and replacement fund for each such utility, sufficient for the purposes mentioned in this section, and in accordance with an established practice for utilities of similar character, which shall be the basis for the amount necessary to appropriate annually to provide for said reconstruction and replacements.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

The following matters, not appearing on the Calendar, were introduced on Roll Call and acted on as noted:

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Approval of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.**

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 2999, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 723 to Director of Public Works for $194.91;

No. 724 to Director of Public Works for $334.05; payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land From American Trust Company for Oregon Street.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3000, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from American Trust Company et al., Lot 3, Assessor's Block 201, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Oregon street, for the sum of $15,000, payable from Appropriation No. 648.983.17. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Building on Oregon Street.**

(Code No. 12.1721)

Also, Resolution No. 3001, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, That the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the building located on Lot 3, Assessor's Block 201, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land Required for the Widening of Nineteenth Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 3002, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from the following named parties certain parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Nineteenth avenue, for the sums set forth below, payable from Appropriation No. 648.914.14.1, Project No. 5:

| | |
|---|---|
| Genevieve Newcomb Clark, portion of Lot 28, Assessor's Block 2138 | $1,815.00 |
| Hattie E. Dockery, portion of Lot 19, Assessor's Block 2031 | 1,775.00 |
| Frank Chudy, portion of Lot 33, Assessor's Block 2478 | 2,315.00 |
| Mary T. Harris, portion of Lot 17, Assessor's Block 2406 | 194.60 |
| Gerald Wierda and Margaret Wierda, portion of Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2031 | 1,775.00 |
| Louis Helbing and Bertha Helbing, portion of Lot 20, Assessor's Block 2031 | 1,995.00 |
| Hans P. F. Lundt, portion of Lot 18, Assessor's Block 2347 | 2,020.00 |
| Arthur R. Siggs, portion of Lot 26-A, Assessor's Block 2347 | 2,550.00 |
| Wilfrid A. Rawson and Nancy T. Rawson, portion of Lot 25, Assessor's Block 2347 | 2,000.00 |
| Alice Cummings, portion of Lot 20, Assessor's Block 2347 | 2,000.00 |

The above sums include damages in full to the improvements now located on the property, said improvements to be relocated by the Grantors within 30 days after recording the deeds to the City.

Reference is hereby made to the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property from the above-named parties for a particular

description of said parcels of land. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land From Samuel Meyer et ux., Required for San Francisco Airport.**

(Code No. 12.17154)

Also, Resolution No. 3003, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Samuel Meyer et ux., Lot 26, Section 26, T. 3 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California, containing 20 acres, required for the San Francisco Airport, for the sum of $1,000, payable from Appropriation No. 664.600.00. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Approved by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land From James J. Breen et ux., Required for Sunset Reservoir.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3004, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Jas. J. Breen et ux., Lot 10, in Assessor's Block 2107, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Sunset Reservoir, for the sum of $300, $50 from Appropriation No. 693.905.05-57, and the balance of $250 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 262443.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Land From California Golf Club Underwriters Corporation Required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2.**

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 3005, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from California Golf Club Underwriters Corporation, 1.813 acres of land situated on the westerly side of El Camino Real, opposite Third street, in the Town of Baden, San Mateo County, California, required for Crystal Springs Pipe Line No. 2, for the sum of $3,626, $888.50 payable from Appropriation No. 693.903.05-57 and the balance of $2,737.50 payable from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County, Superior Court Case No. 24781. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Purchase of Parcel 3 of Lafayette Park From Coast Bay Company.**

(Code No. 12.1713)

Also, Resolution No. 3006, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco purchase from Coast Bay Company, the west half of Lot 3, Assessor's Block 625, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for Lafayette Park, for the sum of $20,000, payable from Appropriation No. 612.600.02. The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title of said real property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Approving County Welfare Recommendations.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3007, as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Director of the County Welfare Department, filed with the Board of Supervisors on December 23, 1936, are approved as follows:

Old Age Security Aid—New Applications; increases.
Blind Pensions—New Applications; increases.
Widows' Pensions—New Applications; increases.

And the Clerk of this Board is directed to indorse the approval of this Board upon the reports of said Director of County Welfare Department and to transmit the same to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Release of Lien, Mrs. Hannah Anette Burke, Deceased.**

(Code No. 19.02)

Also, Resolution No. 3008, as follows:

Resolved, That the lien heretofore placed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco upon the property of Mrs. Hannah Anette Burke and recorded on August 10, 1936, in Vol. 3011, Official Records, page 12, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, against the said property described as follows:
Lot 32, Block 706, Assessor's Map, be and the same is hereby released from all claims and liens by the City and County of San Francisco for the reason that said Hannah Anette Burke has died and the property is being sold. The amount of the claim of the City and County of San Francisco against the property, under the lien, is $158, and the money to cover said claim is in escrow with the City Title Insurance Company.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.
Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

**Supplemental Appropriation of $20,000 From Water Revenue Fund for Replacement of Ruptured Pipe Lines—An Emergency Ordinance.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1202, Ordinance No. 9.051339, as follows:

Supplemental Appropriation of $20,000 from Water Revenue Fund for Replacement of Ruptured Pipe Lines—An Emergency Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated and set aside out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund the sum of $20,000, which said sum is to be credited to Appropriation No. 666.200.00 and used for the reconstruction and replacement of County Line Pumping Station and connecting ruptured pipes of the Water Department and for the repairs to Junipero Serra and new Lake Merced Boulevards, occasioned by the bursting of said pipes.

Section 2. The foregoing supplemental appropriation is made at the request of the Public Utilities Commission and recommended by his Honor, the Mayor.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists, as immediate action is required to reconstruct and replace the County Line Pumping Station and connecting pipe lines, said structures being essential features in the system of works of the San Francisco Water Department for the delivery of water to citizens of San Francisco, and to repair the damaged portion of Junipero Serra Boulevard, to make said boulevard safe for the traveling public.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

**Appropriation of $7,500 From Municipal Railway Operative Fund, for Materials and Equipment for Extension of "L" Street Car Line.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 1203, Ordinance No. 9.051340, as follows:

Appropriation of $7,500 from Municipal Railway Operative Fund, for materials and equipment for Extension of "L" Street Car Line.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of $7,500 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made, and the Mayor having concurred in the act of the Public Utilities Commission and recommended the appropriation for the purpose of defraying the cost of acquiring the necessary materials and equipment for the extension of the "L" Street Car Line from its present terminus to a point at or near Sloat boulevard, to be used as an extension and improvement of the existing Municipal Railway, there is hereby appropriated the sum of $7,500 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purposes hereinabove stated.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Authorizing Sale of School Land on Seventh Avenue Near Kirkham Street.**

(Code No. 12.1722)

Bill No. 1204, Ordinance No. 12.17228, as follows:

Authorizing Sale of School Land on Seventh Avenue near Kirkham street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Seventh avenue, distant southerly thereon 225 feet from the southerly lne of Kirkham street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of Seventh avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of Eighth avenue; thence northerly along last-named line, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the westerly line of Seventh avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described property shall be sold in accordance with the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of His Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—T. J. Lenehan, Chairman, Health Advisory Board.**

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 3009, as follows:

Resolved, That upon the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Mr. T. J. Lenehan, Chairman, Health Advisory Board, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing Monday, December 28th, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Director of Public Works and City Engineer to Attend Convention of American Road Builders' Association in New Orleans During Week of January 11, 1937.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 2973, as follows:

Whereas, the American Road Builders' Association, representing all divisions of the highway industry and profession, will hold its 1937 Convention and Highway Exhibit in New Orleans, during the week of January 11, 1937, and

Whereas, the convention will include discussions of all major phases of highway and street construction and maintenance, together with discussions of latest developments in highway financing, administration, traffic safety and allied subjects, and

Whereas, the past year has brought important new developments in

highway operations, including authorization of Federal aid for low cost roads, and

Whereas, continuation of an adequate highway program requires the united support of members of the industry and profession, and

Whereas, the Highway Exhibit offers the most economic method for engineers and officials to inspect and compare the latest developments in equipment and materials used in constructing and maintaining roads and streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby requested to delegate the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer to be in attendance at said convention, and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the American Road Builders' Association, National Press Building Washington, D. C.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Mead, Meyer, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**Calling a Special Election Re Certain Amendments to the Charter.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. ——, entitled:

"Calling a Special Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of voting on certain amendments to the Charter of the said City and County heretofore referred to the electors, as well as for the purpose of voting upon such ordinances as may hereafter be initiated or referred to the electors in the manner provided by law."

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Motion.**

Supervisor McSheehy moved that transcript of all proceedings in connection with consideration of Charter Amendment No. 1, be ordered. Clerk to print same in Journals of Proceedings.

*So ordered.*

**Re Funston Avenue Approach to Golden Gate Bridge.**

Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, and Col. John H. Skeggs, Engineer for State Department of Public Works, reported on meeting in Sacramento on matter of Funston Avenue Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Referred to Committee of the Whole, January* 4, 1937, *Special Order at* 3:00 *p. m.*

**Information Requested from City Attorney.**

Supervisor Roncovieri requested that the Clerk obtain from the City Attorney, answer to the following: Is there any obligation upon the Golden Gate and Highway District to build any part of an approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, south of the south bridge head?

**Committee to Represent City at Launching of Cruiser Henley at Mare Island, January 12, 1937.**

Supervisor Brown requested the Chair to appoint a committee to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the launching of the Cruiser Henley, at Mare Island, January 12, 1937.

Chair appointed Supervisors Brown, Colman and McSheehy.

### Inter-County Legislative Highway Conference.

Supervisor Ratto announced that an Inter-County Legislative Highway Conference would be held in Sacramento during the first week of meeting of State Legislature.

Chair appointed members of Streets Committee to attend.

### In Memoriam—Arthur Brisbane.

On motion by Supervisor Shannon, the Board adjourned out of respect to the memory of Arthur Brisbane. Board *adopted* the followlowing Resolution of Condolence unanimously by rising vote:

The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, representing the sentiment of all the people of the City, expresses deep sorrow at the death of Arthur Brisbane. The pen of this late greatest of American Editors has finished its earthly toil. During a half century, Arthur Brisbane gave unsparingly of his peerless talents to humanity and justice. His life was devoted to causing men and women to think for themselves and for others, especially to give thought to those lowly in life's scale of plenty and those who erred through ignorance.

Millions of people have lost the benefit of his wisdom and counsel and the world is loser thereby.

Arthur Brisbane was truly a friend of San Francisco. He frequently praised this City and its people for its attainments and aspirations.

In mark of appreciation of the memory and veneration of Arthur Brisbane, this Board of Supervisors does, by rising vote, adjourn this day of his funeral, December 28, 1936.

### City Attorney Requested to Render Decision as to Jurisdiction of Board of Supervisors and Police Commission in the Matter of Permits and Franchises Over Streets of San Francisco.

Supervisor Shannon moved as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has been given power by the new City Charter to govern routes of the public utilities seeking a franchise within our City limits and that the Bridge Bus Line Corporation is seeking a permit or franchise to make extensive use of our streets, using curb loading without a terminal; therefore,

I move that the City Attorney be requested to render a decision as to the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors and that of the Police Commission in the matter of permits and franchises over our streets of San Francisco for such purposes, and that bus line permits involving routes, including that of the Golden Gate Bridge Bus Line Corporation be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval before their acceptance by the State Railroad Commission.

Motion *carried*.

### State Supervisors' Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Chair appoint a committee of three Supervisors to attend meeting of State Supervisors Committee at Whitcomb Hotel, Wednesday, December 30, in reference to continuing of WPA projects.

Chair appointed Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl, Ratto.

### Extension of S. P. Trains to Bridge Terminal Refused.

The following was presented, read and *ordered filed*:

December 28, 1936.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the suggestion made by members of your Board on December 18, we have discussed with A. D. McDonald, President of the

Southern Pacific Railway Company, the proposal that his company extend its lines from the present terminal at Third and Townsend streets to a terminal in the vicinity of the Plan X terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Mr. McDonald states definitely that his company will not make any expenditure for this purpose since operating revenues from Peninsula traffic will not warrant any further expenditure for capital purposes.

Our own studies indicate that this statement is correct and that it would undoubtedly be impossible to force any such expenditure to be made.

We reiterate our request that there be included in any rapid transit system provided for San Francisco an adequate connection to the Third and Townsend Streets Station of the Southern Pacific.

Respectfully,

HUGH R. POMEROY,
Advisor, San Mateo County, Planning Commission.
For Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County.

### In Memoriam—A. B. C. Dohrmann.

The following was presented by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Uhl and *adopted unanimously by a rising vote*:

"To the family of the late A. B. C. Dohrmann, 3277 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors learns with extreme regret the sad news of the death of A. B. C. Dohrmann and moved when it adjourns to do so out of respect to the memory of Mr. Dohrmann.

In taking this action, the Supervisors, as the representatives of the people of San Francisco, wish to express deep appreciation of the splendid character and worthy career of Mr. Dohrmann. His unflagging devotion to the City and its people, his many philanthropies, his kindly disposition toward everybody, endeared him to all San Franciscans.

Indeed, the Supervisors express his loss as one of the greatest ever to have been suffered by this City and its people.

Supervisors Colman, Uhl and Schmidt were appointed as a Committee to represent the Board at the funeral services.

### Statement of Supervisor McSheehy Re Water Rates.

Copies of the following statement were *ordered sent to each member.*

San Francisco, California, December 24, 1936.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

During the fiscal year of 1935-36 the San Francisco Water Department delivered to the consumers here in our City an average of 54,810,665 gallons of water daily, of which 52,276,000 were delivered to 110,000 San Francisco consumers, and the balance was used by suburban consumers. From the sale of this water the Department received $6,513,507.

After paying all operating expenses, including interest on outstanding bonds, the Department showed a net profit for the year of $2,422,-982.66. Of this amount, $1,000,000 was applied to redemption of bonds, $167,535 was used for additions and betterments to the system and transferred to surplus; $1,253,477 was transferred to the general fund for reduction of taxes.

On May 1, 1928, we purchased the Spring Valley Water Company's properties for $41,000,000 and in doing so we promised to reduce the water rates as we were and are at present paying today the highest rate of any city in the United States according to our population.

On December 1, 1934, we made a reduction of 10 per cent. We have

in storage today 120 billion gallons of water, five years' supply. From Hetch Hetchy and Spring Valley we are receiving 128 million gallons daily. Of this amount 70 billion gallons run into the Pacific Ocean.

San Francisco is sometimes called a bald city, on account of the few trees we have on our streets. We were never in a position to plant trees until about a year ago on account of the great shortage of water. A change has taken place, we now have an abundance of water.

Arrangements are to be made to sell water to a number of small cities in San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties before the price is quoted and deliveries are made. An entire new set-up of rates should be made to the consumers here in our own City cancelling the present meter charges and placing flat rates similar to what we had in 1921, which would allow the small home owner sufficient water to properly plant a garden. Of the 110,000 consumers, 77,000 are in the 3300 cubic feet a month class, paying about 34½ cents per thousand gallons for water, plus a meter charge of 70 cents per month.

The entire rate to the small consumer and the large could be cut 10 per cent, abolishing all meter charges.

The following is a quotation of the last paragraph of section 130 of the Charter, on page 69 thereof:

"Paragraph 4, Section 130. Rates for each utility shall be so fixed that the revenue therefrom shall be sufficient to pay, for at least the succeeding fiscal year, all expenses of every kind and nature incident to the operation and maintenance of said utility, together with the interest and sinking fund for any bonds issued for the acquisition, construction or extension of said utility; provided that, should the commission propose a schedule of rates, charges or fares for said utility which shall not produce such revenue, it may do so with the approval of the board of supervisors, by a two-thirds vote and it shall thereupon be incumbent to provide by tax levy for the additional amount necessary to meet such deficit. All other changes in rates, charges or fares as proposed by the commission shall be submitted by the commission to the board of supervisors for approval, and, except as this section otherwise provided, it shall require a two-thirds vote of the board of supervisors to reject the rate changes as proposed by the commission, and if so rejected, such proposed changes in schedules of rates, charges or fares shall be returned to the commission for revision. If the supervisors shall fail to act on any such proposed schedule within thirty days, the schedule shall thereupon become effective."

According to this section of the Charter we can send back to the Public Utilities Commission No. 26 on the calendar of Monday, December 21, 1936, Resolution No. 2995, Code No. 15.022, entitled "Water Rates Recommended by Public Utilities Commission to be charged for Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses."

In doing so we will keep our promise made in May, 1928.

I so move, gentlemen.

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Supervisor.

### Rapid Transit.

Supervisor Uhl suggested a meeting tomorrow at 10 a. m. relative to Rapid Transit and consideration of the advisability of purchasing the Market Street Railway as a first step. No second.

## ADJOURNMENT.

The Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1937.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certitfy that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.